The case of receiving the small-pox by inoculation, impartially considered, and especially in a religious view / Written in the year M.DCC.XXV by David Some and now published from the original manuscript by P. Doddridge.

#### Contributors

Some, David. Doddridge, Philip, 1702-1751.

#### **Publication/Creation**

London : Printed for James Buckland and James Waugh, 1750.

#### **Persistent URL**

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/cxaqayzy

#### License and attribution

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org











Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2019 with funding from Wellcome Library

https://archive.org/details/b30548421









## 4880

#### THE

# C A S E

#### Of RECEIVING the

## SMALL-POX

#### BY

## INOCULATION,

Impartially confidered, and efpecially in a Religious View.

Written in the Year M.DCC.XXV.

By the late

1755

Rev<sup>d</sup>. Mr. DAVID SOME, of Harborough:

And now published from the Original Manuscript, By P. DODDRIDGE, D.D.

I will ask you one Thing, Is it lawful to save Life, or to destroy it ? Luke vi. 9.

#### LONDON:

Printed for JAMES BUCKLAND, in Pater-noster Row; and JAMES WAUGH, in Lombard-Street. M.DCC.L.





## PREFACE.



H I S Treatife was written about five and twenty Years ago, by one of the wifeft and most benevolent Men I have ever known, or ever expect to know. He had once been strongly pre-

judiced against Inoculation, but Reasoning and Observation inclined bim to alter bis Opinion. He wrote this Essay for the immediate Perusal of a Gentleman, in the Safety of whose Family be was tenderly concerned; but, as the whole Strain of the Piece shews, not without some Thoughts of giving it to the Publick.

As his own Hand was difficult to read, he, in Confequence of the very intimate Friendship there was between us, employed me to transcribe it. While the Copy was preparing, we had frequent Conversations about it; and many Alter-A 2 ations iv PREFACE.

ations were made, especially as to the Style and Method, as the Refult of them: But it was entirely approved by the Author in its present Form, and delivered as his Work. I do not therefore think myself impowered now, to change any thing from the State in which I find it in the Original Copy; which after the Author's Death was given me back, and has continued many Years shut up among my Papers. Yet I bave communicated it to several of my Acquaintance, and at their earnest Desire permitted some of them to transcribe it.

I have been often urged to publish it; and I am now induced to do it, on a sudden and very affecting Occasion. The Small-Pox is just broke out, with a very unusual Violence, in some neighbouring Villages, in which several of my Friends reside. I am told, that in one of them between forty and fifty Persons, most of them young, fell within very little more than a Week; and the Terror with which it fills these Parts of the Country is exceeding great.

I have long been firmly perfuaded in my own Mind of the Lawfulnefs and Expediency of Inoculation, as tending greatly to the Prefervation of human Life. I have never known a fingle Inftance, in which a Child has mifcarried by it. I have feen, or been most credibly informed of a Multitude of Instances, in which grown Perfons have passed through it safely and very comfortably, who must, humanly speaking, have run the greatest imaginable Risque if

#### PREFACE.

if they had met the Distemper in the natural Way.

The chief Objections which prevail against the Practice are, so far as I can learn, of a religious Nature; and these are bandled more particularly in this little Treatise, than in any other I have beard of. I could not therefore suppress it any longer, especially as I think it probable, that the high Veneration with which the worthy Author of it, Mr. Some, is still remembred in this Country and elsewhere, by all, I may say, of every Denomination who knew him, will engage many to attend to what be pleads, with more favourable Regard.

In these Views I have determined on this Publication; and the more chearfully, as I do it by the Advice of my much efteemed Friend Dr. Stonhouse, that excellent Perfon, whofe compassionate Tenderness for the Lives of Men, and Comfort of Families, is equal to bis distinguished Abilities in his Profeffion as a Physician. We neither of us pretend to answer for the Justice of every Sentiment in this little Tract: Had it been abfolutely in the Power of either, we should have altered several Passes; and even now some marginal Notes might probably have been added, but the Crifes is of fuch a Nature as not to admit of Delay. The Manuscript is therefore sent to the Press after a few Hours Deliberation. with our united Prayers that GOD may blefs it as the Means of preferving many Lives, the Usefulness Usefulness of which may in some Measure compensate for the Loss of those Thousands and Ten Thousands, which, humanly speaking, might have been preserved, had the Method here recommended been sooner and more generally practised.

Northampton, Nov. 27, 1750.

#### P. DODDRIDGE.

P. S. I think myfelf obliged to add one Remark on this Treatife, which is, That it does not enter at all into that Part of the Question, " How far the remoter Consequences " of Inoculation may affect the Health and " Lives of those, who pass through the Distem-" per without any immediate Detriment." This is a Matter of Moment, which I should wift to fee farther handled by those to whom it more properly belongs, I mean, the Gentlemen of the Faculty: And as I defire nothing but the Advancement of Truth, on which ever Side it may be, and the Prefervation of my Fellow-Creatures; I heartily pray, that if what is here published may not answer those Ends, Providence may interpose to prevent its Reception and Influence.

#### THE



THE

S

Of RECEIVING the SMALL-POX BY

# INOCULATION,

## Impartially confidered.



C

F proper Methods were taken, when the Small-Pox first breaks out in any Place, the fpreading of them might often be prevented. Such charitable Endeavours for the Prefervation of others, have been attended with Succefs; and if they were more frequently used, it is highly probable, that by the Divine Bleffing the good Effects of them would be still more apparent.

But

E

But instead of this necessary Precaution, fome from private Views conceal them; while others through their Negligence communicate the Infection to those they converse with; and thus this fevere and fatal Diftemper is carried from one Part of the Kingdom to another. In Confequence of this, Towns and Cities which were before eafy and flourishing, are in a little Time brought into great Diffres: Trade and Bufiness are at a Stand: Families are lamenting the Lofs of their most hopeful Branches, who have been cut off in the Flower of their Age: And those who are liable to this Calamity have very little Enjoyment of themfelves, as they know not how foon they may be vifited with it.

