

Notes on the inhalation of sulphuric ether in the practice of midwifery / by J.Y. Simpson.

Contributors

Simpson, James Young, 1811-1870.

Publication/Creation

Edinburgh : Sutherland and Knox, 1847.

Persistent URL

<https://wellcomecollection.org/works/cjea5fvz>

License and attribution

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection
183 Euston Road
London NW1 2BE UK
T +44 (0)20 7611 8722
E library@wellcomecollection.org
<https://wellcomecollection.org>

SIMPSON

INHALATION OF ETHER

PRESENTATION
COPY
1847

STRONG-ROOM SPEC. COLL.

48353/B

T. xvi. h.


Autographe
von
J Simpson
Prof. te Edinburgh

an

Ed. von Siebold
Prof. te Göttingen

J. Baart de la Faille
Med. Prof.
GRONINGEN.

2447





celeberrimo Siebold

NOTES Auctor. —

ON THE

INHALATION OF SULPHURIC ETHER

IN THE

PRACTICE OF MIDWIFERY.

J. Y. SIMPSON, M.D., F.R.S.E.,

PROFESSOR OF MIDWIFERY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, AND
PHYSICIAN-ACCOUCHER TO HER MAJESTY IN SCOTLAND.

* * * Not poppy, nor mandragora,
Nor all the drowsy syrups of the world,
Shall ever medicine thee to such sweet sleep."

SHAKESPEARE.

EXTRACTED FROM THE MONTHLY JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCE FOR MARCH 1847.

EDINBURGH:

SUTHERLAND AND KNOX, 58, PRINCES STREET.

MDCCCXLVII.

1/2. 14 42.



28th Feb^y Edinburgh

Dear Sir

I will feel flattered by your kind acceptance of the following brief pamphlet

During the last month I have etherized a number of ladies in private practice & during natural labour. All declare they shall have it again, if again in labour. Some 20 or 30 years hence its use will I believe be general in Midwifery. The more I see of it the more I feel convinced of this.

With much esteem & respect believe me Yours very truly

Professor Liebold

J. S. Simpson

I saw her with Mr Figg at five o'clock in the afternoon, and again at seven. The os uteri was pretty well dilated, the liquor amnii not evacuated, the presenting head very high, mobile, and difficult to touch; and a pulsating loop of the umbilical cord was felt floating below it in the unruptured bag of membranes. From five to nine o'clock the pains seemed only to push the circle of the os uteri further downwards, without its dilatation or marking the head in any degree enter into the pelvic brim. Assisted by Dr Zeigler, Dr Keith, and Mr Figg, I shortly after nine o'clock made the patient inhale the ether vapour. As she afterwards

NOTES.

ABUNDANT evidence has of late been adduced, and is daily accumulating, in proof of the inhalation of sulphuric ether being capable, in the generality of individuals, of producing a more or less perfect degree of insensibility to the pains of the most severe surgical operations. But whilst this agent has been used extensively, and by numerous hands, in the practice of surgery, I am not aware that any one has hitherto ventured to test its applicability to the practice of midwifery. I am induced, therefore, to hope that the few following hurried and imperfect notes, relative to its employment in obstetric cases, may not at the present time prove uninteresting to the profession.

Within the last month I have had opportunities of using the inhalation of ether in the operation of turning, in cases of the employment of the long and of the short forceps, as well as in several instances in which the labour was of a natural type, and consequently required no special form of artificial aid.

The first case in which I employed the ether vapour, occurred on the 19th of January. Some details of the result have been already published in the last number of this Journal (see p. 639). The pelvis of the mother was greatly contracted in its conjugate diameter from the projection forwards and downwards of the promontory of the sacrum; the lumbar portion of the spine was distorted; and she walked very lamely. The present was her second confinement. Her first labour had been long and difficult; she began to suffer on a Monday, and after a protracted trial of the long forceps, was at last delivered by craniotomy late on the subsequent Thursday night. Even after the cranium had been fully broken down, a considerable time and much traction had been required to drag the diminished and mutilated head of the infant through the contracted brim of the pelvis; and she was long in recovering. Contrary to the urgent advice of her medical attendant, Mr Figg, he was not made aware of her present or second pregnancy till she had arrived at nearly the end of the ninth month. It was thus too late to have recourse to the induction of premature labour, which had been strongly pressed upon her as the only means of saving her child, should she again fall in the family way. The pains of her second labour commenced in the forenoon of the 19th.

I saw her with Mr Figg at five o'clock in the afternoon, and again at seven. The os uteri was pretty well dilated, the liquor amnii not evacuated, the presenting head very high, mobile, and difficult to touch; and a pulsating loop of the umbilical cord was felt floating below it in the unruptured bag of membranes. From five to nine o'clock the pains seemed only to push the circle of the os uteri further downwards, without increasing its dilatation, or making the head in any degree enter into the pelvic brim. Assisted by Dr Zeigler, Dr Keith, and Mr Figg, I shortly after nine o'clock made the patient inhale the ether vapour. As she afterwards informed us, she almost immediately came under the anodyne influence of the ether. But in consequence of doubts upon this point, its use was continued for nearly twenty minutes before I proceeded to turn the infant (as I had previously predetermined to do). A knee was easily seized, and the child's extremities and trunk readily drawn down; but extreme exertion was required in order to extract the head. At length it passed the contracted brim with the anterior part of its right parietal bone deeply indented by pressure against the projecting promontory of the sacrum, and the whole cranium flattened and compressed laterally. The infant gasped several times, but full respiration could not be established. The transverse or biparietal measurement of its head, at the site of the indentation, was, in its compressed state, not more than $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches. Hence we judged the conjugate diameter of the pelvic brim not to exceed this. The infant was large, and rather above the usual size. It weighed 8 lbs. On afterwards examining the head and removing the scalp, no fracture could be found at the seat of the indentation. The thin parietal bone had merely bent inwards.

On questioning the patient after her delivery, she declared that she was quite unconscious of pain during the whole period of the turning and extracting of the infant, or indeed from the first minute or two after she first commenced to breathe the ether. The inhalation was discontinued towards the latter part of the operation, and her first recollections on awaking were "hearing," but not "feeling," the head of the infant "jerk" from her (to use her own expressions), and subsequently she became more roused by the noise caused by the preparation of a bath for the child. She quickly regained full consciousness, and talked with gratitude and wonderment of her delivery, and her insensibility to the pains of it. Next day I found her very well in all respects. I looked in upon her on the 24th (the fifth day after delivery), and was astonished to find her up and dressed, and she informed me that on the previous day she had walked out of her room to visit her mother. Mr Figg informs me that her further convalescence has been uninterruptedly good and rapid.

