A view of society in Europe, in its progress from rudeness to refinement; or, inquiries concerning the history of law, government, and manners / By Gilbert Stuart.

#### Contributors

Stuart, Gilbert, 1742-1786.

#### **Publication/Creation**

Dublin : W. Whitestone [etc.], 1778.

#### **Persistent URL**

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/jv72s9cx

#### License and attribution

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org





Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2019 with funding from Wellcome Library

https://archive.org/details/b30499082







8683

# SOCIETY IN EUROPE,

A

T

E

## IN ITS PROGRESS FROM RUDENESS TO REFINEMENT:

#### OR,

INQUIRIES

#### CONCERNING

#### THE HISTORY OF

## Law, Government, and Manners.

#### BY GILBERT STUART, LL. D.

Quae prifcis memorata Catonibus atque Cethegis, Nunc fitus informis premit, et deferta vetustas. Hor.

#### DUBLIN:

Printed for W. WHITESTONE, W. COLLES, J. HOEY, W. WILSON, J. WILLIAMS, T. WALKER, C. JENKIN, L. L. FLIN, G. BURNET, L. WHITE, J. BEATTY, and J. EXSHAW. M DCC LXXVIII.



## ADVERTISEMEMT.

T is usual to treat law, manners, and government, as if they had no connection with hiftory, or with each other. Law and manners are commonly underftood to be nothing more than collections of ordinances and matters of fact; and government is too often a foundation for mere fpeculation and metaphyfical refinements. Yet law is only a science, when observed in its spirit and and hiftory ; government cannot be comprehended but by attending to the minute steps of its rife and progression; and the fystems of manners, which characterife man in all the periods of fociety which pass from rudeness to civility, cannot be displayed without the discrimination of these different fituations. It is in the records of hiftory, in the scene of real life, not in the conceits and the abstractions of fancy and philosophy, that human nature is to be fludied.

But, while it is in the hiftorical manner that laws, cuftoms, and government, are to be inquired into, it is obvious, that their dependence and connection are close and intimate. They all tend to the fame point, and to the illustration of one  $A_2$  another. another. It is from the confideration of them all, and in their union, that we are to explain the complicated forms of civil fociety, and the wifdom and accident which mingle in human affairs.

After this method, I have endeavoured to inveftigate my fubject. The topics I canvafs in the following fheets, are various, and conftitute a difficult and important branch of my undertaking. If I am fo fortunate as to obtain the fanction of the public approbation, I fhall proceed to fill up the picture I have begun, and confider, in future publications, civil jurifdiction, nobility, conflitutional law, and cultivated manners.

The foundations of a work like this I have attempted, muft be laws of barbarous ages, antient records, and charters. Thefe I could not incorporate, with propriety, in my narrative. This inftructive, but taftelefs erudition, did not accord with the tenor of a portion of my performance, which I wifhed to addrefs to men of elegance, as well as to the learned. It confifted, however, with the fimpler and the colder ftyle of differtation. My proofs, accordingly, appear by themfelves; and, in confequence of this arrangement, I might engage in incidental difcuffions; I might catch many rays of light that faintly glimmer glimmer in obfcure times, and, I might defend the novelty of my opinions, when I ventured to oppose established tenets, and authors of reputation.

Though I have employed much thought and affiduity to give a value to these papers, yet I communicate them to the public with the greatest diffidence. My materials were buried in the midft of rubbish, were detached, and unequal. I had to dig them up anxioufly, and with patience; and, when difcovered and collected, it was still more difficult to digeft and to fashion them. I had to ftruggle with the darkness and imperfection of time and of barbarity. And, from the most able hiftorians of our own and foreign nations, who might naturally be expected to be intelligent guides for the paths I have chosen, I could derive no advantage. They generally prefer what is brilliant to what is useful; and they neglect all disquisitions into laws and into manners, that they may defcribe and embellish the politics of princes, and the fortunes of nations, the fplendid qualities of eminent men, and the luftre of heroic action.

EDINBURGH, January, 1778.

A 3

CON-



## CONTENTS.

## BOOK I.

CHAPTER I.

Of the GERMANS before they left their Woods.

SECTIONI. Page. The Institutions, Government, and Character of the Germanic Tribes.

SECTION II An Idea of German Women. . . 12

SECTION III. Of Marriage and Modestry. : . 18

## CHAPTER II.

The political Eftablishments of the Barbarians after they had made Conquests.

S E C T I O N. I. The Barbaric Conquests. The Origin of the Domains

Page

33

40

mains of the Prince, and of Allodiality. The Lands of the Fisc. The Foundations of the Feudal Affociation, the Rise of the Feudal Grant, and the Genius of the Feudal System. . . . 24.

### SECTION. II:

Of the Property of the Women. The Dower, the Morgengabe, and the Marriage-portion. The Communication to them of the Powers of Succession and Inheritance. The advancement of Manners.

#### SECTION III.

The Grandeur consequent on Property, and the Power of the Nobles. The Prerogative of private War, and its destructive Tendency. The Converfion of Allodiality into Tenure. The Extension and Universality of Fiefs.

### SECTION IV.

#### SECTION V.

The Institutions of Chivalry, the Pre-eminence of Women, Politeness, and the Point of Honour. 59

BOOK

## CONTENTS. ix BOOK II. CHAPTER I. Of the Spirit of Fiefs. SECTION I. Page

#### SECTION II.

A Distinction in the History of Arms and Chivalry. The Sovereign is considered as the Fountain of Honour. The Epoch of the Grandeur of Chivalry. The Decline of Fiefs. The Remedy for their Recovery. The Invention of Knight-Service. The Knight's Fee. The Distinction between the Knight of Tenure and the Knight of Honour. Fiefs under Knight-Service.

## CHAPTER II.

86

The Progression of Fiefs. The Benefice, the Fief, and Allodiality. Different Opinions on these Topics. The Fruits of the Fief. Its Perpetuity. The Æra of Hereditary Fiefs, Knight-service. Particular

Page.

Particular Applications to the History of England. Doubts concerning the Introduction of the Feudal Law into England. A Solution of them. Of Fiefs under William the Norman. A Diftinction concerning their Condition in the Anglo-Saxon and the Anglo-Norman times. The repeated Demands for the revival of the Laws of Edward the Confessor. An Explanation of this Difficulty. The Introduction of Knight-fervice into England. Of the Number of Knight-fees there. 95

## CHAPTER III.

## Of the Military Power of a Feudal Kingdom.

## SECTION II.

The Inefficacy of the Feudal Militia. The Fractions of a Fee. Its Members. Attempts to escape out of the Bondage of Fiefs. The Fine of Alienation. Substitutions of Service. Commutations of Service for Money. The Fine for the Neglect of Service. The Tenure of Escuage. The Rife of Stipendiaries, and the Necessities of Princes. Devices and Frauds to prevent the Service of Knights, and the Payments of Fines and Escuage. The Rife of Commerce. 116 CHAP-

#### CONTENTS.

Page

Xi

## CHAPTER IV.

## CHAPTER V.

The Military Arrangements which prevailed in the Declenfion of Fiefs and Chivalry. The Introduction of ftanding Armies.

## SECTION I.

120

## SECTION. II.

The Difference between a Mercenary Soldier and a Feudal Vassal. Sovereigns find Troops by entering into Contracts with their Nobility, and with

Page.

with Captains by profession. Volunteers make an Offer of their Service. Commissions of Array. The Difadvantages of these Military Schemes. The Idea and Establishment of a standing Force. France and other Nations, lose their Liberties. The Opposition to a standing Force in England. The total Abolition of Fiefs. The consequent Necessive of a standing Army. The Precautions and Anxiety with which it is introduced. 133

## CHAPTER VI.

Authorities

xii .

## CONTENTS. XIII Authorities, Controverfy, and Remarks.

BOOK I. CHAPTER I. Page.

S	E	С	T	Ι	0	N	I.	157
S	E	С	Т	I	0	N	II.	176
S	E	С	T	I	0	N	111.	185

CHAPTER II.

S	E	С	Т	I	0	N	I.	205
s	E	С	Т	I	0	N	H.	227
S	E	С	Т	I	0	N	III.	2.53
S	E	С	Т	I	0	N	IV.	276
S	E	С	т	I	0	N	V.	305
								BOOK

XIV CONTENTS.							
BOOK II.							
CHAPTER I.							
Page							
SECTIONI. 323							
SECTION. II. 344							
CHAPTER II. 361							
CHAPTER III.							
SECTION I. 371							
SECTION II. 379							
CHAPTER IV. 388							
CHAPTER V.							
SECTIONI. 395							
SECTION II. 402							
CHAPTER VI. 407							
APPEN-							

## CONTENTS. XV

## APPENDIX.

#### NUMBER I.

Page

ART. II. A reciprocal Grant. Roger Pit and bis Wife grant and release to the Priour of Brommore a Tenement held in Dower; and the Priour grants a yearly Rent for the Life of the Wife. 423

ART. III. A Release of a yearly Rent in Dower. 424

#### No. II.

ART. I. A Feoffment in Frankmarriage of Land, a Capital Mansion, &c. made to a Man with the Daughter of the Feoffer. 425

ART. II. A Feoffment, or Gift of Land in Frankmarriage with the Sifter of the Donor. 426

#### No. III.

ART. I. A Grant of Privilege and Protection from King Edward to the Abbey of Bury St. Edmund. XVI

Page

ART. II. A Mandate of Protection from King Henry the Second for the Abbey of Battell. 427

ART. III. Fines made to Kings, that they would remit their Refentments and Indignation. 428

ART. IV. Fines for Favour, and Protection. 429

#### No. IV.

#### No. V.

ART. I. An Injunction not to torney by Henry III. 431

### No. VI.

The Order and Manner of creating Knights of the Bath in the Time of Peace, according to the Cuftom of England. 423

A VIEW

#### E T

OF

A

## SOCIETY IN EUROPE,

## IN ITS PROGRESS FROM RUDENESS TO REFINEMENT.

#### OOK B I.

## CHAPTER I.

Of the GERMANS before they left their Woods.

## SECTION I.

## The Institutions, Government, and Character of the Germanic Tribes.

**T** is of little moment to inquire into the origin of the ancient Germans. Their manners and government are fubjects more interesting, and concerning which there are memorials of great curiofity and importance. The picture of these nations has been drawn by Tacitus; and the affairs of

#### A VIEW OF SOCIETY

2

of men never found an obferver more accurate and penetrating. In following fuch a guide, it is impoffible not to convey information; and, on this fubject, no modern has a title to fpeculate, who has not paid a most minute attention to his treatife. Antiquity has not given to the kingdoms of Europe a prefent more valuable.

The leading circumstance in discriminating the manners of barbarous and refined times, is the difference which exifts between them in the knowledge and the management of property. The want of commerce, and the ignorance of money, permit the barbarian to exercise a generofity of conduct, which the progress of the arts is to deftroy. The Germans conceived not that their descendants were to grow illustrious by acquifitions of land, and that they were to employ the metals as a fource of influence. Land was yet more connected with the nation than the individual. The territory poffeffed by tribes was confidered as their property, and cultivated for their ufe. The produce belonged to the public; and the magistrate, in his distributions of it, paid attention to the virtue and the merits of the receiver (1).

The German, accordingly, being unacquainted with particular professions, and with mercenary pursuits, was animated with high sentiments of pride

## IN EUROPE.

pride and greatnes. He was guided by affection and appetite; and, though fierce in the field, and terrible to an enemy, was gentle in his domestic capacity, and found a pleasure in acts of beneficence, magnanimity, and friendship.

A ftate of equality, in the absence of the diftinctions of property, characterifed the individuals of a German tribe, and was the fource of their pride, independence, and courage. Perfonal qualities were alone the foundation of pre-eminence. The fons of a chief were not diffinguished from those of the fimple warriour, by any fuperior advantages of education. They lived among the fame cattle, and reposed on the fame ground, till the promise of worth, the symptoms of greatness, separated the ingenuous from the vulgar, till valour claimed them (2). Ignorant of the arts of peace, they purfued, with keennels, the occupations of war. Where communities, perpetually inflamed with rivalship and animofity, brought their difputes to the decifion of battles, and were agitated with revenge and with glory, the opportunities of diffinction were frequent. The only profession known to the Germans was that of arms. The ambitious and enterprifing courted dangers where they might acquire renown, and difplay their conduct and their prowefs. To fuch a height did the military ardour prevail, that, if a

B 2,

tribe

3

## A VIEW OF SOCIETY

4

tribe happened at any time to languifh in eafe, its youthful and impatient heroes fought those nations who were then at war. They disdained to remain in inaction; and could not fo eafily be perfuaded to till the earth, and to wait its returns, as to challenge an enemy, and to hazard their lives. They thought it mean and ignoble to acquire by their labour, what they might purchase with their blood (3).

The animated temperament they difplayed in war, was also apparent in their private concerns. To the chafe they addicted themfelves with no measure of moderation. And, in parties at dice, they engaged in their fobereft and moft ferious hours, and with fuch hope or temerity, that they rifked their liberty and perfons on the laft throw. The affection with which they embraced their friends was ardent and generous. To adopt the refentments, as well as the amities of their relations and kindred, was a duty which they held indifpenfible (4). In hospitality they indulged with the most unbounded freedom. The entertainer, when exhaufted, carried his gueft to the houfe of his next neighbour. Invitations were not waited for; nor was it of confequence to be invited. A reception, equally warm and hearty, was, at all times, certain. On these occasions, giving way to the movements of the heart, they delighted in

in prefents; but they neither thought themselves entitled to a return for what they gave, nor laid under an obligation by what they received (5). They yielded to the impulse of passion, and the pleasure they felt was their recompense. Their gifts were directed by no view of an immediate or distant advantage; their generosity was no traffic of interest, and proceeded from no motive of design.

But, amidst all this ardour, they were averfe from labour. The women and the infirm difcharged the offices of the houfe. The warriour did not fubmit to any domeftic occupation. He was to bask whole days by the fire; and a floth, joylefs and fupine, was to fucceed and to relieve the brifkness and fatigue of action (6). His admiration of fortitude, which was the caufe of this indolence, and this contempt of drudgery, was at the fame time to produce a ftatelinefs in his behaviour. He was not to lofe his virtue, or to weaken the vigour of his mind, in the practice of mechanic or unworthy purfuits. When he walked, he feemed confcious of importance; he caft his eyes to the ground, and looked not around him for the objects of a vain and frivolous curiofity.

In the diet of these nations, there was much fimplicity; it confisted of wild apples, new-killed venifon, and curdled milk. They expelled hunger

#### A VIEW OF SOCIETY

ger without offentation, or any fludied preparations of food; but, in fatisfying thirft, they were lefs temperate. When fupplied to their defire in intoxicating liquors, they were no lefs invincible in vice than in valour (7). Yet, in the difgraceful moments of debauch, they applied to public affairs, and debated concerning peace and war; and, in the heat of their difputation and riot, the dagger was often to deform with blood the meetings of friendship and of buliness. In these feafons, they imagined that their minds were difpofed to conceive honeft fentiments, and to rife into noble ones. But, in an after-period, the undiffembled thoughts of every one were diligently canvaffed; a proper attention being paid to the time when they were first delivered, and to the purpose which then employed them. It was their meaning to deliberate when they could not deceive, and to form refolutions when they could not err (8).

They did not live in towns, and could not endure to have their houses contiguous. They built as they found a spot to their fancy, as they were attracted by a fountain, a plain, or a grove. But, being unacquainted with a private property in land, they were not ambitious of possess. They vied not in the extent or the fertility of their grounds, in the rearing of orchards, and in the inclosing

6

inclofing of meadows. Corn was the only produce they required from the earth; and they divided not the year into proper feafons. They underftood, and had names for winter, fpring, and fummer, but had no idea of the term, and little knowledge of the fruits of autumn (9).

In their religion they were grofs, like almost all nations, whether favage or cultivated. They believed in a plurality of gods; but thought it derogatory from their majefty to fhut them up within walls, or to fashion them in refemblance to any human form. Their groves were appropriated to the uses of devotion; and, in the awful refpect infpired by filence in the deep receffes of their woods, they felt and acknowledged the power of their deities. To augury and divination they were much addicted; and they were fond to draw prognoffics and intimation from the running of water, the flight of birds, and the neighing of horfes. Their priefts had greater authority than their kings or chieftains; for it was not by any principle of expediency or reafon that their actions and conduct were to be afcertained and examined. They were governed by the impulses and dictates of their divinities; and, being the interpreters of the will and intentions of thefe, they were able to exercise a jurifdiction uncontrollable and facred (10).

The

8

The office of a magiftrate was known and refpected among these nations. The prince, or the chieftain of a diffrict, with the body of his retainers or followers, conftituted a court, which heard accusations, and determined concerning crimes. Traitors and deferters were hanged on trees. Cowardice, and the crime against nature, were confidered as of equal atrocity; and the perfons convicted of them were choaked in mire and fwamps by the preffure of hurdles. A corporal punishment, and compensations in corn or cattle, were the atonements of leffer delinquencies (11).

Noble birth, but more frequently the poffession of fuperior qualities, entitled to the office and jurifdiction of a chief: And the general of an army was to command lefs by authority than from example. He drew refpect and observation by his activity, his addrefs, and the fplendour of his exploits (12). Even the hopes and ambition of the fimple warriour were made to depend on his perfonal honour and courage. Yet, with all this attention to merit, and with all their elevation of character, they were prone to deceive and to circumvent. They accounted it meritorious to fteal upon their enemies in the darkeft nights; they blackened their shields, and painted their bodies, to be terrible; and, to give ground, but immediately to return to the charge, was a common and an

an admired feat of their prudence. Cunning and ftratagem appeared to them to be wifdom; and, though remarkable for courage, both active and paffive, they exposed it to fuspicion by the arts which, in a cultivated age, are characteristic of the pufillanimous (13).

It is also remarkable, that, though attentive to justice, with a punctilious exactness, within the bounds of their particular nations, they defpifed it with regard to other flates and communities. Beyond the frontier of his tribe, the German was a thief and a robber. While, in the one inftance, his theft or depredation was a crime of the deepeft dye, and punished with death, it was, in the other, a mark of valour, and an expression of virtue. To make incursions against a neighbouring people, though at peace; to carry off their cattle, and to lay wafte their territory, were actions of renown and greatness. They rouled the ambition of the valorous, and were occupations in which they acquired reputation, and prepared themfelves for scenes of greater danger and glory (14).

But, the circumftance in the cuftoms of these nations the most valuable, and which, like all their more remarkable features, arose from their unacquaintance with property, was the passion they entertained for independence and liberty. Every

9

#### A VIEW OF SOCIETY

Every perfon who was free, confidered himfelf in the light of a legiflator. The people prefcribed the regulations they were to obey. They marched to the national affembly to judge, to reform, and to punish; and the magistrate and the fovereign, inftead of controlling their power, were to respect and to submit to it. Stated or regular terms were appointed for the convention of their public council; and a freedom of fpeech, entire and unlimited, was permitted. His age, his eloquence, his rank, and the honour he had acquired in war, were the qualities which procured attention to the fpeaker; and the people were influenced by perfuafion, not by authority. A murmur coarfe, and often rude, expressed their diffent : The rattling of their armour was the flattering mark of their applaufe (15).

While thefe inflitutions and manners are expreflive, in general, of the German communities, there are exceptions which it is not my province to explain. In the enumeration which is made by the Roman hiftorian of the Germanic tribes, there are perceivable unequal degrees of civilization and refinement. The Chauci, for example, were an improved and an illuftrious nation, and fupported their greatnefs by their probity. They were lovers of peace and quiet, and contemners of

10

of avarice and ambition. They provoked no wars; engaged in no incurfions or robberies; and, what may be confidered as a certain proof of their power and valour, preferved their fuperiority, without having recourse to injuries and oppressions. When called upon, however, by the exigency of their affairs, they were not flow to take arms and to levy armies. They inhabited an extenfive territory, were rich in men and in horfes, and in peace and in war maintained their reputation. The picture of the Fenni, on the contrary, is that of mere rudenefs. They had no arms, no horfes, no religion. To the most favage fiercenefs, they had joined the most abject poverty. They cloathed themfelves in the fkins of beafts, fed, at times, on herbage, and flept on the earth. Their chief dependance was on their arrows; and, having no iron, they pointed them with bones. The women accompanied the men to the chafe, and demanded a fhare of the prey. A covering, inwrought with boughs, was all the shelter which defended their infants from the rigour of feafons, and the ferocity of animals. To this miferable dwelling their young men returned; and here their old men found a refuge. These courses of barbarousness, this melancholy fadnefs, they preferred to the fatigue of cultivating the earth, and of building houses, to the agitations of hope and fear attendant on a care of their own fortunes, and on a connection with those

## A VIEW OF SOCIETY

12

those of others. Unapprehensive of any danger from men, and awed by no terror of the gods, they had reached a state which is nearly unattainable to all human endeavours—the being entirely without a wish (16).

The majority of the tribes or communities of Germany may be faid to have occupied a middle ftate between the cultivation of the Chauci and the favageness of the Fenni. And it is fufficient to have felected and expressed the more general and the more diftinguished particulars which regard their inftitutions, government, and character. With these in my view, I proceed to defcribe the condition of their women; a subject which, though little attended to by the learned, may lead to conclusions of interess and curiosity.

## SECTION II.

### An Idea of the German Women.

T has been afferted, that men, in favage and barbarous periods, are carried to the fex merely from the incitement of animal gratification, and that they feel not the power of beauty, nor the pleafures which arife from love; and a multitude of facts have been produced from hiftory to confirm firm this theory. It is concluded, of confequence, that, in fuch times, women are in an abject ftate of fervility, from which they advance not till the ages of property (1).

One would fancy it, notwithftanding, confiftent with reafon, to imagine, that the fexes, in every period of fociety, are important to each other; and that the member of a rude community, as well as the polifhed citizen, is fufceptible of tendernefs and fentiment. He is a ftranger, indeed, to the metaphyfic of love, and to the fopperies of gallantry; but his heart cannot be infenfible to female attractions. He cannot but be drawn by beauty; he muft know a preference in the objects of his affection; and he muft feel and experience, in a certain degree, at leaft, that bewitching intercourfe, and thofe delightful agitations, which conflitute the greateft charm of cultivated life.

This opinion, I conceive, is ftrongly confirmed by the hiftory of the Germanic ftates. Their general character, with particular and obvious facts, illustrate the importance and the confideration in which they held their women.

Even in the age of Cæfar, the German tribes had conceived and acknowledged the idea and exiftence of a public intereft, and, in general, had
had fubmitted to a mode of government in which the chiefs and the people had their departments as well as the prince. They are defcribed in a fimilar, but a more cultivated fituation, by Tacitus; and the fpirit of liberty and independence which animated their actions, was to produce that limited and legal administration which ftill gives diffinction and dignity to the kingdoms of Europe. Among fuch nations, accordingly, the women were neceffarily free, and fenfible only of the reftraints which arife from manners.

The flate of fociety, which precedes the knowledge of an extensive property and the meanneffes which flow from refinement and commerce, is in a high degree propitious to women. To treat them with cruelty does not confift with the elevation of fentiment which then prevails. Among the people, of whom I fpeak, even the flave was exposed to no fludied infult or opprefilion (2). Of the women, the warriour and the citizen confidered himfelf as the friend and the protector; and their weakness only ferved to render the attachment to them the more lafting and tender.

While courage and ftrength and feats of prowefs gave glory to the men, the women were judged of by a different ftandard. They were ftudious to recommend themfelves by the performance of domeftic

## IN EUROPE.

domeftic duties. They attended to the cares of the family and the houfe; and the mother found a long and a ferious occupation in the rearing of her children, who were not allowed to approach the father in public till a certain age (3). To her daughters fhe endeavoured to give the accomplifhments which might win to them the chiefs who were most celebrated and powerful. To her fons fhe recited the exploits of their ancestors, and formed them to valour.

Nor are these the only sources of the respect which was paid to them. It has been often remarked, that, in every period of fociety, the women are more difpofed to rapture and devotion than the men, and that their curiofity to pryinto futurity is more extravagant. The fuperftitious weakneffes, however, of the fex, which, in refined times, are a fubject of ridicule, led to reverence and attention in a rude age. The Germanic armies feldom took the field without forcereffes; and thefe had an important fhare in directing their operations (4). In private and civil affairs, their authority was not lefs decifive. On the foundation of the wonder and aftonifhment excited by the knowledge arrogated by the women, by the skill they displayed in divination, and, above all, by the ceremony and the cruelty of the rites they practifed, a folid and permanent influence

influence was eftablished (5). It was thought, that they had fomething divine in their nature; and the names of many of them, who were worschipped as divinities, have come down in hiftory (6).

To attend to the qualities of plants, and to the curing of wounds, was another branch of their occupation (7); and, in times of war and depredation, it is difficult to conceive a circumftance which could recommend them more. Nor were they inattentive to adorn their perfons. The linen, which made the principal article of their drefs, was of their own manufacture; and they had a pride in intermixing it with purple (8). They went frequently into the bath; their hair flowed in ringlets; a part of their charms was induftrioufly difplayed; and, in evidence of their beauty, there may be brought the tettimony of the hiftorian, and the fong of the poet (9).

In the more ferious and important wars in which these nations engaged, the chiefs and warriours feem conftantly to have carried their wives and female relations along with them as an incitement to their valour. These objects of their affection they placed at a small distance from the field of battle: And the most terrible calamity which could befal them, was their captivity. By their importunity and wailing, it is recorded, that armies,

mies, in the moment of fubmiflion, have been recovered; and the ftipulations of ftates were never fo certainly fecured as when fome virgins of rank were delivered among the hoftages (10). In the blood of their women, it was conceived there was a charm and a virtue; and hence it proceeded, that, to their uncles by the *mother* and to their fathers, children were the objects of an *equal* affection and tendernefs (11).

But, what evinces their confideration beyond the poffibility of a doubt, is the attention they beflowed on bufinefs and affairs. They felt, as well as the noble and the warriour, the cares of the community. They watched over its intereft, confidered its connection with other flates, and thought of improving its policy, and extending its dominion. They went to the public councils or affemblies of their nations, heard the debates of the flatefmen, and were called upon to deliver their fentiments. And, what is worthy of particular notice, this confequence in active fcenes they tranfmitted to their pofterity (12).

Such, in general, was the condition of women among our anceftors, while they were yet in their woods; and fuch, I fhould think, is in a great meafure their ftate in every country of the globe in an age of fociety and manners, which knows not the cares, the corruptions, and the diffinctions of property (13).

SEC-

# SECTION III.

## Of Marriage and Modesty.

**I** T is not to be denied, that, before the idea of a public is acknowledged, and before men have fubmitted to the falutary reftraint of law, the diforders of promifcuous love difturb and disfigure fociety (1). Yet, even in thefe wild and informal times, there exift parties, who, clinging together from choice and appetite, experience the happinefs of reciprocal attentions and kindneffes; who, in the care of their offspring, find an anxious and interesting employment, and a powerful fource of attachment; who, moved by love, by friendfhip, by parental affection and habitude, never think of difcontinuing their commerce; and who, in fine, look forward with forrow to the fatal moment when death is to feparate them.

This cohabitation or alliance, attracting attention by its decency, its pleafures, and its advantages, would grow into a cuftom or a fashion. For, what men approve, they will imitate. To this u/e, therefore, it feems not unreasonable to refer the institution of marriage; and thus, before it is known as a political confideration, it, in some measure, substitute in nature. As men increase in their numbers, they perceive the necessity of attending tending to an union, which is no lefs important to fociety than to the individual, which has in view the fupport of the one, and the felicity of the other. A ceremonial is invented which gives it authority and duration. The ftate takes a fhare in the cares of the lover, and prefcribes the forms that are to bind him to his miftrefs. Nature, while fhe fits the fexes for each other, leaves it to polity or law to regulate the mode of their connection

The race of men who anciently inhabited Germany, are reprefented, as was formerly obferved, in the conditions of nations; and a legiflature, composed of the prince, the nobles, and the people, directed their operations. This affembly, which gave a fanction to military expeditions, and adjusted alliances and treaties, managed also the objects of internal concern. It extended its jurifdiction over the women as well as over the other parts of the community, and afcertained the ceremonial of marriage.

When the individual was called from the houfe of his father, and invefted with arms; when he was advanced from being a part of a private family to be a member of the republic, he had the capacity of entering into contracts, and of fingling out the object of his affections. The parties who had agreed to unite their interefts, having C 2 obtained

obtained the approbation of their parents and relations, made an interchange of gifts in their prefence. The lover gave his miftrefs a pair of oxen, a bridled horfe, a fhield, a fword, and a javelin; and fhe, in her turn, prefented him with fome arms. It was thus they expressed their attachment to each other, and their willingness to discharge mutually the duties of the married state. This was their strongest tie; these were their mysterious rites, these their conjugal deities (2).

Nor, let it be fancied that, in this ceremonial, there was any thing humiliating to the woman. It fuited exactly the condition of a rude fociety, and muft not be judged of by the ideas of a refined age. The prefents, indeed, were expressive of labour and activity; but labour and activity were then no marks of reproach; and, in fact, the joined oxen, the prepared horse, the presented arms, instead of indicating the inferiority of the bride, denoted strongly her equality with her hufband. They admoniss her, that so be the partner and the companion of his toils and his cares, and that, in peace and in war, so be to fussion the fame fatigues, and to bear a part in the fame enterprizes (3).

The fidelity of the married women among these nations, and the constancy and tenderness of their attachment, express also their equality with

with the men and their importance (4). A ftrict observance of the marriage-bed was required of them. The crime of adultery was rare; and, in the feverity of its punifhment, the refpect is to be traced which was paid to modefty. It was immediate, and inflicted by the hufband. He defpoiled the culprit of her hair and garments, expelled her from his house before her affembled relations, and whipped her through the whole village (5). Of the young women, the most powerful recommendation was the referve and coynefs of their demeanour. A violation of modefty was never pardoned. Nor youth, nor beauty, could procure a hufband. Vice was not here fported with; and, to corrupt and to be corrupted, were not termed the fashion of times (6).

In the fimplicity of their manners, they found a prefervation against vice more effectual than the laws of cultivated states. The gallantries of the young men began late; their youth was, therefore, inexhausted. Those of the young women were not earlier. They mingled, when they were equal in age, in procerity, and strength, and had a progeny who expressed their vigour. Difgrace attended on celibacy; and the old were honoured in proportion to the number and the merits of their descendants. A dread of pain and the care of beauty checked not generation (7). The mother sucked her own children (8); and, in

in difcharging this tafk, anticipated the greatness and the felicity she was to acquire and to experience from their virtues, and in their gratitude (9).

It was thus the chaftity of the women was guarded: It was thus their importance was confirmed. No allurements of public flows and entertainments relaxed their virtue, and infinuated into them the love of pleafure; no incitements of luxury inflamed their defires and exposed them to corruption; and what the Romans feem to have confidered as particularly fatal, no acquirements of knowledge and of letters difcovered to them the arts which minifter to love (10).

In fome of their flates or communities, the refpect of modefty was fo great, that it was not lawful but to virgins to marry; who, without the hope or wifh of fecond nuptials, received one hufband, as they had done one body and one life, and had no thoughts or defires beyond him. It was their ambition and pride, if they furvived the objects of their affection, to preferve, unfullied, the honours of widowhood; and, when the barbarians had made fettlements in the provinces of Rome, when their manners had refined, and the fex were, in fome measure, emancipated from this reftraint, the fpirit of the ulage continued to operate. It augmented, as to the widow, the matrimonial fymbols; a larger dower than

than ufual was neceffary to overcome her reluctance to a fecond bed (11); and, while it encouraged the king or the magistrate to exact a greater fine from her on her marriage (12), it entitled her to a higher compensation for injuries (13).

Amidft the modefty of fuch ufages and manners, we muft not look for polygamy. It was unknown to thefe nations; though, it is to be allowed, that a few of the chiefs or more renowned princes were furrounded with a number of wives (14). This, however, was a matter of grandeur, not of appetite; and its fource is to be found in maxims of policy, in the ambition of individuals, and in that of ftates. A prince, to fupport or extend his greatnefs, connected himfelf with different families; and the deliberations of his tribe not unfrequently pointed out to him the alliances he fhould court (15).

To the degrees of confanguinity and blood, concerning which nature has dictated fo little, and polity fo much, it is not to be conceived that they paid a fcrupulous attention in their marriages (16). It is a fubject on which no infantcommunities are exact. They attended to it when, having fallied from their woods, they grew refined by time, obfervation, and experience.

CHAP-

## CHAPTER II.

The political Eftablishments of the Barbarians after they had made Conquests.

## SECTION I.

The Barbaric Conquests. The Origin of the Domains of the Prince, and of Allodiality. The Lands of the Fisc. The Foundations of the Feudal Association, the Rise of the Feudal Grant, and the Genius of the Feudal System.

THE Romans, corrupted and fervile in every quarter of the empire, were unable to oppofe the valour and the activity of the Germanic tribes. And, the manners of the conquerors and the conquered being effentially different, and even contradictory, the revolution produced in the condition of Europe was total and decifive (1). It is thence chiefly, by an attention to the way of thinking which prevailed in their original feats, that the ftate is to be inveftigated which the barbarians exhibited on their conquefts; and that the origin and the nature of those inflitutions are

to

to be difcovered, which, overturning in every country they invaded, the antient forms of legiflation and government, arofe on their ruins. In the mafterly treatife, accordingly, in which Tacitus paints, with his inimitable pencil, the manners of thefe nations, I must look for the foundations of this state, and these institutions.

<sup>6</sup> The members of a German nation,' fays this accomplifhed hiftorian, <sup>6</sup> cultivate, by turns, for <sup>6</sup> its ufe, an extent of land correfponding to <sup>6</sup> their number, which is then parcelled out to <sup>6</sup> individuals in proportion to their dignity : Thefe <sup>6</sup> divifions are the more eafily afcertained, as the <sup>6</sup> plains of Germany are extensive; and though <sup>6</sup> they annually occupy a new piece of ground, <sup>6</sup> they are not exhausted in territory (2).'

This paffage abounds in inftruction, the moft important. It informs us, that the German had no private property in land, and that it was his tribe which allowed him annually for his fupport a proportion of territory; that the property of the land was invefted in the tribe, and that the lands dealt out to individuals returned to the public, after they had reaped the fruits of them; that, to be entitled to a partition of land from his nation, was the diftinction of a citizen; and that, in confequence of this partition, he became bound

bound to attend to its defence, and to its glory.

With these ideas, and with this practice, the Germans made conquests. In conformity, therefore, with their antient manners, when a settlement was made in a province of the empire, the property of the land belonged to the victorious nation, and the brave laid claim to their possifiefions. A tract of ground was marked out for the fovereign; and, to the inferior orders of men, divisions corresponding to their importance were allotted.

But while, in their original feats, fuch partitions were annual, it was expedient that they fhould now be invefted in the poffeffor. A more enlarged idea of property had been gradually unfolding itfelf (3); and, though it was convenient to, and fuited the views of a narrow community, to take back its land, the measure was not practicable in an extensive fociety. Nations were no longer to fhift their habitations. The boundaries of particular states were to be respected. The tribe ceafing to wander, the individual was also to be stationary. The lot or partition now received by him, was to continue in his poffession, and to be an object of his industry. He was to take root, if I may speak so, in a particular spot. He was to beftow on it his affection; it was to feed

### IN EUROPE.

feed and to enrich him with its produce. His family were to feel an intereft in his eftate; his fons were to fucceed to him. Heirs were to fail in the blood of the proprietor. It affected him, that the crown or a ftranger fhould poffers the fubject of his toils and attentions. The powers of fale and donation came to be underftood. The right of holding a landed territory with no limitation, and of difpofing of it at pleafure, was known and prevailed.

The advantages of property open themfelves with time. They were not observed by the German in his woods. But, when he was no longer the member of a narrow community, and felt his unimportance in the extensive kingdoms which arofe on his conquefts, when other profeffions were to be exercifed befide that of the warriour, his attention turned from the public to himfelf. Ideas of interest pressed in upon him on every fide. He was no longer to act chiefly from appetite and paffion. He was to look forward to diftant profpects. He was to buly himfelf for advantagss which were to arrive flowly, and which were often to elude his diligence. He had paffed from the empire of manners to that of laws. Riches had become a fource of diffinction; and his mind was to be torn with cares, anxiety, and oftentation.

When

28

When we mount up to the origin of cuftoms, we are to be ftruck with their fimplicity: The lot or partition to the fovereign was to conflitute his *domains*. It was to fupport his fplendour, to defray the expences of government, and to maintain his houfehold. The lot or partition to the individual was to give rife to *allodiality*. It was the land which was *free*, which was named *propriety*, in contradiffinction to *tenure* (4); and, being ftill the mark of a citizen, it fubjected him, as in Germany, to the general obligation of taking arms in defence of the community (5).

