

On diseases of the liver / [George Budd].

Contributors

Budd, George, 1808-1882.

Publication/Creation

London : J. Churchill, 1845.

Persistent URL

<https://wellcomecollection.org/works/dx8dnvhg>

License and attribution

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.




Wellcome Collection
183 Euston Road
London NW1 2BE UK
T +44 (0)20 7611 8722
E library@wellcomecollection.org
<https://wellcomecollection.org>





16078/8


F. VIII e
19

8/0









ON
DISEASES OF THE LIVER.

BY
GEORGE BUDD, M.D. F.R.S.,

PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE IN KING'S COLLEGE, LONDON; AND FELLOW OF CAIUS COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE.



LONDON:
JOHN CHURCHILL, PRINCES STREET, SOHO.

MDCCCXLV.



LONDON :

PRINTED BY G. J. PALMER, SAVOY-STREET, STRAND.

P R E F A C E.

THE materials of which the present volume is composed accumulated gradually during eight years in which I have been engaged in hospital practice. For the first three of those years, I was the visiting Physician to the Seamen's Hospital, Dreadnought, where my attention was especially called to diseases of the liver : which are there very frequent among men who have been much in India and other hot climates.

The chapter on abscess of the liver formed the substance of the Gulstonian Lectures, which I had the honour to deliver at the College of Physicians, in 1842, and which were printed in the Medical Gazette.

In pursuing my investigations, I have had great help from my friend and former colleague, Mr. Busk, the accomplished surgeon of the Dreadnought, who was not only ever ready to give me his most valuable aid when we were acting together, but who has ever since continued to call my attention to all cases of especial interest occurring in his practice. All who are versed in the recent progress of anatomy may form some judgment of the great value of Mr. Busk's assistance, in a scientific point of view, but only those who have the happiness to enjoy his friendship can appreciate the singular disinterestedness with which it was given.

I am also much indebted to my friend, Mr. Bowman, for microscopic specimens illustrating the structure of the liver, and for some interesting cases which he has placed at my disposal, as well as for the readiness with which he has on several occasions aided me by his intimate knowledge of structure.

To Dr. Inman, of Liverpool, and to Dr. James Russel, of Birmingham, my former pupils, I am likewise indebted for some valuable cases which they have been kind enough to send me.

This account of the opportunities I have had of studying the diseases of the liver, and of the great assistance I have derived from others, will, I fear, lead the reader to expect more information in the following pages than he will find. To prevent disappointment, it is right, therefore, that I should add that while I was in office at the Dreadnought, many opportunities were turned to little profit, from the ignorance which then prevailed as to the real structure of the secreting element of the liver ; and that, since, many have been quite lost from my time and attention having been absorbed in the business of teaching. It is hoped, however, that with all its imperfections, of which no one can be more sensible than myself, the work will contribute to render the diagnosis of diseases of the liver more certain, and their treatment, therefore, more rational and satisfactory.

Dover Street, June, 1845.

CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTION.

	Page
Vagueness of our knowledge of Liver diseases—Structure of the liver—Cause of the variations in its form, size, and colour—Physical qualities and composition of the bile—Sources and uses of the bile—Cholagogue medicines	1

CHAPTER I.

ON CONGESTION OF THE LIVER.

Congestion of the liver from impediment to the flow of blood through the lungs or heart—Effects of this—Congestion from other causes—Portal-venous congestion.	38
--	----

CHAPTER II.

ON THE INFLAMMATORY DISEASES OF THE LIVER.

SECTION I. General remarks on the classification of inflammatory diseases of the liver—Suppurative inflammation, and abscess, of the liver	46
SECTION II. Gangrenous inflammation—Appearances sometimes mistaken for gangrene—Circumstances in which gangrene of the liver really occurs	96
SECTION III. Adhesive inflammation of the capsule and of the substance of the liver—Cirrhosis—Other forms of inflammation of the substance of the liver	105

	Page
SECTION IV. Inflammation of the veins of the liver—Suppurative inflammation of the portal vein—Adhesive inflammation of branches of the portal vein—Inflammation of branches of the hepatic vein . . .	136
SECTION V. Inflammation of the gall-bladder and ducts—Catarrhal and suppurative inflammation—Croupal, or plastic, inflammation—Ulcerative inflammation—Effects of inflammation of the gall-bladder and ducts—Fatty degeneration of the coats of the gall-bladder . . .	149

CHAPTER III.

ON DISEASES WHICH RESULT FROM FAULTY NUTRITION OF THE LIVER, OR FAULTY SECRETION.

SECTION I. Softening of the liver—Destruction of the hepatic cells—Suppressed secretion of bile—Fatal jaundice	196
SECTION II. Fatty degeneration of the liver—Partial deposit of fat in the liver—Waxy liver—Appearances caused by deficiency of fat in the liver	227
SECTION III. Scrofulous enlargement of the liver, and other kindred states	246
SECTION IV. Excessive and defective secretion of bile—Unhealthy states of the bile	256
SECTION V. Gall-stones	272

CHAPTER IV.

ON DISEASES WHICH RESULT FROM SOME GROWTH FOREIGN TO THE NATURAL STRUCTURE.


SECTION I. Cancer of the liver—Origin of cancerous tumors of the liver—Their growth, dissemination, and effects—Encysted, knotty tubera of the liver	299
SECTION II. Hydatid tumors of the liver	336

CHAPTER V.

ON JAUNDICE.	372
----------------------	-----

APPENDIX.

The liver-fluke—Its effects on sheep and other graminivorous animals—Flukes found in the gall-ducts, in the duodenum, and in branches of the portal vein, in man	389
--	-----



1




2



3







3



4



EXPLANATION OF THE PLATES.

PLATE I.—GALL-STONES.

THE drawings for these plates were all taken from preparations in the museum of King's College, to which reference is made.

FIG. 1—Represents small, irregular gall-stones, composed of inspissated and altered bile, cemented by mucus. From a dry preparation, (No. 263,) which exhibits 279 gall-stones, all of this kind, in the bladder in which they were found. The bladder is enlarged, but its coats seem not to have been thickened.

FIG. 2—Represents a section of a large calculus, composed almost entirely of cholesterine. It existed alone in the gall-bladder, and weighed three drachms. (Prep. 264.)

FIG. 3—Sections of two gall-stones from the same bladder, composed chiefly of cholesterine, stained by the colouring matters of bile. There were three other gall-stones, precisely of the same kind, in the bladder. (Prep. 280.)

FIG. 4—Three calculi from the same bladder, two of them sawn through to show their structure. The bladder contained a great number of calculi (some have been lost, and thirty-two are still left in the preparation) of the same kind; all of them having a crust of pure cholesterine, and all those of which a section has been made, having a hollow in the centre. (Prep. 284.)

PLATE II.—GALL-STONES.

FIG. 1—Sections of two gall-stones of peculiar structure, from the gall-bladder of a woman who died in King's College Hospital, of cancer of the

liver, at the age of 51. The bladder was somewhat contracted at its middle, so as to form two pouches in which the stones were contained ; and its coats were much thickened. (Prep. 279.)

FIG. 2—Gall-bladder and cystic duct containing calculi. The calculi have all a crust of pure cholesterine. (Prep. 269.)

FIG. 3—Gall-bladder filled with calculi, which have all a crust of pure cholesterine. From a man, 64 years of age, who died in King's College Hospital, of softening of the brain. No disease of the liver was suspected. (Prep. 261.)

ON DISEASES OF THE LIVER.

INTRODUCTION.

Vagueness of our knowledge of Liver Diseases.—Structure of the Liver—Cause of the variations in its form, size, and colour.—Physical qualities and composition of the bile.—Source and uses of the bile.—Cholagogue medicines.

IN writing a book on Diseases of the Liver, I shall hardly be accused of having undertaken a needless task. There are no other diseases of such frequent occurrence, which it is so difficult to discriminate, and for the treatment of which the medical practitioner has so few trustworthy guides. There is, again, no class of diseases at all equal to this in importance, on which so few treatises have lately been written.

Diseases of the liver occupied a much larger space in the medical literature of former times than they do in that of our own. Before the functions of the liver had been much investigated, and before its intimate structure was known, physicians saw, in the large size of this organ, in its existence in animals differing widely in organisation and habits, and in the obvious relation of its secretion to the process of digestion, sufficient evidence of its great importance in the animal economy, and of the serious consequences that must result from derangement of its functions.

This evidence has been enhanced and extended by the more explicit results of modern inquiry. Guided by the comparatively recent discovery, that a gland may be regarded as consisting essentially of a net-work of capillaries investing a secretory duct, anatomists have found a liver, in the form of cœcal tubes

opening into the intestinal canal, in almost the lowest animals, and have thus furnished the surest testimony that can be given to the importance of an organ ; namely, its all but universal presence in the animal kingdom.

Notwithstanding this, while the press has been teeming with works on the diseases of the Nervous System, of the Chest, of the Kidney, of the Skin, comparatively few have appeared of late years, on diseases of the Liver. This, assuredly, is not owing to any falling off in our sense of their importance, but to the vague and unsatisfactory state of our knowledge respecting them.

The scientific precision lately given to our knowledge of many other diseases by the employment of new methods of investigation, has created a demand for more exact information, in every branch of medical inquiry, than pathologists have been able to communicate on diseases of the liver.

The unsatisfactory state of our knowledge of these diseases will scarcely be wondered at, if we reflect that many causes have conspired to render the study of them peculiarly difficult.

One of the most influential, perhaps, is, that the colour and texture of the liver are such as to make it difficult, with the imperfect means of research hitherto employed, to detect and define, in the dead body, the various effects of disease, unless where this has gone on to disorganisation, or complete change of structure.

In an organ whose texture is spongy, as the lung, disease produces such striking changes, that we can at once distinguish their different forms, and thus learn to connect them with the symptoms observed during life ; but in organs naturally solid, and also nearly of the colour of blood, as the liver and the kidney, these changes, and especially the traces of the various kinds of congestion and inflammation, are far less obvious, and to detect and discriminate them, requires a knowledge of intimate structure which has only lately been obtained, and, even with that knowledge, a very close and minute inspection.

In the case of the kidney, the impediment which these conditions offer to the morbid anatomist is well illustrated by the fact, that a disease so common and so fatal as granular degeneration of this organ, and signalized during life by such marked symptoms as general dropsy and albuminous urine, has been left to immortalize, by its discovery, the name of a living physician ; and that even now, notwithstanding the interest it has excited for

seventeen years, and the attention given to it by the best anatomists of this and other countries, the real nature of the morbid change in which it essentially consists, is a matter of doubt.

Another circumstance unfavourable to the study of diseases of the liver is, that we can obtain but little direct evidence of its physical condition during life.

When the *lungs* are the seat of disease, we may discover by the sense of hearing, whether any portion of them near the surface contain the natural quantity of air, or whether this, in whole or in part, be displaced by some denser matter; whether the surface of the pleura be roughened by fibrine, or its sac distended by fluid; whether the bronchial tubes be free, or more or less choked by secretions.

If the *heart* be the organ affected, we may not only trace its outline and estimate the strength of its ventricles, but, by the same sense, penetrate its interior, and ascertain the condition of its valves. The whole physical structure of the organ is, as it were, laid open to us.

We have it in our power indeed to explore the liver by *touch* and by *percussion*, but we cannot, by these means, penetrate its surface, and discover changes in its consistence and texture. They only enable us, in some cases, to trace its outline, to discover any striking inequalities of its surface, and to form a tolerable estimate of its bulk. This, indeed, is valuable information, and more than we can learn of the kidneys by similar means. But in investigating the diseases of the latter, we have the more than equivalent advantage, that, day by day, we can measure the quantity, and ascertain the composition, of the urine secreted: that is, we can tell precisely the manner in which their functions are performed.

The secretions of the liver, on the contrary, cannot be collected and analysed during the life of the patient; indeed, until lately, they could scarcely be analysed at all, as the most celebrated chemists were not even agreed as to what are the normal constituents of bile.

Thus, to detect and distinguish the diseases of the liver, practitioners had little more than the signs of functional disturbance;—signs, in all cases of doubtful import, and here, if we except that of jaundice, more than commonly obscure and equivocal. We cannot, then, feel surprised that our knowledge of these diseases should be more imperfect, our diagnosis of them less sure, and our treatment, consequently, more tentative and em-

pirical, than of the diseases of any other organ of equal importance.

Very recently, two of the impediments to the study of diseases of the liver have been in some degree removed. By the researches of chemists we have obtained more precise knowledge of the composition and uses of bile; and by the labours of Kiernan and Bowman in this country, and of Müller and Henle in Germany, we have been taught the intimate structure of the organ; so that now, by the naked eye or the microscope, we can distinguish the various changes of its texture produced by disease.

It is impossible to explain or understand the morbid appearances of the liver, without referring to its intimate structure, and as some points relating to this have been only lately made out, I shall commence with a short account of it.

Perhaps the best way to get an idea of the structure of the liver, is to examine under the microscope,


1st. A thin slice of liver, in which the portal and hepatic veins are thoroughly injected.

2nd. A small particle taken from the lobular substance of a fresh liver in which the blood-vessels are empty, as in an animal killed by bleeding.

From the first specimen we may learn the distribution of the minute portal and hepatic veins, and the intermediate capillaries. The annexed woodcut (fig. 1.) has been made from a portion of the liver of a frog, which I selected from the numerous specimens of injected liver made by Mr. Bowman. It represents on a magnified scale, a small branch of the hepatic vein, two or three small branches of the portal vein, and the intermediate capillaries. It appears that the capillaries have nearly the same relation to the branches of the portal vein, as they have to those of the hepatic vein. It is difficult from this specimen to tell which branch is portal, which hepatic; the smaller branches of both being, as it were, hairy with capillaries springing directly from them on every side and forming a close and continuous network.

By conceiving similar views of the branches of the two veins and their intermediate capillaries, obtained by slicing the liver in various directions, we shall perceive that the entire organ, abstracting the canals in which the trunk and branches of those veins run, is occupied by a close network of capillary blood-vessels, con-

FIG. 1.



a a, twigs of the portal vein ; *d*, twig of the hepatic vein ; *b*, intermediate capillaries.

tinuous in every direction throughout its substance. The capillary vessels of this network immediately minister to the secretion of bile. The vessels of larger size serve merely to convey the blood to them, or carry it from them.

These capillaries are of comparatively large size, being always one-third wider than the diameter of the blood-globule, and sometimes nearly twice as wide, and their coats, which have no areolar tissue about them, appear very thin and delicate.*

But although the capillaries form a continuous network throughout the substance of the liver, no part of the portal blood traverses the entire network. The whole mass of capillaries is divided by the minute branches and twigs of the portal vein into small, tolerably defined masses ; and is likewise partitioned in a similar way, by the minute branches and twigs of the hepatic

* See an admirable article on *Mucous Membrane*, by Mr. Bowman, in Todd's Cyclopædia of Anatomy and Physiology, in which several points in the minute structure of the liver noticed in this chapter, were first published.


vein, which are intermediate to, or, as it were, dovetailed with, the branches and twigs of the portal vein. In effect of this, the blood conveyed through any branch of the portal vein to a small mass of capillaries, having performed its part in secretion and been drained of the principles of bile, passes out of the liver through an intermediate or adjacent branch of the hepatic vein, so that the entire mass of capillaries is duly supplied with fresh portal, or biliary, blood.

In tracing even large branches of the portal and hepatic veins, we see that they generally run transversely, or that the directions of the two orders of vessels cross each other.

In consequence of this arrangement of the minute vessels, if we cut into a liver in which, as is usual after death, the branches and twigs of the hepatic vein and the capillaries immediately terminating in them, are full of blood, while the branches and twigs of the portal vein and the capillaries immediately springing from them are empty, the cut surface will be mapped out into small, tolerably equal, and somewhat pentangular, spaces, having the outline, formed by the portal twigs, pale, and the centre, into which a twig of the hepatic vein enters, red. The small masses of which these pentagonal spaces are sections, have been termed *lobules* of the liver. They have been described by Malpighi, Kiernan, Müller, and others, as isolated from each other, and each invested by a layer of areolar, or, as it used to be named, *cellular*, tissue. The injected preparations of Mr. Bowman show, I think, clearly, that this opinion is erroneous—that the lobules are not distinct, isolated bodies, but merely small masses tolerably defined by the ultimate twigs of the portal vein and the injected or uninjected capillaries immediately contiguous to them. The ultimate twigs of the vein are, as it were, hairy with capillaries, springing directly from them on every side and forming a close and continuous network. The lobules appear distinct isolated bodies only when seen by too low a power clearly to distinguish the capillaries.

The real nature of the lobules and the manner in which they are formed, will perhaps be better understood by reference to the annexed woodcut, (fig. 2,) for which I am indebted to the kindness of Mr. Bowman. It represents on a magnified scale six lobules of the liver, and was made from a drawing under the microscope, of a section of the liver of a cat, partially injected through the portal vein, and also through the hepatic vein; *a a a*

FIG. 2.



represent minute twigs of the portal vein injected; *b b b*, capillaries, likewise injected, immediately springing from them, and serving with them to mark the outline of the lobules; *d d d*, capillaries in the centre of the lobules, injected through the hepatic vein; *e e*, places at which the size injected into the portal vein has met that injected into the hepatic vein, so that all the intermediate capillaries are coloured and conspicuous; *f f*, centres of lobules into which the injection has not passed through the hepatic vein.


Since the capillary network of the liver has nearly the same relation to the minute branches and twigs of the hepatic vein, as to the minute branches and twigs of the portal vein, we might have anticipated that a similar appearance of lobules might be formed; the circumference of each being marked by twigs of the *hepatic* vein and the capillaries immediately surrounding them, and the centre by a twig of the *portal* vein. This appearance is seen in what has been called, by Mr. Kiernan, the second stage of hepatic venous congestion.

When the portal vessels are empty, and only the hepatic twigs and the capillaries immediately contiguous to them are filled with blood, there is an appearance of lobules, having a pale outline formed by the terminal twigs of the portal vein. The centres of the lobules appear as small, isolated, red spots.

If the injection extend from the twigs of the hepatic vein into the capillaries, but be not continued quite far enough to reach the twigs of the portal vein, all the capillaries of the lobular substance will be injected, except those immediately springing from the portal twigs, and a section of the liver will present small, isolated, pale spots, where the uninjected twigs of the vena porta are divided. These spots being surrounded by a red band continuous throughout the liver, gives rise to an appearance of lobules just like those formed by injecting the twigs and capillaries of the vena porta, so as not to fill those of the hepatic vein.

It has been stated that the capillaries have the same relation to the small branches and twigs of the hepatic vein, as to those of the portal vein. This statement, however, requires some qualification. The branches of the portal vein are each accompanied to their

FIG. 3




Longitudinal section of a small portal vein and canal. P, the portal vein; A D, the accompanying artery and duct; *a a*, portions of the canal from which the vein has been removed; *b*, orifices of ultimate twigs of the vein, springing immediately from it.

smallest twigs by a branch of the hepatic artery, and one of the hepatic duct. These vessels, which are very much smaller than the corresponding portal vein, run up (as seen in fig. 3*) on one side of it, and of course on that side the capillaries cannot spring so immediately from the venous trunk; in other words, the lobules are not in such immediate contact with the vein. The capillaries terminate in twigs which go to the vein through the space which the presence of the artery and duct necessarily interposes between the lobules and the vein.

The artery and duct are also liable to changes in volume, which is permitted by some areolar tissue being placed in the portal canals, surrounding the artery and duct, and continued in a thin

FIG. 4.



H, longitudinal section of an hepatic vein. *a, a*, portions of the canal, from which the vein has been removed; *b, b*, orifices of ultimate twigs of the vein, formed by the capillaries of single lobules.

* This figure and the two following ones, are copied from the admirable paper on the Liver in the Transactions of the Royal Society for 1833, by Mr. Kiernan, to whom we are in great part indebted for the exact knowledge we now have of the distribution of blood-vessels in the liver, and of many other points of its structure.

layer round the branches of the portal vein itself. This layer separates by a small space the lobules from the branches of the vein, and makes the coats of the latter appear thicker than those of the hepatic veins, and their outline more distinct; and also allows them to collapse when empty.

The hepatic veins are not accompanied by any other vessels, and are not surrounded by areolar tissue, and, in consequence, are everywhere in immediate contact with lobules. In the small branches the coats are thin and transparent, and capillaries, or the ultimate twigs formed from the capillaries, enter them directly on every side. In the larger branches, the coats are thicker and opaque, and the ultimate twigs unite to form larger twigs before they enter the vein. This is shown in figure 4, copied on a smaller scale from one by Mr. Kiernan.

To complete our view of the blood-vessels of the liver, we must consider the hepatic artery.


We have already seen that a branch of the artery accompanies each branch of the portal vein and hepatic duct. It has been shown by Mr. Kiernan, that the hepatic artery is distributed to, and nourishes, the coats of the gall-bladder and ducts, the ligaments of the liver, its capsule, and the coats of the portal and hepatic veins; and that the blood conveyed to all these parts by the artery passes into veins which terminate in branches of the portal vein, and ministers to the secretion of bile, like blood returned from the other abdominal viscera.* These veins, which originate in the liver, and feed the porta with the blood brought by the hepatic artery, constitute what Mr. Kiernan has called the *hepatic origin* of the portal vein. No arteries enter the lobules of the liver.

The blood brought by the hepatic artery is distributed chiefly to the ducts. Mr. Kiernan remarked, that "When the arteries are well-injected, the larger ducts from the extreme vascularity of their coats, may be mistaken for injected arteries, whilst in the coats of the vein, no vessels will be detected without the aid of the magnifying glass." The blood of the hepatic artery, not only nourishes the coats of the excretory portion of the ducts, but furnishes the materials of their proper secretion.

* It appears from some injections by Mr. Bowman, that some of the arterial capillaries of the capsule return their blood, not into a branch of the portal vein, but immediately into the adjacent capillary plexus of the portal vein.

The ducts, as we have seen, accompany the arteries in the portal canals. Each portal vein, however small, has an artery and a duct running along it. The coats of the duct are supplied with blood entirely through the hepatic artery, which forms a close network over the mucous membrane. In the large ducts, and in the gall-bladder, the mucous membrane is thrown into folds. The inner surface of the ducts presents besides a great number of follicles, which in the large ducts are distributed irregularly, but in the small ones are ranged in two lines on opposite sides of the canal.

FIG. 5.



Section of a small gall-duct, showing the follicles.

Having obtained a conception of the distribution of vessels in the liver, we may next consider the other elements of its structure.

This is, perhaps, best done by examining under the microscope a small particle taken from the lobular substance of a fresh liver, empty of blood and uninjected.

In such a specimen, all we see under the microscope is a mass of nucleated cells, with, here and there, a fibre from one of the torn vessels.


The cells are flattened, irregular in form, but somewhat spheroidal, and have each a nucleus, which again contains a central pellucid spot, the nucleolus. Some cells have two nuclei.

The cells are of various sizes. The largest are usually about the one-thousandth of an inch in diameter. Others are very much smaller, as if not yet fully developed. In some livers the cells, generally, are smaller than in others.

The cells contain oil-globules and amorphous granular matter. Their colour and transparency depend on the

colour and quantity of the matter they contain, which vary very much in different cases. They are usually of a light brown and

FIG. 6.



Nucleated cells of the liver ; *a*, the nucleus ; *b*, the nucleolus ; *c*, fat-globules ; *d*, cells of small size, detached.

almost transparent, but in some subjects we find them yellowish and opaque.


If, while looking at this mass of nucleated cells, we imagine the delicate and now invisible capillaries to be filled with blood, or coloured size, and thus rendered conspicuous, we shall perceive, that the whole liver, excluding the canals in which the portal and hepatic veins run, is a solid plexus of capillary blood-vessels, the meshes of which are filled with nucleated cells.

The mucous membrane of the gall-bladder and ducts, like the excreting ducts of other glands, in fact, like all mucous membranes and the skin itself, is composed, as Mr. Bowman has shown, of an extremely thin, transparent membrane, without pores or visible structure, whose external or secreting surface, is coated with nucleated cells. These cells, by their apposition and union, form a kind of pavement on the transparent membrane, which, serving as their basis of support, has for this reason been named by Mr. Bowman the *basement-membrane*.*

But although mucous membranes are alike in structure, they being all composed of a basement-membrane, *paved*, if we may so express it, with nucleated cells, yet the cells differ much in form and appearance, in different situations.

In the tubuli of the human kidney, the cells, like those of the substance of the liver, are spheroidal. In the gall-bladder and

FIG. 7.



Nucleated cells of the gall-bladder, as seen under a high power; *a*, pavement formed by the union and apposition of the cells; *b*, side-view of four cells; *c*, the basement-membrane; *d*, a detached cell.

* For ample details on this point I may refer the reader to the article Mucous Membrane, in Todd's Cyclopædia.

ducts, as on the villi of the small intestine, the cells have the form of prisms.

If the gall-bladder be bruised a little, a portion of the bile taken from it exhibits under the microscope hundreds of these prismatic cells. The opaque mucus we sometimes find in an inflamed gall-duct is almost made up of similar cells, which in the small ducts are very long and tapering.

There can be no doubt that the cells lining the gall ducts are continuous with the nucleated cells in the meshes of the capillary network of the liver, but the basement-membrane has not been traced beyond the ducts ; and, at present, we do not know how the ducts terminate. They cannot be traced into the lobules of the liver. Mr. Kiernan has indeed given a figure of what he calls the *lobular biliary plexus*, in which the bile-duct is continued into the lobule, forming there a plexus which interlaces with the plexus of capillary vessels. But he means the figure to be a diagram only. He confesses that no such view of the ducts can be obtained. All that has been actually observed of the arrangement of the cells within the lobule, is what has been observed by Mr. Bowman, that the cells have in some measure a radiating arrangement from the central axis towards the circumference, or towards certain parts of the circumference ; so that, when a lobule is torn up for examination under the microscope the cells are apt to form a linear series.*

The researches of Purkinje, Henle, Bowman, and Goodsir, leave no doubt that the nucleated cells are the immediate agents of secretion.

It is not in the liver only that the cells perform this office, for it seems established as a general law, and it is certainly one of the highest and most interesting which the study of minute structure has yet disclosed—that all true secretion, whether in animals or in plants, is effected by the agency of cells ; that, “however

* Professor Weber and Dr. Krukenberg, in two papers recently published in Müller's “Archiv.,” maintain the opinion advanced by Mr. Kiernan, that the bile-duct is continued into the lobule, forming there a plexus interwoven with the plexus of capillary blood-vessels. They state that this lobular biliary plexus has been seen by them in the injected liver of the frog. Both these anatomists assent to the opinion advanced by Mr. Bowman, and maintained in this chapter, that the lobules of the liver are not isolated from each other, as was formerly supposed, by an investment of areolar tissue.

complex the structure of the secreting organ, these nucleated cells are its really operative part." In each secreting organ, the secreting cells have a peculiar power to form, or to withdraw from the blood, the secretion proper to the part.


In such of the glands of animals as have excreting ducts, the nucleated cells withdraw from the blood the peculiar principles of the secretions, which they elaborate more or less, and then, in one way or another, whether by bursting, or dissolving, or by some unknown mode, discharge them through the excreting ducts.

The evidence of this is, perhaps, as clear in the liver as in any of the glands.

On examining the nucleated cells of the liver under the microscope, we see that most of them inclose small spheroidal globules, which are recognised by their dark outline, or high refractive power, to be globules of oil or fat.

In ordinary livers these oil or fat globules are small, and few in number; but in the fatty condition of the liver, so often found in persons dead of phthisis, and in that induced by keeping animals exclusively on fatty substances, they are so large and numerous as to distend the cells to double their natural size, and consequently to cause a great increase in the volume of the liver.*

FIG. 8.



Nucleated cells, from a liver in a state of fatty degeneration: *a*, nucleus; *b*, nucleolus; *c, c, c*, fatty globules. (Bowman.)

From the high refracting power of oil globules we have, then, ocular proof that fatty matters taken into the system in too great quantity pass from the blood into the nucleated cells of the liver. There can be no doubt that they pass, either bodily or more or less changed, from these cells into the excreting ducts.

Most of the peculiar principles of bile are allied to fat, in containing a large proportion of hydrogen and carbon, and are, no doubt, eliminated in this way; namely, by passing from the blood into the nucleated cells, and on the bursting or breaking down of

* See *Lancet*, January, 1842.

these, becoming discharged through the excreting ducts, so as to form the matter of secretion.

Direct ocular proof may also be often obtained that the colouring matters of the bile are contained in the nucleated cells. Henle, in his recent edition of *Sœmmering*, describes the nucleated cells of the liver as appearing yellowish or yellowish-brown in direct light, and as probably containing the colouring matter of bile; but Mr. Gulliver was, I believe, the first who distinctly observed the colouring matter of bile in the nucleated cells.

In the livers of two persons who died jaundiced, he found an unusual quantity of this biliary colouring matter, which was collected chiefly round the nuclei, but was also scattered throughout the cells. In some cells it was in such quantity as to render them nearly opaque.*

I have repeatedly observed the same thing. Indeed the colouring matter of the bile can always be seen in the cells taken from the roundish yellow masses in *Cirrhosis*, or from any portion of a liver which has a well-marked yellow or green tint. The colouring matter in the cells presents exactly the same appearance under the microscope as the colouring matter in the bile.

Mr. Goodsir has given a long list of animals, in which he observed in the cells of the liver, or of cæcal tubes supplying the place of a liver, matter of an amber tint, or of various shades of brown, according to the animal examined, but in each having nearly the colour of the bile.

We can hardly have more convincing proof that, in the liver, these cells are the real agents of secretion.

Mr. Goodsir supposes that the secretion is effected by the outer cell membrane, and that the nucleus is the reproductive organ of the cell.

I have already alluded to the areolar tissue of the liver. This, which serves to protect the essential elements of the organ is, in man, spread in a dense layer over its surface, forming the proper capsule of the liver, and is continued into its interior in the portal canals. It is in greatest quantity on that side of the portal vein on which the duct and artery run, but a thin layer of it com-

* This statement, and the quotation above, are taken from an admirable essay "On the Origin and Functions of Cells," by Dr. W. Carpenter, published in the twenty-eighth number of the *British and Foreign Medical Review*.

pletely invests the branches—at least all the considerable branches—of the vein. It cannot be traced further than the ultimate twigs of the artery and duct, and seems not to enter the capillary network.

To make up the rest of the organ there remain the lymphatic vessels and the nerves.

The superficial lymphatics ramify in the proper capsule of the liver. Mr. Kiernan states that after injecting these vessels in the human liver, the peritoneal coat may be removed without injuring them; or the peritoneal coat may be first removed, and the absorbents afterwards injected.

They are spread over the whole surface of the liver. Those on the convex surface unite to form branches, some of which run to the lymphatic glands around the inferior cava; others pass through the diaphragm to the posterior or anterior mediastinal glands. The lymphatics on the concave surface of the liver also take different courses: those on the right lobe run to the lumbar glands; those on the left lobe, to the glands situated along the lesser curve of the stomach.*

The deep-seated lymphatics of the liver ramify in the portal canals, beyond which they have not been traced. No vessels of this kind accompany the hepatic veins. They seem to be very closely connected with the ducts. If the ducts be injected, bile and the matter of injection are frequently forced into the lymphatics. About the gall-bladder, too, the lymphatic vessels are very numerous and large.

The lymphatics of the gall-bladder pass to the glands in the right border of the lesser omentum; those from the portal canals to the glands situated in the course of the hepatic artery and along the lesser curve of the stomach.

The nerves (derived from the hepatic plexus) likewise accompany the arteries and ducts in the portal canals, but little is known of their distribution.

A knowledge of the structure of the liver enables us to explain the variations so often met with in the size, and form, and texture, of the liver, as well as the various shades of colour of which it is susceptible, and which have so taxed the descriptive powers of morbid anatomists.

The mass of the liver is, as we have seen, made up of a plexus

* Wilson. Anatomist's Vade-Mecum, p. 361.

of capillary blood-vessels, the meshes of which are filled with nucleated cells containing the peculiar principles of the biliary secretion.

The *size* of the liver will, of course, vary in some measure with the degree of congestion or quantity of blood in the capillaries; but it depends much more on the number and volume of the cells. If, as in the fatty degeneration of the liver, the cells are distended with oil-globules, the lobules of the liver are large and unusually distinct, and the liver much increased in size and thickened. If, on the contrary, the cells be few and small, the lobules will be small, and the lobular structure distinguished with difficulty, unless different portions of the lobules be differently coloured by partial injection of the capillaries; and the whole liver will be small and thin, or, as it were, flattened.

The size of the liver may also be increased by the interstitial deposit of the various products of inflammation; by dilatation of the ducts; and by the growth of cancerous or other tumors. But independently of conditions affecting its structure, the liver may be much altered in form by external pressure. By tight lacing, for instance, the length of the liver from above downwards is often much increased, and its lower portion flattened. The portion of liver above an aneurysmal tumor may also be very much flattened, without any marked change of structure. Flatulent distension of the large intestine even, if long continued, may much alter its outward form.*

The *firmness* of the liver varies, not only with the firmness of the capillary vessels, the quantity of blood they contain, and the proportion of fibrine in the blood, but also in some measure with the state of the cells. When the cells are distended with oil, the liver is unusually soft, unless it contain newly-formed fibrous tissue, the result of interstitial deposit of coagulable lymph. When the liver is unnaturally firm and dense, it is generally from the presence of new fibrous tissue formed in this way.

* A short time ago, I met with a remarkable instance of this in a man who died after having been paraplegic many months, in consequence of disease of the dorsal vertebræ. The large intestine, which had been greatly distended with gas from the commencement of the paraplegia, was found of very large size, and lodged in a deep groove which it had formed in the liver. A cast of the liver was taken, which is now in the museum of King's College.

The *colour* of the liver depends on the quantity of blood in the capillary vessels, and on the quantity of oil and of biliary colouring matter in the cells.

The tint due to the blood varies from pale to a deep venous red, according to the empty or congested state of the capillaries; that due to the cells from a light fawn to a deep olive, according to the quantity of oil globules and biliary colouring matter they contain. The actual tint of the liver is the combined effect of the tints due to the vessels and the cells singly.

In persons who have died from hemorrhage from the stomach or intestines, or from chronic dysentery, or in great general anemia, as in the advanced stage of granular kidney, the liver is always found very anemic, and its colour depends almost entirely on the state of the cells. In portions of liver of an orange or green tint, the colouring matter on which this tint depends, may always be seen in the cells.

In effect of partial injection of the capillaries, the liver, after death, generally presents two colours—a yellowish colour and a red—the former belonging to the uninjected portion, the latter to the injected portion, of each lobule. This gave rise to the notion which, until the researches of Mr. Kiernan, was held by all anatomists, that there are two substances in the liver, a yellow substance and a red, which were supposed to constitute the medullary and cortical part of each lobule. It was Mr. Kiernan who first showed conclusively, that the mottled appearance so frequently observed in the liver, is owing to part only of its blood-vessels being full of blood; and that in the great majority of cases in which it presents this appearance, the hepatic veins and the capillaries that terminate in them are the full vessels; the portal veins and the capillaries that spring from them, the empty ones.

Having examined the structure of the liver, we may next consider the composition and uses of the bile.

We have seen that the nucleated cells in the lobules of the liver withdraw from the blood the principles of their secretion, which they probably elaborate in some degree, and then discharge into the ducts. In its passage through the ducts the matter secreted by the lobules becomes mixed with that secreted by the ducts themselves, which, if we may judge from the large quantity of blood the ducts derive from the hepatic artery and the numerous involutions of their mucous membrane, must be considerable in

quantity. Secretion is always going on, both in the lobules and in the ducts, and the compound fluid derived from these two sources probably passes continuously along the ducts as far as the junction of the hepatic duct with the cystic.

When the stomach and duodenum are empty, part only of the bile flows along the common duct into the duodenum; the remainder passes down the cystic duct into the gall-bladder.

During digestion, on the contrary, the gall-bladder contracts, and part of the bile accumulated in it, together with all that brought by the hepatic duct, is poured into the duodenum.*

In the gall-bladder, the bile loses, by absorption, some of its more watery parts, and is further modified by the addition of the proper secretion of this cavity. After death, if it be not soon removed from the body, it becomes still further altered. Its more liquid part continues to pass out, giving a greenish stain to the tissues in contact with the gall-bladder, while the serum of the blood and the gaseous and liquid contents of the intestines pass in the opposite direction through the coats of the vessels and intestines and gall-bladder, and become mixed with the bile.

The bile in the gall-bladder is of a greenish-yellow colour, which varies much in depth, according to the composition of the bile itself and its degree of concentration. If much diluted or thinly spread over a white surface, its colour is yellowish, but if concentrated and seen in mass, it is of a dark green or olive, sometimes approaching to black. It has been described as having a peculiar sickly odour, somewhat like that of melted fat, but the odour of healthy human bile, when fresh and not mixed with intestinal gases, is scarcely perceptible. Bile has a nauseous bitter taste, which leaves behind it a smack of sweetness. It is more or less viscid, has an unctuous feel, and in many of its physical properties has great analogy with soaps. It combines readily with water in any proportion, mixes freely with oil or fat, and foams, when stirred, like soapy water; and is, indeed, in common use in the same way for cleaning articles of dress, and especially for taking out grease. It will be seen, hereafter, that these properties are probably closely related to one of the physiological uses of the bile. When evaporated, it leaves inspissated mucus,

* Bouisson—De la bile et de ses variétés physiologiques, et de ses alterations morbides. Paris. 1843.

and a variable proportion of a yellowish-green matter, which is very bitter, and which dissolves almost completely in water and alcohol. Bile is heavier than water, but its density varies much according to its composition and degree of concentration. That from the gall-bladder of the ox has usually a specific gravity between 1.026 and 1.030. Cystic bile has been generally supposed to have an alkaline reaction, but M. Bouisson and Dr. Kemp, who have lately made observations on this point, state that when fresh and perfectly healthy, it is neutral. The effects of bile on test-papers are difficult to appreciate on account of the yellow stain it gives them.

Under the microscope, bile, if diluted, gives a yellow stain to the glass, but presents no definite objects. If, on the contrary, it be dark coloured and concentrated, it shows amorphous particles of yellowish-green matter, which is usually collected into small roundish masses, and is the matter obtained by evaporating the bile.* In addition to this, a few prismatic cells from the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder may be seen.

Perfectly healthy bile presents, perhaps, no other objects, but, now and then, some oil-globules, or small plates of cholesterine, are seen besides. The oil-globules are, probably, usually derived from the lobules of the liver. The plates of cholesterine are, it would seem, generally, if not always, formed in chief part in the gall-bladder, in consequence of disease of its coats. When the coats of the gall-bladder are, as it is termed, ossified, or when the mucous coat is much thickened or otherwise altered in structure, the bile in the gall-bladder generally contains visible scales of cholesterine. The bile in the hepatic ducts is less viscid, and much less bitter, than that in the gall-bladder, and is usually of a bright yellow, even when that in the gall-bladder is dark green or olive-coloured. Under the microscope, it gives a light yellow tinge to the glass, and presents some prismatic cells, but seldom any other object. In the numerous specimens of bile taken from the hepatic ducts that I have examined, I have never seen plates of cholesterine. The darker colour, and bitterer taste, of cystic bile are, no doubt, mainly owing to its greater concentration. In persons who have fasted some time before death, the bile in the gall-bladder is usually very viscid and dark-coloured.

There are probably more important differences between cystic

* See Bouisson, *op. cit.*, p. 16.

and hepatic bile than those which result from different degrees of concentration, but little is known on this point. It is very difficult to collect bile from the hepatic ducts in quantity enough for a complete analysis, and consequently chemists, in their study of this fluid, have confined themselves almost exclusively to bile taken from the gall-bladder. Most chemists, indeed, have been content with bile from the gall-bladder of the ox, which can be more readily got in a healthy state, and can be obtained in larger quantity than human bile.

Cystic bile contains *water*, the proportion of which of course varies very much according to the time the bile has remained in the gall-bladder, or rather, according to the degree of its concentration. In the often-quoted analysis of bile from the gall-bladder of the ox, by Berzelius, the water amounts to 904.4 parts in 1,000. The quantity of water may be readily ascertained by evaporation.

Bile also contains *mucus*, derived from the gall-bladder and ducts, the quantity of which, like that of the water, varies very much in different specimens. In the ox-bile analyzed by Berzelius, the mucus amounted to 3 parts in 1,000. In human cystic bile, the average proportion of mucus is probably very much larger than this. It may be obtained by adding to bile a sufficient quantity of alcohol, which precipitates the mucus in flakes, while it dissolves the other principles. The mucus may also be precipitated by acetic acid. It is chiefly to this ingredient that bile owes its viscidness. When the mucus is in large quantity, the bile can be drawn out into threads.

Bile likewise contains a considerable proportion of *soda*, and certain organic constituents, to which last it owes its colour and bitterness. The organic constituents are very readily decomposed, and enter into new combinations with the substances employed to separate them. In consequence of this, different chemists, by employing different methods of analysis, have obtained very different results, but all agree that these organic ingredients are allied to fat in composition, and contain a large proportion of carbon.

The principles to which bile owes its colour may be separated from those to which it owes its bitterness. They are entirely removed by filtering bile through animal charcoal, and are also thrown down from solution by precipitates of barytes and other earthy salts. The green colouring matter in the bile of the ox seems closely to resemble, if it be not identical with, the

green-colouring matter of plants. (See Graham's Elements of Chemistry.) *

Most chemists have inferred that the organic constituents of bile are combined in some way with the soda.

M. Demarçay has lately advanced the opinion that these essential principles of bile, abstracting the colouring matters, are in the form of a resinous acid, (called by Liebig *choleic acid*,) which is combined with the soda, forming a substance analogous to soaps. This view of the composition of bile brings us back to the doctrine which, before the elaborate analyses of Thenard and others, was generally held, that the bile is an animal soap, whose base is soda. This doctrine seemed sanctioned by the physical qualities of bile—its solubility in water, its consistence, its ready frothing, the readiness with which it takes up spots of grease or fat—and by the fact, then known, that it contains fatty matter and an alkali.

In addition to these constituents, bile contains a small quantity of chloride of sodium, and most of the other salts found in the blood.

The following is the composition of bile from the gall-bladder of the ox, according to the analysis by Berzelius already referred to :

Water										90·44
Biliary matter, with fat										8·00
Mucus of the gall-bladder										0·30
Osmazome, chloride of sodium, and lactate of soda										0·74
Soda										0·41
Phosphate of soda, phosphate of lime, and traces of a substance insoluble in alcohol										0·11
										100·00

* Many considerations render it probable that the colouring matter of bile is derived from that of the blood. A relation between the two has been long remarked.

Saunders says, "Green and bitter bile being in common to all animals with red blood, and found only in such, renders it probable that there is some relative connexion between this fluid and the colouring matter of the blood, by the red particles contributing more especially to its formation."

Quite recently, Professor Schultz has revived this notion, and dressed it up with much fanciful speculation. He is of opinion that in the liver

In some later researches, Berzelius has separated from his biliary matter a green and a yellow colouring matter, and has given the name of *bilin* to the peculiar principle of bile. Bilin is a soft substance of a light yellow colour, without smell, and having a bitter and at the same time a sweetish taste. It is soluble in water and in alcohol, and when obtained by evaporation from alcohol, reddens litmus paper. It is readily metamorphosed by various agents, and especially by heat and acids.

In the analyses of Demarçay and Liebig, the bilin of Berzelius is represented by choleic acid, which, like those matters, enters very readily into new combinations.

Choleic acid is a compound of nitrogen, and, according to Demarçay, its ultimate composition is as follows: *

Carbon						63.707
Hydrogen						8.821
Nitrogen....						3.255
Oxygen						24.217
						100.000

Most chemists have obtained from bile a small quantity of cholesterine. In certain states of disease, cholesterine exists in large quantity in the bile of the gall-bladder, forming the chief part of most gall-stones, but in healthy bile it is in very small quantity, and in solution. It is not seen under the microscope.

The bile, in man, has been supposed to be ultimately derived from two sources. It is clear enough that, in most circumstances, a large proportion of the proper principles of bile are derived from the waste of the body, and are a product of the metamorphosis of the blood sheds the colouring matter of the effete blood corpuscles, and thus becomes revived.

Bouisson, again, says, "Burdach fait observer, que lorsqu'il se forme du sang rouge dans l'œuf de poule, le jaune fixé au feuillet muqueux acquiert une coloration verdâtre, en sorte qu'il reste démontré qu'il y a coïncidence entre la sanguification et la séparation d'une matière verte."

* In a late No. of Muller's "Archiv.," is a communication from Dr. Platner, of Heidelberg, stating that he has succeeded in obtaining the electro-negative body, which is supposed to be the essential constituent of bile, in a state of crystallization, both pure and in combination with soda. (Muller's "Archiv." Heft. ii. 1844.)

the tissues and of materials stored away in the system. In the carnivora, in the hybernating animal in its winter sleep, and in the fœtus, these materials must be its only source. And under certain conditions, the same must be the case in man also. In protracted abstinence, for example, bile continues to be formed, and often in large quantities. Here, the living tissues gradually waste away, and their materials are discharged in the excretions. The three principal outlets at which they make their appearance, are the liver, the lungs, and the kidney. Nitrogen predominates in the compounds which escape through the last-named organ, while the two former separate principally hydrogen and carbon. But while the liver and lungs have thus much in common, there is this important difference between them; that in the lungs, the hydrogen and carbon pass off *burnt*—that is, in combination with oxygen, as water and carbonic acid,—while, in the liver, they escape uncombined with oxygen, and still combustible. From which it would appear, that the larger the amount of these elements discharged by the lungs as water and carbonic acid, the less, *ceteris paribus*, must remain *unburnt* to form constituents of bile. So that here, we already meet with a fundamental and important relation between the secretion of bile and the great function of respiration. I shall not, however, dilate upon this topic now, as in endeavouring to follow the bile to its final destination, we shall again have to consider relations of a similar kind.

To return from this digression, it appears, then, sufficiently clear, that the proper principles of bile are in great part derived, like those of the urine, from the waste of the tissues. But it seems probable, that in man, and in all animals which live on a mixed diet, those articles of food which are devoid of nitrogen, also contribute to the elements of bile. Liebig, indeed, imagines that, as regards the horse and ox, he has fully established this by means of quantitative analysis, — showing that the bile these animals secrete in a day, contains more carbon than all the albumen, fibrin, and casein of their food (the *protein-elements* of modern chemists) put together; more carbon, therefore, than can be derived from the waste of the tissues which these elements go to repair. And that, consequently, the remainder, at least, must needs be furnished immediately by the food, and by those constituents of it, such as starch and sugar, which contain no

nitrogen. If this be so, there is every reason to presume that these same principles, which form a large and staple ingredient in the food of man, play in him, too, the same part.

But the calculations of Liebig are open to very serious, if not fatal, objections. The calculations are founded on the supposition that a horse or an ox secretes daily thirty-seven pounds of bile, as concentrated as that usually found in the gall-bladder. This would yield about forty ounces of carbon; whereas the animal consumes in the form of vegetable albumen, fibrine, and casein, only about four ounces and a half of nitrogen, which, reckoning from the known composition of these substances, would give not quite sixteen ounces of carbon. The carbon of the bile is, therefore, greater in amount than all the carbon in the protein-elements of the food, in the proportion of 40 to 16. This is the argument. Its weight all depends on the truth of the assumption, that thirty-seven pounds of bile, as concentrated as that usually found in the gall-bladder, are secreted daily—an assumption, which, without much stronger evidence of its truth than we have at present, surely ought not to be made the basis of important doctrines which, confessedly, rest solely on relations of *quantity*. Considering the size of the gall-bladder of the ox, thirty-seven pounds seems an enormous quantity of bile to be secreted in a day, and if the daily secretion should turn out to be only quarter the amount, and few physiologists, we imagine, would rate it nearly so high even as this, the argument falls to the ground.*

It is clear that before we can draw any safe conclusions on this point, or trace the bile to its ultimate destination, by means of quantitative analysis, we must have some estimate of the quantity of bile daily secreted under ordinary circumstances. This must necessarily be one of the starting points in any such inquiry. Many attempts have been made to estimate the quantity of bile

* The hypothesis, that a horse or an ox secretes thirty-seven pounds of bile in a day, has no other foundation than a calculation by Schultz, that, in an ox, it would take as much bile as this to neutralise the acid of the chyme. It is strange that Liebig should have adopted the estimate so unhesitatingly on the authority of Burdach, who not only states this to be the ground of it, but also draws the inference, that if the estimate be correct, and the ox secrete daily ten pounds of saliva, the quantity Schultz supposed to be secreted by the horse, the quantity of the two fluids secreted in a day would together equal the whole mass of the blood! (See Burdach's *Physiologie*, t. vii. p. 439.)

daily secreted by a man in a state of health, but, as might have been expected, the conclusions come to are wide apart, and little confidence can be placed in the greater number of them. Some physiologists, believing the bile to be chiefly excrementitious, and looking to the small size of the gall-bladder and the small quantity of bile ordinarily discharged from the bowels, have estimated it at a very few ounces; while others, regarding the large size of the liver, and believing that most of the bile secreted is again absorbed from the bowel to serve ulterior uses in the body, have rated it, with Burdach and Haller, at from seventeen to twenty-four ounces.

It is clear that the amount of the proper principles of bile secreted in a day must, like that of urinary ingredients, vary widely in different persons, and in the same person under different circumstances. Thus, from what has already been said, it must vary with the activity of respiration, and with the quantity and quality of the food. Probably, too, with the amount of perspiration, or with the quantity of matter thrown off by the skin.

In some circumstances, a quantity of bile, as large as the estimate of Burdach or Haller, may certainly be secreted for a considerable time together. A very interesting case showing this was read to the Medico-Chirurgical Society during the spring of the present year, by Mr. W. R. Barlow, of Writtle, Essex.

A strong, healthy man, a thatcher, fifty-four years of age, injured himself by lifting a heavy ladder, on the 28th of August, 1843. When seen by Mr. Barlow, the same day, he complained of so much pain in the region of the liver that a rupture of that organ was apprehended. He was very faint, in a cold sweat, and the pulse could scarcely be felt. Some brandy and water was given him, and he recovered sufficiently to be taken to his own house, which was about three miles distant. Five grains of calomel and a grain of opium were given him at night, and an ounce of castor oil the following morning, which operated and produced several natural evacuations.

On the 29th he was bled, and continued the calomel and opium, with a dose of saline mixture, every five hours.

On the 30th it was observed that the evacuations from the bowels were white and without bile, while the urine was dark, as in jaundice. Five grains of blue pill were ordered every six hours.

As the pain in the region of the liver continued, the bleeding was repeated at different times, and a blister was applied over the right hypochondrium. The same medicine was continued till the 15th of Sept., when a swelling, the size of a walnut, was observed over the region of the liver. This gradually increased, and on the 9th of October, was so large and caused so much

pain from distension, that it was thought proper to tap it. Seven quarts of fluid were drawn off, which from its colour and taste appeared to be pure bile. The pain was instantly relieved, and the swelling entirely subsided.

The fluid collected again, and it was necessary to repeat the tapping on the 21st of the same month, when six quarts and a half of fluid were drawn off. This fluid was analysed by Dr. Pereira, Dr. G. O. Rees, and Mr. Taylor, and found to be composed in great part of bile. Dr. Rees guessed the proportion of bile in the fluid to be at least eight parts in ten.

On the 31st of October he was tapped again, and seven quarts were drawn off. On the 9th of November the operation was repeated for the fourth time, when six quarts were withdrawn. On the 18th of November he was taken to St. Bartholomew's Hospital, and tapped again, when nine pints of fluid escaped. On the 26th of November he was tapped for the last time, when only three pints escaped. The cyst was not emptied as on the former operation, and he suffered extreme pain from the tapping, which he had not previously done. On the following day, bile appeared in his stools, and the urine was lighter coloured. On the 3rd of December, the motions were of proper colour, containing plenty of bile. The swelling gradually subsided, and towards the end of the month he became quite convalescent. In the beginning of February he was able to walk eight or ten miles; and when an account of his case was presented to the Society, appeared to be in good health.*

It appears here that in twelve days, from the 9th of October to the 21st, thirteen pints of fluid accumulated in the sac. If, as Dr. Rees believed, four-fifths of this consisted of bile, nearly ten pints and a half of bile must have been discharged; not very far short of a pint a day. The quantity of fluid discharged at the two subsequent tapplings was still larger in proportion to the time, but of this fluid no analysis seems to have been made.

Is a note appended to the account of this case in the Society's Transactions, Dr. Cursham gives references to other cases of a similar kind. One of these, by Mr. Fryer, of Stamford, in the fourth volume of the Medico-Chirurgical Transactions, accords in almost every particular with the case just related, except that the subject of it was a boy thirteen years of age, and that the quantity of fluid discharged at the successive tapplings was still larger in proportion to the intervals. The fluid was not analysed, but had, it is stated, the appearance of *pure bile*. In this case, as in the former, mercury was given.

We should not, of course, be warranted in assuming from these cases that the same amount of bile is secreted under ordinary

* The Medico-Chirurgical Transactions, vol. xxvii. p. 378.

circumstances ; or at any rate, in drawing from such an estimate any important physiological inference not warranted by other reasons.

In secreting bile, the liver serves unquestionably very important purposes. The large size of the organ, and its existence in all animals, down almost to the lowest in the animal scale, leave no doubt on this point. But when we come to details, our knowledge of the whole matter is found to be much wanting in precision.

One of the purposes served by the liver in secreting bile, perhaps one of the most important purposes, is to purify the blood by separating from it noxious and effete principles. There has been much debate among physiologists, whether the principles of bile are formed in the liver, or are not rather merely separated by this organ from the blood, in which, under this supposition, they are supposed to exist, ready-made for secretion. Data are yet wanting for the complete solution of this question. But it is quite clear that the colouring matters of the bile exist in the blood, since if they be not separated from it by the liver, as sometimes happens when the secretion of bile is suppressed, the person is speedily jaundiced.

The liver tends in another way to maintain the purity of the blood, by ridding it of other matters foreign to its composition. It will be remembered that all the blood sent to the stomach and intestines has to pass through this organ before it can again mix with the venous blood from other parts of the body. Now the blood that has come from the stomach and intestines must necessarily be charged with many impurities besides those derived from the mere decay of the tissues. Along the extensive mucous tract with which everything we eat or drink is brought in contact, absorption is constantly going on, and various matters must, therefore, enter the portal vessels, not fit by their nature to form blood, or to serve any other purpose in the body. Many of these substances are removed from the blood in its passage through the liver. The discharge of such matters through the liver, when they are in unusual quantity, or of a particular kind, is, no doubt, the primary condition of many biliary disorders.

But the bile is far from being a merely excrementitious fluid. Arrived in the intestine, it has important offices to serve, as indeed might already be surmised from its being poured into this canal so near its upper end. These offices are related to the func-

tion of digestion on the one hand, and (according to Liebig) to that of respiration on the other.

It was formerly supposed that the one great use of the bile was to complete the process of digestion, and for this end it was considered quite as essential as the gastric juice itself. That the bile has, indeed, an important relation to digestion, is evident from the presence in man and other animals that feed at intervals by large meals, of a gall-bladder, which allows bile to accumulate when the stomach and duodenum are empty, so as to be poured into the digestive canal in greater quantity when they are full. But there can be no doubt that the part which bile plays in digestion has been over-rated. The recent investigations of chemists have much simplified our views of this process. Since the important discovery, that the greater part of the staminal principles of our food, whether animal or vegetable, are identical with the constituents of blood, all that appears necessary to digestion, as far as mere chemical changes are concerned, is to effect their solution. Now experiments of conclusive kind have shown that the gastric juice is sufficient for this object. Starch, sugar, and their equivalents, are soluble, of themselves, in the fluids found in the stomach and intestines. Fat, however, is not altered by the gastric juice, and is not soluble in the fluids found in the intestinal canal, and must require, therefore, some preparation in order to become easily absorbed: for membranes absorb with great difficulty those fluids which do not penetrate them by imbibition, or which, in more familiar phrase, *do not wet them*. There are many reasons for believing that the fatty matters we take as food undergo the needful modification in mixing with bile.

The experiments first performed by Brodie, and repeated by several physiologists, show that if the flow of bile into the duodenum be prevented by tying the ductus communis in a living animal, and the animal be killed some time after, the chyle in the thoracic duct will generally be found thin and serous, containing much less than the usual proportion of fatty matter. The fact too, long noticed by physicians, that when the common duct is obstructed by a gall-stone, or otherwise, the patient rapidly loses his fat, sanctions the inference. The soda of the bile, in its passage through the intestines, is absorbed, together with the fatty matter, by the lacteals. It is not found in the excrement, but exists in abundance in the chyle.

Another effect commonly attributed to bile is that of neutralizing the acid that passes from the stomach into the intestines, after having performed its part in digestion. The chyme is acid as it enters the duodenum, but gradually loses its acidity in its passage through the small intestine, after it has been mixed with the bile. It is no valid objection to this doctrine that healthy bile is neutral, since the bile might be decomposed in its passage through the bowels. But if the soda of the bile unite with the acid of the chyme, the characters of the bile as a soap must be destroyed, and, consequently, the bile cannot at the same time perform this office and promote the absorption of fatty matters in the way usually supposed. The quantity of soda in the bile seems, moreover, to be too small, even if it were all employed for this purpose, to neutralize the acid of the chyme.* The chyme is most probably neutralized, at least in part, by the secretions of the intestinal canal. The bile may contribute to it also indirectly, by stimulating the coats of the canal, and rendering their secretion more active.

Whether, by virtue of its bitter quality, bile prevents, as some suppose, the fermentation of the chyme, and the putrefaction of the residue of digestion, is open to question. From the readiness with which bile itself undergoes decomposition, such an office would seem improbable. Nevertheless, it is well known, that one of the first effects of jaundice is, that the stools become unusually fetid, and the bowels very flatulent.

Collaterally, the bile forwards in various ways the great business going on in the alimentary canal. One of the most obvious of its uses is, to promote the due discharge of the contents of the bowel. If such a phrase may be used,—bile is the natural purgative. If poured into the intestine in too large quantity, it causes diarrhœa, and if by a gall-stone, or otherwise, its flow be stopped, constipation generally follows. Eberle further observed that in animals, which he made the subject of experiment, and especially in such as had fasted for some time before death, the mucus of the intestine was much more abundant, as far as bile had reached, than below this point.

We have next to consider the final destination of the bile itself.

* It was the supposition, that the office of the bile is to neutralize the acid of the chyme, that led to the extravagant estimate by Schultz before referred to : viz., that an ox secretes daily 37lbs. of bile.

It seems clear that, in man, under ordinary circumstances, the bile which is evacuated by the bowel, can be but a small proportion of the whole amount secreted. For the quantity thus voided is very trifling, and consists chiefly of its colouring matter. The remainder, and larger part, must, therefore, be re-absorbed. Liebig states, that, in the carnivora, the whole of the bile is re-absorbed. The excrements of these animals contain neither bile nor soda; for water extracts from them no trace of any substance resembling bile, and yet bile is very soluble in water, and mixes with it in every proportion. It has been lately advanced by Liebig, on the authority of quantitative analysis, that the portion of bile re-absorbed is eventually discharged through the lungs as carbonic acid and water; thus supplying fuel for respiration and supporting animal heat. On account of the novelty and importance of this doctrine, and the high reputation of its author, it is right that the calculations on which the doctrine is based should be closely examined.

Liebig adopts the estimates of Haller and Burdach, that a man in health secretes daily from 17 to 24 ounces of bile; and he assumes that this bile contains 90 per cent. of water, which gives from 816 to 1152 grains of dried bile.*

Now Berzelius found in 1,000 parts of fresh human fæces, only 9 parts of a substance similar to bile. Reckoning from this proportion, the daily fæces of a man, which do not, on an average, weigh more than $5\frac{1}{2}$ ounces, contain only 24 grains of dried bile at most.

So that, according to this computation, the whole quantity of bile secreted exceeds the quantity that can be detected in the matters discharged from the alimentary canal in at least the proportion of 816 to 24, or 34 to 1.

The chief part of the bile is, therefore, re-absorbed, and as (Liebig argues) no traces of it are found in the other excretions, the hydrogen and carbon it contains must evidently be discharged through the lungs in union with oxygen, as carbonic acid and water. Whatever intermediate purposes it may serve, this must be the ultimate fate of these, its chief elements.

The estimate of the amount of bile daily secreted,—namely, from 17 to 24 ounces, as concentrated as bile usually found in the gall-bladder,—is higher than most physiologists would admit.

* See "Liebig's Organic Chemistry, in its Application to Physiology and Pathology"—pp. 64, 5.

But the proportion it gives of bile secreted to that found in the excrement, is so large, that even a considerable error in this direction would not vitiate the conclusion, although it would, of course, give too high an estimate of the amount of fuel for respiration furnished from this source. Even at this estimate, the carbon furnished by the bile would be but a small proportion of that given out in respiration. It has been computed that in a grown-up person, taking moderate exercise, $13\frac{9}{16}$ oz. of carbon escape daily through the skin and lungs as carbonic acid. (Liebig, A. C., p. 14.) Now 816 grains of dried bile, which does not contain more than 69 per cent of carbon, gives only 563 grains of carbon, or about $1\frac{1}{2}$ oz.* These considerations tend to show that it can hardly be one of the chief purposes of the bile to support respiration, although it seems established by the reasoning of Liebig, that the bile that is re-absorbed, after having served other uses, is applied to this purpose, for which, indeed, it seems singularly fitted by its solubility and the large amount of carbon and hydrogen it contains.

Many physiologists, however, still hold to the old opinion that the bile is mainly excrementitious, and is voided by the intestine. In their view, the great office of the liver is to rid the system of all matters rich in hydrogen and carbon that result from the waste of the tissues, and are not discharged by the lung in union with oxygen. These organs are thus considered to be directly and strictly vicarious in their office, and in support of this view it is alleged that, throughout the animal scale, whenever the lungs are large and active, the liver is small, and *vice versâ*. Thus, it is remarked, that in all cold-blooded animals—creatures in which respiration is very feeble—the liver is very large and excessively developed when compared with the lungs. But it is a very formidable objection to this vicarious theory, that in serpents, whose respiration is extremely feeble, the excrement does not contain a particle of bile. Great stress is laid on the case of the mollusca, animals whose liver is generally immense in proportion to their other viscera. But even if their bile be excreted, that would not disprove Liebig's theory of the use of bile in man and the higher

* Liebig has made a calculation of this kind with reference to the ox, and concludes that in that animal the bile daily secreted contains 40 ounces of carbon, but he starts with the extravagant estimate of 37lbs. (as concentrated as that in the gall-bladder) for the amount of bile daily secreted.

animals, since this professes to rest on entirely independent evidence. The same may be said with regard to the instances of animals in which the bile is poured into the rectum, and is, therefore, probably voided by the intestine.

Thus it appears, on any supposition, that the relation of bile to respiration is direct and fundamental. Fortunately, the activity and effects of the respiratory process are largely under our control. In the vast power we have of modifying these by appropriate regulations, having reference to the great conditions of air, exercise, temperature, and food, we have means much more effectual than any other, in dealing with biliary disorders.

Of these disorders, on the other hand, the neglect of such regulations is by far the most fruitful source.

Thus, for example, may be explained many of the bilious disorders of hot climates. If, in such climates, the food be not regulated in accordance with the smaller needs of the economy as to animal heat, an excess of bile is formed, and disorder of the stomach and intestines—bilious vomiting, and diarrhœa—are the consequence.

Hence, also, the general repugnance to rich meats, and the greater tendency which these and spirits unquestionably have to produce disease of the liver, in hot seasons and in tropical climates.

In the same way may be explained the greater frequency of bilious disorders in middle life, when men begin to take less exercise, and their respiration becomes less active, while on the other hand, the tendency to indulgence at table but too often increases.

We may also often see inverse evidence of these relations in the effect of pure air and active exercise, in relieving various disorders that result from repletion, and from the retention of principles, which if not burnt in respiration, should pass off by the liver as bile. Every sportsman must have remarked the effect of a single day's hunting in clearing the complexion. It has, no doubt, much the same effect on the liver, as on the skin.

These, however, are not the only conditions that influence the secretion of bile, and its tendency to accumulate in the system. This must also depend on the state of the liver itself, and especially on the number and activity of the cells in its lobular substance.

Not unfrequently, in bodies examined in our hospitals, considerable portions of the liver are found atrophied, from adhesive inflammation in or about branches of the portal vein. In consequence of the obstruction of those vessels, the portions of liver to which they carried blood, waste, and if those portions be near the surface, the capsule is drawn in, and the surface appears puckered, or fissured, according to the size and direction of the obstructed veins. Again, hydatid and other tumours may cause atrophy of portions of the liver, by the pressure they exert on its substance, or on the vessels which supply it.

But in effect of acute disease, without any permanent obstruction of vessels, the vitality of the cells may be permanently damaged, and their power of reproduction perhaps impaired.

In persons who die of yellow fever, the liver presents various morbid appearances, which have been minutely described by Louis, that depend not on the products of inflammation, or on the state of the vessels, but on the condition of the cells. The damage done to the liver in this way may last for years. It is probable that the bilious disorders of many men on their return to this country from India and other hot climates are in great measure owing to permanent injury done to the secreting element of the liver.

In most persons, perhaps, a portion of the liver may waste or become less active, without sensible derangement of health. They have more liver, as they have more lung, than is absolutely necessary. In others, on the contrary, the liver, from natural conformation, seems only just capable of purifying the blood from the principles of bile, in favourable circumstances. They are born with a tendency to bilious derangements. This innate defect of power in the liver has its counterpart in the deficient respiratory power in persons with vesicular emphysema of the lungs, and like this latter defect, and most other peculiarities of physical structure, is no doubt frequently inherited. People who inherit this feebleness of the liver, if we may so term it, or in whom, in consequence of disease, a portion of liver has atrophied, or the secreting element of the liver has been damaged, may suffer little inconvenience as long as they are placed in favourable circumstances, and observe those rules which such a condition requires; but whenever from any cause—as a hot climate, gross living, indolent habits, constipation—a more abundant secretion of bile is requisite to purify the blood, the

liver is inadequate to its office, and they become bilious and sallow. In the management of such cases, we have two objects to fulfil—1st, to enjoin those conditions and rules of life, that render a plentiful secretion of bile less needful; and 2nd, to endeavour to render the liver itself more active.

The chief conditions to diminish the quantity of matter which the liver is called on to excrete, are a light diet, with water for drink; active exercise; early rising; and a cool, or temperate climate. Acids have been supposed to act in the same way, and have been much in repute as a remedy in liver disorders, particularly in India, where, from the circumstances mentioned, a remedy having this mode of action is especially required.

Various medicines seem to fulfil to a certain extent the 2nd object, that of rendering the liver more active, and increasing in this way the secretion of bile. Mercury, iodine, muriate of ammonia, and taraxacum, have undoubtedly an action of this kind. The first and the last of these medicines, especially, have long been in this country the chief resources of the physician in the treatment of chronic hepatic disorders. The marked temporary benefit often resulting from mercury given for this effect has, from the difficulty of distinguishing the various diseases of the liver, and the consequent indiscriminate use of the drug, led to great evils. This medicine was at one time, by English practitioners, given almost indiscriminately, and long persevered in, for disorders of digestion, many of which did not depend on fault of the liver at all, but on local disease of the stomach or intestines, or on faulty assimilation, the result of debility, which the prolonged use of the mercury but too often increased. Of late, these evils have much abated, but still, before the diagnosis is rightly made, mercury is often tried in cancer, and other incurable organic diseases of the liver, in which this and other powerful and lowering remedies can only do harm.

Pepper, ginger, and other hot spices, are also supposed, perhaps justly, to render the liver more active, and increase the secretion of bile. The great relish with which they are eaten by our countrymen in the East and West Indies, gives considerable sanction to this opinion.

Most purgatives, but especially rhubarb, have perhaps an effect of the same kind, and may fitly be styled in the language of our fathers, *cholagogues*. Many persons have succeeded in warding

off bilious attacks to which they had been long subject, by taking habitually before dinner a few grains of rhubarb. A rhubarb pill will often relieve a slight bilious disorder, even before it has purged.

We may suppose these medicines to excite the secretion of the liver, either by virtue of the impression they make on the stomach and duodenum, or by their becoming absorbed in the stomach and intestines, and subsequently excreted by the liver. Spices probably act chiefly in the former way, and excite the secretion and flow of bile, as they do that of saliva, by the impression they make on the mucous membrane adjacent. Mercury, iodine, and other medicines, probably excite the secretion of the liver chiefly, if not solely, by becoming absorbed into the blood, and passing out of the system with the bile.

We have, indeed, little positive evidence in favour of this theory, by regarding the liver merely, because not many analyses of any kind have been made of human bile; and very few attempts have been made to discover different medicines in it.

Authenrieth and Zeller* state that they found mercury in the bile of animals treated by mercurial frictions. Bouisson† states, that the colouring principles of madder and some other substances pass off in the bile; a fact which, if established, would lead us to expect that some principles of rhubarb and taraxacum might pass off in it likewise. Iodine, I believe, has not been found in human bile, but from its escaping so readily as it does in most other secretions, and from its being found in considerable quantity in the liver of the cod and other fish, we may expect to find it in the bile of persons who die while taking it.

Most medicines that act as diuretics are, no doubt, excreted by the kidneys. Nitre, iodide of potassium, asparagus, and most other medicines of diuretic action, for which we have tests, or which we can detect by our senses, have been found in the urine. The active principle of squills, our chief expectorant, probably passes off by the lungs, for all the onion tribe, of which squills is one, taint the breath. It would seem, indeed, not only that most medicines that increase the secretion of a gland, pass out of the system through it, but conversely, that nearly everything foreign

* Bouisson, p. 14, who takes this fact from Reil's. *Archiv. fur die Physiologie*, vol. viii. p. 252; 1807, 1808.

† Id. p. 303.

to its own secretion, that drains off through a gland or mucous membrane, excites its secreting function.*

Medicines that pass off in this way through a gland, not only increase the flow from it, but may also alter the qualities of the secretion, and act directly on the surfaces over which the secretion passes; and when the secretion is unhealthy or these surfaces are diseased, these latter effects of the medicines may be far more important than the first.

We have examples of this in the efficacy of alkalies in preventing the deposit of lithic gravel in the urine; and in that of the balsams and of various vegetable astringents, in certain diseases of the bladder and urethra. As might have been expected, our knowledge of the effects of different medicines on the qualities of the bile, and on the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder and ducts, is very scanty. We cannot ascertain during life the composition of the bile, and of course cannot tell in what way, or in what degree, our medicines change it. But there are, unquestionably, medicines which do change it. Experience long ago led physicians to infer that if some medicines, as mercury, owe their chief virtue, in hepatic disorders, to their increasing the quantity of the bile, there are others, whose chief merit consists in their altering its quality. Alkalies,—especially soda,—ether, and turpentine, have been supposed to render the bile thinner, and have, on this account, been, at various times, recommended as remedies for gall-stones. Hitherto, it has been impossible to fix the value of medicines of this class. They are given empirically, generally with a vague notion only of what is amiss, and according to the chances of individual experience, or the fashion of the day, are rated at one time much above their worth, and at another time, in effect probably of this very over-estimate, are altogether discarded.

Medicines which alter the urine, or act on the bladder or urethra, have more permanent favour, because, from being always able to collect and analyse the urine, we have better opportunities of fixing their value.

* On the same principle, undoubtedly, various abnormal matters that find their way into the portal blood, cause sudden and copious fluxes of bile.—Cruveilhier has some good remarks on this in his "*Anatomie Pathologique*."

CHAPTER I.

CONGESTION OF THE LIVER.

Congestion of the liver from impediment to the flow of blood through the lungs or heart—Effects of this—Congestion from other causes—Portal-venous congestion.


THE liver, from being occupied by a close plexus of capillary vessels, which is supplied with blood, already retarded by passing through a capillary system, is peculiarly liable to congestion,—that is, to an accumulation of blood in its vessels,—when, from organic disease of the heart, or acute disease of the lung, the course of the blood through the chest is impeded.

The liver presents different appearances, according to the degree of congestion.

In slight degrees, the twigs of the hepatic vein and the capillaries that terminate in them, are found, after death, turgid with blood, while the portal twigs, and the capillaries that immediately spring from them, are empty. A section of the liver presents, in consequence, a mottled appearance. The central portions of the lobules, where the vessels are congested, form isolated red spots, while the margins of the lobules, where the vessels are empty, have a colour which varies from yellowish-white to greenish, according to the quantity of oil-globules and of colouring matter which the cells contain. This appearance has been termed by Mr. Kiernan, the first stage of *hepatic-venous* congestion. When the course of the blood through the heart or lungs is impeded, the hepatic veins and the capillaries that open into them are naturally the first to become turgid.

In a further degree of congestion, more of the vessels forming

FIG. 9.




Rounded lobules on the surface of the liver, in the first stage of hepatic-venous congestion. A, centres of the lobules, red from congestion of the hepatic twigs and adjacent capillaries; C, margins of the lobules, pale, from the capillaries there not being congested; B, spaces between the lobules, occupied by twigs of the portal vein. (After Kiernan)

the capillary network are filled, of course in a direction backward, towards the portal vessels. The congestion extends from lobule to lobule, at those points where the adjacent lobules are connected by their capillaries; and when the congestion has nearly, but not quite, reached those twigs of the portal vein that go to define the lobules, all the capillaries of the lobules will be injected, excepting those immediately surrounding the portal twigs. A section of the liver will still present a mottled appearance, but now the pale portion will be in spots, where the uninjected twigs of the portal vein are divided, and the red portion will form a band continuous throughout the liver. This appearance is what Mr. Kiernan has called the second stage of hepatic-venous congestion.

A liver congested to this degree is enlarged from the large quantity of blood it contains; and, as Mr. Kiernan has remarked, it is frequently at the same time in a state of *biliary* congestion. The biliary congestion is an accumulation of biliary matter in the lobules of the liver, giving the uninjected portions of the lobules a deeper yellow or greenish tint than is natural to them. It seems to be a consequence of the congestion of blood, and is produced perhaps in great measure by impediment to the free escape of the bile through the small ducts, from the pressure exerted on them by the distended vessels.

FIG. 10.



Lobules on the surface of the liver, in the second stage of hepatic-venous congestion. A, centres of the lobules, red from congestion of the hepatic twigs and adjacent capillaries; C, places where capillaries uniting contiguous lobules are congested; B, pale spots, where the capillaries springing from the portal twigs are uninjected. (After Kiernan.)

In a still higher degree of congestion, the portal vessels likewise are found filled after death, and the whole liver is red, but, as was observed by Mr. Kiernan, the central portions of the lobules are of a deeper hue than the marginal portions.

It is only when the vessels are so turgid, that the liver is enlarged, or the secretion and discharge of bile are somewhat impeded, that the congestion can be considered morbid.

Simple congestion, perhaps, renders the liver more friable, but this change of consistence is not very appreciable. The chief anatomical characters of congestion, are the deep colour of the liver and its increased size.

Enlargement of the liver must take place in some degree in all cases where the vessels are turgid, but the degree of enlargement will depend on the time the congestion has lasted, and on the previous condition of the liver. The longer the vessels are kept distended, and the more yielding the other tissues, the greater, of course, will be the enlargement. In young persons, and in persons in whom the liver is healthy, and its capsule thin, the liver will necessarily enlarge much more for a given force of distension, than in persons in opposite circumstances. When the liver has become unnaturally firm and tough by the interstitial

deposit of new fibrous tissue, an impediment to the free passage of blood from it towards the heart, unless it be long-continued, will produce but little increase of its size ; but it will exert the same, or even greater, pressure on the other elements of its texture, and be as apt, therefore, or even more apt, to cause secondary biliary congestion.

The most frequent opportunities we have of observing the effects of simple congestion of the liver, are in persons labouring under organic disease of the heart. It often happens, that in such persons, when the circulation is more than commonly impeded, the liver grows larger. Its edge can be felt two or three inches below the false ribs. If the circulation be relieved by bleeding, or by diuretics, or by rest, the liver returns to its former volume. This enlargement of the liver from congestion, often takes place, and again subsides, very rapidly, according to the varying conditions of the general circulation.

In estimating the bulk of the liver, in congestion and other diseases, we must bear in mind, that its natural limits vary with posture and many other circumstances. It descends an inch or two lower when the person under examination is standing or sitting, than when he is lying down ; it is lower after inspiration, than after expiration ; and it may be pushed down by fluid in the cavity of the pleura, or by bloated, emphysematous lung.*

Enlargement of the liver from congestion is, in general, unattended with pain, and the only complaint the patient makes is of a sense of weight, or fulness, in the right hypochondrium. Occasionally, these symptoms are succeeded by a slight tint of jaundice. As the blood, when its passage through the lungs is impeded, is imperfectly *decarbonized*, and gives a purplish colour to the face,—so, when its course through the liver is impeded, the blood is not completely freed from the principles of bile, and the countenance acquires a slightly jaundiced, or sallow tint. When both organs are congested at once, as happens when the flow of blood through the left side of the heart is obstructed, both effects sometimes follow,—the complexion becomes purplish, and, at the same time, sallow. This hue of the complexion, in cases of obstructed circulation, has been distinctly noticed by Dr. Bright. He says: “ When obstruction takes place to the circu-

* Andral's “ Clinique Medicale,” t. iv. p. 108.

lation through the chest, but more particularly when the heart becomes over-distended with blood, we observe the countenance gradually assume a dingy aspect, in which the purple suffusion of carbonized blood is mingled with the yellow tint of slight jaundice: the conjunctiva is more decidedly tinged; and, if the disease continue long, sometimes completely prevails over the purple tint."

This jaundiced tint of the complexion, co-exists with a jaundiced condition of the liver itself, or, as Mr. Kiernan expresses it, with *biliary congestion*, which has been already noticed as sometimes consequent on sanguineous congestion.

If the biliary congestion be long kept up, the function of the cells in the congested lobules is arrested, or rendered less active, and the cells become perhaps impaired in their vitality and powers of reproduction. The liver is permanently injured in its secreting element, as it is when the common duct has been long obstructed.

Andral and most other writers have remarked that congestion of the liver from a mechanical cause, when long continued, often leads to organic disease of the liver; and they have explained in this way the frequent association of organic disease of the liver with organic disease of the heart. The changes in the liver, really attributable to disease of the heart, consist, at first, in distension of the capillary blood-vessels, and in accumulation of biliary matter in the lobules,—in consequence, probably, of impediment to its escape through the small ducts. If this impediment be kept up, the biliary matter, as long as there are cells enough to separate it from the blood, goes on accumulating faster than it can escape; but whenever the cells are long prevented from discharging their contents, they seem to lose their fertility, and, consequently, diminish in number. Further on, cases will be related, where, from the flow of bile having been long obstructed by closure of the common duct, the liver had entirely lost its lobular appearance, and contained no nucleated cells; so that, when a portion of it was examined under the microscope, nothing was seen but free oil-globules and irregular particles of greenish or yellow biliary matter.

Most writers have stated that disease of the heart produces *cirrhosis* of the liver; meaning, by this term, the hardened and granular state of the liver so frequently found in drunkards,

which is produced by the interstitial deposit of fibrine from adhesive inflammation, and which often produces accumulation of biliary matter in the lobules,—probably by preventing, like congestion of the liver, its escape through the small ducts. But disease of the heart does not, it would seem, of itself, lead to this form of disease, or indeed to *inflammation* of any kind. Among the many persons who die in our hospitals of diseased heart, consequent on rheumatism, we seldom find the liver tough and granular, from newly formed fibrous tissue, except in such of them as have drunk spirits to excess. But although disease of the heart may not directly lead to inflammation of the liver, it may yet, by causing a stagnation of blood in the vessels of the liver, give greater effect to spirits, or any other deleterious agent absorbed from the intestinal canal, and thus mixed with the portal blood. This point will be again noticed in a subsequent chapter on Adhesive Inflammation of the Liver.

There is little to be said on the treatment of mechanical congestion of the liver. The congestion is the consequence of another disease, and the treatment which relieves the latter, will diminish the congestion. When the congestion depends on obstacle to the circulation through the heart, the proper remedies are those,—such as bleeding, purgatives, diuretics, rest,—which most effectually relieve the heart. When the liver cannot free the blood from the principles of bile, or the skin becomes sallow, the patient should carefully abstain from rich meats and fermented drinks, which would render the liver still more inadequate to its office, and increase the bilious disorder.

Hitherto, we have considered only congestion of the liver produced by mechanical impediment to the return of blood from it,—or, as most writers express it, *passive* congestion. But the liver may be congested from other causes. Thus, in the hot stage of ague, there seems to be, in some instances, in the liver, as well as in the spleen, an accumulation of blood, which is not attended with effusion of any matter characteristic of inflammation, and which subsides when the fit of ague is past. We are ignorant of the exact cause of these temporary accumulations of blood.


Congestion of the liver may also result from a faulty state of the blood, quite independently of any mechanical impediment to its course through the lungs or heart. In a person dead of purpura hæmorrhagica, I have found the liver and spleen very

large, and of the dark colour of a morello cherry, from the great quantity of blood they contained. From the late researches of M. Andral, it seems that a great diminution in the proportion of fibrine is the change in the blood that most disposes to such congestions.

The congestions of the liver in ague and from faulty states of the blood, have to the congestion produced by a mechanical impediment to the flow of blood through the lungs or heart, merely the outward resemblance caused by distension of the vessels. They differ from it in their causes, and are not removed or lessened by the same means. We have a clear conception of the way in which congestion from disease of the heart is produced, and also of the way in which it impedes the function of the liver, and ultimately leads to permanent change of structure—but of the mechanism and remote effects of these other kinds of congestion, we know very little.

In congestion of the liver from disease of the heart and lungs, the hepatic veins, being nearer the seat of obstruction, in the course of the circulation, than the portal veins, are naturally the vessels first distended;—and when the congestion is partial, the hepatic twigs, and the capillaries that immediately surround them,

FIG. 11.



Lobules on the surface of the liver, in a state of portal-venous congestion.

A, twigs of the hepatic vein in the centres of the lobules, surrounded by uninjected capillaries; C, margins of the lobules, red—from the capillaries there being congested; B, spaces between the lobules, occupied by injected twigs of the portal-vein. (After Kiernan.)

are found after death, to be the full vessels; the portal twigs, and the capillaries that immediately spring from them, the empty ones.

But, now and then, the portal veins, and the capillaries immediately springing from them, are found alone congested. The margins of the lobules, and the interlobular spaces are then of a red colour—forming a continuous red band—while the centres of the lobules appear as isolated pale spots.

Mr. Kiernan has applied to this congestion of the portal veins only, the term *portal-venous* congestion. From the pale uninjected portion being in isolated spots, it looks very like the second stage of hepatic-venous congestion. It is remarked by Mr. Kiernan, that the injected substance never has the deep red colour that it has in hepatic-venous congestion.

All that we know of this form of partial congestion, is contained in the few observations of Mr. Kiernan, who says, that it is very rare, and that he has met with it in children only.

CHAPTER II.

INFLAMMATORY DISEASES OF THE LIVER.

SECT. I.—*General remarks on the classification of Inflammatory Diseases of the Liver — Suppurative inflammation, and Abscess, of the Liver.*

THE inflammatory diseases of the liver are usually divided into *acute* and *chronic*; but this division is essentially faulty in practice, because the terms are applied, not with reference to the kind of inflammation, or the rapidity with which it works its effects, but to the severity, merely, of the local symptoms. Now, inflammation of the liver running rapidly into abscess, if deep-seated and of small extent, may give rise to but few and obscure local symptoms, and would consequently be styled *chronic* during the life of the patient; while inflammation, involving the surface of the liver, even of such kind as causes the slow effusion of coagulable lymph only, will be attended with well-marked local symptoms,—with great pain and tenderness,—and would be termed *acute*.

We shall never have faithful descriptions of inflammatory diseases, or unerring rules for their treatment, until we arrange them, not according to their mere outward characters, or the prominence of particular symptoms, but according to the nature of their causes; for it is a truth that cannot be too strongly enforced, that it is the nature of the *cause* of an inflammatory disease, that mainly determines its course and character, and the influence of remedies over it.

To take, for example, the inflammatory diseases of the knee-joint:—

If inflammation of the synovial membrane of the knee-joint be excited by a penetrating wound, and the consequent admission of air, it causes speedy suppuration, and generally destroys the joint.

If it be occasioned by the presence of pus in the blood, it is attended with very little effusion and swelling; but, as in the former case, it leads to the formation of pus; and that so soon, and with such slight local symptoms, that pathologists have even inferred, that the pus, instead of being formed by a process of inflammation in the joint, is actually deposited there, ready made, from the blood.

If the inflammation be excited by the peculiar cause of rheumatism, it is attended with severe pain, and often with much effusion; but the fluid effused is never purulent, and is almost always absorbed after some days, leaving the motions of the joint free, and its structure uninjured.

If the inflammation be gouty, it is attended with still more severe pain and greater effusion; but the fluid effused here differs in quality from the fluid effused in rheumatism; and when its aqueous part is absorbed, particles of lithate of soda are often left on the synovial membrane, and in the areolar tissue about the joint. These, perhaps by mechanical irritation, occasion fresh attacks of inflammation, which lead to fresh deposits of lithate of soda, and, at length, the joint is completely crippled.

If the inflammation be excited by the specific poison of gonorrhœa, it is attended, like gouty inflammation, with abundant effusion, which distends the synovial capsule, and causes great swelling. There is seldom much pain, or fever, but the disease is very obstinate, the swelling, in spite of all the remedies we yet know of, often lasting weeks or months.

Thus we may have—to take the last two examples—to treat two cases of inflamed knee. The appearance of the joint is exactly alike in the two cases, and in both there is great swelling from fluid effused into the synovial capsule. We give colchicum in both: in one case, the inflammation rapidly subsides under the remedy, and the effused fluid is quickly absorbed; in the other, the malady pursues its course as if nothing had been done. And why this difference? The parts that suffer are the same, and the changes, in outward appearance, exactly alike in the two cases. One might readily be mistaken for the other. The reason is simply this: the morbid changes are, in one case, the effect of

the specific principle of gout; in the other, that of the poison of gonorrhœa; and although they are alike in the two cases in those characters that most strike the eye—in the distension of vessels and the effusion of fluid—they differ in more essential particulars.

The instance here adduced is a simple one, but every department of pathology abounds with illustrations of the same truth; thus leading to the conviction, that we can never foresee clearly the result of an inflammatory disease, or foretel the effect of our remedies on it, unless we have ascertained its cause, or know at least the particular character of the inflammation. It is, in a great measure, our ignorance of the causes and particular characters of the diseases we have to treat, that renders the practice of medicine so uncertain.

At present, it would be premature to attempt to arrange the inflammatory diseases of the liver with reference solely to their causes; but, as the nature of the cause mainly determines the character of the inflammation and its mode of termination, some approximation to such an arrangement will be obtained by classing them according to their effects. I propose, therefore, to range the inflammatory diseases of the liver under the following heads:—

1st. *Suppurative* inflammation, or that which leads to suppuration and abscess;

2nd. *Gangrenous* inflammation;

3rd. *Adhesive* inflammation, or inflammation that causes effusion of coagulable lymph;

4th. Inflammation of the veins of the liver;

5th. Inflammation of the gall-bladder and ducts;

And to consider, as far as our present knowledge permits, the various causes of these different forms of inflammation, and the modification of each form according to the particular cause that excites it. In following out this plan, I shall speak first of the causes of inflammation of the liver that leads to suppuration and abscess.

Suppurative Inflammation, and Abscess, of the Liver.

With the view of discovering the causes of inflammation of the liver that leads to suppuration and abscess, I have tabulated the chief circumstances of sixty cases in which one or more abscesses were found in the liver after death. Fifteen of these cases

occurred in my own practice at the *Dreadnought*, in sailors, most of whom had been in the East; sixteen are published in the works of Andral* and Louis,† and were most of them collected in the hospitals of Paris; and twenty-nine are recorded in the splendid work by Annesley, on the diseases of India.

In the following remarks frequent reference will be made to these cases.

The most obvious cause of abscess of the liver, and which may therefore be fitly placed first, is—

1st. A blow, or other mechanical injury. But this is by no means a frequent cause. In the sixty cases of abscess of the liver to which I have alluded, there is only one—a case recorded by Andral—in which the disease was clearly traced to a blow. In this case (Clin. Med. tom. iv. obs. xxviii.), there were two large abscesses on the convex surface of the right lobe; the usual seat, probably, of abscesses produced in this way.

The rarity of inflammation and abscess from accidental injury, shows how effectually the liver, when of its natural size, is shielded by the ribs.

2nd. A second, and far more frequent cause of abscess of the liver, is suppurative inflammation of some vein, and the consequent contamination of the blood by pus.

Very soon after morbid anatomy began to be studied, it was noticed that in persons who die some days after a severe injury or surgical operation, there are often collections of pus in the lungs, the liver, the joints, between the muscles, and in various other parts of the body. These collections of pus form very rapidly—in some cases, in three or four days—and often with very slight local symptoms; and when occurring in the lung, are strictly circumscribed, or immediately surrounded by perfectly healthy pulmonary tissue.

These circumstances suggested the notion, at one time generally received, and still held by some eminent pathologists, that the pus is not formed by a process of inflammation in the parts in which we find it, but that it is all brought with the blood from the original seat of injury, and merely *deposited* in those parts. The abscesses found in the lungs and liver in such cases, have, in consequence, been very generally spoken of, as *deposits* of pus.

* Clinique Médicale, t. iv.

† Mémoires ou Recherches Anatomico-pathologiques sur diverses maladies,

An examination of pus through the microscope is sufficient to show, that it cannot be deposited in the way supposed. Pus-globules are larger than blood-globules—according to some anatomists, twice as large—they could not then escape bodily from the vessels, without the blood-globules escaping as well. This circumstance is perhaps, of itself, sufficient proof that the pus of those scattered abscesses is not simply *deposited* from the blood, but that it is formed, as in other cases, by a process of inflammation, in the parts in which we find it.

Other, and more conclusive, evidence on this point, has been furnished by the researches of M. M. Dance and Cruveilhier. They have shown that although in most of such cases we find in the lungs fully-formed abscesses immediately surrounded by pulmonary tissue perfectly healthy—yet in other cases, in which death happens earlier, instead of abscesses, we find small circumscribed, indurated, or hepatised masses. In some instances, the abscesses are formed in succession, so that in the same lung we may find all intermediate stages between commencing induration, or hepatisation, of a small circumscribed portion of the pulmonary tissue, and a small circumscribed abscess. This circumstance, indeed, did not escape the observation of Morgagni.* And his sagacity led him from this very near to what at present seems to be the true mode of formation of these abscesses.†

He inferred that pus carried to the viscera from distant parts, is

* Speaking of abscesses of the same kind that result from injuries of the head, Morgagni says—

“Fac enim relegas quas tibi novissimè descripsi, Valsalvæ observationes. Nempe tubercula plerumque invenies sive in pulmonibus, sive in ipso etiam jecore non omnia fuisse suppurata, quin plura interdum glandulosi corporis firmitudinem adhuc referentia. Quid? si ægro moriente, necdum ulla essent quæ pus habere inciperent.” (Epist. li. art. 23.)

† His words are,—

“Videtur autem secundum eas observationes, quibuscum, ut puto, Molli-nellii conjungi potest observatio, pus in viscera aliunde invectum, non puris forma semper deponi, sed haud raro saltem nonnullas ejus particulas cum sanguine permistas, et prorsus disjunctas, in angustiis quibusdam, fortasse glandularum lymphaticarum, hæere, easque, ut in venereorum bubonum productione fit, obstruendo, aut irritando, eoque humores præterituros retinendo distendere, et multo copiosioris quam quod advectum est, puris generationi, a rigoribus illis, et horroribus significatæ, causam præbere. Qua ratione illud quoque intelligitur, quomodo multo plus puris in visceribus, et caveis corporis sæpe deprehendatur, quam modicum vulnus dare potuisset.”

not always deposited as pus, but that often some of its globules become arrested in the narrow channels of the body, and there, by obstruction or irritation, cause congestion, and give occasion to the formation of a much greater quantity of pus than is brought there by the blood.

The mode of formation of these abscesses is well illustrated by an experiment made more than half a century ago by Dr. Saunders, and related by him in his admirable work on the structure and diseases of the liver. He injected 3ij. of quicksilver into the crural vein of a dog. No ill effects were observed the first day, but at the end of this the dog became feverish, and after two or three days had cough and difficulty of breathing, which continued until its death. On examination after death, Dr. Saunders found the lungs studded with small indurated masses, which he calls tubercles, and small circumscribed abscesses. In the centre of each was a small globule of mercury.

Here, the globules of mercury, like the globules of pus in purulent phlebitis, became arrested in the capillary vessels of the lungs, and each globule, acting perhaps by mere mechanical irritation, excited circumscribed inflammation and abscess. The inflammation was circumscribed, because the irritation that excited it, acted only at particular points.

In the dog experimented on by Dr. Saunders, the lungs were the only organs in which abscesses were found. The reason of this is obvious. All the mercury, conveyed directly to the lungs, became arrested in their capillaries. No globules passed through to cause inflammation and abscess of other organs.

In the same way, in some cases of purulent phlebitis consequent on injury of the head or limbs, or on amputation, abscesses are found in the lungs only; and they are usually found in the lungs in greater number than in other internal organs. After the lungs, the liver is the organ in which they are most frequent; a circumstance attributable, in some measure, to the large quantity of blood that flows to the liver, and to the slowness of the current through its capillary net-work; but, perhaps, still more, to those vital or other attractions by which matters of particular composition are there detained and excreted.

In the liver, the abscesses are often scattered, as in the lungs, but they are usually larger, and less regular in their outline—a consequence, it would seem, of the anatomical fact noticed by

Mr. Bowman, that the lobules of the liver are not distinct bodies, separated from each other by a layer of areolar tissue, but that their capillaries form a continuous network throughout the entire organ.

For a long time it was strongly objected to the doctrine, that the scattered abscesses consequent on injuries and surgical operations are formed in the way here supposed, that in many such cases no inflamed vein can be detected after death.

This objection was much weakened by the important observation made by Mr. Arnott, that the effects of purulent phlebitis are not in relation to the size of the vein, or to the extent of the portion inflamed—and that even in cases rapidly fatal, the portion of vein inflamed is often very small. Mr. Arnott infers, no doubt rightly, that in many cases we fail to discover the source of the mischief, on account of the small size of the vein, or the small extent of the portion inflamed.