I have previously alluded to two cases of delivery by the forceps, in which the patients were under the action of ether at the time of the operation. The woman in the first of these cases was brought

into the Royal Maternity Hospital, in strong labour, early on the morning of the 3d February. It was her second confinement. At her first accouchement (seven years before), she had been delivered by instruments, in Ireland, and had been informed by the attendant practitioner, that artificial delivery would be similarly required at her future labours. I saw her between ten and eleven o'clock A.M. The os uteri was well dilated, the membranes ruptured, and the pains extremely strong and frequent; but the large head of the child seemed not to enter fully into the brim, and was little affected by the powerful uterine contractions under which the patient was suffering. By three o'clock her pulse had risen to above 125 beats a minute, and it appeared to the medical officers present, that it would be improper to allow the ineffectual and exhausting efforts of the patient to be longer continued. She was then, at my request, brought under the influence of ether. Dr Moir, with great skill, applied the long forceps upon the head of the child. He subsequently was obliged to use strong traction during the pains that followed, and becoming temporarily fatigued with his efforts, I supplied his place. After the head fully passed the brim, the forceps were laid aside, and one or two uterine contractions finished the delivery. The child was large and strong, and cried vigorously soon after it was expelled. During the whole of this severe operation the patient appeared quiet and passive. The cries of her child speedily roused her from her etherized state, and she subsequently assured Dr Moir that she had felt comparatively little or no pain during the whole operation and delivery.

On the evening of the 12th February, I saw another forceps case with my friend, Dr Graham Weir. The patient was advanced in life, and it was her first confinement. The waters had escaped early, and the anterior lip of the uterus had subsequently become forced down in a very swelled and œdematous state before the head of the infant. After this obstruction was overcome, the child's head speedily descended upon the floor of the pelvis; but it was there impeded in its further progress by the narrow transverse diameter of the outlet. Under the compression of the converging tuberosities of the ischia, the bones of the foetal cranium soon began to overlap; but at last, no further progress being made, the patient becoming exhausted by a continuous labour of about twenty-four hours, and the soft parts being evidently well relaxed and prepared, Dr Weir applied the short forceps, and extracted a living infant. For a considerable time before this operation was adopted, I exhibited the vapour of ether to the patient; under it she speedily became quite narcotized. Its action was kept up, and the pains appeared to be so strong as almost to warrant the idea that nature would yet be sufficient; but ultimately, instrumental delivery was, as I have already stated, had recourse to. The mother did not fully recover from her state of etherization for ten or fifteen minutes after delivery, and then stated that she was quite unaware

of anything that had been done, and of what had occurred. Dr Weir informs me that this patient was up on the fourth day after delivery, and felt, by that time so perfectly well, that she was with difficulty persuaded by the nurse to abstain from walking about the house as usual.

As far as they go, the preceding cases point out one important result:—in all of them, the uterine contractions continued as regular in their recurrence and duration after the state of etherization had been induced, as before the inhalation was begun. The emotion of fear has appeared to me to suspend, in one or two nervous patients, the recurrence of the first pains, after the apparatus was adjusted and its employment commenced; but this effect speedily passed off; and as yet I have seen no instance in which the pains were sensibly diminished in intensity or frequency after the ether had fairly begun to act.—Indeed, in some cases they have appeared to me to have become increased as the consciousness of the patient became diminished. This has more particularly occurred with one or two patients, who breathed ether, combined with tincture of ergot, or containing a solution of its oil. A woman was brought into the Maternity Hospital on the 28th February, after being in labour for 30 or 40 hours. It was her second child. Subsequently to her entering the hospital, at seven P.M., scarcely any decided uterine contraction could be said to take place. The os uteri was well opened, but the head was still high in the pelvis; and when I saw her at four A.M. of the following morning, nine hours after her entrance into the hospital, little or no advance whatever had been made, and the case was becoming an anxious one. She was then made to inhale equal parts of sulphuric ether and tincture of ergot. In the course of a few minutes a series of extremely powerful uterine contractions supervened, and the child was born within a quarter of an hour of the commencement of inhalation. The mother subsequently declared that she recollected nothing at all of her delivery, except the removal of the after-birth. In this case, was the re-excitement of strong pains the result of the action of the sulphuric ether, or of the ergot, or of both? Or was it a simple but very strange coincidence? More facts than I yet possess are necessary to decide such a question; but I have seen some cases which lead me to believe that other therapeutic agents besides those I have named may be readily introduced into the system by means of pulmonary inhalation.¹

¹ Dr Richard Pearson, who, in 1795, was, I believe, the first person that recommended the inhalation of sulphuric ether as a therapeutic agent (see his *Account of the Nature and Properties of different kinds of Airs*, p. 24) suggested also the use of it impregnated with opium, squill, cicuta, &c.; and he speaks of the effect of "an emetic given in this manner." He employed the simple sulphuric ether vapour in some cases of phthisis, asthma, hooping cough, croup, and catarrh, recommending it to be inhaled, (after being rectified and washed,) from a cup—through an inverted funnel—or, with children, by "wetting a handkerchief with it, and holding it near the nose and mouth." See *Medical Facts and Observations*, for 1797, vol. vii. p. 96. In the 13th volume of the *Dictionnaire des Sciences Medicales* (1816) p. 385, Nysten

A more extensive and careful series of investigations than I have yet been able to institute, may perhaps show that in some constitutions, and under some circumstances or *degrees* of intensity, the process of etherization may possibly interfere with the uterine contractility, particularly in the earlier stages of the labour. At the same time, various analogies would lead us to expect that, as I have hitherto found, the action of the uterus would go on uninterruptedly, when the psychical influence of the mind and purely cerebral functions was suspended, as in the more complete states of etherization. At all events, if we may judge from the analogous experiments of Vollkmann, Bidder, and Kölliker, upon the simple contractions and rhythmic reflex actions of the heart, intestines, &c., the motory nervous powers of the uterus belong to the ganglionic and to the spinal systems, and are not in any necessary dependence upon the brain or mind. Indeed, Ollivier and Nasse have published cases of perfect paraplegia, notwithstanding which the act of parturition in the human female proceeded regularly in its course, and without conscious pain. In the one case (Ollivier's), the cord was compressed and destroyed from the first to the fourth dorsal vertebra by a collection of acephalo cysts;¹ and, in the other instance (Nasse's), complete paralysis had followed a fracture of the third and fourth cervical vertebræ.² Of course such lesions necessarily prevented the brain exerting any influence upon the uterus, or its contractions.