When Dangers furround us, the Principle of Self-Prefervation will put us upon enquiring how we may efcape. To neglect our own Safety is to fink below the Brutes themfelves, who by natural Inftinct avoid the Evils to which they are exposed.

Every good Christian should in the first Place fix his Thoughts upon the Divine Protection, and labour to engage that. This is the best Security in the World. " If we " abide under the Shadow of the Almighty, " a Thousand may fall by this Distemper at " our right Hand and our left, yet it shall " not come near us \*." But have we any Reason

\* Pfal. xci. 1, 7;

Reafon to expect fuch an extraordinary Interpolition of Providence in our favour, while the moft likely Methods for our Prefervation are neglected? It were as rational to conclude, that our Lives fhould be preferv'd without eating and drinking, as that we shall be delivered from Danger without a prudent Care for our own Safety. 'Tis obvious, that we are to depend upon the Care of Providence, only in the Way of our Duty. To boaft of our Courage, and to talk confidently of our Truft in God, while we omit the proper Means of efcaping the Dangers which furround us, is not Faith, but unwarrantable Prefumption.

There are two Ways, which offer themfelves to our Relief in the Cafe before us:

Either constantly to keep out of the Way

of Infection: Or,

"

To receive it by Inoculation.

It will not admit of any Debate amongst the fober Part of Mankind, whether those who are liable to this Distemper, should keep out of the Way of it, as much as they can. If they may be absent from the Places where it prevails, without Detriment to their Affairs, and the Neglect of their necessary Duty, they have no Business there. And what Reason have they to conclude, that GoD will preferve them, when they expose themselves to Hazards they might easily avoid?

I know,

I know, the Almighty can fend it to the most retired, and afflict those with it who have been remarkably folicitous to avoid it. 'The Infection " walketh in darkness +," and may be conveyed to us by Ways which we know nothing of: Or the Difeafe may be produced by a peculiar Disposition of the Air, or in the Blood and Humours of the human Body. But will it not add very much to the Burthen of fuch a Calamity, to reflect that we have brought it upon ourfelves, by our own Rashness and Folly? Whereas when we have done the best for our Prefervation, we may be fatisfied with every Thing that befals us; and may with greater Chearfulnefs and Confidence refign ourfelves to him, " in " whofe Hand our Breath is, and whofe are " all our Ways \*."

I am fufficiently aware, that these prudent Endeavours to keep at a Distance from this Diftemper, are cenfured by many. Whether they do well in allowing themfelves in fuch a Liberty, it concerns them to confider. However it is eafy to obferve, that they who are fo forward to find fault with others, are generally out of danger themselves. And as for our own Conduct, if we can approve ourfelves to God in it, it is of no great Importance to enquire, what Opinion fuch unthinking Perfons may entertain of us. I cannot therefore but advise, that all should take

+ Pfal. xci. 6. \* Dan. v. 23.

the Small-Pox by Inoculation. II take this Method for their Safety, as far as their Circumstances will allow.

But, alas! how few are those, that can comply with this Advice; or that would probably find their Prefervation in it? Our large and populous Cities, are feldom free from the Disease; and those that travel much abroad, will frequently meet with it. What a Scene of Desolation and Confusion should we have, if Men should defert their Business, and leave their Habitations and their Callings, to avoid the Danger of being feized with it? This would bring Want and Mifery upon Families, and would quickly reduce Multitudes to extream Poverty.

And if the Affairs of Civil Life require our Attendance upon them with fome Hazard, the Obligations are apparently ftronger, when Matters of greater Confequence are upon our hands. This is the Cafe with Minifters, who cannot with a good Confcience, nor without great Offence to many, forfake their People in fuch Extremities.

Since therefore there are confiderable Numbers to whom this Method of Safety is altogether impracticable, we shall confider the other, viz.

The Receiving the Diftemper by INOCU-

LATION.

This fevere Difease carries a great deal of Terror with it. Many fall by it in the Bloom of Life; and many in the Midst of their

Days:

Days: And it is not without the utmost Hazard, that others come off with their Lives. It turns many into frightful Spectacles, and is attended with the most difmal Confequences. Loss of Sight, Lamenes, long Confinement, a broken Constitution, Countenances so altered that their nearest Relations hardly know them, and a Train of other Miseries, which it is not easy to enumerate, do often succeed it where it is not mortal.

It is happy for those that are in Danger of it, that they are in a great Measure unacquainted with this Calamity. With regard to it, "he that increases Knowledge, increases "Sorrow \*," if the Affliction be not over with him.

I am not ashamed to own, that I am afraid of it. A much better Man than myself has declared, "That his Flesh trembled for Fear "of God, and that he was afraid of his "Judgments †." And is not this one of them? I cannot hear any make light of it, without a compassionate Concern for their Ignorance and Folly. Experience may soon convince them, that it ought not to be treated with Neglect.

And though many who went through it in their younger Years, cannot fo fully apprehend, what Impressions a Sense of Danger makes upon the Minds of those who are liable

\* Ecclef. i. 18. + Pfal. cxix. 120.

liable to it; yet I have met with very few in the fame Circumstances with myself, who are not uneasy when they come where it is.

If any fay, this is Weaknefs, and Want of Faith and Truft in GOD, they may think fo if they pleafe; and perhaps, it may be fo in fome Inftances. But I must be leave to fay, that I defire no Faith but what is agreeable to the Word of GOD; and I never yet read in the Sacred Writings, that a Difregard to fuch Calamities as these, was an Effect of that.

Befides, those who have not had this Diftemper are deprived of many Opportunities of Service. They are separated from their best Friends, at a Time when they most need their Affistance. And it must be allowed a very melancholy Case, when tender Parents cannot be present with their Children on a Sick-bed, or take their Leave of them in their last Moments, without the Hazard of their own Lives. It affords many disquieting Thoughts, to those whose Office it is to visit the Sick, that they find themselves incapable of performing that important Service, when it is passion the service of the service

Now if any Method could be found out to deliver Mankind from these Fears and Dangers, how great a Bleffing should we esteem it! One would imagine, that there should be no Need of Arguments to recommend it; the

the Difcovery feems ftrongly to plead for it felf; and it appears highly probable, that it fhould make its Way into the World with a great Deal of Eafe.

