

**A reply to Mr. Maxwell's Answer to Mr. Kirkland's Essay on fevers; wherein the utility of the practice of suppressing them, is further exemplified, vindicated, and enforced / [Thomas Kirkland].**

### **Contributors**

Kirkland, Thomas, 1722-1798

Maxwell, Archibald, -1769. Answer to Mrs. Kirkland's Essay on fevers

### **Publication/Creation**

London : T. Becket & P.A. de Hondt, 1769.

### **Persistent URL**

<https://wellcomecollection.org/works/f7vdmzxb>

### **License and attribution**

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection  
183 Euston Road  
London NW1 2BE UK  
T +44 (0)20 7611 8722  
E [library@wellcomecollection.org](mailto:library@wellcomecollection.org)  
<https://wellcomecollection.org>



A  
R E P L Y  
TO  
Mr. MAXWELL's ANSWER  
TO  
Mr. KIRKLAND's *Essay on Fevers*;

WHEREIN  
The UTILITY of the Practice of Suppressing them, is further exemplified, vindicated, and enforced.

---

By THOMAS KIRKLAND, SURGEON.

---

*Nature has always a great abhorrence of a turbulent State.*  
MEAD.

---

L O N D O N,  
Printed for T. BECKET, and P. A. DE HONDT,  
near Surry-street, in the Strand.

MDCCCLXIX.

(Price Two Shillings.)



R. E. P. L. Y.

TO

MR. MAYNARD

TO

MR. KIRKLAND'S

WHEEL

The University of the Pacific

has been a member of the  
and entered



...

...

...

...

...

...

...



## Advertisement.

**T**HIS Reply was nearly printed off before Mr. MAXWELL's death, and would now have been suppressed, had not the Subject, instead of the Adversary, been principally considered. Mr. KIRKLAND, therefore, begs the Reader would overlook any strictures which do not reflect credit on the memory of his antagonist, for whom he sensibly feels that concern, which naturally arises, where an untimely disease prevents the regular course of Nature.



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2019 with funding from  
Wellcome Library



---

## INTRODUCTION.

**I**F I know my own heart, I am very certain, the Essay concerning Fevers, which I lately offered to the *consideration* of the publick, was written with an honest intention: To lay before it what appeared to me a matter of importance, and which it was hoped would be acceptable; the facts produced in favor of the extinction of fevers, being delivered with the strictest regard to truth, whether collected from other Writers, or related from my own observation. — And I have since had the very great pleasure of meeting with the approbation of many men of good sense and learning; and of seeing the good effects of the doctrine advanced, both in other people's patients, and those who have been immediately under my own care. — And I was in hopes cautious and impartial trials would have hereafter determined, whether the practice advised, was to be rejected or approved.

However, without *once seeing the effects of extinguishing a fever, or having had the least experience* in this matter, Mr. MAX-

A

WELL,



## ii INTRODUCTION.

WELL, of Portsmouth, tells us, "He has  
" shewn the error of my arguments for the  
" use of cold water, in extinguishing fe-  
" vers;" and at the same time frankly  
owns, that he has undertaken to make  
this Answer, "lest a subversion of the  
" reigning *Theory* on fevers, should affect  
" *the medical practice*;" which seems to be,  
in plain English, if fevers are not suffered  
to run out their usual length, less profit  
will arise in *trade*, and hence "mischiev-  
" ous effects will be produced."—But sure-  
ly this is a paltry and scandalous considera-  
tion, which cannot be excused, even by  
the plea of the half starved Apothecary,  
whose poverty, and not his will, con-  
sented to the selling of his *poison*.

If these were the reasons for the steps  
this Writer has taken, it is no wonder he  
should be seized with the HYDROPHO-  
BIA; but, whatever were his motives, in-  
stead of examining this matter fairly, he  
certainly has misrepresented my meaning,  
falsely accused me of not candidly quoting  
the ancients, and says, I have perverted  
their sense to serve a favourite theory;  
which charge he endeavours to prove, and  
to overturn the doctrine advanced, by tak-  
ing only mutilated scraps, "instead of con-  
" sulting the true spirit" of the Essay, by  
false



## INTRODUCTION. iii

false insinuations, and by using all possible chicanery; therefore, I cannot think him *open to conviction* (a), or that he writes *with deference to truth* (b): as truth never stands in need of such kind of art to support it. — To this we may add, the ill manners, and ungentleman-like behaviour, which may be seen in almost every page of his Answer, and which were quite unnecessary; for tho' they shew the ill breeding and disposition of the Author, yet they can no way assist in investigating truth. — Such treatment certainly deserves contempt, nor can any excuse be allowed, for his attempting to deceive people in what concerns their health, it being a crime of the worst nature, as it may entail misery and death upon multitudes, and therefore ought to be exposed; for which reason, as his arguments may mislead those, who have neither leisure, nor inclination to examine them, we will endeavour to set what he has said in its *true light*.

It may be observed, that for want of experience, this PSYCHYDROPHOBITE was obliged to supply its place with quotations, and to depend upon opinions, than which nothing is more uncertain; and it is his misfortune to make so bad a choice, as to

(a) See Anf. p. 8.

(b) See Ibid.



reason from those, which took their rise in *Theory* only, and are destitute of any **FACTS** to support them. — In particular, his favourite and useful theory of a fever being an effort of nature, seems at first cunningly to have been invented, to serve the most vile purpose; for we learn from **PLINY** (*a*), that the Professors of Physic soon found that it was much more easy to captivate the minds of men by novelty, and ingenious theories, than by success in curing diseases; and therefore, from the time of **CHRYSIPPUS**, it was usual for them to find fault with the practice of preceding Physicians, and to alter all the rules they had left concerning this art, by which means they got great credit, and immense sums. — **ASCLEPIADES**, who was bred a Barrister, not succeeding in his profession, tho' as to other things a man of quick parts, seeing in what manner fortunes were acquired by the sons of **ÆSCULAPIUS**, laid aside the practice of the law, and suddenly, *without experience*, introduced an intire new practice of physic, making it a mere conjectural art; and by *his eloquence*, so far gained the opinion of the people, that they thought him a man sent down from heaven to cure their maladies.

(*a*) See **PLINY**'s Hist. Nat. lib. 26. cap. 3. et lib. 29. cap. 1.

Now,



## INTRODUCTION. v

Now, it is well known, HIPPOCRATES and his followers gave their patients plentifully of cooling ptisans, and the like, to abate their fever; ASCLEPIADES, on the contrary, would not even allow the mouth to be washed on the first days; but, in the advance of the distemper, he even administered to the luxury of the patient; and the reason he assigned for this mad practice was, that

*“The cure for a fever was the disease itself (a).”*

This was readily swallowed by the infatuated multitude, and it seems from thence to have been handed down to us, as a certain axiom, without ever once doubting or enquiring into the truth of it; especially as it agreed with the doctrine of Concoction, and was employed in the same sense with the saying of HIPPOCRATES, *“that nature is the curer of diseases,”* with which it seems not to have any connection.—To what purpose is it to tell us, that the *theory* of Concoction was a leading point with SYDENHAM (b)?—We know hun-

(a) CELSUS, lib. 3. cap. 4.

(b) This Writer's notions of Concoction were very different from Mr. MAXWELL's, (see Dispute 2d.)—He imagined “the irregular commotion raised by nature in the blood, is excited to separate from it, a certain heterogeneous matter, or else to change the blood itself into a new state;” and, he says, “the concoction of the febrile matter, means no more, than a separation of the morbid particles from the sound.” But concerning his theory,



vi INTRODUCTION.

dreds, besides himself, took this opinion for granted, without ever examining its merit; and those who are conversant with this Writer, know that his theory and practice seldom agreed. — If, when the inoculation of the small-pox was first introduced into this kingdom, the practice recommended by RHazes, to extinguish the fever in the natural small-pox, had been insisted on, what a torrent of *theory* and quotations, from the best Writers, would have been poured out against it, by Messrs. HOW-GRAVE and Co. (a) — It is now adopted with little variation; and does not the success, in this case, point out the utility of extinguishing every fever?

Again, tho' we can readily conceive that people in fevers, would more frequently recover by nature unassisted, than when she was teased by a load of improper medicines; yet, can any man, with a grain of sense, believe, that BAGLIVI, in continual and acute diseases, could always prescribe medicines sufficient to keep the boiling blood within due bounds of fermentation, so that he could afterwards look on with attention, and leave the rest to nature, and with pleasure see the febrile fits

we recommend a perusal of Dr. SWAN's Notes to the fourth Chapter of SYDENHAM's book, sect. 1.

(a) Writers against Inoculation.

succeed



## INTRODUCTION. vii

succeed by gentle and friendly turns, and run their rounds in appointed order of nature, so as to cure the patient?—Certainly the passage immediately preceding, deserves equal credit, where he says, when he used bleeding, and a thin diet, with a prudent and seasonable use of diluting medicines, he never had a patient ill of the small-pox, that died under his hands (*a*).

In short, we had before seen all the opinions he has brought in support of his arguments; but, from knowing the manner in which some men, who justly bear the greatest names, are drawn into popular doctrines, which want truth for their foundation; after selecting their matter of *fact*, from their *theory*, and after lately having some of the most convincing proofs of the utility of the practice advised, I see no reason to alter, but to confirm, what I have already written.

Whoever has read Mr. MAXWELL's Answer, must see, that his different arguments lie strangely mixed and dispersed throughout the whole of his performance, in order, probably, to make a reply more difficult; but I have at last methodized

(*a*) Mr. MAXWELL has quoted this passage in part, p. 62. but the reader is desired to consult the original, chap. 12. sect. 7.

them,



# viii INTRODUCTION.

them, and laid the arguments on both sides before the reader, giving preference to his own words, where the sentences were not too long; and have every where strictly represented his meaning, referring to the places which are abridged, that it may appear we have not set him in a wrong light.—I have been particularly careful in giving his objections their full force; nor am I, as I believe I have truth on my side, under any apprehension from the REPELLER, with which, those who favour the extinction of fevers, are threatened.

If the whole could have been brought into less compass, it would have given me pleasure; but a general reply would have afforded fresh matter for the cavils of such an antagonist.—Besides, the importance of the subject requires a full discussion; and to make amends for the length, I have endeavoured, as much as possible, to render this dispute useful, by an addition of new matter.—However, I will not attempt to confute any future publication of this Writer; for after exposing his *tricks* and *contrivances*,

*Si populus vult decipi decipiatur.*

K I R K-



---

K I R K L A N D

A G A I N S T

M A X W E L L.

---

DISPUTE the FIRST.

*Whether a Fever is an Oeconomical Process  
of Nature for Relief.*

**I**T appears from the recapitulation (a) in Mr. Maxwell's Answer, that the whole of it consists in having proved (as he says) that "the principal positions of my Essay concerning fevers, are not strictly true; and

"FIRST, That there is reason to think, that  
"the doctrine of concoction in fevers, if rightly  
"understood, is not fallacious.

"SECONDLY, That we ought not rashly to  
"drink cold water, in hopes of suppressing fe-

(a) Anf. p. 65.



“vers; and that the Ancients were far from recommending it indiscriminately.

“THIRDLY, and lastly, That the fever may be an œconomical process of nature for relief.”

The latter of these we shall first consider, as agreeing with the order in which the Essay opposed is written; and notwithstanding Mr. Maxwell is so much averse to the extinction of heat, we will venture to argue this matter coolly.—If the nature of the dispute should lead us to discover any thing, which this writer might wish to conceal, we recommend it to him to consider, that honestly pointing out a man's faults, shews him the necessity of improving himself, if it concerns literature; if his moral character, that of amendment. And—

*Maxwell.* You censure the opinion of those, who, from eruptive fevers ceasing upon the morbid matter being expelled to the surface of the body, believe that a fever is an effort of nature to relieve herself;—yet there can scarce be brought a stronger argument to support any opinion whatsoever (a).

*Reply.* If this was true, the more violent the fever, the sooner and better would the morbid matter be expelled; but it has long been observed, *Quo sedatior est sanguis eo melius erumpent pustulæ* (b).—It is also well known, that the less the fever

(a) Ans. p. 8.

(b) Sydenham.



is in the natural small-pox, the fewer will be the pustules: And the eruption is perfectly compleat, and still in less quantity, where there is no fever at all: — Whence it is evident, that the fever increases the disease, and that its assistance is not necessary to expel the morbid matter; and has not honest SYDENHAM (a), from practice observed, “*that the fittest degree of heat to promote the expulsion of the variolous matter, is the natural one, and such as is suitable to the temper of the fleshy parts; and whatever exceeds, or falls short of it, is dangerous on either band (b).*” — Perhaps it will be said that, Sydenham was of opinion that a fever was an effort of nature for relief. — We know he adopted this *theory*, which had now existed seventeen hundred years. — But does not this make what he has above said, the clearest evidence we can have, because it shews, that he did not give this advice, in consequence of the *theory* he had imbibed; but from being convinced by practice, that a fever, instead of relieving, is injurious: Otherwise, how can heat be dangerous, when it exceeds the natural heat of the flesh? — But the misfortune is, that the *theory*, instead of the practice of this writer, has been chiefly attended to. — And is not here a full proof, how much sooner people are led by a specious *theory*, than by plain matter of fact? — It was therefore rea-

(a) De Variol. regular. cap. 2.

(b) It is no wonder, then, where people have been reduced too low by an improper preparation previous to inoculation, that the constitution should sometimes suffer.



4      *Whether a FEVER is*

sonable to conclude, that, the matter is not thrown off by the fever (a).

M. If the fever is not an effort of nature, why should it cease upon eruption? You say, “by the wound in inoculation, we know that the variolous matter is irritating; and as the fever ceases upon its being discharged from the blood, is it not plain, that the fever was only a symptom in consequence of the whole body being irritated (b)?”

R. It ceases from the irritating matter being removed; for take away the cause, and the effect ceases; and tho’ this proves the fever to be a symptom only, yet it does not prove, that this symptom removes the disease. — Pain, and a fever, the consequence of an extraneous body lodged in the flesh, cease upon its being taken away by an operation, but it is the hand of the Surgeon that removes it, and not either the fever, or the pain.

M. Contrary to your assertion, common experience proves, that the greater number recover in fevers, unless in the plague, or when a fever of a *peculiar malignancy* has raged in the Army or Navy (c).

R. It cannot be imagined, that every slight fever was taken into the account, as nature, in this case, will over-balance the disease, in opposition to the fever; for we shall hereafter

(a) See case at the end.

(b) Anf. see note, p. 9.

(c) Anf. p. 10. par. 2.



shew, that if the degree of heat does not exceed a certain point, the patient will recover with little or no assistance.—Violent, or epidemic fevers, must therefore be referred to; in which there is a *peculiar malignancy*, so that in this particular we both agree.

M. The expressions *materia morbi*—a powerful medicine, will be unintelligible to yourself upon reflection (a).

R. It is well known, that the putrid miasmata, which give rise to some fevers, frequently dissolve the crasis of the blood, till it passes through vessels, which before were only capable of carrying lymph; the salts in inflammatory fevers, often become equally active; and cannot you suppose these alike capable of dissolving and removing obstructions, with any deobstruents you can produce? — Dr. Kirkpatrick (b) has mentioned a case, where a cough, in all probability, arising from a lentor in the juices, was apparently removed by insertion of the variolous matter, before it brought on a fever; and I myself have seen an instance, where the lymph, in an indolent tumor, was attenuated in the process of inoculation, where no fever came on.

M. The simile about oil and water being separated, on which great stress is laid, is inconclusive; as it may be asked, whether in heterogeneous mixtures, quick agitation will not

(a) Ans. p. 10. par. 2.

(b) Analysis of Inoculation.



sooner pass the intended parts, through the sieve, by *more frequently presenting them* (a)?

R. Heterogeneous mixtures cannot be *separated* by *quick* agitation, though they may be *forced* unseparated through a sieve. But the separation of oil and water by a *sieve* was never thought on. If we dip a piece of linnen rag in oil or water, we shall have "*a strainer suited to the purpose.*" This common experiment (b), which it was imagined every body belonging to medicine was acquainted with, will at once evince, that the separation can only be made in a state of rest. However, the pressing heterogeneous mixtures, by quick agitation, through a sieve, unseparated, seems a very proper emblem, to convey a true idea of the tumultuous method, of removing the cause of fevers, which you support.—You must confess, ours is a less boisterous plan, and more conformable to the laws of nature.

M. I meant no further, than to mark the error of your reasoning (c).

R. You missed your mark, then, like a random shooter.

M. From an inflamed eye, we are told, that if the fluids are thick, increased impulse will increase the obstruction: and if the blood has a putrid tendency, the fever will increase it.—But what does this prove?—*No person in an opthat-*

(a) Anf. p. 11. par. 2.      (b) Helvetius's Animal Oeconom.  
(c) Anf. p. 11. par. 2.