Long ago, in discussing this subject, Haller adduced the authority of Harvey, Smellie, Lamotte, &c., to prove that uterine contractions and labour may go on with the mother, "*ignara, stupida et sopita, et immobili, et apoplectica, et epileptica, et convulsionibus agitata, et ad summum debili.*"³ Deneux mentions a fact still more in point, because in it the analogy with the operation of ether is still stronger, or indeed identical. "A woman," says he,⁴ "was brought to the Hotel Dieu at Amiens in a comatose state, in consequence of her taking spirituous liquors since the commencement

has described a particular apparatus, like some of our modern forms, for the inhalation of sulphuric ether. See also vol. xvii. p. 134.—Vaporizable substances, when introduced into the system in this manner, probably pass undigested and unchanged into the circulation, and "seem (observes Wagner) to make their way into the blood through the unbroken vascular membrane [of the bronchial cells] with the same certainty and ease as when they are injected *directly* into the veins" (Elements of Physiology, 1842, p. 443.) Will this not explain both the rapidity and intensity of their action when thus used?

¹ Traité de la Moelle Epiniere, p. 784.

² Untersuchungen zur Physiologie, &c. — Dr Cheyne reports a case of fatal hemorrhagic apoplexy and hemiplegia, in which, without any apparent pains, "the uterus (observes Dr Kellie) appears, as an involuntary muscle, to have acted in the most perfect manner in expelling the foetus and secundines," the day before death. The child was born alive. Cases of Apoplexy and Lethargy, p. 91 and 161.

³ "During the continuance of puerperal convulsions, uterine action is *not* suspended, although no signs of pain are manifested by the woman, if she remain comatose." Dr F. Ramsbotham's Obstetric Medicine (1844) p. 455.

⁴ Elementa Physiologiæ, tom. viii. p. 420.

⁵ Recueil Periodique de la Societé de Medicine, April 1818.

of labour. She was delivered in the natural manner in this state; the sleep continued for some time after delivery. The woman, on awaking, much surprised at finding her delivery completed, congratulated herself on having made so happy a discovery, and declared she would make use of it if she had again occasion."

In obstetric, as in surgical practice, the degree of insensibility produced by etherization, and its accompanying phenomena, differ much in different instances. In some, a state of total apathy and insensibility seems to be produced; others move about and complain more or less loudly during the uterine contractions, though afterwards, when restored to their state of common consciousness, they have no recollection of any suffering whatever, or, indeed, of any thing that had occurred during the inhalation and action of the ether; others again, remain quite aware and conscious of what is going on around them, and watch the recurrence of the uterine contractions, but feel indifferent to their effects, and not in any degree distressed by their presence; and in another class again, the attendant suffering is merely more or less diminished and obtunded, without being perfectly cancelled and annulled.

On the evening of the 13th inst, in two cases that rapidly followed each other, I witnessed, in the above respect, two very different conditions induced by the use of the ether. The patients (who each had borne several children previously) were both placed under the influence of it just as the os uteri became fully opened, and in neither did the full expulsion of the infant through the pelvic passages require above twelve or fifteen minutes. My first patient (the wife of a clergyman) subsequently stated, that she knew all that was said and done about her, was aware of the pains being present, but felt no distress from any of them till the super-vention of the last strong contraction which drove the head out of the vulva, and the feeling then seemed to partake of the character of strong pressure, rather than of actual pain. Subsequently she told me, she could only look back with regret to the apparently unnecessary suffering she had endured in the birth of her former infants. The second patient, a lady of a timid temperament, and very apprehensive about the result of her present confinement, was induced with difficulty to inhale the ether vapour; but

¹ The celebrated case of the Countess de St. Geran is sufficiently remarkable in relation to the present subject. See full and long details of it in Gayot's *Causes Celebres*, tom. i. p. 142 to 266. After the Countess had been nine hours in labour with her first child, the midwife in attendance exhibited to her a potion (*breuvage*), which rendered her insensible till the following morning. When the Countess then awoke to consciousness, she found herself bathed in blood, the abdominal tumour fallen, and all the signs of recent delivery present; but the child born during her state of insensibility had been removed, and its existence was even denied to her. It was years afterwards proved, to the satisfaction of the French law courts, that the Countess had been delivered of a male child during an induced lethargic condition, and that the infant had been surreptitiously conveyed away to a distance, and brought up as the son of a poor man. The child's claims were, after much litigation, fully acknowledged; he was restored to his parents, and ultimately succeeded to his father's title.—What Nephenthean "*breuvage*" could possibly produce the alleged effect?

it speedily affected her when once she did begin. In two or three minutes she pushed the apparatus from her mouth, talked excitedly to a female relative present, but was immediately induced to recommence the inhalation; and subsequently, according to her own statement, "wakened out of a dream, and unexpectedly found her child born." Like many others, she thought hours instead of minutes had elapsed, from the commencement of the inhalation to the period of the complete restoration of consciousness. Making apparently an effort of memory, she afterwards inquired if she had not once awakened out of her dreamy state, and spoken some nonsense to her friend.