With these Advantages Inoculation is proposed to us, by those who encourage it. They tells us, that it seems a very rational Scheme for abating the Violence of the Distemper; and that its Success in Practice has in Fact been answerable to the Expectations, which we are ready to entertain upon a Survey of its Theory.

I shall not enlarge upon the Rationale of this Practice. The Difcuffion of that does more properly belong to those, who are better acquainted with the Mechanism of human Bodies and Animal Oeconomy. I shall content my felf with suggesting a few plain Hints, which lie within the Reach of almost every Capacity, and will probably be allowed to be of confiderable Importance.

When a Perfon receives the Small-Pox by Inoculation, he may prepare his Body for it by a proper Courfe of Diet and Medicines; whereas he may be feized by it in a natural Way, when he is most unprepared; and we are indeed [*cæteris paribus*] most liable to the Infection, when in Circumstances which are like to render it fatal.

Again, a Man who is inoculated, knows certainly when the Infection is taken, and can from that Moment fubmit to fuch Reftraints

ftraints as are proper in fuch a Cafe; whereas in the natural Way, he may have it in his Blood a confiderable Time before he is aware of it; and before it breaks out, may work it up to a most violent and fatal Sort, by high Food, fatiguing Journeys, or other immoderate Exercises.

Befides, that by Inoculation the Patient will probably take in the most favourable Sort; whereas in the common Way, he is most liable to that which is most Malignant, as that is certainly the most Infectious.

In all these Respects, I think it cannot be denied, that the Advantage seems evidently to lie on the Side of Inoculation; and to these we may add two other Particulars, which, though they be not equally certain, appear to be attended with high Degrees of Probability.

When the Infection is taken in the natural Way, it falls immediately on the vital Parts; whereas by Inoculation, it is taken in by those Parts of the Body which are more remote; and therefore it seems probable, that the Force of the Distemper may tend that Way, where it is least like to be fatal. And accordingly it has been observed, that the Inoculated have more Puscules near the Orifice of the Incisions, *i. e.* in the Legs or Arms, and fewer on the Breast, than others; and that they feldom complain of that Stoppage in

in their Lungs, which is often destructive to those who have them by common Infection.

And, laftly, the Incifions which were made at the Time of Inoculation to admit the Infection, may through the whole Courfe of the Diftemper, ferve as Vents to difcharge a great Deal of the morbid Matter; which may probably be a confiderable Relief to the Patient.

From these Principles, as well as from many others, which I shall not now mention, one would be ready to conclude, " that " the Small-Pox taken in by Inoculation, " is likely to be more gentle and favourable, " than when it is received in the natural " Way." And if we confult Experience, we shall find that it is very agreeable to these Arguments.

They who have tried the Operation, and attentively observed the Success of it, concur to affure us,

That when the Diftemper is conveyed by Inoculation, it is generally much more mild and gentle, and free from those violent Symptoms which attend it in the natural Way.

That not above one in fifty or fixty have mifcarried by it; and that with Regard to most of them, their Death cannot certainly be charged on Inoculation it felf, but is rather to be ascribed to some Circumstances of imprudent Management: Whereas in the natural Way, the Small-Pox is commonly fatal to one in five or fix Children, and at leaft

#### the Small-Pox by Inoculation. 17 leaft to one in three of those who have it after they are grown up.

To which they add, that there is no Danger of having it a fecond Time, after receiving it by Inoculation, any more than after having it in the natural Way.

As these Facts must be allowed very confiderable, so there is nothing material to be objected against the Credibility of them.

They are attefted by Men of undoubted Probity, who do not feem inclined to impose upon us in a Matter of fo great Importance. Or if they fhould attempt it, they would find it beyond their Power; fince there are fuch Multitudes averfe to the Practice, who have their Eyes continually upon them, and who would rejoice in detecting any Imposture in their Management. And I am for my own Part the more inclined to depend upon the Fidelity of these Accounts, as I have taken some Pains to inform my felf particularly in the Affair. I have converfed with feveral, who have performed the Operation; and who have undergone it, and upon the whole must declare, that by the firsteft Enquiry I could make, I found Matters much more encouraging than I expected. As it has been in most Instances very successful, fo I apprehend that the Pain and Uneafiness which attends it - is very little, and the Expence and Trouble fo inconfiderable, that Perfons of the loweft Circumstances may comply with it.

C

Thefe

Thefe Things must be acknowledged as very inviting, and may furely be fufficient to engage those who have Occasion to think of them, ferioufly to confider whether the Practice be Lawful. If it be, it ought certainly to be countenanced by those, who have a due Concern for themfelves and fuitable Regards to their Fellow-Creatures ; for 'tis of too great Importance to be regarded as Indifferent. But if it be in its own Nature a moral Evil, and displeasing to Almighty GoD, it must certainly be rejected, how confiderable fo ever its Advantages may appear. For it is never allowable "to do Evil that Good " may come \*:" Nor fhould the Profpect of escaping the greatest Dangers or Calamities in Life, tempt us to offend " him who can " caft both Soul and Body into Hell +."

We will therefore proceed to examine those Objections, which are commonly advanced against the Lawfulness of Inoculation.

And here, the first and most obvious is,

" That it is bringing a Diftemper upon " our felves, and thereby ufurping the " facred Prerogative of GoD, who kills " and makes alive, who wounds and " heals, as he pleafes §."

In Answer to this I might enquire, what they who start this Difficulty, intend by the Word [Distemper]? If they mean Sickness and Pain, it is practised every Day, and perhaps

\* Rom. iii. 8. + Mat. x. 28. § Deut. xxxii. 39.

perhaps frequently by themfelves, without any Sufpicion of taking God's Work out of his Hands. Would not any Man take a Vomit every Week during the Time of apparent Danger, if it would make this Diftemper as favourable as Inoculation, though he found himfelf in perfect Health, and did not apprehend that he had taken the Infection? Yet this would be bringing a great Diforder upon himfelf; a Diforder which has proved fatal to many.