*mia wishes for febrile symptoms, nor is an increased heat in a putrid fever eligible (a).*

R. You were told (b), that it might be proved by an inflamed eye, or any other external inflammation, that an increased heat, so far from removing, increases the viscosity and obstruction; and that if the blood has a putrid tendency, the putrefaction is also increased, during the fever; which proves, as far as possible, what it was intended to prove, that violent heat and motion, increase both inflammation, and what is called putrid acrimony; nor does your futile *prevarication* prove to the contrary.—Was there ever such a paltry evasion? — Besides, you have spoiled all, by confessing the very thing you ought to have concealed; for though it is common to say, by way of distinction, “*putrid fever*,” yet in fact, it is a putrid disease, accompanied with *preternatural heat*.—Therefore if increased (or preternatural) heat is not eligible in a putrid disease, you confess there should be no fever at all, which does not agree with the scheme of its being an effort of nature. One would indeed imagine that you was at your last shift, in the beginning of your answer.

M. What is said of the febrile matter being separated by a ferment in the fluids (c), and the fever being against us, is an instance of false conclusion, from your imagination being struck

(a) Anf. p. 12.  
par. 2. and 3.

(b) Essay, p. 3.

(c) Anf. p. 12.



8      *Whether a FEVER is*

with the favourite simile of oil and water : Indeed (a) —

R. Stay, you need go no farther; this is another random shot; reflect but a moment, and you will see it was impossible for our imagination to be struck with this simile, because recent oil and water do not ferment.—A tub full of good new wort was thought on, which, if of a proper degree of heat, and left to itself, will dispumate, but if stirred about, a separation of the heterogeneous particles cannot take place.—

M. It is indisputably true, that when the secretions and excretions are not regularly performed, disease arises; or inversely, when *that* is present, they cannot *all* be regularly performed; but does it really follow, that all offensive matter is by these constantly expelled in a state insensible to us? Were this the truth, there would be no disease. Now if the secretions, &c. are ineffectual, what must necessarily happen? let this writer shew; otherwise let him invalidate the reasoning of those, who look on the ensuing fever as nature's endeavour to relieve herself (b).

R. By the quotation you have just made (c) from the Essay, it appears, that it was not said, that all offensive matter was constantly expelled in a state insensible to us; but that it was *more likely* to be carried out of the body, when the circulation of the blood is regular, &c. than

(a) Anf. p. 11. par. 3. (b) Ib. p. 11. par. 3. (c) Ib. p. 10. par. 3.



an EFFORT of NATURE. 9

when in violent commotion. And if a fever was to arise solely from the secretions being incapable of performing their office, yet it does not follow, that it is an effort of nature.

M. Whence comes the fever, if the secretions can always prove effectual? — or if some good end were not the intent of nature, why let it be excited (a)?

R. It was not said, they could always prove effectual to prevent a fever; and it is obvious, when the salts, &c. which ought to have been discharged, are detained, they will become more acrid, and, by irritating, excite a fever, *contrary* to the will of nature.

M. Will it not then follow, from these principles of acridity and increased motion, thus acting in a circle, and making every thing worse and worse, that the fabrick must inevitably be destroyed? And is the destruction of the machine certain, where the fever is not *suppressed*, but left to take its course? — Thousands of recoveries happily prove this to be erroneous (b).

R. It but too frequently happens, that increased acrimony, and increased motion, by making things worse and worse, destroy the patient; but it by no means follows, that this must *always inevitably* happen, when the fever is left to itself; for though the blood and juices, in a fever, often run their circular course, with

(a) Ans. p. 27.

(b) Ibid.



such rapidity, that any thing contained in the blood has not *proper* time to make its escape by the lateral lymphatics : yet sometimes a small quantity of the *materia morbi* may keep passing off by insensible perspiration, or be accidentally discharged in the urine, so as to prevent destruction, where there is strength of constitution, till the fibres are weakened (*a*), and a diarrhoea, or some other critical evacuation, by chance comes on : but if the fever is violent, and none of these discharges happen, is not death the consequence ? — So that notwithstanding thousands may have recovered, where the fever was left to take its own course ; yet it is very probable, many more thousands had been living, if their fever had been properly suppressed.—For after all this *bustle*, if a metastasis to a particular part does not happen, the *materia morbi* must at *last* be separated by some of the secretions ; and the question is, whether they are most likely to do it, when disturbed, or regular ? — You have just confessed, they cannot *all* be regularly performed, when a disease is present ; and further, when they are not *regularly performed*, disease will arise ; whence, instead of relieving, the fever embarrasses nature, and of course, upon your own principles, ought to be suppressed.—

Besides, is not it common for many diseases, to produce symptoms, which, instead of assisting, make them worse ? — Acrid matter, irrita-

(*a*) See Essay, p. 23.



ting the bowels of a man, of strong, rigid fibres, may bring on an inflammation; this inflammation will cause a fever; this fever, if left to itself, instead of being a friendly assistant, will increase the inflammation; and it is ten to one, in such a habit, by afterwards increasing each other, they destroy the patient; and where is the difference betwixt this, and any other fever, that arises from irritation; except that where irritating matter gets into the blood, it must receive its share of the injury. — You will say, that you have not any thoughts of leaving the fever to itself, but that you will bridle and curb its mettlesome fury, till the *end proposed* is attained (*a*). — But if the fever is an effort of nature, is not this being *wiser* than nature; and upon reflection, will not an attempt to *direct her*, appear vain? — All we can do, is to assist her, and if your account of a fever be true, can curbing, bridling, or reducing, be consistent? —

*M.* You yourself enquired, whether *every fever* is not in consequence of acrimony, irritating the medullary part of the nerves; and (*b*)

*R.* I made no such enquiry — I asked whether *every fever, arising from acrimony*, is not the consequence of its irritating the medullary part of the nerves (*c*); bringing instances to confirm this opinion.

(*a*) See Ans. p. 47. (*b*) Ans. p. 35. par. 2. (*c*) Essay, p. 31.



*M.* Well, from these instances (*a*) you argue, that *every fever* is symptomatical; but the very ingenious Mr. Hume has proved, that cause and effect are not so easily intelligible, as generally imagined. — And indeed, tho' not strictly philosophical, the secondary, we frequently look upon as the primary. — For a case in point — Fever may have some remote resemblance to fire: And fire, from the best theory, is but an effect of motion, and yet we never say, motion is destroying the blazing house (*b*).

*R.* We did not attempt to shew, that all fevers were symptomatical, from these instances alone (*c*); nor are we in this case to be beaten off with this reasoning. We very well know, that the variolous matter we insert in inoculation, is the cause, and the subsequent fever, the effect; and does not this hold good, in regard to the absorption of putrid matter, &c.? — It is not an universally received opinion, that fire is only an effect of motion; many eminent men, besides Boerhaave, thinking it elementary, and we can easily conceive this element to be put in motion by friction. — However, we will fight you with your own weapons. — The fever is an effect of irritation from morbid matter, and yet we do not say matter, but the fever, chiefly destroys the patient. —

*M.* Notwithstanding the fever may be truly the effect of irritation from morbid matter; the

(*a*) *Anf.* p. 35. (*b*) *Ib.* p. 35. par. 2 and 3. (*c*) *Esfay*, p. 30.



alarm is not till disease is beginning; and till the effect is perceivable, we do not think of the cause. — Hippocrates says,

— “ That which afflicts a man, is called a  
“ disease.” —

Therefore, as the fever afflicts the patient, it may be deemed the disease (*a*).

R. So you bring Hippocrates to prove, that cause and effect are both the same thing. — You have confessed that the fever is an *effect*, and whether we think of the cause or not, every effect must have a cause: And pray, Sir, if the fever is *truly* the *effect* of irritation, how can it be an effort of nature?

M. But, I tell you, morbid matter may be within the frame, and running through the circulation, yet the machine not be disordered; witness the inserted variolous matter; while nobody, till febrile symptoms arise, says the inoculated persons are diseased (*b*).

R. Because, till the symptoms of its having taken place appear, nobody can say whether the patient is diseased, or not. — But, as soon as the small wound shews signs of the matter having taken effect, before any fever comes on, we say the patient is diseased. — And tho’ the small pox afterwards appear without any fever, which is often the case, yet we say he has had the disease. —

(*a*) *Anf.* p. 36. par. 2.      (*b*) *Ib.* par. 3.

M. But



Unable to display this page



cold water, and cold air in fevers, upon becoming very hot, she, without hesitation, of her own accord, drank a large bowl of cold water, which instantly terminated the fit, and brought on a sweat, several hours sooner than would otherwise have happened.—But we have further evidence to prove, that this fever does not remove the cause of the disorder: For how comes it to pass, that the real cause still exists, when the fever comes upon the patient, perhaps, every day for a month or two together; who, instead of getting well, becomes weaker every fit, and degenerates into an ill state of health? And do not the effects attending the bark, *cold bath*, &c. in this case prove, that instead of the fever, which makes the cure more difficult, another kind of assistance is wanting to remove the cause. —

It has generally been imagined, that the morbid matter is expelled in the sweat, which succeeds the hot fit of an intermitting fever; that every fit makes a compleat crisis, and that the returns of the paroxysms are owing to a fresh accumulation of morbid matter: But this is by no means certain; because similar appearances arise, where we are sure there is no morbid affection of the fluids. — A man is seized with an Ephemera from excessive heat, which runs on for a certain number of hours, and then a sweat, &c. follows upon its declension. — Sudden cold, in the same manner, sometimes instantly brings on a fresh fit of an intermittent, where the patient has long been cured of  
of



of this disease, that goes thro' its course perfectly regular, but without any return after it has declined; — so that the only difference betwixt these two fevers, thus excited, seems to be, that rarefaction of the blood increases its velocity, &c. and cold air, by irritating the nerves, which perhaps are more irritable than ordinary, seems to bring on a stricture upon the lesser vessels; whence the blood being returned in a shorter space to the heart than usual, quickness of circulation, and increased heat of course follows. — And what is worth observing, tho' the cause is immediately removed, the progress of the fit is not shortned, but the heat raised in the blood, and irregularity in the circulation, take a certain time to subside, unless the fever is suppressed in the manner AVICENNA (a) recommends. These circumstances, probably, have led some to imagine, that every intermitting fever is a disease of the solids; but they do not seem to set aside the opinion of Dr. Mead, that “the fault in this distemper will be commonly found to be in the *viscera*, and glands of the abdomen;” as a variety of symptoms, and the methods of cure, evince the truth of this assertion.

It is well known that the *viscera*, when diseased, render the whole state of the nerves extremely irritable, and liable to be affected with every blast of wind. — And supposing the morbid cause, whatever it is, to disturb the nerves

(a) Vol. II. Tract 1. Fen. 1. cap. 38.



of the mesentery, &c. at certain periods, its effects will instantly be spread all over the body; and as a shivering is the first symptom we perceive, it is certainly the immediate effect of the offending cause; and a fever is probably produced in the manner already described.

*M.* The returns of fevers, when not *intermittent*, but properly relapses, and which the ancients observed after seemingly perfect crises, declare plainly a *materia morbi* lying dormant in the human frame, till roused on some particular occasion. — Should the fever, *excited by this matter*, be always, by drinking cold water, suppressed, extinguished, or stifled, will not the cause be still remaining within? And, if then to be removed by the usual secretions, why not pass off, before an alarm from febrile motion is given?

*R.* These relapses do not declare *plainly* a *materia morbi* lying dormant in the habit; for if the crisis was seemingly perfect, in all probability it was perfect: and the relapse was most likely owing to re-infection, taking cold, or the like; for where care is not taken to remove the patient from every thing that will harbour infectious particles, a fresh fever may be raised, when he acquires strength enough to be susceptible of infection. — When the last epidemic fever raged in this place, we never had any relapses, where the patient was removed from every thing that might give a fresh infection; but amongst those, who remained in the same room, without the necessary alteration



in their linnen, &c. several were re-infected;— and if infectious matter lies dormant upon bed-cloaths, &c. you cannot well imagine it to be removed by the secretions, in this situation.

However, we will suppose some *materia morbi* to lie as dormant as possible in the human frame, after an incompleat crisis of a fever; but then it will be necessary for us to look out for a sleeping place; for it will soon be *roused*, if it is mixed with the mass of blood, otherwise it will certainly escape, if no impediment is in the way; as it is impossible for any thing to sleep, or remain inactive, when stirred briskly about. — Suppose, therefore, we imagine a putrid fordes lying in the intestines, &c. to be the fomes of a new fever; or that some part of the *materia morbi* is left behind in the lymphatic glands, incompleat crises having been observed to happen, where glands have remained swelled; and that afterwards accumulating, getting at liberty, and entering the blood, &c. excites a new fever.

Dr. Pringle (a) has given us an instance, where “the mate of an hospital had both the  
“parotid glands swelled, without any previous  
“indisposition; when not suspecting the cause,  
“and applying discutient cataplasms, he was  
“immediately seized with the malignant fever,”  
then prevailing in the hospital he attended.—  
In the same manner the variolous matter infused  
by inoculation, seems evidently to be confined



to the lymphatic system, till the time it produces a fever; and not having before entered the mass of blood, was incapable of being secreted.—The fever now, as you have confessed, hinders its being secreted; therefore the practice of suppressing, or preventing the fever, must be right, for the reasons already given: for it may be demonstrated, beyond possibility of doubt, that, when the matter which is absorbed from a large ulcer, is so mild, as to be incapable of irritating, and bringing on a fever, it is *immediately* secreted by the kidneys, or carried off by stool (*a*); whereas when it is very acrid, a fever instantly comes on, upon its entering the habit; and the secretions are thus rendered incapable of removing it out of the body.—If a fever were an effort of nature to separate the impure, from the pure parts; how comes it to pass, that no fever is raised, when the habit is oppressed, nay even overloaded with the venereal virus?—We say, because this matter, when mixed with the lymph, is incapable of irritating the nerves, with any degree of violence (*b*); and that the reason it is not secreted, is, because its chief seat is in the membranes, and lymphatics.—But that irritation and distension will produce a fever, cannot be denied, so many facts being ready to

(*a*) See Lond. Med. Inq. vol. 2. page 278. And we have not any doubt, but where the quantity of infectious matter received immediately into the blood, is very small; it is often carried off by the secretions, without causing any disturbance.

(*b*) The length of time the venereal node remains indolent, shews the small degree of acrimony in this virus.



evinced the truth of it: or if you suppose the preternatural heat to be owing to the mixing of different kinds of salts; yet it is still raised in *opposition* to nature; and it remains for our adversaries to prove, with equal certainty, in what manner *nature raises* a fever, without its being excited by the offending cause: for saying that a fever is an effort of nature, and supporting this theory by equivocal appearances only, without being able to shew, how this effort is brought about, is saying nothing to the purpose.—The reader then will judge, Sir, whether you have already said any thing, which proves, that the fever is an œconomical process of nature for relief.—Perhaps it may, in general, be difficult precisely to ascertain, the part that nature acts in the cure of diseases; but in the case in hand, the matter seems pretty plain.—I imagine, the common method of quenching thirst, will be allowed to be the desire of nature; and is not it owing to the same principle, that, “when-  
 “ever labouring under heat and thirst, the  
 “common accidents of a fever; *Ideas of cold*  
 “and *moisture* naturally occur (a)?” — Can *Dame Nature*, then, who is regular and consistent in all her ways, “and ever watchful over  
 “her patient’s interest,” raise a fever, to assist herself, and at the same time excite such ideas to destroy it? — Nor does the desire of cordials, such as strong ale, or salted meats, which sometimes happens in the decline of fevers, contradict, but shews the rectitude of this impulse

(b) *Ans. p. 43. par. 2.*



of nature; as they are never wanted, but where the disease has run out to a great length, and the strength and spirits are exhausted.—GALEN has something much to the purpose, with which we will close this first dispute.

Speaking of *cold* extinguishing heat, he proves, that this is effected by going into the bath, after being heated in the sun, and says, “in the  
“country, having finished their journey, where  
“there are not baths, the young men cast  
“themselves into ponds, or rivers, without the  
“advice of any Physician; being compelled by  
“nature herself, who governs the body, to that  
“which tends to its advantage. —Which (na-  
“ture) in animals void of reason, implants in-  
“stinct, *to use the reverse of those things which*  
“*offend them.*—For when they are offended  
“with heat, they wash themselves in cold  
“water; and, in the same manner, when they  
“are urged by cold, they find for themselves  
“warm beds.—Also, from the same desire of  
“contrarieties, when hungry they eat, and  
“when thirsty they drink; and they perform all  
“other things, from an impulse of nature(*a*).”—  
“And if we had a certain knowledge of the  
“disposition of those labouring under fevers, I  
“do not think we should be in any doubt about  
“washing some of them daily in cold water,  
“without the bath.”