A careful collection of cautious and accurate observations will no doubt be required, before the inhalation of sulphuric ether is adopted to any great extent in the practice of midwifery. It will be necessary to ascertain its precise effects, both upon the action of the uterus, and of the assistant abdominal muscles; its influence, if any, upon the child; whether it gives a tendency to hemorrhage or other complications; the contra-indications peculiar to its use; the most certain modes of exhibiting it; the length of time it may be employed, &c.¹ In no case have I observed any harm whatever to either mother or infant, follow upon its employment. And, on the other hand, I have the strongest assurance and conviction, that I have already seen no small amount of maternal suffering and agony saved by its application. The cases I have detailed sufficiently show its value and safety in cases of operative midwifery. And here, as in surgery, its utility is certainly not confined to the mere suspension and abrogation of conscious pain, great as, by itself, such a boon would doubtlessly be. But in modifying and obliterating the state of conscious pain, the nervous *shock*² otherwise liable to be produced by such pain,—particularly whenever it is extreme, and intensely waited for and endured,—is saved to the constitution, and thus an escape gained from many evil consequences that are too apt to follow in its train.³ Granting that experience

¹ I have, during labour, kept patients under its influence for upwards of half an hour. In exhibiting it, the first, or exhilarating stage of its effects should be passed through as rapidly as possible, and the patient never allowed to be excited or irritated by the nurse or others. I have heard its use strenuously denounced on the ground that its effects, though good and evanescent, are still of an intoxicating character. But on the same ground, the use of opium, &c. &c., in medicine, to relieve pain and procure sleep, should be equally reprobated and discarded.

² On the extent of the nervous *shock* accompanying human parturition, see Dr Hamilton's Practical Observations, p. 179, &c.; and Dr Churchill's Chapter on Convalescence after Labour, in his Work on the Diseases of Pregnancy and Childbed, p. 240, &c.

³ On what division or divisions of the nervous system does the nervous *shock* operate—the cerebral, spinal, or ganglionic? If on the former, it should be kept in abeyance by due etherization. Some years ago I saw Dr J. Argyll Robertson, when he was Acting Surgeon at the Royal Infirmary, amputate, at the shoulder joint, an arm sadly shattered an hour or so before by a railway injury. The man at the time of receiving the injury, during the operation, and for several hours afterwards, was in a state of insensibility from deep intoxication; and at last wakened up, not knowing what had happened. His recovery was rapid and uninterrupted. Would it have been so if his nervous system had been sufficiently alive to the double shock of

will yet be able to prove its safety and efficacy in modifying and annulling the pains of labour, will (I have repeatedly heard the question asked) the state of etherization ever come to be generally employed with the simple object of assuaging the pains of *natural* parturition? Or (as the problem has not unfrequently been put to me) would we be "justified" in using it for such a purpose? In conclusion, let us consider this point for a moment.

Custom and prejudice, and, perhaps, the idea of its inevitable necessity, make both the profession and our patients look upon the amount and intensity of pain encountered in common cases of natural labour, as far less worthy of consideration than in reality it is. Viewed apart, and in an isolated light, the degree of actual pain usually endured during common labour is as great, if not greater, than that attendant upon most surgical operations. I allude particularly to the excessive pain and anguish, which in nine out of ten cases accompany the passage of the child's head through the outlet of the pelvis and external parts. Speaking of common or natural labour in its last stages, Dr Merriman observes, the pulse gradually "increases in quickness and force; the skin grows hot; the face becomes intensely red; drops of sweat stand upon the forehead; and a perspiration, sometimes profuse, breaks out all over the body; frequently violent tremblings accompany the last pain, and at the moment that the head passes into the world, the extremity of suffering seems to be beyond endurance."¹ Or, take the picture of the suffering of the mother in the last stage of natural labour, as portrayed by the most faithful of living observers—Professor Naegele of Heidelberg—"The pains (he observes) of this stage are still more severe, painful, and enduring; return after a short interval, and take a far greater effect upon the patient, than those of the previous stage. Their severity increases so much the more from the additional suffering arising from the continually increasing distention of the external parts. They convulse the whole frame, and have hence been called the *dolores conquassantes*. The bearing down becomes more continued, and there is not unfrequently vomiting. The patient quivers and trembles all over. Her face is flushed, and with the rest of the body, is bathed in perspiration. Her looks are staring and wild; the features alter so much that they can scarcely be recognised. Her impatience rises to its maximum with loud crying and wailing, and frequently expressions which, even with sensible, high principled women, border close upon insanity. Everything denotes the violent manner in which both body and mind are affected."²

the operation and injury? Out of eighteen cases of primary amputation, performed during four years in the Edinburgh Hospital, and mentioned in Dr Peacock's Report of the Institution (1843), this man and another patient were the only two out of the eighteen that survived.

¹ Synopsis of Parturition, p. 15.
² Lehrbuch der Geburtshülfe, p. 104. See British and Foreign Medical Review, vol. xix. p. 64.

I have stated that the question which I have been repeatedly asked is this—will we ever be “justified” in using the vapour of ether to assuage the pains of natural labour? Now, if experience betimes goes fully to prove to us the safety with which ether may, under proper precautions and management, be employed in the course of parturition, then, looking to the facts of the case, and considering the actual amount of pain usually endured (as shown in the descriptions of Merriman, Naegele, and others),¹ I believe that the question will require to be quite changed in its character. For, instead of determining in relation to it whether we shall be “justified” in using this agent under the circumstances named, it will become, on the other hand, necessary to determine whether on any grounds, moral or medical, a professional man could deem himself “justified” in withholding, and *not* using any such safe means (as we at present pre-suppose this to be), provided he had the power by it of assuaging the pangs and anguish of the last stage of natural labour, and thus counteracting what Velpeau describes as “those piercing cries, that agitation so lively, those excessive efforts, those inexpressible agonies, and those pains apparently intolerable,”² which accompany the termination of natural parturition in the human mother.

EDINBURGH, FEBRUARY 1847.

¹ Dr Rigby in his *System of Midwifery*, p. 103, observes, “This is the moment of greatest pain, and the patient is quite wild and frantic with suffering; it approaches to a species of insanity,” &c., &c.

² *Traité des Accouchemens*, vol. i. p. 449. “Ces cris perçans, cette agitation si vive, ces efforts excessifs, ces angoisses inexprimables, ces douleurs qui paraissent intolérables,” &c.

I have stated that the question which I have been repeatedly asked is this—will we ever be “justified” in using the response other to assuage the pains of natural labour? Now, if experience betimes goes fully to prove to us the safety with which other means under proper precautions and management be employed in the course of parturition, then, looking to the facts of the case, and considering the actual amount of pain usually endured (as in the descriptions of Meriman, Naegele, and others), I believe that the question will require to be quite changed in its character. For, instead of determining in relation to it whether we shall be “justified” in using this agent under the circumstances named, it will become, on the other hand, necessary to determine whether on any grounds, moral or medical, a professional man could deem himself “justified” in withholding, and not using any such safe means (as we at present presuppose this to be), provided he had the power by it of assuaging the pangs and anguish of the last stage of natural labour, and thus counteracting what Velpeau describes as “those piercing cries, that agitation so lively, those excessive efforts, those inexpressible agonies, and those spasmodic, violently intolerable,” which accompany the termination of natural parturition in the human mother.