Another important observation has been made by Cruveilhier, which almost entirely removes the objection I have stated. It is, that after operations or injuries, where a bone has been divided or broken, the portion of vein inflamed, the source of the subsequent mischief, is often within the bone. He maintains that operations and injuries that involve bones, are those most frequently followed by scattered abscesses; and that inflammation of the veins in the interior of bones is more apt to cause them, than inflammation of the veins of other textures.

He accounts for this by the circumstance that the vascular canals of bone cannot collapse like the vessels of other textures; and further supports his opinion by the following experiments:—

The marrow was removed from the thigh bone of a dog, and mercury put in its place. At the end of five days, the dog died, and the mercury was found strewed through the lungs. Each globule was the centre of a small hepatized mass. (Cruv. liv. xi.)

In another dog, a single globule of mercury was placed in the medullary cavity of the femur. A month afterwards, it was found in the lungs divided into many very small globules, each the centre of a small abscess.

The observation of Cruveilhier, that injuries which involve bones are those most frequently followed by scattered abscesses, includes,

as a particular instance, the fact, long ago noticed, that injuries of the head are often followed by abscesses of the liver.

From the researches of Mr. Arnott in this country, and of MM. Dance and Cruveilhier in France, no doubt remains that the abscesses in such cases result from suppurative inflammation of a vein, either in the soft parts, or between the tables of the skull.

Many false theories of the mode of formation of the abscesses of the liver consequent on injuries of the head, have been maintained under the erroneous impression that abscesses exist in the liver only. It was, however, long ago remarked by Morgagni, that, in these cases, there are often abscesses in the lungs, heart, spleen, and other organs, as well as in the liver. The abscesses in the liver attracted more attention than those in the lungs, on account, perhaps, of their larger size, and their being more conspicuous from the stronger contrast between the colour of pus and the natural colour of the organ.

There is a close analogy between the secondary abscesses from phlebitis, and secondary masses of cancer.

A cancer of the breast may be the source of cancerous tumors in the lungs and liver, just as an inflamed vein in the arm may be the source of abscesses in those parts.

The abscesses and the secondary cancerous tumors will be scattered in the same manner, and immediately surrounded by healthy pulmonary or hepatic tissue.

The lungs and the liver are the organs in which secondary cancerous tumors, as well as the abscesses from phlebitis, are most frequent.

The cancerous tumors and the abscesses have in each organ the same form and seat; and in the lungs, both have a great predilection for the surface.

These points of resemblance can hardly be explained, except on the supposition that the germs of the two diseases, — cancer-cells and pus-globules,—are disseminated in the same manner through the veins.

It may be considered then established, that the abscesses which form in the liver and other organs, after surgical operations and injuries of the head or limbs, are owing to suppurative inflammation of a vein, and the consequent contamination of the blood by pus. The globules of pus, mingled with the blood, are

conveyed to the capillary vessels of the lungs, and, it would seem, by becoming mechanically arrested there, excite each circumscribed inflammation and abscess. If any of the globules pass through the capillaries of the lungs to the left side of the heart, they are sent in the arterial current to other organs, and becoming arrested in the capillaries of these organs, excite, as in the lungs, inflammation of limited extent, rapidly passing on to abscess.

These scattered abscesses are most commonly found after operations or injuries, because suppurative inflammation of the inner surface of a vein is most commonly caused by mechanical injury of its coats; but they may obviously result from suppurative phlebitis set up in any other way. I have met with two instances in which scattered abscesses in various organs seemed to result from a collection of pus that had formed, from some cause which I could not discover, between the periosteum and bone of the upper arm; another instance, in which their source was probably a large tuberculous cavity in the lungs.

Perhaps, then, we are justified in concluding in all cases in which we find collections of pus rapidly formed in different parts of the body, that the immediate cause of these scattered inflammations is some irritating substance conveyed there by the blood; and in most of the cases where the abscesses in the lungs are small and circumscribed, that this irritating substance is pus, derived from inflammation of the inner surface of a vein.

In cases in which we cannot find the inflamed vein, the facts, that the abscesses are scattered in the same way, and occupy the very same anatomical seat as in those cases in which the source of the pus is known—that this kind of dissemination and the anatomical seat occupied are also the same as in the case of injected mercury and secondary cancer,—are conclusive in showing that the agent arrives by the blood, and almost conclusive that this agent is a pus-globule.

The proportion of cases of this kind, in a given number of cases of abscess of the liver, will, of course, vary with the frequency of abscess of the liver from other causes.

In India, where other powerful causes of abscess of the liver are in operation, the proportion will be small. In the cases published by Annesley, there is not one that we can, from his description, place in this category.

In the fifteen cases that fell under my own observation at the Dreadnought, there is only one that clearly belongs to this head. In this instance, abscess of the liver, with abscesses of the lungs and collections of pus in various joints, resulted from phlebitis caused by the operation of bleeding.

In the sixteen cases collected by Louis and Andral, in Paris, where abscess of the liver from other causes is less frequent, there are four which may be placed in this category;—one, in which the abscesses were consequent on venesection; (Louis, Obs. 2;) another, in which they were consequent on childbirth; (Louis, Obs. 1;) a third, (Andral, Obs. 23,) where with abscesses of the liver, there was lobular pneumonia of the left lung, grey hepatisation of the right, and pus between the vertebral column and pharynx; a fourth, (Andral, Obs. 26,) in which there was grey hepatisation of the lower lobe of the left lung, and pus in the mediastinum.

As yet, I have alluded only to inflammation of those veins that return their blood immediately to the vena cava, in which case the pus must pass through the capillaries of the lungs before it can be sent to other organs. In such cases, abscesses are sometimes found in the lungs only, and are usually more numerous in them than in other organs. But if one of the veins that go to form the vena portæ be inflamed, the pus will be carried to the liver first, and abscesses will be found solely, or in greatest number, in that organ. Cruveilhier found, that if mercury be injected into one of the veins that feed the vena portæ, it will all be stopped in its course through the liver, and will cause circumscribed abscesses there, just as it does in the lungs when injected into the crural vein.

He injected mercury into one of the mesenteric veins of a dog. At the end of twenty-four hours, the dog died, and the surface of the liver was found sprinkled with small spots of a deep red colour, which extended four or five lines into its substance. In the centre of each of these red masses was a small globule of mercury. (Cruv. liv. xi.)

In another instance, having met with a dog having an umbilical omental hernia, he injected mercury into one of the small veins of the omentum. The dog was killed about ten weeks after, and

the liver found studded with a countless number of, what Cruveilhier calls, tubercles, in the centre of each of which was a globule of mercury.

Some of these tubercles had two distinct layers: the outer, albuminous or tuberculous; the inner, puriform.

In these two experiments the different stages of suppurative inflammation are seen. At first, there is a spot of a deep red colour;—this passes to suppuration and abscess; and the matter of this abscess, acting as a source of irritation, excites around it inflammation of a different kind, which leads to effusion of albumen or fibrin, and thus forms a cyst for the matter.

The veins that feed the vena portæ, are little exposed to accidental injury, but some of their branches are divided in operations on the rectum and for strangulated hernia; and, as might have been anticipated, these operations are sometimes followed by abscess of the liver.

Cruveilhier relates a case where abscesses of the liver were immediately consequent on repeated attempts to return a prolapsed rectum.

The patient, a man of sixty, had been subject to prolapsus many years. The bowel protruded at the first effort to empty it, but was usually returned without difficulty. When he sought assistance on the last occasion, it had been down twenty-four hours, and was replaced only after repeated and violent attempts, which gave him much pain.

The same day, the expression of his countenance altered, and his pulse became small and unequal. He soon fell into a state of prostration, with a cold skin, vomiting, hiccough, stupor, but without pain, and died on the fifth day.

A great number of small abscesses, some superficial, others deep-seated, were found in the liver. The hepatic tissue for a short distance round each of them was of a brown-slate colour and softened. (Cruv. liv. xvi.)

Dance mentions a case in which abscesses formed rapidly in the liver after an operation for cancer of the rectum, where cauterization was practised; another, in which they were consequent on a simple operation for fistula; two others, in which they followed the operation for strangulated hernia, where a portion of

irreducible omentum suppurated externally. (Archiv. Generales, t. xix. p. 172.)

There can be little doubt that in all these cases, the abscesses in the liver were the consequence of phlebitis caused by the operations.

It is an important circumstance, and one to which I shall again have to refer, that in none of the cases do Cruveilhier or Dance speak of abscesses in other organs. It would seem that all the pus furnished by the inflamed veins, was stopped in its passage through the liver; and that abscesses formed in the liver only.*

3rd.—The consideration of these cases leads us naturally to a third cause—I believe by far the most frequent cause—of abscess of the liver: namely, ulceration of the large intestine, or, more generally, of the intestines, the stomach, the gall-bladder, or ducts; parts, which return their blood to the portal vein, to be thence transmitted through the capillaries of the liver.

A connexion between abscess of the liver and dysentery has long been noticed, but the two diseases are associated far more frequently than has been generally imagined. Of the twenty-nine cases recorded by Annesley, there are twenty-one, or nearly three-fourths, in which there were ulcers, more or less extensive, in the large intestine; and two other cases, in which the large intestine was contracted or strictured, in consequence, no doubt, of dysentery at some former period. It is not unlikely that in some of the remaining cases ulceration of the intestines existed but was not noticed.

Of the fifteen fatal cases which fell under my own observation at the Dreadnought, the state of the intestines was not noticed in two. In eight of the remaining thirteen cases, there were ulcers in the large intestines, and in one other case, two ulcers in the stomach; so that, in nine of thirteen cases, or in nearly three-fourths, there were ulcers in the large intestine or stomach. In another of these cases, without ulceration of the stomach or intestine, there was ulceration of the common gall-duct.

In the sixteen cases collected by Andral and Louis, who seem not to have suspected any connexion between abscess of the

* In some instances, perhaps, the pus passes through, or the abscesses of the liver cause inflammation of the hepatic vein, and thence disease of the lung. In Obs. 3, of M. Louis, there were ulcerated intestines, abscess of the liver, double pleuro-pneumonia.

liver and ulcerated intestine, ulcers are noticed in the large intestine and in the lower end of the ileum, in two cases;* in the lower end of the ileum only, in one case;† in the stomach, in four cases;‡ in the gall-bladder, in one case.§

In one of the cases in which the stomach was ulcerated, the ulcer communicated with the abscess, which was in the left lobe of the liver. It is fair to conclude, as Andral does, that in this case (Andral, Obs. 31) the ulcer was caused by the abscess opening into the stomach. Excluding this case, there are still seven cases out of fifteen, in which there was ulceration of some part of the extensive mucous surface that returns its blood to the portal vein.

The fact will appear still stronger, if we recollect, that in one of these sixteen cases, the abscess in the liver was caused by a blow; that in four others, it seemed the consequence of phlebitis; and that in none of these five cases were there any ulcers in the stomach, intestines, or gall-bladder. So that in seven out of eleven cases, in which the abscesses were not the consequence of a blow or of general phlebitis, there was ulceration of the stomach, the small or large intestines, or the gall-bladder.

It is impossible to suppose that this is a mere coincidence of diseases having no relation to each other. In another of these eleven cases (Andral, Obs. 32) the abscess of the liver was obviously consequent on chronic disease of the stomach, and after death, the lining membrane of the stomach was found in some parts so softened as to resemble liquid mucus. In this last case, and in the three cases in which there was an ulcer in the stomach, the state of the large intestine is not noticed.

Here, again, I may adduce, as a further support to my position, the analogy of cancer. Cancer of the stomach is frequently followed by disseminated cancerous tumors in the liver, and in no other organ. In a subsequent chapter I shall refer to numerous instances of this kind from those storehouses of pathology—the *Clinique Médicale* of Andral, and the *Anatomie Pathologique* of Cruveilhier. It would seem, that cancer-cells, like pus-globules, usually, if not always, become arrested in the liver, and do not pass through to become the germs of cancerous tumors in other organs.

* Andral, Obs. 25; Louis, Obs. 3.

† Andral, Obs. 24.

‡ Andral, Obs. 27, 30, and 31; Louis, Obs. 4.

§ Louis, Obs. 5.

The association of dysentery with abscess of the liver, is noticed by most physicians who have treated of either of those diseases.

Dr Cheyne, speaking of the dysentery of Ireland, says, that in the majority of his dissections the liver was apparently sound; but that in two cases, he found abscesses in its substance. (Dublin Hospital Reports, vol. iii.)

In two of the four cases of abscess of the liver, published by Dr. Abercrombie, there were ulcers in the large intestine.* It is remarkable that Dr. Abercrombie should have considered the association of the two diseases accidental. He says, "Dysentery is often accompanied by diseases of neighbouring organs, especially the liver, in which are found in some cases abscesses, and in the protracted cases chronic induration. These are to be regarded as accidental combinations, though they may considerably modify the symptoms." (Diseases of the Stomach, &c., 2nd edition, p. 266.)

Annesley, much struck with the frequent association of the two diseases, and impressed with the importance of establishing their true relation, confesses his inability to do this. He supposes that, in some cases, the abscess is consequent on the dysentery; that, in others, the dysentery is the mere consequence of the disease of the liver; while, in a third order of cases, the disease of the liver and that of the large intestine are coeval, or so nearly coeval, that it is almost impossible to decide which had priority (Annesley, vol. ii. p. 199). And, indeed, in India, it must be extremely difficult to discover the relation between the two diseases, on account of the great prevalence of other disorders of the liver that are not easily distinguished from abscess during the life of the patient.

In the cases that fell under my own care in the Dreadnought, I experienced the same difficulty, and generally found it impossible to tell, from the history of the case, which had priority—the disease of the liver or the dysentery.

In some cases, however, it was impossible to resist the conclusion, that the abscess of the liver was not only consequent on the dysentery, but caused by it.

On the 12th of March, 1838, four men, Brown, Flett, Crere, and Davies, were brought into the Dreadnought, from the same

* Diseases of Stomach, &c.; 2nd edition; cases 93, & 130.

vessel, the *Renown*, in a dreadful state of dysentery. The *Renown* had just come from Calcutta, and had lost many of her crew from dysentery between Calcutta and the Cape. At the Cape, having but five men before the mast remaining, she shipped seven fresh hands, among whom were Brown, Flett, Davies, and Crere, at that time in perfect health. Some of the original crew continued to suffer from dysentery after leaving the Cape, but these new hands had good health until, between the western islands and the channel, when they had got into cold weather, they were attacked, one after another, with dysentery of the most severe kind. Two of these men died soon after their admission to the Dreadnought, the others recovered sufficiently to leave the hospital.

In the two fatal cases, I found the state of the large intestine exactly the same. From the ileo-cæcal valve to the rectum, the mucous membrane was almost entirely destroyed by sloughing. In one of these cases, the liver contained three small abscesses, not encysted, and evidently quite recent; in the other, the liver, as far as I could then judge, was perfectly healthy.

Now, the primary disease in the two cases was obviously the same, produced by the same cause. And as disease of the liver was only found in one of them, we must infer that it was secondary, the consequence of the dysentery.

Among many cases of dysentery, there may be only one in which abscesses form in the liver, just as among many cases of amputation or of injury of the head, there may be only one in which abscesses form in the lungs and other organs.

In another case that fell under my care in the Dreadnought, the patient had dysentery at the Isle of France. The violent symptoms subsided after two months, and he continued his work for four years. At the end of this time, while on his passage home from the East, diarrhœa recurred, and he had, for the first time, pain in the right side and shoulder. These symptoms had lasted three months, when he was brought into the Dreadnought. He died soon afterwards.

On examination, I found a superficial abscess on the convex surface of the right lobe of the liver. The mucous membrane of the small intestine was quite healthy to within two inches of the ileo-cæcal valve. Immediately above that valve, were three ulcers, (the largest about the breadth of half-a-crown,) in most part of

which the muscular coat of the intestine was laid bare. The edges of these ulcers were not raised or ragged. In their immediate vicinity were many other ulcers, about the size of small-pox marks, which had not eaten through the mucous membrane. The mucous membrane about these ulcers was not softened or unusually vascular. In the cæcum, was a single ulcer, the size of a crown piece, having the same appearance as the larger ulcers in the small intestine. The mucous membrane in the whole cæcum was much softened; in the rest of the large intestine it was in all respects healthy. The mesenteric glands in the neighbourhood of the cæcum were enlarged and softened to a pulp of a pinkish colour. There was no ulceration of the stomach or gall-bladder; no enlargement of the patches of Peyer, or of the solitary glands of the small intestine.

The sequence of events in this case seemed to be, dysentery, which had left a few chronic ulcers in the cæcum and lower end of the small intestine; at the end of four years, recurrence of dysenteric symptoms, inflammation and abscess of the liver. The abscess of the liver clearly dated from the recurrence of the dysenteric symptoms, when the patient first felt pain referable to the liver. An abscess so superficial could not have existed without manifest symptoms.*

If the liver-disease had been the cause of the dysentery, it would, in all probability, have caused more extensive ulceration. Irritating bile might cause ulcers of the large intestine, and scattered ulcers, but it could hardly affect so exclusively, such a small portion of the gut.

I might adduce other instances, which I should perhaps weary the reader by relating, in which there could be little doubt that the abscesses in the liver were secondary to dysentery.

We are led, then, to the conclusion, admitted by Annesley, that abscess of the liver is in some cases consequent on dysentery, and caused by it.

The question now arises:—Is it not so caused in all the cases, or in most of the cases, in which the two diseases are associated?

Annesley thought not, from the circumstance, that, in India,

* Compare this case with Obs. 25 of Andral, where suppurative inflammation of the liver occurred in the course of chronic enteritis.

the symptoms of liver-disease sometimes appear as soon as those of dysentery ; in other cases, even before them.

The circumstance, that the symptoms of liver-disease appear as soon, or nearly as soon, as those of the dysentery, does not prove that the former disease is not dependent on the latter. In the case above cited from Cruveilhier, in which abscesses in the liver were caused by the rough handling of a prolapsed rectum, the symptoms commenced almost immediately after the injury, and at the end of five days, when the man died, the matter in the abscesses was fully formed. After an amputation or injury, inflammation of a vein may occur, pass on to suppuration, and contaminate the system, in less than forty-eight hours. Supposing, then, the suppurative inflammation of the liver to be excited in the same way in dysentery, it might be expected, that its symptoms would, in some cases, appear almost as soon as those of the primary complaint.

But, in India, it sometimes happens that the symptoms of liver-disease precede those of dysentery. This, also, is what might have been expected.

In India, derangements of the liver, consisting in excessive, and perhaps vitiated secretion of bile and inflammation of the gall-ducts, are very common ; the consequence, it would seem, of the heat of the climate and the free living in which the English in India indulge.

Adhesive inflammation of the liver, terminating in induration and cirrhosis, is, also, very common there, as in this country, from spirit-drinking. Now although neither of these disorders may terminate in suppurative inflammation of the liver and abscess, yet they present nearly the same symptoms, and may be readily mistaken for it. If, then, a person with any such derangement of the liver should be taken with dysentery, and have abscess of the liver in consequence, it is very natural that the dysentery should be ascribed to pre-existing suppurative inflammation of the liver.*

If the explanation I have offered be rejected, we are almost driven to conclude, as Annesley does, that the dysentery in these last cases is caused by the passage of irritating bile. Now, if this were the case, we should expect to find the most evident

* Cases 71, 75, 77, of Annesley, are probably examples of this sequence — chronic disease of the liver, dysentery, abscess of the liver.

marks of disease in the gall-ducts and the upper part of the small intestine—parts, with which the irritating secretion came first in contact; but, instead of this, these parts are almost always perfectly healthy in cases in which abscess of the liver is associated with the most destructive forms of dysentery. The whole of the large intestine may be a complete slough, while the gall-bladder and ducts and the small intestine almost down, or even quite down, to the ileo-cæcal valve, are perfectly healthy, and the bile in the gall-bladder is of its natural consistence and colour. Annesley, indeed, makes a distinction between what he calls *simple* dysentery and *hepatic* dysentery; and states that in simple dysentery, or dysentery unconnected with liver disease, the inflammation of the large intestine generally stops abruptly at the ileo-cæcal valve, while in *hepatic* dysentery, the lower part of the small intestine is often inflamed, as well as the large intestine. He believes that in the latter cases the small intestines become diseased from the irritating quality of the bile. Annesley is right in stating that in dysentery connected with abscess of the liver, the lower extremity of the ileum is often found diseased as well as the large intestine. It was so in five of the fifteen fatal cases of abscess of the liver I treated at the Dreadnought, but it not unfrequently presents just the same marks of disease in cases of simple dysentery.

I have met with many cases of simple dysentery, in which the ulceration of the bowel did not stop short at the ileo-cæcal valve; but extended twelve or eighteen inches up the small intestine. Cruveilhier has given a plate in which this is very faithfully represented; and in three out of eight cases of simple dysentery, in which Annesley has given an account of the dissections, (vol. ii. Cases, 172, 173, 179,) the lower end of the ileum was inflamed as well as the large intestine.

The proper reading of these facts seems to me to be, that the disease of the bowel in dysentery is, in some cases, strictly limited to the large intestine, while in others, it creeps a little way up the small intestine; in some, it causes abscess of the liver, in others, not.

In no cases, whether of simple or hepatic dysentery, is the upper part of the small intestine ulcerated. The ulcers of the small intestine, if any exist, are always near the ileo-cæcal valve.

There can be no doubt that a copious flow of irritating bile

may cause diarrhœa, and may prevent the ulcers of dysentery from healing; it may perhaps cause ulceration of the bowel; but it is very improbable that it causes the early and extensive ulceration and gangrene of the large intestine in Asiatic dysentery, which often destroys life in a few days, while the small intestine, almost in its entire length, remains perfectly healthy.

The more probable explanation is that which I have before given; namely, that in these cases the patient had some derangement of the functions of the liver, which was followed by dysentery, and then by abscess; and consequently, that in all the cases, or most of the cases, in which abscess of the liver and dysentery are associated, the former disease is the consequence of the latter.

If irritating bile cause ulceration of the intestine, it may be the remote cause of abscess of the liver, through the disorder it first occasions in the intestine.

Admitting dysentery, or ulceration of the bowel, to be a source of abscess of the liver, it is obvious that the liver does not become involved by spreading of the inflammation, but by some contamination of the portal blood.

This may be either by *pus*, formed by suppurative inflammation of one of the small intestinal veins; or by matter of other kind resulting from softening of the tissues; or by the fetid gaseous and liquid contents of the large intestine in dysentery, which must be absorbed and conveyed immediately to the liver. It seems probable, that contamination of the first kind usually gives rise to small scattered abscesses; of the last, to diffuse inflammation, and a larger, perhaps single, collection of *pus*. If the morbid matter be such that it does not mix readily with the blood—as globules of *pus* or mercury—it will cause small, circumscribed abscesses, the rest of the liver being healthy. If, on the contrary, the morbid matter be readily diffusible in the blood, all the blood will be vitiated, and diffuse inflammation result.

The admission of this explanation of the relation of abscess of the liver to dysentery, would lead us to expect that abscess of the liver might occasionally be consequent on ulceration of the stomach, or gall-bladder,—parts, which, like the larger intestine, return their blood to the portal vein,—and this is found to be the case.

It has been already remarked that in the sixteen cases of

abscess of the liver recorded by Andral and Louis, there are three in which the stomach was found ulcerated, without any ulceration being noticed in the intestines or gall-bladder.

In the first of these cases, (Andral, Obs. 27,) the patient, a man about forty-one years of age, died of ulcerated cancer of the stomach. The liver was enlarged, and contained scattered through it, a great number of small, firm, red masses, the result, it was supposed, of partial inflammations; but which were more probably cancerous. In the centre of one of these red masses was an abscess the size of a hazel-nut.

In another of these cases, (Andral, Obs. 30,) the patient, a man about sixty, had presented for a considerable time the symptoms of chronic gastritis—loss of appetite, vomiting, sour eructations, and a sense of weight at the epigastrium. He became sallow, and lost strength and flesh. He was somewhat benefited by milk diet and soothing measures, when, all at once, his pulse became frequent, he fell into a state of prostration, with a brown tongue, and died at the end of some days.

The coats of the stomach, for the breadth of five or six fingers in front of the pylorus, were much thickened; the mucous membrane was ulcerated; and in place of the underlying coats, there was a uniform gristly substance of a dead white colour.

The stomach was united to the liver by bands of false membrane.

The liver was of its usual size. In the left lobe was a cavity, the size of a small apple, filled with pus, and lined by a thick and tough membrane. The hepatic tissue surrounding the abscess was in a state of gangrene.

In this case, the abscess of the liver could not have caused the ulcer of the stomach; but the ulcer may fairly be presumed to have been the cause of the abscess. The abscess had existed for some time. The state of prostration marked the occurrence of gangrene about it.

In the third case, (Louis, Obs. 4,) the patient, a man of fifty, had had for four years disordered digestion, irregular appetite, occasional slight pains in the left hypochondrium, now and then nausea and purging, and frequent alternations of leanness and moderate *embonpoint*. Seventeen days before his admission to the hospital, he became much worse than usual, and a set of new symptoms appeared—heat of skin, jaundice, complete loss of appetite, severe pain at the epigastrium, and in the left hypo-

chondrium, and slight oppression. These symptoms continued, and for the last eight days he had, besides, purging and some nausea. He died a fortnight after he entered the Hospital.

The liver was somewhat larger than natural, and contained a great number of small abscesses lined by a thin and soft false membrane. Its tissue was softened throughout.

The gall-bladder was small, and obliterated at its neck. The cystic duct contained a gall-stone. The coats of the gall-bladder and cystic duct, were much indurated and thickened. The hepatic duct and the ductus communis, perfectly healthy.

In the portion of the stomach intermediate to the splenic and pyloric extremities, the mucous membrane was thicker than natural, and presented many deep ulcers, three or four lines in breadth.

Here, as in the former cases, we cannot ascribe the ulcers in the stomach to the disease of the liver, but the abscesses in the liver may be fairly attributed to the disease of the stomach. There was likewise, indeed, disease of the gall-bladder and cystic duct; but this, which was of long standing, presented no marks of recent activity, whereas it was obvious that the abscesses in the liver were of recent date.

In another case by Andral (Andral, Obs. 32,) to which I have already alluded, an abscess of the liver seemed consequent on *softening* of the mucous membrane of the stomach. The patient, a man aged 51, had symptoms of chronic gastritis for eighteen months, when he became jaundiced, and began to have a constant and troublesome pain in the right shoulder. Some time after the accession of these last symptoms—Andral does not say how long—he was seized suddenly with symptoms of peritonitis, and died at the end of three days.

In the liver was an abscess, not encysted, which had opened into the cavity of the peritoneum on the under surface of the liver near the gall-bladder. The gall-bladder and the ducts were healthy. In the splenic extremity of the stomach, the mucous membrane was much softened; in some parts so much as to resemble liquid mucus on the subjacent tissue. In the pyloric extremity, on the contrary, the mucous membrane was hypertrophied.

Here, symptoms of disease of the stomach had lasted eighteen months before the patient had any symptoms of disease of the

liver. The circumstance that the abscess was not encysted goes to prove that it was of recent date.

In the *Provincial Medical Journal* for December 3, 1842, the case of a man is related who died at the age of 48, with ulcerated cancer of the stomach. The liver contained seven or eight abscesses.

In the *Medical Gazette* for Nov. 24, 1843, there are two cases by Dr. Seymour, where a simple ulcer of the stomach had caused circumscribed abscess of the peritoneum. The patients were young maid-servants. In one, there was a large abscess in the upper part of the right lobe of the liver, which during life had burst through the diaphragm into the lung.

Ulceration of the gall-bladder or ducts, seems just as efficient as ulceration of the stomach, in causing abscess of the liver.

I would cite as a probable example of this, the last case given by M. Louis (Louis, *Obs.* 5). The liver contained from thirty to forty abscesses, from the size of a pea to that of a filbert, not encysted, and evidently of recent formation. There was no ulceration of the stomach or intestines, but in the gall-bladder, which contained some small calculi, there were six round ulcers; three superficial, and three deep. The mucous membrane of the gall-bladder was three times as thick as it should be.

A case very similar to this is given by Dr. Bright in the 1st volume of *Guy's Hospital Reports* (p. 630): gall-stones, ulceration of the gall-bladder, numerous abscesses in the liver.

With these cases may be classed one of the cases I had to treat at the Dreadnought.

The patient, aged 33, was brought into the Hospital on the 2nd of December, immediately on his return from Quebec. At Quebec he had ague, and this was succeeded, three weeks before his admission, by jaundice and pain below the ensiform cartilage. The jaundice continued, but he had gained strength, when, on the 26th of January, just eight weeks after he was brought into the hospital, he was suddenly seized with symptoms of peritonitis, which carried him off in four days.

On the convex surface of the right lobe of the liver was a large irregular abscess, lined by a buff-coloured, and moderately firm, false membrane.

The gall-bladder was firmly adherent to the duodenum, and its

coats were thickened. Its cavity, which was no larger than a hazelnut, was filled by a yellow, friable gall-stone, having a firm dark-green nucleus. The cystic duct was much dilated, and contained a similar gall-stone, the size of a small bean. The common duct was also much dilated, and communicated with the duodenum by an ulcerated opening rather larger than a split pea, about two or three lines from the natural termination of the duct. The hepatic ducts were very large, and were readily traced a long way into the liver. There was no ulceration of the stomach, or of the intestines, with the exception of this ulcerated opening in the duodenum; which, as well as the dilatation of the ducts behind and the jaundice, was caused, no doubt, by a gall-stone, which had stuck for some time in the common duct, and then passed, by ulceration, into the bowel.

To these cases may be added a case for which I am indebted to Mr. Bowman, and which is given at length in another chapter. A large hydatid cyst opened into the gall-bladder. In a remote part of the liver was a small abscess. There was no ulceration of the stomach or intestines.

In the twenty-nine cases related by Annesley, to which I have so often referred, there are, as I have already remarked, twenty-three, in which there were ulcers, or the scars of ulcers, in the large intestine. In four only of these twenty-three cases, does Annesley notice any morbid change in the gall-bladder or ducts; while he remarks it in three of the remaining six cases.

In one of these three cases (case 81), the gall-bladder was very small, and seemed to be divided by a stricture in the centre.

In another (case 93), the common duct was much compressed and obstructed by enlargement and hardening of the pancreas, which completely enveloped it. On laying open the cystic duct, the mouth of the gall-bladder was found much constricted by a cartilaginous band. The intestines, small and large, were quite sound.

In the third case (case 126), the gall-bladder completely adhered to the wall of the abscess, and communicated with it. The ducts were impervious, being involved in the adhesive inflammation of the parts that bounded the abscess; and the bile secreted by the liver was either retained in the abscess, or discharged by the wound. (The abscess had been opened.) There was no other appearance of disease in any of the viscera.

Abercrombie, in his work on diseases of the Stomach, &c., has given four fatal cases of abscess of the liver. In two of these cases, to which I have already referred (cases 93 and 130), there were numerous deep ulcers in the large intestine, but no mention is made of disease of the gall-bladder or ducts, or of gall-stones; in the other two cases (cases 128 and 129), there were large or numerous gall-stones in the hepatic or common ducts, or in the gall-bladder, but there was no disease of the intestinal canal. In the latter cases, the gall-stones, probably by causing ulceration of the ducts, seem to have taken the place of the ulcerated intestine, in setting up suppurative inflammation of the liver.

The ducts, the gall-bladder, and the capsule of the liver, are nourished by the hepatic artery, and blood flows, not from the portal vein to them, but from them to the portal vein. This circumstance explains how ulceration of the gall-bladder, like ulceration of the stomach or intestines, may cause abscess of the liver; and it also explains the fact, noticed by many physicians who have written on abscess of the liver, that in this disease the gall-bladder, the large ducts, and the capsule, are seldom involved. The suppurative inflammation is confined to those parts of the liver that receive blood from the portal vein. The frequent absence of every trace of inflammation of the capsule in cases of abscess of the liver has been expressly noticed by Annesley and by Dr. Stokes, as very important in reference to treatment.

Having collected instances of abscess of the liver apparently originating in a vitiated state of the blood brought from the mucous surfaces that feed the portal vein, we require, to complete our catalogue of abscesses of the liver produced by contamination of the portal blood, other instances in which the contaminating matter is brought by the splenic vein. My friend, Mr. Busk, has furnished me with notes of the appearances after death in a case which seems to have been of this kind.

The liver contained a great number of abscesses, about the size of walnuts, containing thick white pus. The intermediate hepatic substance did not seem inflamed. It was pale, firm, and of natural appearance.

The splenic vein was much dilated. The branches by which it arises from the spleen, and all that part of it which runs on the pancreas, were inflamed, and contained a puriform fluid, and an irregular deposit of lymph.

A large portion of the spleen was pale, and partially separated as a gangrenous mass from the rest of the organ, which was of a deep red colour, and very soft.

There were no ulcers in the intestines; no abscesses anywhere but in the liver.

The most probable supposition is, that the disease in this case originated in the spleen, that the splenic vein subsequently became inflamed, and that the disseminated abscesses in the liver were caused by the noxious matter brought to it by the vein. If this matter were pus, we have another instance of pus brought in large quantity to the portal vein, being all arrested in its passage through the liver.

A circumstance strongly confirmatory of the view I have taken of the different sources of abscess of the liver in the cases that have been adduced, is, that not more than one of these probable sources existed in the same subject. Where the abscess could be traced to a blow or to suppurative inflammation of some vein that returns its blood immediately to the vena cava, there were no ulcers in the stomach, intestines, gall-bladder or ducts. When ulcers were found in the intestines, by which the occurrence of abscess in the liver could be explained, there were no ulcers in the stomach, or gall-bladder. When the stomach was ulcerated, there were no ulcers in the intestines or in the passages of the bile. When there were ulcers in the gall-bladder or ducts, there were none in any part of the intestinal canal.

It is not, perhaps, every form of ulceration of the stomach and intestines, that gives rise to abscess of the liver. I have never seen abscess of the liver noticed in conjunction with ulcerated intestine in typhoid fever. This fact is very striking when we consider how prevalent and fatal typhoid fever is; how generally it is attended with extensive ulceration of the bowels; and how attentively all the morbid appearances in this disease have been observed and recorded, of late years, in this country and in France.

Abscess of the liver is not noticed in any of the cases (ten in number) of ulceration of the duodenum after burns, given by Mr. Curling in his paper in the *Med. Chir. Trans.* for 1842. It is very rare in conjunction with ulceration of the intestine, in phthisis. In two of the cases given by Andral in which abscess of the liver was associated with ulceration of the intestines, there

were tubercles in the lungs, and the ulcers were probably of tuberculous origin. But these form an insignificant proportion in the immense number of fatal cases of phthisis with ulcerated intestines, in which the morbid appearances have been observed and recorded. It is also rarely consequent on simple ulcer of the stomach. The only instance I have met with, of this sort, is the case already cited from Dr. Seymour.

Abscess of the liver seems to occur chiefly in conjunction with the sloughing ulceration in acute dysentery; and with chronic ulcers attended with thickening and induration of the submucous areolar tissue. In the latter cases, the inflammation of the liver occurs on some exacerbation of the gastric, or dysenteric symptoms.

The causes that have here been assigned for abscess of the liver, will, I believe, be found to apply to a great majority of cases—at least, of the cases that are met with in this country. There will remain, then, if I am right in my conclusions, but few cases that require us to admit the agency of other causes.

Yet various other conditions have been very confidently assigned as causes of suppurative hepatitis.

Among these may be mentioned—

1st. Inflammation of the duodenum. Great importance was attached to this presumed cause by Broussais and his followers. Broussais, having remarked that the lymphatic glands in the vicinity of ulcerated mucous membranes are often enlarged and inflamed, and dwelling on the known sympathy between some secreting glands—the lachrymal, the salivary—and the adjacent mucous membranes, was led to generalize, and to assign inflammation of the duodenum as the most frequent cause, indeed as almost the only cause, of inflammation of the liver. This opinion is not borne out by facts. In most of the cases collected by Andral and Louis, and in those observed by myself, the condition of the duodenum was noticed; and in hardly one did it present any trace of disease. Ulceration or organic disease of the duodenum may, no doubt, cause abscess of the liver, like similar disease of other parts which transmit their blood to the portal vein, but such disease is very rare in the duodenum.

2nd.—Another cause assigned for hepatitis, is spirit-drinking. But this produces adhesive inflammation and induration of the liver, not suppurative inflammation and abscess. Not-

withstanding the great prevalence of the habit of gin-drinking among the lower orders in this metropolis, years often pass away without a single case of abscess of the liver being admitted into a large London hospital. Not one has been received into King's College Hospital since its establishment—a space now of five years.

3rd.—A third cause confidently assigned by Annesley and many other writers, is congestion of the liver. But this, assuredly,—that is, mechanical congestion, produced by impediment to the flow of blood through the lungs or heart,—never produces suppurative inflammation. Abscesses of the liver are never met with as a consequence of the congestion caused by the organic diseases of the heart so common in our hospitals; and in not one of the cases recorded by Louis, or Andral, or Annesley, could the abscesses be attributed to this condition. Of the other kinds of congestion, and the points in which they differ from states to which the term inflammation may properly be applied, we know but little—and their influence in causing abscess of the liver, will be comprehended in that of heat of climate, malaria, and the other circumstances by which such states of congestion are produced.

4th.—In India, great influence is attributed to the heat of the climate in causing inflammation and abscess of the liver. A hot climate, no doubt, deranges the functions of the liver, and causes increased secretion of bile, which often is irritating in quality, and produces inflammation of the gall-ducts and intestines,—and in this indirect way, it may cause suppurative inflammation of the substance of the liver. It may, perhaps, also, lead directly, and without such intervention, to suppurative inflammation and abscess; but I feel persuaded that it does so far less frequently than is generally imagined, and that the notion originated from the prevalence of *dysentery*, which we have seen to be a frequent cause of abscess, in many tropical climates. The heat of our own summers, or of those of France, never brings on abscess of the liver, which is very rare in the civil hospitals of London and Paris. Sailors employed in the trade to the west coast of Africa are exposed to heat, perhaps as great as those in the trade to India, and suffer much more in health, but they are not equally liable to abscess of the liver, or to dysentery.

Men employed in japanning, and other processes in the arts, are often exposed to heat much greater than that of India, and

their health suffers in consequence, yet we never find them coming into our hospitals with abscess of the liver.

5th.—Another cause brought forward to explain the frequency of abscess of the liver in India, is remittent or intermittent fever, or, more correctly, the malaria that produces these fevers. It seems established, that in some of these fevers, the liver, like the spleen, becomes congested, and much enlarged in consequence; and in yellow fever and the severe forms of remittent fever, it is much and permanently damaged in its secreting element. Yet it may be doubted whether suppurative inflammation of the liver takes place in these cases without ulceration of the stomach, or gall-bladder, or intestines, which so often occurs in some climates in the course of the severe forms of marsh-fever. During the time I was visiting physician to the Dreadnought, I had continually to treat men in the most deplorable state from fever caught on the west coast of *Africa*, but none of these men had abscess of the liver.

Louis, in his elaborate account of the yellow fever, which he was sent by the French Government to observe, at Gibraltar, in 1823, says he constantly found the liver of a pale slate colour from anemia, but without any marks of inflammation.

Annesley, indeed, notices abscesses in the liver, among the morbid appearances of the remittent fever of India, but he also notices ulceration of the intestine (Annesley, vol. ii. p. 456). Sir G. Blane, in his account of the Walcheren fever, remarks, that the liver was occasionally the seat of abscess; but here, as in India, the fever was associated with dysentery. It is probable that in both cases the abscesses occasionally found in the liver were the consequence of the dysentery, and not the immediate effects of the fever.

It may be, however, that in some parts of India, a peculiar malaria, favoured perhaps by the heat of the climate, produces abscess of the liver independently of ulceration of any part of the mucous surface that returns its blood to the portal vein. We know that marsh-fevers differ very much in type, and damage different organs in different seasons and climates; and even according to different degrees of concentration, merely, of the poison by which they are produced. The question, once asked, will soon be answered by men practising in India, who, in

general, show the most praiseworthy zeal in collecting facts and adding to our knowledge of all subjects connected with medicine.

Having considered the causes of suppurative inflammation of the substance of the liver, we may proceed to the *changes of structure* to which it leads.

The earliest perceptible changes in the appearance and texture of the liver from suppurative inflammation involving its substance, are uniform redness and softening. These were the earliest changes observed by Cruveilhier in his experiments of injecting mercury into the mesenteric veins of dogs. When the dogs died before sufficient time had elapsed for the formation of pus, the mercury was found strewed through the liver, and the hepatic tissue around each globule of a deep red colour, and softened. In the human subject, in most cases of abscess of the liver, when speedily fatal, the hepatic tissue about the abscess is of a bright red and softened.

This preliminary stage, is, however, of very short duration. The inflammation soon passes, in some cases in a few days only, to suppuration and abscess. Dr. Stokes has noticed a stage, between red softening and abscess, in which the pus is disseminated through the lobules of the liver, the form of which can still be distinguished, and the inflamed substance is yellowish, and of course still very soft.

I have never found this change in the liver without abscess, nor does Dr. Stokes seem to have done so, but in several instances I have observed it extending a distance of two or three lines about a recently formed abscess.

This state of yellow softening, or purulent infiltration, is, therefore, very transitory; and we may, consequently, consider red softening and abscess, as the anatomical characters of suppurative inflammation of the substance of the liver.

The inflammation we are considering, commences in the lobular substance of the liver, and is often confined to it; the capsule of the liver, the trunks of the vessels and of the ducts, being perfectly healthy. But if the inflamed part reach the surface of the liver, adhesive inflammation is generally set up in the portion of the capsule immediately above it, and coagulable lymph is poured out, which causes permanent adhesion between that portion

of the liver and the parts with which it is in contact. This adhesive inflammation is usually of small extent, being confined to the portion of the capsule immediately above the abscess. It sometimes happens, too, when the portion of liver inflamed reaches a trunk of the hepatic vein, that inflammation is set up within the vein. In two instances in which abscesses had formed in the liver after amputation of the leg, I found one or two branches of the hepatic vein blocked up by soft fibrine; and in each I ascertained that an abscess reached the vein where it ceased to be obstructed by the fibrine. Backwards from this point, all the twigs were blocked up that went to form the obstructed branch. It would seem that the abscess, reaching the thin coat of the vein, had set up inflammation within it,—just as it sets up inflammation of the capsule at parts where it reaches the surface—and that the vein being blocked up at that point by the effused fibrine, all the twigs that went to form it, became obstructed in consequence.

I have never found a branch of the portal vein inflamed in such cases, but Dr. James Russel, of Birmingham, has sent me notes of a very interesting case in which abscesses formed in the liver and other parts, after amputation of the leg, and in which he found lymph and pus in a branch of the portal vein contiguous with one of the abscesses.

The branches of the hepatic vein are perhaps more apt to become inflamed secondarily, in this way, than those of the portal vein, from their coats being thinner, and from their not being surrounded, like the branches of the portal vein, by areolar tissue.

Abscesses of the liver sometimes attain an extraordinary size. In one instance, I estimated the quantity of matter in an abscess of the liver, at two quarts. A case is related by Annesley, in which an abscess in the liver contained ninety ounces of matter; and Dr. Inman, of Liverpool, has sent me an account of one still more extraordinary, that fell under his own observation, in which the quantity of matter was found by measurement to be thirteen pints.

The matter in an hepatic abscess is usually white or yellowish; and is free from odour, unless when in close proximity to the lung, where it sometimes becomes decomposed and fetid, from the admission of air.

Many of the old writers describe the pus of abscess of the liver as being red or claret-coloured, but this is incorrect. In all the abscesses of the liver that I have examined, the pus was white or yellowish, just like that of a phlegmon. The error of those who have described it as being reddish, resulted, perhaps, from their having met with a case in which the abscess opened into the lung, and in which the pus, in its passage through the lung, became mixed with blood and broken down pulmonary tissue. They described the matter *expectorated*, and not the matter contained in the abscess. It is not very uncommon for an abscess of the liver to open into the lung. Several instances of the kind have fallen under my own notice, and in all of them the matter expectorated was a dirty-red, or brownish, pus. The reddish colour was acquired in its passage through the lung. The matter in the abscess was yellowish or white.

Rokitansky states, that in old abscesses of the liver, there is always an appreciable quantity of bile mixed with the pus. I did not remark this in any of the dissections I made at the Dreadnought; perhaps, from my attention not being directed to it.

In cases that have proved speedily fatal, the abscess is bounded simply by red and softened hepatic tissue; but in others, it is lined by a false membrane or cyst. The structure of this cyst varies very much in different cases,—depending in some degree, perhaps, on the general condition of the patient; but chiefly, on the date of the abscess, and on its size. In small abscesses, and in abscesses recently formed, the pus is surrounded by a layer of albuminous matter, a line or two in thickness, resembling concrete pus, and beyond this the hepatic tissue has its natural texture; while in old abscesses of large size the cavity is bounded by a dense grey substance, like cartilage, three or four lines in thickness; and the hepatic tissue for a line or two even beyond this is pale and condensed, obviously in effect of pressure.

The following seems to be the mode in which these cysts are produced. At first, the pus becomes circumscribed by a layer of concrete albuminous matter. The abscess then acts as a foreign body, causing pressure on the surrounding parts, and an inflammatory action which leads to the effusion of fibrine. The fibrine, becoming organized, forms the cartilaginous-like layer described.

When an abscess in the liver has become thus isolated by a firm cyst, it may, especially if it be of small size, remain a long

time without further change; but in most cases, after being, perhaps, some time stationary, it grows larger, apparently through secretion of fresh matter from the inner surface of the now organised cyst. By the pressure exerted on it by the distending force, the cyst may become ulcerated, and in this way, as well as by mere distension, the abscess may grow larger. It would seem that, by the process of ulceration, a gall-duct imbedded in the cyst, or lying on it, may be opened, and a small quantity of bile become mixed with the pus. Rokitansky thus accounts for the bile which he constantly found mixed with the pus in old abscesses of the liver. He says, the large gall-ducts about the abscess break down by the spreading of the supuration, and open obliquely into the cavity on the *distal* side, but only exceptionally, and in very large abscesses, on the side towards the intestine.

When an abscess of the liver in its first formation, or by its subsequent growth, reaches the surface of the liver, it may have various issues. The abscess may burst into the cavity of the peritoneum, causing inflammation of that membrane, which proves speedily fatal. But this seldom happens. In a great majority of instances, when the matter gets near the surface of the liver, adhesive inflammation is set up in the portion of peritoneum immediately above it, and lymph is poured out, which glues the liver to adjacent organs—to the abdominal parietes, the diaphragm, the stomach, the duodenum, the colon, according to the seat of the abscess,—and the matter is discharged, not into the cavity of the peritoneum, but outwards, or into the lung or pleura, or the different portions of the intestinal canal just specified.

Livers containing abscesses are found of all shades of colour that can be produced by different degrees of congestion, and by differences in the quantity and colour of the biliary matter retained in the cells; but they are seldom indurated from interstitial deposit of fibrine. The inflammation which terminates in abscess, and that which leads to effusion of fibrine and induration, or cirrhosis, are not different in degree merely, but in kind also. Abscesses are never found in the *hob-nail* livers of the gin-drinking population of our large towns; and it happens seldom, and then, I believe, only by coincidence, that there is much induration of the liver in persons who return from India with abscess of this organ.

We may now consider the *symptoms* of suppurative inflammation of the liver.

In most works on medicine, these have been described as being much more uniform than they really are. A picturesque group is sketched, which it seems very easy to identify; but in actual practice, it is far otherwise. The physicians who have had most experience in this disease, confess their inability, in many cases, to distinguish it from other diseases of the liver; and in some, even to pronounce that the liver is the seat of disease at all. Here, as in the diseases of other internal organs, our diagnosis will be much aided by knowledge of the circumstances under which the disease arises. This knowledge will make us observant of symptoms which would otherwise escape our notice, and will enable us to interpret them rightly.

The symptoms are most in accordance with the descriptions usually given, when the inflammation is caused by a blow, or some direct injury from without. The injury is usually done to the convex surface of the liver, and the local symptoms are well marked. There is pain and tenderness in the region of the liver, and a sense of fulness and resistance under the false ribs, from increased size of the organ. The liver becomes enlarged, and if the abdomen be flaccid, and the intestines empty, its edge can be felt some inches below its natural limit. The secretion of bile may be suppressed, or deficient, and the patient jaundiced.

In addition to these symptoms, which may be called *special*, from their pointing to the liver as the seat of disease, there soon appear, as in simple inflammation of other organs, the general symptoms of inflammatory fever: the pulse is frequent and full; the skin hot; the tongue furred and yellowish; appetite is altogether absent or much diminished. The patient is thirsty, and there is occasionally vomiting of bilious matter, while the urine is scanty, high coloured, and deposits a red sediment.

These general symptoms, together with the special symptoms—pain and tension in the region of the liver, and jaundice—occurring after an injury to the side, are perhaps, in the absence of evidence of disease of the lung or pleura, sufficient to characterise suppurative inflammation of the liver.

But, as before remarked, the liver is so well shielded by the ribs, that the disease is seldom caused in this way. It occurs

much more frequently after injuries done to other parts of the body, and after surgical operations, from suppurative inflammation of some vein, and the consequent contamination of the blood by pus.

In such cases, the general symptoms do not aid us in detecting it. There is already high fever, which rapidly assumes a typhoid character—the consequence of the contamination of the whole mass of blood, and of the various local inflammations to which this gives rise.

We can only infer that abscesses are forming in the liver by the occurrence of *special* symptoms—pain in the region of the liver and jaundice—in the midst of the general disorder. But these special symptoms do not exist in all cases. There may be no jaundice; and pain, even, may be wanting, or the typhoid state into which the patient falls may prevent his distinctly perceiving or expressing it. In such cases, the abscesses in the liver can be discovered only after the death of the patient.

In the same way, when inflammation of the liver occurs during the acute stage of dysentery, or on a recurrence of acute symptoms in chronic dysentery, the general symptoms do not aid us in discovering it, because they are fairly attributable to the primary disease. The diagnosis must be founded on local symptoms chiefly—pain and tenderness referrible to the liver, tension in the right hypochondrium, and jaundice. Our knowledge of the connexion between the two diseases enables us to attach due importance to these symptoms and ascribe them to their actual cause. Pain and tenderness in the region of the liver, slight increase in its volume, and jaundice, which, in other circumstances, might excite little alarm, and be attributed to their most frequent cause,—inflammation and obstruction of the gall-ducts,—when they occur in the course of dysentery, will lead us to dread suppurative inflammation and abscess.

But these special symptoms are far indeed from being all present in every case; and in some cases they are entirely wanting.

On the 2nd of October, 1839, a Lascar, 62 years of age, was admitted into the Dreadnought, with general emphysema and catarrh. He complained only of weakness, but sweated at night, and had hectic fever, which led to the suspicion that he had miliary tubercles. He grew weaker, and died of the catarrh, on the 12th of November. While in the hospital, he made no complaint of pain or tenderness in the right hypochondrium, had no

vomiting, no diarrhœa, no jaundice,—not a symptom to lead me to suspect that his liver was diseased. On examination, an abscess, containing more than a pint of matter, was found in the substance of the liver. The abscess was bounded by a moderately firm cyst, and the hepatic tissue for a line or two beyond this was pale and condensed. The rest of the liver was healthy, and the capsule presented no marks of having been inflamed. The stomach and small intestines were healthy. In the large intestine, there were numerous scars, traces of former dysentery, but no actual ulcers. The lungs were extremely emphysematous, and the bronchial tubes choked by mucus. There were no other marks of disease.

My friend and former pupil, Dr. Inman, of Liverpool, has sent me notes of an interesting case, in which abscesses of the liver occurred, in consequence it would seem of dysentery, without any symptom immediately referrible to the liver. The patient, a woman 45 years of age, was admitted into the Liverpool Infirmary, on the 21st of June, 1843, in a state of extreme weakness, from bad living and from constant diarrhœa, which had then lasted nine or ten weeks. The diarrhœa came on without urgent symptoms, and was unattended by griping or tenesmus. The stools were occasionally tinged with blood. The belly was drawn in, and not tender on pressure. She died on the 12th of July. There was extensive ulceration of the large intestine from the ileo-cæcal valve to the rectum. The stomach, the small intestines, the kidneys, and the spleen, were healthy. The liver was larger than natural, and near the lower surface of the right lobe, were three abscesses containing, in all, about twenty ounces of pure yellow pus. The abscesses were not encysted, and their walls were rough and jagged. There were no marks of inflammation of the capsule of the liver. The lungs were œdematous; otherwise healthy. In the account he sent me, Dr. Inman observes, "No pain in the side or shoulder had been noticed, no vomiting, nor any other symptom that led to the suspicion that there were abscesses in the liver. The abscesses were discovered by accident, in the examination of the body."

Andral, Abercrombie, and indeed all writers who have published a series of cases of suppurative inflammation of the liver, have noticed the same fact,—that, occasionally, in this disease, the patient has no symptoms immediately referrible to the liver.

Annesley says, "The supervention of abscess of the liver "

(in dysentery) "is often not manifested by symptoms of a decided nature." "The formation of matter may commence and terminate without the appearance of any of those signs on which the inexperienced are taught to rely." In another place, he says, "When the disorders of both viscera are nearly coeval, the inexperienced observer may not detect the presence of biliary derangement, until the disease is hastening to a fatal termination, and unequivocal signs of abscess are present. In cases of this description, the violence of the dysenteric symptoms absorbs the whole attention of both patient and practitioner, and the complication is overlooked."

The presence or absence of the symptoms directly referrible to the liver depends chiefly on the *situation*, and *extent*, of the part of the liver inflamed. These symptoms are, as before remarked, fulness of the right hypochondrium, from enlargement of the liver; pain or tenderness; and jaundice.

The degree of enlargement must evidently depend in some measure on the *extent* of the part inflamed. If only a small portion of the liver be inflamed, the inflammation, though attended with considerable distension of vessels, may run through all its stages without producing any enlargement of the organ discoverable by touch. But in this kind of inflammation there is seldom, I believe, much increase of volume even of the part inflamed. Enlargement of the liver is much more common in adhesive inflammation—that is, in inflammation which terminates in effusion of coagulable lymph, and causes permanent induration, or cirrhosis. This latter kind of inflammation, at least when produced by spirit-drinking, usually involves the entire organ, and apparently by causing an interstitial deposit of lymph, often much increases its size; while suppurative inflammation is generally limited to a small part of it, and before pus is formed, even this part may be little increased in volume.

The circumstance, that suppurative inflammation is generally partial, serves also to explain the occasional absence of *jaundice*. A portion only of the liver is inflamed, and as any part can perform its function independently of the rest, the sound parts may be adequate to free the blood of the principles of bile.

The presence, or absence, of *pain*, seems to depend, not so much on the *extent*, as on the *situation*, of the portion inflamed.

As long as the inflammation is confined to deep-seated parts,

and is not sufficiently extensive, nor attended with sufficient congestion to cause enlargement of the liver, and stretching of its capsule, there is little, or no, pain. The substance of the liver, like that of the lungs and other parenchymatous organs, is little susceptible of pain. The sharp and severe pain that frequently attends inflammation of these organs, has its seat in their fibrous or serous covering.

The occasional absence of symptoms directly referrible to the liver, is not then so inexplicable as might at first appear. It is satisfactorily accounted for by the circumstance, which dissection has already disclosed to us—that suppurative inflammation is generally partial, and often involves only the *substance* of the liver, the natural sensibility of which is slight.

When suppurative inflammation involves all the secreting substance of the liver, there is deep jaundice, and the patient dies from oppression of the functions of the brain. A case, which seems to have been one of this kind, is given by Andral (Clin. Med. iv. p. 381).

When an abscess in the liver has become encysted, if small and deep-seated, it causes but little constitutional disturbance, and, provided it remain stationary, the patient may enjoy even tolerable health for years. I had clear proof of this in the case, to which I shall again refer, of my late colleague, Mr. Lawson, consulting surgeon to the Dreadnought, who for ten years before his death had undoubtedly his liver studded with abscesses, but was still competent to all the duties of his profession. If, however, the abscess be large, the health is usually much broken. Even when there is neither pain or tenderness, there is yet some degree of fever; the pulse is frequent; there are night sweats; and the patient does not recover strength; and, not unfrequently, the urine deposits a pinkish sediment. The complexion, too, has in most cases lost its natural clearness, and is sallow or muddy.

But besides the general symptoms of inflammatory fever, and the special symptoms—pain and tension in the right hypochondrium, and jaundice—which occur in well-marked cases of suppurative inflammation of the liver, and which, when found in conjunction with the circumstances in which suppurative inflammation is known to arise, are perhaps sufficient to characterize it, there are some other symptoms occasionally observed, which cannot be referred to

either of the preceding heads, and which frequently continue after the feverish symptoms are past. These symptoms are, pain in the right shoulder; vomiting; a short, dry cough; and permanent rigidity of the muscles of the abdominal parietes, but especially of the *right rectus muscle*.

Pain in the right shoulder has long been noticed,—indeed from the time of Hippocrates,—as an attendant on hepatic disease; and considerable importance has been attached to it, as a sign of hepatic abscess. M. Louis, in his paper on abscess of the liver, states that none of his patients (they were five in number), had any pain in the shoulder; and he hesitates to believe that this symptom really belongs to disease of the liver. He conjectures, that, when present, it may depend on concomitant disease of the lung or pleura. Nearly the same opinion has been expressed by M. Andral.

Pain in the right shoulder is, indeed, far less frequent in cases of abscess of the liver than is generally imagined, but it existed in five of the fifteen cases I had to treat at the Dreadnought, and in some of these cases there could be no doubt that the pain in the shoulder was dependent on the disease of the liver.

In one of these five cases there was a small abscess on the convex surface of the right lobe, and the peritoneum covering the abscess adhered, for the space of a shilling, to the reflected layer of the peritoneum. There were some old adhesions of the lung to the pleura costalis, but no trace of recent pleurisy. Both lungs were pale and perfectly sound.

In another of these cases, in which the abscess was on the convex surface of the liver, and formed a prominent tumor, the pain of the shoulder was so severe as to cause the patient to moan. The pain continued extremely severe for a long time, and at length *was relieved on our opening the abscess*.

In a third case where the abscess likewise formed a prominent tumor, the patient complained of an aching pain in the right shoulder, extending to the shoulder-blade and up the right side of the neck.

In a fourth case, pain in the shoulder varied in intensity with pain in the right side. When the side was easy, the shoulder was easy also. The two pains were evidently related. In this case, there were five or six abscesses of various sizes in the liver—

one opened into the lung; another was on the convex surface of the right lobe.

In the fifth case, the abscess was single, and was likewise situated on the convex surface of the right lobe. There was no recent inflammation of the lung or pleura.

In two of these cases the pain in the right shoulder continued for months; and in all of them it was associated with pain in the region of the liver. In all the cases there was an abscess on the convex surface of the right lobe, and adhesions had formed between the peritoneum covering this abscess, and the layer of peritoneum reflected over the diaphragm or abdominal parietes.

These cases tend to bear out a statement made by Annesley, that pain of the right shoulder is a sure indication that the disease is in the right lobe; and they explain how it happened that pain in the right shoulder was supposed to be so much more frequently associated with abscess of the liver than it really is. Pain in the right shoulder occurs chiefly in those cases in which the abscess is situated on the convex surface of the right lobe.* Now, before the practice of opening bodies had become general, it was only when the abscess was so situated, and when it formed a prominent tumor, that its existence was detected. The physicians of those times, therefore, observed pain in the shoulder in a great proportion of the cases in which they discovered an hepatic abscess; whereas the frequent dissections made of late years have taught us, that abscess is more frequently seated deep in the substance of the liver than on its surface, and that pain of the right shoulder is more frequently absent than present.

The pain is usually described as a gnawing, aching pain, about the top of the shoulder. There is no swelling or redness of the shoulder, and the pain is not much increased by pressure—sometimes indeed it is relieved by holding or pressing the shoulder—but *it is often increased by pressure on the liver*. The pain is, in fact, as it has always been represented to be, a *sympathetic* pain, like the pain of the knee from disease of the hip.

This sympathetic pain in the shoulder is occasionally felt in other diseases of the liver. It now and then occurs in cancer of the liver, and it may even be produced by a tumor compressing

* Andral gives a case (t. iv. obs. 32), where there was pain in the right shoulder, with abscess on the under surface of the right lobe.

the liver. It was complained of by a man who was admitted into King's College Hospital, under my care, in April, 1843, with aneurysm of the abdominal aorta. The man died suddenly from bursting of the aneurism, between four and five weeks after his admission. The aneurysm, which sprung from the side of the artery opposite the origin of the cæliac axis, formed a tumor as large as a man's head immediately behind the liver. It had partially destroyed the bodies of the first, second, and third lumbar vertebræ, and had very much flattened the liver. The tissue of the liver was quite healthy, and the capsule presented no marks of ever having been inflamed.

The cough and the vomiting, are symptoms of the same kind. Irritation of the liver, like irritation of the stomach, produces a short, dry, *sympathetic*, cough; and, like irritation of most of the abdominal viscera, it may occasion vomiting.

M. Louis has not only thrown discredit on pain of the shoulder, as a symptom of hepatic abscess, but has advanced similar opinions respecting the vomiting and cough. The *vomiting* he supposes to arise from inflammation of the mucous membrane of the stomach; and the *cough*, to be the consequence of bronchitis.

I have had several opportunities of satisfying myself that the opinion of this eminent pathologist on these points, is incorrect; and that the cough and vomiting, so frequently observed in abscess of the liver, do not depend on any disease of the lung or stomach, but are what I have stated them to be, *sympathetic* disorders, depending solely on irritation of the liver.

In the autumn of 1837, a sailor, 29 years of age, was admitted into the Dreadnought, immediately on his arrival from Calcutta. He was much emaciated, and stated that he had been ill thirty days of fever, and that during the last ten days, he had vomited everything he had taken. His belly was much drawn in, and the parietes were extremely rigid, but there was no tenderness on pressure. He was somewhat thirsty, but afraid to drink, on account of the vomiting it immediately excited. My impression was that his disease was gastritis, and I prescribed for him accordingly. The symptoms increased, and at the end of a fortnight he could be got to take little besides toast and water, which he sipped rather than drank. He died about a month after his ad-

mission to the Dreadnought. The stomach was found apparently sound, but the liver was the seat of a large abscess, the presence of which was not even suspected.

It has been mentioned that in this case, although there was no pain or tenderness, the abdominal parietes were constantly in a state of rigidity. I remarked the same symptom in several of the other cases. In one of them it was very striking: the abdominal parietes were hard, like board, especially on the right side, with the skin loose over them.

Rigidity of the right rectus muscle was, I find, noticed by the late Mr. Twining, and considered by him, and some other surgeons in India, as one of the surest indications of deep-seated abscess of the liver. Like the other symptoms with which it is here associated, it is a purely sympathetic affection. It is now and then met with in other diseases besides abscess of the liver. I observed it in a case of long-continued jaundice from closure of the common duct, which is related in another chapter; and also, in a very striking degree, in a case where a cancerous ulcer of the stomach had eaten into the liver, to which the stomach adhered. It is noticed in a case of inflamed gall-bladder, published by Dr. Graves, of Dublin, and which is cited at length in a subsequent chapter.

These sympathetic affections—the pain in the right shoulder, the vomiting, the cough, the rigidity of the abdominal muscles are of very doubtful import in the early stage of suppurative inflammation, while there is yet much fever; but when they exist after the acute stage has passed and the fever has subsided, and at the same time present the characters above noticed—when the pain is seated about the top of the shoulder, is unattended by redness or swelling, and is not much increased by pressure on the shoulder, but by pressure on the side—when the cough is short and dry, and cannot be explained by the condition of the lung—when the vomiting occurs, *immediately* after food or drink has been taken; which is a general character of sympathetic vomiting—when, in fact, these symptoms have the characters of *sympathetic* affections, they are strong indications of the existence of an hepatic abscess.

The symptoms that have now been enumerated are almost the only symptoms of suppurative inflammation of the liver, or of its termination—abscess—while the abscess is confined to the substance of the organ.

But when the abscess is large and near the surface, it may, according to its situation, discharge itself in various ways. If situated on the outer surface of the liver, it may either burst into the cavity of the peritoneum, or, by means of adhesion, make its way through the abdominal parietes; if it be situated on the upper part of the liver, in contact with the diaphragm, it may perforate the diaphragm and burst into the cavity of the pleura, or adhesions may form between the lung and the portion of diaphragm covering the abscess, and the abscess may open into the lung, and be discharged through the bronchial tubes; if the abscess be near the edge, or on the under surface of the liver, adhesions may form between the peritoneum covering it and the stomach, duodenum, or large intestine, and the matter be discharged through the intestinal canal.

There will, of course, be a variety of symptoms indicative of these several results.

If the abscess burst into the cavity of the peritoneum, there will be sudden accession of pain, vomiting, and all the symptoms of peritonitis from perforation. The patient will speedily fall into collapse, and survive, at most, a few days.

If, however, the matter discharge by *oozing* merely, it may not become diffused over the surface of the peritoneum, to excite general peritonitis. It will spread over the liver, and will be limited by adhesions so as to form a circumscribed abscess in the cavity of the peritoneum. This mode of termination is noticed by Cruveilhier, and happened in two of the cases that fell under my own observation at the Dreadnought.

If the abscess open into the stomach, there will be sudden vomiting of purulent matter; if into the intestines, sudden diarrhœa, with discharge of pus;—and, in either case, the occurrence of these symptoms will be attended by subsidence of the tumor, if any exist.

If the abscess perforate the diaphragm, it may open into the cavity of the pleura, and excite suppurative pleurisy; but this seldom happens. In almost all cases in which the abscess is making its way through the diaphragm, it excites inflammation of the pleura immediately above it; and adhesion, which is sometimes singularly limited, takes place between the diaphragm and the lung. The abscess then opens into the lung, and the matter is discharged through the bronchial tubes. When this happens,

it is marked by very characteristic symptoms,—by a new train of stethoscopic phenomena, which it is, perhaps, unnecessary to detail, and by the sudden expectoration of a dirty red or brownish puriform matter. The peculiar colour of this matter, which has been already noticed, arises from the pus, in its passage through the lung, becoming mixed with blood and broken down pulmonary tissue. There is no matter like it expectorated in any disease of the lung itself, and I believe that its appearing is pathognomic of abscess of the liver, or, at least, of abscess perforating the lung. I observed it in several instances in the Dreadnought, and more than once was led by it to detect an abscess in the liver, of which I had previously no suspicion. When the abscess is large, this matter may continue to be spit up for a great length of time. It generally comes up very easily, in some cases by mouthfuls, almost without effort on the part of the patient.

When an abscess of the liver opens into the intestines or into the lung, all the matter may be discharged, the cavity may close up, and the patient recover. I have met with one instance, in which a patient who had all the symptoms of abscess of the liver discharging through the lung, so far recovered that he left the hospital apparently well. But such a happy result is very rare, and happens, I imagine, only when the abscess is small or recently formed. In the majority of cases, the patient dies, exhausted by protracted suppuration and hectic.

The protracted suppuration depends on the nature of the walls of the abscess. The hepatic tissue and the hard gristly substance that always surrounds an old abscess of large size, cannot contract so as to close the cavity, which must consequently continue to be filled with pus. The case is analogous to those cases of old empyema, in which the lung is condensed and irrecoverably bound down against the vertebral column. In such cases, the fluid, if serous, continues to be absorbed, as long as the contraction of the side, the encroachment of the opposite lung, the dilatation even of the bronchial tubes of the compressed lung, continue to diminish the pleural cavity of the diseased side; but when all these means have reached their limit, and the cavity can be made no smaller, an end is put to the absorption of the fluid. It is a physical impossibility that a drop more of the fluid can be absorbed. In the same way, in old abscesses of the liver, if the

hardened tissue about the abscess cannot contract so as to close the cavity, the cavity must continue to be filled by pus.

It is, then, to the unyielding nature of the walls of the cavity, that we must ascribe the protracted suppuration, and the fatality of hepatic abscess, even in cases in which the free discharge of the pus would seem to promise a more favourable issue. The fatality has no relation to the outlet by which the matter is discharged. I have met with several cases in which the abscess opened through the abdominal parietes, and all of them proved fatal, so that it seems doubtful whether such an opening be more favourable than one into the intestine or lung.

The abscess, if large, may discharge through more outlets than one. In one of the cases I treated at the Dreadnought, the abscess discharged first through the lung, and afterwards through the abdominal parietes also. The reason of this is, that from its sides not collapsing, the abscess is not emptied through the first opening.

It has been supposed by some medical men in India, that the pus in an abscess of the liver may be absorbed, and eliminated, *as pus*, in the urine. But this notion is evidently erroneous. Pus-globules, from their large size, cannot directly enter the blood-vessels or escape from them. The matter in the urine supposed to be pus, was probably a deposit of phosphates. During the severe constitutional disorder that attends purulent phlebitis, there is often a sediment of this kind in the urine,—having to the naked eye much the appearance of pus, but under the microscope, showing, instead of pus-globules, beautiful phosphatic crystals.

The *treatment* of suppurative inflammation of the substance of the liver is very unsatisfactory.

When the inflammation is caused by phlebitis consequent on a wound or injury of the head or limbs, the whole mass of venous blood is contaminated by pus, suppurative inflammation is likewise set up in many lobules of the lungs, perhaps in some of the joints, and, it may be, in various other parts of the body; and the patient soon falls into a typhoid state, which bleeding and other lowering measures would only make worse. The inflammation thus excited passes rapidly on to suppuration, and we have little, if any, power to arrest it.

The chief objects of treatment should be, to prevent, where this is possible, the passage of any more pus into the blood from the injured part, and to support the strength of the patient.

When suppurative inflammation of the liver is caused by a blow, the lungs and other organs do not suffer as in purulent infection of the blood : neither are they thus implicated, when it is induced by ulceration of the stomach, or intestines, or gall-bladder, since, in these cases, the noxious matter which excites the inflammation is detained in the liver or drained off through it. Here, the strength of the patient is not so profoundly sunk, and we may hope, by means of depletion, especially by local bleeding, to control the inflammation, and limit its extent ; and, by rendering the abscesses smaller, to protract, at least, the patient's life. In some cases we may, perhaps, by active measures employed early, prevent matter from forming, but we have no evidence that this can be done when the inflammation is caused by pus, and is the consequence of inflammation of one of the veins that return their blood to the portal vein.

In this country, mercury has generally been resorted to, when the local symptoms have led to the suspicion that the liver was diseased ; but, I fear, with no benefit to the patients. It has been well observed by Abercrombie, " In the liver-diseases of this country, mercury is often used in an indiscriminate manner, and with very undefined notions as to a certain specific influence, which it is supposed to exert over all the morbid conditions of this organ. If the liver be supposed to be in a state of torpor, mercury is given to excite it ; if in a state of acute inflammation, mercury is given to moderate the inflammation and reduce its action."

This indiscriminate use of mercury has resulted from its unquestionable efficacy in some derangements of the liver, and from the difficulty of distinguishing the different disorders of this organ. In doubt as to the real nature of the malady, the practitioner is naturally anxious to give his patient the chance of a remedy that occasionally produces marked benefit ; but often, in doing so, aggravates the disorder it is his object to relieve.

This misapplication of mercury will continue until the various diseases and derangements of the liver are better discriminated, and practitioners have ascertained those in which mercury has a curative influence. There can be no doubt, that much of our

uncertainty as to the action of this and other medicines, arises from our confounding under the same name, and treating in the same manner, diseases that spring from different causes, and are essentially different in their nature.

It seems to me that mercury is peculiarly unsuited to the disease we have been considering—suppurative inflammation of the liver.

One objection to its employment in this disease, is the short time allowed for its action. When the inflammation is consequent on a wound or injury, and also, in all probability, when it occurs in the course of dysentery, it passes on to suppuration in two or three days; and when suppuration has once taken place, and abscess has formed, it is agreed by all who have had experience on the subject, not only that mercury does no good, but that in whatever quantity it be given, it fails to produce its usual constitutional effects. This fact, singular as it may appear, seems to be fully established. Annesley says, "There can be no doubt that the system will not be brought under the full operation of mercury, or that ptyalism will not follow on the most energetic employment of this substance, where abscess exists."

He repeats this opinion again and again, and even considered resistance to the action of mercury, a proof that abscess had formed in the liver.

It is only, then, before suppuration has taken place, that mercury can do any good, and during this time, from the presence of high fever, the system is with difficulty affected by it.

When abscesses have formed and become encysted, the time for active treatment by medicine has of course passed away. The wisest course, then, is, I believe, merely to regulate the bowels by rhubarb, or rhubarb and aloes, to recommend habits of strict temperance, and, where the circumstances of the patient allow, residence in a mild climate. If the complexion be sallow or dusky, the nitro-muriatic acid, as recommended by practitioners in India, will often be productive of benefit. Whenever there is reason to infer, from increase of pain and fever, that fresh inflammation is set up within the cyst, and that the abscess is growing larger, blood should be taken from the side by leeches or cupping, or a blister should be applied there.

Many physicians have recommended that abscesses of the liver should be opened; but there is much danger in the practice.

One source of danger, noticed by Annesley, Dr. Stokes, and

many other writers, arises from the difficulty of distinguishing an hepatic abscess, and our liability to mistake a distended gall-bladder for an abscess. Such a mistake is almost immediately fatal to the patient. A distended gall-bladder is seldom adherent to the abdominal parietes, and if it be punctured, the bile escapes into the cavity of the peritoneum, the patient is seized with vomiting, falls rapidly into a state of collapse, and generally dies at the end of a few hours. Two cases of this kind are alluded to by Dr. Stokes, in the fifth volume of the Dublin Hospital Reports, and many others are on record. This source of danger may, however, be avoided by attention to the situation and character of the tumor. The tumor formed by a distended gall-bladder is globular, and circumscribed, and hard, and equally resisting in every part, while the tumor from abscess is more diffused, and is soft and fluctuating at its summit, while its base is hard and resisting.

A source of far greater danger is the circumstance, which has been before noticed, that the inflammation which leads to abscess, is often confined to the substance of the liver and does not involve its capsule. As the abscess approaches the surface, adhesive inflammation of the peritoneum immediately above it often takes place, and a small quantity of lymph is poured out, which causes adhesion between the wall of the abscess and the parts with which it is brought into contact. These adhesions are often of very small extent. Sometimes, they do not form at all, and as I have before remarked, the abscess bursts into the cavity of the peritoneum, causing speedy collapse and death. By opening an abscess of the liver before adhesions have formed, we may be directly instrumental in bringing on this fatal issue—the pus may escape into the sac of the peritoneum, and the patient die in a few hours, obviously in consequence of the operation.

I would, then, never recommend opening an abscess of the liver, unless assured by circumscribed œdema, or a slight blush on the skin, that union had taken place between the integument and abscess. When these signs are wanting, and the skin has its natural appearance and colour, we can never be sure that adhesions have formed, and if we thrust a knife into the abscess, we run the risk of discharging the matter into the cavity of the peritoneum.

Dr. Graves has ingeniously recommended a mode of proceeding, by which he supposes this danger may be obviated. It is, not to open the tumor at once, but to make an incision across the most prominent part of it through the abdominal muscles, so as

to reach the peritoneum, without dividing it, and to fill up the wound with a pledget of lint. The object of this is, to excite circumscribed inflammation of the peritoneum, which may produce adhesion between the reflected layer of the peritoneum and the layer covering the abscess. The abscess is then allowed to open of itself. I have tried this mode of proceeding twice, but with very unsatisfactory results. There is, indeed, a third source of danger in opening abscesses of the liver, which has not been noticed by the writers to whom I have referred:—it is, that by the entrance of air into the wound, fresh inflammation may be excited, which may lead to gangrene, and speedily carry off the patient. This circumstance happened in one of the cases I treated in the Dreadnought. An abscess that pointed outwardly, was opened, with considerable temporary relief to the pain which the patient suffered in the side and shoulder. But the discharge became fetid and dark, of the colour of coffee-grounds, and the patient sunk, and died at the end of a week. The walls of the abscess and the hepatic tissue immediately around them were found in a state of gangrene. A similar case is noticed by Cruveilhier. (*Anat. Path.* liv. xl.)

In opening old abscesses of large size there is a fourth source of danger. It has been already remarked that the walls of such abscesses are generally very firm and unyielding, and cannot collapse so as to close the cavity when the abscess is opened. When an abscess of this kind opens of itself, either outwardly, or into the intestine or lung, matter continues to be discharged, and the patient generally dies, worn out by the protracted suppuration. When the abscess is opened by the knife, the same thing of course happens, and the patient dies the earlier for our meddling.

In India, it seems now to be a common practice, to thrust a long exploring needle into the liver, where the presence of an abscess is suspected; and, now and then, perhaps the disease may be cured in this way. A single abscess may be opened, when it is of moderate size, and before its walls are too thick and firm to fall together, and the cavity may be closed up. But there are many objections to the practice that to me seem quite decisive against it. First, there is the danger of hemorrhage, and of setting up fresh inflammation by the mechanical injury thus done to the liver. This danger may, perhaps, be small for a single puncture, but if the abscess be deep-seated, it may not be hit at the

first thrust. Again, from the difficulty of distinguishing the different diseases of the liver, if the operation be commonly adopted, it must often be performed where there is no abscess at all. It will readily be imagined that much mischief may be done in this way. Often, too, there is more than one abscess. This was the case in thirteen of the twenty-nine cases recorded by Annesley, and in a still larger proportion in the cases collected by Andral and Louis, and myself. We can hardly hope to reach all the abscesses, and unless we do, we cannot cure the patient. Then there is the danger that has been before alluded to, of letting the matter escape into the sac of the peritoneum, and setting up peritonitis that may prove speedily fatal. An occasional instance of success will, I fear, be a poor set-off against the cases in which the operation has done mischief, or failed of doing good.

Hitherto, we have considered only suppurative inflammation originating in the lobular substance of the liver. There are several other forms of suppurative inflammation of this organ, but they are much more rare.

1st. One of these is where the inflammation originates in the areolar tissue in the portal canals and where the pus, instead of forming a circumscribed abscess, is diffused through the areolar tissue that surrounds the portal vein and the accompanying artery and duct. A case of this kind is given by Cruveilhier.

A professional flute-player, of intemperate habits, after long anxiety, fell into a state of extreme weakness, attended with feverishness, for which he sent for Cruveilhier, on 18th of December, 1818. His face was then pale, and thin, he had distaste for food, a short dry cough, and a slow fever with evening exacerbations.

Cruveilhier examined the chest and abdomen, without discovering the cause of illness. The symptoms continued, the patient grew thinner, the tongue became very dry and brown; and, at length, the patient fell into a typhoid state, and died on the 5th of February. On examination, pus was found diffused through the areolar tissue surrounding the branches of the portal vein, in the substance of the liver. The lobular substance of the liver was perfectly healthy. There were also small abscesses along the vessels in the meso-colon and meso-rectum. The state of the intestines is not mentioned.

2nd. Another form is where suppurative inflammation is set up in the capsule of the liver, or in the peritoneum covering it. This may take place without suppurative inflammation of the substance

of the liver, and, at first, without inflammation of the rest of the peritoneum. But, when pus has formed on the surface of the liver, it becomes diffused over the surface of the peritoneum, and causes general and rapidly fatal peritonitis, just as when discharged by the bursting of an abscess. A case of this kind is given by Andral (*Clin. Med.* iv. 310). It would seem that in such cases the material cause of the inflammation, if such exist, is conveyed by the arterial blood.

3rd. A third variety of suppurative inflammation is where the inflammation originates in the portal or hepatic veins. This variety is so important that I shall consider it in a separate chapter.

4th. A fourth variety is where suppurative inflammation occurs in the gall-bladder or ducts, without similar disease in the secreting substance of the liver. This, too, is so important, that I shall speak of it in a separate chapter.

5th. There is still another variety, where suppurative inflammation is set up in the interior of an hydatid cyst, converting it into an abscess. This, considering the rareness of hydatids in the human liver, is not of unfrequent occurrence. One instance of it has fallen under my own notice. Three are recorded by Andral, and two or three by Cruveilhier. The fragments of hydatids were found floating in pus. The observations of Cruveilhier render it probable, that, in most of such cases, the suppurative inflammation is set up by the entrance of bile into the cyst. These cases will be again referred to in a subsequent chapter on hydatids of the liver.

SECT. II.—*Gangrenous inflammation—Appearances sometimes mistaken for gangrene—Circumstances in which gangrene of the liver really occurs.*

The infrequency of gangrene of the liver has been remarked by Annesley, Dr. Stokes, and many other writers. Annesley states that he did not meet with a single instance of gangrene in all the subjects he examined with abscess and other diseases of the liver; and supposes that medical men have often mistaken for gangrene, changes that occurred after death. I have little doubt that Annesley is right in this opinion. If the abscess be recently formed and not encysted, and the body be examined after the matter in the abscess has become partly decomposed, the hepatic tissue immediately surrounding the abscess will be found blackened by the sulphuretted hydrogen, formed by decomposition of the pus. A black stain is often found on that part of the surface of the liver which touches the intestine, produced in the same way by the intestinal gases, which, after death, permeate the coats of the bowels.

In persons who die of suppurative peritonitis, the whole surface of the liver soon acquires a black colour, which extends a line or two into its substance,—the deeper, the longer after death the body is examined. Now and then, in cutting across a liver, we find a black stain of the same kind, in the portions of liver in contact with the gall-ducts, produced, no doubt, by permeation of sulphuretted hydrogen, or other gases, through the coats of the ducts.

In the month of July, 1837, a man died in the Dreadnought, under my care, with a recently formed abscess of the liver. The body was examined *forty* hours after death. In the upper part of the right lobe of the liver, was an abscess containing more than a pint of matter. There was no false membrane surrounding the abscess, and the hepatic tissue about it was black and ragged. The time after death, at which the examination was made, in the

month of July, enables us to account for the black colour of the hepatic tissue about the abscess, without supposing that it was the effect of gangrene.

In the following case, for which I am indebted to my friend Mr. Busk, a similar appearance of the hepatic tissue about a recently formed abscess was observed *twenty-four* hours after death, at the end of March; but here the patient died in a low typhoid state, from contamination of the blood by pus, and the decomposition after death was unusually rapid.

CASE.—Contused wound of the little finger—About a month afterwards, violent rigors, followed by typhoid symptoms—Death on the seventh day—Discoloration of the skin of the neck—Gas in the vena cava—Collections of pus in both lungs and in the left wrist—Small abscesses in the liver, not encysted—Hepatic tissue about them of a blackish-green—Another abscess in the liver bounded by a cyst.

A sailor, aged 27, was admitted into the Dreadnought on the 28th of February, 1835, with a contused wound of the little finger of the left hand, inflicted several days before.

In about three weeks, he had so far recovered as to be able to return to his duty. However, on the night of the 24th of March, he was seized with severe and long-continued rigors, under one of which he was labouring when seen in the morning. He did not complain of pain, but only of repeated rigors, and a feeling of general weakness. The pulse was very frequent and weak. The rigors continued to recur frequently, and the following night bilious vomiting came on. The bowels were well opened. In the morning of the 26th, he was much collapsed—the surface cold and the countenance dusky and livid—but still, he had no pain, and his senses were perfect. He had no cough, or other apparent symptom of disease of the lungs. Pulse, 120, very weak. On examining the belly, it was observed that he had been repeatedly cupped at the epigastrium and both hypochondria, which he stated to have been done for an attack of yellow fever, eighteen months before. By firm pressure an obscure sense of pain in the right hypochondrium could be elicited, and he acknowledged slight occasional pain in the right shoulder, and in the right side of the chest. The urine was natural in appearance and quantity. Tongue, furred and moist. Thirst, very great.

On the 27th, there appeared to be some degree of re-action, with flushing of the face and hot skin. The tongue became dry and glazed. Pulse, 120, sharp, with considerable power. The pain in the side had not increased, and was felt only on pressure. He was bled to fainting (fourteen ounces), and twenty leeches were applied to the side.

On the 28th, he was much in the same state. Tongue, dry and brown. He was bled again, but fainted before four ounces of blood had flowed.

On the 30th, he had frequent cough, and spat up a dark brown matter, which was very viscid and fetid. He was now slightly delirious, and com-

plained of severe pain in the left wrist, the back of which was swollen. Skin, hot. Pulse, 120, weak.

On the 31st, (the seventh day,) he had convulsive twitchings of the arms and hands, and of the right side of the face. He became comatose, and died in the afternoon.

The body was examined twenty-four hours after death.

The face and neck were of a deep purple; and the lower part of the neck, to a short distance below the clavicles in front of the chest, *had already become green*. The belly was not discoloured. The leech-bites had assumed the appearances of pustules, being filled with white matter of most repulsive smell. The body was but little wasted, and the rigidity of the muscles was considerable.

Head. The vessels of the pia-matter were very turgid, and there was some purulent matter on the surface of the anterior lobes. There was also some effusion beneath the arachnoid, at the base of the brain. The cerebral mass was otherwise in natural condition.

Chest. The blood contained in the large vessels was fluid, and *numerous bubbles of air escaped from the superior vena cava*, when this vessel was divided. Both lungs were studded with purulent deposits of various sizes, between which the pulmonary tissue had its natural appearance and firmness, and crepitated under the finger. These deposits were found in all parts of the lungs, but were most numerous and largest in the posterior two-thirds of the right lung. The left lung in the corresponding part was much congested. The deposits had not the character of abscesses; but in their seat the pulmonary tissue was pale and infiltrated with pus. The patches thus formed were of irregular shape, without defined margins. In the upper and middle lobes of the right lung were also several small tubercular cavities. These were most numerous in the upper lobe, but the largest of them were in the middle lobe. The heart was healthy, and contained fluid blood. Its lining membrane was stained of a dark red, and the pericardium contained a small quantity of reddish serum.

Abdomen. On the abdomen being opened, no morbid appearance was observed at first, but on the hand being passed backwards to raise the liver, it broke into a large irregular cavity in the upper and back part of the right lobe, and on examination about one-third of that lobe was found in a state of complete gangrene. The gangrenous portion was of a blackish-green colour, and very friable. In the midst of it were several collections of thick white pus. The cavities in which this matter was lodged were very irregular, and were not bounded by a false membrane, but merely by the ragged hepatic substance. Near the gangrenous portion, and deep in the substance of the liver, there was another abscess, which was bounded by a thick white false membrane. The rest of the liver was of a pale colour, and the gall-bladder contained thin colourless mucus. The spleen was large, but appeared healthy. The kidneys were soft, and gorged with blood. The other viscera were quite healthy. The left wrist contained a small quantity of bloody pus.

In this case, the cavities in the right lung and the encysted

abscess in the liver, appeared to be of some standing, and probably existed before the injury was done to the finger. The other collections of pus in the lungs, and the abscesses in the liver that were not encysted, seem to have been of more recent date, and were, no doubt, formed, like the abscess in the left wrist, the week before death, after the occurrence of the rigors.

It is probable that the black colour of the hepatic tissue about the abscesses that were not encysted, did not depend on gangrene, but that, like the green colour of the skin in the lower part of the neck, it came on after death, in consequence of decomposition; and that the cyst that surrounded one of the abscesses, by preventing the permeation of the gases thus formed, prevented the blackening of the hepatic tissue about it.

In old abscesses bounded by thick and dense false membrane, this change in the colour of the surrounding hepatic substance is less likely to take place after death, and as an effect of mere chemical change; and, consequently, a blackish-green colour is here a surer sign of gangrene.

An instance of gangrene occurring about an old abscess, which has been referred to in the preceding chapter, (p. 65,) is given by Andral; the only instance, he tells us, in which he had then met with gangrene of the liver. The patient, a labouring man, about 60 years of age, was much emaciated, in consequence of an extensive chronic ulcer of the stomach.

The gangrene, or death of the part, was probably the result of defective nutrition. It occurred around the abscess, just as a bruise-mark or ulcer occurs in the place of an old scar in scurvy, because the vitality of that part having been previously injured, it gives earlier tokens of defective nutrition than the sound parts.

The following case, for which I am indebted to Mr. Busk, is the most striking instance of gangrene of the liver I have met with, and offers besides many points of great interest.

CASE. Mortification of the toes from cold—Removal of the dead parts—Severe rigors followed by typhoid symptoms—Death on the sixth day—Gangrene of the liver, the lung, and the spleen; Necrosis of the thyroid cartilage; ulceration of the pharynx; pus in the shoulder-joint.

A Scotchman, 35 years of age, was admitted into the Dreadnought, the 14th of January, 1841, with the extremities of the two great toes, and of

several other toes, in a state of gangrene, from exposure to cold in coming up the channel, after a voyage to the West Indies. He had good health while in the West Indies, but, with the rest of the crew, had drunk rum to excess in the voyage home.

There was little appearance of inflammation, and but little pain in the feet, and he was otherwise in good health: spare, muscular, and rather florid.

In a few days, under the use of warm fomentations, the dead parts began to separate from the living, and on the 25th of January, the separation was nearly complete at the junction of the second and last phalanges, which were then removed, sufficient flaps being left to cover the bones. The day after this little operation, he had rigors followed by incessant vomiting and great general disturbance. The rigors recurred very frequently, and the vomiting continued incessant. No pain or tenderness could be detected in any part. In a day or two he became jaundiced, and expectorated rusty-coloured, viscid matter. The motions were clay-coloured. The tongue was dry and brown.

On the 29th, several joints, especially the right shoulder, were painful and tender, but he had no pain or tenderness of the abdomen or chest. The following day, mild delirium; finally, stupor, and death on the 1st of February, (the 6th day from the rigors).

The body was inspected twenty-four hours after death.

The body was lean, muscular, universally rigid, jaundiced, with dark purple mottling on the back and on the sides of the neck and ears.

Head. The dura mater on the outside looked healthy. Its inner surface was minutely vascular, and covered by a thin film of fibrinous matter, of a bright yellow colour, and presenting many minute spots resembling ecchymoses. On examination, these spots were found to be entirely in the effused matter. The cerebral arachnoid was also covered, but over a smaller surface, by a similar film of transparent, yellow, gelatinous-looking fibrine, which, however, was not vascular, and but very slightly opaque. There was a small quantity of liquid of a bright yellow colour in the cavity of the arachnoid, and also some colourless fluid beneath it. The vascularity of the arachnoid and the film of fibrine were alike on the two sides, and were confined to the upper surfaces of the hemispheres. At the base of the brain, there was no unnatural vascularity, and no lymph effused.

The cerebral substance, when sliced, presented large bloody points, more numerous in the back part of the brain, but otherwise it looked healthy, and it had its natural consistence. There was a very small quantity of colourless liquid in the lateral ventricles.

Chest. Both lungs were everywhere united to the side by firm old tissue.

The upper and front part of the right lung was congested, but still crepitant, and slightly infiltrated with reddish frothy fluid. The lower and back part of the lung was more solid, and gorged with thin red fluid; and in the midst of the lower lobe, which was quite solid, was a portion, the size of an orange, completely gangrenous. The gangrenous part was of a pale ash colour, mottled by infiltration of white pus, and had the extremely offensive odour of gangrene of the lung. This dead portion was separated by a well-defined line from the surrounding pulmonary tissue, which was of

a deep purple colour, solid, and friable. Many other portions of the lung were quite solid, and beginning to lose their colour, and others were in the first stage of inflammation, but none had exactly the usual appearance of pulmonary purulent deposits.

The left lung was in a similar state, but less advanced.

Both lungs had a most disgusting smell.

The mucous membrane of the right ventricle of the larynx was ulcerated, and of a deep purple colour. The mucous membrane of the air-passages was injected throughout, the colour becoming deeper in the small tubes. There was a large ragged abscess outside and in front of the thyroid cartilage, which was bare and carious.

The pericardium contained a large quantity of red fluid, and the right auricle and ventricle were filled with very fluid blood, and a few yellowish flakes of fibrine. The valves and the lining membrane of the heart were perfect and unstained. The blood in the large vessels was dark coloured and fluid, with small, soft coagula. No pus globules could be distinctly observed in the blood examined by the microscope.

Digestive organs. The mucous membrane of the pharynx presented one or two small superficial ulcers or abrasions, covered with a thin fibrinous effusion, and was of a deep purple from minute vascularity. The deep colour ceased on a level with the upper edge of the thyroid cartilage. The mucous membrane of the œsophagus was pale and healthy.

The stomach was not examined.

The intestines, small and large, were healthy throughout, without any enlargement of either Peyer's patches or the solitary glands. The fecal matter was of a pale clay colour.

The liver was large and closely united to the under surface of the diaphragm by firm old tissue. On the outside, it was not discoloured, and presented no marks of recent inflammation. When it was cut into, numerous ragged cavities of various sizes were found, containing hepatic substance in a state of complete gangrene, and reduced in many of them to a semifluid, ash-coloured, flocculent matter, separated by a very defined line from the surrounding substance, which in immediate contact with the gangrenous portions was of a deep greenish slate colour. In other spots less completely disorganized, the hepatic substance was of a pale ash-colour, apparently quite dead, but the lobular structure could be plainly seen; thus proving clearly that there was little or no deposit of foreign matter. Other portions again, alike in size and shape, were of a deep purple, and slightly softened; and this was probably the first step in the changes leading to the complete disintegration first described.

The coats of the large veins, where they came in contact with the gangrenous portions, partook in the change, in consequence of which their inner surface had a mottled appearance, the dead portions being of a dull yellowish white, separated from the healthy part by a very delicate red line.

The inner surface of the vein was not roughened, or otherwise altered, either in the dead or living parts, and had no lymph on it, either adherent, or free; but in some of the larger veins pus was found. Several small gangrenous

spots of the liver were found, which had a small vein in their centre, and there the coats of the vein in all their circumference were dead and discoloured.

The gangrenous portions of the liver were horribly fetid, but still, less so than those of the lung.

The gall-bladder contained a small quantity of thick, viscid bile.

The spleen was closely united to all the surrounding parts by firm old tissue. Its middle portion was reduced to a grumous pulp. Nearer the surface its substance was firmer, and of a dark purple colour, and had the smell of gangrene.

The kidneys were healthy and pale.

The right shoulder-joint was filled with thick, fetid pus.

In this case, the existence of gangrene, both in the liver and in the lung, was clearly shown by the defined line surrounding the gangrenous portions.

The source of the mischief here was, no doubt, the gangrene of the toes produced by cold. The man was in the prime of life, of spare habit, muscular, florid, and in good health at the time of the frost-bite. The case shows us what a serious thing a small patch of gangrene in any part of the body may become.

