Dr. Gregory's Elements of catoptrics and dioptrics / Translated from the Latin original, with a large supplement, by William Browne.

Contributors

Gregory, David, 1659-1708. Browne, William, 1692-1774 Desaguliers, J. T. 1683-1744. Gregory, James, 1638-1675. Newton, Isaac, 1642-1727. Hadley, John.

Publication/Creation

London : Printed for E. Curll, 1735.

Persistent URL

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/ph3zau4w

License and attribution

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org







Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2018 with funding from Wellcome Library

https://archive.org/details/b30538385

Dr. GREGORT's ELEMENTS OF CATOPTRICS AND DIOPTRICS.

Translated from the Latin Original, With a large SUPPLEMENT, By WILLIAM BROWNE, M.D.

THE SECOND EDITION.

To which is added, An APPENDIX, By J. T. DESAGULIERS, LL. D. F. R. S.

CONTAINING,

An Account of the REFLECTING TELESCOPES; and of the Inventors, Improvers, and Imitators of them, till they were brought to Perfection by JOHN HADLEY, Efq; Vice-Prefident of the Royal Society.

With Original L E T T E R S which passed between Sir ISAAC NEWTON and Dr. JAMES GREGORY, relating thereunto.

Now FIRST PUBLISHED.

LONDON:

Printed for E. CURLL, in Rose-Street, Covent-Garden. MDCCXXXV.

[Price Five Shillings.]

Dr. G.R.E.G.O.R.9(K) 44646 八月月 CATOR 90 RICS. 1(1 Franktated Som the Katin Original With a large SUPPLEMENT, WELLEAN BROWNES, M.D. THE SECOND EDITEOM. AD APPENDIX. L T. DESAGNUTTRS, IL. D. H.R.S. LONDON: Princht for E. Curt, u. in Refe-States, Crucht-[Price Pive Shillings.]



PREFACE

(To this SECOND EDITION) By Dr. DESAGULIERS.



T is almost twenty Years, fince Dr. BROWNE defired me to look over his Translation of this Treatife of *Catoptrics* and *Di*-

optrics, and his own large Supplement: I found the Translation to agree with the Original, and the Addenda very proper for fuch Perfons as apply themfelves to Optics; and accordingly allowed him to mention my Approbation of the Work.

Now the Book being out of Print, and a great Demand made for it, the Doctor was requefted, by Mr. CURLL, to publifh a new Edition of it: But as he lives at Lynn in Norfolk, he could not, as he wrote him Word, fix any certain Time for the Publication; he therefore has defired me to do it for him, al-[a 2] lowing

lowing me to make fuch Emendations and Additions as I fhould think fit. I find no Occasion for *Emendations*, except a few Errors of the Prefs, and in the Plates, which I have corrected: But I have made fome Additions in his Introduction and his Supplement; and have, by way of Appendix, given a full Defeription of the two Sorts of Reflecting TELESCOPES, at prefent fo much in Vogue, and fo justly valued; which I have explained by feveral Figures in a fourth Plate.

The Reader will find who have been the Inventors and Imitators of thefe *Telescopes*, by Sir ISAAC NEWTON'S and Dr. JAMES GREGORY'S * LETTERS, written near fixty Years ago; fo much of which I have transferibed from the Originals (now in the Possefition of WILLIAM JONES, Esq.) as relates to these Matters: And the Public are wholly indebted for the Use of them to that excellent Mathematician and ingenious Mechanic JOHN HADLEY, Esq. Vice-President of the Royal Society.

As it may be expected that I fhould give fome Account of our Author, I have here fubjoined what ANTHONY à WOOD fays of him (in his *Fasti Oxon*. Vol. II. Page 225.) viz.

* Uncle to our Author, who wrote the Optica promota, and published it in 1663.

" DAVID

" DAVID GREGORY, M. A. of the Univerfity of Edinburgh, was admitted to the Rectory of Brightwell, near Wallingford in Berkshire, 1691. The fame Year he accumulated the Degrees in Physic, and became a Master-Commoner of Baliol-College.

"This Gentleman, who was born at "Aberdeen, and mostly educated there, "hath extant, Exercitatio Geometrica de "Dimensione Figurarum; sive, Speci-"men Methodi generalis dimetiendi quas-"dam Figuras. Edenb. 1684. qu. At "which time he was Mathematic Professor there.

"His Uncle, Mr. JAMESGREGORY, printed at *Padua*, in the Year 1667, a Book entituled, Vera Circuli & Hyperbola Quadratura.

" In the Philosophical Transactions, " N°. 207, 7an. 1693, is, Solutio proble-" matica Florentini de veliformi quadra-" bili, by our Author DAVID GREGORY, " then Fellow of the Royal Society.

" In the Philosophical Transactions, N°. " 214, is, An Epistle from Dr. GREGORY " to iv

" to Sir ROBBRT SOUTHWELL, afcertaining fome Mathematical Inventions to their AUTHORS. Dated Nov. 15, 1694.

"He published also, CATOPTRICE "& DIOPTRICE Spherice Elementa. "Oxon. 1695. 8vo." A Translation of which being what is here undertaken. And thereunto are added,

I. A Method for finding the Foci of all Specula, as well as Lens's, univerfally. As alfo for Magnifying or Lessening a given Object by a given Speculum or Lens, in any affigned Proportion, Gc.

- II. A Solution of those Problems which Dr. GREGORY has left undemonstrated.
- III. A particular Account of MICROSCOPES and TELESCOPES, from Mr. HUYGENS. With an Introduction, fhewing the Difcoveries made by CATOPTRICS and DIOPTRICS. By Dr. BROWNE.

in Levelle from Dr. Cascoax

PREFACE.

ALETTER from Dr. BROWNE to Mr. CURLL.

SIR,

Lynn, July 6, 1734.

Received yours: GREGORY was the Child of my Youth; you was fo good as to take it off my Hands, and undertook to bring it up and maintain it at your own Charge: So that it is now more properly yours than mine. As to a new Edition of it, I cannot engage to do it by any particular Time, because our leifure Hours are fo very uncertain : So that if you could get the fame thing done by the Gentlemen you mention (Dr. DESAGULIERS, or Mr. JONES) which must be worth your while, they are fo much more capable of doing it than my felf, that, with the Recommendation of their Names, I apprehend the Work would meet with a much more favourable Reception from the Public; and fecure, in confequence, a larger Share of what you may expect from it your felf. Very fure I am, that your publishing only a correct Edition of the felf-fame Work, without Alterations and Augmentations, will never anfwer in any respect. But judge for your felf; and whatever it is you undertake, I heartily wifh Succefs; only, if you will take my Advice, don't grudge the compleating it on the beft Terms you can, by either of the Gentlemen you named. Iam

Your old Friend, and Humble Servant,

W. BROWNE.

PREFACE.

A Second LETTER from Dr. BROWNE to Mr. CURLL.

Dear SIR,

VI

Lynn, Now. 2, 1734. A M glad you have put Dr. GREGORY into fo much better Hands than mine. I have nothing to add; nor would I by any means, by any thing of mine, diminish the Value of an Edition, which will be much more esteemed, in being republished by Dr. DESAGULIERS.

neismen you mention (Dr. DESAGUITERS, OF

I am, (With Service to Him) His and your humble Servant,

Very fore I and that your publishing on

W. BROWNE.

S.I.R.

Dr. BROWNE'S TWO LETTERS to Mr. CURLL, fhew that every thing has been done in Concert with That Gentleman.

J. T. DESAGULIERS.

Channel-Row, Nov. 21, 1734.

11

INTRODUCTION;

Shewing the Difcoveries made by

CATOPTRICS and DIOPTRICS.

By Dr. BROWNE.



T' is a great Encouragement for those who would take the Pains to perfect themfelves in any Science, to be first informed of what Service it will be to understand it: And fince there is no

Part of Learning of fo real and general Benefit to Mankind, as this of Catoptrics and Dioptrics, it is but Justice both to the Subject and Reader, to give fome Account of the many wonderful Difcoveries which we owe entirely to this Science. Г. Ь 7.

The

The Sight of Man is of it felf confined to very narrow Views; and though it takes in a great part of the Creation at once, yet all is reprefented in Miniature and imperfectly. The naked Eye fees only fo much of external Objects as is fufficient to move the principal Paffions, and give notice of what more immediately concerns the Safety and Happiness of the Animal. What is more than this, was left as a Subject for our Curiofity, upon which we might exercife those Faculties which are beftowed by our bountiful Creator for this very End, of fearching into the aftonishing Mechanism of all his Works, and from thence enlarging our Idea of his Greatness. Objects placed at a great Diftance, whether upon the Surface of our Earth, or in the Heavens, are feen under fo fmall an Angle, that their Parts are not to be diffinguished one from another; and by this means those distant charming Scenes of Nature were hid from us, which the Study of Catoptrics and Dioptrics has fince laid open to our View. This noble Part of Knowledge teaches us how, by a due Position of Glasses ground into certain Figures, we may enlarge the Diameters of the heavenly Bodies, and all fuch Objects to which we are allowed no nearer Approach, in what Proportion we pleafe, and SEL

ìi

INTRODUCTION. iii

and view them as perfectly and diffinctly as if we could fummon them before us, and command them to the End of our Telescope. This has brought us into a perfect Acquain-tance with those furprizing Parts of the Creation, which are far feparate from this Globe of ours, and with which we are allowed no Commerce but Looking. We can now perceive the Sun to be a vast Globe of Fire, and by the different Phases of all the Planets, that he is the Fountain of all their Light. The Surfaces of most of them appear like fo many Maps of Land and Water, and there are few now but allow both them. and the fixed Stars fome nobler Ufe than to twinkle upon us o'Nights. By fixing upon fome remarkable Spots on their Surfaces, and observing how they shift their Position, and in what time they again return to the fame Place, we determine the Motion of these Bodies round their Axes, and the Time in which that Revolution is performed. Several Jecondary Planets, or Satellites, which were too fmall for the naked Eye, are now difcerned to move round Jupiter and Saturn, as the Moon round our Earth; and about the last of them is feen the particular Phanomenon of an Annulus, or Ring. Nor is the Difcovery of thefe Satellites merely speculative, but of prodi-[b2] gious

iv INTRODUCTION.

gious Use and Advantage; for their Eclipses have determined the Velocity of Light, as Romer has fhewn,* and are fo frequent, as to be the most constant Appearance the Heavens afford us at prefent, for the Solution of the great and valuable Problem of the Longitude. The Distances, Magnitudes, and Motions of all the heavenly Bodies, and even the Irregularities of the Moon, have by this means been fo nicely obferved, and by the Power of Numbers reduced within fome few Tables for common and eafy Ufe, that their Places for any determinate Instant of Time to come are now to be predicted as eafily, and almost as exactly, as we could with.

The nice Prediction Dr. HALLEY gave of the late Solar Eclipfe, total at London, (a rare Sight in our Part of the Globe) is fuch an Inftance of the great Perfection to which we are arrived in these Matters, as has amazed those unthinking Gentlemen, who were only to be rowzed out of their Security in Ignorance by the Apprehensions of Doomsday. The prodigious Distances

* Since that Time the Velocity of Light has been more exactly determined by the Observations of the Reverend and Learned Mr. BRADLEY, Savilian Professor of Astronomy at Oxford; who shews, that Light comes from the Sun to us in 8 Minutes and 13 Seconds.

INTRODUCTION.

of the fixed Stars beyond that of any of our Planets, is, befices their little or no annual Parallax, plainly deducible from the Telefcope, * for the longeft that ever was made, and which perhaps reprefents their apparent Diameters one or two hundred times larger than the Truth, has been fo far from magnifying them, that, by cutting off those irregular Rays which hinder us from diffinguishing the true Termination of their Orbs; it makes them appear fomething leffened; befides that Mr. Huygens has given a Method of even computing these Diffances by means of the Telefcope.

It is now reckoned no abfurd Notion to conceive these fixed Stars as so many Suns, probably at as great Distances one from another as they are from us, and every one to have their System of inhabited Planets circling round it : And perhaps the Number of those which we see, counted by HEVELIUS to be 1888, may bear little or no Proportion

* The curious Observations of the Learned Professor BRADLEY abovementioned shew, that the fixed Stars are much farther from us than was imagined even by those who supposed that Light must be fix Months in coming from them to the Earth: Whereas by Mr. BRADLEY'S Observations they must be 40,000 times farther from us than the Sun; and consequently Light will be above fix Years coming from the fixed Stars to the Earth. Whence it follows, that if it should please GOD to annihilate one of the fixed Stars, we should continue to see it fix Years after it was out. See Philof. Transact. No. 406.

(2000 V 010

V

vi INTRODUCTION.

to those others that may be dispersed thro' the vast Regions of the Universe, at fuch Distances from our little Ball, that no Affiltance can ever help us to a Sight of them. A Notion that gives furely the most just and noble Sentiments that the Mind of Man can entertain of an Almighty Author! That the milky Way in the Heavens, which we behold in a clear Star-light Night, is nothing elfe but a continued Clufter of fuch fixed Stars, is a Truth of which we are affured by the Telescope. And to the fame Help it is we owe all we know of those heavenly Bodies called Comets; their Distance, Magnitude, and Motion round the Sun in fuch eccentrical Orbits, as come fome of them very near to right Lines. To what a furprizing Height this cometical Aftronomy has been carried by the prefent Age, notwithstanding the Observations we have been able to make upon these Bodies are fo few, and those made by our Predecessions fo imperfect, may be feen in the Writings of those incomparable Astronomers, Sir ISAAC NEWTON, Dr. GREGORY, and Dr. HALLEY. That in every clear Morning and Evening we fee the Sun for fome time before he rifes, and after he fets, is a Paradox only to be unriddled by Dioptrics : And if we would know the true Place of any heavenly Body elevated . 03

INTRODUCTION. vii

elevated not many Degrees above the Horizon, the fame Science tells us, that here feeing is not believing, but that we must correct our Eye-fight by a Table of Refractions. It is true, the Ratio of Refraction of the Atmosphere very near the Horizon does not obferve a conftant Rule, becaufe there happens a very great Variety in the Accumulation of Vapours about those Parts : But then this Variation depends pretty regularly upon the Polition of the Sun above or below the Horizon, and the different State of the Weather; and if in the Morning or Evening we fee the lower Parts of a diftant Tower or Mountain through a Telescope fixed in Polition, we shall find the upper Parts of the fame Tower or Mountain in the fame Place, if our Observations be made nearer Noon, and just at Noon the fame, Object will be feen loweft of all, as the accurate Mr. HUYGENS has obferved: And this Difference is greater in cold and moift, than in hot and dry Weather; and though not in a Proportion always certain, yet constant enough for physical Purposes. The Crepusculum or Twilight is determined from the Rays of the Sun below the Horizon, first refracted at their Entrance into the Earth's Atmosphere, and then reflected from that Part of it near our Horizon, or rather from

viii INTRODUCTION.

from the contiguous Surface of the Æther, as from a concave Speculum : And the Height of the Atmosphere has been attempted from this Theorem by VARENIUS, but the Air being a Medium of different Denfity, and confequently of different Refraction at different Distances from the Earth, refracts the Rays of the Sun into Curves, and makes that Solution lefs exact. In fhort, without the Affistance of Telescopes, Astronomy could have come to nothing, and our Obfervations of the Heavens had gone little further than foretelling a fine Morning from the Setting of the Sun, or a Shower of Rain from the Course of the Clouds. These Instances are fufficient to shew that all the noble Difcoveries of the Heavens, of which the prefent Age may fo justly boast of, are derived from the Knowledge of Catoptrics and Dioptrics; and whatever Improvements are hereafter to be made, can be expected from no other Fountain.

I fhall now defeend to a Profpect no lefs amazing, which the fame Science opens to us in the minute Parts of the Creation. The Difficulty which hindered the naked Eye from examining the fimalleft Particles and fubtle Texture of those Bodies that are always under our Command, was, that when fuch Objects are brought near enough the Eye

INTRODUCTION. ix

Eye to have their least Parts subtend a fenfible Angle, they become without the Limits of distinct Vision. For as long as the Pupil of the Eye can, by the circular Fibres of the Uvea, be contracted in proportion as the Object is brought nearer, the Cones of Rays from each Point may still be looked upon as Cylinders, and will confequently be brought to a Point in the Focus of the Eye, which is at the Retina, and still make distinct Vision : But this Contraction of the Aperture of the Pupil holding no nearer than about four Inches from the E_{ye} , if the Object is brought nearer than this, the encreafed Magnitude is of no further Service, because the Rays from each Point must be now confidered as diverging, and will confequently after Refraction at the Eye be made to converge to a Focus beyond the Retina, (which is the Place of the Focus of parallel Rays) and fo make confused Vision, and the nearer the Object approaches, the farther is its Image projected beyond the Focus of the E_{ye} , and becomes to much the more confufed. Dioptrics teach us to remedy this Inconveniency two ways, the first is, by looking through an Hole pricked in a thin Plate, suppose of Lead, whose Aperture must be fo much the smaller as the Object is nearer, for this supplies the Place of a far-[c] ther

INTRODUCTION.

X

ther Contraction of the Pupil: But because this leffening the Aperture excludes a great many Rays from each Point, and fo diminifhes the Brightnefs of the Image, and that in a duplicate Ratio of the Diameter of the leffened Aperture, the fame Science has alfo pointed out to us the more curious Invention of the Microscope. By means of this we difcern the admirable Range of the constituent Particles of all fuch Bodies as come within our nearer View and Acquaintance. The Cuticula, or outward Skin of the human Body, is found to be composed of feveral Strata of Scales, lying one over another in different Numbers, according to its different Thickness in different Places: Between thefe Scales the miliary Glands difperfed over the Surface of the whole Body are feen to fend out their excretory Dutes, through which we perfpire; and about one of these Scales the Microscope reckons near 500 fuch DuEts, and that one Grain of Sand will cover 250 fuch Scales: So that one Grain of Sand will cover 125,000 Orig fices of these excretory Ducts. A Discove ry that must make us blefs our felves, and stand astonished at the Infinity of the Creator, when the Creature is fo much beyond our Comprehension ! The inquisitive Mr. LEWENHOEK has obliged the World with a pro-

INTRODUCTION.

a prodigious Number of fuch furprizing Truths, which the curious Reader will find among his Writings. The extreme Ductility and Minuteness of the Particles of Gold is no lefs wonderful; for a Piece of Silver gilt with Leaf-Gold, and drawn into the finest gilt Wire, whose Diameter is is of an Inch, and the Thickness of the Skin of Gold (as Dr. HALLEY has, from the fpecifick Gravities of the two Metals, computed it) not above 114500 of an Inch, difcovers not the least Particle of Silver through the Pores of this Skin of Gold, though viewed by the Microfcope. The Particles of the Duft which flies like Smoke out of the Fungus pulverulentus, or Puff-Ball, when burft, are difeerned to be perfect Spherules of an Orange-colour, fomething transparent, and their Diameters not above , of that of an Hair: So that a Tube of an Hair's Breadth would contain 125,000 fuch Spherules. The Circulation of the Blood, that noble Difcovery of our Immortal HARVEY, is now made visible in the transparent Parts of Animals, fuch as the Fins and Tails of Fifhes, and the Feet of Frogs; and the Anaftomofes of the Arteries and Veins put out of Queftion. It is no lefs instructive and curious to behold the different Organization of the leffer Species of Animals, as the regular [C2] Armour

X1

INTRODUCTION. X.11

Armour of the Flea, the jagged Probofcis of the Tick, and the Briftles of the Mite; and in a Loufe as he ftirs his Legs, you fee the Motion of the Muscles of his Body, whose Tendons feem all to be united in a longish dark Spot in the middle of his Breaft, and the like Motion is obfervable in the Muscles of the feveral Articulations of the Legs, and in those of the Head, as he ftirs his Horns, there alfo appears a great Variety of Branchings of Blood-Veffels, and the Pulfe regularly beating in feveral Arteries, and even the peristaltic Motion of the Intestines, continued from the Stomach all the way to the Anus, which is alfo to be feen in the Flea, and feveral forts of tranfparent Maggots and Caterpillers. Belides these, the Microscope has presented us with an infinite Variety of little Animals, with which the naked Eye can have no Acquaintance. They are observable in different Shapes and Sizes about the green Weeds growing in Water, in feveral aromatic Infusions, and in the standing Water in the Hollow of the Cabbage and Teazle, but in fuch Numbers in that which drains from an Horfe-Dunghil, 'that they appear fometimes as thick as Bees in a Swarm, or Ants on an Hillock, and must be diluted with fair Water to feparate their different Species. The

INTRODUCTION. xiii

The Animalcula in the Semen virile are, of all the Subjects, most worthy our Notice and Admiration, becaufe from this little shapeless Creature we have Reason to believe that the glorious Frame of Man himfelf arifes, and this the rather, becaufe in the Seeds of Plants and Trees the Microscope difcovers the future Plant and Tree already formed, and the Semen mafculinum of other Animals, as Bucks, Gc. are found to be furnished with its Animalcula: Where it is to be remarked, that fometimes the Viscidity of the Semen hinders the Success of Obfervations of this kind, and must in fuch Cafes be diluted with a little warm Water. This Theory of Generation is handsomely and at large explained in the Philosophical Tranfactions by Dr. GARDEN. The Use of Microscopes has found that loathfome, catching Diftemper the Itch to be occasioned by the Depredations made upon the Skin by a certain Species of voracious Animalcula, which are described in the Philosophical Iransactions by a Foreigner in a Letter to Dr. MEAD; and indeed feems to promife the finishing Hand to the Science of Medicine : For if we can once, by a fufficient Number of Experiments, determine the different Change of the Texture of the Blood in every different Diftemper from that which it enjoys in its natural

xiv INTRODUCTION.

natural and healthful State, and by mixing the fmallest Particles of feveral Medicines with it, find out those which will again reduce it to that natural State, there feems to be nothing more wanting to the practic Part; and if the true mechanic Theory of all these different Changes be ever to be known whilst we live in this Cloud of Flesh, I'm fure we must have the Data for it from the Microscope. The Method of estimating the Magnitude of microscopical Objects feen by a fingle Lens only, being fo eafy that any one who knows ever fo little of plane Trigonometry will cafily hit of it himfelf, is not mentioned in this Book; befides that it is already given by Dr. KEILL in his Pbyfical Lectures; where he flews that an Animalculum placed at the Diftance of to of an Inch before a fingle Lens, and feen through it under an Angle of one Minute in Length, is nearly ---- of an Inch long; and if its Figure were cubical, the Magnitude of it would be zy of a cubick Inch. From whence he concludes with a great deal of Reafon, that what fome philofophical People dream of Angels, may very well be applied to these Animalcula, that when they have a mind to be merry, feveral thousand Couples of them may lead up a Country-Dance upon the Point of a Needle. I am

INTRODUCTION. XV

I am fenfible I need fay no more in Recommendation of the Subject: And for fo much of the Book as is Dr. GREGORY's, the very Name of the Man gives it fufficient Reputation. But I am confcious that Part which I have attempted to add flands in need of fome Name to recommend it with which the World is much better acquainted than with mine: And for that Reafon I have obtained the Favour of making use of those of Dr. DESAGULIERS and Mr. JONES; Gentlemen against whose Judgment in these Matters their Approbation of the following Papers is the only poffible Objection; and whole Names can never fail of meeting with that Efteem which they deferve, when fixed to any thing of their own, however they may happen to be treated for appearing in this Place to recommend what is mine.

W. BROWNE.



PRE-





PREFACE.



HESE Elements of Catoptrics and Dioptrics, which were eleven Tears ago read publickly in Lectures, in the University of

Edinborough (1684) I have composed for the Use of young Students, in such a manner, that nothing but EUCLID's Geometry is required towards the understanding them. For though I have likewife demonstrated from higher Principles, why Spheres and Conoids observe the same Laws, both in reflecting and refracting Light; yet those who are folicitous only about the Properties of Plane and Sperical Surfaces, may, without the least Inconveniency, pass over all that. These last are what we have more especially considered, as also such Optical Instruments as are made by a Combina-2

PREFACE.

Combination of them; that is, whofe Effects arife either from a fingle Lens or Speculum, or from several combined together. I have, as KEPLER did before me, made use of some Postulata, that come not quite up to Geometrical Strictness, but yet are of great Service in resolving Questions in Natural Philosophy, which would otherwife be extremely intricate. If these Elements be found capable of instructing such as are less converfant in Optics, I shall have my End.

the Ute of young 5 N

Oxon. 1695 that withing but FUCTID's Genetica



reactived to wards the ander fainting them

INTRODUCTION.



HOSE Queftions concerning the Nature of LUCID BODIES, and of LIGHT, which ufually coft Philofophical Writers fo much Pains and Trouble, we have, after

the Example of Mathematicians, omitted. For if they, who by their Inventions, have fo much improved this Science, had employed all their Time in enquiring into the absolute Nature of its Object, and the most hidden Causes of its Phanomena, not contented with deducing after a Geometrical Manner from those more fimple and eafily obferved Properties of Light, others lefs obvious; Optics had fallen much fhort of that Perfection to which they are now arrived. Therefore whether Light be the Action of the lucid Body driving on those Bodies that lie next it, which likewife drive on others next to them, and fo on of the reft, none of them in the mean time fingly moving any confiderable Space; or whether it confifts, which is much more likely, of Corpufcles projected with a very great Velocity from the lucid Body thro' the circumambient Spaces ; or whether it be of a quite different Nature; and fuch as

a 2

may

iv INTRODUCTION.

may hereafter, or perhaps never, be perfectly difcovered; yet we may eafily be allowed to affume this Property of it, which is fimple enough, and confirmed by Experiments, That from every lucid Point, Rays are every way propagated in an Orb, and, in a Medium that is homogeneal, are diffufed in right Lines (fuch being the *fhort*eft) after the fame uniform Tenour.

But if those Rays meet with a Medium differently affected, whole Parts either strike them back, or diffuse them more or lefs than the Parts of the former Medium did, they will then fuffer an Inflexion, by which general Name, I would, with other Authors, understand their Reflexion, as well as Refraction. For Light firiking upon a Surface, that abfolutely denies it Entrance, but yet hinders not its being diffused after the fame manner as before, will all of it return back the easiest way it can find, diffusing itself still as at first; this is called Reflexion of Light, and the Science which treats of the Laws it obferves, according to the different Incidence of Rays upon Bodies of different Figures, is called Catoptrics. But if the Medium, upon which the Light strikes, allows indeed a Passage to its Rays, but then fo as that they must be either more or lefs diffused than before, every Ray will be inflected from YEAR

INTRODUCTION.

from the right Line, in which it was before difpofed to proceed, and this Inflexion is called *Refraction*; and the Science which demonstrates the Laws and Effects of it, is called *Dioptrics*.

The Radiant is that, from every Point of which Rays are propagated.

Parallel Rays, are fuch as are equi-distant from one another.

Diverging Rays, are fuch, as if produced both ways, meet on the Side contrary to that towards which they move.

Converging Rays, are such as, if produced, meet on the same Side towards which they move.

It must be observed, that this *Parallelism*; Divergency, and Convergency, is to be understood of Rays proceeding all from the same Point.

The Focus is that Point, in which Rays proceeding from the fame Point of the Radiant, being produced, do meet ; whence the Focus of parallel Rays is looked upon as infinitely diftant.

vi INTRODUCTION.

The Angle of Incidence, is that which is made by the incident Ray, and a right Line perpendicular to the inflecting Surface at the Point of Incidence.

from the right Line, in which it was be-

The Angle of Reflexion, is that which is made by the reflected Ray, and the fame Perpendicular.

The Angle of Refraction, is that which is made by the refracted Ray, and the fame Perpendicular produced.

fuch as are equi-di-

avs. meet on the Side contra-

The two following Propositions we have assumed for Axioms, because they agree both with Geometrical Reasoning and Experiments.

AXIOMS.

1. A Ray of Light falling perpendicularly upon an inflecting Surface, either proceeds directly forward, or is reflected back upon itfelf. For fince the Direction of the Ray to the inflecting Surface is, of all that can be drawn from the radiant Point, either the *least*, if the inflecting Surface be a Plane, or perhaps, where this Circumstance is wanting, the greatest, and in both Cases a determinate, and only one of its kind, the Ray will still persist in the

vii INTRODUCTION.

the fame Direction, either proceeding forward, or returning backward. For there are innumerable right Lines inclined to this only one in any given Angle, no one of which can confequently claim to its felf the Direction of the Ray with greater Juflice than the reft.

2. If a Plane be supposed, produced thro' the incident Ray, and a right Line perpendicular to the inflecting Surface at the Point of Incidence, the inflected Ray will likewife be found in the fame Plane; or, which is the fame thing, every Inflexion is made in a Surface that is perpendicular to the inflecting Surface. And this Surface shall, according to ALHAZEN, be called the Plane of Inflexion. For fince this Plane is either the least or greateft of all that can be produced thro' the radiant Point, to the inflecting Surface, and confequently an only one, the Proposition is demonstrated after the fame manner as the former: And indeed if we more clofely confider it, we shall find the former to be only a particular Cafe of this latter.

THE




THE ELEMENTS OF CATOPTRICS.

PROP. I. THEOR. I.

belufite e

IF a Ray of Light be reflected from a plane Surface, the Angle of Reflection will be equal to the Angle of Incidence. [Plate 1. Fig 1.]

Tho' the Demonstration of this Theorem belongs more properly to Physics, and a Mathematician might very well take it for granted, as sufficiently proved by Experiments; yet in Compliance to Custom, we shall here give a Demonstration of it, and That such a one, as shall have no Dependance upon any Party of Philosophers whatever.

Let A B fignify a Ray proceeding from the Radiant Point A, and falling upon the plane reflecting Surface, G C at B. I fay its reflected Ray B E will be fuch, that the Angle of Reflection P B E (B P being fuppofed perpendicular to G C) will be equal to the Angle of Incidence P B A.

From the Radiant Point A, let fall A C perpendicular to the Surface GC. All the Rays comprehended between AB and AC, and which poffefs, in the reflecting Plane, the Right Line BC (the common Section of the Plane of Inflection with the reflecting Plane) would, if the Medium GC de were penetrable to Light and homogeneous with the former EBCD, be diffused in the fame constant Tenour; that is, at the Distance Be beyond GC, they would be diffused into de, ABe and ACd being fuppofed Right Lines. And fince, by Supposition, the plane reflecting Surface BC, neither encreases nor diminishes the Diffusion of those Rays (for if it were otherwife, which no Experiments yet give us any reason to fuspect; it is plain the Angle of Reflection as well as of Refraction would, for the fame Reafons, become unequal to the Angle of Incidence: For the due inflecting of which Rays, CARTESIUS, has contrived fuch Conoids as are proper, (Geom. lib. 2) but only ftrikes them back upon the fame Medium in which they were be-19 J. forc;

fore; that is, upon a Medium where the Rays are dispersed or diffused after the fame manner as at first; it is plain, that after they are reflected from the Surface G C, the Rays will be difperfed after the fame manner, as they would have been, if they had never met with the Surface CG, but, (that being removed,) had still remained in the fame Medium. In which cafe, when the Diftance is increased by the Excess Be, the Rays would be difperfed thro' the Space ed. Wherefore it is plain, that at the Diftance BE equal to Be, they will be difpers'd thro' the Right Line DE, equal to the Right Line de. But the Ray AC is reflected upon it self, wherefore DE is equal to de, and the Figure BC DE every way fimilar and equal to the Figure BC de; and indeed is the very fame reflected, or revolved half way about the fixed Right Line BC. Therefore if from the equal Angles EBC, eBC, the right Angles PBC, ABC be taken away, the Angles EBP, eBp will remain equal. But ABP is equal to eBp, therefore the Angle of Incidence PBA, is equal to the Angle of Reflection PBE. 2, E. D. Hence the Anales

are they de by fame call'd the Angles of

idence and Reflection are alfo equals

в 2 Scно-

SCHOLIUM.

In laying down this Law of Reflection, whereby the Angles ABP, EBP, are made equal, great Refpect is had to the *Maximum* and *Minimum*. For the Sum of the Right Lines AB, BE is a *Minimum*; that is, lefs than the Sum of any others drawn from the fame Points A and E, to any other Point of the Plane GC: and fo vice verfa. For it is requifite that the Courfe which the Ray (reflected from the Plane GC) takes from A to E, fhould be the fhorteft of all. Becaufe Nature ever acts by the most easy and expeditious Methods.

But if the Reflection be from a curve Surface, the aforementioned Sum of Rays (or perhaps their Difference) is fometimes a Maximum. For Mathematicians know how near the Relation is between a Maximum and a Minimum, between the Sum and the Difference, and how eafy the Transition is from one to the other.

COROL, I.

Hence the Angles E B G, A B C (which are likewife by fome call'd the Angles of Incidence and Reflection) are alfo equal: for they are the Complements of the former to right Angles.

COROL.

COROL. 2.

If the Reflected Ray be looked upon as an Incident Ray, the Incident Ray will vice versa, be its Reflected Ray.

COROL. 3.

If the Angles PBE, PBA, or the Angles GBE, CBA be equal, BE will be the Reflected Ray belonging to AD.

PROP. II. PROBL. I.

THE Focus of Rays falling upon a plane Speculum being given, to find the Focus of those Rays after their Reflection from the Surface of the Speculum. [Plate 1. Fig 2.]

From the given Focus A, draw the Right Line A C perpendicular to the plane Speculum CF, which produce to a, that C a may be equal to CA; a will be the Focus required. Let A D be an incident Ray, join a D, and produce it.

Becaufe in the Triangles ACD, aCD the Sides AC, aC, are equal, and the Angles ACD, aCD alfo equal, becaufe both right, and the Side CD is common to both, therefore the Angle ADC is equal to aDC; but aDC is equal to EDF; therefore ADC, EDF

EDF are equal. And confequently DE, by Corol 3. Prop. I. is the Ray reflected from the plane Speculum CF, belonging to AD. The fame may be flown of any other Ray proceeding from the Point A. and falling upon the Speculum. From whence it is plain, that the Rays diverging from A, after their Reflection from the plane Speculum CF, will diverge from the Point a: that is, those Rays, whose Focus before Reflection was A, will after their Reflection have a for their Focus. 2. E. D.

SCHOLIUM.

Since the Eye in any Polition, as at O, will receive the Rays thus reflected, after the fame manner, as if they really had proceeded from the Point *a*, it is plain the Image must appear in that Place : Because the Rays diverging from the Point *a*, affect the Eye after the fame manner, as if that were the primary Radiant.

that Ca may be equal to CA; a will be the Frens required to SAQ D be an inci-

From this Prop. and Corol. 2. Prop. I it follows, that the Rays E D, O B converging towards the Focus a, will after their Reflection from the Speculum C F, converge towards the Focus A: and that fuch a Position of the Plane C F, may be easily

eafily affigned, as fhall make the Angle OBA equal to any given Angle; which is done by taking OBF equal to half the Complement of the given Angle to two right ones.

differ as the Right Hand from the Left, or as a Figure. 2. JONOD in the Left,

In a plane Speculum, the Image of any radiant Point is feen in that Place where the Reflected Ray OB which paffes thro' the Centre of the Eye, meets with the perpendicular AC, let fall from the radiant Point upon the Speculum. Whence, tho' every part of the Speculum, except B, were covered or taken away, the Image would neverthelefs be visible: and if the Speculum at B be covered, tho' all the reft be open, the Image will not be feen at all.

COROL. 3.

The Images of Objects that are inclined to the Plane of the Speculum, are inclined to the plane of the Speculum after the fame manner as the Objects themfelves; and therefore in a plane Horizontal Speculum, vertical Objects appear inverted.

This and what follows will be eafily underftood, if the Object be conceived as made up of feveral radiant Points; and the Image of every one be attended to: For of all these together the Image of the Object confist.

v alligned, as mali, make the Angle

COROL. 4.

'A radiant Plane and its Image made by a plane Speculum, are equal and fimilar Figures, but not fimilarly placed. They differ as the Right Hand from the Left, or as a Figure engraven upon a Copper Plate, does from the Impression of it taken upon Paper. For they will fit if they come together.

COROL. 5.

te inve, meens n

Becaufe the Right Line *a* B is equal to AB, *a*O will be equal to AB and BO together; that is, the Diffance of the Image from the Eye, is equal to the Incident and reflected Ray taken both together.

COROL. 6.

Whatfoever has been faid of the Image of any Object or primary Radiant, holds true alfo of the Image of another Image. From whence arifes that Multiplication of Images, made by two or more plane Specula duly pofited; in which it is principally to be obferved, that the Diftance of any Image from the Eye, is equal to a Ray propagated from the primary Radiant, thro' all the intermediate Reflections to the Eye.

LEM-

Object confults.

LEMMA.

A Ray of Light is inflected by a curve-Surface after the fame Manner, as it wou'd be by a plane Surface, touching the Curve in the Point of Fig. 3. Incidence.

Let P Q be any curve Surface, (for here, in the Figure, as likewife in all Cafes hereafter, the common Sections of the inflecting Surface, and the touching Plane, with the Plane of Inflection, are used for the inflecting Surface, and the touching Plane themfelves : because every Inflection is perform'd in the Plane of Inflection, as is demonstrated in Axiom 2. And for the fame Reafon in the Room of a folid Figure, we make use of a plane one defcribed in the Plane of Inflection; which faves us a great many Words) upon which the Ray A B falls at B. Now fince the Ray is of a Thicknefs not confiderable, the Particle, in the Curve Surface B, upon which it falls, will be extremely fmall. But the Inclination or Direction of the Curve Surface at B, is the fame with the Inclination of the Plane DE touching it in that Point : Wherefore the Inflection which depends upon the Direction, is likewife the fame, whether it be conceiv'd, as occasion'd by the Particle B in the Curve Surface P B Q, or in the plane . C

plane one D B E. For as to the Inflection of the Ray A B, it matters not how the reft of the Surface is bent, if the Inclination of the fmall Particle B, upon which it falls, does but remain the fame.

It wou'd be eafy to demonstrate the fame Thing, as the Antients did, from hence, that the Angle of Contact D B P, or E B Q, is lefs than any rectilineal one; and that a Plane may be found fo inclined to the Plane D B E, that the Difference between the Inflections that are made by them both, fhall be lefs than any given Inflection. For from hence it will follow, that the Inflection of the Ray A B, made at the Particle B of the Curve Surface, is no way different from that which is made at the Particle B of the plane Surface D B E, touching the Curve Surface in B.

PROP. III. PROB. II.

O find the Focus of Parallel Rays falling upon a given Spherical Speculum, (or to find the Image of a vaftly diftant radiant Point) with respect to an Eye placed in the Axis of the Speculum, which is parallel to the incident Rays.

Thro'

Thro' A the Centre of the Speculum, draw the right Line A B parallel to the incident Rays, meeting the Speculum in B. Biffect A B in C, I fay C is the Focus required.

In this, as well as in all the following Propositions, we suppose the Point D to be extremely near the Point B. And this is neceffary, in order that the reflected Ray belonging to the incident one E D, may meet with the Eye, which, by Supposition, is placed in A B, or the fame produced : For the reflected Rays belonging to those that fall more remote from the Point B, do, after their diverging from their Focus, pass beyond the Pupil of the Eye; and confequently contribute nothing towards feeing the Image. Befides, of those Rays that enter the Pupil of the Eye, they that fall most directly, or neareft the Middle of the Pupil; (that is, that are reflected from those Points that are nearest to the Point B,) conduce more towards feeing the Image, than those that enter the Eye near the Extremes of the Pupil : Becaule those that fall most directly, and clofe to one another, move the Senfe more forcibly, than those that fall more oblique and fcatter'd. For which Reafons, we need have refpect only to those that fall nearest to the Vertex B, which holds good in all the Propositions following. Let C 2

Fig. 4. Fig. 4. Let E D be one of the parallel incident Rays. Draw A D, C D, and produce them.

Becaufe the Point D almost coincides with B; C D will be nearly equal to C B: But by Construction, C A is equal to C B; therefore CA, CD are equal; and therefore the Angle CAD, CDA are likewife equal. But the Angle CAD is equal to EDA, which is the Angle of Incidence; for the right Line A D is perpendicular to the Surface of the Sphere. Whence the Angle CDA is equal to the Angle of Incidence of the Ray E D. Therefore, by Corol. 3. Theor. 1. D C is the reflected Ray belonging to the incident one E D. Moreover. the Angles at the Vertex, E D A, e Do, and CDA, NDo being equal, the reflected Ray N D, belonging to the Ray e D parallel to B A, and falling upon the convex Surface, will, if produced backward, go to C. And what is demonstrated of any one Ray E D taken at pleafure, is true of all the reft in the fame Circumstances. Wherefore, fuch Rays as are parallel to A B, and which, when reflected, conduce to Vision, if they fall upon a Concave Sphere, are, after Reflection, collected in C : and from thence again diverging, make the image to be feen in that Place, by an Eye placed in the Axis. The reflected Ray belonging 13.1 to

to the very Axis it felf does alfo, as it were, diverge from the Point C, in the Middle between B and A : For the fame Thing happens to it, as to any other Ray reflected from B D, that cuts the right Line A B in a Point equally diftant from the Centre A, and the Point of Incidence. But Rays that fall upon the Convex Side, do after Reflection, diverge from C, and make the Image appear in the Point C, (or which is all one, in a Point whofe Diftance from C is lefs than any given one) to an Eye placed in A B produced. Q, E. D.

COROL. STITUTE

iv teuch one another, have the lante Pow-

and in producing other Physical

Hence, and from *Corol.* 2. *Theor.* I. it follows, that Rays diverging from C, and reflected from the Concave Surface, or converging towards C, and reflected from the Convex Surface, will be parallel to the right Line A B, joining the Centre of the Sphere, and the Point C.