FOURTH EDITION, FEBRUARY 1847.

Dr. Higby in his System of Midwifery, p. 103 observes, “This is the moment of greatest pain, and the patient is quite wild and frantic with suffering; it approaches to a species of insanity,” &c., &c.

Traité des Accouchemens, vol. i. p. 449. “Cris perçans, cette agitation si vive, ces efforts excessifs, ces angoisses inexprimables, ces douleurs qui paraissent intolérables,” &c.

Handwritten notes and stamps:

- Prof. J. E. J. (circled)
- PAID MAR 8 1847
- PAID MAR 9 1847
- PAID MAR 10 1847
- PAID MAR 11 1847
- PAID MAR 12 1847
- PAID MAR 13 1847
- PAID MAR 14 1847
- PAID MAR 15 1847
- PAID MAR 16 1847
- PAID MAR 17 1847
- PAID MAR 18 1847
- PAID MAR 19 1847
- PAID MAR 20 1847
- PAID MAR 21 1847
- PAID MAR 22 1847
- PAID MAR 23 1847
- PAID MAR 24 1847
- PAID MAR 25 1847
- PAID MAR 26 1847
- PAID MAR 27 1847
- PAID MAR 28 1847
- PAID MAR 29 1847
- PAID MAR 30 1847
- PAID MAR 31 1847
- PAID MAR 1 1848
- PAID MAR 2 1848
- PAID MAR 3 1848
- PAID MAR 4 1848
- PAID MAR 5 1848
- PAID MAR 6 1848
- PAID MAR 7 1848
- PAID MAR 8 1848
- PAID MAR 9 1848
- PAID MAR 10 1848
- PAID MAR 11 1848
- PAID MAR 12 1848
- PAID MAR 13 1848
- PAID MAR 14 1848
- PAID MAR 15 1848
- PAID MAR 16 1848
- PAID MAR 17 1848
- PAID MAR 18 1848
- PAID MAR 19 1848
- PAID MAR 20 1848
- PAID MAR 21 1848
- PAID MAR 22 1848
- PAID MAR 23 1848
- PAID MAR 24 1848
- PAID MAR 25 1848
- PAID MAR 26 1848
- PAID MAR 27 1848
- PAID MAR 28 1848
- PAID MAR 29 1848
- PAID MAR 30 1848
- PAID MAR 31 1848
- PAID MAR 1 1849
- PAID MAR 2 1849
- PAID MAR 3 1849
- PAID MAR 4 1849
- PAID MAR 5 1849
- PAID MAR 6 1849
- PAID MAR 7 1849
- PAID MAR 8 1849
- PAID MAR 9 1849
- PAID MAR 10 1849
- PAID MAR 11 1849
- PAID MAR 12 1849
- PAID MAR 13 1849
- PAID MAR 14 1849
- PAID MAR 15 1849
- PAID MAR 16 1849
- PAID MAR 17 1849
- PAID MAR 18 1849
- PAID MAR 19 1849
- PAID MAR 20 1849
- PAID MAR 21 1849
- PAID MAR 22 1849
- PAID MAR 23 1849
- PAID MAR 24 1849
- PAID MAR 25 1849
- PAID MAR 26 1849
- PAID MAR 27 1849
- PAID MAR 28 1849
- PAID MAR 29 1849
- PAID MAR 30 1849
- PAID MAR 31 1849
- PAID MAR 1 1850
- PAID MAR 2 1850
- PAID MAR 3 1850
- PAID MAR 4 1850
- PAID MAR 5 1850
- PAID MAR 6 1850
- PAID MAR 7 1850
- PAID MAR 8 1850
- PAID MAR 9 1850
- PAID MAR 10 1850
- PAID MAR 11 1850
- PAID MAR 12 1850
- PAID MAR 13 1850
- PAID MAR 14 1850
- PAID MAR 15 1850
- PAID MAR 16 1850
- PAID MAR 17 1850
- PAID MAR 18 1850
- PAID MAR 19 1850
- PAID MAR 20 1850
- PAID MAR 21 1850
- PAID MAR 22 1850
- PAID MAR 23 1850
- PAID MAR 24 1850
- PAID MAR 25 1850
- PAID MAR 26 1850
- PAID MAR 27 1850
- PAID MAR 28 1850
- PAID MAR 29 1850
- PAID MAR 30 1850
- PAID MAR 31 1850
- PAID MAR 1 1851
- PAID MAR 2 1851
- PAID MAR 3 1851
- PAID MAR 4 1851
- PAID MAR 5 1851
- PAID MAR 6 1851
- PAID MAR 7 1851
- PAID MAR 8 1851
- PAID MAR 9 1851
- PAID MAR 10 1851
- PAID MAR 11 1851
- PAID MAR 12 1851
- PAID MAR 13 1851
- PAID MAR 14 1851
- PAID MAR 15 1851
- PAID MAR 16 1851
- PAID MAR 17 1851
- PAID MAR 18 1851
- PAID MAR 19 1851
- PAID MAR 20 1851
- PAID MAR 21 1851
- PAID MAR 22 1851
- PAID MAR 23 1851
- PAID MAR 24 1851
- PAID MAR 25 1851
- PAID MAR 26 1851
- PAID MAR 27 1851
- PAID MAR 28 1851
- PAID MAR 29 1851
- PAID MAR 30 1851
- PAID MAR 31 1851
- PAID MAR 1 1852
- PAID MAR 2 1852
- PAID MAR 3 1852
- PAID MAR 4 1852
- PAID MAR 5 1852
- PAID MAR 6 1852
- PAID MAR 7 1852
- PAID MAR 8 1852
- PAID MAR 9 1852
- PAID MAR 10 1852
- PAID MAR 11 1852
- PAID MAR 12 1852
- PAID MAR 13 1852
- PAID MAR 14 1852
- PAID MAR 15 1852
- PAID MAR 16 1852
- PAID MAR 17 1852
- PAID MAR 18 1852
- PAID MAR 19 1852
- PAID MAR 20 1852
- PAID MAR 21 1852