But I would farther observe, that the Supposition which is taken for granted in the Objection, is not true in Fact. Inoculation does not bring a Distemper upon us, but cures us of one, the Seeds of which we have already in us. Otherwife, if it be used with proper Precaution, it leaves us as it found us, and is attended with no Inconvenience, but a little Uneafiness and Confinement for a few Days. Most Men have the Fewel of this dreadful Diftemper mixed with the Blood and Humours of their Bodies; and in Confequence of this, there is continual Danger that the Fire should break out, in a very terrible and destructive Manner. Now do I bring a Diftemper upon my felf, when I use an Experiment to try whether this be my Cafe or no? when I have Reafon to hope, that by this Means, if there be any of this combustible Matter, fome of it will be thrown C 2

Be live that the live a

thrown out, and the reft deftroyed, with little Hazard to my Life.

Suppose a Man apprehended, the Gout was coming upon him; could any one charge him with bringing a Distemper upon himself, if he took a Medicine, which would have no Effect if it were not so; but would give him one gentle Fit, and ever after deliver him from it, if it were as he sufficient?

But it may further be urged,

" That a great Deal of Danger attends " Inoculation."

And I must own, that this Objection is very important, and deferves a careful Examination: For if it be finful, it is because it is dangerous.

And here it cannot be denied, that there is fome Hazard in it. And it is fit, it should be fo. Can we expect to be relieved in fuch a defperate Cafe, and run no Rifques? It would then be too great a Bleffing for Mankind in this degenerate State; and would weaken their Dependance upon him, " to' " whom belong the Iffues from Death \*, " and in whom we live, and move, and have " our Being +." It is generally fuccefsful ; and that encourages us to embrace it, with Thankfulnefs to our great Benefactor, who difcovers this Method for our Relief: It is fometimes montal; and that teaches us Care and Caution in the Use of it, and shews us the Neceffity

\* Pfal. lxviii. 20. † Acts xvii. 28.

ceffity of making our humble Applications to the great GOD, to prevent our miscarrying in it.

But while I allow Inoculation to be in fome Degree hazardous, I would afk, May we not use Means attended with fome Danger, when there is a Probability of being thereby delivered from greater Danger? Of two Evils we are certainly to chuse the least, if we would act like Reasonable Creatures.

Upon this Principle we fee many fubmitting to very dangerous Operations, without any Sufpicion of being guilty of deftroying their own Lives. Who would ever lofe an Arm, or be cut for the Stone, if it were not to avoid a greater Danger of dying?

There's a certain Hazard in Child-bearing. If we confult the Bills of Mortality, we fhall find, that about one in Sixty die in those Circumstances. And yet I never knew any, who held Marriage unlawful upon that Account. The Reason is plain : Because a greater Inconvenience is avoided by it, the putting an End to the Race of Mankind. Let not any smile at the Argument; but confider how they can answer it, but by confessing, that we may expose our felves to Danger, for the obtaining a greater Good, or avoiding a greater Evil.

Now to apply this general Remark to the Subject of our prefent Enquiry. I think it has already been abundantly proved, that the Hazard

Hazard in having the Small-Pox by Inoculation is much lefs, than that which attends them when they feize us in the common Way. So that if there were a Neceffity of paffing through them one Way or another, I believe there would be no Room for farther Debate. But then, tis exceeding natural to reflect, as a Balance to the former Set of Thoughts, "That it is poffible, we might " never have the Diftemper at all, if we were " not inoculated : Why then fhould we fub-" mit to a dangerous Operation, to avoid an " uncertain Evil?"

I apprehend this to be the Hinge, on which the whole Question turns; and my Answer to the Difficulty is in short this,

That there are a great many Cafes, in which it will appear highly probable, that a Man will very quickly take the Diftemper in a natural Way, and run all the usual Hazards in it: And then the former Reafoning will prove, that Inoculation will be a likely Means of preferving that Perfon, from a much greater Danger than it will bring upon him. Now I would never recommend Inoculation, but in fuch Circumstances: And when they do occur, (as they frequently do,) it is apparently abfurd to urge the Danger as an Objection against it; fince the Principle of Self-Prefervation, on which the Objection is grounded, is in the prefent Cafe the most powerful Argument for fubmitting to it.

And this is a Remark of fo great Importance, that I think it deferves the most attentive Regard; and I hope my Reader will excuse me, that I repeat it again:

" Nothing but the Prospect of avoiding a

- " greater Danger, can justify our going
- " into this Practice: And when there
- " is that Profpect, it becomes not only
- " lawful, but expedient, and neceffary,
- " in Proportion to the Degree in which

" that Danger is apparent."

If any are hurried into this Practice, by an Impatience of those happy Engagements to Temperance and Sobriety, which are peculiar to those who have not yet had the Diftemper; and are inoculated, when they were in no immediate Danger of Infection, only to gain a Liberty of purfuing their irregular Pleafures with a greater Security than they could otherwife promife themselves; it is certain, that they are guilty of a very great Crime. They have very little Reafon to expect, that a favourable Providence should give Success to fuch unwarrantable Undertakings : Or how fafely foever they may pass through the Danger now, while "all Things come " alike to all," they will be utterly unable to justify their Prefumption, when they appear before the Tribunal of Gop.

But the Cafe is entirely different, when the Calamity furrounds us, and Danger preffes hard upon us; when our Neighbourhood,

or perhaps our Family, is invaded; or neceffary Bufiness obliges us to frequent those Places, where the Diftemper is fpreading its Defolations. Then that becomes a neceffary and indifpenfable Duty, which, in other Circumstances, would be foolish and crimi-And I would afk every confcientious nal. Chriftian, whether he can with Sincerity beg the Divine Protection, or expect it without Prefumption, while his Duty is neglected? GOD in his Providence has lately discovered a Method to escape the Violence of this Difeafe: It is supposed in the present Cafe, you are convinced, that you are in Danger of being feized; yet you do not thankfully acknowledge and improve the Favour: On the contrary, you perhaps rail at the Practice, and cenfure and condemn those who with Chearfulnefs and Integrity give into it ; while you obstinately shut your Eyes against the clearest Light, it may be to the Destruction of your own Lives, and the apparent Hazard of those that are about you.