SCHOLIUM. Fig. 5.

this I fave will, in Phylical Bhotes,

If with the Vertex B, the Axis BG, and a Parameter equal to the right Line F B, a Parabola be deferibed, it will be the *leaft* of all that can be circumferibed about the given Circle F B; or the Circle F B will be the greatest of all that can be inferibed with-

within that Parabola, by Corol. 1. Prop. XX. lib. 1. Vincentii Viviani de Maximis & Minimis. And this Circle and Parabola will, at the Point B, have the fame Degree of Curvity, (to use KEEPLER's Words, in Cap. VII. Prop. XX. Paralipom. in Vitellionem) and are there most intimately united. For as the Contact of Lines is equivalent to two Interfections, and is really no way different from two Interfections infinitely near to one another, as Mathematicians know very well, and for the fame Reafon Surfaces that mutually touch one another, have the fame Power in inflecting Rays that fall upon the Place of Contact, and in producing other Phyfical Effects, as is shewn in the foregoing Lemma : So this more intimate Union, and which is equivalent to four Interfections, and confequently the most intimate that can be between the Circle and conick Section, (as the Contact between this laft and a right Line is of all the most intimate) this, I fay, will, in Phyfical Effects, that depend upon Surfaces generated by the Revolutions of these Lines, produce a farther Equipollency. For as Rays that are parallel to G B, are by the concave Parabolick Conoid B D, reflected exactly to its Focus C, which is diftant from the Vertex B, by a fourth Part of the Parameter of the generating Parabola ; fo the 111177 fame

fame Rays reflected from the concave Sphere, are collected very nearly in the fame Point; and the fame Speculation holds in feveral other Phyfical Matters.

PROP. IV. PROBL. III.

THE Focus of Diverging Rays being given, whose Distance from a given concave Spherical Speculum, is greater than the fourth part of its Diameter; To find the Focus of the fame Rays after their Reflection from the forementioned Speculum, with respect to an Eye placed in its Axis. Fig. 6.

Through A the Centre of the Sphere, and the given Focus E, draw a right Line, meeting the Spherical Surface in the Vertex B; this I call the Axis of Radiation; and fuppofe the Eye to be placed in it fome where or other. In this right Line take the Point C fuch, that BC may be to C A, as B E is to E A. I fay C is the Focus required.

Let any Ray proceeding from E, fall upon the Concave Surface of the Sphere at the Point D, near enough the Vertex B, (for we have nothing to do with those that fall more remote, because after Reflection they affect not the Eye placed in E B, as has before been shown) in which Case E D will be nearly equal to E B, and may in Physical

Physical Matters be taken for it. Draw A D, C D. After the fame manner C D is equal to C B; and from whence by Construction CD will be to CA, as DE to E A. And inverting the Proportion, CD is to DE, as CA to EA. Wherefore by Euc. Elem. VI. 3. the Angles A D E, ADC are equal; but ADE is the Angle of Incidence of the Ray E D, and confequently D C (by Corol. 3. Theor. I.) is the Ray E D reflected from the concave Speculum. And fince the Ray ED is taken at Pleafure, it is plain the Focus of all the Rays diverging from E, after Reflection, will be in C, with Respect to an Eye placed in the Axis E B. Q. E. D.

COROL.

Hence likewife will be given the Focus C, of Rays e D converging, in the forementioned Circumstances, towards the given Focus E, and reflected from a given convex Spherical Speculum.

SCHOLIUM. Fig. 7.

Rays diverging from E, are reflected from the Concave Sphere B D converging to C, for this reafon; becaufe the Circle B D defcribed on the Centre A (by whofe Rotation the Sphere is generated) has the fame Degree of Curvity with an *Ellipfis*, defcribed upon any *Foci* C and E (found

as

as in the foregoing Prop.) through B, and generating an oblong Spheroid, by which, as is commonly known, Rays diverging from one of the Foci, are from the concave Surface reflected, converging towards the other of them.

Draw the leffer Semi-axis H K, join the right Lines C K, E K, each of which are equal to the Semi-axis B H. From the Centre H upon C K, let fall the perpendicular H L.

It is plain I. K is half the Latus Rectum belonging to the Axis BG, because it is a Third proportional to the right Lines CK and HK. (Which is alfo true, if from H the Point of Interfection of the greater Axis, with the right Line KH bilecting the Angle comprehended by the right Lines drawn from the Foci to any Point of the Curve, a right Line be let fall perpendicular upon either of the forefaid right Lines.) And confequently CL is half the difference between the greater Axis and its Latus Rectum; and is also a Third proportional to the right Lines, KC, CH, that is, to the greater Semi-axis, and half the distance of the Foci. Again, (by the Construction in the preceeding Prop.) B C is to CA, as BE to EA; from whence by changing and inverting the Proportion, BE will be to BC (EG) as AE to AC. Therefore BE+EG. BE-BC::AE+AC.A

AE-AC, that is, BG is to CE, as CE to AE - AC. And taking the halves of them, BH is to HC, as HC to HA: That is, HA is a Third proportional to the greater Semi-axis, and half the Diftance of the Foci ; whence it is equal to half the difference between the greater Axis and its Latus Rectum. Wherefore A B is half the Latus Retturn. And confequently the Circle BD is (by Corol. 1. Prop. XX. lib. 1. Vinc. Viviani) the greateft of all that can touch the Ellipsis BG on the infide at B. Wherefore (as is shown in the Scholium of the preceeding Prop.) it will in B have the fame Curvity with the forementioned Ellipfis. From whence it comes to pass, that a Sphere thence generated, performs the fame Thing in reflecting very nearly, which a Spheroid generated by the Elliptis does exactly. mon either of the foreland

PROP. V. PROBL. IV.

tivean the groater Maisand its a

HER DIATA

AT STREET AND THE

THE Focus of diverging Rays being given, whole Distance from a given Concave Speculum, is less than the Fourth Part of the Diameter of the Speculum; To find their Focus after Restection from the forementioned Speculum, with respect to an Eye placed in the Axis. Fig. 8.

Through

Through A, the Centre of the Sphere, and the given *Focus* E, draw a right Line, meeting the Spherical Surface in B. In this take the Point C fuch, that B C may be to C A, as BE to E A. I fay C is the *Focus* required.

Let E D be any one of the incident Rays, draw A D, C D, and produce them; draw likewife the right Line, E R, parallel to the right Line C D.

Since the Arch BD is extremely finall, ED, EB, and CD, CB will be equal. Wherefore ED is to EA, as CD to CA; that is, because (DC, ER are parallel) as ER to EA; therefore the right Lines, ER, ED, and confequently the Angles, ERD, EDR are equal. But EDR is the Angle of Incidence of the Ray ED, and ERD is equal to its alternate NDA, wherefore (by Corol. 3. Theor. 1.) DN is the reflected Ray belonging to the incident one ED. And fince ED is taken at pleafure, it is plain that all the Rays proceeding from E, after they are reflected from the Concave Spherical Surface, will, if they be produced backwards, meet in C, or will have their Focus in C. 2. E. D.

theo I, data W.R. parallal meeting the

right Lone PD, produced in R. The Arch

produce it. Tothis

and

-Le zachesel et a Dizas Coroi.

Base C D WEED d mon

COROL.

Hence, and from Corol. 2. Theor. 1. may be found the Focus E, of the Rays N D, converging towards a given Focus C, and reflected from a given concave spherical Surface B D.

PROP. VI. PROB. V.

THE Focus of Diverging Rays being given; To find their Focus after Reflection from a given Convex Spherical Speculum, with respect to an Eye placed in the Axis. Fig. 9.

Thro'A, the Centre of the Sphere, and the given *Focus* E, draw a right Line meeting the spherical Surface in B: In this take the Point C in such manner, that A C may be to C B, as A E to E B. I fay C is the *Focus* required.

Let ED be any incident Ray proceeding from E, draw CD and produce it. To this thro' E, draw E R parallel, meeting the right Line AD, produced in R. The Arch BD being evanefcent, for the Reafons already given, CD will be equal to CB, and

and ED to EB; and therefore AC is to CD, as A E to ED, but because of the equi-angular Triangles ACD, AER, AC is to CD, as A E to ER. Therefore A E is to ED, as A E to ER. Wherefore ER is equal to E D. Therefore the Angle ERD, or its equal NDR, is equal to the Angle EDR, that is, to the Angle of Incidence of the Ray ED. From whence it follows, that DN is the reflected Ray of the incident ED. And fince ED is taken any how, it is plain, all the Rays diverging from the Focus E, and entring the Eye, whofe Position is given, will, after their Reflection from the convex Spherical Surface B D, diverge from the Focus C. Q. E. D.

COROL.

Hence may be found the Focus E, towards which the Rays N D (converging before Reflection towards a given Focus C, whofe Diftance from the Speculum is lefs than the Fourth Part of its Diameter,) do, after their Reflection from a given convex Speculum, converge.

SCHOLIUM. Fig. 10.

It had been eafy to have explained and demonstrated these two last Propositions, and

and their Corollaries, in the fame Words, and with different Figures, but this would have bred Confusion to Beginners. However, one Scholium will ferve for both, to show that the Circle B D has the fame Degree of Curvity, with the Hyperbola defcribed upon the Foci C and E, through the Point B, by the Rotation of which Curve round its Axis, is generated the Surface of an Hyperbolick Conoid, performing exactly the propofed Reflection.

Draw the fecond Diameter K M. To the joined right Line BK, erect K L perpendicular, meeting the Axis G B in L, and HL will be a Third proportional to the right Lines, BH and HK, and confequently equal to half the latus Rectum belonging to the Axis BG. And fince, from the Nature of the Foci, the Rectangle GCB is equal to the Square of the right Line KH; adding to both the Square of BH; the Squares of the right Lines BK and HC will be equal: Wherefore B K, H C are equal. And because of the Rectangular Triangle BKL, BL, or the Sum of half the Transverse Axis, and half the latus Rectum, is a third proportional to the right Lines HB, BK; or to the right Lines HB, HC; That is, to half the Transverse Axis, and half the Distance of the Foci. . Again (by the Construction in the two preceeding Prop.) BC is to CA, as BE to

to E A. Wherefore BE is to E G, as AE to A C. Therefore BE-EG. BE+ EG :: AE - AC. AE + AC, that is, BG is to CE, as CE to AE-AC. And taking the halves of them, BH is to CH, as CH is to HA: That is, HA is a third proportional to half the Transverse Axis, and half the Diftance of the Foci. Therefore HA is equal to BL, or to the Sum of half the Transverse Axis, and half the latus Rectum. Wherefore A B is half the latus Rectum, and confequently the Circle B D is (by Corol. 1. Prop. 20. lib. 1. Vinc. Viviani) the greatest of all that can touch the Hyperbola BG on the infide at B. Therefore, as has been flown already, it is equally curve in B with the Hyperbola. And hence it is, that the Surface of the Sphere, generated by the Circle, performs very nearly the fame Thing in reflecting, which the Surface of the Hyperbolick Conoid does exactly: That is, it changes the Focus of the Rays E, into C; or the Focus C into E, as has been fhown in Prop. V. and VI.

Brine de 18 more un rentel, and futneme

to elevanies the incere fron by the type

however placed: Lanti e veffection here

be there is a bay its preated Gir Is B Downorfs

Ben I beiel Date Date South Stind I maked

PROP.

2 I

PROP. VII. PROB. VI.

THE Focus of Rays falling upon a given spherical Speculum being given; To find the Focus of the same Rays after Reflection, with respect to an Eye (even any where out of the Axis) given in Position. Fig. 2.

Hitherto we have fuppofed the Eye plaeed in the Axis of Radiation; that is, in a right Line drawn through the radiant Point, and the Center of the reflecting Sphere, both becaufe most optical Instruments are made after this Manner, and becaufe the Image seen by the Eye so placed, is much more lively and distinct than any other, because it is formed by Rays least scattered, and most exactly reflected, and upon this Account challenges to it felf alone the Title of an Image.

But that it may appear that the Method before used in constructing Physical Problems, and demonstrating them when constructed, is more universal, and sufficient to determine the Image, seen by the Eye, however placed: Let the reflecting Sphere be signified by its greatest Circle BD, whose Plane passes through the radiant Point E, and O the Center of the Eye. It is required to find the Image of the Point E made by

made by the reflected Rays, with refpect to an Eye placed at O.

It is plain in the first Place, that the Image will be found fomewhere in the reflected Ray paffing thro' O. To find that Ray, this Problem must be folved, by which having two Points E and O, and (in the fame Plane) the reflecting Circle given; it is required to find fuch a Point in the Circumference of the Circle, that a Ray falling upon it from either of the given Points shall be reflected to the other of them. And this is Prop. XXXIX. Lib. V. Optice Albazeni, to which he has premifed 7 or 8 Lemmata, and is now commonly called Albazen's Problem. The Problem is in its Nature folid, and not to be constructed universally without the Interfection of a Conick Section with the given Circle. The Construction of this Problem has been published by feveral eminent Geometers, Barrow, Slusius, &c. but most elegantly by the most noble C. Huygens in the Philosophical Transactions, No. 98. We proceed therefore to determine exactly the Point it felf C, where the Image is feen in the right Line O B, (drawn as those famous Men have directed).

Produce EB, OB, till they again meet the Circle in P and R. Bifect the Right Lines BR, BP in S and A, and divide SB in C fo, that S C may be to C B, as AE DELS. E

A E to E B. I fay the Image by the Eye in O will be feen in C; and not in the Meeting of the reflected Ray DN with the right Line E Q, joining the radiant Point and the Centre of the Sphere, as *Euclid* in *Theor.* 17. and 18. *Catoptrice*, and others would have it.

Let the Ray E D fall upon the Point D, very near the Point B: For only those Rays, that fall after this Manner, do, after their Reflection, enter the Pupil of the Eye placed at O. Those that fall at a greater Diftance, after Reflection, pafs belide the Eye, and conduce nothing at all to Vision. Wherefore in inveftigating the Point C, where the Image is formed, D must be fuppofed to coincide with B: In which Cafe ED = EB, CD = CB, AD = AB, and SD = SB. Moreover, becaule OB is the reflected Ray of EB, the Angles ABQ, SBQ will be equal; and the Point D approaching near to B, and at last coinciding with it, the Angles ADQ, SDQ coinciding with the former ABQ, and SBQ (at least being very little different from them) will in that Cafe be likewife equal. But farther, the Point D approaching to B, the ultimate Angles, or the fmall and evanefcent ones, DAB, DQB, DSB will alfo be equal : For the Circle drawn through Q, and the coinciding Points D and B; that is, described on the Diameter BQ, AA and

and confequently touching the Circle BP QR on the infide, passes through the middle Points of the right Lines B P, B R, howfoever drawn from the Point B: And confequently the Angles DAB, DQB; DSB, in this Cafe, are in the fame Segment of the Circle paffing through the Points D, B, S, Q and A. Thefe Things being laid down, which follow from the Coincidence of the Point D with B; fince, by Construction, A E is to E B, as SC to CB, AE will be to DE, as SC to CD. And fince in Triangles, the Sides are as the Sines of the Angles fubtended by them; the Sine of the Angle ADO, or MDA, will be to the Sine of the Angle DAB, as the Sine of the Angle CDS is to the Sine of the Angle DSB; becaufe therefore the Confequents are equal, the Antecedents will likewife be equal; and confequently the Angles to which they relate, namely ADM and CDS, are equal. But it has been shewn before, that the Angles ADQ, SDQ are equal : Therefore the Angles QDM, QDC, and those oppofed to them at the Vertex LDE, LDN are equal. Wherefore ND is the reflected Ray of the Incident one ED. And the fame may be fhewn of the other Rays, that meet with the Eye at O; namely, that their reflected Rays will diverge from the Point C. Wherefore to the Eye receiving thefe E 2

these Rays only, the Image of the radiant Point E, made by Reflection, will appear at C. Q. E. D.

COROL. I.

his Calles are up the

Hence it follows, that Rays converging towards the Point C, will, after their Reflection from a convex fpherical Speculum, converge towards E, and there form the Image, for an Eye placed in the right Line B E, any where beyond E. As likewife that the Image of the radiant Point C, made by Reflection from a concave fpherical Speculum, will, to an Eye placed in the right Line E B produced, as in A, appear in E,

COROL. 2.

er off the Aitcle D St8 r becauter there-

But if the radiant Point E be vaftly diftant, then the *Ratio* of the right Line A E to E B becomes a *Ratio* of Equality, and by Conftruction S C is equal to C B: That is, the Image of the vaftly diftant radiant Point fituated in A B produced, made by a convex or concave *Speculum*, with refpect to an Eye placed any where in B R, or the fame produced, will be in the middle Point of the right Line B S.

The Eye being placed in the Axis of Radiation, that is, the right Lines BA and BS

BS coinciding with the right Line BQ, this fame Conftruction ferves, and degenerates into the Conftructions of the four preceding Propositions.

But the fpherical Surface being changed into a plane one, then this Conftruction will be changed into that of *Prob.* I. For becaufe the right Lines A E, S C are in that Cafe infinite, but their Difference remains still finite, the *Ratio* of them will be a *Ratio* of Equality: Therefore E B and B C, which are proportional to them, are equal. From whence it is plain, that this one Construction of this *Prob.* VI. contains all the former ones, as being more fimple; which is frequent in Geometry.

SCHOLIUM.

The fore-mentioned Properties do therefore belong to the Circle BDR, becaufe it has the fame Curvity at the Point B, with a conick Section defcribed through B upon the *Foci* C and E; which, as is known, reflects the Rays diverging exactly from C. The Equality of Curvity in the forefaid Cafe is from thence manifest: That the Segment of the Diameter of the Section (produced if need be) cut by the equicurve Circle, is equal to the Latus Rectum of that Diameter : And vice versa.

PROP. VIII. THEOR. II.

THE Image of a radiant Plane Surface made by a Spherical Speculum, is also a Plane Surface. Fig. 12, 13.

Hitherto we have determined the Image of any radiant Point with refpect to an Eye, placed, either in the fame Axis of the Speculum with the radiant Point, or out of it. From whence it is easy to determine the Images of radiant Bodies, because made up of radiant Points. But to the more eafy Determination of it, as likewife to many other Things belonging to Practice, the Theorem before us will not a little conduce : / In which we make use of that Image of any Point, which is feen by an Eye placed in the fame Axis with the radiant Point. For we fpeak not here of those Images, that are feen by the Eye in any other Polition (which yet may be determined by the Help of the preceding Prop.) because they are only fecondary and lefs confiderable; efpecially fince fuch an Image of a right Line has been already fufficiently confidered by the famous Barrow, in Lett. Opt. XVI. and XVII.

Let any fpherical Speculum be fignified by its greatest Circle B D, whose Centre is

PLOT.

is A; and the radiant Plane by the right Line FE. From A upon FE, fuppofe AE drawn perpendicular, meeting the Speculum in the Vertex B. Find, by fome one of the preceding Prop. applicable to the Cafe, the Focus C of those Rays after Reflection, whose Focus before Incidence was E; through this draw the Plane CT parallel to FE. I fay that the Image of the Plane FE made by the Speculum, will be placed in the Plane CT.

From any Point F of the radiant Plane, to A the Center of the Speculum, fuppofe the Ray F A produced, meeting the Speculum in D, and the Plane CT in T. The reflected Ray of the incident one E D, is, by Conftruction, CD; but let B H be fuppofed the reflected Ray of F B, meeting the Ray F D in H.

Because the Angle F A E is, by Suppofition, fmall, the Arch D B will be alfo fmall, and almost degenerate into a fmall right Line. And the Circumference of a Circle described on the Diameter B F, will pass through the Points D and E; because of the right Angles F E B, F D B. Whence the Angles B F D, B E D, in the same Segment, are equal. And fince the Angles opposed at the Vertex A are equal, or the same, F B A, E D A will be likewise equal: Therefore the Angles A B H, A D C, that are by Prop. I. equal to these, are likewise

wife equal. Whence the Triangles A BH, A D C are equiangular, and confequently fimilar. Wherefore A B is to A H, as A D to A C; and fince A B is equal to A D, A H will be equal to A C. But becaufe of the Smallness of the Angle C A T, A T is reckoned equal to A C; therefore A H is to be reckoned equal to A T. But H is the Image of the Point F in the radiant Plane; whence its Position is in the Plane C T. The fame may after the like manner be shewn of any other Point in the Plane F E: From whence the Proposition is manifest.

But if the Object exposed to the Speculum be vastly distant, the Images of each of the radiant Points will, by Prop. III. be in the middle Points of the Semidiameters of the Speculum tending towards them : That is, the Image of a diftant Object will form a fpherical Surface, concentrical with the Speculum. But becaufe the radiant Body, by Supposition, is feen under a very fmall Angle, fo fmall a Portion of the spherical Surface as is poffeffed by its Image, fcarce differs from the plane Surface to which A B is perpendicular. Wherefore the Proposition holds in all Cafes: For the Demonstration takes Place in any other Cafe, as well as in those two expressed in the Figures.

COROL.

If the Angle E A F be too great, the right Line A H will be fenfibly lefs than A T: whence the Image of an Object feen under too large an Angle, from the Center of the Speculum, made by a concave Speculum, will be fenfibly concave; and that which is made by a convex Speculum will appear convex.

SCHOLIUM.

Concerning the Images of radiant Surfaces, made by fpherical Surfaces, it will not be improper to take notice of fome few Things. 1. If the Object exposed to the concave Speculum be distant from it more than by its Semidiameter, the Image of it, CT, will be distant from the Speculum more than by a fourth part of its Diameter, but lefs than by its Semidiameter; that is, it will appear hanging in the Air between the Object and Speculum, and likewife inverted; that is, the upper Parts will appear undermost, and the right on the left. If the Object recedes from the Speculum, the Image will approach to the Speculum; but if the Object approaches to the Speculum, the Image will recede from it, till meeting at last with the Object, it will coincide with it in the Center. After the F lame

fame manner the Image of the Radiant CT will be FE, whofe Properties are evident by what has been just now faid. But if the Distance BE be fo far encreased that the Object be vaftly diftant, the Diftance of the Image CT from the Speculum will be equal to a fourth part of its Diameter. But if the Sun be the radiant Body, in the room of its Image will be excited a Burning (if the Speculum be confiderably larger than the Image of the Sun) because of the Sun's Rays being clofer compacted in that Place. But if a lucid Body be placed in the Middle, betwixt the Vertex and Center of the Speculum, its Image made by the Speculum at a vast Distance will enlighten Objects vastly distant.

2. If CB, the Distance of the Radiant CT from the concave Speculum B, be lefs than a fourth part of its Diameter, its Image will be EF (by Prop. IV and VIII) placed beyond the Speculum, and erect as in a plane Speculum, and which approaches to the Speculum as the Object approaches to it; and fo on the contrary. After the fame manner the Image of the Radiant FE, made by a convex Speculum, will be CT, whofe Properties, from what has been faid, are eafily detected : For as the Radiant approaches to the Speculum, the Image likewife approaches to it; but when that recedes to a Distance even infinite, the Image will

The Elements of Catoptrics. 43 will stop at the middle Point between the Speculum and its Center.

3. Suppose the Rays of each Point of the Radiant were so inflected as to be about forming the Image CT, but are hindered from it by the Intervention of the convex *Speculum* BD. From what has been faid above, it is plain that these Rays, after Reflexion from the *Speculum*, will make the Image FE; whose Properties, Situation, and Figure, with respect to the Object CT (if it may be called an Object) are easily found by the foregoing Rules.

In the preceding, and all other Cafes, the Ratio of the Image to the Object is given, because they appear under the same or equal Angles from the Center of the Speculum. But this will be more plain by the following Theorem.

PROP. IX. THEOR. III.

THE Radiant and its Image made by the Speculum, are feen from the Vertex of the Speculum under equal Angles. Fig. 14, 15.

From A, the Center of the Speculum, upon the Radiant HF, let fall the Perpendicular A E (which, by *Prop.* VIII. will F 2 be
be likewife perpendicular to the Image fb) meeting the Speculum in the Vertex B. Join the right Lines BF, BH, Bf, Bb. I fay the Angles HBF, bBf, are equal. Toin Ff; this will pass through the Center A, becaufe by Supposition the Image of any Point is placed in the Axis of Radiation. After the fame manner the Points HA and b are placed in the fame right Line. From the Nature of the Image, BE is to EA, as BC to CA: therefore BE is to BC, as EA to CA. But becaufe of the equiangular Triangles, AEF, ACf, EA is to CA, as EF to Cf. Wherefore BE is to EF, as BC to Cf. And fince the Angles BEF, BCf are right, the Angles EBF, CBf will be equal. After the fame manner E B H, CBb are equal: Whence HBF, bBf are equal. 2. E. D.

COROL.

The radiant Line FEH, and its Image fCb, are to one another as their Diftances from the Vertex, BE, BC. And if the Radiant be a Surface, it will be to its Image in a duplicate Proportion of those fame Diftances. From whence, if the Diftance of the Radiant and its Image from the Speculum be given, the Proportion of the Image to the Radiant is also given. In like manner,

The Elements of Catoptrics. 45 manner, if the Image of the Radiant Cmade by feveral Specula be given, we fhall have the Proportion of the primary Radiant to the laft Image. It follows farther, that the Line FH, and its Image fb, will be cut in the fame Proportion by the right Line BE, joining the Vertex and Center; or that FE is to EH, as fC to Cb.

PROP. X. PROB. VII.

TO find fuch a Position of the radiant Body, that its Image made by the Speculum may be equal to any given Figure Similar to the Radiant : Or, which comes to the same thing, that the Radiant and its Image made by the Speculum may be in a given Ratio. Fig. 16.

Let the Speculum BD be given, whole Semidiameter is BA; and let the given Ratio be BA to AM. If the Radiant be a Line, bifect BM in E, and by fome of the preceding Propositions, find the Focus C, corresponding to the Focus E. I fay, C is the Place of the radiant Line; because, from the Relation of the Points C and E, BC is to CA, as BE to EA; BC will be to BC + CA, as BE to BE + EA: that is, because BE is equal to EM, BCwill

will be to BA, as BE to AM; and inverting the Proportion, BC is to BE, as BA to AM. But (by Corol. Prop. IX) BCis to BE, as the radiant Line placed in C, to which BC is perpendicular, is to its Image in E: Therefore the radiant Line in C is to its Image made by the given Speculum BD at E, as BA to AM; that is, in the given Ratio. Q. E. D.

But if the Radiant be a Surface, in the room of AM in the preceding Construction you must take a right Line, to which BAis in a *Ratio* subduplicate of that which it bears to AM.



THE



THE ELEMENTS OF DIOPTRICS.



Atoptrics being finished, we proceed to Dioptrics; by the Affistance of which we are still furnished with more Instruments, and such as are fitter

for Ule, and admitted to a nearer View of the Secrets of Nature. For Glafs is eafier brought to a due Figure than Metal, and preferves it longer : Nor does a Lens fuffer fo much Lofs in its Polifh, from any Injuries of the Air, as a Speculum does. And it is difficult to make a Speculum that fhall reflect fuch ftrong and clofe Rays as a Glafs Lens tranfmits.

But, befides these physical Difficulties, the Causes of which are not to be fought from

from Dioptrics, a Lens is preferable in Practice to a Speculum, for the following Reafon: Becaufe a Fault in a Speculum produces an Error in the reflected Ray fix times greater than an equal Fault in a Lens, when the Ray paffes out of Air into Glafs; and four times greater, when the Ray paffes out of Glafs into Air: For, by Theor. I. the Error of Incidence produces an Error twice as great in the reflected Ray, but near thrice lefs in a refracted Ray out of Air into Glafs; and twice lefs in a refracted Ray out of Glafs into Air; as will appear at Schol. 2. Prop. XI.

In Dioptrics, as before in Catoptrics, we fuppofe the Eye placed in the Axis of Radiation; becaufe this Position, where only *Lenses* are used, is easiest obtained: But where Specula and Lenses are mixed together, that Axis (by Corol. 1. Prob. I.) may be inclined in any given Angle.

PROP. XI. THEOR. IV.

A Ray of Light, at a plane Surface of a Medium of different Density, is so refracted, that the Media remaining the same, the right Sine of the Angle of Incidence will, in all Inclinations, bear the same Proportion to the right Sine of the refracted Angle. Fig. 17.

It is fufficient for a Mathematician to have proved this Theorem by Experiment; fo that from this laid down he may demonstrate the Properties of a given Figure in refracting, or investigate a Figure whofe Laws of refracting are given : Yet, for the fame Reafons that induced us to demonstrate a like Catoptrical Theorem, we shall give the Demonstration of this.

For this Purpole, different Perfons have used different, and quite contrary, Postulata. Cartes taking up the fame Theorem (though in other Cafes he affirms the Propagation of Light to be inftantaneous) would have it in his Dioptrics, that a Ray of Light is carried with a greater Celerity through a denfer Medium, as Water or Glass, than through one less dense, as Air. This appeared too grofs to Barrow, Fermat, and others, who went into a contrary and more probable Opinion. Moreover, Barrow and Maignanus believed, that some certain Thickness of the Ray of Light, however fmall (which Cartes neglected as inconfiderable) was necessary to demonstrate this Theorem. We have followed the Method of Geometers, and compoled a Demonstration far enough from any Sect, and depending only upon a very fimple Property of Light, which we affumed in the beginning.

G . Let

Let the Light flowing from the Point A, and comprehended in the Plane of Inflection between A B and A D, fall upon the right Line BD, the common Section of the Plane of Inflection with the plane Surface of the Medium BCED of different Denfity. (We may justly confider this by it felf; because the Rays which compose it; and their refracted Rays, are, distinctly from the other circumfused Light, contained within the forementioned Plane by Ax. 2.) Let one of the extreme Rays A D be perpendicular to the right Line BD, whofe refracted Ray DE (whatfoever be the Denfity of the Medium BE) will confequently go directly on in the fame right Line with AD: But let the other AB, containing a certain Angle with A D, meet the right Line BD, which feparates the Media, in B; through B draw K B F parallel to A E. Let the aforefaid Light, contained betwixt the right Lines A B, A D, be fuppofed to be propagated in the Medium DG, betwixt the right Lines D E and BG continued in infinitum : That is, let BG be the refracted Ray of A B, whether the Light paffes out of a rarer Medium into one more dense, as in Fig. 17. or out of a denfer one into a rarer, as in Fig. 18. I fay, the Sine of the Angle ABK will, in any Inclination of the Ray, have the fame Proportion to the Sine of the Angle GBF.

On the Center B, and with any Diffance BG, defcribe an Arch of a Circle meeting the Ray BG in G; from which let fall G N perpendicular to A N, interfecting the right Line KF in F.

The Light which proceeding from A is diffufed into the right Line BD, will, if BG be put for the Length of the refracted Ray, be diffused within the new Medium DG into the right Line GN: For the Spaces into which the forementioned Light is diffufed, must be computed by these right Lines parallel to the right Line B D, becaufe at BD it lofes its former Degree of Diffusion, and after that enjoys a new one. The right Line BD which divides the Media remaining the fame, fuppofe the Medium DG, in which AB is refracted into BG, were taken away, and another Medium DC of a different Denfity fubftituted in its Place, in which the Ray A B is refracted into BC, Ex. gr. meeting the Circle in C, through which draw the right Line CE parallel to BD, meeting AN in E, and KF in M. The right Line C E will be the Space into which the Light is diffused within the Medium DC, the Length of the refracted Ray B C continuing still the fame. If from the right Lines G N, C E, into which the Light is diffused, you take away the right Lines FN, ME, which depend not at all upon the G 2

the Facilities of the Media, that is, their Readiness in diffusing Light (for the Length and Polition of the Ray AB remaining the fame, the forementioned right Lines F N, M E remain the fame and invariable, howfoever the Facilities of the Media DG, DC are augmented, diminished, or even annihilated) there will remain GF, CM for the genuine Effects of the Media DG, DC refpectively. Therefore fince all Things that refpect the Refraction of the Ray AB from the Medium DK, to Media of different Denfities D G and D C, excepting only the Denfities of those Media, are made the fame (namely, the Medium DK, out of which the Light passes the fame, the Angle of Incidence ABK of the Ray AB the fame, and the Length of the refracted Ray within the fecond Medium DG, or DC the fame) the forementioned right Lines GF, CM will have the fame Proportion with the Facilities of the Media DG, DC which produced them : For all Effects are proportional to their Caufes.

Now if the Medium DC, in which the Ray AB is refracted into BC, be the fame with the Medium DK, in which Cafe the refracted Ray BC (if it may be called fo) of the Ray AB will be in a direct Line with the incident one AB; GF will be yet to CM as the Facility of the Medium DG

DG to the Facility of the Medium DC, that is, by Construction, to the Facility of the Medium DK. Moreover, CM is the Sine of the Angle CBM, or the Angle ABK, which is the Angle of Incidence of the Ray AB; and GF is, to the fame Radius, the Sine of the Angle GBF, that is, of the refracted Angle of the fame Ray: Wherefore the Sine of the Angle of Incidence is to the Sine of the Angle of Refraction, whatfoever be the Inclination of the Ray AB to the refracting Plane (for the Angle DAB, to which ABK is equal, is taken at Pleafure) as the Facility of the Medium DK to the Facility of the Medium DG, inflecting the Ray A B into BG. But the Media being supposed the fame, the Densities of the Media, and their Facilities arising from thence, and confequently the Ratio of these will remain the fame : Therefore in every Inclination of the Ray the Ratio of the Sine of the Angle of Incidence to the Sine of the Angle of Refraction remains the fame. Q. E. D.

This *Theorem* is thus fhortly demonstrated in the Geometric Phrase. The Position of the incident Ray remaining the same, the refracted Ray remains the same, whatfoever be the Length of the incident Ray A B: Therefore no respect is to be had to its Length, or the right Line A B is to be taken for nothing. Therefore the right Line

Line F N, which bears a certain Proportion to A B, vanishes at the fame time : Whence the right Line G N, into which the Light is diffused at a given Distance B G within the *Medium* D G, is changed into the only and determinate right Line G F; which is confequently appointed by Nature for the Measure of the Facility of the *Medium* D G, to which it owes its Rife. Q, E. D.

But if in the Paffage of a Ray from a denfer Medium into a rarer (Fig. 18.) its Inclination be fuch, that G F, which is to C M in the Proportion of the Facilities of the given Media, fhould exceed the Semidiameter B G; then the refracted Ray of A B will be nothing (after the manner of an impossible Cafe in a Geometrical Problem.) But that which should have been the refracted Ray will not enter the rarer Medium D G, but will be reflected from its Surface, according to the Law of Theor. I. in which Cafe the Law of this prefent Theorem (as being more universal) does neverthelefs take place.

The converse of this Proposition is fufficiently manifest; namely, that the refracted Ray of any Incident is truly assigned, when the Angle of Incidence is to the Angle comprehended by the assigned right Line, and another drawn perpendicular to the refracting Surface, in the Proportion of the *Faci-*

Facilities of the given Media, which is the Measure of the Refraction between them: For the refracted Ray of the Incident proposed can be no other than that affigned.

The Invention of the preceding Theorem, which is the principal one in Dioptrics, is commonly attributed to Cartefius, tho' it was known to Willebrord Snellius, who was dead ten Years before Cartes's Dioptrics were published: For Vollius, in his Treatife De Natura & Proprietate Lucis, published at Amsterdam in the Year 1662, Page 36, tells us, that it appeared from Snellius's Papers, which himfelf had feen, that he had found out, that the Proportion between the Secants of the Angles, which are the Complements of the Angle of Incidence, and the Angle of Refraction to right ones, is constantly the fame : But it is known that the Secants of Angles are reciprocally, as the Sines of their Complements to right ones; becaufe the Semidiameter is a mean Geometric Proportional between them.

Since we have happened to fpeak of Secants, it is worth the taking notice how near the inquifitive KEPLER was towards finding out this *Theorem*; who, at *Prop*. V. and VI. *Paralipom. in Vitellionem*, lays down these Secants for the respective Meafure of Refractions.

COROL.

COROL. I.

If BG be the refracted Ray of the Incident AB, every thing elfe remaining the fame, BA will be the refracted Ray of the Incident GB: For the *Facilities* of the *Media*, by which the Sines of the Angles are governed, remain the fame.

COROL. 2.

From the Demonstration of this Theorem it follows, that if the Ratio of A to B measure the Refraction between the Media A and B, and the Ratio of A to C the Refraction between the Media A and C; the Ratio of B to C will be the Meafure of the Refraction between the Media B and C. Which is also manifest, by supposing the Thickness of the common Medium A, between the parallel Planes of the other two, to vanish: For by this means, the Angles remaining the same, that Part of the Ray, propagated through the three Media, which is in the Medium A, vanishes.

COROL. 3.

Hence it likewife follows, that if the Pofition of the Ray A B remaining the fame,

fame, the Polition of the inflecting right Line BD be changed by an Angle, fuppofe E, the Polition of the refracted Ray BG will alfo be changed by an Angle e, which is to E as the Difference of I and R to I; (the Ratio of the Quantities I and R being fupposed the fame with the Ratio of the Sine of the Angle of Incidence to the Sine of the Angle of Refraction, and the Angles fo fmall, that they may be looked upon to have the fame Proportion with their Sines) and the Angles e and E are fituated on the fame Sides of the right Lines BG and B D respectively, when I exceeds R; but on contrary Sides when R exceeds I. After the fame manner, from this Propofition, may we judge of the Change the Angle GBF will undergo, when the Angle A B K is changed by any other Caufe whatever.

SCHOLIUM I.

The aforefaid Law of Refraction is confirmed from the Wifdom of Nature, always acting by the most easy and expeditious Methods; which we before found in *Catoptrics* to be a legitimate *Axiom*; namely, to the Light proceeding from A to G, such a Point of Incidence B is affigned, that it may perform its Journey A B G in the *least Time* possible. Which H

Point of Incidence may be found by the Help of any Method (*Fermat's* for Inftance) which determines a Maximum G Minimum, but most easily after the Manner following.

PROB.

TWO Points being given in Media of different Densities, and the plane Surface dividing the Media, whose Densities are likewise given, being given in Position: To find such a Point in the foresaid Surface, that a moveable Body proceeding from one of the given Points, through the Point sought to the other of them, may take up the least Time in its Journey. Plate II. Fig. 1.

Let the given Points be A and G, and let MN be the Surface dividing the Media of different Denfities, or rather the common Section of the Plane of Inflexion continued through A and G, with the forefaid Surface dividing the Media : For (by Ax. 2.) the Point fought will be found in that. Let the right Lines I and R express the Velocities of Light in the Media DK, CF refpectively.

Becaufe the Velocity of a moving Body being given, the Time is as the Space run; and the Space being given, the Time is as

as the Velocity reciprocally; if neither being given, the Time will be in a compound Proportion of the Velocity reciprocally, and the Space directly. Therefore the Time in which the right Line A B is run will be expressed by $R \times AB$, and the Time in which BG is run, by $I \times BG$; and confequently the Time in which the whole Journey ABG is performed, which is made up of both of them, will be expressed by the Quantity $R \times AB + I \times BG$; which must be the Minimum.

From the Polition of the Points A and G, and of the right Line M N being given, the right Lines A D, G C; and D C, which we fhall call a, c, and d refpectively, are given in Magnitude. Call C B x. Whence B D = d - x, A B = $= \sqrt{d^2 - 2dx^2 + x^2 + a^2}$, and BG = $\sqrt{c^2 + x^2}$. Therefore R× $\sqrt{d^2 - 2dx + x^2 + a^2} + 1 \times \sqrt{c^2 + x^2}$ muft be a Minimum.

Now this is (as Mathematicians know very well) when the Fluxion of $R \times \sqrt{d^2 - 2dx + x^2 + a^2 + 1} \times \sqrt{c^2 - x^2} = 0$. But by the Method of that most celebrated Geometer Sir Ifaac Newton, for finding the Fluxions of as many Fluents as are involved in any given Equation (to which also the famous Leibnit's Differential Calculus relates) which you may fee at Cap. XCV. Vol. II. Operum Mathemat. Wallifut, The H 2

Fluxion of $\mathbb{R} \times \sqrt{d^2 - 2dx + x^2 + a^2 + 1} \times \sqrt{c^2 + x^2}$ is $\mathbb{R} \times - 2dx + 2xx$ $\mathbb{I} \times 2xx$. Therefore $2\sqrt{d^2 - 2dx + x^2 + a^2} = 2\sqrt{c^2 + x^2}$ making this equal to nothing, we have $\mathbb{I} \times x \quad \mathbb{R} \times d - x$

 $\sqrt{c^2+x^2}$ $\sqrt{d^2-2dx+x^2+a^2}$. And fubfituting, in the room of their Valours, the right Lines themfelves expressed I×CB R×BD.

in the Scheme, it becomes -=BG AB And if BG=AB, then I×CB=R×BD, or BD. BC:: I. R.

Therefore if the right Line DC be divided in B, fo that D B may be to B C in the given Ratio of I to R, the Light will perform its Journey from A to G (or backward from G to A) in the shortest or least Time possible, by going along A B G. But D B and B C are the Sines of the Angles BAD and BGC; that is, of the Angles ABK, GBF; namely, of the Angle of Incidence, and the Angle of Refraction. Wherefore, that the Time of the Paffage from A to G (or from G to A) may be the least, the Ray must fo fall, that the Sine of the Angle of Incidence may be to the Sine of the Angle of Refraction in the Ratio of I to R; that is, in the Ratio of the Velocities of Light in the forefaid

faid Media, or (as is fhewn before in the Demonstration of Prop. XI.) in the Ratio of the Facilities of the fame Media, upon which the Velocities of the Body moving through them depend.