(*a*) Method. Medend. lib. 10. cap. 10.



## DISPUTE the SECOND.

*Concerning Concoction in Fevers.*

WE now, Sir, come to the stumbling-block, which, in every age since GALEN, has perplexed the practice of those, who have advised the use of cold water, &c. in the cure of fevers; and deterred others from giving it at all, in these complaints.—It was observed (*a*), that GALEN was fond of theory; and others have said, “that he took a great deal of pains to explain every thing into the clouds(*b*).”—But he perhaps never did more mischief in his *Theory*, than in his prohibiting the extinction of fevers, till signs of concoction appear.—For though he himself never observed this rule in practice, as we shall presently make appear; yet his theory has been implicitly copied from one writer to another, till it was shewn to be false in the Essay we are defending.

*M.* There is reason to think that the doctrine of concoction in fevers, if rightly understood, is not fallacious (*c*).

(*a*) Essay, p. 16.

(*b*) Boerh. Inst. sect. 15.

(*c*) Anf. p. 56.



R. Is it not proved, by plain matters of fact, that the morbid matter in fevers is never *corrected*, till it is separated from the blood; and, therefore, cannot be concocted while circulating in the vessels (*a*).

M. Will this reasoning absolutely overturn the doctrine of concoction?—For the secretions, when recent, are thin, by stagnation most become inspissated, and some may remain without contracting any malignancy.—Their alteration of aspect in the different states, is easily accounted for, to those who are the least acquainted with the doctrine of colours (*b*).

R. Indeed, I am much pleased with this attempt to overturn *facts*, by endeavouring to persuade a man, that he cannot believe his own eyes; and yet I must confess, that all this harangue appears to me, to have nothing to do with the subject; being no ways apposite, seemingly calculated to perplex; and if you had described an eclipse, it would have been as much to the purpose.—The plain question is, whether febrile matter is, or is not expelled unconcocted?—Now every man in the profession, if he has made any observation, knows, by ocular demonstration, that wherever he has been able to discover the matter, which is thrown off in fevers; it is constantly expelled in a crude state, and, therefore, cannot have been concocted.—Do you think it possible, Sir, to persuade any man, by chattering about the doctrine of colours, that

(*a*) Essay, p. 18.

(*b*) Anf. p. 23.



## 24 Concerning CONCOCTION

matter, which is expelled from the blood, and immediately brings on a sphacelus, was, in any degree, rendered mild, before its expulsion?

M. True concoction is, when nature, thro' her various processes, resolving, or correcting the offensive matter, is ready to throw it out by her outlets, or to separate it in form of abscesses (*a*).

R. This definition no ways comes up to the *πεπασμος*, or maturation, of the Greeks; and is different from what has been generally understood by concoction in fevers; so that you give up the doctrine you are defending, unless you think the words *correcting offensive matter*, save your bacon.—

No body will deny, but nature does her work by various processes; but certain it is, that she never corrects offensive matter; and the manner in which resolution takes place, has been already shewn (*b*).—May we not therefore assert, as a self-evident position, that the *natural heat of the body* will be sufficient for any process in the animal œconomy?—Even your favourite doctrine of fermentation, might be better accomplished by natural, than violent heat. And remember, Sir, you have not ventured to deny, that increased impulse both increases viscosity, acrimony, and obstruction;—so that the fever may do harm, and can no way serve you, according to your own *imaginary* account



of concoction. And let me just further observe to you, that nature never separates matter in form of abscesses, but the abscesses are *formed* after the matter is separated.

M. We would know, whether you cannot think an actual conversion of the matter, equally facile with your *materia morbi*, changing the nature of the humors to its own (a) ?

R. If you mean a conversion from an acrid to a mild state—I answer, No;—because it is contrary to the process of nature, which never renders matter mild, while mixed with the blood; but discharges it, as was shewn (b), in an acrid state.—And, indeed, if it was rendered mild, would not the fever subside without any crisis, as there would be nothing then in the habit to give disturbance ?

M. Though you make it certain, that the matter in inoculation repasses thus unaltered; is the argument absolutely *against* concoction (c) ?

R. Yes; because it is neither matured, nor corrected.—Acrid matter cannot be rendered mild, unless the more volatile parts fly off, or by being mixed with a proper corrective; neither of which can possibly be done, by nature alone, whilst circulating in the vessels.

M. Or will it make us inattentive to the operations of nature (d) ?

(a) Ans. p. 23.

(b) Essay, p. 16.

(c) Ans. p. 23.

(d) Ibid.



## 26 Concerning CONCOCTION

R. Attend to nature, and you will see, instead of a *complex*, which is quite unnecessary, she always discharges whatever is unfit to continue in the circulation, by a *simple* process, in its acrid state.

M. To draw then, with submission, a comparison, *ab extra*, from fermentation, in the process of brewing. — No one insists that the particles of the yeast are changed, though abundantly increased by additional ones from the wort (*a*).

R. We insist, that the matter we inoculate with, is not changed, though abundantly increased by an addition from the blood. — And we further say, if the variolous miasmata, or the miasmata of a putrid fever, were corrected, or changed, they could no more give infection, than yeast could produce fermentation if its nature was altered — This we are certain of from experience: for if the variolous matter becomes ropy, and vapid, by being improperly kept, it will not give infection — Get over this, if you can, Sir.

M. We may suppose before this fermentation and despumation are effected, that the wort is crude; when finished it may be compared to concoction (*b*); and concoction in eruptive fevers answers to the process of brewing, respecting the *non-conversion of the offending particles* (*c*).

(*a*) Ans. p. 23.

(*b*) Ans. p. 24.

(*c*) Ibid.

R. Truth



R. Truth will prevail, as you say (*a*), Mr. Maxwell; for you see you are forced to confess, that it is not the *offensive matter* that is changed in fevers, but that the blood is left pure, when this offensive matter is discharged. — This comes exactly to our point, and gives us so compleat a victory, in what concerns concoction, that we might excuse ourselves any further trouble on this occasion. — Nevertheless we will follow you through, to let the reader see the force of all your arguments. —

M. This comparison in the measles and small-pox, may possibly be extended farther than is at present imagined (*b*).

R. If real fermentation were to take place in the small-pox and measles, the elastic air that would be at liberty, would, I believe, by distending the vessels, be the cause of the patient being carried to the grave, which would be extending this matter as far as possible.

M. You tell us, the sediment in the urine, which HIPPOCRATES, and other writers, looked for as the mark of concoction, is not to the purpose; for which you give your reasons (*c*). — Now we know so little of the essence of things, or of gross matter itself, that no one will po-

(*a*) See Essay, p. 21. or Anf. p. 25. (*b*) Ibid. note.

(*c*) Mr. Maxwell not being able to discover, that extinction was only intended to subdue the fever; and that the cause of the fever was to be removed by proper remedies; upon seeing medicines recommended, imagines he has a victory, and roars out, *magna est veritas & prævalebit*; but he will perhaps see, that he has hallooed before he has got out of the wood.



fitively declare, *that* which is seen at the bottom of the urine to be the cause of the disease ; but who, on the other hand, can prove it is not ? — Yet whatever the cause, or wherever residing, fevers removing after such appearances in copious urinary discharges, these discharges may be called critical, and those appearances marks of concoction, even if they were merely concomitant (a).

R. After all, then, you cannot say or prove, whether the sediment in the urine be a sign of concoction, or not ; or, indeed, *what it is*. — The arguments you oppose, (which, instead of being “vague reasons, and a cloud of words,” are deduced from *facts* and *experiments*,) remain therefore in their full force, for any thing you have said to the contrary. — And if we reflect, that the sediment in the urine appears *after* the fever is gone off, and not *before*, as you assert, and would have it believed, to serve your purpose ; it can only be considered as a consequence of a solution of the disease, and as a *sign of the crisis*, which perfectly corresponds with the prognostic of the GREAT FATHER OF PHYSICK (b).

M. I say, the arguments in favour of increased acrimony not being rendered milder by any process in nature (c), are obscure, whether it is meant to ask, if perspirable matter, which excites a fever, can pass through the pores, or

(a) *Ans.* p. 25.      (b) *lb.* p. 26.      (c) *Essay*, p. 23.

whether



whether the meaning is with respect to concoction.

R. You allow that it is said, that acrimony, from ill health, will pass off by *diaphoresis* (a), therefore it could not be asked, whether perspirable matter which causes a fever, can pass through the pores; and must mean with respect to concoction. — But you seem desirous of not having this passage understood; because it shews, as far as possible, that there is no necessity for acrid salts, &c. being matured, to make them pass off by perspiration, but that they will readily enough be discharged in their acrid state by the common natural process: and is it not shewn by plain *matter of fact*, which you have acceded to, that they are always discharged in this state? — For what purpose then, should the patient undergo the hazardous attack of a fever?

M. We know that nature has been capable of absorbing even absolute pus, and carrying it away by stool, &c. (b).

R. This every body knows (c), but it is foreign to the present purpose (d). — Though pus is absorbed and carried away by stool, &c. it is no proof that matter is ever formed, while circulating in the vessels, or that nature more easily carries off pus than lymph. And does pus ever pass off by the vessels of the skin?

(a) Anf. p. 26.

(b) Anf. p. 27.

(c) See Lond. Med. Inq. vol. 2.

(d) See Essay, p. 23.



### 30 Concerning CONCOCTION

*M.* Still brooding over your evil ideas of a fever, you declare that a fever retards maturation. — *Though when maturation comes on, the fever commonly ceases (a).*

*R.* I have no favourable opinion of a fever, though you, for *various reasons*, look upon it in an opposite light. — Remember, Sir, the words, above marked in *Italics*, are of your own cramming in, for there is no such passage in the Essay; by which you would make it appear, that the going off of the fever, is the consequence of maturation. — Whereas, pus is never formed in an ulcer, which immediately follows a wound (*b*), till *some days after* the fever is entirely gone.

*M.* This probably is an error of *non causa—pro causâ (c).*

*R.* Why?

*M.* Because the fever in wounded persons does not arise from morbid matter inserted by the wounding weapon, but from the injury to part at least of the nervous system (*d*).

*R.* Who said it did arise from inserted morbid matter? — The fever was said to be the consequence of inflammation (*e*); for it has been elsewhere shewn, that it does not arise from *wounding* the nerves, but from obstruction and distension (*f*).

(*a*) *Anf.* p. 28.

(*b*) Every wound becomes an ulcer immediately upon its discharging either ichor or pus.

(*c*) *Anf.* p. 28.

(*d*) *Ibid.*

(*e*) *Essay*, p. 25.

(*f*) *Essay on Hæmorrhages from divided Arteries*, p. 29. par. 2.

*M. Pus*



*M.* Pus cannot immediately appear, upon any theory of its formation, till the vessels discharge themselves. And when turgidness, tension, and pain are removed, the symptomatic fever ceases in consequence;—hence the fallacy of the reasoning (*a*).

*R.* It was said,—The inflammation, and its consequence, the fever, which keep up each other, being gone, and the vessels being pervious, the juices are transmitted unaltered into the wound; and if mild, good matter is formed, by the more volatile parts flying off, and leaving a sediment in the sore (*b*):—So that you have confirmed, instead of shewing the fallacy of the reasoning.

*M.* Then to this opinion, and your saying that a fever is not at all necessary, we would oppose that of VAN SWIETEN, who tells us, that a slight fever is rather serviceable, by forwarding the formation of pus, or matter in the wound, and when the pus is formed the fever generally vanishes (*c*).

*R.* We know this is a prevailing theory; but with submission to the learned BARON, we must observe, that *laudable pus*, is never formed, till new granulations of flesh begin to rise, which is *several days after* the fever is entirely gone.—But to what is already said (*d*), and to put it beyond doubt, that a fever is not necessary to the formation of pus, and that it retards ma-

(*a*) Anf. p. 28.  
p. 28, note.

(*b*) Ess. p. 29, note.  
(*d*) Essay, p. 28.

(*c*) Anf.  
turation;



## 32 Concerning CONCOCTION

turation; we shall observe, that in old local ulcers discharging a thin ichor, where neither fever, nor inflammation attend, good matter is formed, upon simply rendering the diseased vessels pervious. — And if a fever happens, by any accident, to come on, the discharge becomes acrid, and ill conditioned, till the fever has disappeared. — And I am very certain, that I could convince the able writer you have mentioned, from my own practice, that he is mistaken about this matter.

*M.* Among the various doctrines of the formation of *pus*, those persons, who believe the cellular membrane is absolutely required; we would ask; what they think of the variolous pustule (*a*)?

*R.* It hath been shewn (*b*), that *pus* in a recent ulcer, and in the variolous pustule, are both formed in the same manner; by the volatile parts of the lymph flying off. — Nor do we think membranes can be converted into *pus*; but in proportion as they are mixed with it, the *pus* degenerates; as may be learnt from what is said in the Essay (*c*). — This question, therefore, seems foreign to the subject; unless it be intended to take an opportunity of opposing, what is said about the different manner, in which matter is formed, with the laboured, though, at last, unintelligible account of Mons. FIZES, and the froth of FREKE (*d*).

(*a*) Ans. p. 29.      (*b*) Essay, p. 29, note.      (*c*) Ib. p. 25. par. 1.

(*d*) Mr. FREKE, upon this occasion, said, “If any man will shew me, that any part of the blood, put into a sand-heat,



M. But to the arguments of fevers hindering maturation, we appeal to common observation, whether the pustules, most replete with purulent matter, similar to *pus laudabile*, have not their bases more inflamed, than those where the interstices are pallid (a).

R. This, then, you take to be a decisive argument; but upon review, you will see, it implies no more, than, — *whether the bases of replete pustules are not more inflamed, than where there is no inflammation at all.* — But if you suppose this inflammation is caused by a fever, and mean to ask, whether the bases of pustules most replete with matter, are not most inflamed; and thence infer, that fever and inflammation are necessary to fill them: — We answer, that this inflammation is not caused by the fever, but is only the consequence, or effect of irritation (b) by matter, after it is expelled

“heat, and digested there, ever produced any thing like  
 “digested matter; or if they can shew, that decocting it  
 “ever so long, produced any thing like concocted matter;  
 “then I will suspend my belief, and say, what I offer for  
 “a fact, may not be so.” (Art of Healing, p. 46.)—  
 Now, since this, Dr. PRINGLE has shewn, that the serum  
 of the blood, being set in a furnace a little time, becomes  
 turbid; and gradually drops a sediment resembling well  
 digested matter. (Ob. Exper. 45.)—So that there is an end  
 of Mr. FREKE’s Theory, which Mr. MAXWELL ought to  
 have known.

(a) Anf. p. 31.

(b) In the natural small-pox, it is well known, that there are often three separate fevers.—The first is the eruptive fever; and takes its rise from the variolous matter irritating the nervous system, while circulating within the vessels. The second fever arises from its irritating, and inflaming the skin, after it is expelled from the blood. The third is a putrid fever, arising from an absorption of this matter, after it is become putrid, by lying upon the skin, &c.

D

from



### 34 Concerning CONCOCTION

from the blood ; and will be in proportion to the quantity of matter, its degree of acrimony, and to the irritability of the patient ; similar to the effects of a blister.—And, though it is impossible that the interstices in the small-pox should be otherwise than pallid, where the *vis vitæ* is weak, and the flesh of the patient less warm, than in a state of health, as is already explained (*a*) ; — Yet that a fever is not necessary in forming laudable pus in the variolous pustule, will be evident, when we recollect, that they are equally well filled with good matter, where there is no fever at all.

*M.* Critical abscesses are brought to suppuration sooner, where the symptoms run higher (*b*).

*R.* This proves, that heat increases acrimony, and if it becomes very violent, we know a mortification, instead of suppuration follows. — And has not experience taught, that a moderate degree of heat is sufficient for the formation of *pus* in abscesses ; or why do we apply cooling emollient poultices, where the inflammation, &c. is great ?

*M.* You say, “ that, instead of the common adage, *Cocta non cruda sunt movenda*, may we not with more propriety say, *Cruda medicamentis aggredi et movere oportet* (*c*) ? ” — But the opening a critical abscess with a lancet, while in a state of crudity, has been most times followed with a tedious and difficult

(*a*) Essay, p. 29. par. 2.

(*b*) Ans. p. 33.