Confider the Matter calmly and without Prejudice, and then afk yourfelves ferioufly, Whether fuch a Conduct becomes either Chriftians or Men? Whether you will be able to juftify it to yourfelves and your Fellow-Creatures now? and above all, what Account you will be able to give to your Judge, when you fhall be called to appear before him?

And thus, I hope, I have abundantly folv'd the Objection arifing from the Danger of the Practice, by recommending it only in those Cases, in which it may be a probable Means of avoiding a greater Danger.

But upon this it is very natural to enquire, allowing the Practice in fome Cafes to be lawful and neceffary,

" How may a Man judge with Regard to

" himfelf, or those he is concerned for,

" whether it be lawful or expedient in

" prefent Circumftances?"

Or, which is in Effect the fame,

" How may he know, which is at prefent

" the greater Hazard, standing still, or " fubmitting to Inoculation ?"

To this I reply, That we should always remember, that we are weak and fallible Creatures, and liable to mistake in Matters of greatest Importance. We have no Divine Oracle to confult, in Doubts and Uncertainties, (as the Fews had in the beginning of their Commonwealth,) and therefore we must apply ourfelves to diligent and attentive Examination: We must fearch after the best Advice we can get from others, and humbly apply ourfelves to that GoD for Direction, who has promifed, " that he will guide the " Meek in Judgment \*," and " will give " Wildom to them that ask it +." And after having taken these Methods of Assistance,

\* Pfal. xxv. 9. † Jam. i. 5:

we
we must judge for ourselves, according to the best of our Understandings, and pursue those Measures which in human Probability give the fairest Prospect of Safety and Success.

The greateft Affairs in this World turn upon Probabilities. The General fights, the Merchant trades, the Hufbandman fows, and the Phyfician prefcribes, at fuch Times and in fuch a Manner, as appears to them moft likely to be attended with Succefs: And if Men will never do any Thing without Certainty, they muft always fit ftill.

This therefore must be our Method in the present Case: We must carefully consider the general Rules given in the facred Scriptures; we must wifely observe the Methods of Divine Providence towards ourselves and others; and must fervently pray for the Influence of GOD, to lead us into a wife and happy Determination: And after these Precautions, we must honestly form the best Judgment we can, and act accordingly in the Integrity of our Hearts.

GOD has faid, " Thou shalt not kill;" and it is granted by all, that the Precept requires, the Use of all lawful Means for the Prefervation of Life. If we are in Doubt as to the Way which is now proposed, we must judge by Observation. Let us look round about us, and see how GoD deals with others who are of the same Nature and Frame

Frame with ourfelves; and from thence we are to learn, what we are to expect in the ordinary Proceedings of his Providence.

I know, GOD fometimes delivers by an unexpected Interpolition, when humanly speaking there was the greatest Appearance of Danger. They who have received fuch Mercies, ought to be very thankful for them; and others may learn by such Instances, not utterly to despair in a Time of the utmost Extremity. But it is certain, that such wonderful and uncommon Exertions of a Divine Power, are not to be confounded with the regular and natural Course of Events; nor to be made the Measure of our Expectation, and our Duty, in the common Affairs of Life.

GOD governs the World by fixed and fettled Laws: Natural Caufes will produce their genuine Effects, if they be not interrupted by the GOD of Nature. And give me leave to fay, That Man must have an uncommon Opinion of himfelf, and must be notoriously puffed up with Vanity and Pride, who can imagine his Life of fo much Importance to the World, as that GOD should alter the Course of Nature, and work a Miracle for the Prefervation of it.

It must be allowed then, that in the Cafe before us Observation and Experience must guide us, in judging of the Degree of Danger to which we are exposed, and consequently

of the Expediency of Inoculation in prefent Circumstances.

I do not apprehend, that any general Rules can be given from paft Obfervation, which will fit all future Cafes; yet a few Remarks already made may teach us for the moft part, to form fome rational Judgment of the Degree of our Danger. Time, and more Experience, may furnifh us with farther Afliftance. And in the mean while, the World is much obliged to those worthy Gentlemen who are exact Observers of the ordinary Course of this Distemper, though some of them have been but ill requited for their Pains.

From what we have already feen, and heard, with regard to this Matter, we may eafily obferve in the general, "that the Small-Pox " is not equally infectious and dangerous, in " all Places, or to all Perfons who are lia-" ble to it."

We may particularly obferve,

That those who live in Cities and great Towns, are often in Danger, because the Diftemper is always in some of them, and frequently visits others: So that there are comparatively, but few in such Places who escape it.

That of late Years, it has been more mortal than usual.

That there are fome Families, to which it is peculiarly fatal.

That

That the Hazard in the natural Way is greater, to grown Perfons than to Children; and greatest of all, to those who are in the Flower of their Age.

That of young Children that have it, one in fix or feven commonly die of it; and of grown Perfons, at leaft one in three.

That Inoculation is more hazardous to young Children, than to grown Perfons; and that about one, in fifty or fixty, who have received the Diftemper in that Way, have died.

And, laftly, that Perfons to whom Inoculation is dangerous, would be exposed to greater Hazard, by having the Diftemper in a natural Way.

As for the Ufe which is to be made of these Observations, for the Direction of our Judgments, and Regulation of our Practice, we may form this general Rule, which has been more obscurely hinted a little above.

The Expediency of Inoculation in any particular Cafe, is proportionable to the Degree of that Danger, the proposed Patient is in, from the Distemper in the natural Way \*.

From

\* Were this Rule to be expressed with the ftricteft Exactness, in order to fearching out the Degree of Expediency, in a Mathematical Way, I imagine it might stand thus.

The Ratio of the Expediency of Inoculation, for the Prefervation of Life, in any given Cafe, is to the Ratio

From this general Maxim compared with the foregoing Obfervations, the following Rules may eafily be deduced.

That they who live a retired Life in Country Villages, cannot justify their going into the Practice of Inoculation, unless the Distemper be in their Families, or very near them.