- PAID MAR 22 1852
- PAID MAR 23 1852
- PAID MAR 24 1852
- PAID MAR 25 1852
- PAID MAR 26 1852
- PAID MAR 27 1852
- PAID MAR 28 1852
- PAID MAR 29 1852
- PAID MAR 30 1852
- PAID MAR 31 1852
- PAID MAR 1 1853
- PAID MAR 2 1853
- PAID MAR 3 1853
- PAID MAR 4 1853
- PAID MAR 5 1853
- PAID MAR 6 1853
- PAID MAR 7 1853
- PAID MAR 8 1853
- PAID MAR 9 1853
- PAID MAR 10 1853
- PAID MAR 11 1853
- PAID MAR 12 1853
- PAID MAR 13 1853
- PAID MAR 14 1853
- PAID MAR 15 1853
- PAID MAR 16 1853
- PAID MAR 17 1853
- PAID MAR 18 1853
- PAID MAR 19 1853
- PAID MAR 20 1853
- PAID MAR 21 1853
- PAID MAR 22 1853
- PAID MAR 23 1853
- PAID MAR 24 1853
- PAID MAR 25 1853
- PAID MAR 26 1853
- PAID MAR 27 1853
- PAID MAR 28 1853
- PAID MAR 29 1853
- PAID MAR 30 1853
- PAID MAR 31 1853
- PAID MAR 1 1854
- PAID MAR 2 1854
- PAID MAR 3 1854
- PAID MAR 4 1854
- PAID MAR 5 1854
- PAID MAR 6 1854
- PAID MAR 7 1854
- PAID MAR 8 1854
- PAID MAR 9 1854
- PAID MAR 10 1854
- PAID MAR 11 1854
- PAID MAR 12 1854
- PAID MAR 13 1854
- PAID MAR 14 1854
- PAID MAR 15 1854
- PAID MAR 16 1854
- PAID MAR 17 1854
- PAID MAR 18 1854
- PAID MAR 19 1854
- PAID MAR 20 1854
- PAID MAR 21 1854
- PAID MAR 22 1854
- PAID MAR 23 1854
- PAID MAR 24 1854
- PAID MAR 25 1854
- PAID MAR 26 1854
- PAID MAR 27 1854
- PAID MAR 28 1854
- PAID MAR 29 1854
- PAID MAR 30 1854
- PAID MAR 31 1854
- PAID MAR 1 1855
- PAID MAR 2 1855
- PAID MAR 3 1855
- PAID MAR 4 1855
- PAID MAR 5 1855
- PAID MAR 6 1855
- PAID MAR 7 1855
- PAID MAR 8 1855
- PAID MAR 9 1855
- PAID MAR 10 1855
- PAID MAR 11 1855
- PAID MAR 12 1855
- PAID MAR 13 1855
- PAID MAR 14 1855
- PAID MAR 15 1855
- PAID MAR 16 1855
- PAID MAR 17 1855
- PAID MAR 18 1855
- PAID MAR 19 1855
- PAID MAR 20 1855
- PAID MAR 21 1855
- PAID MAR 22 1855
- PAID MAR 23 1855
- PAID MAR 24 1855
- PAID MAR 25 1855
- PAID MAR 26 1855
- PAID MAR 27 1855
- PAID MAR 28 1855
- PAID MAR 29 1855
- PAID MAR 30 1855
- PAID MAR 31 1855
- PAID MAR 1 1856
- PAID MAR 2 1856
- PAID MAR 3 1856
- PAID MAR 4 1856
- PAID MAR 5 1856
- PAID MAR 6 1856
- PAID MAR 7 1856
- PAID MAR 8 1856
- PAID MAR 9 1856
- PAID MAR 10 1856
- PAID MAR 11 1856
- PAID MAR 12 1856
- PAID MAR 13 1856
- PAID MAR 14 1856
- PAID MAR 15 1856
- PAID MAR 16 1856
- PAID MAR 17 1856
- PAID MAR 18 1856
- PAID MAR 19 1856
- PAID MAR 20 1856
- PAID MAR 21 1856
- PAID MAR 22 1856
- PAID MAR 23 1856
- PAID MAR 24 1856
- PAID MAR 25 1856
- PAID MAR 26 1856
- PAID MAR 27 1856
- PAID MAR 28 1856
- PAID MAR 29 1856
- PAID MAR 30 1856
- PAID MAR 31 1856
- PAID MAR 1 1857
- PAID MAR 2 1857
- PAID MAR 3 1857
- PAID MAR 4 1857
- PAID MAR 5 1857
- PAID MAR 6 1857
- PAID MAR 7 1857
- PAID MAR 8 1857
- PAID MAR 9 1857
- PAID MAR 10 1857
- PAID MAR 11 1857
- PAID MAR 12 1857
- PAID MAR 13 1857
- PAID MAR 14 1857
- PAID MAR 15 1857
- PAID MAR 16 1857
- PAID MAR 17 1857
- PAID MAR 18 1857
- PAID MAR 19 1857
- PAID MAR 20 1857
- PAID MAR 21 1857
- PAID MAR 22 1857
- PAID MAR 23 1857
- PAID MAR 24 1857
- PAID MAR 25 1857
- PAID MAR 26 1857
- PAID MAR 27 1857
- PAID MAR 28 1857
- PAID MAR 29 1857
- PAID MAR 30 1857
- PAID MAR 31 1857
- PAID MAR 1 1858
- PAID MAR 2 1858
- PAID MAR 3 1858
- PAID MAR 4 1858
- PAID MAR 5 1858
- PAID MAR 6 1858
- PAID MAR 7 1858
- PAID MAR 8 1858
- PAID MAR 9 1858
- PAID MAR 10 1858
- PAID MAR 11 1858
- PAID MAR 12 1858
- PAID MAR 13 1858
- PAID MAR 14 1858
- PAID MAR 15 1858
- PAID MAR 16 1858
- PAID MAR 17 1858
- PAID MAR 18 1858
- PAID MAR 19 1858
- PAID MAR 20 1858
- PAID MAR 21 1858
- PAID MAR 22 1858
- PAID MAR 23 1858
- PAID MAR 24 1858
- PAID MAR 25 1858
- PAID MAR 26 1858
- PAID MAR 27 1858
- PAID MAR 28 1858
- PAID MAR 29 1858