(*c*) Ans. p. 21. par. 2. with note.



cure. — May not then a parallel argument be brought for the truth of the old maxim, and error of the new one ?

R. No. — Because it is *impossible* that the *materia morbi* should be converted into pus, till after it is separated from the blood. And even after it is separated, if you make it pass off crude by perspiration (which is the true way of removing every inflammation arising from a metastasis of matter) before it injures the vessels which contain it, the patient receives a safe, and a much speedier cure.—And we only suffer a destruction of the fat and cellular membrane, or of the glands, to take place, when we cannot avoid it.

M. What is said about concoction in fevers destroying the patient, is a remarkable *petitio principii*.—Shall we suppose nature so provident, that one of her processes would inevitably bring destruction, and this, too, when her design is the conservation of the frame?—It is impossible for us to believe such the true idea of concoction (a)!

R. The conclusion you refer to, (Essay, p. 25. par. 2.) is drawn from the *known laws* of the animal œconomy, which is proving it, as far as any thing of this kind can be proved—“And it may be pleasant to observe” yourself immediately guilty of the fault you are condemning; for do not you take for granted, what you have not proved?—We say, nature

(a) Anf. p. 31.



36      *Concerning the* EXTINCTION

has no such process, as concoction, or maturation of matter, while circulating in the vessels; and that she does not even correct offensive matter in fevers; to which you agree (*a*), and we then submit to judgment, whether a tittle of what was said against concoction in fevers, be disproved.

---

DISPUTE the THIRD.

*Concerning the Extinction of Fevers.*

WE now enter upon the principal Dispute; and as your whole intention is to make it believed, that the extinction of fevers by *cold* is prejudicial; so we find you have here been doubly diligent to gain your point.— You remember, Sir, in order to shew that an immediate extinction of the fever is the surest, and most rational method of removing the disorder by which it was caused, the evidence of the ancients, who extinguished fevers by cold water, was produced.

*M.* We ought not *rashly* to drink cold water, in hopes of suppressing fevers; the ancients were far from recommending it indiscriminately (*b*).

(*a*) See page 19.

(*b*) *Anf.* p. 65.



Unable to display this page



Unable to display this page



is changed (*a*): Or, if this is not the light you attempt to set this matter in, why should you expect people, that have a fever, to be cured upon the plan advised, by drinking cold river water (*b*); or that reading Doctor HUXHAM should be useless (*c*)?

Now, however strange it may seem, certainly cold water is never recommended in the Essay, as a cure, but only as an assistant in the cure of *those* who have a fever; for, as is observed, the fever, i. e. *preternatural heat* (*d*), was only considered as a symptom; and though cold air, or cold water, were advised to extin-

(*a*) Anf. p. 40.

(*b*) Ib. p. 43.

(*c*) Ib. p. 64.

(*d*) HIPPOCRATES commonly used the word πυρετος, or fiery heat, to denote a fever (Lib. de Judic. cap. 24.) And GALEN expressly says, that a fever is *nothing* more than a fiery heat (Lib. de Art. Com. 3 Aph. 8 D.) or a conversion of the native, to a fiery heat, (in Aph. Hippoc. Com. 1.) and in this opinion all the ancient Physicians agreed.—It was with propriety, therefore, that GALEN, in treating of fevers, made a distinction betwixt the *fever* and its *cause*; for though he gave different medicines as the case required, to remove the cause, yet he says, *cold water is a perpetual remedy* against the fever itself, i. e. it will always extinguish preternatural heat.—Some, indeed, have derived the word fever, from *februo*, to purify, or cleanse; but these have adapted their derivation to the prevailing theory concerning fevers, and not to its original meaning.—We have therefore joined GALEN, AVICENNA, MARINELLUS, FERNELIUS, and others, in defining a fever to be *preternatural heat*.—For though a quicker contraction of the heart, with an increased resistance at the capillary vessels, may bring on a fever, and every fever may be accompanied with an increased velocity of the pulse, yet this symptom cannot, as some think, characterize the disease, because it frequently exists for a long time without any fever at all. Even the cold fit of an intermittent, in which the pulse is quick, makes no part of the fever, but the “fever comes on, as the rigor declines.” Hip. de Morb. Popular. lib. 5.



guish *preternatural* heat, yet it was never imagined, that suppressing the fever removed the cause of the complaint: For, though it is said, in the second page of the Essay, that the extinction of the fever is the surest and most rational method of removing the disorder, by which it was caused; yet this is to be considered only as removing the most *violent impediment*, and thereby giving nature an opportunity of subduing the cause herself, or of being more readily assisted by medicines; a variety of which are pointed out.—And is it not expressly and fully explained in this manner, in the third, ninth, thirty-fourth, and thirty-fifth pages, and several other places? — In particular it is shewn, that obstruction is not to be removed by water, but by other necessary steps.—Nor did we confine ourselves to the use of cold water, as the only extinguisher of heat, though we shewed that the ancients gave it for this purpose; but in most cases we preferred cold air, where it could be procured, of which we shall have occasion to take notice.

Again, am not I misrepresented, as to the method of extinguishing fevers, by only taking part of my meaning? For according to your account (p. 39.) it seems as if I had advised the inspiration of cold air; exposing the body to cold air, drinking cold water, and pouring cold water upon the head of the patient, at the same time, till the fever is subdued; and, to make this practice appear still more ridiculous, you have only chose to lay before the reader, one  
of



of the reasons given for all this, viz. because those who, by mistake, have been exposed to cold air, have received manifest advantage.

Now the truth is, proper evacuations being made (*a*), if cold air was insufficient to extinguish the fever, drinking cold water, if the *violence of the disease required*, was advised; adapting the proportion of cold to the degree of heat:—But where do you find directions given for pouring cold water upon the head? Or was any thing more said about this matter, than offering to the *consideration* of the Gentlemen of the Faculty, whether, if the method just mentioned failed of success, pouring cold water upon the body, in imitation of the practice of other nations, till the fever was subdued, might not be proper; shewing, at the same time, the necessity of extreme caution in this respect, if the practice should be thought eligible?—And would you have done more than the strictest justice, if you had stated it in this light, and if, instead of mentioning *only* what was intended as a corroborating circumstance, you had said, “besides cases in point (*b*), our Author has recommended this practice upon the concurring, and independent testimony both of the ancients and moderns.”—It is impossible, Sir, that an attachment to truth should be the motive for this gross misrepresentation.

In other places (*c*), want of candour is laid to my charge; but how far this is true, will appear

(*a*) Essay, p. 57. par. 2.

(*b*) Ib. p. 38.

(*c*) Anf. p. 40 and 45.



## 42 Concerning the EXTINCTION

from the following replies, to the objections brought against the arguments in favour of the extinction of fevers.

*M.* From those expressions in the quotations, which I have marked in *Italics* (a), viz. *Sweat in the beginning — along with other medicines — makes him vomit* — it is clear that HIPPOCRATES had not his chief hope in cold water; and that an evacuation is expressly pointed out, namely *vomiting* (b).

*R.* You would make it appear, then, that HIPPOCRATES did not give cold water to extinguish the fever.—If vomiting was his intention, why did he not prescribe an *emetic*, and what occasion was there for his laying a stress upon the water being *extremely cold*?—But it seems plain, he thought, in order to cool the thirsty patient, it was necessary, in an acute fever, he should drink more than his stomach could bear.—And could his gradually increasing the degree of coldness in the water, in proportion to the degree of heat, in the bilious fever, be with an intent to make the patient vomit? Nor does he mention a word about vomiting in this chapter; but foretells, that a recovery will happen, if a sweat comes on, and the fever leaves the patient.—In the case of the lying-in woman, he says, the coldest water did service, without mentioning any thing about vomiting:—But this you overlooked, because it made against your purpose. —

(a) *Anf.* p. 13.

(b) *Ib.* p. 16.



M. The words of CELSUS, "ought to vomit," are of the same tenor, and another evacuation follows it, which, he says, is of immediate relief,—a great sweat breaks out (*a*).

R. CELSUS expressly lays his stress upon the patient being *sufficiently cooled* (*b*); and not upon his vomiting; which, from his own account, is a matter of indifference. Nor does he say, the sweat breaks out after vomiting, as you would artfully make us believe, but *after a remission* of heat.—You talked just now of dealing in *Italics*; how came it to pass that you omitted to let the reader see what words were marked in the Essay in *Italics* in this place (*c*)?—They certainly point out the true meaning of the Author, which you seem to have been aware of, or you would have copied them exactly; for where there was no end to serve, you have been so very exact, as to copy, unnoticed, in *Italics*, a typographical error of the word *quaquaversum*, which is rather an unlucky circumstance in a man, who so frequently shews away in spouting squibs of Latin.

M. I must confess HIPPOCRATES (*d*) gave cold water in fevers, when other methods failed: But the practice seems to be from *necessity*, when perhaps, he expected by its use to excite a *rigour*, that the succeeding sweat might chance to remove the distemper, for he says—

(*a*) Ans. p. 16. par. 3.

(*b*) Essay, p. 5, and 6.

(*c*) Essay, p. 4, 5, and 6.

(*d*) Ans. p. 51. We pursue the arguments about HIPPOCRATES, &c. to keep up a regular connection.



#### 44      *Concerning the* EXTINCTION

*A rigour coming upon an ardent fever, cures the fever.*

R. If I understand the meaning of your own words, you say, that cold water was used to excite *severity*. And that *severity*, coming upon an ardent fever, cures the fever: For as the word *rigour* occurs thrice in this place, and even once by mis-copying in another (*a*); I cannot suppose it an error of the press, as it is not in the table of errata.—But if by *rigour* you mean a shivering, the sense will be according to HIPPOCRATES, and it will only then appear, that you have copied the word *εἰσας* without understanding it (*b*).—But if HIPPOCRATES had any such intention, would not he have talked of a *rigor*, and what he expected from it, when he advised the use of cold water in removing a fever? And is not the reason given from this writer, which is carefully omitted, more applicable to the point in question (*c*)?—However, in the sense you would have his practice understood, no man living could confess more in favour of cold water, as an extinguisher of fevers, than yourself; as the sweat happens in the *absence of heat*, and HIPPOCRATES, you allow, was under the *necessity* of abating the heat, to promote this evacuation.—Nor could any one more effectually have given up all he had said about its being administered as a vomit; but the truth of this circumstance, probably, not hav-

(*a*) See Ans. p. 49.

(*b*) We have designedly overlooked the many grammatical errors, which may be found in the Answer, in order to confine ourselves strictly to the subject.

(*c*) Essay, p. 9. part 2.



ing made any great impression upon your mind, escaped your memory at so great a distance of time, as is required to write thirty-five pages,—and HOFFMAN, in the mean while, falling in your way (*a*), gave a hint which you thought to your purpose.

Now in order to explain the above passage of HIPPOCRATES, we must observe, that it was spoken, to shew the manner, in which ardent fevers sometimes terminate. And it is well known, that a rigor coming upon a fever; either a sweat, or a metastasis of matter, often immediately removes the disorder—therefore HIPPOCRATES very properly said, “Moreover if a rigor seizes a person in an ardent fever, he generally sweats,” whence, “a rigor, coming upon an ardent fever, cures the disease (*b*).”

*M.* HIPPOCRATES says, where the fever was slight on the touch externally, but internally great heat, tongue rough, and hot breath; if a *rigour* and vehement fever attack him, and the patient sweats, he recovers on the seventh day; otherwise he dies on the ninth.

*R.* This quotation (*c*) is neither so exact, nor so full as it ought to have been; and you

(*a*) Anf. p. 49.

(*b*) Lib. de Judication. sub finem.

(*c*) Foris ad contactum febris debilis est, intrinsecus autem ardet, et lingua ipsius aspera est, et pernares, et per os spirat calidum. Quinta die præcordia dura sunt, et dolor inest, et calor, qualis in morbo regio apparet, et crassam ac biliosam urinam ejicit. Hunc si septima die rigor, et febris vehemens apprehenderit, et exsudarit, bene est; si minus moritur septima aut nona. Lib. de morb. sect. 2. febris a bile.



## 46 *Concerning the* EXTINCTION

mistake the sense of HIPPOCRATES, by considering this, which has a very different meaning, and the last passage quoted from him, in the same light; for this proves, that if a fever succeeds a rigor, it prevents evacuation; which confirms what we have just said of the sweat happening in the absence of heat.—

It is well known, from every day's practice, that if a violent fever succeeds a rigor, evacuation by sweat does not happen, if ever, till the fever declines, during which time the event is uncertain; — agreeable to which, when HIPPOCRATES talks of a vehement fever following a rigor, he speaks of the sweat as an uncertain event, in saying, “*If a sweat comes on, it is well, if not, he dies on the seventh, or ninth day:*” And what hinders the sweating, and kills the patient, but the vehement fever? — Whereas, we see, when he speaks of a rigor, *without* mentioning any thing of a fever, he also speaks of the sweat happening with a degree of certainty: so that the increased diastole and systole, and rapid circulation (*a*), which suited your purpose, and which HOFFMAN has led you to adopt, instead of relieving, prevents a crisis, and rapidly removes the patient out of the land of the living.

*M.* It is certain the expressions of CELSUS, wherein he endeavours to excite a shivering, calling it the beginning of new motion, whence greater heat and remission, countenance this opinion (*b*).

(*a*) *Ans.* p. 49, and 50.

(*b*) *Ib.* p. 52.  
*R. Cer-*



R. Certainly not, HIPPOCRATES could not think of increasing febrile motion in an ardent fever, where the circulation was already too rapid; and CELSUS was here speaking, not of the cure of *ardent*, but of *slow* fevers, where the extremities were *cold* and *numbed*, the strength of the patient manifestly below the standard of health, and the circulation in the lesser order of vessels evidently languid, notwithstanding there might be a fiery heat in the blood; — and he says, “the distemper ought to be changed;” i. e. from a cold to a hotter state; for this reason, “the body of the patient is often to be gently rubbed with cold water and oil, because it sometimes happens, that an horror may arise, and be the beginning of new motion, and from this, when the body has grown hotter, a remission may also follow. — In these fevers, friction also, with oil and salt, seems to be an useful method.” — But if these were insufficient to remove the coldness, torpor, &c. he gave mulse, or well diluted wine, together with food.”

— Now, in this fever, the pulse is often much slower than in health; so that increasing the circulation, in this case, seems as necessary as reducing it in an ardent fever, to bring the blood to its *accustomed motion*; and is not the practice and use of giving cordials in fevers, where the patient is weak, pointed out in several places of the Essay (a), along with the steps

(a) Essay, p. 27. 40. 41. 51. 53.



48      *Concerning the* EXTINCTION

it may be necessary to take, to keep the heat within due bounds? — So that you may see, those who are fond of the extinguishing practice, have no occasion to be started at your *artificialem quasi febrem et motum febrilem* (a); but if you can persuade any body to be so fool-hardy as to increase febrile motion, in an ardent fever, both the patient and his friends will have great reason to be startled at such procedure.

*M.* GALEN's words, "evident signs of concoction," is a demonstration that the use of cold water was not in the beginning of the distemper; and the sweat, and bilious stools, mentioned after cold immersion, is still with reference to evacuation (b).

*R.* Notwithstanding the *theorizing* of GALEN, he certainly gave cold water, and used the cold bath, without waiting for, what he called signs of concoction. — Did signs of concoction ever appear, when an ardent fever was *most violent*? — And yet, this is the time he orders cold water, &c. to be drank, and immediately adds, "unless the putrid humors are ready to be discharged by stool, urine, or sweat (c);" which, in other words, is, if signs of concoction appear, cold water is not to be given. — This is perfectly agreeable to right reason, as its use then becomes unnecessary, and corresponds with the practice of HIPPOCRATES and CELSUS, who gave it to subdue the fever, and thereby to

(a) *Anf.* p. 50.

(b) *Ib.* p. 17.

(c) *Method. Medendi*, Lib. 11. cap. 9.

bring



bring on a crisis.—You yourself have brought the strongest proof, that words can convey, to shew that GALEN used cold water for this purpose; “that he advised its being drank till “the patient turned pale, and trembled, and “was *sufficiently* cooled in his body; for it “will extinguish the fiery heat, strengthen the “solid parts, and discharge the useless humors, “by urine, stool, and sweat (a).”

M. In strong habits, with sound viscera, upon cold bathing, (not too long continued,) there is frequently, if not always, a glowing, or agreeable warmth and sweat in the skin, the surest signs, perhaps, of cold bathing being not improper.—Shall we wonder, then, if nature, *ever watchful over her patients interests*, seize the opportunity, and that the morbid particles

*Qua data porta ruunt* (b).

R. You acknowledge, then, that cold bathing gives the morbid particles an opportunity of escaping; we say, by subduing the fever, because the same effect is produced by drinking cold water, or exposing the patient to the cold air.