That

Ratio of the Expediency of omitting it, as the compound Ratio of the Hazard of having the Diftemper in a natural Way, and the Ratio of the Danger of dying by it, is to the Ratio of the Danger of dying by Inoculation.

As for Example: Suppose it were ten to one that the Person whose Case is in Debate, would have the Small-Pox in the natural Way; and one to three that on this Supposition he would die by it, and one to fixty that he might die by Inoculation.

Let H express the Danger of having them in the natural Way, i. e. The Ratio of ten to one.

D the Danger of dying by them on that Supposition, i. e. The Ratio of one to three.

I the Danger of dying by Inoculation, i. e. The Ratio of one to fixty.

E the Ratio of the Expediency of Inoculation : And O the Ratio of the Expediency of omitting it.

#### The Rule will stand thus,

E:O:: H+D: I, i. e. ::  $3 + \frac{1}{3}$ :  $\frac{1}{60}$ , which when refolved by the plaineft Rules of Arithmetick, appears to be as 200 to one. Or in other Words, that in fuch a Cafe it is 200 Times more reafonable to use Inoculation than to omit it.

N.B. The Terms H and D feem to be fet much too high for common Cafes, but if H be as 5: 1, and D as 1:6, E will be to O, as 50 to 1. And were it but as two to one, no wife Man would omit Inoculation.

P. D.

That they, to whose Families the Small-Pox has been peculiarly mortal, and who are themselves frequently in the Way of Infection, should use Inoculation: Yet with the utmost Care, and most judicious Advice as to the Manner of managing it.

That Perfons who are removing into great Cities, or who are frequently obliged to vifit them; and Parents who are placing their Children in fuch Circumstances, should not neglect it; fince it is very probable, they will not efcape. Yet I think, it should be delayed as long as they can; fince fo many Things may occur to prevent our Defigns, and fo the Dangers which we apprehend may never come.

That when the Diftemper is near us, if it be of a mild and gentle Sort, there is lefs Occasion for Inoculation than there would otherwife be.

That there is lefs Occasion for it with Refpect to little Children, than to grown Perfons.

That they whole Business it is to visit the Sick, may justify Inoculation; when those who are under no such Obligations to attend them, could not do it.

Laftly, that when Inoculation proves mortal to any Perfon, we may reafonably conclude, that he would not have furviv'd the Diftemper, if it had feiz'd him in the common Way.

I only add two Remarks more:

That escaping former Dangers is no certain Security. Many have thought themfelves fafe on this Account, whom fad Experience has convinc'd of their Mistake. Yet when a Man has pass'd the Meridian of Life, and has often been furrounded with Infection, there seems less Hazard to him, than to another who is young and just going out into the World.

And that if Children are of Age, to know what they are going about, nothing fhould be done contrary to their Inclinations. If they are not, then if their Parents do by them as they would be done by, were they in the fame Circumftances, they do not exceed the Bounds of parental Authority.

If by thefe, or any other Methods of arguing, you apprehend Reafon to conclude, that you shall not escape this Distemper in the natural Way; you are, I think, to confider it as your Duty, to submit to Inoculation. After all, every Man should have full Satisfaction in his own Mind before he venture upon it.

I believe, to all this fome will object,

" That it requires a great deal of Thought,

" to know what we should do with re-

" gard to this Matter."

I anfwer, Not a great deal neither; and I am forry for those, who complain of Thinking as a Burthen, and shun Consideration as troublefome,

fome, even when it is neceffary for the Prefervation of Life. But whatever Indolence they may affect, a facred Writer has told us, "That " a prudent Man forefeeth the Evil, and " hideth himfelf; but Fools pafs on, and are " punifhed \*."

Another Complaint against Inoculation is,

" That it brings others into Danger."

Now to be fure, this ought not to be done. No Profpect of private Benefit will juftify me in doing an Injury to many by it. And therefore if it cannot be practifed without manifest Hazard to my Neighbours, I am to conclude, that GoD denies me this Method of Safety, which would otherwise appear very defirable.

But there is no Ground for this Objection, if the Operation be performed in a Town where the Diftemper already prevails; or in an Houfe where all have had it but those who receive it in this Way, and which stands at a Diftance from others. If Notice be given to the Neighbourhood of what is doing there, and they who attend upon the Sick are not permitted to go abroad till the Danger of the Infection be over; these Precautions will prevent any Injury to others, who do not thrust themselves into the Way of it.

And here I cannot but observe, how inconfistent some Men are with themselves, who E make

\* Prov. xxji. 3.

34

make a Tragical Outcry against Inoculation upon this Account, and yet take no Care not to foread the Distemper in a more dangerous Way. " Happy is he, that condemneth not " himself in what he alloweth \*."

" But is it not a Diftrust of God's Pro-"vidence?"

I have had Occafion to touch upon the Thought more than once, and have already anfwered, that when it becomes a probable Means for the Prefervation of human Life, it is Prefumption, and not Faith, to neglect it. And I have already proved, that in many Cafes it is fuch a Means, and have endeavoured to affift my Reader in judging when those Cafes occur. But ftill,

" Some have Recourse to the Decrees of " GoD; and afk, whether every Di-" feafe have not its Commiffion, and " whether any Precautions of our own " can prevent what he has determined ?" I answer, that I firmly believe, that " our " Days are determined, and the Number of " our Months are with GoD: He has fet a " Bound, which we cannot pass §." But it is GoD's revealed Will, and not his fecret Purposes, which we are to regard as the Rule of our Duty. I would have all Men take heed, how they go about to excuse their Neglects this Way. They will find at laft, that the Blame will not lie, where they now attempt

\* Rom. xiv. 22. § Job xiv. 5.

attempt to fix it. GOD has required us to have a tender Regard to our Lives; and those who disobey him herein, are guilty of a Degree of Self-Murther, and will never be acquitted of that Guilt by the Secret Determinations of Heaven concerning them.

Befides, GOD who has ordained the End, has determined all the Means leading to it. St. Paul in his dangerous Voyage, had a fpecial Revelation to affure him, that all who were with him fhould efcape; and yet when the Seamen were getting out of the Ship, he declares, that if they did not ftay in it, they could not be faved \*. GOD purpofed to preferve them, in the Way whereby they were afterwards delivered.