Since there are in the Plane dividing the Media an infinite Number of fuch Points (two of which are in the right Line M N) that the Light, in paffing from A to G (or from G to A) through any of them, fhall take up any given Time exceeding the *leaft*; there can be no Reafon affigned, why it fhould pafs through one of those Points rather than another : Therefore it will pafs through none but the only and determinate one of its kind, B.

SCHOLIUM 2.

When a Ray of Light paties out of Air into Glass, we observe the Sine of the Angle of Incidence to be to the Sine of the Angle of Refraction, as 3 to 2 in round Numbers: And in passing out of Air into Water, I is to R (which Symbols we shall use for the future, to express the *Ratio* of the Sine of the Angle of Incidence to the Sine of the Angle of Refraction, which is the Measure of Refraction) as 4 to 3. And on the contrary, in the Passage of a Ray out of Glass into Air, I will be to R as 2 to 3, by Corol. 1. From whence the Reafon is plain, why a Ray in Glass, striking upon

upon a Surface of Air, more obliquely than in an Angle of Incidence of about 42 Degrees, does not enter the Air, but is reflected from its Surface: For the right Line G F, which is fefquialteral of the Sine of 42°, exceeds the *Radius*; in which Cafe the Light fhall be reflected, as has been observed above.

Moreover, becaufe in the Passage of a Ray out of Air into Glass, I is sequilateral or $1\frac{1}{2}$ of R, and in its Passage out of Air into Water, I is $1\frac{1}{3}$ of R; in its Passage out of Water into Glass (by Corol. 2.) I will be $1\frac{1}{3}$ of R.

And from *Corol.* 3 it follows, that the Error of the refracted Ray is but fubtriple of the Error of the Surface of Glafs by which it was occasioned, and on the fame Side with it, when the Ray passes out of Air into Glafs; but fubduple of it, and on the contrary Side, when the Ray passes out of Glafs into Air.

PROP. XII. THEOR. V.

ET BD be a Surface dividing Media of different Densities; upon which let a Ray fall proceeding from E, and be refracted into DN, the Surface dividing the Media remaining the same, but the Media

Media being transposed; I say the refracted Ray DC of the Ray MD being placed in the same right Line with ED, will be in the same right Line with the former refracted Ray ND. Fig. 2.

Draw the right Line ADO perpendicular to the Surface BD in D.

Since DN is the refracted Ray of ED, (by the foregoing) the Sine of the Angle EDA will be to the Sine of the Angle NDO in the Ratio of I to R; and if the Media be transposed, the Ratio of I to R will be the Measure of the Refraction out of the Medium BDM into the Medium BDE: That is, fuppofing MD to be the incident Ray, its refracted Ray will be fuch, that the Sine of the Angle MDO shall be to the Sine of the Angle ADC, as I to R: That is, by what has been just now fhewn, as the Sine of the Angle ADE to the Sine of the Angle NDO. Therefore fince the Angles EDA, MDO are equal, and confequently their Sines, the Sines of the Angles ADC, NDO will be likewife equal, and confequently the Angles themfelves. Wherefore ND and DC lie in the fame right Line. Q. E. D.

COROL.

If Rays diverging from E, after Refraction at the Surface B D, diverge from

from the Point C, the Media being transposed, and the Surface dividing the Media remaining the fame, Rays converging towards E will, after their Refraction at BD, converge towards the Point C.

Therefore all that can be demonstrated of Rays diverging, may equally be applied to converging ones. Wherefore for the future we shall only speak of Rays diverging, leaving those converging to be determined by this *Theorem*.

PROP. XIII. PROB. VIII.

FRom the Focus of diverging Rays being given, to find the Focus of the Same Rays after their Refraction, at a plane Surface of a Medium of different Density, with respect to an Eye placed in the Axis. Fig. 3, 4.

Through E, the given *Focus* of diverging Rays, draw the right Line E B perpendicular to the plane Surface B D of a *Medium*, either denfer or rarer; in this take the Point C fuch, that C B may be to E B, as I to R. I fay C is the *Focus* fought.

Let fall any Ray E D. Through D draw AO parallel to EB. Join C D, and produce it to F.

If the Ray ED be near enough to the right Line EB (for we fpeak here only of those Rays that fall near the Axis, fince these only do, after Refraction, affect the Eye placed, by Supposition, in the Axis of Radiation produced; those that fall more obliquely passing by the Pupil of the Eye, and conducing nothing at all towards difcerning the Point E, as we have before observed in Catoptrics) ED will be nearly equal to E B, and C D to C B. Wherefore CD is to ED, as I to R: But CD. is to ED, as the Sine of the Angle BED, or its equal EDA, to the Sine of the Angle BCD, or its equal ODF: Therefore the Sine of the Angle E D A is to the Sine of the Angle ODF, as I to R. But the Angle EDA is the Angle of Incidence of the Ray ED: Therefore ODF is the respective Angle of Refraction; that is, DF is the refracted Ray of the Incident ED. And the fame may be fhewn of any other Ray diverging from E; whence C is the Focus required. Q.E.I.

COROL. I.

From hence, and from Corol. 1. Prop. XI. it follows, that the fame Things being fuppofed as before, Rays in the Medium BDO converging towards C, will, after Refraction, converge towards E. I And

And if the *Media* were transposed, it follows from hence, and from *Prop.* XII. that Rays in the *Medium* BDO converging towards E, will, after Refraction, converge towards the Point C; and parallel Rays will, after Refraction, at a plane Surface of any *Medium*, still remain parallel.

COROL. 2.

If BDA be Water, and BDO Air, CB will be to EB, as 3 to 4: From whence it happens, that Water appears a fourth part lefs deep than it really is. But if BDA be Glafs, EB will be $1\frac{1}{2}$ of the right Line CB.

SCHOLIUM.

What is demonstrated of any incident Ray, namely, that its refracted Ray DC produced backwards, till it meets with EB, is to the Incident E D as I to R, is alfo true of the perpendicular Incident E B: For its refracted Ray BC produced backwards, though as to its Position it be the same with the Incident, yet as to its Length it is to it in the very same Ratio of I to R. And because this Ray passing through the Center of the Eye, and the others that are nearest it are the only ones that

The Elements of Dioptrics. 67 that affect the Senfe; from thence it is that, with refpect to the Eye, C is the Focus of the Rays diverging from E, and refracted at B D. This Confideration extends likewife to what follows.

PROP. XIV. PROB. IX.

TO find the Focus of parallel Rays falling upon a spherical Surface of a Medium of different Density, after Refraction. Plate II. Fig. 5, 6.

Let the fpherical Surface, whofe Center is A, be expressed by the Circumference of the Circle BD; through A draw the Axis A B parallel to the incident Rays, meeting the Circle in the Vertex B; in which take the Point C fuch, that B C may be to A C, as I to R. I fay C is the Focus required.

Let any Ray fall at D; draw the right Lines AD, CD, and produce them. The Sine of the Angle BAD, or its equal ED O, is to the Sine of the Angle CDA (or its Complement to two right ones) as CD to AC, or (the Arch DB vanishing, for Reasons before often mentioned) as CB to AC; that is, by Conftruction, as I to I 2 R:

R: But E D O is the Angle of Incidence of the Ray ED; therefore DC is its refracted Ray. After the fame manner it may be demonstrated, that any other of the parallel Rays will, after Refraction, pafs through C: Therefore C is their Focus. 2. E. D.

COROLLARIES.

Hence, and from Corol. 1. Prop. XI. and Corol. Prop. XII. it follows;

1. That Rays in Air parallel to the Axis, after Refraction at a Spherico-convex Surface of Glafs, converge to a Point whofe Distance from the Vertex is equal to three Semidiameters of the Sphere.

2. That Rays in Glass, diverging from a Point distant from a Spherico-concave Surface of Air by three Semidiameters, do, after Refraction, become parallel to a right Line drawn through the radiant Point and the Center of the Sphere.

3. That Rays in Glafs parallel to the Axis, after Refraction at a convex spherical Surface of Air, diverge from a Point whofe Distance from the Vertex is equal to the Diameter of the Sphere.

4. That Rays in Air, converging towards a Point distant beyond a concave Glafs by the Diameter of the Sphere, after Refraction at the concave Surface of Glafs, become

become parallel to a right Line drawn through the Center of the Sphere and the forementioned Point.

5. That Rays in Air parallel to the Axis, after Refraction at a concave Surface of Glafs, diverge from a Point at three Semidiameters Diftance from the Vertex.

6. That Rays in Glafs, converging to a Point three Semidiameters diftant beyond a Spherico-convex Surface of Air, after Refraction, become parallel to a right Line drawn through the Center of the Sphere and the forementioned Point.

7. That Rays in Glafs parallel to the Axis; after Refraction at a Spherico-concave Surface of Air, converge to a Point distant from the Vertex by a Diameter of the Sphere.

8. That Rays in Air, diverging from a Point at a Diameter's Diftance from a Sphere of Glafs, after Refraction at a convex Surface of Glafs, become parallel to a right Line drawn through the forementioned Point and the Center of the Sphere.

SCHOLIUM. Plate II. Fig. 7.

The generating Circle of the Sphere BD has the fame Degree of Curvity with an *Ellipfis* paffing through B; by whofe Rotation a Spheroid being made of a denfer Medium, collects Rays in the ambient Medium

Medium parallel to the right Line A B, exactly at C: For we all know, that BG, the greater Axis of that Ellipfis, ought to be to EC, the Distance of the Foci, as I to R. Supposing then the fame Construction with that at Schol. Prop. IV. BG is to EC as KC to HC; that is, as HC to CL; that is, as KC+HC to HC+CL, or to HC+CK-LK; that is, as BC to BC-half the Latus Rectum, belonging to the Axis BG (for LK has, at Schol. Prop. IV. been shewn equal to half the forementioned Latus Rectum) But by the Construction of this Prop. the Situation of the Point C is fuch, that B C is to A C as I to R; that is, as BG to EC: Therefore BC is to AC, as BC to BC - half the Latus Rectum, belonging to the greater Axis: Wherefore AC is equal to BC half the forementioned Latus Rectum; and confequently A B is equal to half the Latus Rectum. Wherefore the Circle BD is equally curve in B with the Ellipfis BKG (by Corol. 1. Prop. XX. Lib. 1. Vinc. Viviani de Maximis & Minimis.) Whence it is, that a Sphere generated by the forementioned Circle performs in refracting very nearly the fame thing which an oblong Spheroid, generated by the Rotation of an Ellipfis, does exactly; namely, that Rays in a rarer ambient Medium, parallel to the right Line BG, after Refraction

The Elements of Dioptrics. 71 tion at its Surface, may converge to the Point C.

After the fame manner, by the Help of Schol. Prop. VI. it may be fhewn, that the Circle B D is at the Vertex equally curve with an Hyperbola paffing through B, and generating a Conoid; which being of a rarer Medium, does fo refract Rays in a denfer ambient Medium parallel to the right Line A B, that they fhall afterwards diverge from the Point C: Or, which returns to the fame thing (by Prop. XII.) being of a denfer Medium, does fo refract Rays in that fame denfer Medium, parallel to the right Line A B, at their Entrance into the rarer ambient Medium, that they fhall afterwards converge to the Point C.

PROP. XV. PROB. X.

THE Focus of Rays diverging and falling upon a given spherical Surface of different Density, to find the Focus of the same Rays aster Refraction. Plate II. Fig. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15.

Let the fpherical Surface be expressed by the Circumference of its greatest Circle B D. Through the given Focus and the Center

Center of the Sphere draw the right Line EA meeting the Circumference in B; in which take the Point C fuch, that the Ratio compounded of the Ratio of EA to AC, and of CB to BE, may be equal to the Ratio of I to R. I fay C is the Focus required.

We fhall make one Demonstration ferve for all the eight principal Cafes of this *Prop.* expressed by for many feveral Figures; the four first of which, suppose Igreater than R, the others less, and which differ from one another, according as the given *Focus* is situated on this or that Side of the *Focus* of parallel Rays, or according as the refracting Surface is convex or concave.

Let fall any Ray at pleafure, ED proceeding from E. Join AD, DC; and through C draw a right Line parallel to AD, meeting the right Line ED in H.

The Point \mathcal{D} almost coinciding with \mathcal{B} , $C\mathcal{D}$ and $C\mathcal{B}$, as likewife $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{B}$, are almost equal. Wherefore the Ratio of I to R is equal to the Ratio of $\mathcal{E} A$ to AC, and the Ratio of $C\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{D}$ together; that is (because of $A\mathcal{D}$ and CHparallel) to the Ratio of $C\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{D}$, and the Ratio of $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D} H$ together, or to the Ratio of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D} H$: But $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}$ is to $\mathcal{D} H$ as the Sine of the Angle $\mathcal{D} HC$, or its Complement to two right ones; $A\mathcal{D} H$, or

or E D O, is to the Sine of the Angle D C H, or of the Angle A D C, or in fome Cafes its Complement to two right ones. Moreover, the Angle E D O is the Angle of Incidence of the Ray E D. Therefore as I to R, fo the Sine of the Angle of Incidence of the Ray E D to the Sine of the Angle of Incidence of the Ray E D to the Sine of the Angle A D C. Wherefore (by *Prop. XI.*) D C is the refracted Ray belonging to the incident one E D; and fince E D is taken at Pleafure, 'tis plain the Focus of all the Rays proceeding from E will be C. Q, E. I.

If the Media proposed were Air and Glass, and the Ray passed out of Air into Glafs (as in the four first Figures) the Focus C will be very eafily found; namely; if the third part of the right Line EA be to AB, as EC to BC: For trebling the Antecedents, EA is to AB (or AD) as 3 E C to B C (or D C): But E A is to AD, as the Sine of the Angle EDO to the Sine of the Angle DEA; and 3 EC is to $\mathcal{D}C$ as thrice the Sine of the Angle EDC (or HDC) to the Sine of the Angle D E A: Wherefore the Sine of the Angle EDO is triple of the Sine of the Angle HDC, and confequently (in these very fmall Angles, which have the fame. Ratio with their Sines) 11 of the Sine of the Angle ADC. Therefore DC (or De lying in the fame right Line with it) K 15

is the refracted Ray of $E\mathcal{D}$ passing out of Air into Glass.

When the Ray paffes out of Glafs into Air (as in the four last Figures) the Focus will be found from the same Principles, by taking the Point C such, that half the right Line EA may be to AB, as EC to BC.

COROL. I. SHO SHOL

197016

From this *Prop.* and XII. and *Corol.* 1. *Prop.* XI. it will be easily, from the given *Focus* of Rays converging, and falling upon a spherical Surface of a *Medium* of different Density, to find their *Focus* after Refraction.

Antecedents COROL. 2. Antecedents

Hence, from the Foci E and C, and the Vertex B being given, we may find A the Center of the Sphere, and thence the refracting Sphere it felf; by taking the Point A fuch, that EA may be to ACin a Ratio compounded of the Ratio's of BE to BC, and I to R: Or, from the Foci and Center being given may be found the Vertex. The like Problem concerning fpherical Specula, may (by the Correspondent Prop. in Catoptrics) be folved with equal Eafe, and more elegant GeoThe Elements of Dioptrics. 75 Geometrical Constructions from thence deduced.

TINGARD INCOM

The Geometrical Conftruction of this *Prob.* X. is eafily deduced from what has been premifed, *Plate II. Fig. 16.* Every thing elfe remaining as before, through B and A draw the right Lines BQ, AN parallel, meeting the right Line EN drawn from E as you pleafe in F and N: Make AN to AM, as I to R. Join the right Line FM, and produce it till it meets the Axis EA in C. This will be the Focus required.

Join CN, meeting the right Line BFin Q; to this, through F, draw parallel the right Line FH, interfecting the right Line EA in H.

For EA. EB:: (EN. EF:: EC: EH:: EC—EA. EH—EB::) AC. BH. G permutando EA. AC:: EB. BH. Therefore CB. BE, +EA. AC:: (CB. EB, +EB. BH:: BC: BH:: BQ, BF:: AN. AM::) I. R. Whence C is the Focus required.

This fame Conftruction will ferve (only changing the Order of the Points E, B, A,C, M and N) if R exceed I, or if the Rays converge, or are parallel; in which Cafe it will be changed into that of *Prob.* IX. K 2 Or

Or if the Concavity of the refracting Surface look towards the *Focus* E, or if B D be plane, in which Cafe it changes into that of *Prob*. VIII. For when the right Line A M N (divided as above) is infinitely diftant from BQ, the right Line F M will be the fame in Pofition with a right Line joining the Point F with a Point dividing B E, after the fame manner as A M is divided in N, fuppofing B E to be homologous to A M; becaufe the Tangents of Angles are reciprocally as the Tangents of their Complements to a right Angle.

After the fame manner, if of the four Points A, B, C, and E, any other three be given, Ex. gr. E, B, and C, the fourth A will be determined; that is, the fpherical Surface passing through the given Point, and changing the Focus E into C, will be determined; G vice versa.

SCHOLIUM.

Since there is no Spheroid or Conoid generated by the Rotation of a conic Section round its Axis, and confifting of a Medium of different Denfity from the ambient Medium, which can exactly change a given Focus of Rays into another given one by Refraction at a fingle Surface ; it follows, that this Property of performing the Thing proposed pretty nearly, does therefore belong

long to a spherical Surface; because the greateft Circle of that Sphere has the fame Degree of Curvity with Cartes's Curve, (Lib. II. Geometria) by whofe Rotation are made Surfaces, which, leparating Media of different Denfity, anfwer the Problem exactly. But if the Condition of that equicurve Circle, and of the given Foci lying on the fame Side of the Center, be fuch, that the Semidiameter of the Circle be a Middle proportional between the Distances of the Foci from the Center of the Circle; and one of these Distances be to the Semidiameter in a Ratio, measuring the Refraction between the given Media, that Curve of Cartes is changed into the Circumference of a Circle. In this Situation of the Focus a Sphere of given Denfity, generated by the Rotation of a Circle, will fo refract Rays proceeding from one of the Foci, that they shall all afterwards diverge precifely from the other of them.

PROP. XVI. PROB. XI.

ONE Focus of a given Lens being given, to find the other.

A Lens is a transparent Body, of a different Density from the ambient Medium, and

and terminated by two Surfaces, either spherical, or plane and spherical. A right Line perpendicular to both its Surfaces is called the Axis of the Lens. The Points where the Axis interfects each Surface are called the Vertices, either the Vertex of Incidence, or the Vertex of Emerssion, according as it lies in that Surface upon which the Rays first fall, or out of which they again emerge. The Thickness is the Distance between the Vertices.

The Terms being thus explained, the Focus required may, by means of a Calculation (which in Practice is to be preferred to the niceft Constructions) grounded upon the foregoing Propositions, be eafily determined, and Canons (which, becaufe of their Difficulty to be remembered, are here omitted) established, by making the Calculation general. As if from the Focus before Incidence being given, it were required to find the Focus after Emersion : First, the Focus of the Rays, after their Refraction at that Surface of the Lens upon which they first fall, must be found; and this is done by Prop. XIII. if the Surface of the Lens be plane; but if it be fpherical, and the Rays parallel, by Prop. XIV. and by Prop. XV. if they be diverging or converging. And having thus got the Focus of the Rays, after Refraction at this first Surface, that is, while they are paffing bas

paffing through the Lens, which is likewife called the Focus of Transition, after the same manner their Focus, after Refraction at the fecond Surface of the Lens, or rather at the Surface of the ambient Medium contiguous to this second Surface, will be found; that is, their Focus after Emersion from the Lens. 2, E. I. If there were more than one Lens, we

If there were more than one Lens, we must proceed after the fame manner with every one of them.

By the like Method, from the Focus made by the Help of one or more given Lenfes being given, the Focus, before Incidence is found, or from the optical Machine, being given, the Diftance of the Object is determined.

other Surface ma .10 ROD Crod

If the Geometrical Construction of this Problem be defired, it is eafily deduced from Corol. 3. of the foregoing Prop. by afluming the Construction there given for one Surface of a Lens, and repeating it for the other, Fig. 17. For the right Lines E N, B F, A N, and F M, being drawn according to the Directions of that Corol. 'tis plain the right Line F M will tend towards the Focus of Transition of those Rays, whose Focus before Incidence was E. If therefore this meets with the parallel right
right Lines, drawn through *a*, the Center of the hindmost Surface, and *b*, the Vertex of Emersion, in *n* and *f*, and *a n* be taken to *a m*, as I to R at the Egress out of the Lens; (that is, as R to I at the Ingress into the Lens) the joined right Line *m f* will meet the Axis B b in e, the Focus required, after Refraction at both the Surfaces of the Lens: For the right Line *m f* is in the same Condition, with respect to the Emersion of the Rays from the Lens, in which M F was with respect to their Immersion.

In like manner, if of the fix Points, A, B, E, a, b, e, any other five be given, the fixth may be determined: For Example; From the two *Foci*, the Thicknefs of the *Lens*, and one Surface being given, the other Surface may be difcovered.

This is the Conftruction which Barrow received from a Friend, and placed, without any Demonstration, at the End of Lett. XIV.

PROP. XVII. THEOR. VI.

IF a plane radiant Surface Sends out Rays upon any plane or Spherical refracting Surface, the Rays proceeding from every

every Point of the radiant Surface will, after Refraction, have their respective Foci very nearly in one and the same Plane, parallel to the radiant Plane. Plate II. Fig. 18.

Let any refracting Surface be expressed by BD, whofe Center is A, and a plane radiant Surface by the right Line E F. From A upon E F let fall the Perpendicular A E meeting B D in B. Find C, the Focus of those Rays after Refraction, whose Focus before Incidence was E; through which draw the Plane CT parallel to the Plane FE. I fay, the Foci of Rays proceeding from every Point of the Plane EF (or the principal Image of the Plane E F, which is made up of the Images of every one of those Points, with respect to an Eye placed in the Axis of Radiation : For we take no Notice here of the fecondary Image feen by an Eye in any given Situation, which Barrow has confidered in his three last Lett.) will all be posited very nearly in the Plane CT. From the Point F. taken at Pleafure in the radiant Plane, to the Center A, draw the right Line FA, meeting the refracting Surface at D, and the Plane CT in T. DC will be the refracted Ray of the Incident ED; and suppose the refracted Ray of the Incident FB to be BH, meeting the right Line

Line DT in H. Becaufe the Angle EAF is supposed but small, the Arch ED is to be looked upon as a right Line; and a Circle defcribed on the Diameter BF will pafs through the Points D and E, becaufe of the Angles BEF, BDF being right. Whence the Angles E B F, D B F (namely the Angles of Incidence of the Rays F B and ED) contained in the fame Segment, are equal; and confequently the Angles of Refraction, ABH, ADC, will also be equal. Therefore, by reafon of the Angles at A equal, the Triangles BAH, DAC are equiangular; and BA is to AH, as DA to AC; and fince BA is equal to DA, A H will be likewife equal to AC: But becaufe the Angle EAF, or TAC, is very fmall, AT is very nearly equal to AC; and therefore AH, AT may be looked upon as equal; that is, the Focus of the radiant Point F is fituated very nearly in the Plane CT. And fince F is taken any how, the fame will hold true of all the Points of the Plane EF; namely, that their Foci will be in the Plane CT. Which is demonstrated after the fame manner in any other Cafe whatever. Q. E. D.

COROL.

Hence it follows, that the Image of the radiant Plane EF, to which the Axis of

of the Lens is perpendicular, is alfo a Plane parallel to the former Plane : For the Image constituted in the Plane CT may be confidered as a plane Surface fending out Rays upon the fecond Surface of the Lens. But if the Angle EAF be too great, fo as that AT shall much exceed AC, and can by no means be looked upon as equal to it; in that Cafe 'tis eafy, after the manner of Corol. Prop. VIII. to determine whether the Image of the Plane E F will be convex or concave : For Example ; It will be concave towards A, if B D, the refracting Surface, be of a denfer Medium, and convex, or of a rarer Medium, and concave; & vice verfa.

PROP. XVIII. THEOR. VII.

HE Image appears from the Vertex of Emerfion under an Angle equal to that under which the Object appears from the Vertex of Incidence. Plate II. Fig. 19.

Let G B, the Axis of the Lens, be fuppofed produced, and ftanding perpendicularly on the radiant Plane F E H at E, which (by Corol. of the foregoing Prop.) is alfo perpendicular to its Image f C b. Join L 2 the

the right Lines B F, B H, G f, G b. I fay, the Angles F B H, f G b are equal. Out of the innumerable Rays proceeding from the Point F, and after Refraction at the Lens, again united at f, the Image of this Point, choofe two, one of which, F B, meets the Lens at the Vertex of Incidence B, and being there refracted, tends to φ , the Focus of Transition of that Point; and being again refracted at I, is directed towards f: The other, F D, being first refracted in D, tends straight on to φ , till it emerges out of the Lens at the Vertex of Emersion; where being again refracted, it proceeds towards f.

DGB is the Angle of Incidence of the Ray DG, and CGf its Angle of Refraction; and LBG is the Angle of Incidence of the Ray L B, which (by Corol. 1. Theor. IV.) would be refracted into BF, and EBF is its Angle of Refraction. Becaufe the right Lines o B, o G (BG, the Thicknefs of the Lens, being neglected) become equal, the Sines of the Angles D G B, LBG, which are proportional to thefe, and confequently the Angles themfelves, DGB, LBG, and therefore their Angles of Refraction, likewife CGf, EBF, will be equal. After the fame manner CGb, EBH are found equal; therefore the Angles FBH, fGb are equal: Which is nwosher pendicutar to its image f C. b.

S.J

The Elements of Dioptrics. 85 shewn after the same manner in any other Case whatever. Q. E. D.

COROL.

Hence it follows, that a radiant Line is to its Image made by a Lens, as the Distance of that from the Vertex of Incidence, to the Diftance of this from the Vertex of Emerfion, or (the Thicknefs of the Lens being neglected) as their Diffances from the Lens. But if the Radiant be a Surface, their homologous Lines will still remain in the fame Proportion; but the Radiant will be to its Image in a duplicate Proportion of those Distances. Whence it will be eafy to determine the Proportion which the laft Image (which is immediately feen by the Eye) of an Object, made by the Mediation of one or more Lenfes, bears to the Object it felf.

From this *Prop.* it follows likewife, that a radiant Line and its Image are cut in the fame Proportion by the *Axis* of the *Lens* produced.

PROP.

Tor m any other

PROP. XIX. PROB. XII.

THE Position of a Radiant in the Axis of the Lens being given, to determine its Image made by a given Lens, with respect to an Eye placed in the Axis of the Lens. Plate II. Fig. 20.

Let PCQD be the Lens proposed; in whofe Axis C D, produced at Pleafure, fuppose the Radiant to be placed, to the extreme Points of which the right Lines CE, CF do tend. Let b be the Focus of Rays proceeding from that Point of the Radiant which lies in the Axis, after Refraction at both the Surfaces of the Lens, found by Prop. XVI. Through which draw the Plane ebf, to which CD is perpendicular. Then through D the right Lines Df, De being drawn parallel to CF and CE, 'tis plain (from the two foregoing Prop.) that f is the Image of that Point to which the right Line CF is directed, and e is the Image of that to which C E is directed. From whence it is manifest, that the Image fbe will be feen by an Eye placed any where in C D produced beyond b, and receiving the Rays from the refpective Points of the Image diverging.

But

But it is to be observed, that every Point of the Image fb e does not, like the primary Radiant, send forth Rays every way, and into all Parts; but the Rays of each Point constitute a Cone opposed at the Vertex to that Cone, which has the forementioned Point for its Vertex, and the Lens that refracts the Rays for its Base: Whence, from the Situation of the Eye, and the Diameter of its Pupil being given, it will prefently be known whether the Eye will receive the Rays of any given Point; that is, whether it will see that Point. Which Consideration must always take place likewise in Vision of Images made by a Speculum.

For Example; If the Radiant be vaftly diftant, and the Surface P C Q plane, PDQ convex, and the Lens made of Glafs with Air all around it, D b will (by Corol. 3. Prop. XIV.) be equal to the Diameter of the Sphere PDQ: For the Rays being parallel to B C, pafs unrefracted through the plane Surface P C Q, upon which they fall perpendicularly; and the Image in respect to the Radiant is inverted.

But if PCQ be convex, and PDQ plane, C b will (by Corol. 1. Prop. XIII. and Corol. 1. Prop. XIV.) be equal to the Diameter of the Sphere PCQ, together with a third part of CD, the Thicknefs of the Lens. And neglecting the Thicknefs of the

the Lens, as is usually done in the object Lens of a Telescope, the Distance of the Image of a vastly distant Radiant, from a Plano-convex Lens, is equal to the Diameter of the Sphere.

If the Plano-convex Lens PQ were of Water, Db would, by Prop. XIV. be fefquialteral of the Diameter.

If the Lens PQ be of Glafs, and both its Surfaces equally convex, the Thicknefs being neglected, D b will (by Corol. 1. Prop. XIV. and Prop. XV.) be equal to the Semidiameter of either Sphere. In an entire Sphere of Glafs, the Image of a very diftant Radiant will be at the Diftance of a Quarter of the Diameter behind the Sphere; for in this Cafe the Thicknefs of the Lens cannot be neglected: In one of Water this Diftance equals the Semidiameter.

In all thefe and the like Cafes, if the Sun be that diftant Radiant, and the Lens be notably broader than the Image of the Sun, in the Place of the Image a Burning will be excited; and that more vehement than from a concave Speculum, if the Image of the Sun be equally diftant from a Lens and Speculum equally broad, becaufe of the greater Lofs of Rays at this than at that. If a lucid Body be put in the forementioned Place, the Image of it will be caft at a very great Diftance, and will The Elements of Dioptrics. 89 will enlighten those Parts that are vastly remote.

If the Radiant be not vaftly distant as before, but yet more remote from the Lens, than the Place of the Image of a vaftly distant Radiant; befides the Appearances just explained, namely, that the Image will be inverted, Gc. if the Radiant approaches towards the Lens, the Image will recede, and vice versa; till the Radiant comes to the Place of the Image of a very diftant Radiant, and then its Image will become vastly distant. All which may be seen in a darkened Chamber, receiving no Light but through a convex Lens, upon which Radiants at different Distances cast their Rays. The Place of the Image of any Radiant is known, by its being painted most diffinctly upon a white unpolifhed Plane, fituated in the Chamber. Nor is there need of fubjoining any thing more of this Experiment, which is now very common; or of that other, founded upon the fame optical Principles, in which, by the pellucid Colours of a Picture painted upon Glafs, and transmitting the close Rays of a Flame, an Image is fhewn painted upon a white unpolifhed Plane.

If the Radiant be nearer the convex Lens than the Image of a vaftly diftant Radiant, then its Image will be formed not on the M opposite,

opposite, but on the same Side of the Lens, and its Place according to what has gone before, from the Place of the Radiant being given will be determined. This Image is alwas erect, and greater than the Radiant : And as the Radiant approaches to the Lens, the Image likewife approaches to it; and in like manner they both recede from it at the fame time, but the Image more fwiftly.

Fig. 21. If the convex Lens were changed into a concave one, the fame Construction remains; and by a Calculation (according to the Corollaries of Prop. XIV.) e b f, the Image of a very distant Radiant, made by a Plano-concave Lens PQ, will be found erect, and on the fame Side of D with the Radiant, and distant from it by the Diameter of the Sphere PDQ. Now if the Surface PCQ be also fpherical, and the Radiant from being diftant becomes near, its Image will be determined by the fame Construction, if by Prop. XV. the Image of the radiant Point placed in the Axis of the Lens be first found. In which Cafe, befides what has been just now faid, it is alfo to be obferved, that the Image approaches to, or recedes from, the Lens at the fame time as the Radiant does, but more flowly; as will be plain to any one who will give himfelf the Trouble of a Calculation.

Let the Rays of any Radiant, inflected after fuch a manner as to be ready to form the Image ebf if nothing hindered, be conceived to be intercepted by a Plano-concave Lens PQ; upon whofe concave Surface PDQ, described on a Diameter equal to the right Line Db, they first fall: The fame Construction as before determines the Image made after the Refraction of these Rays at the forementioned Lens: For (by Corol. 4. Prop. XV.) the Rays converging towards b are fo refracted from the concave Glafs, as to become parallel to the Axis, that is, they constitute a vastly distant Image, to whofe extreme Points (by the foregoing Theor.) the right Lines E C, FC, or eD, fD, are directed, and which is confequently given in Pofition. And in this Cafe the chief Thing to be obferved is, that, to an Eye placed about B, the distant Image appears inverted, in respect to the Image that would have been made at ebf, without the Intervention of the Lens. It will be eafy to proceed in all other Cafes, according to these Examples. Q. E. F.

noin A m B a, drawn paraliel to this, will

M 2. PROP.

PROP. XX. PROB. XIII.

TO find fuch a Position of the Radiant with respect to a given Lens, that the Image made by the Lens may be equal to a given Figure, which is similar to the Radiant: Or, which is the same thing, that the Radiant may be to its Image made by the Lens in a given Proportion. Plate II. Fig. 22.

Let a Lens of Glass be given; for Example; Let A B be the Semidiameter of the first Surface, and CB the Semidiameter of the fecond Surface. Draw CM at Pleafure, making any Angle with CA. Let the Proportion of MD to DC be given; namely, that which the homologous Lines of the Radiant and its Image bear to one another. Join A M; to which through B draw BE parallel, meeting the right Line CM in E; ME taken twice will be the fought Distance of the Radiant from the Lens. If Dm be taken in the fame right Line, but on the other Side of the Point D, equal to the right Line D M, and you join Am; Be, drawn parallel to this, will cut off another me, which will likewife fatisfy

fatisfy the Problem: For the Radiant placed at the Diftance of twice *me* from the *Lens*, is to its Image (but then it will be made on the contrary Side of the *Lens* with refpect to the former Image) as D M to D C.

By Corol. Prop XVIII. the radiant Line is to its Image madge by a Lens, as their Diftances from the Lens: But if twice M E, or twice me, be the Diftance of the radiant Point from the Lens, the Diftance of the Image of that Point from the Lens, will be to the former Diftance refpectively, as M D to DC; as will be plain to any one, who will undertake a Calculation according to Prop. XV. For if A B be called a, B C b, the Thicknefs of the Lens C, M D r, and z the fought Diftance of the Radiant from the Lens of Glafs about which Air is circumfufed; we fhall have zz =

6abz-2acz+6arz×2crz+4acr

3a-c+3b

If the Thicknefs of the Lens be neglected (which is done in the Construction of the Problem) the fought Distance of the Radiant from the Lens will be equal to

2ab×2ar

a+b

In the Scheme referred to the Lens is made convex on both Sides; but the fame Construction will ferve for any Lens, fince from the Variety of Lenses only the Order of the Points A, B, and C is changed. Another Construction of this Problem is easily deduced from Corol. Prop. XVI.

PROP. XXI. PROB. XIV.

With two given spherical Lenses or Specula, or one Lens and one Speculum, to make an optical Machine, which, to an Eye seeing at a given Distance, shall distinctly represent a given near Object under a given Angle, the Distance of the Eye from the last Lens or Speculum being likewise assigned.

Since the Eye is a Machine made on purpofe, that the Images of exterior Radiants may be diffinctly painted upon its Bottom (which is made concave for this End, as *Corol. Theor.* VI. requires) all the reft of its *Apparatus* conducing only to its Motion or Security, which are neceffary to be provided for; it is plain, that a given Eye can only fee diffinctly at a given Diffance from the Object. Now if the Eye could place

it

it felf at Pleafure, at fuch a Distance from any Object as is neceffary to diffinct Vision, (all other things, as the Degree of Light, Gc. being as they fhould be) Vision would always be diffinct. And though there be no Eye fo stiff, as to fee only at a determinate Diftance, but can, according to the Flexibility or Mobility of the Parts with which every Eye is endued, apply it felf to Objects placed at different Diftances, and change its Figure according to the Diftance given; fo as to be no longer looked upon as the fame given Eye, but various and mutable, as Occasion requires : Yet, fince this Mobility is confined within certain Limits, and there are a great many Objects to which we cannot at Pleafure come near enough to be within those Limits; 'tis plain, there will be need of an optical Machine to fee them diffinctly. But any fpherical Lens or Speculum will be fufficient for this Purpofe; fince by its Affistance the Image of any Radiant (to which we cannot come fo near as we would) may be brought near us (as is plain from what has been before demonstrated) and then we shall be able to view it, fince the Eye is fuppofed moveable at Pleafure with refpect to any thing near.

But because, besides distinct Vision, our Occasions sometimes require us to look into the more minute Parts of an Object, and

it is found by Experiment, that an Object feen under a lefs Angle than of one Minute, is confidered by the Obferver as a Point, and its Parts not at all to be diftinguished one from another : It often happens, that when the Object is brought nearer the Eye, that the Particles to be obferved may be feen under a fenfible Angle, and greater than the forementioned one, the Object it felf becomes too near the Eye, and is out of the Limits required for diftinct Vision. This Inconveniency, if it be the only one, may be remedied by the Assistance of any given Lens or Speculum, by Prop. X. or XX. where the Image of an Object made by a given Speculum or Lens is reprefented in any given Meafure.

But if both the forementioned Inconveniencies urge at the fame time, they are not to be removed without the Help of two *Lenfes* or *Specula*, or one *Lens* and *Speculum*. Having fhewn therefore what Affiftance the Sight may receive from a fingle given *Lens* or *Speculum*, we fhall proceed to Machines made by two combined together; or to conftruct the Problem propofed univerfally. *Plate II. Fig.* 23, 24.

Let R be the given Object, S the given Angle in which it is to be reprefented, D the given Diftance requifite to diftinct Vifion, and L the given Diftance of the Eye from

from the Lens. Make the Triangle AOB, in which the Angle at the Vertex O is equal to the given S, and OE perpendicular from the Vertex upon the Bafis equal to the given D. If we take the middle Point of the Object placed in the Axis of the Lens or Speculum, which in Practice is very convenient, the Triangle AOB must be made Ifosceles. Take OV equal to L. At V place either of the Lenses or Specula, having its Axis in the right Line OE.

By Prop. VI. or XVI. having one Focus E of a Lens or Speculum given, find the other e; that is, that the Rays whole Focus before Incidence is e, may have E for their Focus after Inflexion at the Lens or Speculum. Through e draw the right Line a e b parallel to the right Line A E B, meeting the right Lines V a, V b, drawn through V parallel to the right Lines VA, V B, in a and b.

'Tis plain, from *Prop.* IX. or XIX. that if *a e b* be the Radiant, A E B will be its Image: Wherefore if the given near Object R, and another *Lens* or *Speculum*, be placed after fuch a manner (by *Prop.* X. or XX.) that the Image of the Object R made by this *Lens* or *Speculum*, may obtain the Situation and Magnitude *a e b*; the Microfcope required is made: For *a e b* is the Image of the Object R, the N Image

Image of which Image, feen by the Eye placed in O, is A E B. Now this appears under the Angle A O B equal to the given S, and at the Diftance O E equal to the given D, and confequently diftinct; and the Diftance of the Eye from the last Lens or Speculum in V, is equal to the given L, Q. E. F.

If the given Eye be an old Man's, every thing elfe remaining as before, the right Line O E becomes infinite, and the Point *e* is found by *Prop.* III. or XIV.

If the Object proposed were vastly distant, the Problem would be impossible. But if the Angle S were not given, a Telescope from the remaining Data might thus be made. In the right Line given in Polition, tending towards the proposed distant Object, straight forward from O, take OE, OV, equal to D and L : And in V place one of the Lenfes or Specula, having its Axis in VE. By Prop. VI. or XVI. one Focus E of the Lens V being given, find the other e; which being fuppofed the Focus of Rays before Inflexion at V, E may be their Focus after Inflexion. In the right Line O E let the other Lens or Speculum, having its Axis in the fame, be fo placed, that the Image of the diftant Object made by it may be fituated in the right Line a e b perpendicular to O E, and the Telescope required is made. For the firft

first Image of the distant Object is in a e b: And the Image of this Image is; by Construction, in AEB, whose Distance from O is equal to the right Line O E or the given D, and consequently distinctly seen; and the Distance of the Eye from V is equal to the given L. But a distant Object being given, by Prop. XIX. its Image made by a given Lens or Speculum, and likewise the Image of that Image made by the given V will be given, and consequently the Angle under which this last is feen by the Eye in a given Position.

But if O be an old Man's Eye, the Angle A O B, becaule of A B being in this Cafe vaftly diftant, is equal to A V B, or a V b. Therefore the Angles under which a diftant Object with and without a Machine appears, are as the Diftances of the Lenfes or Specula from the common Focus e: For fmall Angles are almost as their Cotangles.

SCHOLIUM.

It will be very convenient that the Lens or Speculum, which immediately receives the Rays of the Object, and forms its first Image (and is therefore called the Object Glass) be as perfect as possible : For the Errors or Defects of this Lens or Speculum N 2 affect

affect the Image made by it. And fince this Image acts the Part of an Object, to be feen through the Speculum or Lens V, (which is nearest the Eye, and therefore called the Ocular Gla(s) its Defects, that is, the Defects or Errors of the Object Glafs by which it is formed will be greater and more fenfible, by how much the Image AEB is greater than *aeb*; that is, by how much more perfect (the Object Glafs remaining the fame) the Machine is made. But the Errors of the Ocular Lens or Speculum V, are equally fenfible, whatfoever be the Image aeb, or the Object Lens or Speculum by which it is produced; that is, the Ocular Glafs V remaining the fame, its Defects are equally apparent and difcoverable, to whatfoever Degree of Perfection the Machine, by changing the Object Lens or Speculum, which forms the Image aeb, be brought: For the Eye being given, the given Ocular Lens or Speculum V is always at the fame Diftance from the Image aeb, doing the Office of an Object and its Image A E B, and confequently fhews the fame Defects.

read and rear convenient the the server

So-calaba which anachistely receives

affect

the Musis of the Oliver, and forms its first

Inner (and is therefore called the Object

c VI

PROP. Setteds es polible : For the

PROP. XXII. PROB. XV.