M. Your conclusion, — “Are not we to “consider,” &c. may probably appear too rapid: these sweats and bilious stools acting as critical discharges of the morbid matter. Nature, after this, not wanting to relieve herself, it being already done (c).

(a) Anf. p. 53.

(b) Ib. p. 17.

(c) Anf. p. 17.



R. View the intire passage over again (*a*), and you will see these were looked upon as critical discharges, in consequence of the fever being subdued ; and nature thereby being left at liberty to discharge them without opposition : and when the morbid matter was once discharged, it could not be imagined, that it could ever be necessary to discharge it over again.

M. PAULUS's words are not assertions, that this method of curing fevers is only right, — he saying that the fever is curable by *vomit, sweat, stool, and urine* ; or extinguished by cold water (*b*).

R. He asserts that he has wholly cured burning fevers by extinction ; and it hath been shewn (*c*), that this is the surest and most rational method of rendering medicines capable of producing their proper effects, and of giving nature an opportunity of removing the cause of the fever by these evacuations.

M. Extinguishing intimates *without* any visible secretion ; and if you do not mean this by your *extinguishing*, you should have used other words, and told us, that water will thus act as a diaphoretic, cathartic, emetic, or diuretic *critically* (*d*).

R. The extinction of the fever, and the *crisis* of the disease, are different things, as hath already been shewn. When the extinction of a

(*a*) Anf. p. 16, or Essay, p. 9.  
(*c*) Essay, p. 2.

(*b*) Anf. p. 18.  
(*d*) Anf. p. 18.



fever is mentioned, it implies no more, than that the preternatural heat is intirely subdued.—The critical evacuations, which carry off the cause, that gave rise to this fever, are the work of nature, after the impediments to their discharge are removed.

*M.* Nor is RHazes's authority, amounting to more than a prejudice in its favour, from its being successful sometimes (*a*).

*R.* This surely is misrepresenting the meaning of RHazes; experience having led him to recommend cold water, in fevers, in the strongest terms possible. And does not what he says (*b*) imply, — it was for the most part successful? — He seems, indeed, to have been *prejudiced*, but it was very greatly in the favour of this practice, for he gave the patient water made cold in snow, to the highest degree, very plentifully and often; so that he might feel the coldness of it in his bowels. If after this, the fever and burning returned, he gave this water a second time, to the quantity of two or three pints, or more, in the space of half an hour.—If the heat still returned, and the patient's belly was full of water, he made him vomit it up, and then gave him cold water again (*c*):—which steps he could not have taken, if experience had not given him confidence.

*M.* Suppose, then, we grant the greatest latitude, still the reasonable conclusion, from their

(*a*) *Anf.* p. 18.

(*b*) *Essay*, p. 8. or *Anf.* p. 15.

(*c*) See RHazes's *Treatise on the Small-pox and Measles*.



## 52 *Concerning the* EXTINCTION

words, is no more, than that, in inflammatory cases, the antiphlogistic method is of great utility (*a*).

*R.* This is drawing a false inference, to make it appear, that antiphlogistic Bolus's and Draughts are of equal efficacy with cold water, &c. But notwithstanding this may be a dreadful circumstance to those, who wish not to have a fever extinguished; yet the true conclusion is, that the *fever* is at once extinguished by cold.

*M.* After what has been observed above, it will not perhaps be so easy to prove this to be the general practice (*b*).

*R.* We believe it *is* not the general practice now, though it certainly has been formerly. — Do not the words of CELSUS, “Some, indeed, “do not insist upon vomiting, &c.” shew, in his time, the practice to have been general? And GALEN, PAULUS, RHAZES, and AVICENNA, copied the *general* practice.

*M.* The words “often used with success,” at least give an equal probability of happy termination to the contrary treatment (*c*).

*R.* This is hanging upon every twig, like a man drowning in cold water; but it cannot possibly save your cause; for these words no way relate to the contrary treatment. — It could not be imagined that the ancients always gave cold water, &c. in fevers, with success. — For though they might always subdue the

(*a*) *Ans.* p. 19.      (*b*) *Ibid* p. 29.      (*c*) *Ans.* p. 20.  
fever,



fever, for a time ; yet they might not always be able to remove the cause that gave rise to the fever ; which would sometimes carry off the patient, in opposition to every effort to prevent it.

M. Might not you have reflected, that from the above passages, the conclusion, that the extinction should always take place, is too rapid (a) ?

R. For those who set their heart upon the distribution of many medicines, it may. — But in regard to the interest of the patient, we answer, No.

M. You should have known, that even the variolous eruption, where the cooling method of SYDENHAM is so justly preferable to the hot regimen of MORTON, requires the maxim *ne quid nimis*. — Instances not being wanting, where that has been carried too far (b).

R. The practice of Physic may, perhaps, afford too many instances of injudicious treatment : But, we imagine, if the preternatural heat only, as directed (c), is suppressed, we shall *not do too much* : As this will be “ keeping the “ blood in its due limits, so as to hinder it from “ being either too active, or supine (d) ;” and if the vessels have a proper degree of *strength*, and *elasticity*, the morbid matter will be expelled : For though there may be “ *something*

(a). Anf. p. 20.

(b) Ibid.

(c) Essay, p. 28.

(d) We have the pleasure of seeing Dr. GLASS join this opinion, (second Letter to Dr. BAKER.)



## 54 Concerning the EXTINCTION

“*more*” in the *separation* of morbid matter, with which, both of us are unacquainted; yet, it is certain, this state is absolutely necessary to its expulsion; for when the fibres are greatly relaxed, or very tense, morbid matter is not discharged (*a*).

We see, SYDENHAM, after *much experience*, found, that the variolous matter was best expelled “when the patient was in his *natural* “degree of heat, and such as is suitable to the “temper of the fleshy parts:”—and we shall presently shew, that his practice corresponded with this opinion.—Is it possible we can have the word of a man more to be depended upon? And if this is the best state for the expulsion of the variolous matter, why not, for the expulsion of morbid matter, in every fever?

We would ask, whether you do not intend, though you only *repress*, to reduce, in the end, your patient, to the state recommended, by “the general use of nitrous medicines, cooling “apozems, clysters, venesections, and an &c. as long, probably, as my arm (*b*).”—Though you frequently overshoot the mark, the patient often being brought into a much lower state than he ought to be.—But you will say, in this there is an advantage; because, if the patient happens to escape with life, he will require as many cordial medicines to restore him to his pristine strength, as he before took of the antiphlogistic kind to reduce him.

(*a*) See Ans. p. 34.

(*b*) Ib. p. 19.



Upon the whole, you see, the only difference betwixt us, is, that you effect, when you succeed, by a hazardous and round about method, what we compass in a very short time.—If you say, by your practice, you assist nature in removing the cause of the fever, we answer, in this we excel you, by first removing the greatest impediment. Nor do we omit medicines that may be further necessary for this purpose.

## DISPUTE the FOURTH.

*Concerning Restrictions in using Cold Water, &c.*

**Y**OU accuse me of want of candour, in not permitting the Restrictions in the use of Cold Water, “from GALEN, CELSUS, and AVICENNA,” to be so full, as they might have been (a).

M. Yes, as will, if compared, be shewn presently.—And because they are unluckily ill adapted to your plan, you repent bringing even these, through fear of your readers turning them against yourself, and therefore condemn the Venerables, by saying, that the ancients

(a) Anf. p. 40.



suffered the fever to make a greater progress, than was consistent with the welfare of the patient, before they endeavoured to suppress it by cold (*a*).

R. In what manner were they unluckily adapted to my plan? — Was there ever any powerful medicine given, in which restrictions were not necessary? — My plan was to discover truth, nor can there be assigned the least shadow of reason for my having any other motive. I would not give a single straw to have the balance fall in favour of any opinion existing; but would eagerly pursue truth, on whatever side I could discover it. — Nor did I condemn the ancients, through fear of their restrictions being brought against me, as you have maliciously misrepresented. But, from finding the doctrine of concoction to be without foundation, and from comparing their practice in extinguishing fevers, with that of the moderns, I was led to say, there is “*reason*” “to imagine,” that the ancients did not extinguish fevers soon enough.

M. HIPPOCRATES himself is censured for not suppressing fevers sooner; and charged with *leading posterity out of the way!* — Is modesty or rashness, a proper epithet here (*b*)?

R. They are neither of them epithets! — I pay the greatest regard to the *assertion* of HIPPOCRATES, in what relates to matter of fact; but do not believe him to have been

(*a*) *Anf.* p. 40.

(*b*) *Ib.* p. 41.

inspired;



inspired; I consider him only as a man, and therefore liable, like many others of distinguished merit, to have been led into error by *theory*. — He first started the doctrine of concoction; and if this is without foundation, did not he lead posterity out of the way, as this theory has been the chief objection to the extinction of fevers? And is not this the reason assigned for his mistake (*a*)?

*M.* Amongst the moderns, we find HOFFMAN a strenuous advocate for the use of cold water, not only in fevers, but in a variety of other complaints (*b*); but his candour would not permit him to neglect mentioning, that it brought on inflammations of the stomach, bowels, lungs, and other disorders.

*R.* Can this be wondered at in the practice of HOFFMAN, who gave it in dysenteries, the iliac passion, stoppage of the hæmorrhoides, and almost every other complaint? But he does not say, it produced these effects, when given in fevers.

*M.* He recites, too, a remarkable case of a young Physician, who, labouring under a scorbutic disease, by drinking cold water, instead of beer, brought on an *inextinguishable thirst*, and complaints, that death alone could relieve him from (*c*).

*R.* This has nothing to do with cold water in fevers, where heat tends to prevent its pro-

(*a*) Essay, p. 17 and 44.

(*b*) Ans. p. 49 and 50.

(*c*) Ibid. p. 51.



ducing any mischievous effects.—*Theory*, indeed, has led people to imagine its coldness would in this case constrict the intercostal and diaphragmatic vessels, as it passes down the œsophagus; and that when it came into the stomach, it would congeal the blood in the vena cava, and venous sinus. But matter of fact proves the contrary.—ARETÆUS very justly observed, “that cold water easily grows warm in the belly.” GALEN tells us, “that cold water, and the heat in fevers counteract each other.” — SENNERTUS says, “cold water being given when the fever is acute, and the pulsation of the arteries vehement, &c. does not offend the viscera (a).” And LOMMIUS, who had much experience in this matter, does not only say, “that heat strongly guards the viscera against the cold water,” but that “when the *heat* and *thirst* are violent, there is not the least danger of any internal injury from the violence of the cold water, inasmuch as the viscera being inflamed with the *febrile heat*, meeting with a contrary bulwark, entirely blunt the edge of its attack (b);” — the truth of which we shall hereafter have occasion to shew.

M. HIPPOCRATES says, cold water will bring on cramps, convulsions, &c. &c. and there are many scattered passages, where warm water is recommended in the cure of fevers (c).

R. What HIPPOCRATES has said about cold water, and its bringing on convulsions, &c. in

(a) Lib. 2. cap. 9.

(b) Lommius on fevers, cap. 3.

(c) Anf. p. 51.



Unable to display this page



were his sentiments about this matter ; there we shall find this affair in a quite different light.— For, after mentioning the circumstances, which forbid the use of cold water, he says, “ that “ some, by an *unseasonable and immoderate* “ *draught of it, without a proper time intervening,* “ are immediately seized with a tremor, convulsions, difficulty of breathing, and an injury “ of the whole nervous system.” — And may not an injudicious application of any other powerful medicine be attended with equally bad consequences ? — Or does RIVERIUS’s saying, it was laid aside in his time, because they were embarrassed about the method of using it, and that a *preposterous* use was dangerous, prove, that the practice, with due caution, was wrong ? — Experience has since proved what he said about the water and spirit of vitriol to be false. And would not an indiscriminate use of this medicine in fevers, be equally pernicious, with an injudicious use of cold water, or any other bad practice ? — Though it must be observed, that he gave it with a design to *extinguish the fever* (a).

M. PAULUS ÆGINETA very concisely says, “ if you see *signs* of the humours being concocted, and there is neither phlegmon, schirrus, nor œdema, nor any parts so cold, that “ injury may arise, you may boldly give cold

(a) RIVERIUS probably had this practice from HORSTIUS, who, after copying what GALEN, PAULUS, and others said about cold water in fevers, recommends spirit of vitriol along with it, to attenuate, remove obstructions, quench thirst, &c. and tells us the method of preparing it, (tom. 3. quæst. 5. pag. 11.)

“ water.” —



“water.” — Does the reader now think, that you have been quite so candid in your quotations (a).

R. You talked of comparing, and I imagine this passage is to be compared with the words of PAULUS, copied by you, from the Essay you oppose, in order to make it appear, that the cautions this Writer gives are omitted. — But if the quotations from PAULUS are compared with the 30th chapter in his 2d book, it will appear, that they are transcribed verbatim in the Essay, and that you have not laid the whole before the reader. — Nor have you only omitted to transcribe the cautions I had copied, but you have selected a different passage from the 28th chapter, that your charge might have the greater appearance of truth (b). It was only necessary for me, at that time, to take notice of the practice of PAULUS, as evidence, in support of the use of extinguishing fevers. — The restrictions concerning the use of cold water, &c. are placed afterwards in their order, and those which seemed most *material*, are taken notice of; but that nothing in this respect might be omitted, the ancients were referred to, for the whole of the cautions necessary to be observed in this practice. — In particular, the chapter, from which you have made your own quotation, was pointed out; and can it then be

(a) Anf. p. 54.

(b) The reader is desired to compare the 3d par. in the 7th page of the Essay, with the 2d par. in the 15th page of the Answer.

supposed,



supposed, that I had any intention of concealing any thing concerning this matter? — Or was it necessary to enlarge the Essay in question, by transcribing what was to be found in every man's study? — Could any body but a person, who had some private end in view, have been guilty of such unfair dealing?

M. Did not you know, that CELSUS recommends the use of *warm water*, as having a salutary effect, when exciting a universal sweat; this either drank, or poured upon the head (a)?

R. This, I suppose, you intend as another instance of want of candour; for it is plain you would have it understood, that CELSUS gave warm water, as well as cold, to suppress a fever; and that I have omitted acquainting the reader with this circumstance. — But let us see what CELSUS himself says, in the chapter you refer to, which treats “*about the proper times for giving drink, &c. to persons in fevers.*”

“Now (says he) where there *has been* a fever, and it *has decreased*, it is proper to take notice, whether the temples, or other parts of the body, grow a little moist, so as to portend an approaching *sweat*; and if there is any prognostic of it, *upon that* to give warm water to drink; the effect of which is salutary, *if it diffuses sweat over the whole body.*” — But I cannot find he says any thing in this place, of pouring warm water upon the head — This, Mr. MAXWELL, as you say, was *shrewdly* done.

(a) Ans. p. 54.



M. AVICENNA teaches us, that in particular habits (see *Anf.* p. 54 and 55.) cold water (*in a putrid fever,*) (a) is a most excellent remedy, yet, in opposite habits, it is equally pernicious. He is particularly attentive to a variety of circumstances, as to the propriety of its being given; (see *ib.*) shews the danger of an *imprudent* use of it, says it is oftentimes in fevers the *cause* of an *additional fever*: “Therefore we ought to drink *only warm water* in a fever (b).

R. So AVICENNA says, cold water is a most excellent remedy in a fever; and yet he advises to drink, in this disorder, only that which is warm!

AVICENNA never could be so inconsistent: He never was more strenuous in advising the use of cold water in fevers, under proper restrictions, than in this chapter; and imputes the mischief that sometimes attends, to an *imprudent* use of it.—In the cure, too, of an *Ephmera* (c) from heat, he advises “embrocations made cold upon the snow, to the head and breast,” and orders cold river water to be drank without *ceasing*, till the fever declines, when he uses warm baths, &c. with the intention CELSUS gave warm water after the fever was gone.

(a) The words, “in a putrid fever,” ought to have been added, because in this chapter (*lib.* 4. *cap.* 7. *Fen.* 1st. *tract.* 2.) AVICENNA is treating of the cure in general of a putrid fever. But then you could not so well have attempted to deceive the reader, by quoting the last sentence in this paragraph, which you was probably aware of.

(b) *Anf.* p. 54.

(c) *Lib.* 4. *tract.* 1st. *cap.* 38.