And indeed, if this Argument proves any Thing in this Cafe, it proves a great deal too much for those who urge it. For if I am to govern my felf by the secret Counsels of GOD, I may venture upon Inoculation, or any Thing else, without examining its natural Tendency, either to preserve Life or to destroy it; fince Death has received its Commission from him, and can come neither fooner nor later, than he has appointed.

" Others will not hear of Inoculation, be-" caufe it came from the Turks."

The Fact it felf is not incontested. It is well known, that the Turks argue from Predestination, just in the same absurd Manner

\* Acts xxvii. 31.

28

as they do, whom we have been difputing with under the former Objection : And they act upon the Maxim, with much greater Courage. The Alcoran requires, that they should not dread the Pestilence, nor leave their Habitations for Fear of it : And fome credible Historians (and particularly Sir Paul Ricaut,\*) give us fuch Accounts of their amaing Intrepidity on this Head, that one would not eafily believe that they should be the Authors of a Practice, which is founded on fuch a Fear of Infection, as they of all Mankind feem the greateft Strangers to. I have been more inclinable to believe, (what if I mistake not is very credibly affirmed,) that it was at first brought from those of the Greek Church who live in Turkey, to New-England, and fo to us.

But

\* " According to the Turkish Notions of Predefti-44 nation, (fays Sir Paul Ricaut,) none ought to avoid, or " fear, the Infection of the Plague : Mahomet's Pre-" cepts being, not to abandon the City or Houfe, where " the Infection rages; becaufe GOD has numbered their " Days, and predetermined their Fate. And upon this \* Belief they as familiarly attend the Beds, and frequent " the Company of Peftilential Perfons, as we do of those " who are afflicted with the Gout, Stone, or Ague. \* And though they evidently fee, that Chriftians who " fly into better Airs, furvive the Fury of the Year's " Pestilence, when whole Cities of them perish and " are depopulated with the Difeafe; yet fo far is this " Opinion rooted amongst them, that they fcruple not " to ftrip the contagious Shirt from the dead Body, and " put it upon their own ; -- and the difeafed and health-" ful lie promiscuously together." Ricaut's Ottom. Empire, Lib. II. cap. viii. pag. 219, 220.

But fuppofe it fhould be granted, as it may poffibly be true, that the Turks were the first who invented the Practice, is it therefore unlawful for Christians to use it, if it appear a probable Method of preferving their Lives? Must a noble Medicine be abhorr'd, because it comes from those who are of a different Religion? Upon this Principle we must refuse Opium, for that originally came from the Turks too; and the Cortex Peruviana, which was in use amongst the idolatrous Americans, long before it was heard of in a Christian Country. But the Objection is too flagrantly ridiculous, to need, or to admit a ferious Confutation.

" But fome learned Divines are of Opini-

" on, that the Practice of Inoculation " comes from the Devil."

It might be almost fufficient to answer, that some of these learned Divines have quoted his Practice upon Job, as an Instance of it.

But to be as ferious, as the Ridicule of the Argument will allow: Those who resign themselves to the Conduct of learned Divines of any Sort, to follow them with an implicite Faith, will often experience the Truth of the Proverb, " If the Blind lead " the Blind, they will both fall into the " Ditch\*." For one learned Divine who holds, that Inoculation comes from the Devil, one might

ADD ANY FINAL

\* Matt. xv. 14.

might almost find a Legion of Divines, fuch as they are, who avow it as their Opinion, that all Protestants are going to him: Yet I imagine the Objector will not think the worfe of his Religion or his State, because *they* are distracted with Bigotry and Pride.

In the Cafe before us, the Affertion is utterly improbable; and I had almost faid, it was too good News to be true. The Scripture affures us, "that the Devil was a Mur-"therer from the Beginning; \*" and I can fcarcely believe, that he has fo changed his Nature, as to contrive Methods for the Prefervation of our Lives. A Practice fo beneficial to Mankind, might rather feem to have a heavenly Original, and to defcend from him "who came, not to deftroy Men's Lives, "but to fave them +."

I with there be not fome, who are fecretly difpleas'd with Inoculation, becaufe GoD has bleffed it to the Prefervation of our Royal Family: But if they will not use a proper Means for fecuring themfelves, because it has been successful to them, I know not which is most predominant in them, Malice or Folly.

Shall I fay, that a Stupidity, which may be fatal to their Lives, and their Families, is a just Punishment from Heaven for the aggravated Wickedness of their Disloyalty? Or may I not rather charitably conclude, that the

\* John viii. 44.

† Luke ix. 56.

the unhappy Creatures are defitute of the Understandings of Men, as well as of the common Affections of human Nature; while they are infenfible of our Happiness under the prefent Government, and can harbour a Thought of Evil to those lovely Pledges of Felicity to rifing Generations?

But we will take our Leave of these Extravagants, and conclude with the mention of two confiderable Difficulties, which Persons of Wisdom and Piety may possibly propose.

They may perhaps be ready to fay,

- " But what if we should miscarry in the
- " Operation, would it not diffres us
  - " in our dying Moments, to think that
    - " we had hurried ourfelves out of the
    - " World? and would not the Reflecti-
    - " on be a heavy Burthen on the Minds

" of our furviving Friends?"

And I believe it is this, that leads a great many Perfons, to decline the Practice with regard to themfelves, even when they cannot deny it to be reafonable in Theory. They have Hopes that they shall escape the Distemper in the natural Way, and they have Fears of dying in this; and that prevents their going into it. But it concerns them feriously to confider, what Grounds they have for either the one or the other ? and what is to be advanced to balance the Account, by examining the different Degrees of Probability, which attend

attend their Hopes and their Fears, in the Use or Neglect of Inoculation?

To confider the Objection more exactly, Dying is a folemn Thing. I would have People take care, that they do nothing which may give them Uneafinefs in those awful Moments, or diffress their Friends when they are dead. But if (as we have endeavoured to prove above,) Inoculation be a probable and lawful Means of preferving Life in a Time of Danger, and therefore a Duty; I cannot see, why a Man may not be easy to die in this, as well as in any other Manner which Providence may order. He dies in the Way of his Duty: And is not that a Foundation for peaceful Reflection ?