But the domains of the fovereign, and the lands of lot or partition to the people, could not exhauft all the territory of a conqueft. They were principal and natural objects of attention. Yet, after their appointment, there were much extenfive property, and many fair poffeffions. The antient maxims of the people did not allow them to feize thefe by a precarious occupation. Men, who had connected the property of land with the tribe, and not with the individual, could not conceive any title in confequence of which they might arrogate poffeffions to humour their fancy, or to flatter their pride. Their antient notions continued their operation : The community was concerned with what no man could claim. The lands, accordingly, which were affigned neither to the fovereign

fovereign nor to the people, which formed not the domains of the former, nor the partitions of the latter, were the lands of the ftate or the Fisc. And, under this appellation, in fact, they are known in the codes of the barbarians (6).

Of the territories of this kind, the king, as reprefenting the ftate, was to take the direction; and, in the grants and difpofal of them, the barbarians were alfo to be affifted by the ufages to which they had been accuftomed in their woods.

A German state comprehended a fovereign, who acted for the interest of the community, chieftains, who governed in different diffricts, and the mass of the people. The fovereign and the chiefs owed their rank or effimation, fometimes to their birth, but oftner to their merits. The former was ambitious to fupport, with luftre, the honour he fuftained : The latter were fludious to deferve his favour, and to vie with one another. The people, as they were ftruck with the qualities of particular chiefs, ranged themfelves under their banners, and devoted themfelves to their fortunes. It was the great emulation of the chiefs to excel in the number and the courage of their retainers. This was the dignity which most attracted them, and the power they courted most. These were their ornaments in peace,

peace, and their defence in war. In the field it was infamous in the chief to be furpaffed in valour; it was infamous in the retainers not to eequal the valour of the chief. To guard and to defend his perfon, and to afcribe to his glory all their gallant acts, was their greateft oath. The chief fought for victory; the retainer for the chief (7),

These connections, and this fubordination, followed the barbaric nations into their settlements. And here we may perceive the *foundations* of the feudal affociation.

But land, which was the tie that bound together the members of a feudal kingdom, had no concern in these appearances. The chief could not confer a landed property on his retainer, because land had not yet descended to individuals. It obeyed, however, the order of nations; and the more *powerful* of the Gaulic and German communities had been in the practice of granting, under *military fervice*, proportions of *territory* to *inferior* tribes. Communities were anciently the valials of communities (8). Here then was the *effence* of the feudal grant.

Accuftomed to this way of thinking, and to these institutions, a German state found itself in a province of the Romans. The sovereign, from gratitude

gratitude and intereft, was disposed to court the chiefs who were the affociates of his victories; and the chiefs were not infensible of their importance. The retainers were proud of their prowess and their fervices; and the chiefs were forward to show their favour and affection to men who conflituted their strength. Land had begun to be detached from nations, and to be connected with *individuals*. And the conquest obtained, was in danger from the turbulence of the times, and from new invaders.

The fituation of a German flate which had acquired a fettlement, produced thus the neceffity of drawing clofer the connection of the fovereign and the chiefs, and of the chiefs and the people. Its ancient ufages concurring with this fituation, pointed out the conduct to be purfued. The lands of the fi/c were the medium which was to operate the purpose that was so necessary. The sovereign took the direction of these; hence possessions flowed to the chiefs, under the burden of prefenting themfelves in arms at the call of the fovereign; hence the chiefs dealt out lands to their retainers, under the like injunction of continuing to them their aid (9); and thus a political fyftem was founded, which was to act in fociety with infinite efficacy.

Of this fyftem the intention and the fpirit were national defence, and domeftic independence. While

32

While it called out the inhabitant and the citizen to defend his property and to fecure his tranquillity, it oppofed barriers to defpotifm. Growing out of liberty, it was to promote the freedom of the fubject. The power of the fovereign was checked by the chiefs, who were to form a regular order of nobility; and the ariftocracy, or the power of the chiefs, was repreffed by the retainers and vaffals, who, conftituting their greatnefs, were to attract their attention. The chief, who oppreffed his retainers, was to deftroy his own importance. It was their number and their attachment, which made him formidable to his prince and to his equals.

In this manner, I would account for the origin of the domains of the fovereign, and of allodiality; for that of fiefs (10); and for the genius they difplayed in their earlieft condition. And this fhort deduction may be fufficient to exhibit a general idea of the ftate of land among the barbaric tribes on their conquefts.

SEC

### IN EUROPE.

# SECTION II.

Of the Property of the Women. The Dower, the Morgengabe, and the Marriage-portion. The Communication to the Women of the Powers of Succession and Inheritance. The advancement of Manners.

HAVING diffinguished the property of the men, it is fit I should treat of that of the women. I have observed, that, among the ancient Germans, and the cafe, it is to be prefumed, is fimilar in every rude community, the property of the land was invefted in the tribe or nation. His proportion of corn was allotted to the individual by the magistrate, and corresponded to the number of his family, the degrees of his merit, and the importance of his fervice. He derived, accordingly, no fource of influence from the property of land. His chief, and almost only riches, confifted in cattle (1); and, in those rude and remote times, the more powerful fupported their hospitality and magnificence by war and violence. They collected their retainers, and committed incurfion and plunder upon neighbouring nations; and their flates difcouraged not a practice which was favourable to the military virtues.

D

In

In this fituation, it is obvious, that no property could be poffeffed by the women (2). They had neither land nor cattle, and could demand no fhare of the booty procured by robbery and depredation. While they remained in their virgin ftate, they continued, therefore, in the families of which they were defcended (3); and, when they paffed, by marriage, into other families, their hufbands became bound to attend to and to provide for them. Hence the cuftom recorded by Tacitus: ' Dotem non uxor marito, fed uxori maritus offert.' On the death of the hufband, the wife received this provision; and, it was the object of it to render her alike independent of the house the had left, and of that into which the had entered (4).

This provision confifted, doubtles, of goods; and, even in this form, it is to be conceived, it discovered itself after the Germanic conquests. When time, however, refinement, and neceffity, had taught the barbarians the uses of wealth, and individuals were proud of acquisitions in land, it affumed more enlarged appearances; and property opening to the women, they acquired a fource of confideration which they had not formerly known, and which was about to produce confequences of no less moment to themselves than to fociety.

The dos or dower came to confift in money and in

in land. It was to arife out of a perfonal eftate, out of allodial property, or out of fiefs. With the widow, it remained during her life, and on her death it paffed to the heirs of her hufband. In general, it was regulated by his deed. In fome places it was governed by cuftom. It was fometimes conftituted by ceremonies, which grew out of the particular fituation of parties (5); and, when no private act had taken place, where no cuftom directed, and where no peculiarity of fituation prevailed, it was fixed and afcertained by eftablished and statutory laws (6).

Nor was it a dower only, that the hufband beflowed on the wife. The morning after his nuptials, he made her a prefent, which was valuable in proportion to his generofity and wealth. This acquifition is known by the appellation of morgengabe (7); and poffeffing it in full property, fhe could convey it away during her life, allow it to pass to her heirs, or dispose of it by a deed, to take effect after death (8).

The experience of the uses of property was to produce a folicitude to poffefs it. While the dower and the morgengabe gave diffinction to the wife, the daughter was to know the necessity of acquifitions, and to wish for them. The parent was to encourage her hopes, and to gratify his affections. He

He was to make her ftate correspond to his riches and his dignity. The refining intercourse, and the rising luxuries of society, were to demand this attention. A portion was to go from the bride to the husband. The personal fortune, to which the daughter had been a stranger in the days of Tacitus, made its appearance. And wealth in the female sex, joining itself to beauty and wit, contributed to support and extend their dominion.

The cuftom, in fact, of giving portions to the women, is to be traced to an early period in the laws of the Germanic and Celtic nations (9). The prefent, fimple and flight in its origin, grew complicated and extensive. It kept pace with luxury and opulence. The *dower*, which before was chiefly directed by the will of the hufband, became now a formal matter of treaty and agreement. The bride had a title to flipulate her claims. The riches the brought, and her rank, were duly confidered; and a provision in proportion to both were allotted (10).

The portion of the daughter, like the dower and the morgengabe of the wife, was originally to confift of goods, and then of money. It was afterwards to confift of land. But, when the father was first to bestow land on the daughter, it is to be

#### IN EUROPE.

be underftood, that it was a part of his property, which was free or allodial. Fiefs, in their commencement, could not be enjoyed by the women. The actual fervice of the fhield was required from the vaffal. To admit them to allodiality, was even a deviation from the fpirit of the ancient cuftoms of the barbarians; and, it was only in the evolution of the rights of property, that they were permitted to acquire it. A propriety then, or an allodial poffession, might come to them by donation or by testament. But, by the rules of regular fucceffion, it was to go to the fons; and, according to law, they were only to inherit, when there were to be no fons, or when the fons were to fail (11). The communication, however, of these privileges was a powerful addition to their importance, and was to lead to advantages ftill greater.

The capacity to receive allodiality by grant, by gift, by teftamentary deftination, and to enter to it by fucceffion, in the event of the want of male heirs, or after their demife, introduced and foftered the idea of their admiffion to fiefs. As the original rudenefs of the barbaric nations yielded to fucceffive improvements, as manners foftened, and the arts of peace were cultivated, the propenfity to add to their emolument, and to contribute to their pleafure, grew ftronger. If they could

38

could not march to the field, and charge an enemy at the head of their vaffals, they might perform these offices by substitution. An approved warriour might discharge, for the female possessor of a fief, the military duties to which it was fubject. A right to fucceed to feudality was, by degrees, acknowledged in the fex; and, when invefted in the grant, they were to exert all its civil rights. Though they deputed its military command, they could fuftain its honours and prerogatives. They were to hold courts, and exercise jurifdiction in ordinary fiefs; and, while they attended to these cares in noble ones, they were also to affemble with the peers, in the great affemblies of the flate in every country of Europe, to deliberate, to vote, and to judge. Neither the military fervice incident to every fief, nor the obligation of attending the affembly of the peers or the council of the nation incident to fiefs, which were noble, could prevent the advancing condition of the women. The imbecility of their nature, which gives a ftrength to all their other attractions, made them fulfil the first duty by delegation : The laft they were long to perform in perfon (12).

From the moment that fettlements were made in the territories of Rome, the women were to improve in advantages. The fubordinations of rank, which before had been chiefly diferiminated by merit,

merit, were now marked more palpably by riches and property. Modes of a diftant and refpectful demeanour were invented. New fentiments of dignity and meannefs became known. Difplays of elegance and luxury took place. The extent and order of eftablished kingdoms rendered men more domeftic. Lefs engaged with the public, the female fex engroffed more ftrongly their regard and notice. They approached them with greater reverence; they courted them with an affiduity that was more tender and anxious. The women, in their turn, learned to be more vain, more gay, and more alluring. They grew ftudious to pleafe and to conquer. They loft fomewhat of the intrepidity and fiercenefs which before were characteriftic of them. They were to affect a delicacy, and even a weaknefs. Their education was to be an object of greater attention and care. A finer fense of beauty was to arife. They were to abandon all employments which hurt the fhape and deform the body. They were to exert a fancy in drefs and in ornament (13). They were to be more fecluded from observation. A greater play was to be given to fentiment and anticipation. Greater referve was to accompany the commerce of the fexes. Modefty was to take the alarm fooner (14). Gallantry, in all its fashions, and in all its charms, was to unfold itfelf.

39

But,

40

But, before I can express, with precision, the confideration they attained, and perceive, with diffinctness, the splendour which the feudal affociation was to throw around them, I must look for the extension of fiels, and for the fources of chivalry. Fiels and chivalry were mutually to act upon one another. The feudal affociation was to direct and to foster chivalry; and, from chivalry, it was to receive a support or lustre. They were plants which were defined to take root about the fame period, and to sympathife in their growth, and in their decline. The feeds of them had been gathered by the barbarian in his woods; and, to whatever foil or climate his fortune was to carry him, there he was to featter them with profusion.

### SECTION III.

The Grandeur consequent on Property, and the Power of the Nobles. The Prerogative of private War, and its destructive Tendency. The Conversion of allodiality into Tenure. The extension and Universality of Fiefs.

PROUD with victory, with riches, and with independence, the conquerors of the Romans feparated to enjoy their possessions and their grandeur. The

### IN EUROPE.

The chiefs continued, as of old, to poffefs a military authority and a civil jurifdiction (1). The prerogatives, which before they had arrogated as due to their merit, they now enjoyed as the holders of fiefs. In war they commanded their vaffals and retainers, and they judged of their difputes in times of peace. The inhabitants of their territories were foldiers and fubjects. Their caftles and household bore a refemblance to the palace, and the eftablishment of the fovereign. They had their officers and their courts of juffice; and they exercifed the powers of punifhment and mercy (2). They even continued to exert the privilege of making war of their private authority; and the fovereigns of Europe could behold fubjects in arms, who infringed not their allegiance to the state (3).

This right of fpreading, with impunity, the tumults of war, operated as the leading fource of the diforders of the middle ages, and marks expreflively their condition and manners. It demands, of confequence, an attention which I muft refufe, at prefent, to the other prerogatives of nobility; and, in order to difcover its origin, I muft glance at the beginnings of criminal jurifprudence.

In the early ages of fociety, the individual depends for protection on himfelf. There is no tribunal

bunal to which he can appeal for redrefs. He retaliates, with his own arm, the infult he has fuffered; and if he is unable, of himfelf, to complete his revenge, he engages his friends to affift him (4). Confederacies are formed for attack and for defence (5), and the members composing them are animated with the fame paffions. In this perturbed flate of mankind, the punifhment of the offender is difproportioned to his crime. Men, frantic with rage, are unacquainted with pity or with reason. The most barbarous actions, and the most cruel diforder, are perpetrated and prevail. It is perceived, that the interest of the community is injured. Yet the right of revenge; fo dangerous in the hand of the individual, cannot, without injuffice, be torn from him. It is equitable that he be fatisfied for the wrongs he has endured; but it is no lefs equitable, that the public do not fuffer by his violence. He is allowed accordingly, to gratify his refentment, but through the power of the magistrate, who, while he feels for the injuries he has received, can alfo look with compation to the criminal (6).

It is not, however, to be imagined, that this improvement takes place at once, and that every individual is, in the fame moment, made to relinquifh the exercise of his right of revenge. In rude times, the chief diffinction among men arifes from

#### IN EUROPE.

from their perfonal qualities. Force of body, and vigour of mind, procure then to their poffeffors the greateft attention and refpect. A diftinguished warriour, or a chief, must be treated very differently from the vulgar; and, though the exercife of private revenge is to be ravished from the herd of the community, it is yet to continue in the jurisdiction of the great and the powerful. What is possesfield by a few, grows in time a mark of honour, and a privilege of nobility (7).

Among the Germans, in the days of Tacitus, the exercise of the right of revenge had passed, in a great measure, from the multitude. It remained, notwithstanding, with the chiefs; and they were not, on their conquests, in a disposition to renounce so fplendid a distinction. They enjoyed, as a prerogative, the exercise of a right, which is destructive to order and society; and, in times when the art of legislation and government was only approaching to perfection, their claims were acknowledged. The freedom of revenge, at first unlimited, was confined; and the barons made war of their private authority (8).

It is thus that this prerogative arofe which filled Europe with confusion. Nobles, haughty and independent, did not think of accepting a fine as a compensation for an infult, and submitted not their

their difputes to a judge. They brought them to the decifion of the fword; and, their vaffals and retainers, entering into their fentiments and feelings, partook of their glory and difgrace. They were rivals whom nothing could unite, but the enemies of the ftate, or the encroachments of the fovereign. To reprefs thefe they could act with cordiality. But, in their ufual carriage to one another, they were fullen, jealous, and proud; and, it was their chief employment to vie in difplays of magnificence, or to try their ftrength in hoftility.

In the ftate of tumult, bloodshed, and oppresfion, produced by the exercise of the prerogative of private war, a most important distinction was effected between the holders of fiefs, and the poffeffors of property. While, in the imperfection of government, the magistrate could not extend his power with equal force over all the orders of men in the fociety; while the weak were exposed to the infults and the paffions of the ftrong; while nobles, haughty and independent, could legally profecute their refentments with the fword, revenge their wrongs, and gratify their avarice and cruelty, the holders of fiefs enjoyed a fupreme advantage over allodial proprietors. A Lord and his retainers, connected together in an intimate alliance, following the fame ftandard, and adopting

ing the fame paffions, could act with concert and efficacy. But allodial proprietors were altogether difqualified to defend themfelves. Being diftant and difengaged, they could form and fupport no continued or powerful confederacy; and the laws, in fact, did not permit them to enter into factions and hoftilities. The violence of the times created an abfurdity. It gave to gifts under fervice, and revertible to the grantor, a value fuperior to lands which were held in full property, and at the difpofal of the poffeffor. It made neceffary the converfion of *propriety* into *tenure*.

Nor was this the only confideration which had weight with the poffeffors of property. In every monarchy, but in one more particulurly that is governed by feudal ideas, rank and pre-eminence attract chiefly the attention, and excite the ambition of individuals. The king being the fountain of honour, and diffinctions flowing from his favour, the ranks of men were nicely adjusted; and, in proportion as they approached to his perfon, they exacted and received refpect. From this principle it naturally proceeded, that allodial proprietors were treated with contempt. Holding by no tenure, and occupying no place in the feudal arrangements, they could not draw obfervation. Their pride was alarmed, and they wished for the respect and the fecurity of vaffals.

Princes,

Princes, bent on the extension of fiefs, difcouraged these proprietors. Their ambition, their abilities, and their prerogatives, furnished them with the greateft influence; and they employed it to give univerfality to a fyftem, which was calculated to fupport the royal dignity and the national importance. Compositions for offences inferior to those which were allowed to a vaffal, were deemed fufficient for the proprietors of allodiality. In the courts of juffice they felt the difadvantages of their condition. Mortified with regal neglect, without fufficient protection from the laws, expofed to the capricious infolence and the deftructive ravages of the great, difgufted with rudenefs, contempt, and indignity, they were driven into the circle of fiefs. They courted the privileges and the protection which were enjoyed by vaffals. They fubmitted their eftates to tenure, felecting to themfelves a fuperior the moft agreeable, granting to him their lands, and receiving them back from him as a feudal donation (9).

In this direction of affairs, the extension of the feudal inflitutions was unavoidable. The landed property was every where changed into feudality. The empire of fiefs was universal. Even land, the great fource and medium of tenure, was to be infufficient for the multitude of those, who were present to be vassals, by their wants and feeble-

feeblenefs, and who were invited to be fo by the great, in the wildness of their contentions, and amidft the enormity and mifrule created by the exercife of private war. Every matter that was an object of profit, of pleasure, of use, or of commerce, was to become the foundation of a fief. The right of judging the delicts committed in a foreft, the right of the chafe or of hunting in a certain diffrict, the tax on public roads, the privilege of efcorting merchants to a fair or a market, offices of truft and of juffice, the fwarms of bees in a woody territory, the profits of a mill, the fishing in a water, the allotment of a penfion, and other rights and poffeflions in ftill wider deviation from the original grounds or doctrines of feudality, were to be held as fiefs (10). The imagination was exhaufted to invent new methods of infeudation. None could be too romantic or whimfical, while ftrength or importance was derived from them to the grantors. The holders or vaffals were bound to military fervice, and fubject to obligations; and the chief and the eminent, in confequence of this policy, extended, fupported, and maintained their public magnificence, their private confideration, and the ruinous conflicts and animofities in which they were involved by the paffions of others, and their own.

47

SEC-

48

## SECTION IV.

Arms, Gallantry, and Devotion. The origin of Knighthood and the Judicial Combat, of Torneaments and Blazonry. The Sources of Chivalry.

WHEN the inhabitants of Germany fallied from their woods, and made conquefts, the change of condition they experienced produced a change in their manners. Narrow communities grew into extensive kingdoms, and petty princes, and temporary leaders, were exalted into monarchs. The ideas, however, they had formerly entertained, and the cuftoms with which they had been familiar, were neither forgotten nor neglected. The modes of thought and of action which had been difplayed in their original feats, advanced with them into the territories of Rome, continued their operation and power in this new fituation, and created that uniformity of appearance which Europe every where exhibited. Their influence on the forms of government and polity which arofe, was decifive and extensive; and, it was not lefs efficacious and powerful on those inferior circumftances which join to conftitute the fystem of manners, and to produce the complexion and features that diffinguish ages and nations.

The

# IN EUROPE.

The inclination for war entertained by the Germanic ftates, the respect and importance in which they held their women, and the fentiments they had conceived of religion, did not forfake them when they had conquered. To excel in war was ftill their ruling ambition, and ufages were ftill connected with arms. To the fex they ftill looked with affection and courtefy. And their theology was even to operate in its fpirit, after its forms were decayed, and after Christianity was eftablished. Arms, gallantry, and devotion, were to act with uncommon force; and, to the forefts of Germany, we must trace those romantic inftitutions, which filled Europe with renown, and with fplendour; which, mingling religion with war, and piety with love, raifed up fo many warriours to contend for the palm of valour and the prize of beauty.

The paffion for arms among the Germanic ftates was carried to extremity. It was amidft fcenes of death and peril that the young were educated: It was by valour and feats of prowefs that the ambitious fignalized their manhood. All the honours they knew were allotted to the brave. The fword opened the path to glory. It was in the field that the ingenuous and the noble flattered moft their pride, and acquired an afcendancy. The ftrength of their bodies and the vigour of E
their counfels, furrounded them with warriours, and lifted them to command (1).

But, among thefe nations, when the individual felt the call of valour, and wifhed to try his ftrength againft an enemy, he could not of his own authority take the lance and the javelin. The admiffion of their youth to the privilege of bearing arms, was a matter of too much importance to be left to chance or their own choice. A form was invented by which they were advanced to that honour.

The council of the diftrict, or of the canton to which the candidate belonged, was affembled. His age and his qualifications were inquired into; and, if he was deemed worthy of being admitted to the privileges of a foldier, a chieftain, his father, or one of his kindred, adorned him with the fhield and the lance. In confequence of this folemnity, he prepared to diftinguifh himfelf; his mind opened to the cares of the public; and the domeftic concerns, or the offices of the family from which he had fprung, were no longer the objects of his attention (2).

To this ceremony, fo fimple and fo interesting, the institution of *knightbood* is indebted for its rife. The adorning the individual with arms, continued for ages to characterise his advancement to this dignity

dignity. And this rite was performed to him by his fovereign, his lord, or fome approved warriour. In conformity, alfo, to the manners which produced this inftitution, it is to be obferved, that even the fons of a king prefumed not to approach his perfon before their admiffion to its privileges; and the nobility kept their defcendants at an equal diftance. It was the road, as of old, to diftinction and honour. Without the advancement to it, the most illustrious birth gave no title to perfonal rank (3).

Their appetite for war, and their predatory life, taught the Germans to fancy that the gods were on the fide of the valiant. Force appeared to them to be justice, and weakness to be crime (4). When they would divine the fate of an important war, they felected a captive of the nation with whom they were at variance, and opposed to him a warrior out of their own number. To each champion they prefented the arms of his country; and, according as the victory fell to the one or the other, they prognofticated their triumph or defeat. Religion interferred with arms and with valour; and the party who prevailed, could not plead in his favour the interpolition of the deity. When an individual was called before the magistrate, and charged with an offence, if the evidence was not clear, he might challenge his accufer. The judge E 2 . ordered

52

ordered them to prepare for battle, made a figfor the onfet, and gave his award for the victor (5).

Nor was it only when his intereft and property were at flake, that the German had recourfe to his fword. He could bear no flain on his perfonal character. To treat him with indignity or difdain, was to offend him mortally. An affront of this kind covered him with infamy, if he forgave it (6). The blood of his adverfary could alone wipe it away; and he called upon him to vindicate his charge, or to perifh.

In these proceedings, we perceive the fource of the *judicial combat*, which spread so univerfally over Europe, and which is not only to be confidered as a precaution of civil polity, but as an institution of honour (7).

These nations, so enamoured of valour, and so devoted to arms, courted dangers even in pastime, and sported with blood. They had shows or entertainments, in which the points of the lance and the sword urged the young and the valiant to feats of a desperate agility and boldness; and in which they learned to confirm the vigour of their minds, and the force of their bodies. Perfeverance gave them expertness, expertness grace, and the applause plause of the furrounding multitude was the envied recompense of their audacious temerity (8.)

These violent and military exercises followed them into the countries they fubdued, and gave a beginning to the *joufts* and *torneaments*, which were celebrated with so unbounded a rage, which the civil power was so often to forbid, and the church so loudly to condemn; and which, refisting alike the force of religion and law, were to yeild only to the progress of civility and knowledge (9).

Unacquainted with any profession but that of war, disposed to it by habit, and impelled to it by ambition, the German never parted with his arms. They accompanied him to the fenate-houfe, as well as to the camp, and he transacted not without them any matter of public or of private concern (10). They were the friends of his manhood, when he rejoiced in his ftrength, and they attended him in his age, when he wept over his weaknefs. Of thefe, the most memorable was the shield. To leave it behind him in battle, was to incur an extremity of difgrace, which deprived him of the benefit of his religion, and of his rank as a citizen (11). It was the employment of his leifure to make it confpicuous. He was fedulous to diverfify it with chosen colours ; and, what is worthy of particular

particular remark, the ornaments he beftowed, were in time to produce the art of *blazonry* and the occupation of the herald. These chosen colours were to be exchanged into representations of acts of heroism. Coats of arms were to be necessary to dictinguish from each other, warriours who were cased compleatly from head to foot (12). Christianity introduced the sign of the cross; wisdom and folly were to multiply devices; and speculative and political men, to flatter the vanity of the rich and great, were to reduce to regulation and system what had begun without rule or art.

It is thus I would account for knighthood, and the fingle combat, for torneaments and blazonry; inftitutions which were to operate with an influence not lefs important than extensive. And, in the fame diftant antiquity, we meet the fource of that gallantry and devotion, which were to mount them to fo wild a height.

To the women, while he was yet in his woods, the German behaved with refpect and obfervance. He was careful to deferve their approbation; and they kept alive in his mind the the fire of liberty, and the fense of honour. By example, as well as exhortation, they encouraged his elevation of fentiment and his valour. When the Teutones were defeated by Marius, their women fent a deputation

on to that commander, to require that their chaftity might be exempted from violation, and that they might not be degraded to the condition of flaves. He refused their request; and, on approaching their encampment, he learned, that they had firft flabbed their infants, and had then turned their daggers against themselves (13). To fome German women taken in war, Caracalla having offered the alternative of being fold or put to the fword, they unanimoufly made choice of death. He ordered them, notwithstanding, to be led out to the market. The difgrace was infupportable; and, in this extremity, they knew how to preferve their liberty, and to die (14). It was amidft this fiercenefs and independency, that gallantry and the point of honour grew and profpered. It was the reproach of these women, which, on the banks of the Rhine and the Danube, filled the coward with the bittereft forrow, and flained him with the most indeliable infamy. It was their praife which communicated to the brave the livelieft joy and the most lasting reputation. Hi, fays Tacitus, cuique sanctissini testes, bi maximi laudatores (15).

Thefe notions did not perifh when the Germans had made conquefts. The change of air, and of fituation, did not enfeeble this fpirit. The women were ftill the judges of perfonal merit; and, to fome diftinguished female, did the valorous knight

knight afcribe the glory of his atchievements. Her fmile and approbation, he confidered as the most precious recompense; and, to obtain them, he plunged into dangers, and covered himself with dust and with blood. *Ab* ! fi ma Dame me voyoit ! exclaimed the knight when performing a feat of valour (16).

Nor were arms and the attachment to women the only features of importance in the character of the German. Religion, which, in every age and in every nation, gives rife to fo many cuftoms, mingled itself in all his transactions. He adored an invifible being, to whom he afcribed infinite knowledge, juffice, and power (17). To profit by his knowledge, he applied to divination (18); to draw advantage from his juffice, he made appeals to his judgment (19); and to acquire, in fome degree, his power, he had recourse to incantation and magic (20). The elements and the visible parts of nature, he conceived, at the fame time, to be the refidence of fubordinate divinities, who, though the inftruments only of the agency of the fupreme intelligence, had a great fuperiority over men, and were entitled to their attention and reverence (21). Every tree and every fountain had its genius; the air, the woods, the water, had their fpirits. When he made a ftep, or looked around him, he felt an impulse of awe and of devotion. His anxiety, his amazement, his curiofity, his

his hope, and his terror, were every moment excited. The most ample fcope was afforded by this theology for the marvellous. Every thing, common as well as fingular, was imputed to fupernatural agents. Elves, fairies, fprights, magicians, dwarfs, inchanters, and giants, arofe (22). But, while the leffer divinities of these nations attracted notice, it was to the fupreme intelligence, that the most fincere and the most flattering worship was directed; and this god, amidft the general cares which employed him, found leifure to attend more particularly to war, and valued his votary in proportion to his courage. Thus religion and love came to inflame, and not to foften the ferocity of the German. His fword gained to him the affection of his miftrefs, and conciliated the favour of his deity. The laft was even fond of obeying the call of the valiant; he appeared to them in battle, and fought by their fide (23). Devotion, of confequence, was not lefs meritorous than love or than valour (24). Christianity did not abolish this usage. It descended to the middle ages. And, to love God and the ladies, was the first leffon of chivalry (25).

But, though arms, gallantry, and devotion, produced the inftitutions of chivalry, and formed its manners, it is not to be fancied, that they operated these effects in a moment; and that, immediately on the settlements of the barbarians, this fabric

fabric was erected. The conquerors of Rome continued to feel and to practife in its provinces, the inftincts, the paffions, and the ufages to which they had been accuftomed in their original feats: They were to be active and ftrenuous, without perceiving the lengths to which they would be carried. They were to build, without knowing it, a most magnificent structure. Out of the impulse of their passions, the inftitutions of chivalry were gradually to form themfelves. The paffion for arms, the fpirit of gallantry, and of devotion, which fo many writers pronounce to be the genuine offspring of these wild affectations, were in fact their fource; and it happened, by a natural confequence, that, for a time, the ceremonies and the ufages produced by them, encouraged their importance, and added to their ftrength. The fteps which marked their progrefs, ferved to fofter their fpirit; and, to the manners of ages, which we too often defpife as rude and ignoble, not to political reflection or legiflative wifdom, is that fyftem to be afcribed, which was to act fo long and fo powerfully in fociety, and to produce infinite advantage and infinite calamity.

It is to those only who apply to rude focieties the ideas of a cultivated æra, that the institutions of chivalry seem the production of an enlightened policy. They remember not the inexperience of dark ages, and the attachment of nations to their ancient

ancient ufages. They confider not, that if an individual, in fuch times, were to arife, of a capacity to frame fchemes of legiflation and government, he could not reduce them to execution. He could not mould the conceptions of ftates to correspond to his own. It is from no pre-conceived plan, but from circumftances which exift in real life and affairs, that legiflators and politicians acquire an afcendency among men. It was the actual condition of their times, not projects fuggefted by philosophy and speculation, that directed the conduct of Lycurgus and Solon.

## SECTION. V.

# The Institutions of Chivalry, the Pre-eminence of Women, Politeness, and the Point of Honour.

**F**ROM the ftate of the feudal nobles, and the exertion of the right of private war, it refulted, that the lower orders of men were courted and attended to in an uncommon degree. The military retainers of a noble, and the inhabitants of his lands, conflituted his power; and it was not his intereft to neglect men who might offer their fervice to an enemy. They fhared in his property and greatnefs, were flattered with his countenance, and formed the bulwark which fupported him. His

His own fons, those of his vaffals and tenants, and the ambitious youth whom his renown attracted from a diftance, learned under his direction the art of war, fought his battles, and entitled themfelves to the honours of chivalry.

Every descendant of a gentleman, or every freeborn perfon, had a capacity to bear arms, and to afpire to knighthood : And a long train of fervices prepared him to receive it. From his earlieft years he attended the court, and refided in the cafile of his lord; and in this fchool he acquired all the knightly virtues. The emulation of his equals, the example and admonitions of his chief, and the company of the ladies, from whofe number he was to-felect the accomplished fair one, to whom he was to afcribe all his fentiments and his actions, inflamed in him the paffion for war, infufed into his mind a zeal for religion, and inftructed him in all the arts of a respectful gallantry. From the performance of domeftic duties, which were the first that employed his attention, he was called to the management of horfes and of armour (1). He then entered into greater familiarity with his lord, and accompanied him in all his hazardous expeditions. He became accuftomed to perils and to toils; he acquired, by degrees, the whole fcience of attack and of defence; and, when his hard apprenticeship was over, he acted

#### IN EUROPE.

acted himfelf as a knight, and fought and wished for ftill severer trials to exercise his ambition (2).

To adorn him with arms, was originally, as I remarked, the fimple ceremonial which invefted the warriour with knighthood. But greater pomp and folemnity came to express his advancement to this dignity. Its importance had grown with time; the feudal inftitutions had fostered a tafte for splendour; and the Christian clergy, who fucsceeded to the privileges of the Germanic priest, improving on their ambition, made religion interfere in its forms (3).

The candidate prefented himfelf in a church, where he confeffed his fins, and declared his repentance and remorfe. Abfolution was then given him, and he paffed the night in watching and pious meditation. In the morning he heard mafs, and, approaching the altar, placed his fword upon it, which was returned to him, with benedictions, by the hands of the prieft. The eucharift was next administred to him; and, having been bathed, to express the purity which was neceffary for the ftate into which he was to enter, he was dreffed in rich robes, and his fpurs and his fword were put on. He then appeared before his fovereign or his chief, and, receiving a blow upon the neck, was dubbed a knight. This parade, courtly as well as facred, was concluded with feafting and merriment (4). The

The fplendour, however, which accompanied the exaltation to knighthood, was proportioned to the wealth and the birth of the candidate.

The fame prodigal oftentation and punctilious grandeur, attended not the inveftiture of an inferior perfon, and the defcendant of a feudal lord. The rich and the great difplayed, on these occafions, their magnificence, their ingenuity, and their tafte (5). To furnish an aid, accordingly, to make his eldeft fon a knight, was one of the benevolences which were due to a feudal proprietor from his vaffals; and, during the prevalence and purity of the Gothic manners, no contribution was paid with greater chearfulnefs. But while, in times of feftival and peace, the admission to this honour was thus flately and ambitious, a gentle ftroke with a fword was fufficient, during war, to entitle to its privileges; and, in this form, in the day of a battle, or in the hour of victory, it was ufual to beftow it, in order to reward the valiant, and to encourage prowefs (6).

When the warriour was promoted to knighthood, the company and tables of the fovereign and the nobles were open to him; and in times, when perfonal qualities were the great fources of renown and merit, no diftinction was more confiderable or important. It was permitted to him to wear gold, fur, and filk, and to furpafs in the richnefs

richnefs of his drefs and arms. And, while his external appearance marked him out from inferior men, he was diffinguished in his own order by his enfigns-armorial, and the peculiarities of his blazonry (7). He had certain privileges in hunting; in executions for debt, it was not lawful to take his horfe and armour (8); and in the courts of law, fines beyond the ufual proportion were awarded to compensate his wrongs. When a prifoner, and, in the power of a conqueror, his rank preferved him from an unworthy or ignominious treatment. His word or promife might be relied upon with the firmeft affurance. Fetters and chains were only fit for the ignoble. When the chief, or the baron to whom he was more particularly attached, required not his aid, he might enter into the fervice of another mafter Penfions and prefents rewarded his prowefs; and he was enriched by the fhare he received in the fpoils of an enemy, and by the ranfoms of his captives (9). His usual appearance in the field was on horfeback (10), attended by an efquire; and, if his wealth fo increased, that he could afford to have knights in his train, his fovereign allowed him the use of a banner or a standard like the barons, and, like them, he exercised a civil as well as a military jurifdiction (11).

Nor did his death terminate the honours which were paid to him. The folemnity and ceremonies

nies of his funeral, expressed his merits and the public regrets. A monument was erected to him, and the ornaments with which it was embellished, fuiting his actions and history, inspired his posterity with a generous emulation. The sword which he had carried to battle, the shield which had defended his body, and the other articles of his dress and armour, became the objects of respect and veneration. The most illustrious perfons courted their possession, and churches were often esteemed the only proper repositories of these attendants of his victories and valour (12).