After



## 64      Concerning RESTRICTIONS

After all this, then, and what was before taken notice of in the Essay, &c. how shall we account for his having contradicted all he had said, by informing us that warm water was *only to be drank in a fever*. — Truly, no other way, than by Mr. MAXWELL's old trick (a) of tacking to this part, in order to serve his purpose, what no ways belonged to the subject. — For in the chapter, from whence this last sentence is transcribed, AVICENNA is treating of *indigestion and anxiety of the stomach* (b). And all that can fairly be gathered from the passage quoted is, that if cold water disagrees with the stomach in this disorder, we ought to give that which is warm (c). — “Indeed it is not so can-  
“did” as you say, Mr. MAXWELL, “thus to

(a) See page 42. par. 2d.

(b) Vol. I. lib. 3. Fen. 13. tract. 5. cap. 15.

(c) It is plain AVICENNA is here describing a very common disease now amongst us, which, from the white tongue, &c. that accompanies it, has often been called a fever of the viscera. — *In febre*, should therefore be read, *in hac febre*, as in this place it must either mean, in this fever, or, this disorder; otherwise AVICENNA runs from his subject, and talks nonsense. — Does not this appear to be the sense of this Author? “Every thing which ferments in the stomach, made from fruit, (grapes,) and sweet apples, gives disturbance. — Cold water, which is not drank seasonably, disagrees — And oftentimes in fevers is the cause of an increase of the fever; wherefore we ought not to drink water in *this* fever unless it is warm.” — “Et omne quod fervet in stomacho ex fructibus, et ex malis dulcibus, conturbat. Et aqua frigida, quæ bibitur non in horâ, conturbat. Et multoties fit in febribus, causa additionis febris: Non ergo oportet, ut bibitur in febre, nisi aqua calida.” — But to shew that we have not misconstrued the sense of this Writer, we will give a different translation from FRANCISCUS DE PEDIMONTIUM, who copied and explained this very passage in his chapter, *De anxietate et conturbatione stomachi*, &c. “Exterior est omne quod fervet  
“in



“wrest passages from Authors, in support of  
“tenets contrary to their own; their true  
“spirit should be consulted, partial criticisms  
“making even the sacred volumes justify the  
“most heretical creed:” — For instance,

“*Judas went and hanged himself;*” “*Go and*  
“*do thou likewise.*” — “*For every man shall re-*  
“*ceive his own reward, according to his own*  
“*labour.*”

M. It is now clear, that GALEN and PAULUS, whose evidence seemed most strong on your side, never intended to recommend the use of *cold water* in the *accession* of a fever: But when *signs of concoction* appear, *i. e.* when the disease is known to be upon the turn (*a*).

“in stomacho, ex cibis, et fructibus, et succis dulcibus, ut  
“est vinum novum ex uvis, et pomis, et quod corrumpitur  
“in stomacho, ut lac, et similia; et aqua frigida bibita in  
“hora non consueta, conturbat, et sit causa additionis febris  
“in debili stomacho, quare currunt humores ad ipsum, ut  
“ad mineram putredinis, innovantes paroxysmum, ut accidit  
“in phlegmaticis; quare non oportet, ut bibatur in FEBRE  
“TALI nisi aqua calida.” (To be found along with ME-  
SUE’s works.) — So that you see, Mr. MAXWELL, not-  
withstanding you give us a scrap from HORACE and MIL-  
TON, and talk very freely of Logic, Rhetoric, Syllogisms,  
the Doctrine of Colours, and Gravity in the Macrocosm, to  
make it appear that you are a man of universal knowledge;  
yet with all your learning, you have not taken care to shun  
the SCYLLA and CHARYBDIS you talk of, having certainly  
jumped out of the frying-pan into the fire, by here shewing  
that you have not qualified yourself properly to handle the  
subject in dispute. — Believe me, Sir, ostentatious writing is  
always a sure symptom of superficial learning; vain shew  
supplying the place of sound judgment. And remember,  
that “much reading is like much eating; both of them do  
hurt where there is not a good digestion.”

(a) Ans. p. 56.



R. We have already shewn, that this is the *theory* GALEN had formed about this matter, in order to make his practice, of using cold water in fevers, agree with his notions of concoction; and what was before said of this Writer, holds equally good in regard to PAULUS, who only copied him. — What occasion was there for using cold water, when the disease was known to be upon the turn? — Do you think PAULUS, or any man living, would have put his patient into the cold bath, who (for instance) upon the turn of the fever, was breaking out in a sweat? — Immersion, therefore, must either take place before the crisis, or not at all, in hopes that by extinguishing the fever, this would follow.

M. The effects, too, expected by them, were evacuations, by *vomit, urine, sweat, or stool*, secretions most approved for *favourable crises*; so that cold water was used for the purpose of diuretic, sudorific, cathartic, and emetic (a).

R. I suppose you intend next to say, that medicines of this kind, much more certain in their effects, may be found in the shops. — But though the ancients might, with much reason, expect discharges by urine, sweat, or stool, from the use of cold water; yet it was, as we have before observed, in consequence of the fever being subdued: For the cold bath procured both sweat, and bilious stools, without acting either in the manner of a common purge, or

(a) Ans. p. 56.



fudorific. Will not opium, which is not properly a diuretic, promote a discharge both of urine, and sweat, by taking off spasms, that obstructed these evacuations? And as to vomiting, they did not expect it, unless the heat of the fever required more water to be drank, than the stomach could *at once* contain (*a*).

*M.* Perhaps, when we say, that the termination of the fever may be *insensible*, we may have discovered what is meant by the word of ÆGINETA (*b*), *extinguishing* (*c*).

*R.* It plainly appears, that an attempt is here made to give any sense to the word *extinguish*, rather than its true one. — The *cause* of a fever, may, indeed, be insensibly (to us) removed; but *he* must be *insensible*, who cannot discover the decline and termination of the *fever itself*. — If we extinguish a candle, it is immediately evident to our senses, that the heat and blaze are abolished. — And is it not equally evident, that a fever is extinguished, if the patient immediately becomes cool, upon going into the cold bath, or upon drinking cold water? — PAULUS had learnt from GALEN, to distinguish the fever from its cause, and what he says, implies no more, than that a cure followed his extinguishing, or abolishing with cold water, the preternatural heat of the body.

(*a*) See the practice of RHazes.

(*b*) Probably Mr. M. takes ÆGINETA to be the surname of PAULUS.

(*c*) Ans. p. 56 and 57.



---

*Some further EFFORTS of Mr. MAXWELL, to prove, that Cold Water, and Cold Air, are not required in every Fever, and that they do not extinguish a Fever otherwise than by causing evacuation, disputed.*

M. **G**RAVITY in the macrocosm, has, by the explication of a NEWTON, been productive of surprizing discoveries; still shallow, indeed, must that Philosopher be, who thinks all the *phænomena* of nature derived from it (a).

R. By this, you probably intend to discover, that you have either read, or heard of, Sir Isaac Newton's *Principia*;—but those would have little understanding, indeed, who, from this specimen, could think you an adept in the Newtonian philosophy,—for from whence does it appear, that the *phænomena* attending the extinction of fevers, by cold water, are derived from gravity in the macrocosm?

M. Thus that fever is a fire, and cold water its antagonist, is easy to say, or influence some to believe;—but simplicity like this is real folly, as the strangest things may be proved by this kind of syllogisms (b).

(a) Anf. p. 43.

(b) Ibid.



R. An able explanation of the doctrine of gravity in the macrocosm, indeed! — We imagine this is some of the *sublime* you talk of, that requires painful investigation; — however, we cannot admit it to be a syllogism. — For our part, we wished to be understood, that the word *fever*, implies no more than *fiery or preternatural heat*; and that cold will subdue heat, is a *fact* that has been known ever since the creation; and you will please to remember, Sir, that truth is always found in simplicity.

M. By such you may demonstrate that a fever will always preserve life, *e. g.* — Death is a cessation of motion in the heart — Fever is an increase of the heart's motion — Therefore excite a fever, and life is preserved: Now what medical student can believe this? Who among the vulgar will assent to it (*a*)?

R. This sophistical syllogism is not any ways apposite; for, as you confess, it has not the least appearance of proving that a fever preserves life. — Whereas, if you was as hot as fire, by conducting you through a horse-pond, I would engage to convince you, that cold water would extinguish heat, and then you might experimentally know, which of us is the shallow Philosopher.

M. Neither is the maxim *contraria remediis*, &c. always right, for the application of heat to frost-bitten people is frequently attended with pernicious effects (*b*).

(*a*) *Anf. p. 43.*

(*b*) *Ibid.*



*R.* Almost all axioms, excepting mathematical, require qualification; and I am much obliged to you, for proving this to be a general rule, by producing one exception only.

*M.* The *causes* of fevers are not always the same, the variety of symptoms evince; they may be as distinct as diseases themselves are different; hence the error, in thinking that cold water and cold air in every fever are required (*a*).

*R.* As to the cause of the fever, that must be removed, as we have already shewn, by such particular medicines, as the case requires; but cold is a perpetual remedy against the fever itself; or, in other words, cold will always subdue heat.

*M.* What horrid consequences must ensue in malignant petechial fevers, from extinction (*b*).

*R.* Cold air and cold water resist putrefaction, and give vigor to the solids; therefore must, in this case, be proper. — And indeed this seems to be a fever, in which less caution is required in their use, than in any other; because the fluids are thin enough to pass the extremity of the vessels: which you would have known, had you had that acquaintance with the writings of the Baron VAN SWIETEN you would make us believe. — His fear was, that cold water, in fevers, would coagulate the blood; but he says, “in  
“ putrid fevers, where the blood tends to a pu-

(*a*) *Ans.* p. 46.

(*b*) *Ibid.*

“ trid



“ trid dissolution, there is less reason to be afraid  
“ of coagulating the blood, and perhaps in such  
“ a case, the drinking of cold liquors would not  
“ be so injurious (a).”

M. Suppression is wrong, and horrid consequences must ensue in the slow nervous fever; because bleeding must be particularly avoided, and cold water, by a parity of reasoning, will be injurious; nature, in this case, must be supported by cordials, blisters, &c. (b)

R. Your reasoning does not hold good; because cold water gives strength and spirits, when properly used. — And it must be observed, that though in slow nervous fevers the pulse is often slower than in a state of health, yet there is a *fiery* heat, that ought to be extinguished: And hath not the necessity of giving medicines, in such a state, already been shewn, to quicken the circulation, and to extinguish the fever by cold, at the same time, which will prevent a fever being caused by these *stimuli*? — And we would ask, whether, when cordial attenuants and blisters, as assistants, were mentioned, we must not have had this kind of fever in our view?

M. The exact manner in which febrile miasmata act in malignant cases, will ever be inexplicable by the utmost efforts of the most accurate enquirers, their effects alone falling under our cognizance; and we cannot prudently expect more, than to learn their symptoms, when,

(a) Baron VAN SWIETEN Com. BOERH. sect. 640.

(b) Ans. p. 46.



by analogy, we may pursue a rational method of cure (*a*).

*R.* Whatever be their action, they produce preternatural heat; which we have proved ought to be extinguished by cold.

*M.* It cannot perhaps be disproved, that some of the stimuli of fevers may have, in the stores of nature, their proper antagonists, as *acids* are to *alkalies*, yet to be discovered. — For, a few centuries back, who could foresee the noble acquisition to the *materia medica* in the most excellent febrifuge the bark, antimony, and mercury? While, if cold water is the universal febrile antidote, what a complication of knavery, jargon, and nonsense, Physic has ever been (*b*)!

*R.* Though we insist that cold is the proper antidote to heat, yet we advise, you see, that the stimuli of fevers should be removed (where nature is incapable of removing them) by their proper antidotes. — So that you could not, with any propriety, draw the above conclusion from any thing that was said in the Essay.

We sincerely wish futurity may be able to distinguish the different kinds of acrimony, and the specifics that will correct them; as this would be bringing the practice of Physic to a greater degree of certainty than we can at present boast of.

*M.* Alas, cold water has been weighed in the balance and found wanting: in every age

(*a*) *Anf.* p. 47. par. 2.

(*b*) *Ibid.*

has



has the use of it been introduced, and every age, with its utility, has explored its inefficacy and mischievous effects (*a*).

R. We suppose you mean it has been introduced in the cure of fevers; in which “its inefficacy and mischievous effects” have only been *imaginary*; the theory of the times, preventing its taking place. — And, indeed, we could produce in the practice of physic, too many instances of *pleasing theories*, overbalancing even matter of fact. Besides, for want of making a proper distinction, betwixt the fever and its cause, cold water was given by the moderns to remove both. — In the Essay, it is only considered as an antidote to the fever, and by giving medicines to remove the cause, when required, &c. we persuade ourselves, this practice is put upon a different, and more advantageous footing.

M. Most probably, the happy effects arising from cold water, are its being a fluid, and a kind of general solvent; a supposition that will account for many apparent contradictions, if not perfectly reconcile jarring authors (*b*).

R. You are forced to confess, then, that it produces *happy effects* in fevers; but you seem not willing to have it thought, that they are brought about by extinction. — Remember, Sir, you first asserted, that cold water produced its good effects in fevers, by *vomiting*, then by

(*a*) Anf. p. 48.

(*b*) Anf. p. 57.

causing



causing a *rigor*, and now by acting as a *solvent*, which is certainly prevaricating ; and where a witness is not consistent in his evidence, the jury seldom pay any regard to him.— But what is extremely curious, after this, you say, “ that “ water alone, runs too soon through the passages, before the dry parts are sufficiently “ moistened, and therefore mealy substances “ are prudently added, which give a mild “ tenacity ; and being naturally inclined to “ *acrimony*, resist putrefaction (a). — But neutral salts, native soaps, &c. must be joined, “ to make it a *dissolvent*.” Is this being consistent, Mr. MAXWELL ? — You say, these are Baron VAN SWIETEN’s words : no matter, you have adopted them : but I must beg leave to tell you, that the Baron never was so ridiculous, as to say, that *acrimony resists putrefaction*.

Certainly this passage is incapable of reconciling jarring authors ; and we shall just observe, if water acted altogether as a solvent in the cure of fevers, warm water would be a better remedy than that which is cold. Whereas the truth is, as you have confessed, that cold water has instantly taken off the preternatural heat, and a sweat, &c. has followed, when warm water had been tried, without answering any good end. — Cold water must, therefore, produce some other effect, besides dissolution. — And when we reflect, that cold bathing, or cold air, are productive of the same conse-

(a) Ans. p. 60.



quences, is it not reasonable to conclude, that, like them, it extinguishes the febrile heat? — Dr. WHYTT has given us an experiment, that pretty clearly shews the effects of heat and cold, in increasing and diminishing the motion of the heart.

“ He took off the head of a frog, and destroyed the spinal marrow with a red hot wire, at nine minutes past eleven in the forenoon ; and upon opening the thorax thirty five minutes after decollation, he observed its heart beating thirty times in a minute. At one o’clock the heart of this frog made twenty pulsations in a minute. At half an hour past two, when the room was become warmer by the shining of the sun, it beat twenty-five times in a minute ; and when placed in the sun beams, it performed thirty-one contractions in that time. After this, he removed the frog to an east window, where it was exposed to a cool breeze ; upon which the motion of its heart became slower, so that in a short time it only made twenty-five pulses in a minute. — He then exposed it a-new to the sun beams, by which its motion was soon quickened, so that it beat thirty-times in a minute (a).”

M. A larger quantity of cold, than of warm water, is required to excite a *nausea* ; the containing parts by the property of cold, may be

(a) See WHYTT’s Exper. made with Opium, Eff. and Ob. Edinb. p. 283.

strengthen-



strengthened : so that when the secretions, *vomit*, or *stool*, are begun, they may be carried on more powerfully ; and as many fevers are owing to a diseased state of the bile, such evacuations may then effect cures, without causing our amazement (*a*).

*R.* There is no doubt, but discharging diseased bile, is of great use in the cure of fevers ; and, according to your account, cold water ought to be given in large quantities for this purpose, as being the best emetic and cathartic ; but whether the patient vomits, or not, if he is *sufficiently cooled*, by drinking cold water, it often happens, that a crisis follows, and the disease is at an end ; just in the same manner, as when the fever is extinguished by cold air, which has no pretensions to discharge diseased bile, by either of those evacuations.

*M.* But purging in the beginning of fevers, farther than cleansing the *primæ viæ*, is justly rejected by the moderns, as being found prejudicial (*b*).

*R.* We do not believe cold water to be a violent purge, though you have endeavoured to persuade us, it is so ; and notwithstanding you attribute such good effects to it, from discharging diseased bile, yet you here seem to dissuade us from giving it ; probably, because if it is given in large quantities, to vomit and purge, it may happen to extinguish the fever, and give nature an opportunity of putting an end to the

(*a*) *Ans.* p. 57.

