The US R&D; budget for FY 1996 : half-time score or the end of the game? / [British Embassy, Washington].

Contributors

Great Britain. Embassy (U.S.)

Publication/Creation

Washington DC : The Embassy, 1995.

Persistent URL

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/ap6e6rp2

License and attribution

You have permission to make copies of this work under an Open Government license.

This licence permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Image source should be attributed as specified in the full catalogue record. If no source is given the image should be attributed to Wellcome Collection.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org



THE US RED BUDGET FOR FY 1996: HALF TIME SCORE - OR END OF THE GAME?

1. The US House of Representatives has completed its action on appropriations for fiscal year 1996 non-defence R&D. The Senate has completed only part of its task. The process whereby the separate House and Senate proposals are reconciled has yet to be started. Thus we are about halfway towards a descision on the amount the US government will spend on R&D in 1996.

2. We are halfway through this year's budget - but many argue that we are at the end of a 50 year consensus on how research should be supported. It was in 1945 that Vannevar Bush, then the presidential science adviser, produced a report stating that "without scientific progress, no amount of achievement in other directions can ensure our health, prosperity and security as a nation in the modern world". The report is credited with establishing the federally sponsored programme linking government and university research. This programme has operated within a broad political consensus for the last 50 years. The current Republican drive to cut the budget has fractured that political consensus and seems likely to make a permanent change in government support of research.

Background:

3. The political complexion of Congress changed in November last year but the Administration proceeded with its budget request for FY 1996, seeking \$72.6 billion in total R&D funding, pretty much the same (\$73.0 b) as was approved by Congress last year. The Congress put the President's budget request to one side and passed its own budget resolution - a document which does not of itself make any financial commitment but provides guidance and allocations to the Appropriation Committees which do. This budget resolution proposed balancing the Federal budget by FY 2002 and made recommendations which would in practice lead to a reduction of about one-third in the non-defence R&D by that time.

4. The new Congress started by making cuts in the spending already agree for FY 1995 and, guided by the budget resolution, is now in the process of passing the legislation to appropriate science funding for FY'96. The House of Representatives has completed its work. Its proposals have been described as "the most significant across the board funding cuts to the research and development enterprise in the post-World War II era".

5. Both the House and the Senate have to reach agreement on the appropriations bills. The Senate has different priorities and is in general less firmly wedded to cuts in the existing budget. So there is still much negotiation to do even when both houses have reached a conclusion on their own proposals. Further, the President has to approve the measures: he has already stated that he would veto eight of the eleven appropriations bills if they reach him in a similar form to those that passed the House.

The second s



And Lines Links

5. What follows is a brief description of those proposals and draws very heavily on an excellent analysis produced by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). The full text of this is available on the World Wide Web (http://www.aaas.org).

Overall:

7. The House has appropriated \$31.5 billion for a non-defence R&D -7.9% below the original (Democrat) agreed total for FY'95. "Basic" research would increase by an estimated 1.6% to \$14 billion with the major cuts coming in industrially related development programmes, particularly the Advanced Technology Programme at Commerce and the Environmental Technologies Initiatives at EPA. Current proposals for defence appropriation total \$36.8 billion, an increase of 4.2% on FY'95, reversing the trends towards a 50:50 civil defence split.

Department of Defence:

8. The House has not completed discussion on the DOD Appropriations Bill but the current proposal would increase defence R&D by 4.2% to a total of \$36.8 billion. This is nearly \$1.6 billion more than DOD requested! Perhaps more startling to those outside Washington, the largest increase, 23.2% above FY'95, is the \$3 billion allocated to the Ballistic Missile Defence Organization (President Reagan's "Star Wars" initiative).

9. Dual use technologies, intended to ween the defence industry off Pentagon funds and into commercial markets has been particularly hard hit with the Technology Reinvestment Programme, for which the Administration requested \$500 million, being eliminated. The House also proposes to withdraw support for the semiconductor consortium, SEMATECH, although there is support for both these projects in the Senate.

Department of Energy:

10. The House seemed to disregard the President's request for DOE, perhaps because at one time it intended to disband the agency. This now seems unlikely but their appropriation is \$1.2 billion below the \$7 billion requested by the Administration. Most of this cut falls on the industry orientiated energy R&D programmes eg. solar energy and conservation. DOE's technology transfer programme would also be eliminated.