With three or more given spherical Lenses or Specula, to make a Machine, which to a given Eye shall distinctly represent a proposed distant Object under a given Angle, the Distance of the Eye from the last Lens or Speculum being assigned.

By the Help of any one of the given Lenfes or Specula from the Image of the diftant Object, and with the two others, by the foregoing *Prop*. make a Microfcope that shall represent it in the Conditions proposed, and the Telescope required is made.

In like manner with these Lenses or Specula may a Microscope be made; and then by adding a fourth, another Telescope: In all which we have the Proportion which the Image seen by the Eye bears to the Object, or which the Angle under which that is seen, bears to the Angle under which that this is seen without the Machine: And confequently the Powers of a Machine in promoting Vision, are, by Corol. Prop. IX. and XVIII. easily estimated.

DALL THE FREE LOT L

PROP.

ods of list

PROP. XXIII. PROB. XVI.

To make a spherical Lens of Glass, whose Thickness is given, that shall to an Eye seeing at a given Distance represent a given Object placed at a given Distance, under a given Angle distinctlys the Distance of the Eye from the Lens being likewise assigned. Plate III. Fig. 1.

We have hitherto fhewn what Affiftance may be had from given Lenfes or Specula, or both, howfoever combined, in order to fupply the Defects of Vision; it remains; that we demonstrate the Manner of making a Lens for given Uses.

The radiant Line A B, whole Diffance from the Lens is V E, is to be reprefented diffinctly under the vifual Angle a O b, to an Eye feeing diffinctly at the Diffance O e. In the Ifolceles Triangle a O b (whole Bafe is a b, and Height e O) upon the Perpendicular O e (fo fituated as to bifect the radiant Line A B perpendicularly in E) take O L equal to the given Diffance of the Eye from the Lens. Draw a L, b L, and produce them to D and F. Make L V equal to the given Thicknefs of the Lens. From the right Line V L, given in

in Polition, perpendicular to the Glafs at the Points of Incidence, draw the refracted Rays in Glafs V a, VB, LH, LG correfponding to those in Air AV, BV, DL, FL. Produce the right Lines HL, GL, till they meet with the right Lines VB, Va in B and a. The right Line a B join'd, will cut the Perpendicular e O perpendicularly in e, becaufe both the Angles of Incidence and of Refraction, on each fide of the right Line O e are equal. From the Foci E and e, and the Vertex V being given, find (by Corol. 2. Prop. XV.) the Sphere of Glafs K V M that may refract the Rays in Air diverging from E, fo as to make them converge towards .. By the forementioned Corol. find alfo NLP, the Surface of a Sphere of Air paffing through L, that may refract the Rays in Glafs converging towards their Focus e, fo as to make them afterwards diverge from e : The folid Figure MKNP, being made of Glafs, and terminated by the fpherical Surfaces KVM, NLP, and a conic Surface whofe Axis is V L, is the Lens required. But Care must be taken, that the Portions VK, LN be not too great; because all the preceding Demonstrations hold only true of Rays falling near the Vertex.

By Construction, the Rays diverging from E do, after Refraction at the first Surface

Surface KVM, converge to the Focus :: Wherefore (by Prop. XVII.) the Image of the radiant right Line A B (made by Refraction at KVM) is in the right Line as given in Polition: But the Ray AV, by Construction, after it is refracted at the Surface KVM comes to the Point a; therefore that Point a is the Image of the Point A, which is produced by the first Surface only. In like manner B is the Image of the Point B; and the right Line as B is the Image of the radiant right Line A E B. Moreover (by Construction) the Rays within the Lens that converge towards e, after they are refracted at the Surface NLP, diverge from e; wherefore (by Prop. XVII.) the Image of the future Image asB, made by Refraction at the Surface NLP, is in the right Line a e b : But the Ray GL within the Lens, proceeding directly towards a, after it is refracted, becomes L.F., and proceeds from a; whence the Image of the future Image in a, after Refraction at NLP, becomes a : And fo likewife the Rays within the Lens that converge towards &, after Refraction at NLP, diverge from b; that is, aeb is the Image of the Radiant AEB, placed at a given Distance VE from the Lens, made by the Lens NKMP, and to be feen diftinctly by a given Eye in O; because it is at

at the required Diftance O e from the Eye, and is alfo feen under the given Angle aObby the Eye O, which is at the given Diftance O L from the Lens, and V L is the given Thicknefs of the Lens. Q. E. F.

The fame Conftruction ferves, if the Eye of an old Man be given: For in that Cafe a e b is at an infinite Diffance, and the former right Lines a L, b L must be drawn through L parallel to the right Lines Oa, Ob given in Position, and the Center of the Surface NLP (by Corol. 6. Prop. XIV.) is diffant from L by a third part of the right Line Ls.

But if the Object proposed be a distant one, the Construction will become much more simple: For the Center of the first Surface K V M (by *Corol.* 1. *Prop.* XIV.) will be distant from the *Vertex* V by a third part of the right Line V \leq .

PROP. XXIV. PROB. XVII.

the Axer A B .: Or, which is the fame

or fuch a manner, that the two Images

TO make a concave spherical Speculum of Glass, whose Thickness and Diameter of Concavity are given, so that the Rays parallel to its Axis, reflected from both its Surfaces, shall be collected in

105 The Elements of Dioptrics. in the Same Point of its Axis. Plate III. Fig. 2. alfo feen under the given Ang. 2 .giT by the Eye O, which is at the g

-101 1137

Because it is found by Experience, that Specula made of Metals neither receive a due Figure and Polishing fo eafily, nor preferve it fo long, it will be convenient to use those of Glass. Let B then be the Vertex of the concave Glafs Surface EBF, A its Center, and BD the Thickness of the Lens, be given ; we are to find DC the Semidiameter of the Surface GDH, fo that the Rays parallel to A B, and falling upon the concave Speculum, as well those that are reflected from the Surface EBF, as those that are twice refracted at the forementioned Surface EBF at their Entrance into it and Emerfion out of it, but reflected from the concave Surface GDH, may all meet in the fame Point of the Axis A B: Or, which is the fame thing, a Speculum of Glass is to be made after fuch a manner, that the two Images of a diftant Object, made by the two Surfaces of the Speculum, may coincide, and confequently fo as to be most powerful in burning, or in forming the Images of distant Objects. Plate III. Fig. 3.

From any Point L of the infinite right Line SM, on one Side take L N equal to BD, 52

B D, and on the other Side L R equal to twice BD; from N likewife directly forward take NT equal to thrice A B, and TV equal to twice NT, and VM alfo equal to twice R L. On the Center R, with the Radius RT, describe a Circle meeting the Perpendicular erected at L in K. Join M K; and at K crect the Perpendicular KS meeting the infinite right Line first drawn, in S, Fig. 2. In the right Line DA, from D towards A, take DC equal to the right Line LS; and on the Center C with the Radius C D deferibe an Arch of a Circle DG, fimilar to the Arch B E deferibed on the Center A, and join EG. The folid Figure, generated by the Rotation of the plane Figure BDGE about the fixed right Line B D, and confifting of Glafs, is the Speculum required. Q. E. F.

Fig. 4. But if A B be fufficiently large in refpect to the Thicknefs BD, the Problem will admit of this more expeditious Construction. Divide BD in O, fo that the greatest Segment BO may be to the leffer O D, as 5 to 4. Produce BA to C, fo that CA may be equal to OD; and C will be very nearly the Center of the outward Surface G D H. vered by that admirable 2. E. I. We

We fhall omit the Demonstration of these two Constructions; because it is very easily deduced from the following analytical Calculation founded upon *Prop.* V. XIV. and XV. For if AB = a, and BD = c, we shall have DC = 9aa + 18ac + 5cc.

In like manner may a Speculum be made, if the Radiant be in any other Polition.

90+50

I had determined to have fubjoined a general Calculation for finding the Foci of any Speculum or Lens univerfally: But that is abundantly done already for Lenfes by that excellent Analyst EDM. HALLEY, in the Philosophical Transactions for Nowember, 1693, and elegantly applied to particular Cafes.

SCHOLIUM.

Hitherto we have shewn what Advantages may be expected from spherical *Lenses* or Specula, towards the Construction of Machines: But the different Refrangibility of the Rays of Light, and that in given Rays given, immutable, and annexed to certain Colours, discovered by that admirable Philosopher Sir ISAAC

ISAAC NEWTON, has fo much difturbed our Dioptrical Reafonings, that no Exactness can now be hoped for from Lenfes, even though formed into what conoidical Figures we pleafe. But fince the Law of Catoptrics concerning the Equality of the Angles of Incidence and Reflexion, is preferved inviolable in Rays, however heterogeneous, as the fame Great Man observes ; it is better to use a Specuhum instead of the Object Lens, which forming the Image of a diftant Object at a confiderable Diffance, difcovers the Errors that arife from the different Refrangibility of Rays fenfible enough, and not at all to be diffembled, * if the Rays falling obliquely be admitted by an Aperture fufficiently large, which is very often neceffary: But in finaller Lenfes, fuch as the ocular ones, the Error is fo finall and infenfible, that they may be still fafely used.

James Gregory † was the first who gave a Specimen of this Sort of Cata Dioptrical Telescopes, confisting of Lenses and Specula, in Optic. Promot. Prop. 59. which was many Years afterwards given out by Mr. Cassegrain, a Frenchman, for his own. The fame, upon Physical as well as Geometrical Accounts, altered and improved,

* See the Latin. + Our Author's Uncle.

is published by Sir ISAAC NEWTON, in his admirable Theory of Lights and Colours.

Since Specula, being opake Bodies, cannot have the fame Axis with Lenfes without being perforated at their Vertex, and confequently fuffering an irreparable Lofs (arifing from both these Causes) of those Rays that fall near the Vertex, and are most accurately reflected : The Polition of the Axis may (by Corol. 1. Prop. II.) be altered as we pleafe, by the Help of a plane Speculum; and by this means (befides other Advantages) the Necessity of Perforation is quite taken away, and the Lofs of Rays falling near the Axis, occasioned by the Opacity, is very much diminished by the Obl quity of the fecond Speculum, which is observed by the accurate Newton in his Cata-Dioptrical Telefcope. But if, by reason of Physical Difficulties, in turning and polifhing proper Specula, we must still continue the Use of Lenses, perhaps it would be of Service to make the Object Lens of a different Medium, as we fee done in the Fabrick of the Eye; where the crystalline Humour (whose Power of refracting the Rays of Light differs very little from that of Glass) is by Nature, who never does any thing in vain, joined with the aqueous

aqueous and vitreous Humours (not differing from Water as to their Power of Refraction) in order that the Image may be painted as diftinct as possible upon the Bottom of the Eye. There are likewise other Advantages of the forementioned Artifice in the animal Eye, which belong not to this Place.

SUPPLEMENT

To the foregoing WORK.

CXD

Author's Laconic Style has mad

hich may perhaps be of Service to

had efferred his Care)

proper to fubioin a few

me farther Difficulties, and fin

211181

no T .

the Translation

The Elements of D

SUPPLEMENT To the foregoing WORK.



N order to remove whatever Difficulty might lie in the Way of a Reader who is to begin with this Subject, and interrupt his Progrefs thro' this most excellent Treatife (befides having all along in

the Translation explained fuch Passages as the Author's Laconic Style has made fomething too intricate for a Beginner, and corrected feveral confiderable Faults of the Prefs, which had escaped his Care) I have thought it proper to subjoin a few things, which may perhaps be of Service to obviate some farther Difficulties, and supply fome seeming Defects.

I. From

CHIS Place.

A SUPPLEMENT, GC. 113

I. From Prop. VI. Prob. V.

We may give a Solution of the following Catoptrical Problem, for finding the Focus of any given Speculum univerfally; which the Author tells us, at the End of his Book, it was once his Defign to have done.

The Problem is this. The Focus, or Point in the Axis of a given Speculum, from whence, or towards which, Rays proceed, being given, to find the Focus or Point where those Rays will meet again, after they fall upon, and are reflected by, a given Speculum.

Now this Problem being folved in one Cafe, namely, that of Rays falling upon a convex Speculum, and diverging from a certain Point in the Axis of the Speculum, will, mutatis mutandis, be applicable to all other Cafes whatever, whether the Speculum be convex, concave, or plane, and whether the Rays fall diverging, converging, or parallel.

[Plate I. Fig. 9.] Let then BD be a given convex Speculum, whofe Center is A, and E a given Point in its Axis, from whence the Rays which fall upon the Speculum diverge: It is required to find the Focus, or Point C, in which the Rays ED diverging from the Focus, or Point E, do, after Reflexion from the convex Speculum BD, meet. Call E B the given Diftance of the Point E from B the Vertex of the Specu-P

114 ASUPPLEMENT

lum d, A B the given Radius of the Speculum r, and B C the Diftance of C the Focus required from B the Vertex of the Speculum, x. By Prop. VI. Prob. V. A C (r - x): C B (x):: A E (d+r): E B (d). From whence arifes the Equation, dr - dx = dxdr

+rx, which gives us BC(x) = ---2d+rfor our general Rule; which fhews, that in the Cafe of *diverging Rays* falling upon a convex Speculum, the Focus C is always

affirmative, and to be taken from the Vertex B directly forward: And that the greater d is, the greater will be the focal Diftance, till at last d becoming infinite, and confequently the finite Term +r vanishing, dr r

it will be $x = \underline{-} = \underline{-}$ or half the Radius; 2d 2

that is, the Radiant receding from a convex Speculum, the Image will also recede beyond the Vertex of the Speculum; but so flowly, that when the Radiant becomes vaftly distant, the Image will be got no farther than the middle Point, between the Vertex and Center of the Speculum: And vice versa, the nearer the Radiant approaches to the Speculum, the nearer the Image approaches to it, till at last they both meet and coincide at the Vertex. According to Observat. 2. Schol. Prop. VIII.

1.0123

to Dr. GREGORY's Optics. 115 If E B (d) be equal to A B (r), the r focal Diffance BC becomes = —: But if 3 E B (d) be equal to half A B ($\frac{1}{2}r$) the focal Diffance will in this Cafe become r.

4

Now to apply this universal Canon to all other poffible Cafes; the Terms of which it confifts remaining always the fame, 'tis only changing the Signs + or - according as the Cafe requires : For in the Cafe of converging Rays, the Point in the Axis of the Speculum towards which they converge, is on the other Side of the Vertex of the Speculum, and confequently its given Distance, in respect to the former, is negative, or -d. For the fame Reafon, in the Cafe of a concave Speculum, the Center of the fpherical Surface lying on the contrary Side of the Vertex, the given Radius becomes negative, or - r. And in these Cafes refpectively, wherefoever the Quantity d or r occurs, it must have a contrary Sign to what it had before.

If then converging Rays fall upon a convex Speculum, d being in this Cafe negative, the Rule will be -dr; which -2d+rP 2 fhews
fhews, that when 2d exceeds r, the Focus will be ftill affirmative; but if 2d be lefs than r, the Focus will be negative, or on the contrary Side of the Vertex of the Speculum: That is, if the Point behind the Speculum, towards which the Rays converge, be at a greater Diftance from the Vertex of the Speculum than half the Radius, the Focus is ftill to be taken from B the Vertex directly forward, according to Corol. Prop. IV. But if its Diftance be lefs than half the Radius, then the Focus must be taken from the Vertex B backward, according to Corol. Prop. VI. When d is equal to -dr.

 $\frac{1}{2}r$, the focal Diftance becomes = ----

and is confequently infinite : That is, Rays converging to a Point in the Axis of a convex Speculum, at an equal Diftance between the Center and Vertex, will, after Reflexion, proceed parallel, according to Corol. Prop. III. When d is equal to r, the focal Diftance becomes = r; that is, Rays converging towards the Center of the Speculum, are reflected by a convex Speculum back again upon themfelves.

If parallel Rays fall upon a convex Speculum, d in this Cafe becoming infinite, the drRule will be $-=\frac{1}{2}r$; which fhews, that 2d

Rays

0

Rays falling parallel upon a convex Speculum, are collected in a Point at the Diftance of half the Radius behind the Speculum, according to what has been demonstrated at Prop. III. And confequently a vastly diftant Radiant will have its Image formed in this Point; whence the Sun's Beams will be there collected, and heat or burn any thing placed therein.

If diverging Rays fall upon a concave Speculum, the Radius being in this Cafe -dr

-r, the Rule will be -r; which flews 2d-r

that when d is lefs than $\frac{1}{2}r$, the Focus is affirmative; when d is equal to $\frac{1}{2}r$, the Focus is infinite; and when d is greater than 1 r, the Focus is negative; and when d is equal to r, the focal Diftance is = -r: That is, if the Point in the Axis of the Speculum from which the Rays diverge be nearer the Vertex than half the Radius, the Focus will still be behind the Speculum, according to Prop. V. If it be just at the Diftance of half the Radius, the Rays after Reflexion will proceed parallel, according to Prop. III. If it be at a greater Diftance than half the Radius, the Focus after Reflexion will be on the fame Side of the Speculum with the Focus before Reflexion, or Point from which the Rays diverge. If it be at the Diftance of the whole Radius, the

the Rays after Reflexion meet in the fame Point from which they first diverge. It is moreover manifest, that the more d exceeds $\frac{1}{2}r$, the less will be the *negative* focal Distance; but if d be infinite, the -dr

focal Diftance in this Cafe $= -\frac{1}{2}r$, 2d can be no lefs than half the *Radius*: And on the contrary, the lefs d exceeds $\frac{1}{2}r$, the greater will be the focal Diftance; till at laft, d becoming equal to $\frac{1}{2}r$, the focal Di-

stance in this Cafe = --- becomes infi-

-dr

nite. But when d grows any thing lefs than $\frac{1}{2}r$, the Focus becoming a firmative, is thrown at a greater Diftance on the contrary Side of the Speculum; and by how much d is lefs than $\frac{1}{2}r$, the lefs will be this affirmative focal Distance. So that a Radiant placed at a greater Distance than half the Radius from a concave Speculum, the farther it recedes from the Speculum, the nearer its Image which is on the fame Side approaches to the Speculum, and at the Center of the Speculum they meet, and afterwards crofs one another, till the Radiant becoming, vaftly distant, the Image will come within half the Distance of the Radius from the Speculum : And vice ver(a, the nearer the Radiant approaches the Speculum, the farther the Image recedes from

it,

it, and at the Center of the Speculum both meet, and afterwards crofs one another, till. at last the Radiant coming to half the Distance of the Radius from the Speculum, the Image becomes vastly distant: Whence if a lucid Body be placed at the Diftance of half the Radius from a concave Speculum, it will enlighten Places that are vaftly diftant. If the Radiant comes nearer the Speculum than half the Radius, the Image will be cast from before the Speculum to a great Diftance on the contrary Side; and the nearer the Radiant now approaches to the Speculum, the nearer will the Image likewife approach to it, till at last they both coincide at its Vertex, and vice versa, according to Obfervat. 1. Schol. Prop. VIII.

If converging Rays fall upon a concave Speculum, d and r being in this Cafe both dr

negative, the Rule will be -2d - r:

which fhews that the Focus is always negative: That is, Rays that fall converging upon a concave Speculum, will always be collected in a Point or Focus on this Side the Speculum, according to Corol. Prop. V. If d be equal to r, the focal Diffance is -r but if d be equal to r, the focal Diffance is

4

 $=\frac{-r}{3}$; but if d be equal to $\frac{1}{2}r$, the focal

Distance is = ----

-1100

If parallel Rays fall upon a concave Speculum, d in this Cafe becoming infinite;

the Rule will be $--= -\frac{1}{2}r$: That is,

Rays falling parallel upon a concave Speculum, are collected in a Point at the Diftance of half the Radius on this Side the Speculum, as has been demonstrated at Prop. III. Whence if the Sun's Beams be received upon fuch a Speculum, the fame will be the burning Point.

If diverging Rays fall upon a plane Speculum, the Radius r being infinite, the Rule will be $\frac{dr}{dr} = d$; that is, the Focus of diver-

ging Rays reflected by a plane Speculum, will be at as great a Diftance behind the Speculum, as the Point from which they diverge is before the Speculum, according to Prop. II. And confequently the Image of any Radiant, made by Reflexion from a plane Speculum, will be seen as far behind the Speculum as the Radiant is before the Speculum; and they will both not only recede from and approach to the Speculum at the fame time, but likewife keep an equal Pace one with another.

If converging Rays fall upon a plane Speculum, d being negative, and r infinite, -dr the Rule will be --- = -d; that is, Rays r . :

con-

converging to a Point at a certain Distance behind a plane Speculum, will have their Focus at an equal Distance from the Speculum; according to Corol. 1. Prop. II.

If parallel Rays fall upon a plane Speculum, both d and r being in this Cafe indr

finite, the focal Diftance — will be 2d+r

alfo infinite: That is, Rays falling parallel upon a plane Speculum, will be reflected back parallel.

It is worth observing, that the Confideration of diverging Rays relates to Objects that are near us, and fuch as we examine with our naked Eye, or by the Help of a Microfcope: Parallel Rays are confidered when we have to do with Objects vaftly diftant, and fuch as we look at through Telescopes. And converging Rays fall under our Confideration, when the Rays proceeding diverging or parallel from any Object are by one Speculum or Lens made to converge, and then intercepted by the Interpolition of another Speculum or Lens, before they arrive at their Point of Convergence; which is of great Ufe in examining the Effects of optical Machines, made by a Combination of more than one Lens or Speculum, and constructing fuch as are proper for any affigned Purpofe, where this Contrivance is often abfolutely necellary.

There

There are two farther Uses of this Method; the first is, to determine what Degree of Convexity or Concavity is necessary for a Speculum to represent a given Object at a given Focus. And this is very easily done from the Equation first found $dr \rightarrow dx = dx + rx$: For d and x being given, the Radius of 2dx

Convexity r = -. Where it is plain, d - x

that if x be greater than d, r will be a negative Quantity, and the Problem impoffible for a convex Speculum; That is, if it be required to reprefent a given Object, at fuch a given Focus, whole Diftance on the other Side of the Speculum fhall be greater than the Diftance of the Object on this Side; inftead of a convex Glafs, we must use a concave, whole negative Radius will be 2dx

= ——. After the fame manner the De- $-\infty$ —d

gree of Concavity is found from the fame Equation, only changing the Sign of rfrom + to -: For d and x being given, we 2dx.

Where it is manifeft, that if d be greater tan ∞ , r will be a negative Quantity, and the Problem impossible for a concave Speculum: That is, if it be required to reprefent

fent a given Object, at fuch a given Focus, whofe Diftance on the other Side of the Speculum shall be lefs than the Diftance of the Object on this Side, instead of a concave Speculum, we must make use of a convex, 2dx

whose affirmative R adius is = ——. And d = x

in both Cafes if d be equal to x, then the Radius either of Convexity or Concavity $2dx \quad 2dx$ = ----= - will be infinite, and the $+d-x \quad 0$

-

Problem will be impoffible for either a convex or concave Speculum : That is, if it be required that a given Object fhall be reprefented at fuch a given Focus, whofe Diftance on the other Side of the Speculum fhall be equal to the Diftance of the Object on this Side, inftead of a convex or concave Speculum, a plane Speculum is the only one that can be ufed. If d be infinite, the 2dx

Radius of Convexity becomes --= 2x;

200 200 200

-d 1103 5 10

but the Radius of Concavity -= -2x,

will have a negative Value : Which fhews, that the Problem is impoffible in the Cafe of a concave Speculum; that is, a vaftly Q 2 diftant

diftant Object cannot be reprefented at any given *Focus* behind a concave Speculum; but may by a Convex, whole Radius of Convexity must be equal to twice the given focal Distance behind the Speculum. If xbe infinite, then the Radius of Convexity 2 dx

= - = - 2d has a negative Value, and $-\infty$

the Problem is impossible for a convex Speculum; but the Radius of Concavity is 2dx

= $\frac{1}{x} = 2d$. Whence if we would have a

given Object reprefented at an infinite Diftance behind the Speculum, we can make use of none but a concave Speculum, whose Radius of Concavity must be equal to twice the given Distance of the Object.

Hitherto we have confidered the Focus as affirmative, that is, behind or beyond the Speculum; but the fame Rule, only changing

the Sign of x in the Equation $x = \frac{1}{2d+r}$

will equally hold if we would have the Focus negative, or on the fame Side of the Speculum with the Object : For in the Cafe of a convex Speculum we fhall have the Ra--2dx

dius of Convexity = $\frac{d}{d-x}$ always of a ne-

Mantib

gative

gative Value, and confequently the Problem is always impossible for a convex Speculum; but in the Cafe of a concave Speculum, the -2dx

Radius of Concavity will be = --= d - x:

Which fhews, that the Problem is always poffible for a concave Speculum, be the Quantities d and x as they will. If we would have d = x, then the Radius of Concavity will be also equal to d or x: That is, a concave Speculum, whose Radius is equal to the Distance of the Object from the Speculum, will reflect the Image into the fame Place with the Object.

The other Use of this Method is, from the Image and Speculum given, to find the Distance of the Object from the Speculum: That is, in the Equation first found dr - dx = dx + rx, x and r being given, we are to find d, which will confequently

be = $\frac{1}{r-2\infty}$ in convex Specula, and in $r-2\infty$

rx

concave = -. Whence 'tis plain, that r + 2x

in convex Specula the Problem will be impoffible when x exceeds $\frac{1}{2}r$, but in concave Specula it will always be poffible: That is, if the Image is to be at a greater Diffance than $\frac{1}{2}$ the Radius behind the Speculum, it cannot

cannot be made by an Object placed before a convex Speculum at what Diftance foever: But let the Diftance of the Image behind the Speculum be what it will, it may be formed by an Object exposed at some certain Diftance before a concave Speculum. If the given *Focus* be negative, or the Image on the fame Side of the Speculum with the Object, then changing the Sign of x, in the forementioned Equation, we shall have, in the Cafe

of convex Specula, d = --; and in the r+2x

- 12

Cafe of concave Specula, d = -. So $r - 2\infty$

Said add offil S

that the Problem will always be impossible for convex Specula, and only possible for concave ones, when $\frac{1}{2}r$ does not exceed x, or when the Image is not nearer the Speculum than by half the Radius.

II. From Corol. Prop. IX.

WE may deduce a Solution of the following Catoptrical Problem, of magnifying or diminishing a given Object by a given Speculum in any assigned Proportion. The Problem is this: To find at what Distance from a given Speculum it is necessary

ceffary to place an Object, in order that the homologous Lines of the Image made by the Speculum may bear any affigned Proportion to those of the Object.

Since it is evident from this Corol. that the homologous Lines of the Radiant and Image are to one another as their Diftances from the Speculum refpectively: It follows, that if b to c express the Proportion which the homologous Lines of the Object and Image are to bear to one another, b will be to c, as d, the Diftance of the Object, to x, the focal Diftance of the Image. Whence, if we compare this Value of x with that delivered in the foregoing Problem, we cd dr

fhall have $\frac{d}{b} = \frac{d}{2d+r}$: And confequently

br -- cr

the Diftance required d = - will be 2c

our general Rule; and will, mutatis mutandis, extend it felf to all possible Cafes whatever, though in its prefent Form it regards the Cafe of convex Specula in particular: For concave Specula 'twill stand cr - br

thus d = ---. If the Image be defired

20

on the fame Side of the Speculum with the Object, ∞ being negative, in the Cafe of convex

convex Specula, 'twill be $d = \frac{-br - cr}{2c}$ br + cr.

and in the Cafe of concave d = --.

From whence 'tis plain, that there is no magnifying an Object by a concave Speculum; for c being in this Cafe greater than b, the Rule for the affirmative Focus br - cr

-20

d = - will have a negative Va-

lue, and that for the negative Focus -br - cr

lue: So that we can only diminifh an Object, and make it appear lefs, by a convex Speculum, and that only when the Focus is affirmative, or the Image to be repre-fented behind the Speculum. And by a concave Speculum there is no diminifhing an Object, as long as the Focus is affirmative; for b being greater than c, the Rule cr - br

in that Cafe d = - will have a nega-

26

tive Value: So that we can only magnify an Object, and make it appear greater behind a concave Speculum. But if the Focus be negative, and the Image and Object to be to Dr. GREGORY's Optics. 129 be both on the fame Side of the Speculum, br + crthe Rule being d = ---, flews that in 20

this Cafe a concave Speculum will magnify or diminish an Object in what Proportion we please.

It is to be obferved, that if the Object be a right Line, the Proportion b to c will express the Proportion between the Object and Image themfelves; but if the Object be a plane Figure, the Proportion b to c will be only fubduplicate of that which the Object bears to the Image, as we learn from Euclid. So that if b to c be as 2 to 1, the Object and Image will be as the Squares of these Numbers, or as 4 to 1. But it must also be noted, that Painters usually measure the Largeness of their Figures by the fimple Proportion of their homologous Lines; fo that when they fpeak of an human Figure twice as big as the Life, their Meaning is, that the homologous Lines of this Figure are twice as great as those of the Life; or that the Dimensions of every Member in Length and Breadth are twice as large as those of the Men represented ; though properly speaking, the Picture is four times as big as the Life.

If the Out-Lines of the Image be defired twice as big as the Life, and the Focus affirmative; c being in this Cafe greater than R b, the

b, the Problem will be impossible for any convex Speculum; but the Object being placed before a concave Speculum at the Di-

 $\frac{cr-br}{ftance} = -, \text{ or } - \text{ of the Radius,}$ $\frac{2c}{4} = 4$

will have its Image magnified in the Proportion affigned. If we would have the *Focus* negative, and the Image reprefented on the fame Side of the Speculum with the Object, ftill the Problem will be impoffible for a convex Speculum; but if the Object be placed before a concave Speculum

at the Diftance $\frac{br+cr}{2c} = \frac{3r}{4}$, or $\frac{3}{4}$ of the Radius, its Image made by the Speculum will be magnified in the Proportion affigned.

Thus let the Proportion b to c be what it will, the Rule will always give us the Diftance, at which the Object must be placed before the given Speculum, in order to have its Image magnified or diminished in that Proportion. I shall only add one Instance more; and that is, supposing b and c were equal, and the *Focus* affirmative: In this Cafe we shall have both for convex and

concave Specula d = ---- = 2c = 0:

D. VENC

- +

20

-br-cr o

That

That is, the Object must be placed at the very Vertex of the Speculum; in which Cafe we know both Object and Image coincide. If the *Focus* were negative, then no convex Speculum will do; and the Rule for *concave Specula* will be br - cr 2cr

d = --- = r: That is, the Ob-2c 2c 2c ject must be placed in the Center of the Speculum.

There are two farther Uses to be made of this Method; the first is, the Distance at which the Object is to be placed before the Speculum, and the Proportion in which the Image is to be magnified or diminished, being given, to find what Degree of Convexity or Concavity the Speculum should have, in order to magnify or diminish the Image in the Proportion assigned: That is, b - cr

in the Rule d = - d, b, and c being

2c, given, we are required to find r; which will give us, in the Cafe of a convex Spe-2cd; culum, and an affirmative Focus, $r = -\frac{2cd}{b-c}$ and in the Cafe of a concave Speculum, 2cd $r = -\frac{1}{c-b}$ If the Focus be negative, for c-bR 2 convex

convex Specula, the Rule stands r = --

200

- b---c

for concave, r = ---.

6-1-0

From all which it appears, that if c be greater than b, or if the Image be defired greater than the Object, and to be reprefented behind the Speculum, no Convex will do, but a Concave will, whofe Radius acd

is _____. As likewife, if b be greater than c-b

c, or the Image be defired lefs than the Object, and to be reprefented behind the Speculum, no Concave will do, but a Convex 2cd

will, whole Radius must be --. If the b-c

Focus be required negative, or the Image to be on the fame Side of the Speculum with the Object; the Problem is altogether impossible for a convex Speculum, whether to magnify or diminiss; and always polfible for a concave Speculum either to magnify or diminish.

The other Use we may make of this Method is, from the Distance of the Object before the Speculum, and the Radius of Convexity or Concavity being given, to find the Proportion b to c, which the Object will

will bear to its Image made by the given Speculum: That is, in the forementioned Rule, having d and r given, to find the Proportion of b to c. Whence in the Cafe of a convex Speculum, and an affirmative Focus, 'twill be $b \cdot c :: 2d + rr$; and in the Cafe of a Concave, $b \cdot c :: r = 2d \cdot r$. But if the Focus be negative, in convex Specula, 'twill be $b \cdot c :: -2d - r \cdot r$; and in concave, $b \cdot c :: 2d - r \cdot r$.

So then in the Cafe of a convex Speculum, and the Image behind the Speculum, b will always be greater than c; because 2d + r is of necessity greater than r: And in the Cafe of a Concave, b will always be lefs than c for the like Reafon; and if d be equal to $\frac{1}{2}r$, then c will be infinite in respect to b. But if the Image be required on the fame Side of the Speculum with the Object, in convex Specula, 'twill be found. always impossible; and in concave, possible in all Cafes whatever, both of magnifying and diminishing: For if d be greater than r, then b will be greater than c; if d be lefs than r, b will be lefs than c; and if d be equal to $\frac{1}{2}r$, then c will be infinitely greater than b.

which will have beined on a reality person

Focted Constant and the Market States

in the language of the set

IT'OTR

weth bits (1) Story out hito This

III. At

THE BOILT OF

III. At Corol. 3. Prop. XV.

THE Author gives a Construction to find the Focus of Rays refracted at a Ipherical Surface; and towards the latter end of that Corol. applies the fame to the Cafe of a plane Surface: Affirming, that in this Cafe the right Line F M, which determines the Focus by its meeting the Axis B A produced fomewhere in C, will be the fame with a right Line, joining the Point F with another Point taken in B E produced, at fuch a Distance from B, as to make that Distance bear the fame Proportion to B E which A N does to A M; because the Tangents of Angles are reciprocally as their Cotangents.

[Plate III. Fig. 5.] That this may be more eafily conceived; Suppose the Surface BD plane, and take the Point C upon BE produced; so as that BC may be to BE as AN to AM, and join FC. We are to shew that the right Line FM produced, will in this Case meet the Axis A B produced, in the Point C, and there determine the Focus. Join EN, EM; and on the Center E with any Radius, as EA, deferibe an Arch of a Circle AG, and draw the Tangents of the Angles AEN, AEM, which will alway be as AN to AM, and are

are in the prefent Cafe those very Lines, as likewife their refpective Cotangents G H, GK. Since A, the Center of the plane Surface BD, and confequently the right Line AMN, is at an infinite Diffance from B, the right Line FM becomes parallel to EM; and confequently if produced beyond F, will meet the Axis A B produced fomewhere, fuppofe at C, fo as to make the Triangle CBF fimilar to the Triangle KGE. And therefore the right Line EH, passing thro' the Vertex of both those Triangles, will cut their Bafes CB, GK fimilarly in E and H, fo as to make BC to BE, as GK to GH. But becaufe the Tangents of Angles are reciprocally as their Cotangents, A N is to AM, as GK to GH, therefore BC is to BE, as AN to AM. And confequently the Point C, where the right Line F M produced meets the Axis A B produced, is that very Point C taken at first upon BE produced, fo as that BC may be to BE, as AN to AM. 2, E. D.

IV. At Prop. XVI. Prob XI.

THE Author recommends the Use of analytical Calculations, for finding the Foci of Lenses, as far better than the very nicest geometrical Constructions: For which

which Reason it cannot be improper to give the less skilful Reader an Example, that he may see how such Calculations are to be managed.

[Plate III. Fig. 6.] Suppose BD to be the given Lens, and E a Point in its Axis, from which Rays diverging fall upon the Lens, A the Center of its first spherical Surface, and C the Center of its fecond fpherical Surface, B.D the Thickness of the Lens, and I to R the Ratio of Incidence to Refraction. And it is required to find F the Focus of those Rays after Refraction at both Surfaces of the Lens. We must first find f the Focus of those Rays after their Refraction at the first Surface only, or their Focus of Transition. Call EBd, BDt, ABr, CD, Bfx, DFy. By Proposit. XV. EA(d+r): Af(x-r) + fB(x): BE(d):: I: R: Whence multiplying the Extremes and Means, R dx + R rx = I dx -Idr

Idr; and Bf(x) = ------

Id—Rd—Rr. Whence it is plain, that if d be fo great in refpect to r, that I d exceeds R d + Rr, the Focus f is affirmative, and to be taken from B the Vertex of the refracting Surface directly forward, as at Fig. 6: If I d is lefs than R d + Rr, the Focus f is negative, and to be taken from B backwards, as at Fig.

to Dr. GREGORY's Optics. 137 Fig. 7; and if I d be equal to R d + R r, Bf becomes infinite, and the Rays proceed parallel. Thus having found f the Focus, after the first Refraction, we may, by the fame means, find F the Focus after the fecond Refraction. For, by the fame Prop. XV. $fC(\frac{Idr}{Id-Rd-Rr}-t+s=$ 1dr-Idt+Rdt+Rrt+Ide-Rde-Rre): 1d - Rd - RrCF(y+g)+FD(y):Df $\left(\frac{Idr}{Id-Rd-Rr}-t=\frac{Idr-Idt+Rdt+Rrt}{Id-Rd-Rr}\right)$ R: I: (I to R at the Emerfion of Rays from any Lens, being as R was to I at their Immersion into it.) Wherefore multiplying the Extremes and Means, we have $I^2 dry - I^2 dty + IR dty + IRrty + I^2 dey -$ IRdey - IRrey = IRdry - IRdty + R2dty + Rerty + IRdre --- IRdte --- Redte --- Redte ---- $R^{2}rt_{P}$: And confequently, DF (y) = IRdro - IRdto - Radto - Rarte $I^2dr - IRdr - I^2dt - + 2IRdt - R^2dt +$ IRrt - Rert + Ide - IRde - IRre. Which Equation, if we put $p = \frac{R}{I - R}$, may be abridged, and reduced to DF(y) =Ipdro - Rdot + Rprot Idr - Idt + Rrt + Ide + Rdt - Ipre. And S L'OIUE

And it is evidently the fame with that, which the famous Dr. Halley has given long ago, in the Philosophical Transactions, for finding the Foci of optical Glasses universally.

This Calculation being general, will ferve for all Sorts of Lenses, be the Matter of which they are made, and the ambient Medium what they will, or whatever be the Ratio of I to R: And tho' it is made for Lenfes whofe Surfaces are both convex, yet, mutatis mutandis, it will extend to Lenfes of any other Figure whatever, whether double-convex or double-concave, plancconvex or plano-concave, or convexo-concave, which last Sort are commonly called Menifci. For the Radius of a concave Surface being on the contrary Side, or negative with refpect to that of a convex, and the Radius of a plane Surface infinite ; 'tis only changing all the Signs + or - with which the Radius of the refpective Surface, which we would have concave instead of convex, is affected in the general Rule; or making all the Terms infinite, which involve the Radius of the refpective Surface, which we would have plane inftead of convex. So likewife if we would have it extend to other Rays befides diverging ones; the Diftance of the Point where converging Rays meet, from. the first Surface of the Lens, being on the contrary Side or negative, in respect to that of diverging Rays, and the Distance of the Point

Point where *parallel* Rays meet, from the fame Surface, being *infinite*: 'Tis only changing the Signs of all the Terms where we meet with d, if the Rays are fuppofed *converging*; or making those fame Terms infinite if the Rays are fuppofed *parallel*.