(*b*) *Ib.* p. 58.  
disease.—



disease.—Nor do we imagine, if it operated farther as a purge, than cleansing the *primæ viæ* in the beginning of fevers, it would be found prejudicial; as I am persuaded many fevers have been shortened by *bleeding*, and giving antiphlogistic purges at the *onset*.

M. Lastly, shall we prefer the extinguishing scheme, to the rational system of medicine now prevailing (a) ?

R. If one of the schemes only was to be retained in practice, we would prefer the extinguishing one, as nature would, by this means, have an opportunity of relieving herself, which she cannot have, while the fever exists; — but the use of both was recommended, for reasons already given.—But then, *this* shortens the disease; and it seems owing to this consideration, that you have used the same *art* to make it believed, that the soldiers did not die in Carisbrook castle, for want of cold air, but that all the mischief arose from a peculiar malignancy in *Damp* (b). — And yet after (c), you say, in the slovenly made hovels, the disease being a putrid fever, the great advantages of cold are not to be wondered at; as heat is one of the parents of putrefaction. — Was not the disease in the *Castle* of the same kind? — More recovered here than in close rooms, where there were good fires and no *Damp*; which must be owing to a freer accession of air. And if there had been the same currency of cold air in the *Castle*, as in

(a) *Ans.* p. 59.

(b) *Ib.* p. 20.

(c) *Ib.* p. 37.



the *Shed*, would it not have been equally beneficial in suppressing the cause of the disease? — and the malignancy of *Damp*, if there was any? But though you confess, that the difference between ventilation and open air may be considerable, yet you choose to ascribe the good effects of it, to a secret property, the *pabulum vitæ* (a); and thence conclude, that an extension of the argument to cold water, cannot be supported.

If you remember, Sir, it was said (b), “that there is in the air, a certain property necessary for the support of life,” which contributes much to a recovery, and the *vivifying spirit* of the air was talked of; so that we are glad to see you join us in the opinion of its having such salutary effects.—And we will engage (as we did about cold water) to convince you, if we had a proper opportunity, that what was said about its extinguishing a fiery heat, is true.—Indeed, cold water has not the same properties as cold air; but that it extinguishes preternatural heat, is a *plain matter of fact*; which is a better argument than all this chicanery you have advanced.—But who can say more in the praise of cold water, in the cure of fevers, than yourself?—For, after all, you *allow* that it cures fevers, by causing a *rigor*, which is an extinction of heat; by vomiting, purging, sweating, and acting as a solvent; which is saying much more in its favour, than was said in the Essay—You make it capable of

(a) *Anf.* p. 37.(b) *Essay*, p. 13.



attacking the fever, and its cause, on all sides; and if what you say, is true, instead of decrying it, you have shewn, that we need not look out for another remedy.

---

## The CONCLUSION.

THOUGH the practice of the ancients, in suppressing fevers with cold water, was produced to shew, “that *an immediate extinction of the fever, if possible, is the surest and most rational method of removing the disorder by which it was caused,*” yet we did not rest our evidence on their practice, but compared it with the *independent* testimony of the moderns (a), of which Mr. MAXWELL has not taken any notice, though he has pointed out that part of their practice, in which “suppression was not their view, and where a *multiplicity of medicines* were necessary (b).” — If he had paid any regard to the matters of fact laid before him, he would have learned the utility of the extinction of fevers in these days (c). — In Dr. BAKER’s inquiry, which was also referred to, he would have seen the extinguishing practice did prevail with SYDENHAM (d) himself, in the case of Dr. DOVER, in its full force; and it was

(a) Essay, p. 11.

(b) Anf. p. 19. par. 3.

(c) Essay, p. 11, and 12.

(d) Anf. p. 63. par. 2.

shewn



shewn too in another place, that he endeavoured to reduce his patients to their natural heat, in *inflammatory fevers*, by getting them out of bed several hours in a day (*a*).— There are several other cases in the Inquiry just mentioned, which favour the extinguishing practice, and which, it is imagined, Mr. MAXWELL could not get over; in particular, we would ask, whether the recovery of several of those people who were ill of the natural small pox, when the fire happened at Blandford, was not owing to an extinction of their fever (*b*)?

But many of the fevers in these instances were extinguished with *cold air*; nor did we confine ourselves to any particular mode of extinction.— Preference was given to cold air where it could be procured, unless particular circumstances made cold water a more eligible medicine; but more frequently both these remedies were directed to be used together: by which management a very ardent fever will commonly be suppressed, without running any risk from a violent use of either of them.— It is very obvious, that cold air and cold water will take place of each other, under different circumstances: and by which treatment every kind of fever may be safely extinguished.— We did not quote the practice of the ancients

(*a*) Essay, p. 34.

(*b*) Upwards of one hundred and fifty people, ill of the natural small-pox, were, in June, instantly carried into the fields, where they remained several days and nights, lying upon beds under hedges, and arches of bridges; who all recovered, except one, who was almost expiring at the time she was removed.



in suppressing fevers as patterns for servile imitation; but to shew that when this impediment to the cure was removed, the patient soon recovered. — Their intention seems to have been a *sudden* extinction of the fever, by giving excessive large draughts of cold water alone, &c. — But though they gave it in the very height of the fever, when the viscera would, on account of the intense heat, be less liable to receive injury; yet the want of success, which sometimes happened in their practice, was, for the most part, owing to the *preposterous* use of cold water, complained of by GALEN; for we may easily imagine, the gorging their patients, till the stomach was distended, and till they trembled and turned pale, would often be carrying this treatment too far. — Nor is there, in this climate, a necessity for this severity to be put in practice; and indeed we should think cold bathing a safer remedy, than such kind of treatment. — When it is considered, then, that the fever may generally be suppressed by these different methods, as the case requires: — That removing the cause of the fever, by its proper medicines, was advised: — And, that waiting for concoction was never practised by the ancients; all the bug-bears brought by Mr. MAXWELL, to deter us from extinction, will not make any great impression upon men of sense.



C A S E S.

SCHENCKIUS tells us, “ A country  
 “ fellow, having a very acute fever, was  
 “ brought to the hospital, but could not be  
 “ cured by any medicines. — Having been  
 “ accustomed to live upon onions, cheese, and  
 “ things of hard digestion, and to lie upon  
 “ straw with his cloaths on; Dr. GISE, when  
 “ he thought him expiring, permitted him,  
 “ one night, to be taken out of bed, and to  
 “ *lie upon the straw*; and that an onion and  
 “ *salt, with cold water*, might be carried him,  
 “ imagining, at the same time, it would hasten  
 “ his death; when, contrary to every one’s ex-  
 “ pectation, he was found standing by the fire,  
 “ the next day (a).”

SCHELHAMMER says, “ that he had seen a  
 “ servant afflicted in an ardent fever, with the  
 “ most intense heat; who, not being well  
 “ looked after, greedily drank *above ten pounds*  
 “ *of cold water*; and by that means did what  
 “ no Physician could easily effect; namely, so  
 “ far extinguished the fever, that he was per-  
 “ fectly well the next morning.” — He even  
 “ testifies, “ that he had heard from the cele-  
 “ brated MEIBOMIUS, that the *greater part of*  
 “ *the inhabitants of a whole town*, being af-  
 “ flicted with an acute fever, and being desti-

(a) Lib. vi. De febris, pag. 730.



“tute both of Physicians and medicines, extinguished the febrile heat, by drinking cold water; and soon after, raising the oppressed native heat, which remained, by vinous spirits; by which means *they all* recovered (a).”

Dr. WILLIS tells us, “an illustrious young man, about twenty years of age, of an athletic habit of body, by immoderate drinking of strong wine, fell into a feverish indisposition, with thirst, heat, and a remarkable burning of the præcordia; being let blood, he drank a large quantity of cold water, whence a copious sweat immediately following, he soon recovered (b).”

Similar to these, is the following case, which was told us by a very ingenious Surgeon. — “A patient of his father’s, in the height of a violent fever, called out for cold water, which was denied him; the practice of MORTON being at that time pursued. — However, in the night, he found means to escape from the nurse, and filled his stomach with cold water at the pump; after which, he lay’d down in the yard, and fell asleep; in which situation he was discovered. Being

(a) Bar. VAN SWIETEN, sect. 640. You see, the simplicity of this practice might have led to set its virtues in a true light, if these, and many other hints, which were accidentally discovered, had been attended to; but the *theory* of the times prevented their taking place; people’s minds being from thence impressed with an opinion, that drinking cold water was wrong, in opposition to matter of fact. (See *Anf.* p. 43. par. 2d.

(b) WILLIS, cap. viii. De febre ephemera.



84      *The*   C O N C L U S I O N .

“ put into bed, a sweat broke out, and he got  
“ well.”

Dr. DOVER relates a case of “ one THO-  
“ MAS HACKIT, a youth at Bristol, who had a  
“ *spotted fever*, attended with a violent hæmor-  
“ rhage at the nose, for which he was bled, and  
“ took cooling medicines, without effect, inso-  
“ much that there was no room to expect his  
“ life. Dr. DOVER ordered a large vessel to be  
“ filled below stairs, with spring water. —  
“ HACKIT was carried down in a sheet, and put  
“ into the water; he dipped his head several  
“ times, upon which the bleeding stopped. —  
“ After continuing in the water a quarter of an  
“ hour, he was carried to bed, only covered  
“ with a sheet. — He slept well that night; the  
“ spots all disappeared; and he was very well,  
“ only weak (a).”

Dr. CYRILLUS tells us, “ that at Naples it  
“ became a practice to cure fevers by the use of  
“ water only, mixed with snow, and that in  
“ large quantities, for several days together,  
“ without any other medicine or food; by  
“ which method, some, (contrary to all ex-  
“ pectation,) were snatched from the very jaws  
“ of death. — Cautious Physicians were at first  
“ startled at the practice: But encouraged by  
“ *repeated* and *successful* trials, they at length  
“ became bolder; and what some people at-  
“ tempted at random, and without considering  
“ either the nature or time of the distemper,

(a) Ancient Phys. Legacy, p. 108.

“ they



“ they reduced to a more cautious and safe  
“ method (a).”

Mr. HOLLWELL (b) has, since the Essay was published, shewn, in the manner of inoculating for the small-pox in the East Indies, the advantage of preventing and suppressing the eruptive fever, by throwing all over the patients, cold water, every morning; by exposing them to every wind that blows; and allowing them, by way of indulgence, to be laid upon a mat at the door; which is seldom required, the symptomatic fever being generally so inconsiderable.

Are not also the advantages of subduing the fever, in the *natural* small-pox, and the disadvantages of heat, fully evident, in the cases related by Mr. LEE PERKINS, and Dr. HUCK, in the third volume of the London Medical Inquiries? — In the same disease, Dr. WATSON has likewise given a remarkable case, where cold bathing, carried to an extreme indeed, cured both the fever, and its attendant, the delirium.—And where the like effects followed a man’s exposing himself in his shirt only, a considerable time in the street, in a cold frosty night, when the pustules were near maturation (c). — And, surely, if suppressing a fever

(a) Philosophic. Transact. No. 410. p. 142.

(b) On Inoculation in the East Indies.

(c) On Inoculation: We imagine, if Mr. MAXWELL had walked in his shirt only, in a cold frosty night, from the end of Conduit-street to Cavendish-square, and afterwards stood some time exposed, he would have been convinced, that cold air was capable of extinguishing heat.



is safe and advantageous, where the natural crisis is an eruption upon the skin, it will, of course, be more so, where the *materia morbi* is discharged by any of the outlets.

Dr. HEBERDEN says, “several patients, labouring under eruptive fevers, who have happened to keep out of bed a little time every day, for several days together, have constantly found, that the eruption was greater while they were up and cool, and that it began to fade as soon as they were hot in bed. Is it owing to experience or hypothesis, that eruptions are believed to be thrown out more vigorously by warmth, and lying a-bed (a) ?”

We find, purging moderately, giving cold water with a toast in it, and admitting cold air very freely, has an equal happy effect in the measles.

The following Cases, together with those we before published, may serve to shew the different steps we usually take under different circumstances; and we may observe, once for all, that we never suffer the heat to be reduced below its natural degree: and when a sweat begins to appear, liquids are given rather warm, unless the heat still remains violent.

A woman, thirty years of age, in the seventh month of her pregnancy, was seized with a Pleuro-peripneumony. Her pulse being hard,

(a) Med. Transf. Vol. 1. p. 469.

though



though oppressed, a troublesome cough attending her, and she breathing with inexpressible anxiety and pain; a large quantity of extremely fizy blood was instantly taken away in the evening, on the second day of the disease, which was the first time we saw her.

Pectoral apozems, antiphlogistics, repeated bleedings, keeping her bowels open, and afterwards a blister to the side, were the remedies employed.—She drank cold water with a toast, in moderate quantities.—And we followed the practice of SYDENHAM, in getting her out of bed, several hours in a day, in a large room filled with cool air, by the windows and doors being set open. And when she was supported by pillows, &c. upon the bed, for she could not lie down, she had but little more than a sheet to cover her.

Though, by this treatment, the violent heat was soon abated, and in a short time reduced so much, as to put the patient in a state more free from danger; yet we did not lessen our attack against the cause of the complaint, which manifestly still existed; but by repeated bleeding, and pouring in our cooling deobstruents, we so far dislodged the enemy, that the pain became greatly abated on the third day from our first seeing her; and, on the day following, a fetid matter was freely discharged from her lungs; when the liquids she drank were ordered to be made rather warm, knowing that cold suppresses expectoration.



At eight days end she was able to take the air out of doors, though still very weak, and she was troubled with a violent cough, that would only give way to opiates; the reason of which was soon obvious; for at the three weeks end from her going about, she discharged, in a fit of coughing, a full half pint of good matter; — after which she perfectly recovered, before the time of her lying-in, while she was taking an electuary composed of Bark and Locatellus's balsam.

Is it not very probable that this patient would have died, if the fever had not, in a great measure, been suppressed, by the free admission of cold air, &c. ? — I am fully persuaded, the fizy coat we meet with upon the blood, is often intirely the consequence of increased heat, and an increased circulation; — and if so, immediately reducing the heat and velocity of the blood to its usual state, must of course prevent a great deal of mischief; and render useless many steps that are at present taken.

A man in good health, at his own desire, lost twelve ounces of very good blood, and in two or three days afterwards had the misfortune to bruise his shin, to which he applied some spirit of wine and camphor.— Being of an irritable habit, this brought on a violent inflammation, which was followed by a smart fever, accompanied with a pain in his side, and a strong pulse; upon which twelve ounces more of blood were taken away, which was now *very fizy*. — He afterwards lost more fizy blood, but by keeping him



him cool, &c. with proper applications to the affected part, he recovered.—— I have often seen people lose good blood at the time of receiving accidents, and it has afterwards been found fizy, when a succeeding fever has made a second bleeding necessary.—It is very well known, that though we frequently find the blood of people, in the beginning of fevers and pleurifies, with little or no size, yet if the fever continues so violent, as to require a second or third bleeding, it is then often become very fizy.

Hence there is reason to doubt, whether the size in the blood is not sometimes at least the consequence, instead of the cause of a pleurisy. We have every reason to think, that pleurifies in their beginning are often local; otherwise, if they were owing to a general lentor in the fluids, why not always an obstruction on both sides the thorax at the same time? or in similar membranes in other parts of the body? or why does not a fever, or a pleurisy, always come on, when the juices are fizy? — The inflammation of the membrane has probably a prior cause, though aggravated by the inflammatory state of the blood that follows.

Now, if increased heat and motion alone are capable of producing a siziness in the blood, what is likely to be the consequence, where this state already exists from some other cause? — And is not the attendant guilty of omission, in suffering it either to increase or take place, when he has it often in his power to prevent it?

A girl



A girl about sixteen years of age, of a delicate and very irritable habit, was, in October, seized with a fever; her skin felt excessive hot, and she complained of an inward burning heat, accompanied with a very quick, though not strong pulse, a violent pain in her head and restlessness, nor could she close her eyes to sleep.

Being costive, a gentle purge was given her, which had its proper effect; she took saline draughts regularly, and on the third day of her disease she began to drink frequently and freely of cold water with a toast in it. The window and door of her room, in which there was no fire, were set open in the day time; and the only curtains that were drawn were those that faced the sash, it being near the bed.

She soon found the advantage of this treatment, and we got quite clear of the fever in two days.—However, no sweat, except a moisture in the palm of the hands, nor sediment in the urine, or any critical evacuation appeared; but her pulse still continued quick and vibrating, she yet had no inclination to sleep, and some hysteric symptoms came on.