Splendid with knighthood, of which the honour was fo great as to give dignity even to kings and to princes, the generous and the afpiring were received in every quarter with attention and civility. The gates of every palace, and of every caftle, were thrown open to them; and, in the fociety of the fair, the brave relieved the feverities of war, and fed their paffion for arms. Though it was the fludy of the knight to confult the defence and the glory of the ftate, and to add to the ftrength and the reputation of his chief, yet the praise of his mistress was the spring of his valour, and the fource of his activity. It was for her that he fought and conquered. To her all his trophies were confecrated. Her eye lighted up in his bofom the fire of ambition. His enterprife, his courage.

courage, his splendour, his renown, proclaimed the power and the fame of her perfections.

The women failed not to feel their dominion. The dignity of rank and its proprieties, the pride of riches, the rivalship of beauty, unfolded their excellence and charms. Their natural modefty, the fanctity of marriage, the value of chaftity, improved with time and with Chriftianity. The respectful intercourse they held with the knights, the adoration paid to them, the torneaments at which they prefided (13), the virtues they infpired, the exploits atchieved to their honour, concurred to promote their elevation and luftre. To their enamoured votaries they feemed to be divinities; and toils, conflicts, and blood, purchased their favour and their fmiles.

Placed out to general admiration, they fludied to deferve it. Intent on the fame of their lovers, watchful of the glory of their nation, their affections were roufed; and they knew not that unquiet indolence, which, foftening the mind, awakens the imagination and the fenfes. Concerned in great affairs, they were agitated with great They profpered whatever was most paffions. noble in our nature, generofity, public virtue, humanity, prowefs. They partook in the greatnefs they communicated. Their foftnefs mingled with courage, their fenfibility pride. With the characteriftics of their own fex, they blended those of the other. F

Events

Events, important and affecting, actions of generofity, enterprife, and valour, exhibited in the course of public and private wars, were often employing their thoughts and conversation. And, in the feafons of feftivity and peace, the greater and the leffer torneaments exercifed their attention and anxiety (14). These images of war were announced with parade and ceremony. Judges were appointed to determine in them, and to maintain the laws of chivalry; and they were generally felected from among the aged knights. who came in crouds to live over again the fcenes they had acted, and to encourage and direct the intrepidity and the skill of the aspiring youth. The combatants, entering the lifts flowly, and with a grave and majeftic air, pronounced aloud the names of the ladies to whom they had vowed their hearts and their homage. This privilege they had obtained at the expence of many a gallant atchievement; and they were prefented by the fair ones with a ribband, a bracelet, a veil, or fome detached ornament of their drefs, which they affixed to their helmets or their fhields, and confidered as the pledges of victory (15). Every fignal advantage won in the conflicts, was proclaimed by the inftruments of the minftrels, and the voices of the heralds. Animated by the prefence of the ladies, by the fenfe of their former renown, and of that of their anceftors, the champions difplayed the most brilliant feats of activi-

66

ty,

#### IN EUROPE.

ty, address, and valour. And the ladies, entering into their agitations, felt the ardours of emulation, and the transports of glory (16). When the torneaments were finished, the prizes were distributed with a ceremonious impartiality. The officers who had been appointed to obferve every circumftance which paffed in the conduct of the combatants, made their reports to the judges. The fuffrages of the spectators were collected. After ferious deliberation, in which the most celebrated perfonages who were prefent were proud to affift, the names of the conquerors were pronounced. Ladies were then chofen, who were to prefent to them the fymbols of victory; and, in these fortunate moments, they were permitted to imprint a kifs on the lips of thefe fair difpofers of renown.

Amidst the contending praise of the judges and the knights, the mufic of war, and the fhouts of the people, the victors were now conducted to the palace of the prince or the noble who exhibited the torneament. There, at the feaft, which concluded their triumph, they were exposed to the keen look, and the impaffioned admiration of whatever was most accomplished in beauty and in arms; And, in the height of a glory, in which they might well have forgot that they were mortal, they employed themfelves to confole the knights they had vanquished, and ascribed their success to fortune, not to valour ; difplaying a demeanour complacent and gentle, difarming envy by modefty, and

F 2

68

and enchancing greatness by generous sympathy and magnanimous condescension (17).

The operation of love and of glory, fo powerful in the inftitutions of which I fpeak, was advanced and infpirited by religion; and principles, the most efficacious in our nature, built the fabric of the Gothic manners. Devotion had characterifed the barbarian in his woods. The god of war was propitious to the brave, the confecrated flandard led to victory (18), and an immortality and a paradife took away its terrors from death (19). Chriftianity, which looks with a fovereign contempt to every other mode of faith, which holds out to the believer the most flattering joys, and which, not contented with haunting guilt with remorfe in the prefent scene, lifts it from its grave to torture it with eternal pains in another exiftence; Chriftianity, I fay, was more calculated, than the fuperstitions of paganism, to impress the imagination and the heart (20). The rite of baptifm taught the follower of Odin to transfer his worship to Chrift. To defend Chriftianity with his fword and his life, became a facred vow, to which every knight was ambitious to fubmit. He confidered himfelf as a faint, as well as a hero; and, on the foundation of his piety, the fucceflors of St. Peter were to precipitate the armies of Europe upon Afia, and to commence the crufades, those memorable monuments of superstition and heroism (21). The

The lady, not lefs than the knight, was to feel the influence of this religion. Society was to be diffurbed with the fublime extravagance of fanatics, who were to court perfections out of the order of nature. Mortifications, aufterities, and penances, were to be meritorious in proportion to their duration and cruelty. The powers and affections of the mind and the heart, were to ficken and to languifh in frivolous and fatiguing ceremonials. The eye of beauty was to fadden in monafteries and in folitude, or to light the unholy fires 6f a rampant priefthood. The deity was to be worfhipped in abjectnefs and in terror, as 'if he contemned the works he had made, and to delight in human dejection and wretched nefs.

But, while ecclefiaftics, 'defigning and ambitious, were to abufe mank ind by the means of this new faith, it was to 'be beneficial to manners by the purity of its rhoral. While it was to guard the fexes from frailty, it invigorated the fenfe of juffice; and. in a period of diforder and confufion, taught the knight to be ftrenuous in vindicating the wrongs of the injured. The weak and the opyineffed, the orphan and the widow, had a parti-'cular claim to his protection. To difobey their call, was to infringe a law of chivalry, and to incur difhonour and infamy. He feemed, in fome meafure, to be entrufted with the power of the magiftrate; and the fashion of the times made him er art

him forward to employ his arm, and to fpill his blood in the caufe of innocence and virtue.

Thus war, gallantry, and devotion, confpired to form the character of the knight. And these manners, fo lofty and fo romantic, were for ages to give a fplendour to Europe, by directing the fortunes of its nations, and by producing examples of magnanimity and valour, which are unequalled in the annals of mankind. But their effects in pohy and war, however confpicuous, are of little contraration, when compared with the permanent ton, they communicated to fociety. The spirit of humanity, which diftinguishes modern times in the poinds of war, as well as of peace; the gallantry which prevails in our conversations and private intercourt; on our theatres, and in our public affemblies and amusements; the point of honour which corrects us violence of the paffions, by improving our delicacy, and the fense of propriety and decorum; and which, by teaching us to confider the importance of othes, makes us value our own; these circumstances are out of chivalry, and difcriminate the modern from the antient world.

The knight, while he acquired, in the company of the ladies, the graces of external behaviour, improved his natural fenfibility and tendernefs. He fmoothed over the roughnefs of war with politenefs.

litenefs. To be rude to a lady, or to fpeak to her difadvantage, was a crime which could not be pardoned. He guarded her poffeffions from the rapacious, and maintained her reputation against flander. The uncourteous offender was driven from the fociety of the valiant; and the interpofition of the fair was often neceffary to protect him from death. But the courtefy of the knight, though due in a peculiar manner to the female fex, extended itself to all the business and intercourse of civil life. He fludied a habitual elegance of manners. Politeness became a knightly virtue; it even attended him to the field of battle, and and checked his paffions in the ardour of victory The generofity and the delicate attentions he fhowed to the enemy he had vanquished, are a fatire on the warriours of antiquity (23). His triumphs were difgraced by no indecent joy, no brutal ferocity. Courteous and generous in the general strain of his conduct, refined to extravavagence in his gallantry to the ladies, and the declared protector of religion and innocence, he was himfelf to be free from every ftain. His rank, his duties, and his cares, made him aim at the perfection of virtue. His honour was to be as inconteftable as his valour. He profeffed the moft fcrupulous adherence to truth and to justice. And, the defects of civil government, and his perfonal independence, gave an uncommon value and propriety to his perfonal fidelity. The formalities of the fingle combat, which

which were fo fcrupuloufly juft, as to remove even the fufpicion of every thing unfairand difhonourable, foftered the punctilious nicety of his demeanour (24). To utter a falsehood, was an offence of which the infamy was never to be effaced. The culprit was degraded from knighthood; a punishment more terrible to the warriour than death (25). To give the lie to a knight was, of confequence, to infult him in a point the most tender; and, while he was careful to maintain his integrity, and ambitious to entitle himfelf to its honours, he was ardent and forward to defend himfelf against an improper accusation, and to punish the abuser of his name. His delicacies on this head demand refpect and commendation; yet the rigid moralift has been pleafed to make them the object of his ridicule. His ridicule, however, is as abfurd as it is contemptuous. It applies not to the purer ages of chivalry, when honour was infeparable from virtue; and, perhaps, it is unjust in every application, but when it refers to individuals, who, being foul with meannefs, lay claim to the confideration of probity and character, and infolently appeal to their fwords to fupport their pretenfions.

A VIEW

# V I E W

OF

A

# SOCIETY IN EUROPE,

## IN ITS PROGRESS FROM RUDENESS TO REFINEMENT.

# B O O K II. CHAPTER L

Of the Spirit of Fiefs.

## SECTION I.

A diffinction in the Hiftory of the Feudal Affociation. The Feudal Incidents. Their Advantages in one Situation. Their Difadvantages in another. The Influence of these different Situations on Society and Manners.

THE generofity of the barbaric manners was to fuffer by the growing propenfity to intereft: Refinement and property were to open up the felfifhnefs of mankind; and the feudal affociation, which was originally an exercise of bounty and gratitude,

gratitude, was to be a fource of opprefilon and wantonnefs. The fruits of love, amity, and friendfhip, were to become the foundation of difcord and contention. The fuperior and the vaffal, the chief and the retainer, fo intimately connected, and fo fondly attached, were to be hoftile to each other. Violence and corruption were to disfigure fociety; and fcenes of fplendour, liberty, and greatnefs, were to be fucceeded by rapacity, opprefilon, and meannefs.

The diffinction of these different fituations, though neglected by the antiquary, the lawyer, and historian, is yet a matter of the greatest importance. It is, in fome measure, the key to the history of modern nations. It will lead us to discover many mistakes and misapprehensions which conceal and deform topics of the highest moment and curiosity. It will overthrow many positions which have perplexed and misses of the fearches of the learned, and the reasonings of the speculative.

While the greatness and fimplicity of those manners, which the conquerors of Rome brought with them from their woods, continued to animate their posterity, the feudal affociation was noble in its principles, and useful in its practice. The folicitudes, and the mercenery spirit which rife up with

with commerce, were unknown, and the fulleft fcope was given to nature and the paffions. The actions and conduct of men were directed by fentiment and affection. In the ardour of private confederacies, the general feelings of generofity were augmented. The emotions of the heart increafed their force by confinement. And the lord and the vaffal were linked to each other in the closeft connection. The arms and the zeal of his followers were the ftrength and the bulwark of the chief or the fuperior. The bounty and the power of the chief or the fuperior, were the fubfiftence and protection of the followers or the vaffals. Their interefts and their paffions were the fame; and a conftant communication of good offices kept alive their attachments.

The vaffal, kneeling before his lord, and putting his hands into his, acknowledged him for his fuperior; 'I become,' faid he, 'your man, 'from this day forward, for life, and limb, and 'earthly honour.' The lord, receiving him in his arms, gave him the kifs, which beftowed his countenance and favour. This rite, known under the appellation of *homage*, expressed fubmission and reverence on the part of the vasfal, protection and defence on that of the lord. The oath of *fealty*, or the engagement of fidelity, was then pronounced. 'Hear this, my lord,' faid the vasfal,

fal, ' I will be faithful and loyal to you, for the ' tenements I hold. So help me God and his ' faints (1).' They were exact to obligations in which were comprised their intereft, their glory, and their pleafure. In every act of civil life, in peace and in war, they found alike the uses and advantages of their union. In the caftle of the lord, the vaffal added to his retinue, and proclaimed his magnificence. In his court he affifted in the administration of justice. In the field, he fought by his fide, and covered his perfon with his fhield. On the foundation of their connection, and that of the land or fief, which the former beflowed on the latter, a train of incidents were to arife, the unequivocal expressions of friendship and habitude, the tender and affectionate fruits of an intercourfe the most devoted and zealous.

While the grants of land were precarious, or for life, the fuperior was fond to educate in his hall the expectants of his fiefs. And, when they defcended to a feries of heirs, or in perpetuity, he was careful, on the death of the feudator, to take the charge of his fon, and his eftate. The former was a hope to him of future greatnefs. He protected his perfon, directed his education, and watched over his concerns. He felt a pride in obferving his approaches to manhood, and delivered to him, on his majority, the lands of his anceftor, which he had been fludious to improve. Thefe

These cares were expressed in the incident of wardship.

The vaffal, on entering to his fief, confcious of gratitude, and won with the attentions of his lord, made him a prefent. This acknowledgement, fo natural, and fo commendable, produced the incident of *relief*.

Grateful for the paft, and anxious for the future favour of his chief, the vaffal did not incline to ally himfelf to a family which was hoftile to him. The chief was ambitious to add to his power and fplendour, by confulting the advantageous alliance of his vaffal. They joined in finding out the lady whofe charms and whofe connections might accord with the paffions of the one and the policy of the other. This attention gave eftablifhment to the incident of marriage.

When the fuperior was reduced to diffrefs and captivity, in the courfe of public or of private wars, when he was in embaraffment from prodigality or wafte, when he required an augmentation of means to fupport his grandeur, or to advance his fchemes and ambition, the vaffal was forward to relieve and affift him by the communication of his wealth. On this foundation there grew the incident of *aid*.

When the vaffal gave way to violence and diforder,

78

order, or when by cowardice, treachery, or any ftriking delinquence, he rendered himfelf unworthy of his fief, the facred ties which bound him to his lord were infringed. It was neceffary to deprive him of his land, and to give it to a more honourable holder. This was the origin of the incident of *efcbeat* (2).

Amidst the contention of friendship, and the mutuality of mind which exercised and informed the lord and the vaffal, there was experienced a condition of activity, liberty, and happinefs (3). The vaffals attended to the retainers who were immediately below them. In their turn, they were courted by the lords, whofe ftrength they conftituted (4). And the lords gave importance to the fovereign. A fubordination was known, which was regular, compact, and powerful. The conftituent parts interested in government (5), as well as war, were attentive, in their feveral departments, to the purpofes of order and juffice ; and, in national operations, they acted with an union that made them formidable. Of this affociation, political liberty was the refult. And, while this fortunate ftate of things continued, the people, in every country of Europe, came in arms to their national affembly, or appeared in it by their reprefentatives (6).

Such, in a more particular manner, was the condition

condition of the Anglo-Saxon period of our hiftory; and the people, happy alike in their individual and their politic capacity, as men and as citizens, were to bear, more reluctantly, the oppreflions of the Norman times. The impreflion of their felicity was to defeend down with vivacity, in the fucceflion of the earlier Norman princes, and to produce the moft memorable ftruggles for liberty.

Nor was it in England only that fuch convulfions were experienced. The fame injuffice and opprefions which were to fhake this nation, prevailed in every country of Europe, and gave a beginning to those contentions which were to terminate in the deftruction of their ancient independence.

In this ifland alone, the valour and the fortune of its inhabitants were to teach freedom to revive in the midft of tyranny. The barons and the people were to inform King John of his condition and their own; and to give those leffons of inftruction to his fucceffors which they are never to forget without danger; and which a future tyrant was to confirm with his blood, while an injured nation made it to ftream from the fcaffold to atone an infolent ambition, and violated laws.

Diforders, which were to be felt throughout Europe, are not to be referred entirely to the rapacity

pacity and the administration of princes. There must be a cause more comprehensive and general, to which they are chiefly to be ascribed.

The original manners which the conquerors of the Romans brought from their forefts, were to fpend their force. The high fentiments which had refulted from the limited ideas of property, were to decay. The generous maxims of the feudal affociation, and the difinterested wildness of chivalry, were to fuffer with time. Property was unfolded in all its relations, and in all its ufes. It became a diffinction more powerful than merit, and was to alter the condition of fociety. By feparating the intereft of the lord and the vaffal, it was to deftroy for ever the principles of their affociation; and the incidents, which, in a better age, had foftered their friendship, were to feed their rage, and to prolong their animofity. As their confederacy had been attended with advantages and glory, their difaffection was marked with debasement and subjection. Out of the sweets of love, a fatal bitterness was engendered. Sufferance was to fucceed to enjoyment; opprefiion to Society and government were to be freedom. tumultuous and diforderly; and difeafes and infirmities were to threaten their decay.

In the prevalence of property and of mercenary views, the *ward* of the infant vaffal, which the fuperior

#### IN EUROPE.

perior once confidered as a facred care and an hononourable truft, was to be regarded in no other light than as a lucrative emolument. The acquifitions of the vaffal, which, in their flate of agreement and cordiality, were a ftrength to the lord, feemed now to detract from his domains. He committed fpoil on the effate which, of old, it was his pride to improve. He neglected the education of the heir. He gave repeated infults to his perfon. The relations of the vaffal were often to buy from the fuperior the cuftody of his perfon and his lands. This right was more frequently to be let out to exercise the rapacity of ftrangers. The treafury of princes was to increafe with this traffic; and fubject-fuperiors were to imitate, as well from neceffity as from choice, the example of princes (7.) The heir, on his joylefs majority, received the lands of his anceftor; and, while he furveyed, with a melancholy eye, his caftles which bore marks of neglect, and his fields, which were deformed with wafte, new grievances were to embitter his complaints, and fwell his paffions.

The relief, which originally was no more than a present, at the pleasure of the vasial, on his entering into the fief, was confolidated into a right. An expression of gratitude was converted into a debt and a burden. The fuperior, before he invefted the heir in his land, made an exaction from him, in which he had no rule but his rapacity. His

His demand was exorbitant and grievous. And, if the heir delayed too long to extinguifh this fine of redemption, or was unable to pay it, the fuperior continued his poffeffion of the eftate. Rigours, fo humiliating and fo frantic, produced clamour, difcontent, and outrage. Mitigations were to be applied to them, and to prove ineffectual. Laws were to be made againft them, and to be difregarded (8).

The marriage of the vaffal, which could not be abufed while their affociation was firm and their interest mutual, became a most ruinous perquisite, when their affociation was broken, and their intereft difcordant. The fuperior could give his vaffal in marriage to whom he pleafed. This right he exerted as a property. It might be purchafed from him by the vaffal himfelf, or by a stranger. The marriage of the vassal, without the confent of the fuperior, involved the forfeiture of the eftate, or was punished with oppressive penalties. It was a rule, indeed, refulting out of their former habitudes, that the heir fhould not be married to his difparagement (9). But this rule was overlooked amidst the violence of the times. The fuperior had no check but from his humanity, the vaffal no relief but in remonftrance.

This right, fo mortifying to the male heir, was a ftretch

a firetch of ftill wilder opprefion, and more ferocious cruelty, when exercifed on the female ward. Her hand might be tendered at the will of the fuperior. He might pay no attention to her affections. She was to fubmit at his mandate to indecent embraces, unfanctioned with love. Her beauty was to lofe its fweets, and her heart its enjoyments, to feed his avaricc, and to gratify his whim. Her relations were often to buy from him a privilege fo frightful; and the unfeeling tyrant was to paint the horrors of its exertion, to extort his demand (10).

The aid which, in happier times, the vaffal beflowed out of benevolence to relieve the diffrefs, and to affift the grandeur of his lord, became a burden and a tax in the mifery of their difaffection. It was arrogated as a duty and a tax. The lord called for an aid or contribution, when his eldeft daughter was married, when his eldeft fon was made a knight, and when, having been taken in war, his own perfon was to be ranfomed. These were effeemed the legal occasions when exactions could be made (11). But cuftom and practice authorifed the requifition of aids on pretences the most frivolous. When the crown or the lord was difposed to be oppressive, they could find a reason for an aid; and wants, not his own, were to affect every moment the fubstance of the vaffal (12).

While

While their confederacy was maintained, it was not on any flight foundation, that the fief could be taken from the vaffal. Cowardice, difhonour, treachery, or treason, were then the causes of efcheat. The lord was not to be fo offended with leffer delinquencies, as to take poffession of the eftate. In the times, however, of their difagreement, the caufes of forfeiture were to multiply, and he was to be active to enforce them. Trefpaffes and trifles were to be fufficient grounds for the feifure of lands, of which the poffeffor was offenfive. The vaffal held a precarious and dangerous territory; and, with a mind difposed to be hoftile to his chief, was to obferve to him an attentive and punctilious demeanour. If he refused too long to attend the court of the fuperior, and to give his oath of fidelity; if he happened to commit the flighteft infringement of his oath; if he forefaw any misfortune that was to befal his lord, and neglected to inform him of it; if, by any act, he was to affect the credit or the reputation of his fuperior; if he should chance to reveal any private circumftance concerning him; if he should grant an infeudation in any other form than that in which he held his own; if he should make love to the wife or the daughter of his lord, or fhould carefs his fifter, while yet a virgin and unmarried; thefe, and reafons still more abfurd, were to forfeit the eftate to the fuperior,

perior, and to involve the ruin of the vaffal, and that of his family (13).

A fyftem of opprefion the moft deftructive was thus eftablished; and, by a ftrange peculiarity in the history of mankind, the same *incidents* were to act in the production of fituations the most oppofite. In one period, they were to encourage liberty and happines; in another, rapacity and favagenes. Prosperity and vigour attended the feudal affociation in its youth. Its maturity was marked with peevishness and infirmities; and a croud of observers, being only to see it in this condition, were to mistake its spirit, and to survey it without enlargement.

The monks, who, on the revival of letters, prefumed to chronicle the transactions of men, looked to the pass with the prejudices of their own times. They could know, and could comprehend, no manners but their own. The cultivated historian was to observe and to complain of their omiss; but, instead of labouring to supply them, he was only to arrange their materials, to hold out, with lustre, some superior names, and to give his narrative the charm of picture and ornament. The lawyer and the antiquary were to be equally uninstructive; while the former confines his remark to the legislation and the practice of his own age; and while the latter, amufing himself in the search of
86

of dates and of trifles, feeks not to advance into any general views, or to catch the fpirit of those ancient periods, which provoke his fweat and his toils.

The ulages and cultoms which the barbaric tribes brought from their woods, the remote fource of all their laws, transactions, and eftablishments, were to be observed with a transient regard. They are, notwithstanding, the fure guides which are to direct the inquirer in the darkness and obscurity of the middle times. They point to, and evince the diffinction that is now made in the history of the feudal affociation. And, they are to lead to other diffinctions of curiofity and usefulness.

#### SECTION II.

A Diffinction in the Hiftory of Arms and Chivalry. The Sovereign is confidered as the Fountain of Honour. The Epoch of the Grandeur of Chivalry. The Decline of Fiefs. The Remedy for their Recovery. The Invention of Knight-fervice. The Knight's Fee. The Diffinction between the Knight of Tenure and the Knight of Honour. Fiefs under Knight-fervice.

THE decline of the Gothic manners, while it affected fo ftrongly the feudal affociation, did not fail to extend its influence to chivalry and arms. Every Every possessfor of a fief conferred, of old, at his pleafure, the dignity of knighthood; and every perfon who had been admitted to knighthood, had a title to beftow it. But, when the feudal connection was infringed, and its generous principles were deftroyed, the feudatory was difposed no longer to feek out the meritorious whom he might advance to an honour, which was to be an advantage, and to reflect a glory to his superior. He was now the enemy, not the friend of his lord, and wished neither to add to his splendour in peace, nor to his power in war. He had grown more felfish with time, and the knowledge of property. He was to avoid, not lefs from intereft than paffion, the having knights in his train. The right which it had been his pride to exercife, he regarded with coldness. And, what the possessor of the fief was carelefs to beftow, the fimple knight did not pertinacioufly arrogate as a prerogative. The prince or fovereign, from whom it had always been the greatest favour to receive this dignity, came, by degrees, exclusively to confer it. At the head of the ftate and of arms, he was to be confidered as the fountain of honour.

The diffinction of knighthood, accordingly, did not immediately fall in the declenfion of the feudal affociation. It felt, indeed, the fhock which feparated the interefts of the fuperior and the vaffal; but, furviving its impulfe, it was to rife, rife, for a time, in height and fplendour. When in the creation only, and at the difpofal of the Prince, it was to acquire a value from his greatnefs. It was to be given, for a feafon, with more choice and referve, than when at the will of the poffeffor of the fief, and of the fimple knight. Higher feats of prowefs, the poffeffion of greater wealth, more illuftrious defcent, were to be required in its candidate. This was the epoch of its luftre and renown. Heralds, fkilful in pedigrees and armories, were to multiply. The duel was to improve in ceremony and parade; torneaments were to advance in magnificence; and, a court of chivalry, extensive in its jurifdicton, was to regulate deeds of arms, and ulages of war (1).

But, while the feudal affociation in its decline was thus to contribute to the elevation of the antient chivalry, by threatening its ruin, it was to produce effects of ftill higher importance, and of an operation not lefs univerfal. It was to give a new appearance to fiefs, and a more regular form to the feudal militia. It was to protract the fall of a fyftem already ruinous, to create new diforders, and to lead to new eftablifhments.

Though the cordiality of the lord and the vaffal was decayed, the grant of land from the former to the latter continued its obligations. The vaffal was held by a tie, which he could not renounce without forfaking his importance. His property and fubfiftence faftened him to an enemy. His paffions

88

passions and his duties were at variance. He might hate theperfon of his lord, but he was to bow to him as his fuperior. The grant of land he enjoyed, bound him to the performance of military fervice. With a cold heart, he was to buckle himfelf in his armour; and, with reluctant fteps, he was to follow the march of his chief. Of old, it had been his fondeft attention to carry all his ftrength against an enemy, that he might display his own greatnefs, and add to the magnificence of his fuperior. He now furnished unwillingly the least affiftance in his power. The fervour of his former conduct was never more to advance the meafures of ambition. And, in this ftate of things, the feudal militia was to obstruct and retard, rather than to forward the operations of princes.

In the heart of a populous kingdom, and furrounded with fubjects accuftomed to arms, the feudal fovereign was thus to feel an unnatural weaknefs. A malady, fo formidable, could not but produce an anxiety for its cure. And, what is no lefs certain than peculiar, in the different countries of Europe, the fame remedy was applied to it.

Fiefs, or the grants of land under military fervice, had advanced from being annual to be for life; and, from being donations for life, they were to proceed to be hereditary. It was before the eftablishment of this ultimate point in their progreffion

greflion, that the happiness of the feudal affociation was disturbed. And, it was the establishment of this point which was to afford the opportunity to princes of recovering, in some degree, their greatness. While the cordiality of the vassal was maintained, a general obligation of military fervice was sufficient to induce him to marshal all his force in the field. When this cordiality was destroyed, policy was to extort what his generofity and attachment had conferred. Lands were to be burdened with a *full* and exact proportion of foldiers. The giving them out in perpetuity was the feason for annexing this burden. An expedient, natural, and not to be opposed, fuggested itfelf. The tenure of *knight-fervice* was invented.

A portion of land, of which the grant, by the agreement of the giver and the receiver, entitled to the fervice of a foldier or a knight, was a knight's fee. An eftate, of two hundred fees, furnished, of confequence, two hundred knights. Manours, baronies, and earldoms, were thus powerful, in proportion to their extensiveness. The grants from the fovereign to the nobles claimed the fervice of fo many knights; and the fub-infeudations of the nobles enabled them to perform this fervice (2). The tenants of the crown who were not noble, had alfo their fees, and furnished proportionally their knights. Grants in capite, or from the fovereign, and the fub-infeudations of vaffals, called

90

ed out the force of the kingdom. The prince, the nobility, and the people, were in the capacities of a general, officers, and foldiers. A call to arms put the nation into motion. An army, numerous and powerful, could be affembled with expedition, exact in its arrangements, and in a ftate for defence and hoftility (3).

Such, I conceive, was the origin and nature of the tenure of knight-fervice. And thus, in the hiftory of the feudal inftitutions, there are two remarkable periods; the epoch which preceded the invention of knight-fervice, and the epoch during which it prevailed.

The knights produced by this tenure, differed moft effentially from the knights of whom I have formerly fpoken. But, though the train of thinking into which I have been led, points to their peculiarities with an obvious clearnefs, the miftakes of grave men, and attention to perfpicuity, oblige me to express their diffinctive characters (4).

The one class of knights was of a high antiquity; the other was not heard of till the invention of a *fee*. The adorning with arms and the blow of the fword, made the act of the creation of the antient knight; the new knight was conftituted by an investment in a piece of land. The former was the member of an order of dignity which which had particular privileges and diffinctions; the latter was the receiver of a feudal grant. Knighthood was an honour; knight-fervice a tenure. The first communicated splendour to an army; the last gave it strength and numbers. The knight of honour might serve in any station whatever; the knight of tenure was in the rank of a soldier.

It is true, at the fame time, that every noble and baron were knights of tenure, as they held their lands by knight-fervice. But the number of fees they poffeffed, and their creation into rank, feparated them widely from the fimple individuals, to whom they gave out grants of their lands, and who were merely the knights of tenure. It is no lefs true, that the fovereign, without conferring nobility, might give even a fingle fee to a tenant; and, fuch vaffals in capite of the crown, as well as the vallals of fingle fees from a fubject, were the mere knights of tenure. But the former, in refpect of their holding from the crown, were to be called to take upon themfelves the knighthood of honour; a condition, in which they might rife from the ranks, and be promoted to offices and command. And, as to the vaffals in capite of the crown, who had many fees, their wealth, of itfelf, fufficiently diffinguished them beyond the ftate of the mere knights of tenure. In fact, they poffeffed an authority over men who were of this laft description;

92

defcription; for, in proportion to their lands, were the fees they gave out, and the knights they commanded (5).

It was, in this manner, that the tenure of knightfervice came to recover the feudal militia, at a time when it was perifhing in weaknefs. But, though it bound more clofely, in the connection of land, the fuperior and the vaffal, by the fixednefs of the fervice it enjoined, it could not bring back their antient cordiality. It gave a ftrength and confiftency to the military department of the feudal inftitutions; but it removed none of their civil inconveniencies and burdens. Thefe, on the contrary, were to increafe during its prevalence. It was to brace, only, with a temporary vigour, a fyftem which no prudence or art could accommodate to refining manners.

The *incidents*, which had grown with the progress of fiefs, still continued their operation. Every grant by the tenure of knight-fervice, was attended with homage and fealty, and was exposed to wardship and relief, to marriage, aid, and escheat. The superior had still his pretensions and his claims; the vassal was still to suffer and to complain. Promises of the relaxation of the feudal perquisites, were to be made by princes, and to be forgotten. Legal solemnities of restraint were to be held out, and, occasionally, to produce

ž

duce their effect. But, palliatives, feeble or forced, were not to controul the fpirit of the fyftem and the times. Fiefs, while they fuftained, in the tenure of knight-fervice, the grandeur of the European ftates were wafting with internal debilities. And the eye, in furveying their ftrength and magnificence, can trace the marks of an approaching weaknefs and decline.

to be for otten. Least foistneilles of referent

3

CHAP-

#### IN EUROPE.

# CHAPTER II.

The Progression of Fiefs. The Benefice, the Fief, and Allodiality. Different Opinions on these Topics. The Fruits of the Fief. Its Perpetuity. The Æra of Hereditary Fiefs. Knight-service. Particular Applications to the History of England. Doubts concerning the Introduction of the Feudal Law into England. A Solution of them. Of Fiefs under William the Norman. A Distinction concerning their Condition in the Anglo-Saxon and the Anglo-Norman Times. The repeated Demands for the Revival of the Laws of Edward the Confessor. An Explanation of this Difficulty. The Introduction of Knight-fervice into England. Of the Number of Knight-fees there.

I HAVE endeavoured to inveftigate the rife and nature of the Feudal grant, and the varying fpirit of the Feudal affociation; I have attempted to diftinguifk the chivalry of arms, and the chivalry of tenure; and, I have ventured to open up the origin of knight-fervice, which was to place the feudal inftitutions in their laft, and most interesting fituation. It is now fit I should mark the different periods in the progression of fiefs, express, in one view, their birth, growth, and maturity,

turity, and enter into applications of the reafonings I have made. The ufe of my principles will thus be confirmed. And, in performing this tafk, I forefee I muft alfo meet with errors and prejudices, which the talents of ingenious men have confecrated; but of which, it is the tendency to load hiftory with difficulties, and to perplex fcience with doubt.

In the manners of the ancient Germans, I have found the fource and fpirit of the feudal laws, To thefe, the grant of land under military fervice has a certain and decifive reference. Its appearance, at the will or pleafure of the grantor, was even a confequence of the limited ideas of property, and of the forms and regulations which directed the condition of land while these nations remained in their woods. They could have no conception, on their conquefts, of a gift of land in perpetuity; becaufe, of fuch gifts they had no knowledge. The object of the grants then made, was military fervice ; their duration was the pleafure of the grantor; and the fpreading of fuch donations through the different orders of the ftate, from the fovereign to the chiefs, and from the chiefs to the retainers, connected together the inhabitants of a kingdom.

But the new fituation of the barbarians on their fettlements, and the natural advancement of manners,

ners, were to communicative to them ideas of property. Their continued occupation of allodial lands, exhibiting the use and conveniencies of a permanent poffession, established the notion of it. They perceived, by comparison, the difadvantages of the lands under military fervice, which were revokable at the call of the donor. A year, therefore, and then the life of the vaffal, became the terms of the enjoyment of these gifts (1). They grew to be fucceffive. The rights of the father were to be refpected, and his fervices to be remembered in his pofterity. And, in this condition of them, the fuperior might choofe, out of the family of the feudatory, the fon the most valiant, and the most acceptable to him (2). The perpetuity of the grant was next to be underftood. The prior readiness and capacity of the eldeft for to do its duties, were to establish primogeniture and hereditary fuccession. And the firm connection of the property in the defcendants and blood of the proprietor, had produced an intereft in the daughter, and, on the failure of male heirs, made the land inheritable to women.

During all this progrefs, the term *benefice*, and, during a part of it, the term *fief*, were to exprefs thefe donations. Even after the grants of land had become hereditary, they were to continue to exprefs them. Thefe names, of confequence, H have

7

98

have a reference to the fame cuftoms and the fame inftitutions.

There are yet authors, who affirm that the benefice and the fief were different; and, when they only mean, that the *benefice* denoted the grant in its flate of fluctuation, and the *fief* its condition of perpetuity, their diffinction is frigid; for thefe were fleps in the progreffion of the fame law. But, in another afpect, their diffinction is a mark of a more cenfurable inattention; for they ought to have known, that authentic monuments of hiftory have repeated examples of the ufe of *benefice* and *fief* in expreffing a gift of land under military fervice, and under a hereditary right (3).

There are writers more deceitful than thefe, who are not afraid to contend, that the *benefice* was allodiality, or the land which was free and capable of alienation. The proofs, however, which evince the connection between the words *benefice* and *fief* deftroy this notion; and there are laws and records of the barbarians which make an actual diftinction between the allodial and the beneficiary lands, which allude to the extensive condition of the former, and the unalienable ftate and the military fervice of the latter (4).

From the grant at difcretion to the hereditary eftate, benefices or fiefs were to know the rites of homage and fealty. For the vaffal, from the moment

ment of his admission to the protection of the fuperior, was to make an acknowledgment of his fubmission and respect, and to give an affurance of his fervice and fidelity. He was also to be fubject to the feudal incidents or cafualities. For, from the earlieft times of the feudal grants, the fuperior was to find it neceffary to educate in his hall the expectants of his fiefs, or his future vaffals. To this care he was even to be led oftner, and with greater attention, when the fief was precarious, and for life, than when it was to pais down in fucceffion; and the law, and not his choice, was to point out to him the infant heir. In every period of the advancement of the fief, the fuperior was to receive a prefent or relief on the grant of his land; he was to have a concern in the marriage of his vaffal; he was to be affifted by his bounty or aid; and, on his delinquence, he was to revoke or take back the donation (5).