The dissemination of the gangrenous masses—the existence of a number of them *isolated and at a distance from one another*—proves that the septic agency was conveyed by the blood. The noxious matter thus disseminated destroyed the vitality of the tissues on which it acted most strongly.

The chemical theory of these septic changes is now well known. All parts in which they are taking place, have a tendency to affect other parts brought into contact with them, with the same mode of transformation. The case just related,—and it is by no means a solitary one,—offers one of the most interesting illustrations of this theory in the whole range of pathology. But, whatever be the explanation adopted, the fact is certain, and it is one of extreme importance, that gangrene of the extremities, or of any part of the surface of the body, produced by cold, by pressure, or in any other way, has a tendency to infect other and remote parts of the body with the same change.

The occasional occurrence of gangrene in remote parts of the body in low fevers, after sloughing of the skin of some one part has been caused by pressure, was particularly noticed by Dr. Graves, in his remarks on an interesting case in which gangrene of the lung was consequent on sloughing of the sacrum from pressure.

The patient, a man, twenty-four years of age, died in Sir Patrick Dun's Hospital, the twenty-ninth day after the first appearance of confluent small-pox. Dr. Graves says, "It is probable that this case would have terminated favourably had not extensive gangrene of the sacrum taken place, to which the nurse did not direct my attention, until it was of an alarming extent. It was first pointed out to me on the eighteenth day, at which time he laboured under hoarseness and bronchitic symptoms, unattended, however, by any difficulty of respiration. In the course of a few days, however, dyspnœa came on; the wheezing in his chest increased, and seemed to accelerate the period of death, which appeared to all those who had witnessed the progress of the case, to be the result of constitutional prostration, induced by the external gangrene. On dissection, two large and two smaller gangrenous sloughs were detected in the right lung. The gangrenous portions of the pulmonary tissue were insulated, being separated from the surrounding substance of the lung by a whitish membrane apparently formed of coagulated lymph. The question here occurs, whether these internal gangrenes were a consequence of the external one, or whether they were the result of the same fatal constitutional derangement that predisposed the external parts to become gangrenous from pressure? The former supposition seems the most probable, at the same time we must admit that gangrene often takes place in fever in external parts not liable to pressure, as, for instance, the soles of the feet. It is to be observed, however, that I never knew such parts to become gangrenous, *except after some other portions of the integument had mortified, evidently in consequence of pressure.*" (Clinical Medicine, p. 781.)

In the case I have before given, there can be no doubt that the gangrene of the liver and lungs was caused by the gangrene of the toes. There was no other influence acting to produce it.

M. Dance published a case in many respects similar, where gangrene of the spleen was consequent on gangrene of the uterus.

In another chapter I shall relate a case sent me by Dr. Inman, of Liverpool, and interesting on several accounts, in which gangrene of the lung was consequent on gangrenous sloughing of the vagina.

Cruveilhier (liv. xxxvii. pl. 2, p. 3) has given a case where

gangrene of the gums and cheek was consequent on gangrene of the uterus from cancer.

I might, if it were needful, adduce many other instances, showing that gangrene of one part produced by some cause acting only on that part, has a tendency to cause gangrene in other parts remote from it and not subject to the same influence. It is in this way, in effect of gangrene of some other part, that true gangrene of the liver is most frequently produced. Rokitansky states that he has several times observed gangrene of the liver, *in connexion with gangrene of the lung*; and has never found it without gangrene of some other part.

SECT. III.—*Adhesive inflammation of the capsule and of the substance of the Liver—Cirrhosis—Other forms of inflammation of the substance of the liver.*

Adhesive inflammation,—that is, inflammation which causes effusion of coagulable lymph,—may, as we have seen, be set up around an abscess in the liver. When the process of suppuration is over, the pus collected into a cavity, becomes bounded by a layer of soft albuminous matter. Around this, again, coagulable lymph is effused, which becoming organised, and growing firmer, forms a cyst for the matter. I have already shown that the texture of the cyst varies chiefly with the date of the abscess, and with its size. In small, and in recently formed abscesses, the walls of the cyst are soft and thin; whereas, in large abscesses of long standing, the matter is usually bounded by a substance three or four lines in thickness, having the look and the toughness of cartilage.

The adhesive inflammation is here limited to the immediate vicinity of the abscess, because it is excited by the abscess, and because the lymph poured out there cannot be diffused through the substance of the organ.

When the abscess is near the surface of the liver, it sometimes sets up adhesive inflammation of the peritoneum covering it, and lymph is poured out, which unites the peritoneum above the abscess, to the parts—the diaphragm, the abdominal parietes, the stomach, the colon,—with which it happens to be in contact.

The adhesions thus formed are often of very small extent. The wall of an abscess on the convex surface of the liver, may adhere to the diaphragm or to the abdominal parietes in a space no larger than a shilling. From this and other circumstances, many writers have inferred that the peritoneum is less liable to adhesive inflammation than the pleura. But such does not seem to be the case. The adhesion is limited, because the irritation that

excites it is limited, and because the matter poured out does not become diffused over the surface of the membrane.

Under similar circumstances, adhesions of the pleura may be of equally small extent. In a case in which an abscess of the liver discharged through the lung, I found the lung united to the portion of diaphragm covering the abscess, in a space not larger than a shilling.

Where small circumscribed abscesses form in the lungs from contamination of the blood by pus, the lungs are now and then found united to the pleura costalis in a great number of points, corresponding to superficial abscesses, without any diffuse inflammation of the pleura. The inflammation of the pleura is confined to those points, because it is excited by the abscesses. In the same way, adhesive inflammation of the pleura, from the presence of tubercles, is often of very small extent.

When lymph is effused in greater quantity on the surface of the liver, it causes adhesion of greater extent; and if any of the lymph fall down among the intestines, it will glue adjacent folds of the intestine together.

When abscess excites adhesive inflammation of the *substance* of the liver, the lymph can never be diffused in this way. It all remains, where first deposited, immediately around the abscess, and forms a cyst for the matter.

An hydatid tumor in the liver, like an abscess, may excite adhesive inflammation in the substance of the liver about it, or on the capsule and peritoneum above it; but it does not always do so, and in consequence, an hydatid cyst, like an abscess, may burst into the cavity of the peritoneum.

Adhesive inflammation of the surface of the liver now and then occurs also over cancerous tumors. The lymph effused in such cases is usually in small quantity and transparent, and the false membranes found uniting the liver to the diaphragm and the adjacent organs, are, in consequence, very white, and thin, and filmy—passing merely from the summits of some of the prominent cancerous masses to the opposite surface of the peritoneum. But, over cancerous tumors on the liver, inflammation, even to this extent, is the exception and not the rule. Cancerous tumors seem never to cause effusion of fibrine in the substance of the liver, and consequent induration; and the liver

may be enormously enlarged and much deformed by them, without any inflammation of its capsule.

Small miliary tubercles are occasionally found in great numbers in the livers of persons dead of phthisis. I have never met with an instance in which they seemed to have caused adhesive inflammation, either of the substance of the liver or of its capsule. In the livers of monkeys, dead of phthisis, masses of white tuberculous matter as large as a small bean, are often met with; and not unfrequently, as in cancer in the human subject, some thread-like false membranes pass from some of the superficial tumors to the opposite surface of the peritoneum.

Adhesive inflammation of the capsule of the liver of much greater extent than that set up by the local causes that have been just mentioned, occurs very frequently in this country, among the lower orders in our large towns, in conjunction with deep-seated adhesive inflammation of the liver, especially where this involves, chiefly, the areolar tissue in the large portal canals.

Deep-seated adhesive inflammation of the liver produces different effects, according to the parts it principally involves. Sometimes the lymph is effused almost exclusively into the areolar tissue in the portal canals of considerable size, and if the person die long after this has occurred, all the considerable branches of the portal vein are found surrounded, in some places to a distance perhaps of half an inch, by new fibrous tissue, which by its contraction has drawn in and puckered the adjacent portions of liver. The remaining portions of liver may be little, if at all, altered in texture, and may be readily scraped away from these indurated portions. The main branches of the vein are pervious, but many of the small twigs that spring from them are obliterated. The parts which these twigs supplied are atrophied, and the liver proportionally reduced in bulk. Where such portions are near the surface, the capsule is somewhat drawn in and puckered. Together with these changes, there are usually, if not always, thick false membranes on the capsule of the liver, or extensive adhesions, by means of old tissue, between the liver and adjacent organs. Usually, too, there are old false membranes on the surface of the spleen, and marks of adhesive inflammation of other parts, especially the pericardium and the pleura.

I have several times met with this form of disease in persons who had drunk hard of spirits. It comes on with well-marked symptoms of inflammation of the liver,—pain in the side, vomiting, fever, and perhaps jaundice. These symptoms subside after a time, but the patient does not regain his former health. The liver has been permanently damaged; part of its secreting substance becomes atrophied from closure of the small portal veins, and it is no longer adequate to its office. The patient has difficult digestion, looks sallow, and does not recover his former strength.

In other cases of deep-seated adhesive inflammation of the liver, the lymph is not effused solely, or chiefly, in the large portal canals. We do not find the fibrous tissue about the large branches of the portal vein especially, but about the small twigs that separate the lobules. All the substance of the liver is rendered tough by this new fibrous tissue, which, when the liver is sliced, is seen to form thin lines between small irregular masses of lobules. At the parts on the surface of the liver which correspond to these lines, the capsule is drawn in, so that the surface has a “hob-nailed” appearance.

The tissue of the liver is paler than natural, from the presence of this white fibrous tissue, and from its containing but a small quantity of blood; and it is often yellowish from accumulation of biliary matter in the cells. When this is the case, a section has the greyish and yellow colour of impure bees-wax, and, in consequence, the disease has been called by the French, *cirrhosis*.

In other cases again, the quantity of this adventitious fibrous tissue is much greater, and by its contraction the lobular substance of the liver is drawn into round nodules, which being of a deep yellow colour from accumulation of biliary matter, are in strong contrast with the grey fibrous tissue between them.

This state has been described by Abercrombie, who says, the yellow matter of cirrhosis is sometimes in small nodules, like peas, dispersed through the substance of the liver. He adds, “A case is described by Clossy, in which the structure of the liver was wholly constituted of a congeries of little firm globules, like the vitellarium of a laying hen; it occurred in a boy of fifteen, who had immense ascites. In a case by Boismont, these nodules were as large as peas, and the liver was much diminished in size; the case was chronic with ascites. The French writers have a

controversy whether the cirrhosis or yellow degeneration of the liver, be a new formation, or a hypertrophia of the yellow substance, which they suppose to constitute a part of the structure of the liver in its healthy state. No good can arise from such discussions, as it is impossible to decide them." (Diseases of the Stomach, &c., 2nd edition, p. 369.)

The disease is seldom met with in this degree, but I have lately seen an instance of it, in the person of a man who died under my care in King's College Hospital. An account of his case, which I subjoin, will probably not be uninteresting.

CASE.—Spirit-drinking—Jaundice—Vomiting of blood—Ascites and œdema of the legs—Extreme degree of Cirrhosis.

Gilbert Campbell, æt. 40, was admitted into King's College Hospital, the 16th of June, 1843. At the age of 30, he became a commercial traveller, and continued so seven years, during which he drank hard of wine and spirits. The last three years, had been a commission-agent, and had drunk much less, his chief beverage being ale.

He had very good health till he became a commercial traveller, but from that time had frequently pain in the stomach and vomiting, after excess in drinking. In the month of February, 1841, when travelling to Birmingham, he became jaundiced. The jaundice went off in about a fortnight, and after that he had no particular ailment, till the summer of 1842, when he was laid up two or three weeks with gout in the left foot. This was his first attack of gout, and he has had no return of it since. In addition to these ailments had for several years suffered from stricture of the urethra, and from a winter cough, attended with expectoration. Lately, has had occasional bleeding from the nose.

He followed his usual occupations till three weeks before his admission to the hospital, when he was taken in the street with vomiting of blood. The vomiting recurred several times during the day; he thinks he brought up, in all, as much as four quarts of blood, and was very faint in consequence. Two days after, he noticed that his belly was swelled, and in a day or two more, he had also swelling of the ankles.

When he came into the hospital, he had a sallow, cachectic look, his conjunctivæ were yellowish, his skin hot and dry, his mouth parched, his lips chapped and bleeding. His legs and thighs were very œdematous, but there was no œdema of the hands or face. The belly was much distended with fluid, but it was not painful or tender, and his chief complaint was of a sense of tightness across the loins. The cutaneous veins of the belly were not enlarged.

Pulse 100, regular, tolerably full.

Respiration, twenty a minute. He had some cough; and spat up viscid

mucus. No pain of the chest. A soft systolic bellows sound was heard at the base of the heart, and along the arteries.

The urine was of natural colour, clear, of sp. gr. 1015, free from albumen.

His intellect and his senses were unimpaired, and he slept well.

The day after, he complained more of the feeling of tightness across the loins, and as he had passed but little water, the physician's assistant imagined the bladder was distended. A catheter was introduced in consequence, but only a small quantity of urine was drawn off. The operation was very difficult, on account of the stricture, which was found to be a close one. It was followed by considerable bleeding from the urethra; and for three or four days afterwards, some blood came away before the urine each time he passed it.

From this time to the 26th of August, no striking change took place. The pulse ranged from 96 to 114. The appetite was uncertain and the bowels were irregular. He vomited the day after the catheter was passed, but at no other time. He had now and then some bleeding from the nose and from the gums. His skin was hotter than natural, and his tongue was generally dry and somewhat glazed, but he did not complain much of thirst. The urine was always clear, and free from albumen, and its sp. gr. ranged from 1015 to 1022. He had throughout the same sallow, cachectic look as at first.

At the end of this time, the cutaneous veins of the abdomen had become much enlarged, and the ascites, which had been gradually increasing, was enormous. The legs too, were enormously swelled, and the scrotum and penis were very œdematous. He complained much of the sense of distension and of pain in the loins. The belly was then tapped, and twelve pints of serous fluid were drawn off.

The fluid had a sp. gr. 1013; and according to my friend, Dr. Miller, who made an analysis of it, was composed of:—

Water	-	-	-	-	-	968·95
Albumen	-	-	-	-	-	22·51
Salts and extractive matter	-	-	-	-	-	8·54

1000

It contained phosphates of lime and magnesia; chlorides of potassium and sodium; sulphate of potash, and free soda; a trace of iron, and a trace of silica, but not a trace of urea.

After the tapping, he was for some time much more comfortable, but the ascites came on again; and by the 18th of September, had reached its former degree. He suffered much from the great œdema of the penis and scrotum, and to relieve this some punctures were then made in the legs. The discharge from the punctures was very profuse, and the œdema of the scrotum and of the legs diminished. The skin about the punctures in the left leg became red and painful, symptoms of sinking came on, and he died on the 26th.

On his admission to the hospital, he was put on milk diet, which with a few *extras*, was his diet throughout, and he was ordered a saline draught with

nitre and hyoscyamus. On the 21st of June, he was given, in addition, two grains of calomel with a quarter of a grain of opium, three times a day, till the 26th of June, when, the mouth being sore, the calomel was ordered to be taken only occasionally. The mouth was kept sore till the 3rd of July, without any benefit. The medicines he had been taking were then left off, and he was ordered instead, to take a diuretic draught, containing three grains of iodide of potassium, three times a day, and to rub in over the liver some compound iodine ointment every night.

This treatment was continued till the beginning of August, without producing any appreciable change in his condition. It was then left off, and afterwards he took only a simple diuretic mixture, with a saline purgative now and then, when the bowels were confined or when he felt unusual distension.

The body was examined thirteen hours after death.

The legs were very œdematous, and on the skin about the punctures in the left leg, were some vesications, as if from commencing gangrene. There was no œdema of the hands or face.

The abdomen contained a large quantity of straw-coloured, serous fluid.

The liver was small, and weighed only two pounds and eleven and a half ounces. Its under surface was whitened by a very thin false membrane, and its upper surface had an opaline tint, apparently from an extremely thin false membrane extended over it. It was united to the diaphragm by a few threads of false membrane near the suspensory ligament, but had no other unnatural adhesions. Its edges were rounded, and its surface was roughened by the projection of small, round nodules. When sliced, it was found to be generally pale, from containing but little blood, and the cut surface had a mottled appearance from being thickly studded with roundish bodies, varying in size from the smallest perceptible to that of a small pea, and contrasting in colour with the intervening substance—the colour of the round bodies or nodules, being yellow in various shades, from pale yellow to brown; that of the intermediate substance being pale without any yellow tint. The rounded bodies were pretty uniformly distributed throughout the substance of the liver. They were not generally larger or more numerous deep in its substance than near the surface.

The matter of these round yellow nodules, examined under the microscope, showed a mass of the nucleated cells of the liver tinged yellow. Some cells were yellow throughout; in others, there was a spot of yellow about the nucleus, or rather about the centre of the cell, while the portion near the circumference had its usual appearance. The quantity of yellow matter in the cells was greater, the deeper the colour of the nodule from which they were taken. Some cells from the lighter coloured nodules, or from the substance about them, had no yellow tint, and were perfectly natural. Some cells contained a good deal of oil, in globules, which was very unevenly distributed; the cells in some portions containing little, in others much.

The grey substance intermediate to the nodules was tough, and seemed a modification of white fibrous tissue. It was opaque, and had a confused

granular appearance under the microscope. When a drop of acetic acid was placed on the specimen under the microscope, it became much more transparent, and exhibited a great number of distinct granules.

The gall-bladder and ducts, as far as they could be readily traced, and the portal veins, seemed quite healthy.

The gall-bladder contained olive-coloured bile, so viscid that it could be drawn out in threads.

The spleen was rather large, and its surface was mottled with white, by a very thin coating of contracted lymph. Its substance was tolerably firm, and of its natural colour.

There were no marks of inflammation of the peritoneum investing the stomach and intestines. The mucous membrane of the stomach was healthy, and nowhere softened. There was some thickening and induration of the submucous areolar tissue, forming a ring, not above two lines in breadth, about the pylorus. No thickening of the areolar tissue in other parts of the stomach.

The coats of the intestines were pale, and those of the small intestine were thin; but the mucous membrane was healthy throughout.

The ascending and the transverse portions of the large intestine were much distended with gas.

The kidneys were quite healthy.

The cavity of the left pleura contained a considerable quantity of serous fluid, and on the lower lobe of the left lung, and the corresponding part of the pleura costalis, there was a thin coating of recently effused lymph. The lower lobe of the lung was compressed by the liquid, but the lung was otherwise healthy. The right lung was united to the pleura costalis, by a few threads of old false membrane, but presented no other marks of disease.

The heart was small, and the pericardium and valves were quite healthy. The aorta was healthy.

The brain was not examined.

The right branch of the portal vein was injected for me by my colleague, Mr. Simon. The size did not flow freely, and the left lobe of the liver was not at all coloured by it. The larger of the nodules in the right lobe were however coloured by the size, and under the microscope the capillary vessels in their interior were seen to be injected.

I could not discover that any portal veins were obliterated. Perhaps they were merely compressed by the new tissue.

In this case the appearance of the liver corresponded exactly to the description given by Abercrombie of one form of cirrhosis, where the yellow matter is dispersed through the substance of the liver, in small nodules like peas; or, to take the comparison of Clossy, as in the vitellarium of a laying hen.

An examination through the microscope showed at once, that this yellow matter was the original lobular substance of the

liver, which was drawn into these round nodules by the adventitious tissue between them.

The nodules were empty of blood and tinged with bile from the impediment the new tissue caused to the entrance of blood by the portal veins, and to the escape of the bile through the ducts. The adventitious tissue was formed, no doubt, from coagulable lymph. It had much the appearance of false membrane at an early stage of organization.

The small size and weight of the liver, notwithstanding this new tissue, shows to what an extent the original lobular substance of the liver had shrunk. Enough of it was, however, left, living as the patient did, to prevent the occurrence of decided jaundice.

The ordinary appearances in cirrhosis, and the changes just described, seem the consequence of adhesive inflammation in the areolar tissue about the small twigs of the portal vein, by which serum and coagulable lymph are poured out. The serous part of the effusion gets absorbed, and the fibrine contracts and becomes converted into dense fibrous tissue, which divides the lobular substance of the liver into well-defined masses, gives great density and toughness to the organ, and by compressing the small twigs of the portal vein and the small gall-ducts, and thus impeding the flow of blood and the escape of bile, causes the pale yellowish colour of the masses of lobules.

In the chapter on suppurative inflammation of the substance of the liver, it was remarked, that where the inflammation results from contamination of the portal blood, the capsule of the liver, and the peritoneum covering it, are often exempt from disease; that it is only when the abscess approaches the surface that adhesions form between the liver and adjacent organs; and that even in such cases the adhesions are often of small extent, being limited to the portion of peritoneum covering the abscess.

In adhesive inflammation of the liver brought on by spirit-drinking, the physical cause of the inflammation is likewise brought by the portal blood, and the capsule is not primarily affected. In some cases even of hob-nail liver, the peritoneum covering the liver presents no trace of disease, and the capsule has its natural appearance, and can be readily stripped off. In other cases, the capsule is hard to remove; and frequently, there is an

extensive false membrane on the surface of the liver, or there are tufts of newly-formed tissue uniting the liver to adjacent organs.

In the form of disease before described, where the newly-formed fibrous tissue is found in great quantity, but solely or chiefly in the portal canals of considerable size, false membranes on the surface of the liver are perhaps constant, and are certainly in most cases much thicker than in ordinary hob-nailed, or granular, liver, where the new fibrous tissue is more interstitial.

In the early stage of cirrhosis, the liver is much enlarged by the serum and lymph effused within it. In time, the watery part of the effusion is absorbed, the fibrine contracts, the small twigs of the portal veins are compressed by the new tissue, and the lobular substance of the liver, receiving less blood than it should do, wastes. On all these accounts the liver diminishes in size, and in protracted cases, from the small quantity of blood it contains, and the great atrophy of the lobular substance, it is usually very much smaller than in health.

Dr. Bright says that in some cases he has been able to follow, distinctly, the enlargement of the liver early in the disease, and its gradual diminution afterwards. On account of the slowness of the change, and the difficulty of ascertaining the exact size of the liver, we can seldom obtain this direct evidence of its shrinking. But if adhesions have formed between the liver and adjacent organs, we may frequently assure ourselves that the liver has greatly shrunk, by simply inspecting the bands of adhesion.

Some time ago, in a case of advanced cirrhosis, I found a band of cellular tissue some inches in length, uniting the liver to the spleen. The adhesions must have formed when the organs were in contact, and have been drawn out as one or the other contracted.

In another case of advanced cirrhosis, I found the convex surface of the liver united to the diaphragm by tufts, or bands of false membrane, an inch in length. The parts of the liver at which these tufts were inserted, were *hollow or depressed*, and when all the tufts were divided, the surface of the liver was very uneven.

Here, as in the case in which the liver and spleen were united, the adhesions must have formed when the surfaces were in contact, and the bands have been drawn out as the surfaces receded from each other. In both cases, these tufts or bands were evidence of the contraction of the liver, after adhesions had formed. The de-

gree of contraction being different in different parts, the surface of the liver becomes uneven.

The small gall-ducts, like the branches of the portal vein they accompany, are compressed, and perhaps sometimes completely obstructed by the new fibrous tissue; but the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder and larger ducts, is generally healthy. The outer coats of the gall-bladder are sometimes found thickened, and the gall-bladder contracted, from the deposition of fibrine, which has subsequently become organised or contracted; but this change, like the adhesions of the capsule of the liver, which are generally found along with it, seems to be secondary; — the consequence of inflammation propagated from the deep-seated tissues.

If the inflammation of the capsule be extensive, and much lymph be poured out, some of this may fall among the intestines, and cause adhesion of contiguous folds.

The coagulable lymph poured out in inflammation of a serous membrane seems to cause adhesive inflammation and effusion of lymph of the same kind, from every part of the membrane to which it may be mechanically transferred. In this way, perhaps, cirrhosis may lead to adhesive inflammation of the entire surface of the peritoneum,

In persons who have died of ascites, apparently the result of cirrhosis, the entire surface of the peritoneum investing the liver and intestines, has now and then been found covered by a dense false membrane. I have met with one instance of this kind, and some others are recorded by Dr. Bright in his Hospital Reports.

It is possible that in some such cases, adhesive inflammation of the peritoneum was the primary disease; and that the diminished size and increased firmness of the liver, and obstruction to the circulation through it, were caused by the contraction of the dense false membrane that covered it.

The bile found in the gall-bladder in persons dead of cirrhosis, presents various appearances. Often, it is thin or serous, and of an apricot or orange colour (Andral, obs. 21); in other cases, where the change in the texture of the liver seems just the same, it has its natural appearance (Andral, obs. 18). Sometimes, it is black and thick.

In consequence of the impediment to the passage of the portal blood through the liver, the intestinal veins which feed the vena

portæ are found distended, and when there is no false membrane on the peritoneum, the capillary veins in those parts of the peritoneum to which the blood gravitates, are seen beautifully injected and varicose. It now and then happens in such cases that the coats of the intestine are œdematous; and in a case related by Andral, there was œdema of the coats of the gall-bladder. In a subject examined by Carswell, the trunks and branches of the portal vein were found blocked up by fibrinous coagula. The condition of the liver is described by Carswell, and represented in (plate 2, fasc. "Atrophy") his work on morbid anatomy.

With such evidence of impediment to the passage of the portal blood through the liver, we might expect that the spleen would always, or generally, be congested and enlarged, in cirrhosis. But it is not found to be so. The appearance of the spleen does not indeed seem to be much modified by the existence of cirrhosis. It may be of natural size and appearance (Andral, t. iv. obs. 18); or small and soft (Ib. obs. 17); or small and firm. (Ib. obs. 19.)

How is this to be accounted for?

Morbid changes are often found in other organs in persons dead of cirrhosis, the consequence of the habits of life that produced the cirrhosis, or of other morbid causes; but no such changes have been observed so constantly as to lead us to consider them essential, or entitled to be specified in a general description of the disease.

Causes. There are perhaps various conditions capable of producing, or that may help to produce, the different forms of adhesive inflammation of the substance of the liver under consideration, but the most common and most powerful cause in this country, indeed the only cause whose influence is apparent, is spirit-drinking. These forms of disease are in consequence most frequent in large manufacturing towns, among the poorer classes, many of whom spend great part of their earnings in gin; and for this reason the granular and the hob-nail liver, known to the French as cirrhosis, has been familiarly termed by English practitioners, the *gin-drinkers'* liver.

The influence of spirit-drinking in producing this disease has also been observed in France. Andral states that in most of the cases of cirrhosis he has recorded, the patients had drunk spirits to excess. He imagines that the spirit may produce irritation of the

mucous membrane of the intestinal canal, which may spread through continuity of tissue to the gall-ducts, and from them to the substance of the liver; or that the alcohol, being absorbed into the veins, may act directly on the liver. The latter explanation is, undoubtedly, the true one. The spirit is absorbed by the blood-vessels, and being conveyed at once to the liver, exerts an immediate action on its tissues.

Some interesting observations on the effects of poisoning by alcohol, were published a few years ago by Dr. Percy, of Birmingham, in an Essay, which obtained one of the gold medals annually given in the university of Edinburgh. Dr. Percy found that in dogs poisoned by alcohol, he could recover alcohol from the blood, the brain, and various other organs, but in greatest quantity from the liver.

The inflammation of the areolar tissue in the portal canals is probably owing to diffusion of alcohol through it from the portal veins. We can readily fancy such diffusion taking place, if we consider how volatile alcohol is, and how readily it permeates animal membranes and tissues. These properties of alcohol also explain the circumstances noticed by most pathologists, that in cirrhosis the *whole* liver is changed in structure, and the different parts of it generally in pretty equal degree.

If globules of mercury or of pus find their way into the veins that feed the vena portæ, they become arrested at particular *points* in the lobules of the liver, and excite at each of those points circumscribed inflammation and abscess, while the rest of the liver may continue healthy; but alcohol, being volatile, and mixing readily with water, becomes equally diffused through the whole mass of portal blood flowing through the liver, and the inflammation it excites involves in consequence the entire organ.

There are various circumstances that seem to favour the action of alcohol in producing cirrhosis. One of them is obstructed circulation through the lungs or heart. M. Becquerel, in an elaborate paper on cirrhosis, published in the *Archives Generales*, in 1840, states that the heart was diseased in twenty-one out of forty-two cases of cirrhosis, of which he has given an analysis; and that in these cases the heart was diseased before the liver. But he also states that in thirteen of these twenty-one cases the cirrhosis was at what he calls the first degree, and gave rise to no symptoms, or to very trifling symptoms. It is perhaps fair to

infer that in some of these cases, M. Becquerel mistook for the first stage of cirrhosis the nutmeg appearance of the liver produced by partial congestion of the capillaries.

If we exclude these doubtful cases, there still remain a considerable number in which some disease of the heart was found associated with the disease of the liver, and, if we may credit M. Becquerel, was antecedent to it. M. Becquerel, indeed, maintains that disease of the heart, by producing long-continued congestion of the liver, is, of itself, the most common cause of cirrhosis. But it is far more probable that obstructed circulation through the chest has no *direct* influence in causing the disease, and that it contributes to it only by giving greater effect to the influence of alcohol and other efficient causes. There is no reason to believe that mere passive congestion of other organs has any direct influence in causing active inflammation of them; and disease of the heart would surely lead to œdema of the legs and general dropsy, before it would cause extravasation of the fibrine of the blood into the substance of the liver.

The frequent association of disease of the heart with this disease of the liver may be in part accounted for, from the great prevalence of diseases of the heart, from rheumatism, and other causes, among the lower classes in our large towns, who are the chief victims of spirit-drinking; and, perhaps, from this destructive habit having a tendency to produce disease of the heart and great vessels, as well as of the liver.

Another condition that favours the influence of alcohol in producing cirrhosis, is a hot climate. In cold countries, people may drink with impunity, perhaps with benefit, quantities of spirit that would prove very injurious in hot ones. It has been remarked that our troops stationed in Nova Scotia and New Brunswick, (where, from the low price of spirits, there is much intemperance,) suffer less from diseases of the liver than those at home. In hot countries, hard-drinking seldom fails to bring on disease of the liver. Baron Larrey, in his account of the health of the troops in Napoleon's campaign in Egypt, (tom. ii. p. 42,) says that wine and fermented liquors produce the most baneful effects in that country, and remarks that it is a wise law of the Koran that forbids their use.

There are, perhaps, various other conditions that give greater effect to habits of intemperance in inducing disease of the liver.

A congested state of the liver from whatever cause, or a feverish state of the system, in all probability disposes to it.

The influence of fermented liquors in producing cirrhosis, accounts for the disease being more common in men than in women, and much more common in persons above the age of thirty than below it.

Cirrhosis is occasionally met with in some of our domestic animals. Dr. Carswell has given a drawing of a portion of a cow's liver, which had the characters of this disease. The cow had ascites. Dr. Carswell says nothing of the food on which the animal had been kept. I have found the same disease in the liver of a pig.

It is also sometimes met with in temperate persons,—so that we must admit other causes besides spirit-drinking. There may be other substances, among the immense variety of matters taken into the stomach, or among the products of faulty digestion, which, on being absorbed into the portal blood, cause, like alcohol, adhesive inflammation of the liver. As yet, however, this is only matter of surmise.

In a considerable proportion of the published cases of cirrhosis, there was organic disease of the stomach: and in many of those published by Andral,—the most detailed to which I can refer,—the illness seems to have commenced with vomiting and purging, which was followed, after some time, by ascites. Many cases seem certainly to point to some product of faulty digestion, as an immediate cause of the disease..

It is probable also, from the chief seat of the effusion, that the disease may occur independently of any contamination of the portal blood—and that the physical cause, if any exist, may be conveyed through the hepatic artery.

Symptoms.—Cirrhosis usually comes on very insidiously, and when the inflammation does not involve the capsule of the liver, the symptoms are in most cases few and obscure, until the fibrine effused in the substance of the liver has caused impediment to the flow of the portal blood, and to the secretion and escape of bile. Some enlargement of the liver, a dull pain in the right hypochondrium, and disordered digestion, are the chief symptoms in the early stages, and some of these even may be wanting, or be so slight as to escape our notice.

In some cases, however, the onset of the disease is more sudden, and the symptoms at first are more striking and more indicative of active inflammation. The patient has fever, with loss of appetite, perhaps occasional vomiting, and, it may be, jaundice, and his urine is high-coloured and charged with lithates. There is much pain and tenderness in the region of the liver, and the liver is readily felt to be enlarged.

The disease begins in this way when much lymph is effused at once, and the inflammation involves the capsule of the liver.

When the acute symptoms are subdued by treatment, or subside of themselves, the patient follows his usual occupations, and presents only the slight tokens of disease before mentioned. But he finds that he gradually grows weaker and thinner, his appetite is uncertain, his skin becomes dry and rough, and his complexion sallow and earthy.

After the lapse of some weeks, or months, or years,—according to the quantity of lymph first effused, the success of the treatment then adopted, and the subsequent habits of the patient—the fibrine poured out has become so contracted, and is in such quantity, that the free passage of the blood through the liver, and perhaps also the free escape of bile from it, is prevented. There then occur a different train of symptoms, which are so characteristic, that there is little difficulty in detecting the disease.

The belly becomes enlarged from effusion of serous fluid into the cavity of the peritoneum, which takes place without pain or tenderness, and gradually increases so as to cause great distension of the belly, and often, by impeding the movements of the diaphragm, much difficulty of breathing. In some cases this dropsy of the belly is followed by œdema of the legs, but there is no œdema of the hands or face, unless there be likewise disease of the heart or kidneys.

The patient is liable to hemorrhage from the bowels, and to piles, and the veins on the surface of the belly are enlarged. This enlargement of the cutaneous veins shows clearly that the current of the portal blood is impeded, and is very characteristic of the disease we are considering.

The complexion is sallow and earthy, or of a slightly greenish cast, and the skin is almost invariably dry and rough.

The appetite is uncertain, often entirely gone; the skin is hotter than it should be; the patient has occasional thirst; the tongue is

slightly furred ; the lips are frequently redder than natural, and contrast strongly with the pale and sallow face ; digestion is painful or disordered, often with heartburn and sour eructations ; and the urine is almost always scanty and high-coloured, and generally throws down a deep-red, sometimes a pinkish sediment of lithate of ammonia.

There is likewise tendency to hemorrhage from the nose and other parts in which there is no particular stress on the vessels. Small purpuric spots often appear on the face or forehead, sometimes on the distended belly ; and if the patient be cupped, ecchymosis is apt to take place about the punctures.

When ascites has once occurred, it persists ; the patient continues to lose flesh and strength, and after the lapse of some months, or perhaps a year or two, dies, usually from gradually increasing exhaustion.

In some cases, when the patient is much reduced, death is hastened by the occurrence of colliquative diarrhœa, or by the drain from the system caused by tapping, to which recourse is had to relieve the distress of breathing or the other evils which the great distension of the belly occasions.

The intellect and senses are usually free from disorder to the last.

It will readily be seen, that most of the symptoms of the advanced stage of cirrhosis result from obliteration or compression of the small twigs of the portal vein, and the consequent obstacle to the circulation through the liver. The blood in the portal vein cannot pass through the liver with its usual freedom, the veins that go to form the portal vein become, in consequence, distended, and various effects follow.

1st.—The most striking, perhaps, of these is *ascites*, or dropsy of the belly, which is an immediate effect of the distension of the veins that return the blood from the peritoneum. In consequence of this distension, the serous part of the blood transudes through the vessels, or absorption by those vessels is less active than it should be, and serous fluid—of much less density, however, and containing much less albumen, than the serum of the blood—collects in the cavity of the peritoneum.

Ascites constantly exists in the advanced stages of cirrhosis, and is the more important as a distinguishing sign of this disease,

because it occurs in few other diseases of the liver. In abscess of the liver, in hydatids of the liver, in the fatty liver, in diseases of the gall-bladder and ducts, the course of the blood is not impeded, or is not impeded in such a degree as to cause ascites. Ascites is, however, not unfrequently produced by cancerous masses in the liver, but here the dropsy seldom attains the degree that it does in cirrhosis. It may, likewise, be produced by obliteration of the branches of the portal vein, which we find now and then as the only morbid change in the liver—very seldom, however, to such extent as to produce this effect. Ascites occurs also in what has been termed scrofulous disease of the liver, but this disease also, at least in the degree requisite to produce dropsy, is very rare. So that, in most of the cases in which considerable dropsy of the belly depends on the liver, it depends on cirrhosis.

The dropsy in many cases is confined to the belly, which may be enormously distended with fluid, while there is no œdema whatever of the face or arms, or even of the legs. Frequently, however, together with ascites, there is œdema of the legs, but unless there be some disease of the heart, or of the kidneys, the œdema of the legs is always consecutive to the ascites. This may readily be explained. An obstacle to the flow of blood through the liver acts at first almost exclusively on the portal system. It has no direct effect on the general circulation, except through the anastomoses between the hemorrhoidal veins and the branches of the internal iliac vein. It causes, therefore, little direct impediment to the return of blood from the legs. The œdema of the legs, observed in some cases of cirrhosis, not only comes on after the ascites, but most probably is caused by it, and is the effect of compression of the vena cava and of the iliac veins by the fluid distending the peritoneal sac.

Another effect of permanent obstruction to the flow of blood through the liver, is a constantly congested state of all the vessels of the intestines, which often gives rise to piles, and not unfrequently to discharges of blood from the stomach or bowels.

The blood, thus impeded in its course through the liver, finds another passage to the heart through the cutaneous veins, chiefly by means of the anastomoses between the hemorrhoidal branches of the inferior mesenteric vein and branches of the internal iliac vein.

When adhesions form between the surface of the liver and the abdominal parietes, they become organized and traversed by numerous vessels, which can be readily injected from the hepatic artery,* and which establish additional channels between the capsular branches of the portal vein, and the superficial veins of the trunk.

It is very common in cases of advanced cirrhosis, to see large cutaneous veins on each side of the belly and chest. Generally they are most marked on the right side, and become larger at the hypochondrium, but can be traced from the flank. More than once, however, I have seen a large vein emerge, abruptly, immediately below the right false ribs, and pass up over the chest in a varicose condition.

Blood likewise finds its way to the heart circuitously by means of anastomoses between the capsular branches of the portal vein, and branches of the phrenic vein. These indirect channels are also often increased in number by means of adhesions between the liver and the diaphragm. Such adhesions, then, so far answer a good purpose, that they favour the return of blood to the heart, and lessen the distension of the portal veins.

The constant state of distension of the vessels of the stomach and intestines, of course retards the absorption of liquids by those vessels, and may perhaps serve to explain in part, the dryness and roughness of the skin so generally observed in cirrhosis, the occasional thirst even when there is no fever, and the scanty, high-coloured, and heavy urine. Since many of the soluble elements of food enter the blood through these veins, their permanent distension will also account in part for the loss of flesh and strength.

The distension of the vessels of the intestines does not cause a flow of serum from the mucous membrane, nor, it would seem, any increased secretion from it. The bowels are not unfrequently confined in confirmed stages of the disease. The elements of the blood do not escape from *mucous*, or from *synovial* membranes, from mere passive distension of the blood-vessels. The catarrh which is so constant where the bronchial membrane is congested from obstacle to the circulation through the left side of the heart, depends probably, not on the mere passive congestion, but on the consequent chemical action of the air on the tissues.

* Kiernan. Phil. Trans. 1833.

The obstructed circulation through the liver serves also to explain in part, the sallow, dingy complexion, so constantly observed in advanced stages of cirrhosis. Part of the portal blood, instead of traversing the liver, finds another way, through the abdominal parietes, to the heart. This part of the blood cannot be purified, or freed from the constituents of bile, as it should be, and must consequently contaminate the whole mass of blood with which it is mixed. In this respect, cirrhosis offers an analogy to those cases in which there is a mixture of venous and arterial blood, in consequence of communication between the two sides of the heart.

The circumstance, that all the portal blood does not pass through the liver, may thus account in part for the peculiar cast of complexion; but in most cases, no doubt, the blood that does pass through the liver is very imperfectly purified, and still retains after its passage some of the principles that should have been eliminated as bile. We have seen that the lobular substance of the liver which serves to secrete the bile, is much diminished in bulk, and that what is left of it is often in a state of biliary congestion, probably from impediment to the free escape of bile through the small ducts. The damage thus done to the nucleated cells, the agents of secretion, of course renders the secretion imperfect. The bile found in the gall-bladder after death has often a pale orange or straw-colour, instead of its natural tint.

A sallow, jaundiced complexion is much more constant in cirrhosis than in abscess of the liver, because in cirrhosis the entire mass of the liver is altered in structure, and the function of every part of it is more or less impaired, whereas suppurative inflammation is generally partial, and not unfrequently a portion of the liver adequate to the ordinary purpose of secretion remains healthy.

In cirrhosis, the secretion of bile is never, or is very seldom, completely arrested. There is seldom decided jaundice, and, even in advanced stages of the disease, the discharges from the bowels are coloured by bile.

The change in the complexion takes place gradually, as the contraction of the effused fibrine impedes more and more the secretion and the flow of bile.

Dr. Bright, speaking of such cases, says, "The change from the natural colour is usually gradual; and the yellow tinge of the conjunctiva often precedes for some weeks any more decided in-

dication. In time, however, the bronzed appearance of the forehead, or the darkened areola of the eye, bespeak the approaching change; and a jaundice, bearing the lighter tints, from a sallow suffusion to a fainter or more decided lemon hue—still, however, liable to considerable fluctuation, establishes itself over the whole body."

The sallow cast of the complexion in the advanced stage of cirrhosis, depends, like the ascites, on an organic change in the texture of the liver, which does not admit of remedy. When the effused fibrine has become organized, it forms part of the living tissues, and is incapable of removal. The sallowness, then, like the ascites, although it may vary somewhat in degree, never disappears when it has once come on at this stage of the disease.

When observing the complexion, we must not be misled by the permanent bronzed appearance of the face, so common in persons who have been much in hot climates, which is produced by mere exposure to the sun, without any disease of the liver. In such persons the skin of the chest and parts covered by clothing, have their natural healthy tint.

We must also take care not to be misled by the sallowness of the face that results from mere deficiency of red globules in the blood. Such cases are readily distinguished by the circumstance that the conjunctiva is of a bluish-white and pearly, while in the sallowness that results from deficient secretion of bile, the conjunctiva is more decidedly yellow than the skin.

The emaciation and the loss of strength—other constant symptoms in cirrhosis—depend perhaps in part on impairment of all the assimilating functions, by the habits of life that induce cirrhosis; but they are no doubt mainly owing to the obstructed circulation through the liver, and the imperfect secretion of bile.

The obstructed circulation impedes, as we have seen, the absorption of water, and also of other substances that contribute to nutrition, by the veins of the stomach and intestines. Imperfect secretion of bile tends to impair nutrition in two ways. The bile, which no doubt performs an important part in digestion, flows in too small quantity into the duodenum, and digestion is in consequence imperfectly performed; and, on the other hand, some of the principles which should be eliminated as bile, remain in and conta-

minate the blood ; causing languor and drowsiness, and weakening in some degree all the assimilating functions.

The loss of flesh and strength, then, like the ascites and sallow complexion, depends in great measure on changes of structure which we cannot remedy, and although it may be hastened by lowering treatment, or other causes, and may be in some degree stayed by judicious measures, is, of necessity, when the disease has attained a certain degree, constantly, though slowly, progressive.

Diagnosis.—In the early stage of cirrhosis, the symptoms are often few, and present no distinctive, and, to common eyes, no alarming, characters—so that it is only by considering the circumstances in which they arise that we are led to perceive their true significance. Slight sallowness of complexion, a dull pain or some degree of tenderness in the right hypochondrium, with occasional feverishness, in a person above the age of thirty, who has been long in the habit of drinking spirits to excess, are almost conclusive evidence of the existence of cirrhosis, even before there is any direct proof that the circulation through the liver is impeded. The symptoms, in themselves, may be slight, but knowledge of the habits of the patient enables us to regard them as tokens of organic and incurable disease. Here, as in so many other cases, it is only by knowing the causes of the disease, or the circumstances under which it usually occurs, that we become watchful of its earliest tokens, and perceive, as it were, the shadows that, in coming, it casts before it.

When by the progress of the disease the circulation through the liver is so impeded as to cause ascites, the diagnosis is much more easy. The cases most difficult to distinguish from it are those in which ascites is associated with great enlargement of the spleen. It now and then happens that a man is brought into our London hospitals with great ascites, and most of the other symptoms of cirrhosis. After a time the ascites diminishes, and the spleen is found enormously enlarged. The ascites may completely disappear, and the patient regain health enough for his former pursuits. I have met with three cases of this kind, but have never ascertained by dissection what the disease really is. The spleen is much enlarged, which it is not in cirrhosis, and the complexion

may not be sallow, but in other respects the characters of the two diseases are almost identical.*

The only other diseases we are likely to confound with cirrhosis, after the occurrence of ascites, are chronic peritonitis, and malignant disease of the liver.

In chronic or adhesive peritonitis, as in cirrhosis, fluid may be effused into the cavity of the peritoneum, the limbs may be wasted, and the urine high-coloured and highly charged with lithates.

But in chronic peritonitis, there is not the sallow look of cirrhosis, and there is pain and tenderness all over the belly, with hectic fever and sweating; symptoms which are usually wanting in the advanced stages of cirrhosis.

In peritonitis, the fluid poured out is seldom so abundant as in cirrhosis. The belly may be as much distended, but this is owing in great part to the intestines being distended by gas—which they always are in peritonitis. The ascites, too, does not persist as it does in cirrhosis. If the fluid be serous, it soon becomes absorbed.

There is not the same impediment to the absorption of the fluid in peritonitis, as in pleurisy. In empyema, when the lung has been much compressed and is irrecoverably bound down by false membranes, the fluid in the cavity of the pleura, even if serous, cannot be absorbed faster than the space it occupies can be filled up by the contraction of the side, and the encroachment of the opposite lung; and when these means have attained their limit, it is physically impossible that a drop more of the fluid can be absorbed. A collection even of serous fluid may consequently remain in the cavity of the pleura for years.

But, in peritonitis, there is no such impediment to the absorption of the fluid. Even if the intestines be bound down by adhesions, the abdominal parietes may continue to fall in until all the fluid is absorbed. But when the fluid is absorbed, the folds of intestine, which are united to each other and to the parts with which they have been brought into contact, are always distended with gas. The abdomen is large, and gives out a tympanitic sound on percussion.

* It may be, that in these cases the liver is diseased as well as the spleen. The ascites may depend on obliteration of some branches of the portal vein, and may gradually disappear, as the requisite freedom of circulation is restored through other channels.

In peritonitis, too, even when there is much fluid in the sac of the peritoneum, the sense of fluctuation derived from percussion, is usually much less distinct than in ascites from disease of the liver. In peritonitis, contiguous loops of intestine are glued together, and the fluid is contained in pouches, so that the shock communicated by percussion is propagated through it less perfectly than when it is contained in a single cavity.

We are still further guided in distinguishing the two diseases, by knowledge of the most common circumstances in which they respectively occur. Cirrhosis is rarely met with in persons of temperate habits, or under the age of thirty. Chronic peritonitis occurs at all ages, without any marked relation to particular habits of life, and in grown-up persons is almost always dependent on the presence of tubercles, which are deposited in the lung, as well as on the peritoneum. If there be no evidence of the presence of tubercles in the lung, we have strong presumption that the fluid in the peritoneum is not the result of chronic inflammation of that membrane.*

Cancer of the liver has also, in some cases, many symptoms in common with cirrhosis. It occurs at the same period of life; the patient may have the same sallow look; there may be some degree of ascites, with loss of strength, disordered digestion, and scanty, and high-coloured, and turbid urine.

But in cancer, the ascites seldom attains the degree it has in cirrhosis. The fluid is seldom in sufficient quantity to render the walls of the belly tense. In cancer, too, as the disease advances, the liver always grows larger, and, in most cases, where the cancerous tumors so obstruct the circulation through the liver as to cause ascites, the liver can be felt extending far below its natural limits. In the advanced stages of cirrhosis, on the contrary, the liver shrinks, and is generally smaller than in health. In cancer, there is usually hectic fever with *sweating*; in cirrhosis, the skin is dry and rough.

We may distinguish the diseases still further by considering the previous habits of the patient. Cancer has no marked dependence on particular modes of life, and is perhaps as common in the higher classes as in the lower. Confirmed cirrhosis, on the

* Many of the distinguishing marks of cirrhosis here noticed, were pointed out by M. Becquerel, in the elaborate paper in the *Archives Generales*, before referred to.

contrary, is rare in the higher classes, and is seldom met with, except among those of the poor in our large towns, who have suffered privations, and have been long in the habit of drinking spirits to excess.

Cancer of the liver is, besides, generally consecutive to cancer of some other part—and the presence or absence of this may still further aid our judgment.

Treatment.—From what has been already said of the nature of cirrhosis, it is quite clear, that it is only in the early stage of the disease that we can materially benefit the patient. During this stage, while the inflammation is active, it may perhaps be in our power to lessen the amount of effusion, and before the lymph effused has become organised, even to cause its removal by absorption. But when fibrine has been thrown out in large quantity, and when it has become organised, or is otherwise incapable of removal, and has already by its contraction caused much impediment to the flow of portal blood, and materially impeded the due secretion of bile, medical treatment can be only palliative. It is, therefore, of the utmost importance that the disease be detected early, in order that we may be able to obviate such grave and irremediable effects. But, as we have seen, this is not without difficulty, as the symptoms are then often few and very obscure, and it is only by considering the previous habits of the patient, that we see in them the early tokens of organic disease. In the person of a spirit-drinker, we should never neglect pain and tenderness in the region of the liver, especially if associated with some degree of fever.

At the commencement of the disease, the best treatment is, cupping over the liver, with saline medicines and low diet. While there is much tenderness, and the patient is feverish, nothing produces so much relief as cupping. We must bear in mind, however, that hard drinkers bear bleeding ill, and be careful not to push this remedy too far. Delirium tremens, or other alarming disorder, may be the consequence of its rash and inordinate employment. When bleeding is not considered safe, much benefit may be derived from the application of a blister.

When the fever has abated, and the liver is still large, mercury

and iodide of potassium are the medicines from which most benefit may be expected. Blue pill may be given in moderate doses, so as slightly to affect the mouth; or iodide of potassium may be given internally, and, at the same time, the iodine ointment be rubbed into the side.

We should endeavour, too, to make the patient give up his pernicious habit of drinking. We may infer from the slight degree of fever, and the slight pain that often attend the early stages of cirrhosis, that the lymph is thrown out, not all at once, from a single attack of inflammation, but by little at a time, in successive attacks, of which none is sufficiently severe to cause serious illness. The mischief is done gradually, under the gradual and repeated operation of the cause. By changing the habits of the patient, future attacks may be prevented, and the disease be stayed before it has produced fatal organic changes.

But too often our powers of persuasion will fail. The patient will pursue his ruinous course, in spite of all our warning. Very often, too, from the insignificant character of the early symptoms, and from general disregard, among the labouring classes, of ailments that do not stop them from working, advice is only sought after the occurrence of ascites.

And then, the disease has proceeded so far as to be in great measure beyond the power of remedy. The presence of ascites proves that there is already a mechanical obstacle to the circulation through the liver; and this obstacle we have no means of removing.

The case is analogous to that of stricture of the intestine from the contraction and organisation of lymph effused under the mucous coat, or of disease of the valves of the heart. There is a permanent mechanical impediment to the due performance of the functions of the organ. The disease will, sooner or later, but inevitably, prove fatal.

At this time, that is, after the occurrence of ascites, we can do little good, and may do much harm, by bleeding, courses of mercury, or other lowering measures. Such measures cannot remove the impediment, and they weaken the patient, at a time when his assimilating powers can scarcely maintain his actual condition. The wisest plan is, to prescribe careful attention to diet, which should be light and nourishing; some light tonics, if the patient

can bear them; and avoidance of all unnecessary fatigue. An occasional tepid, or warm bath, will soften the skin, and allay thirst. The bowels should be kept well open—as any degree of constipation increases the sense of distension which the patient suffers; but care should be taken not to bring on purging, which would reduce the strength.

Even at this stage of the complaint, I have, I think, seen good result from mild diuretics, with small doses of iodide of potassium; but these medicines should be left off if they irritate the bowels and excite purging. A flow of urine, however copious, will not remove, or even very much reduce, the ascites. Of this I had clear proof in a patient admitted into King's College Hospital in the winter of 1840. He was a broker's porter, had drunk hard of spirits, and had long suffered occasional pains in the right hypochondrium. A month before his admission, he noticed that his belly was much swelled, and, soon afterwards, his legs began to swell.

At the time of his admission, he presented the symptoms of the advanced stage of cirrhosis. The belly was enormously distended with fluid, and large veins were seen running upwards on each side from the flanks.

On further inquiry, we learnt that he had also the symptoms of diabetes. He had a craving appetite, with great thirst, and passed daily from ten to twelve pints of urine, which was of light amber colour, transparent, and of sp. gr. 1040—1045. It contained no albumen, but a large quantity of sugar. He continued in the hospital rather more than a month, when he died of phlegmonous erysipelas of the right thigh.

Notwithstanding the enormous quantity of urine passed daily, there was not the slightest diminution of the ascites. The belly was enormously distended to the last. After death, the liver was found very large and hob-nailed, and united to the diaphragm and abdominal parietes, by bands of adhesion of long standing. The gall bladder was filled with bile, of a pale orange colour. All the capillary vessels, in the posterior part of the peritoneum, to which the blood had gravitated, were beautifully injected and varicose. The heart was small, and had no other mark of disease than a white spot on its outer surface. The kidneys were healthy.

Hydragogue purgatives have as little power to reduce the

ascites, and may do much harm by weakening the patient. When the patient is much reduced in flesh and strength, they cause great prostration, render the tongue dry and brown, and, by lowering the force of the circulation, tend to increase the ascites rather than diminish it.

It sometimes happens that the ascites, by impeding the descent of the diaphragm, causes great distress of breathing, especially in asthmatic persons, or when the breath is shortened by catarrh. This distress may be relieved for a time, by letting out the fluid by tapping. After the operation, the patient draws his breath more freely, and feels as if a weight were taken off his chest. Sometimes, owing perhaps to pressure being removed from the kidney, he makes more water after the operation, than he had been making before. But this relief is only temporary. The fluid accumulates again in the belly, and, after a time, varying, according to the degree of obstruction, from a few days to three or four weeks, reaches its former amount.

The operation should never be had recourse to, unless the difficulty of breathing, or the other evils that result from distension of the belly, are very distressing. For the ascites speedily returns, and the operation has consequently the effect of withdrawing a large quantity of serum from the vessels. By repeating the operation frequently, the system may in this way be completely drained of the serous part of the blood. The patient will fall into a state of great prostration, with complete loss of appetite, a dry and brown tongue, and will die much sooner than if nothing had been done.

Suppurative inflammation of the liver and adhesive inflammation, the forms of inflammation hitherto considered, leave permanent traces—collections of pus and contracted fibrine—that may be readily discovered after the death of the patient. But there are probably various morbid states of the secreting substance of the liver, which, in the latitude usually given to the term, inflammation, should be comprehended under this title, in which, as in erysipelas of the face, and in the affection of the

joints in rheumatic fever, the fluids poured out during the inflammatory process, become again absorbed, leaving no permanent traces, or only such traces as cannot well be distinguished. In such cases, the nature of the morbid process can be judged of by the symptoms only, unless the patient happen to die during the acute stage of the malady, and while its effects are still present.

Such a morbid process in the liver often occurs in pneumonia of the right lung, perhaps from the heat developed in the seat of the neighbouring inflammation. The patient is sometimes jaundiced, and if the disease prove speedily fatal, the upper part of the right lobe of the liver is found softened and much altered in texture. This change in the condition of the liver was noticed by Abercrombie, who has described it under the term—*simple "ramollissement" of the liver*. He says:—"This consists of a broken down, friable, and softened state of a part of the substance of the liver, without any change of colour. It is, in general, most remarkable on the convex surface, extending to a greater or less depth; it is accompanied by a separation of the peritoneal coat at the part, and sometimes there appears to be a loss of substance, as if a portion had been torn out, leaving a ragged irregular surface below. The softened portion has commonly so far lost its consistence, that the finger can be pushed through it with very little resistance; and in some cases the affected part is infiltrated with sanious or puriform fluid, not collected into abscesses, but mixed irregularly through the substance of the softened part. This appearance we have every reason to consider as the result of inflammation. It is found in combination with abscess or other marks of inflammation, and I have very often observed it on the upper surface of the liver, in connexion with extensive inflammation of the right lung. In these cases there was not, in general, any symptom indicating that the liver was affected. Mr. Annesley states that this appearance is frequently met with in India, in persons who have died rapidly from cholera or dysentery."

I have often met with this softening of the part of the liver next the diaphragm, in cases of extensive inflammation of the right lung, but have never found pus in the softened portion. I imagine that suppuration takes place seldom, and that in almost all these cases in which the patient recovers from the pneumonia, the liver regains its natural texture.

It is probable that inflammatory disease of other adjacent organs, and especially of the right kidney, sometimes causes a similar change in the texture of the liver, now and then terminating in the formation of pus. Among the cases of abscess of the liver published by Andral, there is one (Clin. Med. iv. obs. 29) in which it is, I think, probable, that the inflammation originated in this way.

It certainly, however, very rarely happens that inflammation of the right lung or kidney, causes abscess of the liver, by the heat developed during the process of inflammation. If this excite any morbid process that can be comprehended under the term, inflammation, it is such as to leave, in general, no permanent traces.

Dr. Graves has remarked, (Clinical Medicine, p. 564,) that jaundice, and other symptoms indicative of inflammatory action in the liver, sometimes come on during rheumatic fever; but he does not seem to have met with an instance in which it proved fatal. It is at present impossible to decide whether the inflammation, in such cases, involve the secreting substance of the liver, or the gall-ducts. It seems to admit of perfect recovery.

Dr. Graves has also observed enlargement of the liver, with pain, or tenderness, and jaundice, to come on during the course of scarlatina. He regards the affection as inflammatory, and recommends antiphlogistic measures for it.

In one of his clinical lectures, (Clinical Medicine, p. 569,) he refers to two cases of this kind, that happened in the same week in the Meath Hospital. One of these patients, a little boy, was seized with scarlatina in a very severe form, with high fever, and a brilliant eruption all over the body. After two days, he had evident symptoms of disease and enlargement of the liver. The other patient was a young man, who had scarlet fever of a milder form. "On the third day, he likewise got inflammation of the liver, but was cured by general and local antiphlogistic treatment."

Dr. Graves states that, in persons whom an attack of scarlatina has left in a feverish condition, he has often found the liver in a state of *inflammation*—as proved by the benefit derived from local antiphlogistic means; but inflammation "of rather a chronic character, without any of that remarkable pain and tenderness

which characterises acute hepatitis." He considers that this condition of the liver retards and prevents convalescence.

No cases of this kind have been accurately recorded, and we cannot yet decide, if the disease be inflammatory, what elements of the liver are involved.

SECT. IV.—*Inflammation of the veins of the liver—Suppurative inflammation of the portal vein—Adhesive inflammation of branches of the portal vein—Inflammation of branches of the hepatic vein.*

Inflammation of the veins of the liver.—Inflammation, in veins, as in other textures, may be *suppurative*, that is, it may lead to the formation of pus; or it may be *adhesive*, and lead only to the effusion of coagulable lymph, which blocks up and obliterates the vein. But in the inflammation of veins that leads to the formation of pus, coagulable lymph is usually poured out as well as pus; and the pus does not fill all the inflamed portion of the vein, but is interrupted here and there by plugs of fibrine, so as to form a string of abscesses along the vein.

Inflammation of the trunk of the vena portæ is of very rare occurrence. From being so deep seated, this vein is not liable to wounds or other injuries—the most common causes of inflammation of other large veins.

The following case, published by M. Lambron, in the “*Archives Generales de Medicine*,” for June, 1842, is the most complete case of the kind I have met with. Here, inflammation of the trunk of the vena portæ was caused by a fish-bone, which passed through the pyloric extremity of the stomach and the head of the pancreas, and stuck in the superior mesenteric vein.

The patient, a man 69 years of age, was admitted into “*la Pitié*,” on the 4th of June, 1841. For some weeks, he had been suffering at the stomach, with occasional nausea, and his bowels had been much confined. On account of these ailments, a week before his admission, he took a grain of tartar emetic, which produced no amendment.

The day he entered the hospital, he was seized with shivering and nausea, and the following night he slept ill.

On the morning of the 5th, he was carefully examined. His pulse was nearly natural, and his breathing quite tranquil. His tongue was white, he had some degree of nausea, and his bowels were confined. He complained of constant uneasiness, with paroxysms of pain, which he compared to very

severe cramp, in the right hypochondrium, but pressure on that part gave hardly any pain. The liver and the spleen were of natural size. The other functions seemed duly performed. (Wine-lemonade; low diet.)

The 6th and 7th of June, he had no rigors; the pain in the right hypochondrium was very severe, but there was no tenderness. The tongue was covered with a whitish coat, there was some nausea, and the bowels were still costive. (A grain of tartar-emetic; veal broth; julep.)

On the 8th, he suffered still more, and the skin and conjunctivæ had become slightly yellow.

On the 11th, the jaundice was more marked, and the urine, as tested by nitric acid, contained bile. The pain in the right hypochondrium persisted, with exacerbation from time to time. About f.ʒvj. of blood were taken from the side, by cupping.

On the 12th, the pain was less, but he had nausea, and in the evening, a shiver followed by heat and sweating. His tongue was dry, and covered with a blackish coat. Hiccough, and some greenish liquid evacuations. Pulse 96. The spleen was not perceptibly enlarged. (*Quinæ sulphat.*, grs. iij.)

On the 13th, he was nearly in the same state. Some rigors occurred during the night, but they were not succeeded by a hot stage, and the sweating was less profuse than before. Occasional hiccough. Pulse 80. (A blister was applied to the stomach; the quinine was continued.)

The rigors and the hiccough continued to recur.

On the 15th, the fits, like those of ague, recurring more or less regularly, and not yielding to sulphate of quinine; the hiccough, the jaundice, the pain in the right hypochondrium, the absence of disease in other parts of the body, and the nearly natural size of the spleen, led to the inference that the affection was *hepatic phlebitis*.

The 17th, the patient was in a typhoid state. The 18th, he was a little better, and the jaundice less marked.

The 24th, he felt better, and asked for something to eat. In the evening, he was seized with violent shivering with fever, but now the different stages were confounded, and he shivered while his body was covered with sweat. The urine contained much less bile.

The 25th, the fever had not ceased, and seemed likely to become continued. The skin was covered with sweat. The tongue, which had been moist for some days before, had become again dry; and the pains, which had ceased for five or six days, came on again.

The 26th and 27th, the shivers recurred, with occasional hiccough, the fever became remittent, the pulse was firm and tolerably full, but the patient was much depressed.

The 28th and 29th, he sank lower and lower, and became slightly delirious. Pulse 104, small, and compressible. He died in the night of the 29th.

The body was examined thirty hours after death. All the tissues were slightly jaundiced. There was no serous fluid in the abdomen. The liver was of natural size, and of a dark-greenish yellow, or bronze colour. It ad-

hered at some points to the diaphragm, but its investing membranes were otherwise healthy. The gall-bladder was of natural size, and had also formed some adhesions to contiguous parts. It was filled with bile, which had all the characters of ordinary bile. The gall-ducts were healthy.

The trunk of the vena portæ contained a sanious fluid, with some flakes of pus.

On tracing the mesenteric roots of the vein, a fish-bone, the size of a large pin, was found stuck into the trunk of the superior mesenteric vein. The bone, implanted in the head of the pancreas, transfixed the vein from above downwards, and from before backwards. At the point where it was pierced by the bone, the mesenteric vein was blocked up by false membranes, which adhered firmly to its inner coat. The false membranes extended from the mouths of the small veins which come directly from the upper part of the duodenum to the orifice of the splenic vein, becoming less and less firmly adherent. Below this obstruction the roots of the mesenteric vein contained some fibrinous coagula for an extent of some inches, but were otherwise healthy.

The splenic vein was healthy, but contained some reddish fluid like that in the portal vein, from which it had probably flowed into the splenic vein after death.

The trunk of the portal vein was not closed, but was narrowed by false membranes adhering slightly to its coats, which were only a little thickened. It contained pus mixed with blood, and at some points, pus like that of an abscess. The hepatic divisions of the vein were some of them filled with the same reddish liquid, with their coats in some parts healthy, in other parts inflamed, thickened, and coated by false membranes. Others contained only clots of blood, which extended to very small ramifications of the vein. Other branches again were perfectly healthy.

The liver contained no abscesses, but its tissue about the transverse fissure was very soft. In parts of the liver supplied by those branches of the portal vein that remained healthy, there was no change of texture. The lobules, of a greenish-yellow colour, were distinct, and the interlobular spaces, as well as the intra-lobular vein, were red from the blood they contained.

In the parts supplied by those branches that were filled with coagula, the lobules were likewise distinct, but were less red at their margins and centres. Lastly, in the parts supplied by the branches of the vein that contained pus and were inflamed, the form of the lobules was still preserved, but the interlobular tissue was very soft, and the divided intra-lobular veins seemed empty of blood and gaping.

The hepatic veins were quite healthy, and contained very little blood.

On the posterior wall of the stomach, near the pylorus, was a brownish spot, corresponding to one end of the fish-bone, and on the inside, at the same spot, there was a slight depression capable of lodging the head of a pin. It was clear that the bone had passed through the stomach at this spot, pierced the head of the pancreas, and, going still onwards, had stuck into the mesenteric vein, and caused all the subsequent disorder.

The kidneys, the spleen, and the intestines were healthy. In the right lung,

there was some degree of hypostatic pneumonia, but neither lung contained anything like an abscess.

The heart was large, and contained some clots. The right ventricle contained a fibrinous clot, which extended into the pulmonary artery.

This case is very simple. The inflammation of the vein was caused by a mechanical injury, and there was no other disease to interfere with or to mask its effects. The vein most probably became inflamed on the 4th of June, when the patient was first shivered. The pain at the stomach and the occasional nausea he had some weeks previous, were most likely caused by the fish-bone then passing through the stomach and pancreas. After the 4th of June, the symptoms were just those we might have expected. There were frequently recurring rigors, followed by heat and sweating, and after a short time, typhoid symptoms—as in suppurative inflammation of other large veins—while the pain in the region of the liver, the nausea, the hiccough, the jaundice, and the absence of marked disorder of other organs, showed that the liver was the chief seat of the local disease. The deep situation of the vein explains the absence of tenderness.

In the following case, for notes of which, as well as an opportunity of examining the parts after death, I am indebted to Mr. Busk, the inflammation of the portal vein had a different origin, and led to somewhat different results, but was marked by nearly the same train of symptoms. I cannot describe the case better than in Mr. Busk's own words :

“ May, 1844.

“ My dear Budd—I have sent you what I think you will consider a very interesting specimen. It was procured from a man who died last Sunday, after an illness of seven weeks. He was a patient of Mr. Sherwin's, and I have seen him frequently for the last six weeks. His case was extremely obscure, but I surmised from the first, that we should find suppuration in the liver.

“ He was a very strong robust man, an engineer in the dockyard at Woolwich, and had never been out of England, and was of very sober, temperate habits, married, with one child. Had always enjoyed good health, with the exception of occasional pain in the abdomen, which was not considered of any importance till his last attack. He never had ague.

“ Seven weeks ago, he was seized rather suddenly with severe pain in the abdomen, which obliged him to keep his body bent forward, and he had a severe rigor. I saw him about a week afterwards, and he had then the appearance of great depression. He complained of severe, but only occasional,

pain in the epigastric region, predominating on the right side. The pain was not increased by pressure. It did not appear to be of a piercing character, but was attended with a feeling of extreme sinking and distress, and was relieved by morphia. It recurred several times a-day at irregular intervals, and about twice in twenty-four hours he had a severe rigor, followed by most profuse sweating. There was no distension of the belly, and no enlargement of the liver could be detected on the most careful examination, nor was there any tenderness in the hepatic region.

"When I first saw him, the evacuations from the bowels were light coloured and very fetid, but he was not jaundiced. Soon afterwards, however, he became jaundiced, and the urine contained bile. The jaundice went off in a few days, and the evacuations became of natural colour and consistence. At the same time, the urine lost the bile, and threw down a very copious lateritious sediment, which continued to the last. The jaundice passed off suddenly, and the change in the character of the evacuations was preceded by a copious discharge of nearly pure bile.

"The symptoms continued with little change to his death. He gradually sank, becoming much emaciated. He never vomited, and had a great desire for oysters, which were almost his whole support.

"On examination of the body, the lungs were found perfectly sound.

"The peritoneum contained several pints of straw-coloured serous fluid, mixed with flakes of coagulable lymph; and the stomach, transverse colon, and great omentum, were all glued together by soft lymph.

"The liver was large, and extended to the left side. Its convex surface had a coating of puriform matter, and was of a dark colour. On raising the anterior margin, it was found that the concave surface, including the portal fissure and behind it, was adherent to the stomach and surrounding parts: and on separating the adhesions, the substance of the left lobe was found to be occupied by numerous abscesses, which were bounded externally by the adhesions and by the wall of the stomach. The upper surface of the left lobe was closely adherent to the diaphragm, and in the middle of this portion of the diaphragm there was a circular space, about the size of a shilling, having a semi-gangrenous appearance, opposite to which on the upper surface of the muscle the base of the lung was firmly adherent, and pus was deposited in its substance. On detaching the liver from the other parts, a very large collection of thick pus was found in the portal fissure. Pus could be pressed out in great quantity from the dilated portal vein, and was also deposited in the areolar tissue surrounding it. The whole of the left lobe was occupied by innumerable abscesses of all sizes, so as to resemble a coarse sponge filled with pus. In most of these abscesses, the pus was thick and white, but in a few it was of a bright yellow. There were also numerous abscesses, some of them of considerable size, in the right lobe.

"The portal canals, in the left lobe especially, were thickened, white and firm; and, as far as I could ascertain, the gall-ducts were healthy. I have no doubt the abscesses were connected with branches of the portal vein. In a portion of the surface of the liver, which I have sent you, near the

fissure in the anterior margin, you will observe a chain of small abscesses, apparently following the course of a vessel, and showing in a very striking manner the real nature of the disease.

"The gall-bladder was distended by a very pale mucous fluid, and, like the ducts, was perfectly healthy.

"The spleen was of natural size, and except two small superficial abscesses on that part of the surface which bounded an abscess beneath the liver, was quite healthy.

"The pancreas was healthy.

"The splenic and superior mesenteric veins were healthy, but immediately after their junction the vena portæ was extensively ulcerated, and what remained of its inner surface was covered by a buff-coloured false membrane. The tissue in which this part of the vein was lodged, was indurated and black; and immediately in contact with the vein were large and suppurated mesenteric glands. The whole mesentery was much thickened, and the glands much enlarged, and in a state of suppuration.

"I have sent you the mesentery with the pancreas and duodenum, and as much as I could get of the vena portæ, and of the splenic and superior mesenteric veins. You will see the commencement of the diseased part of the vena portæ, and its apparent connexion with the suppurated glands, which I am inclined to believe were the origin of the inflammation of the vein.

"The stomach and intestines were carefully examined throughout, and no morbid appearances were found in them.

"The kidneys were pale and quite healthy."

The origin of the disease in this case is very obscure. The most probable supposition is, that the man had long had disease of the mesenteric glands (perhaps the result of fever), which caused only the occasional pain in the belly to which he had been subject, till an abscess in one of these glands burst into the trunk of the portal vein, and occasioned the inflammation of the vein and the consequent disease of the liver, of which the man died. The inflammation of the vein occurred, no doubt, seven weeks before death, when he was seized suddenly with such severe pain in the belly, and had, for the first time, a severe rigor. After this, the symptoms were very like those in the case before related; and the frequent recurrence of rigors followed by profuse sweating, together with the sense of sinking and general distress, the pain in the right epigastric region, and the jaundice, were enough to justify the opinion Mr. Busk at once formed, that the liver was the seat of suppuration. The formation of pus in the areolar tissue about the portal vein, was perhaps consequent on ulceration of the vein. From there having been no vomiting, and no tenderness of the belly, at least at first, it would appear that

the general inflammation of the peritoneum was likewise consecutive to inflammation of the vein, and that it occurred but a short time before death.

This case affords strong confirmation of the opinion I have already expressed, that pus-globules brought to the liver by the portal vein, usually become all arrested there, and do not pass through, as they often do through the lungs, to cause scattered abscesses in other organs. It is for this reason that suppurative inflammation of a vein that feeds the vena portæ, kills less quickly than suppurative inflammation of a vein that returns its blood immediately to the lungs. The blood is filtered, as it were, of pus, in passing through the liver, and the local disease is confined to that one organ.

If, instead of involving the trunk of the portal vein, the inflammation involve only some of its hepatic branches, the patient may recover, and may enjoy tolerable health for years after. This, happened, I think, in the person of my late colleague, Mr. Lawson, consulting surgeon of the Dreadnought, who died of dropsy from granular kidney, in the spring of 1840.

Mr. Lawson had in early life been much in India, but returned to England ten years before his death, and was soon after appointed resident surgeon to the Dreadnought. He continued in this office several years, and then settled in the city. He occasionally vomited, especially after having eaten or drunk more than usual, and had an occasional fit of gout, but otherwise his health was pretty good, till some months before his death. He had a strong impression that he had some disease of the liver, the result of an acute attack he had in India, but few of his medical friends thought so. He was stout and cheerful, had no pain in the side, and his complexion was remarkably clear.

The examination of the body was made by Mr. Busk, in presence of Dr. Bright and myself. The liver had no unnatural adhesions, and there were no marks of inflammation of the capsule, but its surface was deformed by deep linear fissures. On cutting across these fissures, there was found at some points a small stellar cicatrice, of white cartilaginous substance; at other points, a small abscess, containing white pus. There were a great number of these abscesses, but all were in the lines of the fissures, and all were small; not one was larger than a filbert.

The capsule and the peritoneum covering the liver had under-

gone no change of structure, even at the fissures. They were merely drawn in from atrophy of the hepatic substance beneath.

The lungs were not adherent to the pleura costalis, and presented no marks of former inflammation.

The stomach was large, and the pylorus was somewhat contracted by a cartilage-like tissue under the mucous coat—changes, which accounted for the vomiting to which Mr. Lawson had been subject.

The vessels of the liver were not traced, and at the time the examination was made, the precise seat of the abscesses was not ascertained. The linear fissures on the surface of the liver scarcely, however, leave a doubt that the abscesses were in branches of the portal vein. There had been inflammation of some branches of the vein, a string of small abscesses had formed along them, separated here and there by a plug of lymph, the parts of the liver which those branches supplied became atrophied, and, in consequence, the capsule was drawn in, and the surface marked by fissures corresponding to the obliterated branches of the vein. Enough of the liver was left for the purpose of secretion, and the portal blood passed freely through it, so that no serious disorder of health resulted.

Inflammation of a branch of the portal vein, may be caused by an abscess of the liver, consequent on phlebitis of some distant part. This happens, however, very rarely; probably on account of the coats of the vein being thick and surrounded by areolar tissue. The only instance of the kind I have met with, is in a case sent me by my friend, Dr. James Russel, of Birmingham. The patient, a man of middle age, had his leg amputated on the 18th of March, on account of gangrene coming on after a compound fracture. Three days after the operation, he had a rigor, followed by sweating. The rigors recurred, other constitutional symptoms of purulent phlebitis came on, he got gradually lower, and died on the 20th of April. Occasional pain at the epigastrium, was the only sign that the liver was diseased. An abscess was found in the apex of each lung, and three or four abscesses in the liver. A large branch of the portal vein, in contact with one of the abscesses, contained a hollow cylinder of lymph, about two inches in length, filled with pus. The abscess, reaching the coats of the vein, had probably excited inflammation

of its lining membrane, just as an abscess, reaching the surface of the liver, excites inflammation of the peritoneum above it.

Mere adhesive inflammation of branches of the portal vein, does not prove fatal, like suppurative inflammation; and on this account, and from the difficulty of distinguishing the different inflammatory diseases of the liver during life, we cannot yet give its clinical history. The patient recovers, and when he dies, perhaps some years after, of another disease, we see merely the ultimate changes to which obliteration of branches of the portal vein leads. These changes are very striking and characteristic. The surface of the liver is marked by deep linear fissures, corresponding to the obliterated branches of the vein, and caused by atrophy of those portions of the liver which the obliterated branches supplied. Rokitansky, who has well described these appearances, states that they are very common in persons who die in the hospitals in Vienna. They are by no means uncommon in this country. During the past year, I have had an opportunity of examining three good specimens of this disease. The first was in a liver, which was sent me last November, by my brother, Dr. William Budd, of Bristol. The person from whom it was obtained was a sailor, who died in St. Peter's Hospital, Bristol, of dropsy from granular kidney.

He had been a hard drinker, had been in hot climates, and had had remittents, one attack, not many months before his death. There was considerable nausea, but no ascites. There had been deep jaundice about a week before death. This had lessened a good deal, but there was still a light yellow stain of the skin.

He died of cerebral disorder—apparently the result of poisoning of the blood by urine and bile.

The liver was much deformed by *deep* linear fissures across its upper and its under surface.

On the upper surface of the right lobe were two spots, nearly the size of half-a-crown, covered by a false membrane, a line in thickness, having the toughness and the look of cartilage. From these spots the false membranes shaded away to a thin film, but this did not cover the whole of the convex surface of the right lobe; and on the convex surface of the left lobe, and on the under surface of the liver, there was no false membrane, although the surface was much fissured.

On separating the fissures, and tearing and scraping away the hepatic substance by the handle of the scalpel, solid fibrous twigs were left, which were found to be continuous with branches of the portal vein. The trunk of

the portal vein and its first divisions appeared healthy. About the small divisions still pervious, the areolar tissue seemed thickened, and the artery and duct were more adherent to the vein than natural. The impervious twigs of the vein, in a section of the liver made across them, looked like small stellar cicatrices, and in many of them could be seen a yellow point, the orifice of a divided gall-duct.

The lobular substance of the liver was of a uniform deep chocolate colour, and rather soft, so that it was readily scraped away from the fibrous twigs. The disease was not confined to one part of the liver. One surface was just as much fissured as the other.

The hepatic artery and the hepatic veins appeared healthy.

The gall-bladder and the large ducts were stained with bile, but healthy.

The liver was adherent to the diaphragm and abdominal walls, by bands of old tissue, at the spots covered by thick false membrane.

The spleen was large and indurated. There were no adhesions, or other traces of peritonitis, anywhere in the abdominal cavity, except on the surface of the liver.

The duodenum was much stained by deep olive bile, and from the opening of the common duct to six or eight inches down, there was deep crimson injection of the mucous coat.

The right lung was universally adherent to the costal pleura; the left lung was quite free.

The heart was immensely hypertrophied. There was no important disease of its valves, but much 'atheromatous' deposit in the aorta.

Both kidneys were in a very advanced stage of granular disease.

Another instance of the same disease, that has recently fallen under my notice, was in a man who died in King's College Hospital, of cancer of the penis. This man, who was a soldier, and had served in the Peninsula, had been at one time a hard drinker. He had neither ascites, jaundice, or other symptom of diseased liver. The liver, as in the instance just related, was crossed by deep fissures, but there were fewer of them, and there were no marks of inflammation on its capsule. The tissue of the liver seemed healthy, and could be readily scraped away from the obliterated twigs of the portal vein. The spleen was large and firm, and its capsule was much thickened, and presented some cartilaginous-looking plates.

Another specimen, precisely similar, was sent me by Mr. Busk. It was taken from a sailor, who died of phthisis, much emaciated. There was no mention of hepatic disease in the notes taken of his case. The liver weighed only two pounds one ounce and a half, and, as well as the spleen, adhered to all the surrounding parts

by means of old tissue. There were no traces of former peritonitis, elsewhere.

It appears, then, that obliteration of branches of the portal vein causes atrophy of those parts of the liver which the obstructed branches supplied, and consequent diminution of the size of the organ. When an obliterated branch is near the surface, the capsule gets drawn in by the atrophy of the intervening lobular substance, and the surface is marked by a linear fissure. The lobular substance, supplied by other branches of the vein, may remain uninjured. A portion of the liver is lost, proportionate in amount to the number and size of the obliterated branches of the vein—and the person must suffer all the evils which such a loss entails. The disease, in its effects, is like that form of adhesive inflammation of the substance of the liver, which leads to new fibrous tissue in the portal canals of considerable size; and in two of the three instances I have mentioned, was attended by marks of disease in the capsule of the liver, and in the spleen, such as are usually found in that affection. In these instances, it was probably brought on by spirit-drinking. Rokitansky is of opinion that this disease of the liver is in many cases the result of direct communication between the venous system of the liver and that of the body, in consequence of the umbilical vein remaining pervious. He says that in extreme cases, it may become the cause of ascites.*

Suppurative inflammation of a branch of the *hepatic* vein is, as already remarked, occasionally produced by a small abscess in the liver, consequent on phlebitis of some distant part. The abscess, touching the thin coat of the hepatic vein, sets up inflammation on its inner surface, just as it sets up inflammation of the peritoneum above it when it reaches the surface of the liver. Lymph is effused within the vein, at the point where it is touched by the abscess, the canal of the vein becomes closed at

* In the preceding chapter, (p. 127,) allusion has been made to cases in which ascites was associated with great enlargement of the spleen. These were most probably instances of the disease under consideration. The ascites gradually, though slowly, diminished, and the patients were again able to follow their former callings; but the spleen remained large.

that point, and all the branches that feed it, even back to their capillary divisions, become subsequently, and in consequence, choked with fibrine and coagulated blood, with, here and there, a little purulent matter. I have observed these marks of inflammation in a branch of the hepatic vein, in two instances in which small abscesses had formed in the liver after amputation. In a portion of liver sent me by Mr. Busk in November, 1843, which was taken from a man who died of phlebitis after amputation of the thigh, several branches of the hepatic vein were inflamed in this way, and obviously from this cause. The liver contained many abscesses, of the size of peas, and lined by a distinct, but very thin membrane.

Dr. James Russel, of Birmingham, has sent me notes of a case, in which the same changes were observed. The patient died in the Birmingham Hospital, in 1836, eighteen days after amputation of the leg.

A somewhat similar case has been published by M. Lambron, in the *Archives Generales* for June, 1842; but, here, the abscesses in the liver were most probably caused by a cancerous ulcer of the stomach.

From these instances, it is probable, that inflammation of one or more branches of the hepatic vein is not uncommon in cases where abscesses form in the liver after injuries of the head or limbs. From want of careful dissection, this disease of the vein must be often overlooked.

Inflammation of the hepatic vein from other causes, is, I believe, extremely rare. The only instance in which I have seen evidence of it, was in a man, who died in King's College Hospital in February, 1844. All the hepatic veins seemed thicker and more opaque than natural, and, on examining them closely, I found a thin false membrane on their inner surface, which in the large veins could be readily stripped off. There was a great deal of new fibrous tissue in all the portal canals of considerable size, and some in the small ones, also,—enough on the whole to render the liver tough, but not distinctly hob-nailed or granular. The liver and the spleen were united to all the adjacent parts by means of old tissue—and there were some adhesions, apparently of the same date, between adjacent coils of intestine. The pericardium adhered to the heart by means of a thick layer

of tough fibrous tissue; and both lungs were everywhere adherent to the pleura costalis. The patient was a tailor, 52 years of age, and for many years had been in the habit of drinking enormous quantities of gin. It was this probably that caused the adhesive inflammation of which so many traces were found.*

* There can be little doubt that the adhesive inflammations, of which so many traces are found in bodies examined in our hospitals:—cirrhosis, obliterated portal veins, thickened capsule of the spleen, puckering of the surface of the kidney from obliterated vessels, stricture of the pylorus from contracted lymph in the submucous areolar tissue, and, in many cases, adhesions of the pericardium and pleura—are mainly attributable to spirit-drinking. The inflammation which this causes, is always *adhesive*.

SECT. V.—*Inflammation of the gall-bladder and ducts—Catarrhal and suppurative inflammation—Croupal, or plastic, inflammation—Ulcerative inflammation—Effects of ulceration of the gall-bladder and ducts—Effects of permanent closure of the cystic and common ducts—Fatty degeneration of the coats of the gall-bladder.*

The inflammatory diseases of the gall-bladder and ducts, although undoubtedly of frequent occurrence, have been but little studied, and at present we have not materials for anything like a complete history of them. This is to be ascribed, in part, to the ambiguous character of the symptoms of all diseases of the liver; in part, to the small size of the gall-ducts, which causes them to be often overlooked in our dissections. It should ever be borne in mind, that the ducts, though small, are very important, from being the only outlets for the bile secreted in those portions of the liver to which they lead. Permanent closure of the cystic duct entirely destroys the office of the gall-bladder;—of the common duct, the office of the liver itself.

Inflammation of the gall-bladder and ducts probably arises from various causes, each of which determines in great measure the character and the course of the inflammation, and its mode of termination—so that we cannot expect a satisfactory account of the different kinds of inflammation until we can arrange them according to the causes by which they are respectively produced. To attempt such an arrangement at present, would be premature. We must be satisfied with what seems the nearest approach to it; viz. an arrangement based on the appearances found after death.

The different forms of inflammation of a mucous membrane, considered with reference to their effects, are,

1st, What may be called *catarrhal* inflammation, which merely increases the quantity and changes the quality of the natural *mucus*, often rendering it viscid, whitish, and opaque. This form of inflammation seems to correspond in degree with the adhesive

inflammation of other textures, but it is not *adhesive*, in the sense before given to that word, because, by a wise provision, the matter poured out on the free surface of a mucous membrane very rarely becomes organised, and permanently adherent to the membrane.

2nd. *Suppurative* inflammation, where the matter secreted is purulent.

3rd. *Croupal*, or *plastic*, inflammation, where the matter effused forms a solid, albuminous layer on the diseased surface, of which, when this is a tube, it becomes a perfect *cast*.

4th. *Ulcerative* inflammation—if, indeed, the process which leads to ulceration can with propriety be classed with those leading to the results before-mentioned, and be comprehended with them under the generic term, *inflammation*.

All these different forms of inflammation have been observed in the mucous membrane lining the gall-bladder and ducts, but not with equal frequency in its different parts. Inflammation seldom produces changes sufficient to attract notice in the hepatic duct, or the branches that go to form it. The coats of the gall-bladder, and of the cystic and common ducts, are not unfrequently found ulcerated, or much thickened and otherwise changed in texture; but such changes are hardly ever met with, in man, in branches of the hepatic duct. It might have been anticipated that the gall-bladder, and the cystic and common ducts, would be more liable to inflammation than the branches of the hepatic duct. They are much more liable to be inflamed by the passage of unhealthy bile, which becomes more concentrated, and therefore more irritating, in the gall-bladder; they are also much more liable to disease from the irritation of gall-stones, which are usually formed in the gall-bladder; and they are, besides, from their situation, liable to be involved in diseases of adjacent organs. For these reasons, it is, perhaps, best to consider the diseases of the gall-bladder, and of the different portions of the ducts, separately, as far as this can be done.

Catarrhal inflammation of the ducts is, probably, not uncommon. It is not a fatal disease, and, like catarrhal inflammation of other mucous membranes, may cause no permanent changes; so that it may often have occurred, where no traces of it are found. It happens, however, not very unfrequently, that on squeezing the hepatic ducts, a viscid whitish fluid oozes out, which,

on examination through the microscope, is seen to be chiefly made up of the prismatic epithelial cells of the gall-ducts. The symptoms we should expect in catarrhal inflammation of the hepatic ducts, are some degree of feverishness, with slight pain in the region of the liver, and if many of the ducts become closed by thickening of their coats, or be choked by the viscid secretion, slight enlargement of the liver, and jaundice.

Many of the cases of simple jaundice coming on in healthy persons, and attended with very little pain and fever, are probably cases of this kind.

In a severer form of inflammation, the matter secreted is purulent, but it has seldom the visible characters of pure pus. The pus is mixed with opaque mucus secreted at the same time, and, it may be, with bile also. If the bile be in considerable quantity, and ammoniacal, its alkali renders the pus glairy, and the result is a viscid, greenish, or yellowish, fluid, very different in appearance from pure pus.

The most striking instance of suppurative inflammation of the hepatic gall-ducts I have found recorded, was related by Dr. Olliffe (of Paris), at the meeting of the British Association, in 1843. It occurred in the person of an officer, who had resided many years in India, and during that time had suffered from "jungle fever," or a peculiar intermittent of tertian type, which afterwards recurred in a slight form when he was in Italy. Many years afterwards, other symptoms came on, which, at first, were not of an aggravated character, such as debility, and slight nausea every morning, not amounting to vomiting. Then, daily rigors set in, followed by fever, which ended in sweating, as in ordinary intermittent fever. The periodical symptoms were stopped by quinine, but he grew weaker, and at length died. Latterly, there was some tenderness over the liver, which seemed enlarged.

The liver was found enlarged, but it presented no marked change of structure except in the mucous membrane of the gall-ducts, which was thickened and softened, and readily separable from the tissue beneath it. The ducts were enlarged, and filled with pus, and this through the entire organ, so that wherever an incision was made, pus oozed out. The veins were particularly examined, and were found healthy. The gall-bladder was full of bile, mixed with pus. The mucous membrane of the entire alimentary canal

was healthy. The other viscera of the great cavities appeared perfectly sound. (Athenæum, Aug. 26, 1843.)

In this case, no mention is made of jaundice, and the ducts do not appear to have been completely obstructed. It seems, however, that now and then, in catarrhal or suppurative inflammation of the hepatic ducts, many of the small ducts become temporarily blocked up at some point, and the portion behind gets dilated into an irregular pouch, which is filled with a glairy or purulent fluid, more or less tinged with bile. This happened in the following case, which I have taken from Cruveilhier (liv. xl. pl. 1), and, on account of the rarity of the disease, have given at length.

CASE. Dull pain in the region of the liver, of long continuance—Jaundice—Death from exhaustion—Marks of old inflammation of the surface of the liver—Obliteration of the cystic duct—Narrowing of the lower end of the common duct, which contained a gall-stone—General dilatation of the hepatic ducts—Partial dilatation of many of the small ducts into irregular cavities, filled with a puriform mucus tinged with bile.

A woman, 45 years of age, living in service, entered "La Charité," the 9th of May, 1840, for a bronze jaundice of ten days date. The jaundice was attended with fever; the pulse 108. There was no pain or tenderness in the region of the liver, and no enlargement of the liver could be detected.

The following additional particulars were noted.

Catamenia, regular. Has never had a child. Thirteen years ago, was struck with palsy of the right side, and a long time elapsed before this completely disappeared. Has long been subject to dull pain in the right hypochondrium, which she has been accustomed to relieve by poultices. Has never had colic, vomiting, or even nausea. The 20th of March last was jaundiced for the first time. The jaundice went off at the end of twelve days, and recurred only ten days ago.

She was cupped to f 3vj. over the liver, and ordered poultices, baths, enemata, and lemonade.

The feverishness passed off, and was succeeded by a sense of extreme weakness. There was no swelling in the region of the liver: and no pain, even on firm pressure.

The following days, she seemed to be mending. The jaundice had almost disappeared, and her appetite and strength were beginning to return, when, the 28th of May, a general illness came on with irritative cough, a frequent, small pulse, continual desire to make water, pains in the region of the liver,—and the jaundice recurred.

Leeches, baths, poultices, &c., mitigated the symptoms, and took away the pain.

On the 6th of June, the jaundice was less, but there was prostration, with

apthæ of the mouth, loss of appetite, and general uneasiness. The frequency of pulse continued. She had no pain, and the liver was not enlarged. (Whey ; with tartrate of potash.) Copious stools.

On the 8th of June, a little fluid was detected in the peritoneum, and the belly was tender.

The 14th of June, for the first time, vomiting ; stools, involuntary.

The following days, exhaustion increasing from day to day ; inability to move ; sloughs at the sacrum ; œdema, beginning at the legs, and becoming general ; cries from pain during the night.

She retained consciousness up to her death, which took place the 3rd of July, fifty-five days after her admission to the hospital. There was no disorder of the brain until the evening before death, when she would not answer questions, and could only be made to put out her tongue.

On examining the body, about two quarts of greenish serum were found in the cavity of the peritoneum. No peritonitis, but some vascular fringes on the colon in the iliac fossa.

The liver was of natural size, and of an olive colour. It was firmly united to the diaphragm ; and its under surface about the gall-bladder was equally firmly united to the arch of the colon and the upper part of the duodenum, so that it required a long time to dissect out the gall-bladder, which formed a very small cyst, with excessively thick coats, filled with greenish mucus, and not communicating with the gall-ducts.

A section of the liver presented a ground of deep olive, with here and there small irregular cavities, containing a thick purulent mucus, of various colours, from orange-yellow to deep green. (There were thousands of such cavities, which were distributed unequally through the liver, the chief seat of them being the right lobe.) The substance of the liver about the cavities did not appear inflamed. Some of these cavities seemed formed of a very small gall-duct dilated ; others, of such a duct dilated and perforated ; others again, of many such ducts dilated and perforated, and communicating, so as to form sacculated pouches.

The common duct, contracted at its duodenal end, was dilated immediately above, where there was a calculus which did not completely close the canal. The dilatation extended to the hepatic duct and all its branches. Where the gall-stone lodged, there was sloughing of the inner membrane of the common duct. At the level of the cystic duct, of which not a trace could be found, the common duct communicated with a lateral cavity, whose sides were in a state of slough.

The *spleen* was healthy.

Lungs, œdematous.

Brain.—White softening of the corpus striatum, and of the adjacent convolutions. In the corpus striatum was a yellowish grey cicatrice, the remains of the injury which caused the former hemiplegia.

Here, there were marks of former inflammation about the liver—

firm adhesions between the liver and adjacent organs, obliteration of the cystic duct, narrowing of the duodenal end of the common duct. These changes sufficiently accounted for the dull pain the patient had long suffered in the region of the liver.

The narrowing of the end of the common duct, and the lodgement of the gall-stone in it, evidently produced the general dilatation of the hepatic ducts, and also produced the first attack of jaundice.

The saccular distension of the small ducts resulted, most likely, from inflammation of them. It is probable that, becoming blocked up for a time at some point by the viscid secretion, the portion above was subsequently dilated into an irregular pouch, by the accumulation of purulent fluid, and by bile, which had no longer any outlet.