11. Proposals before the Senate also cut DOE's energy related R&D but in a less dramatic fashion. Reflecting the view that DOE should return to its core mission, the Senate proposes to increase by over 13% the work done on weapons research. Augery harvily of an advisorment of Screece the American Augers at ton for the Advisorment of Screece thank in this feet of

A second to a second the second at a second tot a non-detend and restrict would increase by an estimated 1.65 to 216 bilition with the second control increase by an estimated development programmen second tot at a moder factorized by rogramme at Committon with the second total second factorized by rogramme at the second total second total second at an increase programme to defente appropriation total 516.8 billion, an increase programme to the st. rowerstand the total opening of second total total second second to a second a second of second by the second second total second a second of second second second total second to a second a second a second of second second second second second total second a second a second of second second second second second second a second a second of second second second second second second second a second a second a second of second secon

And the state of the state of the state of the

ALLE ROL-TRE THITSHE Proposal would increase defente RED by 4.21 10 9 Correl of Stillon. This is nearly 51.6 million more than 200 recommend. Permaps fore starting to those untride Mannington, the service stillation 23.25 above 27.95. Is the St billion allocated to the Stillation Mineric Defence Organization (President Pargan a Volaw

a. Dial use ischnologies, incended to week the reignon industry of Fantaque fordes and into connectors, extents has been pair collarly part arts with the iscingering of Reinvestion, bring eliminated. The Search Action reinstration to bicher and the for the reinforded which is a arts proposed to bicher an aupport for the reinford during a search arts arts although another is support for both these projects is if a search arts.

Long and I of the state

The Moule second to discourd the President's the President's This particle booking at the 10 incented to distant the start will be billing on the start the second start and the start billing bolev the start industry offers analy 200 programmes of this cost falls and construction of a controling tempoter programmes of the start be start and second by the start start of the start start and the start of the start start start of the start of the start start start of the start of the start of the start start of the start start start of the start of the start of the start start of the star

Party is a lais felters the density alog out the a search balated and baryin a lais dramatic feation the Sectoreling the view that 30% () should record to its fore direated the Senete propose to Intreate a COMMERCIAL WASE

a ha all a dans dependent all a statistical a statistical and a statistical and a statistical and a statistical

NASA:

12. Five years ago NASA was told to plan for a \$21 billion organization in 1995. The first Clinton budget in 1993 forecast it will be working at \$16 billion in 1995 and the last Administration plan is for \$14 billion. The President's budget in February showed a steady decline from \$14.6 billion in 1994 to \$13.2 billion in FY 2000. In the face of this everchanging objective NASA brought forward its own plans to consolidate labs and concentrate on its core activities. The present Republican budget is aiming at \$12 billion by the end of the year 2000 and would necessitate yet further cuts. It would require the NASA R&D budget to fall from \$9.9 billion in FY'95 to \$7.9 billion in FY 2002. At the same time it proposes that the Space Station be fully supported. The implication is that other programmes must take a substantial cut.

13. Mission to Planet Earth has been reduced to \$1 billion (a 22% cut); the House proposes to terminate the Space Infrared Telescope Facility and reduce the Stratespheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy. At one time it proposed to terminate the Cassini Mission to Saturn. The Committee has stated that outright termination of some of NASA's programmes "may be necessary" in the future. On this occasion the House may well be more benign than the Senate which seems likely to restore funding for programmes like housing and veterans which compete directly with NASA for funding.

National Science Foundation:

14. The NSF, with its emphasis on the politically correct "basic" research, has escaped relatively unscathed. Its programme of peer reviewed investigator-initiated research grants will increase slightly in dollar terms. Overall the budget is cut by just over 2% from its FY'95 level to \$2.4 billion. Work on facilities such as the Laser Interfromater Gravitational Wave Observatory and the Gemini Telescopes will continue.

National Institutes of Health:

15. As in the past, the NIH seems relatively immune to cuts. The House would increase their R&D budget by 6.2% to \$11.4 billion. The House simply added 1.3% to the budget request for every institute: the effect being to accept the Administration's priorities and thereby give 11.2% more for the human genome project and even an extra 9% for programmes such as minority health and alternative medicine (topics not in favour with this Congress!).

16. Although the NIH has always found favour with Congress the budget resolution called for an immediate 5% cut in FY'96 and a freeze thereafter, so to allow funding of NIH the House Appropriations Committee had to make cuts elsewhere, including eliminating 93 programmes in the Department of Education. Such cuts in education and training programmes have prompted the President to threaten a veto on the entire bill.