There are writers, notwithstanding, of diffinguished penetration, and of extensive learning, who are confident and certain that these things were the fruits of the perpetuity of the fief; and, I am fenfible, that the tribe of lawyers, who copy one another from generation to generation, have embalmed this fancy in their fystems (6). But it is altogether impossible, that the perpetuity of the fief could act in their creation. For, at the period it

it discovered itself, the feudal affociation had loft its cordiality. The fuperior and the vaffal were in a ftate of hoftility; and, in this fituation, a train of rites and incidents could not poffibly be created, which fuppofe protection and reverence, generofity and friendship. These rites and incidents were to diffinguish those early and fortunate periods, when the interefts of the fuperior and the vaffal were the fame, and their paffions mutual; and, though they preferved their existence down to melancholy times, and were to act as caufes of oppression, they had yet fostered the noblest principles of our nature. After throwing a luftre on human affairs in one condition of manners, they were to degrade them in another. They were to feel the influence of refinement and felfishness: and, in this laft fituation, the perpetuity of the fief, which these writers confider as their fource. was to add a regularity to their appearance, and to encourage their feverity.

From the conquefts of the barbarians, till the ninth century, fiefs were in their ftate of fluctuation. It was about the year 877 (7) that the perpetuity of the fief was eftablished in France. And it was known in every country of Europe in the commencement of the tenth century.

The tenure of knight-fervice was foon to follow the perpetuity of the fief, and was connected with it. There is, accordingly, an inftance of a knight-

#### IN EUROPE.

a knight-fee in the year 880 (8). In the reign of Hugh Capet, who was raifed to the throne in the year 987, this tenure extended itfelf over France; and after having appeared in other nations of Europe, it was introduced into England. But, in this laft country, there are peculiarities concerning the beginnings and the progrefs of fiefs, which have been the fubject of fruitlefs inquiries and conjectures. I must not, therefore, pafs them over in filence. If my principles are just, they ought to diffipate the darknefs which covers a portion of our hiftory fo memorable and fo important:

Many learned writers are politive, that the Anglo Saxons were ftrangers to fiefs, and that there were introduced into England by William Duke of Normandy (9). There are writers not lefs learned, who affirm, that fiefs were not introduced into England by the Duke of Normandy, but prevailed among the Anglo-Saxons in the condition in which they were known under William (10). Great men range themfelves on each fide of the queftion, and I will not detract from their merits. But, it will be permitted to me to express my fentiments.

It cannot be true, that the Saxons, who fettled in England, were ftrangers to fiefs. For, in this cafe, they muft have renounced the manners to which they had been accuftomed in Germany. They muft have yielded to views different from all

all the other Gothic tribes who made conquefts. They muft have adopted new and peculiar cuftoms. And hiftory has not remarked these deviations and this diffimilarity.

It cannot be true, that William the Norman introduced fiefs into England. The introduction of a fyftem fo repugnant to all the inftitutions which ufually govern men; which was to force into an uncommon direction both government and property; which was to hold out new maxims in public and private life; which was to affect, in a particular manner, inheritance and eftates; to give a peculiar form to juffice and courts; to change the royal palace, and the houfeholds of gentlemen; to overturn whatever was fixed and eftablifhed in cuftoms and ufages; to innovate all the natural modes of thinking and of acting; could not poffibly be the operation of one man, and of one reign.

Let us not be deceived by names and by authorities. Fiefs were to run the fame career in England which they had experienced in the other countries of Europe. They were to be at pleafure and annual, for life, feries of years, and in perpetuity; and, in all thefe varieties, they were to be exhibited in the the Anglo-Saxon period of our flory. The hereditary grant, as well as the grant in its preceding fluctuations, was known to our Saxon anceftors. anceftors. Of this, the conformity of manners which muft neceffarily have prevailed between the Saxons, and all the other conquering tribes of the barbarians, is a moft powerful, and a fatisfactory argument. Nor is it fingle and unfupported. Hiftory and law come in aid to analogy; and thefe things are proved by the fpirit and text of the Anglo-Saxons laws, and by actual grants of hereditary eftates under military fervice (11).

It is, at the fame time, not lefs true, that the ftate of fiefs in England, under William the Norman, differed most effentially from their condition among the Anglo-Saxons. The writers, therefore, who contend that they existed in the ages previous to Duke William, in the fame form in which they appeared after his advancement to the crown, are mistaken. For, under the Anglo-Saxon princes, no mention is made of those feudal *feverities* which were to shake the throne under William and his fuccessors. Yet fiefs, under the Anglo-Saxons, in every step of their progression, must have been connected with those feudal *incidents* which were the fources of these feverities.

This difficulty, which, on a flight obfervation, appears to be inexplicable, will yield to my principles. The varying fpirit of the feudal affociation, which I have been careful to remark, accounts for it in a manner the most easy and the most natural. When the superior and the vaffal were friends

friends, and their connection was warm and generous, the feudal incidents were acts of cordiality and affection. When they were enemies, and their connection was preferved, not by the commerce of the paffions and the heart, but merely by the tie of land, the feudal incidents were acts of opprefilon and feverity. During the Anglo-Saxon times, the affectionate flate of the feudal affociation prevailed. During the times of Duke William, and his immediate fucceffors, their hoftile condition was experienced. Hence the mildnefs and happinefs of our Saxon anceftors; hence the complaints and grievances of our Norman progenitors.

This folution of a difficulty, which has been a fruitful fource of miftake, is ftrongly confirmed by a peculiarity which I am now to mention, and which, in its turn, is to lead to the explication of a problem that has been alike perplexing to our antiquaries and hiftorians.

It was from Duke William, down to King John, that the people of England were to complain loudly of the feudal feverities; and, during this long period of outrage and lamentation, it was their inceffant defire, that the laws of Edward the Confeffor fhould be reftored. It is, therefore, beyond all doubt, that the feudal feverities were not heard of during the times of King Edward. The fuperior and the vaffal were then cordial and happy in each each other. The feudal incidents were then exprefions of generofity and attachment.

But Duke William, who was to acknowledge, by his laws, the freedom of the English government, which he was to infult by his administration, enacted, that the poffeffors of land should not be harraffed with unjust exactions and tallages (12). He thus promifed an alleviation of the feudal feverities. And, what feems conftantly to have attended this promise, he formally reftored and confirmed the laws of the Confessor (13). In allusion to the fame feverities, William Rufus engaged to abstain from illegal aids and oppressions; and, in reference to the fame cuftoms of the Confessor, he became bound to govern by mild and fanctified laws (14). Henry I. executed a celebrated charter, which contained direct mitigations of the feudal incidents, and he expressly reftored and confirmed the laws of King Edward (15). Stephen gave a charter of liberties to the barons and people; and it was its purpose to bestow his fanction on the grant of Henry, and to confirm the good laws and cuftoms of the Confessor (16). With the fame intentions, a charter of liberties was framed and granted by Henry II. (17).

Thefe grants, though invaluable as ample and decifive teftimonials of our antient liberties, by their perpetual and anxious retrofpection to the Saxon times, could not be carried into execution, and

and maintained in the purity of their intentions. The altered condition of manners, and of the feudal affociation, did not permit their exercife. Notwithstanding the high and independent spirit of the English nation, which occasioned these grants, the feudal feverities were to continue. They prevailed under Duke William, under Rufus, under Henry I. under Stephen, and under Henry II. They were known under Richard I. And, in the age of King John, they became fo exorbitant and fo wild, from the eccentric and thoughtlefs nature of this capricious and defpicable prince, that the barons and the people confederated to vindicate their liberties, and produced the magna charta, which, while it offered a limitation of the feudal rigours, was to be declaratory of the conftitutional freedom that had diftinguished this fortunate island from the earlieft times (18).

This conftant connection of the complaints of the feudal feverities, and the revival of the laws and cuftoms of the Confeffor, from the age of Duke William to King John, is a moft remarkable and important peculiarity. 'What thefe ' laws were, of Edward the Confeffor,' fays Mr. Hume, 'which the Englifh, every reign, during ' a century and a half, defired fo paffionately to ' have reftored, is much difputed by antiquarians; ' and our ignorance of them feems one of the ' greateft defects in the ancient Englifh hif-' tory (19.).' The

#### IN EUROPE.

The train of thinking into which I have fallen, points, with an indubitable clearnefs, to the explanation of this mystery. By the laws or customs of the Confessor, that condition of felicity was expressed, which had been enjoyed during the Anglo-Saxon times, while the feudal incidents were expressions of generofity and friendship. Thefe incidents, in the fortunate state of the feudal affociation, acting alike to public and private happinefs, produced that equal and affectionate intercourfe, of which the memory was to continue fo long, and the revival to create fuch ftruggles. It was the cordiality, the equality, and the independence of this fociety and communication, which are figured by the laws or cuftoms of the Confessor, and which made them the fond objects of fuch lafting admiration, and fuch ardent wifhes (20).

But, while the times of Duke William and his fucceffors were difcriminated from those of the Confession and the Anglo-Saxon princes, by the different states they displayed of the feudal association, there is another circumstance in the progress of fields, by which they were to be distinguissed more obviously.

Knight-fervice, which, in France, and in the other kingdoms of Europe, was introduced in the gentle gradation of manners, was about to be difcovered in England, after the fame manner, when

when the battle of Haftings facilitated the advancement of William the Norman to the crown of the Confession. The fituation of the Anglo-Saxons in an ifland, and the Danish invasions, had obstructed their refinement. In the memorable year 1066, when they loft King Edward, and acquired Duke William, they knew the perpetuity of the fief; but they were altogether ftrangers to knight-fervice and a knight's fee. The duchy of Normandy, when granted to Rollo by Charles the Simple, in the year 912, had yet experienced all the viciflitudes of fiefs. And William, being the fixth prince in the duchy, was familiar with the most extended ideas of the feudal fystem. These he brought with him into England, and they were to govern and direct his conduct.

The followers of Harold having forfeited their effates, they reverted to the crown. An immenfe number of lordfhips and manors being thus in the difpofal of William, he naturally gave them out after the forms of Normandy. Each grant, whether to a baron or a gentleman, was computed at fo many fees; and each fee gave the fervice of a knight. To the old beneficiary tenants, he was to renew their grants under this tenure. By degrees, all the military lands of the kingdom were to fubmit to it. And, with a view, doubtlefs, to this extension, the book of Domefday was undertaken, taken, which was to contain an exact ftate of all the landed property in the kingdom. Inftead, therefore, of bringing fiefs into England, this prince was only to introduce the laft ftep of their progrefs, the invention of the knight's fee, or the tenure of knight-fervice.

In fact, it is to be feen by his laws, that he introduced *knight-fervice*, and not *fiefs*. Nor let it be fancied, that this improvement was made by his fingle authority and the power of the fword. His laws not only express its enactment in his reign, but mention that it was fanctioned with the confent of the common council of the nation. It was an act of parliament, and not the will of a a defpot, that gave it validity and eftablishment (21).

The meafure, it is to be conceived, was even highly acceptable to all orders of men. For, a few only of the benefices of the Anglo-Saxon princes being in perpetuity, the greateft proportion of the beneficiary or feudal tenants muft have enjoyed their lands during life, or to a feries of heirs. Now, the advancement of fuch grants into hereditary fiefs, under knight-fervice, was an important advantage and acquifition. While it operated to the convenience and the grandeur of the fovereign, it bettered the property, and fecured the independence of the fubject.

But, while it is to be thought, that knight-fervice was introduced into England in the times of Duke William, and extended over it to a confiderable degree, it is not, I believe, to be found with certainty, how many fees or knight-fervices were created in his reign. Ordericus Vitalis has, indeed, affirmed, that William created fixty thoufand knight-fervices or fees (22). But a very different flate of this matter is given by Alexander, Archdeacon of Shrewfbury, a diligent officer of the exchequer, in the days of Richard I. King John, and Henry III. He mentions it as a tradition in his day, that, under Duke William, the number of fees in England amounted only to thirty-two thousand. Of this, however, he could find no proof in any record (23). The difcrepancy between this report, and the teftimony of Ordericus Vitalis, is fo great, that no reliance is to be given to either of them. And, though Mr. Madox, Mr. Hume, Sir William Blackstone, and many writers, are disposed to adopt the relation of the latter, there feems not any convincing reafon in its favour. For, independent of the tradition of the Archdeacon of Shrewfbury, which affects it fo violently, it is obfervable, that the knight-fees must have been in a state of conftant fluctuation under Duke William; and that, in the darknefs of time, it is impossible to find out the number of the laft fee which was granted by him. The fluctuation in the number of fees continued

tinued alfo under his immediate fucceffors. For, it was not till about the end of the reign of Henry II. that all the allodial property of England was converted into tenure (24). And, from the adminiftration of William till this period, there muft have been a gradual augmentation of the fees and the knights.

It may now be a fpeculation, neither incurious nor unufeful, to attend to fiefs in the ftate of their completion, and to remark the military power they eftablished.

# CHAPTER III.

# Of the Military Power of a Feudal Kingdom.

#### SECTION I.

#### An Idea of the Feudal Militia.

W HEN the feudal affociation was cordial, there exifted no neceffity for the knight's fee. The vaffals of a chief gave with pleafure their affiftance. When this affociation was difcordant, different interefts actuating the fuperior and the vaffal, art and policy were to prefcribe the exact fervice to be performed. Nothing was to be left to friendfhip and cordiality. A rule, certain and definite, pointed out the duties of the vaffal. This rule was the tenure of knight-fervice.

A duchy, barony, or earldom, were the effates poffeffed by the nobles; and, being divided into fees, each of thefe was to fupply its knight. A tenant of the crown, who was not created into nobility, but enjoyed a grant of land, furnifhed alfo his knights in proportion to his fees. The nobles and the gentry of a feudal kingdom were thus its defenders and guardians. And they granted

112

granted out territory to perfons inferior to them in the divisions of fees, and under the burden of knights. In proportion, therefore, to the extent of its lands, there was, in every feudal state, an army, or a body of militia, for its support and protection.

But, while a force, numerous and fufficient, was, in this manner, created, a care was alfo beflowed to hold it in readinefs to take the field. The knights, who were to appear in proportion to the fees of each eftate, were bound to affemble at a call, in complete armour, and in a ftate for action (1). The feudal militia, of confequence, could be marched, with expedition, to defend the rights of its nation, to fupport its honour, or to fpread its renown.

The ufual arms of a knight were the fhield and helmet, the coat of mail, the fword, or the lance (2). It was, alfo, his duty to have a horfe. For, a growing luxury, and the paffion for fhow, encouraged by torneaments, had brought diferedit to the infantry, which had diffinguifhed the barbarians in their original feats, and facilitated their victories over the Romans (3). The horfemen were called the *battle*, and the fuccefs of every engagement was fuppofed to depend upon them. No proprietor of a fee, no tenant by knight-fervice, fought on foot. The infantry confifted of I men,

men, furnished by the villages and the towns in the demession of the prince or the nobles. The bow and the fling were the arms of these; and though, at first, of little confideration, they were to grow more formidable (4).

During the warmth of the feudal affociation, the military fervice of the vaffal was every moment in the command of the fuperior. When their affociation was decayed, it was not to be depended on, and, when afforded, was without zeal, and without advantage. The invention of knightfervice, which was to recover, in fome degree, the vigour of this connection, while it afcertained the exact duty to be rendered, was to fix its duration. Each poffeffor of a fee was, at his own expence, to keep himfelf in the field during forty days (5). To keep this obligation, the great vaffals of the crown were bound, and inferior proprietors were to fubmit to it. When a fingle battle was commonly to decide the fates and the difputes of nations, this portion of time was confiderable and important. And, if any expediency demanded a longer duty, the prince might retain his troops, but under the condition of giving them pay for their extraordinary fervice (6).

Such was the military fyftem which, during a long period of time, was to uphold the power of the monarchies of Europe; a fyftem, of which it was the admirable confequence, that those who were

IIS

SEC-

were the proprietors of the land of a kingdom, were to defend it. They were the most interested in its welfare and tranquility; and, while they were naturally difposed to act with union and firmnefs, against a foreign enemy, they were induced not lefs ftrongly to guard against domestic tyranny. Their interest and happiness, their pleasure and convenience, urged them equally to oppose invalions from abroad, inteffine commotions, and the ftretches of prerogative. A ftrength, fo natural, and which could never be exhaufted; a ftrength, in which the prince was to have lefs authority than the nobles, and in which the power of both was checked by the numerous class of inferior proprietors; a ftrength, which had directly in view the prefervation of civil liberty, feems, on a flight obfervation, the perfection of military discipline.

But, with all its appearance of advantage, this fcheme of an army was incompatible with refining manners; and, in pointing out the caufes of its weaknefs, we may fee the fymptoms of refinement they are to exhibit. A double curiofity is thus to confole with its charms the anxiety of inquiries that are irkfome.

## SECTION. II.

The Inefficacy of the Feudal Militia. The Fractions of a Fee. Its Members. Attempts to escape out of the Bondage of Fiefs. The Fine of Alienation. Subfitutions of Service. Commutations of Service for Money. The Fine for the Neglect of Service. The Tenure of Escuage. The Rife of Stipendiaries, and the Necessities of Princes. Devices and Frauds to prevent the Service of Knights, and the Payment of Escuage. The Rife of Commerce.

T HE portion of land termed a *fee*, which was the foundation of knight-fervice, and on which there rofe the mighty fabric of the feudal ftrength, was no fooner invented than it was to fuffer. In the frittering down of feudal property by infeudations, the practice prevailed of dividing even a fee: Thus, there were fome vaffals who poffeffed the half of a knight's fee. There were others, who had the third, the fourth, or the fifth parts of a fee. Fractions of a fee, even to the thirtieth and the fortieth parts, were not uncommon (1). Thefe particulars, fo perplexing to the hiftorians of modern times, feem inconfiftent with the views of knight-fervice, and require to be explained.

A fee

A fee could properly be divided into eight portions; and these were faid to be its members. They received this appellation, because their proprietors were bound to perform the military fervice, or the original purpose of the grant. All divisions beyond these portions were improper; and their poffeffors, not being members of the fee, were to perform no fervice. The queftion ftill recurs, how the members of the fee, or the proprietors of the eight portions, were to perform the fervice of a knight? After the invention of knight-fervice, the ufual term that the militia were to remain in the field was forty days. The eighth part of a fee, by this rule, gave a title to the eighth part of the fervice of a knight. Its proprietor, of confequence, took the field for five days, which was his proportion of the ftipulated fervice of the fee for forty days. The poffeffor of the half of a knight's fee was thus to give his attendance for twenty days: And, in this manner, the other members of the fee were to act (2).

The members of the fee had each the privileges of a manour, that is, jurifdiction, court, and ulage. Hence the multiplication, of old, of little manours. The proprietors of fractions, who were not members of the fee, had not the privileges of manours, but paid fuit and attendance at the courts of the county or canton. The former were included in the fyftem of fiefs; the latter

ter were thrown out of it, and their number was equally promoted by the anxious fondnefs of a father, who would provide for all his children, and by the ruinous prodigality of a fpendthrift, who would relieve his neceffities.

The fractions which were members of the fee, were to be a flock to the feudal militia, by difpofing to different perfons, the fervice which a fingle individual could perform with greater fkill and addrefs. They were to be a fource of weaknefs and diforder. The fractions which were not members of the fee, were to haften the period of the alienation of property.

Amidft the wants created by fociety and intercourfe, by ambition and pleafure, the vaffal, who held from the crown many fees under knight-fervice, found it neceffary, at times, to obtain from his prince the licence to let out a portion of them under a farm-rent, and not fubject to military fervice, nor to homage, wardship, relief, and the other feudal incidents (3). The land he retained was fufficient to produce the number of knights which were required from him. No immediate prejudice was fuppofed to be done to the power of the fovereign, or to the militia. And thus, entire fees, and great portions of territory, were to escape out of the magic circle of feudality. They were to pass from chivalry and tenure, to be fubject

fubject to profits or preftations by the year. Licences from vaffals to their feudatories, created alfo these conversions of fees into property. As deviations from a system they mark its decline; as attentions to property, they express the propensity to refinement and commercial manners.

Leafes, in this form, were even to be made without the knowledge of fuperiors. The intereft of the vaffal in the eftate, fo much greater and more intimate than that of the lord, was to carry him still farther. Retaining a fufficiency of land for the knights he was to furnish, he was to venture on the fale of particular fees. Encroachments made with this precaution, were to lead to encroachments more extravagant. Sales were to take place, without the refervation of a property equal to the military fervice to be performed by the vender. The attention of the lord was thus called forcibly to the acts of his vaffal. Confulting his interest and importance, he would permit of no fales that had not the fanction of his confent. The ulages and doctrines of fiels were in his favour. It was expedient for the necessitous vaffal to act with his approbation. A bribe came to foften the feverity of the lord. The fine of alienation was established. On the payment of this fine, the vaffal might fell and barter, not only a portion of his fees, but the whole of them (4).

119

Thefe

These peculiarities had power of themselves to deftroy the feudal militia. But other caufes were to concur with them. Men of rank and fortune were to yield to an increasing luxury. The love of ease made them with an exemption from fervice, and their pride produced a diflike to the mandate of a superior. The substitution of perfons to perform their duties, of which the idea was first suggested by the fickness of vassals, and then familiar from the grants of fees to ecclefiaftics and their devolution to women, became a flattering expedient to the rich and the luxurious (5). The prince could not depend on the perfonal attendance of the nobles and the tenants in capite. Perfons, hired with a price or a penfion, were often to discharge their offices, and to disgust troops, who were to fubmit reluctantly to their command.

Subflitutions of this fort, however, though they came to be very common, were a matter of delicacy and attention. For the condition of fociety in the feudal ages permitted not, at all times, the wealthy and the noble to delegate the authority over their vaffals. But, when in a fituation fo critical, they were not without refources.

It had been ufual, from the earlieft times, for the fuperior to levy a fine from the military tenant who refused to take the field at his fummons (6). This fuggested, very naturally, the commutation commutation of fervice for money. A new method of tenure was thus to arife. The vallal by knight-fervice might convert his holding into the tenure of *efcuage*, which, inftead of exacting knights for the fees of his eftate, required him to make payments to the exchequer of his prince (7).

While this averfion from fervice was to prevail, troops were yet to be neceffary. The fine, accordingly, which the fovereign demanded from the vaffal who neglected to perform his duty, the payments he received by agreement from the tenants by efcuage, and his intereft to fupply the attendance of both, were to produce, in every country of Europe, a multitude of *flipendiaries*.

These forces were a mixture of all nations, and confisted of men, whom poverty and debauchery had corrupted into wretchedness. They had no folicitude what cause they were to defend; and their convenient swords obeyed, at all times, the donatives of princes. They were called *coterelli*, from the hangers they wore, *ruptarii*, from the pay they received, and many of them being of the country of Brabant, the term *Brabançons*, or *Brabantini*, came to express them (8).

The introduction of these banditti into a feudal army, was the utmost violence to its nature. It offended infinitely the barons and the military tenants, that they should be called to mingle with perfons so ignoble. Yet, the princes of Europe, finding
finding the advantages of troops whom they could command to their purpofes, and march at their will, were difpofed to encourage them. They perceived, that they could poffers no power without mercenaries; and no mercenaries were to be had without money. Hence the paffion for wealth they were to difcover; hence their ruinous projects to acquire it.

But, while the ftruggles for money, thus created, were to produce confequences diftant and important, they ferved to deftroy altogether the purpofes of knight-fervice. They gave a mortal stab to the feudal militia. The feudal affociation was to be foul with difgufts, oppreffions, and diforders. Time, and the devices of art, augmented the general confusion. The barons and tenants in capite by knight-fervice, when fummoned to take arms, were often to difpute the number of their fees, and the knights they fhould furnish. The tenants by efcuage made proffers of the half or the third of the payments to which they were bound. The conftables and the marshals of armies were ill qualified to decide concerning matters, fo delicate in their own nature, and in which an impropriety of conduct might be a prejudice to their fovereigns. Doubts were to arife, not only about the fees or the knights of eftates, but about the tenure by which they were held (9). The clergy were to invent, and to encourage frauds. They taught the laity to convey to them their

their feudal poffessions, and to receive them back as property. The fees in their own enjoyment they affected to hold in frankalmoigne, or by a tenure that gave no fervice but prayers (10). The fubdivisions of knight-fees created perplexities that were intricate in no common degree. Fines or payments were often to be demanded, not only for the fractions which were members of the fee, but for fractions which were not members (11). The confultation of rolls and records, inquifitions by jurors, and the examination of witneffes or evidence, required a length of time, and a trial of patience, and were not to be always fatisfactory. The fovereign, in the mean time, was in hafte to march against an enemy. And he felt his weaknefs in the diminished ranks of his army, in the abstractions of his revenue, in the turbulent fervice of the great vaffals who obeyed his fummons, in the coldness of those who acted as fubflitutes, in the total want of discipline and of military knowledge in inferior orders, and in the limited time which the troops were to remain in the field.

To all these causes, the rife of commerce is to be added. Its various pursuits, and its endless occupations, were to actuate the middle, and the lowest classes of men, and to give the killing blow to a fystem, of which the ruins and decline have an interest and importance that bring back to the memory its magnificence and grandeur.

CHAP-

## CHAPTER IV.

The Fall of Chivalry as a Military Establishment. The Knights of Honour lose their Consideration. Their Numbers and Venality. Wealth becomes a more solid Title to Knighthood than personal Merit. This Dignity is connected with the Possessin of a Fee. It ceases to be Honourable, and is made a Subject of Compulsion. Fines for the Exemption from Knightbood. The ancient Chivalry disappears on the Rise of Regular Armies.

A L L the fpendour and advantages of the ancient chivalry could not uphold the feudal militia. The dubbed knight, or the knight of honour, was to fall with the mere military tenant, or the knight of tenure. Chivalry was to decay as well as knight-fervice. When they ceafed to give a mutual aid and fupport, they were foon to operate in a contrary direction, and to promote the decline of each other.

In the order of dubbed knights, there were neceffarily a multitude of warriours, whofe military renown had chiefly entitled them to the inveftiture of arms, and whofe accomplifhments were greater than their fortunes. Their knowledge in war,

#### IN EUROPE.

war, and the rank to which they were advanced by the ceremonial of knighthood, gave them the capacity of acting in all flations. Their poverty, fplendid, but inconvenient, made them attach themfelves, in a more particular manner, to princes and nobles (1). From these they received penfions, and, in the households of these, they enjoyed and suffained honours and offices. Men of rank were to vie with one another in their numbers and attachment. They became a part of the garniture, the magnificence, and the pride of nobility (2).

There were thus, in the declenfion of the feudal army, a fociety of men, who could fupply the perfonal fervice and attendance of the luxurious and the great. A fubfitution of knights, in the place of the barons and vaffals of the crown, was thence to prevail very generally. And, while knights were, in this manner, to wound deeply the military difcipline and arrangements, they were to throw a contempt on knighthood by their numbers and venality. The change of manners, and the ufes of wealth, had tarnifhed the luftre and the glories of the ancient chivalry.

In the flate of its degradation, the long and hard apprenticefhip to arms which, of old, had prepared the candidate for the ftruggles and the cares of knighthood, was forgotten. The poffeffion

fion of a portion of land was to be fufficient to give a title to this dignity. It was annexed to a knight's fee. The unaccomplifhed proprietor of a few acres was to be adorned with the fword, and to be admitted to the ceremonies of knighthood. But he could not hold its honours. They had paffed away for ever. The order, which had ennobled kings, and greatnefs, fupreme power, and and the loftieft acquirements, grew to be mean and trivial.

The afpiring and the meritorious who, of old, courted and expected knighthood, with the most paffionate ardour and the fondeft hope, were now to avoid it with anxiety, and to receive it with difguft. An unhappy exertion of prerogative was to add to its humiliation. Princes, to upold their armies, were to iffue frequent proclamations, which required all the military tenants of the crown to appear before them on a certain day, and to be girt with the belt of knighthood (3). Having ceafed to be an object of choice, it was to be made a fubject of compulsion. A fingle knight's fee held of the crown, being deemed an ample enough fortune to entitle to knighthood, its poffeffor, if unwilling to accept this dignity, was compelled to receive it (4). Senility, irrecoverable weakness, and the loss of limbs, were the only excuses to be admitted for his refusal. If he had not these reasons to plead, and neglected to take the

the honour of knighthood, his eftate was diffrained by the officers of the revenue (5). Men were to buy, as a privilege, a refpite and an exemption from knighthood; and princes, when they could not recover their armies, were to fill their exchequers (6).

In a condition, not merely of meannefs, but of difgrace and calamity, the antient chivalry could not exift long. It was worn out to extremity; and the military and regular eftablifhments to which the defect of the feudal arrangements pointed fo ftrongly, were to fuperfede its ufes and advantages. It did not die, as fo many writers have fancied, of the ridicule of Cervantes, but of old age, defpondence and debility.

127

# CHAPTERV

The Military Arrangements which prevailed in the Declenfion of Fiefs and Chivalry. The Introduction of ftanding Armies.

# SECTION I.

Of Mercenaries. The Evils which refult from them. The Rife of Taxations.

THE mercenaries, which were made neceffary by the diforders of fiefs and chivalry, were to lead to misfortune and mifery. They were fcarcely known, when the princes of Europe invented the art of extorting the wealth of their fubjects, and of employing it to opprefs them. While the lands dealt out by the crown created an effectual army, foldiers gave their fervice for their poffeffions. But, when the inconveniencies and the defects of this fyftem had produced mercenaries, the prince had no possessions to bestow. His domains had gone away from him in prodigalities and donations. It was yet incumbent on him to maintain his troops. Money was abfolutely indifpenfable to him, and he was to find out measures to procure it. These measures

measures are interesting in themselves, and still more so in their confequences. They gave rise to taxations in Europe.

The vaffals of the crown by knight-fervice were obliged to perfonal attendance in wars; but, confidering it as a burden, were difpofed to compound for it. This was alfo the cafe with the inferior tenants. They were no lefs inclined to contribute their proportions to their lords, than thefe were to fatisfy their prince. To the prince, the money of his vaffals was of more advantage than their fervice; but agreements with each were fatiguing and endlefs; and his mercenaries were clamorous and impatient.

An expedient prefented itfelf, which, to all parties, gave the promife of eafe and fatisfaction. The prince, inftead of the fervice that was due, and, inftead of contracting with every tenant who held from him, affeffed a moderate fum on every knight's fee throughout the kingdom (1). It was just that his tenants should give a fine for their attendance; and what they furnished was to go to his mercenaries.

The prerogative thus begun, was pregnant with misfortunes to fubjects, and with advantages to princes, which were forefeen neither by the former, nor the latter. What, at first, was a matter of expediency, and an expression of the confidence

of

of the people, and of the diferentiation of the fovereign, grew into a most formidable taxation (2). It was to be exerted with no moderation or decency. In the delirium of their greatness, the princes of Europe were to fancy, that, in extracting money from their subjects, they ought to know no rule but their ambition, their wants, and their caprice.

In a conformity with the affefiment on the eftates fubject to knight-fervice, a tax was to be demanded from the poffeffors of land holden in frankalmoigne and in foccage (3). And I have already obferved, that the tenants by *efcuage* paid a flipulated fine for their fees. All the territory of a kingdom was thus to contribute to the neceffities of princes, and the greateft proportion of it was to be actually exposed to their ravages.

Their rapacity was not yet to be fatisfied. The cravings of ambition, and the prodigalities of mercenaries, demanded fomething more. During the fortunate times of the feudal affociation, it had been common for the inhabitants of the cities and towns within the demefnes of the fovereign, and within thofe of the nobility, to express, respectively, by prefents, their fubmission, fatisfaction, and gratitude. In more unhappy times, these gifts, these fruits of generofity, were demanded as a right. These prefents, the expressions of happiness

130

# IN EUROPE.

nefs, grew into tallages and exactions, and were to denote milery and wretchednefs. The prince, with an unblufhing audacity, levied grants at his will from his cities and towns; and his example was followed by the nobles within the cities and towns within their territories. Hence the moft destructive and the most calamitous of all the oppressions of the middle times (4).

Meafures, fo hoftile to the free fpirit of the Gothic governments, infringements of property fo audacious, were every where to excite and to inflame the passions of the people. The princes of Europe were to contend for power, and their fubjects for liberty. Struggles, the most critical and the most ferious, were fuftained ; and the progrefs of thefe, and the respective fuccess of the parties in the different kingdoms of Europe, were to alter its governments to the forms they maintain at this hour.

In France, and in other countries, the command of the mercenaries, and the power of taxation, were finally to prevail. In England, the frantic weakneffes of King John, and the union of the nobles and the people, were to renovate the Gothic liberty, and to fet limits to princes. While, in ftates lefs fortunate, the kingly authority was to grow into defpotifm, and to debafe the genius of men, while taxes, and tallages, and exactions, were to be demanded in wantonness and caprice, and a cruel tyranny to diffuse oppression and grievance,

K 2

131

grievance, the magna charta was to command, that no prince of England fhould prefume to levy any tax, tallage, or exaction, without the confent of the parliament (5); and that, while the land of the kingdom was to be free from his rapacioufnefs, he fhould not dare to harrafs its cities or towns, but that they fhould return to the poffeffion and enjoyment of their antient liberties (6).

The diforders of the feudal militia produced mercenaries, and the use of mercenaries gave birth Taxations were begun to be levied, to taxations. in all the ftates of Europe, at the will of the prince. This occafioned contentions between fovereigns and their fubjects. The victory of the kingly authority over the liberty of the people, continued in many princes the power of taxation; and this power, and the command of mercenaries, are the completion of defpotifm. In England, the prerogative of taxation which the prince had affumed, wrefted from him by the great charter of liberties. He was to command his mercenaries; but he was to depend for their fupport and their pay on the generofity of his people.

SEC-

#### IN EUROPE.

## SECTION II.

The Difference between a Mercenary Soldier and a Feudal Vaſſal. Sovereigns find Troops by entering into Contracts with their Nobility, and with Captains by Profeffion. Volunteers make an offer of their Service. Commiffions of Array. The Difadvantages of these Military Schemes. The Idea and Establishment of a Standing Force. France and other Nations, lose their Liberties. The Opposition to a Standing Force in England. The total Abolition of Fiefs. The consequent Necessity of a Standing Army. The Precautions and Anxiety with which it is introduced.

THE Coterelli, or banditti who wandered over Europe, and offered their fwords to the higheft bidder, introduced the idea that war might be confidered as a trade. The feudal proprietor fought for his land and his nation, and the prince had no title to demand his fervice in any difpute of his own. He drew his fword for the fafety of the ftate, or for its honour; but he was not bound to fupport the quarrels of his fovereign. When the feudal prince contended with a great fubject, the feudal vaffals of the kingdom did not move indifcriminately to his call. His defenders, in this fituation,

ation, were his particular vaffals, or the tenants of his demeine. In like manner, if he declared war againft a foreign ftate, without the confent of the great council of the nation, the majority of the feudal vaffals might refufe to obey his mandate. It was only in the wars, and in the quarrels approved by the nation, that they attended to his fummons (1). But, when arms became a profeffion, the foldier flipulated his fervice for his pay. He confulted not for what end he was to fight. An implicit obedience was required from him; and his fword, though it might be employed againft a natural and an active enemy, might alfo be turned againft his native country, and give a ftab to its repofe and profperity.

When, from the refufe or the vagabonds of Europe, the taking money for fervice was become familiar, the making war a traffic prevailed in every ftate. The idle and the profligate found a way of life, which flattered their indolence and rapacity. The ufual method of collecting an army, was now by contracts with nobles, who had authority over the loofe and diforderly inhabitants of their eftates; with captains, whofe addrefs or valour could allure adventurers to their flandards; and with individuals, whofe poverty or choice made them offer themfelves to the conftables and the marfhals of princes. Thefe troops, though more obedient than the Gothic militia, were not much

134

much fuperior to them in discipline. For, at the end of every war, the prince, on whom they depended for pay, was in haste to disband them (2).

But, while this grew to be the ufual method of raifing an army, it was a law in the different nations of Europe, that all the fubjects of a kingdom were bound to take arms in cafes of neceffity. Statutes, accordingly, or ordinances, afcertained the armour with which every perfon, in proportion to his riches and rank, was to provide himfelf, and which he was to keep conftantly in his poffeffion (3). And thus, when dangers threatened, and fudden invafions took place, commissions of array were iffued by princes, and fupplies to the army called out from the provinces and countries, the villages and cities (4). The foldiers, levied in this manner, received alfo the pay of the prince.