The chief symptoms of this stage of the disease were jaundice, occasional pain in the region of the liver, a quick pulse, with a sense of general illness, and daily increasing weakness. At length, nutrition became very much impaired; there was sloughing of the sacrum, sloughing of the gall-duct, white softening of the brain—and the patient died of exhaustion.

It would seem that sacculated pouches, formed, as in this case, by inflammation of the small hepatic ducts, may, by permanent closure of the duct at the point of obstruction, be converted into small permanent cysts, filled with a glairy fluid, more or less tinged with bile. It is difficult to account in any other way for the cysts of this character that are now and then found in the liver.

Firm, white, nodulous tumors, surrounded by a distinct cyst, and composed of a cheese-like substance, are also now and then found in the liver, and are formed, I believe, in the same way. These cysts are evidently situated in portal canals, and the cheese-like substance of which they consist, contains in its middle a small mass of concrete biliary matter, or has solid particles of biliary matter diffused through it which can be seen by means of the microscope. There is usually a false membrane on the surface of the liver at the points where these tumors reach it. In another chapter, a fuller account will be given of these cheesy tubera, which have been generally confounded with cancer. The cheesy matter is very like that of a scrofulous gland, and is probably formed in the same way, by inflammation of the mucous membrane, in these portions of the ducts.

These knotty tumors seem, indeed, to differ from the biliary cysts before mentioned, only in the consistence of the matter within the cyst—which varies according to the kind and degree of the inflammation by which it is produced.

If a small gall-duct become obstructed in the same way by thick, *biliary* matter, or otherwise, the portion behind may, perhaps without inflammation at all, become dilatated into a small, irregular, or sacculated cavity, containing mere mucus and bile. Cruveilhier (liv. xii. pl. 4, fig. 3) has given a plate taken from a specimen of this kind. A great number of cysts of various sizes were scattered through the liver, some in its substance, others rising above the surface, completely isolated from the gall-ducts, but containing a deep yellow liquid. Tumors formed in this way are perhaps generally multiple, and never attain a very large size. The large, solitary, encysted tumors, containing a glairy fluid, tinged with bile, which are now and then found in the liver, are most probably hydatid cysts, (which in man are usually single,) in which suppurative inflammation has been set up by the entrance of bile. The greenish glairy fluid may be formed by the mixture of bile and pus.

The irregular cysts, formed by dilatation of the small gall-ducts, when they contain merely a thin mucous fluid mixed with bile, may contract from absorption of the watery part of their contents, and the cyst may at length close upon a small mass of concrete mucus and bile.

Cruveilhier (liv. xii. pl. 4, fig. 2) has given a beautiful plate of the liver of an infant, from five to six months old, which had scattered through it a great number of small irregular cavities, the largest the size of a small pea, with thick firm parietes, and containing concrete bile. It was, he says, almost impossible to trace the continuity of these cysts with the gall-ducts. Besides the cysts, the liver contained many small scattered masses of fibrous texture, (perhaps like the cheesy tumors which have just been described,) which Cruveilhier supposes to have resulted from the obliteration of cysts.

The infant had tubercles in the lungs, and these cysts and small fibrous masses in the liver were, at first, taken for tubercles. Cruveilhier states that he has found small cysts in the liver, containing solid biliary matter, twice in infants, and many times in

adults. He supposes the cyst to be formed by dilatation of the extremity of a gall-duct, and to become isolated from the ducts by adhesive inflammation.

Marks of inflammation and other disease, are, as already stated, much more common in the gall-bladder, and in the cystic and common ducts, than in the hepatic ducts.

Inflammation of the mucous membrane may be confined to the lower part of the common duct, or to the gall-bladder; or it may commence in the gall-bladder, and extend down the cystic and common ducts.

The best example I have met with of acute inflammation of the mucous membrane of the common duct only, is in the following case recorded by Andral.

CASE. Over-indulgence at table—Pain at the right of the epigastrium—Jaundice—A pear-shaped tumor, not painful, in the situation of the gall-bladder—On the eleventh day, sudden accession of severe pain in the region of the liver, soon spreading all over the belly—Speedy collapse—Death the next day—Inner surface of the duodenum intensely red—Coats of the common duct thickened and easily torn, and its canal almost closed—Perforation of the hepatic duct—A puriform liquid in the peritoneum—No other marks of disease.

A shoemaker, 35 years of age, was admitted into *La Charité*, the 8th of November, 1821. Six days before, after over-indulgence at table, he was taken with sharp pain at the right of the epigastrium, a little below the edge of the ribs. The next day, he remarked that his skin was yellow. On the 9th of November, the seventh day of illness, the conjunctiva and the entire surface of the body had a yellow tint, and there was a dull pain in the right hypochondrium. Below the cartilage of the eleventh rib, a pear-shaped tumor was felt, the broad end of which extended a little below the umbilicus, while the narrow end was lost behind the ribs. This tumor, which was supposed to be the gall-bladder distended, was moveable under the finger, and not tender.

The tongue was natural. The patient had some thirst; no appetite. The bowels moved seldom; the stools were not coloured with bile. The pulse was quick; the skin hot and dry. (Leeches to the anus; whey, with acetate of potash; diet.)

The four following days, the tumor grew larger, but no other change took place. On the 13th of November, the eleventh day from his first feeling the pain in the side, the patient was seized, all at once, with a much more severe pain, which, starting from the region of the liver, soon spread over the whole belly.

The pain continued extremely severe, and was much increased by the slightest pressure; the features became pinched, the pulse small and very frequent, and the extremities cold; and the patient died in the afternoon of the next day.

The sac of the peritoneum was filled by a puriform liquid, everywhere yellow, but much more so in the right flank than in other parts. The inner surface of the duodenum was intensely red. The entrance of the common duct was marked by a small round tumor, rising three lines above the surface of the intestine, and pierced at its summit by a capillary orifice, the opening of the duct. The coats of the common duct were much thickened and easily torn, and the canal almost closed.

The hepatic and the cystic ducts, and the gall-bladder, were dilated. In the hepatic duct, just above its junction with the cystic, was a perforation, having an irregular, roundish outline, and large enough for the passage of a small pea. Around the perforation, the texture of the coats of the duct did not seem altered. The tissue of the liver exhibited nothing remarkable. In the stomach were some spots in which the mucous membrane was red. The rest of the alimentary canal, and the other organs, seemed healthy.—(Clin. Med. t. iv. p. 495.)

This case seems to have been an instance of acute inflammation of the duodenum and of the common duct, caused by over-indulgence at table. The symptoms were pain in the situation of the inflamed duct, soon followed by jaundice and by dilatation of the gall-bladder; loss of appetite, thirst, fever. The disease had lasted eleven days, when rupture of the hepatic duct took place, causing peritonitis and rapid collapse.

The inflammation does not seem to have extended above the common duct. The distended gall-bladder was not painful or tender; and the coats of the hepatic duct about the perforation, were not sensibly altered in texture.

The early jaundice, and the distension of the gall-bladder, were the effect of closure of the common duct, by thickening of its mucous coat. The gall ducts, from their small diameter, must be completely closed by a very slight thickening of their coats.

Andral gives another case, (Id. p. 499,) which did not prove fatal, but which, judging from the symptoms, was of the same kind.

In the summer of 1824, a man, about 30 years of age, felt severe pain in the right hypochondrium for two days, and then became jaundiced. When he entered the hospital, the jaundice and the pain were still present; and immediately below the cartilages of the false ribs, was a moveable, pear-

shaped tumor, which Andral took for a distended gall-bladder. The pulse was quick, the skin hot, the bowels obstinately bound. (Twenty leeches to the anus; enemata; foot-baths; barley-water.) The next day, the fever ceased. During the three following days, the tumor grew less, and then disappeared together with the pain. The jaundice went off, the constipation ceased, and the patient soon left the hospital well.

There can be little doubt, that this case, like the former, was one of acute inflammation of the common duct, not extending to the gall-bladder. The symptoms in these cases were just what might have been expected: pain in the situation of the duct, followed, at the end of one or two days, by jaundice and by distension of the gall-bladder; a certain degree of fever; constipation. It is worthy of remark that in neither case does Andral notice among the symptoms, vomiting, or nausea, or rigors.

It is probable that similar cases now and then occur, and are treated as inflammatory jaundice, without their real nature being discovered. The symptoms differ from those of ordinary cases of jaundice, chiefly in the pain being limited to a small spot in the situation of the common duct, and in *the early appearance of a large, moveable, pear-shaped tumor*, not painful or tender, which may be recognised by these characteristics as the gall-bladder distended from closure of the common duct. The absence of pain or tenderness of the tumor, shows that the gall-bladder is not inflamed.

If the inflammation should involve the cystic and hepatic ducts, as well as the common duct, distension of the gall-bladder would perhaps not take place, and the symptoms would be merely those of inflammatory jaundice.

But inflammation may commence in the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder, and for some time may not extend to the ducts. The following case related by Dr. Graves, in his recent work on Clinical Medicine, (p. 463) is a very striking instance of catarrhal or plastic inflammation, at first confined to the gall-bladder.

CASE.—“Ann Milton, a healthy fine young woman, aged 20, (servant,) admitted into the Meath Hospital, under Dr. Graves, November 1st, 1841. About five weeks ago was attacked with pain in the right hypochondrium, extending into the epigastrium, which lasted for a fortnight, and was followed by jaundice and high-coloured condition of the urine. She does not recollect whether the fæces were whiter than usual. After the skin got yellow

the pain in the side diminished ; but during the whole time it lasted she had constant vomiting and nausea. Three days after the setting in of pain, and ten before the appearance of the jaundice, she became affected with excessive itching of the skin, which prevented sleep ; *this itching ceased as soon as the jaundice appeared*. She had no pain in either shoulder. At the time the skin became yellow, an eruption of an herpetic character appeared over the hepatic region. She was under no treatment for the pain ; but to the eruption, a mixture of gunpowder and blood was applied.

Present symptoms.—Skin and conjunctivæ deeply jaundiced ; all objects appear yellow ; urine high-coloured ; fæces white ; no itching of the skin ; the linen over the eruption is stained yellow ; tongue clean and moist ; great thirst ; appetite good ; stomach not sick ; no pain after taking meals ; bowels confined ; sleeps badly ; no headache ; pulse 80, full and soft ; breathing hurried ; no cough or physical sign of disease in either lung ; the heart's action strong, but the sounds are normal and distinct ; complains of no pain when the right hypochondrium is pressed, or when the ribs are pushed against the liver, *but she has a slight pain at a point between the right hypochondrium and epigastrium, greatly increased by pressure*. There is some fulness of the latter region, but percussion does not give a dull sound ; no enlargement of the liver noticeable or detected by percussion ; the abdominal muscles are very irritable, and are thrown into spasm by the least effort to examine the abdomen minutely ; she has no pain over either lumbar region. Poultices to the eruption—twelve leeches to the painful part. R. Pil. hydrarg. gr. x. Pulv. Doveri gr. v. in pil. iij. St. j. 4tis horis. Enema purgans.

Nov. 5th.—Pain relieved by leeches ; no other change ; appetite extremely good.

Nov. 6th.—Was attacked last night with pain in the stomach ; no vomiting ; pulse to-day fuller and quicker—100 ; breathing not hurried ; 'feels unwell' to-day ; tongue clean ; some thirst ; appetite good ; bowels confined ; skin dry ; no change in the jaundice ; complains of tenderness at the point before mentioned.

R. Pil. hydrarg. gr. v. ter in die. Hirud. xij. P. D.

Nov. 7th.—On the previous evening she became delirious, and this morning, (7th,) at the hour of visit, was quite comatose, and soon after died.

Post mortem.—The brain and abdominal viscera were the only parts examined. The liver was not by any means enlarged, and a section of it disclosed no excess of blood. It was of a light brown colour, tinged with yellow, as if from a superabundance of the colouring matter of the bile. The gall-bladder was distended, and on being opened, was found completely filled by a dark green mass of a tenaceous viscid nature, apparently lymph. This substance was of the same pyriform shape as the gall-bladder, and terminated by its narrow extremity at the commencement of the gall-duct. On its removal, the lining membrane of the gall-bladder presented a bright scarlet colour and villous appearance, and the natural and beautiful 'honey-comb' arrangement of the mucous membrane was completely effaced. There

was no softening or ulceration of the membrane, nor was the colour different in any part. It resembled very much the appearance of the mucous membrane in acute laryngitis. The walls of the gall-bladder were much thickened. There was no obstruction in the ductus choledochus, the cystic or hepatic ducts, and their lining membrane was quite free from any unusual vascularity: the duodenum and stomach were stained with the colouring matter of the bile, but in other respects were healthy; no gall-stones or other obstruction; the kidneys were natural.

Cranium.—The dura mater was stained of a yellow colour; there was no thickening or opacity of this membrane; the arachnoid and pia mater were quite healthy; the substance of the brain was firm and free from any unusual vascularity; no effusion of lymph in any part; the ventricles were not distended with fluid beyond what is normal, but the fluid, though in small quantity, was of a yellow colour, and the surface of the different parts contained in each ventricle, was also of a light yellow colour; the nerves and all other parts of the organ were free from this staining."

In this case, the disease seems, for the first fortnight, to have been confined to the gall-bladder, and, during that time, the chief symptoms were pain and tenderness in the region of the gall-bladder, with constant nausea and vomiting. Jaundice then came on, and continued till the death of the patient. It is not clear, whether the jaundice resulted from closure of the common or hepatic duct from inflammation extending to them from the gall-bladder, or from mere suppressed secretion of bile.

Suppurative inflammation of the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder, now and then occurs in the course of typhoid fever. M. Louis, in his elaborate work on Typhoid Fever, has given three cases (Obs. 1, 11, & 28) in which he found a purulent fluid in the gall-bladder, mixed with very unhealthy-looking reddish bile. In one of these cases, (Obs. 28,) the mucous membrane was a little thickened; but in the others, it presented no other change than slight redness. In not one of them did the gall-ducts exhibit any marks of disease. The inflammation of the gall-bladder was probably caused by bile, unhealthy when first secreted, and rendered still more irritating by long retention in the bladder. It gave rise to no symptoms that could be distinguished amidst the general disorder of the fever.

Suppurative inflammation of the gall-bladder seems especially liable to occur when, by any cause, the cystic duct is permanently closed.

Cruveilhier (liv. xxiii. pl. 5) has given a plate of a liver studded with cancerous tumors, in which the cystic duct was obliterated, and the gall-bladder inflamed and full of pus. No notes of the case are given.

A similar instance is recorded by Andral, (Clin. Med. iv. 518,) in the case of a woman, who died at the age of 47. There were numerous cancerous tumors in the liver. The gall-bladder was full of pus, and its mucous membrane inflamed. The cystic duct seems to have been closed. The hepatic duct was very large and full of bile. The common duct exhibited nothing unusual. There was recently effused lymph on the surface of the peritoneum, and the mucous membrane in the large end of the stomach was softened. No other marks of disease are noticed.

Some cases to be related farther on render it probable that in these instances the suppurative inflammation of the gall-bladder resulted, in part at least, from closure of the cystic duct, and the consequent long retention of bile, which from being, at first, morbid, was rendered still more irritating by becoming concentrated, and perhaps also decomposed.

Inflammation of the gall-bladder, whether catarrhal or suppurative, seldom perhaps proves fatal of itself, except when the cystic duct is closed, and the gall-bladder converted into an abscess. When it is the sole disease, and the ducts are open, so that the matter can escape, the patient may perhaps recover perfectly, or may survive with the gall-bladder more or less changed in structure. I have twice found the gall-bladder and cystic duct contracted, and their coats thickened, in young persons who died of other diseases, and in whom there were no gall-stones, nor any trace of inflammation of the common or hepatic ducts, or of the capsule or substance of the liver.

I refrain from giving any details of these cases, as no particulars were noted that can serve to mark even the date of the disease of the gall-bladder.

Occasionally, the coats of the common duct, as well as those of the gall-bladder and cystic duct, are found thickened and indurated, without gall-stones, or trace of inflammation in other tissues of the liver. It is probable that in most cases of this kind inflammation is set up first in the gall-bladder by long retention of irritat-

ing bile, and afterwards in the ducts by the passage of this together with irritating secretions from the bladder.

In persons dead of granular liver, with ascites, it is not very uncommon to find the gall-bladder and cystic duct much contracted, and their coats thickened and indurated. The canal of the duct is much narrowed, and now and then completely closed, so that the duct is transformed into a fibrous cord. When this is the case, the gall-bladder contains yellowish mucus, or is moulded on a gall-stone, formed of mucus and the yellow matter of the bile. In these cases, I imagine, the gall-bladder and cystic duct become inflamed, secondarily, like the capsule of the liver. (Clin. Med. iv. obs. 51 and 52.) The inflammation is probably seated in the outer coats. From there being other disease of the liver, it is difficult to determine in what degree the symptoms depend on disease of the gall-bladder and duct.

Sometimes the coats of the common duct, as well as those of the cystic, are thickened and indurated, and the canal much contracted. In such cases the hepatic duct and its branches are found dilated and filled with thick yellow bile; and the tissue of the liver is greenish or olive. (Clin. Med. iv. obs. 49, 50.) When the common duct is much obstructed, there is a deeper jaundice than belongs to mere cirrhosis. The colour of the skin is a golden yellow shading into green.

Further on, more ample details will be given of the effects of permanent closure of the common duct, which may result from various causes besides inflammation, and is very important, because it suspends the office of the entire liver, and, in the end, completely destroys the cells by which the bile is secreted.

Another, and much more common cause of inflammation of the gall-bladder, and of the cystic and common ducts, at least among the rich, is the mechanical irritation of gall-stones. But this gives rise to ulceration, rather than to the diffuse catarrhal or suppurative inflammation we have hitherto chiefly considered.

Croupal or *plastic* inflammation of the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder and ducts is very rare. Rokitansky says he has observed it in the ducts within the liver, in what has been called

the secondary fever of cholera, and as a sequel of ordinary typhoid fever. It produces within the gall-ducts membranous tubes, in which the bile forms tree-like concretions; and this again, by blocking up the passage, causes distension of the capillary ducts behind.

Ulcerative Inflammation of the Gall-bladder and Ducts.

Ulceration of the gall-bladder is much more common than the forms of inflammation yet considered, and occurs in various circumstances.

It has been noticed by more than one observer, among the morbid appearances of remittent fever.

Sir G. Blane, in his account of the Walcheren fever, states that the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder was frequently found inflamed and ulcerated; the ulcers having in some cases the conical or tubercular form sometimes seen in dysentery. The gall-bladder was generally distended with bile, which, in those persons who died early, was of a deep green or dark brown, but in more protracted cases had the consistence and the colour of tar. This tar-like fluid did not taste bitter like bile, and when mixed with water did not impart any yellowness to it, while it was often so acrid as to excoriate the lip. (Williams' Morbid Poisons, vol. ii. p. 470.)

Mr. Boyle, speaking of the Sierra Leone fever, says there were in almost all cases traces of inflammation in the pyloric extremity of the stomach, extending thence along the duodenum to the entrance of the gall-duct, about which, for the space of a Spanish dollar, the inflammation seemed to have attained the greatest height. The duct was ordinarily choked by dark-coloured, viscid bile. The gall-bladder was probably not examined. The other abdominal viscera are stated to have been congested, but otherwise healthy. (Id. p. 478.)

In the yellow fever at Barcelona, in 1821, there were usually traces of inflammation of the stomach, small intestine, and duodenum, not unfrequently extending to the gall-bladder. (Id. p. 473.)

The acrid quality of the bile in the Walcheren fever, and the circumstance that in Dr. Boyle's dissections, the strongest marks of inflammation in the intestinal canal were about the entrance of

the common duct into the duodenum, render it probable that the inflammation of the gall-bladder and duodenum, in remittent fever, is caused by irritating bile. As in typhoid fever, the symptoms of inflammation of the gall-bladder are not distinguishable in the midst of the general disorder that constitutes the fever, and the symptoms of inflammation of other parts that likewise occur in its course.

In this country, ulceration of the gall-bladder is produced perhaps not unfrequently by the irritation of gall stones.

Ulceration of the gall-bladder and gall stones are often found together, but we must not infer, in all such cases, that the ulcers were produced by the gall-stones. Both the ulcers and the gall-stones may have resulted from the presence of bile of unnatural quality.

When there is only one ulcer in the bladder, and a large or hard gall-stone is found resting upon it, we may perhaps safely infer that the mechanical irritation of the gall-stone was the cause of the ulcer. Gall-stones too large to pass through the cystic duct, not unfrequently cause ulceration of the lower or depending part of the gall-bladder; lymph is poured out on the peritoneal coat below the ulcer; the gall-bladder becomes united by this means to the duodenum or colon; the ulcer eats likewise through the coats of the intestine, at this point; and the gall-stone escapes into the intestinal canal. The processes of ulceration and adhesion take place very slowly, and are seldom attended by alarming symptoms. Often, indeed, the first clear intimation that such an event has happened, is the discharge of a large gall-stone from the bowels.

In other cases, we find many small round ulcers in the gall-bladder, and perhaps in the common duct, and small gall-stones in the bladder not resting on the ulcers. When it is considered that most human gall-stones are so light as to float in bile—since they almost float in water, which is of much lower specific gravity—and that, consequently, they can exert no pressure on the coats of the gall-bladder from their *weight*, when there is bile enough in the bladder to keep them afloat;—it seems most reasonable to refer both ulcers and gall-stones in these cases to an unhealthy state of the bile.

Further on, I shall relate a case recorded by Dance, where, without gall-stones, there were not only numerous ulcers of this

kind in the gall-bladder and common duct, but also four or five small deep ulcers in the duodenum, in the space of a crown-piece around the mouth of the common duct, while the rest of the intestines was healthy. One can hardly avoid the inference, in such a case, that the ulceration was caused by irritating bile.

Ulceration of the gall-bladder seems especially liable to occur, in persons in whom the gall-bladder has suffered from former disease. The following case, which fell under my care in 1837, affords an instance of this.

John Sibston, æt. 18, a collier, was admitted into the Dreadnought the 21st September, 1837, on account of vomiting of blood, which had come on that morning. He stated that he was quite well previously.

During the 21st, he suffered great pain at the epigastrium, vomited blood several times, and had several loose stools.

Eighteen leeches were applied to the epigastrium, and he was ordered dilute sulphuric acid, mviij. every four hours.

On the 22nd, he did not vomit. He was bled from the arm to ʒviij. , and xij. leeches were applied to the epigastrium.

On the 23rd—the first time I saw him—the skin was hotter than natural: the pulse 100. There was still tenderness, and some tension, at the epigastrium. The tongue had a yellowish paste on its middle, but was red at the edges; no appetite; thirst; had vomited once this morning, but no blood; had slept tolerably. The blood drawn yesterday, not buffed or cupped. He was put on fever-diet; and the sulphuric acid was continued.

25th. Epigastrium still tender; skin hot; pulse 90; less thirst; a white coat on the tongue. No vomiting since the morning of the 23rd; bowels rather confined. The sulphuric acid was left off, and common effervescing draughts were given, instead.

26th. Tenderness of epigastrium has ceased; no vomiting: bowels confined; some appetite; no thirst; has slept well. A dose of salts and senna was given; and the effervescing draughts were continued.

28th. No vomiting; bowels rather confined; appetite good: sleeps well. Beef tea, Oij.

On the 4th of October, he was put on meat diet.

He continued on this diet, walking about the wards, seemingly in full convalescence, (his appetite good, bowels regular, sleep sound,) until the evening of the 10th of October, when he was taken with malignant cholera. He soon fell into a state of collapse, and died early in the morning of the 12th.

At that time cholera prevailed in the Dreadnought. Twenty-one of the patients fell ill of it in the course of three weeks.

The body was examined ten hours after death.

The cardiac extremity of the stomach was united to the under surface of

the left lobe of the liver by a false membrane, in which were some chalky bodies, the size of small peas. The pyloric end of the stomach, and the colon, were firmly united to the gall-bladder, whose coats were much thickened.

The gall-bladder contained some pus, and its mucous membrane was extensively ulcerated. On the surface in contact with the liver, there was an ulcer as large as a shilling, and several smaller ones. On the opposite surface, there were some very small circular ulcers, scarcely larger than pins' heads. The ulcers had eaten through the mucous coat. There were no gall-stones. The tissue of the liver appeared healthy.

The mucous membrane of the stomach in its splenic extremity was soft and thin, and red from the injection of small vessels, visible to the naked eye. The rest of the intestinal canal presented only the appearances usual in persons dead of cholera. The mesenteric glands were enlarged. In the transverse meso-colon were many bodies, about the size of a hazel-nut, composed of matter resembling soft cheese or glazier's putty, in a very distinct capsule. The spleen was firmer than usual, but of the usual size. The left lung was united to the pleura costalis by old tissue; the right lung was free. Both lungs were healthy.

The heart and the kidneys were sound. There were yellow fibrinous clots in the right auricle and ventricle, but none in the left chambers of the heart.

In this case, inflammation of the gall-bladder seems to have come on in the midst of apparent health. The symptoms at first were, vomiting of blood, which recurred several times; severe pain, with tenderness, and some tension, at the epigastrium; some fever, with loss of appetite, thirst, and a foul tongue. These symptoms passed off in a few days, and the patient seemed convalescent, when he fell ill of malignant cholera, of which he soon died. The case shows that there may be extensive ulceration of the gall-bladder without any special symptoms to denote it. For a fortnight before the attack of cholera, there was no pain or tenderness at the epigastrium, and no vomiting, although there can be little doubt that the ulcers of the gall-bladder then existed.

In the following case, which I have taken from Cruveilhier, (liv. xxix.) inflammation and ulceration likewise occurred in a gall-bladder previously diseased; but here there was an additional cause for it in the cystic duct being closed by a gall-stone.

Madame Mazet, æt. 34, of very strong constitution, and very stout, sent for Cruveilhier, the 11th September, 1837. She was suffering from extreme difficulty of breathing, with pain in the hypochondria, especially the right. She

complained, besides, of a sense of anguish and suffocation, and incessantly begged that the window might be opened, and that vinegar might be given her to inhale. She was sweating profusely, but her features were not changed.

M. Villeneuve had attended her for three days. The first day he applied leeches to the epigastrium; the second day, bled her from the arm; the third day, again applied leeches to the epigastrium.

In the moments of anguish, there was a sense of faintness and desire for fresh air and vinegar, without change of the features or the pulse.

Poultices and sinapisms were ordered, in addition to the means before prescribed.

The 12th and 13th, she continued in the same state. There was the same feeling of anguish, the same sense of faintness.

A blister to the seat of pain, laxatives, and a bath, were ordered.

She walked down a flight of stairs to the bath, and up again, without help.

In the morning of the 14th, she thought herself better, when she was taken suddenly with violent shivering, soon followed by signs of peritonitis. In the evening, the belly was tympanitic and tender, especially under the right false ribs; the pulse not perceptible; the body bathed in sweat.

The morning of the 15th, the belly was still more tympanitic; the pulse, miserable, thready. At her own solicitation, she was bled from the arm. The bleeding was followed by long syncope. The blood was very much buffed. She died in the night.

There were the usual marks of suppurative inflammation of the peritoneum, in the neighbourhood of the liver. The inflammation had been limited to this part of the peritoneum, by adhesions formed by coagulable lymph. The gall-bladder was collapsed, and almost empty. Its coats were very much thickened, and its neck was blocked up by a calculus of cholesterine, which completely isolated it from the ducts, and which no doubt had existed a long time. The disease was inflammation of the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder, which had involved the entire thickness of its coats.

The mucous membrane was perforated, and the peritoneal coat torn, rather than ulcerated, at a point which did not correspond to the perforation of the mucous membrane. The pus consequently *oozed* from the gall-bladder—a circumstance which accounts for the inflammation of the peritoneum being limited to the neighbourhood of the liver.

In this case, the early history of the disease is not given. The severe symptoms the patient suffered when first seen by Cruveilhier, were probably consequent on rupture of the gall-bladder.

The following case, which I have taken from Andral, (Clin. Med. t. iv. p. 500,) affords another instance of the same kind.

CASE.—Vomiting, followed by profuse diarrhœa and jaundice—No appetite—Difficult digestion—Sense of weight and heat at the epigastrium—Great loss

of flesh—At the end of about three months and a half, symptoms of peritonitis from perforation—Death the following night—Perforation of the gall-bladder—Coats of the gall-bladder everywhere easily torn—Canal of the cystic and common ducts much narrowed by thickening of their coats—Dilatation of the hepatic duct.

A porter, (*un fort à la Halle*) æt. 64, entered *La Charité* in the latter half of December, 1821. Three months before, he was taken, without known cause, with bilious vomiting, which lasted several days. The vomiting ceased, and was succeeded by profuse diarrhœa, which continued about a month, and weakened him much. About the middle of September, the diarrhœa abated, but he did not regain strength. He had hardly any appetite, and his digestion was difficult. He then perceived that his eyes and skin had a well-marked yellow tint. Although he lost flesh and strength daily, he continued to labour until eight days before his admission to the hospital.

At the time of admission, he was much emaciated, and his skin had a yellow tint, shading into green. His tongue was nearly natural, but he had no appetite, and what little food he ate caused a sense of weight and heat at the epigastrium, which lasted several hours. The bowels were confined; the stools ash-coloured. No tumor could be discovered in the abdomen, which was everywhere soft and nowhere tender. The pulse, in the morning and during the day, was not quicker than natural, but increased a little in frequency in the evening.

Leeches were applied to the epigastrium: afterwards, a blister. His sole nourishment was milk and broth.

A fortnight after his admission, the stomach seemed better; the febrile movement in the evening was much less marked; but the jaundice continued; he did not recover strength, and the emaciation increased.

One morning, when in the act of sitting up, he felt all at once as though something had burst in the right hypochondrium. This was succeeded by symptoms of peritonitis from perforation, and he died the following night.

A large quantity of dirty grey liquid and some membranous flakes of lymph were found in the cavity of the peritoneum. There was no perforation of the stomach or intestines.

The gall-bladder, which was very small, presented on its lower surface, not far from its broad end, an opening as large as a "five-sous" piece. The inside of the gall-bladder presented nothing remarkable, but its coats were everywhere easily torn.

The canal of the cystic and common ducts was so narrowed by thickening of their coats as not to admit the smallest probe. The hepatic duct, on the contrary, was much dilated, and was filled by solid biliary matter. The tissue of the liver was not appreciably changed.

The mucous membrane of the stomach in all its extent was much thickened, and *mammellated*, and of a grey slate colour. The submucous areolar tissue and the muscular coat were also thickened. The slate colour of the stomach was continued into the duodenum. The rest of the intestinal

canal presented no appreciable change. There was nothing worthy of remark in the other viscera of the three cavities, except a very striking yellow colour of the dura mater.

In this case, there had been inflammation of the gall-bladder and of the cystic and common ducts, which had much changed the texture of the gall-bladder, and almost obliterated the ducts. The circumstance that the vomiting and diarrhœa preceded the jaundice, renders it probable that the disease began at the gall-bladder, and that the ducts became inflamed subsequently; probably by the passage of irritating matter through them. The perforation of the gall-bladder, which, by setting up peritonitis, caused death so speedily, might have resulted from mere defective nutrition of its tissues. The symptoms which preceded this perforation—the sense of weight and heat at the epigastrium, the loss of appetite, the difficult digestion, the progressive emaciation, the deep jaundice, without any enlargement of the liver,—are fully explained by the state of the gall-bladder, and the almost complete closure of the common duct.

The case affords a good example of inflammation confined to the gall-bladder, and the ducts by which it empties itself, occurring without gall-stones or other disease of the liver.

In the following case, for which I am indebted to Mr. Bowman, ulceration and sloughing of the gall-bladder, occurred during typhoid fever. The coats of the gall-bladder were thickened by previous disease, and the cystic duct obliterated.

CASE. September 17th, 1835.—Ann Burnacle, æt. 16, rather fat, a housemaid, was admitted to-day in a state of delirium. Whole body cold; countenance, pale and anxious; pulse, quick and feeble; tongue, foul, moist; urine, scanty, rather high-coloured; has just had two ochery stools; thirst very considerable; when the abdomen is pressed she evidently suffers a good deal of pain; headache; is constantly getting out of bed and hiding the chamber utensils; muttering delirium; cannot be made to answer questions, or even to tell her name; movements tremulous.

Her friends report that on Saturday last (the 12th) she was seized with chills and afterwards heat, accompanied with headache and general soreness. She became delirious two days ago. Has had no medical advice.

Lemonade, and fomentations to the belly were ordered.

No notes of her state from this time were taken, except that she had severe purging. She died on the 24th. The following treatment was adopted.

Sept. 18th.—Hyd. c. cretâ. gr. v. pulv. ipecac. co. gr. iij. ter die. Mist. camph. f. 3j. 4tis horis. Empl. lyttæ unchæ.

Sept. 19th.—Empl. lyttæ abdomini.

Sept. 21th.—Hyd. c. cretâ. gr. v., P. cretæ c. opio Æss nocte maneque sumend.; quiniæ sulph. gr. j. ter die.

Sept. 23rd.—An egg; port wine.

Sectio cadaveris, twenty-six hours after death.

Head.—Some effusion beneath the arachnoid, on the surface of the hemispheres, and at the base of the brain. No morbid appearance in the brain itself, nor any effusion into the ventricles.

Chest.—Congestion in the depending parts of the lungs. Slight redness of the mucous membrane of the air-passages, which contained a good deal of frothy mucus. Heart natural.

Abdomen.—Mesenteric glands deeply injected. The lower part of the ileum was of a deep mahogany colour, and, on slitting it open, several large sloughy ulcers of a brownish-green were discovered. The last three or four inches were occupied by one large ulcer, in which the mucous membrane was completely destroyed, a few shreds of it only remaining, and causing great raggedness of the surface. It was of a dark dirty green colour. The muscular coat beneath was considerably thickened, but nowhere destroyed. The mucous membrane around the sloughs was of a deep purple, thickened, and rather soft.

The glands of the colon were enlarged and ulcerated, chiefly near the sacrum. The stomach was large, and distended by a green fluid, similar to some vomited by the patient the night before her death. There were clusters of bright red points or dots along its large curvature, but there was no softening of the mucous membrane.

The gall-bladder was rather large, and filled with a watery fluid of the colour of weak tea. On the outside, it was of a lightish colour, and neither it nor the adjacent viscera were tinged, as is usual, by transudation of bile. In one part, however, it was red, and in the centre of this portion there were sloughs. The largest of these, about the size of a fourpenny-piece, was situated on the attached surface of the bladder, others on the free convex surface. They all extended through the different coats, but the mucous membrane was destroyed in greater extent than the others. The sloughs appeared recent, were surrounded by marks of inflammation, but no commencement of the process of separation was perceptible. A small quantity of recently effused lymph was attached in flakes to the outer surface of the gall-bladder and the adjacent surface of the liver, but, in the latter situation, more sparingly. The cavity of the gall-bladder was found to be divided into two almost distinct sacs, separated from one another by a semilunar fold of the lining membrane, which was situated about two-thirds of the whole length from the fundus. On either side this transverse fold, the gall-bladder was dilated, the communication between the cavities just admitting the little finger. The sloughs, with the surrounding inflammation, were situated in the larger cavity, while the smaller was of a bluish-white, and exhibited no trace of recent morbid action. The mucous membrane of the gall-bladder was somewhat indurated and thickened, as though it had been the seat of previous disease.

The cystic duct was obliterated by adhesion of its coats, at two or three different points, for the distance of about two inches from the gall-bladder. Beyond that portion, it was healthy, and coloured, as were the hepatic and the common ducts, by healthy-looking bile.

In this case, the sloughing of the gall-bladder is perhaps attributable to a general tendency to gangrene, as manifested in the ulcers in the ileum; and to the circumstance that the gall-bladder had been damaged, and its nutrition impaired, by previous disease. As in the cases recorded by Louis, already alluded to, in which suppurative inflammation of the gall-bladder occurred during typhoid fever, there were no symptoms by which the disease of the gall-bladder could be detected, amidst the general disorder.

In the following case, for which I am also indebted to Mr. Bowman, ulceration and sloughing of a gall-bladder not previously diseased, came on immediately after the patient had received a severe injury from the falling in of the sides of a sand-pit.

CASE.—Compound fracture of the left leg, fracture of the right arm, and general bruises—Two days after, severe gnawing pain at the epigastrium and right hypochondrium, increased by pressure—Nausea and vomiting—Apprehension of death—Death seven days after the accident—Lymph on the peritoneum covering the small intestines, the stomach, and the under surface of the liver—Sloughing of the outer membrane of the gall-bladder in three or four spots—Ulcers of the inner membrane not corresponding to the sloughs of the outer.

Thomas Collins, æt. 61, a thin old man, an agricultural labourer, of intemperate habits in his youth, but, by his own account, sober of late years, was brought into the hospital, (Birmingham,) at four P. M., on the 22nd of December, 1834, under Mr. Hodgson.

A few hours before, he was at work in a sand-pit, when several tons of sand fell in, threw him on his face, and covered him. He was dug out, and brought to the hospital.

Besides general bruises, there was a compound fracture of the lower third of the left leg. The fractured ends of the tibia had protruded through the skin in front, by two small triangular openings, from which there was a constant oozing of venous blood. In addition to this injury, the radius of the right arm was fractured near the wrist.

The limbs were bandaged in the usual manner.

Dec. 23rd.—Has passed a sleepless night, in great pain, chiefly in the arm and leg, and complains of general soreness; bowels not open.

R. Liq. opii. sedativ. ℥xxv. statim et repet. horâ somni si opus sit.

Dec. 24th.—(Morning.) Was restless the great part of last night. Omitted taking the draught till four this morning, since which he has had some sleep. Complains of great pain in the arm. The leg is easier. Bowels open once; pulse 76, regular.

(Six, P. M.)—About noon he was seized with very severe 'gnawing' pain at the epigastrium and right hypochondrium, which continues. It appears to be increased by pressure. He moans, and seems to be in great agony. His tongue is dry, and he is thirsty. No delirium or headache, but great depression of spirits, and apprehension of death.

Appl. hirud. x. epigast; posteâ, cataplasma. A glass of warm brandy and water; broths.

T. opii 3ss, sp. ammoniæ aromat. ʒj. aq. menth. pip. ʒj. post horas iij. sumend. et repet. hora somni si opus sit.

Enema commune statim. c. sodæ muriat. ʒss.

Dec. 25th.—Has had nausea during the night, and has vomited several times. The fluid is bilious, and mixed with the (undigested) food he ate the day he received the accident. The nausea continues; eructations; the pain at the epigastrium is not quite so severe, but he moans almost constantly, and suffers much from general pains. The leg is easy and lies well; there is no swelling near the fracture. Pulse 76, soft; tongue dry and brown; bowels open once.

Haust. salin. efferves. c. ammoniæ s. carb. 4tis horis. Broths.

Cal. gr. v. opii. gr. j. horâ somni.

Dec. 26th.—Slept tolerably well. He lies quiet, but is constantly moaning on account of the severity of the pain at the "precordia," which "shoots through him," and is not much aggravated by pressure. He says he is "*dreadful all over him.*" There is great depression of countenance and fear of approaching dissolution. Pulse 84, sluggish, exceedingly compressible. He has vomited a large quantity of bilious fluid this morning. Tongue dry; mouth clammy; thirst; bowels open freely; urine free. The leg is free from pain, and not swelled. Rep. haust. anodyn. h. s.

Dec. 27th.—Has had a very restless night. Continues to moan, and sigh, and complain. The pain at the epigastrium is still severe, not much increased by pressure; there is a manifest fulness in that situation, with a tympanitic state of the whole belly; tongue dry and brown; thirst; has had no more vomiting, but has nausea after taking any food; bowels not open; urine free. Leg free from pain, and in good position.

Enema; half-a-pint of ale.

Dec. 28th.—Has had some sleep. Bowels have been open three times to night; less anxiety of countenance, and no moaning; the pain is much abated; some tenderness in the right hypochondrium; belly tympanitic; eructations; very thirsty; tongue dry and cracked down the centre, moist at the edges; skin hot; pulse 104, rather sharp, firm, and compressible. Leg and arm lie well, without pain.

Haust. anodyn. h. s.

Dec. 29th.—Has slept well, and is now under the influence of opium, or is

lapsing into a state of coma. Respiration hurried; pulse exceedingly feeble; features shrunk. He lies low in bed; he is free from all pain, but is fast sinking.

Died about four P. M.

Sec. Cad.—Twenty-eight hours after death. Head not examined.

Thorax.—Viscera healthy.

Abdomen.—About a pint of serum, mixed with flakes of lymph, in the cavity. The peritoneum lining the small intestines, stomach, and concave surface of the liver, covered almost entirely by a slightly adherent coat of colourless lymph, which in some parts (where it dipped down between the folds of the bowels) was a quarter of an inch thick, and of the consistence of the albumen in a hard-boiled egg. The peritoneal coat beneath it was everywhere remarkably bloodless, and no rupture of it was detected.

The convex surface of the liver, as well as the substance of that viscus, was perfectly sound.

The *gall-bladder* presented a very remarkable appearance. Its outer membrane in three or four patches was in a state of slough. At these parts, the coats of the gall-bladder were considerably thinner than elsewhere, (as was manifest on holding the gall-bladder up to the light,) without, however, any breach of either the outer or the inner coat, and were stained a bright yellow by the bile. Those portions of the outer coat that were not sloughing, were of a yellowish-white colour, (arising from opacity of the membrane, not from lymph effused,) mottled by spots of purple and red from vascular injection. The gall-bladder contained about an ounce and a half of thinnish bile, in which floated several white flakes, like flakes of lymph. There was extensive ulceration of the inner membrane, not corresponding in situation to the sloughs noticed on the outside. The edges of the ulcers were slightly raised, and their surface was coated with lymph, which might be readily scraped off. In these parts the destruction of the reticular membrane was *complete*.

Here, the first symptom referrible to the gall-bladder, was severe gnawing pain at the epigastrium and right hypochondrium, which came on at noon on the 24th, two days after the accident. The pain continued, increased by pressure, and the patient had likewise nausea, vomiting, and eructations. These symptoms cannot, however, be ascribed entirely to the disease of the gall-bladder, since there was extensive inflammation of the peritoneum, to which they were probably in part owing.

The disease of the gall-bladder and the inflammation of the peritoneum were most probably caused by some injury done to those parts at the time of the accident.

Ulceration of the gall-bladder and ducts may lead to various results.

1st. An ulcer, commencing in the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder or of the common duct, may eat through its different coats until the peritoneal coat is laid bare. The bile, brought in contact with this coat, causes it to slough, and the contents of the gall-bladder are poured suddenly into the cavity of the peritoneum. When this happens, diffuse suppurative inflammation of the peritoneum is set up, which destroys life in a few hours—quicker, perhaps, in most cases, than the peritonitis that follows rupture of the bowel.

If, however, the cystic duct have been long closed, and the gall-bladder contain no bile, its contents may escape into the cavity of the peritoneum by *oozing*. When the mucous coat is eaten through, the matter may filter between it and the other coats, and may escape by a rent of the peritoneal coat, at a point that does not correspond to the ulcer of the mucous coat. The matter escaping drop by drop causes inflammation of the serous membrane, which is limited to the vicinity of the gall-bladder by adhesions of coagulable lymph, so as to form a circumscribed abscess in the cavity of the peritoneum. I have before cited from Cruveilhier an instance in which this happened. (See p. 165.)

When the gall-bladder contains bile, this never occurs, because when the bile reaches the peritoneum it causes it to slough, and the contents of the bladder are discharged at once.

2nd. When an ulcer of the bladder or ducts is caused by a gall-stone, adhesive inflammation of the serous membrane is usually set up before perforation takes place; the gall-bladder or duct becomes united to some adjacent part, generally the duodenum or the colon; the coats of the intestine are eaten through after those of the gall-bladder or duct; and the gall-stone passes into the intestinal canal.

Inflammation of the gall-bladder from gall-stones is less extensive, is attended with less severe symptoms, and is less dangerous in its results, than inflammation from other causes. The processes of ulceration and adhesion are slow, and give rise to no violent symptoms.

I have met with no instance of ulceration of the gall-bladder

extending in this way through the coats of the bowel, except when produced by a gall-stone.

3rd. Ulceration of the gall-bladder or ducts, like ulceration of other mucous surfaces that return their blood to the portal vein, may lead to scattered abscesses in the substance of the liver. In the chapter on suppurative inflammation of the liver, several cases are referred to in which abscesses in the substance of the liver seemed to originate in ulceration of the gall-bladder or ducts. The abscesses are probably the immediate consequence of suppurative inflammation of a small vein in the vicinity of the ulcer, or of the absorption of the ichorous matter of the ulcer.

In the large ducts, which lie close on the large branches of the portal vein, an ulcer may eat into a branch of the vein, and set up suppurative inflammation within it, but the consequences will, if possible, be worse than those of ordinary suppurative inflammation of the portal vein, because bile, as well as pus, will be mixed with the portal blood. The dreadful effects of this are fully exhibited in the following case, published by Dance, (*Archives Generales*, t. xix. p. 40, 1828), in which an ulcer in the common duct ate into the portal vein.

A hairdresser, æt. 25, of lymphatic temperament, was taken, without known cause, in the beginning of October, 1828, with lassitude, loss of appetite, thirst, and pain at the epigastrium. Some leeches applied there produced only slight relief. The 12th of October, he was brought to the *Hôtel Dieu*, with these symptoms, but the pain at the epigastrium had increased, and the tongue was then red and dry, yet the pulse was but little quicker, the skin little hotter, than natural. Twenty leeches were applied to the anus;—little amendment. The next day, fifteen leeches were applied to the epigastrium;—considerable abatement of pain.

During five days, he continued to mend, the tongue became nearly natural. Later, at two different times, the severe symptoms recurred, probably from errors of diet. The first time, they were calmed by leeches to the epigastrium; the second, they subsided without treatment.

At the end of October, the patient seemed convalescent, but he still suffered at the epigastrium, and there was something in his condition altogether, that we could not explain. At this time, pain in the right hypochondrium, at first obscure, then more distinct, accompanied by bilious vomiting, and by purging; moderate fever, tongue natural. (Twenty leeches to the anus; bath.) Abatement of pain, continuance of vomiting and purging, the skin gradually acquired the tint of decided jaundice.

The patient continued nearly in this state till the 12th of November. Then,

rigors recurring at irregular intervals, followed by frequency of pulse, heat, and dryness of skin.

Two days later, acute deep-seated pain about the right shoulder came on suddenly, swelling and tenderness of the soft parts about the joint, movements of the arm very painful. (Poultices; V. S. 3viii .) The blood not buffed.

Eight days had elapsed from the appearance of this new train of symptoms when, all at once, the middle of the forehead became the seat of severe pain, soon followed by swelling and tension, without change of colour in the skin. At the end of two days, the same phenomena at the left temple. The swelling extends, by degrees, to the face and to the entire head, which acquires an enormous size.

In the midst of these varied and serious disorders, the pulse is small, not very frequent, compressible; the heat of skin moderate; the vomiting, purging, and jaundice, continue; the pains in the belly have ceased.

The swellings at the middle of the forehead and at the left temple go on increasing; bullæ filled with bloody serum appear here and there, and, bursting, leave small spots where the skin seems mortified. These spots extending, run together and form a single one, on the forehead and on the temple, as large as a crown-piece, the surface of which is riddled with small openings, from which small drops of pus can be pressed.

Some days before death, the tongue becomes red and dry, then black; the lips and teeth become covered with sordes; the skin of the nose acquires a brownish tint. Petechiæ and small nodulous swellings appear on the skin, and in the subcutaneous areolar tissue of the limbs and of the trunk; the patient falls into a state of prostration and quiet delirium, and dies at three P. M., on the 2nd of December.

Sectio Cadaveris eighteen hours after death.

Limbs not rigid. The surface of the skin sprinkled with petechiæ. By the side of these petechial spots, are blackish, lenticular pustules, some containing a sanious fluid, others a white homogeneous pus. These last extended into the subcutaneous areolar tissue, which was there infiltrated with pus. This eruption was thicker on the legs than on the arms; in front of the trunk, than behind.

Head and face enormously swelled. Nose covered with a blackish crust, involving the skin, which here appeared gangrenous. On the middle of the forehead, on the left temple, and behind the left ear, soft, greyish, fetid sloughs, under which the areolar tissue is infiltrated with pus. The skin of the forehead and of the anterior left half of the skull, was transformed into a substance resembling bacon-rind, an inch thick, in the midst of which could be distinguished many veins filled with pus. These veins went to form the temporal veins, which, in the midst and on the surface of the temporal muscle, in the zygomatic and pterygoid fossæ, formed an immense plexus, of which all the branches were filled with pus, and bounded above by the black and softened fibres of the aforesaid muscle, below by dense yellowish areolar tissue. The left parotid, quadrupled in size, exhibited, when cut across, a granular surface, from which pus

flowed, by a thousand different points, in small round drops, that came solely from the orifices of the numerous veins in the substance of the gland, many of whose branches were traced, all filled with pus. These branches terminated in the external jugular vein, which was inflamed as low as the middle of the neck, and offered on the outside, an unnatural volume and hardness; on the inside, a reddish, roughened surface, covered with thick false membranes, and, lower down, with clots of blood mixed with pus.

On the right side of the head, and under the scalp, abundant infiltration of yellowish lymph, of the appearance of gelatine; the temporal muscle pale and soft; the parotid and external jugular veins healthy; the anterior branch of the temporal vein and all its divisions contain pus, collected into masses by small whitish bands, interrupted here and there by small clots of blood. The deltoid muscle on the right side, blackish, softened, traversed by a considerable number of veins containing thick yellow pus. Muscles in other parts of the body, brownish and easily torn. The right shoulder and elbow-joints contained shreds of false membrane, and a small quantity of puriform synovia. The other joints healthy.

Brain. Sinuses of the dura mater distended with black grumous blood, without change of their coats. The cerebral substance pale and as if œdematous. The ventricles distended by colourless serum. The membranes healthy.

Chest. Heart, of the usual size, colour, and consistence, containing a small quantity of black fluid blood, presenting no trace of inflammation in its cavities or in the coats of the vessels that terminate in it.

Pleura, not inflamed, and free from adhesions.

The lungs sprinkled with millions of small solid masses ('engorgemens'), of various forms and sizes, more numerous in the right lung than in the left, and in greatest number near the pleura, under which they formed prominences visible to the eye. Some of these solid masses had a blackish tint, others were whitish and granular, and broken down into a puriform matter by slight pressure. None of them were converted into abscesses. The pulmonary tissue around them was healthy, or slightly engorged with bloody serum. It was ascertained by careful dissection, that these masses were formed, in great part, of a mass of pulmonary veins, filled with pus in their smallest ramifications. The veins of the lung contained pus in no other points.

Abdomen. The liver, of a dark brown colour, likewise containing many purulent masses ('noyaux'), most of them visible on the surface of the organ, but without projecting above it. These masses appeared formed of veins filled with pus, or at least to be the termination of them. We ascertained their continuation with the radicles of the vena portæ. Many branches of this vein, and its trunk, were full of a pulpy and puriform matter, of a yellowish colour, like that of bile, mixed with liquid blood and with black or colourless clots, free or adherent. The inner membrane of these vessels was covered by a thick layer of pus, and had below this a rough and granular aspect: but in the greatest part of its extent, it retained its natural polish, and was only whiter and more opaque than usual.

Matter of the same kind was contained in the mesenteric veins which come from the small intestine, in those which come from the pancreas, and in the splenic vein. The coats of these vessels offered the same changes as those of the former vessels.

All these veins, before reaching the trunk of the portal vein, traversed a considerable mass, (d'engorgement,) formed, in front of the vertebral column and in the whole length of the mesentery, by a collection of large red glands, suppurating at the centre, and surrounded by dense areolar tissue infiltrated with pus.

The gall-bladder, filled with turbid serous bile, presented, towards its base, four small round, blackish ulcers, extending through the mucous membrane. The common duct was destroyed in its entire length, and converted into an oblong winding cavity, containing membranous shreds detached from its coats, and stained with bile. Behind, this canal offered several deep ulcers, which extend through all its coats, and also through those of some large veins adjacent. One of these ulcers opened into the superior mesenteric vein by an orifice, a line in breadth, presenting a projecting and greenish edge in the inner surface of the vein. The others might easily admit a moderate sized probe.

The mucous membrane of the stomach, and of the intestines, everywhere in its natural state, of good consistence, remarkably white, only coated by thick, greyish mucus. About the entrance of the common gall-duct into the duodenum, for the space of half-a-crown, the mucous membrane was of a slate colour, softened, and presented four or five small deep ulcers.

The spleen was of a black-brown, and softened, but contained no pus.

Kidneys, firm, pale, healthy.

Bladder, healthy, filled with urine.

In the history of this case, the different stages of the disease are marked out with tolerable distinctness. During the month of October, it seems to have been confined to the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder and ducts, and the symptoms were pain,—which was twice relieved by leeches to the epigastrium,—lassitude, loss of appetite, and thirst, without much fever. At the end of October, during apparent convalescence, inflammation seems to have been set up outside the common duct, by the ulcers eating through it, and fresh symptoms occurred—return of pain in the right hypochondrium, bilious vomiting, purging, increased fever, jaundice. The 12th of November, one of the ulcers had probably eaten into a branch of the portal vein: rigors recurring at irregular intervals, frequent pulse, and hot dry skin—the phenomena that then set in—being constant symptoms in suppurative inflammation of a large vein.

In the cases of suppurative inflammation of the trunk of the

portal vein, before related, the local mischief was confined to the liver. The pus globules seemed all to be stopped there. In this case, at the end of two days, the patient was seized suddenly with pain and swelling about the right shoulder; at the end of eight days, with pain and swelling in the middle of the forehead; at the end of ten days, with pain and swelling of the left temple. Later still, petechiæ appeared on the skin, and gangrenous pustules on the limbs and trunk, and the patient died in a low typhoid state, on the 2nd of December. After death, shreds of lymph and purulent synovia were found in the right shoulder and elbow-joints, and small circumscribed masses in different stages towards suppuration, in the lungs and liver.

The effects resembled those of suppurative phlebitis occurring after injury of the head or limbs, but the inflammation set up in so many distant points was more gangrenous than that consequent on ordinary phlebitis. This may be readily explained, if we suppose that the pus which contaminated the blood was in a state of putrefaction. Its admixture with irritating bile, may, also, have been concerned in the result.

The dissection rendered it clear, that the disease of the parts remote from the liver resulted from contamination of the blood with bile and pus, and that the morbid changes in those parts began in inflammation of the minute veins.

The circumstance that there were no gall-stones, and that ulcers were found in the duodenum *immediately around the opening of the common duct*, as well as in the gall-bladder and in the duct—scarcely leaves a doubt that the ulcers, from which all the subsequent mischief resulted, were caused by irritating bile. It is worthy of remark, that there were no ulcers in the large intestine, or anywhere in the intestinal canal, except immediately about the opening of the common duct. It would seem, that the bile, mixed with the food, and diluted, if we may so speak, with the pancreatic juice, and the secretions of the bowel itself, became less irritating, as it moved onwards.

The case confirms in a striking manner the opinion advanced in a former chapter on the relation between abscess of the liver and dysentery.

It shows, too, how serious may be the consequences of faulty states of the bile, which in themselves may be transient, and of which at present we know nothing.

Another occasional effect of the diseases we have been considering, is permanent closure of the cystic or of the common duct. This may, indeed, arise from various causes besides inflammation. Permanent closure of the *cystic* duct is not unfrequently caused by a gall-stone lodging in it. The gall-stone forms in the bladder, and grows too large to pass through the duct. It is carried with the bile, in which it floats, into the mouth of the duct, and gets firmly lodged there. Circumscribed inflammation of the duct about the gall-stone is then set up, by which the duct is in general permanently closed beyond the gall-stone, towards the hepatic ducts. Sometimes, on the other side also, so that the stone is enclosed in a cyst. Now and then, the *common* duct is closed in the same way, but much less frequently, because the common duct is larger and straighter than the cystic duct, so that when a gall-stone has passed through the cystic duct, it in most cases passes also through the common duct. But the common duct is also liable to be closed by cancerous and other tumors, and especially by malignant disease of the head of the pancreas. A few instances have been recorded, in which it was permanently closed by some foreign body getting into it from the duodenum.

The effects of mere closure of the ducts are just the same whatever be its cause, and it is as well, therefore, to speak of them once for all.

Closure of the *cystic* duct destroys the office of the gall-bladder, and leads to various changes in it, which depend chiefly on the length of time the duct has been closed, and on the previous condition of the gall-bladder.

When the cystic duct is closed by adhesive inflammation of the capsule of the liver, and the coats of the gall-bladder were previously healthy, the bile in the gall bladder is absorbed, and its place is soon occupied by a glairy fluid, of the consistence of mucus or synovia, and not at all tinged, or but very slightly tinged, with bile. After a time, this fluid is secreted in less abundance, and the gall-bladder contracts and shrivels; in some cases, almost to the size of an almond.

When the coats of the gall-bladder were previously diseased, and secreting cholesterine, which is generally the case when the cystic duct is closed by a gall-stone, the gall-bladder, after the closure of the duct, will contain a viscid mucus sparkling with scales of cho-

lesterine, or be moulded on calculi almost entirely composed of that substance.

It would seem from the cases before related, that closure of the cystic duct impairs the nutrition of the gall-bladder, and in this way, as also by the long retention of bile, when this is unhealthy, renders it much more liable than in its natural state, to inflammation and sloughing.

The effects of closure of the cystic duct on digestion and the general health, are much less serious than might have been expected, and sometimes are of very little import. I have lately met with a striking instance of this in a man, 64 years of age, who died in King's College Hospital, of extensive softening of the brain, and of inflammation of the urinary bladder which was consequent on the cerebral disorder. I did not expect to find anything amiss in the liver. The man's complexion was remarkably clear, and in the notes of his case, which were taken with much care, there was no mention of any disorder of digestion. The gall-bladder was filled by a mass of small stones, which choked the mouth of the duct, and completely prevented the entrance of bile. (See plate 2, fig 3.) From subsequent inquiry of his friends, I learnt that he had never had jaundice, and never complained of disordered digestion.

My friend, Dr. Scott Alison, has lately sent me a gall-bladder, in which the orifice of the cystic duct was closed, and apparently had been closed long before death, by a gall-stone, the size of a hazel-nut. The bladder was filled by viscid mucus, sparkling with scales of cholesterine, and its coats were diseased. It was taken from a lady who died, at the age of 79, of acute bronchitis, of eight days date, and who, before this illness, had been particularly healthy. She was of very temperate habits, and had never had jaundice or other symptoms to lead to the inference that the liver was diseased.

It has been stated, that closure of the cystic duct, by causing the bile to flow continuously into the duodenum, increases the appetite in a remarkable degree (Dict. de Med. t. v. p. 241)—but this effect was not noticed in the cases just mentioned, and in many others to which I could refer.

Closure of the *common* duct has far more serious effects.

The most immediate of these, are deep jaundice, dilatation of the

gall-bladder and hepatic ducts, and retention of bile in the lobular substance of the liver, which acquires in consequence a deep olive colour. By the retention of bile, the liver at first grows larger, but its increase of size from this cause is, perhaps, never very great. Subsequently, from atrophy of the lobular substance, it shrinks again, and in the end, notwithstanding the dilatation of the gall ducts, becomes much smaller than in health.


If the closure of the common duct occur suddenly, the gall-bladder, or one of the ducts behind the obstruction, may be distended so rapidly as to burst. Several cases of this kind are recorded. (See case cited from Andral, p. 155.)

When the obstruction occurs gradually, the bladder and ducts are distended more slowly, and when the duct has been long completely closed, are sometimes found of enormous size. Abercrombie (*Diseases of Stomach, &c.*, 2nd edition, p. 364) cites from Boismont, a case in which the hepatic gall-ducts were so distended in this way, and the lobular substance of the liver was so wasted, that the liver had the appearance of a large undulating cyst. The closure of the common duct was caused by a membranous band which passed over it.

The ultimate effect of closure of the common duct on the *lobular substance* of the liver, is very remarkable. The cells which go to form this substance, and which secrete the bile, are destroyed; the capillary vessels of the lobules, which minister to secretion, their office gone, waste; the liver shrinks, and no longer presents an appearance of lobules; and its office is no longer in any degree performed.

The destruction of the proper cells of the liver was first noticed by Dr. Thomas Williams, in a paper "on the Pathology of Cells," published in *Guy's Hospital Reports*, for October, 1843. Dr. Williams remarked it in a man who died in *Guy's Hospital* of malignant disease of the duodenal end of the pancreas, which so pressed upon the common duct, that the bile could have passed into the duodenum only in very small quantity, and very slowly. The gall-bladder and ducts were extremely distended, and the whole organ was considerably enlarged. "The liver had lost its fragile, solid character, and had become soft, flabby, and not capable of being easily broken down by pressure. On the application of the microscope for the purpose of examining the ultimate structure, the extraordinary fact was developed, that scarcely a *single* nu-

cleated glandular cell, in a perfect state, could be found. Different portions of the organ were carefully and repeatedly prepared, in order to remove every possibility of mistake or misobservation; the conclusions were uniformly the same, that the true parenchymal cells of the organ were certainly *not present*. These preparations were also seen and examined by several excellent observers about the hospital. In each portion of the organ mounted for inspection, nothing more than minute *free* fatty particles, and equally free, floating, amorphous, granular matter, could be discovered: it was very seldom that a *whole* nucleated cell could be seen. The following cut may serve to convey a conception of the microscopic characters of these objects."



a, a, fat particles, free.

In the spring of the present year, (1844,) I met with a case, in which from long closure of the common duct, the cells of the liver were perhaps even more completely destroyed than in the case related by Dr. Williams. I shall give the case in detail, because from there being no disease elsewhere to render the result ambiguous, it shows, clearer than any of the experiments made on animals, the effect of closure of the common duct.

CASE.—Ann Diprose, æt. 63, a sempstress, was admitted into King's College Hospital, on the 18th of May, 1843. She was born in London, and had passed her life in it; of temperate habits, never taking spirits; married; has had six children, and five miscarriages; the catamenia appeared at the age of 17, were regular, except when interrupted by pregnancy and suckling, and ceased at the age of 38.

Enjoyed good health till about fifteen years ago, when, after a fire which destroyed much of her husband's property, she was seized with violent pains, extending from the feet to the thighs. They were relieved by cupping at the back of the neck. A year after this, the muscles on the right side of the face were spasmodically contracted for six weeks. For this, she had first strengthening, and then depleting remedies. About eleven years ago, she fell down suddenly in the street, with loss of sensation and motion, from which she perfectly recovered in six weeks, during which she was blistered at the back of the head. She had no further illness till five years ago, when she suffered from pain and swelling in the right iliac region, attended with constipation. The pain gradually became very severe. It yielded to leeches, blisters, and low diet, after continuing from three weeks to a month. She perfectly recovered from this attack, and her health was good till her present illness, which began seven months ago, after great fatigue and anxiety, in attending her mother who was then, in her 91st year, operated on successfully for strangulated hernia.

At this time, her face and body became *gradually* of a deep yellow colour, which, with some diminution for one interval of three weeks, has continued ever since. The jaundice came on without pain, but with some degree of nausea; and was followed, at the end of two months, by vomiting, which has recurred at intervals up to the present time.

The appetite, at times, quite gone; at other times, ravenous. Has always found herself worse, and the jaundice deeper, after anxiety or fatigue.

Four months ago was salivated, without relief. Has wasted much since her illness.

On her admission to the hospital, the conjunctivæ and the whole surface of the body were of a greenish colour. She was thin, but not emaciated. There was much itching of the skin; surface cold; frequent shivers. Pulse, 88: regular. Respiration, 22. Nothing discovered amiss in the heart or lungs by auscultation and percussion.

The tongue was clean; the appetite very variable, and sometimes voracious; occasional nausea, but no vomiting for the last week; bowels confined; evacuations clay-coloured and fetid. Great tenderness over the whole belly, but no pain. There was dulness on percussion over the epigastrium, and for some distance below the right false ribs, which was ascribed to enlargement of the liver. No ascites. The abdominal muscles irritable.

The urine was of dark colour; S. G. 1015; nitric acid produced at first a deep green, and when added in excess, a purple colour.

Some headache and depression of spirits. Sleep good, but easily disturbed. She was ordered *mxx.* of dilute nitric acid, three times a day; and compound colocynth pills, when necessary, to keep the bowels open.

She remained in the hospital till the 8th of June, and during this time the symptoms underwent no material change. There was no fever; the skin was cool; the tongue, moist, pallid, and indented; and she was seldom thirsty; the pulse ranged from 86 to 90; the S. G. of the urine from 1015—1020. She complained often of tenderness at the epigastrium, and at times of a gnawing pain there, which was relieved by taking food. Had frequent nausea, especially when the stomach was empty, but only vomited once—and then in the morning, in consequence, as she thought, of having taken the night before a draught containing the fourth of a grain of muriate of morphia.

A few days after she left the hospital, she was much troubled by her husband returning to her, ill—and from that time she became much weaker, and did not afterwards leave her bed, except for a short time in the evenings. She continued to take the nitric acid, which she thought did her good. There was great tenderness over the epigastrium and right hypochondrium, with *rigidity of the abdominal muscles*; she was unable to lie on the right side, and generally preferred the supine posture. She was very nervous,—the least noise, or even sewing or reading, producing a “fluttering of the chest;”—and her sleep was more disturbed than it had been previously. She often became hot and feverish about night-fall, and continued so during the night. Complained at times of pain in the ankles and wrists, but these joints were not red or

swollen. She had no vomiting. Her appetite was at times voracious; and she had a craving for oysters and small shell fish, which, even in large quantities, never disagreed with her. She had an aversion to meat, and porter, and milk,—which she said disordered her.

One evening, after imprudently eating gooseberry tart, she was seized with violent pain and spasm under the right false ribs, which exhausted her very much, but did not cause vomiting.

On the 27th of June, the nitric acid was exchanged for sulphate of quinine and dilute sulphuric acid; and this, again, was soon exchanged for nitro-muriatic acid, which she continued to take with short interruptions till the end of December.

During this time, she grew weaker and thinner, and was harassed by occasional hectic at night. In other respects, her symptoms underwent little change. Her appetite was almost constantly craving, and she still had great desire for mussels and oysters. There was no vomiting. Her bowels habitually required purgative medicines, but, in the middle of December, she had diarrhoea, which lasted for a week, during which she felt better. She always complained of pain and tenderness of the belly, and often of itching of the skin. Slept badly by night, and was drowsy by day. The pulse ranged from 88 to 100; the respiration from 20—24. She had frequent cough, but did not expectorate. The urine was ever high-coloured, fetid, stained linen yellow, and on the addition of nitric acid became first of a beautiful green, and then of a purple colour. It was sometimes clear, at other times turbid, but never deposited a sediment approaching to pink.

A little before Christmas she suffered much from thirst, and effervescent draughts were given to allay it. She relished them very much, and continued to take them till her death, which happened on the 10th of March.

In the beginning of February, she lost one of her sons, who died rather suddenly, from disease of the heart. From this time, her appetite began to fail, and the last few weeks of her life she ate very little. She complained of nausea, and now and then vomited. Often had shivers, followed by burning heat of skin. Complained greatly of pain and soreness of the belly; and at times of pain of the head, of a throbbing character. About a week before her death, vomiting of blood came on, and recurred two or three times. The last week, her mind wandered a little at night; but, with this exception, she continued rational up to her death, which seemed to result from exhaustion.

The urine was examined for the last time on the 21st of February. It had the same characters as previously, and its S. G. was 1012.

Two or three times morphia and conium were given to procure sleep, but these medicines disordered her, and increased her sufferings.

The body was examined twenty-two hours after death.

It was much emaciated, and of a greenish-yellow colour.

The belly was large. The cavity of the peritoneum contained three or four pints of a serous fluid, and the intestines were much distended with gas.

The colon was closely united to the gall-bladder by false membranes of old date. Its mucous membrane, even at this point, was not at all altered in structure, and its canal was not contracted.

The duodenum also adhered firmly to the gall-bladder for a very small space, about an inch and half below the pylorus. The canal of the intestine was a little curved by this adhesion, but not sensibly contracted. The mucous membrane of the duodenum was quite healthy.

There were a few threads of false membrane uniting contiguous loops of intestine.

The mucous membrane of the stomach and intestines presented no sensible change of structure. The duodenum contained a whitish pulpy matter; the large intestine firm white fœcal matter, and much gas.

The liver was *smaller* than natural, and looked flattened. It was of a deep olive, finely mottled with yellow. Its surface presented no traces of peritonitis, except about the gall-bladder, and was readily thrown into fine wrinkles. The hepatic gall-ducts were enormously dilated, every section of the liver presenting some of the size of goose-quills. The tissue of the liver was flabby, but not easily broken down by the finger. The cut surface was of a deep olive, finely sprinkled with yellow—having somewhat the appearance of fine grained granite—but the lobules could not be distinguished in it.

When some of the tissue from any part of the liver was examined under the microscope, nothing was seen but numerous oil globules, and irregular particles of yellow and orange biliary matter, which was in many places agglomerated into roundish masses. *No distinct cells were visible.* The matter taken from the yellow points appeared to differ from the matter of the olive portions only in containing more oil globules, and less biliary matter.

The tissue of the liver was in the same state throughout.

The gall-bladder and cystic duct were enlarged, the latter to the size of the little finger. Their coats were much thickened. The outer coat had a dead-white colour, and was of the firmness of cartilage, but presented no calcareous plates. Both were stuffed with small irregular tetrahedral calculi, the interstices of which were filled by a light yellow fluid, of the consistence of thin cream, which under the microscope presented nothing but a mass of very minute crystals of cholesterine, (some of which were stained yellow,) with here and there a particle of biliary matter.

The thickened coats of the gall-bladder and cystic duct, exhibited under the microscope oil globules and plates of cholesterine.

The common duct was completely closed just below the point where the cystic duct enters it. Between this point and its opening into the duodenum, it was very narrow, just admitting a small probe. Its coats not at all thickened or diseased, and not stained with bile. Immediately above the entrance of the cystic duct, the hepatic ducts were dilated to the size of a man's thumb. Their coats were stained of a deep olive, but were not thickened. Some of the dilated ducts contained a little dark green fluid.

The gall-bladder was not quite closed to the hepatic ducts. Some of the contents of those ducts might soak into the gall-bladder through the impacted mass of calculi.

The hepatic artery appeared to be of its natural size. The portal vein was healthy, and did not seem compressed by the gall-bladder and cystic duct.

In the loose areolar tissue near the entrance of the portal canal, were some lymphatic glands of a dark olive colour.

The thoracic duct was small; in the posterior mediastinum not larger than the quill of a hen.

The spleen had thick white spots of false membrane on its capsule— but was firm, and not enlarged.

The kidneys were healthy.

The heart healthy. Its ventricles, which were contracted, contained only very small fragments of fibrine.

The lungs were sound, but were united to the pleura costalis on each side by a few threadlike bands. There were no false membranes uniting the lower lobe of the right lung to the diaphragm.

There was some serous fluid in each pleural cavity.

In the case just related, closure of the common duct was evidently the chief, if not the sole cause of the woman's sufferings during more than the last year of her life. The gall-bladder and cystic duct were, indeed, stuffed with small gall-stones, but there were no marks of recent inflammation about them, and there was no disease elsewhere, by which the symptoms could have been produced. It is difficult to fix the precise time when the duct became completely closed. From the circumstance, that the jaundice came on *gradually, and without pain*, the inference can scarcely be avoided, that the occlusion took place very gradually, for the sudden closure of the common duct by a gall-stone, or otherwise, usually, if not always, gives rise to a train of very urgent symptoms—pain, vomiting, faintness. It is not improbable, that in this case the first occurrence of vomiting, about two months after the onset of the disease, and about fifteen months before death, marked the completion of the process.

Among the many points of interest the case presents, we may notice first, the effect which this long closure of the common duct had on the liver itself. Great dilatation of the gall-ducts, and a dark green colour of the liver are results which might have been predicted—but results far more curious and interesting are, the shrinking and flattening of the liver, the absence of distinct lobules in its substance, and the complete disappearance of the nucleated cells by which the bile is secreted. The liver was made up of vessels and areolar tissue connecting them, with the free oil-globules and

solid particles of yellow and orange biliary matter, that were left when the watery and more soluble parts of the retained bile were absorbed. The objects seen when some of the tissue from any part of the liver was examined under the microscope, were just the same as in the case related by Dr. Williams, and confirm in almost every respect Dr. Williams's account. Perhaps no instance could be brought forward from the infinite variety of disease, that shows more strikingly the necessity of employing the microscope, if we wish to gain clear insight into morbid anatomy.

Destruction of the proper cells of the liver, seems to occasion atrophy of the capillary vessels subservient to their secretion—and the two circumstances, combined, explain the shrinking of the liver, and the absence of any appearance of lobules. The cessation of the process of secretion, and the wasting of the capillary vessels, probably renders the passage of the blood through the liver less free, and thus accounts in some measure for the vomiting of blood which occurred the week before death, and for the serous fluid which was found after death in the cavity of the peritoneum. Bouisson, in his recent work on the bile, (*De la Bile*, &c. p. 138,) gives a short account of a case in which death resulted from closure of the common duct, and which resembles in many particulars the case of Mrs. Diprose. The patient, a man, 64 years of age, seems to have had good health till the illness which ended in his death, and which came on slowly, and without urgent symptoms, after strong mental emotion. He gradually became jaundiced, and gradually lost flesh. The jaundice became very deep, and lasted till his death. The lower end of the common duct was obliterated. Its upper end, the gall-bladder, and the hepatic ducts were much dilated. In the dilated end of the common duct, there was a tumor, seemingly fatty, which adhered to its lining membrane at several points. No mention is made of vomiting of blood, but towards the close of life the stools were often bloody. The intestinal canal was healthy, and exhibited no trace of inflammation.

Other points worthy of notice, in the case of Mrs. Diprose, and which were among the effects of closure of the common duct, are:—

1st.—The constipation, and the relief she derived from purgatives, and once from diarrhœa, that occurred without purgative

medicine. Much of the pain and tenderness of the belly of which she complained, was probably owing to distension of the intestine by fæces and gas, and to irritation of its mucous membrane by the contact of matters chemically different from those natural to it.

2nd.—The ravenous appetite she so long had, which probably depended, as in diabetes, on imperfect digestion. I have known the same thing happen where the common duct was closed by the pressure of a cancerous tumor.

3rd.—The desire she had for shell-fish—especially oysters and mussels, which, in quantity to satisfy a craving appetite, never disagreed with her.

4th.—The fetid urine,—which was at times turbid with pale lithates, but never had a *pinkish sediment*. The absence of a pink sediment may help to distinguish such cases from cases in which the common duct is closed by the pressure of a cancerous tumor, and in which a sediment of this tint is often observed in the urine.

5th.—But, perhaps, the most striking circumstance of all was, that although for a long time before death the liver must have ceased to separate bile from the blood, there were no symptoms of cerebral poisoning, and the mind remained clear to the last. This circumstance will appear still more remarkable, if we compare this case with other cases in which suppression of bile is attended with delirium, or with stupor and convulsions, soon ending in fatal coma. Dr. Alison, in a paper published in the Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal for 1835, has collected many cases of this latter kind, and from a review of them he concludes, that it is jaundice from *suppressed secretion*, and not from obstructed gall-ducts, that is peculiarly, if not exclusively, liable to be followed by delirium, coma, and speedy death. He explains this, by supposing that “the retention in the blood of matter destined to excretion, is much more generally hurtful to the living body than the *re-absorption* into the blood of matters which have been excreted at their appropriate organs, but not thrown out of the body, in consequence of obstruction at their outlets.” The fact is, I believe, correct, but Dr. Alison’s explanation is not satisfactory, since, in this case, for a long time before death there could have been no bile secreted, and yet there was no disorder of the brain.

The case further shows us, that the secretion of bile by the liver

is not immediately necessary to life—that a person may live a considerable time when the liver, as an instrument of secretion, is completely destroyed. This destruction of the secreting element of the liver proves fatal, however, in the end, by impairing nutrition and causing gradual but progressive wasting. The time requisite to wear out life, must depend on the age and previous strength of the patient, his powers of digestion and assimilation, the nature and quantity of the food taken, and the various other circumstances that influence nutrition. It will, of course, be shortened by the injudicious employment of lowering measures. In Mrs. Diprose, the cells of the liver had probably disappeared, and the organ had ceased altogether to secrete bile, many months before death.

Other cases have occurred, in which, judging from the duration of complete jaundice, or the state of the liver after death, life must have continued much longer after this had happened.

Some months ago, my attention was called by Mr. Busk to a patient in the Dreadnought, who had then been jaundiced for four years, and, as I imagined, from closure of the common duct. During this time no bile seems to have passed into the bowel. The fæces were always pale; and the year before I saw him, he had taken strong emetics, which produced free vomiting, but, as he stated, nothing *bilious* was brought up. He was still tolerably stout and muscular. In the case related by Boismont, already alluded to, where, from extreme dilatation of the gall-ducts and wasting of the lobular substance, the liver had the appearance of a large cyst, the cells must have disappeared, and the liver, as a secreting organ, must have been completely destroyed, long previous to death.

These cases might lead us to expect, (what indeed happens,) that persons who, from obliteration of branches of the portal vein, or the other changes so frequently produced by long residence in tropical or malarious climates, have very little liver left,—to use a common expression, but which, if we consider the liver as a mere agent of secretion, is strictly correct,—might often, by careful management, enjoy tolerable comfort for many years.

Another circumstance worthy of notice in the case of Mrs. Diprose, is the state of the coats of the gall-bladder, which were thickened and opaque, and when examined under the microscope, exhibited numerous oil globules and transparent scales of cholesterine. This dis-

ease of the gall-bladder is analogous to the 'atheromatous' disease of arteries, which Mr. Gulliver has lately designated, "fatty degeneration of arteries," from having discovered that the atheromatous matter is chiefly composed of fat, in the form of oil-globules and scales of cholesterine. This disease of the gall-bladder may, therefore, be termed with equal propriety, *fatty degeneration of the gall-bladder*; an expression, which has the merit of involving no theory as to the cause of the disease, but merely announcing a fact. In the gall-bladder, as in the arteries, phosphate of lime is often deposited with the fatty matter, and sometimes in such quantity as to form large bony plates, which on the inside of the gall-bladder are usually bare, or merely covered by a soft pulpy matter, which may be readily scraped away. Sometimes, the earthy matter is in such quantity that the gall-bladder is almost converted into a bony cyst.

This disease of the gall-bladder may perhaps be an occasional consequence of inflammation, but it probably results more frequently from other causes, constitutional and local, which affect the nutrition of its tissues. It sometimes involves the entire gall-bladder; in other cases, merely a part of it. In a gall-bladder sent me by Dr. Alison, (of which I have already spoken,) which was taken from a lady who died at the age of 79, much of the under and free surface was rigid from calcareous plates, which on the inside were covered only by a soft pulpy mass, composed of fatty matters and mucus. About the neck of the gall-bladder, and on the side of it attached to the liver, the coats were not at all thickened, and seemed healthy. The diseased part was limited by a well-defined line, readily seen on the inside of the bladder. The mouth of the cystic duct was blocked up by a calculus, composed almost entirely of cholesterine, and the bladder was filled by a viscid matter of a dirty yellowish-green colour, and sparkling with small scales of cholesterine.

This disease of the gall-bladder is very important, from its being always attended by a large secretion of cholesterine in the gall-bladder, which frequently leads to the formation of gall-stones and all the evils they occasion. It is perhaps confined to persons advanced in life; and, according to my own observation, it is much more common in women than in men. Sedentary habits and modes of life conducive to fat, probably favour this degeneration.

The cases that have been related in this chapter exhibit, probably, the chief forms of inflammation of the gall-bladder and ducts. We may gather from them, that when *catarrhal* or *suppurative* inflammation is confined to the gall-bladder, or to the gall-bladder and cystic duct, the chief symptoms are pain and tenderness in the site of the gall-bladder, vomiting or nausea, and a certain degree of fever. When from the first the inflammation is not severe, or when its first flush has passed by, these symptoms may be very slight, and excite little attention, or be even entirely disregarded. When, again, inflammation of the gall-bladder occurs during typhoid fever, or in the midst of other severe constitutional disorder in which sensation is blunted, pain will be little complained of, and the other symptoms lose almost all their significance. *Ulceration* of the gall-bladder, when it involves only a small part of the organ, may exist without fever or other constitutional disturbance, and with only occasional pain, and may be almost unheeded, till by sloughing of the peritoneal coat, the contents of the bladder are poured into the cavity of the peritoneum. The symptoms that precede this accident are not such as to impress us with a notion of danger, and we require fuller knowledge than we now have of the circumstances in which ulceration of the gall-bladder occurs, to make us alive to their true meaning. When inflammation involves the hepatic ducts or still more the common duct, and, by causing thickening of their mucous membrane or secretion of viscid mucus, prevents the passage of bile, in addition to the symptoms mentioned above—more or less pain and tenderness, which we may expect to be more diffused than when the gall-bladder alone is diseased; vomiting, perhaps, or nausea; and more or less fever,—there will be jaundice. The jaundice, attended by slight pain in the region of the liver, and by slight fever, that occurs in young and previously healthy persons, depends, perhaps generally, on an inflamed state of the gall-ducts, which, from their small size, must be readily closed by swelling of their mucous membrane or a viscid secretion from it.

When inflammation involves the common duct only, and is of such nature as to close it, the symptoms are very peculiar—pain confined to a small spot in the situation of the common duct, early jaundice, and early distension of the gall-bladder, so as to form a large moveable, pear-shaped tumor, not painful or tender.

The immediate cause of most of the forms of inflammation of the gall-bladder and ducts under consideration, is, without doubt, the passage of irritating bile, or the mechanical irritation of gall-stones. Perhaps it may be stated more generally, that inflammation of the ducts of glands, and especially inflammation terminating in the closure of these ducts, is almost always caused by the passage of irritating excretions. As regards the ureter, this is notoriously the case. The circumstance, that the bile becomes more concentrated in the gall-bladder, and, if faulty, more irritating, sufficiently accounts for the various forms of inflammation being so much more frequent in the gall-bladder and in the cystic and common ducts, than in the hepatic duct and its branches. Long intervals between meals, by contributing to this, probably disposes much to those diseases of the gall-bladder.

In the *treatment* of inflammation of the gall-bladder and ducts, a most important principle is the early employment of local depletion. Leeches, as was seen distinctly enough in some of the cases that have been related, relieve the pain and tenderness, and no doubt mitigate the inflammation, and, in consequence, lessen the danger of perforation and of permanent closure of the ducts. The value of this practice has been more or less vaguely recognised in *jaundice*, but its importance in the class of cases we have been considering, has not perhaps been enforced by a perception of the powerful motives which their peculiar dangers furnish. It should always be borne in mind, that, here, a disease attended with but little pain and fever, and, at first, with no alarming symptoms, and, indeed, trivial in itself, may, from its situation, prove mortal. The precept to be drawn from this truth, may be made general. In all cases where *canals* form an essential part of vital organs, mechanical considerations come to be paramount, and give an importance to diseases which in themselves are trivial. In stricture of the pylorus, from thickening and induration of the submucous areolar tissue, and in the endocarditis of acute rheumatism, this truth is strikingly exemplified. In such cases, our end must be, not so much to relieve the present symptoms, which are often slight, as to prevent those changes of structure, which, slowly it may be, but inevitably, and with much suffering, destroy life. How infinitely valuable, then, is that insight which enables us to see the danger before it is revealed to other eyes, and when alone we

can effectually guard against it! This insight we can derive only from knowledge of the circumstances under which these forms of disease occur; knowledge, which gives meaning to symptoms, otherwise vague, and perhaps so slight as to be scarcely regarded.

Blisters have the same kind of efficacy as leeches. Like these, they often relieve the pain and tenderness in a striking manner, and therefore, we may infer, tend also to prevent permanent changes of structure. The proper time for blistering is when the pain and fever have abated under leeches and other measures, and it is no longer deemed advisable to take away blood.

Another important principle in the treatment of these cases, is the strict enforcement of a plain and appropriate diet. As a particular point in the diet to be observed, the free use of diluents may have some advantages. While, by filling the stomach, they help to empty the gall-bladder by their pressure, it is also probable that, after absorption, they pass out of the circulation again, in part by the liver, and thus dilute the bile.

In certain cases of the class now under consideration, the judicious use of mercury is attended with signal good effects. It probably acts beneficially in two ways:—1st, by increasing the quantity and by promoting the flow of bile; and, 2nd, by producing changes in its quality which render it less irritating. These are the objects that determine the principles of its administration in these cases, in which the desired effect is best obtained, not by the more powerful and constitutional action of the drug,—which should be studiously avoided,—but by the occasional administration of its milder preparations, repeated as need may be. It is to the striking benefit sometimes derived from mercury used in this way, that this medicine owes the reputation it has long had as a remedy in liver diseases.

Soda is another medicine much in use in the treatment of these cases, and there is reason to believe that it deserves the esteem in which it is generally held. Physiological considerations would lead us to suppose that it is best suited to cases of catarrhal inflammation of the ducts. As soda is a natural constituent of bile, and is therefore,—we may infer,—readily excreted by the liver, it probably renders the secretion from the ducts less viscid, and has the same sort of efficacy in these cases as in catarrhal diseases of the lungs, in which this and other alkalies have been long used as expectorants.

As most of the various forms of disease of the biliary passages, considered in this chapter, may be traced to a faulty condition of the bile, so it may be stated, as a general principle, that, as far as medicines are concerned, the best remedies are to be found among those agents which modify the qualities of that fluid. Among these, *taraxacum* holds an important rank. Its powers are very variously estimated by practitioners, but I have already given reason to believe that its efficacy, like that of cholagogue medicines, generally, is more likely to be under than over-rated. That it should continue to be held in such high esteem by so many accurate observers, is a strong testimony in its favour, and as it has the further advantage of being perfectly safe and harmless, there is every reason for giving it an extensive trial in the treatment of these cases.

When the process of inflammation is over, and the organic changes produced by it only remain, the inefficacy of all active treatment is obvious. When, for example,—to take an extreme case,—the common duct is obliterated, mercury and other lowering measures must do positive mischief, and the rule of treatment becomes that of avoiding all active interference. In such a case as this, there is little more to be done, than to regulate the diet and to prevent accumulation of noxious matters in the bowels by an occasional warm purgative. The great question is, how is the fact of occlusion to be made out? When complete jaundice has lasted a long time, this, of itself, is almost proof of permanent closure of the duct in some way or other, and should deter us from the use of mercury and all lowering remedies; but in the absence of this evidence, the point must remain doubtful. In that case, we must give the patient the chance afforded by more active treatment, and, in the endeavour to do good, must run the risk of doing harm. This is but one of the countless questions which continually call up the remark, that, in diseases of the liver, beyond all others, diagnosis is the very foundation of treatment, and that to render our diagnosis more sure, should, for the present, be the chief object of our researches. This end will be best attained by more perfect knowledge of the physiology and uses of the bile, on the one hand, and by a more accurate study of the circumstances under which the various diseases of the liver arise, on the other.

CHAPTER III.

DISEASES WHICH RESULT FROM FAULTY NUTRITION OF THE
LIVER, OR FAULTY SECRETION.SECT. I.—*Softening of the liver—Destruction of the hepatic cells—Suppressed secretion of bile—Fatal jaundice.*

HAVING considered the inflammatory diseases of the liver, we may pass on to a class of diseases, at present less understood ; diseases in which, seemingly without inflammation, the secreting power, or the nutrition of the hepatic cells and other tissues of the liver, is seriously disordered. These diseases may be divided into two principal groups. One of these groups is characterised by suspension of the secretion of bile ; the principal feature of the other is, that the hepatic cells separate from the blood some abnormal matter, which, instead of passing freely out of the liver in the bile, is retained there, adding to the size of the liver, and more or less changing its appearance and texture.

To understand how changes in the appearance and texture of the liver are produced in this way, we must again refer, for a moment, to the intimate structure of the organ.

We have seen that the lobules of the liver are spaces mapped out by the ultimate twigs of the portal vein, which are hairy, as it were, with capillaries springing immediately from them on every side, and forming a close and continuous network ; and that the interstices of these capillaries are filled with nucleated cells. It is in these cells that the vital chemistry of secretion goes on. It is seen by the microscope that in different livers, the cells vary in size ; that in some they are almost transparent, in others opaque, and apparently more solid ; that in some they contain but a few very small oil-globules, while in others, they are distended almost to bursting with globules of oil ; that in some, they are colourless or

nearly so, and in others, yellow with bile ; that in some specimens, again, as in the case of Mrs. Diprose, before related, they are broken down and destroyed. It is probable, too, that in some cases the cells are only slowly reproduced ; that, without complete destruction, they become less productive of new cells, so that at length the number of active cells is much diminished.

These differences in the condition of the cells cause, of course, corresponding differences in the size, colour, and texture of the liver ; differences, which were noticed long before that knowledge of the intimate structure of the organ was obtained, by which we are now enabled to explain them.

The most remarkable and most serious change is, where the cells are completely broken down and destroyed. It has been seen that this may result from long retention of the secreted bile from closure of the common duct. In consequence of this, the hepatic gall-ducts become enormously dilated, and the whole liver acquires a deep olive colour. Its tissue is flabby, but not readily broken down by the finger, and *presents no appearance of lobules*. Every part of the liver is affected alike, and exhibits under the microscope nothing but free oil-globules and irregular particles of solid, biliary matter. The liver contains but little blood, and partly from this, but chiefly from loss of the cells, it may be smaller than in health, and its surface wrinkled, notwithstanding the biliary matter accumulated in it.

But destruction of the hepatic cells may take place rapidly, without any obstruction of the gall-ducts, and, instead of being consequent on jaundice, may be the cause of jaundice that proves rapidly fatal, apparently from disorder of the functions of the brain.

It has been long known that cases of jaundice now and then occur which prove fatal in this way ; and that in such cases it frequently happens that no obstruction can be found in the gall-ducts,—which are pale and empty of bile,—and no effusions characteristic of inflammation in any part of the liver. In some such cases, no change of structure has been remarked in the liver, and the disease has been described as fatal jaundice from suppressed secretion. In other cases, the liver has been found unusually small, and much softened, and changed in colour, and the disease has been spoken of as *softening* of the liver, or *simple softening*, or *black softening*, according to the colour of the liver in the individual case.

The two following cases, published by Dr. Alison, in the Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal for 1835, are good examples of this terrible form of disease.

CASE.—Occasional complaint of pain and heat in the abdomen, with thirst and chilliness, for seven weeks ; then jaundice, followed at the end of two days by delirium—No tenderness of abdomen, or fever—Occasional singultus—Stools bilious—Coma—Purpuric spots on the skin—Death ten days from the occurrence of jaundice—Liver of a light yellow, smaller than natural, flabby—Mucous membrane of the ducts unnaturally white.

Peter Schread, aged about 25, a German sailor, was admitted into the clinical ward, the 26th of February, 1826, in a state of complete delirium, with tendency to violence, but alternating with drowsiness. His skin and the *tunica conjunctiva* of the eyes were of a bright yellow colour ; he had no tenderness of abdomen ; his pulse was 60, of irregular frequency ; tongue moist ; extremities rather cold ; he had occasional *singultus* ; he passed a copious bilious stool, and also urine in bed soon after his admission.

His companion reported, that he had a severe attack of flux, in Java, in the summer previous,—that he had been in good health at Antwerp, from September till December, but that since the 1st of January, when he arrived at Leith, he had complained often of pain and heat in the abdomen, chiefly towards the right side, with thirst and chilliness,—that eight days before admission he had become jaundiced, and two days before admission had become delirious.

His head was shaved, bathed, and blistered, and he had one dose of calomel and several of tartar emetic, (the only medicines that could be got down,) which produced copious bilious stools, all passed in bed ; but the delirium passed into complete coma, with dilated pupils and stertor ; his pulse rose to 120, and became feeble : some purplish spots appeared on the skin, and he died on the evening of the 28th,—ten days after the appearance of jaundice.

The following account of the dissection was drawn up by Dr. C. Henry, of Manchester, then one of the clinical clerks in the infirmary.

“ The skin and subjacent cellular tissue were universally of a bright-yellow colour. This tinge extended also to the pericranium, and to both surfaces of the *dura mater*, which was rather more vascular than natural. The other membranes of the brain were dry and glistening. The bloody points were somewhat more numerous than usual. There was very slight distension of the left lateral ventricle, the contained serum not exceeding half a drachm. That found in the right was still less considerable, and there was hardly any at the base of the brain, which appeared somewhat vascular. The consistency of the cerebral structure was perfectly healthy. The surfaces and central points of the cartilages of the ribs were tinged with bile, as were the peritoneum and pleura.

"The liver, when incised, appeared of a light yellow colour; it was smaller than natural, its structure dense and resisting compression, but in mass it was remarkably loose * and flexible. The calibre of the cystic duct seemed to be in part obliterated; but the hepatic and common biliary ducts were quite pervious. Their mucous membrane was unnaturally white. The gall-bladder contained a greenish viscous semi-fluid matter.

"The spleen was somewhat firmer than natural. The pancreas was healthy. The contents of the intestinal canal were tinged, though slightly, by a greenish bile; those of the lower part of the ileum less than of the larger intestines. There was no vascularity of their lining membrane, but that of the great intestines appeared somewhat thicker than usual. The mucous coat of the bladder had acquired a deep yellow tinge, and contained urine of similar appearance."

CASE.—Mental distress—Jaundice, with occasional pain at the epigastrium, but little constitutional disturbance—Eighteen days after, drowsiness, incoherence, followed by coma and partial spasms—Death, three weeks from appearance of jaundice—Liver small, soft, and of a peculiar brownish-yellow colour—Gall-ducts pervious, and almost completely empty of bile.

Agnes Anderson, aged 35, was admitted into the clinical ward, on the 10th of December, 1830, with symptoms of jaundice, (of a fortnight's standing,) and occasional pain across the epigastrium, but little constitutional disturbance. She had recently suffered much mental distress, having been abandoned by a man with whom she had cohabited, and was in a state of agitation, and, being apprehensive of catching fever, she suddenly left the house the same day. After this, as we subsequently learnt, the pain at the epigastrium increased; on the 14th, she was observed to stagger in walking, and became drowsy and occasionally incoherent, without complaining of headache. On the 17th, she was re-admitted, deeply jaundiced and perfectly comatose; her pulse was 118, soft; the surface rather cold; the respiration somewhat stertorous, but of natural frequency; the pupils somewhat dilated; the teeth firmly closed, and inclosing the apex of the tongue, which was bleeding. There was no rigidity of other muscles; she had occasional fits of hurried breathing with partial spasms, during which the pupils became quite immoveable. Her bladder was much distended, and five pounds of deep yellow-coloured urine were drawn off by the catheter.