In the Cafe of a *double Convex* of Glafs, if the ambient *Medium* be Air, I being to R as 3 to 2, we fhall have the *focal* Diftance from the fecond Surface of the Lens,

6drs - 2det + 4ret

Rule is $y = - \frac{4dr - dt + 3rt + 4d_P - 12r_P}{4dr - dt + 3rt + 4d_P - 12r_P}$ And for a *double Convex* of Diamond, in a *Medium* of Air, I being to R as 5 to 2, the Rule $\frac{1}{3} dr_P - 2d_P t + \frac{4}{2}r_P t$

would be y =

 $5dr - 3dt + 2rt + 5d_{p} - \frac{1}{3}rp$. If the Thicknefs of the Lens be neglected, which is generally not confiderable; the Terms where t occurs being rejected, the Rule is ftill farther abridged to S 2 y =

Dest (3446) pdrp -. Where it is evident, V = --- $dr + dr - pr_{r}$ that if d be fo finall in respect to r and e, that $dr + d_{g}$ is lefs than pr_{g} , the focal Diftance pare

y will be negative, and = . -dr-de+pre, or the Rays after the two Refractions at both Surfaces of the Lens, will still proceed diverging from some Point, before the fecond Surface of the Lens; and if dr + dpbe equal to pre, y is infinite, and the Rays after Emersion from the Lens proceed parallel. The Error in neglecting t is fo fmall, that if, for the Eafe of the Calculation, we suppose a Lens of Glass equally convex on both Sides, and exposed to parallel Rays, r being in this Cafe equal to, and d infinite, the focal Distance, when t is neglected, is 2drr

2drort of stor 697 - 29t

6r - t6r-t

 $\frac{1}{6}t$ lefs than the former. In the Cafe of converging Rays falling upon a double Con--2drp vex of Glafs, we have $y = -\frac{dr}{ds} - \frac{dr}{ds} - \frac{2r_e}{r_e}$

always

to Dr. GREGORY's Optics. 141 always affirmative : And if the Rays are parallel, d being infinite, 'twill be 2 dre 2re y = ----; which alfo gives the dr+do r+o Focus always affirmative, or behind the Lens. Diverging Rays falling upon a double Concave, give $y = \frac{2dre}{-dr - de - 2re}$ always negative, as in the Cafe of converging Rays on a double Convex 'twas always affirmative : But if the Rays are converging, - 2drp dr + de - 2re when $dr + d_{\rho}$ is lefs than $2r_{\rho}$, or when the Focus of diverging Rays collected by a double Convex is negative, and vice versa: If the Rays are parallel, 'tis 2 dre 2re O -dr-de -r-etive. A plano-convex Glass, the plane Surface being exposed to diverging Rays, gives, 2 dre 2de r being infinite, y = -------, af $dr - 2r_{\rho} = d - 2\rho,$ firmative or negative, according as d is greater or lefs than 2e; if exposed to converging - 2dp Rays, y = ----, always affirmative; - d - 28 if

if to parallel Rays, $y = \frac{2d\rho}{d} = 2g$: So that the Image of a vaftly diftant Object is always formed by a plano-convex Lens, the plane Side being turned towards the Object. just at the Distance of the Diameter of the fecond Surface behind it. A plano-concave Lens, exposed on the plane Side to diver-- 2dro - 2do ging Rays, gives $y = \frac{1}{dr + 2re} = \frac{1}{dr + 2re}$ iew twas st always negative; to converging Rays, 2de $y = -\frac{1}{-d+2e}$, affirmative, when the Focus of diverging Rays on a plano-convex is negative, and vice versa; to parallel Rays, $y = \frac{-2d^{2}}{d} = -2s$: So that the Image of a vaftly diftant Object is always formed by a plano-concave at the Distance of the Diameter before the fecond Surface, as it is by a plano-convex behind. A Menifcus exposed on the concave Side to diverging Rays, gives $\frac{-2dr_{\rm P}}{-dr+d_{\rm P}+2r_{\rm P}}$, affirmative only v=---when d and r are fo great in respect to r, that dr exceeds de + 2re: To converging 2 dre Rays, $y = \frac{1}{dr - ds + 2rs}$, affirmative or negative,

to Dr. GREGORY's Optics. 143 negative, according as $dr + 2r_{\theta}$ is greater or lefs than de: If to parallel Rays $-2dr_{\theta} - 2r_{\theta}$

y = ----, affirmative, $-dr + d^{2} - r + e^{2}$

if the Radius of *Concavity* is greater than the Radius of *Convexity*; and negative if lefs; and infinite if equal: For the Effects of the first Surface are, in that Case, exactly destroyed by the second, and the Rays fuffered to proceed still parallel.

It is to be observed, that if the Thickness of the Lens is neglected, as inconfiderable, the Focus of all Sorts of Rays falling upon any Lens will be exactly the fame, upon whichfoever Surface of the Lens they are first received. But if the Thickness of the Lens be confidered, there will be some Difference in the focal Diftance, according as you turn this or that Surface of the Lens towards the Rays. And this Difference is eafily found from the general Rule : For upon turning the other Surface of the Lens towards the Rays, e becomes r, and r changes to p; by which means the Rule will give us the focal Distance in both Cafes, and fubftracting one from the other, we find their Difference. Thus, if, to abridge the Rule, we fuppose the Rays parallel, d being infinite, we have for a double Convex in one Cafe $y = \frac{Ipre - Ret}{Ir - It + I + eRt}$, and upon turning

Ippr - Rrt

turning the Lens, $y = ------_{I_r-I_r+I_r+R_t}$; wherefore fubftracting one from the other, according as ρ or r is greateft, we fhall have the Difference in *double Convex*, occasioned $+Rrt - R_et$

by turning the Lens = $\frac{-+}{Ir - It + Rt + I_{P}}$,

or in Glafs $\frac{\pm 2rt \mp 2et}{3r-t+3e}$. And this is ap-

plicable to Lenfes of any other Figure, by changing the Signs + or - of those Terms, where we meet with r or r, or making them infinite, according as the respective Surfaces are concave or plane. Thus in the Case of a plano-convex, r being infinite, the Difference arising upon turning the Lens Rrt R

becomes - = -t; or in Glafs $\frac{1}{5}t$, in Ir I

Water $\frac{3}{4}t$, and $\frac{3}{5}t$ in Diamond. Which fhews that the focal Diffance is greater by $\frac{3}{3}t$ when the plane Side of a plano-convex of Glafs is turned towards a vaftly diftant Object, than when the convex Side is turned to it. After the fame manner, the negative focal Diftance of a plane Concave will be greater by $\frac{3}{5}t$, when the plane Side is turned towards the vaftly diftant Object, than when the concave Side is turned to it. In double Concaves,

to Dr. GREGORY's Optics. 145 caves, where the Focus is always negative, + 2pt + 2rt the Difference is _____, according - 3r-t- 3P as p is greater or lefs than r. In Menifci, the focal Distance, whether affirmative or negative, being always greatest when the concave Surface is turned towards a vastly distant Object, the Difference is - 2rt - 2pt ----- when the Foci upon turning - 3r-t+3P either Side fall both one way, and are either both affirmative or negative: But if t be fo confiderable as to be greater than 3", the focal Diftance, upon turning the con-- 6rp - 2pt cave Side, ----- is affirmative, and -3r - t + 3ethe focal Distance, upon turning the convex - 6pr + 2rt Side, ---- negative; and confe-3p-t-3r2rt - 1 2rg - 2gt quently their Difference is ------- 3r - t + 3p: And if t were equal to 3r, the Focus in this Cafe, upon turning the convex Side to a vaftly distant Object, falling exactly upon the Vertex of the fecond Surface of the Lens, and confequently the focal Distance being equal to nothing, the Difference will be the fame T

fame with the focal Diftance upon turning $-6r_e-6_{ee}$. the concave Side, namely, — After -3r

the like manner, may be found from the general Rule, the Difference which would arife upon turning the different Surface of any Sort of *Lens* towards other Rays befides *parallel*, whether *diverging* or *converging*; but the *Canons* for these Cases consist of fo many Terms, and are of so little Use, that they are not worth having.

There are three farther Uses to be made of the general Rule above delivered; the first is, from the Lens or Focus, where an Object is represented, being given, to determine the Distance of the Object from the Lens; or the Lens by which we would form the Image of any Object, and the Focus where we would have it formed, being given, to determine the Distance at which the Object should be placed before the Lens, that it may be represented in the given Focus: pdre

That is, in the Equation $y = \frac{dr+d_P-pr_P}{dr+d_P-pr_P}$, or $dry + dy - pr_P = pdr_P$, r, P, p, and ybeing given, 'tis required to find d, and conprey fequently we fhall have $d = \frac{pr_P - pr_P}{ry - pr_P}$; where 'tis plain, that if r and r be fo great in

in refpect to y, that pre exceeds ry + ey, dwill be negative; and the Object cannot be reprefented in the Circumstances required, unlefs by means of another Lens, we first make the Rays coming from the Object, diverging converge to a Point behind the first Surface of the Lens given, at the Distance of

prey

: And if pre is equal to ry-1-ey,

pre-ry-ey d will be infinite. Suppose the given Lens a double Convex of Glass, and made of two Segments of equal Spheres, but of a Thicknefs not confiderable, and it is required to find at what Distance from the Lens a lucid Body should be placed, in order to have its Beams parallel after their Emerfion from the Lens, and confequently its Light thrown upon Objects vaftly diftant, which may be thereby illuminated : In this Cafe y being infinite, and r equal to p, and p equal to 2, we fhall have the Diftance required d = r. But if t be confiderable, we must find d from the Rule which takes in the Thicknefs of the Lens, which gives us the exact Value of $d = \frac{4ret - 64ry - 2rty}{2rty}$ As if,

3ry - ty + 3ey - 6re + 2et for Example, the double Convex just mentioned, were an entire Sphere of Glafs, and the fame thing required as before, y being, as we have already obferved, infinite, T 2 and

and requal to g, and moreover t equal to 2r; this last Rule gives $d = \frac{6rry - 4rry}{4rry}$

3ry-2ry+3ry

-r; whereas by the former, which neglects

the Thicknefs, we have the Diftance required twice as great, or d = r; a Difference very confiderable, if the Spheres be of any Bignefs. So then, a lucid Body placed at the Diftance of half the Radius from a Sphere of Glafs, or at the Diffance of the whole Radius from a double Convex of equal Spheres, whofe Thicknefs is inconfiderable, will illuminate Objects vaftly diftant. If the given Lens were a Hemisphere of Glass, and the fame thing still required; if the convex Surface be first, both y and g being in this Cafe infinite, and t equal to r, the Distance of the lucid Body will be 6rey

d = --- = 2r; but if the plane Side be 30%

next the lucid Body, y and r being infinite, Grey - arty

= = $\frac{4}{3}e_1$ or $\frac{4}{3}r_3$; there we have d = --3ry

being as has been shewn before a Difference of it in the focal Distance of a plano-convex exposed to parallel Rays, occasioned by turning the different Sides of the Lens: If t had been

been neglected, we fhould have had d = 2rin both Cafes. If we have an Object reprefented by a *double Concave* of Glafs of equal Spheres, at a negative *Focus* the Diftance of the Radius from the *Lens*, and it were required to find the Diftance of the Object, *y*, *r*, and *e* being all negative and equal, and *t* inconfiderable, we fhall have -2TTT

d = - infinite; and confequently 2rr - 2rr

the Object is validly diftant. The fame thing may be done for all other Cafes whatever, only remembering to make the proper Alterations according as r, e, or y are negative or infinite, and t confiderable or inconfiderable.

The fecond Ufe is, from one Surface (either the first or fecond) of a Lens being given already formed, to find what Degree of Convexity the other Surface must have, in order to represent a given Object at a given Focus: That is, in the Equation before used $dry + dry - prry = pdr_e$, d, y, p and r being given, to find e, or e being given, to find r. Whence we have dry dey

e=----, and r=----pdr-dy+pry pde-dy+pey; which will ferve for any other Lenfes befides double-convex, and any other Rays befides diverging ones, by making fuch Alterations

terations as have been already directed. If the first Surface of a Glass Lens were plane, and it were required to find what Degree of Convexity the second Surface must have, in order to represent an Object at a Focus just as far distant from the Lens as the Object it felf; in this Case d is equal to y, and dry I

r infinite, and confequently e = -d:

So that the fecond Surface of the Lens mult be made of a Segment of a Sphere, whofe Radius is equal to $\frac{1}{4}$ of the Diftance of the Object. If the Object to be reprefented at a given Focus, be vaftly diftant, d being in this Cafe infinite, the Rule is abridged to

 $r = \frac{ry}{pr - y}$: Whence 'tis plain, that if in

Glafs y is greater than 2r, or the given Focus be at a greater Distance from the Lens than twice the Radius of the given Surface, will be negative, and the fecond Surface must be made concave; and if y be equal to 2r, p is infinite, and the fecond Surface must be plane. If the Thickness of the Lens be fo great that it ought to be confidered, we must find p from the general Rule.

The third Use is, from the Lens, Diftance of the Object, and Focus being given to determine the Ratio of Refraction: That is, in the Equation before used $dry + d_{gy} - pr_{gy}$ = pdre, to Dr. GREGORY's Optics. 151 = pdre, d, r, e and y being given, to find p, which gives us $p = \frac{dry + dey}{dre + rey}$: For p being found, the Value of $p = \frac{I}{I - R}$ gives

being found, the Value of $p = \prod_{I \to R} gives$ the *Ratio* I to R as I + p to p. If a doubleconvex Lens, made of two Segments of the fame Sphere, reprefents, or is required to reprefent, a vaftly diftant Object, at a Focus the Diftance $\frac{3}{2}r$ from the Lens; d being in this Cafe infinite, and r equal to r, and y 3drr

equal to $\frac{1}{2}r$, we fhall have $p = \frac{1}{drr} = 3$,

and confequently the Ratio I to R as 4 to 3; whence the Lens is made, or ought to be made, of the Medium of Water. If the focal Diftance were $\frac{1}{3}r$, we fhould have $p = \frac{2}{3}$, or I to R as 5 to 2, and confequently the Lens would be Diamond. If the focal Diftance were 4r, then we have p=8, or I to R as 9 to 8, and the Lens is Glass, and the ambient Medium Water. But if we are very curious in determining the Ratio of Refraction, it is done more exactly when the Lens is formed into an Hemisphere, or a plano-convex, and receiving the Rays of the Sun upon its plane Side, collects them in a Point at fome Distance behind, which must be measured with great Nicenefs; becaufe in this Cafe our neglecting t occasions no Error at all. In
In this Cafe, if the *focal* Diffance is equal to thrice the Radius of the Sphere, d and rbeing infinite, and y equal to 3e, 'twill be p = 3, or I to R as 4 to 3; if y is equal to 2e, I is to R as 3 to 2; and if y is equal to then I is to R as 2 to 1.

V. At Prop. XX. Prob. XIII.

Which is, To find the Diftance at which an Object should be placed from a given Lens, so as that the Image formed by the Lens may bear a given Proportion to the Object.

The Author has given the Conftruction, but omitted the Demonstration; leaving the Process of the Calculation which points out that Construction, as a Trial of Skill to the diligent Reader. But because my Design in publishing the Book is to make it entirely easy; for fear it may prove too difficult or discouraging a Task to some who are either not skilful enough, or perhaps too lazy, to go through with it, I have studies the following Solution.

[Plate III. Fig. 6, 7.] Let the given Lens BD be a double Convex, and call A B the Radius of the first Surface a, CD the Radius of the fecond Surface b, BD the Thickness of

of the Lens c; the Proportion of the homologous Lines of the Object and Image as r to b, and E B the Diffance required z, at which the Object is to be placed before the Lens B, which we fuppofe made of Glafs, and the ambient Medium Air. It is plain there are different Values of E B(z) according as the Focus F is affirmative, or beyond the Lens, as at Fig. 6. or negative, and on the fame Side of the Object, as at Fig. 7. Both which Cafes fhall be refpectively confidered, and included in the Demonftration.

By Corol. Prop. XVIII. the homologous Lines of the Object and Image are to another as their refpective Diftances from the Lens; wherefore r is to b as E B (z) the Diftance of the Object required to D F the focal Diftance of the defired Image, which

is confequently $\frac{bz}{d}$. But we thall have an-

other Value of this focal Diffance from Prop.XV. For if we look upon f as the Focus of Rays fent diverging from the Object at E, after their Refraction at the first Surface of the Lens, and F their Focus after both Refractions; and call the first focal Diffance $Bf_{,} = \infty$, and the fecond D F, = y. Before we can find the focal Diffance D F, which determines the Place of the Image, we must first find B f. Now to find B f, by Prop. U XV.

154 A SUPPLEMENT XV. $\frac{EA}{2}\left(\frac{z+a}{2}\right)$: AB(a):: Ef($\pm z+x$): Bf (x); whence $zx + ax = \pm 3az + 3ax$, and $Bf(x) = \frac{\pm 3az}{z-2a}$. Having found Bfthe fame Proposition gives us DF, for $\frac{f}{2}\left(\frac{\pm 3az}{z-2a} \mp c \pm b\right) =$ $\frac{1-3az \mp cz \pm bz \pm 2ac \mp 2ab}{2z - 4a}$: CD (b):: $f F \left(\frac{3az}{z-2a} - c \pm y \right) =$ $\frac{3az - cz + yz \pm 2ac \pm 2ay}{z - 2a}$: DF (y); whence $+ 3azy \pm czy \pm bzy$ $\frac{\pm}{2bzy} = \frac{2aby}{2bzy} = \frac{2bz}{4aby} = \frac{2bz$ $= \frac{6abz - 2bcz + 4abc}{\pm 3az + cz \pm 3bz \pm 2ac \mp 6ab}$. Therefore comparing the two Values of DF together, we have this Equation $\frac{bz}{dt}$ == 6abz - 2bcz + 4abc $\frac{1}{2} \frac{3az}{2} + \frac{cz}{2} \pm \frac{3bz}{2} \pm \frac{2ac}{2} + \frac{6ab}{6ab}, \text{ or}$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{3az}{2} + \frac{cz}{2} \pm \frac{3bz}{2} \pm \frac{2ac}{2} + \frac{6ab}{2}, \text{ or}$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{3abz}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{3bz}{2} \pm \frac{2abcz}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{3bz}{2} \pm \frac{2abcz}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{3bz}{4} + \frac{1$ From whence we have zz == 6abz - 2acz + 6arz + 2rcz + 4arc: 30-0+30 And

And if the Thicknefs of the Lens be neglected as inconfiderable, all the Terms where C occurs vanishing, we have $zz = \frac{6abz + 6arz}{3a + 3b}$, and confequently EB (z) = $\frac{2ab + 2ar}{a + b}$. Q. E. I.

[Plate II. Fig. 22.] If then we would construct this Equation, we have this Proportion given us for that Purpofe, a + b: $b \pm r$: 2a : z. Taking therefore A B equal to a, and B C in the fame right Line equal to b; from C draw at pleasure the indefinite right Line CM, upon which cut off C D equal to C B (b), and from D on either Side take D M, or D m fuch, that it may bear the fame Proportion to DC, which the homologous Lines of the Object do to those of the Image. Join A M, or Am, to which thro' B draw BE, or Be, parallel; and twice ME, or twice me, is the Distance required in the Problem. For AC(a+b): MC, or mC(b+r) :: 2 A B (2a) 2 M E or 2 me (z). And confequently the Construction gives the true Value of z, as before found. Q. E. D.

The fame Problem, of magnifying or diminishing a given Object by a given Lens, in any assigned Proportion, may be folved from the Equation above given, for finding the Foci of all forts of Lenses, which if ex-U 2 prefied

pressed in the Characteristicks in prefent pabzUse, is y = ----. For supposing as

az+bz-pabbefore, r to b expresses the Proportion which the *homologous* Lines of the Object are required to bear to those of the Image, and 'tis defired to find z the Distance of the Object from the Lens, which is necessary to perform the Conditions required : By Corol. 'Prop. XVIII. we have another Value of the focal Distance $y = \frac{bz}{r}$. Whence comparing

och maladi se were bz mort pabz el both together we have -= r az + bz - pab,and confequently if the Focus is to be affirmative $z = \frac{pab - par}{a - b}$; but if pab be greater than az- bz, then the Focus is negative, or on the fame Side with the Oband this negative focal Distance is ject, pabzaz-bz-pab, and confequently z =or ane (s). And $\frac{pab-par}{a+b}$: That is, if the Lens be Glass, the following Equation includes both Cafes, whether the Image is to be reprefented on the contrary or fame Side with the Object, $z = \frac{2ab-1-2ar}{a-1-b}$. Where it is to be observed, that pallarg

that if the Image is reprefented on the contrary Side by a double-convex Lens, or at an affirmative Focus, it may be made either equal to, greater or lefs than the Object in what Proportion we pleafe; but if it is reprefented on the fame Side, or at a negative Focus, r must always be lefs than b, and confequently the Image may be shewn larger than the Object in all the Degrees imaginable, but never lefs, nor equal; for when b is equal to r, and the Focus negative, z is = o, and when b is lefs than r, z is negative and impossible.

Nor is this Solution confined to the Cafe of double Convexes only, tho' made for Lenses of that Figure, but will with proper Alterations extend equally to Lenses of all other forts whatever; only obferving to change the Signs -- or - with which the Radius of a concave Surface is affected, or making the Terms infinite where the Radius of a plane Surface occurs; because it has been thewn before, that the Radius of a concave Surface bears a contrary Sign to that of a convex, and the Radius of a plane Surface is infinite. If then the Lens be a concaveconvex, or Menifcus of Glafs, and the first Surface concave, the Rule for both Cafes, whether the Focus is to be affirmative or -2ab+2ar

fecond

fecond Surface be that which is concave, then for the affirmative and negative Foci respectively, the Rule becomes $z = \frac{-2ab+2ar}{a-b}$. Where it is to be observed,

that in the first Cafe if the Focus be affirmative, a must be greater than b, or elfe z will be negative, and the Problem impoffible; and if the Focus be negative, and b greater than r, then must a be still greater than b; but if b be lefs than r, then a must be less than b, or elfe the Problem will be imposfible : And in the last Cafe, if the Focus be affirmative, and b greater than r, then b must be greater than a, and vice ver fa; and if the Focus be negative, b must ftill be greater than a. Which fhews that in the Cafe of a Menifcus turned on the concave Side towards the Object, the Image can never be represented at all on the opposite Side, unless the concave Surface be a Segment of a larger Sphere than the convex, and then it may be fhewn in what Proportion to the Object we pleafe; and if it is to be reprefented on the fame Side with the Object, and magnified, the Radius of Concavity must be still larger than that of Convexity, and vice versa, if the Image is to appear diminished. And in like manner may be understood what will happen upon turning the convex Side of the Menifcus towards

fucond

wards the Object. If the Lens be a double concave of Glass, the Focus being in this Cafe always negative, we have but one Value of z, which is $\frac{2ab-2ar}{-a-b}$, affirmative only when r is greater than b; which fhews that a double-concave can only diminish. If the Lens be a plano-convex of Glass, it will be z = 2b + 2r; which flews that in this Cafe the Object may either be magnified or diminished, if the Focus be affirmative, but only magnified if it be negative. It must be noted, that if the fecond Surface, whofe Radius is b, were fuppofed plane, we fhould have z = 2a; becaufe b not only flands for the Radius of that Surface, but alfo expreffes the Ratio of the Image to the Object, which is confequently in this Cafe infinite, and the Image vaftly diftant. If the Lens be a plano-concave of Glass, the Focus being always negative, we have only one Value of z, which is z = -2b + 2r; which fhews, that a plano-concave can only diminifh.

It may be remarked, that those Cases which make the Distance z negative, and the Problem impossible for the given Lens, may by means of another Lens be made practicable : If we first receive the Rays of the Object upon this second Lens, and before

fore they are collected at the Focus throw them upon the given Lens, in fuch a manner as to make them fall converging to a Point behind the first Surface of the given Lens, at the Distance of the negative Value of z.

If the Lens were a double-convex of Water, p being = $\frac{R}{1-R}$, it is $z = \frac{3ab \pm 3ar}{a+b}$ if of Diamond $z = \frac{\frac{2}{3}ab - \frac{1}{2}ar}{a+b}$; if of Glafs in an ambient Medium of Water $z = \frac{8ab - \frac{1}{2}ar}{a+b}$; if of Diamond in an ambient

Medium of Water $z = \frac{\frac{3}{ab} + \frac{3}{a}ar}{a+b}$, and conformably in all other Cafes.

If the Image be defired juft as great as the Object, then for a *double-convex* of Glafs, r being equal to b, the Rule gives the Diffance required $z = \frac{4}{ab} \frac{ab}{a+b}$; where it is plain the Problem is only poffible when the Focus is affirmative. If the Lens were equally convex, b being in this Cafe equal to a, there will only be one Value of z, and that for an affirmative Focus z = 2a: So that if the Object be placed at twice the Diffance of the Radius from the Lens, the Image formed

formed at its Focus will be just as great as the Object. If the *homologous* Lines of the Image were defired twice as large as those of the Object, r being in this Case equal to $\frac{1}{2}b$, for a *double-convex* of Glass the Rule gives 2ab + ab

convex z= 3a or $\frac{1}{2}a$, according as the Focus is affirmative or negative, fo that an Object placed at the Diftance either of $\frac{1}{2}$ or only $\frac{1}{2}$ the Radius from the Lens, is represented at a Focus, either affirmative or negative, twice as large every way as the Object, or the Image in its whole Content will be four times as large as the Object. If the homologous Lines of the Image were defired twice as fmall as those of the Object, r being in this Cafe equal to 2b, the Rule gives for an equally convex Lens only one Value of the Diftance z=3a, a double-convex being only capable of reprefenting an Object diminished, when the Focus is affirmative. If the Image be defired an hundred times larger than the Object, or its bomologous Lines ten times as large, r being in this Cafe equal 10b, if the Lens be equally convex, the Rule gives the Diftance $z=1\frac{1}{10}a$, or i.a, according as the Focus is affirmative or negative. And in general if m to n exprefs the Proportion which r bears to b, the pab - par Rule laid down at first -----, becomes a + bflance.

X

pab

 $pab \pm p = \frac{m}{n} ab$, and if the Convexity be equal, a-1-b a Bardie

it is $z = \frac{1}{2} pa \pm \frac{1}{2} p \frac{m}{n} a$. From all which it

appears, that with a Lens equally convex on both Sides, in order to magnify a given Object, the Diffance is always fomething greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ pa, when the Focus is affirmative, and always lefs than $\frac{1}{2}$ pa when the Focus is negative, unlefs the Ratio of the Image to the Object be infinitely great, and then n being infinite, 'tis $z = \frac{1}{2}$ pa: That is, in Glafs the Diffance of the Object must always exceed the Radius, if the Focus is to be affirmative, or fall fhort of it if negative, and be equal to it when the Image is to be infinitely great, or vaftly diffant. And in

order to diminish a given Object $\frac{m}{m}$ in this

Cafe exceeding Unity, the Diftance, which has but one Cafe here, is always greater than pa; and the more z exceeds pa, the more the Object is diminishid, and vice versa, 'till z becoming equal to pa, the Object and Image are likewife equal.

All this is eafily obferved in that common Experiment of the *Camera obfcura*; where the Rays propagated from external Objects are received by a *Lens*, and tranfmitted into the Room, and do there paint, upon a white Sheet placed at the *focal* Diftance

stance of those Rays from the Lens, the Images of their refpective Objects, in Colours fcarce lefs lively than those of the Objects themfelves. And hence this Problem of magnifying or diminishing a given Object, may be of great Use in Painting; for by admitting the Image of any Object by means of a Lens into a dark Chamber, in what Ratio to the Life we pleafe, 'tis eafy to hit the Proportion of every Part with great Exactnefs, which is otherwife but feldom done, efpecially in fuch Pieces where the Figures are either much greater or much less than the Life. 'Tis true, these Images that are reprefented in the dark Chamber by a fingle Lens appear inverted, but may be made erect by using a fecond Lens after the following manner: Place the Object at fuch a Diftance from the first Lens in the Window, that the Image formed by that may be just as big as the Life; then beyond the Place of this Image fix the given Lens at the Diftance required z; this will form an Image of the former Image which shall be in the Ratio affigned, and also erect, but fomething lefs lively. What has been above delivered is likewife of Service in the Construction of the Magick Lantern, and other optical Machines, where the Images of any Objects are to be reprefented monstroufly larger or lefs than the Life.

Radius required of the hindmoft Sur-

VI. At Prop. XXIV. Prob. XVII.

THich is, To make a concave Speculum of Glass of a given Thickness; the Radius of whose Concavity is also given, in Such a manner, that parallel Rays reflected from the first Surface of the Speculum may meet in the same Point of its Axis with those that pass refracted into the Speculum, and are refracted from the second Surface, and again refracted at their Emerfion from the Speculum. Or the first Surface of a concave Speculum being already formed, to determine of what Sphere 'tis neceffary to take a Segment to form the fecond Surface in fuch manner, that an Object vaftly distant may be represented by Reflexion from both Surfaces in one and the fame Place, or that the two Images may be united, and confequently be made more lively. The Author has given two feveral Constructions of the Problem; the first exact, and the other only near the Truth, but more expeditious: But for Reafons already mentioned he has omitted their Demonstration, which is as follows.

[Plate III. Fig. 8.] Let A B be the given Radius of the first Surface, and BD the given Thickness of the Speculum, and CD the Radius required of the hindmost Surface

face necessary to perform the Conditions of the Problem. Suppose the Point f in f D, the Axis of the Speculum to be the Focus of the parallel Rays, after Refraction at their Entrance into the first Surface, o their Focus after Reflexion from the fecond Surface, and F their Focus after Refraction again at their Emersion from the Speculum; 'tis required that the Point F fhould be the fame with the Focus of the fame parallel Rays after their Reflexion from the first Surface. Call the given Radius A B a, the given Thickness BDc, the first focal Distance Bfv, the fecond $D \varphi x$, the last focal Distance BFy, and the required Radius of the hindmost Surface C.D.z. We must find these focal Distances one after another, in order to determine the last BF, which must be equal to the focal Distance of parallel Rays reflected from a concave Speculum. For the first then Bf, by Prop. XIV. Bf(v): fA(v-a)::I:R:: (in Glals) 3:2; whence Bf(v) = 3a. And for the fecond Do, by Prop. IV. Do (x): oC (z-x):: Df(3a+c): fC(3a+c-z);whence 3ax + cx - zx = 3az + cz - 3ax - cx, and confequently $D \varphi(x) = \frac{3az+cz}{6a+2c-z}$. Lastly, to determine the focal Distance

BF after both Refractions at the first Surface, and the Reflexion from the last, by Proposit. XV. the Emersion being out of Glass

166 ASUPPLEMENT Glass into Air, $\frac{\circ A}{2} \left(a + c - \frac{3az - cz}{6a + 2c - z} \right)$ $=\frac{6aa+8ac-4az+2cc-2cz}{12a+4c-2z}): A B$ (a):: * F $\left(\frac{3az+cz}{6a+2c-2}-y-c\right)$ $\frac{3az+2cz-6ay-2cy+zy-6ac-2cc}{6a+2c-z}):$ BF(y); whence 6aay + 8acy - 4azy +200y - 202y = 6aaz + 4acz - 12aay -4acy + 2azy - 12aac - 4acc, and confequently $BF(y) = \frac{3aaz+2acz-6aac-2acc}{9aa+6ac-3az+cc-cz}$. Now this must be equal to the focal Distance of parallel Rays reflected from a concave Speculum, which by Prop. III. is just half the Radius; whence we have another Value of BF $(y) = \frac{a}{2}$ And comparing both together, we have $\frac{3aaz + 2acz - 6aac - 2acc}{9aa + 6ac - 3az + cc - cz} = \frac{a}{2},$ or gaz+5cz - 18ac - 5cc = gaa, and confequently the Radius required CD(z) =<u>9aa+18ac+5cc</u>. Q.E.I. 9a+5c Q.E.I.

Fig. 3. If then we would construct this Value of CD (z), we have the following Propor-

Proportion given us for that Purpole 9a + 5c: √9aa+18ac+5cc:: √9aa+18ac+5cc: z. Wherefore making the rectangular Triangle MLK in fuch manner, that LM shall be equal to 9a+ 5c, and LK equal to √9aa + 18ac + 5cc; and then drawing from K the Perpendicular SK, the right Line LS is the Radius required. For by Element. VI. 8. L M (9a+5c): LK (19aa + 18ac - 5cc) :: LK $(\sqrt{9aa} + 18ac + 5cc)$: LS(z). And confequently the Construction gives the true Value of CD(z) as before found. Q, E. D.Fig. 4. The Radius required is also capable of another Construction; for making an actual Division of gaa + 18ac + 5cc by 9a + 5c, the Quotient is $a + c + \frac{4ac}{9a + 5c}$: And if AB(a) be fufficiently great in refpect to BD (c), the Term 5c in the Denominator of the Fraction may be neglected; and then it becomes $z = a + c + \frac{4}{2}c$, whole Excess above the Truth is not at all fensible. Wherefore taking DO equal to t of BD(c), and making AC equal to DO, CD (a+c+c) is very nearly the true Value of the Radius required. 2. E. D.

To fhew how near this laft Value of $z=a+c+\frac{4}{5}c$ is to the Truth, if we suppose the Thickness of the Speculum to be $\frac{1}{5}$ of the given Radius of the first Surface, which is very

very confiderable : In this Cafe the exact value of $z = a + c + \frac{4ac}{9a + 5c}$ is but $\frac{2}{225}a$ or nearly $\frac{1}{12}a$ lefs than its Value found by neglecting 5c in the other Equation $z = a + c + \frac{4}{5}c$, a Difference not at all confiderable in *phyfical* Matters.

If c be fuppofed equal to $\frac{1}{3}a$, which is ftill a far greater Suppofition, even in this Cafe, the Value of the Radius z taken from the laft Equation $z = a + c + \frac{4}{9}c$, is but $\frac{15}{268}a$ or very nearly $\frac{1}{42}a$ greater than the Truth; which is an Excefs not very fenfible, unlefs a be extremely great. But if the Thicknefs be greater than in this laft Suppofition, it will be convenient to take the Value of the Radius required from the exact Equation

 $z = a + c + \frac{4ac}{9a + 5c}$: As, if c be equal to $\frac{1}{2}a$, the Radius required is $1\frac{21}{46}a$; which is about $\frac{1}{2}a$ lefs than what it would be if 5c were neglected. If c be equal to a, the Radius required is $2\frac{2}{7}a$; which is about $\frac{1}{6}a$ lefs than it would be if 5c were neglected. If the Thicknefs be fo confiderable as to be equal to thrice the given Radius of the first Surface, then the Radius required is $4\frac{1}{2}a$.

Hence likewife, if the Radius of the last Surface be given, together with the Thickness of the Speculum, we may find the Radius

dius of Concavity necessary to unite the two Images of a vaftly diftant Object made by Reflexion from both Surfaces. For if c be not very great, we shall have $a = z - c - \frac{1}{2}c$, as near the Truth as need be required in Practice: For if we had the exact Value of a, we could not in Practice grind the Speculum to the due Concavity, even fo near as the Value just now given. If c be confiderable, the Value of a must be found from an Equation of an higher Degree 9aa - 9az + 18ac = 5cz - 5cc; which if it be contracted, by putting p for 2c - z, will give $a = \mp \frac{1}{2}p \pm \frac{\sqrt{5}cz - 5cc}{9} + \frac{1}{4}pp$, the Sign of $\frac{1}{2}p$ being either — or +, according as 20 is greater or lefs than z. After the same manner, having the Radius of both Surfaces given, we may find what Thickness of the Speculum is necessary to unite the two Images of a vaftly distant Object, formed by Reflexion from both Surfaces, by means of the following Equation, 5cc + 18ac - 5cz = 9az - 9aa; which if it be contracted, by putting q for $3\frac{3}{3}a - z$, will give $c = \pm \frac{1}{2}q \pm \frac{1}{2}q$ √9az-9aa+ 199. to Cylinders. (The

Point, but is induiged in targer Bounds ; .IIV.uic Nature its Prinifico us with the Power of contracting the Pupil as the Ob-

tite for diffine Vilion & not limited t

Bai

A BARRISTORIA

VII. A more particular Account of M1-CROSCOPES and TELESCOPES, from Mr. Huygens.

PROP. I.

TO explain the Effects and Uses of fingle Microfcopes, and the Manner of making little Spheres and Lenses.

[Fig. 9, 10.] Let N be the Lens QRQ, the Object at its Focus R, O the Eye very near the Lens. The Rays coming from Rwill after their Refraction fall parallel upon the Eye, and confequently make diffinct Vision. For the Fabrick of the Eye, having its focal Distance just at the Bottom of it upon the Retina, requires that the Rays from each fingle Point should fall nearly parallel in order to be there collected; that is, that the Bafis of each Cone of Rays flowing from every Point of any Object, which Basis is the Pupil of the Eye, fhould bear fo fmall a Proportion to the Length of the Cone, as that those Cones may be looked upon as little Cylinders. (The Diftance indeed requifite for diffinct Vision is not limited to a Point, but is indulged in larger Bounds; because Nature has furnished us with the Power of contracting the Pupil as the Object

ject comes nearer, and fo diminishing the Bafis of each Cone in proportion, and confequently of preferving diffinct Vision; but this is only to a certain, and that no very great Degree.) But the Object QRQ will appear in the fame Magnitude as if the Lens N were removed, and a Plate with a finall Hole in it fubstituted in its Place, namely under the Angle QAQ. So that all that the interposed Lens does in this Cafe, is only making diftinct Vision, which would without the Lens be confused. But fince at the Distance suppose of 8 Inches from the Object, a naked Eye has then diffinct Vifion; the apparent Image may be faid to be fo much magnified as those 8 Inches exceed the little Space NR, or the focal Distance of the Lens N: Which if it be equal to 3 of an Inch, the Appearance of the Image feen distinctly by the Microscope is to that feen distinctly by the naked Eye, as 40 to 1. Therefore the lefs the focal Distance of the little Lens N is, the greater will its Effects be in dilating the Image of a fmall Object; though there are fome Inconveniences (to be mentioned afterwards) which here offer themfelves, and forbid our going beyond fome certain Limits. And the fame thing happens to little Spheres, which may be used for Lenses, and might otherwise be made as little as we pleafe. But these small Spheres are inferior to little Lenfes upon this Ac-V count, 2 YEL

count, that for the fame Degree of magnifying, if both be made of Glafs, the Lenfes are three times more diftant from the Object than the Spheres; and by that means leave a sufficient Space for the lateral Light to enter, and make the Colours of the Object visible; whereas otherwife we are forced to turn the Microfcope directly against the Light, and can only then difcern diftinctly fuch Objects as by their Thinnefs are pellucid.

The Effects of a little Sphere, and what has been faid concerning the three times lefs Distance, is thus demonstrated. Fig. 11.9. Let there be a Glafs Sphere whofe Center is K, and its Axis A B, in which produced on both Sides the Eye is placed at D, and the Object at C, each of the Diftances A D, BC being taken equal to half the Radius AK: And confequently the Point C is the Focus where Rays falling parallel to the Axis AB, upon the Sphere at AH are after Emersion collected; as is shewn in Article IV. of this Supplement. Wherefore an Object placed at C will fend Rays upon the Sphere, which will after Refraction be received parallel by the Eye, and confequently make diffinct Vision. But by Prop. XIV. if we take the Point F fuch, that F A may be equal to the Radius AK, the Point F is the Focus towards which parallel Rays after Refraction at the first Surface BG do tend

in

in their Paffage through the Sphere, and from which they are diverted after Refraction at their Emerfion, and collected at D. Make G E parallel to the Axis, and comprehending the Portion of the Object C E, and draw the right Line E D. The Ray then E G being refracted at G, proceeds according to GF; and being again refracted at H, goes on to meet the Eye at D. Wherefore the Line C E is feen under the Angle A D H, which would appear to the naked Eye under the Angle CDE; which I fay is but the half of the former.

For becaufe AF is double of AD, the Angle ADH is double of AFH. But DF is parallel to EG, becaufe GE is both parallel to FD, and to be looked upon as equal to it, or to the right Line BC; because CE is to be a Line very fmall with refpect to the Diameter of the Sphere. Therefore the Angle ADH is alfo double of the Angle CDE, and confequently equal to the Angle CKE. From whence it is plain, that to the Eye placed at D the Line C E will appear under the fame Angle in which it would appear to the naked Eye feeing from the Point K. Whence if the Diameter of the little Sphere A B were 1/2 of an Inch, we fhould have K C $=\frac{1}{16}$ of an Inch; which is to the Diftance of 8 Inches in the Proportion of 1 to 128: So that the increased Magnitude of the Object would be as 128 to 1; which is indeed very

very confiderable. But if NR, the focal Distance of the Lens, be equal to the right Line K C, we have shewn that by this means the Object R Q would be seen in the fame Magnitude as if the Eye were placed at N without the Lens; nor in the using this Lens will the apparent Magnitude be any ways changed, in whatsoever Part of the Axis R N produced the Eye be placed. Therefore is plain the same Degree of Magnifying, and the same Effect every way, is performed equally by the Lens N and the little Sphere A B. And it is moreover manifest, that the Distance RN, being taken equal to K C, is equal to thrice B C. Q. E. D.

We are next to explain the Manner how little Spheres and Lenfes may be prepared and fitted for Use.

The lefs Spheres are to be, the eafier they are prepared, after the following man-Take the fmallest Fragments of ner. Glass, and hold them to the lower part of the Flame of a Candle, where the bluifh Colour is difcernible, that they may grow red hot; and then if they be taken up by the finest Steel-Wire that can be got, and dexteroufly turned, they will be formed into Globules, which are large enough if equal to a Grain of Mustard-Seed. Out of feveral thus prepared, you will find fome very good; which may be tried by including them in a Brafs-Plate, and is thus done: Take

Take a Plate of the thinneft Brafs the Breadth of a Finger, and twice as much in Length, and bend it double ; perforate this Rectangle in the middle with the Point of a Needle, and rub the opposite Holes fmooth with a Whetstone, that no Roughness may remain about the Edges, and black them with the Smoak of a Candle, that no Brightness may continue within. Put the little Sphere, still adhering to the Steel-Wire, into the Holes within the Brase-Plate, and fix it there, by fastening the two Leaves of the Plate together. After this manner you may make feveral Microscopes with great Eafe, out of which you may make choice of those that are beft.

The principal Ule of this fort of Micro-Scopes is, to look at Corpufcles that are pellucid. And they are placed in a Machine made in fuch manner, that by turning a Screw they may approach to or recede from the Object, and fo be brought to the due Diftance, which is requifite for diftinct Vifion. And to this it conduces very much, that the too great Light be reftrained, and only admitted through the Hole, which is about four times the Diftance from the Object. For by this means the Aperture of the Lens is better limited than by the Breadth of the contiguous Hole, which there is no Necessity at all of straitening. The Eye must be brought as close to the 10 little

little Sphere as may be, that it may comprehend the greater Space.

The Corpufcles or Drops of Liquors which are to be looked at, are put upon a little circular Plane of Glafs, which is made to flide laterally every way, that we may bring every Part of the Object to be viewed fucceffively. Some attract the Liquor to be examined into capillary Tubes of Glafs, fo fmall as fcarce to admit an Hair, which has likewife its Uses. But in using those little Lenfes before mentioned, Care must be taken, that while by means of another Lens on one Side we cast Light upon the Object, the Hole of the Aperture may be exactly limited, by trying how much it may lie open without being an Hinderance to diffinct Vision. For here the Points of Corpufcles emit Rays of Light, and are for many radiant Points, which is quite otherwife in those pellucid Corpufcles that are looked at through little Spheres, where the Objects intercept the Light, not emit it.