These fchemes for a military power were ftill imperfect. The oppression of arrays was disgusting and cruel in the highest degree; and the troops they furnished were ill disposed to exert themselves, and without discipline. Mercenaries were the strength of armies; but to collect such multitudes of them as were requisite for great and vigorous efforts, required an inexhaussible revenue. They had, befides, no principle of attachment or of honour. An object of terror to the people, and of sufficient to the prince, they were employed and detested; and when the termination of a war set them loose, the

the condition of Europe was deformed, and the greateft diforders were perpetrated. They had no certain homes, and no regular plan of fubfiftence. They were at the command of the turbulent and factious; they affociated into bands and companies, and were often fo formidable as to maintain themfelves, for a time, in oppofition to the civil authority. Robberies, murders, the ravifhment of women, and other atrocious crimes, were frequent (5). The contagioufnefs of their example, and the enormities they produced, feemed incompatible with the exiftence of fociety; yet their ufe and their difmiffion were neceffarily, in a great meafure, to create this contagioufnefs and thefe enormities.

Confusions often lead to improvement, by demanding and pointing out a remedy. It was perceived, that the foldiery ought to be maintained or kept up, not only in times of war, but of peace. They would thus be perferved from maroding, and plunder, and riot; and, improving in difcipline, they would act with greater firmness and efficacy.

The creation of a ftanding force, of which the idea was thus unfolded, was alfo facilitated by the rivalfhip which had prevailed between France and England. From the time that William Duke of Normandy had mounted the throne of England, the two kingdoms entertained a jealoufy of one another. The dominions which the Englifh were to poffers on the continent, being a fource of confideration

136

fideration to them there, became the foundation of difquiets and animofities, which were ready to break out on occasions the most trifling. Frequent wars putting to trial the ftrength and refources of the rival flates, ferved to improve them in arts and in arms. Even the victories of Edward III. and Henry V. while they brought fo much ftrength and glory to England, were to be leffons of inftruction to the other states of Europe, by difcovering the danger which must refult to all of them from the encroachments of a power fo mighty and fo ambitious. The battles of Creffy, Poictiers, and Azincourt, which feemed to bring nothing but honour and advantage to the English, were the prognoftics of their humiliation. And, while France was apparently in a flate of defperation, it was to recover its importance and grandeur. The maid of Orleans was to aftonish with the wildnefs of her heroifm ; Charles VII. was to exert his political fagacity; Dunois, his military skill. The domeftic difcords of France were to ceafe; and the Duke of Burgundy, perceiving the pernicious confequences of uniting France to England, was to throw off his unnatural connections with the latter, and to facrifice his animofities to policy. In a word, the foreign dominions of the English were to be ravished from them. And Charles VII. inftructed by the paft, and apprehenfive of future invafions and calamities, was to guard againft

against them by the wisdom and the stability of his precautions.

Thus, the decay of the feudal fyftem, the diforders of the mercenaries, and the political condition of France with regard to England, all confpired to illustrate the necessity of a ftanding force.

Having deliberated maturely on the ftep he was to take, Charles VII. in the year 1445, felecting out of his forces a body of cavalry, to the number of nine thouland, formed them into fifteen regular or ftanding companies, under officers of experience. Three years after, encouraged by his fuccess, he established a standing infantry of Frank archers, to the number of fixteen thousand (6). The nobility, who had been long tired and difgusted with the fatigues and the returns of military fervice, to which their tenures fubjected them, and the people, who hoped, under disciplined troops, to be free from the infults and oppreffions which they had known under the mercenaries, opposed not these establishments. They were ftruck with the advantages to be derived from them, but difcerned not their dangerous and fatal tendency. No conftitutional limitations were made; no bulwarks were raifed up for the fecurity of the national independence and liberties. Succeeding princes were to add to, and improve on the regulations of Charles; and, from this period

period, the monarchs of France were to be in the full capacity of levying taxes at their pleafure, and of furveying, in mockery, the rights and pretenfions of their fubjects.

But, while France and other flates of Europe, in confequence of thefe general reafons, and from the idea of their own intereft, and the upholding a balance of power, were to be induced to admit of flanding armies, and were thence to lofe their liberties, the fame caufes did not operate the fame effects in England. The introduction of a flanding army was, indeed, to be made effectual there; but at a very diftant period, and on principles the moft confiftent with liberty. The advantages to accrue from it did not efcape obfervation; but its dangers were flill feen in the flrongeft light; and its eftablifhment was oppofed, till the very moment when its neceffity was abfolute and uncontrollable.

Till the reign of Charles II. the feudal militia, and the troops furnished by contract with nobles and captains, and by enlisting of volunteers, continued to conflitute the usual military power of England. Till the fame æra, alfo, commissions of array were issued by princes to procure forces on extraordinary occasions. And, the termination of every war was regularly followed with the difbandment of the army.

Of these inftitutions, the inconveniencies, as I have faid, were infinite and enormous. They were preferable, however, to a ftanding army, with defpotifm. For regulations and policy might, in fome degree, fupply and alleviate their defects The diforders, indeed, of the feuand abuses. dal militia, had rifen to a height, which, confidering the growing refinement of the nation, admitted not of any remedy. They were to endure, of confequence, till the extinction of tenures. But wholefome rules and enactments might deprefs or diminish the confusions and the oppressions which were the natural refults of the use and difmiffion of mercenaries; and thefe were not wanting (7). It was likewife poffible to give a check to the violence of princes in the iffuing of commissions of array; and the spirit of the constitution, and express laws, made it fully underftood, that they ought to be undertaken and executed with the greatest respect for the freedom of the subject, and in cases only of urgent danger and apparent neceffity (8).

The reduction of the power of taxation affumed by princes, and the declaration of magna charta, that the people were to grant the fupplies which they thought neceffary to government, had foftered the paffion for independence. The conftant appeals of the people to charters declaratory of their antient freedom and privileges, and correctory of abufes, that time and the maxims of tyranny had produced, gave them an evident fuperiority which they might exert in all political contentions. It was eafy to difcover when the fovereign was difpofed to encroach; and the power the commons could oppofe to him was decifive. To refufe him money, was to difarm him. Of himfelf, he could maintain no formidable army; and the people were not to lavifh to him their wealth, that he might opprefs them.

The fchools of law, which were opened by learned men immediately after the fettlement of the charters of liberty, were to diffufe widely the fundamental and free principles of the conflitution (9). The difcuffion of political topics was to employ even the loweft ranks of the citizens, and to engender a turbulence, which, with all its ills, muft be allowed to be refpectable.

The awe over parliamentary debate, which Richard II. effected by the body of four thousand archers, which he attempted to keep up, and the infolence and diforders of this band, awakened to an uncommon degree, the public jealoufy, and evinced, with decision, the dangers of a standing force (10). The miserable state of France, under the military despotism which Charles VII. had begun, and which Louis XI. had accomplished, was to display, in all its terrors, that mode of administration

ministration which allows to the prince the command of the taxes and the army (11).

The Englifh, aftonifhed at the tyranny and pride of kings in other nations, were to reprefs them in their own. The fpirit of oppofition to the crown, natural to the government, and brought into exertion by the oppreffive views, and the encroaching domination of princes, unfolded all their powers to the commons. During a long feries of years, no ftanding army was permitted. It was held in the utmost detertation; and its exiftence was even deemed incompatible with the liberty of the fubject.

In the wars between the houfes of York and Lancafter, armies were frequently raifed; but no ftanding eftablishment was thought of. The meafure was both impolitic and violent, while the leaders of different factions were courting popularity. In the moment of peace, the foldier was loft in the citizen; and the army that conducted its commander to the throne, did not remain with him an inftrument of his tyranny. It left him to the enjoyment of the legal rights of fovereignty, and was not to fubvert the government. The ftruggle was not for a tyrant, but a king. The conftitution was refpected during fcenes of violence and hoftility, and the people felt a rifing importance amidft flaughter and blood.

Henry

Henry VII. who united, in his perfon, the rights of the rival families, was permitted to conflitute the yeomen of the guard. But thefe were only for the protection of the perfon of the fovereign, and were not to increafe to an army. They were to be a flate or ornament to the crown, not a terror to the fubject. The obftinacy of Charles I. and the civil wars to which it gave rife, were to confirm the ancient conflitution, and to demonftrate that neithe, the military power, nor the power of taxation, were prerogatives of the prince. Years and diforders were to render more folid the fabric of our government.

Yet, after the reftoration of Charles II. had taken place, an event of great importance in our hiftory, was to call, in a particular manner, for the ftanding force, from which the nation was fo averfe. The fystem of tenures, fo decayed and fo unfuitable to refining times, haftened to extinction. Early in this reign, a statute of infinite utility, gave a mortal blow to military tenures (12). The fystem of fiefs, fo beneficial in one period, and fo deftructive in another, was overturned. The feudal strength, or militia of England, after languishing for ages in disease and weaknefs, received the wound of which it perifhed. In its place a standing army was expedient, and could alone correspond with the majefty of the people and the dignity of the crown.

The

The invention of cannon and fire-arms had changed the art of war. Movements, evolutions, and exercifes, were not to be acquired to perfection by any militia, or even by mercenaries, who were hired for a feafon, and difmiffed at the clofe of a campaign. Other nations were poffeffed of ftanding armies, and of thefe the force was not to be oppofed by troops lefs regular and lefs difciplined. Self-prefervation, and the neceffity of attending to the balance of power in Europe, pointed irrefiftibly to this eftablifhment. Its dangers, notwithftanding, were great, and might be fatal to the prince who fhould attempt it.

Invited, or rather compelled, by confiderations the most powerful, Charles made the experiment. He ventured to maintain, by his private authority, a flanding force of five thousand foldiery, for guards and garrifons. The jealous spirit of the people was alarmed. A measure fo unconftitutional, excited fears and apprehenfions, which behoved to be confulted. Yet James II. did not fcruple to augment the ftanding force to thirty thousand men, whom he supported from his own The nation was on the brink of a precivil lift. cipice. The revolution approached. The bill of rights declared, that the fovereign was not to raife or uphold a ftanding force in times of peace, without the confent of the parliament. And the matured experience of fucceeding times, employed

IN EUROPE.

ployed itself to devise the policy which was to make our army regular and formidable, with the leaft poffible inconvenience to liberty.

A ftanding body of troops, as abfolutely neceffary, is kept up under the command of the crown, but by the authority of the legislature. The power of an act of parliament gives every year its continuance to our army; and any branch of the legiflature may annually put a period to its existence, by objecting to it. The dangers of a ftanding force are thus prevented; its advantages are fecured; and the foldiery, not living in camps, but intermingled with the people, are taught, while they respect the crown, to feel for the interefts and profperity of the nation. With these flow degrees, and with these fymptoms of jealoufy, did a standing army become a part of our conftitution.

145

CHAP-

## CHAPTER VI.

Of Manners and Refinement. The diffolute Conduct of the Women amidst the Decline and Oppressions of of Fiefs. The general Corruption which invades Society.

WHILE the varying fituation of fiefs and chivalry was to produce the most important confequences in polity and government, it was to be no lefs powerful in changing the general picture of fociety; and the manners, which were to figure in their ftate of confusion and diforder, are a contraft to those which attended their elevation and greatnefs. The romantic grandeur and virtue which grew out of the feudal affociation, in its age of cordiality and happines, could not exift when that cordiality and happiness were decayed. The diforders of fiefs had operated on chivalry; and the deviations of both from perfection, affecting ftrongly the commerce of life and the condition of the female fex, were to terminate in new modes of thinking, and new fyftems of action.

The difaftrous state of fiefs, difuniting the interests of the lord and the vassal, gave rife to oppressions

146

preffions and grievances. These produced a pronenefs to venality and corruption. All ranks of men, from the fovereign to the flave, feemed at variance. Rapacity and infolence were to characterife the fuperior and the mafter ; chicane and difaffection, the vaffal and the fervant. A relaxation of morals, total and violent, was to prevail. Chivalry, lofing its renown, the purity of the knightly virtues was to be tarnished. When it fell as a military eftablishment, its generous manners were not to remain in vigour. The women were to lofe their value and their pride. The propenfity to vice, foftered by political diforder, and the passion for gallantry, driven to extremity by the romantic admiration which had been paid to the fex, were to engender a voluptuoufnefs, and a luxury which, in the circle of human affairs, are ufually to diffinguish and to hasten the decline and the fall of nations.

Manners, too ftately and pure for humanity, are not to flourish long. In the ruined states of fiefs and chivalry, there prevailed not, in the one fex, the fcrupulous honour, the punctilious behaviour, and the diftant adoration of beauty, which had illustrated the æra of their greatnefs; nor, in the other, were there to be remarked, the cold and unconquerable chaftity, the majeftic air, and the ceremonious dignity which had lifted them above nature. A gallantry, lefs magnificent, and L. 2 more

more tender, took place. The faftidioufnefs and delicacies of former ages wore away. The women ceafed to be idols of worfhip, and became objects of love. In an unreferved intercourfe, their attractions were more alluring. The times, prone to corruption, were not to refift their vivacity, their graces, their paffion to pleafe. Love feemed to become the fole bufinefs of life. The ingenious and the fentimental found a lafting intereft and a bewitching occupation in the affiduities, the anxieties, and the tendernefs of intrigue. The coarfe and intemperate, indulging their indolence and appetite, fought the haunts, and threw themfelves into the arms of profituted beauty.

The talents which, of old, recorded the deeds of valour, and the atchievements of war, were now devoted to the fair (1). In every country of Europe, the poet, or the Troubadour, was to confecrate to them his homage and his fongs (2). And, to the fashions of gallantry, the rife of literature is to be alcribed. Men of genius, and men who fancied they poffeffed it, reforted to the courts of princes, and to the palaces of the noble; and the praife, which they knew how to lavish, got them attention and patronage. To make verfes was the road to preferment. No lady was without her poet. Nor was poetry the exercise only of those who wished to better their fortunes. While it was to give riches and refpect to the obfcure, by

## IN EUROPE.

by the connections it was to gain to them, it was to be an ornament and an honour to the great. Princes and barons, as well as knights and gentlemen, found it the fureft recommendation to their mistreffes (3.) They fung their charms, their difdain, and their rigours. Even the artificial tendernefs of the poet often grew into reality; and the fair one, who, at first, only listened to praife, was to yield to paffion. The adulation paid to beauty, difpofed it to approve; complaints led to pity; pity to love. The enchantment of perpetual flatteries, of proftrations respectful and paffionate, of vows repeated with ardour, of fighs ever meant to allure, corrupted a fex, of which the fenfibilities are fo exquifite. The rite of marriage, formerly fo fanctimonious, was only courted to be abused (4). The pride of condition, more powerful than modefty, was, indeed, a check to the virgin; but fhe was to wait reluctantly the moment, when her coynefs and timidities, inftead of rebuking the paffions, were to be a zeft to them. All the fopperies of fancy were exhibited, all the labyrinths of love were explored. A licentioufnefs, which knew no reftraint from principle, was rendered more feducing by the decorums and decorations of a fantaffic gallantry (5).

Religion, which muft ever mix in human affairs, is oftner to debafe than to enlighten. It is, for

149

for the most part, a mass of superstitions, which encourage the weakneffes of mankind. This was the cafe with Christianity in the darkness of the middle times. The votaries of beauty did not fcruple to addrefs the Deity to foften its obstinacy. In the heat of intrigue they invoked the Trinity and the faints for fuccefs (6). Religion was employed to give a poignancy to the diforders of proftitution and luft. The rich were to have houses of debauch in the form of monasteries, confisting of many cells or apartments, and under the government of abbeffes The profaneness of gallantry diffurbed (7). and deformed even the meditations of the most pious. The devotee was to feek a miftrefs in heaven. He was to look up to the virgin with the eyes of a lover, and to contemplate the beauties of her perfon, and the graces of her carriage (8). What is more extravagant, the felicities of futurity feemed a trifle unworthy of acceptance, without the contacts and the vanities of an irreverent courtefy. ' I would, not,' faid a Troubadour, ' be in Paradife, but on the con-' dition of making love to her whom I a-" dore (9)."

The vices and example of the clergy added to the general contagion. They were to exceed not only in fuperb living, and in the luxuries of the table, but in the paftimes and the gratifications of of illicit love (10). It was in vain that laws were made to prohibit them from entertaining, in their houfes, ' any virgins dedicated to God.' The arts of the Popes to tear them from their women, would fill volumes. No ecclefiaftic was without his concubines (11). The fins of the faint were grofs and comfortable. In contempt of all decency, they were even to educate publicly the fruits of their amours. Rampant and diffolute, they preached religion, and were a difgrace to it; virtue and they were in hafte to contemn it; another world and they were immerfed in the enjoyments of the prefent.

An univerfal corruption diffufed itfelf. To be deep in debauch, and fuccefsful with the ladies, were certain marks of worth. They were parts of the eminence to which the deferving were to afpire. To be amorous and deceitful, were not lefs meritorious than to be brave and witty. There was exhibited a ftrange picture of fiercenefs and effeminacy, opprefion and politenefs, impiety and devotion.

The age, in which fo many armies, inflamed with zeal, were to fight for the recovery and poffeffion of the holy fepulchre, was remarkable for the moft criminal depravity. The pilgrims and crufaders exported the vices of Europe, and imported those of Afia. Saint Louis, during his pious

pious and memorable expedition, could not prevent the moft open licentioufnefs and diforder. He found houfes of proftitution at the doors of his tent (12). His character, his example, and his precautions, were reftraints, ineffectual and fruitlefs.

While the ladies of rank were to be befieged in form, to be purfued in all the windings of affectation and caprice, and to oppose to their impatient. lovers all the obftacles of a delicacy pretended or real, the women of inferior condition were to be approached with familiarity. It even appears to have been common for hufbands to make a traffic of the chaftity of their wives, though fevere regulations were enacted to reprefs this practice (13). The offices of the landrefs and the milliner, being yet no particular professions, there were in the habitations and the palaces of the rich, apartments for women, who, while they performed the fervices peculiar to thefe, were alfo debauched to impurity, and fubfervient to luft (14). Jurifdiction, being yet ambulatory, and kings, making frequent progreffes through their dominions, it was ufual for proftitutes to follow the court; and officers were appointed to keep them in fubjection and order (15). To be marshal of the King's whores in particular places and districts, was an honour and a dignity (16).

#### IN EUROPE.

To this degeneracy and profaneness, I am inclined to trace the law, which, in the declining condition of fiefs, made it a forfeiture of the eftate, for the valial to debauch the fifter, the daughter, or the wife of his fuperior (17).

In the greater towns, there were women who lived openly by proftitution, exercifing it as a pro-There were even whole ftreets which feffion. were inhabited by them. In Paris and in London, the number of public brothels was incredible. In the latter, in the days of Richard II. a Lord Mayor imported ftrumpets from Flanders, and kept flew-houfes, where the dainty and the fqueamish were to trade in this foreign merchan-Bordelloes or flews were permitted dize (18). and fanctioned by the authority of government in every country of Europe (19). To twelve of these Henry VII. gave his licenfe; and figns painted on their walls diffinguished them, and invited the paffenger (20). So general was the licentioufnefs which fpread itfelf, that the proprietors of houfes found it neceffary to let them out under the exprefs condition that the leffee fhould keep and harbour no common women (21). Henry VIII. who approved not love in any form, but that of matrimony, suppressed many stew-houses in Southwark, and ordained, that proftitutes should not receive the rights of the church while they lived,

lived, nor have a Christian burial, when they were dead (22).

Such were the manners which were produced by the opprefiions and diforders of fiefs and chivalry. And thus, notwithftanding what many' writers have afferted, I am entitled to conclude, that the fpirit of chivalry was not uniform any more than that of fiefs; and that, at different periods, its manners were oppofite and contradictory.

## AUTHO-

# AUTHORITIES,

# CONTROVERSY,

# AND

# REMARKS.



AUTHORITIES, CONTROVERSY,

#### AND

REMARKS.

# BOOK I.

## CHAPTER I:

## SECTION I.

(1) 'AGRI, pro numero cultorum, ab uni-'verfis per vices occupantur, quos mox inter fe 'fecundum dignationem partiuntur.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 26. 'Privati ac feparati agri apud 'eos nihil eft.' Cæfar de bell. Gall. lib. 4. c. 1. The German tribes paffed annually from the fields they had cultivated. 'Arva per annos mutant.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 26. 'Neque longius anno 'remanere uno in loco incolendi caufa licet.' Cæfar de bell. Gall. lib. 4. c. 1. The condition of property among thefe nations I have treated in another work. Historical Dissert. concerning the Antiquity of the English Constitution, Part 1.

Similar
Similar diffinctions prevail in all barbarous nations, and give rife to a fimilar way of thinking. 'Formerly,' fays Mr. Adair, ' the Indian law ob-' liged every town to work together in one body, ' in fowing or planting their crops; though their ' fields are divided by proper marks, and their ' harveft is gathered feparately. 'The Cheerake ' and Muſkohge ftill obferve that old cuſtom.' *Hiſtory of the American Indians*.

Among the Indians of Peru, it is faid, that the territory occupied was the property of the ftate, and was regulated by the magistrate; and that, when individuals were permitted to possible particular spots, these, in default of male issue, returned to the community. Royal commentaries of Peru, book 5. cb. 1. and 3.

It feems to have arifen out of the old cuftom, which confidered land as the property of nations, that in Europe, when all heirs failed, the property of the individual went to the *fife*, or to the fovereign as reprefenting the ftate.

<sup>6</sup> Quod fi maritus et mulier fine herede mortui <sup>6</sup> fuerint, et nullus usque ad septimum gradum <sup>6</sup> de propinquis et quibuscunque parentibus inve-<sup>6</sup> nitur, tunc res *fiscus* adquirat.<sup>7</sup> *LL. Baivvar. tit.* 14. *l.* 9.

<sup>6</sup> Fiscus tunc agat, quando nec parentum, nec <sup>6</sup> filiorum,

### IN EUROPE.

<sup>e</sup> filiorum, nec nepotum, nec agnatorum, nec
<sup>e</sup> cognatorum, nec uxoris et mariti, quæ fuccedat,
<sup>e</sup> extare comperitur perfona, *fecundum veterum*<sup>e</sup> conftituta.<sup>e</sup> Edictum Theoderici Regis, c. 24.

The fields in pafture belonged to the community or tribe, as well as the fields in tillage. The moment that the flocks or herds of one individual left them, they might be poffeffed or occupied by those of another; and so on in fuccession. It was under the influence of fuch manners that Abraham faid to Lot, ' Is not the whole land be-' fore thee? feparate thyself, I pray thee, from ' me; if thou wilt take the left-hand, then I will ' go to the right; or, if thou depart to the right-' hand, then I will go to the left.' Genefis, Ch. xii. v. 9. And to this condition of fociety the Roman poets make frequent allusions, though they do not feem to have understood it with accuracy \*.

When the territory of a tribe or nation ceafed to be its property, and individuals acquired particular fpots or eftates, which they cultivated for their

\* Ante Jovem nulli fubigebant arva coloni, Nec arare quidem, aut partiri limite campum Fas erat; in medium quærebant; ipfaque tellus Omnia liberius nullo poscente ferebat. VIRG.

Non domus ulla fores habuit, non fixus in agris Qui regeret certis finibus arva lapis. TIBUL.

their use, and transmitted to their posterity, it was a confequence of the old manners, that thefe improvements were regarded as the ufurpations of the powerful on the weak; and historians affure us, that it happened both in Greece and Italy, that the land-marks which had been fixed to diftinguish the boundaries of property, were frequently removed or deftroyed. It feemed an encroachment on the rights of the people, that lands, which, of old, pastured indifferently the cattle of fucceffive occupiers, fhould be allotted to the ufe and convenience only of private men, it was accordingly, not merely neceffary to make laws to prevent the violation of private rights; but, what is curious in an uncommon degree, even the termini or land-marks, that they might remain unremoved for the prefervation and the feparation of property, were exalted into divinities. Thus, religion, as well as policy, held out its terrors to force mankind to learn the art of appropriation, and to accept of power and riches.

Among the Celtic and German barbarians, the defacing and the removing of land-marks were alfo common delinquencies; and, in the punifhment of them, much feverity was exercifed.

Si quis limites complantaverit, aut terminos
fixos fuerit aufus evellere, fi ingenuus eft, per
fingula figna vel notas vicenos vi. folid. componat;

160

IN EUROPE.

<sup>6</sup> ponat; fi fervus eft, per fingula figna L. flagella <sup>6</sup> fuscipiat.<sup>7</sup> LL. Baivvar. tit. xi. l. 1. et 2.

<sup>6</sup> Si quis liber homo terminum antiquum corru-<sup>6</sup> perit, aut exterminaverit, et probatum fuerit, fit <sup>6</sup> culpabilis lxxx. fol. medium regi, et medium in <sup>6</sup> cujus fine fuerit terminus. Si quis fervus alie-<sup>6</sup> nus terminum antiquum ruperit, aut extermina-<sup>6</sup> verit, mortis incurrat periculum, aut fol. xl. re-<sup>6</sup> dimatur.' LL. Longobard, lib. 1. tit. 26. l. 1. et <sup>7</sup> 2. See farther LL. Wifigoth. lib. 10: tit. 3. De terminis et limitibus.

Boundaries and limits are also an article in the code of Gentoo laws; and the regulations it holds out on this subject are, perhaps, a proof, that the mass of the inhabitants of Hindostan, at the period of their enactment, had not lost the idea of times which preceded the discovery of the advantages of a landed property. Code of Gentoo laws, cb. 12.

(2) ' Dominum ac fervum nullis educationis
deliciis dignofcas. Inter eadem pecora; in
eadum humo degunt; donec aetas feparet ignenuos, virtus agnofcat.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ.*c. 20.

(3) ' Si civitas, in qua orti funt, longa pace et
otio torpeat; plerique nobilium adolefcentium
petunt ultro eas nationes, quae tum bellum aliquod gerunt, quia et ingrata genti quies, et facilius inter ancipitia clarefcunt. . . . . Nec
M 'arare

arare terram aut expectare annum, tam facile perfuaferis quam vocare hoftes et vulnera mereri :
Pigrum quinimmo et iners videtur fudore acquirere, quod poffis fanguine parare.' Tacit. de Mar.
Germ. c. 14.

(4). Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 15. 21. 24.
<sup>6</sup> Struvius, Corpus hiftoriae Germanicae, pro<sup>6</sup> legom.

(5) ' Convictibus et hofpitiis non alia gens effufius indulget. Quemcumque mortalium arcere tecto, nefas habetur, pro fortuna quifque apparatis excipit. Cum defecere, qui modo hofpes fuerat, monftrator hofpitii et comes, proximam domum non invitati adeunt. Nec intereft. Pari humanitate accipiuntur. Notum ignotumque, quantum ad jus hofpitii, nemo difcernit. Abeunti, fi quid popofceris, concedere moris: Et pofcendi invicem eadem facilitas. Gaudent muneribus; fed nec data imputant, nec acceptis obligantur.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 21.

The American tribes, who refemble fo completely the antient Germans, are thus characterifed by *Lafitau*: 'Ils ont le coeur haut et fier, un cou-'rage a l'epreuve, un valeur intrepide, un conftance dans les tourmens qui eft heroique, une égalité que le contre-temps et les mauvais fuccés n'alterent point: Entre eux ils ont un efpece de civilité à leur mode, dont ils gardent toutes 'les <sup>6</sup> les bienfeances, un respect pour leur anciens, <sup>6</sup> une deference pour leur égaux qui a quelque <sup>6</sup> chose de surprenant, et qu' on a peine a concilier <sup>6</sup> avec cette independance, et cette liberté dont ils <sup>6</sup> paroissent extremement jaloux : Ils sont peu ca-<sup>6</sup> ressant et sont peu de demonstrations; mais non-<sup>6</sup> obstant cela, ils sont bons, affables, et exercent <sup>6</sup> envers les etrangers et les malheureux une chari-<sup>6</sup> table hospitalité, qui a de quoi confondre toutes <sup>6</sup> les nations de l'Europe.' Moeurs des Sauvages Ameriquains, vol. 1. p. 106. See also Charlevoix, Journ. Hist. lettre 21. Such, with a few exceptions, it is to be thought, is the character of all nations in an early age of society.

(6) Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 15. Struvius, Corp. Hift. Ger. prolegom. Cluver. Germ. Antiq: lib. 1.

(7) ' Cibi fimplices, agreftia poma, recens fera,
aut lac concretum, Sine apparatu, fine blandimentis, expellunt famem. Adverfus fitim non
eadem temperantia. Si indulferis ebrietati, fuggerendo quantum concupifcunt, haud minus facile vitiis, quam armis vincentur.' Tacit. de Mor.
Germ. c. 23.

(8) ' Crebrae ut inter vinolentos rixae, raro conviciis, faepius caede et vulneribus, tranfiguntur.
Sed et de reconciliandis invicem inimicis, et jungendis affinitatibus, et adfcifcendis principibus, M 2 ' de

164

de pace denique ac bello, plerumque in convivuis
confultant : Tanquam nullo magis tempore aut
ad fimplices cogitationes pateat animus, aut ad
magnas incalefcat. Gens non aftuta nec callida
aperit adhuc fecreta pectoris licentia loci. Ergo
detecta et nuda omnium mens poftera die reactatur : Et falva utriufque temporis ratio eft. Deliberant dum fingere nefciunt ; conftituunt dum
errare non poffunt.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 22.

The deliberating on bufinefs, and the holding of councils of flate during entertainments, was the practice of the Celtic and Gothic nations. And, it is remarkable, that the word *mallum* or *mallus*, which, during the middle ages, denoted the national affembly, as well as the county-court, is a derivative of *mael*, which fignifies *convivium*.

From this union of feftivity and bufinefs, there refulted evils which gave occafion to regulations which cannot be read without wonder. It was a law of the Longobards, 'Ut nullus ebrius fuam 'caufam in mallum poffit conquirere, nec teftimo-'nium dicere; nec comes placitum habeat nifi je-'junus.' *LL. Longobard. lib. 2. tit. 52. l.* xi. We read in *Capit. Kar. et Lud.* 'Rectum et honef-'tum videtur ut judices jejuni caufas audiant et 'difcernant.' *Lib. 1. l.* 62. *ap. Lindenbrog.* And the following law was made in a fynod held at Winchefter ann. 1308. 'Item, quia in perfonis ebriis 'legitimus dici non debet confenfus, inhibemus, 'ne ne intabernis per quaecunque verba, aut nifi jejuna
faliva, vir aut mulier de contrahendo matrimonio
fibi in vicem fidem dare praefumant.' Wilkins,
Concil. tom. 2. p. 295.

This rudenefs, of which we fee the fource in Tacitus, feems to have continued very long in . England. ' Non exolevit hactenus mos antiquus,' fays Sir Henry Spelman, ' nam in mallis feu placi-' tis, quae affiffae jam vocantur, vicecomites pro-' vinciarum bis quotannis magnam exhauriunt · vim pecuniae, in judicibus nobilibuíque patriae " convivandis.' Gloff. p. 385. In Scotland, in the momory of perfons yet alive, the lawyers and retainers of the courts of justice did business constantly and openly in the tavern. It is likewife obfervable, that fome particulars which regard the inftitution of the jury, are to be explained and illustrated from these facts, and this way of thinking. Historical Differtation concerning the antiquity of the English constit. Part 4. Sect. 2.

(9) 'Nullas Germanorum populis urbes habi-'tari, fatis notum eft, ne pati quidem inter fe junc-'tas fedes. Colunt difereti ac diverfi, ut fons, ut campus, ut nemus placuit. . . . Nec enim 'cum ubertate et amplitudine foli labore contendunt, ut pomaria conferant, et prata feparent, et 'hortos rigent. Sola terrae feges imperatur. Unde 'annum quoque ipfum non in totidem digerunt fpe-'cies: Hiems, et ver, et aeftas, intellectum ac 'vocabula

<sup>6</sup> vocabula habent : Autumni perinde nomen ac <sup>6</sup> bona ignorantur.<sup>9</sup> Jacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 16. 26.

(10) ' Ceterum nec cohibere parietibus deos,
' neque in ullam humani oris fpeciem affimilare,
' ex magnitudine caeleftium arbitrantur. Lucos ac
' nemora confecrant, deorumque nominibus appel' lant fecretum illud, quod fola reverentia vident.
' Aufpicia fortefque ut qui maxime obfervant.'
Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 9. Struvius, Corp. Hift.
Germ. prolegom.

(11) Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 12. Cluver, Germ. Antiq. lib. 1.

(12) 'Duces exemplo potius quam imperio, fi 'prompti, fi confpicui, fi ante aciem agant, admi-'ratione praesunt.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 7.

(13) 'Nigra scuta, tincta corpora, atras ad proelia noctes legunt. . . Cedere loco, dummodo rursus instes, confilii quam formidinis arbitrantur.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 6. 43,

A writer of reputation has, of late, advanced an opinion, that our European anceftors were averfe from deceit and ftratagem. Yet a propenfity to thefe is perhaps a characteriftic of all barbarous nations; and, that it applied to our forefathers, the teftimony before us is a fufficient proof. In oppofition to the barbarians of Europe, he holds out the American Indians, and contends that they are defective defective in active courage. Open violence he accounts as defcriptive of the former; a reliance on ftratagem and furprife, he remarks as peculiar to the latter. And, as the caufe of this diverfity, he affigns different original difpolitions. Sketches of the Hiftory of Man, vol. 1. p. 23. 24.

The truth is, that a pronenefs to open violence, is to be applied to the American as well as to the European favage; and that the love of ftratagem and furprife was not lefs peculiar to the European than to the American. Stratagem and furprife, in America and in Germany, and indeed in all tribes and nations whatever, are parts of the art of war, or of military prudence, and refer not to courage. When the military art is neareft to perfection, and when cultivation is higheft, there will be lefs of ftratagem in war; for cunning, if I may be allowed the expression, is the wisdom of weakness. The ingenious author hazards a conjecture for a discovery, and mistakes for philosophy a fally of vivacity.

(14) 'Latrocinia nullam habent infamiam, quae
extra fines cujuíque civitatis fiunt ; atque ea juventutis exercendae ac defidiae minuendae cauía
fieri praedicant.' *Caefar de Bell. Gall. lib. 6. c.*22. 'Materia munificentiae per bella, et raptus.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 14.

Among the Greeks the fame manners were known,

168

known. It was common among them, in early times, for the more eminent and powerful to exercife, with reputation and honour, the crimes of robbery and piracy. *Thucydides*, *lib*, **1**. *Homer*, *Odyff*. 3. Such is the cafe in all rude communities. In the wilds of America this way of thinking is prevalent at this hour. Warriors, reftlefs and impatient, affociate together, and feek for renown and plunder beyond the boundaries of their tribe. It is of bodies of this kind that *Lafitau* fpeaks in the following paffage; which is not to be read, without recalling to one's mind what Caefar and Tacitus have faid of the Gauls and Germans.

<sup>6</sup> Le partis detachés, qui fe forment en pleine <sup>6</sup> paix, pour ne pas intereffer la nation par des hof-<sup>6</sup> tilités, leíqu'elles pourroient avoir de fuites fache-<sup>6</sup> ufes, vont porter la guerre chez les peuples les <sup>6</sup> plus reculés. . . . Cette petite guerre <sup>6</sup> eft un veritable affaffinat, un brigandage, qui n'a <sup>6</sup> nulle apparence *de juftice*, ni dans le motif qui l'a <sup>6</sup> fait entreprendre, ni par rapport aux peuples, á <sup>6</sup> qui elle eft faite; ils ne font feulement pas con-<sup>6</sup> nus de ces nations eloigneés, ou ne le font que par <sup>6</sup> les dommages qu'ils leur caufent, lorfqu'ils vont <sup>6</sup> les affommer ou de faire efclaves prefque jufques <sup>6</sup> aux portes de leur palifades. Les fauvages re-<sup>6</sup> gardent cela neanmoins *comme un belle action.*' *Tom. 2. p.* 169.

It was under the influence of fuch manners that the

the northern nations carried on those piratical ineurfions, which, from the time of Charlemagne, filled Europe with terror. They were planned and conducted by men of rank, and conferred honour on them, and on the inferior adventurers. Yet modern historians, who are perpetually a pplying modern notions to ancient times, attend not to this circumftance, and treat these maritime expeditions with a severity that may be moral enough, but which is historically injudicious and abfurd.