Blistering and enemata were tried without any effect. The breathing became more rapid and heaving, and the pulse feebler, and she died twenty-four hours after admission,—three weeks after the first appearance of jaundice.

* In Dr. Alison's paper, it is printed "large and flexible," which, considering what goes before, does not make sense. "Large" is probably a misprint for "loose."

The following report of the appearances on dissection was drawn up by Dr. J. Reid, then clinical clerk.

"The skin had assumed a deeper tinge of yellow since death. Upon removing the skull-cap, the dura mater was observed to have also a yellowish tinge. The veins upon the surface of the brain were somewhat tinged. There was no effusion under the arachnoid, or at the base of the brain; but a small quantity of yellowish serum was contained in the ventricles. Upon cutting the brain in thin longitudinal slices, every part of it appeared quite healthy, and nothing presented itself about which there was the slightest doubt, except the appearance of the choroid plexus, which was of a dark red colour, and a vein distended with blood was seen running along each of its portions situate in the lateral ventricles. Along with the red points which usually appear upon the cut surface of the brain, a little yellowish serum exuded.

"The liver was small, soft, and of a peculiar brownish-yellow colour. The gall-bladder *was collapsed, and contained a small quantity of bile.* All the bile-ducts were of the usual colour, at no point more dilated than another, perfectly pervious throughout, and almost completely empty of bile. It was doubtful whether the mucous membrane of the duodenum was very slightly thickened, or not; but there was *certainly no decided change upon it.*"

Most medical men who have been some years in practice have probably witnessed this form of disease. More than one instance of it has fallen under my own notice, but they occurred when I was not sufficiently alive to their interest, and my notes of them are very imperfect. I shall not therefore relate them, but cite, instead, the two following cases, which were published by Dr. Bright, in an excellent paper on jaundice, in the first volume of Guy's Hospital Reports; and which are counterparts of the cases already quoted from Dr. Alison.

CASE.—Abdominal pain—Jaundice—Tenderness at the epigastrium—Occasional sickness—Three weeks after the appearance of jaundice, indistinct utterance, and loss of power in the left hand, soon followed by coma and death—Liver very small, soft or flaccid, and of a reddish-yellow colour—No marks of inflammation on the capsule or in the ducts, which were not even stained with bile—Brain congested.

Sarah —, aged 28, was admitted into Guy's Hospital, as a surgeon's patient, on the 6th of August. She was a married woman, and had borne two or three children; but had latterly been separated from her husband, and was said to be much addicted to drinking. As she had sores of a very suspicious character, she was ordered to take sarsaparilla three times a day, with five grains of the compound ipecacuanha powder, and of the Plummer's

pill, every night, which she continued for a considerable time. On the 13th of November, I was requested to take charge of her, as she was apparently very ill; had been complaining of abdominal pain for the last week; and during the last two days had become jaundiced. I found the bowels rather confined; urine tinged with bile; pulse moderate, but quick; slight tenderness at the pit of the stomach.

(Fourteen ounces of blood were ordered to be drawn by cupping from the region of the liver; the belly to be fomented; five grains of mercury with chalk to be taken immediately, and $\bar{3}$ ss. of castor oil four hours after, and to be repeated until the bowels should be relaxed.)

14th. There is still some tenderness on pressure at the pit of the stomach, and accelerated pulse.

(Fifteen leeches to the pit of stomach; the mercury with chalk, and the castor oil, to be repeated.)

The yellowness increased; the stools continued of a pale clay colour; the tenderness of the upper part of the abdomen continued.

It is unnecessary to give a detail of all the daily symptoms. Cupping, mercurial purges, and blue pill, with fomentations, were continued; and during ten days, no very remarkable change occurred.

24th. Slight tenderness over the whole abdomen; colour very intense; pulse, 96, small, and rather sharp; respiration, 27; bowels confined; thirst; occasional sickness; and occasional pains in the abdomen, much relieved by the fomentation.

28th. She generally prefers the sitting posture in bed. Lips dry; tongue moist and red; some sluggishness in her mode of speech, and a plaintive tone; pulse, 88; no sickness; six or seven loose dejections.

(Twelve leeches to the pit of the stomach; a linseed poultice to the belly.)

29th. One copious lumpy white stool. Pulse, 96; slight tenderness of pit of stomach; respiration tranquil; tongue moist, but more red at the edges.

December 1st. Her pupils are rather dilated; her mode of utterance is dull and indistinct; complains of loss of power in the left hand; the right is already disabled by disease.

2nd. Is lying on her right side, drowsy, with her legs drawn up, moving her left hand with a kind of jactitation, often raising it to her head; she is capable of being so far roused as to put out her tongue when pressed to do so. Tongue moist, and red at the edges: the pupils are dilated.

(A blister to the crown of the head; a cathartic enema.)

3rd. Yesterday evening, she was screaming, loudly, with her tongue protruded between her teeth. To-day, she is in a state of perfect coma, with the eyes turned up. She is incapable of being roused, and has taken no nourishment or medicine since yesterday.

She died the following day.

Sectio cadaveris. The colour of the whole body of the brightest yellow which jaundice yields. Not less than an inch of adipose matter over the

whole abdomen. On removing the calvaria, the dura mater was found tinged of a brilliant yellow colour, and very vascular; raising this, the surface of the brain showed the vessels loaded with blood; and beneath the arachnoid, in the convolutions, lay a small quantity of serum, probably not more than natural, of a decidedly yellow colour. As the brain was sliced away, numerous points of fluid blood appeared; and from many of them the serum which issued with the blood was of a bright camboge yellow, presenting points of that colour mingled with red points. The whole of the vessels, and the sinuses of the brain, were unusually loaded with blood: the ventricles unnaturally dry: scarcely could a drop of serum be discovered. The heart healthy. The pulmonary and other vessels deeply tinged with bile. The peritoneum, also, was peculiarly dry. The omentum beautifully spread over the viscera. The colon, when the omentum was turned back, was seen contracted, and very yellow; while the portion of the omentum, closely attached, was spotted with ecchymosis, and loaded with fat.

The liver weighed only two pounds five ounces. It was soft or flaccid to the touch; quite free from any mark of peritoneal inflammation. Its external appearance was mottled dark-red liver-colour, with yellow stone-colour. The acini were pretty distinctly to be traced throughout—red at their centres, and yellow in their circumferences; and in most parts the yellow bore a large proportion to the whole. The gall-bladder was contracted; and contained about half a drachm of mucus, very slightly tinged with green. The ducts were all pervious and healthy, and were not even stained with bile. Pancreas, quite healthy. Spleen, large. Kidneys remarkably lobulated, and tinged throughout with bile, particularly the membrane lining the pelvis. Ovaries, externally very yellow. Uterus, also yellow, with some ecchymosis in its fundus.

CASE.—Jaundice—Inactivity—At the end of a fortnight, vomiting, and delirium, soon followed by coma and death—Liver unusually small, and of a brightish yellow colour, marked with purple or deep brown—Gall-bladder small and collapsed—No trace of bile in the common or hepatic duct—The quantity of serum within the skull unusually small—No structural lesion of the brain.

Keatrina Pfifrein, aged 18, was admitted into the clinical ward, January 11th, 1832, labouring under icterus. She was an assistant to a German broom-maker, and was unable to speak any English. The skin was of a brilliant yellow; and the cheeks, which were flushed, were of the colour of a very ripe apricot; she appeared exhausted; and though she answered questions pretty readily, we were cautioned by a woman who brought her, that her replies were incorrect. Pulse 120, very small and weak; feet and body very cold. We learnt, that when she came to London, about a fortnight ago, she had been already unwell about a fortnight; and her skin had a decidedly yellow tinge, which had daily increased, attended with an inactivity amounting almost to torpor; so that, when removed from her bed, and placed by the fire, which was all she could bear of late, she sat con-

stantly in a kind of doze. We were told that her bowels had been relaxed, without much abdominal pain; and she had not suffered from sickness. She had complained but little of headache; tongue moist, and slightly furred; the papillæ prominent.

She was ordered a moderate dose of Hyd. c. cretâ, three times a day, and light nourishment and warmth; and should it not prove, as had been stated, that her bowels were relaxed, she was to take some colocynth pills at night.

Jan. 12th.—She was sick yesterday evening, vomiting a good deal; she lay in a perfectly torpid state the whole night, apparently suffering no pain; but towards the morning became delirious, so that it was with difficulty she could be restrained in her bed. At the time of the visit she was very restless, and seemed to suffer pain; but was unable to answer any questions; indeed, except that she swallowed what was given to her, she seemed scarcely conscious; and it was quite uncertain whether pressure on the abdomen gave her any pain. The pupils were dilated; the bowels had not been open, although she had taken two compound colocynth pills: pulse 106, thrilling, and compressible; tongue moist and clean.

She was ordered two grains of calomel every two hours, and the ammonia julep every four hours; besides wine, if she became more depressed. Her head was shaved, and a blister applied over the liver; mustard poultices to the feet; and camphor mixture was to be given freely, in case the delirium should return; injections were to be repeated till the bowels acted freely.

During the night, the purging injections, with colocynth and castor oil, were administered three times; she lay completely comatose the whole night; the pulse sometimes at 140, and extremely weak, when not raised by stimulants.

No dejection having been passed at ten o'clock in the morning, another colocynth injection was administered, which produced copious, rather dark, unhealthy, feculent motions, mixed with some sanguinolent fluid; and there was likewise an appearance like pus. The blister discharged very abundantly; the urine was passed involuntarily, and in considerable quantity; mouth and lips covered with sordes; pulse 120, weak.

A blister to the crown of the head; the calomel to be repeated.

She continued to sink during the day, and died at ten o'clock in the evening.

Sectio Cadaveris.—The whole external surface of a deep yellow colour; the adipose matter was also yellow, as were the cartilages of the ribs.

The lungs were healthy, but the posterior portions gorged with blood, probably the result of her having been lying for two days on the back. The pleura of the left lung of a slight yellow tinge; the heart healthy.

The whole of the abdominal viscera, when first exposed to view, were remarkably tinged with bile; the stomach of a vivid yellow; the intestines looked green; the liver was unusually small, and, for the most part, of a brightish yellow colour, with portions marked with purple or deep brown;

and, in parts, a finely spotted appearance was yielded by the acini. On cutting into the liver, the same yellow colour, with fine dark spots, pervaded it. The gall-bladder was very small and collapsed, and contained less than a teaspoonful of thick ropy mucus, of a bright green colour. The cystic duct appeared to be quite contracted; so that neither could a fine probe, nor the point of a scissor, be carried along more than two-thirds of its length upwards; nor could the tenacious mucus of the gall-bladder be forced down it. However, there was no appearance of thickening, or of morbid deposit, either within or around the duct, which, when laid open with the scalpel, presented the corrugated valvular appearance peculiar to that part of the duct. The lower part of the cystic duct, as well as the whole of the hepatic duct, and the common duct, quite into the duodenum, were pervious, and not at all thickened nor diminished from the natural calibre. There was no trace of bile in either of the ducts; and, following the hepatic ducts quite into the substance of the liver, no bile was detected; but, on squeezing the liver, the small secondary and tertiary subdivisions of the ducts were seen filled with thick tenacious mucus, of an exceedingly faint lemon-yellow colour.

The mucous membrane of the alimentary canal was perfectly healthy, but the contents were very unnatural; in some parts of the ileum and jejunum there was yellow mucus; in others, an olive-green mucous excrement; and in the colon, a drab-coloured and grey mass, characteristic of that which usually composes the *fæces* of jaundiced patients.

The spleen soft; pancreas healthy. Kidneys tinged throughout with bile. Bladder somewhat distended, rising to view above the pubis, and containing, probably, a pint of clear yellow urine.

The thoracic duct quite empty. The arteries deeply tinged with bile.

The dura mater was of a brilliant yellow colour; the arachnoid not vascular, and quite untinged with bile; there was no unnatural effusion of serum beneath it; but the small quantity which collected in a few of the sulci was very slightly tinged with yellow, as were the few drops which collected in the base of the skull, when the brain was removed. When slices of the brain were taken horizontally, a moderate number of cut vessels were seen: many of the small points of blood gave a stain of beautifully yellow bile around them; and some points gave out the yellow serum, without any blood appearing. The ventricles contained an unusually small quantity of serum; and that was not tinged with bile. The quantity of serum throughout the whole brain was decidedly deficient. There was no structural lesion nor irregularity in the brain.

Rokitansky, in his elaborate work on *Morbid Anatomy*, has described this condition of the liver, under the term, *yellow atrophy*. He says: "The yellow atrophy is distinguished by a deep yellow colour; imbibition of the whole tissue of the organ with bile; great relaxation or softening; loss of the normal lobular structure; rapid diminution of volume and flattening.

Appears, generally, in early life, in adolescence, and in the prime of life. Is distinguished, during life, by its acute course; extreme pain of the liver; nervous symptoms and jaundice; and finally, a fatal issue amid fever, symptoms of blood-poisoning, irritation of the brain and its membranes, hydrocephalic softening of the brain, exudation and softening-processes, generally, and especially of the mucous membranes; pneumonia, &c. The blood in the larger vessels of the liver is thin fluid, of a dirty red-brown; the coats of the vessels stained yellow. The peculiar glandular substance is melted away, and lost in the biliary colliquation. (*Gallen—colliquation.*) In the intestine, there is a deep yellow biliary matter, sometimes black and tarry, from escape of the poisoned blood through the mucous membrane."

I had been for some time looking out for an instance of this form of disease, wishing to examine the liver minutely, when an opportunity of doing this was afforded me by Mr. Busk, who at once observed that in the portions of the liver that were most diseased, the cells were completely destroyed.

The following notes of the patient's illness were kindly furnished me by Mr. Clapp, assistant-surgeon of the Dreadnought.

CASE.—Jaundice—Constant hiccough—Pain in the region of the liver—Stupor—Death after an illness of some days—Great softening of the liver, and destruction of the hepatic cells—Red hepatisation of lower lobe of right lung—Large ulcer in the larynx—Rugæ of the large intestine of a purple colour, and covered with lymph.

Abdul, a Lascar, æt. 50—60, was admitted into the Dreadnought, the 16th of January, 1844, jaundiced, and with constant hiccough, which was stated to have lasted for three days.

He was in a state of half stupor, and but little concerning his feelings could be elicited from him. He appeared, however, to have some pain in the region of the liver, but there was no tumor in that situation. A few hours after his admission, Mr. Clapp observed his pupils to be much contracted, and, from his look, suspected that he had taken opium; and, on searching his clothes and bed, a small tin box containing opium was found. No cough or other symptom of pulmonary disease was observed; and the hiccough continued the only prominent symptom to the time of his death, which happened on the 18th.

The body was examined on the 20th, about forty hours after death.

The rigidity of the muscles was nearly gone. The surface was deeply jaundiced. No hardness or fulness in any part of the abdomen.

The *head* was carefully examined, but no morbid appearance noticed, except the yellow tint of jaundice.

Chest.—The right lung adhered slightly to the diaphragm, in a small space at the centre of its base, and the greater part of its lower lobe was in a state of red hepatisation. The other lobes of this lung were congested, and infiltrated with red frothy fluid. The small bronchial tubes were filled with a thin mucous fluid, brownish, and also tinged with bile. The left lung was nowhere adherent to the pleura costalis. Its lower lobe was of a dark purple, from extreme congestion, but was not solid. The upper lobe slightly congested, but not otherwise altered. There was no fluid in either pleural cavity. There was a large irregular superficial ulcer on the back of the larynx, just below the base of the arytenoid cartilages, and the mucous membrane over the cartilages was slightly raised by effusion into the areolar tissue beneath it. The ulcer was surrounded by a narrow vascular zone. The mucous membrane of the trachæa and bronchi was injected, and the surface covered with thin brown mucus.

Heart, large and fat. Valves perfect. Muscular substance coloured in parts by bile. Left cavities empty. Small fibrinous clots, coloured with bile, in the right cavities. Blood, grumous and clotted.

Œsophagus, pale, and healthy throughout.

Stomach—Mucous membrane greyish and ‘mammillated;’ everywhere of natural thickness and firmness. Duodenum perfectly natural, as was also the small intestine, to within a few feet of the lower end of the ileum, below which it exhibited a few vascular patches, and some serous fluid was infiltrated in the submucous tissue.

The ileo-cæcal valve at first sight appeared to be slightly ulcerated, but on looking closer, this appearance was found to be caused by the edges of the folds of the mucous membrane being of a dark purple from congestion, and having shreds of lymph on the surface.

Large intestine—Mucous membrane having the edge of the rugæ of a deep red, and with small shreds of lymph on their surface, but everywhere else of natural colour, thickness, and consistence.

There was no bile in any part of the intestinal canal, nor did the mucous membrane appeared jaundiced in any part. A large quantity of fæcal matter, of a pale clay colour, was found in the large intestine.

The kidneys were jaundiced, but otherwise perfectly natural. Bladder empty.


Spleen, large, firm, rather pale.

Pancreas, healthy.

The liver was rather large, and weighed four pounds four ounces. The whole gland, except a very small portion of the extreme right, was remarkably soft, flabby, and easily torn. This condition was most marked in the lobulus spigelii and adjacent parts. There was no disease in the gall-bladder or ducts, which were carefully examined, nor any obstruction at the duodenal orifice. The bile could be very readily made to flow into the intestine. The gall-bladder contained about an ounce of thick bile sparkling with distinct scales of cholesterine, but otherwise of natural appearance.

On examination by the microscope, Mr. Busk found that in the firm portion, the proper cells of the liver contained a good deal of bile, but were, otherwise, quite natural; while in the softened portion, there were *hardly any cells to be found*. Nothing was seen but a confused mass of amorphous particles and oil-globules.

These different appearances are exhibited in the annexed wood-cut. (*a*) represents cells from the firm portion of the liver. The dark spots within them are particles of biliary matter, which was in greater quantity than usual. Some cells contain small oil-globules, marked by the clear rings. Between the cells are seen small free oil-globules and particles of granular matter. (*b*) the appearance presented by a particle from the softened portion of the liver, showing an irregular aggregation of oil-globules, particles of solid biliary matter, and amorphous granular matter.



Mr. Busk sent me the liver to examine, and I was enabled to satisfy myself of the accuracy of the description of the microscopic appearances he sent with it, as given above. All I observed, besides, was that the firm portion was hardly so firm as is natural, and was of a mottled, yellowish, nutmeg, appearance, the lobules being distinct to the eye. The soft portion was of a uniform dirty colour, a compound of yellowish-brown and red, and presented no appearance of lobules. It had no smell of gangrene.

It may, perhaps, be supposed, from the time after death at which the body was examined, that these changes resulted from decomposition; but it was clearly not so. The hepatic cells do not alter quickly after death. In ordinary cases, they present no such appearances as those described, much later after death, and in the solid part of this liver, which was kept, and several times examined by Mr. Busk and myself, the cells were distinctly visible two days afterwards.

Destruction of the cells took place, without doubt, during life, and was probably the cause of the jaundice, which cannot be other-

wise accounted for than by want of action on the part of the cells, for there was no impediment to the flow of bile through the ducts. A portion of the liver still continued to secrete bile, which flowed into the intestine.

In this case, the cells were broken down, just as they were in the case of Mrs. Diprose, before related, in which this change was a remote effect of closure of the common gall-duct, but the condition of the liver in the two was in many respects different.

1st. The liver was here *readily torn or broken down by the finger*, while that of Mrs. Diprose, though feeling equally flabby, was not.

2nd. The softened portion of the liver was brown or reddish-brown, and not much coloured with bile; while, in Mrs. Diprose, the liver was throughout of a deep olive, mottled with yellow, solely from the presence of bile.

3rd. The liver seemed to contain more fluid, certainly contained more blood, than that of Mrs. Diprose; and, under the microscope, it exhibited more amorphous granular matter, and less solid biliary matter, and oil.

4th. In the one case, a small portion of the liver remained tolerably healthy, and continued to secrete bile of natural colour and appearance; in the other, every part of the liver was disorganised.

There are still greater differences in the symptoms, and in the state of other organs, in the two cases. The case of obstructed gall-duct was very lingering, the patient died much emaciated, and all organs besides the liver were sound. Here, the disease proved fatal very quickly—and besides this change in the liver, there was hepatisation of the right lung, a large ulcer in the larynx, and the folds of the mucous membrane of the large intestine were purple, or of a deep red, and covered with lymph.

We are ignorant of the cause of this terrible disease, and know but little of its real pathology. The symptoms and the marks of inflammation in various parts of the body, depend, undoubtedly, on a poisoned, or unhealthy state of the blood; but it is impossible to say in what degree this results from the rapid destruction of the cells of the liver, and the consequent jaundice; and in what degree from the cause, whatever it be, by which this destruction of the cells, and softening of other tissues of the liver, is brought about. Up to this time, such cases have been considered cases of jaundice

depending, not on obstructed gall-ducts, but on suppressed secretion of bile; and the changes in the liver have been overlooked, or their outward and obvious characters only have been noticed.

It would appear that the disease is not necessarily fatal. It happened that on the 21st of January, four days after the admission of Abdul, another Lascar was brought into the Dreadnought from the same ship, who was also jaundiced, and semi-comatose, passing blood in considerable quantity from the bowels, and with very evident tenderness in the right hypochondrium, but without hic-cough or vomiting. His disease was considered by Mr. Busk to be the same as that of Abdul, and the same issue was expected; but in a few days he got very much better, and soon recovered sufficiently to leave the hospital. Numbers of other Lascars from the same ship were brought into the hospital, and several of them were observed to be more or less jaundiced, so that it is not improbable that these also had the same disease in a less degree. All these men lived in the same way, and were subjected to the same influences of diet and climate.

Many remarkable instances have been recorded of jaundice from suppressed secretion of bile, occurring in several members of a family in succession, and in some of them proving rapidly fatal with delirium and coma.

The following instance was published by Dr. W. Griffin, of Limerick, in the Dublin Journal of Medical and Chemical Science, for 1834, in the first of a series of excellent papers, entitled, "Medical Problems." I give it in Dr. Griffin's own words:

"A poor woman requested me to visit her daughter, Mary Barry, aged 20 years, who she informed me had been three days ill, and was now speechless, and she believed dying. On entering the cabin in which she lived, I saw her make a faint expiration, which proved to be her last, as she was quite dead when I reached the bed. Her skin was still warm, and universally tinged with a deep yellow colour. The countenance was hydropic, and the pupils were dilated. On inquiring, I found the girl's ailment had set in with languor and heaviness; on the second evening she was seized with sickness of stomach, vomiting, and appearances of jaundice, and next morning complained much of her head. She then looked so very ill, that her mother began to get alarmed, and insisted on her going to the dispensary for advice; the poor

girl shook her head despondingly, and said she was too weak to walk there, but that she would go into the room and lie down on the bed. These were the last words she uttered. When the mother went in afterwards, there was an appearance of stupor about her, from which she endeavoured to rouse her, but could get no reply.—She was in profound coma!

“ In about three weeks after, I was called to see Ellen Barry, a sister of the former, and found her labouring under an affection precisely similar. She had been attacked with languor and heaviness, followed by sickness of stomach and vomiting, with universal yellowness of the skin. She was now in imperfect coma; conscious when roused, but unable to speak, and very unwilling to be disturbed. From this very dangerous state she was rescued by active and continued purging; the yellow tinge gradually disappeared, and in a few days she regained her usual health.

“ Within a very short period afterwards, another member of the same family was attacked; a boy, of about 13 years of age. My brother was requested to see him, and found him moaning and comatose; his belly tender to the touch, his pulse slow, and his skin of a saffron colour; his breathing was not stertorous. This case was more sudden than either of the foregoing; the boy was seized with sickness of stomach and vomiting at night, and in the morning was jaundiced and insensible. In this state he lay, until nearly the end of the 2nd day, without medical aid, up to which period his bowels had not been moved. An ineffectual effort was then made to purge him, but he was unable to swallow, and died in a few hours.

“ The parents were now, it may be supposed, highly apprehensive for their remaining children, and the event proved not without just reason. After the lapse of a few months, their next boy, John Barry, aged 11 years, showed symptoms of jaundice. He grew languid and heavy, and in two days the tunica albuginea and skin were of a deep yellow. There was great sluggishness of the bowels, and slight tenderness of the abdomen, but very little pain. He did not complain of his head, but, like the others, was seized with sickness of stomach and vomiting. I had early notice of this attack, and was vigilant in looking for the supervention of coma, although from any existing symptoms there was no greater reason to apprehend it than in any common case of jaundice, if I except some slight dilatation of the pupils, and sluggishness in their

movements. The boy was up and about, and did not, in fact, appear to be very ill; but the fate of his brother and sister left a lesson not to be forgotten, and I accordingly warned the mother to give me instant notice on the occurrence of the slightest stupor—he was in the meantime actively purged. There was little change in him that night or the next, but on the succeeding morning I had a messenger with me at an early hour, to say that he had fallen into a state of insensibility in the night, and could not now be roused. I found him quite comatose, with slow pulse, dilated pupils, and almost a total loss of sensation and voluntary motion. On pinching his hand severely, however, he evinced signs of consciousness, moaning slightly, and slowly drawing his hand away. Ten ounces of blood were immediately taken from the temporal artery; the head was shaved, and kept wetted with refrigerant washes, and castor oil was administered every fourth hour. As the bowels were slow in acting, injections were given at night, and large blisters applied to the nape of the neck. These had the desired effect. He was copiously purged for several hours, and in the morning evinced signs of returning consciousness; from thenceforward there was, day after day, a steady and progressive improvement, until his recovery became fully established.

“Some time after, the friends were once more alarmed by a recurrence of the vomiting and jaundice; but the progress of coma was arrested, and the complaint readily removed, by purging alone.

“These four cases of jaundice running rapidly into coma, which in two of them terminated in death, when we consider that they occurred in one family, within a few weeks of one another, and without any unusual or remarkable symptoms which could indicate the impending danger, suggest a very important question with regard to the pathology of the disease: ‘On what morbid state did the occurrence of coma in these particular instances depend?’ ”

Another and almost parallel instance, except that the different members of the family were attacked after longer intervals, and that the jaundice was attended by more fever, is related by Dr. Graves, in his work on Clinical Medicine. The account was sent to Dr.

Graves by Dr. Hanlon, of Portarlington, his former pupil, of whose assiduity and zeal he speaks in high terms.

The cases appear to me so interesting, when taken in conjunction with those before related, that, notwithstanding its length, I have ventured to transcribe the account entire.

CASE I.—“Saturday, July 25th, 1840, I was called to visit Miss Maria B—, aged 17 years. On the preceding Wednesday, she complained of languor, and in a few hours was attacked with bilious vomiting, which had returned three or four times in every twenty-four hours since. When the vomiting commenced, she became jaundiced, and the colour increased in its intensity, until it assumed a greenish-yellow tint. The bowels were constipated for two days before the vomiting began, and had remained so, notwithstanding that the apothecary in attendance had given her repeated doses of purgative medicines. Effervescing draughts and other medicines intended to allay the vomiting had been given without success.

“I found the tongue thickly coated with a yellow mucus: tenderness of the epigastrium and hypochondrium; thirst; abdomen not tender on pressure; urine scanty and high-coloured; pulse, 80; slight headache; pupils natural; complains of want of sleep: and appears fretful and anxious.

“Calomel, combined with compound extract of colocynth, aided by purgative enemata, caused a small dark and offensive motion towards evening. Leeches were applied to the epigastrium and region of the liver, followed by stupes, three grains of calomel every fourth hour, and a purgative draught, consisting of infusion of senna, and tincture of senna, jalap, and cardamoms, after every second dose of calomel.

“*Sunday*.—Vomited twice since yesterday evening: the bilious matter of a darker colour; tongue still loaded; thirst diminished; tenderness of epigastrium and right hypochondrium much less; bowels moved twice in the course of the night—motions larger, but still very dark in colour; pulse, 80; headache relieved; pupils natural; colour of skin the same; slept for two or three hours in the night; same treatment continued.

“Monday morning, five o’clock.—I was called up in haste to visit her. It appeared that two hours before my arrival, she complained of violent headache and intolerance of light, and vomited a dark brown matter resembling coffee grounds; soon afterwards became very restless, and gradually fell into a state of stupor. I found her in imperfect coma, the pupils excessively dilated and insensible to light, the eye-lids closed. She flung herself every minute or two from one part of the bed to another, and uttered a faint subdued scream; she was very unwilling to be interfered with; pulse 60, and oppressed; skin of a still deeper tint of greenish-yellow.

“The assistance of Dr. Tabuteau and Dr. J. Jacob was procured in consultation. Fourteen leeches were applied to the temples; the head shaved, and cold cloths applied to it; twelve grains of calomel in the first dose, and five grains every second hour afterwards; purgative enemata were employed

every second hour. Cold affusion on the head was subsequently used, to a great extent, but without producing any change in the state of the pupils, or the coma; mercurial inunction in the region of the liver and insides of the arms was commenced, and a large blister applied to the scalp.

"At eleven o'clock, A.M. she was seized with violent convulsions, which lasted about a minute, and were accompanied with shrill screams; the right extremities appeared more strongly convulsed than the left, the mouth was drawn to the left side. The convulsions returned every thirty or forty minutes with the same violence and screaming, until three o'clock, P.M., when they became less violent, but more protracted, and gradually passed into a continued spasm, or jerking, of the extremities. She threw up occasionally a mouthful of dark matter like that which she had previously vomited. The administration of the calomel was relinquished, as every attempt to give it brought on a return of the convulsions. The mercurial inunction was assiduously continued, but no mercurial fœtor could be detected on the breath; the coma became more profound; the pulse rose to 108, small, fluttering, and finally intermitting; sordes collected on the teeth; the urine and fæces passed involuntarily; the breathing, towards the close, became stertorous; and she expired at eleven o'clock the following morning. No examination of the body was permitted."

CASE II.—"Monday, March 29th, 1841, I was requested to visit Miss Charlotte B—, aged 11 years, sister of the former. She had been previously healthy; for the last two days has had the usual symptoms of a feverish cold, which is attributed to her having wetted her feet. I found the tongue loaded; tenderness of the epigastrium, none in the region of the liver; thirst; bowels confined; urine scanty and high-coloured; pulse, 120; no headache; pupils natural; no discolouration of the eyes or skin. Six leeches to the epigastrium, to be followed by stuping; purgatives; diaphoretic mixture and diluents prescribed.

Tuesday morning, nine o'clock.—Appears better; slept some hours in the course of the night; tongue cleaner; thirst diminished; tenderness of the epigastrium much less; no tenderness on strong pressure in the right hypochondrium; bowels have been strongly acted on four times; motions dark and offensive; urine more copious and paler; pulse, 92; no headache; pupils natural; no discolouration of the conjunctiva, or skin. Having been absent from home during the day, I hastened, on my return at eight o'clock in the evening, to visit; and was greatly surprised to find her in the same state as her sister had been. It appeared that about three o'clock she became heavy and languid, and the skin became slightly jaundiced. She complained of headache and intolerance of light; vomited a dark brown matter resembling coffee grounds; tossed about from one part of the bed to another; refused to answer questions, and fell into a state of insensibility; the bowels had been moved twice, the motions dark, but not offensive. I found her in a state of imperfect coma, the eyelids closed, the pupils excessively dilated, and insensible to light; pulse, 64, and oppressed; skin jaundiced. In a few minutes after my entering the room she was seized with violent convulsions, which

were accompanied by shrill screams, and lasted about a minute. Pressure on the right hypochondrium appeared to give her pain. Upon my requesting that additional medical aid should be procured, her friends declined having it, on the ground that the case appeared precisely the same as her sister's, and all our efforts on that occasion had been unavailing. Under these circumstances I had recourse to the same plan of treatment as that adopted in the preceding case: cold affusion on the shaven head; ten leeches to the right hypochondrium: mercurial inunction on the right side and inside of the arms, in the intervals between the convulsions; strong purgative enema frequently repeated, and a large blister on the scalp. The disease, quite uncontrolled by these means, pursued precisely the same course, in every particular, as the former one. The convulsions continued most violent for two hours, when they began to be less violent, but much more protracted, until they passed into continued twitchings of the muscles of the extremities. The coma became more profound; the breathing stertorous; sordes collected on the teeth, and she expired at seven o'clock the following morning.

" Her friends being now alarmed for the safety of her surviving brothers and sisters, became very desirous that the body should be examined. Dr. Tabuteau, who had seen the former case in consultation, assisted me in making the examination. The following are the results: examination made thirty hours after death; surface of the body jaundiced.

Head.—Pacchionian glands preternaturally vascular; venous turgescence generally over the surface of the brain, with increased vascularity of the middle, and especially the left anterior lobes; substance of the brain much more vascular than usual; great vascularity of the choroid plexus; none of the optic thalami, or corpora pyramidalia; the entire surface of the base of the brain highly vascular, particularly at the crura cerebri, pons varolii, and medulla oblongata; no fluid found in the ventricles.

Abdomen.—Numerous spots of extravasated blood in the omentum; several small patches of inflammation along the small intestines; stomach apparently healthy.

Liver.—Size, natural; colour, externally of a dull yellow, with several dark spots about the size of a half-crown piece; consistence, less than usual; structure, minutely granular, and of a very peculiar crimson-orange colour, somewhat resembling what might be supposed to result from an intimate mixture of arterial blood and bile; gall-bladder distended with bile of the usual appearance. *Thorax*, not examined.

I endeavoured to preserve portions of the liver in a dilute solution of corrosive sublimate and diluted alcohol, but they gradually lost their characteristic appearance in both fluids.

CASE III.—Friday, June 18th, 1841, I was called to visit Miss Jane B—, aged eight years; sister of the two former. I was informed that she had been previously healthy. This morning she appeared languid, and was seized with bilious vomiting. No cause can be assigned for her illness. I found the skin jaundiced slightly; the tongue loaded; tenderness of the

epigastrium and right hypochondrium; thirst; bowels confined; pulse 108; no headache; no intolerance of light; pupils natural; urine scanty and high-coloured. Eight ounces of blood were immediately taken from the arm, which afterwards proved to be buffed and cupped; eight leeches applied to the region of the liver, followed by stupor; twenty grains of calomel given at once, and a strong purgative draught every fourth hour until the bowels are fully acted on; three grains of calomel, and one and a half of James's Powder every third hour after purgation; cold to the head.

Saturday.—Slept none; skin more deeply jaundiced; tenderness of the epigastrium diminished; heat of the right hypochondrium still remains; tongue yellowish; vomited twice since yesterday evening; urine tinged with bile, and more copious; bowels moved four times; motions dark and offensive; pulse 110; headache and some intolerance of light; considerable restlessness. Six leeches to the right side; four to the temples; cold to the head; a blister to the nape of the neck; mercurial inunction; five grains of calomel and one of James's Powder every second hour. I now watched the case with the greatest interest and anxiety.

Sunday Evening.—Slight mercurial fœtor of the breath; tongue beginning to clean; tenderness of the right side diminished; bowels moved three times; motions less dark and offensive; pulse 90, and soft; headache and intolerance subsided; restlessness entirely gone; some return of appetite. Calomel and James's Powder were continued every fourth hour until a slight salivation was established, and cold carefully applied to the head. No unfavourable symptoms subsequently appeared. The tongue became clean, the pulse fell to the natural standard, the motions became more healthy in appearance, the appetite returned, and under the use of four grains of calomel at night, and a strong dose of black draught the following morning, repeated every third night for three weeks, the jaundice disappeared, and she has remained quite well up to this period."—Graves's Clinical Medicine, p. 459.

The cases that have now been related all bear a certain resemblance to each other. In all of them, jaundice occurred, not from any impediment to the flow of bile through the ducts, but because no bile, or but a small quantity of bile, was secreted:—the secreting function of the liver was suppressed. In all, too, the jaundice was followed by delirium, or stupor, which in some soon passed into coma, with or without convulsions. In all in which the body was examined, the liver was found altered in structure, and in the same way; it was diminished in size, (in all except Abdul), soft or flabby, and of a light yellow, or brownish-yellow, or crimson-orange, or some kindred tint. In none of them were any marks of inflammation noticed in the capsule of the liver, or in the ducts. In one of the cases, where the liver was examined

by the microscope, the hepatic cells were found to be in some parts completely destroyed. It is probable, therefore, that a like change had taken place, or was taking place, in some of the others, where the liver presented to the eye similar appearances, and where, from the absence of bile, it was clear that the office of the cells was not performed. In several of the cases, although there was jaundice, *the secretion of bile was not completely stopped*; the matter brought up by vomiting, or discharged by stool, was bilious. In Abdul, this was explained by the circumstance that all parts of the liver had not suffered alike; a small part retained its lobular structure, and continued to secrete bile.

But although the cases here brought together, present so many points of resemblance, it must not be inferred, that the disease under which the patients were labouring, was essentially the same in all. Disorganisation of the hepatic cells, or suspension of their secreting power, may, probably, be the effect of a variety of morbid causes, essentially different from one another in character, and in their other effects on the system.

In the second case related by Dr. Alison, and in the first of those which I have cited from Dr. Bright, jaundice seems to have been consequent on mental distress, and was probably caused by it. We should not be justified in drawing this conclusion from these cases taken by themselves. But so many instances have been recorded, in which jaundice immediately followed a sudden alarm, or shock, or other strong and depressing mental emotion, that no doubt can remain of the influence of such emotions in producing it. Dr. Watson, in his admirable lectures, after relating some striking instances of this sequence of events, observes, "There are scores of instances to the same effect; and *this* is observable of such cases, that they are often fatal, with head symptoms: convulsions, delirium, or coma, supervening upon the jaundice." Morgagni, in his 37th epistle, has related several cases in which jaundice, soon followed by delirium and fatal coma, came on after mental distress, or fright; and in the first of these cases, which he cites from Valsalva, the liver seems to have presented much the same appearances as in the cases related in this chapter. "Ventre aperto, jecur inventum est *flaccidum*, et ad subpallidum vergens: in ejus vesiculâ, bilis subobscura."

In some of the other cases related above, the disease seems to

have been the effect of some peculiar poison. It is difficult to explain otherwise the occurrence of several cases of jaundice about the same time, among the crew of a vessel ; or, at short intervals, in the different children of a family ; more especially, when the illness attending the jaundice is so peculiar, and so uniform in character, as it was in the instances recorded by Dr. Griffin and Dr. Hanlon. It is worthy of remark, that the symptoms attending the jaundice, though almost exactly alike in the children of the same family, were in many respects different in the different families. In the instance related by Dr. Griffin, no symptoms are noticed but jaundice and vomiting, with languor and oppression, soon passing into coma. In the instance recorded by Dr. Hanlon, the jaundice was attended by other symptoms like those of a severe form of remittent fever. Now and then, jaundice occurs in several members of a family in quick succession, without being attended by any alarming symptoms. An instance of this, in the family of a clergyman, in a country parish, in Devonshire, fell under the notice of my brother, Dr. Christian Budd, who has sent me the following account of it :

“ On the 2nd of July, 1843, I was sent for to see Miss E. R., æt. 6, who had been for a day or two suffering from general disorder ; slight shiverings, headache, listlessness, loss of appetite, and restlessness at night. She had complained of no fixed pain, and had not vomited. When I saw her, she was slightly flushed, her skin was hotter than natural, pulse rather frequent, but not very so, tongue furred ; she complained of headache, had a dull heavy look, and rested her head continually on the sofa, or a chair. She had no appetite, and not much thirst. I observed nothing peculiar in the colour of the skin. I ordered a purgative,—mercury and chalk, and senna. The senna, she vomited. The next day, her skin was manifestly yellow, urine porter-coloured, and motions clay-coloured. I gave her gentle purgatives, and she soon got well. Her skin, however, remained yellow for some little time after.

“ The last day or two of the same month, her elder sister, æt. 10, fell ill in the same way, and on the 3rd of August, I visited her. Her symptoms were precisely the same as those just detailed, and a yellowness of the skin could already be discerned. The next day, she was completely jaundiced. Her convalescence was much

slower than that of her sister, and she remained yellow much longer. Before she was quite well, her brother, æt. 11, went to London with his father, but the day after his arrival there, complained of being very poorly; was listless, took no notice of the sights around him, sat down whenever and wherever he could, and ate nothing. This state was at first attributed to the fatigue of the journey, but in a short time he also became jaundiced. His convalescence was more rapid than that of his sisters. He took, I believe, some purgatives merely, and soon got well."

Other instances have come to my knowledge of jaundice occurring in several children of the same family, or in several persons living in the same locality, in quick succession, without being attended by any unusual or alarming symptoms.

In all these instances, the disease was limited to a small spot, so that it cannot be ascribed to a peculiar state of the general atmosphere. The miasm, or whatever it was that caused it, had a local origin.

Another reason for believing that the jaundice in these cases was the effect of some poison, is, that jaundice of the same kind, that is, from suppressed secretion, occurs in other diseases, that obviously depend on poisoning of the blood. I have met with two instances in which slight jaundice occurred in purulent phlebitis, with scattered abscesses in various parts of the body, and obviously in consequence of suppressed secretion. There was no obstruction in the ducts, and the gall-bladder contained a pale citron-coloured fluid. In one of these cases, I remarked that the liver was extremely soft. In neither of them were there abscesses, or other marks of inflammation, in the liver.

Jaundice, with pain at the stomach, and vomiting, is one of the effects of the poison of serpents; and is produced, it would seem, not by obstruction from inflammation and closure of the gall-ducts, but by suspension of the secreting power of the liver under the influence of the poison.

Jaundice occurs, too, in some malignant forms of fever, obviously produced by the action of a poison. The yellow fever, which owes its name to the concomitant jaundice, has many points of resemblance with some of the cases before related, especially those recorded by Dr. Hanlon. In Dr. Hanlon's cases there was bilious vomiting, with pain at the epigastrium, and fever, and jaundice,

followed by the vomiting of altered blood, which is so characteristic of the yellow fever of the West Indies. In these cases, too, as in yellow fever, the *black vomit* proved the harbinger of speedy death. Epidemics of a peculiar form of fever, of which vomiting and jaundice were frequent symptoms, have at times prevailed in certain districts of this country. A fever of this kind was epidemic in Glasgow in the summer of 1843.

In the cases of fatal jaundice related in the first part of this chapter, which occurred singly, we have not the same clue to the nature of the cause by which the jaundice and other symptoms were produced. In some of them the exciting cause was evidently a purely nervous influence: in others, the disease might have been the effect of some noxious matter, either introduced from without, or engendered by faulty digestion or assimilation.

It appears from some of the instances that have been adduced, that this form of jaundice is not necessarily fatal, even after the patient has fallen into a state bordering on coma. The ship-mate of Abdul, whose disease was, undoubtedly, of the same nature as his, was brought into the hospital jaundiced, semi-comatose, and passing blood in considerable quantity from the bowels, but yet recovered. Of the four children of the same family whose cases are related by Dr. Griffin, two recovered—one, after being in imperfect coma, conscious when roused, but unable to speak; the other, after being quite comatose, with slow pulse, dilated pupils, and almost total loss of sensation and voluntary motion.

It is impossible to say what amount of damage had occurred in these cases; or whether in them the cells in any part of the liver had been completely destroyed, as in Abdul. Still less, therefore, can it be determined, what are the ulterior effects of the disease, where recovery takes place. It may be, that the cells are not necessarily disorganised, and that in favourable cases they resume after a time their healthy action; or, if some of the cells be disorganised, others may be generated from those that remain,—just as blood-cells form in persons who recover from losses of blood or from chlorosis; or, the disease may end in flattening and atrophy of a lobe; an alteration, which is now and then met with, and is generally supposed to be congenital; or, the liver may remain long after, perhaps ever after, somewhat

altered in appearance and texture, as seems to happen after severe forms of remittent fever.

But disorganisation of the liver, which, as far as can be judged of by the naked eye, is of the same kind as in the cases before related, now and then occurs, and proves fatal from mere exhaustion, without delirium, or coma, or convulsions. In proof of this, I may cite the following case from Abercrombie, who calls the disease "black ramollissement of the liver," to express, as he says, the change in the colour and texture of the liver, without implying any opinion as to the nature of the disease.

CASE.—Sudden occurrence of deep jaundice—Nausea, but no fever or other complaint—Afterwards, frequent vomiting of black matter—Death from exhaustion, after an illness of about three weeks—Liver, one-third of its natural size, of very dark colour, extremely soft, and apparently disorganised—Gall-bladder, empty and collapsed.

A lady, aged about 50, of a full habit and florid complexion, was suddenly seized in the beginning of June, 1821, with very deep jaundice, for which no cause could be traced. There was no pain, no tenderness, and no fulness, in the region of the liver; the pulse was natural, and rather weak; there was little appetite, and some nausea, but no other complaint. The bowels were easily moved, and the motions were dark or brownish. After the free use of purgatives, &c., she began to take a little mercury. For a week after this, she seemed to be improving, but she then became more oppressed, with frequent complaints of nausea, and a feeling of languor; the tongue was white, but the pulse was natural. No other symptom was complained of, and nothing could be discovered in the region of the liver.

On the 16th, she began to have some vomiting, which occurred occasionally for three days, without any other change in the symptoms, until the 19th, when streaks of a black substance were observed in the matter which was vomited. The vomiting now became more and more urgent, with increase of the quantity of this black matter, and she died, gradually exhausted, on the morning of the 21st.

Inspection.—The liver was reduced to little more than a third of its natural size; it was of a very dark, almost black colour, and internally soft and disorganised, like a mass of coagulated blood. The gall-bladder was empty and collapsed. The stomach and bowels contained a considerable quantity of black matter, similar to that which had been vomited, but were in other respects quite healthy.—*Diseases of the Stomach, &c.*, 2nd edition, p. 361.

Softening and discolouration of the liver, with partial suppres-

sion of bile and jaundice,—the result probably of destruction of the cells,—may take place more slowly, and though fatal in the end, may at first be marked by no urgent symptoms.

In proof of this I may cite the following case related by Andral. (Clin. Med. iv. p. 322.)

CASE.—Indigestion—Gradual loss of flesh and strength, sense of weight at the epigastrium—Urine and sweat tinged with bile, but no jaundice—Great emaciation—Death, after an illness of two years—Liver, pale, extremely soft—No bile in the gall-bladder or ducts, which were healthy—No disease of the intestinal canal.

A shoemaker, 58 years of age, had begun to grow thin and weak, and to digest ill, about two years before he entered *La Charité*. He had had no pain at the epigastrium, or in any other part of the belly; no vomiting or nausea; but loss of appetite, at first occasional, afterwards constant, with uneasiness and a sense of weight about the lower and right part of the epigastrium, five or six hours after eating. He took to his bed a month only before he entered the hospital.

At the beginning of his illness and during its course, leeches had been many times applied to the epigastrium, but never gave him any relief.

On his admission to the hospital, the tongue was very pale, but not otherwise remarkable. There was no bad taste in the mouth. The epigastrium was soft, and, as well as the rest of the abdomen, free from pain.

The patient had for some time lived solely on milk, which agreed well with him. There was no yellowness of the skin or conjunctiva, but the bowels were confined, and the motions white, as in jaundice. The urine, which was tolerably abundant, had a very striking orange colour, as in jaundice. Lastly, the patient sweated often about the head, and linen wetted with this sweat, was stained yellow. The pulse was habitually rather frequent, without heat of skin. The emaciation was considerable. The patient was supposed to labour under chronic gastritis, complicated with some disease of the liver, which was inferred from the characters of the stools, the urine, and the sweat.

He remained in the hospital two months, at the end of which he died, without agony, in a state of great exhaustion. The symptoms underwent no change, except that he grew weaker and thinner. Milk, which he took at first with sufficient relish, was soon objected to, and he had afterwards the most complete disgust for every kind of nourishment. He asked for wine so pressingly, that it was given him. It did not aggravate the gastric symptoms. The treatment consisted in the application of a blister to the epigastrium, with simple emollients internally.

On examination of the body, the mucous membrane of the stomach was found white, without any injected vessels, and it had everywhere its natural

thickness and consistence. There was no appearance of disease in the duodenum, or in the rest of the intestinal canal.

The liver, on the outside, was pale. On being drawn gently from its place, it was torn; and by the pressure of the finger its tissue was broken down into a greyish pulp. It had throughout the colour of dead leaves, and when cut across or pressed, hardly any blood escaped. It did not, however, grease the scalpel, and had a very different appearance from fatty liver. In the gall-bladder, instead of bile, there was a colourless serous liquid, which was not bitter. There was nothing remarkable in the hepatic, cystic, and common ducts, which were empty of bile.

In this case, the change in the appearance and texture of the liver seems to have been much the same as in the cases before related, and, as in them, the secretion of bile was suppressed. It was clear that the suppressed secretion was owing primarily to want of action on the part of the hepatic cells, for the biliary passages were quite free; and it was also clear from the frangibility and softness of the liver, that the nutrition of the other elements of its structure had suffered as well. This disease seems to be quite different from inflammation. The man's illness did not set in with inflammatory symptoms; leeches, several times applied to the epigastrium, produced no relief; and none of the usual traces of inflammation were remarked after death. The morbid change appears more nearly allied to gangrene than to inflammation; and was probably here caused by some noxious product of faulty digestion, which, being carried to the liver in the portal blood, directly impaired the vitality and nutrition of its tissues. The uneasiness felt some hours after eating, and the gradual loss of flesh and strength, are sufficiently accounted for by the disorder of digestion and the suppression of bile. In this case, the patient probably lived longer than he otherwise would have done, and suffered less, in consequence of there being no jaundice, which was probably prevented by the colouring matters of the bile passing off freely by the kidneys and skin.

The following case, also related by Andral, (Clin. Med. iv. 326,) seems to have been another instance of the same disease.

CASE.—Difficult digestion—Complete loss of appetite—Occasional vomiting—Scanty secretion of bile—Great emaciation—Liver remarkably pale and soft—Gall-bladder and ducts free, hardly stained with bile—A large ulcer in

the stomach—Follicles of the colon enlarged—Intestinal canal in other respects healthy.

A woman, 50 years of age, had suffered from difficult digestion for many years. Her appetite had gradually diminished, and, when she entered the hospital, was quite gone. She vomited occasionally, but had no pain at the epigastrium. The belly was everywhere soft, and free from pain. The tongue was natural. The bowels were confined, and the stools ash-coloured. The emaciation was considerable; the pulse not quick. The colour of the urine was not noticed. Some time after her admission to the hospital, her tongue became red and dry, her pulse frequent, and she died in a typhoid state.

The liver, extended into the left hypochondrium, but not below the cartilages of the ribs. Its tissue was remarkably pale, and readily broke down into a pulp under the finger. The gall-bladder was filled with a liquid like turbid water. The cystic duct was free. The hepatic and common ducts contained a citron-coloured fluid, which reminded one of urine. The opening of the common duct into the duodenum, was free.

The spleen was large and soft.

On the posterior surface of the stomach was an ulcer, the size of a crown-piece, whose bottom was formed by the pancreas, which was healthy and united to the rim of the ulcer by firm and close areolar tissue. The edge of the ulcer was smooth and round. The mucous membrane about it white, and not thickened or soft. In the splenic extremity of the stomach, the mucous membrane was of a bright red. There was no mark of disease in the duodenum, or the rest of the intestine, except that the follicles in the colon were remarkably developed. The large intestine contained solid faecal matter, of greyish-white colour.

In this case, as in the former, there was great softening of the liver,—which was pale and not much enlarged,—with very scanty secretion of bile. The case, however, is not so *distinct* in character as the former, on account of the presence of an ulcer in the stomach, which was evidently of old date, and to which the symptoms were, without doubt, in some measure owing.

In all the cases that have been related in this chapter, the condition of the liver differed from that which results from permanent closure of the common duct, in respect of its colour, and its much greater softness and frangibility. In closure of the common duct, the cells are broken down and disappear, but the other elements of texture remain firm, so that, although the organ may feel flabby, it is not readily broken down or torn.

It appears from this, that great softness and frangibility of the

liver depends less on the state of the cells than on that of the vascular network and other tissues, and that it cannot be inferred from these characters merely, that the cells are destroyed. The liver may be extremely soft and frangible, where the cells are entire and the secretion of bile is performed as usual.

In a woman, who died under my care in King's College Hospital, in June, 1844, of tubercular peritonitis, all the upper part of the liver, thirty hours after death, when the body was examined, could be torn by the slightest effort, like a piece of rotten sponge. The portions near the lower edge were very much firmer. The liver was very large, and, throughout, of a yellowish-green colour. The hepatic cells were gorged to bursting with oil-globules, and a small piece of the liver burnt with a blaze when placed to the flame of a candle. There was no jaundice, and the only symptom that the liver was diseased was its large size.

Andral (Clin. Med. iv. p. 320) has given the case of a man who died of phthisis, without jaundice or other symptom of disease of the liver. The liver, which was rather large, was singularly softened—so that in many points it was a mere pulp.

These cases strengthen the inference, that, in the cases in which, with similar softening of the liver, the secretion of bile was suppressed, the hepatic cells were destroyed or damaged.

I have brought together from different sources the cases related in this chapter, for the sake of showing that the secretion of bile may be suppressed, and the secreting substance of the liver be more or less disorganised, in various circumstances, and without the occurrence of any process that we are warranted in designating, *inflammation*. It would seem that this suspension of the secreting process and disorganisation of the liver, may result from powerful and depressing emotions; but that it is far more frequently produced by some poison, introduced from without, or generated in the body by faulty assimilation or digestion. It appears, too, that various poisons,—pus, the poison of serpents, perhaps the poison of some forms of fever, and various others,—may alike stop the secretion of the liver, and lead to the same kind of disorganisation of its structure, while their other effects on the system are very different. It is probable, too, that in some cases, as in those last related, the disorganisation is produced slowly and gradually, and so *without shock*; while in the more terrible forms of disease, of which

instances were before given, the disorganisation is sudden and rapid. These circumstances serve to explain the different characters of the illness that attended the suppression of bile in the different cases related. They were many of them cases of essentially different diseases, and having merely this one effect, and the consequences of this effect, in common.

It does not seem possible to deduce from the cases that have been related, any sure means of distinguishing jaundice that results from suppressed secretion, from jaundice produced by temporary closure of the ducts, except in the particular cases where the jaundice immediately follows a powerful emotion, or occurs in the course of purulent phlebitis, or in consequence of some known poisoning; or where, as in the instances related by Dr. Griffin and Dr. Hanlon, it occurs with peculiar characters in several members of a family, or in several persons living together, in succession. In all these instances, knowledge of the cause of the disease, or of some peculiar circumstances under which it may have arisen, gives significance to symptoms that would otherwise be vague and ambiguous. In other instances, where we have no insight of this kind, and where the cause of the disease is unknown to us, where, consequently, our judgment must be formed from the symptoms merely, the diagnosis is much more difficult. But even here we are not entirely without guides. An important circumstance is that in the form of disease considered in this chapter, the liver *is almost always diminished in size*; while in most of the other diseases in which jaundice occurs, the liver is generally enlarged. Other circumstances, which it is important to bear in mind, are, that in most of the cases related in this chapter there was *vomiting*; and also, that in most of them, the flow of bile into the duodenum was not *completely* stopped, as it often is, when jaundice results from obstruction in the ducts. The matters brought up by vomiting, and passed by stool, were coloured by bile. When delirium, or coma, or convulsions, supervene, we may be almost sure that the jaundice results from suppressed secretion; because these symptoms seldom occur in jaundice that results from mere obstruction of the ducts.

Until more is known of the causes of this form of disease, and until it can be detected with more certainty, we cannot expect to

have satisfactory proofs of the good or ill effects of particular plans of treatment. The conclusion that may be most safely drawn from the foregoing cases, is, that in some instances, coma may probably be prevented or removed, and the life of the patient saved, by active purging.

SECT. II.—*Fatty degeneration of the liver—Partial deposit of fat in the liver—Waxy liver—Appearances caused by deficiency of fat in the liver.*

It has been before remarked that the size, and colour, and firmness, of the liver, may become much altered, without the agency of inflammation, and without any destruction of the cells or impaired nutrition of its other tissues—simply from matter being secreted or appropriated by the cells, which, instead of passing off freely in the bile, is retained in the substance of the liver.

The most common disease of this class is, what has been called the *fatty liver*, or *fatty degeneration of the liver*.

The outward characters of this disease have been long familiar to pathologists, and have been rightly ascribed to the interstitial deposit of uncombined fatty matter in the substance of the liver; but it was not known precisely in what state, or where, the fat was deposited till 1841,* when Mr. Bowman discovered, in a specimen of very fatty liver which I requested him to examine with this intent, that it existed in the form of oil-globules in the hepatic cells.

In every human liver, there is some uncombined oil or fat, which is usually, however, in very small quantity. It may be extracted from the liver by boiling, and may be seen through the microscope in the hepatic cells, in the form of very small globules, having a dark outline. These globules are of various sizes, and are placed irregularly in the cells. Their usual appearance is represented in fig 6, (p. 11.)

In the fatty liver, the quantity of oil so placed is enormously increased. The hepatic cells are gorged with large globules,

* See Lancet, Jan. 22nd, 1842.

which greatly distend them, and often obscure their nuclei. This is represented in fig. 8, (p. 14.)

Usually a great number of oil globules of various sizes, not contained in cells, are likewise seen under the microscope.

The quantity of oil thus accumulated in a liver may equal in weight, and more than equal in bulk, all the other elements of the liver put together. M. Vauquelin obtained from a portion of fatty liver, by boiling, as much as 45 parts of oil in 100 of liver. Nearly half the liver, in weight, consisted of uncombined oil.

A liver that has undergone the fatty degeneration, may be little altered in shape, but it is larger, and paler, and softer, and more greasy, than natural. These changes in its sensible qualities depend chiefly, if not solely, on the interstitial deposit of the oil-globules, and their degree may give us some estimate of the quantity of oil the liver contains. When this is very large, the liver is large in proportion, sometimes twice its natural size, and is generally somewhat altered in shape, being thicker than natural, and having its edges blunter or more rounded. The capsule of the liver is stretched and smooth, and when divided its edges recede. The tissue of the liver is pale, and, generally, throughout of a soft buff colour, dotted with brown or red. The brown or red dots mark the centres of the lobules, which are unusually large and distinct, and are buff-coloured near their margins. The liver is very soft, and greases the hands, or the scalpel, like common fat.

When the quantity of oil is less, the liver is not so large, nor so pale, nor so soft,—but presents an appearance described as the nutmeg-liver. The liver may not feel greasy, but an unusual quantity of fat may be at once detected by placing a thin slice of the liver on a piece of paper, and exposing it to the action of heat. Some of the oil or fat exudes, and greases the paper. The best way, however, of ascertaining the quantity of fat—at least that which exists in the form of oil-globules—is by examining a small particle of the liver through the microscope. The oil-globules are objects of sight, and from their form and their dark outline, are at once distinguished.

Few observations have been made on the bile secreted by a

fatty liver. It is sometimes unusually pale, and, it is said, less bitter than natural; (Andral, Clin. Med. iv., p. 212; and Meckel Anatomie, t. iii. p. 470;) but it has generally the greenish or olive colour proper to bile. Not unfrequently, indeed, in persons dead of phthisis, with fatty liver, (which is very apt to occur in this disease,) the bile is unusually dark-coloured and thick;* but this is probably owing to its having remained long in the gall bladder and become concentrated, in consequence of the repugnance to food, and the empty state of the stomach and intestines, so common in the advanced stage of phthisis.

An accumulation of fat in the hepatic cells, notwithstanding it so changes the appearance and other sensible qualities of the liver, seems not to interfere much with its office. There is no jaundice; no congestion of the veins that feed the vena portæ,—no obstruction, therefore, to the circulation through the liver; no pain, or even tenderness. The only inconvenience the patient suffers from this condition of the liver, is that which arises from the bulk of the organ,—distension of the belly, and a sense of fullness and weight, on turning in bed from the right side to the left. The reason of there being no jaundice is, that the colouring matter of the bile is secreted, and passes off, as usual. The absence of other symptoms seems to depend on the softness of the oil-globules, and the readiness with which they change their form and yield to pressure; on their being deposited gradually and evenly, so as not to cause sudden stretching of the capsule of the liver; and on their having no tendency to excite inflammation of the capsule, or of the veins.

The liver becomes fatty in very different states of the body.

1st.—It is often fatty in persons who lead indolent lives, and are at the same time gross feeders—eating largely of fatty substances, and drinking freely of spirits, but more especially of porter and other heavy malt liquors; and is then generally associated with excess of fat under the skin, and in other parts of the body in which fat is usually deposited.

The fattening effect of food depends much on climate, but in man, still more on individual peculiarities of constitution. Some persons can take no fatty substances, without being disordered by them; others take them with apparent impunity, but

* See Louis, Recherches sur la Phthisie, 2ieme edition, p. 122.

still remain lean—the fat is not digested, or not assimilated; others, again, take them freely, and grow fat in consequence.* In our domestic animals, the fattening influence of fatty substances taken as food, is far more constant. It was well exhibited in the experiments, lately performed by Majendie, for the purpose of ascertaining the nutritive powers of different kinds of food. In one of these experiments, a dog was kept entirely on fresh butter, which it continued to eat, though not regularly, for sixty-eight days. “It then died of inanition, although remarkably fat. All the while the experiment lasted, the animal smelt strongly of butyric acid, its hair was greasy, and its skin covered with a layer of fat. On dissection, all the organs and tissues were found infiltrated with fat. The liver, to use the common phrase, was *fatty*; and, on analysis, it was found to contain a very large quantity of stearine, but little or no oleine. *It had acted as a kind of filter for the butter.*”

Many other experiments of the same kind were made with hog's-lard, and other fatty substances, and with a like result. The dogs became loaded with fat, but their muscles wasted, and, at length, they died of inanition. In many of them, the cornea sloughed. In all, the liver was fatty.

These experiments are interesting, from showing clearly that an animal may be loaded with fat, and yet die of inanition. They place in a strong light, the truth of the observation long ago made by practical physicians, that fat people are not so strong as they look, and, in general, ill bear losses of blood or other lowering measures. The muscles of fat people are small, and it is muscle that gives strength.

Greasiness of the skin and the smell of butyric acid, which were remarked by Majendie in his dogs, have also been noticed in men, who, from gross feeding and indolent lives, have their livers and other tissues loaded with fat. Rokitansky says, the fatty condition of the liver in these men, is attended with sallowness of the skin, and with a greasy sweat of peculiar odour.

The fatty matter passes off by the skin, as well as by the liver,

* Prout, Stomach and Urinary Diseases, 3rd edition, p. 242. Some important remarks on these points, and valuable hints for future inquirers, will be found, in the chapter here referred to, in Dr. Prout's profound work; to which we are so deeply indebted for our knowledge of the various effects of faulty digestion and assimilation.

and in precisely the same way—through the nucleated cells of the sebaceous glands. In a state of health, the cells of the sebaceous glands, like those of the lobules of the liver, contain small globules of oil. There can be no doubt that where the body is loaded with fat, the quantity of oil in the former cells, as well as in the latter, is enormously increased. This observation is important, because it gives optical proof, that some of the matters eliminated by the liver, may also be eliminated through the skin, and because it tends to impress on us the importance of attending to the skin in all cases in which the functions of the liver are deranged.

In the cases under consideration, it is clear, that the liver is not primarily in fault, any more than the skin. Both of them are fulfilling their proper office, in getting rid of an excess of fatty matter in the blood.

2nd.—But the liver is often found fatty in persons dead of phthisis, who, instead of being loaded with fat, are generally much wasted.

The frequency with which the liver undergoes this change in phthisis was, I believe, first pointed out by M. Louis, in his celebrated work on phthisis, published in 1825. M. Louis detected the fatty degeneration by the altered look and feel of the liver, in forty cases of phthisis, out of 120,—or, in one-third of the subjects he examined.

It appears from his researches, that this change in the liver, in pulmonary consumption, is irrespective of age, and equally frequent, whether the consumption be rapid or lingering. The only condition which he ascertained to have a marked relation to its frequency, is sex. It was nearly four times as frequent in the women he examined, as in the men. In the cases he has since observed, the proportion of women to men is still larger. In the second edition of his work, published last year, (1843,) he states that in fifty-four fatal cases of phthisis, which he has observed at *La Charité*, since the publication of the first edition, the liver was fatty thirteen times, and only in women, who were thirty in number.

These results have been confirmed by observations made in other countries.

Dr. Home, out of sixty-five persons who died of phthisis in the Edinburgh Infirmary, found the liver fatty in ten, and *waxy* in

five others. These fifteen instances, with one exception, occurred in women.*

In twenty-three of these sixty-five cases, the liver presented different forms of the early stage of cirrhosis. This condition is not noticed by Louis in his account of the morbid appearances in phthisis. It is, no doubt, more common in Edinburgh than in Paris, in consequence of the habit of whiskey-drinking among the lower classes in Scotland. But it is probable that in some of the cases, Dr. Home mistook the nutmeg appearance of the liver caused by the deposit of fat in moderate quantity, for the early stage of cirrhosis. Making a trifling allowance for an error of this kind, it would appear that fatty degeneration of the liver is just as frequent, in persons dead of phthisis, in Edinburgh, as in Paris.

I know of no other evidence by which we can judge of its relative frequency in different places, except a remark by Dr. Stokes, that he thinks it less frequent in Dublin than in Paris.

Fatty degeneration of the liver in such degree as to be at once recognised, is not only frequent in phthisis, but,—setting aside the persons in whom the liver is loaded with fat in common with the areolar tissue and other parts of the body in which fat is liable to be deposited,—is almost peculiar to this disease. Frequently, indeed, in subjects dead of various diseases, an unusual quantity of fat is found in the liver, which is at once discovered by the microscope, and which may be detected by a practised eye, by merely looking at the liver,—but the fatty degeneration is seldom so advanced as to be readily recognised at sight, except in persons dead of phthisis. M. Louis states, that in the course of three years he met with forty-nine instances of fatty liver, and in forty-seven of these, the patients were phthisical.

In speculating on the cause of this peculiar tendency to accumulation of fat in the liver, in phthisis, it is important to remark, that it does not depend on tuberculous disease of the liver itself. M. Louis states, that there were no tubercles in the liver in any of the cases in which he found it fatty: and that in two cases in which there were tubercles in the liver, the liver was not fatty. He even infers, that the one state may preclude the other, and cites in support of this opinion, a remark made by M. Reynaud,

* Lib. of Med. iv. 163.

in his essay on phthisis in monkeys—that although, in the monkeys he dissected, the liver very frequently contained tubercles, it was in no instance fatty. My own observations tend, in some degree, to confirm this remark. The natives of the South Sea Islands, who come to this country, are here extremely liable to phthisis, like the monkeys brought to Paris and London, and to the deposit of tubercles in various organs besides the lungs. I have found the liver and various organs studded with tubercles in several of these men who died in the Dreadnought of phthisis, but in none of these instances did I remark that the liver was fatty.

It has been imagined, that fatty matter accumulates in the liver in phthisis, in consequence merely of the office of the lungs being greatly and gradually interfered with—that hydro-carbonaceous matters, passing off in less quantity than natural through the lungs, are, in consequence, eliminated in larger quantity by the liver. This opinion is rendered very improbable by the circumstance, that in organic diseases of the heart, and in asthma, where the office of the lungs is not unfrequently as much interfered with as in phthisis, the liver does not become fatty. Still stronger refutation of it is afforded by the fact, noticed by Rokitansky, that fatty degeneration of the liver is found in conjunction with tuberculous disease of other organs—the mesentery, the serous membranes, the bones—when there are no tubercles in the lungs.

These facts show that we must seek the explanation of the fatty degeneration of the liver in phthisis, in some other conditions than mere diminished function of the lungs.

It has been already remarked that the fatty condition of the liver, independent of excess of fat in other organs, is very seldom met with, at least in such degree that it can be at once recognised, except in persons dead of phthisis. Now and then, however, the liver is just as fatty after other diseases, and we may naturally expect to find the conditions on which the accumulation of fat in the liver really depends, in some points of resemblance which these exceptional cases bear to cases of phthisis. These exceptional cases demand then great attention in our present inquiry.

The most fatty liver that has fallen under my own observation for several years, was that of a man, who died in King's College

Hospital, last April, (1844,) at the age of 36, of extensive cancerous ulceration of the groins.

He was a chimney-sweep, and had good health till about nine years before, when he noticed a pimple on the left side of the scrotum, which gradually grew larger. The pimple was cut out, and the wound healed. He then gave up chimney-sweeping, and became a coal-porter, and from this time enjoyed good health till February 1843, when another pimple, like that which had been cut out, appeared on the opposite side of the scrotum. He was admitted into St. Bartholomew's Hospital, where this tumor also was removed. The wound healed, as after the former operation. About a month after this, the glands in the right groin enlarged and became painful, and shortly afterwards suppurated and burst, leaving a ragged deep ulcer in the course of Poupart's ligament. A similar swelling soon appeared in the left groin, and burst, leaving a similar ulcer, but less extensive. In this state he was admitted into King's College Hospital, under Mr. Partridge, on the 14th of Sept. 1843. He was then much emaciated, and his liver was felt to be somewhat enlarged. His complexion was somewhat dusky, but not sallow. He had no cough or difficulty of breathing. His appetite was very good, and he was free from thirst. He was ordered full diet, with a pint of porter; and a watery solution of opium was applied to the ulcers. The ulcers gradually spread till they were of frightful extent, but even then his appetite continued tolerably good. He gradually sank, and died on the 8th of April. Sweating is not mentioned in the notes that were taken of his case.

The liver was very large, and very *thick*, and, throughout, of a pale buff colour, from extreme fatty degeneration. It greased the scalpel, and under the microscope, the hepatic cells were found gorged with oil-globules. The bile also contained a great number of oil-globules, visible under the microscope, together with distinct particles of greenish colouring matter. The capsule of the liver presented no trace of inflammation. Except this change in the liver, there was no disease, but the frightful ulceration of the groins. There were no cancerous tumors in any of the viscera. The lungs were congested, but, otherwise, perfectly healthy.

A case, in some respects similar, is recorded by Cruveilhier, in which a high degree of fatty degeneration was found in conjunc-

tion with disseminated melanotic cancer, and with a large psoas abscess, that resulted from caries of the lumbar vertebræ.

The patient, a woman 30 years of age, was brought into the Hôtel Dieu, in a state of extreme exhaustion, and died the next day.

Cruveilhier has given a plate representing the front of the body, which was thickly studded with melanotic tubercles in, or under, the skin. There were, also, a great number of grey melanotic tumors in the lungs, and in the mesentery; many adhering to the kidney, and in the areolar tissue about it; many along the iliac and hypogastric arteries and veins. There was, likewise, an enormous medullary tumor, growing from the sacrum, which filled the cavity of the true pelvis, but all the organs of the pelvis were sound. In the upper, or expanded portion of the pelvic cavity, there was a very large abscess, under the iliac fascia. The matter of this abscess came from the last lumbar vertebræ, which were carious. It extended in the sheath of the psoas muscle as low as the little trochanter. The liver was yellow, and had undergone complete fatty degeneration, (*avait passé complètement au gras*,) but contained no cancerous tumors. (Liv. xxxii. pl. 3.)

This case presents many striking points of resemblance with the cases of phthisis, in which the liver is fatty. The patient was a woman, much emaciated. From this last circumstance, and from the wide dissemination of cancerous tumors, it may safely be inferred, that she was in a state of cancerous cachexy, and probably subject to the profuse sweating common in this state. Lastly, the liver was completely fatty, but, what is very unusual when cancer is so widely disseminated, contained no cancerous tumors.

In the following case, which I have copied from Dr. Bright's Hospital Reports, fatty degeneration of the liver was found in conjunction with chronic dysentery, which had led to perforation of the lower part of the large intestine, and the consequent formation of a large abscess behind it.

CASE.—A. B., a young man about 28 years of age, originally stout, vigorous, and active, who had been regular in his diet and very temperate in the use of wine and other fermented drinks, but had frequently been the subject of syphilis. Some few years before his death, he laboured under a dysenteric affection, on the subsidence of which, his bowels became habitually constipated. This state appeared to be in part attributable to a stricture of the rectum, which was felt at no great distance from the anus: a bougie was passed, and a considerable dilatation of the stricture was effected. His health continually declined, and symptoms of stricture higher up in the

intestine became evident. An abscess was formed just above the crista of the ileum posteriorly, which, on its opening, proved to have communication with the intestine. Pain was felt in the upper part of the left iliac region. Leeches were applied, and their bites produced sinuous ulcers. He had no cough, or obvious chest affection; latterly, he had some diarrhœa, and wasted rapidly.

The head was not opened. There was some old pleuritic adhesion on the left side, but none on the right. The lungs and heart were quite healthy. In the left iliac region the intestines were glued together by peritoneal adhesions, and firmly bound down on the iliacus internus muscle. The cellular membrane below the peritoneum was very firm and much thickened. The mucous membrane of the stomach was free from rugæ, rather firm, and not easily separated from the subjacent coat; towards the cardia it was of a diffused dusky livid colour: that of the duodenum was pale, but its mucous glands were enlarged: that of the rest of the small intestines was tolerably healthy. The same was the case with the first part of the large intestines; but in the sigmoid flexure of the colon, and more particularly in the lower part of it, there were numerous traces of old ulcerations: these were of a lightish leaden colour, of an uneven surface; and the structure of the intestine at this part was thickened and condensed, and its calibre greatly contracted: there were three or four small perforations through the intestine at this part. Inside the last part of the colon and the whole of the rectum appeared healthy; but a little above the anus there was a decided thickening with induration. This evidently depended on an old ulcer, which had occupied about half-an-inch of the intestine. Like those of the colon it exhibited a leaden hue, an uneven surface, an apparent deficiency of the mucous coat and thickening of the subjacent structure. The *liver* was remarkably enlarged, and of a yellowish-brown colour; it was very exsanguine, and had universally undergone the fatty degeneration. It felt soft and plastic under the fingers, soiled the clean blade of a scalpel which was thrust into it, and yielded an oily fluid on the application of heat. The gall-bladder was small and contracted, and contained no bile but a little dirty-coloured somewhat puriform mucus. The patient, however, had some bilious vomiting but a few days before his death. The spleen was of moderate size and firm, and the kidneys were healthy. (Bright's Reports, vol. i. p. 117)

In the spring of the present year, Mr. Busk sent me a portion of liver extremely fatty, taken from a lad, æt. 17, who died of chronic dysentery. The lad was much emaciated, but had no disease of the lung other than recent bronchitis. He died a few days after he was brought to the Hospital, and while under treatment, there, had no sweating.

In the autumn of last year, I found a very fatty liver in a woman, who died under my care in King's College Hospital, of

grey hepatisation of the left lung. Her illness lasted a month. Towards the end, she had much hectic and sweating. There were no tubercles.

It is stated by MM. Biett and Rayer, that a fatty condition of the liver is very common in persons with chronic pemphigus—persons almost invariably very low in condition.

It would seem, from these instances, that the fatty condition of the liver so common in phthisis, does not result from the office of the lung being interfered with, or from the presence of tuberculous matter in any particular organ, but rather that it is connected in some way with the general constitutional disturbance—the abundant suppuration, the wasting, the hectic,—so common in advanced stages of phthisis.

The opinion was some years ago advanced by the late Baron Larrey, that the fatty condition of the liver in these cases results from solution of the fat previously laid up in the body. He considered this opinion strongly supported by the method then employed in France to make the livers of geese fatty, and of which he gives the following account: “To procure the large livers of geese, for the making of patties, fatted birds are confined in close cages, and then exposed to a graduated heat, being kept at the same time entirely without food, even without water. They become feverish, the fat undergoes a kind of fusion, and the liver grows enormously large. The liver is considered to be in the desired state, when the animal is *extremely wasted*, and the fever increases.”

It is quite clear, that, in this process, the fat which accumulates in the liver, is derived from that previously laid up in the body. It is extremely probable, that the same thing happens in phthisis, and in the other wasting diseases in which fatty degeneration of the liver occurs, in man:—that, in the process of wasting, the fat stored up in the body is largely taken up by the veins, so that it comes to be in excess in the blood, and is then laid hold of by the hepatic cells, which have a natural affinity for it. Fat is, without doubt, secreted in large quantity by the liver, and by the sebaceous glands, whenever a large quantity of it finds its way into the blood.

If this opinion be correct, it follows, that in this class of cases, as in those before spoken of, the fatty condition of the liver cannot be considered essentially a disease of the liver, any more than

diabetes can be considered a disease of the kidneys. In certain states of the system, the liver eliminates an unusual quantity of fat, just as in certain other states the kidneys eliminate sugar. The fat in the liver, being in the form of large oil-globules, which are perhaps only slowly dissolved in the bile, is long pent up in the close meshes of the capillary network of the liver, and, of course, adds to the size of the liver, and alters its texture,—while sugar, from its solubility, and from the large quantity of water secreted with it, is at once carried out of the system, and leaves the kidneys unaltered.

In both diseases, the other principles which it is the office of the organ that is seemingly in fault to excrete, are excreted as usual. Dr. Christison has said that in saccharine diabetes, urea, uric acid, and the other constituents of urine, are often secreted nearly in the same quantity, and in the same relative proportions, as in health; and that the urine may be considered healthy urine, with the addition of so much sugar.

If we may judge from the clearness of the complexion in phthisis, and from the colour of the bile found in the gall-bladder, which is often dark-green or olive, when the liver is fatty, the ordinary constituents of bile in this disease pass off as usual. The liver seems not to be at fault, but to be merely performing its allotted task, in withdrawing an excess of fatty matter from the blood. The question then comes to be, why is the fat taken up by the blood in such quantity in phthisis as to be present in great excess in that fluid? If it be to serve as fuel for respiration, why is not the liver fatty in all chronic diseases, which prove fatal by slow emaciation? Why does the liver become fatty so much more frequently in women affected with phthisis, than in men? As yet no satisfactory answers have been given to these questions.*

But although in the class of cases already considered, the liver may not be primarily in fault, it is probable that fat may also accumulate in the liver, as in other organs, from local causes,—causes affecting, directly, the nutrition of the part. When the degeneration depends, not on fault of the liver, but solely on constitutional causes, the whole organ must be affected alike—and

* The greater frequency of fatty liver in women may be partly accounted for by the circumstance that women are, in general, fatter than men.

this is always the case in the fatty liver of phthisis. But it now and then happens, that a very small portion of the liver, the size, it may be, of a walnut, is completely fatty, while the rest of the organ is quite sound. During the last year, I have met with three instances of this. One was in a portion of liver sent me by Mr. Busk, taken from a man who died in the Dreadnought, with enormous cavities in the lungs, which were probably tuberculous. The only morbid appearance on the surface of the portion of liver sent me, was a pale drab-coloured spot, the size of a shilling. When this was sliced across, a portion of the liver immediately beneath, as large as a walnut, with an irregular outline, was found to be of the same pale colour, contrasting strongly with the colour of the rest of the liver, and completely fatty. The appearance of this portion was precisely like that of extreme fatty liver in phthisis; and, under the microscope, the hepatic cells were seen to be filled to bursting with oil, while the cells in the rest of the liver had scarcely more oil than natural. There was another spot in the same state, and about the same size, in a different part of the liver.

The second instance was in the liver of a woman, who died, in King's College Hospital, of diseased heart. She was reported to have drunk freely of spirits. At the surface of the left lobe near the suspensory ligament, was an irregular portion, the size of a small walnut, soft, and of a pale yellow colour, in strong contrast with the colour of the other portions. The cells in this pale portion were gorged with oil-globules; in the rest of the liver, they were healthy. In another portion of the left lobe there was some atrophy, and the surface was slightly puckered, from obliterated branches of the portal vein.

The third instance was in a girl, aged 20, who died also in King's College Hospital, of chorea. The capsule of the liver was united to the diaphragm and the abdominal parietes by threads of old false membrane. On the surface of the liver were two or three pale spots, like those before described, of about the same size, and having the same irregular outline. Under the microscope, the hepatic tissue forming these spots, exhibited a few cells, gorged with oil-globules, and an immense number of free oil-globules. Throughout, the liver contained more oil than natural. In these two last cases, there was no tuberculous disease of the lung. From the fat being deposited so partially, and from the presence of marks of former inflammation of the liver, we are perhaps justified in in-

ferring that the complete fatty degeneration resulted, here, not so much from general, or constitutional causes, as from some local cause affecting the nutrition of those parts.

In a case of scrofulous enlargement of the liver, of which the details will be given further on, fat was also deposited *partially* in the liver, but in a different way. Instead of forming large isolated spots, it was chiefly in white lines, along the small twigs of the portal and hepatic veins. On the surface of the liver, there were some lobules completely fatty, but along the vessels, the fat did not seem to be in the lobules.

In other parts of the body, in persons even much emaciated, accumulations of fat are often found in wasted parts, especially where a certain form must be preserved for the due exercise of their functions. This is especially the case with the heart.* Fat is almost always found about the heart, in persons above the age of infancy, gradually increasing in quantity, as the two sides of the heart become more unequal in bulk. This fat, as Mr. Paget has shown, serves a mechanical purpose, and allows the different cavities to assume readily the changes of volume and position, which the entrance of the blood, and its forcible expulsion, require. In phthisis, where the muscles of the heart, like other muscles, waste, and where the fat of most parts of the body disappears, an unusual quantity of fat is sometimes deposited about the heart;† in obedience, it would seem, to the law, which determines the deposit of fat about the heart in health, as, by the progress of age, the inequality of the two sides of the heart increases.

Accumulation of fat about the heart, in phthisis, is associated with accumulation of it in the liver. Like the latter, it is almost exclusively met with in women, and is seldom found in persons dead of other wasting diseases. In phthisis, as in the process, before described, that was formerly employed to make the livers of geese fatty, the fat previously laid up in the body, seems to be absorbed by the vessels in greater quantity than is requisite to combine with the oxygen inhaled.

* For an account of the manner in which fat is deposited about the heart, see an elaborate paper by M. Bizot, in the first volume of "Mémoires de la Société Médicale d'Observation."

† For an account of the fatty state of the heart in phthisis, see "Louis sur la Phthisie." Second Edition, p. 61 and 63.

The excess of fatty matter thus present in the blood, is, in part, eliminated by the glands destined to excrete fat; in part, deposited about the heart, where, from the wasting of other tissues, an additional quantity of it seems to be required to serve an important mechanical purpose, and where, by the wisdom of creation, forces have been placed which strongly favour its accumulation to the extent requisite for that purpose. The difficulty that before presented itself, meets us again here. Why does the fat laid up in the body become absorbed, so as to be in excess in the blood, in phthisis, and not, also, in other chronic diseases equally wasting?

The bones of persons very advanced in life, always contain a large quantity of oil, which accumulates in them as the vascular part of their structure shrinks,—it would seem, for no other end than to occupy space.

Another situation in which fat accumulates, and apparently for the same end,—to occupy space,—is under the integument of the belly in women who have had many children. In a woman who died in King's College Hospital, in the autumn of 1842, of stricture of the pylorus, although the body generally was *extremely emaciated*, there was a layer of fat, an inch thick, on the abdominal muscles. Andral, from the observation of similar facts, was led to imagine, that the fatty state of the liver in phthisis might result from atrophy of its proper tissues. (Clin. Med. iv. p. 174). There is, at present, no evidence to support this opinion.* The liver becomes fatty without any previous diminution of size, and the accumulation of fat, so far from being intended merely to fill up a void, may go on till the natural volume of the liver is doubled.

It is probable, however, that in some of the cases in which fat is found in less quantity, or in parts only of the liver, the fat may merely take the place of other tissues.

But occasionally fat is deposited in great quantity in particular parts, from causes that affect their nutrition, without previous wasting of their proper structure, and where no beneficial mechanical purpose seems to be answered by it. This frequently happens in the neighbourhood of cancer. Cancerous tumours of the breast, and

* This opinion is likewise advanced by Dr. Thompson, in an excellent article on Diseases of the Liver, published in the Library of Medicine. (Lib. of Med. vol. iv. p. 190.)

cancerous glands in the axilla, are often surrounded by a large quantity of fat. The frequent accumulation of fat about cancer has been particularly noticed by Cruveilhier, who has given a striking instance of it, in a case of colloid cancer of the stomach, with cancerous tubercles in the mesentery. (Liv. 27, Pl. 3, p. 1.)

In examining the bodies of sailors, who have died much reduced by chronic dysentery, I have been often much struck with the large quantity of fat in the *appendices epiploicæ*, and elsewhere in the neighbourhood of the diseased intestine. In the dissections of persons dead of chronic dysentery, related by Annesley, in his work on the diseases of India, a fatty condition of the omentum is also frequently noticed.

An unusual quantity of fat is sometimes found about a diseased joint—but this perhaps results, in part, from wasting of the adjacent muscles.

This partial accumulation of fat about other disease, happens, also, in the liver, especially in cancer. The hepatic tissue just round a cancerous tumor has often a nutmeg appearance, from containing an unusual quantity of fat, and not unfrequently is for a short distance completely fatty. The substance of a cancerous tumor in the liver, as in other parts, occasionally, I believe, contains fatty matter.

In all the cases in which I have yet ascribed fatty degeneration of the liver to local causes affecting the nutrition of the part, the accumulation of fat has been partial. It may be, however, that the entire organ may be damaged by some acute disease, or in other ways, and may become fatty in consequence. I strongly suspect that this happens in yellow fever, and in the severe bilious remittents of tropical climates. These fevers, without leaving any permanent marks of inflammation, and apparently without exciting inflammation at all, may permanently alter the condition of the liver. It often happens that the office of the liver is not adequately performed for the future, and that years after, when the person dies, perhaps from some disease quite independent of this, the liver is found unusually pale. The pale colour of the liver depends, I imagine, on fat—which is not, however, in such quantity as to increase the size of the liver and to cause the striking appearances of the extreme fatty liver in phthisis. It is not unlikely that long courses of mercury, and other medicines that directly affect the nutrition of the liver, may, now and then, have a similar result.

Hitherto, we have considered merely the ordinary form of fatty liver. But, now and then, what seems to be a modification of it, is met with, which has been described by writers as the "waxy" liver. The liver is large and thickened, and the lobules are large and distinct, as in fatty liver, but its texture is firmer and closer than that of ordinary fatty liver, and it does not feel so greasy. Often, it has a rich yellow colour, from the retention of the colouring matter of bile. These characters are well expressed by the epithet "waxy," which has been applied to livers in this state by Dr. Home and Rokitansky, and it would seem, quite independently of each other. The term, indeed, expresses the appearances so aptly, that it can hardly fail of being suggested to any one describing this condition.

In the waxy liver, if indeed it be a mere variety of fatty liver, the fatty matter must be firmer than in ordinary fatty liver, and must contain a larger proportion of stearine. This state of the liver was remarked by Laennec,* who considered it to be a variety of fatty liver, caused by the deposit of the more solid forms of fatty matter.

The relative proportion of stearine and oleine might be ascertained by chemical analysis. It cannot be readily discovered by the microscope, because the solid fats, although microscopic objects, and readily distinguished when separate, are soluble in oleine, and consequently cannot be seen where there are many oil-globules.

The "waxy" liver, like the more common fatty liver, is met with most frequently in women affected with phthisis. It is not noticed by Louis in his accurate work on phthisis, probably from his not having recognised its true nature.

In animals kept exclusively on fatty substances, and, perhaps, in persons whose habits of life are such as to cause a fatty liver, the fatty matter may be deposited chiefly in the form of stearine. In the dog that Majendie kept exclusively on fresh butter for sixty-eight days, the liver was found on analysis to contain a large quantity of stearine, but little or no oleine.

Since fatty matter is deposited in the liver in the forms of oleine and stearine, it might be expected that it would also be deposited in the chrySTALLINE form, as cholesterine. But although masses of cholesterine are often found in the gall-bladder, this substance

* *Traité de L'Auscultation*, tom. ii. p. 36.

being the chief constituent of gall-stones ; and although innumerable glistening scales of cholesterine are sometimes seen in the cystic bile—I am not aware that an interstitial deposit of cholesterine has ever been observed in the substance of the liver, so as to form a state corresponding to the *fatty*, and *waxy*, states, that result from the deposit of other forms of fat.

In the specimens of fatty liver which I have examined, I have never found a scale of cholesterine in the substance of the organ. Cholesterine might, however, like stearine, be dissolved in oleine, and might therefore be present in the substance of the liver without being visible.

In the fatty degeneration of the *gall-bladder* considered in a former chapter, cholesterine is generally secreted in very large quantity by the diseased coats of the bladder.

Our knowledge of the frequency of fatty degeneration of the liver in phthisis enables us often to discover it during the life of the patient. In a woman labouring under phthisis, considerable enlargement of the liver, without jaundice, or ascites, or much pain or tenderness, is evidence enough, especially when the woman has been of temperate habits, that the liver is fatty. But as this condition of the liver causes but little inconvenience in itself, and does not lead to inflammation, or to other secondary mischief, and as the disease with which it is associated is inevitably fatal, it is not an object of treatment.

When the liver becomes fatty from gross feeding and indolent habits, the excess of fat will, doubtless, disappear from it, as from other parts, on the person adopting an opposite mode of life. If he will rise early, take active exercise, live chiefly on lean meat, with plenty of salt, and drink water—and will abstain from butter, bacon, oil, beer and other fermented drinks, and eat sparingly of sugar* and potatoes—he will not only get rid of his fat, but his muscles will be better nourished, and his strength be increased.

There are probably states of the system opposite to that we have

* Abstinence from sugar and its chemical equivalents is a point of great importance. As sugar furnishes a material for respiration, which is soluble in the blood, it is acted on by oxygen much more readily than the insoluble fat, which is thus protected, and laid up in the system. Alcohol has a still stronger protecting power, for similar reasons.

just been considering, in which the fatty matter secreted by the liver, instead of being in excess, is deficient. The disease in which, more perhaps than in any other, we might expect to find deficiency of fatty matter in the liver, is diabetes. In advanced stages of diabetes, scarcely a particle of true fat can be found in the limbs, in the cavity of the belly, or even about the heart. The brain, too, is generally somewhat shrunk, probably from deficient supply of fatty matter to repair its waste.* As excess of fatty matter renders the liver large, and pale, and soft, and the individual lobules large and distinct; a deficiency of it must tend to produce contrary effects. Where the cells contain but little oil, and are small, or fewer in number than they should be, the lobules of the liver are small and indistinct, and a cut surface of the liver is smooth and uniformly red. The whole liver is of course small, in proportion to the small size of the individual lobules. These appearances have been cursorily noticed by several pathologists. Rokitsky has described them with his usual minuteness, under the term, *red atrophy*, which well enough expresses the change. He says, "In red atrophy, the liver is diminished in size, with predominance of thickness; it is of a dark brown, or blood-red colour; rich in blood; turgid, and has a peculiar spongy, elastic feel. A cut surface presents a seeming homogeneity of structure, without appearance of lobules. The disease has a chronic course, and is attended by plentiful formation of tarry bile."

* See Observations by Dr. Percy, of Birmingham, in the Medical Gazette, April 7, 1843.

SECT. III.—*Scrofulous enlargement of the liver, and other kindred states.*

A CONDITION, analogous to the fatty liver, but differing from it in the character of the matter deposited in the liver, is sometimes met with in persons much wasted by scrofulous disease of the glands or of the bones, and is spoken of by many writers as *scrofulous enlargement* of the liver. The following case of this kind is related by Portal.

CASE.—A boy, 8 years of age, gradually wasted away. He had distaste for food of all kinds, especially animal food. The submaxillary glands were enlarged, and on each side of the neck was a string of other enlarged glands. The liver extended low in the belly. The child was in a slow fever, when first seen by Portal, and died a fortnight after.

On dissection, the maxillary glands, the glands on each side of the neck, and the bronchial and mesenteric glands were found enlarged, and filled with a substance like plaster.

The liver was of prodigious size. When stripped of its capsule, the substance appeared whitish. In the interior, it was still whiter than on the surface. On the surface, as well as in the interior, were lymphatic vessels which contained a substance so thick that they formed small hard cylinders. The matter with which the liver was gorged had the same whiteness. A slice of the liver, exposed to heat, to the action of boiling water, or of alcohol, was hardened, like albumen. (Mal. du Foie, p. 94.)

Portal concludes, that the disease is an albuminous obstruction of the liver.

Another case of scrofulous enlargement of the liver is recorded by Abercrombie.

CASE.—“A boy, aged 11, in the winter 1811-12, was seized with great enlargement of the glands under the jaw, his neck being completely beset

with a chain of them of very large size, extending from ear to ear. He improved considerably during the summer, but in the following winter he became languid, and impaired in strength, with variable appetite, and irregular attacks of fever. In the following summer, he was affected with cough and dyspnœa, and it was now discovered that his liver was so much enlarged, that the edge of it was distinctly felt as low as the umbilicus. He had a wasted and withered look, with cough, frequent pulse, enlargement of the abdomen, and anasarca of the legs; the latter increased to a prodigious degree, and he died after protracted suffering, in October, 1813.

Inspection.—The liver extended rather below the umbilicus, and so much into the left side as to fill the upper half of the abdomen. It was a little paler than natural in its colour, but in other respects was scarcely altered from the healthy structure. There was extensive disease of the mesenteric glands. The lungs were slightly tubercular, and there was a chain of enlarged glands, some of them as large as walnuts, extending behind the lungs, from the bifurcation of the trachæa to the diaphragm; some of these were of cartilaginous hardness, others contained thick purulent matter, and in others, there were hard calcareous particles. There was considerable effusion in the abdomen."

(Abercrombie, *Diseases of the Stomach, &c.*, 2nd ed. p. 366.)

The most detailed account of this condition of the liver is given by Rokitansky, who calls it the "*lardaceous*" liver. He says, "Its anatomical characters are—considerable increase of volume, with striking development in breadth and accompanying flattening; very considerable gain in weight; a smooth, tight-stretched, peritoneal coat; a doughy consistence, combined with a certain degree of resistance and elasticity; anemia; watery, pale-red appearance of the portal blood; greyish-white or greyish-red, (mingled with yellow or brown,) colour of the organ; smooth, homogeneous, lardaceous-looking section; scarce any fat on the knife-blade." The morbid appearances, he adds, depend on infiltration of the liver with "a compact, greyish, often transparent, albuminous, lardaceous, or lardaceous-gelatinous substance."