The Effects of this fort cf little Lenfes and Spheres are very wonderful, as may be feen from those Experiments with them which have been made publick, and from which our Knowledge of Nature has received very great Light and Information. By these the circulatory Motion of the Blood has been put beyond Controversy, which our Lewenboek, the most dilligent Observer of

of these Matters, has shewn me in the Tail of an Eel, to my very great Satisfaction and Delight. For the Blood appears pellucid, and confisting of reddifh Globules, and runs through the Channels of the Arteries, which are continued to the Veins with a very rapid Motion. Which without doubt might be observed in all other Animals, if we could find out such Parts in them as are pervious to the Light. He put the live Eel into a Glass Tube half full of Water, to which he externally applied the *Microscope* at that Part where the Extremity of the Tail touched the Tube.

'Tis alfo very pleafant to obferve the Animalcula that fwim in Drops of Water, in which we have infufed Ginger, Pepper, or fomething else of an hot powerful Odour for fome Days : They are of various Forms, and fome lefs than others; their Motions are wonderful, and for their Size fufficiently quick; nor is the Inftrument apparent by which they perform them, for they have neither Legs nor Arms, nor do they bend their Bodies like Fishes. For the little Eels in Vinegar, which are much larger than they, fwim like those in the River, in which it is very much to be wondered that they should generate little ones of themselves. For I faw one which had four young ones within it (for they are altogether pellucid) and after it had been kept in the Tube for fome Ζ

fome Hours, brought them all forth, every one of which did afterwards fwim by it felf.

It is very probable that those Animalcula which I have faid move about in Water, are invited thither out of the Air by the Odour of the Infusion. For the fame Figures appear upon macerating feveral things in Water; but if the Veffel be covered, none at all appear. Nor is it difficult to conceive how they fhould be fupported in the Air, when they are fo much finaller than the finest Dust that is. So that perhaps we draw many thousands of them into our Lungs every time we fetch our Breath, without knowing it. Nor would it be ufelefs to obferve at what time of the Year they appear in greatest Numbers, and whether they encrease in a vitiated Air. Milk appears to confift of fmall pellucid Globules fwimming in a Liquor likewife pellucid, but of a different Refraction; and hence it is that it appears white, though it contains no other Matter but what is perfectly transparent, and without Colour.

I omit thofe many wonderful Forms of minute Infects; the Wings of Butterflies and Gnats, covered with little Feathers; the Powders obferved in the Middle of Flower-Tops, which are nothing elfe but little transparent Bladders, filled with that Matter of which the Bees make their Hony, and

and which they carry between their Legs into their Hives. But what ought to be looked upon as the most wonderful and astonishing of all is, that an immense Multitude of Animalcula are difcovered to fwim in the Semen masculinum, after the manner of little Fishes, almost of the fame Figure with a Frog newly formed, and yet without Legs. Which Animalcula, I make no queftion, enter the Ova muliebria, and are the Rudiments of what is brought forth from thence. There are feveral Confiderations which confirm this Opinion; nor is it any great Objection, that out of fo greaf a Multitude either few or only one of them comes to Maturity, and grows to be an Animal; fince the fame abundance and fuperfluous Fruitfulnefs is equally obfervable in moft Seeds of Trees and Herbs , as of Firr, Poppies, Gc.

These Animalcula, by reason of their wonderful Smallnefs (for even ten thoufand of them are not equal to the fmallest Grain of Sand) ought to be looked at through fuch Glafs Globules as have the greateft Power of Magnifying.

ing ouclanother.

Z 2 PROP.

PROP. II.

To explain the Effects of Compound MICROSCOPES.

W E come now to fpeak of compound Microscopes, by the Help of which fuch Objects as are not transparent are looked at, and their true Colours discovered, and that much better and more commodiously than through single Lenses.

[Fig. 12.13.] Suppose the Microscope be a double one, confifting of two Lenses, one lefs A, and another greater B. Why we difpofe them fo, we shall afterwards explain. And let B be the ocular Lens neareft the Eye, placed fuppofe at C; A the Object Lens nearest the Object placed suppose at E; and ABC the common Axis of both Lenfes. There will be two Cafes, as may be feen reprefented in the two Figures to which this Prop. refers. In the first, Rays proceeding from a fingle Point E of the Object, and falling upon the Lens A, are refracted by it and again united in the Point P, and there interfecting one another, and proceeding towards the Lens B, are by it refracted and made parallel, and fo enter the Eye at C, and by that means make diffinct Vision. 'Tis neceffary therefore that AE, the Distance of

of the Object, should be greater than AQ, the focal Diftance of the Lens A. And the Focus P must be found by Prop. XVI. or by making EQ, EA, EP, in a continual Proportion. But the Lens B is to be fo placed, that its Focus on that Side towards A may fall exactly upon the Point P, in order that the Rays may be made parallel after Refraction at the Lens B. All which is eafily done by what has been before demonstrated. The other Figure (13) reprefents the feveral Rays DAG, FAH, EAB, proceeding from different Points of the Object. A is the middle Point of the Lens, and AP, AB, AC, are made in a continual Proportion in order to determine the Place of the Eye C; for by this means, however finall the Aperture of the little Lens A may be, the whole Lens B will neverthelefs be filled with the Image of the Object, becaufe the Rays falling from A upon the whole Lens B are collected in the Point C.

[Fig. 13.] But the Proportion of the apparent Magnitude to the true will be found by drawing the right Line CF. For the Proportion required will be the fame to that which the Angle BCH bears to the Angle ECF; which Proportion is compounded of the Proportion of the Angle BCH to BAH, and of the Angle BAH or EAF to the Angle ECF. But the first of these is the fame with the Proportion of the right Line A B to BC, and the last that of CE to EA;

E A; becaufe Angles that are fmall are looked upon to be to one another as their Tangents. Therefore the Proportion of the apparent Magnitude to the true will be compounded of the Proportions A B to BC, or AP to PB (for AP, AB, AC, are in a continual Proportion) and CE to EA. But that the Effects of the Microscope may be more exactly estimated, the Angle BCH is rather to be compared with the Angle under which the right Line EF would be feen at the Diftance of 8 Inches from the Eye; that is, with the Angle ELF, LE being taken equal to S Inches, according to what has been faid before of magnifying by a fingle Lens. And confequently the Proportion of magnifying must be here understood to be compounded of the Proportion of the Angle BCH to BAH, and BAH or EAF to ELF; that is, of the Proportion of A P to P B, and of EL, a Line 8 Inches long, to the right Line EA. For if the Microscope were of fo great Length, that, for Example, CE fhould be two Foot long, that is, equal to thrice the right Line L E, and the apparent Magnitude to the true were found by the former Reasoning to be as go to 1; yet it is not really any greater than as 30 to 1, because the right Line EF would only appear 30 times greater by the Affistance of the Microscope, than it would if viewed by the naked Eye at the Diftance of 8 Inches: : AL For

For we are not to confider how much, by means of the *Microfcope*, we magnify an Object at the Diftance of two Foot; but how much greater it is made than when viewed at that Diftance to which we bring our Eye when we defire to look at any thing more curioufly.

Of the LIGHT and APERTURE of MICROSCOPES.

Uppend the Aperture of Microfcopes all their Effects and Virtue entirely depend: So that from hence it is that we are to learn to what Degree the magnifying of Objects may be brought; which no body that I know of has hitherto determined. And it will be found that we may here proceed ad infinitum, as fhall be fhewn in Telefcopes, not indeed in a fingle Microfcope of one little Lens, but in those which are made by a Combination of more than one.

In Microfcopes made of a fingle Lens it is to be obferved, that if their focal Diftance be about half an Inch or greater, there will be no occasion for limiting the Aperture in order to make diftinct Vision; because the very Narrowness of the Pupil of the Eye excludes, as much as there is occafion, those Rays that difturb Vision, and as much as they would be excluded if the Lens were

were made to have a lefs Aperture. But in fmaller Lenfes, where this Limitation of the Aperture is neceffary, the Rule is, that the Diameters of those Apertures should be in the same proportion with the focal Distances of the respective Lenses, in order to have the Object seen by both equally diffinct. But the Light or Brightness will be in a duplicate Proportion of those focal Distances; so that the more convex the Lens is, the greater indeed, but then the more obfcurely will every thing be seen.

[Fig. 14.] Let P be a fmall Lens, whofe Axis is T BF, P D the Semidiameter of the Aperture, which Experience teaches to be the greatest that can be admitted, and that lefs than the Pupil of the Eye, F the extreme Focus of red Rays (which are least refrangible) proceeding parallel to the Axis, in which Point suppose the Object to be placed, and B the Focus of violet-coloured Rays, which are most refrangible. The fame things being supposed in a smaller Lens p, the Semidiameter of whose Aperture pd is to the focal Distance pf in the same Proportion as in the greater; I say the Object will be seen equally distinct in both.

For fince the Ray E D, parallel to the Axis falling upon the Lens P, is refracted unequally, and divided into its extreme Colours by the Angle FDB, fo that the extreme Colour Red passes to F, and the extreme

extreme Violet-colour to B; it will happen on the contrary, that a Ray FD proceeding from the Object will be divided into its extreme Colours by an Angle EDK, equal to FDB. Therefore in both Cafes FDB is the Angle of Aberration, upon which depends the Aberration of the Rays in the bottom of the Eye, as shall be shewn when we come to speak of Telescopes. But fince from the Nature of this Abberration, PF is to FB as pf to fb; and alfo by Conftruction PD is to PF as pd to pf; it follows that the Angles, as well PFD, pfd, as PBD, pbd, are equal. Wherefore the Difference of the Antecedents, PFD, PBD, is equal to the Difference of the Confequences, pfd, pbd; that is, the Angle FDB is equal to the Angle f d b, and confequently the Aberrations in the bottom of the Eye are in both Cafes equal, and by that means Vision equally distinct.

Moreover, becaufe the Angles PFD, pfd are equal, it is plain that the fame Quantity of Rays in both Cafes proceeds from the fame Points of the Object F and f, or any others, upon the Lenfes, and from thence to the Eye. But the Breadth of the Object in the bottom of the Eye is in the fmaller Lens fo much greater as PF is greater than pf, as has been before demonfirated; and the apparent Surfaces are in a duplicate Proportion of those Breadths. A a There-

Therefore the fame Quantity of lucid Rays expended towards illustrating each Surface, will make that which is least the clearest by fo much as the other Surface is greater; that is, in a duplicate Proportion of PF to pf; which was the last thing to be demonstrated.

Since therefore the fame Perfection of Vision which is to be found in larger Lenses cannot be had in more convex Lenfes without diminishing the Brightness of the Object at the fame time; it follows that we cannot proceed in magnifying as much as we pleafe, unless a greater Light be borrowed fomewhere elfe to illustrate the Object. Nor will this be of any great Benefit, becaufe the Latitude at the Pupil of the Eye, or the little Cylinder of Rays flowing from every Point of the Object, and which has here the fame Latitude with the Aperture, cannot be contracted farther than the fifth or fixth part of a Line; fo that even this limits the Efficacy of these little Lenses.

The Effects of more compounded Microfcopes will eafily be accounted for after the fame manner. And indeed a full Confideration of Prop. XXI. and XXII. is fufficient for explaining the Effects of all forts of compound Microfcopes.

IS A

Proportion of those Breadths.

-aradi

Of

Of TELESCOPES.

telat bey M Hisving Colleved allo zurifier the

PROP. III.

A Telescope made by a convex and concave Lens represents vastiy distant Objects distinct and erect; and magnifies them according to the Proportion of the focal Distance of the convex Lens to the focal Distance of the concave Lens.

Fig. 15. Let AO be the common Axis of both Lenfes, and A the extreme convex Lens, whole Focus of parallel Rays proceeding from the vaftly diftant Object is fuppofed to be at O. Let D be the concave Lens, which is fo placed between the Lens A and its Focus O, that the fame Point O may alfo be the Focus of the concave Lens, where Rays falling parallel from the Side of O would be collected. And first, fuppofe the Eye of the Spectator placed next to this Lens.

The Rays then proceeding parallel from each Point of the *vaftly diftant Object*, and falling upon the *Lens*, those which proceed from that Point of the *Object*, which is in the Axis produced, would be collected at the Point O; but they are again made parallel by means of the *Lens* D. We would have A a 2 the
the Rays fall parallel upon the Eye, that the Telescope may be fitted for those who have good Eyes; for we shall speak afterwards of fhort-fighted Eyes. In like manner the Rays proceeding from those Points of the valtly distant Object which are out of the Axis would be all collected at respective Points near O; but thefe also by Refraction at the Lens D are again made parallel, though fomething oblique to the Axis A D, which Rays, to avoid Confusion, are not expreffed in the Figure. Therefore the Rays which proceed from the vaftly diftant Objest being made to fall parallel upon the Eye, will make distinct Vision ; and fince those Rays that proceed from the Object go on to meet the Eye in the fame Order, 'tis plain the apparent Proposition of the Object will be the fame with the true, or the Object will be erect.

Fig. 16. The Lenfes AC and D, and the Point O being placed as before, find by Prop. XVI. the Point P, to which Rays tending, will, by Rafraction at the Lens AC, be collected at D the Center of the Lens D: Which Point is alfo found, by making D P a third proportional to D O, D A, and taking it on the fame Side with D O. Suppose the Ray EC P to be one of those which proceed from the extreme right Side of the vastly distant Object, which imagine

imagine to be the Moon, and its Center to be placed in the Axis D A produced. It is plain that this Ray will come to the Eye in . the right Line CDF, because it passes thro' the Center of the Lens D, whofe middle Thickness may be neglected as inconfiderable, and its two Surfaces in that Place looked upon as parallel. But we have fhewn before, that all the Rays proceeding from each Point of the Moon will, by means of fuch a Telefcope, fall parallel upon the Eye. Wherefore the Eye will receive all the Rays from that Point E of the Moon in fuch manner, as that they shall be parallel to the Ray CDF; and confequently will fee that Point of the Moon in the Place to which the right Line DC tends; which tending to the fame Side of the Axis, on which that Point of the Moon is fituated, from whence the Rays proceeded, 'tis plain the Object will appear erect. Moreover the Angle ADC determines the Semidiameter of the Moon, as encreased by the Telescope. But the Angle CPA is that which determines its Semidiameter, as feen by the naked Eye; because we before supposed the Ray ECP to proceed from the extreme right Side of the Moon, and the Ray HAP from its Center: For though the Point P is beyond the Eye, and the Eye fees from the Point O, yet the Moon being an Object vaftly difant

ftant, will appear under the fame Angle to the naked Eye, whether it be viewed from the Point P or O. Therefore the Moon will appear magnified, according to the Proportion of the Angle A D C to A P C, which Proportion may here be looked upon as the fame with that of P A to D A. But becaufe by Conftruction DO is to D A as D A to D P, by inverting and compounding the Proportion, AO will be to O D as PA to A D. Wherefore the apparent Magnitude will be to the true as A O to O D. Q, E.D.

It appears from hence, that the apparent Magnitude is the fame, in whatfoever Place behind the *Lens* D the Eye is fituated.

Fig. 17: Let the Lenfes A C and D be placed as before, and let AQ be taken in their Axis produced equal to AO. And out of those Rays which proceed from a Point of the right Side of the Moon, let us confider the Ray RQC paffing through the Point Q (for fome one will pass through it) and meeting with the Lens AC in C. It will afterwards become parallel to the Axis A D, and when refracted again at the concave Lens, will diverge, as if it came from the Point L, and will tend to the Eye in the right Line LIF, fo as that the Distance LD may be equal to DO; because L is in that Cafe the Focus of parallel Rays falling upon the Lens D.

The

The Proportion of the encreafed Magnitude is hence eafily collected. For becaufe the Rays proceeding from the right Extreme of the Moon, after having paffed both Lenfes, are parallel, and come fo to the Pupil GF, and confequently they become parallel to the Ray LIF, which we know to be one of them; that Point of the Moon will appear in the right Line IL, and confequently the Semidiameter of the Moon will be comprehended in the Angle I L D. But the Angle in which the Semidiameter would appear to the naked Eye, either from D or from Q, is RQH, or CQA. Therefore the Proportion of the encreased Magnitude is the fame with that of the Angle DLI to AQC; that is (becaufe of AC, DI equal) as AQ to LD. But AQ is equal to AO, and LD is equal to DO. Therefore the Proportion of the apparent Magnitude to the true is as AO to OD. Q.E.D.

To determine what will be the Amplitude of the vifual Angle, or of the Space which is reprefented at one View by a Telescope confisting of a convex and concave Lens.

Fig. 18. The Amplitude of the vifual Angle in these Telescopes depends chiefly upon the Magnitude of the Pupil of the Eye, which is confirmed by Experiment. For if applying your Eye to the Telescope, you

you first shut it, that the Pupil may be dilated as it usually is in the Dark, and then open it on a sudden, at first View you will difcern Objects in a larger Orb than a little while afterwards, the Orb being prefently contracted as soon as the Pupil is contracted by the Brightness of the Light. But if you place a Plate perforated with a small Hole before the Eye, you will difcern every Object in a lesser Orb.

If you make the Hole extremely fmall, the lucid Orb will not be contracted in proportion to the Smallness of the Hole, but its Amplitude will then be limited by the Aperture of the convex Lens, and confequently will not be diminished beyond a certain Degree, except the convex Lens be also more contracted. The Reason of which is very eafy to be explained. For if EF be the convex Lens, and B the concave; to which the Pupil of the Eye applied has first the Magnitude CD; draw from the opposite Points C, D in the Circumference of the Pupil, through the Center of the Lens A, the right Lines CAH, DAG. Thefe will determine the vifual Angle, under which that Part of any Object which is feen at one View is comprehended : Because Rays coming from the Points G, H through the Center of the Lens A, penetrate without Inflexion to C and D; therefore

fore that Part of the Object which is comprehended within the Angle GAH cannot but fend Rays to the Eye, even though the Pupil were a little narrower than DBC. For drawing GAK fo as to make AK equal to AO, and joining EK; if fo be E K falls upon the Pupil, the Object comprehended under the Angle GAH will be difcerned, but the extreme Points towards which the right Lines AG, AH tend will be feen but obfcurely, becaufe only a fmall Part of the Rays, which they caft upon the Lens EF, enter the Pupil. And hence it happens, that how much foever the Aperture of the Lens EF is contracted, the Amplitude of the vifual Angle is neverthelefs not at all, or extremely little diminished, fo the Orb of the Pupil be not contracted. But this Breadth of the Pupil being diminished, and reduced as it were to a Point, the Amplitude of the vifual Angle is the fame with that of the Angle EPF; EF being fuppofed the Aperture of the convex Lens, and the Point P found by Prop. XVI. or by making BO (the Distance of the concave Lens from the Focus of the convex) B A and B P in a continual Proportion. For no Rays transmitted through the Lens A can arrive at the Point of the Eye B, but fuch as before they fall upon that Lens tend towards the Point Ρ.

P. The greatest Angle EPF, of which Rays, is determined by the Aperture of the Lens A.

This is the *Telefcope* which was first found out by *Galilaus*, and still retains his Name; and is the fame with a common Prospective Glass.

PROP. IV.

A Telescope made of two convex Lenses represents vasily distant Objects distinct, but inverted; and magnifies them according to the Proportion of the focal Distance of the exterior or object Lens, to the focal Distance of the interior or ocular Lens.

[Fig. 19, 20.] Let A C be the exterior convex Lens, D the interior, AD the common Axis of both, and O the Focus of the Lens A C. Let the other Convex D be fo placed, that the fame Point O may be alfo its Focus, or the Point of Concourse of parallel Rays coming from the Side of G, where the Eye is supposed. We are to shew that all this being supposed, vastly distant Objects will be seen distinct, and inverted and magnito Dr. GREGORY'S Optics. 195 magnified, according to the Proportion of AO to OD.

And here we must make use of two several Figures, as in the preceding Proposition; in the first of which the Rays coming parallel to the Axis HA, are by the Refraction of the Lens AC collected at its Focus O, and from thence tending farther to the Lens D, are by it again made parallel to the Axis AD, and fo come to the Eye placed at G. And as in the preceding Prop. we must again consider this Compofition of parallel Rays, as coming from a fingle Point of the vaftly diftant Object, which is placed in the Axis HAD, as fuppole from the Center of the Moon; and the like parallel Rays coming from every other Point of the Object upon the Lens A C, as fuppose from the extreme right Side of the Moon, which are inclined to the former, and being thereby refracted, are collected in a Point of the Axis near O; where interfecting themfelves, and proceeding to the Lens D, after they have gone through it, they are again made parallel, (that is, only among themfelves refpectively) and fo arrive at the Eye. Whence 'tis plain, Vision will be made distinct.

The other Figure shews the inverted Situation, and the Proportion of the encreased Magnitude of the Object. Where the con-Bb 2 vex

vex Lens A C and D, and their common Focus O, being placed as before; and moreover, as in the fecond Demonstration of the preceding Prop. the Diftance AQ being made equal to AO, the remaining Part of the Demonstration will proceed much after the fame manner. For if, out of the Rays which proceed from a Point in the extreme right Side of the Moon, we choose one RQC paffing through the Point Q; that, after Refraction at the Lens AC, will pass in CI parallel to AD, and being again refracted by the Lens D, will tend along the right Line IFL to the Point L, taken in fuch manner that the Distance EL is equal to DO. But because the Rays from the extreme right Side of the Moon, after Refraction at both Lenfes, arrive parallel at the Eye, as has been faid before, and IFL is one of them; it follows that they will all fall parallel to IFL upon the Eye, and that Point of the Moon will be feen in a Place, according to the right Line FI: Which fince it tends to the oppofite Side to that from whence those Rays came, 'tis plain that the Situation of the Moon will appear inverted, fo that the right Side will be changed to the left, and the upper Parts to the lower. Moreover, fince the Center of the Moon will be feen in the right Line DA, ILD will be the apparent Angle of the Semidia-NCX. meter

meter of the Moon. But to the naked Eye that Semidiameter is comprehended under the Angle HQR, or AQC. Therefore the *Ratio* of the apparent Magnitude to the true is as the Angle DLI to AQC, that is, as AQ to DL; becaufe AC, DI are equal, that is, as AO to OD. Q. E. D.

And here likewife it appears, that it fignifies nothing to the apparent Magnitude, wherefoever the Eye is placed behind the *Lens* D. But that it may comprehend moft at one View, it is convenient it fhould be placed at or near the Point L; becaufe it appears, that although the Breadth of the Pupil be ever fo little, yet the whole *Lens* D, while it does not exceed the Aperture of the *Lens* A C (for it is ufually confined within this Meafure) will be feen full of the Object.

This is the *Telefcope* most commonly used to look at celestial Bodies.

PROP. V.

diction that a that of

e it was acquiar Lieufas, 195145, 1901

-319d 1

I O explain the Construction of a Telefcope compounded of four Convexes, by means of which Objects are feen crect and very amply.

[Fig.

[Fig. 21, 22.] Telescopes made of two Convexes, because of their inverging the Polition of the Object, are feldom uled, except in observing the Stars, the Position of which is not regarded. The Proportion in which this Sort magnifies the Object has already been demonstrated. But if we would have these Images again made erect, and at the fame time a great Share of them be reprefented to the Eye at one View very amply, we must use 3, 4, 5, or more Lenses. Which however are not to be multiplied without Caufe, becaufe the Matter of each of them and the Reflexion of their feveral Surfaces divert Part of the Rays. But we cannot obtain the defired Effect perfectly, with fewer than 4 Lenfes. For although in the fame Length of the Telescope both an erect Situation and the fame Degree of Magnifying, and an equal Share of the Object may be had as well with 3 as 4 Lenfes; yet the Composition of 3 Lenses is much more inconvenient than that of 4, becaufe in that the two ocular Lenfes, or at least that which is next the Eye, must be made of larger Segments of a Sphere, with respect to its Diameter, or to the focal Distance, if the fame Magnitude of the vifual Angle be required. And hence the Objects come to be coloured, and right Lines, at the Margins of the Aperture, appear curve. There-

Therefore we must make our Telescope of 4 Lenses; which is done after the following manner.

The exterior or object Lens is A, whofe focal Diftance is AB; and in the fame Axis are placed three ocular Lenfes, C, D, and E, all equal to one another, the inmost of which is placed beyond the Focus B, by its focal Diftance BC, and the next D is placed beyond C, by twice that Diftance, BC, and the last as far from D as that was from C; and lastly, the Eye must be placed beyond this last by the Diftance BC.

There is here again Occasion for two Figures; in the first of which are represented Rays proceeding from a fingle Point of the vaftly diftant Object : Which ('tis plain to any who understand what has gone before) first fall as it were parallel upon the Lens A, and are by it collected at its Focus B, and thence diverging fall upon the Lens C, which makes them again parallel, and throws them upon the Lens D, which collects them at its Focus H, the middle Point of the Diftance DE; from whence proceeding on to the Lens E, they are by it made a third time parallel, and being received fo by the Eye F, they make diffinct Vision, by being collected at its Focus which is in the bottom of the Eye.

The other Figure confiders the Proportion of Magnifying; which is, That which A B,

AB, the focal Distance of the object Lens, bears to BC, the focal Distance of one of the ocular Lenfes: And demonstrates likewife the Amplitude of the vifual Angle. For the Apertures of the three ocular Lenfes being fuppofed equal, which must not exceed the Aperture of the object Lens A, draw MQ, NR parallel to the common Axis, and comprehending the Diameters of the Apertures of the Lenfes E and D. And alfo KO, LP parallel to the fame Axis, and comprehending KL, the Aperture of the Lens C; and taking AG equal to A B, draw the right Lines OGU, PGT interfecting one another in G. Now it is evident the Latitude of the Object, which if feen by the naked Eye from the Point G. and confequently from F alfo, the Diftance of the Object being as it were infinite, would appear comprehended in the Angle TGV; if feen through the Telefcope, would appear comprehended in the Angle MFN: And confequently the Proportion of the apparent Magnitude to the true is as the Angle MFN to the Angle TGV, or PGO; that is, PO and MN being equal, as the Diftance AG to the Diftance EF; that is, as A B, the focal Diftance of the object Lens, to BC, the focal Distance of one of the ocular Lenfes. Q. E. D.

It appears moreover, that the vifual Angle M F N comprehends the fame Latitude of

of the Object with a Telescope made of two Lenses only, A and C; for that Share of the Object which is comprehended in the Angle T G V, would be seen through that Telescope in the Angle K S L equal to the Angle M F N.

This incomparable Composition of Lenses was found out by I know not whom at Rome, and may be much improved by placing an Annulus or Ring either at H, the common Focus of the Lenfes D and E, or at B the common Focus of the Lenfes A and C; which is efpecially of very great Ufe in meafuring the Diameters of Planets. For this Annulus does therefore exactly circumferibe the Circle of the apparent Images, becaufe it cuts off those irregular Rays which are not collected near enough to B or H, and confequently are not by means of the fucceeding Lenfes fent parallel to the Eye, which distinct Vision requires : And the Colours likewife near the Margins are by this Contrivance taken away, which without it are not well to be avoided.

It may feem a little ftrange, that the Colours of the Iris arife no more in this Telefcope, by the Refraction of fo many ocular Lenfes, than in that where there is but one; but to any one that fhall confider it, the Reafon will be very obvious. For the Lens QR corrects and takes away those Colours, Cc which

which the Lens KL produced, their fpherical Surfaces being equal by Construction.

Of the APERTURE of the LENSES.

Since the Proportion of magnifying in Telescopes made of two Lenses has been shewn to be that which the focal Distance of the object Lens bears to the focal Diftance of the ocular Lens, it may be thought perhaps, that however fhort the Telescope be, the Object may be magnified in any affigned Proportion. But there are two Caufes which make this impoffible; one is, that the Aperture of the object Lens remaining the fame, the more we magnify the Object by using a lefs convex ocular Lens, the more obfcure we make them appear. The other is, that it represents them less diffinct. And if we expect a Remedy by encreasing the Aperture, the Confusion will be the more encreased. What belongs to the Brightness or Obscurity, will be easily understood by attentively confidering the Image of any Object painted upon the bottom of the Eye; which the greater it is made, whether by means of the Refraction of Lenfes, or only by approaching nearer, in fo much greater Plenty must the Rays from every Point be received within the Eye, in order that the fame Brightnefs may still remain

main. For if looking at an Object with the naked Eye, you approach to it twice as near, the Image at the bottom of the Eye will be twice greater in Diameter, and four times in Area. But four times more Rays do alfo, from every Point of it, enter the Pupil of the Eye; becaufe the Angle made by the Cone of Rays becomes twice as large: And therefore it is that the fame Brightnefs of the Image is perceived at both Diftances, which is the Contrivance of Nature. But if a Telescope were to be made which should magnify the Diameter of any Object ten times, and reprefent it as bright as when it is looked at with the naked Eye, the Diameter of the Aperture of the Object Lens ought to be ten times greater than the Diameter of the Pupil, although no Part of the Rays were intercepted by the Reflexion of the Surfaces of each Lens, or by the Colour of the Glafs. For by this means, when the Surface of the Object is magnified an hundred times, we have alfo an hundred times more Light than was received by the naked Pupil.

But a much lefs Meafure of Brightnefs fuffices for Telefcopes; for those which we use in the Day-time are not too obfcure, if they have but $\frac{1}{6}$ or $\frac{1}{7}$ of that Brightnefs which is usually perceived by the naked Eye. But those longer ones with which we observe C c 2 the

204 A SUPPLEMENT, &c.

the Moon and the Planets, require not above half this last Brightnefs, because the Eye is moved with a much less Brightness in the Night than in the Day. So that in a Telescope 30 Feet long, which magnifies the Diameters of the Planets 109 times, and would confequently require the Diameter of the Aperture of the object Lens 109 times greater than the Diameter of the Pupil, that is, of about 11 Inches, if we suppose the Diameter of the Pupil to be $\frac{1}{20}$ of an Inch; we find that an Aperture of 3 Inches in Diameter suffices, which admits less than $\frac{1}{13}$ of that Brightness which would be admitted by an Aperture of 11 Inches.

The Proportions between the focal Diftances of the object Lens (which is likewife the Length of the Telefcope) the Aperture of the fame object Lens, the focal Diftance of the ocular Lens, and the apparent magnified Diameter of the Object, for Telefcopes from the Length of I Rhinland Foot to 100, are expressed in the Table following.

TABLE

TABLE for TELESCOPES. 209			
The focal Di- ftance of the object Lens, or the Length of the Tele- fcope. RbinlandFeet.	The Diameter of the Aperture of the object Lens. Inches, & Decimals.	of the ocular	The Proportion of Mag- nifying, confidered as to Diameter.
1.	0,55.	0,61.	20.
2.	0,77.	0,85.	28.
3.	0,95.	1,05.	34.
4.	1,09.	1,20.	40.
5.	1,23.	1,35.	44.
6.	I,34.	1,47.	49.
7.	I,45.	1,60.	53.
8.	I,55.	1,71.	56.
9.	I,64.	1,80.	60.
10.	I,73.	1,90.	63.
13.	1,97.	2,17.	72.
15.	2,12.	2,33.	77.
20.	2,45.	2,70.	89.
25.	2,74.	3,01.	100.
30:	3,00.	3,30.	109.
35.	3,24.	3,56.	118.
40.	3,46.	3,81.	126.
45.	3,67.	4,04.	133.
50.	3,87.	4,26.	141.
55.	4,06.	4,47.	148.
60.	4,24.	4,66.	154.
65.	4,42.	4,86.	161.
70.	4,58.	5,04.	166.
75.	4,74.	5,21.	172.
80.	4,90.	5,39.	178.
85. 90. 95. 100.	5,05. 5,20. 5,34. 5,48.	5,56. 5,72. 5,87. 6,03. P	183. 189. 194. 199. R O P.

PROP. VI.

To explain the Manner of fitting a Telefcope for observing Eclipses of the Sun, and discovering the Spots in its Surface, and to determine how great its Image will be represented.

[Fig. 23, 25.] A Telescope is found to be of great Use in observing Eclipses of the Sun, and also in discovering the Spots which are faid to be in its Surface; by receiving the Image formed by both its Lenses upon a white Plane, from which the Light is every other way excluded. In order to explain which Invention we must first demonstrate the Position of the Lenses which is necessary to form the Image of the Sun, as clear and diffinct as may be.

Let A B be the convex Lens next the Sun, whofe Focus is E. The other is D, either concave or convex; for either of thele Sorts of Telefcopes will do the Bufinefs: Though a Telefcope of two Convexes is the most convenient, becaufe we make it reprefent the Images erect, while by the other Sort we invert them. Let the Point K be the Focus of the Lens D, where the Rays coming from the Side of H parallel, are after Refraction by it collected, and in H fuppose the white Plane placed in order to receive

receive the Image of the Sun. Which that it may appear diffinct and nicely terminated, 'tis necefiary that the Rays which proceed from any one Point of the Sun, and which fall parallel upon the Lens A B, should again be collected in one Point upon the Plane. Wherefore the Diftance between the Lenfs AB and D ought to be fomething greater than in the common Difpolition of the Telescope, or than when it is fitted for a good Sight; and the Position of the Lens B ought to be fuch, that the Rays which would otherwife tend to the Focus E of the Lens AB, may be diverted and brought to H; which may be done by Prop. XVI. or by taking EK, ED, EH in a continual Proportion. But in the common Difpofition of the Telescope, the Focus K is required to coincide with the Focus E, as hasbeen fhewn above. So that here the Distance of the Lenfes is encreased by the Space EK, which will always be fo much lefs, as the Diftance EH is increased. For the Diftance DK, which is the given focal Distance of the Lens D, is divided in fuch manner in E, that HE is to ED as the fame ED to EK.

[Fig. 24, 26.] How great the Diameter of the Image of the Sun will appear upon the Plane H may thus be determined. Draw from the Center of the Lens A B to the Lens D the right Lines B P, B Q, comprehending

hending an Angle equal to that, under which the Sun's Diameter appears without a Telescope; and make BC a third Proportional to BK, BD, and join CP, CQ; which will confequently give G the Focus of Rays diverging from B after Refraction at the Lens D; which produce till they meet the Plane placed at H in the Points L, M. fay, LM will be the Diameter of the Sun's Image reprefented upon the Plane L H M. For produce PB, QB towards O and N. Therefore fince from the extreme Point of the real Diameter of the Sun on the right Hand Side, Rays are fent upon the whole Lens AB, which are all to be looked upon as parallel among themfelves, and to the right Line O B, one of them will proceed along the right Line OB, and penetrating the Lens, gon on in the right Line BP; because B is the Center of the Lens, whose Thicknefs is here neglected. For the fame Reafon one of the parallel Rays from the left Extreme of the Sun's Diameter will proceed along the right Line N B Q. But moreover, both will be refracted in fuch manner by the Lens D, that diverging from their Focus C, they will proceed along the right Lines PL, QM, which are the right Lines CP, CQ produced. Therefore 'tis plain the Point in the right Hand Extreme of the Sun will be reprefented at L, and the opposite Point in the left Extreme at M. For

For fince the Image of the Sun is required diffinct, it is neceffary that where one Ray proceeding from any Point of it falls upon the Plane, all the reft which proceed from the fame Point fhould be collected there alfo. Therefore the Diameter of the Image is L M: And by a *Telefcope* made of a Convex and Concave, the Image is inverted; and by one made of two Convexes it is reprefented erect. Q. E. D.

But it must be observed, that the greater L M the Image of the Sun is, the Lens's A B and D remaining the fame, the lefs clear and diffinct will it be : For if all the Rays defcending from the Sun upon the Lens A B, fhould possels a Space in the Place LHM equal to the Breadth of the Lens A B; that is; if they were to form the Image of the Sun equal to the Aperture of the Lens AB, this Image would be as clear as if the Plane were enlightened by the Sun without the Interposition of Lens's. No refpect being had to those Rays which the Lens's reflect, or by reason of their imperfect Transparency do not transmit, which perhaps occasions a Lofs of above half the whole Number of Rays. But if the Sun's Image be made larger, which is necessary to be done in Obfervations of this nature, it will then be fo much the more obfcure. But Experience is the best Judge to determine Dd in

210 A SUPPLEMENT, Ge.

in what Magnitude it will be most convenient to represent the Sun's Image in these Observations; by trying first one, and then another Distance of the *Plane* from the *Telescope*. Where it is to be observed, that as we encrease this Distance, the Distance between the *Lens's* A B and D ought to be a little diminished, in order to preserve the Distinctness of the Image; the Reason of which has been already given.

פרכר אי לואי ארור המצל צט לאלרי

estable to the the

W. BROWNE.



To this SECOND EDITION,

By J.T. DESAGULIERS, LL.D. and F.R.S.



HE first Thought we find in Print concerning a Reflecting Telescope is that of Dr. JAMES GREGORY, our Author's Uncle; who, in his Optica pro-

mota (Pag. 93 and 94) proposes a Catadioptrical Telescope (as being far preferable to Two other Sorts of Telefcopes which he has been defcribing *) with no other View, but to make Telefcopes fhorter and more handy: For he and the reft of the World were at that time wholly unacquainted with the different Refrangibility of the Rays of Light; and confequently ignorant of the Errors occasioned in Refracting Telescopes by that Property of the Rays. Sir ISAAC NEWTON, the Difcoverer of that wonderful Phanomenon in Light, being then alfo unacquainted with it; not having made any of his Experiments with the Prism till the beginning of the Year 1666.

* See his Words at the End of this Appendix, No. I.

Dr.

212 APPÈNDIX.

Dr. J. GREGORY never brought this Telescope to any Degree of Perfection; but, owning his Want of Skill in Mechanics, only propofes it for others to execute. He had indeed an Object-Speculum of Metal ground to a Segment of a Sphere, and a little concave, as well as a little convex Speculum, ground by Rives and Cox (Optic-Glafs-Grinders, famous in those Days) But becaufe the Object Metal was not well polifhed, he only made fome imperfect Trials, not fo much as fitting the Specula and Eye-Glafs into a Tube; and being difcouraged, as much becaufe he could not have the Object Metal ground into a parabolic Concave, as becaufe that which he tried was not well polished, he gave over the Thoughts of bringing fuch Telescopes into use. (See his Letter to Mr. Collins, written from Saint Andrews, Sept. 23, 1672. Nº. 4.)

But Mr. J. HADLEY, a few Years ago, after having made the Newtonian Reflecting Telefcopes with good Succefs (the Defeription of which may be feen in N°. 376 of the Philofophical Tranfactions) improving all Dr. J. GREGORY'S Hints, did alfo bring this Telefcope to Perfection by the Work of his own Hands; and has fince taught his Majefty's Optician Mr. EDWARD SCARLET, and his Son, to make both the Sorts; which they do fo well, that I have not yet known them exceeded in thefe Inftruments

ftruments by the Performance of any other Optic-Glafs-Grinder.

To return to the Hiftory of the Reflecting Telescopes.

Sir ISAAC NEWTON, in the Year 1666, applied himfelf to grind Optic Glasses of other Figures than fpherical (fuppofing, with all other Perfons who had hitherto applied themfelves to the Study of Optics, that Telescopes might be very much improved, by making use of Glasses ground to the Figure of fome other conic Section; fuch as the parabolical, elliptical, or hyperbolical) but having made fome Experiments with Prifms, whereby he difcovered the different Refrangibility of the Rays of Light, he found that the Errors in Telefcopes arifing from that Caufe was fome hundreds of times greater than those which were occasioned by the fpherical Figure of the Glaffes, which did not collect the Rays into one Point, where Glaffes of the Figure of a conic Section would do it if Light was uniform. This made him take Reflexions into Confideration; and finding them regular, fo that the Angle of Reflexion of all forts of Rays was equal to their Angle of Incidence, he understood that by their Mediation Optic Instruments might be brought to any Degree of Perfection imaginable, provided a reflecting Substance could be found, which would polifh as finely as Glafs, and reflect

as

as much Light as Glass transmits; and the Art of communicating to it a parabolic Figure be alfo attained. But he thought the Difficulties very great, and almost infuperable, when he further confidered, that every Irregularity in a reflecting Superficies makes the Rays stray five or fix times more out of their due Course, than the like Irregularities in a refracting one: So that a much greater Curiosity would be here requisite, than in figuring Glasses for Refraction.

Being then forced from Cambridge by the intervening Plague, he did not proceed any farther till two Years after.* Then, having confidered what Dr. J. GREGORY proposed in his Optica promota concerning a catadioptric Telescope, with an Hole in the midst of the Object Metal to transmit the Light to an Eye-Glafs placed behind it, he thought the Difadvantages would be fo great, that he refolved, before he attempted any thing in the Practice, to alter Dr. GREGORY'S Defign, and place the Eye-Glafs at the Side of the Tube, rather than the Middle. † He then made two little Reflecting Telescopes with an Object Metal fpherically concave; one of which he defcribes in the Philosophical Transactions (N°. 80) and the other, which was better than the first, he fent to the Royal Society.

* Phil. Tranf. No. 80. + ib. No. 83.

This

This laft is defcribed in a Letter to a Friend (fee the Originals hereto fubjoined, N°. 2) but more fully in the faid Transactions, N°. 81; from which we here transcribe the Defcription, with Mr. HUYGENS'S Remarks, and Sir ISAAC'S Reply to them; as alfo a farther Account of the fame Telefcope, with a Table of Apertures and Charges, from the 82d Transaction.