In the age of Tacitus, the only German community who appear to have conceived the blame of this conduct, were the Chauci. For the great fuperiority and refinement of this people I pretend not to account. But though, in general, it confifted with honour and merit, among the German ftates, to commit fpoil and plunder among neighbouring nations; yet, it is not to be forgot, that the theft or violence of an individual within the territories of his own tribe, was attrocious, and a fubject of punishment. This circumstance, which is curious in the hiftory of morality, is to be explained from the condition of an infant fociety. Their riches, confifting chiefly of herds and flocks, which wander over vast tracts of country, are only to be protected by terrors of juffice. Hence the laws of the barbarians affixed death to the crime of ftealing a horfe, while the affaffination, or the murder of a man, was expiated by a piece of money

170

ney or a fine. ' Qui caballum furaverit, capite puniatur.' LL. Saxon tit. 4. l. I. The extent of their forefts, while it contributed to render more eafy the abstraction of cattle, made it the more neneffary to punish the offence. It also was a refult of their unappropriated folitudes, that the proprietors of cattle found a difficulty in tracing them. Hence the cuftom of fixing bells to them. ' Mos ' quippe antiquus inoleverat Francis, et maxime ' Auftrafiis, ut pascentibus equis tintinnabula. ' imponerent, quo fi forte longius in pascendo ' aberraffent, eorum sonitu dignosci possent.' Lindenbrog. Gloff. voc. Tintinnabulum. And what is worthy of notice, the taking away of these bells was a henious delinquence, and punished feverely. ' Si quis tintinnabulum involaverit de jumento vel ' bove, folidum reddat. De vacca tremisses duos; ' De berbicibus vel quibufcunque pecoribus, tre-' miffes fingulos cogatur exfolvere.' LL. Wiftgoth. lib. 7. tit. 2. l. 11. See alfo LL. Salic. tit. 29. et. LL. Burgund. tit. 4. § 5. In general, the atrocity of theft among the Gothic nations, may be gathered from the following Swedish law, which is of high antiquity. ' In furti reum fecuri, furca, de-' foffione, vivi comburio animadverti poffe, nec eo ' nomine vel haeredibus, vel ecclefiae, vel regi, ' ullam fatisfactionem deberi.' Stiernbook de jur. Sueon. et Goth. vet. p. 366.

These important circumstances in the history of

of manners, the legality of a diftant robbery, and the criminality of a domeftic one, which are fo pointedly illuftrated by the early flate of the Greeks, by that of the German and Celtic barbarians, and by the condition of the American tribes at this hour, receive a confirmation, of the greateft weight, from the confideration of the Gentoo jurifprudence. In the code of Gentoo laws, there is this remarkable ordinance.

' The mode of *shares among robbers* is this : If any thieves, by the command of the magistrate, " and with his affiftance, have committed depreda-" tions upon, and brought any booty from another " province, the magistrate shall receive a share of one fixth of the whole; if they receive no com-' mand or affiftance from the magistrate, they " shall give the magistrate, in that case, one tenth ' for his share; and, of the remainder, their ' chief shall receive four shares; and whofoever ' among them is perfect mafter of his occupation, ' shall receive three shares; also, whichever of ' them is remarkably ftrong and ftout, shall re-' ceive two shares, and the reft shall receive one ' fhare; if any one of the community of the thieves " happens to be taken, and fhould be releafed from " the cutcherry \*, upon payment of a fum of mo-" ney, all the thieves shall make good that fum by equal fhares.' Code of Gentoo laws, p. 146.

\* A court of justice.

A perfon

A perfon who has not confidered favage and barbarous manners, will think, with the utmoft furprife, that a magiftrate fhould not only command a robbery, and give his countenance and protection to thieves, but even participate in their plunder. Such, notwithftanding, is the fyftem of equity among all rude nations. While diftant expeditions, however, and robberies, were thus confidered as legal and honourable, the difturbers of domeftic quiet and happinefs were punifhed among the Hindoos with the greateft rigour.

'If a man,' fay their laws, 'fteals an elephant, 'or a horfe, excellent in all respects, the magif-'trate shall cut off his hand, and foot, and but-'tock, and deprive him of life.

' If a man steals an elephant, or a horse, of ' fmall account, the magistrate shall cut off from ' him one hand and one foot.

'If a man fteals a camel or a cow, the magiftrate shall cut off from him one hand and one foot.' *Gentoo laws*, p. 249.

There are, in this code, a great variety of laws against domestic thefts and robberies. The state of society of the Hindoos, to which it has a reference, refembles very much that of the German barbarians, when they had overturned the empire of the Romans; and a comparison of it with the laws laws of the Ripuarians, Burgundians, Longobards, and Franks, would lead to many curious difcoveries in the progrefs of legiflation and government.

(15) 'Nec regibus infinita aut libera poteftas. . . De minoribus rebus principes confultant, de majoribus omnes. Ita tamen, ut ea quoque, quorum penes plebem arbitrium eft, apud principes pertractentur. Coeunt, nifi quid fortuitum et fubitum inciderit, certis diebus, cum aut inchoatur Luna aut impletur; nam agendis rebus hoc auspicatissimum initium credunt. . . Rex vel princeps, pro ut ætas cuique, pro ut nobilitas, pro ut decus bellorum, pro ut facundia eft, audiuntur, auctoritate fuadendi, magis quam jubendi poteftate. Si displicuit fententia, fremitu aspernantur : Sin placuit, frameas concutiunt.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 7. xi.

This limitation of government is a confequence of manners in early times; and, notwithftanding what is obferved by many writers of antiquity, it feems very clear, that the popular or republican mode of administration is prior to monarchy.

In every rude community we know, the government has a furprifing affinity to that of the Germans, as defcribed by Tacitus. And this is peculiarly obfervable of the American nations. 'Tout,'

' Tout,' fays Charlevoix of the Americans, ' doit · être examiné et arreté dans les confeils des an-' ciens, qui jugent en derniere instance.' 'fourn. Historiq. lettre 18. ' The highest title among the ' Americans,' fays Mr. Adair, ' either in mili-' tary or civil life, fignifies only a chieftain : They ' have no words to express despotic power or ' arbitrary kings. . . . The power of their ' chiefs is an empty found. They can only per-<sup>6</sup> fuade or diffuade the people, either by the force ' of good nature and clear reafoning, or colour-' ing things fo as to fuit their prevailing paffions. ' It is reputed merit alone that gives them any ' titles of diffinction among the meaneft of the <sup>e</sup> people. . . When any national affair is ' in debate, you may hear every father of a fa-' mily speaking in his house, on the subject, with ' rapid and bold language, and the utmost free-6 dom that a people can use. Their voices, to a 6 man, have due weight in every public affair, as ' it concerns their welfare alike.' Hift. of the American Indians, p. 428. See alfo Lafitau, tom. 2. p. 475.

(16) ' Ac primo ftatim Chaucorum gens,
<sup>6</sup> quamquam incipiat a Frifiis, ac partem litoris
<sup>6</sup> occupet, omnium quas expolui gentium lateri<sup>6</sup> bus obtenditur, donec in Cattos ulque finuetur.
<sup>6</sup> Tam immenfum terrarum fpatium non tenent
<sup>6</sup> tantum Chauci, fed et implent : Populus inter
<sup>6</sup> Germanos

<sup>6</sup> Germanos nobilifimus, quique magnitudinem <sup>6</sup> fuam malit jufticia tueri. Sine cupiditate, fine <sup>6</sup> impotentia, quieti fecretique, nulla provocant <sup>6</sup> bella, nullis raptibus aut latrociniis poftulaban-<sup>6</sup> tur. Idque præcipuum virtutis ac virium argu-<sup>6</sup> mentum eft, quod, ut fuperiores agant, non per <sup>6</sup> injurias affequuntur. Prompta tamen omnibus <sup>6</sup> arma, ac fi res pofcat exercitus : Plurimum vi-<sup>6</sup> rorum equorumque : Et quiefcentibus eadem <sup>6</sup> fama.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 35.

<sup>6</sup> Fennis mira feritas, fœda paupertas, non ar<sup>6</sup> ma, non equi, non penates : Victui herba, vefti<sup>6</sup> tui pelles, cubile humus. Sola in fagittis fpes,
<sup>6</sup> quas inopia ferri offibus afperant. Idemque
<sup>6</sup> venatus viros pariter ac feminas alit. Paffim
<sup>6</sup> enim comitantur, partemque prædæ petunt.
<sup>6</sup> Nec aliud infantibus ferarum imbriumque fuffu<sup>6</sup> gium, quam ut in aliquo ramorum nexu conte<sup>6</sup> gantur. Huc redeunt juvenes, hoc fenum re<sup>6</sup> ceptaculum. Id beatius arbitrantur, quam in<sup>6</sup> gemere agris, illaborare domibus fuas alienafque
<sup>6</sup> fortunas fpe metuque verfare. Securi adverfus
<sup>6</sup> homines, fecuri adverfus deos, rem difficillimam
<sup>6</sup> affecuti funt, ut illis ne voto quidem opus fit.<sup>7</sup> Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 46.

175

# SECTION II.

(1) MR MILLAR on the Diffinction of Ranks, ch. 1. Sketches of the hiftory of Man, vol. 1. Dr Robertson, Hiftory of America, vol. 1. p. 318.

(2) 'Verberare servum, ac vinculis et operecoercere, rarum,' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 25.

(3) ' Domus officia uxor et liberi exequuntur.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 25. 'Liberos fuos,' fays *Caefar* of the Gauls, ' nifii quum adoleverint, ut ' munus militiae fuftinere poffint, palam ad fe ' adire non patiuntur; filiumque in puerili aetate ' in publico in confpectu patris affiftere turpe du-'cunt.' De Bell. Gall. lib. 6. c. 18.

(4) 'Quum ex captivis quaereret Caefar, quamobrem Arioviftus proelio non decertaret ? hanc
reperiebat caufam, quod apud Germanos ea confuetudo effet, ut matres familias earum fortibus
et vaticinationibus declararent, utrum proelium
committi ex ufu effet necne, eas ita dicere, non
effe fas Germanos fuperare fi ante novam lunam proelio contendiffent.' Caefar de Bell. Gall. lib. 1. c. 50.

(5) Strabo lib. 7. Struvius, Corpus Hiftor.
 German. prolegeom. Cluver. German. Antiq. lib. 1.
 (6)

(6) ' Ineffe quinetiam fanctum aliquid, et provi-6 dum putant. . . . Vidimus sub Divo Vespasi-<sup>6</sup> ano Velledam diu apud plerosque numinis loco 6 habitam. Sed et olim Auriniam, et complures e alias venerati funt, non adulatione, nec tan-' quam facerent deas.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 8.

The honours of divinity came to be proftituted to these women with a wonderful profusion. Among the monuments of Antiquity in Germany, many altars, with infcriptions to them, have been difcovered; and, both in England and Scotland, there are remains of the fame kind. Keyfler, Antiq. Select. Septentr. et Celt. p. 379-448. Camden, Britannia, paffim. The appellation given them, in Caefar, is matres familias; and these inscriptions bear matribus or matronis Suevis, Treveris, Aufanis, &c.

Under Paganism and Christianity, the fatidical arts they practifed drew upon them a very different fate. The credulity of the Pagan advanced them into goddeffes. The more criminal ignorance of the Chriftian confidered them as witches, and configned them to the fire. Their mutterings were conceived to be magical. It was thought they could fascinate children with a look, were in covenant with demons, to whofe embraces they fubmitted, could blaft the fruits of autumn, raife commotions in the air, and interprete

prete dreams. What is remarkable, the laws againft fuch women, and againft witchcraft, were not abrogated in England till the year 1736: And, in other countries of Europe, there are ftill regulations in force againft these miserable objects, and this imaginary crime.

(7) 'Ad matres ad conjuges vulnera ferunt : 'Nec illae numerare, aut exfugere plagas pavent.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 7. Cluver. Germ. Antiq. lib. 1.

(8) ' Feminae lineis amictibus velantur, eofque ' purpura variant.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 17. ' Cadurci, Caleti, Ruteni, Bituriges, ultimique ' hominum exiftimati Morini, imo vero Galliae ' universae vela texunt. Jam quidem et Transrhe-' nani hoftes : Nec pulchriorem aliam vestem eorum feminae noverunt.' Plin. Hift. Nat. lib. 19. c. 1. Concerning the Longobards, there is the following paffage in Paulus Diaconus : 'Veftimen-' ta eis erant laxa, et maxime linea, qualia Anglo-<sup>6</sup> Saxones habere folent, ornata inftitis latioribus, " vario colore contextis.' Hift. Longobard. lib. 4. c. 7. And of the daughters of Charlemagne, there is this notice in Eginburd. 'Filias lanificio ' affuescere, coloque ac fuso, ne per otium torpe-' rent, operam impendere, atque ad omnem ho-' neftatem erudiri juflit.' Vit. Car. Mag. In America, according to Mr. Adair, the women are the chief, if not the only manufacturers. The men judge,

judge, that if they should perform offices of this kind, it would exceedingly difgrace them. *Hift. of the Amer. Indians*, p. 423. These offices, however, being characteristic of the women, are honourable in them. In Rome, during the virtuous times of the republic, the employments of the women were the diftaff and the spindle; and *Plutarch* has said, in reproach of Fulvia the widow of Clodius, that she could neither spin nor stay at home. *Vit. Anton.* 

(9) 'Statim e fomno, quem plerumque in diem
'extrahunt, lavantur, faepius calida, ut apud quos
'plurimum hiems occupat.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ.*c. 22.

----- Mollefque flagellant

· Colla comae.'

### MART. EPIG. lib. 1.

<sup>6</sup> Partemque vestitas superioris in manicas non <sup>6</sup> extendunt, nuda brachia ac lacertos: Sed et <sup>6</sup> proxima pars pectoris patet.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 17. <sup>6</sup> Cet usage, says *Pelloutier*, s'est con-<sup>6</sup> fervé en Saxe, en Prusse, et en Livonie. Les <sup>6</sup> femmes y portent des chemises sans manche, et <sup>6</sup> laissent leur gorge à decouvert.' *Hist. des Celtes*, *lib. 4. cb. 4.* 

Diodorus Siculus, lib. 5. records the comelinefs both of the Gaulic and German women; and Biffula, a German beauty, is celebrated by Aufonius.

179

(10). 'Matrem fuam,' fays Tacitus of Civilis,
'fororefque, fimul omnium conjuges, parvofque
'liberos, confiftere a tergo jubet, hortamenta vic'toriae.' Hift. lib. 4. 'In proximo pignora;
'unde feminarum ululatus audiri, unde vagitus
'infantium. . . . Memoriae proditur quafdam
'acies, inclinatas jam et labantes, a feminis refti'tutas, conftantia precum, et objectu pectorum,
'et monftrata cominus captivitate, quam longe
'impatientius feminarum fuarum nomine timent.'
Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 8. 1. 'Ut virorum cantu,
'feminarum ululatu, fonuit acies.' Tacit. Hift.
lib. 4. See alfo Cæfar de Bell. Gall. lib. 1. c. 51.

<sup>6</sup> Adeo ut efficacius obligentur animi civitatum, <sup>6</sup> quibus inter obfides *puellae* quoque nobiles impe-<sup>6</sup> rantur.<sup>7</sup> De Mor. Germ. c. 8. Suetonius, fpeaking of the transactions of Augustus against the barbarians, has these words: <sup>6</sup> A quibustam novum <sup>6</sup> genus obsidum feminas exigere tentaverit: quod <sup>6</sup> negligere marium pignora sentiebat.<sup>7</sup> Vit. Aug. c. 21.

(11) 'Sororum filiis idem apud avunculum qui 'apud patrem honor.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 20. Hence it is, fays Montesquieu, that our earlieft historians speak in such strong terms of the love of the kings of the Franks for the children of their fisters. L'esprit des Loix, lib. 18. cb. 22. John de Laet remarks of the Brasilians, that they call their uncles and aunts fathers and mothers; and the

the fame cuftom prevails among the north American Indians. Adair bift. of the Amer. Indians, p. 213. Among the Hurons, fays Charlevoix, with whom the dignity of the chief is hereditary, the fucceffion is continued through the women; fo that, at the death of a prince, it is not his own, but his fifter's fon who fucceeds; and, 'in default of him, the nearest relation in the female line. It is added, ' Si toute un branche vient à s'eteindre, la plus " noble matrone de la tribu, ou de la nation, choifit <sup>6</sup> le fujet, qui lui plait davantage, et le declare " chef.' Journ. Hift. Lett. 18. " Æthiopes,' fays Damascenus, ' Sororibus potifimum honorem exhi-' bent, et successionem tradunt reges, non fuis, ' fed fororum filiis.' De mor. Gent. These facts, which coincide fo curioufly, express, in a forcible manner, the early importance of the fex.

(12) 'Nec aut confilia earum aspernantur, aut 'responsa negligunt.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 8. To deliberate, in public, on national concerns, was a privilege common to the women in all the Gothic and Celtic tribes. Plutarch, de virtut. mulier. Polyænus in Stratag. lib. 7. This advantage they enjoyed also in old times in Greece. Goguet, part. 2. book 1. ch. 4. And, at this hour, in America, they are called to the national meetings, to give their advice and counsel. Charlevoix, Journ. Hist. let. 13, 18. 'Les semmes,' says Lassian, 'font toujours les premieres qui deliberent, ou qui 'doivent

181

182

doivent deliberer, felon leur principes, fur les affaires particulieres ou communes. Elles tiennent
leur confeil à part, et en confequence de leur determination, elles donnent avis au chefs des matieres qui font fur le tapis, afin qu'ils en deliberent à leur tour. Les chefs, fur ces avis, font affembler les anciens de leur tribu; et fi la chofe
dont on doit traiter intereffe le bien commun,
tous fe reuniffent dans le confeil general de la
nation.' Tome 1. p. 477.

The German women, after their nations had made conquests, still attended to affairs. As they debated, in the days of Tacitus, in the affemblies of their tribes, fo they appeared afterwards in the Gothic parliaments. Among the Franks, as well as the Anglo-Saxons, the Queens had an active fhare in the government; and, among the former, there is the example of a Queen who received a national homage. Greg. of Tours, lib. 4. Werburgh, Queen to King Wightred, affifted at the wittenagemot, or national council, held at Berghamfted. Chron. Sax. p. 48. Malmfbury, lib. 2. mentions a parliament held by King Edgar, in which he was affifted by his mother Alfgina. And Canute is faid, in a national affembly, to have acted by the advice of Queen Emma, and the bifhops and nobility of England. Mat. West, p. 423.

When

When the crown fell to a prince in his minority, the queen-mother had the guardianship. Thus Fredegund had the guardianship of her fon Clotarius II. Brunehild of ther grandsfons Theodebert and Theoderic, and Balthildis of her fon Clotarius III.

(13) The following particulars, as well as those already mentioned, favour the notion of the importance of women in early times. ' Apud Saue nitas vel Samnites, de adolescentibus et virgini-<sup>6</sup> bus quotannis publicum habetur judicium. · Quem igitur eorum optimum effe fententia judi-<sup>e</sup> cum pronunciarit, is fibi ex virginibus eligit uxoe rem quam vult, deinde secundus ab eo alteram, et fic de caeteris deinceps.'. Damafc. de Mor. Gent. <sup>6</sup> Sauromatae uxoribus in omnibus obtemperant, " tanquam dominabus.' Ibid. ' Lycii vitam fuf-' tinuerunt ex latrociniis. Legibus autem non ' utuntur, sed consuetudinibus, dominaturque ipsis " feminae inde usque ab initio." Heraclides de Politiis Graecorum. 'In ea regione quam Athamanes ' habitant, mulieres terram colunt, viri greges paf-" cunt.' Ibid. Tacitus, discouring of the antient Britains, has thefe words. ' His atque talibus invi-' cem instructi, Boudicea generis regii femina, duce " (neque enim fexum in imperiis discernnnt) sump-" fere universi bellum.' Vit. Agric. c 16. In Homer, who paints rude manners, the women make a figure. In Virgil, who defcribes refined manners, they

they are infipid. Helen, Hecuba, Andromache, Penelope, Nauficaa, and Calypfo, have marked and diffinct characters. But Lavinia feems to be without paffions of any kind, and to have that nothingness of character which, in the ages of civility, is too frequently connected with the most enchanting forms. The women of Egypt were highly prized, and had a kind of authority over the men. The toilets of the goddeffes in Homer, and the gay dreffes of the Greek ladies, feem to mark the confideration of the fex. At Sparta, the women interfered in the affairs of state, and assumed a fuperiority over the men. ' Les femmes,' fays Charle-" voix of the Americans, " ont la principale autorité ' chez tous les peuples de la langue Huronne, fi on en excepte le canton Iroquois d'Onneyouth, ' ou elle eft alternative entre les deux fexes.' Journ. Hift. lett. 18.

The importance of women among the Hindoos, is illustrated in a striking manner by the following laws.

<sup>6</sup> If a perfon has called a modeft woman un-<sup>6</sup> chafte, and the woman, or her hufband, fhould <sup>6</sup> make a complaint to a magiftrate, whenever the <sup>6</sup> perfon accufed appears before the magiftrate, or <sup>6</sup> arbitrator, he fhall, upon the fpot, anfwer to the <sup>6</sup> complaint, and make no delay.

f If a woman, impelled by any calamity, fhould f come

come to any perfon, and remain with him, if he
commits fornication with that woman, the magiftrate shall fine him two hundred and fifty puns
of cowries.

<sup>6</sup> If a man speaks reproachfully of his wife's fa-<sup>6</sup> ther or mother, the magistrate shall fine him fifty <sup>6</sup> puns of cowries.

' If a man is prepared to caft upon a woman's
' body tears or phlegm, or the paring of his nails,
' or the gum of his eyes, or the wax of his ears, or
' the refuse of victuals, or spittle, the magistrate
' shall fine him forty *puns* of coveries.

If a man throws upon a woman, from the neck
upwards, any fpue, or urine, or ordure, or femen,
the magistrate shall fine him one hundred and
fixty puns of cowries.

So long as a woman remains unmarried, her
father fhall take care of her; and, fo long as a
wife remains young, her hufband fhall take care
of her; and, in her old age, her fon fhall take
care of her; and if, before a woman's marriage,
her father fhould die, the brother, or brother's
fon, or fuch other near relations of the father,
fhall take care of her; if, after marriage, her
hufband fhould die, and the wife has not
brought forth a fon, the brothers, and brothers
fons, or fuch other near relations of her hufband,
fhall take care of her; If there are no brothers, brothers

<sup>6</sup> brothers fons, or fuch other near relations of her
<sup>6</sup> hufband, the brothers, or fons of the brothers
<sup>6</sup> of her father fhall take care of her; and, in every
<sup>6</sup> ftage of life, if the perfons who have been allot<sup>6</sup> ted to take care of a woman, do not take care of
<sup>6</sup> her, each, in his refpective ftage accordingly, the
<sup>6</sup> magistrate shall fine them.' Code of Gentoo laws, *p.* 111. 163. 214. 220. 224. 282.

# SECTION III.

· Conciliabat enim vel mutua quamque cupido,

· Vel violenta viri vis, atque impenía libido,

'Vel precium, glandes, atque arbuta, vel pira 'lecta.'

# Lucret. lib. 5.

(2) 'Interfunt parentes et propinqui ac munera ' probant : Munera non ad delicias muliebres quae-' fita, nec quibus nova nupta comatur ; fed bove et ' frenatum equum, et fcutum cum framea gladio-' que. In hec munera uxor accipitur, atque invi-' cem ipfa armorum aliquid viro offert. Hoc max-' inum vinculum, haec arcana facra, hos conjugales ' deos arbitrantur.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 18.

Remains of these usages are to be found during every period of the middle ages. About the year 500,

500, on the marriage of Alamaberga, the niece of Theoderic King of the Oftrogoths, that prince wrote a letter to her hufband, Hermanfrid, King, of the Thuringians; from which it appears, that dreffed or accoutred horfes were prefented; and, in Loccenius, there are other examples to the fame purpose. Antiq. Sucogoth. lib. 2. Among the Irish, a war horfe and a spear were conjugal prefents, till a late æra. ' Ejufmodi quidpiam,' fays Sir Henry Spelman, in allufion to the paffage quoted from Tacitus, ' apud Germanorum nepotes Hibernicos ' ipfimet aliquando deprehendimus. Equum sci-" licet militarem cum framea inter jugalia munera fo-' lennius fuisse, sed a patre sponsæ donatum. Ad-' debant autem Hiberni cytharam, ut blandioris " fortunæ folatium.' Gbff. p. 174.

In the American marriages, an interchange of prefents was alfo an effential circumftance, and gave them a fanction and validity. 'Le mariage 'n'eft pas plutot refolu que les parentes de l'epoux envoyent un prefent dans le cabane de l'epoufe. Ce prefent confifte en des colliers de porcelaine, des pelleteries, quelques couvertures des fourrure, et d'autres meubles d'ufage, qui vont aux parens de la fille, à laquelle on ne demande point de dot; mais feulement qu'elle veuille accepter l'epoux qu'on lui offre. Ces fortes des prefens ne fe font pas feulement une fois, il s'en fait un efpece d'alternative entre les deux cabanes des futurs

futurs epoux, laquelle a fes loix preferites par la
coutume; mais, des que les prefens font acceptés, le mariage est censé, conclu, et le contrat
paísé.' Lafitau, tom. 1. p. 565.

From the words of Tacitus, it appears, that among the Germans the confent of the parents or relations was particularly neceffary in the contracting of marriages; and this is ftill more obvious from the laws of the barbarians, after they had made conquests. LL. Wifigoth, lib. 3. tit. 2. 4. 8. LL. Saxon. tit. 6. LL. Friftonum, tit. 9. The reafon was, that the young men and the young women might not, through paffion, marry into families hoftile to their own. In a ftate of fociety which is confined, and where government is imperfect, divisions and animofities among chiefs are frequent, and carried to extremity. It is ufeful to remark, that the necessity of this confent, and the fimilar diforder of the feudal manners, afcertained the incident of marriage; in confequence of which, the wards of a fuperior could not marry without his approbation. This incident, which was to grow fo important, is to be traced back to the woods of Germany. Hift. Differt. concerning the antiq. of the English constitution, part 2.

(3) ' Nec fe mulier extra virtutum cogitationes,
' extraque bellorum cafus putet, ipfis incipientis
' matrimonii aufpiciis admonetur, venire fe labo' rum

<sup>e</sup> rum periculorumque *fociam*, idem in pace idem
<sup>e</sup> in bello paffuram aufuramque; hoc juncti boves,
<sup>e</sup> hoc paratus equus, hoc data arma denuntiant.
<sup>e</sup> Sic vivendum, fic percundum.' *Tacit. de Mor.* Germ. c. 18.

The matrimonial gifts among the favages of America, expressed, in like manner, the labour to which the women were to fubmit, and were doubtlefs to be underftood in the fame light, as indications of equality, and expressions of respect. Yet Charlevoix affects to confider them rather as marks of flavery, than as teftimonies of friendship. Journal. Hift. let. 19. Of this author, it is to be wished, that he had given his facts without reafoning upon them; or, that he had endeavoured to be confiftent with himfelf; for, in other parts of his writings, we are led to conceive a high opinion of the state of the American women. My Lord Kaims and Mr. Millar feem, in the prefent cafe, to have effimated too highly his opinion. And I am fenfible that Dr. Robertfon has fubfcribed to their fentiments. They join, in confidering the prefents to the women as characteriftic of the meannels of their condition, and of their being the flaves of the men. They connect flavery with labour and bufinefs, without reflecting, that eafe and luxury cannot poffibly belong to women in barbarous times, and that, in all times, the men and women are to be judged of by different

ferent ftandards. The warrior does not apply the fame rules to his fon and his daughter, and does not fancy that they are to fhine alike in feats of arms. Valour he accounts the chief quality of the former : In the latter, he requires fomething more of gentlenefs, and a fkill in domeftic affairs. Of this there is a very ftrong and apt illuftration in Mr. *Adair*, with which I will conclude this note.

"The American Indians lay their male children ' on the skins of panthers, on account of the com-" municative principle, which they reckon all na-' ture is poffeffed of, in conveying qualities ac-' cording to the regimen followed; and, as the ' panther is endowed with many qualities beyond ' any of his fellow animals in the American ' woods, as fmelling, ftrength, cunning, and a ' prodigious fpring, they reckon fuch a bed is the ' first rudiments of war. But, it is worthy of no-' tice, that they change the regimen of nurturing " their young females : These they lay on the skins ' of fawns, or buffalo-calves, because they are " fby and timorous; and, if the mother be indif-· pofed by ficknefs, her neareft female relation ' fuckles the child, but only till fhe recovers.' Hift. of the American Indians, p. 421.

I enter not into the difpute, whether there be panthers in America, or whether this name is only given

190

٩

## INEUROPE.

given to diffinguish animals which refemble them. In either case, my argument is safe, and to the point.

(4) 'Pugnatum in obfidentis; et ereptus Se-'geftes, magna cum propinquorum et clientum 'manu. Inerant *feminae* nobiles; inter quas uxor 'Arminii eademque filia Segeftis, mariti magis 'quam parentis animo, neque victa in lacrymas, 'neque voce fupplex, comprefiis intra finum ma-'nibus, gravidum uterum intuens. . . . Ar-'minium fuper infitam violentiam rapta uxor, 'fubjectus fervitio uxoris uterus, vecordem age-'bant; volitabatque per Cherufcos arma in Se-'geftem, arma in Cæfarem pofcens.' *Tacit. annal. lib.* 1. c. 57. 59.

(5) 'Severa illic matrimonia. . . . Paucifima
'in tam numerofa gente adulteria, quorum pœna
'præfens et maritis permiffa. Accifis crinibus,
'nudatam coram propinquis expellit domo mari'tus, ac per omnem vicum verbere agit.' Tacit.
de Mor. Germ. c. 18. 19.

The power of the hufband to punish the adultery of the wife continued long during the middle ages. LL. Wifigoth. lib. 3. tit. 4. 1. 3. 4. LL. Burgund. tit. 68. 1. 1. It seems natural in a state of society, before the jurisdiction of the magistrate is fully acknowledged; and it is to be found accordingly among the Americans and other

191

other nations. Lafitau, tom. 1. p. 588. Europ. Settlem. vol. 1. p. 180.

It is likewife to be obferved, that the fame mode of punifhment prevailed long. 'Adulterii poena,' fays Lindenbrogius, 'decalvari et fuftari 'per vicos vicinantes.' Gloff. p. 1349. See farther LL. Longobard. lib. 1. tit. 17. l. 5. When the magistrate came to punish this delinquence, and, when the women, growing more detached from business, confidered themselves as objects of luxury and pleasure, the crime of adultery appeared less heinous and offensive; and a separation or divorce, with the infamy of incontinence, became the punishment of an adulteres.

From the affembled relations of the culprit, of whom *Tacitus* fpeaks, it is to be imagined, that, in conjunction with the hufband, they conftituted a court, and fat upon her in judgment. *Coram propinquis expellit domo maritus*. Before the jurifdiction of the magiftrate is fully underftood and unfolded, it appears, that a kind of domeftic tribunal exercifes authority, and forms a ftep in the progrefs of civil and criminal jurifdiction. This, in fact, we know to have been the cafe among the Romans. *Dion. Halicarn. Antiq. Rom. lib.* 2.

(6) 'Publicatae pudicitiae nulla venia: Non
forma, non aetate, non opibus maritum invenerit. Nemo enim illic vitia ridet : Nec corrumpere

<sup>e</sup> re et corrumpi seculum vocatur.<sup>e</sup> Tacit de Mor. Germ. c. 19.

Tacitus, in this paffage, as well as in many other places of his fentimental and incomparable treatife, glances at the depraved manners of the Romans. The expression non opibus, of which I have made no use in the text, applies not to the German tribes who inhabited the inland country, but to those who bordered on the territories of the Romans.

The fame attentions to chaftity, fo beautifully defcribed by the Roman hiftorian, prevailed among the Americans, 'Ils attribuent à la virgi-'nité et a la chafteté certaines qualités et vertus 'particulieres.' *Lafitau*, tom. 1. p. 339. Thus it is in all rude nations; and, I believe, it will be found, on examination, that those circumstances of immodesty among them, which oppose this way of thinking, have their rise in the weaknesses of fuperstition, and in the abuses of the priesthood.

Nature adorns and protects the female fex with modefty. And, it is a most decisive proof of the respect paid to women, that, in almost all nations, the inftitution of marriage is connected with usages, which are contrived to favour and encourage their referve and chastity. It is the male always who folicits; and, in fome states, a kind of violence was employed to support and succour the O modesty
modefty of the bride. It feems to have been thus in early times among the Romans, and it was obvioufly fo among the Spartans. In the former cafe, the bride appears to have been carried forcibly from the lap of her mother; in the latter, the affair affumed the femblance of a rape. Feftus, Catullus, Plutarch in Vit. Lycurg. et Quaeft. Rom. The virgin and her relations, no doubt, underftood previoufly the transaction, and expected this violence. But it was a compliment to her thus to give an air of conftraint to her confent, to relieve her embarraffment and diffres, her emotion of fear and hope, anxiety and tendernes.

It was with a fimilar view that the Romans conducted a bride to the house of her husband, with her head covered. And the Germanic nations paid also this mark of respect to the modesty of their women, after they had made conquests. Compare Apul. Metam. lib. 4. Tacit. Annal. lib. 15. c. 37. And the laws of the barbarians de conjugali velatione.

These circumstances, and those which I formerly remarked, with others not less expressive of the early importance of women, that I am prefently to mention, seem to have escaped my Lord Kaims and Mr. Millar; and I beg it to be understood, that I oppose thus frequently their opinions from no captious frequently their opinions they they are just, mine must be ill founded and improper.

(7) 'Sera juvenum venus; eoque inexhaufta
<sup>4</sup> pubertas; nec virgines feftinantur; eadem ju<sup>6</sup> venta, fimilis proceritas: Pares validique mif<sup>6</sup> centur; ac robora parentum liberi referunt, . . .
<sup>6</sup> Quanto plus propinquorum, quo major adfinium
<sup>6</sup> numerus, tanto gratiofior fenectus: Nec ulla or<sup>6</sup> bitatis pretia. . . . . Numerum liberorum fi<sup>6</sup> nire, aut quemquam ex agnatis necare, flagitium
<sup>6</sup> habetur.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 19. 20.

(8) ' Sua quemque mater uberibus alit, nec an-" cillis, ac nutricibus delegantur.' Tacit. de Mor. " Germ. c: 20. This also is the practice in America and in all rude communities, ' Les fauvageffes ' n'ont garde de donner leur enfans à d'autres 6 pour les nourrir. Elles croiroient se dépouiller <sup>6</sup> de l'affection de mere, et elles font dans une fur-,<sup>e</sup> prife extrême de voir qu'il y ait des nations au <sup>6</sup> monde, ou cette usage soit recû et etabli.' Lafitau, tom. 1. p. 593. The Roman virtue was at an end, fays the author of the dialogue concerning orators, when the women gave their children to be fuckled and educated by Greek nurfes and flaves. Cap. 29. In France, till the age of Charles V. princeffes, and ladies of high rank, continued to fuckle and educate their children. Mezeray in Bulteel's translation, p. 388.

(9) A

(9) A very ingenious writer has observed, that, before marriage is known as a regular institution, the interest of the mother must be great; children being then, in a particular manner, under her jurisdiction, and having no connection, or a distant one, with the father. His observation is not to be controverted; and, accordingly, he mentions the circumstance, as an exception to his theory. Prof. Millar concerning the Distinction of Ranks, cb. 1. fect. 2.

It is obvious, that the refpect which the children pay to the mother in this fituation, raifes the importance of the fex; and it is worthy of notice, that, after marriage is known as an inflitution, and the hufband and wife live together in the fame cabin, the influence of the mother is by no means diminished. For, though the father then acquires authority, the more amiable and winning attentions of the mother preferve and continue her confideration; and the military purfuits of the former calling him abroad, and employing his thoughts, leave to her the task of educating their offspring. Thus, among the Gauls and Germans, it was not till children attained a certain age, that they dared publicly to approach their fathers. Sect. 2. note 3. ' Les enfans,' fays Charlevoix of the Americans, ' n'appartiennent qu' à la mere, et ne ' reconnoissent qu' elles. Le pere est toujours ' comme etranger par rapport à eux.' Journ. Hift. let.

*let.* 19. It is our nature to be more attached to what is lovely and gentle, than to what is ftern and venerable. It is ' the foft green of the foul,' as an elegant writer \* express it, ' on which the ' eye delights to reft.'

(10) 'Septa pudicitia agunt, nullis spectaculorum illecebris, nullis conviviorum irritationibus
corruptae. Litterarum secreta viri pariter ac feminae ignorant.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 19.

That knowledge and letters were incentives to corruption, we have also the opinion of Sallust, who, notwithstanding the freedom of his life, is a beautiful declaimer on the fide of morality. It is of Sempronia that he thus speaks : 'Literis Graecis 'docta : Pfallere et saltare elegantius, quam ne-' cesse est probae : Multa alia norat, quae instru-' menta luxuriae funt, sed ei cariora semper omnia ' quam decus et pudicitia fuit.' De Bel. Catilin.