In the spring of 1844, I had an opportunity of examining a very striking specimen of scrofulous liver obtained from a boy who was a patient of my brother, Dr. William Budd, of Bristol.

The boy had suffered many years from scrofulous disease of the hip, with pieces of bone coming away through permanent fistulous openings. About six months before his death, he became dropsical. There was general anasarca, but dropsy of the belly predominated, and, on account of this, he was tapped three times. After tapping, the ascites returned in an extraordinary short time to the same degree as before. There never was any jaundice. There was great emaciation at last, but it came on very slowly. There was

very little fever, throughout; and the appetite continued good up to a late period. The boy had been always sickly, and, in consequence, was much indulged by his parents;—among other things, drinking, for a child, large quantities of beer, of which he was very fond.

The liver was immensely enlarged, its edges were rounded, and its peritoneal coat was remarkably smooth and tense, from stretching. When the liver was sliced, the cut surface was smooth, presenting no appearance of lobules. It was of a very pale red, mottled by white lines and spots. The pale red portions were of close uniform texture, and semi-transparent, having much the look of bacon-rind; the white lines and spots were opaque. The opaque white matter consisted almost entirely of fat. Under the microscope, it exhibited a mass of large oil-globules; some free, others in hepatic cells. In the interior of the liver, the fatty matter was deposited chiefly along the small twigs of the portal and the hepatic veins, forming very distinct white lines. Near the surface, it was in greater quantity, and in this portion of the liver, some lobules were completely fatty, and large, and very distinct to the eye, as in ordinary fatty degeneration. In the pale semi-transparent portions, the hepatic cells were distinct, and contained no oil-globules at all. No other objects were visible. The fat was not in sufficient quantity to cause the great increase in the size of the liver, and the liver contained hardly any blood.

The increased size of the liver, and the semi-transparency, probably depended on some peculiar matter deposited in the lobules,—in the cells, or between them.

The interstitial deposit of fat may have resulted from atrophy, or changed form, of some elements of the substance of the liver. After the fat was dissolved out with ether, the tissue of the liver had still a very peculiar appearance, in many parts the compact uniform aspect of bacon.

On the surface of the peritoneum covering the intestines, there was a deposit of granular lymph, having much the appearance of the semi-transparent granulation of tubercle. Several of the bronchial glands were tuberculous, and there was one encysted tubercle in the left pleura; but there were no tubercles in the substance of the lungs. The kidneys were in a state of granular degeneration.

It is probable that, in this disease, as in the fatty liver, the substance to which the liver owes its increased size and its other peculiarities, is a product of secretion, which, instead of passing off in the bile, is retained in the liver. Chemical analysis would probably disclose to us its real nature. The microscope fails to do this, from the substance presenting no definite visible objects.

Scrofulous enlargement of the liver, like the enlargement from deposit of fat, comes on without pain of the liver, or even tenderness; a circumstance sufficiently accounted for by the gradual and even manner in which the foreign matter accumulates, and

from its having no tendency to cause inflammation of the capsule of the liver, or of the veins.

The passage of the blood through the liver is much more impeded than in the fatty liver—probably, from the foreign matter being firmer, and less yielding, than oil-globules. In the case that has been cited from Abercrombie, there was œdema of the legs, and a considerable quantity of serous fluid in the belly. In my brother's patient, there was great ascites, and when the fluid was drawn off by tapping, it rapidly accumulated again to the former amount. In two cases, seemingly of the same kind, which have fallen under my own care, there was likewise ascites.

In this disease, as in the fatty liver, the secretion of bile—or, at least, of the colouring matters of bile—may go on as usual, and the complexion remain clear. But this is, perhaps, not so generally the case as in the fatty liver. The matter deposited in the substance of the liver, being firmer, is, probably, more apt to interrupt the secretion, or the flow of the bile, and to render the complexion sallow. Dr. Graves has remarked that in persons with scrofulous enlargement of the liver, the stools are variously coloured with bile—“one part of them will be bilious, another part clay-coloured; they will be yellow to-day, and pale to-morrow,” (Clinical Medicine, p. 566). He infers from this, that the office of the liver is performed intermittingly; that the liver secretes bile during a certain period of the digestive process, then stops, and then secretes again.

Scrofulous enlargement of the liver occurs in persons much emaciated and in a state of scrofulous cachexy. It is, of course, associated with the general symptoms attendant on this state, and, by preventing the free passage of the blood through the liver, and an adequate secretion and free flow of bile, and thus still further impairing nutrition, must render them worse.

Enlargement of the liver, allied to the scrofulous enlargement, if not identical with it, sometimes occurs in persons whose health is broken from the combined effects of mercury and syphilis. This was, I believe, first distinctly noticed by Dr. Graves, who gives the following account of a case of it. “About two years since, I was consulted by an English gentleman, who had been ill for a considerable time. The history of his case from the

commencement was this. Three years previously he had venereal, —used and abused mercury—was exposed to cold, and got periostitis. He now got into a bad state of health, used mercury a second time, obtained some relief, and then relapsed again; finally, after having used mercury three or four times, he was attacked with mercurial cachexy, became weak and emaciated; the periostitis degenerated into ostitis, producing superficial caries and nodes of a bad character; he had exfoliation of the bones of the cranium, and rupia, and was reduced to a most miserable state. Under our care the symptoms gradually disappeared; he recovered to all appearance, and even got fat. He then caught cold, and relapsed again. At last his liver became engaged; he was attacked with hypertrophy of the liver, ascites, and jaundice, and died soon afterwards." "While this gentleman's liver was enlarging, there was no tenderness of the right hypochondrium on pressure." "What is equally remarkable, he had no fever, and the tongue was perfectly clean and moist during the whole course of the hepatic affection."

Dr. Graves says that he has since witnessed a similar train of phenomena—syphilis, (abuse of mercury,) periostitis, enlargement of the liver—twice in private practice, and once in hospital. In not one of these cases was the liver tender on pressure.

From this account, it would seem, that the change in the liver in these cases is very like that which occurs in scrofula, if not identical with it. Mere fatty degeneration of the liver does not cause ascites, which occurred in the case of which Dr. Graves has given the details. It has been truly remarked by Dr. Graves, that the mercurial and syphilitic cachexy very closely resembles scrofulous cachexy. There is the same impaired nutrition, irritability, and feverishness; and the skin, the glands, and the bones, which principally suffer in the one, suffer also, and in much the same way, in the other.

It is stated by Rokitansky, that enlargement of the liver, with the same anatomical characters, is sometimes produced by prolonged attacks of ague. I have met with one instance in which severe and long-continued ague in a boy was followed by scrofulous disease of the glands of the neck and of the bones, and, subsequently, by great enlargement of the liver, and ascites. But, here, the enlargement of the liver was attributable to the scrofula, and could not be considered the immediate effect of the ague. The

liver very seldom gets much enlarged from ague. I have examined, in the Seamen's hospital, a great number of bodies in which the spleen was enormously enlarged from ague, got in China, in the West Indies, or on the west coast of Africa, but in none of those cases did I remark the liver to be much enlarged. After remittent or yellow fever, the liver remains for a long time of a pale slate colour, but it is not perceptibly enlarged.

Enlargement of the liver attended, like scrofulous enlargement, with no inflammatory symptoms, now and then occurs, without our being able to trace it to any of the circumstances specified. A good instance of this is given by Andral, as an example of *simple hypertrophy* of the liver.

CASE.—*Great enlargement of the liver, without apparent change of structure—Jaundice—Loss of appetite—Gradual wasting—Coats of the stomach extremely thin and soft.*

A gardener 33 years of age, entered *la Charité*, with his skin of a greenish yellow. He stated that the jaundice came on without assignable cause three years before, and had continued ever since. Before the jaundice appeared, his health was always very good, and, for the first year after this, he did not feel ill; but, in the two following years, he gradually grew weaker and thinner, and lost his appetite, and, without actual pain at the epigastrium, had a sense of weight and fulness there after eating. From time to time he had diarrhoea.

On his admission to the hospital, the liver was felt extending low in the belly, and was not tender. The tongue looked healthy, and the mouth was not bitter. The bowels moved seldom; the stools were of moderate consistence, and white. The pulse was not quick. There was very troublesome itching of the skin.

A purely soothing treatment was adopted; and the patient lived almost entirely on broths. He gradually wasted away, and towards the close of life, an abundant serous diarrhoea came on, which hastened his death.

On dissection, the liver was found of enormous size, reaching to the crest of the ilium on the right side, and into the left flank. It did not seem at all altered in texture, and did not contain much blood. The gall-bladder contained only a serous liquid, very slightly tinged yellow. The gall-ducts were empty, and their mucous membrane was of a greyish colour, but did not seem altered in texture. The inside of the stomach was pale, and its coats were so thin that they were transparent. No trace of the muscular coat could be seen, and the mucous coat was hardly visible. All that was seen, was a cellular woof, polished on the outside to form the peritoneum. There was no other appreciable change in the intestinal canal. The mucous membrane of the large intestine was white, and of its natural thickness and firmness.

In this instance, the enlargement of the liver was attended with decided jaundice, but not with ascites. In scrofulous liver, as in cirrhosis, the passage of the blood through the liver is more impeded than the secretion and flow of bile. The belly is often distended with fluid, while the complexion is only slightly, if at all, sallow. The gradual wasting, in this case, is sufficiently accounted for by the jaundice and the impaired digestion that had so long existed.

The diseases we have been considering were at one time regarded, and by some pathologists are still regarded, as *simple hypertrophy* of the liver; the term, *hypertrophy*, meaning, as when applied to muscles, mere increase of bulk, without change of structure. But this is an erroneous view. The increased size of the liver, in the fatty liver, in the scrofulous liver, and in other kindred states, depends on the accumulation of some of the constituents of bile, or on the presence of some peculiar matters secreted by the hepatic cells, which, instead of passing off in the bile, are retained in the substance of the liver. The diseases originate in faulty nutrition of the hepatic cells. The pathologists who looked upon the enlargement of the liver in these cases as due to simple hypertrophy, were at times much perplexed to account for the symptoms attending it. Andral, in his remarks on a case of great enlargement of the liver, consequent on syphilis and the use of mercury, which he has given as an instance of simple hypertrophy of this organ, expresses much surprise that there was not a corresponding increase in the quantity of bile secreted. He says, "One would have thought, *à priori*, that when the nutrition of the liver was increased in so extraordinary a degree, the secretion of bile would have been more abundant in proportion. Such, however, was not the case. During life, but little bile was discharged, and after death the gall-bladder held only a small quantity, and this containing, seemingly, more water and albumen than usual, as if, while the nutrition of the liver became more active, its force of secretion diminished. The following case will serve, perhaps, to confirm this conjecture. It furnishes, in fact, an instance of jaundice, without other change in the liver than simple hypertrophy." (Clin. Med. iv. 305.) The case here referred to, is the one related in the preceding page.

The thin and pale bile in the one case, and the complete sus-

pension of secretion, as evidenced by the complete jaundice, in the other, lead to the conclusion, that the malady was not simple hypertrophy, in the sense usually given to that term. There is, indeed, great reason to doubt, whether simple hypertrophy, in the sense in which we understand it for muscles, ever occurs as disease of the liver or of other glands. The liver, like other organs, varies much in size, in different persons, quite independently of disease, from mere peculiarities of formation; and, during the period of growth, it may become larger or smaller, from some congenital malformation, or from some disease affecting the development of the lung, or of some other organ. A liver that has grown large from such causes, may properly be said to be *hypertrophied*, but such hypertrophy is not disease.

Scrofulous enlargement of the liver may often be detected, like the fatty degeneration, by the absence of pain or tenderness, and by knowledge of the circumstances in which it most commonly occurs. In a child, much wasted by scrofulous disease of the glands or of the bones, great enlargement of the liver, with ascites, that has come on without pain or tenderness, is perhaps evidence enough of this change. In a person of temperate habits in drink, whose health has been much broken by syphilis and mercury, the same circumstances might perhaps warrant the same conclusion. Where enlargement of the liver of this kind occurs in circumstances less significant, the real nature of the disease may be very difficult to detect.

The *treatment*, in these cases, should have chief reference to the state of the system—the peculiar cachexy—on which the faulty secretion and the large size of the liver depend.

When the enlargement of the liver is consequent on scrofula, our chief reliance must be on warm clothing; sea-air and bathing; a light nourishing diet, comprising a liberal allowance of animal food and wine; and the preparations of iodine and iron, separate or combined.

When the health has been broken by the combined effects of syphilis and mercury, warm clothing, a tonic regimen, iodide of potassium, nitric acid, sarsaparilla, and guaiacum, are the appropriate remedies.

In either case, the original malady is faulty assimilation, and, if we can remedy this, we shall probably, in most cases, if not in all, remedy the unnatural condition of the liver, and other secondary ailments.

My own experience leads me to think highly of frictions with iodine ointment, long continued, in such cases. I have several times seen an enlarged liver reduced to its natural volume by iodide of potassium and frictions with iodine, or, simply, by these frictions and saline purgatives. The matter deposited in the liver does not become organised, like the fibrine poured out in inflammation, and, if the general health mends, it may, in time, all pass off in the bile, or be removed by absorption.

Dr. Graves gives very strong testimony to this effect. He says :—

“ In persons below 30, the liver may become enlarged to a very considerable extent, and yet return again to its natural size under proper treatment. I could point out several persons in Dublin, in whom the liver had been so much enlarged, that I thought their cases hopeless, and yet they have recovered, and are at present in the enjoyment of good health. The process by which the organ returns to its natural state and dimensions is generally slow ; in two or three cases it occupied a space of time varying from one to two years. I attended a gentleman some time ago with Mr. Carmichael, and from the history of the case, as well as from the symptoms present, we were induced to look upon it as incurable, and yet the patient has completely recovered. The late Mr. Macnamara and I attended a lady who had a very remarkable enlargement of the liver, but in the course of a year the viscus diminished so much in size, as to be very little above the normal dimensions. Within the last year (1842), Dr. Stokes and I have treated successfully an old gentleman between 70 and 80 years of age, who had an enormously enlarged liver and ascites. We agreed to try a combination of blue pill and hydriodate of potash. This he took for nearly six months, and its use was attended by a visible, almost daily, decrease in the size of the liver, and his general health gradually improved. He took the pills for a couple of months before his mouth got a little sore ; but full salivation was not produced. He called on us a few weeks ago to thank us for our successful treatment, and took no small pleasure in directing

attention to his altered appearance and renovated health. This is a matter of no common interest; for cases of this description have been generally looked upon as beyond the reach of medical aid. You should, therefore, be very careful in your prognosis of such cases, and not give them up at once as incurable." (Clinical Medicine, p. 568.)

SECT. IV.—*Excessive and defective secretion of bile—Unhealthy states of the bile.*

FROM the diseases just considered, we pass, naturally, to a very important class of disorders: namely, those functional disorders, in which too much, or too little, bile is secreted, or the bile secreted is not healthy.

The secretion of bile may be disordered from organic disease of the liver, which renders it incapable of adequately performing its functions; but it may also be disordered without this, when the portal blood, from which the materials of the bile are drawn, is rendered unhealthy by medicines, by unwholesome food, by faulty digestion or assimilation, or by defective action of some other excreting organ. It may probably be disordered, too, from the direct influence of anxiety or strong mental emotion. In any case, the disordered secretion of bile is the effect of some other disease, or of some cause that disorders other organs as well.

But the bile has a long course before it passes out of the body, and serves an important office in digestion, and, on these accounts, if it be in undue quantity, or unhealthy, however the change in its quantity or quality may have been brought about, it may cause various secondary disorders. In the first place, it may inflame or irritate the gall-ducts, or the parts of the intestine with which it is brought into contact. There is reason to believe that most of the diseases of the gall-bladder and ducts, are produced by irritating bile; and there can be no doubt that various disorders of the bowels result from the bile being in improper quantity or unhealthy. But, besides these mere local effects, a faulty state of the bile may render digestion imperfect, and in this way, may impair nutrition; and the noxious products of imperfect digestion may be absorbed into the blood, and from this, again, many secondary evils may spring.

Unhealthy states of the bile are analogous to unhealthy states of the urine; and may result in the same way, either from fault of the secreting organ, or from an unhealthy state of the blood. Unhealthy states of the urine have excited more interest, because from our being able to collect and analyse the urine, we can distinguish them, and trace them to the disease of the kidney, or to the faulty digestion and assimilation, on which they depend. They are some of them, as albuminous urine and saccharine urine, almost pathognomic of certain fatal diseases which we might not otherwise detect. Unhealthy states of the bile have less importance in this sense, because we cannot distinguish them, and thus trace them to their source, but in another sense they are more important, from the bile serving an important office in digestion, and not being merely excrementitious, like the urine.

From our not being able to collect the bile during the life of the patient, and from the difficulty of analysing what may be found in the gall-bladder after death, we have little knowledge of unhealthy states of this fluid. We can often tell, by the symptoms, that too much bile, or too little bile, is secreted, and we know something of the effects of this redundant or defective secretion, but we have little knowledge of changes in the composition of bile, except what is derived from mere inspection.

We may, therefore, first consider, excessive secretion of bile; and defective secretion of bile.

Excessive secretion of bile.—The quantity of bile secreted, like that of the urine, no doubt, varies very much, without disorder of health, according to climate, season, and habits of life. In certain circumstances, pointed out in a former part of this work, an increased secretion of bile is necessary for the maintenance of health. It can only be considered morbid, when, from the great abundance of the bile, and perhaps from its being at the same time altered in quality, secondary disorders are produced. This frequently happens to persons on their first going to a hot climate. It is of very common occurrence among Europeans in India, and has been well described by Annesley, under the head, "Excessive Secretion of Bile."

In the slighter degrees of this bilious disorder, the patient has purging of bilious matter, which soon produces scalding of the rectum, with slight sickness, a bitter taste in the mouth, and a

foul tongue, but without much fever, or the pulse being much quickened. These symptoms rapidly subside, when the bile has been got rid of by an emetic and purgatives.

In a more severe form, together with purging of bilious matter, and vomiting, and foul tongue, there is a good deal of fever, with pain and tenderness in the region of the liver, and the complexion is bilious, or dusky. The illness resembles a slight form of bilious fever. It would seem, that the irritating bile has caused inflammation of the gall-ducts. There can be little doubt that the bile, while it is increased in quantity, is also altered in quality, and irritating.

In such cases, Annesley recommends bleeding from the arm, or cupping over the liver, calomel and saline purgatives, and copious draughts of hot water to dilute the irritating bile. Under this treatment, the patient soon regains his former health.

In this country the same form of illness is often seen, especially among men of middle age, who have long been in the habit of living freely. Such persons go on for some time, without apparent indigestion, or other inconvenience, but, at length, get what is called a *bilious* attack. This is marked by sickness and bilious diarrhoea, a certain degree of fever, with a feeling of general disorder, perhaps with headache, and by a foul tongue, and turbid urine. In some instances, there is, likewise, a sense of fulness, or uneasiness, in the region of the liver, and the complexion is bilious. These complaints are, in most cases, readily removed by brisk purging with calomel and salts, and the patient enjoys again, for some time, his former health. If he returns to his former habits, he, by-and-bye, gets a similar attack, which perhaps is removed as before. In this way, he may go on for years, his general good health being only interrupted by an occasional bilious attack of this kind, which, like a fit of gout, seems to clear the system for a time. As remarked by Dr. Prout, the acid and unassimilated matters seem to accumulate in the system, and to be thrown off periodically.

The readiness with which these attacks are removed, often makes people regard them lightly; but they are important, as evidence of disorders, which, aggravated by time and by continuance in the habits under which they have arisen, may end in some organic disease, or in the total failure of those assimilating processes on which nutrition depends. During the attacks,

signal relief is produced by a dose of calomel, or blue pill, followed by saline purgatives. If there should be pain, or tenderness, in the region of the liver, and the patient can well bear it, blood should be taken away by leeches, or by cupping. These measures are generally sufficient for the time, but they do not strike at the root of the evil. Exemption from future attacks, and from the manifold and greater evils to which these disorders may lead as age advances, can only be procured by a change of habits. One of our objects in directing this should be to increase the amount of oxygen inspired, and thus to consume in respiration, or burn off, materials that would otherwise be left for the liver to excrete. The means most efficacious for this purpose, are sea-voyages, riding, or other exercise in the open air, well-ventilated rooms, early rising, the cold or shower-bath, &c. Too much indulgence in sleep, which so much reduces the activity of both respiration and circulation, must be especially injurious, more particularly in rooms that are ill-ventilated, as most bed-rooms are.

Another object, of equal, or still greater importance, should be to limit in the food the supply of those materials—such as spirituous liquors, butter, cream, fat, sugar,—which contribute directly to form bile, or which increase the quantity of bile indirectly, by serving as fuel for respiration. Some of those aliments—as cream, and porter, for instance,—seem to be not only pernicious in this way, but, also, by directly embarrassing the secreting function of the liver.

From these considerations, it follows, that it must be especially injurious for persons who suffer from the disorders we are considering, to indulge in sleep immediately after a full meal. To lessen by sleep the activity of respiration at the very time when the materials consumed in this process are being poured in large quantity into the blood, must lead in a two-fold way to accumulation of bile in the system, and favour the occurrence of a bilious attack. In this way may be explained the ill effects of suppers in disorders of this class, and the well-known fact that a single indulgence of this kind may bring on a bilious attack, in a person predisposed to it.

The medicines that are most efficacious are such as tend to promote digestion, and to keep up the regular action of the bowels. A few grains of rhubarb, alone, or in conjunction with a grain of ipecacuanha, taken habitually at dinner; or, if the

patient be plethoric, small doses of saline purgatives, taken occasionally in the morning, are often of service.

Fluids taken in large quantity, in the form of mineral waters, or pure water, have, also, often much efficacy in these disorders.

But our most effective resources are those hygienic regulations before pointed out, which have relation to the great conditions of air, exercise, and temperature, on the one hand, and to the quantity and quality of the food, on the other. In the degree of confidence he places in these resources, and in the preponderance he gives them over mere drugging in the treatment of disorders of this class, the practitioner will give the best evidence of his real insight into their nature, and of practical skill founded upon it. It adds not a little to the value and importance of these means that they are so free from hazard, and that they act in a way in which no others can act, and therefore have no perfect substitute in any direct medication. By appropriate purgatives, we may temporarily drain the liver and intestines of redundant bile, but by the means here pointed out, we prevent its formation, and attack the evil in its source.

Diminished secretion of bile.—But disorder may likewise result from the bile being secreted in too small quantity.

The office of the liver is to purify the blood, by freeing it from the principles of bile, and by means of the bile, to assist in digestion. The secretion of bile may, therefore, be defective in two respects. Too little bile may be secreted to purify the blood, or, without this, too little may be secreted to perform the necessary part in digestion.

The simplest form of disorder arising from defective secretion of bile, is where, while the blood is sufficiently freed from the principles of bile and the complexion remains clear, too little bile is secreted for the purposes of digestion. In such cases, digestion is performed slowly, and nutrition suffers; the bowels are irregular, and generally confined; the contents of the large intestine often become too acid, or otherwise irritating, and produce headache, or depression of spirits, or occasional diarrhœa.

Disorder of this kind is sometimes produced by too great abstemiousness, to which weakly and nervous persons are often led by painful digestion, or uneasiness in the stomach after meals.

Many of the evils of this state may be lessened by supplying the place of the bile, as a purgative, by aloes or colocynth; but the disorder will not be removed until the patient becomes less abstemious. If the abstemiousness arise from painful digestion, it should be our first object to remedy this.

Another form of disorder, attended with a very scanty flow of bile into the intestine, if not with diminished secretion of bile, and of which I have met with several well-marked examples, is this:—A young person, delicate, and easily upset by any imprudence in diet, has three or four times a year an attack of diarrhœa, which lasts three or four days, or, it may be, a week, and which, during that time, no sedatives or astringents will stop. The discharges, while the diarrhœa lasts, are not at all tinged by bile. The diarrhœa is attended by smarting at the anus, and by great languor and debility, but not by sickness. As soon as the bile flows, the diarrhœa immediately stops of itself. In these cases, the diarrhœa and the general disorder cannot be ascribed merely to defective secretion of bile or to the bile's not flowing into the intestine. It is probable that the illness begins in disordered digestion, and that the irritating matters produced by this, stop the flow of bile into the intestine, by causing spasm or inflammation of the mouth of the duct, at the same time that they cause diarrhœa. The irritating matters seem unnaturally acid. Magnesia produces considerable relief.

Dr. Prout has ascribed a variety of similar disorders to excess of acid in some part of the intestinal canal, especially the cæcum.

He says, "Excessive acidity of the cæcum is generally accompanied by a deficient secretion of bile; and, sometimes, by a complete temporary suppression of the bilious discharge, apparently from spasmodic constriction of the common gall-duct; or, it may be, of the biliary ducts themselves. In this state of things, all individuals feel more or less of uneasiness; but the point we wish to mention is, that certain individuals under these circumstances experience what is called nervous headache. This species of headache is frequently accompanied by nausea; is confined to the forehead; and, when severe, produces complete intolerance of light and sounds, and a state of mind bordering on delirium. After a greater or less period the pain ceases; sometimes quite suddenly; and the remarkable circumstances to be mentioned here are, that this sudden termination is preceded by a

peculiar sensation (sometimes accompanied by an audible clicking noise) in the region of the gall-ducts; that immediately afterwards, a gurgling sensation is felt in the upper bowels, as if a fluid was passing through them; and that in a few seconds, when this fluid, which we suppose to be bile, has reached the cœcum, the headache at once vanishes like a dream. One of the greatest martyrs to this species of headache I have ever seen, invariably experiences the train of symptoms above described; and I have witnessed it in a greater or less degree in many instances; indeed I have experienced it in my own person." (Stomach and Urinary Disorders, 3rd ed., p. 75.)

During attacks of this kind, our object should be, to neutralise the excess of acid, and to carry off this and other offending matters, by a mild but effectual purgative. Dr. Prout recommends the compound decoction of aloes, with magnesia, as well adapted to fulfil these objects. He says, "Drastic purgatives, in general, should be avoided; for though they sometimes give immediate relief, they usually leave the patient more inveterately disposed to the disease." (Id. p. 88.) I have lately had striking proof of the truth of this remark. A healthy-looking man, near fifty, who has habitually difficult digestion, and costive bowels, with occasional heart-burn, has had for a great number of years frequent attacks of headache, like those described in the passage just cited from Dr. Prout. The headache generally comes on at night, and is confined to the forehead. It is extremely severe, and, while it lasts, the brow feels hot, the eyes water, and the urine is turbid. If let alone, it always lasts two or three days, but for many years, he was in the habit of getting rid of it by Morrison's Pills. In the evening, as soon as the headache came on, he took sixteen of Morrison's Pills. In the course of three hours, these purged him violently, and the headache was relieved at once. He continued to treat himself in this way for several years, but gave the plan up at last, from the headaches becoming more severe and more frequent. Under a restricted diet, and by taking daily at dinner a few grains of rhubarb, with a grain of ipecacuanha, and, now and then, a little magnesia or potash, to correct acidity,—the headaches have become again much less frequent. In all diseases of this class, resulting from faulty digestion or assimilation,—which manifest themselves now and then in a bilious attack, or a severe headache,

or a fit of gout,—our object must be, not merely to remedy the present disorder, but to change those habits of life, by which recurrence of the disorder is favoured.

Another class of disorders is where the secretion of bile is defective, not as regards digestion merely, but as regards the blood; where the blood is not sufficiently freed from the principles of the bile, and the complexion is jaundiced, or bilious.

This may even happen where a large quantity of bile is secreted. The bile may be in excess as regards the intestines, and cause the bilious diarrhœa before described, and yet may be secreted in too small quantity to purify the blood, and the complexion be bilious, or sallow. Disorder of this kind is, in general, of short duration. A dose of calomel and a few brisk purgatives, carry off the redundant bile, and, if no mischief have been done to the gall-ducts, all is soon well. The malady depends, not on defective power in the liver, but on heat of climate, or too rich living, or indolent habits, by which the principles of bile are formed in large quantity in the system.

But it often happens that, from some fault in the liver, too little bile is habitually secreted both to purify the blood and to forward digestion, even when the habits of life, and other circumstances, are most favourable to health. Where there has been adhesive inflammation of branches of the portal vein, or where adhesive inflammation in the areolar tissue about the vein has obliterated many of its small twigs, and the parts of the liver, which those branches or those twigs supplied, are atrophied; or where from the more interstitial deposit of fibrine, in cirrhosis, the original substance of the liver is divided into small masses of lobules, which, by the subsequent contraction of the effused fibrine, get more or less atrophied; or where, in consequence of the bilious disorders of hot climates, or the remittent fevers so common in them, or, it may be, of long continued indigestion in any climate, the liver has been permanently damaged in its secreting element,—the liver may be inadequate duly to perform its office, and the health be permanently impaired in consequence.

The various forms of adhesive inflammation which lead to atrophy of parts of the liver, are brought on, in almost all cases, by spirit-drinking. The more direct injury, to the se-

creting element of the liver, is more commonly the effect of long residence in a hot climate, and of the various bilious disorders incident to it. Habitual defective secretion of bile is, therefore, met with most commonly in persons who have been hard drinkers, or have lived long in hot climates. The condition of the liver in these two classes of persons differs in this, that in the spirit-drinkers there has been a deposit of fibrine about the vessels, which by its contraction causes impediment to the flow of the portal blood; while in persons whose liver has been damaged by long residence in a hot climate, without intemperance, no such impediment exists. But the condition of the liver is so far alike in the two classes, that the secreting element has been damaged in both, and what is left of it is not enough, or is not active enough, for the purposes of health.

In consequence of this inadequate secretion of bile, digestion is slow, and imperfectly performed, the bowels are habitually costive, there is a falling off in flesh and strength, and the skin is more or less sallow and dry. In this state, a person may go on for years, with very little effective liver left. The secretion of the liver, though necessary for proper nutrition, is not immediately necessary to life. We have seen that from closure of the common duct, the liver may be completely destroyed as a secreting organ, and life may yet persist for many months. Many of the persons who return from India with dry wrinkled skins and greenish complexions, who, if we consider the liver merely as a secreting organ, have truly, to use the common phrase, very little liver left, may yet, with proper care, enjoy moderate comfort for years. In the advanced stages of cirrhosis, too, a person may still live on, when but a comparatively small portion of the original secreting structure of the liver remains; and, here, there is an additional cause of wasting, in the impediment to the passage of the portal blood. But, in all such cases, where from some damage done to its secreting element, the liver is permanently inadequate to its office, though life may continue, digestion and nutrition are imperfect, the person grows gradually thinner, and at length dies much wasted.

In disorders of this class, which result from organic disease, the health cannot be perfectly re-established, but it may be very much mended, and life may be much prolonged. Nothing contributes to this so much as strict attention to diet. The person should

take a sufficiency of light nourishing food, but should abstain from all rich meats, and, as much as possible, from fermented drinks, which tend to induce a bilious state of the system, and thus render the liver still more inadequate to its office. The bowels should be regulated by some mild, but effectual, purgative. A pill of aloes, or of aloes and rhubarb, taken habitually at dinner, answers the purpose well. The patient should have the advantage, where possible, of a pure, moderately cool, air, which has great efficacy in bilious states of the system. When the weather permits, airing in an open carriage, or if it can be borne, riding on horseback, short of fatigue, will be productive of good. The simple hygienic measures—regulation of diet, and provision for free respiration—are the more important, because, as before remarked, there is no substitute for them. Benefit may also be obtained by various medicines, some of which seem to act by rendering the secretion of less bile necessary; others, by rendering the liver more active, and in this way increasing its secretion.

The medicine of the former kind in most repute, is the so-called nitro-muriatic acid, which has been long celebrated in India for its efficacy in chronic functional derangements of the liver. In India, it is used in foot-baths, and in lotions to the side, as well as given internally.*

Of medicines that render the secretion of the liver more active, and thus increase the flow of bile, or, as they have been termed, *cholagogues*, the most energetic is mercury. In the occasional bilious disorders of persons who have no organic disease of the liver, a dose of calomel, or blue pill, followed by a brisk saline purgative, produces more speedy relief than anything else, and is more likely, therefore, to prevent inflammation, or ulceration, of the gall-duets, which seems generally to result from the irritation of unhealthy

* Annesley directs f̄ʒiv. of nitric acid, and f̄ʒiv. of muriatic acid of the strength of the London Pharmacopœia, to be added to f̄ʒviii. of pure water, and the mixture to be labelled, "*the nitro-muriatic solution.*" From f̄ʒi. to f̄ʒii. of this solution to a pint of water is the strength used for lotions and foot-baths. For a foot-bath, the water should be nearly the temperature of the blood, and the feet should be kept immersed in it for twenty minutes, or half an hour, every night at bed-time. When used as a wash, it should be of an agreeable temperature, and should be applied assiduously to the trunk and insides of the thighs for a quarter of an hour daily.

bile. Occasionally, and under these circumstances, and especially in persons of full habit, mercury may be given with great advantage. But its frequent use, in any case, may lead to much mischief. When the liver has been accustomed to the stimulus of mercury, no other medicine will sufficiently excite its action. The person is thus led to the habitual use of this medicine, and, after a time, the constitution is undermined by it. In the class of cases we have just been considering, where, from organic disease, the liver is inadequate to its office, and nutrition has suffered much in consequence, mercury, although even here it may relieve for the moment, will almost invariably do harm. It increases the activity of the liver, at first, but seems to leave it weaker than before, and if frequently resorted to, the nutrition of the patient, impaired by the original disease, is still further impaired by the drug. In all such cases, we should be content with milder medicines, which increase the secretion of the liver without having any permanent deleterious effect on the system. The best medicine of this class is taraxacum; which may be given alone, or in conjunction with the nitro-muriatic acid.

In all organic diseases of the liver, where the secretion of bile is habitually deficient, and nutrition is impaired in consequence, the person should be warmly clad, and should avoid all causes of exhaustion. Fatigue, and lowering remedies, exhaust the strength, and draw, as it were, upon the *capital* of the patient, when this is very difficult to recruit. The disease destroys its victim, not by sudden illness, but by gradually wasting the strength. The more, therefore, this is economised, the longer will the patient live.

The bile altered in quality.— Few analyses have been made even of healthy human bile. The attempts of Berzelius, and others, to ascertain the composition of bile, have most of them been made on ox-bile, which can be more readily obtained fresh, and can be obtained in larger quantity than human bile. It cannot, therefore, excite surprise, that little is yet known by chemical analysis, of the changes produced by disease in human bile. The only morbid states of bile ascertained in this way consist in the presence of a free acid; in the presence of urea; in the presence of some medicines that pass off in the bile; and in altered qualities of some of the natural constituents of bile.

Very few instances are recorded in which the bile was found to be acid. One such instance has fallen under my own observation. In a woman, who died, in the autumn of 1843, in King's College Hospital, of cancerous ulceration of the rectum and granular kidney, the bile in the gall-bladder, which was of a pale amber colour, reddened litmus paper distinctly. Unfortunately, no analysis of it was made. In dark-coloured bile, alkalinity, or acidity, cannot be readily detected by means of test-papers, on account of the stain which the colouring matters of the bile give to the paper.

Urea has been found in the bile, only, I believe, in persons dead of cholera. It was first detected by Dr. O'Shaughnessey, in bile which he analyzed at the request of Dr. Roupell, and which was taken from a person who died of cholera, after having made very little urine for eight days. The bile did not differ in appearance from ordinary bile, but contained in one thousand parts, six of salts, and *three of urea*. (Roupell on Cholera, p. 84.)

Various medicines have been found in the bile, but our list of those which pass off in this way is, doubtless, very imperfect. It is probable that most of the medicines which increase the secretion of bile, pass off, in part, either bodily or more or less changed, through this channel.

The observations made by chemical analysis, on the altered qualities of the natural constituents of bile, are very few, and of little value. They are sufficient to show that some of the natural constituents of bile become changed in disease, which might have been anticipated from the readiness with which the principles of bile enter into new combinations; but they do not tell us in what those changes consist.

The difficulty of analyzing bile, and the circumstance that human bile can only be obtained in small quantity, and many hours after death, when the bile in the gall-bladder is probably already changed by decomposition, sufficiently account for the observations of this kind yet made being so few, and so little to be relied on.

The most valuable observations that have been made on altered qualities of the bile, and these are few and imperfect, relate to changes that can be at once recognised by the senses.

In some cases, the colouring matter is deficient, the bile found

even in the gall-bladder is pale and thin, and has not its usual bitterness, and the lining membrane of the gall-bladder and ducts is hardly stained by it. This condition of the bile is found most frequently in those diseases which change the structure of the whole liver. It is not uncommon in cirrhosis; and is now and then remarked where the liver is much enlarged from interstitial deposit of fat, or other morbid products of secretion.

But occasionally the bile has these characters when there is no apparent disease of the liver itself. I have met with it in dropsy from granular kidney, and in two cases of purulent phlebitis, with scattered abscesses in the lungs and other parts of the body. In neither of these two cases were there abscesses, or other marks of disease, in the liver.

In other cases, the bile is unusually dark-coloured, and thick. This may be from mere concentration of the bile in the gall-bladder. If the bile remain long in the bladder, much of its water is absorbed, and it becomes in consequence very dark coloured, and viscid. This is usually found to be the case in healthy persons who die from some accident after long fasting. In persons who die during the cold stage of malignant cholera, where the whole body is drained of its water, the bile in the gall-bladder is always of a dark olive, and viscid. In persons who die of phthisis, the bile in the gall-bladder, even when the liver is fatty, is often very dark-coloured, and viscid; most probably from remaining there long, and becoming concentrated, in consequence of the repugnance to food and emptiness of the stomach and intestines, that is common in the advanced stages of phthisis.

But the bile may be *secreted* unusually viscid, and unusually dark-coloured, and may present these characters in the hepatic ducts, when the passage of the ducts is free. This is, perhaps, most common in hot climates, where the essential principles of the bile are formed in large quantity in the system. Annesley states that very commonly in India, in persons who die of diseases of the liver, or of other organs, the gall-bladder is found distended with thick, acrid bile, and the hepatic ducts are completely gorged with bile of this character, without any apparent organic change sufficient to account for the circumstance, and without other im-

pediment to the escape of the bile than that which arises from its own viscidness. Where the secretion of bile is very abundant, a partial obstruction of short continuance, may cause great accumulation of it in the gall-bladder, and in the liver itself. Annesley believes, that, in India, this accumulation of bile occurs, not only in the course of other disorders, but as an ailment of itself—the disturbance in the system resulting solely from the retention of bile in the liver, and the subsequent irruption of the long retained bile into the intestinal canal. He says, “The earliest symptoms of which the patient generally complains, when he attends to his sensations and state of health, are, clamminess and foulness of the mouth, fauces, and tongue, with a bitter taste, particularly in the morning: a sense of distension and weight at the epigastric region and at the precordia, frequently with a sense of coldness and sinking in the same situations; slight anxiety; acid and acrid eructations about three or four hours after a full meal, with painful fulness at the epigastrium, and difficult digestion. The patient often complains of headache, pain in the back or loins, uneasiness under the shoulder-blades, fulness and pain in the region of the liver, particularly when pressure is made at the time of his taking a full inspiration; and of aching in his knees, shoulders and limbs; his countenance being pale, sallow, or muddy, and the conjunctivæ more or less tinged of a yellowish hue. The state of the pulse is different in different cases. It is often slow and full, and sometimes it is irregular in frequency and strength; occasionally it intermits, and not unfrequently becomes quick, but oppressed upon the least motion or exertion. The urine is generally high-coloured, and deposits a brownish sediment. The stools are often costive, sometimes light or clay-coloured, and frequently tenacious. When the accumulated bile is discharged into the alimentary canal, much constitutional disturbance generally arises, according to the qualities which this fluid may have acquired from its retention. The pulse now becomes quick, and often irregular; vomiting and purging, with griping, pain and anxiety, often supervene, sometimes with spasms. Thirst becomes urgent, and the tongue, which was before foul, is now excited, often dry, and its papillæ large, distinct, and erect.” (Vol. i. p. 329.)

“It sometimes occurs that the inordinate flow of morbid bile into the duodenum, particularly when it has been long retained,

and during close, warm, and moist states of the air, occasions faintness, the most alarming state of sinking, and prostration of the vital energies." (Id. p. 331.)

In this country, a bilious disorder attended with symptoms very like those described by Annesley, now and then occurs, especially in women about the middle of life, and is probably occasioned, as Annesley supposes, by temporary retention of viscid, or unhealthy, bile.

But the retention of thick and unhealthy bile may lead to other mischief. When healthy bile is much concentrated, it throws down irregular, solid, particles of green or yellow biliary matter, which may be distinctly seen under the microscope, and which, if the concentration be carried far enough, render the bile gritty, or even form a complete *magma*. If the bile be unusually dark-coloured and thick, and otherwise unhealthy, when first secreted, and especially if it remain long in the gall-bladder, solid biliary matter may be deposited in the bladder, and may form the nucleus of a gall-stone. Almost all gall-stones found in the human gall-bladder, have a dark nucleus of concrete biliary matter, which is surrounded by cholesterine, mixed with variable proportions of the colouring matters of bile. The biliary matter falls down in solid form more readily in the gall-bladder, because the bile, during its stay in the bladder, becomes concentrated. The bile in the hepatic ducts is usually much more watery, and lighter in colour, than that found in the gall-bladder. It very seldom happens that solid biliary matter is deposited in the hepatic ducts, in man. Gall-stones are found almost solely in the gall-bladder, and in the cystic and common ducts.*

Another morbid state of bile, of great importance from its contributing largely to the formation of gall-stones, is where the bile contains sparkling scales of cholesterine. I have never found this in the hepatic ducts. Cholesterine seems in most cases to be formed in the gall-bladder, or at least to be there deposited in crystals. The presence of visible scales of cholesterine in the bile is generally associated with disease of the gall-bladder. When the coats of the gall-bladder have undergone the fatty degeneration, before spoken

* In stall-fed oxen, whose bile, from the nature of their food, is perhaps richer in colouring matter, gall-stones composed entirely of the colouring matters and the resinous principles of bile, are frequently found in the hepatic ducts.

of, the cystic bile always abounds in crystals of this substance. But crystals of cholesterine are now and then formed, when the coats of the gall-bladder seem healthy.*

These considerations lead us to gall-stones, which, from their palpable form, their frequency, and the distressing symptoms they often occasion, have excited more attention than any other result of unhealthy bile.

* Cholesterine may doubtless be secreted by any part of the mucous lining of the biliary passages. The "knotty tumors" described in the next chapter, prove an abundant secretion of it from the hepatic ducts under certain circumstances.

SECT. V.—*Gall-stones.*

GALL-STONES, as already remarked, are usually formed in the gall-bladder, where the bile becomes concentrated from absorption of part of its water, and often otherwise altered by unhealthy secretions from the coats of the bladder, and where it is longer stagnant than in the ducts. But it now and then happens, that gall-stones form in the substance of the liver, in branches of the hepatic duct. These *hepatic* gall-stones are always very small, of irregular, tuberculated, form, and of a dark olive, almost black colour; and are composed of solid biliary matter, more or less altered, and mucus. They probably originate, in most cases, in inflammation of the hepatic ducts. In consequence of this, a duct becomes closed at some point. The bile then accumulates in the portion beyond, and after being some time stagnant, is inspissated by the absorption of part of its water, and throws down solid grains of biliary matter. These grains of biliary matter, and the inspissated bile that remains, are cemented by mucus secreted by the coats of the duct, so as to form a small calculus.

The way in which gall-stones in the substance of the liver are formed, explains the circumstance, remarked by Cruveilhier and others, that they are often *encysted*. The cyst, like some other varieties of cyst occasionally found in the liver, is formed of the coats of the gall-duct. The duct is distended into a pouch by the foreign matter, and being closed on each side of this by inflammation, forms, if the foreign body be not absorbed, a permanent cyst.

Gall-stones of the same kind, composed chiefly of grains of solid biliary matter, with inspissated, and probably otherwise altered bile, cemented by mucus, are now and then found in the gall-bladder. They are usually small, and are at once distinguished from ordinary gall-stones, by their irregular, tuberculated form, and their almost black colour; circumstances which have led to their being compared, and not unaptly, to black pepper. They

are heavier than ordinary gall-stones, and do not burn so readily, and, when burnt, sometimes leave a considerable quantity of carbonate and phosphate of lime,* derived probably from the mucus by which the grains of biliary matter are cemented.

Little is known of the circumstances which lead to the formation of this kind of gall-stone. Dr. Prout has hinted that they are associated with a tendency to the formation of oxalic acid, and to that of malignant disease, more especially of the liver.

I have met with gall-stones of this kind in two cases of which I have kept notes. The first was that of a sailor, 54 years of age, who died, in the *Dreadnought*, of fever, in July, 1837, and who for seven months previously, had been employed on the Thames. The liver appeared healthy, and no marks of disease were noticed in the gall-bladder. There were some small serous cysts in the cortical substance of each kidney; and at the back part of the upper lobe of the left lung, the surface for the breadth of half-a-crown was puckered, and the pulmonary tissue beneath indurated—the consequence of a cavity which had formed there at some former period, and which was not quite closed. There were no tubercles, or other marks of former disease, and the only recent changes of structure were ulcers in the lower part of the ileum, the result of the fever. The gall-bladder contained a great number of very dark mulberry-looking calculi, all of them about the size of small peas. When dried, they were very friable, and were found to be composed of solid black grains, cemented by a greenish matter, that consisted of mucus and inspissated bile.

The second case was that of a man, aged 62, who died in the summer of 1838, also of fever. The gall-bladder contained three irregular black calculi, apparently composed of biliary matter and mucus, the smallest of the size of a cherry-stone. There was a calculus of the same kind in one of the hepatic ducts. The mucous membrane of the gall-bladder was somewhat thickened, but was not ulcerated. Besides the calculi, there was in the bladder a small quantity of yellow gritty bile.

In the Museum of King's College, is a dry preparation, left to the College by the late Dr. Hooper, showing a great number of gall-stones of this kind in the bladder in which they were found. (See Plate 1, fig. 1, in which some of these gall-stones are represented.) The coats of the bladder seem to have been healthy.

* Prout. *Stomach and Urinary Diseases*. 3rd Edition. Introduction, p. 65.

One of the conditions requisite for the formation of this kind of gall-stone seems to be a healthy state of the gall-bladder. When the coats of the gall-bladder are diseased, cholesterine is usually formed, or at least takes the solid form, in large quantity in the gall-bladder, and if there be a small mass of inspissated bile, to serve as a nucleus, this cholesterine collects round it, and produces the more common kind of gall-stone.

Gall-stones composed almost entirely of inspissated bile, are seldom found in the human gall-bladder, and when found there are usually very small, on account, it would seem, of the great tendency to the formation of cholesterine; but in the gall-bladder of the ox, cholesterine seems less apt to be formed, and gall-stones composed almost entirely of the colouring matters of bile are not unfrequently met with. The gall-stones found in the gall-bladder of the ox, have been long esteemed as a pigment. (Prout.)

Gall-stones from the human gall-bladder are almost always composed in great part of cholesterine, mixed with a certain quantity of the colouring matters of bile. They have all a nucleus, which is generally of a dark olive or black colour, and apparently composed, in most cases, of inspissated and altered bile, cemented by mucus.

The shape, and size, and appearance of gall-stones varies very much, according to the circumstances under which they are formed.

When there is only one gall-stone in the bladder, it may grow to the size of a hen's egg, but is seldom found so large. While it remains small, and can move freely in the bladder, it is generally spherical, but when it becomes so large that it is girthed by the bladder, or can no longer roll freely in it, it grows most at the ends which are not subject to pressure, and so becomes somewhat egg-shaped.

Large solitary gall-stones, with the exception of their nuclei, are composed almost entirely of cholesterine, and are, consequently, white and crystalline. They have a soapy feel, and when placed in the flame of a candle, readily melt, and burn with a bright flame. Sometimes the cholesterine is deposited quite pure, and the gall-stone is then quite white, like a ball of camphor, or of white marble. The surface is generally a little rough and dull, but it readily takes a fine polish. When these round or oval stones are sawn through the centre, they are seen to be crystallised in

rays, which converge towards the nucleus. (See Plate 1, fig. 2, which represents the section of a gall-stone of this kind.)

It sometimes happens that two round or oval gall-stones are found in the bladder, when, by some constriction at its middle, the bladder is divided into two distinct pouches.

When the cystic duct has been closed, and the coats of the gall-bladder are healthy, the stone is sometimes closely embraced by the gall-bladder, and marked by its rugæ, so that it has its surface tubercular, like the mulberry.

But it is much more common to find many gall-stones in the bladder than a single one; and occasionally they are found in almost incredible numbers. As many as three thousand have been counted in a single bladder.

When there are many gall-stones in the bladder, they differ in form and appearance from solitary gall-stones. Instead of being round or oval, they have, usually, plane, polished, faces—the effect of the mutual attrition of the stones, which polish each other the more from the presence of the minute crystals of cholesterine contained in the bile.

When the stones are few in number, and can shift their relative positions in the bladder, they may attain a considerable size, and sometimes become very irregular in form, often, as remarked by Haller, very much resembling the bones of the wrist.

In other instances, their forms are strikingly regular. In the spring of 1837, I found in the gall-bladder of a man, who died at the age of 60, of scurvy, eight gall-stones, little larger than peas, all of them very regular tetrahedrons. It is difficult to imagine how forms so regular are produced.

Gall-stones which have smooth flat faces generally contain more of the colouring matters of bile than large solitary gall-stones, and are usually of a variegated greenish and brownish colour. When sawn through the centre, they are found to be laminated and to have a nucleus, which seems generally composed of dark biliary matter. The successive laminæ are sometimes very fine, and even then, when the face is polished, are generally distinctly visible from being of different shades of brown and green. When a section is made through the centre and its surface polished, together with the concentric laminæ, we may still see rays converging towards the centre as in the white oval calculi of cholesterine, (see Plate 1, fig. 3.) In both varieties of calculi, the cholesterine is deposited

in the same way, but in the pure cholesterine calculi, the appearance of concentric laminæ is not produced, because the successive layers are not tinged of different colours by the bile.

Gall-stones which appear distinctly laminated, have sometimes a crust of pure cholesterine, which is probably formed after the entrance of bile into the bladder has been prevented by one of them becoming impacted in the cystic duct. (See Plate 2, fig. 2.)

Now and then, but rarely, we find this order reversed. A gall-stone almost of pure cholesterine, and therefore uniformly white, has a crust, of which the successive layers are differently coloured by bile, and which, therefore, appears laminated.

The different gall-stones found in the same bladder, have almost always the same characters. They are laminated alike, their nuclei have the same appearance, and if one of them have a crust of pure cholesterine, they all have it. From this it is probable, that they are generally formed at the same time, and not in succession.

A circumstance that seems almost necessary to the formation of gall-stones, is the presence of a small mass of solid biliary matter, or inspissated bile cemented by mucus, or some other substance, about which the cholesterine may collect. Almost all gall-stones have a nucleus, not of cholesterine, which must, of course, have existed before them. An excess of cholesterine is not, of itself, sufficient for the formation of gall-stones. In a case which I have related in a former part of this work, the mouth of the cystic duct seemed to have been long blocked up by a gall-stone. The gall bladder, whose coats had undergone the fatty degeneration, contained a viscid mucus sparkling with scales of cholesterine, but no other gall-stone. Another specimen precisely of the same kind, was sent to King's College Museum, during the present summer, by Mr. Lingen, of Hereford. (King's College Museum, Prep. 268.) Gall-stones are formed in numbers in the gall-bladder, only when the bile can flow into it through the cystic duct. But the presence of bile, even of dark-coloured bile, and a plentiful formation of cholesterine, are not alone sufficient. On more than one occasion I have found in the gall-bladder very dark-coloured viscid bile, sparkling with scales of cholesterine, when there were no gall-stones. It seems necessary for the formation of a gall-stone, that there should be a nucleus of

some other substance, about which the cholesterine may crystallise. It would appear from some of the published descriptions of gall-stones, that a particle of cholesterine may of itself serve as a nucleus of a solitary gall-stone, but this happens very seldom. In almost all cases, the nucleus is some substance different from cholesterine, and from its dark colour is probably, in most cases, composed chiefly of altered biliary matter and mucus. The nucleus presents different appearances in different gall-stones. In some it is round and compact, even when the gall-stone has been long kept, and is perfectly dry: in others, it is of irregular outline, and, in the drying, contracts so as to leave a hollow in the centre of the stone. (Plate 1, fig. 4.) In some, the nucleus is a mere point; in others, of the size of a small pea. But, as before remarked, when there are many gall-stones in the same bladder, their nuclei have, usually, all the same characters. If one nucleus is small, all are small; if one is compact, all are compact; if one stone have a hollow in its centre, all have it.

The different appearances of the nuclei of gall-stones in different cases would lead us to expect corresponding differences in their chemical composition; and probably a careful analysis of the nuclei of gall-stones would throw much light on the proximate cause of their formation. Little more is known at present, than that the nuclei of most seem to be composed chiefly of altered biliary matter and mucus. In a few instances, however, some other substance has been found in the nucleus.

Bouisson states that he has a small solitary gall-stone, whose nucleus seemed to be formed of blood; (Bouisson, p. 243;) and one, the size of an almond, which he found in the hepatic duct of an ox, in which the nucleus is a fragment of a fluke. He cites an instance, represented by Lobstein in his plates of morbid anatomy, where a large gall-stone had formed about a dried lumbric worm. The gall-stone was found in the common duct of a woman, 68 years of age, who died of colliquative diarrhœa, in an hospital at Strasburg. There were one hundred and eighty-five worms of this kind in the stomach, and thirty in the branches of the gall-ducts, which were very much dilated. He cites another instance, where a gall-stone had formed about a pin in the gall-bladder; and another, where the nucleus of a gall-stone is said to have contained globules of mercury. This last gall-stone, which was of the size of a prune, and composed

chiefly of cholesterine, was taken from a person to whom mercury had been given for syphilis. The nucleus of the stone, when melted by heat, *is said* to have presented many globules of mercury.

Gall-stones are very light considering their size. When fresh from the gall-bladder, they usually sink, if placed in water. When they have been kept long, and are quite dry, most of them float, until they have imbibed a certain quantity of the water, when they sink slowly. Their specific gravity depends chiefly on the relative proportion of cholesterine and colouring matter. Cholesterine is lighter than water; the colouring matters of bile are heavier. The lightest gall-stones are therefore usually those which contain the largest proportion of cholesterine. The weight of gall-stones, especially when dry, will, of course, vary also with the character of their nuclei.

Mr. Taylor has lately described a calculus, which he found among the calculi in the Museum of the College of Surgeons, and which he supposes to be biliary, composed chiefly of *stearate of lime*. It was oval, slightly flattened, an inch and a half in length, rather more than an inch in thickness, and about an inch and a quarter in breadth. Its surface was of a dirty white, and it had the greasy feel of cholesterine calculi. It floated in water, and when applied to the tongue left an impression of bitterness. It yielded readily to the knife, and the cut surface had a polished appearance. It was composed of white and reddish-yellow layers, arranged concentrically, and alternating with each other. The layers were easily separable. At its centre there was a small hollow. When heated before the blow-pipe, it readily fused, and then caught fire, burning with a clear flame, and giving out the smell of animal matter, but nothing of a urinous character. "From cholesterine calculi it is readily distinguished by the absence of any chrystalline structure when broken, which, unless the quantity of colouring matter be very large, is always more or less apparent in that variety; also by its insolubility in alcohol and æther, and by readily dissolving in these menstrua, and in a cold solution of caustic potass, after it has been acted upon by an acid." (London and Edinburgh Phil. Magazine, 1840.)

There is no account of the source from which this calculus was derived; and it is doubtful therefore whether it was taken from man or from one of the lower animals.

Now and then, *chalky concretions*, composed chiefly of carbonate of lime, or of phosphate of lime, are found in the gall-bladder or in the ducts, or, apparently isolated from the ducts, in the substance of the liver. Andral relates the case of a man who died at the age of 50, in which three small calculi of phosphate of lime were found in the gall-bladder, which contained nothing else but a little ropy mucus. The cystic duct was obliterated. The liver was united to all the adjacent parts by old false-membranes, and its substance was remarkably tough and granular. The disease seems to have commenced ten years before death, when the patient had jaundice, which was soon followed by ascites. (Clin. Med. iv. p. 511.)

M. Bouisson states, that he once found a calculus, of the size of a pea, composed of carbonate of lime, projecting from the surface of the liver. (Bouisson, p. 197.)

These chalky concretions are not formed from the bile, but originate in disease of the mucous membrane of the gall-bladder or ducts. In sheep that have been infested with flukes, some of the gall-ducts not unfrequently become almost converted in this way into bony cylinders; and, now and then, in examining a liver of one of these animals, we find a small chalky concretion, apparently isolated from the ducts. These chalky bodies are surrounded by a cyst, which is formed, like so many other varieties of hepatic cyst, from a small portion of a gall-duct, which becomes dilated by the foreign matter, and isolated, by inflammation, from the rest of the duct.

Ordinary gall-stones are composed, as we have seen, of cholesterine which, with variable proportions of colouring matter, is deposited about a nucleus, which generally consists of biliary matter more or less altered. The cholesterine crystallizes so as to form rays converging from all points of the circumference of the stone to its centre; but, when it is mixed with, or stained by, the colouring matters of bile, which, as is usual, are in different proportions in layers successively deposited, the stone, while it still

exhibits the converging rays, appears made up of distinct concentric laminae.

Two circumstances seem, then, generally to concur in the formation of these cholesterine calculi: the presence of a small mass of concrete biliary matter, or of some other substance, to serve as a nucleus, and the presence of cholesterine in crystals, to make up the body of the stone. The first step is the formation of the nucleus, which probably results in most cases, especially when many gall-stones are formed together, from the peculiar principles of the bile being in an unnatural state, and more than usually insoluble. The second step is the formation of crystals of cholesterine, which, like the former, results from faulty assimilation, and which is frequently associated with fatty degeneration of the coats of the gall-bladder, if not sometimes immediately dependent upon it.

In every case, the presence of a gall-stone is evidence of an unnatural state of the bile.

The question then arises,—what conditions of life, or what other influences, tend to bring about those unhealthy states of the bile on which the formation of gall-stones depends?

The first circumstance to be noticed, is, that gall-stones can seldom be traced to structural disease in the substance of the liver itself. Some diseases of the liver seem, indeed, to be almost incompatible with gall-stones. Dr. Prout has made a remark, which my own experience tends to confirm, that gall-stones of cholesterine are seldom found in conjunction with the granular disease of the liver produced by spirit-drinking.* They are also, I believe, very seldom met with in the diseases of the liver that occur in hot climates. Among the numbers of bodies that I examined in the Dreadnought, of men who returned from India with abscess or other disease of the liver, very few, indeed hardly any, had gall-stones. It is, however, not fair to judge from these men, who were sailors, and had probably great immunity from gall-stones, on account merely of their sea-faring life.

The disease of the liver in which gall-stones are most frequent, is cancer. Gall-stones are also frequently found in conjunction with cancer of other parts. They seem connected with the cancerous diathesis rather than with cancer of the liver itself, which probably

* I have met with one exception to this during the past year.

gives no additional tendency to them, except when it involves the gall-bladder, or causes the bile to stagnate in it, by narrowing the cystic or the common duct.

The tendency to the formation of gall-stones is much influenced by age. Gall-stones of cholesterine are seldom found in persons under the age of 30. Bouisson, calculating from the numerous observations collected by Walter, (*Museum Anatomicum*, tom iii. in 4to. Berolini, 1805,) found that among 91 persons who had gall-stones, 1 was 20 years of age, 27 were between 30 and 40, 14 between 40 and 50, 19 between 50 and 60, 8 between 60 and 70, 13 between 70 and 80, while 1 was 80, and another 90. The ages of the remaining 7 are not mentioned.

The youngest person in whom I have, in my own dissections, found a gall-stone of cholesterine, was a woman, *æt.* 24, who died of phthisis, in King's College Hospital, in the present summer, (1844). In this case, there was only one gall-stone, which was round, of the size of a small marble, and composed almost entirely of cholesterine. The liver was extremely fatty.

Gall-stones are, in this country, much more frequent in women than in men. My own observation agrees with that of Dr. Prout, who says, that we sometimes see four or five cases of gall-stones in women for one in men. Hoffman, Haller, and Söemmering, found gall-stones more common in women than in men; but the rule does not seem to be universal. Bouisson states that of the 91 instances of gall-stones collected by Walter, before referred to, 44 occurred in women, 47 in men. Morgagni states that among the numerous cases of gall-stones he had observed himself, or had collected from others, the number of men was nearly equal to that of women.

The greater liability of women to gall-stones, depends, probably, not so much on the peculiar constitution of the sex, as on their habits of life, which are different in different countries.

Among the conditions of life that dispose to gall-stones, sedentary occupations and confinement seem to have the greatest influence. Gall-stones have been observed to be especially frequent among literary men, and prisoners, and people long bed-ridden; while, on the contrary, they are, like urinary calculi, very rare among sailors, who lead an active and roaming life, and are constantly exposed to a current of fresh air, and inspire a large quantity of oxygen. The sedentary habits of women in this country

perhaps sufficiently account for their being so much more liable to gall-stones than men.

Particular modes of living, which directly alter the qualities of the bile, have, without doubt, great influence in producing gall-stones, but our knowledge on this point is very vague. Gall-stones are most frequent in persons of full habit, who live richly and lead indolent lives; but they are not unfrequently found in persons advanced in life, especially women, who are lean and have always been extremely temperate.

There can be no doubt also, that a liability to gall-stones often depends on peculiarity of constitution, which, like the tendency to gout or gravel, may be inherited, as well as acquired. At present little is known of the characters, or of the other effects, of this *diathesis*. It probably leads to fatty degeneration of the coats of the gall-bladder, which is so frequently associated with gall-stones; and, perhaps, also to the fatty degeneration of the arteries, so common in advanced life. Dr. Prout has remarked that a tendency to the formation of gall-stones of cholesterine is frequently associated with a tendency to lithic acid deposits in the urine. It is probable that in London, the habit of drinking porter, which frequently leads to lithic acid deposits, and to the most inveterate forms of gout, in persons who inherit no disposition to them, may also frequently lead to the formation of gall-stones.

When, from any cause, the bile is prone to form deposits, various circumstances that favour its stagnation in the gall-bladder,—such as the habit of sleeping long, long fasting, some obstruction in the cystic or the common duct,—that otherwise would be without effect, may lead to the formation of gall-stones. Inflammation, or ulceration, of the gall-bladder, by altering the quality of the mucus, or by leading to the effusion of a small clot of blood, or a flake of lymph, may also promote the result.

When gall-stones have formed in the gall-bladder, they may produce various effects upon the bladder and ducts. One of the most common of these is closure of the cystic duct. A gall-stone too large to pass through the duct, floats with the current of bile to its mouth, and becomes firmly lodged there. This prevents the flow of bile into the gall-bladder, and generally leads to lasting closure, by adhesion, of the duct beyond the stone. We have al-

ready considered the effect which this closure of the cystic duct has on the gall-bladder. The bile in the gall-bladder is absorbed, and its place is occupied by the secretions of the bladder, which consist of a mucous, glairy fluid, in which are suspended glistening scales of cholesterine. Perhaps the closure of the duct may lead to the formation of another gall-stone, around an unusually large scale of cholesterine, or a flake of lymph that may be retained in the bladder, or some inspissated bile that may be left when the more watery parts of the bile are absorbed. But it never happens that many gall-stones are formed in the bladder after the cystic duct is closed. For this, it is requisite that the bile should flow into the bladder, and that some of its principles should be deposited in solid masses, to serve as nuclei about which the cholesterine may collect.

Closure of the cystic duct of course destroys the office of the gall-bladder, and probably by so doing deranges digestion; but the evils resulting from this are perhaps, here, more than compensated by its preventing for the future the passage of gall-stones along the ducts, which is the cause of most of the suffering, and of many of the other evils that result from gall-stones.

If the gall-stone pass through the cystic duct, it generally passes also through the common duct, which is larger and straighter than the cystic duct. If it pass slowly, and be large enough completely to block up the duct and prevent the flow of bile into the intestine, it soon causes jaundice and dilatation of the gall-ducts behind, and of the gall-bladder. The distension of the gall-bladder may be so rapid, and so great, that, on some trifling effort, as that of coughing, or of vomiting, it may burst, especially if its coats were previously diseased,—and its contents be poured into the cavity of the peritoneum. Several instances of this kind have been recorded. The gall-stone may also become *fastened* in the common duct, and may lead to permanent closure of the duct below it, by adhesion, and, consequently, to permanent jaundice and all the other evils which obliteration of the common duct occasions. Sometimes, a large gall-stone gets permanently lodged in the lower end of the common duct, without completely closing it. That part of the duct which embraces the stone, participates in the dilatation of the ducts behind, and bile still passes round the stone into the intestine. This, however, can scarcely happen without much impeding the flow of this fluid,

and leading to occasional jaundice, and, in the end, to great dilatation of the hepatic gall-ducts, and greater or less destruction of the secreting element of the liver. But, as before remarked, a gall-stone seldom rests long in the common duct. After a time, which seldom extends beyond a few days, it passes into the intestine. One is occasionally surprised, considering the natural size of the common duct, at the large size of a gall-stone, which has passed through the ducts, without ulceration, into the intestine. A stone, as large as an almond, or larger, may escape in this way. The circumstance shows to what an extent the ducts may be dilated by a constant, and gradually increasing, fluid pressure. When the ducts have been much dilated, they return to their natural size very slowly. The common duct has been found as large as the finger, or even larger, a considerable time after the passage of the stone by which its dilatation was caused.

But gall stones, while lodged in the gall-bladder, may, by mechanical irritation, excite inflammation of its coats, and perhaps hasten the progress of fatty degeneration and ossification of them. The frequent association of gall-stones with fatty degeneration of the coats of the gall-bladder has been already noticed. It is probable, that this change in the gall-bladder is generally the effect of that derangement of the animal chemistry which leads to the formation of gall-stones, and that it is often one of the immediate causes of the gall-stones, by rendering the secretions of the gall-bladder unhealthy, and causing them to be loaded with scales of cholesterine; but it is probable, also, that gall-stones, once formed, may, by mechanical irritation, bring about degeneration of the coats of the gall-bladder, or may, in their turn, hasten that degeneration of the gall-bladder to which in part they owe their origin. I have more than once found fatty degeneration and ossification of a gall-bladder which contained gall-stones, far more advanced than elsewhere at its under and free surface, near the broad end, where gall-stones must be most apt to rest.

A far more serious effect of gall-stones than simple inflammation, or fatty degeneration, of the coats of the gall-bladder, is ulceration of the gall-bladder or ducts. The relation of gall-stones to ulceration of the bladder and ducts has already been considered. Gall-stones are frequently associated with ulceration of the bladder, but we must not infer, in all such cases, that the

ulcers were caused by the gall-stones. Ulcers of the gall-bladder and ducts may be produced by unhealthy bile, and are sometimes found where there are no gall-stones. It is fair, therefore, to infer, that in some cases where gall-stones and ulcers are found together, and where, from the very existence of the gall stones, we know that the bile has been unhealthy, the ulcers, like the gall-stones, are the immediate effect of unhealthy bile. Small, scattered, round ulcers found in connexion with a few small gall-stones, which do not rest on the ulcers and can readily change their place, are probably always produced in this way. But there can be no doubt that a large gall-stone, lodged in the bladder, or in some part of the cystic or common duct, may cause ulceration and sloughing, or may fret a small ulcer produced by unhealthy bile into a large and deep one. The effects of this vary, according to the situation of the ulcer and other circumstances. An ulcer in the gall-bladder, or in the cystic or the common duct, may eat through the different coats till the peritoneal coat is laid bare. The contact of the bile then causes this to slough, and the contents of the bladder or ducts escape at once into the cavity of the peritoneum, causing inflammation of the whole surface of that membrane, rapid collapse, and death. If, however, the cystic duct has been previously closed, and the bile that was in the bladder absorbed, the contents of the bladder may escape into the peritoneum by *oozing*, and suppurative inflammation may be set up, which is limited to the neighbourhood of the gall-bladder by adhesions, thus forming a circumscribed abscess in the cavity of the peritoneum. But either of these events is very rare. In the great majority of cases in which an ulcer in the gall-bladder or ducts is formed, or fretted, by a gall-stone, adhesive inflammation of the peritoneum covering the ulcer is set up before all the coats are eaten through, and lymph is poured out, which glues that part of the gall-bladder or duct in which the ulcer is seated, to the part with which it happens to be in contact. When the ulcer is in the common duct, this is generally the duodenum; when in the gall-bladder, the duodenum or the colon. After these adhesions have formed, the process of ulceration may still go on till the coats of the bowel are eaten through as well, and the gall-stone escapes into the intestinal canal. It has been already remarked that, in such cases, the process of ulceration is slow, and that the adhesive inflammation of the peritoneum which it

sets up is of small extent, so that there are seldom severe or alarming symptoms, and, now and then, the first clear intimation that anything serious has been going on, is the discharge of a large gall-stone from the bowel. A large gall-stone escaping into the bowel in this way, may cause much less suffering than by passing along the ducts. When an unnatural communication is thus made between the gall-bladder, or duct, and the intestine, the continued passage of the bile prevents it from being closed, and a permanent biliary fistula is formed. Now and then, the gall-stone passes by ulceration from the gall-bladder into the stomach; or the gall-bladder becomes adherent to the abdominal parietes, and the gall-stone escapes, by ulceration, through them.* In either case, unless the cystic duct be closed so as to prevent the bile from flowing into the bladder, a permanent fistula will be formed.

It would also seem, from cases before referred to, that gall-stones, by causing, or by keeping up, ulceration of the gall-bladder or ducts, may lead to abscesses in the substance of the liver; probably by setting up suppurative inflammation of a small vein in the neighbourhood of the ulcer, or through absorption of the ichorous matter of the ulcer. Such a result is, however, very rare.

Gall-stones may exist in the *bladder* a long time, without giving rise to any symptoms that are noticed. They are frequently found, and sometimes in great numbers, in persons who during life had no ailments referrible to the liver that could lead one even to suspect them. While stationary in the bladder they give rise to no symptoms, unless they are so large, or so numerous, as to distend it, or unless there be at the same time ulceration or inflammation of its coats. In such cases, they cause a sense of weight or uneasiness in the region of the gall-bladder, or pain in that part, which is felt chiefly after meals, and which sometimes extends through to the right shoulder-blade, or even to the right arm. The pain or uneasiness is increased by a deep breath, or by certain movements of the body.†

* Andral *Precis. d'Anat. Path.* i. pp. 187 and 241.

† In describing the symptoms produced by gall-stones, I have freely availed myself of the admirable account that has been given of them by Dr. Prout, in the third edition of his work, on stomach and urinary diseases.

The fact that gall-stones often exist without causing pain, is explained by the circumstance that the gall-bladder does not contract on the stones, and is perhaps seldom completely emptied, and that gall-stones are so light that they are suspended in bile, and in consequence exert no pressure on the coats of the bladder by reason of their *weight*. It may also be owing in part to the little sensibility to pain which the gall-bladder has when not inflamed.

A gall-stone may also remain long impacted in the *cystic* duct, without causing pain, or having other ill effect than those obscure disorders of digestion which result from loss of the bladder. Some instances of this kind have been related in a former chapter.

A gall-stone fastened in the *common* duct must cause jaundice by impeding the flow of bile, but unless it occasion sloughing or ulceration of the duct, it may cause no other pain than that which results from the mere stoppage of the bile.

The *passage* of gall-stones through the ducts is generally productive of great pain, but unless there be ulceration or inflammation, their mere presence, either in the bladder, or in the ducts, is not painful.

The symptoms of the passing of gall-stones generally come on suddenly, two or three hours after eating, with severe pain, like that of colic, in the region of the gall-bladder. The pain is not equable. There is a constant, dull, aching pain, which every now and then is interrupted by a paroxysm so excruciating that the patient bends himself double, or rolls about the floor, at the same time pressing his hands firmly against the pit of the stomach, which sometimes eases the pain. These severe paroxysms produce great exhaustion: the pulse becomes slow or weak, the face pallid, and the whole body covered with a cold sweat.

Together with these symptoms, there is distressing nausea, and frequent vomiting. The matters vomited are very acid, and, as in all cases of repeated vomiting, while the common duct is not closed, are bitter.

The severity of the symptoms, and the time they last, are of course very variable, depending on the number, and the form, and the size, of the stones that are passing, and on the previous state of the ducts. In some cases, the symptoms cease after an hour or two, or a still shorter time, and generally, *suddenly*, as the stone

escapes into the duodenum,—and the complaint may be taken for mere hepatic colic. In other cases, where the stone is larger, or the passage is less free, or where many stones pass in succession, the symptoms may continue, with intervals of comparative ease, for several days.

When the symptoms last long, the patient generally becomes jaundiced, or sallow, and the urine deeply tinged with bile and scanty and irritating. Now and then, in addition to nausea and vomiting, the patient has hiccough, or a peculiar catch in drawing breath.

Another common symptom in severe and protracted cases, is the occurrence of rigors at irregular intervals, but sometimes after periods almost as regular as those of ague. The rigors probably depend on *distension* of the bladder or ducts. Rigors of the same kind not unfrequently occur from distension of the urinary bladder in consequence of stricture, or from the introduction of a catheter, and now and then from distension of the large intestine by fæces.

The passing of gall-stones does not produce, at first, either tenderness of the side, or fever. On the contrary, the pain is generally somewhat eased by firm pressure, and during the severe paroxysms of pain, the skin is cold, and the pulse slow or weak. If, however, the stone be long in passing, some degree of fever is set up, the epigastrium becomes tender, and the tongue foul. These symptoms are probably owing to inflammation of the ducts caused by the mechanical irritation of the stone. Besides tenderness at the epigastrium, there is general soreness of the belly, from the repeated efforts of vomiting, and from the spasmodic action of the muscles during the paroxysms of pain.

The passage of a gall-stone through the ducts, though productive of alarming symptoms, is attended with little immediate danger to life. It can only prove fatal when the stone gets long fastened in the common duct, but, as before remarked, the common duct is larger and straighter than the cystic duct, so that a stone which has passed through the cystic duct, generally passes through the common duct as well. The stone, after having caused the most agonizing pain, (continued perhaps with short intervals of comparative ease for several days), and great exhaustion, and jaundice, passes into the intestine, and the alarming symptoms at once cease. But it now and then happens, that a person dies

from a gall-stone sticking in the common duct. An instance of this is recorded by Abercrombie.

CASE.—A lady, aged 60, had been for several years liable to attacks of acute pain in the right hypochondriac region, which generally continued very severe for a few hours, and then subsided suddenly. On the 14th of January, 1824, she was seized with pain as in her former attacks, but which did not subside as usual. It continued through the night, accompanied by frequent vomiting, and constitutional disturbance. On the 15th, there was fever, with frequent vomiting and obstinate costiveness, and the pain was more extended,—being referred to a considerable space on the right side of the abdomen. Belly tense and rather tumid. The case had assumed the characters of ileus, and all the usual means were employed with little relief. On the 16th, there was some discharge from the bowels, after a tobacco injection, but it was very scanty. Severe pain continued, with every expression of intense suffering. Her strength sunk, and she died on the morning of the 17th.

Inspection.—Every part of the intestinal canal was perfectly healthy, except the upper part of the duodenum, where there was considerable appearance of inflammation, with remarkable softening, so that it was very easily torn. A large irregular calculus was found sticking in the ductus communis, and the parts were so softened that it came through the side of the duct when it was very slightly handled. In the texture behind the duodenum, there was considerable appearance of inflammation. No morbid appearance was detected in any other organ. (*Diseases of Stomach, &c.*, 2nd ed. p. 389.)

Several instances of the same kind have been published by other writers. Instances are also recorded where a gall-stone in the common duct has proved speedily fatal, by causing bursting of the gall-bladder, or of the duct behind, in consequence of their great and rapid distension. But when a gall-stone in the common duct proves fatal, it is generally by causing obliteration of the duct, and lasting jaundice. A fatal event, in any way, is, however, extremely rare. In the great majority of instances, the stone passes into the intestine, and the chief danger is over.

If the time of its passing has been short, the patient is then well, or suffers merely from the exhaustion consequent on the severe pain and the repeated efforts of vomiting, and from the irritation and obstruction which the stone may afterwards occasion in its passage through the bowel. But if the stone have been long in passing, and have produced jaundice, the patient, after it escapes into the duodenum, has the tenderness and the fever consequent on the injury done to the ducts, and the additional disorder caused by long pent-up and irritating bile flowing suddenly into the intestine.

Gall-stones in their passage through the intestine frequently produce slight colic and tenesmus, but seldom other evils unless they are very large. When this is the case, they may obstruct the bowel and cause constipation, or even fatal ileus. Many instances of this kind are recorded.*

But a small gall-stone, like any other small hard body, may, in its passage through the intestine, get lodged in the vermiform appendix, and may cause ulceration, or sloughing, and perforation, of the appendix; and, as a consequence of this, a circumscribed abscess in the cavity of the peritoneum, or general peritonitis that proves rapidly fatal,—according as the contents of the intestine ooze into the cavity of the peritoneum, or are poured into it at once. Several instances of this kind have been recorded, and one such has fallen under my own notice. Such events are, however, very rare, and, in general, the passage of a gall-stone through the intestine causes no other inconvenience than a little colic and tenesmus.

The symptoms hitherto mentioned, result merely from the mechanical effects of the stones, in the gall-bladder, or in their passage through the gall-ducts and the bowel. But persons who have gall-stones have frequently other ailments, which result from the faulty assimilation that led to the gall-stones, and perhaps in part from the irritation of the stones, even when they do not cause the severer symptoms that mark their passage. These ailments are usually of a very vague and uncertain character. In one person, they are principally nervous, and consist in hypochondriasis, or depression of spirits, or other nervous disorder; in another, they are chiefly disorders of digestion that are complained of; in a third, the urine is unhealthy, and frequently deposits lithic gravel, and the chief complaint is of irritation of the kidneys or of the bladder. Persons of middle age, or older, who have urinary calculus, have not unfrequently gall-stones as well. Numerous examples of this were collected by Morgagni, who inferred from them that the causes of gall-stones are in great part the same as those of urinary calculi; and that the presence of a urinary calculus, in a person of middle age or older, should strengthen any

* A case of this kind is published by Abercrombie (2nd ed. p. 133), and one by Cruveilhier, (liv. xii. pl. 4, p. 3): and two others are referred to in an elaborate paper on gall-stones, by M. Fauconneau Dufresne, published in the first volume of the *Révue Médicale*, for 1841 (p. 194).

suspensions of the existence of gall-stones, which other symptoms may awaken. (Epist. xxxvii. art. 43)

Many of the various ailments that are found associated with gall-stones, are, no doubt, mainly owing to the faulty assimilation in which these originate, but it would seem that in some cases they are attributable in great part to the mere irritation kept up by the gall-stones themselves. Dr. Prout says, "I have seen several instances of biliary concretion, in which the urinary derangements have become so prominent as to exclude the other symptoms; so that the true nature of the disease has been overlooked. Thus, many years ago, I attended a patient for a supposed urinary affection, which disappeared after an attack of gall-stones, the existence of which had not been suspected. In this case, the urine was copious, almost limpid, and constantly serous; there was considerable irritation of the bladder, particularly towards the morning; a dull, uneasy sensation was also felt about the region of the kidneys, and the functions of the stomach and bowels were much disturbed. All these and other symptoms, however apparently indicating renal affection, to my surprise, either left or ceased to trouble the patient after a severe attack of jaundice, accompanied by the passage of gall-stones. In this case, a great tendency to disease, if not actual incipient disease of the kidneys, was excited, or perhaps produced, by a remote mechanical irritant. Nor can there be any reason to doubt, that if this exciting cause had not been removed, the disease of the kidneys would have become confirmed, and taken its usual course. I am unable to state whether the urine entirely recovered its healthy condition, or having recovered its healthy condition, whether it still retains such condition; but the patient is alive, and apparently well." (Stomach and Urinary Diseases, 3rd ed. p. 253.)

A person who has once suffered from the passing of a gall-stone, is very liable to suffer in the same way again. Where there are many gall-stones in the bladder, a few only, or even a single one, may pass at a time; or after all that were in the bladder have come away, others may form in their place. Now and then, a person after having suffered from the passing of gall-stones at irregular intervals for years, has freedom from such suffering for the rest of his life. This may happen from the cystic duct becoming

blocked up by a stone ; an event, which allows no others to form, or, at any rate, to pass ; or it may happen from all the stones in the bladder being at length discharged, and no others forming in their place. It has been already remarked, that when there are many gall-stones in a bladder, they have usually the same characters, and appear to have been formed at the same time. The immediate cause of their formation is probably the deposit of some of the principles of the bile in solid form, in consequence of some passing fault of the bile, or of unusual retention, which may not again occur.

From the account that has been given of the symptoms produced by gall-stones, it will appear, that before any have passed, while they are still lodged in the bladder, or when one has become impacted in the cystic duct, it is impossible to detect them. They then give rise to no symptoms, or merely to some pain or uneasiness in the region of the gall-bladder, with certain obscure derangements of health, which may equally result from ulceration of the gall-bladder, from organic disease of the liver itself, from disorder of the stomach or of the large intestine, and from various other causes. No constant, or peculiar constitutional symptoms, indicative of gall-stones, have been yet noticed, and our knowledge of the circumstances under which gall-stones occur, is too meagre to give meaning to symptoms otherwise vague.

When gall-stones are passing, the symptoms are more significant, but even then are seldom so peculiar as to give assurance of the fact, unless the person have had former attacks of the same kind, and have ascertained that they resulted from gall-stones. Sometimes, indeed, the passing of gall-stones causes but a few severe paroxysms of pain, or a few sharp twinges, which, unless it be known that the person has passed gall-stones before, are usually set down as *hepatic colic*. Now and then acute pain in the region of the liver, which is more severe in paroxysms, and is unattended by fever, occurs without gall-stones, or any disease that we can discover in the liver, or in its ducts. The pain seems to be purely nervous, and may be conveniently designated, *hepatic colic*. Knowledge of the causes of such attacks, or at least of the circumstances in which they occur, would help us very much in the detection of gall-stones. Like other nervous affections, these attacks

are most common in unmarried, or hysterical, women, and in such persons there are usually several circumstances that enable us to distinguish them from the paroxysms of pain produced by the passing of gall-stones. They have been preceded by hysterical pain, or spasm, in other parts of the body; or the paroxysms are brought on by emotion, or fatigue; and, as in other painful hysterical disorders, there is exquisite and widely diffused tenderness.

The symptoms produced by the passage of gall-stones are very like those produced by a calculus in the pelvis of the kidney, or in the ureter, and when there is no jaundice, the one disease may be easily mistaken for the other. When jaundice succeeds to the other symptoms, there is much less chance of error. It is then clear that there is disease of the liver, and that either the secretion of bile, or its passage into the duodenum, is stopped. Where the illness begins suddenly with pain in the region of the gall-bladder, which has excruciating paroxysms, attended with vomiting, but at first, without tenderness, and without fever, and where this is followed, at the end of a day or two, by jaundice; where, moreover, the person is of sedentary habits, and of middle age or older,—the condition of life and the age in which gall-stones are common,—there can be but little doubt that the illness is owing to the passage of gall-stones. The presumption that such is the case is still stronger, if the person have had similar attacks before, and if in these the violent symptoms have ceased, as they began, suddenly. Such a succession of events is almost proof of the passage of gall-stones. They can hardly occur from any other condition. It frequently happens, however, that the symptoms are of more doubtful character. A large stone may escape into the bowel by ulceration, or even through the ducts, without much pain, and in a first attack, the patient cannot tell what is happening from his former experience, and the evidence furnished by the mere repetition of similar attacks is of course wanting. On all these accounts, it often happens that we can only *guess* that gall-stones are passing. In all cases where the illness is suspected to result from gall-stones, the matters discharged from the bowels should be examined with the view to discover the stones. It is always satisfactory to see the stones; and we may, besides, draw important inferences from their size and form. If only one stone is discovered, and this is of considerable size, and

round, or oval, we may infer that there are no others in the bladder, and that if the patient change his mode of life, he may not suffer in the same way again. If the stone be of considerable size, but instead of being round or oval, have smooth or polished faces, we may be sure that there were others, but probably not many, in the bladder with it, and which perhaps are still there. If the stone be small, with faces, or even if many such stones are found, the probability is still greater that more are yet left in the bladder which will pass out, and the patient may expect at uncertain intervals a recurrence of similar attacks. Dr. Prout says, that when the passage of gall-stones is suspected, directions should be given to mix the fæces with water, on the surface of which the stones, if present, will be found floating. But this certainly will not always happen. Most gall-stones, when fresh from the bladder, are heavier than water. They become indeed lighter than water by drying, and will then float in water until they have imbibed a certain quantity of it, when they sink slowly to the bottom.

Dr. Watson has also recommended the adoption of this method of finding the stones, but he adds, "I never but once succeeded in thus catching a concretion in the evacuations of a patient, whose symptoms had led me to search for it." (Lectures on the Practice of Physic, vol. ii. p. 527.)

In the *treatment* of gall-stones, three distinct objects have been proposed:—1st, To calm the pain and spasm, while the stone is passing; 2nd, To dissolve any stones that may remain in the bladder; 3rd, To prevent fresh stones from forming.

While a gall-stone is passing, nothing calms pain and spasm, and prevents, therefore, the exhaustion they occasion, so much as opium. This should be given in large doses, and is generally best given as a pill; for, from the irritability of the stomach, liquids are usually almost immediately rejected. Occasionally, opium may be given with advantage in effervescing draughts, or with hydrocyanic acid, which allays the irritability of the stomach, and for a time enables the patient to retain it. In some cases, much relief is obtained from sulphuric æther, in conjunction with opium. But, according to Dr. Prout, more immediate relief is often afforded by large draughts of hot water, containing carbonate of soda in solution, (in proportion of from one to two drachms of

the carbonate to a pint of water,) than by any other means. "The alkali counteracts the distressing symptoms produced by the acidity of the stomach; while the hot water acts like a fomentation to the seat of the pain. The first portions of water are commonly rejected almost immediately; but others may be repeatedly taken; and after some time, it will be usually found that the pain will become less, and the water be retained. Another advantage of this plan of treatment is, that the water abates the severity of the retching; which is usually most severe and dangerous, where there is nothing present on which the stomach can react. This plan does not supersede the use of opium, which may be given in any way deemed most desirable; and in some instances, a few drops of laudanum may be advantageously conjoined with the alkaline solution, after it has been once or twice rejected." (Third ed. p. 263.)

Fomentation of hot water, alone or with opium, or decoction of poppies, or other appliances of the same kind, or the warm bath, should be had recourse to at the same time, and will often much alleviate the patient's sufferings. If these means fail, we may try very cold applications—as a bladder of pounded ice—which have been much recommended by several writers, and it would seem, have often been productive of benefit.

It seems to have been formerly the practice to give emetics or strong purgatives, to quicken the passage of the stone; but this practice has been justly reprobated on the ground that it increases the pain before the ducts are sufficiently dilated to allow the stone to pass. A certain time is requisite for the necessary dilatation of the ducts; and when the stone is in the common duct it is sufficiently urged forward by the constant and gradually increasing pressure of the accumulated bile behind.

When the symptoms lead to the inference, that the stone has passed into the duodenum, purgatives and copious injections of warm water should be given to hasten its discharge from the bowel, and with it the discharge of the accumulated and irritating bile.

If any tenderness and fever should come on during the passage of the stone, leeches should be applied at once to the tender part. These symptoms show that inflammation of the ducts has been set up, which may produce ill effects of various kinds. We have considered in a former chapter the nature of these effects, and the

great importance of early applying local remedies—leeches and blisters—when the symptoms lead to the inference that inflammation either of the gall-bladder, or of the ducts, exists. In the present instance, the tenderness and the fever, from the peculiar symptoms that precede them, are unusually significant of inflammation of the ducts, and of inflammation excited by a local cause, and therefore to be chiefly relieved by local remedies.

The second object proposed in the treatment of gall-stones, is to endeavour by medicines to dissolve any stones that may yet remain in the bladder. Various medicines have at different times had the credit of doing this. The alkaline carbonates were long held in repute as solvents of gall-stones, and a plausible reason of their having such virtues has been assigned in the great solubility of the cholesterates of potash and soda. Soda is a natural constituent of bile. It is probable, therefore, that salts of soda, given as medicine, may be in part excreted in the bile, and may tend to form a soluble compound of cholesterine.

But the medicine that has been most celebrated as a solvent for gall-stones, is a combination of sulphuric æther and turpentine. This was at one time much relied on in France, where it was brought into great vogue by Durande, a physician of Dijon, who published the details of many cases for the sake of establishing its efficacy. Durande's remedy, which consisted of a mixture of three parts of æther with two of essence of turpentine, became in consequence very famous. It has never been much employed in this country, and latterly has lost much of the credit it at one time had in France.

It is clear that it must be extremely difficult to obtain satisfactory evidence in favour of such virtue for any medicine. Before gall-stones have passed we can never be sure of their existence; and after a person has once passed gall-stones, he may go on for years, or even for the rest of his life, without passing others. All the stones in the bladder may have come away at once, and no others may form; or those which remain in the bladder may be too large to pass out; or one may have permanently blocked up the cystic duct: or, if the person continue to pass gall-stones, he may suffer much less in the subsequent attacks than at first, on account of the dilatation of the ducts which was then effected, or the smaller size of the stones. When, therefore, a person who has once passed gall-stones, passes no more for the future, or

if he have other attacks, suffers less in them than in the first, we must be very cautious in assuming that this happy circumstance is the effect of our remedies.

Medicines whose efficacy is so difficult to establish, however real this efficacy may be, almost inevitably fall after a time into disrepute. This has happened for taraxacum and for most other medicines that have been supposed to increase the quantity and to alter the qualities of the bile. Few have the same faith in the reputed virtues of *cholagogues* and alteratives of the bile, as they have in medicines which increase the quantity or alter the qualities of the urine, because, although analogy leads us to conclude that some medicines have such virtues, we have not the same proof that the virtues actually belong to the particular medicines to which they have been ascribed. The natural tendency, therefore, seems to be to estimate too low the value of such medicines, and perhaps we have, of late, too much discarded the notion, that gall-stones, once formed in the bladder, may be again dissolved. Combinations of æther and turpentine, if they do not dissolve gall-stones, seem occasionally to have done good,—probably by relieving the pain and spasm which the irritation of gall-stones occasions.

The third object of treatment, is, when gall-stones have been formed and passed, to prevent others from forming in future. For this, we must chiefly rely on exercise and proper diet. The patient should rise early, take plenty of exercise, on foot, or on horseback; and abstain as much as possible from fat, or gross meats, and heavy malt liquors. The bowels should be duly regulated, if need be, by the habitual use of rhubarb, or rhubarb and aloes; or by mild saline purgatives, as the Pülna water; and the action of the skin should be kept up by an occasional warm bath.

In addition to these means, we may endeavour to render the bile more healthy by some of those medicines, which are supposed to alter its quality. In some persons who suffer from gall-stones, and other disorders that result from an unhealthy state of the bile, no medicine does such signal good as small doses of mercury continued for some time. They seem to increase the quantity of the bile, and at the same time to render it more healthy, and they certainly often improve in a striking manner the general health. The best preparation of mercury for this purpose is the blue pill. It may be given most safely, and with best chance of benefit, in

persons of full habit, who have lived freely, and in whom there is no reason to suspect organic disease.

Where the patient is thin, or has lived badly, or where there is reason to fear organic disease of the liver, or of some other organ, it is safer and wiser to abstain from mercury, and to be content with taraxacum, or muriate of ammonia, or the alkaline carbonates, or other mild medicines that are supposed to alter the qualities of the bile. Where the secretion of bile has been long disordered, and the health is much broken, great benefit sometimes results from a mild course of the natural alkaline or saline waters. The alkaline waters of Vichy and Ems, and the waters of Carlsbad, on the continent; and in this country, the saline waters of Cheltenham and Leamington, and the sulphurous waters of Harrowgate, are those whose efficacy in such cases is best established. The waters of Vichy, in particular, are very highly thought of by French physicians, and probably with sufficient reason.

CHAPTER IV.

DISEASES WHICH RESULT FROM SOME GROWTH FOREIGN TO
THE NATURAL STRUCTURE.

SECT. I.—*Cancer of the liver—Origin of cancerous tumors of the liver—Their growth, dissemination, and effects—Encysted, knotty tubera of the liver.*

HAVING considered the inflammatory diseases of the liver, and the diseases which result from impaired nutrition of its tissues, and from faulty secretion, there remain for us to consider those which consist in some growth foreign to the natural structure.

The most important member of this class is *cancer*, which is more frequent in the liver than in any other organ. Indeed, no serious organic disease of the liver is, in this country,—at least among people who have never drunk hard,—so frequent as cancer.

In some instances, the liver is the only organ infected with cancer, or is the organ in which the cancer originates; but far oftener, the formation of cancerous tumors in it is consequent on cancer of some other part, especially the stomach and the breast.

In the *Anatomie Pathologique* of Cruveilhier, the *Clinique Medicale* of Andral, and the little work by Dr. Farre on *the Morbid Anatomy of the Liver*, twenty-nine cases are recorded in which cancerous tumors were found in the liver. In three only of these cases, was the disease confined to the liver, (Cruv. liv. xii. pl. 2, p. 8; Clin. Med. iv. p. 445; Farre, case 2.) In another case, (Cruv. liv. xxxvii. pl. 4, p. 3,) the lungs and the liver were the only

organs in which cancerous tumors were noticed ; in another, (Clin. Med. iv. 433), the liver and the gastro-hepatic omentum. In all these cases, it is, perhaps, fair to conclude that the disease originated in the liver.

In the remaining twenty-four cases, other parts of the body were affected with cancer, as well as the liver. In thirteen of them, there was cancer of the stomach ; in five, cancer of the breast. Some particulars of these cases will be presently mentioned, which leave little doubt, that in most of them, if not in all, the disease was propagated to the liver from the stomach and the breast, respectively.

Many circumstances conspire to render the liver more frequently than any other organ, the seat of both disseminated abscesses and disseminated cancer.