Her room was still kept cool, to preserve the advantage we had gained; but the window was only opened now and then, and cold water was thought no longer necessary, as the fever disappeared;—but as the cause which gave rise to the fever seemed still to remain, we gave her nervous medicines along with Minderus's spirit; upon which a rash broke out, when she found herself



herself freer from complaints, and next day, her pulse became perfectly regular, and every symptom of the disease disappeared, after having a few loose stools. — She was restored from the weak state into which these few days illness had brought her, by a proper diet, and taking HUXHAM's alexipharmic tincture of the bark in chamomile tea.

In September 1766, a man, twenty-five years of age, was seized with the epidemic fever we have described, accompanied with a diarrhœa, the stools being extremely fetid. — On the eighth day of the disease we were called to him, and found him very weak, excessive hot, and delirious. — The necessary medicines were directed, but cold water was not thought proper, on account of his looseness: — the windows on each side his room, and the door, were therefore set open, which let in a stream of air that sufficiently cooled him; and when we saw him the next day, his fever was gone, he was quite sensible, and (dreadful, Mr. MAXWELL, to tell!) he recovered, without requiring a repetition of his medicines.

In September 1767, a woman, about twenty-four years of age, had lain ill of a putrid fever several days before we were desired by the parish officers to see her. — She was now delirious, her eyes slightly inflamed, a quick, small pulse, excessive hot, and she was covered all over with blue petechiæ, each as large as a sixpence; but she was not yet become very weak.

Being



Being costive, her bowels were first opened by a purging mixture, afterwards a decoction of the bark with spirit of nitre was given her ; and she was ordered to drink cold water with a toast in it ; but as the windows could not be opened, the only air that came into the room was through the door.

Next day, except having had two or three stools, we found her *in statu quo*: Upon enquiry it appeared, that she had not drank above half a pint of water at a time, and this at long intervals ; so that the fever had overbalanced its effects : and besides, she was covered with a larger quantity of bed-cloaths than we directed.

Upon this, the glass belonging to the small windows, which directly faced the bed on each side this close hut, was removed, and two doors belonging to the room were set open ; she was covered with a sheet only, and directed to drink, in larger quantities, cold water, as often as she had a mind. — By this means, her fever was soon suppressed ; upon which, the delirium left her, the urine let fall a sediment, and her blood recovered its proper tone, by persevering in the use of the antiseptics she had already taken.

In April last, a boy, about six years old, was seized with an ardent fever, succeeded by a slight delirium, his pulse being quick, but not strong. He took a purge that worked very well, and a saline julep was given him ; but though the room was kept tolerably cool by opening the sash, yet his heat was excessive, and on the fourth day we  
seemed



seemed to lose ground. — Being very dry, he called out for cold water from the pump, in which he was indulged, and greedily drank a great part of a pint at his first draught, after which he lay still, but quite uncovered, having kicked off the bed cloaths, and seemed inclinable to sleep, but in a little time he called for more water, which was given him as often as he desired. Soon after this, his heat abated greatly, he fell into a sleep, and a light blanket being drawn over him, a sweat, and a recovery followed; but it took some time to regain his usual strength, being very much reduced, in this short space of time.

A youth, sixteen years of age, had been ill of an ardent fever, three or four days, and upon applying a common pocket thermometer to the præcordia, I found the degree of heat 100, with a dry skin, and a very quick though not strong pulse. — The proper remedies were given, along with as much cold water as the patient was desirous of drinking; he was lightly covered with bed cloaths, and the window and door of his room were set open. — In two hours time, his heat was reduced to 93, when he began to sweat, but afterwards, when it was sunk to 90, he sweated freely, without any disagreeable sensation in the skin, and *recovered* with great ease.

The ulcerous sore throat, attended with an *Erysipelatous Efflorescence* all over the skin, has lately been very rife, in some parts of a neighbouring county. — The common method was,  
along



94      *The* CONCLUSION.

along with the proper remedies, to keep the rooms full of cool air, by opening the windows and doors, suffering the patients, at the same time, only to be covered with a sheet; and allowing them cold water for their drink; and this with the most desirable success.

The following Cases we give by way of contrast.

A Gentleman came to consult me this spring, about his health, who, amongst other things, told me, that two years ago he had been inoculated for the small-pox, but not in the new method: His Apothecary, who was a man rather in years, telling him, it was nothing more than the puppy-like tricks of a parcel of young blockheads.

After preparing him, in the beginning of May, the operation took place; from which time, he was kept close, in a small room, with a good fire in it, and when the symptoms began to shew themselves, he was confined to his bed, well covered with bed-cloaths; a large piece of thick flannel was tied all round his head, and the curtains, which were lined, were kept close drawn.

In this roasting situation the fever became very violent, and the patient was so restless, and full of pain, that he scarce knew what to do with himself:—He was told, this was owing to his uneasy disposition, which made him bear it as well as he could. But a prodigious large  
crop



crop of pustules followed, as his face still testifies; and instead of the fever declining after the eruption, it became worse; so that his assistant was under the necessity of bleeding, giving saline medicines, and the like. — But these had little or no effect; for as he still kept him in the same state of heat, a delirium followed; upon which blisters were applied, and every thing else used that was thought proper; so that, while he raised the fever with one hand, he endeavoured to knock it down again with the other. But the patient had the happiness of being insensible to the latter part of this ill-treatment; and at the end of fifteen days, found himself just beginning to recover from this long and dangerous illness.

A girl was prepared and inoculated for the small-pox, and at the time of her sickening, confined to a warm room, her mother lying with her, to keep her still in bed; and an additional heat was also brought on, by her not having a stool in six or eight days.

By this treatment, a large quantity of the confluent small-pox followed, and she was really in imminent danger; but by giving her clysters, and keeping her cooler, she recovered with difficulty.

Compare this progress of the disease in these patients; with those inoculated by Doctors DIMSDALE and WATSON; and we think there will not want further proof, that the fever protracts and increases the disease.

Perhaps,



Perhaps, on the other hand, it may be said, that death has been the consequence of exposing people to the cold air, in the natural small-pox.— And, indeed, we have read some instances of this sort; but the heat of the body, in these cases, probably did not want abating: And the ill effects might arise from the natural heat being reduced too low: And is not any other powerful medicine capable of producing mischief; if injudiciously applied? — But if cold air, or cold water, or both, are used in fevers with the cautions we advised; we see, by daily experience, that all the apprehensions of danger from their use, are only imaginary; and though it is impossible suddenly to alter long rivetted opinions, yet as WE DEPEND NOT ON OPINIONS, BUT UPON MATTER OF FACT, we doubt not, from what we have seen of this matter, but that in time, the extinction of fevers will be practised with the same familiarity as we now give antimony, which formerly was proscribed by law.

The indefatigable and ingenious Mr. ALEXANDER has assisted much in giving light to this matter; for by his experiments it appears, that though two pounds of warm water gruel were insufficient to raise a sweat, when the heat of the body was by the thermometer (a) 113 degrees, and the pulse about 97; yet in *ordinary health*, in bed, it will easily be procured by *half that quantity*.

(a) FARENHIT'S.

That



That a sweat may also be brought on, till a person is 6, 8, or 10 degrees (*a*) above his natural heat; but the more the heat is increased beyond this, the farther is the sweat from being procured; and when it rises above 112 or 113 degrees, a sweat has never been known to arise: even warm diluting drinks do not only prove generally ineffectual; but seem to prevent it, by augmenting the increased heat of the body: while a large draught of very cold water, hastily drank, always lessens the number of pulsations, three, four, or five, and sometimes more, in a minute: and quickly produces this evacuation (*b*).

We know very well, that a proper dose of the *Terra foliata tartari*, given in some diluting liquor at bed time, to a person in his natural state of heat, will, if it does not pass off by the kidneys, for the most part easily raise a sweat; but if his degree of heat is con-

(*a*) The natural degree of heat in the human body, is different in different constitutions; and varies greatly in the same person, under different circumstances. But in general, it is about 83 by a common pocket thermometer. — But though natural heat may be increased by exercise, wine, &c. several degrees above its usual height; yet it is easily distinguished from preternatural or febrile heat, which immediately conveys to the touch, a sensation similar to fire, to which a pungency is added, when the fever arises from putrid acrimony; whereas, when natural heat is only increased, there is nothing more than an open glowing warmth, no ways disagreeable to the hand which is applied to the skin. — Which distinctions must be carefully observed in practice; because sudden cold, when the natural heat only is increased, will do injury: Whereas in preternatural heat, it has a contrary effect.

(*b*) See ALEXANDER's Experiment. Essays.

H

siderably



siderably increased, it produces no such effect, till this heat is reduced; as appears very plain from a case already given (*a*).—Whence it is evident, that he ought to be reduced as near to his natural state of heat as possible; not only that this, but that all the other secretions and excretions may more easily be performed.—And does not this agree with the Essay opposed, which only taught to suppress *preternatural heat*?—Which rule must even be observed, if the patient continues unnaturally hot, though a sweat is forced out; otherwise, as experience teaches, instead of being serviceable, it will be of disservice to the patient, the heat overbalancing the good effects of this evacuation.—Whereas, if a sweat appears, when the flesh has acquired its natural heat, cold air, and cold water would do injury, by suppressing the discharge they have procured. And if the pulse, instead of becoming open and regular, should still continue quick, vibrating, or irregular, after the fever is suppressed, the cause that gave rise to the fever is manifestly still existing, and we must not desist from using the proper remedies, till these symptoms are removed.

Mr. MAXWELL says, we are not to argue from instances of success (*b*).—If not, what are we to argue from? Is not the same kind of success attending this practice, in almost every quarter of the globe, the fullest testimony possible of its being right?—And is there any analogy,

(*a*) See Essay, p. 54. par. 2d.      (*b*) See his Postscript.



betwixt this kind of evidence, and the vouchers daily procured for empirical remedies?—Surely, it cannot be said, that the testimony in favor of the extinction of fevers, “is a few fortunate, or “fancied fortunate examples;” for we will venture to affirm, that there is no practice, which has had more writers of credit in its favor; who, according to Mr. MAXWELL, must have been a pack of fools; and that he, without any experience at all, can judge better of the matter, than those, who were conversant in this practice.

Can it, therefore, be said, after reflecting that this method of cure has been pursued more or less in every age since, and perhaps before Physic was formed into a science, that “novelty in this “case effects conviction?”—Novelty was never aimed at, further than setting this matter in a *new light*, in shewing that this useful and rational practice, or that plain matter of fact, had been discarded only in consequence of a false and injurious *theory*; which makes true the assertion of BAGLIVI, that “amongst the sources of innumerable and pernicious errors, this is not the least; that men give more credit to the useless comments of their own brains, than to “*observation*, and the *cautions* of nature.”—However, something in the Essay seems to have moderated Mr. MAXWELL’s “sanguine temper,” for, after having furiously attempted to prove the whole *absolutely false*; he *mildly* concludes, that it is not *free* from objection; and that the principal positions are not *strictly true*.



100     *The* C O N C L U S I O N.

After all the evidence we have produced, and Mr. MAXWELL's not having said any thing that can contradict the practice recommended, ought it not to have a cautious and impartial trial, by which alone, its propriety or impropriety can be ascertained? — For *prating*, without experience, can never settle this matter. And it is happy, this nation abounds with men, who prefer the good of their patient, to their own emolument. — These will never want employment, nor rewards that will satisfy them; “but there will always  
“be a set of designing, interested men, who would  
“rather see mankind persist eternally in error,  
“and die of misconduct, than be saved by the  
“truth; if it detracted a mite from their an-  
“nual profits.”

P O S T-



---

---

## POSTSCRIPT.

THE following APHORISMS are intended to shew, at one view, the practice recommended in the cure of Fevers.

### APHORISMS.

#### I.

THE nearer the human body is to its natural degree of heat (*a*), the more readily will any matter be expelled from the blood. — Wherever, therefore, morbid matter is to be discharged from the habit, the heat of the body should be reduced, or raised, according as it exceeds, or falls short of, the state prescribed by nature.

#### II.

Preternatural heat should be extinguished by its proper antidote, *cold*, and *vice versa*.

#### III.

In order to extinguish a fever with propriety, we should first enquire into the state of the

(*a*) See note at the bottom of page 97.

patient,



patient, previous to his present illness; that we may judge with greater certainty, whether the viscera are sound.

## IV.

In the beginning of every fever, such evacuations, and such deobstruents, must first be used, as the strength of the patient will admit of, and the nature of the disease requires; with the free use of cool air.

## V.

If, notwithstanding proper evacuations, and the use of cool air, the fever should increase without shewing any favourable symptom; a greater degree of cold, in *proportion to the degree of heat*, and the strength of the pulse, must be used to suppress it.

## VI.

In general, the earlier a fever is suppressed, the less will be the degree of cold required for this purpose.

## VII.

In suppressing fevers, cold air and cold water, under different circumstances, will have preference to each other; but they may more frequently be joined with advantages that cannot arise from either alone; — the *vivifying spirit* of the air, and the diluting quality of the water, producing each, salutary, tho' different effects.

## VIII.



VIII.

In ardent, or putrid fevers, where the fluids are thin enough to pass the circulation, both cold water and cold air may be used as extinguishers.

IX.

Fevers accompanied with a sizziness in the juices, or arising from an inflammatory obstruction in any part of the viscera, may safely be subdued by cold air, and moderate draughts of water, which is not very cold (*a*).

X.

Cold water, in moderate draughts, may be given to subdue an inflammatory fever, arising from a dry and cold constitution of the air; but this kind of air ought to be frequently renewed and corrected by fire, before it enters the patient's lungs.

XI.

In slow fevers, or when the patient's strength has been reduced previous to his fever, or where the pulse is weaker and slower than in a state of health, cold air ought to have preference to cold water; and when cold water is given, it should be mixt with wine, or other cordials,

(*a*) I have here paid regard to the general theory of cold water being injurious in inflammations of the viscera; but it is a known *fact*, that very cold water being applied, abates external inflammation.

that



that the preternatural heat may be abated, and the strength of the patient preserved at the same time.

## XII.

Cold air alone should be used to suppress a fever accompanied with a diarrhoea; as cold water might, perhaps, hastily stop this discharge, which is often salutary.

## XIII.

If an erysipelas is the crisis of a fever, the necessity of extinction is at an end. — The same may be said of other external inflammations, which are produced in the same manner: surgical treatment being all the assistance that is necessary. — But where an erysipelas *precedes* a fever, it is an original complaint (*a*), and after defending the affected part properly from cold air, the patient may be advantageously cooled by breathing cold air, and drinking cold water, if the violence of the fever requires.

## XIV.

By experience, in the small-pox and measles, it evidently appears, that cold air, under proper

(*a*) Seeing that an erysipelas is the common consequence of small wounds of the membranes, or of large wounds in them, if the discharge is obstructed by cold, or the like; it seems reasonable to imagine that it is always a disease of these parts, owing probably, either to a fault in the fluids which pass through them, or to some disease in the membranes themselves. — Whatever, then, prevents a further obstruction must be serviceable,



regulations, is not attended with that danger, in fevers accompanied with eruption, as has generally been thought.

## XV.

Fevers, which come upon a person who has an œdema, are, for the most part, of the slow or putrid kind; and will be properly extinguished with cold air.

## XVI.

If a fever seizes a person, who has any chronical complaint in the viscera, there is the greatest necessity for its being extinguished; as excessive heat, and increased motion, must be injurious to the parts affected. — And though cold water, in very large quantities, may not always be proper; yet the extinction with cold air always take place.

## XVII.

A schirrous is not any objection to the use of cold water; for as certainly as heat increases its growth, so cold water preserves it longer in a state of indolence.

## XVIII.

Whenever a fever is suppressed by cold air, the patient must be got out of bed, every day; or, if he cannot rise, he must be covered very  
I lightly



lightly with bed-cloaths, a sheet alone being often all the covering that is necessary. — The doors and windows also of his room must be set open, due regard being paid to the season of the year, and the circumstances of the case.

## XIX.

After the fever is suppressed; if the temples, or other parts of the body become moist, it foretells an approaching sweat; which should be encouraged by diluting liquors, rather warm than cold, with such other sudorifics as the case requires. — But if there should be no symptom of this evacuation, the morbid matter may be carried off by the kidneys: for which purpose, diuretics may take place; and purges may be given, if the patient is able to bear them, to carry off part of the offending matter by stool.

## XX.

If the heat of the body is reduced below the natural degree, more bed-cloaths may be laid upon the patient, and warmer liquids may be drank, to raise a sweat.

## XXI.

When a sweat is raised, and the body *continues* unnaturally hot, it must be cooled, or the sweat will be of no service.

## XXII.



## XXII.

When we suppress a fever, we only subdue a most dangerous symptom; the cause, therefore, of the fever must be removed by proper remedies.

F I N I S.