1000

All Massion to Pinnon Larth has been reduced to \$1 0111100 is 200 Charter to book the Alrenance to territoric the Space Intrated Telescope Arteriory and reduce the Alrenance to territoric to the State is one to the State the Alrenance to the State to the State arterior of the State to the State to the State to the State arterior the State of the Alrenance to the State to the State arterior the State of the State

NO TENNICE COMPLETE LEADER

is the the term with its emphasis on the politically correct basis deserved in testing to attraly unsciented its programme of peer methods in deliar terms. Overall the mutget is on by just ouer 24 from the restriction and the said on fadilities and as the method to the state of the said of the testing the second of the testing of the said of the testing the second of the testing the testing testing the testing the testing testing the testing the testing testing testing the testing the testing testing testing testing testing the testing testing testing testing the testing te

College an entropy of Records

An an all a second that we had a second of a second of a second to the s

A consistence of a fill had sivere found fevere with Congress the braces resolution talked of an incedence of out in FT'94 and a resolution thereas for allow to him the distance of all House a second to programme to the second of the transfer a strength and the resolution of the second of the resolution of the resolution of the second of the resolution of the resolution of the second of the resolution of the resolution of the second of the resolution of the resolution of the second of the resolution of the resolution of the second of the resolution of the resolution of the second of the second of the resolution of the second of the second of the second of the resolution of the second of the second of the second of the second of the resolution of the second of the resolution of the second of the resolution of the second of the seco 09/08/95 12:21 2202 898 4224

COMMERCIAL WASH

a second a second to the second and the second as the second second as the second

006/006

Department of Commerce:

17. Funding for the DOC R&D programmes was originally set at \$1.3 billion for FY'95. A major element of this was the dramatic expansion of the Advanced Technology Programme (ATP), designed to support commercial development work in industry. The FY'96 House Appropriation for Commerce would provide \$741.4 million - a cut of 33.6% and it would eliminate the ATP. Thus a key element of Clinton's technology programme would go from \$200 million in '94 to \$430 million in '95 to zero in 1996. However although the demise of this programme grabs the headlines the intramural laboratory programmes at NIST have fared reasonably well; under the budget resolution they would be expected to increase above the rate of inflation.

Summary:

18. The detail of which programme is cut and by how much will change, in some cases quite significantly, when the House of Representatives and the Senate come to reconcile their two proposals. Nonetheless the broad trend for FY'96 is clear. It seems the general consensus on Federal funding of R&D has gone. There is no longer an acceptance of government's role in supporting collaborative projects with industry and there is a wide difference of view on how much government should support anything other than fundamental research. And even in this area there are clear differences in what constitutes "basic" research!

19. This loss of consensus means that funding for R&D is likely to swing backwards and forwards in line with changing political fortunes in the House of Representatives. This can hardly be for the good of R&D in the US - and as the US is estimated to spend more than the total R&D expenditure of Japan, Germany, France and UK combined the world.

British Embassy, Washington 7th september 1995

Lapidenco io factoria

bilition for FY 35. A rator element of this was the dramatic expansion of the Advanced Technology Programs (ATP), designed to apport communial development work in industry. The FY 36 House if at a and it would elements would provide 5741.4 million - a cut of the of an illion is 's to the test of 1396. However sithout in '94 to the programme grains the Meditines the intramatic introductory contestion the contest of 1396. However sithout the design of the programme grains the Meditines the intramatic introductory and the programme grains the Meditines the intramatic introduction the design of the programme grains the meditines the intramatic introduction the budget and the state of the Meditines the intramatical intoduction of the programme of the Meditines the intramatical intoduction of the state of the second of the intramatic should be the first of the state of the second of the second of the state of the state of the state of the second of the state of the state

Another and the state programme is due and by now much will another balance that the Senate come to reconcils that two proposals another balance the breat form of the state is distr. It seams the general another balance of powersents funding of sib has gone. There is no longer an are there and there is a wide difference of view on how much are even in the store there are clear difference of view on how much has even in the store there are clear differences in what is no another has been and there are clear differences in what is no how much has even in the store there are clear differences in what constructs has been and the store there are clear differences in what constructs

alor backwards and forwards means that funding for ReD is likely to the House of Representatives. This can hardly be for the good of and an the Up and as the US is estimated to apond down that the sail and espectively of Japan Germany, France and UK combined -