The great vascularity of the liver, and the slowness with which the blood, already retarded by passing through a system of capillary vessels, traverses the dense plexus of vessels that goes to form its lobular substance, unquestionably favour this result. But a circumstance much more influential is—that the liver is the organ through which the blood returning from the intestinal canal first passes. When the stomach or intestines are ulcerated, the blood that flows to the liver from these parts is liable to be contaminated by pus, and other noxious matters, which cause inflammation that rapidly passes on to abscess. When the stomach is the seat of cancer, the portal blood is liable to be contaminated by cancer-germs, which being stopped in their passage through the liver, are there developed into cancerous tumors. In such cases, the abscesses and the secondary cancerous tumors are usually found only in the liver, which seems to detain all the pus-globules and cancer-germs that are brought to it by the portal blood. It rarely happens that any of these seeds of mischief pass through to cause abscesses, or cancerous tumors, in the lungs and other organs.

It is seldom that a *single* cancerous growth is found in the liver. There are usually scattered through its substance a great number, often hundreds, of round tumors, some of them so small as to be distinguished with difficulty, others of the size of a bean, of a walnut, or of an orange. Sometimes, indeed, they grow still larger, especially when there are but few of them ; for, as Cruveilhier has justly remarked, the size of cancerous tumors in the liver is usually inversely as their number.

When they are numerous, it is generally plain from their difference of size and texture, that they are of different ages—so that in the same liver they are often seen in various stages of growth.

The first token of the deposit of cancerous matter, discoverable by the naked eye, is a change of colour which is limited to two or three contiguous lobules, or even to a single lobule. The tainted lobules, instead of being of their natural tint, are whitish or black, according to the variety of cancer, and are altered in consistence, but they remain unchanged in size and form ;—thus, showing that the disease originates in the lobules, and not in the areolar tissue in the small portal canals.

Not unfrequently, in a small cancerous tumor, throughout, and near the circumference in large tumors, when they are cut across, a mottled appearance is seen, like that of the lobular structure of the liver, and clearly resulting from this structure having been involved in the cancerous growth.

But if the disease originates in the lobules, and for a time continues to invade contiguous lobules in its growth, the tumor becomes at length independent of the lobular substance, which in its further growth it pushes aside, and compresses. Large tumors are usually connected with the substance of the liver in which they are imbedded, only by means of areolar tissue and vessels ; and, when sufficiently firm, can often be readily peeled out. They are seldom surrounded by a capsule or cyst ; and the hepatic tissue about them usually presents no other changes than those produced by pressure.

One effect of pressure not unfrequently observed when the cancerous tumors are thickly studded, is partial biliary congestion. Portions of the hepatic substance between the tumors are of a dark green, or an olive colour, in consequence of compression of the small gall-ducts. The cancer may afterwards invade these portions, and the corresponding parts of the cancerous growth will be deeply coloured with bile. I have more than once found parts near the circumference of large cancerous tumors, and small cancerous tumors throughout, tinged of a deep green,—evidently from the cancer having invaded portions of the hepatic substance already congested with bile.

The hepatic substance immediately surrounding a cancerous tumor not unfrequently, however, exhibits a change which cannot, perhaps, be attributed to pressure. It is pale and fatty,

while other portions are not so. As before remarked, this partial deposit of fat around cancer is not peculiar to the liver, but is often found, also, in cancer of the omentum and of other parts.

Those cancerous tumors which originate near the surface of the liver, in growing project above it, so as to render it knotty or uneven. When the projecting tumors are large, the centre of the projecting portion is often somewhat depressed or cupped; an effect, it would seem, of strangulation of the central part of the tumor. The tumor is more freely supplied with blood, and grows faster, round the edge. This cupped form is not peculiar to cancerous tumors of the liver, but is sometimes observed also, though much less frequently, in cancerous tumors of the lung, when these are large and project above the general surface of the pleura.

Cancerous tumors in the liver, as in other parts, differ much in firmness, vascularity, and colour, in different cases. Sometimes, the tumors are white and fibrous, or, as it is termed, *scirrhous*; but far more frequently, especially when numerous, they are soft, or *medullary*. Instances are now and then met with, in which, in the same liver, some tumors are hard, and others soft.

Soft cancer presents the same varieties in the liver, as in other organs. Most commonly the cancerous mass contains but few vessels, and is pulpy and whitish, or of a greyish-white—presenting that striking resemblance to brain rather softened, which led Laennec to apply to it the term, *encephaloid*. In other cases, the tumors are extremely vascular, presenting the appearance known as *fungus hematodes*. In others, again, they are *melanotic*. Indeed, every variety of cancer, except *gelatiniform*, or *colloid* cancer, has been met with in this organ.

The colour of melanotic tumors of the liver varies, according to the quantity of pigment granules which they contain. In the same liver tumors may sometimes be found of every shade from light brown to black.

Melanosis, whatever be its primary seat, becomes disseminated sooner, and more widely, than any other variety of cancer. I am not aware that melanotic tumors have ever been found in the liver only; and when they exist in the liver, they are usually in very great number. Sometimes, indeed, the whole liver is thickly

studded with small black grains, giving to a section of it an appearance compared by Cruveilhier to granite, or black mica.*

Large cancerous tumors, whether hard or soft, white or melanotic, are usually slightly lobulated, from there having been greater impediment to their growth in some directions than in others; and, as before remarked, are united to the substance of the liver in which they are imbedded, only by areolar tissue and vessels. It happens, however, now and then, though very seldom, that tumors of medullary cancer are surrounded by a well-defined cyst. The cyst, as was observed by Laennec, is a smooth membrane, about half a line in thickness, of fibrous texture, and silvery white colour, imperfectly transparent, and easily separable from the mass it encloses.

Encysted cancerous tumors are always very soft and fluctuating, having much the feel of an abscess. When cut across and macerated, the pulpy matter is washed out, and a beautiful filamentous mass is left. We are ignorant of the circumstances which determine the formation of the cyst. Melanotic tumors, as well as common encephaloid tumors, are sometimes encysted; and some tumors in a liver may be encysted, while others are not. (Cruv. liv. xxiii. pl. 5, p. 5.) It may be, that the cyst is owing to the development of cancerous matter from the inner surface of a gall-duct. The cyst is very like that of the knotty tumors containing a cheese-like matter which are sometimes found in the liver, and which (as will be seen towards the end of this chapter), appear to originate in inflammation of a small gall-duct.

It now and then happens that cancer is found in the gall-bladder, as well as in the substance of the liver. Sometimes, the cancer of the gall-bladder is distinct from the neighbouring cancerous masses; in other cases, it results from a cancerous tumor in the substance of the liver involving the gall-bladder in its growth.

Not unfrequently, too, cancerous matter may be found in the veins of the liver, and, as happens for the gall-bladder, this may grow from their inner surface, and be distinct from the neighbouring cancerous masses, or it may result from a cancerous tumor involving, and penetrating, as it grows, the coats of the vein from without.

* There is a beautiful preparation showing this in the Museum of King's College. (Prep. 324.)

When a liver contains numerous masses of cancer, it is generally much enlarged, extending far below the false ribs, and sometimes even to the brim of the pelvis. Its increased size is in most cases owing entirely to the presence of the cancerous tumours; and, indeed, when these are removed, the hepatic substance is found to be diminished in volume. As before remarked, portions of the lobular substance are involved in the tumors; and other portions, especially between contiguous cancerous masses, are sometimes found pale and atrophied, and even converted into fibrous tissue—probably, from their supply of blood being stopped by the pressure of the cancerous masses, or by cancerous matter within the veins, or by adhesive inflammation of the inner surface of the veins, which is very common in the neighbourhood of cancer in some other parts.

From the tumors thus invading the lobular substance of the liver in their growth, and from their causing atrophy of other portions, the organ may contain numerous masses of cancer, and yet be smaller than in health.

But this happens very seldom. In almost all cases, the tumors more than compensate in bulk for any destruction or wasting of the lobular substance which they occasion; and sometimes the bulk of the organ, without the tumors, is much increased from the presence of an unusual quantity of fatty matter, or other elements of secretion, in the hepatic cells.

Even when the cancerous masses have grown rapidly, there are seldom any marks of inflammation in the hepatic tissue around them. The tumors owe their development, not to any process of inflammation, but to their own independent vitality; and the hepatic tissue in which they are lodged generally presents no other changes of structure than those produced by pressure and defective nutrition.

But although cancerous growths do not cause inflammation of the surrounding hepatic tissue, they now and then, when superficial, cause inflammation of the peritoneum above them. But even this happens seldom. The liver is often found much enlarged from cancerous tumors, and much deformed by some of these tumors projecting above its surface, without any traces of inflammation of its capsule. When inflammation occurs, it is probably caused by rupture of the peritoneal coat and escape of cancerous matter.

The character of the peritoneal inflammation which is excited by cancer has been already noticed. It is always *adhesive*, and is generally very partial, causing the effusion of only a very small quantity of fibrine. The usual traces of it found after death, are opacity and apparent thickening of the peritoneum above the projecting tumors, or very delicate, threadlike bands, uniting these tumors to the opposite surface of the diaphragm or abdominal walls. Sometimes, however, the inflammation is more extensive, and it may involve the entire surface of the liver, and even that of the peritoneum.

But it is a property of cancer to invade and destroy all structures within its immediate reach; and in consequence of this, if a cancerous mass be on the convex surface of the liver, it may eat through the diaphragm, and cause adhesive inflammation of the pleura. (Cruv., Liv. xxxvii. pl. 4, p. 4.)

Cancer of the liver, may, perhaps, also, like cancer of other parts, cause adhesive inflammation of contiguous veins. Inflammation of the adjacent veins is very common in cancer of the uterus, and it is in such cases that the inflammation of veins which is produced by cancer has been most studied. The uterine, and often one or both of the iliac veins, are found blocked up with fibrine. Lower down in the veins, proceeding against the course of the circulation, there may be small collections of pus, bounded above and below by fibrine; and sometimes the veins of the leg for a great length are found filled with pus. I met with an instance of this, in the spring of 1843, in a poor woman, who died, under my care, in King's College Hospital.

She had cancer of the neck of the uterus, which had eaten into the bladder, in front, and into the rectum, behind, so that, for many weeks before her death, both the urine and the fæces were continually passing through the vagina. She had constant severe pain in the lower part of the belly, and occasional pain in the region of the liver. Two or three weeks before her death, she began to complain of severe pain in both legs, which became very much swelled.

The intestines in the lower part of the abdomen were found matted together, while those in the upper part were free, and presented no traces of inflammation. On separating the adherent coils, two pouches of the peritoneum filled with pus were opened.

The lumbar glands were cancerous, and the liver was studded with medullary tumors, of various sizes, many of the superficial of which were united to the opposite surface of the diaphragm, or abdominal walls, by threadlike

bands of false membrane. In the lower lobe of the left lung, was a small whitish mass which was inferred to be cancer. No cancerous tumors were discovered in other parts of the body.

The internal iliac vein on each side was blocked up with fibrine, while the femoral and popliteal veins, and the veins of the legs as far as they were traced, were filled with pus. The left knee joint contained a large quantity of pus, but there was none in any other joint, nor were there any abscesses in other parts of the body. The fibrine that plugged the upper portion of the vein prevented the pus from contaminating the circulating blood.

Cruveilhier has distinctly remarked, that while it is very common in cancer of the uterus, for small isolated collections of pus to form in the veins of the pelvis or of the legs, it very seldom happens that abscesses form in other parts of the body, or that the patient presents the general symptoms of contamination of the blood by pus. The pus is prevented from mixing with the circulating blood by adhesive inflammation of the upper portion of the vein. It would seem, that adhesive inflammation is first set up in this portion, and that, afterwards, suppurative inflammation is excited in the portion below. This sometimes happens in inflammation of veins from other causes; and it would almost seem, that adhesive inflammation of the trunk of a vein may, of itself, cause suppurative inflammation of the branches through which the flow of blood is thus prevented.

In cancer of the liver, I have more than once found some veins of this organ blocked up with what I took for fibrine; but have never found any filled with pus. Inflammation of the contiguous veins is most common in cancer of the uterus and cancer of the breast—in consequence of the great frequency of *ulceration* in cancer of those parts. The ulceration produced by cancer, like that from other causes, is adequate, of itself, to cause inflammation of adjacent veins.

It not unfrequently happens that, with cancer of the liver, a collection of serous fluid is found in the cavity of the peritoneum, even when this membrane presents no trace of inflammation. The serum is probably effused in consequence of obstruction to the passage of blood through part of the liver, from some of the veins being blocked up by cancerous matter, or by fibrine, or simply compressed by the cancerous tumors.* The quantity of fluid in

* In a preparation in the Museum of King's College (No. 288), large branches of the hepatic vein between contiguous masses of cancer are seen to be flattened.

the peritoneum in such cases is usually small; and is very seldom sufficient to cause the *distension* of the belly which is observed in cirrhosis, where the passage of blood through every part of the liver is impeded.

A similar effect is frequently produced by cancerous masses in the *lung*. Serous fluid collects in the cavity of the pleura, without any inflammation of the serous membrane, or, at any rate, without inflammation that leaves other permanent traces.

But there may be impediment to the flow of blood and partial œdema, in the cancerous mass itself. The centre of a large cancerous tumor in the liver has not unfrequently a gelatinous appearance, and when this part is punctured, and the tumor pressed, a transparent, *serous* fluid escapes, very unlike the opaque white fluid of cancer. This œdema is very common in the projecting tumors whose surface is cup-shaped.

Another occasional event in the soft and vascular varieties of cancer of the liver, is hemorrhage into the cancerous mass. This sometimes takes place to such an extent as to cause a rapid increase in the size of the liver, and almost to produce the alarming symptoms of copious internal hemorrhage. Now and then, indeed, rupture takes place, and the blood escapes in large quantity into the sac of the peritoneum. When the quantity of blood effused in the substance of the tumors is small, the serum and the colouring matter may be absorbed, and small masses of fibrine be left.

But the most remarkable property of cancer—a property which often influences the condition of the patient more than any damage the disease does to the part in which it first appears—is its power of dissemination. This varies much in degree, according to the variety of cancer and the part of the body in which it originates.

The laws which regulate the dissemination of cancer have not been fully made out, but there is clear proof that the dissemination may take place in two ways: 1st, by *inoculation*, or by the mere contact of a sound part with a part affected with cancer, without any vascular connexion between them; 2nd, by cancerous matter conveyed by lymphatics and veins to other parts of the body.

In the belly, where the relative motion between the surfaces is

great, we have now and then distinct evidence of inoculation, in finding cancer communicated from one surface to another by mere contact, without adhesion. In a woman who lately died in King's College Hospital of cancer of the liver, there were small cancerous tubercles on the under surface of the diaphragm corresponding to a projecting cancerous tumor of the liver, although there were no unnatural adhesions between the liver and the diaphragm, and no cancerous tubercles on other parts of the reflected peritoneum. In another woman who died of cancer which involved all the organs in the pelvis, and led to secondary cancerous tubercles of the peritoneum covering the intestines, the under edge of the liver which had touched the tainted parts had its surface studded with cancerous tubercles, while the substance of the liver, and the upper part of its surface which was shielded by the ribs, were free from them. It was impossible to doubt that the edge of the liver had been infected by contact with the cancerous mass below.

Cruveilhier mentions a case in which he found cancer of the left extremity of the pancreas with cancer of the upper part of the left kidney. (Liv. xii. pl. 2, p. 5.)

It is chiefly in this way,—namely, by inoculation,—that *gelatiniform* cancer of the stomach or intestines becomes extended to other organs in the cavity of the belly. In this variety of cancer, the cancer-cells are too large to be readily transmitted by the veins so as to infect distant parts. It would seem, indeed, that cells of gelatiniform cancer, when detached from the outer surface of the stomach, may, like the fibrine which is effused in inflammation, become adherent to any part of the serous membrane with which they are accidentally brought in contact, and may be nourished from the vessels of that part. Cruveilhier (Liv. xxxvii. pl. 3, p. 2,) relates a case in which, with gelatiniform cancer of the stomach, there were cancerous tubercles of the peritoneum. He particularly noticed that these were chiefly seated on those parts of the peritoneum, which are *subject to the least motion*.

But the widest dissemination of cancer is effected by the transfer of cancerous matter by lymphatics and veins to distant parts of the body. The dissemination effected in this way usually takes place in the direction of the current of blood, or lymph. This is well shown, by contrasting the organs that become infected from cancer of the breast, a part from which the

blood is returned immediately to the vena cava, with the organs that become infected from cancer of the stomach, a part from which blood is returned to the portal vein. To take merely the cases recorded by the writers before referred to—Cruveilhier, Andral, and Farre. In the "Anatomie Pathologique" of Cruveilhier, there are, as before remarked, five cases, (Liv. xxiii. pl. 5, p. 1; id. p. 2; id. p. 3; id. p. 4; Liv. xxxi. pl. 2. p. 3,) in which cancerous tumors of the liver were consequent on cancer of the breast. In all these cases, with the exception of one, (Liv. xxiii. pl. 5, p. 1,) in which the state of other organs is not mentioned, the lungs were infected, as well as the liver. The cancer-cells had to pass through the lungs, before they could arrive at the liver.

But although cancer of the breast seldom causes cancer of the liver, without also causing cancer of the lungs, it not unfrequently gives rise to cancerous tumors in the lungs, without giving rise to any in the liver. In the Anat. Path. of Cruveilhier, three cases of this kind are recorded. (Liv. xxvii. pl. 3, p. 1; id. p. 5; Liv. xxxi. pl. 2, p. 2.) Cruveilhier asks how it happens, that in some cases of cancer of the breast, secondary cancerous tumors form in the lungs, chiefly; while in other cases, they form in the liver, chiefly? The circumstance may be accounted for from the variable size of cancer-cells, which are in some cases so small, as to pass readily through the lungs; in others, not.

When cancer originates in the *stomach*, secondary cancerous tumors form in the liver, before they form in the lungs; undoubtedly, from the blood infected with the cancerous matter having to pass through the liver first. Indeed, it very seldom happens that the lungs become affected at all. As before remarked, all the cancerous matter brought in the portal blood, is usually detained in the substance of the liver, as are the globules of pus in purulent phlebitis, instead of passing through to contaminate other organs. In the works already referred to, there are thirteen cases in which cancerous tumors in the liver seemed to be secondary to cancer of the stomach. In nine of these cases, the liver was the only organ, besides the stomach, in which cancerous tumors were found. In the remaining four cases, there was cancerous disease of some part of the mesentery, or of the glands about the aorta, as well as of the liver. It is a striking fact, that in not one was any cancer remarked in the lungs.

Cruveilhier relates seven other cases of cancer of the stomach. In four of these, the disease was confined to the stomach ; in the remaining three, all of them of gelatiniform cancer, there were likewise cancerous tubercles in the mesentery, but in no other organ.

When cancer originating in the kidney becomes disseminated, the lungs are infected more frequently than the liver. It might have been imagined, that the same law would hold for the uterus, which, like the kidney, returns its blood immediately to the vena cava ; but it sometimes happens, as in a case before related, (p. 305,) that in consequence of cancer of the uterus, cancerous tumors form in the liver, without any forming in the lungs. This results from the primary cancer extending to the rectum, and involving the hemorrhoidal veins, which return their blood to the vena portæ.

All these instances are sufficient to establish the fact, that cancer often becomes disseminated by means of cancerous matter which is conveyed onwards in the venous current. We have additional proof of it, in the points of resemblance, before noticed, between secondary cancerous tumors of the lungs and liver, and the scattered abscesses which form in these organs in consequence of purulent phlebitis.

It would seem, indeed, that cancer may even be propagated by inoculation, or by injection of cancerous matter into veins, from one animal to another.

Professor Langenbeck injected into the veins of a dog, some pulp taken from a cancer which had just been removed from a living body. At the end of some weeks, the dog began to waste rapidly. It was then killed, and several cancerous tumors were found in its lungs.

Another instance to the same effect, taken from a German periodical, is related in the Provincial Medical Journal for September 23, 1843, in the following words: "Some cells were collected from a black liquid in the orbit of a mare affected with melanosis, and were inoculated into the conjunctiva and lachrymal gland of an old horse. These merely caused a black spot on the conjunctiva, which extended very slowly ; but about the sixteenth week after inoculation, melanosis of the lachrymal gland was very de-

cided ; it had invaded the whole organ, and pushed the globe of the eye forward. Some of the melanotic matter, taken from the same mare, was injected into the veins of the neck of a dog, who died suddenly, whilst hunting, three weeks after the operation. There was found in the left lung a melanotic tumor, which was ruptured, and which contained a brown, coffee-coloured fluid, abounding in cells."

So many instances have occurred of cancer of the penis, in men whose wives had cancer of the womb, that many physicians have been led to believe, that the disease, in these instances, was propagated by contagion.

But the most obvious, if not the most common mode in which cancer becomes disseminated from the part in which it first appears, is by transmission of the cancerous matter through the lymphatics. It is through these vessels that cancer is so constantly propagated from the breast to the glands in the axilla. The small cancerous tubercles that are sometimes found surrounding a cancer of the breast of long standing, are also, as was beautifully shown by Sir Astley Cooper, seated in the lymphatics.

Cancer of the stomach may, as we have seen, give rise to disseminated cancer of the liver, or to cancerous tubercles in the mesentery. In some instances of the latter kind, the presence of the tubercles in the mesentery, may be best explained by supposing cancer-cells to have been detached from the outer surface of the stomach, and to have been transferred mechanically to other parts of the serous membrane. But in other instances, the secondary tumors are clearly under the peritoneum, and in the mesenteric glands, and the germs of the disease must have been transmitted by lymphatics and lacteals.

In the *lymphatics*, cancer is propagated, not in the natural direction of the current of lymph, only. It is sometimes propagated backwards, as when, in cancer of the breast, cancerous tubercles are found under the skin, not in the line to the axilla merely, but surrounding the breast. This propagation of the disease backwards through the lymphatics, probably depends chiefly on the onward course of the lymph being impeded. Cruveilhier has remarked that cancer of the breast leads less frequently to cancer of internal organs, when the disease is thus disseminated outwardly.

It may be readily conceived, that obstruction in the course of

the lymphatics, leading to the axilla, or in the axillary glands, or that adhesive inflammation of the veins, by blocking up the usual channels for the transmission of the cancerous matter, may favour the dissemination of this matter in the opposite direction, and thus lead to the formation of cancerous tubercles in the neighbourhood of the primary disease.

Admitting all these means for the propagation of cancer, there are still cases, occasionally met with, which they do not enable us to explain satisfactorily, and which strongly favour the inference, that the cancerous tumors found in different parts of the body, are not offsets from one primary cancer, but are the result of a peculiar disposition to the disease. There are, perhaps, few cases in which such a supposition is more needed, than in cases of primary cancer of the liver. In these cases, as when cancerous tumors form in the liver in consequence of cancer of the stomach, the infection does not often pass much beyond the liver, but there are almost always a great number of cancerous tumors in the liver itself. We have, at present, no evidence that these are, in all cases, derived from a single parent tumor, but it seems probable, that more careful observation will hereafter prove them to be so. It is clear, at least, that dissemination may take place within the liver, in various ways:—through the lymphatics, and through the veins; and, as before explained, in a twofold direction in both.

Cancerous tumors may form in the liver, *as a consequence of cancer of some other part*, at any period of life. They are in that case dependent on the primary cancer, and of course are most frequently found in conjunction with cancer of particular parts at the periods of life when those parts are most liable to the varieties of cancer which become readily disseminated. For the *breast*, this is, perhaps, the period comprised between the ages of thirty and fifty. Under the age of thirty, cancer of the breast, of any kind, is very rare; and beyond the age of fifty, the disease is frequently *schirrous*, of slow growth, containing but few vessels, and, in virtue of these conditions, less apt to become disseminated than other varieties of cancer.

Cancer of the *stomach* does not occur so early in life as cancer of the breast. It is very rare in persons under the age of forty.

Twenty cases of cancer of the stomach, recorded in the works of Cruveilhier, Andral, and Farre, have been already referred to. In eighteen of these, the age of the patient is noted, and in all of them, it was above forty, with the exception of one, in which it was thirty-eight. In eight of the cases, or nearly one half, the patient was sixty, or upwards.

Dissemination from cancer of the stomach, is not much influenced by age, but it seems to be much favoured by the occurrence of ulceration. In the great majority of the cases just referred to, in which cancerous tumors were found in the liver, the cancer of the stomach was ulcerated. This may, however, be partly explained from the circumstance, that the soft varieties of cancer which are the most favourable for dissemination, are also the most prone to ulcerate.

Cancer of the *uterus* follows nearly the same laws, with respect to age, as cancer of the breast; and cancer of the *colon* and *rectum*, as cancer of the stomach. But cancer of the uterus, and of the large intestine, becomes disseminated much less frequently than cancer of the stomach or breast.

The parts that have now been specified are by far the most frequent seats of primary cancer, and since this disease occurs in them only in the middle and advanced periods of life, disseminated cancer of the liver is also most frequent at those periods. But cancerous tumors may form in the liver at any age, as a consequence of cancer of some other part. Dr. Farre has given the case of an infant, three months old, in which there was fungoid cancer of the left kidney, with fungoid tumors in the liver and lungs. Another case, in a boy, two years and a half old, in which numerous cancerous tumors of the liver, and a single cancerous tumor of the lung, were consequent on fungoid cancer of the testicle: and a third case, in a boy of the same age, in which there was a melanotic tumor in the pelvis, with cancer of the lumbar glands, and cancerous tumors in the liver and lungs. Indeed, secondary cancerous tumors form much more frequently in the liver, in children affected with cancer, than in grown-up persons, because children are subject only to the soft and very vascular varieties of cancer, which, in direct reason of these qualities, are the varieties which become soonest, and most widely disseminated.

But, although cancerous tumors may form in the liver,

in consequence of cancer of a distant part, at any period of life, the disease seldom, if ever, originates in the liver, until the age of 35. In the five cases, before alluded to, in which cancerous tumors seemed to have formed primarily in the liver, one of the patients was 37 years of age, two were 39, and two were 45. In two cases, of which the particulars will be given further on, the ages of the patients were 52 and 70. The period, from 35 to 55, in which functional disorder of the liver is most common, seems to be that in which cancer most frequently originates in this organ.

Nothing more than this is known of the conditions that dispose to primary cancer of the liver. We have no evidence that it is more frequent in hot climates than in our own; or in persons who drink spirits to excess, than in those who abstain from them. It has been found, with, perhaps, more than the average frequency, in conjunction with gout and gall-stones,—so that it is probable, that high living and indolent habits, which favour the production of these latter diseases, may also dispose the liver to become the primary seat of cancer.

In speculating on the cause of cancer, the question at once arises: Is the germ of the disease a true parasite, introduced from without; or is it generated within the body, and of the materials of the body, under the influence of certain agencies?

The strongest argument in favour of the first supposition, is, that cancer originates in various organs, and has, in all of them, independent vitality and powers of growth. This is shown in the continued increase of the primary tumor, without any process allied to inflammation, whatever be the age of the patient; and still more strikingly by the fact, which seems fully established, that the mere lodgment of one or more germs from the original tumor in a *distant part*, is sufficient, of itself, and independently of constitutional predisposition, to communicate the disease to that part. In cases in which the disease is propagated from one animal to another, by inoculation, or by injection of the cancerous matter into veins, it may, indeed, be considered *parasitic*, in the strictest sense of that word.

But although cancer is capable of being thus directly implanted from one individual to another, it occurs in almost all cases in circumstances in which it is difficult to believe that any such inoculation, or infection, has taken place; and not unfrequently it appears to

originate in some direct injury, or in prolonged irritation of the part.

Thus cancer of the breast is frequently ascribed to a blow, and instances are now and then met with in which it is difficult to avoid the conclusion, that it had really this origin. Cruveilhier relates a case in which cancer of the breast, in a man, which is a very rare disease, was consequent on a sabre-cut received there.

Cancer of the lip is much more common in persons addicted to smoking, than in others; and probably originates in the irritation of the pipe, or tobacco-juice. It is hardly ever met with in women, and almost invariably occurs in the lower lip.

Cancer of the penis is found in undue proportion in men with congenital phymosis—in effect, probably, of irritation by long retained and acrid secretions.

Cancer of the anus or rectum is said to be especially frequent in persons who have had syphilitic vegetations, or piles. (Cruv. Liv. xxv. pl. 3, p. 2.)

These instances go to bear out the old doctrine, that a disease, which is not primarily malignant, may become so—a doctrine which is in some degree at variance with the notion, that the germs of cancer are always introduced from without.

Another instance to the same purport, more convincing than any of those yet adduced, is the cancer of chimney-sweeps, which appears to originate in prolonged irritation by soot.*

Perhaps the facts, that cancer does not occur in the mamma, or in the uterus, before puberty; and that it originates in the liver, chiefly in the middle period of life,—give further support to the doctrine, that the disease results from depraved nutrition of one of the normal constituents of the part.

The structure of cancer affords additional reasons for rejecting the notion, that the germs of the disease are always introduced from without. The essential elements of a cancer, as of other tissues, are nucleated cells and fibres. These cells multiply by

* An interesting case in which cancer of the hand was produced by the handling of soot, in a gardener, who had long been in the habit of spreading it over his beds as manure, is related by Mr. Travers, and is cited by my brother, Dr. William Budd, in a paper published in the *Lancet*, in 1843, in which the origin and propagation of cancer are fully considered, and from which some of the instances adduced in the text have been borrowed.

throwing off the germs of fresh cells from their outer surface; and sometimes also, as in colloid cancer, from their inner surface.

All these circumstances give powerful sanction to the opinion, that cancer originates in depraved nutrition of the original nucleated cells of the part in which it first appears. We are ignorant of the conditions which lead to this depraved nutrition, except in the comparatively few cases in which the disease can be traced to some direct injury, or to some palpable cause of irritation.

Cancer seems to depend less on the general state of nutrition, and more on accidental conditions affecting the particular part, than some other diseases—for instance, consumption, and scrofula—which likewise result from faulty nutrition. It is not hereditary in the same degree, and it very seldom originates, as the last named diseases do, at the same time, or nearly at the same time, in fellow organs, on the two sides of the body. It occurs also in persons who are plethoric and seemingly robust.

Symptoms. Cancer of the liver comes on without marked constitutional disturbance, and its early symptoms are very obscure. When the disease originates in the liver, the patient usually complains first, of uneasiness, and of a sense of fulness and weight, in the right hypochondrium, with impaired appetite, flatulence, and other disorders of digestion.

After these ailments have lasted for some time, the medical attendant, or perhaps the patient himself, discovers that the liver is enlarged. The liver is felt extending across the epigastrium, or below the false ribs, sometimes reaching as low as the umbilicus, or lower, and not unfrequently an unevenness of its surface, caused by the cancerous tumors projecting above it, can be distinguished through the walls of the belly. The patient now, or even before this, suffers severe pain in the region of the liver, and the functions of the organ are often hindered. In one case, there is jaundice; in another, slight ascites; and sometimes, both these symptoms occur at once.

In addition to these local symptoms, we may often remark some of the *sympathetic* disorders—vomiting, a short dry cough, rigidity of the abdominal muscles, pain in the right shoulder—which have already been noticed as frequently occurring in abscess of the liver.

The various disorders of digestion, usually with frequent vomiting, or retching, and with depression of spirits, continue; and the patient falls away in flesh and strength. When the tumors grow rapidly, some degree of fever is usually set up: the pulse is habitually rather frequent, the skin of the hands is often hot, the appetite is capricious—in some cases, quite gone; in others, on the contrary, at times, almost ravenous—the bowels are sluggish, the tongue is red and furred, and the urine is high-coloured, and throws down a lateritious sediment, which is almost always *pinkish*.

In advanced stages of the disease, there is often, as in cancer of other parts, profuse sweating; and the patient has aphthæ of the mouth, colliquative diarrhœa, and other tokens of defective nutrition—and at length dies of exhaustion.

Such is the usual course of primary cancer of the liver, but the remark, which was made in a former chapter on abscess of the liver, applies equally here—namely, that the local symptoms, on which we rely most in forming our diagnosis, are far from being uniform, or constantly present. The degree of enlargement of the liver, and of pain or tenderness, and the presence or absence of jaundice and of ascites—depend, mainly, on the number, and size, and situation, of the tumors, on their rate of growth, and on the inflammation which they happen to excite in their neighbourhood—circumstances which vary in every separate case.

Enlargement of the liver, which is the most constant, and by far the most significant, of these local symptoms, in most cases, varies in degree with the number and size of the cancerous tumors. If the tumors be few in number, and small, there may be no enlargement of the organ that can be discovered while the patient is alive. But this very seldom happens. Almost always, the liver is perceptibly enlarged, and in some cases it attains a prodigious size. A case is related by Dr. Farre, in which the liver, which was thickly studded with cancerous tumors, was more than *fifteen pounds*, in weight. The enlargement of the liver is constantly progressive, and in the soft and vascular varieties of cancer, is so rapid, that, week after week, a further increase in the size of the organ may be noticed.

The degree of *pain* and of *tenderness* depends, perhaps, chiefly on the situation of the cancerous masses, and on their rate of growth. When the tumors are deep-seated and of slow growth, as when there are deep-seated abscesses, there may be no distinct pain, or tenderness. When, on the contrary, the tumors are superficial and grow rapidly, projecting above the surface of the liver and stretching its capsule, and more especially when they cause adhesive inflammation of the serous membrane above them, the pain and tenderness are usually great.

The pain has not, as many writers have asserted, a particular and constant character. In some cases, it is lancinating; in others, not.

When the liver extends far below the false ribs, it may occasionally be remarked, that the tenderness is greater at some points than at others. It is greatest at those points, where tumors project, or where circumscribed inflammation has been excited in the serous membrane above them.

The presence or absence of *jaundice*, seems to depend, not so much on the number and size of the tumors, and on their rate of growth, as on their being so situated as to compress the common or the hepatic duct, or one of its large branches. The liver may be tripled in volume, without jaundice; and, on the other hand, there may be deep jaundice, without appreciable enlargement of the organ, and without pain or tenderness.

Jaundice is a frequent symptom in cancer of the liver; occurring probably, sooner or later, in the majority of cases. When it has once come on, it continues till the death of the patient. It results, in most cases, as stated above, from some of the gall-ducts being compressed by the cancerous tumors; but it may also result from the ducts being closed by the growth of cancerous matter within them, and, perhaps, without any compression, or closure, of the ducts, merely from much of the substance of the liver being involved in the cancerous growths, and destroyed.

Ascites occurs much less frequently than jaundice. Its presence or absence, like that of the latter symptom, seems to depend more on the situation of the tumors, than on their number and size. Circumstances have already been mentioned, which render it probable that the ascites results from obstruction to the flow of

blood through branches of the portal or of the hepatic vein, either from the pressure of neighbouring cancerous tumors, or from the presence of cancerous matter, or of fibrine, in the vein itself. The immediate cause of the ascites is clearly different from that of the jaundice. Ascites may exist, without jaundice; and jaundice, without ascites.

The quantity of fluid effused is generally small. As before remarked, it happens but seldom that the belly is *distended* by fluid, as it is in the advanced stages of cirrhosis.

The ascites may come on without pain. In some cases, indeed, its occurrence relieves the pain, which the patient previously suffered, by preventing the tender surface of the liver from rubbing so much against the walls of the belly.

When ascites has occurred, it is, like jaundice, generally, if not always, permanent; a circumstance which tends further to show that it results from some mechanical impediment to the passage of the blood.

The degree of *constitutional disturbance* excited by cancer of the liver, when other organs are sound, depends chiefly on the rapidity with which the cancerous tumors grow and multiply. When the tumors are scirrhus, they may, from their situation, produce local, or special, symptoms—pain, or jaundice, or ascites,—but they cause little fever, or other disturbance of the system at large. When, on the contrary, they are very vascular, and grow rapidly, there is usually an irritative fever, and the patient wastes rapidly, even when no inflammation is set up about them.

The following case, for which I am indebted to my brother, Dr. Richard Budd, of Barnstaple, is remarkable for the severe pain and the rapid wasting caused by cancer of the liver, without any inflammatory process.

CASE.—Symptoms of indigestion—Lowness of spirits—Pain in the right hypochondrium and right shoulder, which becomes agonizing—Enlargement of the liver, sallowness, loss of appetite, retching, constipation, jaundice, œdema of the feet, rapid wasting—Death after an illness of six months—Liver studded with cancerous tumors—Cancerous disease of the gall-bladder and ducts—A few of the neighbouring mesenteric glands tainted with cancer.

April, 1844.

M. T—— was a married woman, the mother of seven or eight children. She was 52 years old, and had ceased to menstruate about four years. She

enjoyed remarkably robust health until last September, when her appetite failed, and she became much depressed in mind. I was consulted in the first week of December. She had then the ordinary symptoms of dyspepsia:—loss of appetite, flatulence, foul mouth, costive bowels, and lowness of spirits. Her complexion was sallow. She also complained of pain in the right hypochondrium, and in the right shoulder. She was at this time quite strong, and capable of attending to her domestic duties. The pain in the right side rapidly increased, and soon extended over the epigastrium. On examination about a week after I first saw her, the edge of the liver was distinctly felt underneath the ribs, and was very tender on pressure. Before the end of the month, she was confined to her bed, and the pain in the side, in the epigastrium, and underneath the right shoulder-blade, had become agonizing. The slightest examination caused exquisite torture, and from this time until her death she was unable to lie down, and rested constantly on her hands and knees. The liver increased in size gradually, and before she died formed a large hard tumour below the ribs, extending across the epigastric region. As the disease advanced, there was less and less desire for food, and there were frequent and distressing retchings. The bowels were obstinately costive throughout, owing, no doubt, in some measure, to the large quantities of opium which were given to relieve her dreadful sufferings. The evacuations from them were natural in appearance until about three weeks before death, when they became white. The skin at the same time became jaundiced, and the urine (which had always been scanty) loaded with bile. Now also, I perceived some œdema of the feet. The rapid wasting of flesh, from this time up to her death, which took place on the 11th of March, was very remarkable. There was no fever from first to last, and until the last moments the pulse was always good. The urine was loaded with lithates and purpurates in a greater degree than I had ever witnessed before.

She attributed her disease to very great anxiety, which she experienced last summer, but about eighteen months ago she received a severe blow on the right side by falling with great force on the edge of her shop-counter. She suffered severe pain in the side for some weeks after this accident, but it gradually passed away, and she got, apparently, quite well.

She was a highly respectable woman, of a healthy stock, and of industrious and temperate habits.

On examination after death, the liver was found of large size, and its left lobe reached over the stomach into the left hypochondrium. The whole lower edge was converted into cancer, and indeed the whole organ was studded with it so as to present when divided at least two-thirds of cancer for one of liver. The ductus communis and the cystic duct were obliterated by cancerous matter, and the gall-bladder, which contained about a teaspoonful of inspissated bile, was covered with cancer granules. A few of the mesenteric glands in the vicinity of the stomach were tainted. The rest of the contents of the abdomen were healthy, and there was not the slightest peritoneal adhesion in any part. The kidneys were of natural size, and deeply tinged with bile. The right kidney appeared to be quite healthy, but the left was

flabby, and paler than natural, and its cortical substance showed some signs of fatty degeneration.

The left ovary was as large as a hen's egg, of a very dark, almost chocolate, colour, and there were two serous cysts attached to it by pedicles.

The heart and the lungs were quite sound, and there were no pleuritic adhesions.

The cancerous tumors were generally white, except where they were crossed by injected vessels; but about the circumference of some of the large tumors, and in some of the small ones throughout, the cancerous matter was tinged of a deep green with bile.

In the following case which lately fell under my care in King's College Hospital, the disease proved fatal just as rapidly, but the symptoms were in many respects different. There was much less pain, and ascites occurred instead of jaundice. The most remarkable feature in the case is perhaps the absence of anything like a pink deposit in the urine.

CASE.—Pain and tenderness in the right hypochondrium—Retching—Loss of appetite—Œdema of the legs—Enlargement of the belly—Liver large and nodulous—Loss of flesh—Death from exhaustion after an illness of seven months—Liver studded with projecting medullary tumors—Some small cancerous tumors in the mesentery, near the liver—A single cancerous tumor in the left lung.

Ann Cleal, æt. 70, a widow, was admitted into King's College Hospital, on the 27th March, 1844.

She was born in London, and always lived there; has had six children; the latter part of her life has gained her living as monthly nurse.

She was confined for her first child at the age of 22, and from that time to the present, has had occasionally,—as often, on an average, as once in six weeks,—a sudden attack of pain in the lower part of the belly, attended with vomiting and purging. These symptoms usually continued a day or two, after which she soon recovered. Was never jaundiced in any of these illnesses.

Since the age of 50, has been likewise subject to rheumatic pains in the limbs, but has never had rheumatic fever.

With the exception of these ailments, her health was good until twelve months ago, when she had severe diarrhœa, which lasted five weeks.

Six months ago, began to have pain and tenderness in the right hypochondrium, together with retching, which occurred five or six times a day, without nausea, or other warning, and which ended in her bringing up from the stomach a clear phlegm.

In the month of December, between two and three months after the occur-

rence of these symptoms, she lost her appetite, and became thirsty, and had a sensation of numbness in the legs and thighs. This had continued a fortnight, when she perceived that her ankles were œdematous. In two or three days more, the legs and thighs were in the same state. Soon after this she fancied that her liver, to use her own expression, "was in lumps."

The swelling of the legs continued, and about a month ago she remarked, for the first time, that her belly was swollen. Since then, the belly has been rapidly enlarging.

The pain under the right false ribs has continued, but does not seem to have been ever very severe. The retching also has continued to recur, but less frequently than at first. It has always ended in the discharge of a clear phlegm, which has been generally insipid. Has never vomited her food.

Latterly, her appetite has failed, and food, taken even in small quantity, has caused pain, and a sense of fulness, at the stomach.

At the time of her admission into the hospital, she was sallow, and much emaciated. There was great œdema of both legs, but none of the arms or face. The belly was very large and fluctuating, but its walls were not tense, and through the fluid in the peritoneum a number of round tumors, of the size of small oranges, could be distinctly felt, occupying all its upper part as low as the umbilicus. These tumors felt hard. She complained of slight soreness when they were pressed, and of an aching for some time after, but otherwise was free from pain, except on turning in bed, when she had pain under the right false ribs, and in the back. She had no appetite, and was occasionally thirsty. Had retching once or twice a day. The bowels were regular. The tongue was red, covered with a yellowish-white fur, and rather dry. The pulse, which was regular, was 84, and the inspirations were 22, a minute. There was no cough or difficulty of breathing, and no unnatural heat of skin. The urine was scanty, slightly acid, and turbid with pale lithates.

She remained in the hospital until the 22nd of April, when she died rather suddenly, and apparently from exhaustion.

There was little change in her condition from the time of her entering the hospital, except that she grew weaker, and that latterly the œdema somewhat increased, so that for nearly a fortnight before her death her hands were slightly puffed.

On the 15th of April, it was noticed that slight pressure on one of the tumors at the epigastrium caused a very distinct creaking, like that of new leather. This creaking could be felt, more or less marked, from that time to her death. Nothing of the kind was perceived when the other tumors were pressed. The pain under the right false ribs, and in the back, continued, but was never severe. It was most felt on her turning in bed. The tumors were always slightly tender, but never so much so as to cause her to complain when they were examined guardedly. She had never feverish heat of skin. The pulse was always regular, and until the 14th of April, it ranged from 70 to 80, a minute: from the 14th to the 19th, it was always between 80 and 90; from the 19th to her death, from 90 to 96. The breathing was never distressed. The number of inspirations was often counted, and was never

found higher than 24 a minute. The tongue continued red, rather dry, and covered with a rough, yellowish-white fur. She had very little appetite, and at times complained of thirst. Retching was less frequent than before her admission to the hospital, so that she sometimes passed two or three days without it. The bowels were somewhat confined, but they were readily moved by small doses of castor oil. The urine was frequently examined. It was always acid, and generally turbid with pale lithates. Two or three times, it was found quite clear, and on one of those occasions, (on the 12th of April,) it had deposited lithic acid gravel. It never threw down a sediment approaching to pink. It was always passed in very small quantity, but its specific gravity, when measured, did not exceed 1.021. It never contained albumen.

She had no disorder of intellect, and no impairment of the senses, until the day before her death, when it was remarked that she had grown deaf. On some nights, she slept but little; on other nights, well.

On her admission to the hospital, she was ordered five grains of trisnitrate of bismuth, three times a-day; which, she fancied, rendered the retching less frequent, and which she continued to take until the 11th of April, when it was exchanged for a saline draught, containing ten grains of nitre, three times a-day. Occasionally, three drachms of castor oil were given to act on the bowels.

She was kept on milk diet.

The body was examined thirty-seven hours after death.

It was much emaciated, and the skin was slightly sallow. The legs were very œdematous, and the arms slightly so. The belly was enormously distended with a yellowish, serous, fluid of specific gravity 1.015.

When the belly was laid open, the liver presented a strange appearance. It was much enlarged, and that part of its surface which extended below the ribs was extremely deformed by large medullary tumors. When it was cut into, every portion of it, except the part near the diaphragm, and a portion of the right lobe that was shielded by the ribs, was found to be studded with such tumors, from the size of a walnut to that of a large orange. The larger of the tumors projected much above the surface, and were felt during life. In two or three of these, the projecting portion had its surface hollowed, or cup-shaped; in the others, it was spherical. There were no marks of inflammation of the capsule of the liver. On pressing the tumor over which the creaking was felt during life, which was one of those whose projecting portion was cupped, the same creaking was still perceived. It originated within the tumor. None of the other tumors gave a feeling of this kind. The liver, with the tumors, weighed seven pounds.

The tumors were vascular, and the larger of them, when sliced through the middle, presented somewhat of a radiated arrangement of fibres converging to the centre. Their texture was not everywhere the same. The larger tumors near that part of their circumference which was sunk in the liver, and the smaller tumors throughout, were pulpy, and on slight pressure, gave issue to an opaque white fluid, which, under the microscope,

exhibited round or oval cancer-cells, the largest of which were about $\frac{1}{2500}$ th of an inch in diameter. These portions of the tumors presented a mottled appearance, as if the cancerous matter had been deposited in the lobules, without completely effacing them. The central and the projecting portions of some of the large tumors were much firmer, and had a glassy, or gelatinous appearance, with here and there a spot of ecchymosis. When these portions were squeezed, a transparent, colourless liquid, like water, escaped. There were no marks of inflammation in the substance of the liver round the tumors. At some points, where two adjacent tumors nearly touched, the hepatic substance between them was compressed, and some hepatic veins in such portions were flattened. At other points, all the intervening hepatic substance seemed to have become involved in the growth of the tumors which touched each other, or were merely separated by a fissure in which ran a gall-duct, tinged with bile. It was clear that the cancerous matter was deposited *interstitially* in the hepatic substance, and that it did not merely push this substance aside. This was also shown by another circumstance; namely, that in the midst of some of the larger tumors, when these were cut across, a vessel of considerable size was found, which had the characters of a portal vein. The hepatic tissue in those portions of the liver which were free from tumors seemed to be healthy. The hepatic cells contained a good deal of yellow granular matter, but not many oil-globules.

The portal and the hepatic veins were healthy. The gall-ducts were pervious, and, as well as the gall-bladder, appeared to be healthy.

A cancerous tumor, of the size of a walnut, and two or three smaller ones, were found between the transverse fissure of the liver and the lesser curvature of the stomach.

A single medullary tumor, nearly as large as a walnut, was found imbedded in the lower lobe of the left lung. No tumors of this kind were discovered in other parts of the body.

The place of the right ovary was occupied by a thin, tough, fibrous-looking cyst, like the outer fold of the pericardium, of the size of the fist, and filled with a blackish-red, clear fluid, of sp. gr. 1037. This cyst, which probably originated in a Graafian vesicle, was united by narrow bands of false membrane, two or three inches in length, to the brim of the pelvis, and to some loops of intestine. The fluid within it contained so large a quantity of albumen, that the precipitate which formed on the application of heat, or on the addition of nitric acid, was almost abundant enough to render the whole solid. It contained also a considerable quantity of common salt; but no lime, potash, fat, or iron, were detected in it by the usual tests.

The uterus, and the rest of the generative organs, were healthy.

The stomach was quite sound, and its mucous membrane was of natural firmness.

The intestines were not laid open. On the outside they appeared to be everywhere sound.

The spleen was soft, and weighed five ounces and a half.

The lungs were free from adhesions, and, but for the solitary cancerous tumor in the left lung, were quite sound.

The heart was somewhat enlarged from dilatation of its chambers; and the edges of both the mitral and the aortic valves, were slightly thickened.

The aorta, within the chest, presented much 'atheromatous' deposit on its inner surface, and many calcareous plates. In the belly, it was still more diseased, the calcareous plates running together and almost converting some portions of it into a bony cylinder. The renal arteries were smaller than natural.

The kidneys were small, each weighing about four ounces and a half. Their surface was sprinkled with projecting cysts, from the size of a small shot to that of a small pea, and filled with a clear, colourless liquid. When these organs were sliced, their cortical substance seemed to be wasted, and presented a great number of minute white specks, which were just visible to the naked eye. In the tubular portions, white matter of the same kind was seen in lines which had the direction of the tubules.

The cerebral substance forming the septum lucidum and the fornix, was much softened; and the matter of the entire brain was softer than natural.

No other marks of disease were discovered.

In this case, the cancer originated, without doubt, in the liver, and was propagated by the lymphatics to the neighbouring mesenteric glands, and thence, probably, to the lung.

The disease of the right ovary occurred probably during the first pregnancy of the patient, or soon after, and was the cause of the sudden attacks of pain in the lower part of the belly, to which she was so long subject.

The small size of the kidneys resulted, perhaps, from their having for a long time received an insufficient supply of blood, in consequence of the diseased state of the abdominal aorta. The white matter deposited in them, which was different in appearance from that found in ordinary granular degeneration, might also have resulted from this condition.

We are ignorant of the conditions which dispose to primary cancer of the liver, or which immediately excite it, so that in the diagnosis of this disease, we are little helped by knowing the previous habits of the patient, or the circumstances in which he has lately been placed. We know only that the disease does not occur before the age of thirty-five. In persons above this age,

it can only be discovered by the intrinsic import of the symptoms. But in the early stages of the disease, and while the liver is still shielded by the ribs, the symptoms are vague, and such only as are common to various derangements of this organ. They may justly excite our fears; but they cannot give us assurance that the liver is the seat of cancer.

The most significant symptom is enlargement of the liver. When this comes on in the middle period of life, and especially when it is progressive, and when other conditions that may equally give rise to it, are wanting,—when there is no obstacle to the circulation in the chest, when the patient is not consumptive, and when his habits have not been such as to lead us to suspect that he may have cirrhosis,—it affords, of itself, strong presumption of the presence of cancerous tumors. When the liver is of very great size, and its surface can be felt to be nodulous or uneven, there is no longer room for doubt.

Another symptom which is of very frequent occurrence, and which may help us to distinguish this disease from some others in which the liver is likewise enlarged, is constant pain and tenderness.

A small, permanent collection of fluid in the cavity of the peritoneum, when there is no reason to believe it to be the result of cirrhosis, is another significant token of the presence of cancerous tumors in the liver. A large quantity of fluid in the peritoneum is less significant of itself, and it may even increase the difficulty of diagnosis, by preventing our feeling the large and nodulous liver.

When cancer of the liver is consequent on cancer of some other part, its detection is much easier, because, from our knowledge of the frequent dissemination of cancer, symptoms, which are in other circumstances trivial, then acquire great significance. In a woman who has ulcerated cancer of the breast, with the general symptoms of the cancerous cachexy; or in one who has cancer of the uterus which has eaten into the intestine; or in a person who has presented for some time the symptoms of cancer of the stomach,—pain and tenderness in the region of the liver, or a slight increase in its volume, with jaundice, or slight ascites, or even one of these symptoms, are evidence enough that cancerous tumors have formed in this organ. The same symptoms,

occurring soon after an injury to the head, or after amputation of the leg or arm, together with the constitutional symptoms of suppurative phlebitis, would scarcely leave a doubt that abscesses were forming in the liver. Our conclusions are drawn, not so much from the intrinsic value of the symptoms, as from the significance which these derive from the circumstances under which they occur.

The *treatment* of malignant disease of the liver should be simply palliative. Practitioners have, indeed, hoped to destroy cancerous tumors by some powerful alterative, or, if not to destroy them, at least to retard their growth. Various powerful medicines—alkalies, mercury, arsenic, iodine—have been tried in turn with this view, and all,—it is almost needless to remark,—have signally failed. They have aggravated suffering and hastened death, by adding their own noxious effects to those of the malady; but there is no evidence that they have ever in the slightest degree retarded the growth or prevented the multiplication of the tumors. We can, indeed, hardly expect ever to effect this by medicines of any kind—seeing that cancer is not destroyed by any injury short of entire removal, and that it never loses its vitality by any change in the patient's constitution. The objects of rational treatment are, then, to mitigate the pain and any inflammation that may be caused by the cancerous tumors; and to retard the emaciation and exhaustion which they produce.

For the relief of the pain, which is often quite independent of inflammation, we have no means but narcotics, which are very useful for this end, more especially in advanced stages of the disease. The most efficient of these remedies are the different preparations of morphia and conium.

Any inflammation of the peritoneum that may be excited by cancer of the liver, will be best relieved by the application of a few leeches, or by taking away a small quantity of blood from the side by cupping. The diminution of tenderness from these means is often great, and before the strength of the patient is much reduced, there are no countervailing evils which should deter us from their use. When the patient has become somewhat low in condition, we should, of course, be chary in taking away blood; and but little benefit can be expected from other active measures. Any good to be obtained from blisters, or other modes of

counter-irritation, will seldom compensate for the torture and the weakness which they occasion. In the advanced stages of the disease, blisters are never advisable, since in the cachectic condition produced by cancer, and, indeed, in persons much reduced by any organic disease, they often cause severe pain, and give rise to irritable ulcers of the skin. The strength of the patient should be supported by a light, nourishing diet; and we should carefully abstain from mercury, iodine, strong purgatives, and all other powerful and lowering medicines. The wisdom of the practitioner is best shown in his abstaining from all fruitless interference.

In no cases, perhaps, has the specific influence which has been long attributed to mercury in the treatment of liver diseases, done so much harm as in cases in which this organ has been the seat of cancer. In its early stages, the disease is often set down vaguely as enlargement, or obstruction, of the liver, and mercury is given in consequence. In this country, indeed, a few years ago, the patient was fortunate if he escaped salivation, even after the tubera could be plainly felt, or when the existence of cancer elsewhere should have left no doubt as to the nature of the disease of the liver. In eight out of ten cases which have been recorded by Dr. Farre, the patient was mercurialized. In some of these cases mercury was given, or its use was continued, after the tumors in the liver were felt. In three of the cases in which it was given, the patients were young children.

In cases such as these, it is happy for the patient, if the physician sees the true scope of his power, and is especially careful to do no harm where, confessedly, he can do but little good. Dr. Farre makes some judicious remarks on the error that was committed in the cases which he has recorded, in making ineffectual efforts to cure, where the treatment should have been simply palliative. As he well observes, "the perfection of medicine consists, not in vain attempts to do more than nature permits, but in promptly and effectually applying its healing powers to those diseases which are curable, and in soothing those which are incurable."

Encysted knotty tumors of the liver.

In connexion with cancerous tumors of the liver, it will not be altogether out of place to describe tumors which are now and then

met with in this organ, and which, although essentially different from cancerous tumors, resemble them somewhat in appearance, and have been generally confounded with them. The tumors I allude to, are the encysted tumors, containing a cheese-like matter, which have been cursorily noticed in a former chapter, (p. 154). From their nodulous form in the specimens which have fallen under my notice, I have ventured to call them "knotty tumors of the liver."

The first instance of this disease that I met with occurred in a man who had been a hard drinker, and who died under my care, in the Dreadnought, in 1838, at the age of 32. The liver presented marks of extensive adhesive inflammation. It was enlarged, its surface was uneven, its edges were rounded, and its convex surface was united to the diaphragm by tufts of old false membrane. It contained several solid tumors,—the largest of them about the size of a walnut,—which were composed of an uniform, firm, yellowish-white substance. The disease struck me at the time as being different from cancer, but no close examination of the tumors was made. There was no similar disease in any other part of the body.

In the spring of 1844, I had an opportunity of closely examining some tumors of the same kind in a liver which was sent to me by Mr. Busk, and which was taken from a man who died in the Dreadnought, of fever. The liver was of moderate size, and adhered to the diaphragm in patches. It contained about a dozen firm, white, fibrous-looking tumors, from the size of a large pea to that of a walnut. Most of these tumors were imbedded in the liver, but two or three of them reached its surface, and the liver *was adherent to the diaphragm at those spots*. One of the tumors projected above the surface, and the hepatic tissue around the others seemed to be compressed. The larger of the tumors were very nodulous, and all of them, large and small, were surrounded by a thin, but well defined cyst. They appeared to be all situated in portal canals, and were composed of a compact substance, of a dead white colour, to the eye not unlike firm white cheese. This substance was tough, like the fibrine of inflammatory blood, and adhered firmly to the cysts.* Some of

* One of these tumors is preserved in the museum of King's College. (Prep. 327.)

the tumors had at their centres a small cavity, (about the size of a partridge-shot,) filled with a greenish matter, which had the appearance of inspissated bile.

The cheese-like substance of which the tumors were composed, exhibited under the microscope a mass of irregular granules, (which was not much altered by acetic acid,) with some free oil-globules, and with, here and there, a plate of cholesterine. No fluid could be pressed out of it, and it presented no trace of organization—no fibres or cells. A slice of it digested for twenty-four hours in cold muriatic acid, gave a violet solution; showing that it was allied in composition to albumen or fibrine.

The greenish matter which was found at the centres of some of the tumors, presented, under the microscope, a great number of oil-globules, plates of cholesterine, and shapeless masses of an orange-yellow, of various sizes, mixed with irregular, transparent, colourless granules. On a drop of nitric acid being added to the specimen under the microscope, the orange-yellow masses immediately became of a rich marine blue, but remained perfectly distinct. After the glass had been heated, these objects were indistinct, but round purplish globular masses were here and there seen.

The tumors seemed to be of long-standing. There was no similar disease in any other part of the body, nor were there any marks of scrofula, and the person did not appear to be of scrofulous habit.

The hepatic substance was in an early stage of cirrhosis; and the hepatic cells were unusually small, and contained but little oil.

The bile in the gall-bladder was reported by Mr. Clapp, who examined the body, to be of natural appearance.

A short time before this examination was made, I received from Dr. Inman, of Liverpool, some notes of a case in which tubera were found in the liver, which, from Dr. Inman's description, I inferred to be of the same kind as those which have just been described. At my request, Dr. Inman sent me one of the tumors, and my inference proved to be correct. The case is further interesting as illustrating the tendency, noticed in a former chapter, which gangrene of an external part has to produce gangrene of

internal organs, and I shall therefore relate it at length in Dr. Inman's words :—

CASE.—Pain in the region of the liver, more or less severe, for eighteen months—Gonorrhœa—Gangrene of the labia and perineum—Death—Four gangrenous cavities in the right lung, and one abscess—Many small abscesses in the left lung—Large knotty tumors in the liver.

(Jan. 31, 1844.)

“ Maria Sprouds, æt. 31, a market-woman, of loose habits, but not intemperate in drink, was admitted into the Lock with deep sloughing of the vulva and perineum, which extended backwards over the whole sacrum. The day before her death, when I first saw her, the parts were black, and emitted a most disgusting smell. Her breathing was hurried,—the inspirations being forty-four a minute,—and she had cough with expectoration of a thin, serous fluid, not unlike apricot-juice. The odour of gangrene from the vulva was so strong that it was very difficult to say whether the breath was fetid or not. The pulse was 120, and small. She lay on her right side, and did not complain of any pain.

“The following particulars I learned from her sister.—She was always healthy till eighteen months ago, when she began to suffer pain in the region of the liver, which has continued, more or less severe, ever since. Six months ago, she had a venereal complaint, which soon got well. She was not compelled to leave her habitual employment until three weeks before her death. She then complained of pain and swelling of the pudenda, the venereal origin of which she most stoutly denied to her death. At first, there was simply swelling of the labia externa, which soon became black; the skin then broke, and the whole of the vulva began to slough; the gangrene spread rapidly over the sacrum, but not laterally, towards the nates. In this condition she was taken to the Lock, where she died a week after. The nymphæ, the clitoris, and the vagina, were all included in the slough.

“The body was examined eighteen hours after death.

“In the right pleural cavity there was a large quantity of opaque serous fluid, and both the costal and the pulmonary pleuræ, were coated by a recently-formed false membrane. The lung was adherent to the side at a spot corresponding to a cavern, which existed immediately beneath the pulmonary pleura in the middle lobe. On the left side of the chest there was likewise a turbid serous fluid in the pleural cavity, and both the costal and the pulmonary pleuræ were covered with false membrane, but the inflammation had not been so intense as on the right side.

“The right lung was carnified in great extent, and on its middle lobe being cut into, a gangrenous cavern was found, lined by a thin false membrane, and containing a diffuent substance, of repulsive smell, which, when subjected to a stream of water, left a rough, irregular, mesh of partly mortified pulmonary substance. In the vicinity of this cavity, there were three others,

which were smaller, but like it in other respects. There was also a small collection of *pus* in this lung.

"The left lung contained a great many small cavities, lined by a delicate cyst, and containing a thick yellowish matter, like concrete *pus* or softened fibrine, which was insoluble in water, but was easily washed away. These existed in all parts of the lung, but seemed to be most numerous near its surface and edges. This lung also was carnified in great extent. No tubercles existed in either lung. There was some fluid in the pericardium, but the heart was healthy.

"The *liver*, which was of natural size, contained three yellowish-white bodies, which projected a little above its surface, and were attached to the walls of the belly by bands of false membrane about three inches in length. The smallest of these tumors was about the size of a Spanish nut, and was situated at the acute margin of the left lobe. The largest of them was situated at the junction of the right and left lobes, and appeared to be made up of several smaller ones, each of them contained in a cyst. They do not appear to have had any influence on the hepatic substance, as that part of it which is in immediate contact with them does not seem to be denser than natural.

"The stomach, the intestines, the kidneys, the uterus, the mesentery, and the peritoneum lining the pelvis, were all healthy. The internal iliac veins were healthy, and contained no *pus*."

A portion of the liver containing one of the tumors, which was sent me by Dr. Inman, is now in the museum of King's College, (Prep. 326). This tumor, which is as large as a moderate sized potatoe, is widest at the surface of the liver, and projects slightly above it. It is round, but has an irregular surface, not unlike that of a mulberry calculus. The knotty projections are not distinct tumors, as Dr. Inman supposed, but mere excrescences. They are all included in a common cyst, which although very thin, is readily distinguished, from its being more transparent than the substance it contains.

The tumor was evidently formed in a portal canal. A portal vein of considerable size can be traced into its capsule, round which it winds for some distance. The substance of the tumor is precisely of the same character as that of the tumors in the liver which was sent me by Mr. Busk. It is of a dead white, or rather faint yellowish-white, firm, smooth when cut, and apparently homogeneous, not unlike firm white cheese. As happened in the tumors before described, it adhered firmly to the inner surface of the cyst. Under the microscope, it exhibits a granular matter, and some small free oil globules, but no plates of cholesterine. The granular matter is rendered a little more transparent.

but not much more so, by the addition of a drop of acetic acid. The substance of the tumor contains less oil than that of the tumors of the same kind which I had before examined. A particle picked out from the centre of the tumor, showed small orange-coloured masses, which seemed to be composed of the colouring matters of bile. The substance of the tumor exhibits no trace of organisation—no fibres or cells. A small slice of it, weighing 4·6 grains, which was dried by my friend, Dr. Miller, at 200° F., left an ash amounting to 0·15 grains.

In the museum of King's College, (Prep. 328,) there is another preparation, showing a portion of liver, which contains three tumors, evidently of the same kind as those just described. No history of the case is given.

The tumors are about the size of hazel-nuts, and reach the surface of the liver, which at those spots is covered by a false membrane. The matter composing them is more friable than in the former cases, and exhibits under the microscope irregular granules, with here and there an orange-yellow mass, that appears to consist of biliary matter, and also a few plates of cholesterine, and some round globules of solid matter, which refract light strongly, and some of which exhibit faint rays proceeding from the centre. These globules were most of them dissolved when a drop of ether was put on the glass under the microscope, and were probably composed of stearine.

A fresh section was made of two of these tumors, and a small mass of concrete biliary matter was found in the centre of each, exactly as in the tumors which were sent to me by Mr. Busk.

In this specimen, there is a good deal of green biliary matter in the hepatic substance, and at a spot near the tumors a small biliary concretion.

From the examination of these tumors, it would seem that they are analogous to the glairy cysts described in a former chapter, and that they result from dilatation of portions of the hepatic ducts by matter secreted by their mucous membrane. This explains their being encysted, and also another circumstance, which I noticed when examining them,—namely, that the cyst is not thicker in the large tumors than in the small. It explains, too, the presence of biliary matter in the centres of all these tumors. The circumstance that, in all the specimens, an old false mem-

brane covered the tumors which reached the surface, but not other portions of the liver, showed that an inflammatory process attended their formation.

It would appear, therefore, that the disease commences as inflammation of the mucous membrane of the hepatic ducts—that, in consequence of this, a duct becomes closed at some point, and the portion behind distended into an irregular pouch by the matter subsequently secreted. This origin explains the absence of any trace of organization in these tubera. The matter which is poured out on the free surface of an inflamed mucous membrane, is not susceptible of organization; but, if it be pent up in a closed cavity and do not contain much pus, forms at length a cheese-like mass, as in these tubera.

Encysted cheesy masses of the same kind are occasionally found in the lung; and they may also form in the kidney.

The cheesy-matter of a scrofulous gland originates in the same way—from inflammation of the mucous membrane of the gland.

Small tumors containing a cheese-like matter, are now and then found under the skin, especially on the inside of the upper arm; and which probably originate in circumscribed inflammation of the lymphatics.

Tubera of this kind can only form in mucous tubes which are small, and which,—as the lymphatics, the hepatic gall-ducts, and the small bronchial tubes,—have, in fulfilling their natural office, but a feeble current through them.

Abercrombie, in his work on the stomach and intestines, has given a short chapter on tumors of the kind under consideration, and has classed them with glairy cysts of the liver. The chapter is headed, "Tubera of the liver without other disease of its structure." He says, "These tubera present externally a surface elevated into irregular knobs, of a yellowish or ash colour, and perhaps from two or three inches in diameter. Internally they exhibit a variety of textures—in some cases fibrous, in others tuberculous or cheesy, and frequently there are cysts containing a viscid fluid. It appears that they produce marked symptoms, only when they are numerous or accompanied by enlargement of the liver, or disease of its general structure; but that when the structure is otherwise healthy, they may exist without any symptoms calculated to give a suspicion of their presence. Of this I shall only give the following example." (*Diseases of the Stomach*, 2nd edit. p. 367.)

The example given by Abercrombie is the case of a gentleman, aged 80, who had enjoyed uninterrupted good health until a few weeks before his death, when he became one day suddenly incoherent. This disorder of intellect was removed by purgatives, and he had not shown any other symptom of disease, when one morning he was found dead in his bed. "No morbid appearance could be discovered to account for his sudden death, except that all the cavities of the heart, the aorta, and the vena cava, were completely empty of blood. On the convex surface of the liver, there was a tumor about three inches in diameter, elevated into numerous irregular knobs; on cutting into it a cavity was exposed capable of holding about 3viii, and full of an opaque ash-coloured fluid, which could be drawn out into strings. The liver in other respects was perfectly healthy."

For a more particular account of these tubera, Abercrombie refers to the work on the morbid anatomy of the liver, by Dr. Farre, in which, however, only cancerous tumors of the liver are described.

SECT. II.—*Hydatid tumors of the liver.*

HYDATID tumors, like cancerous tumors, are more common in the liver than in any other organ.

They consist of a sac, of peculiar character, which is closely lined by a thin membranous bladder, or cyst, and filled with fluid, which is usually colourless and limpid as the purest water. In some cases, on a superficial examination, nothing more than this appears ; but generally, in hydatid tumors in man, there are found floating in the liquid a variable number (sometimes many hundreds) of globular bladders or cysts, similar to that which lines the sac, but of various sizes, from that of a small pea to that of a walnut. To these bladders, Laennec gave the name, *Acephalocyst*,—from ἀκέφαλη κύστις—a bladder without a head.

The *sac*, which seems to be formed of condensed hepatic tissue and the remains of obliterated vessels, has just the same character whether it contain merely the cyst which lines it, or many floating acephalocysts besides. Its thickness varies with the size and age of the tumor, and perhaps also with the degree of resistance which it has experienced in its growth. In small and recently formed tumors it is very thin ; but in large tumors of long standing, it has sometimes a thickness of four or five lines. It is then white and tough, very much like cartilage, and is easily separable into many layers. The surrounding hepatic substance adheres to it closely, and when this is scraped away, the sac is left hanging on the side towards the transverse fissure, by fibrous threads, (the remains of obliterated vessels,) which are lost in its coats. The inner surface of the sac is generally rough and fretted, and often presents, here and there, yellowish spots, which, to the naked eye, are very like the yellow spots so frequently found on the inner surface of arteries.

The membranous bladder, or *acephalocyst*, by which the sac is in all cases closely lined, is not adherent, and may be readily drawn out by the forceps. Its coats, which are friable, and of the firmness of hardened white of egg, are *very finely* laminated.

The layers are, indeed, far too fine to be seen by the naked eye, or even by low powers of the microscope.

Nothing varies more than the fertility, if so it may be termed, of acephalocysts. Sometimes, and it is almost always so in the hydatid tumors of the lower animals, the cyst which lines the sac contains no floating hydatids; in other cases, even of long standing, it contains only a few, perhaps eight or ten; while, now and then, it is literally crammed with them, and these, again, may, it is said, contain another generation.

When the floating acephalocysts have plenty of room, they are all globes or spheroids; but when closely packed, they assume various other forms, in consequence of their mutual pressure.

The floating hydatids have a uniform smooth surface, and are very finely laminated, but they exhibit no vessels, nor any apparent structure, under the highest powers of the microscope. Their membrane is elastic, and when punctured contracts, so as to spurt out the fluid it contains. It breaks down readily under the finger, like coagulated white of egg. The inner layers are softer than the outer, and, after death, sometimes separate in flakes, rendering the fluid turbid.

The membrane of acephalocysts is composed of a substance which is closely allied to albumen. In some of the acephalocysts which are preserved in the museum of King's College, numerous crystals or amorphous masses are seen under the microscope, which are soluble in acetic acid, and which seem to be composed of phosphate of lime.

The liquid of acephalocysts has a specific gravity from about 1.008 to 1.013, is neutral or slightly alkaline, as tested by litmus or turmeric paper, and has a salt taste. It contains common salt in large quantity; extractive or animal matter, in an undefined form, in much smaller proportion; and a trace of other saline matters—probably, all the salts of the blood which are not associated with its albumen. It contains no albumen, or only a faint trace of it, and no phosphates. It shows nothing under the microscope, but when a drop of it is slowly evaporated on a plate of glass, beautiful, colourless, microscopic crystals of muriate of soda are left.

The question has long engaged the attention of pathologists—What is the nature of hydatid tumors, and how do they originate?

By some, acephalocysts have been supposed to be true parasites, having independent vitality, and propagated by germs introduced from without. By others, they have been supposed to result from depraved nutrition of one of the normal constituents of the body. A few years ago, this latter opinion was expressed in more definite terms by the most eminent of our anatomists,* who imagined them to result simply from unnatural development of the nucleated cells, which perform such an important part in the nutrition and growth of all organised bodies.

The question seems at length in the way of being settled by the interesting discovery, to which attention has lately been recalled by a French physician, M. Livois, that acephalocysts are the dwelling-place of those microscopic animacules, to which Rudolphi gave the name *echinococcus*, from the cylinder of hooks which surrounds the head. It has long been known that echinococci occasionally exist in countless numbers in acephalocysts, but such instances have been considered *exceptional*, and the echinococci have been regarded as parasites of the hydatids. The researches of M. Livois,† however, have led him to the conclusion, that echinococci exist in all acephalocysts. He states that among more than eight hundred hydatids from man and other animals, he did not meet with a single one without them. In order to satisfy myself of the correctness of these observations, I opened seven of the preparations of hydatids in the museum of King's College, and obtained the assistance of Mr. Busk in examining them. In five of these we had no difficulty in finding echinococci, or some of their remains, in the acephalocysts. In one of these preparations, in which the acephalocysts were a good deal decayed, only the hooks of echinococci were seen, which, like the teeth and bones of larger animals, remain when the other tissues are destroyed. In two of the preparations, no echinococci were found, but their absence could be explained from the state of the acephalocysts. One of these preparations contained several hydatids, which had been *expectorated*, and were all broken; the other contained an


* See Owen's Lectures on the Comparative Anatomy and Physiology of the Invertebrate Animals, p. 44.

† Recherches sur les Echinocoques, chez l'homme et chez les animaux. Paris, 1843.

immense solitary acephalocyst, which was turned inside out. It is possible that in these two instances, all the echinococci escaped on the rupture or inversion of the cysts, or that they were afterwards washed away. I examined, besides, great numbers of hydatid tumors in the livers of sheep, and only failed to discover echinococci in one or two instances.

When an acephalocyst quite fresh is opened, its inner surface may often be seen to be covered with particles of an opaque white, which are just visible to the naked eye, and which look like very diminutive fish-spawn. These particles are often not adherent to the cyst, and may be readily detached by a slight shake of the fluid. Sometimes they escape in great numbers in the fluid which spurts out when the cyst is punctured. Under the microscope they are found to be echinococci.

FIG. 14.




Echinococci are oval, transparent, colourless creatures, somewhat egg-shaped, and presenting, under the microscope, a distinct double outline, as represented in Fig. 14. The anterior end (*a*) has a depression or cleft, from which there is an evident canal or mouth, leading to a circlet of hooks which is within the body, and nearer the posterior end than the anterior. (*c*) represents one of the hooks or teeth, more highly magnified. The posterior end (*b*) has also a slight depression, which has now and then a fibrous pedicle attached to it.

The creature is studded with globular bodies, which, from their refracting light strongly, have, under the microscope, a strong

dark outline and a bright centre. They seem to be in the membrane of which the body is composed, or rather between the outer membrane and an interior solid body, and are at different depths from the object-glass, so that, while some are clear under the microscope, others are indistinct.

Commencing decomposition causes the circlet of hooks to pro-

FIG. 15.



trude, and the creature has then the form represented in Fig. 15, or one much more elongated. It is probable that the living animal has the power of protruding its head, but fresh specimens have almost invariably the circlet of hooks within the body.

Most writers who have described echinococci, state that when the head is protruded, four suckers may be seen just below the circlet of hooks; but these suckers have not been visible in any specimens which I have examined, whether taken from human hydatids, or from those of the sheep.

With echinococci, as just described, there are generally seen a few other bodies, which are about half their size, and which have not the same regular oval form. Their outline is single instead of double, and they present a confused mass of small granules, without any of the distinct globules which are seen in the larger ones. The body, instead of being colourless and transparent, is yellowish and opaque. The circlet of hooks is visible, but it is indistinct. These are probably echinococci not yet fully developed.

In some hydatids the echinococci are not seen as white grains on the inside of the cyst, and are hardly discoverable by the naked eye, but they are readily seen when a portion of the cyst is looked at through the microscope.

It was remarked by Laennec, that the echinococci are sometimes agglomerated into small masses of seven or eight, which are united to each other, and to the inside of the cyst, by a viscid fluid, and by a membranous film attached to the posterior extremity of each animalcule. This remark was confirmed by Müller, who noticed the appearances described by Laennec, in some hydatids which had passed through the urethra of a man, and which came, apparently, from the kidney. M. Livois states that he never found echinococci so attached, and seems to doubt the correctness of the observations of Laennec and Müller. In the spring of last year, Mr. Busk noticed and showed me echinococci thus agglomerated and attached, in an hydatid tumor of the sheep, which he was kind enough to examine at my request. The animalcules were in small globular masses, which were enclosed in a very thin membrane, and were connected with the hydatid cyst by a short, indistinct, fibrous pedicle, as shown in (*b*), figure 16; in which (*a*) represents a portion of the hydatid cyst. (*c*), in the same figure, represents one of these globular bodies, partially broken down, and shows that each individual animalcule has a distinct pedicle.

FIG. 16.




FIG. 17.




Fig. 17 represents a portion of one of the masses more highly magnified, and shows more distinctly the mutual connexion of the echinococci. The animalcules in each mass are of the two kinds (*d*, *e*,) described above.

The question presents itself here—What relation have these ani-

malcules to the acephalocysts? They are, without doubt, closely related to them in some way or other, and are an essential part of hydatid tumors. In the livers of sheep which are infested with hydatids, many minute pearly spots may sometimes be seen, which are too small to be recognised by the eye as hydatid tumors, but which, on being crushed, are found to contain echinococci as large and as perfect as those in the large hydatids. It may be supposed that the acephalocyst is the mere *nidus* of the echinococci, and that it is formed by them. But if this be so, how is it that some hydatid tumors contain many floating acephalocysts, while others, which are of equal size, and are studded with echinococci, contain none? How is it, again, that hydatid tumors in sheep, which are inhabited by echinococci, apparently identical with those of man, never contain floating acephalocysts?

Another supposition, which has been advanced by Mr. Busk, is, that these animalcules, like many others in the lower classes of animals, and like many plants, propagate in two ways—namely, by gemmation or buds, and also by seeds or eggs, which are the echinococci.*

The sac that contains the acephalocysts, as before remarked, increases in thickness with the size and age of the tumor, but it often undergoes other changes. The most common of these arises from the deposit of calcareous matter (phosphate of lime, with a little carbonate,) in its coats, so as to form ossific plates, like those so often found on the inner surface of arteries. This deposit of calcareous matter in its coats, and its ready division into laminæ, establish a striking distinction between the sac of an hydatid tumor in the liver and the cyst of an hepatic abscess. However old or large an abscess be, its cyst is always composed of dense fibrous tissue, not divisible into laminæ, and never containing calcareous matter in the form of deposit. The sac of an hydatid tumor, on the contrary, is readily divisible into distinct laminæ, and, when large and of long standing, almost always contains some ossific plates and calcareous matter in detached grains in its coats.

* A very elaborate paper on the structure and development of echinococci, which will probably remove some of the difficulties noticed in the text, has been lately read to the Medico-Chirurgical Society, by Mr. Erasmus Wilson. The paper will doubtless appear in the forthcoming volume of the Society's Transactions. Another paper, "on the development of echinococci," has lately been read by Mr. Busk to the Microscopic Society.

Sometimes, this calcareous matter is in such quantity, that the entire sac is converted into an osseous cyst. In the museum of King's College, (Prep. 332,) there is a liver containing three large hydatid cysts, whose walls have all undergone this change.

It is probable that earthy matter is most apt to be deposited in the coats of hydatid cysts in aged persons. In the Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal, for October 1835, (p. 286,) the case of a lady is related, who died at the age of 73. Two hydatid tumors were found in the liver, whose sacs were almost completely osseous, and which contained a thick gelatinous matter, and numerous hydatids. It appeared probable, from the symptoms, that the tumors had existed from her eighth year.

It has been remarked by Cruveilhier, that when earthy matter has been thus deposited, and ossific plates are formed, the inner surface of the sac has a striking resemblance to that of a true aneurysm, (an aneurysm without rupture of the coats of the artery,) empty of clots. The walls of the hydatid sac, like the walls of an aneurysm, may be ulcerated from distension; perforation may take place; and the contents of the sac be effused into the cavity of the peritoneum; or, if the ulceration be at a part of the sac which is imbedded in the liver, the sac may become dilated at this part into a pouch, which may at length burst. Not unfrequently the process of ulceration causes an opening from the sac into the gall-bladder, or into one of the ducts.

These changes seem to be the natural consequences of the peculiar organisation of the sac. They occur in hydatid tumors of the spleen as well as in those of the liver. But in some cases other changes are met with, which are produced by *inflammation* set up within the sac, or in the tissue around it. In what may be called the healthy state of an hydatid tumor, and in almost all recent tumors of this kind, there are no marks of inflammation about the sac, and the hepatic tissue immediately surrounding it has its natural texture, or exhibits only such changes from the natural texture as are produced by *pressure*. But, after a time, adhesive inflammation is generally set up around the sac, and coagulable lymph is poured out, which glues the sac where it projects above the surface of the liver, to the parts—the diaphragm, the walls of the belly, the intestine—with which it happens to be in contact. Old hydatid tumors of the liver, which project above its surface, are generally found united by false membrane to contiguous parts.

Another frequent and more serious change results from suppurative inflammation of the inner surface of the sac, converting it into an abscess. Andral, Cruveilhier, and most writers who have published a series of cases of hydatids of the liver, have given instances in which this has occurred. A great number of others are scattered through our medical journals, and one instance of the kind has fallen under my own notice. In such cases, the hydatid sac contains pus, and fragments of hydatids. When the patient dies soon after the occurrence of suppuration, some hydatids are occasionally found entire, *and containing a perfectly limpid fluid*, although the fluid in which they float is purulent. Only the sac is nourished by blood-vessels, and capable of secreting pus. This cannot be formed by the floating acephalocysts. When the patient lives long after suppuration has occurred in the sac, it is sometimes difficult to discover and identify the fragments of hydatids, but even then the nature of the tumor may be at once told from the character of the sac, which differs essentially from the cyst of an ordinary hepatic abscess, in not adhering so firmly to the hepatic tissue around it, in being readily divisible into layers, and frequently in containing plates or palpable grains of calcareous matter in its coats.

Cruveilhier has made the important remark that, while the fluid in hydatid cysts, in what may be termed their healthy state, is perfectly limpid and colourless, that in hydatid tumors of the liver which have suppurated is almost always more or less tinged with bile. He believes that the entrance of bile into the sac, through ulceration of a branch of the hepatic duct imbedded in its walls, is the most common cause of the suppurative inflammation that converts it into an abscess. I have no doubt of the correctness of this opinion. The greenish colour of the contents of the sac can only be ascribed to the presence of bile, for no such colour has been noticed in hydatid tumors in other parts of the body; and the presence of bile, (which, when applied to serous membranes, excites the most intense inflammation,) is a sufficient cause for the suppuration of the inner surface of the sac. This circumstance explains how it happens that hydatid tumors suppurate so much more frequently in the liver than in any other organ. Suppurative inflammation of the sac may, however, be also excited by other agencies. Andral has related a case (Clin. Med. iv. p. 485,) in which suppuration of the sac occurred with-

out obvious cause, and where, after death, the pus was found to be *white* and creamy. He has also related another case, (Clin. Med. ii. p. 408,) in which pus was found in an hydatid sac in the lung, while the floating acephalocysts contained fluid as transparent as rock water. Cruveilhier states* that he has found pus and fragments of hydatids in an hydatid tumor of the spleen.†

Inflammation, whether adhesive or suppurative, seldom occurs either around or within the sac of an hydatid tumor, until this has attained a certain age. It rarely happens that any traces of it are found in hydatid tumors in sheep, whose allotted duration of life, in their domesticated state, is short.

Occasionally, an hydatid tumor in the liver is found filled with matter of the appearance of glazier's putty, or plaster, with fragments of dead hydatids. This matter, which may accumulate either between the sac and the acephalocyst which lines it, or within this acephalocyst, is composed chiefly of phosphate of lime, and of animal matter allied to albumen. It contains also a small quantity of carbonate of lime, and in some cases, if not in all, a small quantity of cholesterine. Two cases of this kind have fallen under my own observation during the past year, and many others have been collected by Cruveilhier, who rightly considers the secretion of a thick matter from the inner surface of the sac, to be one mode of cure of hydatid tumors. Tumors containing such matter generally look as if they had been at some former time much larger. In some instances no fragments of hydatids, which can be recognised as such, are to be found, and the nature of the tumor can only be inferred from the peculiar characters of the sac.

Similar changes occasionally take place in the contents of hydatid tumors in other organs. In the following case, which I have taken from Cruveilhier, an hydatid sac in the spleen contained a matter like plaster or cheese, while another hydatid sac in the liver contained pus.

Case. A day-labourer, æt. forty-six, of a large powerful frame, and good

* Dict. de Med. et Chirurgie pratiques. Art. "Acephalocyste," p. 244.

† The explanation of some of these cases is, perhaps, that a part of the sac imbedded in the organ became perforated from ulceration; that some of the fluid which the tumor originally contained escaped into the surrounding areolar tissue, and excited suppurative inflammation; and that some of the pus there formed got into the sac, and set up suppurative inflammation of its inner surface.

constitution, was admitted into the hospital (of Dijon) in January, 1839. He stated that for eighteen months he had suffered from tertian ague, which had deprived him of the robust health which he before enjoyed; that this ague lasted two months, and afterwards recurred at different intervals; and that from its first occurrence he had constantly felt in the upper zone of the belly, an impediment, rather than a pain, which now and then deranged digestion, and rendered him less fit for hard work or long walks. Sometimes he had been obliged to give up for a time his fatiguing occupations, but he worked until six weeks before his admission to the hospital. He lived a month after admission, and during that time presented the following symptoms:

Face thin, complexion pale and a little yellow, thirst, bad taste in the mouth, white tongue, tension and dulness on percussion, in all the upper zone of the belly; belly not painful, bowels confined. Dry cough, oppression of breathing, stitch of the side at the level of the left mamma, (this symptom was only of twelve days date,) dulness on percussion over all the left side of the chest, and over the lower part of the right side, absence of respiratory murmur on the left side, no ægophony. Respiratory murmur of natural character on the right side, but distant and feeble in its lower part, pulse very frequent, skin hot and dry.

The oppression of breathing increased, the jaundice became well-marked, and frequent vomiting came on. Soon after, diarrhœa, emaciation, profuse sweating, hectic fever, general œdema, and finally, death, without marked pain or any impairment of intellect.

The pleural cavities were filled with yellowish serum, but the heart and the lungs were sound.

In place of the right lobe of the liver was a large sac filled with very fœtid pus and some hydatids. The left lobe of the liver was enlarged, apparently from displacement of the substance that originally formed the right lobe, and which seemed to have been pushed to the left. This sac was lined by a gangrenous "detritus," of an orange-yellow colour. From its sides hung large shreds of membrane, many of which presented here and there cartilaginous and chalky scales. Most of the shreds were still adherent: but some were completely detached and of the brightest orange-yellow tint. At its upper part this immense sac communicated by two large openings with a second cavity situated between the diaphragm and the convex surface of the liver. This cavity, which likewise contained gangrenous shreds, was on the point of opening through the diaphragm. Over all the peritoneal surface of the sac, the liver was firmly united to the diaphragm. On a close examination of the sac, the right branch of the hepatic duct was found to open into it.

Another hydatid tumor existed in the spleen, projecting from its posterior surface, which seemed to be moulded on the outer surface of the sac. The coats of the sac, which were dense and leathery, creaked under the scalpel, and presented some calcareous scales. The sac contained a large acephalocyst, folded up and compressed, and a matter like cheese or plaster, which adhered to its inner surface and filled up the outer folds of the acephalocyst. (Anat. Path., liv. 35. pl. 1.)

This case is interesting as exhibiting most of the changes which are apt to occur in hydatid tumors in the liver:—calcareous degeneration of the walls of the sac; irregular dilatation of the sac, so as to form additional pouches in the substance of the liver; perforation of a gall-duct, entrance of bile into the sac, and, consequently, suppurative inflammation of the inner surface of the sac.

In the hydatid tumor in the spleen, chalky matter was likewise deposited in the coats of the sac, and matter of the same kind was secreted from its inner surface. Cruveilhier supposes the secretion of this matter in hydatid tumors to be consequent on the death of the hydatids. It is perhaps just as likely that it is the primary change, and that it destroys the hydatids and the microscopic animalcules that so constantly inhabit them.

There is still another source of danger from hydatid tumors in the liver. They are apt to burst, either from blows or accidental pressure, or from ulceration, and to discharge their contents into the cavity of the peritoneum. From the nature of the fluid in healthy hydatid cysts, it might be imagined that their bursting into this cavity would excite no inflammation, and would be attended with little danger. But experience has proved the contrary. The fluid in hydatid cysts, although so limpid and colourless, is a violent irritant for the peritoneum, always exciting the most intense inflammation of it. Cruveilhier imagined that the inflammation might result from some of the hydatids escaping from the sac and irritating *mechanically* the surface of the serous membrane; but the same thing happens from the bursting of a solitary hydatid cyst. The bursting of an hydatid cyst, whether it contain floating hydatids or not, and when the liquid only of the cyst escapes into the cavity of the peritoneum, excites intense inflammation of that membrane, and may destroy life as soon as the bursting of the gall-bladder or of an hepatic abscess. Cruveilhier, in the paper already referred to, (*Dict. de Med. et Chir. Pratiques. Art. Acephalocyste,*) has collected from various sources four cases (obs. 6, 7, 8, 9,) in which the patients died very rapidly, with the symptoms of peritonitis from perforation of the bowel, from the accidental rupture of an hydatid cyst in the liver; and two cases of the same kind are related by Mr. Caesar Hawkins in the eighteenth volume of the Medico-Chirurgical Transactions,

(p. 124 and p. 126). In three of these six cases (Cruv. obs. 6, 8, 9,) the sac contained many hydatids; in the other three the acephalocyst was solitary, and nothing but the fluid it contained and echinococci, could have escaped into the cavity of the peritoneum. From these cases and from others of the same kind, it would seem that the bursting of an hydatid tumor into the sac of the peritoneum, causes death as surely, and just as speedily, as the bursting of an abscess, or as perforation of the stomach or bowel. Mr. Hawkins, in the paper already cited, has related some other cases in which the fluid of hydatid cysts in the breast and other parts, seemed to be very irritating, causing sloughing and fungoid ulceration.

From the apparently simple constitution of a fluid, and from its harmlessness when applied to one tissue, we must not infer its harmlessness when applied to other tissues over which it is not destined to pass. Atmospheric air, which seems to be so bland, and which is in healthy relation to the skin and to large tracts of mucous membrane, is a most violent irritant to the serous covering of the lungs.

A very important point in the history of hydatid tumors of the liver, is that very often more than one such tumor is found in the same person. Sometimes, the liver itself contains two hydatid tumors; and some rare instances are recorded in which it contained three or more. It has been remarked that in such cases the tumors generally contain, each, only a single acephalocyst.

But sometimes, with a single hydatid tumor in the liver, an hydatid tumor is found in the lower lobe of one of the lungs or in the lower lobe of each lung. An instance of this kind is cited by Mr. Hawkins in his paper in the eighteenth volume of the *Medico-Chirurgical Transactions*. There was a solitary hydatid in the liver, and one in the lower part of each lung. Another instance is cited by Cruveilhier, (*Op. cit.* p. 245,) in which there was a multiple hydatid in the liver, and a solitary hydatid of enormous size in the lower lobe of each lung. Another instance is recorded by Andral, (*Clin. Med.* ii. p. 408,) in which, with a solitary hydatid in the liver, there was a solitary hydatid in the lower lobe of the left lung.

I am indebted to Dr. Watts, of Manchester, for details of a case that fell under his care, in which, with a solitary hydatid in the liver, there was a solitary hydatid in the lower lobe of the left lung.

The patient, a factory-man, forty-seven years of age, had good health till the beginning of the year 1842, when he became dyspeptic, complaining of pain in the stomach, and in the back, below the right shoulder-blade. In the month of April of that year, he was treated by Dr. Williams for inflammation of the left lung. He recovered from this, but the pain in the stomach and in the back continued, and he was not able to resume his work in the factory. On the 12th of April, 1843, he was taken extremely ill, with increase of pain at the stomach, together with acid eructations and with great weakness. This was followed by difficulty of breathing, and at length by symptoms of gangrene of the lung, and he died at the end of a fortnight.

On examination of the body, the liver appeared to be very large, but this was owing to an hydatid tumor, as large as a child's head, which was imbedded in its substance, and which contained a solitary acephalocyst. The cyst was green from the imbibition of bile, and contained a green and turbid fluid.

In the middle of the lower lobe of the left lung was another hydatid tumor, of the size of a large fist, and, like that in the liver, containing a solitary acephalocyst. The lower lobe of both lungs, but especially of the left, was solid but easily broken down between the fingers, giving escape to a thick opaque matter, which had a most disgusting smell of gangrene.

The cysts were presented by Dr. Watts to the museum of King's College.

Hydatid tumors in the lung differ from those in the liver, only in the sac being thinner. In all the instances which I have found recorded, they have been in the lower lobes of the lungs. Owing perhaps to the thinness of the sac and to the compressibility of the lung, they sometimes attain an enormous size, almost filling the chest, and causing death by suffocation.

Hydatid tumors are sometimes formed in the lower lobes of the lung, when there are no such tumors in the liver or in any other organ. Andral has related two cases of this kind, (*Clin. Med.* ii. p. 407 & 410); and several others have been collected by Cruveilhier.

It appears from these cases that hydatid tumors may form primarily in the lung, as well as in the liver, but when, as in the case just referred to, an hydatid tumor is found in both organs at once, we must—if we consider how few people comparatively have an hydatid tumor in either organ—admit that the two tumors are related, either by their dependence on a common cause, or by the dependence of one tumor on the other. If we adopt the latter hypothesis, which circumstances, to be presently mentioned, renders the more probable one, and if we consider that in man single

hydatid tumors are much more frequent in the liver than in the lung, we shall be led to infer that in the great majority of cases in which an hydatid tumor in the lung is associated with one in the liver, the former is the offspring of the latter. We have seen that by ulceration of the inner surface of an hydatid sac in the liver, the gall-ducts that adhere to its walls may become perforated, and bile may flow into the sac, or, conversely, the contents of the sac may escape into the gall-ducts. The blood-vessels may probably be opened by ulceration in the same way. If now a germ of an acephalocyst or echinococcus should enter one of the hepatic veins, it might be carried through the heart to the lung, and there give rise to an hydatid tumor. This hypothesis is in some degree supported by the following interesting case recorded by Andral.

A man, fifty-five years of age, had all the symptoms of organic disease of the heart, and died in a state of asphyxia.

Both lungs were filled with a great number of hydatids. Andral first thought that these were in the substance of the lung, but on careful dissection he discovered that they were all lodged in the *pulmonary veins*. He traced these veins from the heart to the lung, and, on reaching their almost capillary divisions, he found that many of them presented a great number of pouches which were formed by dilatation of a portion of the vessel, and which were filled with hydatids. Beyond each of these dilated portions, the vein regained its former calibre, and a little farther on became dilated again. The largest pouches were of the size of a walnut, the smallest scarcely as large as a pea. The hydatids which they contained had all the characters of acephalocysts. Many of them exhibited small points of a dead white in their coats; others a great number of miliary granulations on their inner surface (which were doubtless echinococci).