(11) Thefe things, which are curious, are illuftrated by the following paffage of *Tacitus*. 'Me-' lius quidem adhuc eae civitates, in quibus tan-' tum virgines nubunt, et cum fpe votoque uxoris ' femel tranfigitur. Sic unum accipiunt maritum, ' quomodo unum corpus, unamque vitam, ne ulla ' cogitatio ultra, ne lorgior cupiditas, ne tamquam ' maritum, fed tamquam matrimonium ament.' *De mor Germ. c.* 19.

The

\* Mr Burke.

The matrimonial fymbols, as was formerly obferved, Note 2. confifted chiefly of an interchange of arms; but, among those nations of the barbarians who, after their conquests, became accustomed to the manners of the Romans, this usage suffered an early innovation. The symbols of arms were often neglected for those of money. And the betrothing *per folidum et denarium* grew to be a fashion.

Thus, according to the Salic law, a virgin was married per solidum et denarium. ' Convenit ut ego \* te folido et denario fecundum legem Salicam \* fponfare deberem; quod ita et feci.' Form. Solen. 75. ap. Lidenbrog. But it was not fo with the widow. The fymbols were augmented; and it is to be conceived, that their augmentation expressed that of the dower. ' Si quis homo moriens viduam \* dimiferit, et eam quis in conjugium voluerit acci-\* pere, antequam eam accipiat Tunginus aut Cen-\* tenarius mallum indicent, et in ipfo mallo fcutum " habere debent, et tres homines caufas tres de-" mandare; et tunc ille qui viduam accipere vult, \* cum tribus teftibus qui adprobare debent, tres fo-" lidos aeque pensantes et denarium habere debet.' Lex. Sal. tit. 46. c. 1. The fpirit of the German manners oppofing fecond marriages, made it neceffary to bribe, as it were, the modefty of the widow.

It

It deferves remark, that traces of the connection of difgrace with fecond marriages, as to the women, are to be found in almost all nations; and this circumstance, fo favourable to the modefty of the fex, is a striking proof of their early importance. There were ages of the Grecian and Roman manners when this difgrace prevailed in all its force; and even among races of men the most favage, the immodest of fecond marriages is repressed by particular usages.

Chez les habitans des côtes de Cumana,' fays an ingenious writer, 'avant que de brûler le 'corps du mari, on en fèpare la tête; on la porte 'à fa veuve pour que la main pofée deffus, elle 'jure de la conferver precieufement, et de ne jamais 'fe remarier. Une veuve, chez les Caffres et les 'Hotentots, chaque fois qu'elle fe remarie, eft obli-'gée de fe couper un doigt.' St. Foix, Effais Hiftoriques fur Paris, tom. 5. p. 177.

(12) The King, according to Domefday-book, demanded 20 fhillings for the marriage of a widow, and 10 fhillings for that of a virgin. 'Mulier accipiens quocunque modo maritum, fi vidua dabat Regi 20s. fi puella 10s. quolibet modo acciperet virum.' Domefd. tit. Scropesberie, ap. Spelman, voc. Maritagium. There is good evidence, that, in feveral cities of Germany in the middle times, fines were paid to the magistrate on the marriage

marriage of a widow. Heinnec. Elem. Jur. Germ. lib. 1. tit. 10. § 222.

(13) Thus, the ravifhing of a widow was punished more feverely than that of a virgin. 'Si quis virginem rapuerit contra ipfius voluntatem et
<sup>6</sup> quis virginem rapuerit contra ipfius voluntatem et
<sup>6</sup> parentum ejus, cum. xl. fol, componat, et alios
<sup>6</sup> xl. cogatur in fifco. Si autem viduam rapuerit,
<sup>6</sup> quae coacta ex tecto egreditur orphanorum, et
<sup>6</sup> pro penuriae rebus, cum lxxx. fol. componat, et
<sup>8</sup> lx. cogatur in fifco.' LL. Baivvar. tit. 7.
<sup>8</sup> 6.7.

By the way, this early feverity againft rapes, is a ftrong confirmation of my general argument, and is direct againft the opinions of my Lord Kaims and Mr. Millar. The reputation of females fuffering, in this way, was for ever marked with difgrace. No fuitors were now to court their alliance. Yet their minds had received no pollution, and their innocence could not be impeached. Their bodies, however, had been abufed; and the lofs of value attending this abufe, with the fevere punifhment of their violators, express clearly the high and natural importance of the fex.

In the Gentoo code, the confideration of the fex is also illustrated by laws too explicit to admit of doubt or cavil, and ftill more fevere. <sup>6</sup> If a man by force commits adultery with a <sup>6</sup> woman of an equal or inferior caft, againft her <sup>6</sup> confent, the magistrate shall confiscate all his <sup>6</sup> possible possible possible and castrate him, <sup>6</sup> and cause him to be led round the city, mounted <sup>6</sup> upon an as.

<sup>6</sup> If a man, by cunning and deceit, commits <sup>6</sup> adultery with a woman of an equal or inferior <sup>6</sup> caft, againft her confent, the magistrate shall <sup>6</sup> take all his possession, and brand him in the <sup>6</sup> forehead with the mark of the *pudendum muliebre*, <sup>6</sup> and banish him the kingdom.

<sup>6</sup> If a man, by violence, or by cunning, or de-<sup>6</sup> ceit, or against the woman's confent, commits <sup>6</sup> adultery with a woman of a superior cast, the <sup>6</sup> magistrate shall deprive him of life.

'If a man, either by violence or with her confent, commits adultery with an unmarried girl of a fuperior caft, the magistrate shall put him to death.' Code of Gentoo Laws, ch. 19.

(14) 'Singulis uxoribus contenti funt, exceptis
'admodum paucis, qui non libidine, fed ob nobilitatem, plurimis nuptiis ambiuntur.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 18.

This, fays Montesquieu, explains the reason why the kings of the first race had so great a number of wives.

wives. These marriages were less a proof of incontinence, than a confequence of dignity; and it would have wounded them, in a tender point, to have deprived them of fuch a prerogative. This, continues he, explains, likewife, the reason why the example of our kings was not followed by their subjects. L'esprit des Loix, liv. 18. c. 25.

I know that my Lord Kaims has fpoken of the polygamy of the Germanic nations; but the authority to which he appeals in proof of his notion, is the paffage now cited from Tacitus, which is most directly against him. Sketches, vol. 1. p. 192. And indeed he has remarked, in another portion of his work, 'That polygamy was never known 'among the northern nations of Europe.' Vol. 1. p. 316. I am at a loss to reconcile these opinions; and this ingenious author appears to have forgotten, that, in the states of Germanic and Gothic origin, there were even fevere laws against polygamy. LL. Longob. lib. 2. tit. 13. l. 1. 3. 5. LL. Wisigotb. lib. 3.

The plurality of wives is a confequence of luxury and pride, and does not uniformly diffinguifh rude times, even in climates which encourage and infpirit the paffions. In general, one man is then connected with one woman, and fatisfied with her; and it is a proof of the antiquity of monogamy, that, when a plurality of wives is uniformly indulged, dulged, which happens not till the ages of property, there is always one of these who seems more peculiarly the wife; the rest appearing only as so many concubines.

The appetite for the fex, it is to be obferved, is not nearly fo ftrong in rude, as in cultivated times. Hardfhip and fatigue, the great enemies of inordidinate love, wafte the barbarian. 'Il eft de l'an-'cien ufage, fays *Lafitau*, 'parmi la plûpart des 'nations fauvages, de paffer la premiere année, 'apres le marriage contracté, fans le confommer; ... Et quoique les epoux paffent la nuit enfemble, 'c'eft fans prejudice de cet ancien ufage.' *Tome* 1. *p. 575*. Eafe and good living, on the contrary, flatter the fenfes in the ages of property. And, an abftinence of this fort would, doubtlefs, furprife very much the moft timid and the moft delicate of our virgins.

(15) The fortunate marriages of the relations of Dumnorix, are faid, by *Cæfar*, to have conftituted a great proportion of his power. De Bell. Gall. lib. 1. c. 18. In the fame author, there is the following notice concerning the wives of Arioviftus.
<sup>a</sup> Duae fuerunt Ariovifti uxores, una Sueca natione, quam domum fecum adduxerat; altera Norica, regis Vocionis foror, quam in Gallia duxerat, a
<sup>e</sup> fratre miffam.' De Bel. Gall. lib. 1. c. 53.

203

Tacitus

Tacitus fays expressly, that deliberations on the fubject of marriage were frequent in the councils of a German state. De Mor. Germ. c. 22. And, in that fingular work, the Atlantica of Rudbeck, there is this passage. 'In conciliis Upsalensibus decretum fuit, ut Olaus Rex Sueoniae filiam fuam in matrimonio daret Olao Regi Norvagiae.' P. 214.

(16) After the introduction of Chriftianity, a multitude of laws were enacted againft inceftuous marriages; and these prove, that little delicacy was previously paid to relation or descent. 'Uxorem 'habere non liceat focrum, nurum, privignam, no-'vercam, filiam fratris, filiam fororis, fratris uxo-'rem, uxoris fororem : Filii fratrum, filii fororum, 'inter se nulla praesumptione jungantur.' LL. Baivvar. tit. 6. l. 1. See also LL. Longob. lib. 2. tit. 8. LL. Alaman. tit. 39. LL. Sal. tit. 14. l. 16.

In Scotland, about the year 1093, 'it was not 'uncommon,' fays my Lord Hailes, 'for a man to marry his ftep-mother, or the widow of his Brother.' The learned and ingenious author adds, I prefume that this was not owing to vague luft, but to avarice; for it relieved the heir of a jointure.' Annals of Scotland, p. 39. The obfervation is acute; but I am afraid that, though in fome inftances it might be juft, it will not vindicate the Scots from the groffnefs and indecency which the prevalence

204

prevalence of the cuftom fixes upon them. Even in France, at later period, an. 1454, the Count D'Armagnac married publicly his own fifter. St Foix, Eff. Hift. vol. 5. p. 130. The ftrange liberties taken by antient nations are fufficiently known. A Perfian acted in conformity to the laws, and to juffice, when he married his mother; and an Egyptian when he married his fifter.

In times of refinement and delicacy, virtue takes the alarm, even at the recital of fuch facts; but the philofopher, ftruck with their univerfality over all focieties, however diftant and diftinct, is difpofed to inquire, Where it is that nature has placed her barriers; and what, on this head, in the codes of nations, is to be explained by natural law, and what by a policy civil and religious? The topic is full of curiofity, but not for the prefent purpofe,/

CHAP-

# CHAPTER II,

# SECTION I.

(1) THE total change produced in the condition of Europe by the fettlements of the barbarous nations, is afcribed by many writers, and by Dr. Robertfon in particular, to the deftructive violence with which they carried on their conquefts, and to the havock which they made from one extremity of this quarter of the globe to the other. *Hiftory* of Charles V. vol. 1. p. 11. 197. 198.

It is to be remembered, notwithftanding, that the conquerors incorporated themfelves, in fome provinces, with the vanquifhed; that much of the havock and violence fo pompoufly defcribed by antient hiftorians, is to be referred to the wars they carried on among themfelves; and that, where havock and violence were leaft known, the change produced was, with the exception of a few circumftances, as general and complete as where they were experienced in the greateft degree. Thus, chivalry and the feudal inftitutions prevailed, in every ftep of their progrefs, in every country of Europe.

In illustration, indeed, of his opinion, Dr. Robertfon

206

bertfon has faid, that where havock prevailed in no great degree, as in England, on the Norman invafion, the antient iuhabitants retained their own manners. It is certainly very true that the Anglo-Saxons retained their own manners. This, however, was no effect of the caufe he has mentioned. The Norman revolution was not a conqueft\*. A victory was obtained by Duke William over Harold and his followers; but no victory was obtained over the people of England. And, even on the hypothefis that the Duke of Normandy had conquered England, his illustration is without force. For the manners and policy of the Normans were the fame with those of the Anglo-Saxons; with this difference, that the former were, in fome measure, a more improved people.

The completeness of the revolution confequent on the fettlements of the barbarians, is chiefly to be ascribed, as I observe in the text, to the immense difference of manners in the conquerors and the conquered. The former were in a condition of growing civility; the latter in a state of hopeless corruption. The German was approaching to perfection: The Roman had been declining from it. They mutually despised one another, and were urged on in different directions. The former, therefore, yielding to, and governed by the manners to which he had been accustomed, became necessarily

\* See a Discourse perfixed to Dr. Sullivan's Lectures,

neceffarily the founder of new and peculiar eftablifhments.

(2) 'Agri pro numero cultorum ab univerfis per
vices occupantur, quos mox inter fe fecundum
dignationem partiuntur. Facilitatem partiendi
camporum fpatia praestant. Arva per annos
mutant, et superest ager.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ.
c. 26.

This interefting information is well illuftrated in the following relation from *Caefar*. 'Suevorum 'gens eft longe maxima et bellicofiffima Germa-'norum omnium. Ii centum pagos habere dicuntur; ex quibus quotannis fingula millia armatorum 'bellandi caufa, fuis ex finibus educunt. Reliqui 'domi manent: Pro fe atque illis colunt. Hi rurfus invicem anno poft in armis funt: Illi domi 'remanent. Sic neque agricultura, neque ratio, 'neque ufus belli intermitittur: Sep privati ac fe-'parati agri aqud eos nihil eft: Neque longius 'anno remanere uno in loco incolendi caufa licit; 'neque multum frumento, fed maximam partem 'lacte atque pecore vivunt, multumque funt in ve-'nerationibus.' De Bell. Gall. lib. 4. c. 1.

(3) From fome remarkable paffages in *Tacitus*, it is to be gathered, that, even in his age, the Germans were beginning to have an idea of a *private* property in land. This improvement would probably take place among the princes or chiefs, and in

in those districts which joined to the Roman frontiers; and it is to be conceived, that the portions of ground first appropriated, would be those around the cabins or huts of individuals. For each hut was furrounded with an enclofure. And it was doubtlefs out of this enclofure that the German flave, being affigned land by his mafter, paid, in return, like a tenant, a proportion of corn, cattle. or cloath. ' Ceteris fervis, non in noftrum morem · descriptis per familiam ministeriis utuntur. Suam ' quifque fedem, fuos penates regit. Frumenti mo-' dum dominus, aut pecoris, aut vestis, ut colono injun-'git: Et servus bastenus paret.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 25. This appropriation of land, and exertion over it, would fpread by degrees, and enlarge the notions of property.

In fact, it would feem, that this conduct was obferved after the German conquefts; and that the German *enclofure*, or the *lands of the boufes*, and the affignment of them to flaves or fervants, were ufual. A proprietor or noble retained, to be cultivated by his fervants, for domeftic ufe and hofpitality, the land which was *inter curtem*, or within view of the houfe or hall. What was out of the view of the houfe or hall, was given out in tenancy. Hence, among the Anglo-Saxons, the diftinction of *inland* and *outland*. The inland, was the land *inter curtem*, or the *land of the boufe*: The outland was the land out of the view of the houfe

209

houfe \*. Brithic, the rich Anglo-Saxon, had inland and outland, and difpofed of them, in his will, to different perfons †.

What is worthy of obfervation, the method of paying in kind, practifed in Germany, and mentioned by Tacitus, continued alfo in the fettlements of the barbarians, and even after they had become acquainted with coinage. Thus, in eftates which had been long in any family, there were payments in poultry, and in neceffaries for the houfe. Du Cange Gloff. voc. Gallinagium et Henedpeny. At this hour, both in England and Scotland, there are relics of this ufage.

In England, it was not till the age of Henry I. that the rents due to the crown were paid in money. 'In the early days,' fays Madox, 'next 'after the Norman conqueft, (if we are rightly informed), there was very little money, in Specie, in the realm. Then the tenants of knights fees anfwered to their Lords by military fervices; and the tenants in focage lands and demeanes (in

\* ' Inland, et Inlandum. Terra dominicalis, pars manerii dominica. Vox Saxonum, terram interiorem fignificans, nam quæ colonis et tenentibus concedatur, utland dicta fuit, hoc est terra exterior, hodie tenementalis.' Spelman, Gloss. p. 316.

† Lambard, Perambulation of Kent. ' Lego,' fays Brithic, ' terras dominicales Wulfego, tenementales ' Ælfego.' (in great meafure) by work and provisions.
The ingenious author of the Dialogue concerning the Exchequer tells us, that, from the time
of the Norman conquest, till the reign of King
Henry I. the rents or ferms due to the king
were wont to be rendered in provisions and necessary
the First's time, the fame were changed into
money. Afterwards, in the fucceeding times, the
revenue of the crown was answered or paid,
chiefly in gold and filver; fometimes in palfreys,
destriers, chascurs, leveriers, hawks, and falcons,
(to wit, in horse, dogs, and birds of game of
divers forts), and in things of other kinds.'

(4) Allodial lands were enjoyed in full property, and are therefore oppofed to *feudal* or *beneficiary* poffeffions, which were received with limitations, and under the burden of military fervice to the grantors.

The Ripuarians, the Burgundians, and, indeed, all the barbaric nations, appear to have had lands P 2 of

\* In the Saxon times of King Ina, the provisions paid for ten hides of land were as follows: ' Ex decem hydis, ' ad nutriendum, decem dolia mellis, trecenti panes, duo-' decim amphoræ Wallicæ cerevisiæ, triginta fimplices, ' duo adulti arietes, vel decem verveces, decem anseres, ' viginti gallinæ, decem casei, amphora plena butyro, ' quinque falmones, viginti libræ pondo pabuli, et centum ' anguillæ folvantur.' LL. Inae ap. Wilkins, p. 25.

of partition or allodial property. LL. Ripuar. tit. 56. LL. Angl. et Werin. tit. 6. LL. Baivvar. tit. 2. c. 1. l. 3. tit. 11. c. 5. tit. 17. l. 2. Capit. Kar. et Lud. lib. 3. l. 20. Marculph. Form. 16. 18. 51. 62. 67. ap. Lindenbrog.

Some writers affirm, that the Salic lands were lands of lot or partition, and yet contend that they were feudal. This is certainly an abfurdity. It is to be confeffed, notwithstanding, that Du Cange, and many lawyers of great ability, have adopted this notion. Differt. 17. fur l'Histoire de St. Louis, p. 244. Selden, vol. 3. p. 1009 +. The authority against them is most express and pointed. It is the text itfelf of the Salic law which actually treats de alode, and refers to no property that was not allodial. - LL. Sal. ap. Lindenbrog. p. 342. What confounded Du Cange, was the following celebrated law of this text. ' De terra vero Sa-· lica nulla portio hereditatis mulieri veniat; fed ' ad virilem sexum tota terræ hereditas perveniat.' He knew that women could not, in the commencement

+ Selden observes, that ' the best interpretation of terra
\* Salica, is by our knight's fee, or land holden by knight's
\* fervice.' I have an infinite veneration for the learning and abilities of this great man. I cannot, however, but differ from him on this occasion. The knight's fee and knight's fervice, were late inventions in the history of fiefs, and cannot be carried back to the early æra of the Salic law. Even if they could, they would still be ineffectual to support his conclusion.

#### IN EUROPE.

mencement of fiefs, pretend to lands which were held by a military tenure; and, as they are thus barred from the Salic lands, he thence conceived that these must be *feudal*.

But the circumftance of the exclusion of the women from the Salic lands is, by no means, to be accounted for on *feudal* principles. The women were excluded from property while the Germans were in their forefts; and this law or ufage they carried into their conquefts. It is thence that, in the lands of *lot* or *partition*, the women were not confidered; and it is thus, that this difficulty in the Salic text is to be explained, without the neceffity of conceiving the feudality of the Salic lands, in contradiction to the Salic law.

Though the barbarians refpected highly their women, the admiffion of them to land was altogether a new idea. For if, leaving the Salic law, we inquire into the allodial property, and the allodial laws of the other tribes, we fhall find, that, even in thefe, the women were not admitted to land while there exifted any male. The ideas of the barbarians required to enlarge before this admiffion had place, and before they could fo far violate their ancient cuftoms. The innovation, as might be expected, was gradual. In the title, accordingly, *de Alodibus* in the Ripuarian text, we read,

213

read, 'Dum virilis fexus extiterit, femina in here-'ditatem aviaticam non fuccedat.' Lindenbrog. p. 460. See alfo LL. Anglor. et Werinor. tit. 6. It is, I conceive, by this and fimilar ordinances, that the celebrated Salic law, which imposed on Du Cange, and on fo many lawyers, is to be interpreted. Among the Salians and Franks, as well as among the other barbarous nations, when there were no males, the women were admitted to the property of the lands of lot or partition.

After having made these remarks, it is fit I I should give fome account of the word *Allodium*, or *Alode*; and a learned Judge, who is studious to cultivate literature in the intervals of business, and who has distinguished himself by laborious and instructive compositions in an idle and a difsipated age, has done me the honour to present me with the following communication on this subject.

Al-od, in the Latin of the lower ages allodium;
hence the adjective allodialis; and hence, from
the analogy of language, allodially, and allodiality
may be formed. Of Al-od the French have
made Aleud, aleu,

As to the etymology of the word, there is a
variety of opinions; for learned men are apt to
reject obvious etymologies, and to prefer those
which are more remote. It would feem to be
a good

#### IN EUROPE.

" a good rule in fuch matters, that " the etymo-" logy which is nearest to the word, is the most " probable."

Al is totus, integer, et abfolutus. There is no
occafion for proving this: The fenfe is in daily
ufe among the northern nations of Europe. Od
is ftatus, or, poffeffio. The Scottish word bad,
and the English bold, are derived from this fource,
and the word itself is still visible in the English
compounds, man-bood, fister-bood, maiden-bood, &cc.
The Anglo-Saxon word, corresponding to this,
is Hod, status or possession. Thus, Al-od, is totus
integer et absolutus status, or tota integra et absoluta

The etymology of Al-od confirms the opinion
of Selden and others as to the etymology of
Feod, in the Latin of the lower ages Feodum,
Feudum. Fe is beneficium or flipendium; Od or
Hod, is flatus; therefore, Feod is flatus flipendiarius, or possession flipendiaria. Odal is Alod inverted, flatus integer, or possession tota et absoluta.

'There is no difference between odal and udal.
'The Scots turned the Norvegian ore, a denomi'nation of weight, into ure, and, in like manner,
'they turned odal into udal. If the Norvegian o
'was pronounced as oe, the change is fcarcely
'perceptible. After the fame manner the French
'have turned alod into aleud.

1

215

· It

'It may be objected, that there are two fyalla-'bles more in allodial than in odal or udal; and that, 'although etymologifts often drop an embarraffing fyllable or two, yet that fuch liberties are not allowable: The anfwer is obvious. Allodial is an adjective; and the word fubject or land, or fomething fimilar, is underftood. But odal or 'udal; is a fubftantive; and it is only from ignorance or mifapprehenfion, that the word is ufed as an adjective. Thus, in propriety of fpeech, 'we fay, 'The lands in Orkney are to be confidered as udal;' although, in common fpeech, 'we fay, 'The udal lands of Orkney,' and the 'udal poffeffion in Orkney."

(5) Dr Robertfon has affirmed, that the barbarians, while in their original feats, were not, in confequence of the condition of the landed property, brought under any politive or formal obligation to ferve the community. *Hift of Charles* V. *vol.* 1. *p.* 213.

It is obvious, however, that the partition of land received by the individual from the tribe, fubjected him to ferve the community. The perfon who did not ferve it had no claim to any partition. Perfons under the military age had no partitions, becaufe they could give no fervice. Perfons, who had attained this age, could give fervice, and entitle themfelves to partitions. The former were parts

216

parts of the *family*, the latter were members of the *republic*. See Note 2. and compare it with Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 13. Of this law of partition, it was even a confequence, that the coward was a criminal, becaufe he could give no fervice, and was unable to entitle himfelf to a fubfittence or partition. He was therefore deemed unworthy of exiftence, and put to death, or expelled beyond the frontiers of his nation. Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 12.

I have faid, that the lands of lot or partition, of which the grant or use was the diffinction of the freeman and the citizen in the days of Tacicitus, were also given after the conquests of the barbarians, under the general obligation of ferving the community. And here is my evidence.

<sup>6</sup> Quicunque *liber homo* a comite fuo fuerit am-<sup>6</sup> monitus, aut miniftris ejus, ad *patriam defenden-*<sup>6</sup> *dam*, et ire neglexerit, et exercitus fupervenerit <sup>6</sup> ad iftius regni vaftationem vel contrarietatem, <sup>6</sup> fidelium noftrorum capitali fubjaceat fententiae.<sup>7</sup> *Capitularia apud Baluz. tom.* 2. p. 325.

Si aliquis in alode fuo quiete vivere voluerit, nullus ei aliquid impedimentum facere praefumat,
neque aliud aliquid ab eo requiratur, nifi folummodo ut ad patriae defensionem pergat.' Capit. Car.
Calv. Ibid. p. 264

\* Et qui ad defensionem patriae non occurrerint, fecundum,

4

<sup>e</sup> fecundum antiquam confuetudinem et capitulorum <sup>e</sup> conftitutionem judicentur.<sup>e</sup> Capit. Car. Cal. tit. 36. c. 27. Ibid. p. 187.

Hence it is to be concluded, that the flipulation of ferving the community was very ancient; and thus too, the opinion I maintain, that this obligation was known to the old Germans, receives a confirmation. In reality, the fense of the obligation must have been stronger before than after their conquefts. The citizen of a fmall community enters with eafe into its views, and is zealous to promote them. The arrangements, on the contrary, of a great kingdom, are not eafily perceived. A plain individual does not know the motives and agents which put every thing in motion. His attention is more turned from the public, and penal regulations are neceffary to preferve him in his duty. Hiftory confirms this remark. For, early after the barbaric conquefts, regulations of this kind were made; and allodial proprietors, to avoid ferving the community, devifed the fraud of affigning their lands to the church, and of holding them under its exemptions and immunities.

Thofe, it is obfervable, who held poffeffions merely *allodial*, could only be called out in foreign wars, and against the enemies of the ftate. As they held of no fuperior or lord, they had no concern in private quarrels, and made no part in the feudal

#### IN EUROPE.

feudal affociation. This circumftance, if judged by modern ideas, was advantageous. It was, in fact, however, the reverfe, and operated as a caufe of the conversion of *allodium* into *tenure*.

(6) Monfr. Bignon, in his notes to Marculphus, expresses, with a delicate precision, the diffinction between allodial lands, or the lands of partition, and the lands of the fife. 'Omnia namque praedia, 'aut propria erant, aut fifealia. Propria feu pro-'prietates dicebantur quae nullius juri obnoxia 'erant, fed optimo maximo jure possidebantur, 'ideoque ad heredes transibant. Fiscalia vero, 'beneficia five fisci vocabantur, quae a rege ut pluri-'mum, posteaque ab aliis, ita concedebantur, ut 'certis legibus fervitiisque obnoxia, cum vita ac-'cipientis finirentur.' Not. ad Marculpb. ap. Baluz. tom. 2. p. 875.

It is even from *fifcus* that the term *fief* was formed; and, though the lands of the *fifc* meant originally only the benefices granted out by the fovereign, they came to express the subinfeudations of the crown-vaffals. Du Cange, voce Fiscus, Munus Regium. Affises et bons usages du Royaume de Jerusalem, avec des notes par Gaspard Thaumas de la Thaumassiere, p. 103. 245.

(7) ' Principes jura per pagos vicoíque reddunt
'... Infignis nobilitas aut magna patrum merita,
' principis

220

principis dignationem etiam adolefcentulis affignant. Ceteris robuftioribus ac jampridem probatis aggregantur. . . . Magna comitum aemulatio, quibus primus apud principem fuum locus;
et principum cui plurimi et acerrimi comites:
Haec dignitas, hae vires, magno femper electorum juvenum globo circumdari, in pace decus,
in bello praefidium. . . . Cum ventum in aciem,
turpe principi virtute vinci, turpe comitatui virtutem principis non adequare. : . . Illum defendere, tueri, fua quoque fortia facta gloriae ejus
affignare, praecipuum facramentum eft. Principes pro victoria pugnant; comites pro principe.' Tacit de Mor. Germ. c. 12. 13. 14.

(8) Of the notion that tribes were the vallals of tribes, I exhibited the most convincing proofs in another treatife. *Hift. Differt. concerning the Antiq.* of the Eng. Constit. part 2. As the subject, however, is highly curious and important, I shall here offer some additional observations concerning it.

The great bond of the confederacies, and the attachments of the flates of the Gauls and Germans, was the *land* affigned by a *fuperior* community to an *inferior* one. In confequence of this affignment, the latter owed fervice in war to the former, and was entitled to its protection. In the language of *Cæfar*, it was the *client* tribe. While land was yet the property of nations, and unconnected with individuals, dividuals, the idea was natural, and almost unavoidable. Ariovistus, a prince of a German community, having, with his chiefs and retainers, made a conquest in Gaul, the territory of the vanquished people became the property of his nation; and, it was about to bestow a large tract of the acquisition on the Harudes, under the burden of their military aid or affistance, when Cæsar interfered in the Gaulic affairs. *Cæsar de Bell. Gall. lib.* 1. c. 35-46.

The idea of tribes in union, without their mutually furnishing protection and affistance, and without the medium of a grant of land, could not be conceived by the German and Gaulic nations. The client or vallal tribes of Arioviftus, were the Marcomani, Tribocci, Vangiones, Harudes, Nemetes, and Sedufii. Cafar, de Bell. Gall. lib. I. c. 51. The Ubii, at one period, were the vaffal tribe of the Suevi. Id. lib. 4. c. 3. In an after period, when the Romans imitated the manners of the Gauls and Germans, they were affigned land on the banks of the Rhine, under the obligation of military fervice. ' Super ipfam Rheni ripam col-' locati, ut arcerent, non ut custodirentur.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 28. Cælar, at the request of the Ædui, permitted the Boii to remain in Gaul; and they became the clients or confederates of that people, who affigned them land on their confines. Boios, petentibus Æduis, quod egregia virtute erant.

<sup>e</sup> erant, ut in finibus fuis colocarent, conceffit ; <sup>e</sup> quibus illi agros dederunt.<sup>e</sup> De Bell. Gall. lib. 1. c. 28. The extent of land allotted by a fuperior community to an inferior one, was proportioned to the numbers and valour of the latter. And, it was this way of thinking which actuated the Helvetii, when they faid, that their territories were not fuited to their populoufnefs and military glory. <sup>e</sup> Pro multitudine autem hominum, et pro gloria <sup>e</sup> belli atque fortitudinis, anguftos fe fines habere <sup>e</sup> arbitrabantur.<sup>e</sup> Id. lib. 1.c. 2.

Thus, the ftate of land among the Gaulic and German nations directed their political condition. This circumftance escaped not the Romans; and the use made of its knowledge by the Emperors, though little attended to, is worthy of remark. To a body of the Vandals, Conftantine, with a view to the aid of their arms, affigned a portion of Pannonia. The affignment of land by the Romans to the Burgundians, that they might affift them in oppofing the Wifigoths, gave rife to the Burgundian empire in Gaul. And Juftinian granted the lands and poffeffions of the Oftrogoths in Pannonia to the Longobards, under the burden of their defending that country against the Gepidae, the Heruli, and other barbarous nations. Jornand. de Reb. Get. c. 22. Caffiodor. Chron. Procop. lib. 3.

Amidft

Amidst a multitude of examples, to the fame purpofe, which might be produced, it is proper to take notice of the monarchy of the Franks. Different nations, overpowered by the Franks, became parts of their monarchy, by receiving poffessions from them, and acknowledging their fuperiority. For the lands and protection afforded them, they gave allegiance and fervice. In other refpects they acted under their own dukes or princes, and under their own inftitutions. I fpeak of the principalities or duchies of Bavaria, Aquitain, and Suabia. The Bojoarii, Bojarii, or Boii, for fo the Bavarians are called in writers of the middle ages, were conquered by the Franks, and, accepting lands from them, acknowledged their fuperiority. An old hiftorian, recording this transaction, has these words : ' In ' bellis auxilio Francis funt Boii ; eofdem pro ami-' cis et hoftibus habeant; ceterum fuis inftitutis ac ' moribus liberi vivant.' Aventinus, Annal. Boier. lib. 3. This connection or vaffalage is even expreffed in their laws. LL. Baivvar. tit. 2. c. 1. ap. Lindenbrog. p. 404. Such alfo was the cafe of the Dukes of Aquitain and Suabia. Under the Franconian kings of the first race, they owed fidelity and military fervice in war, for the lands they enjoyed, and yet governed in their own dominions. These things mark the attachment of nations to their antient ufages, and illustrate the idea that communities were first the vaffals of communities.

What

What is not incurious, one of the greateft difficulties in developping the hiftory of the barbaric tribes, has its fource in these connections I have mentioned. The inferior, or vallal tribes, are often meant and recorded under the names of the fuperior ones. Thus, under the general appellation of *Gothi*, there are included the Thuringi, Gepidae, Pucini, Scirri, and other tribes. The historical confusions that were necessarily to arise from this practice are many, and often not to be difentangled.

(9) It is obfervable, that the old German states affected, from grandeur, to have around them a vast extent of *waste* territory. 'Una ex parte a 'Suevis circiter millia passuum DC agri *vacare* di-'cuntur.' *Caesar de Bell. Gall. lib.* 4. c. 2. 'Civi-'tatibus, maxima laus est quam latissimas circum fe 'vastatis finibus *solitudines* habere.' *Id. lib.* 6. c. 23. 'Bella cum finitimis gerunt, ut quae circa ip-'fos jacent *vasta* fint.' *Mela. lib.* 3.

What is remarkable, after land was connected with individuals, and when chiefs diftributed portions of their poffeffions to their followers, they affected alfo *waftes* of this kind. The Lord of a manor, after having affigned to his fervants a tract of ground for the maintenance of his houfe and hofpitality, gave out other divifions to his vaffals and tenants, for the fupport of his political greatnefs; and thefe purpofes being anfwered, a large proportion portion of territory remained often unemployed by him. This waste dominion gave an idea of his power, and ferved to excite, in the ftranger, a fentiment of terror. On this tract of land, the inhabitants of the hamlet, connected with his caftle, were tempted to feed their cattle. In the course of time, he lost all connection with it. Their connection was recent and in use. Hence common pasture and commons.

I will venture another conjecture. It was, perhaps, from the idea of magnificence attending the poffeflion of a vaft portion of uncultivated territory, more than for the purpofes of hunting, that the kings of Europe affected, of old, to have extenfive forefts. A deer-park is ftill flattering to the *magnificence* of the rich, in proportion to its extenfivenefs; though hunting be no amufement of the proprietor.

(10) It has puzzled the learned to difcover the nation of the barbarians which firft gave a beginning to fiefs. No inquiry could be more frivolous. In all of them they must have appeared about the fame period. And they prevailed in all of them in confequence of the fimilarity of their fituation on their conquests, and in confequence of their being governed by the fame customs. It is not, therefore, to the principle of imitation that their universality is to be afcribed.

The

The annals of France make mention of fiefs in the age of Childebert. The Longobards, at an early period, introduced them into Italy; and the cuftoms and laws which relate to them feem to have advanced rapidly among this people. Giannone, Hift. of Naples, book 4. Jett. 3. In England, there is little doubt that the feudal law was known in the Soxon times; and on this fubject I refer, with pleafure, to what has been lately advanced by Mr. Whitaker, in his Hiftory of Manchefter; a book valuable for deep learning, original thought, and uncommon ingenuity.

In Spain, the introduction of the feudal tenures preceded the devastations of the Saracens or Moors, which began in the year 710. Among the Goths, who established the monarchy of Spain, lands were granted for fervice and attachment; and the receiver was the retainer of the grantor. He was faid to be in patrocinio; and, if he refused his fervice, he forfeited his grant. It also appears, that the retainer, or vaffal, fwore fealty to his patron or lord. And it was on this fcheme that their militia was regulated. LL. Wifigoth. lib. 5. tit. 3. l. 4. tit. 7. l. 20.

The Wifigothic laws were first published by the celebrated Pithoeus, and are chiefly to be valued on account of their high antiquity. But how they came to furvive the Moorish conquests, is an incident which I cannot explain. They ferved as the mine

IN EUROPE.

mine, and gave materials for the code of Spanish jurisprudence, termed the *forum judicum*, or the *fuero juzgo*; a circumstance which seems to prove their authenticity, and which the learned Mr Barrington must have forgot, when he conceived the latter to be the most antient collection of laws in Europe. Observations on the Statutes, 3d edit. p. 9.

### SECTION II.

(1) 'TERRA.... pecorum foecunda,
'fed plerumque improcera : Ne armentis quidem
'fuus honor, aut gloria frontis : Numero gaudent :
'Eaeque folae et gratiffimae opes funt.' Tacit de Mor. Germ. c. 5.