- PAID MAR 30 1858
- PAID MAR 31 1858
- PAID MAR 1 1859
- PAID MAR 2 1859
- PAID MAR 3 1859
- PAID MAR 4 1859
- PAID MAR 5 1859
- PAID MAR 6 1859
- PAID MAR 7 1859
- PAID MAR 8 1859
- PAID MAR 9 1859
- PAID MAR 10 1859
- PAID MAR 11 1859
- PAID MAR 12 1859
- PAID MAR 13 1859
- PAID MAR 14 1859
- PAID MAR 15 1859
- PAID MAR 16 1859
- PAID MAR 17 1859
- PAID MAR 18 1859
- PAID MAR 19 1859
- PAID MAR 20 1859
- PAID MAR 21 1859
- PAID MAR 22 1859
- PAID MAR 23 1859
- PAID MAR 24 1859
- PAID MAR 25 1859
- PAID MAR 26 1859
- PAID MAR 27 1859
- PAID MAR 28 1859
- PAID MAR 29 1859
- PAID MAR 30 1859
- PAID MAR 31 1859
- PAID MAR 1 1860
- PAID MAR 2 1860
- PAID MAR 3 1860
- PAID MAR 4 1860
- PAID MAR 5 1860
- PAID MAR 6 1860
- PAID MAR 7 1860
- PAID MAR 8 1860
- PAID MAR 9 1860
- PAID MAR 10 1860
- PAID MAR 11 1860
- PAID MAR 12 1860
- PAID MAR 13 1860
- PAID MAR 14 1860
- PAID MAR 15 1860
- PAID MAR 16 1860
- PAID MAR 17 1860
- PAID MAR 18 1860
- PAID MAR 19 1860
- PAID MAR 20 1860
- PAID MAR 21 1860
- PAID MAR 22 1860
- PAID MAR 23 1860
- PAID MAR 24 1860
- PAID MAR 25 1860
- PAID MAR 26 1860
- PAID MAR 27 1860
- PAID MAR 28 1860
- PAID MAR 29 1860
- PAID MAR 30 1860
- PAID MAR 31 1860
- PAID MAR 1 1861
- PAID MAR 2 1861
- PAID MAR 3 1861
- PAID MAR 4 1861
- PAID MAR 5 1861
- PAID MAR 6 1861
- PAID MAR 7 1861
- PAID MAR 8 1861
- PAID MAR 9 1861
- PAID MAR 10 1861
- PAID MAR 11 1861
- PAID MAR 12 1861
- PAID MAR 13 1861
- PAID MAR 14 1861
- PAID MAR 15 1861
- PAID MAR 16 1861
- PAID MAR 17 1861
- PAID MAR 18 1861
- PAID MAR 19 1861
- PAID MAR 20 1861
- PAID MAR 21 1861
- PAID MAR 22 1861
- PAID MAR 23 1861
- PAID MAR 24 1861
- PAID MAR 25 1861
- PAID MAR 26 1861
- PAID MAR 27 1861
- PAID MAR 28 1861
- PAID MAR 29 1861
- PAID MAR 30 1861
- PAID MAR 31 1861
- PAID MAR 1 1862
- PAID MAR 2 1862
- PAID MAR 3 1862
- PAID MAR 4 1862
- PAID MAR 5 1862
- PAID MAR 6 1862
- PAID MAR 7 1862
- PAID MAR 8 1862
- PAID MAR 9 1862
- PAID MAR 10 1862
- PAID MAR 11 1862
- PAID MAR 12 1862
- PAID MAR 13 1862
- PAID MAR 14 1862
- PAID MAR 15 1862
- PAID MAR 16 1862
- PAID MAR 17 1862
- PAID MAR 18 1862
- PAID MAR 19 1862
- PAID MAR 20 1862
- PAID MAR 21 1862
- PAID MAR 22 1862
- PAID MAR 23 1862
- PAID MAR 24 1862
- PAID MAR 25 1862
- PAID MAR 26 1862
- PAID MAR 27 1862
- PAID MAR 28 1862
- PAID MAR 29 1862
- PAID MAR 30 1862
- PAID MAR 31 1862
- PAID MAR 1 1863
- PAID MAR 2 1863
- PAID MAR 3 1863
- PAID MAR 4 1863
- PAID MAR 5 1863
- PAID MAR 6 1863
- PAID MAR 7 1863
- PAID MAR 8 1863
- PAID MAR 9 1863
- PAID MAR 10 1863
- PAID MAR 11 1863
- PAID MAR 12 1863
- PAID MAR 13 1863
- PAID MAR 14 1863
- PAID MAR 15 1863
- PAID MAR 16 1863
- PAID MAR 17 1863
- PAID MAR 18 1863
- PAID MAR 19 1863
- PAID MAR 20 1863
- PAID MAR 21 1863
- PAID MAR 22 1863
- PAID MAR 23 1863
- PAID MAR 24 1863
- PAID MAR 25 1863
- PAID MAR 26 1863
- PAID MAR 27 1863
- PAID MAR 28 1863
- PAID MAR 29 1863
- PAID MAR 30 1863
- PAID MAR 31 1863
- PAID MAR 1 1864
- PAID MAR 2 1864
- PAID MAR 3 1864
- PAID MAR 4 1864
- PAID MAR 5 1864
- PAID MAR 6 1864
- PAID MAR 7 1864
- PAID MAR 8 1864
- PAID MAR 9 1864
- PAID MAR 10 1864
- PAID MAR 11 1864
- PAID MAR 12 1864
- PAID MAR 13 1864
- PAID MAR 14 1864
- PAID MAR 15 1864
- PAID MAR 16 1864
- PAID MAR 17 1864
- PAID MAR 18 1864
- PAID MAR 19 1864
- PAID MAR 20 1864
- PAID MAR 21 1864
- PAID MAR 22 1864
- PAID MAR 23 1864
- PAID MAR 24 1864
- PAID MAR 25 1864
- PAID MAR 26 1864
- PAID MAR 27 1864
- PAID MAR 28 1864
- PAID MAR 29 1864
- PAID MAR 30 1864
- PAID MAR 31 1864
- PAID MAR 1 1865
- PAID MAR 2 1865
- PAID MAR 3 1865
- PAID MAR 4 1865
- PAID MAR 5 1865
- PAID MAR 6 1865
- PAID MAR 7 1865