" But Relations tenderly oppose it, and

" employ all the Rhetorick of Friend-

" fhip to diffuade from it.

I queftion not the Kindnefs of their Intentions: But I would intreat those Relations to confider, whether they may not have a much juster Foundation of Uneafiness, if they should by their Importunity diffuade a Friend from submitting to Inoculation, when he is otherwife inclinable to it; and the Distemper should prove fatal to him in the natural Way?

However, if upon the whole any think, that they should die with greater Regret in this Way, than in another; and that it would

would be fatal to the Peace of their furviving Friends; I think, it is too dangerous an Experiment for them to venture upon; and they ought to defer it 'till they and theirs can be better fatisfied with Regard to it.

The laft Objection which I shall take Notice of is,

" The Diflike of others to it, and the

" Clamour which they make against " it."

In Anfwer to this I can fay, That I have met with but very few who have not had favourable Thoughts of it, when it was proposed to them in such a Manner as I think it ought to be practifed. But if Perfons are refolved to condemn all who upon ferious Confideration approve it, I would calmly afk them, and I would intreat them ferioufly to ask themselves, What Authority they have to do fo? What Commission have they to " judge another Man's Servant \*?" Or by what Laws are the reft of Mankind obliged to abide by their Determination? May not Perfons of a contrary Opinion, as well fet up their Judgment for a Standard, and condemn them for not submitting to it? And have not 1 as much Right to quarrel with another becaufe he is not of my Mind, as he has to be angry with me becaufe I am not of his? It is much better for fuch impertinent Cen-F fors,

\* Rom. xiv. 4.

fors, " to fludy to be quiet, and to do their " own Bufinefs\*;" and I would advife them as a Friend, to fave themfelves the Mortification of feeing, how little their furious and uncharitable Clamours are regarded by the more thinking Part of Mankind.

But if they are not heated to fuch a Degree as to be unfit for the eafieft Reflection, I would intreat them to tell me plainly, " What they would have a Man do, who is " convinced in his Confcience, that it is his " Duty to take this Method for his Preferv-" ation, in a Time of threatning Danger?" Suppose, (which I hope is frequently the Cafe,) that this is his fettled Judgment, after the most humble Prayer to GoD for his Direction, and the most honest and exact Enquiry into the Matter : Would they have him contradict the inward Senfe of his own Mind? I believe, every Man who has either Reafon or Confcience, would fay, No by no Means. By the Answer you in Effect advife, that in fuch Circumstances it should be done. And have you any Occasion to be angry with a Man, for acting as you your felf must have directed, if he had confulted with you?

I shall only observe farther, that if a Man must never do his Duty 'till all the World are agreed what it is, it will be a long Time before he arrives at any Satisfaction.

Thus

\* I Theff. iv. II.

Thus I have impartially confidered this controverted Point. I think upon the whole, those who are for Inoculation in all Cases, are as much in the wrong, as those who will allow of it in none. It is good, or evil, as Men's Circumstances are.

I have read very little upon the Argument, refolving when I firft begun to think of it, to determine as the Matter appeared to my felf, without any Regard to the Sentiments of others. I know, that I am liable to Miftake, and that a Man's own Reafonings may deceive him; and I am as fure, that they are not infallible, who condemn Inoculation as utterly unlawful.

If what I have written may be of any Ufe, to inform the Judgments, and direct the Practice of those, who fincerely defire to know, and to perform their Duty; and be a Means of preferving the Lives of any, and promoting the Happiness of Mankind, I have my End. If any think, what is here proposed is nothing to the Purpose; they may enjoy their own Sentiments, without making me uneasy.

FINIS.

ad atomining its gaine at a horizonte more the horizon as

#### BOOKS published by P. Doddridge, D. D.

I. THE FAMILY EXPOSITOR: Or, a Paraphrafe and Verfion of the Four Evangelist, difposed in the Order of an Harmony: With Critical Notes, and a Practical Improvement of each Section. The Second Edition. In Two Volumes, 4to.

II. The Third Volume of the FAMILY EXPOSITOR: Containing the Acts of the Apostles, with additional Notes on the Harmony of the Evangelists; and Two Differtations, 1. On Sir Isac Newton's System of the Harmony. 2. On the Inspiration of the New Testament. With proper Indexes to the Whole.

III. Sermons on the Religious Education of Children. The Third Edition.

IV. Sermons to Young Perfons, on the following Subjects; viz. 1. The Importance of the Rifing Generation. 2. Chrift formed in the Soul the Foundation of Hope. 3. A Diffuafive from keeping Wicked Company. 4. The Young Chriftian invited to an early Attendance on the Lord's-Table. 5. The Orphan's Hope. 6. The Reflections of a Pious Parent on the Death of a Wicked Child. 7. Youth reminded of approaching Judgment. The Third Edition.

V. Practical Difcourfes on Regeneration, in Ten Sermons, preached at Northampton, on the following Subjects; 1. The Character of the Unregenerate. 2, 3. The Nature of Regeneration. 4, 5, 6. The Neceffity and Importance of it. 7. The Divine Influences neceffary to produce it. 8. The various Methods in which those Influences operate. 9. Directions to the awakened Sinner. 10. An Address to the Regenerate. The Second Edition, in 12 mo.

VI. The Rife and Progress of Religion in the Soul: Illuftrated in a Course of Serious and Practical Address, fuited to Perfons of every Character and Circumstance: With a devout Meditation or Prayer added to each Chapter. The Sixth Edition.

VII. A Sermon preached at Salters-Hall, August 20, 1749. Published on Occasion of the late Alarm by the Second Shock of an EARTHQUAKE, March 8, 1749-50. With a Preface relating to that Awful Event.

VIII. A Plain and Serious Addrefs to the Master of a Family, on the important Subject of Family-Religion. The Third Edition.

IX. Christian Candour and Unanimity stated, illustrated and urged. A Sermon preached at a Meeting of Ministers at Creaton in Northamptonshire, Jan. 12. 1749-50.