An Account of a new Catadioptrical Telefcope invented by Mr. NEWTON, Fellow of the Royal Society, and Profession of the Mathematics in the University of Cambridge.

"This excellent Mathematician having given us, in the *Tranfactions* of *February* laft, an Account of the Caufe which induced him to think of Reflecting Telefcopes, inftead of refracting ones, hath thereupon prefented the curious World with an Effay of what may be performed by fuch Telefcopes; by which it is found that Telefcopical Tubes may be confiderably fhortened, without Prejudice to their magnifying Effect.

"This new Inftrument is composed of two metalline Speculums; the one concave, inftead of an Object Glafs, the other plane; and alfo of a finall plano-convex Eye-Glafs.

S By

" By Fig. 2. of Tab. IV. the Structure " of it may be eafily imagined ; viz. That " the Tube of this Telescope is open at the " End which respects the Object; that the " other End is close, where the faid Con-" cave is laid; and that near the open End " there is a flat oval Speculum, made as " fmall as may be, the lefs to obstruct the " Entrance of the Rays of Light, and in-" clined towards the upper Part of the " Tube, where is a little Hole furnished " with the faid Eye-Glafs: So that the " Rays coming from the Object, do first " fall on the Concave placed at the bottom " of the Tube; and are thence reflected " toward the other End of it, where they " meet with the flat Speculum, obliquely " pofited; by the Reflexion of which they " are directed to the little plano-convex " Glafs, and fo to the Spectator's Eye, " who looking downwards, fees the Object " which the Telescope is turned to.

"To understand this more diffinctly and fully, the Reader may pleafe to look upon the faid Figure; in which

" A B is the concave Speculum, of which the Radius or Semidiameter is 12³/₃ or 13 Inches.

"C D, another metalline Speculum, "whofe Surface is flat, and the Circumfe-"rence oval.

"GD,

"GD, an Iron Wire, holding a Ring of Brafs, in which the Speculum CD is fixed.

^{cc} F, a fmall Eye-Glafs, flat above, and
^{cc} convex below, of the twelfth part of an
^{cc} Inch Radius, if not lefs; forafmuch as
^{cc} the Metal collects the Sun's Rays at 6¹/₃
^{cc} Inches Diftance, and the Eye-Glafs at
^{cc} lefs than ¹/₆ of an Inch Diftance from its
^{cc} Vertex : Befides that the Author (as he
^{cc} informs us) knew their Dimensions by the
^{cc} Tools to (or in) which they were ground,
^{cc} and particularly measuring the Diameter
^{cc} of the hemi-fpherical Concave, in which
^{cc} the Eye-Glafs was wrought, found it the
^{cc} fixth part of an Inch.

"GGG, the fore Part of the Tube fastened to a Brass Ring HI, to keep it immoveable.

" PQKL, the hind Part of the Tube, fastened to another Brass Ring PQ.

"O, an Iron Hook fastened to the Ring PQ, and furnished with a Skrew N, thereby to advance or draw back the hind Part of the Tube, and fo by that means to put the Specula in their due Distance.

" MQGI, a crooked Iron fuftaining the "Tube, and faftened by the Nail R to the "Ball and Socket S, whereby the Tube "may be turned every way.

Ee

" The

"The Center of the flat Speculum, CD, "must be placed in the fame Point of the "Tube's Axe, where falls the Perpendicular to this Axe, drawn to the fame from the Center of the Eye-Glafs; which "Point is here marked at T.

And to give the Reader fome Satisfaction to understand in what Degree it reprefents things diffinct, and free from Colours, and to know the Aperture by which it admits Light; he may compare the Diftances of the Focus E from the Vertex's of the little Eye-Glafs of the concave Speculum; that is, EF & of an Inch, and ETU 6 Inches; and the Ratio will be found as 1 to 38: Whereby it appears, that the Objects will be magnified about 38 times. To which Proportion is very confentaneous an Obfervation of the Crown on the Weather-cock about 200 Feet diftant : For the Scheme X, Fig. 3, reprefents it bigger by 21 times in Diameter, when feen through this, than through an ordinary Telescope of about two Foot long.* And fo fuppoling this ordinary one to magnify 13 or 14 times, as by the Defcription it fhould, this new one by the Experiment must magnify near as much as hath been affigned.

Thus far as to the Structure of this Telefcope. Concerning the metalline Matter,

* Fig. 4.

fit

fit for these reflecting Speculums, the Inventor has also confidered the fame; as may be seen by two of his Letters, written to the Publisher from *Cambridge*, *Jan.* 18, and 29, $167\frac{1}{2}$, to this Effect; viz.

1. That for a fit metalline Substance he would give this Caution ; That whilft Men feek for a white, hard, and durable metalline Composition, they refolve not upon fuch an one as is full of finall Pores, only difcoverable by a Microfcope : For though fuch an one may to appearance take a good Polifh, yet the Edges of those finall Pores will wear away faster in the polishing than the other Parts of the Metal; and fo, however the Metal feem polite, yet it shall not reflect fuch with an accurate Regularity as it ought to do. Thus Tin-Glafs mixed with ordinary Bell-Metal makes it more white, and apt to reflect a greater Quantity of Light; but withal its Fumes raifed in the - Fulion, like fo many aerial Bubbles, fill the Metal full of those microscopical Pores. But white Arfenic both blanches the Metal, and leaves it folid without any fuch Pores, elpecially if the Fusion hath not been too violent. What the stellate Regulus of Mars (which I have fometimes used) or other fuch like Substance will do, deferves particular Examination.

To this he adds this further Intimation; That Putty, or other fuch like Powder, with which it is polifhed, by the fharp Angles of its Particles fretteth the Metal, if it be not very fine, and fills it full of fuch fmall Holes as he fpeaketh of. Wherefore Care must be taken of that before Judgment be given, whether the Metal be throughout the Body of it porous or not.

2. He not having tried, as he faith, many Proportions of the Arfenic and Metal, does not affirm which is abfolutely beft; but thinks there may conveniently be ufed any Quantity of Arfenic equalling in Weight between the fixth and eighth Part of the Copper, a greater Proportion making the Metal brittle.

The way which he used was this: He first melted the Copper alone, then put in the Arsenic; which being melted, he stirred them a little together, bewaring in the mean time not to draw in Breath near the pernicious Fumes. After this he put in Tin; and again so foon as that was melted (which was very fuddenly) he stirred them well together, and immediately poured them off.

He faith, He knows not, whether by letting them ftand longer on the Fire after the Tin was melted, a higher Degree of Fusion would have made the Metal porous; but

but he thought that way he proceeded to be fafest.

He adds, That in that Metal which he fent to London there was no Arfenic, but a finall Proportion of Silver; as he remembers, one Shilling in three Ounces of Metal. But he thought withal, that the Silver did as much Harm in making the Metal foft, as fo lefs fit to be polifhed, as Good in rendering it white and luminous.

At another time he mixed Arfenic one Ounce, Copper fix Ounces, and Tin two Ounces. And this an Acquaintance of his hath, as he intimates, polifhed better than he did the other.

As to the Objection, That with this kind of Prospectives Objects are difficultly found, he answers, in another Letter of his to the Publisher of Jan. 6, 167¹/₂, That that is the Inconvenience of all Tubes that magnify much; and that after a little Ufe the Inconvenience will grow lefs, feeing that himfelf could readily enough find any Day-Objects, by knowing which way they were pofited from other Objects that he accidentally faw in it; but in the Night to find Stars, he acknowledges it to be more troublefome; which yet may, in his Opinion, be eafily remedied by two Sights affixed to the Iron Rod, by which the Tube is fuftained; or by an ordinary Profpective-Glafs, fastened

fastened to the fame Frame with the Tube, and directed towards the fame Object; as DES-CARTES in his Dioptrics hath defcribed, for remedying the fame Inconvenience of his best Telescopes.

So far the Inventor's Letters touching this Instrument : Of which having communicated the Defeription to Monf. CHRISTIAN HUYGENS de ZULICHEM, we received from him an Anfwer to this Effect, in his Letter of Feb. 13, 1672, St. n.

" I fee, by the Defcription you have fent " me of Mr. NEWTON's admirable Tele-" fcope, that he hath well confidered the " Advantage which a concave Speculum " hath above convex Glasses in collecting " the parallel Rays; which certainly, ac-" cording to the Calculation I have made " thereof, is very great. Hence it is, that " he can give a far greater Aperture to that Speculum, than to an Object-Glafs " of the fame Distance of the Focus; and " confequently, that he can much more 50 magnify Objects that way, than by an 60 ordinary Telescope. Besides, by it he 55 avoids an Inconvenience, which is infecc parable from convex Object-Glaffes, which is the Obliquity of both their Surfaces; cc 66 which vitiateth the Refraction of the Rays cc that pass towards the Sides of the Glass, " and does more Hurt than Men are aware c of

" of. Again; By the mere Reflexion of " the metalline Speculum, there are not fo " many Rays loft as in Glaffes; which re-" flect a confiderable Quantity by each of " their Surfaces, and befides intercept many " of them by the Obscurity of their Matter. " Mean time, the main Bufinefs will be, " to find a Matter for this Speculum that " will bear fo good and even a Polifh as " Glaffes, and a Way of giving this Polifh " without vitiating the fpherical Figure. " Hitherto I have found no Specula that " had near fo good a Polifh as Glafs; and " if M. NEWTON hath not already found 66 a Way to make it better than ordinarily, " I apprehend, his Telescopes will not fo " well diftinguish Objects as those with " Glaffes. But 'tis worth while to fearch " for a Remedy to this Inconvenience, and " I defpair not of finding one. I believe " that M. NEWTON hath not been without " confidering the Advantage which a para-55 bolical Speculum would have above a " fpherical one in this Construction; but 66 that he defpairs, as well as I do, of work-66 ing other Surfaces than fpherical ones 50 with due Exactness; though elfe it be 56 more eafy to make a parabolical than el-" liptical or hyperbolical one, by reafon " of a certain Property of the parabolic " Conoid; which is, that all the Sections " parallel
" parallel to the Axis make the fame Parabola.

Thus far M. HUYGENIUS his judicious Letter; to the latter Part of which, concerning the grinding parabolical Conoids, Mr. NEWTON faith, in his Letter to the Publifher of *Feb.* 20, 1671. That though he with him defpairs of performing that Work by geometrical Rules, yet he doubts not but that the thing may in fome measure be accomplifhed by mechanical Devices.

To all which I cannot but subjoin an Extract of a Letter received very lately (March 19) from the Inventor of this new Telescope, from Cambridge; viz.

" In my last Letter I gave you Occasion " to fuspect, that the Inftrument which I " fent you is, in some respect or other, in-" difpofed, or that the Metals are tarnish-" ed; and by your Letter of March 16, " I am fully confirmed in that Opinion : For, " whilst I had it, it represented the Moon " in some Parts of it as distinctly as other " Telescopes usually do which magnify as " much as that. Yet I very well know, " that that Inftrument hath its Imperfec-" tions, both in the Composition of the " Metal, and in its being badly caft; as " you may perceive by a fcabrous Place " near the middle of the Metal of it on the " polifhed Side, and also in the Figure of " that

" that Metal near that fcabrous Place. And " in all those Respects that Instrument is " capable of further Improvement.

"You feem to infinuate, that the Proportion of 38 to 1 holds only for its magnifying Objects at finall Diftances. But if for fuch Diftances, fuppofe 500 Feet, it magnifies at that rate, by the Rules of Optics it must for the greatest Diftance imaginable magnify more than 37[‡] to 1; which is fo confiderable a diminishing, that it may be even then as 38 to 1.

"Here is made another Instrument like " the former, which does very well. Ye-" fterday I compared it with a fix Foot " Telescope, and found it not only to mag-" nify more, but also more distinctly. And ćc to day I found that I could read in one of cc the Philosophical Transactions, placed " in the Sun's Light, at an hundred Feet " Diftance; and that at an hundred and " twenty Feet Diftance I could difeern some " of the Words. When I made this Trial, " its Aperture (defined next the Eye) was CC equivalent to more than an Inch and a " third part of the Object-Metal. This " may be of fome Use to those that shall " endeavour any thing in Reflexions; for " hereby they will in fome meafure he en-"tabled to judge of the Goodnefs of their " Instruments, Gc.

Ff

Mr.

Mr. NEWTON'S Letter to the Publisher, of March 26, 1672, containing some more Suggestions about his new Telescope; and a Table of Apertures and Charges for the several Lengths of that Instruments.

" SIR,

" Since my last Letter I have further c compared the two Telescopes, and find " that of Metal to reprefent as well the " Moon as nearer Objects, fomething dif-" tincter than the other. But I must tell " you alfo, that I am not very well allured " of the Goodness of that other, which I " borrowed to make the Comparison; and " therefore defire that the other Experiment " fhould be rather confided in, of reading " at the Diftance of between 100 and 120 " Feet, at which I and others could read " with it in the Iransactions, as I found " by Measure: At which time the Aperture was 13 of an Inch; which I knew by " trying, that an Obstacle of that Breadth " was requisite to intercept all the Light " which came from one Point of the Ob-" ject.

" I fhould tell you alfo, that the little plane Piece of Metal near the Eye-Glafs is not truly figured; whereby it happens, that Objects are not fo diffinct at the Middle

Middle as at the Edges. And I hope,
that by correcting its Figure (in which
I find more Difficulty than one would expect) they will appear all over diffinct,
and diffincter in the Middle than at the
Edges. And I doubt not but that the
Performances will then be greater.

" But yet I find, that there is more Light " loft by Reflexion of the Metal, which I " have hitherto used, than by Transmission " through Glaffes: For which Reafon a " shallower Charge would probably do " better for obscure Objects; suppose such " an one as would make it magnify 34 or ^{cc} 3 2 times. But for bright Objects at any " Distance, it seems capable of magnifying " 38 or 40 times with sufficient Distinctcc nefs. And for all Objects the fame " Charge, I believe, may, with Advantage, " be allowed, if the steely Matter, imploy-" ed at London, be more strongly reflec-" tive than this which I have used.

"The Performances of one of these Inftruments of any Length being known, it will appear by this following Table what may be expected from those of other Lengths by this Way, if Art can accomplish what is promised by the Theory. In the first Column is expressed the Length of the Telescope in Feet; which doubled Ff 2 "gives

atitic

1 ELTERY

gives the Semidiameter of the Sphere, on which the concave Metal is to be ground.

Lengths.	Apertures.	Charges.
Haind 10	100	biloo iza
S greater.	168	119 119
Ner 22 31	283	141
3	383	157
.4	476	168
5	562	178
6 0	645	186
8	800	200
IO	946	211 OK
I 2	1084	221
16	1345	238
20	1591	254 10
24	1 1824	1 263 .

" The Ufe of this Table will best ap-" pear by Example : Suppose therefore a 55 half Foot Telescope may distinctly mag-" nify 30 times with an Inch Aperture, 66 and it being required to know what 66 ought to be the analogous Constitution .66 and Performance of a four Foot Tele-" fcope ; by the fecond Column, as 100 to 66 476, fo are the Apertures, as also the 55 Number of times which they magnify. " And confequently, fince the half Foot " Tube hath an Inch Aperture, and magni-" fieth

fieth 30 times; a four Foot Tube proportionally fhould have 4.7% Inches Aperture, and magnify 143 times. And by the third Column, as 100 to 168, fo are their Charges. And therefore if the Diameter of the Convexity of the Eye-Glafs for a half Foot Telescope be $\frac{1}{3}$ of an Inch, that for a four Foot should be $\frac{168}{300}$; that is, about $\frac{1}{3}$ of an Inch.

" In like manner, if a half Foot Tele-" scope may distinctly magnify 36 times " with 14 of an Inch Aperture, a four " Foot Telescope should with equal Dif-" tinctness magnify 171 times with 6 Inches " Aperture; and one of fix Foot should " magnify 232 times with 83 Inches Aper-" ture; and fo of other Lengths. But " what the Event will really be, we must " wait to fee determined by Experience: " Only this I thought fit to infinuate, that " they which intend to make Trials in " other Lengths, may more readily know " how to defign their Inftruments. Thus " for a four Foot Tube, fince the Aperture " should be five or 6 Inches, there will be " required a Piece of Metal 7 or 8 Inches " broad at least; because the Figure will " fcarcely be true to the Edges : And the " Thicknefs of the Metal must be propor-" tional to the Breadth, left it bend in the " grinding. The Metals being polifhed, " there

" there may be Trials made with feveral " Eye-Glaffes, to find what Charge may " with best Advantage be made use of.

An Extract of another Letter of the same to the Publisher, dated March 30, 1672. by way of Answer to some Objections made by an ingenious French Philosopher to the new Reflecting Telescope.

"SIR,

" I doubt not but M. A. will allow the " Advantage of Reflexion in the Theory " to be very great, when he shall have in-" formed himfelf of the different Refrangi-" bility of the feveral Rays of Light. And " for the practic Part, it is in fome mea-" fure manifest by the Instruments already " made, to what Degree of Vivacity and " Brightnefs a metalline Subftance may be " polifhed. Nor is it improbable but that " there may be new Ways of Polifhing " found out for Metal, which will far ex-" cel those that are yet in use. And when " a Metal is once well polifhed, it will be " a long time preferved from tarnithing, " if Diligence be used to keep it dry, and " close that up from Air : For the principal " Cause of tarnishing seems to be, the con-" denfing of Moisture on its polished Sur-" face; which by an acid Spirit, where-" with

with the Atmosphere is impregnated, corrodes and rufts it; or at least, at its exhaling, leaves it covered over with a thin Skin, confisting partly of an earthly Sediment of that Moisture, and partly of the Dust, which flying to and fro in the Air, had fettled and adhered on it.

"When there is not Occasion to make " frequent Use of the Instrument, there " may be other Ways to preferve the Metal 66 for a long time; as perhaps by immercc ging it in Spirit of Wine, or fome other 55 convenient Liquor. And if they chance CC to tarnish, yet their Polish may be reco-" vered by rubbing them with a foft Piece 55 of Leather, or other tender Substance, 56 without the Affiftance of any fretting 55 Powders, unlefs they happen to be rufty; " for then they must be new polished.

"I am very fenfible, that Metal reflects lefs Light than Glafs tranfmits; and for that Inconvenience I gave you a Remedy in my laft Letter, by affigning a fhallower Charge, in proportion to the Aperture, than is ufed in other Telefcopes. But, as I have found fome metalline Subfrances to be more ftrongly reflective, and to polifh better, and be freer from tarnifhing, than others; fo I hope there may in time be found out fome Subfrance much freer from thefe Inconveniences than any yet known,

After

After Sir ISAAC NEWTON'S Telefcope had been fent up to the Royal Society, they gave Mr. Cox (the Optic-Glafs-Grinder) Orders to make one after the fame manner of Contrivance four Foot long; which was done, one End of the Tube being open, and at the other End was placed a concave metalline Mirrour; the Diameter of which was betwixt 4 and 5 Inches; and it was ground on a Sphere of 14 Foot Diameter; and about its Focus, which was about 4. Foot off, was placed a reflecting Plate as big as a Two-pence, inclined at an Angle of 45 Degrees to the Axis: So that the reflected Rays falling thereon were again reflected upright to the Side of the Telescope; where the Eye, through a fmall Hole wherein is placed a fmall plano-convex Glafs, beholds the Object on the reflecting Plate as much magnified as it could have been done by an ordinary Telescope of 40 Foot long or more, and void of Colours. The Mirrour and reflecting Plate were made to be taken out and wiped at pleafure. But the Society and Cox himfelf were not pleafed with the Metal or Polifh of the reflecting Plate; and therefore a Trial was to be made with the Lapis Ofmandinus, a black Stone that comes from Mount Hecla in Island, and other Materials, Gc. (Whether or no these Trials succeeded, I have not been able aword to After

to learn; but I believe they did not, nor any other, till Mr. HADLEY made his Newtonian Telescope in 1723.) This Account I copied from a Paper of Mr. JOHN COLLINS; in which was the Copy of Sir ISAAC NEWTON'S Letter to a Friend about his Telescope (Orig. Pap. N^o. 2.)

A little after Sir I S A AC N EWTON had fent his new Telescope to the Royal Society, Mr. OLDENBURGH, the Secretary, wrote him a Letter of Thanks; to which Sir I S A AC made Answer in $167\frac{1}{2}$, giving a farther Account of the Instrument. (Orig. Pap. N^o. 5.)

About this time Dr. J. GREGORY having an Account of Sir ISAAC NEWTON's Telefcope, wrote his Thoughts about it to Mr. JOHN COLLINS, in a Letter from Aber deen, dated Aug. 6, 1672; in which he gives the Preference to Sir Isaac's Telescope above that which he defcribed in his Optica promota in one respect, but thinks his own better in another. (Orig. Pap. Nº. 3.) At the fame time one Monf. CASSEGRAIN published a Defcription of a Catadioptric Telescope, as his own Invention; which he pretended to have been prior to Sir IsAAc's Telefcope, and which M. BERCE, Publisher of the French Memoirs for the Year 1672, feems to prefer to it : But S. SALVETTI, one of the Great Duke's Muficians at Florence, Gg who Engl I fond

who made one after the manner of Sir ISAAC, thinks it much better contrived than M. CASSEGRAIN'S. (Phil. Tranf. Nº. 87.) Now M. CASSEGRAIN's is not pretended to have been contrived before the Beginning of the Year 1672, and Sir IsAAc's was contrived in the Year 1666, and executed in the Year 1670, or at farthest finished in the Year 1671 : Besides, M. CASSEGRAIN'S differs in nothing from Dr. GREGORY's, but that he would have the fmall Metal to be convex, which Dr. GREGORY makes concave; and therefore the Instrument feems only to be Dr. GREGORY's difguifed. The whole Account of this, and Sir ISAAC NEWTON'S Thoughts upon it, I here subjoin, as copied from the Philof. Transactions, Nº.83.

Mr. ISAAC NEWTON'S Confiderations on Part of a Letter of Monsieur de BERCE, printed in the eighth French Memoire, concerning the Catadioptrical Telescope pretended to be improved and refined by M. CASSEGRAIN.

THAT the Reader may be enabled the better to judge of the whole, by comparing together the Contrivances both of Mr. NEWTON and M. CASSEGRAIN, it will be neceffary to borrow from the faid *French Memoire* what is there faid concerning them; which is as follows.

" I fend

" I fend you (faith M. de BERCE to the Publisher of the Memoire) the Copy of the " Letter which M. CASSEGRAIN hath " written to me, concerning the Propor-" tions of Sir SAMUEL MORELAND'S Trum-" pet. And as for the Telescope of Mr. " NEWTON, it hath as much furprized me " as the fame Perfon that hath found out " the Proportions of the Trumpet: For it " is now about three Months that that Per-" fon communicated to me the Figure of a " Telescope, which was almost like it, and " which he had invented; but which I " look upon as more witty." I shall here " give you the Description of it in short. " ABCD (Plate IV. Fig. 5.) is a strong " Tube, in the Bottom of which there is a " great concave Speculum C D, pierced in " the Middle E.

"F is a convex Speculum, fo difpofed,
as to its Convexity, that it reflects the
Species which it receives from the great
Speculum towards the Hole E, where is
an Eye-Glafs which one looketh through.
"The Advantages which I find in this
Inftrument above that of Mr. NEWTON,
are, I. That the Mouth or Aperture A B
of the Tube may be of what Bignefs you
pleafe; and confequently, you may have

* More ingenious, is the Senfe of the French.

Gg 2

" many

many more Rays upon the concave Speculum, than upon that of which you have
given us the Defeription. 2. The Reflexion of the Rays will be very natural;
fince it will be made upon the Axis it
felf, and therefore more vivid. 3. The
Vifion of it will be fo much the more
pleafing; in that you fhall not be incommoded by the great Light, by reafon of
the Bottom CD, which hideth the whole
Face. Befides that you'll have lefs Difficulty in difcovering the Objects, than
in that of Mr. NEWTON.

So far this French Author. To which we shall now subjoin the Considerations of Mr. NEWTON, as we received them from him in a Letter written from Cambridge; May 4, 1672. as follows.

SIR,

" I fhould be very glad to meet with any Improvement of the Catadioptrical Telefeope; but that Defign of it, which (as you inform me) Mr. CASSEGRAIN hath communicated three Months fince, and is now printed in one of the French Memoirs, I fear will not anfwer Expectation: For, when I first applied myself to try the Effects of Reflexion, Mr. GREGORY'S Optica promota (printed " in

" in the Year 1663) being fallen in my "Hands, where there is an Inftrument (defcribed Page 94) like that of Monf, CASSEGRAIN'S, with a Hole in the midft of the Object-Metal to transmit the Light to an Eye-Glafs placed behind it; I had thence an Occasion of confidering that Sort of Constructions, and found their Difadvantages fo great, that I faw it neceffary, before I attempted any thing in the Practice, to alter the Defign of them, and place the Eye-Glafs at the Side of the Tube, rather than at the Middle.

" The Difadvantages of it you will un-" derstand by these Particulars: 1. There " will be more Light loft in the Metal by " Reflexion from the little convex Speca-" lum, than from the oval Plane: For it " is an obvious Observation, that Light is " most copiously reflected from any Sub-" stance when incident most obliquely. " 2. The convex Speculum will not reflect " the Rays fo truly as the oval Plane, un-" lefs it be of an hyperbolic Figure ; which " is incomparably more difficult to form " than a plane; and if truly formed, yet " would only reflect those Rays truly which " respect the Axis. 3. The Errors of the " faid Convex will be much augmented by " the too great Diftance through which the " Rays reflected from it must pass, before " their

" their Arrival at the Eye-Glafs. For " which Reafon I find it convenient to " make the Tube no wider than is neceffa-" ry, that the Eye-Glafs be placed as near " to the oval Plane as is polfible, without " obstructing any useful Light in its Passage " to the Object-Metal. 4. The Errors of " the Object-Metal will be more augment-" ed by Reflexion from the Convex than " from the Plane, because of the Inclination " or Deflexion of the Convex on all Sides " from the Points on which every Ray " ought to be incident. 5. For these Rea-" fons there is requisite an extraordinary " Exactness in the Figure of the little Con-" vex; whereeas I find by Experience, that " it is much more difficult to communicate " an exact Figure to fuch fmall Pieces of " Metal, than to those that are greater. " 6. Because the Errors at the Perimeter " of the concave Object-Metal, caufed by cc the Sphericalness of its Figure, are much •• augmented by the Convex, it will not " with Diftinctness bear fo large an Aper-" ture as in the other Constructions. 7. By " reafon that the little Convex conduces " very much to the magnifying Virtue of " the Instrument, which the oval Planc " doth not, it will magnify much more in " proportion to the Sphere on which the " great Concave is ground, than in the "other

" other Defign; and fo magnifying Objects " much more than it ought to do in pro-" portion to its Aperture, it must represent si them very obfcure and dark; and not on-" ly fo, but alfo confused, by reason of its " being overcharged. Nor is there any " convenient Remedy for this: For if the " little Convex be made of a larger Sphere, " that will caufe a greater Inconvenience, " by intercepting too many of the best " Rays; or, if the Charge of the Eye-" Glafs be made fo much shallower, as is " neceffary, the Angle of Vision will there-" by become to little, that it will be very " difficult and troublefome to find an Ob-" ject; and of that Object, when found, " there will be but a very finall Part feen " at once.

"By this you may perceive, that the three Advantages which Monf. CASSE-GRAIN propounds to himfelf, are rather Difadvantages: For, according to his Defign, the Aperture of the Inftrument will be but finall, the Object dark and confufed, and alfo difficult to be found. Nor do I fee why the Reflexion is more upon the fame Axis, and fo more natural in the one Cafe than in the other; fince the Axis itfelf is reflected towards the Eye by the oval Plane; and the Eye may be defended from external Light as

" well at the Side as at the Bottom of the "Tube.

" You fee therefore that the Advantages " of this Defign are none, but the Difad-" vantages fo great and unavoidable, that " I fear it will never be put in Practice with " good Effect. And when I confider, that " by reafon of its Refemblance with other " Telescopes, it is femething more obvious " than the other Construction, I am apt " to believe that those who have attempted " any thing in Catoptrics, have ever tried " it in the first place; and that their bad " Succefs in that Attempt hath been the " Caufe why nothing hath been done in " Reflexions: For Mr. GREGORY speaking " of these Instruments in the aforefaid Book, " Page 95. faith, De Mechanica horum " Speculorum & Lentium ab aliis frustra " tentatà, ego in Mechanicis minus versa-" tus nibil dico. So that there have been " Trials made of these Telescopes, but yet, " in vain. And I am informed that about " feven or eight Years fince, Mr. GREGORY " himfelf, at London, caufed one of fix " Foot to be made by Mr. Reive; which I " take to have been according to the afore-" faid Defign described in his Book, be-" caufe though made by a skilful Artift, yet " it was without Succefs.

" I could

"I could with therefore Mr. CASSEGRAIN had tried his Defign before he divulged it: But if, for further Satisfaction, he pleafe hereafter to try it, I believe the Succefs will inform him, that fuch Projects are of little Moment till they be put in Practice.

After this Dr. GREGORY, in a Letter to Mr. COLLINS from St. Andrews, Sept. 23. 1672 (Nº. 4.) replies to Sir IsAAc's Animadverfions upon M. CASSEGRAIN'S Telefcope, looking upon it as his own difguifed; and proposes to use a plane Speculum instead of his concave or CASSEGRAIN'S convex, to remedy fome Inconveniences found by Sir ISAAC, and make his Telescope still shorter than Sir ISAAc's. He thinks the oblique Reflexion from the little Plate in Sir IsAAc's much worfe than his own direct Reflexion from his finall Speculum; but thinks a little Concave or Convex worth trying, becaufe different Charges may be then given to the Telescope with the fame Eye-Glass; which he thinks impracticable in Sir ISAAC's. Sir IsAAc's Anfwer to these Objections was sent to Mr. COLLINS, which he communicated to Mr. GREGORY (but I could not meet with that Letter of Sir IsAAc's) who feems thereby convinced, that an oblique Reflexion is preferable to a direct one ; but does not con-Hh ceive

ceive how Sir I SAAC can alter the Charge of his Telescope without changing the Eye-Glass.

(N. B. Dr. GREGORY'S Letter which contains this Reply is printed at the End of the Appendix, No. 6. But I don't take notice here of the Arguments pro and con concerning the Tubercula, or little Eminences in the reflecting small Metal being struck directly or obliquely by the Rays of Light; because in fact they are not struck at all by the Rays of Light, which are reflected without Contact or Impulsion against the Tubercula, or any Part of the polished Metal; as Sir ISAAC found out afterwards, though be did not know it then.)

Sir IsAAC in his next Letter (the last we publish here of his, N°. 7.) gives an Account of his Manner of varying the Charge in his Telescope, by making use of a Glass Prism with two convex Surfaces and a flat one, instead of his small plane Mirrour. He also show the Aperture of the Eye-Glass ought to be limited in Dr. GREGORY'S Telescope; and for his own Telescope he lays all the Stress of Magnifying upon the Eye-Glass. The rest of the Letter is concerning a Reflecting Microscope mentioned by him in the Transactions, and a reflecting Glass Mirrour for burning, proposed

pofed by Dr. GREGORY in one of his Letters (N°. 6.) which Mirrours are now very commonly made.

Dr. GREGORY in his last Letter to Mr. COLLINS on this Subject, from St. Andrews, May 13, 1673. (Nº. 8.) commends Sir ISAAC NEWTON's Way of varying the Charge of his Telescope; but thinks it liable to some Errors, owing to the Refraction of the Rays at their Entrance into and Emerfion out of the convex Surfaces of the little Prifm. He thinks it not worth while to look on terrestrial Bodies with excellent Telescopes, because they magnify the Particles of the Atmosphere as well as the Object. Then he proposes a Plate of Metal with a fmall Hole in it to be placed in the Focus of his Eye-Glafs next to the Eye, to intercept all the spurious Rays (without which; as it has appeared fince in Practice, this Telescope would be of no Use) and concludes with afferting, that if it appears by Trials that common Microfcopes (that is Microfcopes with two or three Glaffes) can be brought to exceed all Improvements of a fingle Lens, then it will follow from thence that his Telescope may be brought to exceed SirlsAAC NEWTON'S.

There have been no Attempts made fince that time (viz. fince the Year 1673.) to Hh 2 make

make either of these Sorts of Telescopes,* at least no fuccesful ones that have been made publick, till in the Year 1723, that is at the Distance of fifty Years, Mr. HADLEY made the reflecting Telescope described in the Philosophical Iransactions, Nº. 376. to which 1 refer the Reader : But I think it not improper to copy from another Tranfaction (Nº. 378.) the Observations made by the late Reverend Mr. POUND, and his Nephew the Reverend Mr. BRADLEY, at Wanstead, in the Year 1723. as also others made by Mr. HADLEY himfelf with this Telescope, before I give an Account also of the Gregorian Telescope, now likewife made and brought to Perfection by the faid Mr. HADLEY, together with a Table of his Calculations concerning this Telescope, communicated to me lately.

Phil. Trans. Nº. 378. Pag. 382.

A Letter from the Rev. Mr. JAMES POUND, Rector of Wanstead, F. R. S. to Dr. JURIN, Secr. R. S. concerning Observations made with Mr. HADLEY'S Reflecting Telescope.

* Three or four French Authors have indeed mentioned the Use of concave metalline Mirrours, instead of one of the Eye-Glasses of a Dioptrical Telescope; but the Thing was never put in Practice.

" It

" It were to be wished, that, with the " particular Description given in a late " Iransaction (Nº. 376.) of the curious " Mechanism of that Catadioptric Tele-" fcope which was made by Mr. HADLEY, " and by him prefented to the Royal So-" ciety, that most ingenious Gentleman " would have communicated alfo a full Ac-23 count of what Obfervations he had made " with it; whereby the Publick might at . 23 length have been apprized of the Ufeful-TC nels of an Invention (worthy of its great 66 Author Sir ISAAC NEWTON) which, " perhaps from the vain Attempts made by " fome of putting it in Practice, hath lain " neglected these fifty Years : For it is fo " long fince it was first published in the " Philosophical Transactions, Nº. 81.

" Mr. HADLEY hath fufficiently convin-" ced us, that this noble Invention doth not cc confift in bare Theory; and it is to be 66 hoped, that he, or fome other fuch cu-66 rious and worthy Perfons (who fcruple cc not at a little Pains and Coft) will in a cc fhort time find out a Method, either of 53 preferving the concave Metal from tar-23 nishing, or of clearing it eafily when tar-" nished, or else of making a good concave " Speculum of Glafs quickfilvered on the " back part. When a Method for either " of these shall be discovered, 'tis not to be " doubted,

" doubted, but that the old Dioptric Te-" lescope will be for the most part laid by, " and this Catoptric one will be chiefly in " use among the practical Astronomers; in-" afmuch as feveral Inconveniences and " Difficulties, which are unavoidable in " the Management of the former, efpecial-" ly when long, are in this latter wholly " avoided.

" It is no finall Convenience, that, by " means of one of these Reflecting Tele-" fcopes, whose Length exceeds not five " Feet (and which may be managed at a " Window within the Houfe) celestial Ob-" jects appear as much magnified, and as " distinct, as they do through the common " Telescope of more than a hundred Feet " in Length.

" Mr. BRADLEY, the Savilian Professor " of Aftronomy, and myfelf, have compared " Mr. HADLEY's Telescope (in which the ~ focal Length of the Object-Metal is not 23 quite 5 Feet and 1) with the Huygenian " Telescope, the focal Length of whose " Object-Glass is 123 Feet: And we find, " that the former will bear fuch a Charge, " as to make it magnify the Object as many " times as the latter with its due Charge; " and that it reprefents Objects as diftinct, " tho' not altogether fo clear and bright; " which may be occasioned partly from the " Diffe-

⁶⁶ Difference of their Apertures (that of the ⁶⁶ Huygenian being fomewhat the larger) ⁶⁷ and partly from feveral little Spots in the ⁶⁶ concave Surface of the Object-Metal, ⁶⁷ which did not admit of a good Polifh.

"Notwithstanding this Difference in the Brightnefs of the Objects, we were able; with this Reflecting Telefcope, to fee whatever we have hitherto difcovered by the Huygenian; particularly the Tranfits of Jupiter's Satellites, and their Shades, over the Disk of Jupiter; the black Lift in Saturn's Ring, and the Edge of the Shade of Saturn caft on his Ring, as reprefented by Fig. 4. Plate II. of the forementioned Transation, No. 376.

"We have alfo feen with it feveral times the five Satellites of Saturn; in viewing of which this Telescope had the Advantage of the Huygenian, at that time when we compared them: For it being in Summer, and the Huygenian Telescope being managed without a Tube, the Twilight prevented us from feeing in this fome of those fmall Objects, which at the fame time we could differ with the reflecting Telescope.

> " I am, &c. " JA. POUND. Observa-

Observations on the Satellites of Jupiter and Saturn, made with the same Telefcope. By JOHN HADLEY, Esq; F.R.S. Extracted from the Minutes of the Royal Society, April 6. 1721.

" Mr. HADLEY gave the Society a Re-" lation of fome of the most remarkable " Observations, which he had made with " his Reflecting Telescope, before he pre-" fented it to the Society.

"In observing *Jupiter's* Satellites, he has feen distinctly the Shadows of the first and third Satellites cast upon the Body of the Planet: Mr. FOLKES and Dr. JURIN, being present, affirmed, that Mr. HADLEY had likewise shewn them the Shadow of the third Satellite through the fame Telescope.

" In observing Saturn the last Spring, at a Time when that Planet was about 15 Days pass the Opposition, he faw the Shade of the Planet cass upon the Ring, and plainly difcerned the Ring to be diftinguished into two Parts, by a dark Line, concentric to the Circumference of the Ring: The outer or upper Part of the Ring seemed to be narrower than the lower or inner Part, next the Body; and the dark Line, which separated them,

was ftronger next the Body, and fainter
on the outer Part towards the upper
Edge of the Ring. Within the Ring he
difcerned two Belts; one of which croffed
Saturn clofe to its inner Edge, and feemed like the Shade of the Ring upon the
Body of Saturn: But when he confidered the Situation of the Sun, in refpect to
the Ring and Saturn, he found that Belt
could not arife from fuch a Caufe.

"He fays, that at Times he has feen with this Telefcope three different Satellites of Saturn; but could never have the Fortune to fee all five.

"Aug. 1723. Mr. HADLEY adds, that "he has feveral times feen the Shadow of "the first, fecond, and third Satellites of "Jupiter pass over the Body of that Pla-"net; and that he has feen the first and fecond appear, as a bright Spot upon the Body of Jupiter, and has been able to keep Sight of them there for about a Quarter of an Hour, from the Time of their entering on his Limb.

"Jupiter's Satellites have of late Years been fo fituated, with regard to the Earth and Jupiter, that he has not had fufficient Opportunity of obferving the Transit of the fourth Satellite, or of its Shadow.

Ii

" The

" The dark Line on the Ring of Saturn, " parallel to its Circumference, is chiefly visible on the Ansa, or Extremities of the " elliptic Figure, in which the Ring ap-" pears; but he has feveral times been able 55 to trace it very near, if not quite round; • particularly in May 1722. he could dif-50 cern it without the Northern Limb of " Saturn, in that Part of the Ring that " appeared beyond the Globe of the Planet. " The Globe of Saturn (at least towards " its Limb) reflects lefs Light than the in-" ner Part of the Ring; and he has fome-" times distinguished it from the Ring by " the Difference of Colour.

"The dusky Line, which in 1720 he obferved to accompany the inner Edge of the Ring crofs the Disk, continues clofe to the fame, though the Breadth of the Ellipfe is confiderably increafed fince that time.

An Account of the Gregorian Reflecting Telefcope, as perfected, by JOHN HADLEY, Efq; Vice-President of the Royal Society, in the Year 1726.

At the Bottom of the large Tube (exprefied by a ftrong black Line) is fixed the concave metalline *Speculum* BB, perforated with the Hole CC; opposite to which Hole

Hole is placed a fmall Speculum of the fame Metal FF cconcave towards the great Metal, and fo fixed to a crooked Arm, that it may be brought towards or carried fromwards the great Speculum, keeping its Axis still in the fame Line (viz. in the common Axis of both Specula) and by that means parallel Rays, or Rays from the Points of a very diftant Object, coming to the great Speculum in the Lines OO, PP, Gc. and falling upon the great Speculum between B and C will be fo united at its Focus, as to form there the Image G G of the Object O P supposed at a vast Distance. The Rays diverging again from their refpective Points of the Image, go on diverging, and fall upon the little Concave F F. whole focal Length is D I, and from its Surface are reflected nearly parallel to their respective Axes (not wholly fo, because DG is greater than DI) and with all the Axes or principal Rays move parallel to the common Axis through the Hole in the great Speculum, in the Direction DA, fo entering into the fmall Tube N M M N, which is fixed to the great Tube behind the Speculum, fall upon the convex Side of the plano-convex ocular Lens N N, and paffing through it, form a fecond Image at gg, whofe Bignefs is limited by the Hole of the perforated opake Circle or Diaphragm Ii 2 placed

placed at RR. That fecond or erect Image of the first inverted Image of an erect Object is feen large, clear, and diffinct by the Eye at E, which fees it through the finall Hole in the Plate M M, and the last Eye-Glass SS, which is a Menifcus: For the Eye will fee it under the Angle S E S made by the Axes of those Pencils of Rays which came from the Extremities of the visible Object; and the Rays belonging to each Pencil will be parallel to their refpective Axes. Befides, the fpurious Rays will be all cut off by the Plate MM; which makes the Vision very distinct. This Telescope is not only good for common Eyes, but the Rays that enter the Eye will be made to converge a little for the Presbyta, or to diverge a little for the Myopes, by means of a Skrew fixed to the Arm of the little Concave, to remove or to bring it forward upon Occafion. [N. B. The Reafon why Mr. HADLEY uses a double Eye-Glass instead of a fingle one proposed by Dr. GREGORY, is, to prevent the object being coloured at the Edges of the Aperture.] The feventh Figure is drawn in the quarter Proportion of an Instrument of 1 2 Inches focal Length.