- PAID MAR 8 1865
- PAID MAR 9 1865
- PAID MAR 10 1865
- PAID MAR 11 1865
- PAID MAR 12 1865
- PAID MAR 13 1865
- PAID MAR 14 1865
- PAID MAR 15 1865
- PAID MAR 16 1865
- PAID MAR 17 1865
- PAID MAR 18 1865
- PAID MAR 19 1865
- PAID MAR 20 1865
- PAID MAR 21 1865
- PAID MAR 22 1865
- PAID MAR 23 1865
- PAID MAR 24 1865
- PAID MAR 25 1865
- PAID MAR 26 1865
- PAID MAR 27 1865
- PAID MAR 28 1865
- PAID MAR 29 1865
- PAID MAR 30 1865
- PAID MAR 31 1865
- PAID MAR 1 1866
- PAID MAR 2 1866
- PAID MAR 3 1866
- PAID MAR 4 1866
- PAID MAR 5 1866
- PAID MAR 6 1866
- PAID MAR 7 1866
- PAID MAR 8 1866
- PAID MAR 9 1866
- PAID MAR 10 1866
- PAID MAR 11 1866
- PAID MAR 12 1866
- PAID MAR 13 1866
- PAID MAR 14 1866
- PAID MAR 15 1866
- PAID MAR 16 1866
- PAID MAR 17 1866
- PAID MAR 18 1866
- PAID MAR 19 1866
- PAID MAR 20 1866
- PAID MAR 21 1866
- PAID MAR 22 1866
- PAID MAR 23 1866
- PAID MAR 24 1866
- PAID MAR 25 1866
- PAID MAR 26 1866
- PAID MAR 27 1866
- PAID MAR 28 1866
- PAID MAR 29 1866
- PAID MAR 30 1866
- PAID MAR 31 1866
- PAID MAR 1 1867
- PAID MAR 2 1867
- PAID MAR 3 1867
- PAID MAR 4 1867
- PAID MAR 5 1867
- PAID MAR 6 1867
- PAID MAR 7 1867
- PAID MAR 8 1867
- PAID MAR 9 1867
- PAID MAR 10 1867
- PAID MAR 11 1867
- PAID MAR 12 1867
- PAID MAR 13 1867
- PAID MAR 14 1867
- PAID MAR 15 1867
- PAID MAR 16 1867
- PAID MAR 17 1867
- PAID MAR 18 1867
- PAID MAR 19 1867
- PAID MAR 20 1867
- PAID MAR 21 1867
- PAID MAR 22 1867
- PAID MAR 23 1867
- PAID MAR 24 1867
- PAID MAR 25 1867
- PAID MAR 26 1867
- PAID MAR 27 1867
- PAID MAR 28 1867
- PAID MAR 29 1867
- PAID MAR 30 1867
- PAID MAR 31 1867
- PAID MAR 1 1868
- PAID MAR 2 1868
- PAID MAR 3 1868
- PAID MAR 4 1868
- PAID MAR 5 1868
- PAID MAR 6 1868
- PAID MAR 7 1868
- PAID MAR 8 1868
- PAID MAR 9 1868
- PAID MAR 10 1868
- PAID MAR 11 1868
- PAID MAR 12 1868
- PAID MAR 13 1868
- PAID MAR 14 1868
- PAID MAR 15 1868
- PAID MAR 16 1868
- PAID MAR 17 1868
- PAID MAR 18 1868
- PAID MAR 19 1868
- PAID MAR 20 1868
- PAID MAR 21 1868
- PAID MAR 22 1868
- PAID MAR 23 1868
- PAID MAR 24 1868
- PAID MAR 25 1868
- PAID MAR 26 1868
- PAID MAR 27 1868
- PAID MAR 28 1868
- PAID MAR 29 1868
- PAID MAR 30 1868
- PAID MAR 31 1868
- PAID MAR 1 1869
- PAID MAR 2 1869
- PAID MAR 3 1869
- PAID MAR 4 1869
- PAID MAR 5 1869
- PAID MAR 6 1869
- PAID MAR 7 1869
- PAID MAR 8 1869
- PAID MAR 9 1869
- PAID MAR 10 1869
- PAID MAR 11 1869
- PAID MAR 12 1869
- PAID MAR 13 1869
- PAID MAR 14 1869
- PAID MAR 15 1869
- PAID MAR 16 1869
- PAID MAR 17 1869
- PAID MAR 18 1869
- PAID MAR 19 1869
- PAID MAR 20 1869
- PAID MAR 21 1869
- PAID MAR 22 1869
- PAID MAR 23 1869
- PAID MAR 24 1869
- PAID MAR 25 1869
- PAID MAR 26 1869
- PAID MAR 27 1869
- PAID MAR 28 1869
- PAID MAR 29 1869
- PAID MAR 30 1869
- PAID MAR 31 1869
- PAID MAR 1 1870
- PAID MAR 2 1870
- PAID MAR 3 1870
- PAID MAR 4 1870
- PAID MAR 5 1870
- PAID MAR 6 1870
- PAID MAR 7 1870
- PAID MAR 8 1870
- PAID MAR 9 1870
- PAID MAR 10 1870
- PAID MAR 11 1870
- PAID MAR 12 1870
- PAID MAR 13 1870
- PAID MAR 14 1870
- PAID MAR 15 1870
- PAID MAR 16 1870
- PAID MAR 17 1870
- PAID MAR 18 1870
- PAID MAR 19 1870
- PAID MAR 20 1870
- PAID MAR 21 1870
- PAID MAR 22 1870
- PAID MAR 23 1870
- PAID MAR 24 1870
- PAID MAR 25 1870
- PAID MAR 26 1870
- PAID MAR 27 1870
- PAID MAR 28 1870
- PAID MAR 29 1870
- PAID MAR 30 1870
- PAID MAR 31 1870
- PAID MAR 1 1871
- PAID MAR 2 1871
- PAID MAR 3 1871
- PAID MAR 4 1871
- PAID MAR 5 1871
- PAID MAR 6 1871
- PAID MAR 7 1871
- PAID MAR 8 1871
- PAID MAR 9 1871
- PAID MAR 10 1871
- PAID MAR 11 1871
- PAID MAR 12 1871
- PAID MAR 13 1871
- PAID MAR 14 1871
- PAID MAR



71695