In the middle of the liver, was an hydatid sac, with cartilaginous walls, capable of holding a large orange, and containing eight or ten acephalocysts. (Clin. Med. ii. p. 412.)

This case is explained by supposing that hydatid germs from the liver had got into the hepatic vein, and that being carried to the capillary branches of the pulmonary veins, they were there developed and multiplied.

An hydatid tumor of the liver is still more frequently associated with one in the spleen. An instance of this kind has been already cited from Cruveilhier. In his article on acephalocysts, which has been so often referred to, Cruveilhier has given another

instance (obs. ii.) in which there were two hydatid tumors in the liver (not said to be multiple), and two in the spleen. Andral has given an instance in which with a tumor containing floating hydatids in the liver, there was a similar tumor in the spleen; and numerous other cases of the same kind are on record.

An hydatid sac in the spleen undergoes the same changes from distension, and from the deposit of calcareous matter, as an hydatid sac in the liver, from which it differs only in the greater thinness of its coats; the consequence, perhaps, of the less degree of resistance which it experiences in its growth. It is less liable to suppurate than an hydatid sac in the liver, from not being exposed to the entrance of bile.

It is an important circumstance that an hydatid tumor of the spleen, though often associated with one of the liver, is hardly ever found alone. Another circumstance which serves to throw light on the origin of such tumors, and which, like the former, was noticed by Cruveilhier, is that an hydatid tumor is rarely found in the *substance* of the spleen. It is almost always on the posterior surface of the organ, (apparently formed in the gastrosplenic omentum,) and the spleen is moulded upon it.

Sometimes, with an hydatid tumor of the liver, there is a similar tumor in some part of the mesentery. Cruveilhier (op. cit. p. 216) has given the details of a case, recorded by M. Monod, in which there was a tumor of fifteen years standing, containing numerous hyatids, in the liver; another sac of the same kind, partially imbedded in the spleen; a third, in the transverse mesocolon.

Occasionally, with an hydatid tumor of the liver, thousands of hydatid tumors are found in the belly, under the peritoneum and between the folds of the mesentery. Cruveilhier (liv. xix. pl. 1 and 2,) has published drawings taken from a case of this kind.

In the midst of the liver was a large sac containing an acephalocyst, which had collapsed, and which when filled out was three or four times larger than it at first appeared. The coats of the sac were very thick, and a gall-duct opened into it. The sac, on three-fourths of its surface, was invested by the liver: on the remaining fourth, it was confounded with the walls of a cyst of the mesentery. There were three other hydatid tumors, not altered, along the right edge of the liver, and partly sunk into it. The spleen presented

some superficial hydatid cysts. Between the liver and the spleen, and below these organs, there was a large globular mass pointed below, and reaching into the pelvis. This mass, when cut into, presented a number of hydatid sacs of different dimensions, communicating with each other by circular openings, of various sizes. The sacs had all a fibrous structure, and contained, some a single hydatid, others two or three, or as many as seven or eight.

Another case very similar to this is related by Cruveilhier. In that case—

The liver was very large, filling all the right hypochondrium, the epigastrium, and the left hypochondrium; and the omentum was sprinkled with cysts, which extended into the pelvis. The liver contained four cysts, the largest, of the size of an infant's head. An hydatid cyst in the lesser omentum compressed the spleen. The gastro-hepatic omentum and the great omentum contained imbedded in them more than fifty hydatid cysts, from the size of a walnut to that of two fists, and forming a kind of chaplet which extended from the concave surface of the liver into the pelvis. The cavity of the pelvis was filled by a large cyst, situated between the rectum and the bladder, and adhering to the right vesicula seminalis, at the expense of which it seemed to be formed. (Op. cit. Art. Acephalocyste, p. 226.)

A case of the same kind fell under my charge in King's College Hospital, in the autumn of 1842.

CASE.—George Berbick was admitted into King's College Hospital on the 31st of August, 1842. He was 28 years of age, a porter, of temperate habits, and had always resided in London. He had good health till about ten years before, when his belly began to enlarge, without his suffering any particular inconvenience from it, except that ever since he had been "troubled with bile." Five years ago, he had a severe illness, which seems to have been typhus fever, which lasted seven or eight weeks, during part of which he was in Charing Cross Hospital. He recovered perfectly from this illness, but the belly continued to increase in size till three years ago, since which, he states, it has ceased to grow larger. For the last seven years has been subject to "spasms," which of late have been less frequent than formerly. Six weeks ago, was seized with sore throat and erysipelas of the head which lasted a fortnight. Since that time has been losing flesh, and has vomited almost every thing he has taken.

At the time of his admission to the hospital, he was much emaciated, and his intellect was weak, so that he did not always answer questions pertinently. He vomited everything he swallowed, and had some diarrhoea. His urine and faeces were passed in bed. His appetite was bad; his tongue covered with a dark coat; his pulse, 84, very weak. The belly was much enlarged, and the lower part of the chest was greatly expanded. A great number of hard tumors, about the size of oranges, were felt through the walls of the belly, but there was no fluctuation. The dulness on percussion over the

liver extended considerably below the false ribs. Below this in a line extending nearly across the belly, just above the umbilicus, was the clear sound of intestine. Over the rest of the belly, percussion produced a sound, not naturally clear and not altogether dull, giving the idea of a solid layer beneath the abdominal muscles, and resting on the intestines. The chest was dull on percussio on the right side as high as the mamma, and on the left side nearly as high. The heart beat above the left mamma.

He was ordered five grains of sesqui-carbonate of ammonia, and five drops of tincture of opium, every four hours; and f.℥iii. of wine daily.

He gradually sank, and died on the 3rd of September.

The body was examined twenty-four hours after death, and the following notes of the appearances presented were entered in my case-book, by my friend and former pupil, Dr. George Johnson.

"On opening the abdomen, a number of globular tumors were seen connected with the omentum. These proved to be hydatid tumors. Some of them contained a single acephalocyst, filled with a clear fluid; others contained several hydatids, from two to fifty or more; and some of them were quite solid from containing a great number of hydatids from which the fluid had escaped, and which were closely packed in their investing cyst, like a number of dried raisins.* The omentum was removed with these hydatid tumors connected with it, and the intestines beneath were found to be quite sound. The colon passed across where the line of resonance was observed during life. Some tumors of the same kind were connected with the liver, rendering it of enormous size. The substance of the liver was quite healthy. Some of the tumors were partly imbedded in it, and with one of these the gall-bladder communicated. The largest tumor connected with the liver contained about half a pint of fluid.

"Some tumors of the same kind were also connected with the spleen; and one was connected with the summit of the urinary bladder.

"All the large investing cysts were globular, as were also the contained hydatids."

In all such cases, where, with an old hydatid cyst in the liver, we find an hydatid cyst in the spleen, or in the omentum, and other cysts between the layers of peritoneum, it seems probable that the cyst in the liver is usually the parent of all the rest. Their occurrence in the parts mentioned may be explained in the same way as the occurrence of cysts in the lungs, by supposing that an hydatid germ finds its way into one of the small branches of the portal vein imbedded in the primary hydatid sac; that this germ passes backwards in the splenic or mesenteric vein, and there becomes developed into hydatid tumors.

* The hydatids seem to be sometimes destroyed by being packed too closely; or from the containing sac not enlarging sufficiently as they multiply.

According to this supposition, an hydatid tumor in the liver may give rise to secondary hydatid tumors in the lungs, in the liver itself, or between the folds of mesentery, according as an hydatid germ finds its way into the hepatic or the portal vein.

This supposition, as to the origin of the secondary hydatid tumors, explains how it happened that in all cases in which there was an hydatid tumor in the lung, there was only one hydatid tumor in the liver, and none in the spleen or in the mesentery; while in those cases in which there was more than one hydatid tumor in the liver, or in which there was an hydatid tumor in the spleen or in the mesentery, as well as in the liver, there was no such tumor in the lung. It explains, too, the fact, that with an hydatid tumor of the liver in man, we seldom, if ever, find hydatid tumors in other organs, excepting the lungs or the mesentery.

If the presence of more than one hydatid tumor indicated, as some have supposed, a peculiar or constitutional tendency to their formation, they would not be thus limited to particular situations; but when several such tumors existed in the liver, or when the mesentery was studded with them, there would probably be some likewise in the lung; when they had formed in the lungs, we should expect that they would have formed also in the mesentery, or at least, that there would be more than one hydatid tumor in the liver.

The constancy with which hydatid tumors in the liver are associated in one case with hydatid tumors in the lungs only; in another, with hydatid tumors in the spleen, or in the mesentery only, strongly favours the supposition, that a tumor of the liver may, by the escape of germs into a branch of the hepatic or of the portal vein, or into one of the lymphatics, lead to secondary tumors in the lungs, or in the liver itself, or between the folds of mesentery. In such cases, too, there is generally one tumor in the liver, which, from its greater size, from the greater thickness of its coats, and from other marks of age, looks like the parent of the rest. In a large proportion of such cases, this patriarchal looking tumor presents ulceration, or other marks of disease, on the inner surface of the sac.

It has been just stated that an hydatid tumor of the liver is associated only with hydatid tumors in the lung, or in the mesentery. There is, however, a remarkable case published by Mr.

Hill, of Dumfries, (2nd vol. of Medical Commentaries, p. 303,) in which an hydatid tumor (in the liver?) in a little girl, burst and discharged its contents through the walls of the belly. She got quite well from this; but, thirteen years afterwards, three large hydatid tumors which seemed no deeper than the muscles, appeared on different parts of the belly. These tumors burst, two outwards and one into the intestines, and the patient ultimately recovered.

In this instance, the secondary tumors seemed to be confined to the walls of the belly, and probably resulted from adhesion between them and the liver, and the consequent escape of an hydatid germ into one of the veins of the abdominal muscles. It is a striking fact that there were no hydatids in the lungs or in the mesentery, the parts in which they are most frequently found, when there is an hydatid tumor in the liver.

The greatest objection to the hypothesis here advanced to account for the tumors in the spleen and mesentery, is the improbability that an hydatid germ should pass backwards into one of the branches that feed upon the vena portæ, against the current of the portal blood. It seems more natural to suppose that the tumor in the liver in such cases is secondary to those of the spleen or mesentery, and not the origin of them. A strong fact against this latter hypothesis is the appearance of greater age in the tumor in the liver in such cases, and the circumstance that while hydatid tumors in the liver alone are not uncommon, it seldom, if indeed ever, happens that hydatid tumors exist alone in the spleen or in the mesentery.

The list of evils that may result from an hydatid tumor in the liver has not been yet gone through. The pus that may be formed within it, or the proper fluid of the cyst, which, to the peritoneum, at least, is, as we have seen, just as irritating as pus, may become extravasated into the surrounding hepatic tissue, or it may find its way into the veins or excite inflammation of a vein, and so lead to suppurative inflammation in another part of the liver, or to inflammation of both lungs.

In the following case, for which I am indebted to Mr. Bowman, an hydatid tumor of the liver, besides producing other evils, led to disorganisation of the surrounding hepatic tissue, to the formation of an abscess in a remote part of the liver, to suppurative inflammation of the hepatic veins, and to inflammation of

the lower lobes of both lungs. The case is very long; but it is drawn up so admirably, and presents so many points of interest, that I have not ventured to abridge it.

CASE.—“ Judith Austin, a servant girl, æt. twenty-five, was admitted into the hospital (Birmingham) on the 24th of February, 1837. According to her own account and that of her friends, she had enjoyed uninterrupted health up to the Christmas preceding, when, without obvious cause, she was seized with shivering and other febrile symptoms, together with pain in the region of the liver, which was followed after a few days by jaundice. Her disease was considered to be inflammation of the liver. Leeches and blisters were applied, she was bled from the arm, and her mouth was slightly touched by mercury. Under this treatment she seemed to have recovered, and accordingly returned to her place of service; still, however, feeling an uneasiness in her side, and complaining of lassitude and weakness. She had scarcely been at her work a week when she was seized suddenly with a rigor, which was followed by heat of skin and perspiration. On the following morning, three days before her admission to the hospital, she found herself jaundiced.

When brought to the hospital, the jaundice was rather deep, and was attended with itching, particularly at night, and with occasional cramps of the limbs. The skin was rather dry and scurfy, of natural temperature. The pulse slightly accelerated. Respiration natural, without cough. Appetite bad. Slight thirst. Tongue foul. Occasional sickness. Headache. Bowels much constipated. Stools of a light brown colour. Urine of a deep yellow, tinging the linen, and turning to an olive-green on the addition of muriatic acid. She complained of uneasiness in the right hypochondrium, especially on moving, or on lying on the left side. When in the last named posture, she felt a weight dragging from the right side of the belly, and sometimes had nausea; and she always rested on the right side or back. She had likewise at times an aching pain in the right shoulder. On examination, there was found to be considerable fulness and firm swelling, extending from under the cartilages of the ribs on the right side and from the ensiform cartilage, as low down as the umbilicus. As far as could be ascertained, the swelling was of uniform surface, and unyielding. When firm pressure was made upon it, she complained of some pain. The swelling gave out an entirely flat sound on percussion. The rest of the abdomen was tympanitic. Her face was rather pale, and her appearance, independently of the jaundice, was that of a person considerably out of health. The catamenia were regular. A dose of blue pill and colocynth was given every night, which kept up a gentle action of the bowels, and the jaundice grew fainter.

On the 4th of March, she complained of increased pain in the right side, and a blister was applied there in consequence. The blister rose well, but the pain was not relieved.

On the morning of the 8th, she had a slight rigor, with headache, and

thirst, and nausea; and an erysipelatous inflammation appeared around the vesication. (Tartar emetic was ordered in doses of three-fourths of a grain, every second hour, until it should produce vomiting.)

On the 9th, the erysipelas had extended upwards towards the axilla, and vesications had begun to appear on the surface first affected. She had less thirst, and no nausea. The tongue was covered with a yellowish fur; the pulse 88, and soft. (Small doses of tartar emetic and of liquor ammoniæ acetatis were given in camphor mixture; and a spirit lotion was applied to the side.)

On the 11th, the erysipelas had passed away, and the cuticle was desquamating. The stools contained bile, and the jaundice had almost entirely disappeared; but the urine was still deeply tinged. The countenance, however, was very sensibly changed, being now thin and pale, and the strength was materially reduced. There was no abatement of the swelling in the hypochondrium, but the tenderness had subsided. (Small doses of sulphate of quinine, with spir. æther nitrici, were ordered; and two glasses of wine were allowed daily.)

From this time the tumor grew rapidly larger, and towards the latter end of the month it again became very tender. She also suffered from frequent vomiting, and continued to do so up to the time of her death. On the 23rd, and again on the 26th of March, she had a severe and prolonged rigor.

This did not immediately recur but the hectic fever continued, with repeated vomiting, and with much pain in the right hypochondrium.

On the 5th of April, the tenderness over the tumor had increased, and there was a superficial rounded prominence between the cartilages and the umbilicus. The jaundice had quite disappeared. The urine threw down a *pink* sediment.

On the 9th of April, she had another rigor, which lasted two hours, followed by increased heat of skin, but only by very slight sweating. Percussion over the tumor gave an indistinct sense of fluctuation.

The tumor now became more prominent, and the sense of fluctuation more distinct. The bowels were costive and rather tympanitic; and the pain which she had before felt in the right shoulder, was much aggravated.

She gradually sank, and died on the 12th.

The body was examined twenty hours after death.

The liver was found to be exceedingly enlarged, reaching as low down as the umbilicus and into the left hypochondrium. It was adherent by recently effused lymph to a great part of the diaphragm, to the walls of the belly, to the extreme right of the transverse colon, and to the right kidney. These recent adhesions having been separated by passing the finger between the contiguous surfaces, a portion of the convex surface of the liver, as large as the palm of the hand, was found to be so firmly united to the diaphragm, under cover of the cartilages, that it could not be detached. To the feel, the whole of the right lobe seemed to be little more than a great bag of fluid, although a considerable quantity of healthy structure remained towards the left. On a puncture being made, the nature of the disease was

apparent. The contents consisted of more than three pints of a thinnish opaque liquid, which was deeply coloured by bile, and contained pus in the proportion of about one-third, and in which floated a great number of hydatids of various sizes, some being as large as pullets' eggs, while others were no bigger than peas. The larger ones were collapsed bags, more or less transparent, some containing within them similar collapsed cysts, others a gelatinous matter only, and others, merely a serous fluid.

The great cavity in which these were contained, was lined by a dense whitish membrane, an eighth of an inch thick, crossed in various directions by prominent branching lines, which were themselves intersected almost at right angles by others, covered with an irregular coating of soft lymph, coloured by pus and bile. These bands, which were all found to be imperious, were the remains of distended vessels. On the posterior part of the inner surface of the sac, there were the remains of a very thick cartilaginous cyst, which presented some calcareous plates, and was deeply stained by bile. There could be no doubt that this was an old cyst in which the hydatids had been first contained. Several of the biliary ducts emptied themselves into the cavity; but the most remarkable circumstance was that the gall-bladder itself communicated with it, and contained, instead of bile, a number of hydatids floating in a gruel-like fluid. The opening into the gall-bladder was circular, about the size of a writing quill, and situated near the duct. The hydatids in the bladder were too large to pass through this opening, one of them being of the size of a filbert and well distended. They were all globular cysts, and appeared more delicate than those in the large cavity. The mucous membrane of the gall-bladder was pale and healthy, even to the edges of the aperture. The cystic duct was not coloured by bile, but had a free communication with the common duct. This and the hepatic ducts were healthy, and discharged themselves as usual.

On the outside of this immense cyst, the hepatic structure was in very different states in different parts. In some parts it was redder than natural and compressed; in others, it was pale and soft; while in one large portion it was disorganised to a great depth,—of a light brown colour, and fetid smell. The parenchyma was there almost destroyed, nothing remaining but cellular flocculi and the half-dissolved branches of vessels. The tissue of the organ generally was pale and softer than it should be.

In the left lobe, close to the convex surface which adhered to the diaphragm, there was an abscess, of the size of a walnut, bounded by a thick membrane containing nothing but pus. This abscess was contiguous to one of the hepatic veins with which it communicated by an opening large enough to admit a writing quill. That part of the vessel which thus communicated with the abscess contained pus. The pus was confined on all sides by lymph, which, after lining the sides of the vessel, passed off from them towards the vena cava, in the shape of a long conical tube, the cavity of which was thus continuous with that of the abscess. At the other extremity, the lymph quite plugged up the vessel for some distance, but many of its branches in the left lobe contained small collections of pus circumscribed by lymph.

In slicing the organ in different directions, small spots were divided, which were of a bright green, apparently from the extravasation of a small quantity of bile from inflamed and ulcerated ducts. From some of them a little pus, as well as bile, could be squeezed.

All the branches of the portal vein were sound.

The liver covered the stomach, but was not adherent to it. This viscus was of natural size. Its mucous membrane was pale throughout, and towards the cardia considerably softened; so that a gentle pressure of the nail was sufficient to tear it up.

The rest of the alimentary canal was quite sound. The contents of the intestines had the usual admixture of bile, but no hydatids were found among them. The spleen was rather large but healthy. The kidneys and the urinary bladder were natural. The uterine organs presented marks of former pregnancy, but nothing worthy of notice. The pelvis contained about a pint of serous fluid, without flocculi.

The lungs were nowhere attached to the ribs. The lower lobe of the left lung was dense and heavy and of a dark colour, and it did not crepitate. When cut into, it was found to be gorged with bloody serum, and in many parts to be of a yellowish or grey colour. In all these parts the tissue of the organ was very soft, the slightest pressure of the finger being sufficient to break it down. The mucous membrane of the bronchi was here and there more vascular than natural, and was everywhere covered by a somewhat viscid mucus. The remainder of this lung was healthy.

The right lung was in a similar condition to the left, except that its lower lobe was simply gorged with bloody serum and much condensed. The morbid appearances were as marked in front as behind.

The pericardium contained about two ounces of clear serous fluid. The heart was of natural size and structure.

The brain was firm and healthy.

From the peculiar structure of hydatid cysts, and from the peculiar character of the fluid they contain, as well as from the fact discovered by M. Livois, that they are almost invariably inhabited by echinococci, no doubt can remain, that they are true parasitic growths; and that the proximate cause of their formation is the introduction of one or more germs of the parasites into the body under conditions favourable to their development. Many circumstances, such as age and condition of life, may be very important, but merely as favouring or not the introduction of the germs of the parasites into the body and their subsequent development.

From the cases which have been placed on record, hydatid tumors seem to be of nearly equal frequency in the two sexes. They are most common in persons from the age of 20 to that of 40, but may occur at any age from 6 years to 50.

I have found no instance recorded in which such a tumor occurred under the age of 5 or 6, or above that of 52. Cruveilhier (op. cit. p. 216) has related the case of a man who died at the age of 77, with an hydatid tumor of the liver, which appeared 15 years before,—that is, when he was 52. In all the other cases which he collected, and which are twenty in number, the tumor seems to have formed under the age of 40.

Hydatids are met with in all conditions of life, but seem to be more frequent among the poor than among the rich.

Of the published cases of hydatids of the liver, there is a considerable proportion in which the tumor seems to have formed soon after a blow on the side, and, as was supposed, in consequence of it. Among the cases collected by Cruveilhier there are four in which the tumor was supposed to originate in this way; and in the paper by Mr. Cæsar Hawkins, in the eighteenth volume of the Medico-Chirurgical Transactions, there are several others in which the tumor seemed to be the effect of some injury done to the side.

In some instances in which the disease was ascribed to a blow, the tumor contained a solitary acephalocyst; in others many. In some there was only one tumor; in others, more than one tumor in the liver, or a tumor in the spleen as well.

This circumstance throws discredit on the imputed cause; or is an additional argument in favour of the doctrine that in cases in which there are many hydatid tumors in the same person, one of those tumors is often the parent of the rest.

Hydatid tumors, of essentially the same character as those of the liver, have been found in man in other organs besides the liver, the lungs, the spleen, and the mesentery. They have been met with, but in comparatively very few instances, in the kidney, in the brain, in the spinal canal, in the thyroid gland, in the subcutaneous areolar tissue; and in one instance, (Livois, p. 117,) in the globe of the eye behind the crystalline lens. In almost all such instances on record, there has been only one hydatid tumor in the body.

Hydatid tumors, which, like those of man, contain echinococci, are, as already remarked, very common in this country in sheep, and they have been found in most other herbivorous mammalia, but not in animals of any other class. The echinococci of sheep are exactly like those of man, but the hydatid

tumors are in many respects different. They are not regularly globular, as in man, and never contain more than a single acephalocyst;* but, as if to make up for this, there are generally a great number of tumors in the same animal. Hydatid tumors in the sheep, as in man, are most common in the liver, which is sometimes found studded with them, when there are none in other organs. Often, however, the lungs are studded with them, as well as the liver; and now and then, as in man, there are great numbers in the peritoneum.† In sheep, hydatids, like flukes, are endemic. If one sheep in a flock has them, all the others have them more or less. The disease has been remarked to be especially frequent in unusually wet seasons; and in ill-drained pastures. All these circumstances would lead us to expect that hydatid tumors in man would prevail in particular districts, like tape-worms;‡ entozoa, with which echinococci have many points of resemblance, and with which they have been classed by many comparative anatomists. No evidence, however, has been collected on this point. They are scarcely noticed by medical authors in India, and seem to be very rare in that country, where other diseases of the liver are so common. They are extremely rare among sailors. While I was physician to the Dreadnought, I found a tumor in the liver containing many hydatids in a negro from the west coast of Africa, who died under my care of purulent phlebitis, in consequence of bleeding prac-

* The only animals besides man, in which hydatid tumors have been found to contain floating acephalocysts, are the monkey and the pig.

† The rule seems to hold in these animals, as in man, that when with hydatid tumors in the liver, there are hydatids in the lung, there are none in the mesentery; when there are tumors in the mesentery there are none in the lung. Livois states that in ten sheep that he examined, eight had hydatids in the liver and in the lungs; the remaining two, in the liver and in the spleen; four oxen and two cows had them only in the liver and lungs.

‡ The following striking instance of the prevalence of tape-worm in particular districts, was sent me by my brother, Dr. Samuel Budd, of Exeter:—"Some time ago two persons living in the same house, but members of different families, came under my care for tape-worm. Soon after, two sisters in a different family in the same hamlet, consulted me for tape-worm; and a short time since, another person, living in the same hamlet, but unconnected with either of the preceding families, applied to me for the same complaint. There could be no mistake about the matter, for all these persons passed the worms."

tised for inflammation of the lung; but no other case of the kind is known to have been admitted there. Mr. Busk, who has lived in the hospital almost from its first establishment, tells me that he does not recollect another instance. It is possible that the diet of sailors, consisting in great part, of salt meat, may be unfavourable to them.

From some researches lately published by Professor Klencke of Brunswick, of which an extract is given in the Medico-Chirurgical Review, for April 1844, it would appear that diseases produced by echinococci, cysticerci, and other kindred entozoa, may be transmitted by inoculation from one animal to another.

When an hydatid tumor has formed in the liver, there is reason to believe that, if near the surface, it may attain a large size in a short time; but in a great majority of cases, its growth is very slow.

When the tumor grows rapidly, or when, from any cause, inflammation is set up within it or around it, the patient has severe pain in the side and some degree of fever.

Under other circumstances, that is, when the tumor grows slowly and is not the seat of inflammation, it is unattended by pain, or gives rise to a sensation which the patient describes as one of weight, rather than of pain; and before it has attained such a size as to interfere mechanically with the functions of the liver or of adjacent organs, it excites no constitutional disturbance, and is compatible with a good state of general health. Not unfrequently, indeed, the presence of a tumor of this kind in the substance of the liver is not suspected during life, and is unexpectedly made known by examination, *post mortem*.*

As the tumor grows, it pushes up the walls of the belly, and can in most cases be readily seen and felt. Even then, if no inflammation be set up, within or around it, the tumor is not pain-

* Sheep with numerous hydatid tumors in the liver and in the lungs are often in excellent condition. In these animals, hydatid tumors have very little tendency to excite inflammation of the tissue, or of the coverings of the organs in which they form. When there are many tumors in the lungs the sheep are, of course, short-breathed, but they do not necessarily fall in condition. In this respect, hydatids present a striking contrast to flukes, which never exist in large numbers in a sheep, without greatly impoverishing its blood.

ful or tender, and causes little other disturbance than that which results from its bulk—a sense of fulness and weight in the region of the liver, some difficulty in breathing from the restrained action of the diaphragm, and now and then, but very seldom, ascites or dropsy of the legs from pressure on the portal vein or the vena cava.

The tumor may continue a great number of years, indeed for the allotted term of human life, without causing other mischief, but the person is exposed to constant danger and is every moment in risk of new sufferings, from the natural tendency of the tumor to discharge its contents by ulceration of the walls of the sac. The tumor may ulcerate through the walls of the belly, and its contents be discharged outwardly; or it may open into some part of the intestinal canal, and its contents be discharged by vomiting or by stool. In either case, the sac may close up, and the patient recover. The same happy result may follow an opening of the hydatid tumor into the lung. The danger from the tumor opening in any of the ways specified is the greater, the older the tumor, or rather, the firmer and less elastic the walls of the sac. If the tumor be of recent date or the coats of the sac be very elastic, the sac may close up as its contents are discharged, and the patient may recover rapidly; but if the walls of the sac be firm and unyielding, so that its cavity cannot be closed, air or other matters will find their way into it, and suppurative inflammation of its inner surface will be set up, which may be so protracted as to exhaust the strength of the patient.

But, instead of opening outwardly or into the intestinal canal or into the lung, the tumor may burst into the cavity of the belly and destroy the life of the patient by shock, and by inflammation of the peritoneum, in a few days or even in a few hours;*—or, otherwise still, the ulceration of the walls of the sac may eat into the gall-bladder or into one of the ducts, bile may flow into the sac and excite suppurative inflammation of its inner surface, converting it into an abscess; or the ulceration may eat through the sac, and the liquid the sac contains may escape into the surrounding tissue and excite suppurative inflammation there. This inflammation may by various ways be propagated back to the sac, and, as before, the sac be converted into an abscess.

* See Dict. de Med. et Chirurg. pratiques. Art. "Acephalocyste," Obs. 6, 7, 8, and 9; and Medico-Chirurgical Trans. vol. xviii. pp. 124 and 126.

But there is still a chance of other mischief. A secondary hydatid tumor may form in the lung, which may grow rapidly and suffocate the patient; or secondary hydatid tumors may form in the liver, or in the mesentery. If there be many of these, or if they grow rapidly, the nutrition of the patient invariably suffers—he becomes thin and pallid and weak, and is gradually exhausted by diarrhœa, or carried off more speedily by the occurrence of pneumonia.

The *diagnosis* of an hydatid tumor of the liver, when it has attained such a size as to be readily seen and felt, seldom presents much difficulty. Our ignorance of the origin of such tumors or of any particular circumstances in which they especially occur, deprives us, indeed, of the aid in diagnosis which such knowledge is calculated to give; but the presence of a large globular tumor connected with the liver, that has grown slowly, without much pain, without jaundice or ascites, and without fever or general constitutional disturbance,—is almost evidence enough that the tumor is hydatid. It can hardly be mistaken for an abscess, which never forms and attains a large size, without a high degree of fever; or for malignant disease of the liver, which gives rise, not to a large, globular, indolent, tumor, but to an unevenness of the surface of the liver from numerous small tumors projecting above it, and which is, besides, associated with malignant disease elsewhere or with the general tokens of the cancerous cachexy.

We are much more likely to take a distended gall-bladder, which is likewise smooth and globular and may not be tender, for an hydatid tumor—but great distension of the gall-bladder almost always results from some mechanical impediment to the flow of bile along the common duct, and is attended with deep jaundice.

But the disease most difficult to distinguish from an hydatid tumor of the liver, is an aneurysm of the abdominal aorta forming a tumor behind the liver. This, like an hydatid tumor, may be globular, and may exist without much tenderness, without jaundice or ascites, without much disturbance of digestion, and without difficulty of breathing other than that which results from the size of the tumor and the impediment which it offers to the descent of the diaphragm. Circumstances that serve to mark the tumor as aneurysmal, are—the sudden occurrence of the first symptoms of the malady with a feeling, as of cramp, across the

epigastrium, not attended by vomiting or purging, and not followed by jaundice; the existence of a distinct pulsation in the tumor, and a bellows-sound heard over the last dorsal, or the upper lumbar vertebræ; but more than all, the great pain which the patient suffers in the situation of the tumor, and in various other parts of the body, especially the shoulders and the legs. An aneurysmal tumor is generally very painful, and when situated behind the liver and involving the solar plexus of nerves, is attended not only with pain in the seat of disease, but with *sympathetic* pains in various parts of the body. These symptoms are absent in cases where an hydatid sac forms a similar tumor, so that by attention to them the two diseases may generally be distinguished.

Cases are, however, now and then met with, in which, from some unusual circumstances, it may be difficult, or even impossible, to pronounce that the tumor is hydatid. The tumor may grow more rapidly than is usual with hydatid tumors and be attended with greater pain and fever; or it may be so situated as to compress the hepatic or the common duct, or the trunk of the portal vein, or even the vena cava, and may thus cause permanent jaundice, or ascites, or œdema of the legs. It is impossible to lay down general rules for the detection of the real nature of the disease in such cases.

If an hydatid tumor of the liver which has been long indolent should become painful and tender, and the patient should have shiverings with much fever and constitutional disturbance, it may be inferred that suppuration has been set up within the sac.

There are two ways in which an hydatid tumor of the liver may be cured:—first by the secretion of a thick matter, like putty or plaster, within the sac, either causing the destruction, or consequent on the destruction, of the acephalocysts; and, secondly, by the tumor opening and discharging itself through the walls of the belly, or through the lung, or into the intestinal canal.

The first mode of termination may be considered a *cure* of the disease, because, although the tumor does not completely disappear, it grows less, and ceases to create constitutional disturbance or to be the source of further danger.

The second mode of termination—the opening of the tumor and the discharge of its contents through the walls of the belly,

or through the intestinal canal, or through the lung—is often followed by obliteration of the sac, disappearance of the tumor, and complete recovery; but it is not unattended with danger. As before remarked, by the admission of air, or otherwise, suppurative inflammation may be set up within the sac, the discharge of the natural contents of the sac may be followed at the end of some days by the discharge of pus, which may continue so as to exhaust the strength of the patient. The probability of a favourable result from such an opening is greater the younger the patient and the more recent the tumor—or rather, the greater the elasticity of the walls of the sac. It is the elasticity of the walls of the sac that closes the cavity as its contents escape and prevents any subsequent mischief.

The chief danger of hydatid tumors of the liver arises from their liability to open by a process of ulceration into the cavity of the peritoneum, or into the vessels or ducts of the liver itself. This ulceration of the sac, which occurs sooner or later in most hydatid tumors of the liver, seems to be owing to pressure from distension of the sac. We have good evidence of this distension in the forcible jet that sometimes issues when an hydatid tumor is punctured. The fluid secreted from its inner surface goes on stretching the sac and increasing the size of the tumor. From the property of equal distribution of pressure through fluids, the pressure on the walls of the sac from this cause must be the same at every point of its surface, and the process of ulceration will commence at that point which has the least power to resist it. Hydatid tumors of the lungs and of the spleen are, from the greater thinness and expansibility of the walls of the sac in those organs, less liable to rupture from ulceration than similar tumors of the liver.

The chief danger of hydatid tumors of the liver would, then, be obviated, if by any means we could so modify the fluid secreted from the inner surface of the sac as to destroy the acephalocysts, without causing suppuration; or if we could merely arrest the growth of the tumor. It is not difficult to conceive that there may be medicines which have power to effect this. An agent, like iodide of potassium, for instance, that is absorbed into the blood, and passes out of the body in almost every secretion, may find its way into the fluid in an hydatid sac, and, although it does not destroy the vitality of the natural constituents of our

organs, it may destroy the feebler vitality of the parasites and arrest the growth of the tumor.

There are, I believe, only two medicines—iodide of potassium, and common salt—that have been supposed to have the power of arresting the growth of hydatid tumors. Iodide of potassium is much confided in by many physicians in this country, and has been for some years very generally prescribed in this disease, but I have not been able to meet with any decisive or satisfactory evidence that it has the power of destroying the acephalocysts, or of stopping the growth of an hydatid tumor. Mr. Hawkins, in the paper before referred to, states that “a case lately occurred in St. George’s Hospital, in which the tumor was much lessened, and ascites and other symptoms were got rid of for a time by the use of iodine:” but he makes the significant remark that “the disease was ultimately fatal nearly a year after.” I quite think, however, that our experience of this medicine encourages us to further trial of it in such cases. It will be seen that evidence of failure is much easier to be had than evidence of success, because when the remedy fails, the diagnosis is after a time made certain. With the internal use of the iodide of potassium, may be conjoined the local inunction of the compound iodide ointment.

The virtues of common salt in the treatment of hydatid tumors of the liver are much relied on by some continental physicians, who have recommended a strong solution of it to be applied as a lotion, or in a poultice, over the tumor. It is worthy of remark that common salt is the chief saline ingredient in the fluid of hydatid tumors. In many instances, indeed, the fluid from an hydatid cyst in the liver has been found to be quite devoid of albumen, and to be little more than pure water holding common salt in solution. Has the sac of an hydatid tumor any especial affinity for common salt, and does the accumulation of this, beyond a certain measure in the fluid within it, destroy the acephalocysts, or arrest their further multiplication or growth?

The frequent failure of *medical* means to arrest the growth of hydatid tumors of the liver has led practitioners to consider the propriety of opening them—an operation that would naturally be suggested by the observation that the bursting of a tumor of this kind through the walls of the belly, or even into the intes-

tines or into the lung, is frequently followed by perfect, and sometimes by speedy, recovery.

On many occasions, too, where an hydatid tumor has been opened by the surgeon in mistake for an abscess, the patient has speedily and completely recovered.

It is an important circumstance that in very few of these cases, if in any, has the fluid collected again in the sac. When a *serous* cyst—that is, a cyst whose inner surface has the character of a serous membrane, and secretes a *serous*, or *highly albuminous*, fluid—is thus emptied, the fluid almost always collects again, and obliteration of the sac is effected only by causing adhesive inflammation of its inner surface: but when an hydatid cyst is emptied the creatures within it die, and the fluid is no longer reproduced.

In illustration of this, and in proof of the happy results of the puncture of an hydatid tumor in some instances, I cannot do better than cite two cases, published by Mr. Hawkins, in which the operation was performed by Sir B. Brodie.

CASE.—“A boy, about twelve years of age, was admitted into St. George’s Hospital, under the care of Dr. Chambers, in August, 1822, having a tumor of considerable size below the ribs on the right side, the ribs being raised by the tumor, which evidently fluctuated. He had not the least disturbance of the system, nor any derangement of the functions of the liver, much less were there symptoms of abscess of that organ; the skin was quite moveable, and free from inflammation, and slight inconvenience from the size and pressure of the tumor, was alone complained of. After he had been in the hospital a short time, a flat trochar was introduced by Mr. Brodie below the ribs, in the part where fluctuation was most distinct, and a pint and half of clear colourless water was drawn off, which did not appear to contain any albumen, as no coagulation was produced by heat. Pressure was made by a bandage after the operation, which appeared to produce complete obliteration of the cyst, for the wound healed directly. The boy had not the least fever or other bad symptom from the operation, and left the hospital perfectly cured.” (Med. Chir. Trans., v. xviii., p. 118.)

In the second case,

“The patient was a young lady, twenty years of age, and the tumor, which was larger than in the former case, prevented her from taking exercise and from sleeping except in a particular posture; and there seemed to be some slight inflammation, as she had some pain at the commencement of the disease, a year or two before, which was increased before the operation, and she suffered from a troublesome and almost incessant cough for the first two or three weeks afterwards. Three pints of the same watery fluid were evacu-

ated, uncoagulated by heat, and with the smallest possible quantity of animal matter. The patient recovered, and six years afterwards, had had no return of the complaint." (Id., p. 119.)

In both these cases the sac most probably contained a solitary acephalocyst.

If all cases which have been treated in the same way had turned out so favourably, there would be no doubt as to the propriety of performing the operation in question whenever the tumor was ascertained to be hydatid. But, unfortunately, against the successful cases must be set others in which the operation proved fatal; sometimes speedily, at other times by inducing protracted suppuration of the inner surface of the sac.*

The probability of such a result, however small, will naturally make practitioners extremely cautious in recommending the operation where the tumor produces no distressing symptoms; and perhaps few persons would be disposed to submit to an operation at all hazardous, for the removal of a complaint which is attended with no urgent symptoms, and with which life may be continued in tolerable comfort for ten, or twenty, or even thirty years. Life, even to the most healthy, is so uncertain, liable to be cut short by so many accidents, that in calculations of this kind our reason must approve the decision to which our fears and our instincts lead us, to purchase present security even by exposing ourselves to a greater danger, provided it be remote.

If the tumor should be large, and should cause distressing symptoms, the operation can be recommended by stronger arguments, and the patient will be more likely to submit to it. But it is perhaps in these very cases, where the tumor is large and of long standing, where the sac has lost some of the elasticity which it originally possessed, that the operation is most likely to be unsuccessful. Here, as in so many other cases, the opposite probabilities must be balanced. The immediate benefit from the operation, if successful, and immunity from the various ills that result from hydatid tumors of the liver when left to themselves, must be set against the pain and the danger of the operation itself. At present, we have not the means of estimating the degree of this danger, and, consequently, have very imperfect data for forming our judgment.

* See Dict. de Med. et de Chirurg. pratiques. Art. "Acephalocyste." Obs. 13, 14, 16, 19, 21.

Some years ago the practice of opening the tumor was strongly recommended by M. Recamier, who maintained that the danger of the operation had been much exaggerated. His opinion was strongly supported by five cases in which he had performed it with complete success. He advised that the tumor should be opened, not by the trochar or the knife, but by means of caustic potash;—on the ground, that the caustic, before reaching the tumor, would excite adhesive inflammation of the portion of peritoneum in front of it, and that the lymph effused in consequence would glue the sac to the walls of the belly, so that none of the fluid within the sac would escape into the cavity of the peritoneum,

Mr. Cæsar Hawkins advises the opening of the tumor only when it is large and causes much irritation, or when the health is much disturbed by it; and he recommends the trochar and canula, in preference to caustic. He advises the opening of abscesses of the liver in the same way, and thinks the danger that any of the fluid will escape from the tumor into the cavity of the peritoneum to be quite imaginary.

It would seem that the danger of such an event occurring, must depend very much on the condition of the walls of the sac. If these be very elastic, so as to force out the fluid and close up the cavity when an opening is made into it, none of the fluid will escape into the peritoneum. But if,—as in the case of many old hydatid tumors, and in most, if not in all, large abscesses,—the walls of the sac have not contractility adequate to close the opening made by the trochar, a different result will ensue. Whenever, by the act of breathing, or otherwise, the position of the liver with respect to the walls of the belly is changed, so that the opening in the sac does not correspond to the opening in the walls of the belly, any additional fluid that issues from the sac, must enter the cavity of the peritoneum.

The danger from this cause may be greatly diminished by the choice of a fit instrument. Sir B. Brodie's suggestion of a flat trochar is one of extreme importance, but perhaps a better instrument still, considering how limpid the fluid of hydatid tumors usually is, would be a fine grooved needle, as recommended by Dr. Prichard, of Bristol, for drawing off thoracic and abdominal effusions.

With an instrument of this kind the risk that any of the fluid

will get into the cavity of the peritoneum must be extremely slight, especially in young persons, or for hydatid tumors recently formed; and in all such cases, where purely medical means fail, it will, I believe, eventually be found the best plan to evacuate the tumor, as soon as full assurance is obtained of its nature; I say, as soon as full assurance is obtained, for it would be a grievous, perhaps a fatal, error, to puncture a distended gall-bladder, or a cancerous tumor, in mistake for an hydatid sac.

CHAPTER V.

ON JAUNDICE.

THE chief diseases to which the liver is subject having now been passed in review, it will be expected that some remarks should be added on *jaundice*.

Jaundice is, indeed, a mere symptom, and, as we have seen, may occur in most diseases of the liver, but it is a symptom so striking, and such an important element in any case in which it may happen, that a separate consideration of it is almost requisite.

Jaundice—a yellow colour of the conjunctiva and the skin—arises from the presence of the colouring matter of bile in the blood and tissues. Yellowness of the skin, when it is well-marked, is sufficiently distinctive of accumulation of the colouring matters of bile in the blood, but the skin may become *slightly yellow* from other causes. In chlorotic girls, and in persons who have lost great quantities of blood, the skin has often a pale yellow cast, which seems not to depend on the colouring matters of bile. It is analogous to the yellow tinge which surrounds a bruise-mark, or an ecchymosis, and has been ascribed to some change in the colouring matters of the blood. The sallowness produced in this way may be distinguished from the slighter shades of jaundice by the tint of the conjunctiva and by the state of the urine. In persons whose skin is sallow from anemia the conjunctiva has a bluish and pearly tint, and the urine is generally limpid, while in real jaundice the conjunctiva is more decidedly yellow than the skin, and the urine is always tinged with bile.

Jaundice may be produced in two ways: 1st, by some impediment to the flow of bile into the duodenum, and the consequent absorption of the retained bile; and 2nd, by defective secretion on the part of the liver, so that the principles of the bile are not separated from the blood.

The gall-bladder and the large ducts are covered by lymphatics, which in the natural state seem to absorb, chiefly, the water of the bile. If bile be retained for some time in the gall-bladder, it becomes dark coloured and concentrated, from the absorption of part of its water. But the colouring matter is absorbed as well, though in less proportion. If the cystic duct be completely closed, the bile previously in the gall-bladder gradually disappears, and after a time its place is occupied by a colourless, or only slightly yellow, mucous fluid, secreted by the coats of the bladder. When, however, the passage of the bile through the common duct is impeded, and the gall-bladder and ducts are in consequence much distended with bile, the bile passes into the lymphatics much more rapidly. This was ascertained by Dr. Saunders, more than fifty years ago, by direct experiment. He tied the hepatic duct in a dog. Two hours after, the dog was strangled, and the absorbents of the liver were found to be "very much distended with a fluid of a bilious colour, and their course, which was very conspicuous, could be traced with the greatest ease to the thoracic duct, the contents of which seemed only moderately bilious." (Saunders on the Liver, p. 90.)

Saunders also endeavoured to prove by experiment that under these circumstances bile is likewise absorbed by the veins. "A second dog was procured, and a ligature made on the hepatic duct, as in the preceding experiment. Two hours after, blood was taken from the jugular vein, and set to rest, in order that it might separate into its *serum* and *crassamentum*. The liver was then drawn down a little from the diaphragm, and blood taken from one of the hepatic veins. This blood, as well as the former, was allowed to separate into two parts; and on immersing pieces of white paper into the *serum* of each, *that* taken from the hepatic veins gave the deepest tinge, the *other* produced *only* a very slight degree of discolouration."

Many other pathologists have also observed the colouring matters of bile in the lymphatics coming from the liver, in cases

in which the gall-ducts have been obstructed. "Tiedemann and Gmelin, after tying the *ductus choledochus* in dogs, found the lymphatics of the liver filled with a fluid of a deep yellow colour: the lymphatic glands which these lymphatics passed through were yellow; and the yellow fluid taken from the thoracic duct, contained the components of the bile." *

These observations clearly prove the absorption of the colouring matters of bile in considerable quantity, when there is an impediment to the flow of this fluid into the intestine. The inference has been drawn from them that in such cases the jaundice is produced *solely* by absorption of the retained bile; but this inference is not warranted by the facts. Distension of the gall-ducts must tend to keep the secreted bile in the lobules, and in so doing, may lessen the activity of the secretion there going on. It is only in this way that we can explain the circumstance noticed by Dr. Saunders, that after the hepatic duct had been tied two hours, the serum of the blood was more deeply tinged with bile in the hepatic vein than in the jugular vein. If secretion by the hepatic cells had been active, the bile that was absorbed by the veins of the gall-bladder and ducts, would probably have been again laid hold of by the cells, while passing through the capillary network of the lobules. We have seen, too, that when the common duct has long been completely closed, all the hepatic cells are completely broken up, and the liver is consequently incapable of any longer secreting bile.

These considerations render it probable that the jaundice which follows closure of the common duct does not result merely from absorption of the retained bile; but also, in part, from the secretion of the liver being less active, so that the principles of bile are retained in the blood.

But in many cases of jaundice, perhaps in the greater number, there is no impediment to the flow of bile through the ducts. In fatal cases, it happens not unfrequently that the gall-bladder and ducts are found empty, and their mucous membrane unusually pale,—showing that no bile was secreted. The jaundice results solely from suppressed, or deficient, secretion.

It has long been a question, whether the blood, in jaundice,

* Muller's Physiology. Dr. Baly's Translation, p. 276.

contains perfect bile or some of its principles merely. Glisson supposed that bile exists ready formed in the portal blood, and is merely separated in the liver—as he expresses it, *peculiarior colatorio*, by a kind of filtration. It is now well known that urea and some other components of the urine pass off in this way. They exist ready formed in the blood, and are merely separated from it by the kidneys. The colouring matters of the bile are likewise formed in the blood, and, as far as we can judge from our present tests, seem to pass off through the liver without change. The addition of dilute sulphuric acid in sufficient quantity to the serum of the blood, in jaundice, changes, after a few minutes, its yellow colour, to the characteristic green colour of acid bile. But it has not been satisfactorily proved that the matter, which under the name of picromel, or biliary matter, or choleic acid, has been considered to be the essential part of bile, exists fully formed in the blood; and many still hold that it is formed from its constituents in the blood by a chemical process in the liver. Some chemists, indeed, among them Orfila, state that they have found bile, or at least the resinous matter of bile, in the blood, in jaundice; but others have failed to detect it. Lecanu, whose investigations on the composition of the blood in different diseases are among the most recent, and seem to have been conducted with much care, states that the blood in jaundice contains the colouring matter of bile, but that he has never been able to find in it any of the other ingredients. This discrepancy in the results of the analysis of jaundiced blood made by different chemists, may be accounted for by the fact that the peculiar biliary matters are still but imperfectly characterised, and are readily decomposed, and enter readily into new combinations; and, perhaps, in part, by the supposition, that the condition of the blood may vary, according as the jaundice depends on suppressed secretion of bile merely, or on absorption of retained bile also.

The natural constituents of the blood seem at first to be little affected by the retention, or the re-absorption of the principles of bile. When jaundice has lasted some time, the globules of the blood are almost always in less than the proportion of health; but this probably results mainly from the disease by which the jaundice was produced, and from the defective nutrition that is

the consequence of the absence of bile in the intestines. Andral states that he has many times analysed the blood of persons with jaundice; but never found the fibrin in greater proportion than in health. From this we can only infer that in these cases, the jaundice was not the effect of extensive inflammation; but from the frequent occurrence of petechiæ on the skin, and of hemorrhage from the stomach and various organs in protracted jaundice, it would seem that in most cases of jaundice, the fibrin of the blood is reduced after a time in still greater proportion than the globules.

When the colouring matter of the bile is in such quantity in the blood as to produce jaundice, it is eliminated in most of the secretions. It passes off most abundantly in the urine, to which, when the urine is collected in considerable quantity in a deep vessel, and otherwise healthy, it gives a dark, almost black, colour, with somewhat of a greenish tint—not unlike that of a strong infusion of senna. The urine in a shallow white vessel, appears of a brilliant yellow. The presence of the colouring matter of bile is readily detected in urine by the yellow colour which it gives to a piece of white linen dipped in the urine, or by the urine,—which appears yellow in a shallow white vessel, or in a test tube,—becoming of a dark green, and afterwards purple, on the addition of a sufficient quantity of sulphuric acid. The colouring matter of bile may be detected in this way in the urine, even before the skin becomes yellow, and in some cases the readiness with which it passes off in the urine, seems to prevent the occurrence of jaundice—the skin retaining its natural colour, while the tint of the urine attests the presence of bile. It is astonishing, however, how deeply the urine may be tinged with bile, and yet the jaundice persist. This is attributable to the intense colour of acid bile, and to the circumstance that a small quantity of it, like a small quantity of blood, makes a great show when mixed with water.

The colouring matter of bile passes off also by the skin, and if the patient perspire much his linen is stained yellow. This has been repeatedly noticed; but the most striking instance of it I have read of, is recorded by Dr. Cheyne, of Dublin. In his account of a case of jaundice, he says, “The indisposition was so slight, that the individual in question had no intention of sending for a physician, till she discovered that the bilious tinge of her skin was

imparted to her linen. To satisfy my doubts she repeatedly wiped her face with a cambric handkerchief, which thereby acquired a saffron colour." *

The tears and the fluid of serous cavities have likewise been found tinged with the colouring matter of bile; and more than one physician has remarked it, or something like it, in the milk. Dr. Marsh mentions, that in examining the body of a woman who died in the Lock Hospital, Dublin, of protracted disease, with jaundice; "the mammæ appeared full; and by moderate pressure there were obtained from them several ounces of a yellow, tenacious, fluid, having all the properties of pure bile." In a case given by Dr. Bright, of a woman who suckled her child within three weeks of her death: "The adipose matter was deeply stained with jaundice, as was the secretion which flowed from the lactiferous tubes, on cutting through the mammary glands." †

Mucus contains the colouring matter of bile much less frequently than other secretions. The mucus secreted by the stomach and intestines has never, I believe, been found tinged with it, except when bile has continued to flow into the intestine; but mucus brought up from the lungs has occasionally been remarked to be yellow or green.

The different tissues in the body are tinged in jaundice, in very different degrees. In all cases in which the jaundice depends on closure of the common duct, the liver itself is more deeply jaundiced than any other organ or tissue. If the jaundice have lasted long the liver has a deep olive colour from the retention of bile. Where, on the contrary, the jaundice depends on suppressed secretion, the liver is not more deeply jaundiced than many other tissues. Instead of being of an olive colour, it has some tint compounded of pale yellow and brown or red.

After the liver, the skin is perhaps the tissue that becomes the most deeply jaundiced. The tint of the skin in jaundice varies, in different cases, from a bright lemon colour to a dark olive, according to the natural hue of the complexion, the quantity of fat, and the quantity of biliary pigment retained in the skin. In young persons, who are plump, and naturally fair, the tint of the skin is a bright yellow, the depth of which depends on the degree

* Dublin Hospital Reports, vol. iii. p. 269.

† Guy's Hospital Reports, vol. i. p. 623.

of jaundice ; while in the wrinkled skin of thin old age, when the jaundice has lasted some time, the tint is olive, or dark green.

The deep jaundice of the skin doubtless depends on the biliary matter being separated from the blood by the secreting cells of the skin, as it is naturally by those of the liver. The skin and the liver are allied in their office :—both excreting superabundant fatty matter. Many of the constitutional states that favour the secretion of the one, favour that of the other. The skin becomes, thus, in some measure, an index of the manner in which the functions of the liver are performed. Horse-exercise, which clears the skin, clears the liver ; mercury, our most effective cholagogue, is excreted in large quantity by the skin.

The biliary pigment thus secreted by the skin in jaundice is retained there, giving the skin a deeper stain than that of most other tissues.

The yellow colour of the skin in jaundice remains a considerable time—especially in elderly persons—after the flow of bile into the intestine has been restored, and when the urine is no longer much tinged with bile. This stain of the skin, from the retention of the biliary pigment, after the hepatic obstruction is removed, is diminished in a very striking manner by warm baths. We should be careful not to be misled by it, and thus to continue active remedies when they are no longer necessary. Persons with jaundice from temporary obstruction to the gall ducts, are sometimes drugged with mercury long after the function of the liver is re-established, for a yellowness of skin, for which warm baths, and whatever causes perspiration, are the proper remedies.

The biliary pigment seems also fixed in an especial manner in the adipose cellular tissue, as if there were some affinity between the colouring matter of bile and fatty substances. In some races, indeed, the fat is naturally of an orange colour. It is so in the cows of Guernsey ; and I have more than once remarked it in negroes from the west coast of Africa, who were not jaundiced.

The biliary pigment is not retained in the same special manner in other tissues. The lungs and the kidneys, though they may contain as much blood, have not the green colour of the liver or skin.

The mucous membranes are the tissues that are among the least tinged in jaundice. The tongue and the inside of the lips, in jaundice, have not the yellow colour of the skin ; and the

mucous membrane of the intestines is sometimes quite white. It has already been remarked that mucus is less frequently jaundiced than other secretions. The mucous membrane of the intestines seems indeed never to eliminate the colouring matters of bile.

It sometimes happens that the cornea, or the humors of the eye, become jaundiced, and all objects appear yellow. The notion seems to have formerly prevailed that this is generally the case in jaundice, but it happens, on the contrary, very rarely. The error of supposing it of constant, or of frequent, occurrence, doubtless originated, as Morgagni suggested, from the yellow colour of the conjunctiva in jaundice.

But, besides the colour of the different secretions and of the skin, which characterizes jaundice, there are other symptoms, which depend on the absence or the deficiency of bile in the intestines, and on its presence in the blood, and which may therefore be considered symptoms of jaundice, without reference to the particular condition of the liver on which the jaundice depends.

Thus, from want of bile in the intestines, the bowels are apt to be confined, and the evacuations are pale, or of a drab colour, and sometimes unusually offensive. These characters of the evacuations are not, however, observed in all cases, but only where the flow of bile into the intestine is completely stopped. Bile enough may flow into the intestine to give to its contents their usual characters, and yet the secretion may be inadequate to free the blood of all the colouring matters of bile, and the person may be jaundiced. Not unfrequently, in slight cases of jaundice, especially where this results from suppressed secretion, the discharges from the bowels present no striking deviations from their natural state.

In almost all cases of jaundice the patient grows thin, and, as before remarked, the blood becomes much impoverished; the globules and the fibrin falling much below their natural standard. The impairment of nutrition is, however, in some cases not very marked, even after the jaundice has lasted a considerable time. Drs. Graves and Stokes mention that in two cases of deep jaundice that had fallen under their notice, after the onset of the disease, "the derangement of the digestive organs subsided, the appetite returned, the bowels became regular, although the stools

did not contain a particle of bile, and nutrition continued unimpaired, although the disease had in one case lasted for eight months, and in the other for two years.*

I have already mentioned the case of a man, to whom my attention was called in the Dreadnought, who was pretty well nourished after four years of jaundice, during which the flow of bile into the intestine seemed to have been completely prevented.

Another symptom frequently observed in jaundice is a very troublesome itching of the skin. This does not occur in all cases of jaundice, and when it does occur, it sometimes disappears after a short time. It may come and go several times in a lingering case. The itching seems not to depend on the colouring matter merely of bile. It does not vary with the depth of the jaundice. Dr. Graves, indeed, has noticed that itching sometimes precedes the jaundice, and ceases as soon as this appears. (Clinical Medicine, p. 463.)

In some cases, jaundice is attended with but little general disorder—and the patient, if he were not yellow, would not consider himself ill. But, generally, besides pain or tenderness in the region of the liver, and disorder of digestion, there is a sense of languor and debility; the person complains of being drowsy, and the pupils are dilated. These symptoms have been ascribed to the presence of bile in the blood, which has been supposed to lower, in some way or other, the nervous energy.

Now and then, the drowsiness passes into delirium or coma, and the patient dies very speedily from disorder of the brain.

The interesting question at once occurs,—On what does this fatal disorder of the brain depend? It is clear that it does not depend merely on an unusually large quantity of biliary pigment in the blood, because it very seldom occurs in the jaundice that arises from complete closure of the common duct, which is deeper than any other jaundice.

It occurs, as before remarked, peculiarly, indeed almost exclusively, in jaundice which results solely from suppressed secretion. Dr. Alison has endeavoured to explain this, by supposing that bile retained in the blood is much more hurtful than bile re-absorbed after having been secreted.

But this supposition is inadequate to explain the fact. We

* Dublin Hosp. Reports, vol. v. p. 109.

have endeavoured to show, that where jaundice results primarily from closure of the common duct, the lobules of the liver soon become gorged with bile, and their secretion is rendered less active—so that even in such cases the jaundice results in part from suppressed secretion. The inadequacy of the supposition is shown still more clearly by a case related in a former chapter, (p. 183,) where, from long-continued closure of the common duct, the cells of the liver were completely destroyed some time before death, so that the secretion of bile must have been completely stopped, and yet there was no appreciable disorder of intellect almost to the last day of life.

The delirium and coma in these terrible cases do not depend merely on the secretion of bile being suppressed, but on the poisoned state of the blood, or on the rapid disorganisation of the liver, by which the suppression of bile is caused.

Jaundice, as already remarked, is rather a symptom of disease than the disease itself, and may arise from various causes which it is very important that we should be acquainted with; because a knowledge of the cause, or of the circumstances under which the disease arose in any particular case, often gives us an insight into its real nature, which we could scarcely obtain from considering the symptoms merely.

The most obvious cause of jaundice, and which was therefore the earliest assigned;—which was, indeed, at one time assigned almost to the exclusion of all others,—is some obstruction in the gall-ducts, preventing the flow of bile into the intestine. This obstruction may arise in various ways.

It may be caused by a gall-stone passing out of the gall-bladder, and becoming impacted in the common duct. The jaundice that occurs during the passage of gall-stones, is caused in this way. It is generally of short duration, soon going off when the obstructing stone has passed into the intestine. But it now and then happens that a gall-stone becomes permanently fixed in the common duct, or leads to permanent closure of the duct by inflammation, and of course the resulting jaundice is permanent.

A more frequent cause of jaundice from obstructed gall-ducts, is cancerous disease of the liver, or of the pancreas. In such cases the obstruction is permanent, and the jaundice continues till the death of the patient.

Jaundice, from closure of the ducts, now and then occurs in that form of adhesive inflammation of the liver brought on by spirit-drinking, which sets in with severe inflammatory symptoms, and which leads to adhesive inflammation of the capsule of the liver, and to the effusion of much lymph in the portal canals. In such cases the jaundice generally goes off when the inflammatory symptoms subside; but sometimes the common duct becomes permanently closed or narrowed, by the contraction of lymph effused on its outer surface, and the jaundice is permanent.

Jaundice from obstruction of the gall-ducts may also be caused by inflammation originating in the ducts, which, from their small size, must be readily closed by viscid mucus, or by inflammatory swelling of their lining membrane. It is probable that this is a frequent cause of jaundice, but at present we cannot surely distinguish jaundice so produced from jaundice resulting from suppressed secretion.

Jaundice occasionally arises from constipation, when it is caused probably by the loaded intestine pressing on the common duct, and impeding the flow of bile through it. It soon disappears when the cause is removed.

Jaundice, brought about perhaps in the same way, occasionally occurs during pregnancy. It goes off after child-birth, and may sometimes be removed before by efficient purgatives.

Spasm of the gall-ducts has also been assigned as a cause of jaundice, and was at one time advanced to explain all cases of it in which no mechanical impediment to the flow of bile was found after death; just as spasm of the intestines was supposed to be the cause of colic, and spasm of the bronchi the cause of difficulty of breathing, in all cases in which no other ready explanation of these symptoms could be found. Spasm of the gall-ducts is, however, something more than a mere hypothesis. The contractility of the common gall-duct, as well as of the efferent ducts of other glands, has been proved by experiment. Muller states that by irritating mechanically, or by galvanism, the *ductus choledochus* of a bird just dead, he has frequently produced a very strong contraction of it, which continued some minutes; after which the duct resumed its previous state. We must then admit the muscularity of the gall-ducts, and the consequent possibility of their being contracted by spasm; but we can hardly suppose the spasm to be lasting enough to cause jaundice. If

jaundice be produced by mere spasm of the gall-ducts, it must surely be very slight and transient.

But, although a mechanical impediment to the flow of bile into the intestine, is sometimes the origin of jaundice, it is much less frequently so than was formerly imagined. In a large proportion, perhaps in the greater number of cases, jaundice results primarily, and solely, from the secretion of bile being suppressed or deficient.

The secretion of bile may be suppressed, or be rendered inadequate, by various causes; especially by those which lead to disorganization or atrophy of the lobular substance of the liver, by which the bile is secreted.

Thus, in suppurative inflammation of the liver, the inflamed portion ceases to perform its office, and when this portion is large, the patient is in consequence jaundiced. When the suppurative inflammation involves only a small portion of the liver, a sufficiency of bile may be thrown off by the sound portions, and there may be no jaundice.

In adhesive inflammation of the liver, brought on by spirit-drinking, when this occurs with severe inflammatory symptoms, there is frequently jaundice; but, as before remarked, the jaundice here seems to result from the gall-ducts being closed by the pressure of the lymph effused in the areolar tissue about them. This form of inflammation seems not to involve, *primarily*, the lobular substance of the liver, but rather the portal veins, and the areolar tissue in the portal canals. In the end, however, by obliterating branches or small twigs of the portal veins, it leads to atrophy of the secreting substance of the liver, and in this way also may cause jaundice. But the jaundice in the advanced stages of hob-nailed or granular liver, unless the hepatic or the common duct be at the same time closed or narrowed, is always very slight,—in most cases, a sallowness, rather than decided jaundice.

But jaundice occurs from other changes in the secreting substance of the liver, which are unattended by the effusions characteristic of inflammation. It has been remarked by Abercrombie and by Andral, that jaundice now and then comes on in the course of pneumonia of the lower lobe of the right lung. I have witnessed this occurrence two or three times. The jaundice seems to depend on a change in the secreting substance of the

liver, which is different at least from ordinary inflammation. The substance of the liver near the diaphragm is paler and softer than it should be, and the capsule can be readily stripped off, but no pus or lymph is seen there.

Jaundice occurs, too, and jaundice of the most fatal kind, from a species of softening and disorganisation of the lobular substance of the liver, which we have still less reason to consider inflammatory. The circumstances under which this disorganization occurs and the other symptoms that attend it, lead to the inference that it is produced by some poison, either introduced from without or resulting from faulty digestion, which, without exciting inflammation of the liver or leading to effusions characteristic of this state, destroys at once the vitality of the tissues. It would seem, also, from the instances in which jaundice has occurred in several members of a family, or in several persons living together, in quick succession, some of whom have died rapidly, with disorganization of the liver, while others have completely recovered—that the disease may stop short of disorganization of the liver, perhaps even of any appreciable change of its structure. We have seen, too, that jaundice from arrest of secretion may occur from various contaminations of the blood—as by pus, the poison of serpents, the poison of severe remittent fevers—which perhaps do not at once lead to appreciable change of structure. Jaundice, probably from suppressed secretion, occasionally results also from the taking of opium.

The jaundice, that sometimes results from powerful depressing emotions, or from mental shock, probably depends, also, on arrest of secretion. In the majority of cases of this kind, the jaundice is attended by no alarming symptoms, and soon passes off; but now and then, it proves rapidly fatal by disorder of the functions of the brain.

Jaundice occurs in various other circumstances, as the result either of arrest of secretion, or of inflammation and consequent closure of the gall-ducts. I have met with several instances in which it occurred during a course of mercury, given for syphilis; and apparently in effect of the medicine. In none of these cases has it been attended with alarming symptoms.

Dr. Graves has remarked that jaundice followed by urticaria now and then occurs during the course of arthritis. He says, “A person labouring under inflammation of the joints gets an

attack of hepatitis, accompanied by jaundice, and this is followed by urticaria. I have observed this sequence of disease in eight or nine cases. The first was in a gentleman residing in Lower Mount Street, whom I attended with Dr. Cheyne. This gentleman, in consequence of exposure to cold, was attacked with arthritic inflammation and fever. After he had been ten days ill he became suddenly jaundiced, and in a day or two afterwards a copious eruption of urticaria appeared over his body and limbs. Exactly the same train of phenomena, and in a similar order of succession, were observed in a man treated in the Meath Hospital, in 1832. A short time before this, I had been attending a medical friend in Baggot Street, who had been affected in the same way; and I mentioned to the class, as soon as I perceived the man was jaundiced, that he would most probably get urticaria. I made a similar prediction in a case which occurred recently in our wards, and it was verified by the event. Now, this is not a mere fortuitous occurrence; the various symptoms must be connected in the relation of cause and effect." *Clinical Medicine*, p. 564.

It would seem, from Dr. Graves's silence on this point, that the jaundice in these cases was not attended by alarming disorder of the brain. I have never remarked the train of phenomena here pointed out by Dr. Graves; but in more than one instance I have observed jaundice to exist in conjunction with an extensive scaly eruption, which appeared nearly at the same time, and which was apparently dependent on the same cause.

The only other variety of jaundice that I can call to mind, is the jaundice that is now and then observed in newly-born children. It occurs a few days after birth, and soon disappears. It has been advanced, that this is not real jaundice, but that the yellow colour of the skin results from extravasation or retention of blood in the skin, and that it is analogous to the yellow stain that follows a severe bruise. The deep red colour of the skin, which is frequent in the new-born infant, gradually fades, and passes through different shades of yellow to the colour proper to flesh. M. Bouisson states, however, that M. Chevreul has found the colouring matters of bile in the blood of infants in whom this jaundiced colour of the skin existed. (Bouisson, p. 147.)

Since, then, jaundice may arise from such various causes, and

be a symptom in diseases so different, it is clear that we cannot foretell its issue in any given case, or have well-grounded confidence in our treatment, unless we can pass from the jaundice to the particular disease of the liver on which it depends, or to the particular cause by which it is produced.

In some cases we have little difficulty in doing this. We can generally, for instance, interpret the slight shade of jaundice that occurs in the granular or hob-nailed liver. We are sufficiently informed of the nature of the disease by the previous habits of the patient, and by the symptoms of impeded circulation through the liver, that are almost always present in these cases, when there is jaundice. Frequently, too, we can interpret the jaundice that occurs during the passage of a gall-stone, or in the course of cancer of the liver, by the presence of other symptoms indicative of those diseases.

When, again, there has been, for a considerable time, deep jaundice, without any bilious tinge in the matters discharged from the bowels, and without alarming head-symptoms, we may be sure that the common or the hepatic duct is closed in some way or other, and that the jaundice results from mechanical impediment to the flow of bile into the intestine.

But in many cases, with our present knowledge, it seems impossible to trace the jaundice to its source, and especially to tell whether it depends on inflammation of the gall-ducts, or on suppressed secretion of bile. Our knowledge of the causes of these several diseases at present helps us but little to distinguish them.

In a former chapter I have given the details of several cases, collected from different authors, in which jaundice from suppressed secretion proved fatal, and in which the lobular substance of the liver was found to be completely disorganized, or very much softened. I placed these cases together with the view of exhibiting the characters of this obscure disease, which is far more important than the fatal cases merely, which are few, would lead us to suppose. It is clear from the instances in which jaundice occurred in several members of a family in succession, that jaundice of this kind does not always prove fatal; and that occasionally it is attended by no alarming symptoms. It is possible, therefore, that a considerable proportion of the cases of jaundice that we

meet with in practice, and especially in young persons, may be of this kind.

It appears from the cases before related, that in mild forms of the disease, the patient's illness begins with general disorder; with languor or listlessness, vague pains in the belly, and sometimes with vomiting; but without much fever. In a day or two, jaundice comes on, but the flow of bile into the duodenum is not *completely* stopped—the matters brought up by vomiting, or passed by stool, are still bilious. The jaundice may continue some time with no more alarming symptoms, and may then go off gradually, and the patient gradually recover. But, now and then, after it has continued in this state from a few days to several weeks, head symptoms come on, and the patient soon dies comatose.

In more acute forms of the disease, the illness begins with symptoms more like those of remittent fever:—with fever, vomiting, and thirst, and furred tongue, and headache, and restlessness. In a day or two, jaundice comes on, soon followed by drowsiness, or active delirium, which speedily passes into coma.

Two circumstances that may serve to distinguish this variety of jaundice, are, 1st, that the liver is not enlarged,—generally, indeed, in the cases that prove fatal, it is found to be much smaller than natural; and 2nd, that the flow of bile into the duodenum is seldom completely stopped; the discharges from the stomach and bowels are still tinged with bile.

The treatment of jaundice must of course be guided chiefly by reference to the condition of the liver, on which the jaundice is supposed to depend.

Where there is reason to believe, from tenderness in the region of the liver, or fulness in the right hypochondrium, and other circumstances, that the jaundice results from inflammation in the substance of the liver, or in the excreting ducts,—leeches or cupping, fomentations, saline purgatives, and diet, are the remedies that should first be employed. In adhesive inflammation of the liver, and in inflammation of the gall-ducts, local bleeding always produces great relief. When the activity of the inflammation has been somewhat subdued by these means, recourse may be had to mercury, in order to promote the absorption of effused lymph; or to correct the acrid quality of the bile which

seems frequently to cause, and keep up, inflammation of the ducts.

In other cases where jaundice occurs without previous organic disease, and, there is reason to believe, from suppressed secretion merely ;—where the patient feels languid and oppressed, and has occasional vomiting, and the pupils are dilated, while there is no fulness, and not much tenderness, in the region of the liver, and the flow of bile into the intestine is not quite stopped,—the propriety of bleeding, or of giving mercury, is very doubtful. From what we yet know of the pathology of such cases, these measures seem much more likely to do harm, than to do good. It is safer to be content with diaphoretics and saline purgatives, than to use, as it were, in the dark and at hazard, our more powerful remedies.

If the patient should become very drowsy, and especially if sluggishness of the pupil and other symptoms should betoken approaching coma, we should give strong purgatives so as to cause copious discharges, and at the same time endeavour to rouse the brain by blisters to the scalp, and other excitants. Cases have been before related, in which recovery took place under these measures, even after the patient had fallen into a state of almost complete coma. The tendency of free purging to remove the coma, or lessen the stupor, when this exists, leave little doubt that it tends also to prevent it, and suggests the propriety of the systematic and active use of saline purgatives in this variety of jaundice.

Mild saline purgatives, as the Seidlitz, Pullna, or Cheltenham waters, continued for some time, seem often of great service during the decline of jaundice, and when the time for more active measures is past.

In the jaundice that results from closure of the common duct, it is clear that mercury and all lowering remedies must do harm. All to be done, is,—to regulate the diet ; to prevent the accumulation of noxious matters in the bowels by an aloetic pill, or other warm purgative ; to keep up the action of the skin by an occasional warm bath ; and to take care to do nothing likely to disorder the action of the kidney through which the bile finds its way out of the system.

More active interference would be still more injurious, when the closure of the duct is caused by malignant disease.

APPENDIX.

The liver-fluke—Its effects on sheep and other graminivorous animals. Flukes found in the gall-ducts, in the duodenum, and in branches of the portal vein, in man.

THE gall-bladder and ducts of most of our graminivorous animals, and especially of the sheep, are frequently infested by two kinds of parasites—the *Distoma Hepaticum* and the *Distoma Lanceolatum*—which are often found together, and are commonly confounded under the term, *liver-fluke*. They are the cause of the distemper in sheep, which is known as the *rot*, and which is so justly dreaded by the farmer.

FIG. 18.



Distoma Hepaticum, from a sheep.
Natural size.

The *distoma hepaticum* is, in shape, very like a small sole or flounder, and, when full grown is, in the sheep, from three quarters of an inch to an inch and a half in length, and from one-third to half an inch wide, at the widest part. It has two suckers, whence the name, *Distoma*. One of these is at the extremity of the head, (*a*) fig. 16, and is a little turned downwards; the other, (*b*), which is the larger of the two, is on the under surface of the body, at the base of the neck. The first leads to the alimentary canal, and is pierced by the mouth; the hinder one is imperforate, a mere organ of adhesion.*


* See Owen's Lectures on the Comparative Anatomy of the Invertebrate Animals, from which the account of the anatomy of the liver-fluke in the text is chiefly taken.

Between the suckers, is a small depression, (c) in which are the two genital pores.

The alimentary canal is for a very short distance from the first sucker a single tube, and then divides into two, which diverge a little to embrace the genital pores and the hinder sucker, and then run parallel to each other along the middle of the body to near the tail, where their ends are closed. These parallel tubes send off many branched tubes from their outer sides, which extend nearly to the margins of the body. The ends of all these tubes are closed or blind.

The organs of both sexes are in the same individual. The male organs are situated between the alimentary tubes. Convolute seminal tubes, which may be recognised by their opaque white colour, occupy a great extent of the middle part of the body, and terminate by two trunks in a common canal, which ends at the base of the penis. The penis when flaccid, is spiral, and not unfrequently may be seen projecting from the anterior genital pore. The ovaria occupy the whole margin of the body for a line

FIG. 19.



Distoma lanceolatum, magnified.
a, b, the suckers; c, d, d, the alimentary canal; e, e, male organs; f, f, ovaria; g, g, the ramified uterine tube. h, outline of *D. lanceolatum*, of natural size. (Owen.)

in breadth. They consist of minute branched tubes in which the ova are developed. The oviducts terminate in a single large canal, which opens by a distinct pore immediately behind the male bursa, after making many convolutions between this and the hinder sucker.

The body is soft, almost of gelatinous consistence, and semitransparent; and of a whitish colour, variegated near the margins by the yellow ova, and within by the double ramified alimentary canal, which is greenish or brown from containing the colouring matter of bile.

The *Distoma lanceolatum*, which was at one time regarded as the young of the *Distoma hepaticum*, is much smaller, being commonly about a quarter of an inch in length, very seldom half an inch. It also differs in shape from the *Distoma hepaticum*. The outline of the body, instead of being rounded at each end, as in the latter, has each end *lanceol-shaped*; the end terminated by the head being much the narrower or more pointed of the two. The sucking cups are placed as in *D. hepaticum*, but are larger.

There are also differences in the internal structure of the two varieties.

In the *D. lanceolatum*, the alimentary canal does not ramify as in *D. hepaticum*. It is a single tube to the genital pore, which is here midway between the suckers, and then divides into two, which go along near the margins of the body, without sending off any branches, almost to the tail, where their ends are closed. The male organs are contained in the anterior part of the space between the alimentary tubes. The ovaria are situated at the margins of the middle third of the body, outside the alimentary tubes. The oviducts run transversely and terminate in a common uterine tube, which is very long and tortuous, occupying all the hinder part of the space between the two alimentary tubes.

In sheep, these parasites are often found in great numbers. Many hundreds may sometimes be counted in a single liver. They produce remarkable changes in the gall-ducts they inhabit, and through them in the adjacent parts of the liver. The gall-ducts infested by them become dilated, and their coats much thickened. In cutting across the liver, after the rot has lasted for some time, we see many branches of the hepatic ducts, of the size of a large quill, with thick coats having much the look of soaked leather. These ducts are stuffed with flukes, and often with a dirty greenish matter, the excrement and ova of the flukes, enveloped in mucus. The ova are egg-shaped bodies, all nearly of the same size— $\frac{1}{20}$ of an inch long, and about $\frac{1}{30}$ of an inch broad. Under the microscope, they are yellow by transmitted light, have a distinct single outline, and appear solid and filled with very fine granular matter.

At first, only the larger branches of the hepatic duct are changed in the way described. The smaller branches, which are not yet reached by the flukes, are healthy. It often happens, too, that while some of the larger ducts are so changed, others contain no flukes, and are quite healthy. After a time, the infested gall-ducts are still more changed. Those near the under surface of the liver often form white tubes, the largest the size of the thumb, or larger, which project above the surface, and in some parts are visible, without dissection, quite to the edge of the liver. On the convex surface of the liver, the dilated tubes, being deeper seated, are not visible except in a spot, here and there, near the edge. The coats of these white prominent gall-

ducts are much thickened, and have the look and almost the toughness of cartilage. On tracing them from trunk to branch, we sometimes find one closed, or blind, at the further end, from obliteration of the smaller branches which went to form it. These blind tubes are filled with mucus and the remains of flukes, which die when deprived of the bile on which they subsist. It now and then happens, too, that a portion of a dilated duct becomes separated from the rest, so as to form a cyst, which is filled with mucus.

Those parts of the liver in which the ducts are much dilated are more or less atrophied, from pressure and from obliteration of some of the small ducts, and are *pale* and shrunken, as compared with other parts of the same liver in which the ducts are less diseased. Occasionally, a thin false membrane is found on the convex surface of the most diseased portion of the liver, and uniting this by threads to the contiguous organs.

Later still, the inner surface of the ducts becomes incrustated with chalky matter (carbonate of lime) which in the end transforms them into bony tubes. Now and then we find a small cyst filled with chalky matter and completely isolated from the tubes; the remains perhaps of what was at one time a mucous cyst.

The effects which these parasites have on the health of the sheep are also very striking. At first, the sheep has a remarkable aptitude to grow fat, and, if the accumulation of fat only be regarded, may be prepared for the butcher perhaps weeks sooner than a sheep perfectly sound. This circumstance has even been turned to profit. Sheep nearly ready for slaughter, have been purposely placed in a pasture that gives the rot that they might fatten more quickly. But, unfortunately, while they grow fat, their muscles waste, and, from the first, they are weak and languid. They soon become anemic and now and then slightly sallow. They are recognised by butchers as having the rot, chiefly by an unusual whiteness of the eye, which does not show the red vessels seen in the eye of a healthy sheep. The caruncle, too, at the corner of the eye, is pale, and often slightly yellow; and the skin, when the wool is parted, does not exhibit the ruddy hue of health, but is pale and sometimes sallow. There is also a tendency to œdema, which is first conspicuous in dropsical swelling of the legs just

above the hocks ; but before this appears, the skin is looser than in a healthy sheep,—it is more readily stripped off by the butcher.*

As the disease goes on, the fat disappears, and the animal loses flesh rapidly, and grows extremely feeble. The appetite fails and the bowels are irregular ; sometimes costive, at other times much purged. The œdema increases, the skin in consequence becomes loose and flabby, and gives out a peculiar crackling sound when pressed, and the belly also gets dropsical. The wool now comes off at the slightest pull, the skin often becomes spotted with yellow or black, (probably from ecchymosis,) and the animal dies a mere skeleton,—generally from two to six months from the commencement of the disease. The rot, however, is not inevitably fatal. Sheep frequently recover, if early removed to a healthy pasture.

It will at once be seen that the chief symptoms of the disease and its fatal issue, depend, not so much on the changes of structure in the liver, striking as these are, as on an unhealthy state of the blood. The disease may prove fatal, when part only of the liver is involved, and when more than enough is left for all the purposes of secretion. The sallowness of the *caruncula lachrymalis*, and of the skin, occasionally noticed, is always slight, never amounting to jaundice, and depends probably more on anemia than on bile. The blood becomes impoverished in this disease just as it does from granular degeneration of the kidney, in man. The paleness of the conjunctiva and of the skin, that may be noticed even at an early period, show diminution in the proportion of globules in the blood. M. Andral has ascertained that when the disease has gone on to dropsy, the proportion of albumen is likewise much diminished, and he adduces this circumstance as

* These symptoms from being so obvious, were early noticed. They are pointed out very distinctly in the famous "Booke of Husbandrye," published more than three centuries ago. (the Booke of Husbandrye, by Sir Anthony Fitzherbert, 1532,) when from the general want of draining, the rot must have been more destructive in this country than now. "Take both your handes, and turn up the lid of his eye, and if it be ruddye and have red stringes in the white of the eye, then he is sound, and if the eye be white like talowe and the stringes dark-coloured, then he is rotten."

"And also take the shepe upon the wol on the side, and if the skin be of a ruddye color and dry, then is he sound, and if it be pale-colored and watery then he is rotten." (Library of Useful Knowledge. Treatise on the Sheep, p. 446.)

strongly in favour of the opinion he has advanced, that the dropsy from granular kidney, and in this disease, as well, is caused immediately by loss of the albumen of the blood. In sheep infested with flukes, the kidneys are pale like the other tissues, but not otherwise altered in structure; and the urine does not contain albumen. The yellow and black spots on the skin often noticed in the advanced stage of the disease, if they result from hæmorrhage, as they probably do, would lead us to infer that at this date, the proportion of fibrin in the blood is also diminished. The blood becomes at length so drained of all its organic constituents—globules, albumen, fibrin—that it is no longer fit to nourish the body and maintain life. The death of the animal is hastened by diarrhœa, which recurs frequently, especially towards the close of the malady, occasioned probably by irritating matters passing into the intestines from the gall-ducts.

No one, I believe, has inquired, how flukes in the liver work this change in the blood. It cannot be by merely consuming the bile, unless this is much more necessary for digestion in sheep than in man. Do they not cause a drain of serum from the tissues on which they fasten, and in the texture of which they produce such striking changes?

I have described thus fully the characters of this disease in sheep, not only on account of the intrinsic interest which it must have for the pathologist, but also on account of its great national importance—which alone is a sufficient reason why it should be investigated by medical men, who are the persons best qualified by previous education for such a task, and who are many of them placed in circumstances very favourable for it. Some notion of the importance of this disease may be formed from the statement made by a high authority on the diseases of cattle, that more than a million sheep and lambs die of it annually in this country.* In some seasons, this number, vast as it is, is much exceeded. In the winter of 1830-31, it was far more than doubled; and in some of the midland, eastern, and southern countries, where the pestilence was most rife, the existing race of sheep was almost entirely swept off.

Besides the sheep that actually die of the disease, vast numbers of those which are slaughtered are infected with it, and their flesh,

* Library of Useful knowledge. Treatise on the Sheep, p. 445.

we may suppose, is less wholesome and nutritious in consequence. In the spring of the present year (1844) a considerable proportion of the sheep that were brought to the London market, were infested with flukes. I had no difficulty in getting from the butchers any number of diseased livers to examine.

But the disease is not confined to England. It prevails in other countries of Europe, as far north as Norway, and in the most southern provinces of Spain. It occasionally prevails likewise in North America; and in Van Dieman's Land and Australia, it has at times been quite as destructive as here.

Flukes have been found nowhere but in the liver, or duodenum, of graminivorous animals. They usually inhabit the gall-ducts, where, as we have seen, they produce countless numbers of ova, or spawn, most of which must pass into the intestine, and be dropped by the sheep on the pastures. It is stated that from November to April, minute oval particles, which are doubtless these same ova, may occasionally be seen in swarms in the droppings of the infected sheep. They probably, under favourable circumstances, retain their vitality for a long period. The rot is most probably propagated by the sheep swallowing the ova or embryos thus dropped on the pastures; and by the young flukes passing instinctively from the duodenum into the gall-bladder and ducts. (Owen.)

But although the disease is so far propagated by infection, other conditions, of soil and season, are necessary for its spread.

The rot is almost confined to marshy or wet grounds, and is unusually destructive after a wet summer or autumn, or during a wet winter. It does not spread in dry seasons, or during hard frosts, and never shows itself on dry sandy soils, except after long rains. Autumn and winter are the seasons in which it prevails most. Meadows may often be safely pastured in spring, which are most destructive in autumn or winter. Another circumstance of practical importance, and which also seems to be well established, is that, season and soil alike, the disease spreads much more in lands that are over-pastured. This has been attributed, in part, to the ground being then broken by numberless foot-marks, which are so many cups in which the water collects.

It is generally believed, too, that at night, or while the dew is on the grass, the infection spreads much more than by day; and

it has been in consequence laid down as a precept, that when a pasture is suspected to be rotting, the sheep should be folded early in the evening, and not be released till the dew is partly evaporated.

In an infected pasture, a whole flock of sheep may be tainted in a very short time. Of this some very striking instances have been recorded, in a manner so circumstantial, that considering their antecedent probability, there seems no reason to doubt their reality. The two following will perhaps suffice.

“ A farmer in the neighbourhood of Wragby, in Lincolnshire, took twenty sheep to the fair, leaving six behind in the pasture on which they had been summered. The score sent to the fair, not being sold, were driven back, and put into the same field in which the six had been left. In the course of the winter every one of them died of the rot : but the six that had been left behind all lived and did well. There could be no mistake with respect to this fact, as the sheep sent to the fair had a different mark from that of the six that were left at home. The loss of these twenty sheep can only be accounted for on the supposition that they had travelled over some common, or other rotting ground, and there became infected.”

The second instance is still more conclusive.

“ A sheep, belonging to a lot of twenty, being lamed in consequence of a broken leg in getting out of Burgh fair, in Lincolnshire, the nineteen were suffered to range on a common at the end of the town until a cart could be procured to carry the maimed sheep home. The nineteen all died rotten, while the sheep with the lame leg continued perfectly free from the disease.*

It follows at once from these observations that the most effectual way to prevent the rot, is to make the pastures dry by thorough draining. In order that the disease may spread, it seems necessary that the soil should be wet or marshy, or at least that there should be stagnant water on it. It is perhaps enough that there be stagnant ditches about a field, though the field itself be dry. Sheep, more than any other of our domestic animals, require a dry soil.

Oxen are likewise infested with flukes, but in much less degree. They are not *rotted* by them, like sheep, and will thrive on pastures destructive to sheep. I have learnt from a farmer

* Lib. of Useful Knowledge. Sheep, p. 453. Quoted from Parkinson on Live Stock, vol. i. p. 421.

in Devonshire that in rich meadows on the banks of the Taw, where the beautiful north-Devon cattle are bred and thrive, sheep can never be kept for any length of time. They almost invariably die of the rot in less than twelve months from their being brought there. The meadows, though drained enough to produce rich grass, are low, and divided by ditches in which the water is almost stagnant.

Various other precepts for the prevention of the rot may be drawn from the observations that have been mentioned, but which it would be out of place to dwell on here. They are most of them obvious enough, and are well expressed in works on this and similar subjects,* and are, besides, pretty generally known and acted upon by prudent farmers. The great point to inculcate is the importance of thorough draining. More ills of man and beast than we yet suspect are probably owing to the want of it; and it is fortunate for the future generations of both in this country, that farmers are now becoming sensible of the remarkable effect of thorough draining in increasing the fertility of land, and are thus led to undertake it by the only motive that is generally efficient—the expectation of a profitable return.

When sheep are once infected, there is little hope for them unless they be speedily removed to a healthy pasture. When this is done, many will still die, for they carry with them the parasites, which, once in their appointed abode, will perhaps continue to find there all that they require for their growth and propagation; but many of the sheep will recover.

The medicine, whose efficacy is best established in this disease, is common salt, of which as much should be given as the sheep will eat. It has been long known that sheep hardly ever become rotten in salt marshes, except in years when the disease is extraordinarily rife; and that they usually recover when placed in such pastures if they be only slightly tainted. Of late years, many agriculturists have given strong testimony in favour of the efficacy of salt sprinkled on the animal's food, or given to it forcibly, not only in preventing the rot, but in curing it, when not far advanced. (Op. Cit., p. 459.)

* I would especially refer the reader who is desirous of more information on this subject, to the very elaborate and interesting treatise on the sheep, to which I have already referred.

It would seem that the salt not only prevents the further multiplication of the flukes, but that it destroys those that already exist in the liver of the animal.

Condiments of various kinds seem to have similar efficacy. Gentian and ginger are those most in repute. They have been recommended to be given in powder, in conjunction with salt. It is probable that various aromatic herbs have similar virtue, and that good might result from planting in lands that give the rot, some such herb of a kind that sheep will eat and that will grow there. In high grounds, where sheep feed on dry aromatic herbs, the rot never occurs.

It has been already remarked that other graminivorous animals are liable to be infested with flukes. Hares and rabbits that feed on the same pastures, are *rotted* like sheep. They become thin and pot-bellied, and lose their flax, and at length die much wasted. Oxen also are infested by them, but much less than sheep, and they do not suffer in health in the same degree. Flukes have also been found in the liver of the deer, and of the pig,—and, in a few instances, in man; but in no animal exclusively carnivorous.

In man, liver-flukes are so rare, and when present are generally so few in number, that they must be considered a curiosity, rather than a cause of disease.

Bucholz found a considerable number of flukes in the gall-bladder of a prisoner who died of putrid fever. Rudolphi, who got possession of some of them, states that they were precisely like the *Distoma lanceolatum* of the sheep. Rudolphi had many other specimens, also of *Distoma lanceolatum*, that had been passed by a girl after having taken a dose of *Chabert's* empyreumatic oil. He states that he could not find an authentic instance of a specimen of *Distoma hepaticum* having been found in the human liver.


Brera found some flukes in the gall-ducts of a man who died of scurvy complicated with dropsy, which were larger than those found by Bucholz, and which were considered to be of the variety *D. hepaticum*.

A few years ago, a single fluke was discovered by my colleague, Mr. Partridge, in the gall-bladder of a person who died in the Middlesex Hospital. Mr. Partridge was present at the examination of the body, and was struck with the appearance of the gall-bladder, which, instead of being stained by bile, as is usual, was

perfectly white. He took the gall-bladder away, to make of it a preparation to show the natural structure, and, on laying it open, discovered the fluke. He presented the fluke to Professor Owen, who considered it to differ in no respect from the *Distoma hepaticum* of the sheep. The gall-bladder and cystic duct, which were perfectly healthy, are preserved in the museum of King's College.

In the winter of 1843, fourteen flukes were found by Mr. Busk in the *duodenum* of a Lascar, who died in the Dreadnought. There were none in the gall-bladder or ducts. These flukes were much thicker and larger than those of the sheep, being from an inch and a half to near three inches in length. They resembled the *Distoma hepaticum* in shape, but were like the *Distoma lanceolatum* in structure; the double alimentary canal, as in the latter variety, being not branched, and the entire space between it towards the latter part of the body being occupied by a branched uterine tube. Two of these flukes, which were given me by Mr. Busk, are in the museum of King's College, (Prep. 346) and from one them, which is injected with size and vermilion, the annexed wood-cut (fig. 20,) was made.

FIG. 20.



Fluke, from the duodenum of a man, (natural size,) injected. *a*, ligature round the neck; *b*, alimentary tube.

Some flukes were also found by Brera in the human duodenum; where they doubtless subsist, as in the liver, on the bile.

Rudolphi mentions, merely to deny the assertion, that some authors, to whom he gives no reference, have stated that flukes occasionally inhabit also the branches of the portal vein. An observation, however, made some years ago by M. Duval, a physician at Rennes, confirms these statements. In the beginning of April, 1830, M. Duval, while engaged on the veins in a course of anatomy, had, to illustrate his lectures,

the body of a man, about forty-nine years of age, who died in a hospital at Rennes. While demonstrating the portal vein at lecture, M. Duval discovered that there was a foreign body in its trunk, and on carefully laying the vein open, he found that this was a *Distoma hepaticum*, of large dimensions, in the midst of a little fluid blood. Subsequently, in tracing the hepatic divisions of the vein, he found four or five others, of the same kind. There were none in the mesenteric branches that go to form the trunk of the portal vein. The branches of the vein that contained the flukes presented no erosion nor any marks of inflammation, and had quite their natural appearance. The liver elsewhere was sound, and, excepting the flukes, nothing particular was remarked in the body. The man was brought into the medical wards of the hospital on the 24th of March, and died on the 28th. No particulars of his case are given. The flukes are preserved in the museum at Rennes. In 1842, when they had been twelve years in spirit, they were found to be from eleven to fourteen lines in length, and from four to five lines wide.

From M. Duval's account, which is very detailed, there seems to be little doubt that these parasites were really specimens of *Distoma hepaticum*. M. Duval states that he found them to accord with plates of the *D. hepaticum*, in the 'Encyclopedie;' and that he subsequently showed them to M. Dujardin, a high authority, he says, in such matters, who pronounced them to be really of this species.*

In this instance, the flukes obtained immediately from the portal blood the means of subsistence which they generally draw from the bile. It is remarkable, considering the great changes that are produced by flukes in the texture of the gall-ducts in sheep, that there were here no marks of disease in the coats of the veins which the flukes infested. Is it (as the symptoms of the disease which they occasion in sheep render probable) that flukes require some of the principles of the blood for their support, as well as bile and that in the gall-ducts they obtain these by causing a drain of serum from their coats? It is remarkable, too, that their excrement and spawn should not have set up disease in the substance of the liver, and thus have led to appreciable changes of texture. But, perhaps, the greatest puzzle is—how did the flukes get into the vein? We are led to infer that they grew

* Gazette Medicale de Paris, 3 Decembre, 1842.

up there, from there having been no erosion of the coats of the veins, nor any other marks of disease in them. Besides, there were no flukes in the gall-ducts, nor any signs of flukes having been there at some former time. But, supposing that the flukes grew in the vein, how did the eggs, which are so much larger than blood-globules, get there?

The supposition that the Distomata withdraw the albumen of the blood, accounts for their producing less effect on larger cattle than on sheep, hares, and rabbits. A loss of albumen that would exhaust these small animals, would have little effect on an ox.

THE END.

LONDON :

PRINTED BY G. J. PALMER, SAVOY STREET, STRAND.