BB is the larger concave Speculum, its focal Length AG.

FF

FF is the fmaller concave Speculum, its focal Length ID.

The Breadth F F is about $\frac{1}{50}$ of an Inch wider than the Hole C C in the larger Speculum.

N the first Eye-Glass is plano-convex.

S the fecond Eye-Glass plano-convex likewife, or rather a Meniscus.

M a Plate with a fmall Hole in it to exclude all foreign Light, with an Hole in it $\frac{1}{18}$ of an Inch.

RR the limiting Circle or Diaphragm.

The Arrows are the feveral fucceffive Images of any Object.

TABLE I.

If AGbe=3Inches=12Inches=18Inches=27Inches That is, the And the Charge is $\{=36 = 49 = 66\}$ Power of Magnifying. 12 Or13) B B=0. 7=2. =2.7=3.7I D=0. 82 = 2.32 = 3.22 = 4.22F F=0.315=0.56=0.7=0.88C C = 0.295 = 0.54 = 0.68 = 0.86Focal Length of } N=1. 48= 3.27= 3.97= 4.91 Focal Length of } S=0. 7= 1. 3= 1.54= 1.85 A D=3. 96=14.66=21.69=31. 9 A N = 0.5 = 0.7 = 0.75 = 0.8N S=1. 4= 2. 6= 3.08= 3. 7 S M=0. 45= c.76= 0.88= 1. 0 RR=0. 2 = 0.37 = 0.44 = 0.53

N.B.

N. B. Thefe Calculations are for the Day, where Objects are to be magnified but little in proportion to what the Heavenly Bodies may be at Night; for which the following Table gives the Proportions.

TABLE II.

If A G be = 12 Inches = 18 Inches = 27 Inches;

And the Charge 70 = 95 = 128BBmultbe = 2. = 2 . 7 = 3 . 75 ID = 1.74. = 2.36 = 3.22FF = 0.4. = 0.47 = 0.56CC = 0.38 = 0.45 = 0.54Focus of N = 2.29.= 2.79 = 3.47 Focus of S = 0.87 = 1.03 = 1.25AD = 13.95.= 20.64 = 30.56 AN = 0.7. = 0.75 = 0.8NS = 1.74. = 2.06 = 2.5 SM = 0.47. = 0.56 = 0.7RR = 0.25.= 0.29 = 0.36

The Breadth in the Hole of the Plate M must be $\frac{1}{3}$, of an Inch.

N.B. The varying the Length of A N, that is the Diftance of the first Eye-Glass behind the fore Surface of the Speculum B B, alters the other Proportions but little; fo that if the Thickness of the Speculum, or other Circumstances require it, there is no need to keep exactly to the Numbers here set down for it.

Thefe

These Telescopes are much more convenient for Day-Objects, and more eafily managed by Perfons who are not used to Telefcopes in general, than the Newtonian Telescope : But again, they are not to convenient for Celeftial Objects, efpecially fuch as are at at a confiderable Altitude in the Heavens; for Celeftial Phanomena may be viewed quite up to the Zenith with the fame Conveniency as at the Horizon, with Sir ISAAC's Telescope; which cannot be done with the Gregorian. Befides, in looking at Celestial Objects, we may fee them only with an Eye-Glafs, and by means of one fingle Image, in using the Newtonian Telescope; whereas the Gregorian has always two Images.

In fhort, when once Micrometers can be ufefully applied to thefe two Sorts of Reflecting Telefcopes (which Artifts are now endeavouring to do) the long Dioptrical Telefcopes will be quite out of ufe, and Aftronomical Obfervations will be made as certainly, much cheaper, and with more Expedition.

N. B. The two foregoing Tables of Calculation, concerning the Gregorian Telescope, being only fent me, by Mr. HADLEY, for my private Affistance in making that Inftrument; upon my asking Leave to publish it, he answered, That as he did not design to

to make it public, he had not been correct, farther than the *fecond* or *third* Place of the *Decimals*; and therefore could not give it out for perfect. But as it is fufficient for Practice, I thought proper to give it here. And, at the Clofe of this *Appendix*, I have added Mr. HADLEY'S Letter to me with his new Calculations for the *Reflecting Telefcope*.

I should do Injustice to Mr. HADLEY, if I ended this Appendix without taking notice of his admirable new Invention of a Refletting Quadrant, for taking Altitudes and Angular Diftances more accurately than ever yet was done; and with fo much Eafe, that the Shake of a Ship does not influence the Correctness of the Observation, it being only required to find the Object. This is an Advantage which no Quadrant ever yet had. I do not here give the Defcription of it, becaufe it is fully done in the Philo-Sophical Transactions (Nº. 420.) and the Quadrant may be had at Mr. B. SISSON's, the Corner of Beaufort-Buildings in the Strand; where a Book is given with the Quadrant, which fhews its Use in the plainest manner. As foon as the common Prejudice against new Things is worn off, and the Instrument is well known, I do not believe any Ship will go a long Voyage without one of these excellent Quadrants.

ORIGI-

ORIGINALS

Referred to in the foregoing

APPENDIX.

NUMB. I.

Ex J. GREGORII Optica promota, P. 93.

— Tertius autem genus aureum nulla habet incommoda, & omnes priorum generum proprietates habere potest, &c.



HE third Sort, which from its Value we may very well call a golden one, has no Inconveniences, and may have all the Properties of the other Sorts; pro-

vided the Lens's and Specula be rightly difpofed; that is, if the last Image and the last but one be produced by Specula, and the rest by Lens's. We shall therefore here, for Example, describe a Telescope of this most perfect Kind. Let ADCE (Pl. IV. Fig. 1.) be a parabolical concave Speculum K & most

258 LETTERS between Dr. GREGORY

most exquisitely polished; in whose Focus * C is placed a fmall elliptic concave Speculum, having a common Focus and common Axis with the concave parabolic Speculum, and let it be fixed in that Situation. Now the faid Focus of that elliptic Speculum must be very near to its Vertex, and the other Focus of it must be very far from the fame at F, in the common Axis produced beyond the parabolic Speculum; and thro' the Vertex of the parabolic Speculum must be made a round Hole M N, in which Hole must be placed a Tube having the same Axis with the Specula, and big enough to receive the Rays of a Visible reflected from the elliptic concave Speculum; and let it be continued to L very near to F; and at L let a Lens of Crystal, convex towards the Specula, with the Convexity of a Conoid, and the Denfity of the Crystalline (of the Eye) be fixed, whofe exterior Focus must be at F, and which must be plane towards the Eye, and likewife have the fame common Axis as the Specula and the Tube. This will be the Way to make an excellent Telescope for Presbyta: For distant Objects feen through the Tube will appear very distinctly, magnified very near in the Ratio of the Diftances of the Vertices from the

* The Author means, Near whofe Focus.

nommos be a parabolical concave Statistic

and Mr. NEWTON. 259

common *Foci*; and enlightened in the fame manner as a visible would be, when seen under such an Angle; provided the Diameter of what produces the last Image be big enough to suffer the *Uvea* of the Eye to be filled with the Rays: And how that may be done, we have taught in the *Scholium* of the 51st of this Book, Gc.

NUMB. II.

Copy of a Letter written by Mr. NEWTON to a Friend of his (taken from Mr. Collins's Transcript.)

> Trin. Coll. Cambridge, Feb. 23, 1665.

Promifed in a Letter to Mr. Ent to give you an Account of my Succefs in a fmall Attempt I had then in hand; and it is this: Being perfuaded of a certain Way whereby the practical Part of Optics might be promoted, I thought it beft to proceed by degrees, and make a fmall Profpective first, to try whether my Conjecture would hold good or not. The Instrument that I made is but fix Inches in Length; it bears fomething more than an Inch Aperture, and a plano-convex Eye-Glafs, whose Depth is ¹ or ¹/₇ Part of an Inch: So that it magnifies K k 2 about
about 40 times in Diameter; which is more than any fix Foot Tube can do, I believe, with Distinctness. But by reason of bad Materials, and for want of good Polifh, it reprefents not Things fo diffinct as a fix Foot Tube will do; yet I think it will difcover as much as any three or four Foot Tube, especially if the Objects be luminous. I have feen with it Jupiter diffinctly round and his Satellites, and Venus horned. Thus, Sir, I have given you a fhort Account of this fmall Inftrument; which though in itfelf contemptible, may yet be looked upon as an Epitome of what may be done according to this Way: For I doubt not but in time a fix Foot Tube may be made after this Method, which will perform as much as any 60 or 100 Foot Tube made after the common Way; whereas I am perfuaded, that, were a Tube made after the common Way, of the pureft Glafs exquifitely polifhed with the beft Figure that any Geometrician (Des-Cartes, &c.) hath or can defign; (which I believe is all that Men have hitherto attempted or wished for) yet such a Tube would fcarce perform as much more as an ordinary good Tube of the fame Length. And this however it may feem a paradoxical Affertion, yet it is the neceffary Confequence of fome Experiments which I have made concerning the Nature of Light, Grc. NUMB.

NUMB, III.

Copy of Part of a Letter to Mr. JOHN COLLINS.

Aberdeen, 6 August, 1672.

T is like indeed that Mr. NEWTON his Telescope may have an Advantage above that which I mention'd in my Optica promota, because the Eye-Glasse is fo near the plane Mirroir; yet the Obliquitie of the Mirroir hindereth someqt: Nevertheless my Telescope hath one Advantage also verie confiderable; for the same concave Mirroir togither with the same plane-convex Eye-Glasse may give the same Object-Mirroir any defired Charge, Gc.

> Your bumble and obliged Servant, J. GREGORIE.

Nимв. IV.

SIR,

St. Andrews, 26 Sept. 1672.

Y Ours of the third of August I received a confiderable time ago, Gc. — I have cast an Eye on Mr CASSEGRAIN his Telescope,

fcope, which feemeth to be the fame with that in my Optica promota, Page 94. onlie he hath a convex Speculum F (Plate IV. Fig. 5.) in place of my concave; which is no great Alteration. I think myfelf therfor obliged to anfwer to these Difadvantages Mr. NEWTON finds in it. I mak therfor F an plane Speculum, and then almost the whol Difadvantages evanishe, except onlie the third; and for that, ther is an Advantage as confiderable, if not more; viz. that the Diftance EF groweth almost the one halfe leffe; and therfor the Errors of the concave CD ar alfo diminished upon the plane F by one halfe. Ther is yet another Advantage of this Telescope, that it will be little more then halfe the Lenth of Mr. NEWTON's, and doe the fame Effect. Nevertheless of these Difadvantages which Mr. NEWTON mentioned, even with a concave or convex Speculum, this Telefcope may be worth the trying; feing the Eye-Glaffe and Speculum F being moveable, the Speculum CD can have by their Help anie defirable Charge; which I think a great Advantage. What I ether did or faid needeth not difcourage anie; for I fpeak ther onlie of the hyperbolick and elliptick Glaffes and Speculum, which wer attempted in vaine, as it is clear from the Senfe of the Word. As for my Experiment with Mr. Rives, 10000

Rives, he could not polifh the large Concave upon the Tool: And I (not knowing anie Advantage of the Catoptrick Telescope above the Dioptrick, fave onlie the Shortnes and Similitude betwixt the Circle and Parabola, which is greater than that betwixt the Circle and Hyperbola) imagined that this great Defect in the Figure wold eafilie counterbalance thefe two pettie Advantages. Upon this Account, and being about to go abroad, I thought it not worth the Pains to truble my felf anie further with it; fo that the Tube was never made: Yet I made some Tryals both with a litle concave and convex Speculum; which wer but rude, feing I had but transient Views of the Object; being fo polleffed with the Fancie of the defective Figure, that I wold not be at the Pains to fix everie thing in its due Distance. Ther is no fuch Exactnes required in the Speculum F as in the Speculum CD; but indeed more than in the Eye-Glaffe. 1 fupose ther is no Question that direct Rayes have the Advantage of oblique; feing a Ball thrown directlie on a rough Wall hath a more regular Reflection then when it is thrown obliquelie. However this is not derogat from Mr. NEWTON, whofe Difcoveries hath made the Catoptrick Telescopes preferable to the Dioptrick, Gc.

This Telescope with the plane Speculum will indeed lose maire of the best Raies; but these

these nevertheless ar always less then ‡ of the whoi, the Eye-Glasse being advantagioussie fituat; which Defect some perchance may think recompensed by the Shortnes of the Telescope.

I fuppofe ther is no great Hazard of overcharging the Telescope by the concave or convex Speculum; for the Charge can be changed at Pleafur: Nether is it probable to me that the Errors of the Object-Speculum ar made more fensible (the magnification being alwaies the fame) by a concave or convex Speculum and an Eye-Glasse, then by an plane Speculum and an Eye-Glasse, fave onlie upon the Account of greater Distances; which I think the onlie Defect of this Telescope.

Your bumble Servant,

J. GREGORIE.

NUMB. V.

Cambridge, Jan 6, 1672.

SIR,

A T the reading of your Letter I was furprifed to fee fo much Care taken about fecuring an Invention to me of which I have hitherto had fo little Value. And there-

therefore fince the Royal Society is pleafed to think it worth the patronizing, I muft acknowledg it deferves much more of them for that, than of me, who, had not the communication of it been defired, might have let it still remained in private, as it hath already done fome Yeares.

— The Defcription of the Inftrument you fent me is very well, only the radius of the concave metal, which you put 14 Inches, is more juftly $12\frac{2}{3}$ or 13 Inches; and the radius of the eye-glafs, which you put half an inch, is the twelft part of it, if not lefs: For the metal collects the fun's rays at $6\frac{1}{3}$ inches diftance, and the eyeglafs at lefs than $\frac{1}{6}$ part of an Inch Diftance from its vertex. By the tools alfo to which they were ground I know their Dimenfions; and particularly meafuring the Diameter of the hemifphærical Concave, in which the Eye-Glafs was ground, I find it the fixth part of an Inch.

Perhaps it may give fome Satisfaction to Mounfieur HUYGENS, to understand in what Degree it represents things distinct and free from colours; and to know the aperture by which it admits light: And after the words [- Verfus Focum E reflectatur.] (Pl. IV. Fig. 2.) it may not be amifs to add this note.

Ll

Confe-

Conferendo distantias foci istius a verticibus Lentis & speculi concavi, boc est, EF & & ETU 6 & dig. prodit ratio 1 ad 38; qua indicatur objecta 38 vicibus circiter ampliari.

And to this proportion is very confentaneous the observation of the crowns on the weathercock: For the scheme represents it bigger by $2\frac{1}{2}$ times when seene through this then when through an ordinary perspective. And so supposing that to magnify 13 or 24 times, as by the Description it should, this by the experiment proportionably must magnify almost as much as I have affigned it.

To the objection, that with it objects are difficultly found, I may answer, that that's the inconvenience of all Tubes that magnify much; and that after a little Ule the Inconvenience will grow lefs: For I could readily enough find any day-objects, by knowing which way they were polited from other objects that I accidentally faw in it; but in the night to find Starrs, I confefs is troublefome enough. Yet this may be eafily remidyed, by two fights affixed to the iron Rod by which the tube is fufteined. And fuch I once intended should have beene made before I fent it away from mee, but that I thought the defect would not be adjudged

adjudged material. If fuch fights be not found a fufficient remedy, there may bee an ordinary perfpective glais fastened to the fame Frame with the tube, and directed to the fame-object; as DES-CARTES in his Dioptrics hath described for remedying the fame Inconvenience of his best telescopes.

The plane fide of the eye-glass is apt to bee foiled with dust falling upon it; and therefore the little leaden Ring put into the orifice of the bigger leaden barrel to moderate its aperture, must be sometimes taken out, and the glafs wiped with leather done upon the fmall end of a flick, or other fuch like contrivance; but care must bee taken that the faid Ring bee not lost, for without it Objects appear very confused at the edges of the apparent fpace. So if the concave metal contract any dullnefs by moysture, or otherwise, it ought to be taken out and rubbed with gentle leather, but not with Putty, or any thing that may weare the metal.

I am very fenfible of the Honour done me by the Bifhop of Sarum, * in proposing mee Candidate; and which, 'I hope, will bee further conferred upon me by my Election into the Society. And if fo, I shall endeavour to testify my gratitude, by communicating what my poor and folitary endeavours

L1 2

can

* Seth Ward, D. D.

268 LETTERS between Dr. GREGORY can effect towards the promoting your Philosophical designs.

SIR,

Iam

Your very humble Servant,

I. NEWTON.

Nимв. VI.

St. Andrews, 7 March, 1673.

SIR,

Have received yours, dated Febr. 20, togither with Mr. NEWTON's Anfwer, with which I am exceedingly fatisfied. I am much engaged to you both for the Pains ye have been at. I am almost convinced that oblique reflection caufeth more Light then the direct; but I am not fully perfwaded that it is more regular. I conceive that the rudelie polifhed plate of metall in an oblique position causeth the image appear more distinct, because the obliquitie hideth the concavities, fo that no rayes come to the eyes but from the tops of the litle tubercula; which ar certeinlie best polished, the other rayes which confused the

the image being keeped away. But if the plate be exactlie polifhed (I fpeak here as to fenfe) the pofition must be fo oblique, before the infensible concavities can be hide, that the plane fall almost turn, to the fight, in a line. I Grant that I have been mistaken in that first Advantage which I mentioned: for the plane Speculum F having certenlie (as all human artifice hath) fome errors in it, causeth greater prejudice by their being remote from the focus than being near to it; and in it ther is none at all caused: wher if it could be placed, and an near and direct as for the best telescope of this Sort.

It is true indeed, that in telefcopes with convex or concave Specula to double the charge, the lenth muft be almost doubled; but to double is a great alteration, and hardlie fufferable (as I fuppofe) in werie good glaffes, if the leaft charge be confiderable. But I understand not how the charge can be altered at all with the fame glasses in Mr. NEWTON's Telescope; for I know nothing of that which was defcribed to Mr. OLDENBURGH. It is true that eyeglaffes can be charged in all Telescopes if they be at hand of the required depth. I think there is no great Hazard in thefe telescopes of overcharging, seing the charge of the Eye-Glasse can be diminished at Pleafur;

fur; nether upon this account needs the angle of vision be fo fmall, feing it is equal to the angle of the eye-glaffe from its focus, its other focus being the litle fpeculum; nor the darknes at all augmented, if the apertures of the fpeculums be proportional to the diameters of the Spheres. But above all things I defire to know this; that feing the Image made by the great Speculum may be efteemed a final visibile, and feing Mr. NEWTON in the Transactions, Page 3080, thinketh it fitter to mak an microfcope or tube to behold an fmal visibile of one concave Speculum and one eye-glasse, rather then with one single Eye-Glaffe, and much rather than with one plane Speculum and with one Eye-Glaffe : wherfor alfo to look to this final visibile, the first also fould not be preferred to the last. This image indeed is not capable of fuch magnification as an visible is; yet I am hardlie fenfible how this fould caft the ballance, taking in the defects of a plane Speculum, togither with other inconveniencies in taking up the object. I faid indeed, that hyperbolick and elliptick Glaffes wer tryed in vaine; but I fpoke not fo of fpherick Speculums (as Mr. NEWTON'S Words feem to imply, Transactions, Page 4059.) for any thing I did deferves not the Name of a Tryall, feing Mr. Rive and Mr.

Mr. Cox both know that the great Speculum was polifhed onlie with a Cloath and puttie: nether thought I it worth the pains at that time to be ferious about further enquiry in that bufines; for they undertook indeed to polifh a lefs Speculum to me upon the tool. I am not yet fullie convinced which of these two ways have the advantage; albeit I incline more to Mr. NEW-TON's, especialie because of the final distance betwixt the plane Speculum's focus and the eye. However, Experience must determine all; neither am I concerned how it happen. I had no Intention that my thoughts of these telescopes fould be printed; my defigne was onlie befor, as now, that (if ye thought fitt, otherways not) ye might fend them to Mr. NEWTON, CTC. -

Mr. NEWTON'S Difcourse of Reflection puts me in mind of a Notion I had of burning glasses several pears ago; which appears to me more usefull than subtile. If ther be a concave Speculum of glasse, the leaded convex Surface having the same Center with the concave (or to speak preciselie, albeit perchance to little more Purpose, let the radius of the convexitie be c, the thicknes of the glasse *in axis transitu* f, the Radius of the Convexitie equal to $\frac{9c^2 + 18cf + 5f^2}{gc + 5f}$ this Speculum fal have the

the Foci of both the Surfaces in the fame Point; and not onlie that, but all the Rays, which ar reflected betwixt the two Surfaces, fal in their Egresse come quam proxime to the common Focus. The making of fuch an Speculum requireth not much more Airt then an ordinar plane Glasse, feing great Subtilitie is not necessar here : So that I believe they, who mak the plane Miroir-Glaffes, wold mak one of these three Foot in Diameter for four or five L. ft. or litle more: For I have seen plane Glasses almost of that Bignes fold even here for lefs Money. Now feing (as Mr. NEWTON obferveth) that al reflecting Metalls lofe more then $\frac{1}{3}$ of the Rayes: This concave Glasse even cateris paribus, wold have an great Advantage of a Metall one; for certainlie an exactlie polished thin Miroir-Glasse of good transparent Mater, after a few Reflections, doeth not lofe ‡ of the Rayes: And upon other Accounts this hath incomparable Advantages, seing it is more portable, free from tarnishing, and above al hardlie 2. of the Value. The great Ufefulnefs of Burning Concaves, this being fo obvious, and as yet (for qt. 1 know) untouched by anie, makes me jealous that there may be in the Practife some Fallacie. Ye may communicate this to intelligent Perfons, and especiallie to Mr. NEWTON; affuring

assuring him, that none hath a greater Veneration for him, admiring more his great and fubtile Inventions, then his and

Your humble Servant,

J. GREGORIE.

If ye please, let me hear with the first convenience what may be judged the refult of this burning concave: for I am as much concerned, to be undeceived, if ther be any insuperable difficultie, as to be informed of an most surprizing success. I have spoke of it to severals here, but al wer as ignorant of it as my felf, Gc.

[I defire yet to be more particular in the mater of telescopes. I suppose an 4 foot telescope have the aperture 6 inches; the litle concave having the aperture 1 inches, may magnifie 8 times, the radius being I foot. In this cafe the hole in the midle of the great concave is onlie 1 inche, which being fitted with an eye-glasse equallie convex on both fides, amplifying the Charge of the litle concave 24 times, doeth mak an telescope magnifying the object 190 times (which is no extraordinar charge, feing Mr. NEWTON's table giveth 171, and might be much lefs without inconvenience) taking in an angle of vision of Mm above

above 20 Degr. and with this ther is not loft $\frac{1}{60}$ of the rays. with the Loffe of $\frac{1}{36}$ of the Rayes it might magnifie not above 144 times, and tak in an angle of vision of above 28 Deg. with all this the midle of the object is illustrat with all the rays which the aperture of the great concave doth reflect. by these means I think that I keep of from these two inconveniences mentioned by Mr. NEWTON in the feventh particular of his confiderations. the event of these other confiderations, as I suppose, can onlie be determined exactlie by experience.]

NUMB. VII.

SIR,

H Aving perused Mr. GREGOR v's candid reply, I have thought good to fend you these further confiderations upon the differences that still are between us. And first, that a well polished plate reflects at the obliquity of 45 degrees more truly than direct ones, seems to me very certain: for the flat *tubercula*, or shallow valleys, such as may be the remains of scratches almost worn out, will cause the least errors in the obliquest rays which fall on all fides the

275

the hill, excepting on the middle of the forefide and backfide of it; that is, where the hill inclines directly towards or directly from the ray: for if the ray fall on that fection of the hill, its error is in all obliquities just double to the hill's declivity: but if it fall on any other part of the hill, its error is lefs than double, if it be an oblique ray, and that fo much the lefs, by how much the ray is obliquer; but if it be a direct ray, its error is just double to the declivity, and therefore greater in that cafe. I prefume Mr. GREGORY, if you think it convenient to transmit this to him, will eafily apprehend me.

How the charge may be varied at Pleafure in my telefcope, will appear by this Figure; where A reprefents the great concave, E the Eye-Glafs, and BCD a Prifm of Glafs or Cryftal, whofe fides BC and BD are not flat, but fpherically convex; fo that the rays which come from G, the focus of the great concave A, may, by the refraction of the first fide BC, be reduced into parallelifm, and, after reflexion from the bafe C, D, be made by the refraction of the next fide BD, to converge to the focus of the eye-glafs H.

The Telescope being thus formed, it appears how the charge may be altered, by M m 2 varying 276 LETTERS between Dr. GREGORY varying the diftances of the glasses and speculum.

As for the Objection, That Mr. GRE-GORY's Telescope will be either overcharged, or have too fmall an angle of vision, Gc. I apprehend that the difference between us lies in limiting the Aperture of the eyeglass. Mr. GREGORY puts it equal to that of the little concave; but I should rather determine it by this proportion; That if a middle point be taken between the Eyeglass and its focus, the apertures of the eye-glafs and concave will be proportional to their Distances from that Point: That is, Plate 4, Fig. 10.] suppose A B the little con-cave, E F the eye-glass, G H their common focus or image, and K ther mean diftance between GH and EF; from the extremities of A B draw A K and B K, butting on the Eye-Glass at F and E, and EF shall be its Aperture. The reason of this limitation is, that the fuperfluous light which comes on all fides of the fpeculum A B to the fpace G H, in which the picture of the object is made, may fall befides the eye-glafs: For if it fhould pafs through it to the eye, it would exceedingly blend those parts of the picture with which 'tis mixed; and fuch are those parts of it which extend themfelves beyond the lines AK, BK. As I remember, I faid in my former VarVINE

former letter, that the scattering Light which falls on the eye-glass will disturb the vision; and this is to be understood of any straggling light which comes not from the picture; but if it come from the picture to the eye-glafs, the difturbance will be much greater, fo as not to be allowed of. Against the first, I fee no very convenient remedy; and against the last, none but affigning a finall Aperture to the eye-glafs; fupposing the Telescope is used in the Daytime, or in twilight, or to view the Moon, or any ftarr very neare her, or neare the brighter Planets. And if for this reafon the Aperture be limited by any rule, the angle of vision will become very fmall, as I affirmed : For Instance, in that cafe where Mr. GREGORY in his Poftfcript puts it above 20 degrees, it will be reduced to less than half a degree. Yet I confess there is a way by which the angle of vifion may be fomething enlarged; but it will not be very confiderable, unlefs the eyeglafs be alfo deeper charged.

Why I affign a concave with an eyeglass to magnify finall Objects (in *Tranf-actions*, Page 3080.) and yet an eye-glass without fuch a concave to magnify the image of the great concave, which is equivalent to a small object, is, because that image doth not require to be magnified fo much

much as an object by a Microfcope; and further, because the angle of the penicil of rays which flow from any point of the fmall object, that the object may appear fufficiently luminous, ought to be as great as poffible; and a concave will with equal distinctness reflect the rays with a greater angle of the penicill than a Lens; but in the Telescope the Angles of those Pencils are not fo great as to transcend the limits at which an eye-glass may with fufficient distinctness refract them : And therefore in these instruments I chose to lay all the strefs of magnifying upon the eye-glasses. In Microfcopes alfo I would lay as much stress of magnifying upon the eye-glass as it is well capable of, and the excels only upon the Concave.

Concerning my citation of Mr. GREGORY againft Monf. CASSEGRAIN, the force of it lies only in the inference that Optic Inftruments moft probably, according to M. CASSEGRAIN'S defign, have been tried by Reflexion; which I think I might well infer without having regard to the fpecific figure of the fpeculum which Mr. GREGORY there fpake of: And therefore I think it cannot be faid that I made him fpeak of fpheric figures, where his meaning was of hyperbolic and elliptic ones. But if I fhould be fo underftood, becaufe I put the figure of the great

and Mr. N1

great concave to be fphe cify it, I know not wh of Confequence make For it is not probaattempt Hyperbeolic Speculums, until t ones had been firft tr 79 ipeway on: ould gures of phericall

And accordingly t. of Mr. GRE-GORY with Mr. Reive was by a fpherical Figure: Which tryal, although I am now fatisfied that it was made very rudely, yet by the Informaton which I had of it when I wrote the letter about Mr. CASSEGRAIN's defign, I apprehended it to have been made with very great diligence and curiofity, as I fignified in my former letter at large. And this I hope may excufe me for fpeaking of it in the Tranfactions as if it had been tried with more accuracy than really it was. And thus much concerning the Telefcope.

The defign of the burning Speculum appears to me very plaufible, and worthy of being put in practice. What Artifts may think of it I know not; but the greateft difficulty in the practice that occurs to me is, to proportion the two furfaces fo, that the force of both may be in the fame point according to the Theory. But perhaps it is not neceffary to be fo curious; for it feems to me that the effect would fcarce be fenfibly

tween Dr. GREGORY fides fhould be ground age of the fame tool,

ur bumble Servant,

J. NEWTON.

NUMB. VIII.

ipel tow

Cambru

16

St. Andrews, 13 May, 1673.

SIR, Received lately your's, dated the 19th April, togither with Mr. NEWTON'S to you; for whofe faire correspondence I give you Both hearty Thankes: To which I have onlie these few things to fay. As to his first, I understand not well his meaning: an oblique position seemeth to expose al its inequalities more fullie to the rayes; and ether altogither to hide the lowest of the regular Surfaces, or otherways to reflect the Rayes coming from them on the adjacent tubercula.

His way of varying the charge is indeed exceedinglie ingenious; but I think those Surfaces too lyable to the errors of the artificer's Hand. The opacitie of the glasse prisme, togither also with the iregularitie which

which he hath discovered in Refraction, may help to darken and confuse the Sight.

As for the next, I know not if it be worthie of the paines to look with excellent Telescopes on terrestrial bodies: For as the Object is magnified, fo is the groffenes of our Atmospher to our fense encreased; fo that the one hindereth as the other helpeth. In celeftial Obfervations any little thing applyed to one or more fides of the litle Speculum, may stape the Rayes of the Moon, or anie other of the brighter Planets, if thefe be alfo thought worthie noticing. fuppose that al these adventitious Rayes may be hindered even in Day-light, by puting in the Focus of the eye-glaffe towards the eye an thin Plate of fome Metall with an litle round Hole in the midle in Diameter 12, 20, or 10 of an Inch; which is calculate fo, as the Diftance of the Eye-Glaffe from the litle Concave is to the Diftance of the Eye-Glafe from its Focus, fo is the Aperture of the litle Concave to the Diameter of this Hole. It is true, at some times this may hinder fome of the Rayes, but they ar always the worft; and by encreafing the Aperture of the litle Concave not much above what my methode requirs, it will hinder non at all. I could not have judged that Mr. NEWTON had thought on this Inconvenience in Mr. CASSEGRAINE his Nn Tele-

Telescope, seing it seemeth to me, even in his own Microscope, *Transactions*, Page 2080. for not onlie the direct Rayes of the Object O (nevertheless that it be looked to onlie with Day-light) but also these proceeding from the Objects befor the Concave ar always scattered through the whol Image; nether doe I see how it can be exactlie helped.

That we may fe what Effect this fcattered Light may caule in the Sight, let us fuppofe the Telescope to magnify 160 times, and the Aperture of the great Concave to contein 8 times the Aperture of the Eye-Glaffe or litle Concave, and the Object to be a Planet apparent Diameter 1 of a Minute, in whofe Image ther paffeth the Rayes of another Planet of the fame apparent Bignes and Brightnes. The Angle of Vision is about 16 Deg. the Planet appears in an Angle of 1[±] Deg. that is to fay, it illustrats fo much of the Retina. Now the other Planet illustrats (I take no Notice of the litle Concave. which is to my Difadvantage, feiag it keepeth of manie of these Rayes) 16 Deg. of the Retina. Now becaufe of the Aperturs, ther ar 64 times as manie Rayes in 13 of a Deg. as in 16; that is to fay, these adventitious Rayes have but - of the Splendour of the Image; which I think hardlie fenfible. The Brightnes indeed of the Moon wer near 1/2 and

¹/₂ and not fufferable; which therfor is to be helped be fome of the forefaid Means. In the Twylight the Inconveniencie may be for the most pairt verie inconfiderable, and perchance fomtimes (as alfo other adventitious Rayes) advantagious by making infenfible the Circumradiancie of celessial Bodies. All this is supposing the Eye-Glasse convex; for if it be concave, the Effect is otherways.

As to his laft, I imagine that all Images doe require (ceteris paribus) to be magnified as much as may be. Nether doeth his other Reason appear to me; for Penicills of the fame Angles ar more trulie reflected by an Concave, then refracted by a Lens. And albeit in Telescopes the faid Angle transcend not the Limite of a Lens commonlie affigned, yet furelie the more it is exceeded by this Limite, it is fo much the better. And al this is observed in my Designe; yea ther is 3 times as much Strefs of Magnifying alfo laid upon the Eye-Glaffe as on the litle Concave. It may alfo be noticed, that here ther ar no verie fmal Sizes of Spheres to be polifhed; which can hardlie be done (as I fuppofe) to Precifenes. It is poffible that even in Telefcopes ther may be more strefs laid on the Eye-Glass then it can carrie; especialie in the extreme Penicills; wher the Incidence is oblique, and Refraction perhaps to great, that $\frac{1}{25}$ of it may be fenfible.

284 LETTERS, &c.

fenfible. Alfo an ordinary Microfcope fuffers no Aperture above the Limite of a Lens; and neverthelefs it doeth much more then one fimple Lens, or elfe the Worlde hath been exceedinglie deceived. I dare not confidentlie affirme, that ordinary Microfcopes may outdoe any Improvment of one Lens; but if they doe, I think it more then an probable Argument, that my Project fall exceed Mr. NEWTON's; feing befide the onlie Difadvantage which I fee in mien (to wit, the Distance of the Glasses) it hath the great Irregularitie of Refraction.

I think nothing can be inferred concerning the Tryal of my Telescope from my Affertion, feing the Tryal was after that Affertion; but Mr. NEWTON could not be fuppofed to know this.

SIR,

Your most bumbe Servant,

J. GREGORIE.

FINIS.

In, Teleformen river m

TO

The Reverend Dr. DESAGULIERS.

SIR,

Have inclosed your Papers, (which were left with me last Week;) what I have added, you will be pleased to make use of, or not, as you think fit. The first is a Rule for the Parts of the Gregorian Telescope, of the same Nature with what I formerly delivered to Mr. Molineux, relating to that of Mr. Cassegrain. I have carried the Tables for those with two Eye-glasses, both for Night and Day, as far as it seems probable to be of any Use. I am,

SIR,

Your most humble Servant,

Dec. 9th, 1734. J. HADLEY.

P. S. I have just received the Favour of yours. I imagined, the Plate with the fmall Hole to exclude foreign Light in Telescopes, to have been my own first thought, but find Mr. Ja. Gregory had had the same before.

The

The Proportions for the Several parts of a Catadioptric Telescope of the Form proposed by Mr. JAMES GREGORY. PLATE 4. Fig. 8.

ET, AD represent the common Axis of the Telescope, and 2 concave Specula BB and FF. Suppose A G the focal length of the Speculum B B, whole proper Aperture BB, and Charge, are likewife known. Let CC be the Breadth of the Perforation. FF the Breadth of the smaller Speculum equal to, or a little greater than CC. I its Focus; N the Eye-glass, NA its focal Length, and M a Plate, with a fmall hole to exclude all foreign Light. And let it be required to take in at one View fo much of the Object as may appear through the Telescope under a given Angle, viz. = CNC. To do this with the lofs of the fewest Rays of Light near the Axis, the Proportions should be as follow.

Call AG a.

BBb.

The Power or Charge m.

The Ratio of twice the femitangent of the apparent Angle of Comprehension required CNC, to Radius *i. e.* $\frac{CC}{AN} = n$. Then H H the Breadth of the Image of fo much of the Object as is feen at once, will be $= \frac{n}{m} a$.

[N.B. If instead of $\frac{n}{m}$ a, you substitute c for HH, the Algebraic Expressions become something more simple, for which reafon I have added them.] The Breadth of the Perforation CC; of the great Speculum = $na + \sqrt{na} \times \sqrt{na + mb}$, m or $c + \sqrt{bc} + cc$. The focal Length of the small Concave ID. $a \times \sqrt{na} \times \sqrt{na} + \sqrt{na} + mb$, na + mb + 2 × na × na + mb or $\frac{a \times c + \sqrt{bc + cc}}{cc}$ $b + c + 2 \sqrt{bc + cc}$ The Diffances of the Specula, i.e. A D. $a + \frac{a \sqrt{na}}{\sqrt{na+mb}}$ or $a + \frac{a \sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{b+c}}$. The focal Length of the Eye-glafs, and its Diftance from A, i. e. A N. $\frac{a}{m} + \frac{\sqrt{naa+mab}}{m\sqrt{n}}$, or $\frac{c+\sqrt{bc+cc}}{m}$. The Diftance of the Plate M, behind the Eye-glafs NM, = $DN \times AN$ DA The Breadth of the Hole in $M = NM \times CC$ DN If a double Eye-glafs be used with this Telescope, to prevent the Objects being coloured

loured near the Edges of the Area, the Image of the Object must be thrown back by the fmaller Concave, fo far behind the great Speculum, that there may be room enough to place the first Eye-glass N at a fufficient Distance before it, and the Algebraic Expreffions of the feveral Parts become much more complex, wherefore I have omitted them, and added the Preportions for the following Sizes.

For the Night.					
If A G be 4d	inches,	60	90		
and Power 17:	2.	234.	317.		
BB	4.9	6. 3	9.0		
ID	4.28	5.88	8.01		
FF,	0.67	0.81	0.97		
CC	0.66	0.80	0.96		
focus N	4 . 23	5.15	6.29		
length S	I.52	1.82	2.2		
AD	44 . 72	66.4	98.68		
AN	0.9	I.I	$I \cdot \frac{1}{8}$		
NS	3.04	3.64	4.4		
SM	0.8	0.93	1.13		
RR	0.43	0.52	0.63		

For the Day.

If AG be 40 inches,	and the	Power 86.
BB = 4.9	AD =	6.74
ID = 5.95	AN=	0.9
FF = 1.0	NS =	4 • 44
CC = 0.99	SM =	I.15
N = 6.02	RR =	0.63
S = 2.22		
TTTT Deline con	TTO	Telefcope, to

IV 1 N. F 1

Errata & Corrigenda.

Pag. Line For Read pBc ABC II 23 60 2 -2 dx x 2xx Ix 2xx -2dx + 2xx I x 2xx 93 22 - 6arz+2002+4acr + 6arz+2crz+4acr 2ab + 2ar 2ab -- 2ar ibid. 27 a+b a + bafter collected, infert, or diverge as if they were collected. 117 2 dele from, whence, to therein, ibid. 7 dr d x 124 19 20--+ 1 - 20 Concave 128 4 Convex. br - cr b-cr 4 =-- $d \equiv -$ 131 19 2 0 :: 2 d - rr; :: 2 d - r : r ; 133 6 $:: r \equiv 2d.r.$ ibid. 7 ::r-2d:r. - Trst. 139 20 rst 6. drr after is, infert 140 17 6. dr, or. +I+sRt143 ult. 1s + Rt. 146 13 or and. 147 24 4rst + 64 py - 2rty. 4rst + 6rsy - 2rty. - 6rrr, after have infert 149 8 d =or. 6rr-6rr R 151 3 $\overline{I-R}$ I - Rrefracted, 164 9 reflected, 165 14 Bfn, the fecond $D \varphi x$, Bf,u, the fecond $D \varphi$, x, 6a+20-r 166 3 6a+2c-Z 168 10 208 348 ibid. 18 1 3 G 1 37 a ibid. 19 25 0 i a 170 3 after PROP. 1. refer to Plate III. 180 I after PROP. 2. refer to Plate III. 183 9 after Microscopes, refer to Plate III. 187 2 after PROF. 3. refer to Plate III. 194 8 after PROP. 4. refer to Plate III. 196 15 EL DL 197 23 after PROP. 5. refer to Plate III.

Pag.

Errata & Corrigenda.

Pag. Line	has For	Tor	Read
202 15	2 S lefs	BC	more.
206 I	after PROP. 6. refer to	x1 www.	Plate III.
207 8	Lens's	Casos.	Lenses.

N. B. For want of Room, for the fixth Figure, (in PLATE IV.) the Rays O O and P P feem parallel to one another; but they must be supposed to have crofs'd at the Center of the Speculum upon the Axis A a produc'd, as is reprefented by the Rays q O, q C, inflead of OO; for the Rays OO, do really come from the Bottom of the difant Object po, at o, and the Rays PP from the Top of the faid Object at p.

These Errata & Corrigenda render this Work compleat.

Bfo. the Becond Dor. Bf. W, the fecond

-I-I-I S.R. C. S. I. I. R. R.

:: T = 2d. T. ...

127 23 AMER- 64. PV - 2 F F SV. 4837 - 6 F F 3

after have infere ad an

KOP. 2. FETCHTO

EL

arer PROP. S. Teleren

after Paor. e refer to

:: 7 -- 2 1: 1

Gri-Gri

[---]

111 asp 121.

Thate III.

.70

J. T. D.

187

001

728