(2) My Lord Kaims afcribes to the meannels of women, and the difgrace in which they are held, their want of property in rude times. They appear, notwithstanding, to be in high estimation in fuch times; and their poverty, we see, or their want of property, is no mark or confequence of their meannels and difgrace; but a refult of the nature of things. Sketches, vol. 1. p. 203.

(3) The eldeft fon, it would appear, came in place of the father, and continued the family.
<sup>6</sup> Inter familiam,' fays *Tacitus*, 'et penates, et ju<sup>6</sup> ra fucceffionum, equi traduntur: Excipit filius, Q 2 'non

' non ut cetera maximus natu, sed prout ferox bello ' et melior.' De Mor. Germ. c. 32. This teftimony in favour of the eldeft fon, and right of primogeniture, is the more ftrong, as being included in an exception to the general rule. I know that Sir Henry Spelman, in his Gloffary \*, Mr Harris, in his Hiftory of Kent +, Mr Lambard, in his Perambulation of the fame county ‡, and Mr Barrington, in his Obfervations on the Statutes II, have given it as their opinion, that, in Germany, the fons fucceeded equally to the father; and it is common to account, in that way, for the origin of the cuftom of gavel-kind \$, which prevailed in Kent, and in other counties of England. The words, however, of Tacitus already cited, are a demonstration of the impropriety of these notions.

It is true, notwithstanding, that the authors under remark found or rely upon another passage of the fame writer; but I conceive that the fense of it must have escaped them. The passage is as follows: 'Heredes fuccessores for use use the set of ' Et

\* Voc. Gaveletum. † p. 457. ‡ p. 584. || p. 115. 3d Edit.

§ 'Gaveletum, Gavelkind.] Prifca Anglo-Saxonum 'confuetudo e Germania delata, qua omnes filii ex ae-'quis portionibus, patris adeunt haereditatem (ut filiae fo-'lent, prole mafcula deficiente). Fratres fimiliter defuncto fine fobole fratre, et nullo existente fratre, forores pa-'riter.' Spelm. Gloff. p. 259. • Et nullum testamentum: Si liberi non sunt, pro-• ximus gradus in possessione, fratres, patrui, a-• vunculi.' De Mor. Germ. c. 20. Here, in reality, even allowing that the Germans had been acquainted with a property in land, which they constantly suppose, there is no mention of the equal partition of it. The children must have succeeded fingly and in course; in defect of these, the brothers; and, on the failure of them, the uncles.

This paffage, and the former, throw mutually a light to one another; and, from the confideration of both, I think it clear, that the meaning I impute to them is justly to be inferred.

A difficulty, however, more knotty prefents itfelf. As land was among thefe nations the property of the state, to what does Tacitus allude in the paffage before us? Conjectures are to be hazarded where proofs are wanting. In general, I should fancy, he must refer to moveables; and, perhaps, he may allude to the German boufe and the enclosure connected with it. ' Colunt difcreti ac ' diversi ut fons, ut campus, ut nemus placuit. . . " Suam quifque domum Spatio circumdat." Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 16. At least, it is not unnatural to think, that the cabin and its enclofure, as the ideas of property envolved, might be confidered as appertaining more peculiarly to individuals, and that thence continuing in their poffeffion, they might go to their polterity.
It was thus in other rude communities. Among the Hindoos, it appears, by very curious laws, that the landed property firft acquired by individuals, was what is termed ' The glebe-lands, ' houfes and orchards.' Code of Gentoo laws, cb. 3. In Otaheite, and in Eaftern Ifland, or Davis's Land, there were plantations laid out by line, of which the beauty ftruck Captain Cook. Thefe, he conjectures, were the private property of the chiefs. Voyage round the World, vol. 1. p. 294. His conjecture is very folid. Thefe fpots correfpond to the enclofure of the German houfe, and to the glebe-lands of the Gentoo.

(4) ' Dotem non uxor marito, fed uxori maritus ' offert.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 18. This remarkable ufage continued after the German nations had made conquests, and is every where to be met with in their laws.

Non amplius unufquifque in puellae vel mulieris
nomine *dotis* titulo conferat vel conferibat, quam
quod decimam partem rerum fuaurm effe conftiterit.' LL. Wifigoth. lib. 3. tit. 1. l. 5.

Quia mulieres, quibus dudum conceffum fuerat
de fuis *dotibus* judicare, quod voluiffent, quaedam
reperiuntur, fpretis filiis vel nepotibus, eafdem *dotes* illis conferre, cum quibus conftiterit nequiter eas vixiffe : Ideo neceffe eft illos exinde percipere commodum pro quibus creandis fuerat affumptum <sup>6</sup> fumptum conjugium. Denique conftituentes de-\* cernimus, ut de dote sua mulier habens filios vel ' nepotes, feu caufa mercedis ecclefiis vel libertis ' conferre, five cuique voluerit, non amplius quam <sup>6</sup> de quarta parte potestatem habeat. Nam tres <sup>e</sup> partes legitimis filiis aut nepotibus, feu fit unus ' five forsitan plures, absque dubio relictura est. " De tota interim dote, tunc facere quid voluerit, erit mulieri poteftas, quando nullum legitimum " filium, filiamve, nepotem vel neptem superstitem ' reliquerit. Verum tamen faeminas, quas contiegerit duobus viris aut amplius nubere, atque ex eis filios procreare, non eis licitum erit dotem ab <sup>s</sup> alio marito acceptam, filiis aut nepotibus ex alio <sup>6</sup> viro genitis dare : Sed unufquifque filius filiave, " nepos aut neptis, ex ipía linea procreati, dotem ' quam avus aut pater illorum concefferat, poft mu-· lieris obitum per omnia confequuturi funt. LL. Wifigoth. lib. 4. tit. 5. l. 2. ap. Lindenbrog.

<sup>6</sup> Mulier fi ad alias nuptias transierit, omnia per-<sup>6</sup> dat : Dote tamen fua quam a marito fuo accepe-<sup>6</sup> rat, quamdiu vixerit, utatur, filio proprietate fer-<sup>6</sup> vata.' LL. Burgund. tit. 62. l. 2. See farther LL. Wisigoth, lib. 3 tit. 2. l. 8. lib. 5. tit. 2 l. 4. LL. Ripuar. tit. 37. LL. Saxon. tit. 7. LL. Longobard. lib. 1. tit. 4. The curious reader may alfo confult the forms or writings wich conflituted the dos, or dower. Form. Solen. ap. Baluz. tom. 2. Sée Appendix, No. 1.

231

In England, the doctrines and hiftory of the das are to be feen in Glanvil, Bratton, Britton, in the book called Fleta, and in Littleton. 'Dos, or dower,' fays my lord Coke, ' in the common law, is taken ' for that portion of lands or tenements which the ' wife hath for terme of her life of the lands or te-' nements of her hufband after his decease, for ' the fuftenance of herfelfe, and the nurture and ' education of her children.' 1. Instit p. 31. It is curious to find in the woods of Germany, a rite or cuftom that makes a figure in all the laws of Europe.

My Lord Kaims, whom I am ashamed to contradict fo often, has ftrangely mifunderftood this fubject. ' In Germany,' fays he, ' when Tacitus ' wrote, very few traces remained of polygamy. 6 Severa illicit matrimonia, nec ullam morum par-' tem magis laudaveris; nam prope foli barbaro-' rum fingulis uxoribus contenti funt, exceptis ' admodum paucis, qui non libidine, fed ob nobili-' tatem, plurimis nuptiis ambiuntur. When po-' lygamy was in that country fo little practifed, " we may be certain, the purchasing wives did not ' remain in vigour. And Tacitus accordingly, ' mentioning the general rule, dotem non uxor mae rito, fed uxori maritus offert, explains it away ' by observing, that the only dos given by the ' bridegroom, were marriage-prefents, and that "he at the fame time received marriage-pre-' fents,

232

IN EUROPE.

<sup>e</sup> fents on the bride's part.' Sketches, vol. 1. p. 192.

It would pain me to open up, with minutenefs, all the miftakes which are crouded into this paffage. I shall just glance at them. Polygamy, in fact, never prevailed among the Germans; and of this, the treatife of Tacitus, and the laws of the barbarians after their conquests, are the most striking and decifive proofs. See Ch. 1. Sect. 3. Note 14. Neither were women bought in Germany, nor does Tacitus affirm, that the dos confifted of marriage-The interchange of prefents by the prefents. married couple and the dos, were feparate and diftinct. The intention of the former I have already explained. See Ch. 1. Sect. 3. Note 2. What the latter was, I have just now faid; and I appeal to the authorities which fupport my notion.

The fource of all these errors is, the idea entertained and inculcated by this eminent writer, that the women, in rude times, are of so little confideration, that they are objects of traffic. Hence he conceived, that the *dos* must be the *purchase-money* of the wife. That it was not fo, we have seen ; but, as the opinion has been pretty generally received, and has got the fanction of Professor Millar, as well as that of his Lordship, it is proper to confider its propriety with some attention.

Though it every where appears, from the examination

mination of the barbaric laws, and from the books of the earlieft lawyers, that the dos or dower was the provision allotted for the maintenance of the wife, it is not to be denied, that, in antient legal monuments, there occur the donatio nuptialis, pretium uxoris, et pretium dotis. And thefe, I perceive, have contributed to induce Mr Millar to go into the fancy, that antiently, in Europe, the dos was the price, or purchase-money of the wife. Observations on the distinction of ranks, p. 30. 2. edit. If, however, I am not very widely miftaken, these expressions apply, in no case, to the purchasemoney of the wife; but express the provision made for her, in the event of the death of the hufband. This, I think, appears from the laws of the barbarians.

<sup>6</sup> Si qua mulier duntaxat Burgundia poft mariti <sup>6</sup> mortem ad fecundas aut tertias nuptias, ut adfo-<sup>6</sup> let fieri, fortaffe transierit, et filios habuerit, ex <sup>6</sup> omni conjugio, donationem muptialem dum advivit <sup>6</sup> ufu fructu possideat : Poss ejus mortem ad unum-<sup>6</sup> quemque filium, quod pater ejus dederat, rever-<sup>6</sup> tatur : Ita ut mater nec donandi, nec vendendi, <sup>6</sup> nec alienandi de his rebus quas in donatione nup-<sup>6</sup> tiali accepit, habeat potestatem.' LL. Burgund. tit. 24.

It is faid of one Folco, that he gave to his wife Gerlint all he had; 'Omnia fua propter pretium in mane mane quando furrexit.' Giannone, Hift. of Naples, vol. 1. p. 274. But this was not the price or value of the wife. It was the morgengabe, or morning-prefent, about which there is fo much in the barbaric laws, and of which the extravagance was fo great, that regulations were made to reprefs it.

As to the expression, pretium dotis, we meet with it in the following ordinance. 'Si puella · ingenua ad quemlibet ingenuum venerit ea con-' ditione, ut eum fibi maritum acquirat, prius cum ' puellae parentibus conloquator ; et fi obtinuerit, ut eam uxorem habere poffit, precium dotis parentibus ' ejus, ut justum est, impleatur.' LL. Wisigoth. lib. ' 3. tit. 2. l. 8. The dower, it feems, was at times given to the parent, or to the relation of the woman, to be kept for her ufe. This is fully explained by the regulation which follows. ' Dotem puellae ' traditam pater exigendi vel confervandi ipfi puellae habeat potestatem. Quod fi pater aut ma-· ter defuerint, tunc fratres vel proximi parentes, · dotem quam susceptrint, ipsi conforori suae ad in-' tegrum reftituant.' LL. Wifigoth. lib. 3. tit. 1. 1. 6. ap. Lindenbrog.

I know that the cuftom of prefenting money at marriages came to prevail among the German and Gothic nations, and among the Franks more particularly. In *Fredegarius*, for example, we read this defcription of the efpoufals of Clotildis. <sup>6</sup> Legati offerentes *folidum et denarium*, ut mos eft <sup>6</sup> Francorum, eam partibus Clodovei fponfant.' *Geft*,

Geft. Franc. c. 18. Let us not, however, be deceived. Here no purchafe was made. The money prefented was only the fymbol of a contract. This is illuftrated by the Arra nuptialis of the Wifigoths. 'A die latae hujus legis dicernimus, ut 'cum inter eos qui difponfandi funt, five inter eo-'rum parentes, aut fortaffe propinquos, pro filio-'rum nuptiis coram teftibus praecefferit, definitio, 'et annulus arrarum ‡ nomine datus fuerit vel ac-'ceptus, quamvis fcripturae non intercurrant, nul-'latenus promiffio violetur, cum qua datus eft an-'nulus, et definitio facta coram teftibus.' LL. Wifigoth. lib. 3. tit. 1. l. 3.

But what refutes, in the moft decifive manner, the notion that the wife was *purchafed* with the money of the hufband, is the following peculiarity. If a free man married his flave, and intended that his children by her fhould fucceed to his fortune, it was neceffary that he fhould make her a prefent of her liberty. And, what is remarkable, one of the methods of making her free, was the very act which is talked of as buying the property of the wife; it was the affigning her a *dower* or a morgengabe. 'Si quis ancillam fuam propriam ma-'trimoniare voluerit fibi ad uxorem, fit ei licen-'tia : Tamen debeat eam liberam thingare, et fic 'facere

‡ Arrhes or arres in France, earnest in England, and arles in Scotland, still express the money advanced in token that a bargain is concluded. facere liberam, quod eft Widerboram, et legitimam per garathinx, id eft, per libertatis donationem; vel per gratuitam donationem, id eft
morgengabe; tunc intelligatur effe libera et legitima
uxor, et filii qui ex ea nati fuerint legitimi heredes efficiantur.' LL. Longobard. lib. 2. tit. I.
1.8. Among the Longobards, the dower and the
morgengabe came to be fynonymous, and were
fixed at the fourth part of the fubftance of the hufband \*. LL. Longobard, lib. 2. tit. 4.

## I might

\* A very fingular exception, to the doctrine I advance in this note, is to be found in the records of England, and I am furprifed that it has escaped the learned industry of the writers whom I venture to oppose. I trust, notwithstanding, that my general conclusion is not to be affected by it. The case, however, is so odd, that I will give it to the reader in the words of my author.

' John Camois,' fays Camden, ' fon of Lord Ralph Ca-' mois, (a precedent not to be parallelled in that or our own age), out of his own free will (I fpeak from the parlia-' ment rolls themfelves, Parl. 30. Ed. I.) gave and demifed · his own wife, Margaret, daughter and heir of John de "Gaidefden, to Sir William Painel, knight; and to the fame " [William] voluntarily gave, granted, releafed, and guitclaimed. ' all the goods and chattels which she had, or otherwise hereafter " might have, and also whatever was in his hands, of the afore-" faid Margaret's goods and chattels, with their appurtenances. " So as neither himfelf, nor any other in his name, might, nor for " ever ought to claim or challenge any interest in the aforesaid " Margaret, from henceforth, or in the goods or chattels of the " faid Margaret : Which is, what the ancients faid in one " word, ut omnia fua fecum haberet, that fhe fhould take away with her all that was her's. By occasion of which grant, ' when

I might confirm thefe remarks by attending to the manners and cuftoms of other nations. Among the inhabitants, for example, of Hindoftan, while they were in a fimilar ftate of manners with the barbaric tribes, the ordinances and ufages in matrimonial concerns, have a ftriking conformity with thofe I have now defcribed. This is evident from the code of Gentoo laws †.

"The woman's property,' fay these laws, " is whatever she receives during the ayammi shadee, the days of marriage.

'When a woman dies, then, whatever effects 'fhe acquired during the *ayàmmi fbadee*, even tho' 'fhe hath a fon living, fhall firft go to her unmar-'ried daughter; if there is but one unmarried 'daughter, fhe fhall obtain the whole; if there are 'feveral unmarried daughters, they all fhall have 'equal fhares.'

#### Here

<sup>6</sup> when fhe demanded her *dower* in the manour of Torpull <sup>6</sup> an eftate of John Camois, her first husband, there com. <sup>6</sup> menced a memorable fuit. But she was cast in it, and <sup>6</sup> fentence passed, *that she ought to have no dower from thence*? *Britannia*, vol. 1. p. 205.

Even this example, however, of the fale of a wife, confirms the idea I inculcate as to the dos or dower.

† Or Ordinations of the Pundits, from a Persian translation made from the original, written in the Shanscrit language. London, printed in the year 1776. Here there is clearly the *dower* of the barbarians, and its deftination on the decease of the wife, in a given or fupposed fituation. There is something more. For the woman, among the Hindoos, as well as among our barbarians, might acquire other property beside the dower, during the days of marriage. This is illustrated by the following regulations.

The woman's property among the Hindoos is alfo ' whatever fhe may receive from any perfon, ' as fhe is going to her hufband's houfe, or coming ' from thence.

'Whatever her hufband may at any time have given her; whatever fhe has received, at any time, from a brother; and whatever her father and mother may have given her.

"Whatever jewels or wearing apparel fhe may have received from any perfon."

Here we have, obvioufly, the marriage-prefents of the relations and friends, as among the barbarians; and, in the gifts of the hufband, there is a counter part to the morgengabe of our forefathers, which is ftill farther explained by the following circumftance.

The form of marriage among the Hindoos, termed ashore, is defcribed to be 'when a man 'gives

gives money to a father and mother, on his mar-rying their daughter, and alfo gives fomethingto the daughter herfelf.'

Here there is not only the *dos* or dower, to be kept by the relations for the use of the bride, but the morgengabe, or morning-present, in the disposal of the bride herself; peculiarities which constituted the general characteristics of these transactions among the barbarians.

This coincidence is probably to be found in all nations, in certain ages or periods of their hiftory. It is an evidence of the uniformity of the manners of man in the most diffinct and diffant regions; and it marks ftrongly the importance of women in the early times of fociety and civilization. *Code of Gentoo Laws, cb. 2.* 

It would be irkfome to profecute this fubject at greater length. Law and hiftory uniformly concur to inform us, that anciently, in Europe, the dos was the provision allotted to the wife, and not the price paid for her. The customs of other nations offer their testimony to the fame purpose. And natural affection and reason, the generosity of manners in rude times, and the limited ideas of property which then prevail, all join to support the conclusion. Yielding to the united force of these particulars, I scruple not to contradict positions which have the fanction of diffinguished names.

(5) In

(5) In the process of time, regular forms or acts were invented for the constitution of the dower. Four methods of the dower prevailed more particularly over Europe, and, on that account, it is proper to recite and to explain them. These were the dower ad oftium ecclesiae, the dower ex allensus, the dower by the custom of particular places, and the dower de la plus belle. And from these peculiarities, also, there results the most clear and decisive proof, that the dos was not the purchase-money of the wise, but the provision for her maintenance.

1. The dower *ad oftium ecclefiæ* took place when the bridegroom, having come to the door of the church or monaftery where he was to be married, and having plighted his faith to the woman, and received hers, made public mention of the quantity and proportion of the land he defigned for her *dower*. In confequence of this transaction, fhe might take pofferfion, on his death, of the provision thus allotted to her.

2. The dower ex affenfu patris took place when the fon endowed his wife, with confent of his father, in the lands to which he was to fucceed. In this cafe, the wife, on the demife of the hufband, was to enjoy the portion affigned to her in the eftate of the father. 3. By the *cuftom* of fome counties, cities, and boroughs, the woman had, for her *dower*, the half of her hufband's poffeffions, or the whole.

4: The dower de la plus belle had place when a perfon, for example, being feifed of forty acres of land, of which he held twenty by knightfervice, and twenty in foccage, took a wife, had a fon, and dying, left him under age. The lord of whom the land was held in knight-fervice, took possession of the twenty acres, as guardian of the minor in chivalry; and the mother entered into the enjoyment of the other twenty, as guardian in Joccage. In this fituation, the mother might bring a writ of dower against the guardian in chivalry, to be endowed of the tenements holden in knight-fervice. But the guardian in chivalry, pleading in his defence, that fhe is guardian in foccage, might require from the court that fhe be adjudged to endow herfelf in the fairest of the tenements she possesses. And, if she could not fhow that the property in foccage was unequal to the purpose of the dower, the guardian in chivalry retained the lands holden of him during the minority of the heir. The woman, then affembling her neighbours, took poffession, in their presence, of the fairest part of the soccage lands, to hold them during her life, under the title of the dower de la plus belle. Littleton, ch. 5. The Comments of Sir Edward Coke, and Monf. Houard, and the Glof-It. faries.

242

It is thus, that the fimple regulation, mentioned by *Tacitus*, grew in time various and complicated. It even yet makes a figure in our laws. It is to be feen in the provisions they hold out for the widow. And, it may teach us to fuspect, that enactments, which appear to be deeply founded in legislative wisdom, are often nothing more than improvements of the usages which natural reason and expediency have ftruck out in a barbarous age.

(6) The laws of the different nations of the barbarians vary in the dower or provision they ordained. The Longobardic laws made it the fourth part of the effate of the hufband. *LL*. *Longobard. lib.* 2. *tit.* 4. *l.* 1. The Wifigothic conflitutions made it the tenth part of the fubftance of the hufband. *LL. Wifigotb. ap. Lindenbrog. p.* 53. And, in England, the legal dower confifted of the third part of the lands or tenements of the hufband. *Coke on Littleton, p.* 31.

(7) ' Morgin Germanice fignificat mane et gab,
' donatio, unde dicitur morgengab, donatio facta
' mane.' Glo/s Lindenbrog. p. 1441. ' De civita' tibus vero . . . quas Gailefuindam tam
' in dote, quam in morganegiba, hoc eft, matutinali
' dono, in Franciam venientem certum eft adqui' fiviffe.' Greg. Turon. lib. 9. c. 20. See farther
LL. Burgund. tit. 42. l. 2. LL. Alaman. tit. 56.
R 2 LL.

243 .

LL. Ripuar. tit. 37. l. 2. LL. Longobard. lib. 1. tit. 9. l. 12. &c.

A learned and ingenious writer has observed, that, in England, there are no traces of the morgengabe. Observations on the Statutes, p. 9. 3d Edit. This I suspect is a mistake. The morgengabe is mentioned in the laws of Canute, and in those of Henry I. LL. Canut. par. 2. c. 71. LL. Hen. I. c. 70. ap. Wilkins, p. 144. 267. The pin-money of modern times, it is probable, grew out of this usage.

A peculiar kind of matrimonial engagement was called matrimonium ad morganaticam, which is to be diffinguished from the rite I now mention. This form of marriage did not permit of dower, and the wife had only a morgengabe or prefent. It was intended for the benefit of men of rank, who had loft their wives, but had children. In confequence of it, they could legally connect themfelves with low women, who, receiving and being entitled to no dower, could not burden their eftates. The iffue of fuch connections had no power of fucceffion, and inherited no dignity. But provisions might be made for them. It was out of this fource, chiefly, that the church of old was fupplied. Men of influence could there depolit, most fecurely, the spawn of their concubinage. And it still is, and ever will be, wherever it it is wealthy, an afylum for this produce, and for the younger fons of noble families.

This scheme of legal concubinage is prevalent, at this hour, in Germany; and women, married after this odd fashion, are termed left-handed wives; because it is a part of the ceremony for the bridegroom to give his left hand to the bride. Of such connections, as in ancient times, the issue are bastards, as to inheritance, and bear neither the name nor the arms of the father. Baron von Lowben on Nobility.

Befide the morgengabe, or the prefent by the hufband, it was common, at marriages, for the relations, and other perfons connected with the parties, to express their fatisfaction by making gifts. <sup>6</sup> Gaudent muneribus,' is a part of the characteristic defcription of the ancient Germans by Tacitus. <sup>6</sup> Franci vero,' fays Gregory of Tours, when speaking of the marriage of the daughter of Chilperic, <sup>6</sup> multa munera obtulerunt; alii aurum, alii argen-<sup>6</sup> tum, nonnulli equos, plerique vestamenta, et <sup>6</sup> unusquisque ut potuit, donativum dedit.' Hist. lib. 6. c. 45.

This cuftom pervaded all ranks of fociety. And the money or penny weddings which ftill prevail in fmall villages and hamlets are a remain of it. What, in one age, difgraces not the palace of the prince,

prince, is to be confined in another to the hovel of the ruftic.

(8) The powers over a morgengabe, mentioned in the text, would not probably arife all at once, but gradually. The two former, I imagine, would be long known before the latter; and extenfive powers over a morgengabe, confifting of money, would fooner be exerted, than over one confifting of land. Of a morgengabe in land, there is the following difpofition or bequeft by Gertrude, a German lady of high rank, in the year 1273.

<sup>6</sup> Allodium fitum in Griezzenpach, ad fe dona<sup>6</sup> tionis titulo pertinens, quod morgengab vulgariter
<sup>6</sup> nuncupatur, cultum et incultum, quaefitum et
<sup>6</sup> inquifitum, cum omnibus attinentiis ecclefiae S.
<sup>6</sup> Petri in monte liberaliter et abfolute ordinat, te<sup>6</sup> ftatur, tradit, et legat.' Boekmer de Secund. Nupt. *illuftr. Perf. c. 2. § 41. ap. Heinnec. Elm. Jur. Germ. p. 121.*

The clergy, by befieging the beds of the dying, procured many legacies of this kind; and their rapacity, though flocking and abominable, contributed to haften the powers of the alienation of property.

(9) 'Habeat ipfa mulier morgengab, et'quod de ' parentibus ejus adduxerat, id eft, РНАДЕКFIUM.' LL.

#### IN EUROPE.

LL. Longobard. lib. 2. tit. 1. l. 4. See alfo LL. Alaman. tit. 56. LL. Wifigoth. lib. 3. tit. 1. l. 5. LL. Longobard. lib. 1. tit. 9. l. 12.

In England, and in other countries, the term *Phaderfium*, which fignifies *paternal eftate*, was unknown; but the term *maritagium* implied in them the prevalence of the cuftorn. 'MARITA-'GIUM dicitur id quod viro datur cum uxore; ' dotem enim appellamus Angli, non quod vir ac-' cipit, fed quod femina.' Spelm. Gloff. p. 405. In the Formulare Anglicanum, there are preferved ancient feofments of land to the hufbands of the daughters and fifters of the grantors, in which maritagium is the term employed as expressive of the eftate of the woman. See Appendix No. 2.

The following law of the Langobards, on the fubject of the portion, or eftate of the woman, feems to be very curious. 'Vidua quae in domo ' patris aut fratris regreffa eft, habeat fibi morgan-' gab et methium : De *faderfio* autem, id eft, de ' alio dono, quantum pater aut frater dederit ei, ' quando ad maritum ambulaverit, mittat IN ' CONFUSUM cum aliis fororibus.' LL. Longob. lib. 2. tit. 14. l. 15.

This commixtion of the portions of the women, is treated by *Littleton*, in his tenures, *lib. 3. cb. 2.* But nothing of the hiftory, or the philofophy

247

phy of the cuftom, appears there. A woman who had been married, and had received her faderfum, might, on the death of her anceftor, if the portions of her fifters were to prove higher, make a commixtion of the tenements, and lay claim to an equal fhare. If they were to prove lefs, fhe might retain her faderfium. This commixtion was called Hotchpot, from a difh of that name. Littleton, p. 167. ' Hotchpot,' fays Cowel, ' is a word that cometh out of the lowe countries, \* where Hut/pot fignifieth flesh cut into pretie " pieces, and fodden with herbs and roots." The Interpreter, Edit. 1607. This difh is still in particular efteem in Scotland. Littleton, as cited above, makes botchpot, in its natural meaning, to fignify a pudding composed of different ingredients.

The eftate brought by the woman to the hufband, when a full infeudation, was called Maritagium liberum; when otherwife, it was maritagium fervitio obnosium. Glanvil, lib. 7. Regiam Majestatem, lib. 2. Bracton, lib. 2. Fleta, lib. 3. Littleton, lib. 1.

(10) The dos, or dower, which had figured fo much, was thus to be gradually fwallowed up in the *jointure*; and, in this fituation, it came to exprefs the effate brought to the hufband by the wife. This This circumstance is well illustrated by the following example in *Muratori*, an. 1203.

<sup>6</sup> Azo, Eftenfis Marchio, in publico conventu ba-<sup>6</sup> ronum Lombardiae, warrantavit et profeffus fuit <sup>6</sup> fe accepiffe in *dotem* a domina Aliz, filia quondam <sup>6</sup> Rainaldi principis Antiocheni, quam in matrimo-<sup>6</sup> nio fibi receperit, duo millia marcharum argenti, <sup>6</sup> ac inde jure pignoris et donationis propter nup-<sup>6</sup> tias, inveftiviffe dominam Aliz de tantis de fuis <sup>6</sup> bonis et poffeffionibus et immobilibus, ubicum-<sup>6</sup> que habeat, vel adquirere debeat, ut valeant dup-<sup>6</sup> lum fuprafcriptae *dotis* et donationis.<sup>7</sup> Antiq. *Eftenf. tom.* 1. p. 381. ap Heninec. Elem. Jur. Germ. p. 120.

I pretend not to fix the precise time when dos alfumed this sense. The meaning of words, varying perpetually with the fluctuation of manners and the intermixture of nations, gives an almost impenetrable darkness to the middle ages. The pale inquirer is often to forfake an interpretation he had chosen, and on which he had built. Language is to deceive him. He is to attend to customs and usages; yet customs and usages prevail for a time, are lost, and start up again. He is involved, and wanders in the double gloom of antiquity and barbarism.

(11) ' Dulciffima filia mea illa, ego ille. Di' uturna fed impia inter nos confuetudo tenetur, ut
' de terra paterna forores cum fratribus portionem
' non

<sup>6</sup> non habeant. Sed ego perpendens hanc impio<sup>6</sup> tatem, ficut mihi a Deo aequaliter donati eftis
<sup>6</sup> filii, ita et a me fitis aequaliter diligendi, ut de
<sup>6</sup> rebus meis poft meum disceffum aequaliter gau<sup>6</sup> deatis.' Charta ap. Marculp. Form. lib. 2 c. 12.

<sup>6</sup> Inter Burgundiones id volumus cuftodiri, ut fi <sup>6</sup> quis filium non reliquerit, in loco filii filia in pat-<sup>6</sup> ris matrifque hereditate fuccedat.<sup>7</sup> LL. Burgund. tit. 14. l. 1.

Si quis Longobardus fine filiis legitimis maſculinis mortuus fuerit, et filiam dereliquerit unam
aut plures legitimas, ipſae ei in omnem hereditatem patris vel matris ſuae, tanquam filii legitimi
maſculini, heredes ſuccedant.' LL. Longob. lib.
tit. 14. l. 19. See farther, LL. Saxon, tit. 7.
LL. Angl. et Werin. tit. 6. La Coutume Reformêe
du Pais et Duché de Normandie, commentéé, par Baſnage, tome 1. p. 388. Seleɛt Feudaiia Thomasſiana,
p. 26-29.

(12) There are frequent examples of ladies exercifing the civil rights and the jurifdictions of fiefs. Of courts held by them, and of decrees they pronounced, there are curious evidences in *Muratori*, *Antiq. Ital. Medii Ævi*, vo. 1. p. 489. 614. 738. 970. 971.

In a learned work, entitled, le Droit public de France eclairci par les monument de l'antiquité, we meet meet the following notices, which are authenticated from records.

Mathilde Comteffe d'Artois eut féance et voix
deliberative comme les autres Pairs de France,
dans le procés criminel fait à Robert Comte de
Flandres:

<sup>6</sup> Jeanne fille de Raymond Comte de Touloufe <sup>6</sup> preta le ferment, et fit la foi et hommage au Roi <sup>6</sup> de cette pairie.

<sup>6</sup> Jeanne fille de Bauldouin fit ferment de fidelité <sup>6</sup> pour la pairie de Flandres. Marguerite fa foeur <sup>6</sup> en herita et affifta comme pair au celebre juge-<sup>6</sup> ment des pairs de France, donné pour le Comte <sup>6</sup> de Clermont en Beauvoifis.<sup>7</sup> Bouquet, p. 338. See farther Bruffel, ufage general des fiefs, liv. 2. cb 14.

In England, in the reign of Edward III. there were fummoned to parliament by writ ad colloquium et traflatum by their proxies, Mary Countels of Norfolk, Alienor Countels of Ormond, Anna Defpenser, Philippa Countels of March, Johanna Fitzwater, Agneta Countels of Pembroke, and Catharine Countels of Athol. Gurron's Hist. of the High Court of Parliament, vol. 1. p. 202. Parliam. Summons, 265.

(13) The ornaments of the mother went early by fucceffion to the daughters; and, from the laws which

which prove this peculiarity, it is also to be inferred, that the passion of the women for dress was keen and strong.

<sup>6</sup> Ornamenta et vestimenta matronalia ad filias, <sup>6</sup> absque ullo fratris fratrumque consortio, pertine-<sup>6</sup> bunt.<sup>7</sup> LL. Burgund. tit. 51. l. 3.

<sup>6</sup> Mater moriens filio terram, mancipia pecu-<sup>6</sup> niam dimittat; filiae vero fpolia colli, id eft, mu-<sup>6</sup> renas, nufcas, monilia, inaures, veft, armillas, vel <sup>6</sup> quidquid ornamenti proprii videbatur, habuiffe.<sup>8</sup> *LL. Angel. et Werin. tit.* 6. *l.* 6.

(14) ' Si quis propter libidinem liberae manum injecerit, aut virgini feu uxori alterius, quod Bajuvarii horgrift vocant, cum vi. folid. componat.' LL. Baivvar tit. 7. l. 3,

Si indumenta fuper genucula elevaverit quod
humilzorun vocant, cum xii. folid. componat.' Ibid. l. 4.

<sup>6</sup> Si autem *discriminalia* ejecerit de capite, Wult-<sup>6</sup> worf dicunt, vel virgini *ibidlinosé* crines de capite <sup>6</sup> extraxerit, cum xii. fol. componat.<sup>7</sup> *Ibid. l. 5.* 

Si qua libera faemina virgo vadit in itinere fuo
inter duas villas, et obviavit eam aliquis, et per
raptum *denudat* caput ejus, cum vi. fol. componat. Et fi ejus vestimenta levaverit, ut usque
ad genicula denudet, cum vi. fol. componat : Et
fi

<sup>6</sup> fi eam denudaverit ut genitalia ejus appareant, vel <sup>6</sup> posteriora, cum xii. fol. componat.<sup>7</sup> LL. Alaman. tit. 58. l. 1.

<sup>6</sup> Si quis liberam foeminam per verenda ejus <sup>6</sup> comprehenderit iiii. folid. componat, et duos foli-<sup>6</sup> dos pro freda.<sup>7</sup> LL. Frision. tit. 22. l. 89. See farther LL. Sal. tit. 22. LL. Longobard. lib. 2. tit. 55. l. 16.

One must finile at the fimplicity of these regulations. They are proofs, notwithstanding, of the respect entertained for chastity. They express, immodestly, the delicacies of a rude, but refining people. They offend virtue, in the very act of promoting it.

Similar inflitutions or regulations, may be feen in the code of Gentoo laws; but, as they are expreffed with a ftill greater freedom of language, I avoid to give any examples of them. *Cb.* 19.

#### SECTION III.

(1) 'PRINCIPES regionum atque pagorum 'inter fuos jus dicunt, controversiasque minuunt.' Casar de Bell. Gall. lib. 6. c. 22. See also Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 12.

Thefe

These principes became lords or barons, after the conquests of the barbarians, and, in this last state, continued and improved the privileges they had previously possesses they be antiquity of the English Constitution, Part. 3. In Germany, there was probably no appeal from their decisions. For, in the German communities, it is faid, there was no common magistrate. 'Nul-'lus communis est magistratus.' Cæsar, ibid. The judging, without appeal, was exercised in all the Gothic kingdoms by the higher division of the nobility. They had the bigh and the low justice, the justice baut et bas, alte et bass.

It would lead to details improper in this place, if I fhould attempt to explain the origin and growth of the different privileges of the nobles. But I may hint my furprife, that thefe topics, fo full of curiofity, have fo little attracted our antiquaries and lawyers. The jurifdiction and powers exercifed by the great, form a remarkable ftep in the progrefs of the European governments. Loyfeau, indeed, and many French writers, make an eafy difcuffion of this matter, by affecting to treat them as encroachments on monarchy, or on the rights of kings. And Dr. Robertfon has given his fanction to this opinion. *Hift. of Charles* V. vol. 1. p. 60.

A per-

A perfection, however, of government, or of regal jurifdiction, is thus fuppofed, in the moment of its rife; a circumftance, contradictory alike to natural reafon and to ftory. Government is not perfect all at once: It attains not maturity but by flow degrees. The privileges of the nobles were prior to its perfect ftate. In fact, it was by the abolition of thefe that it grew to ftrength and ripenefs. The monarchies of Europe were completed, when the high privileges of the nobility were deftroyed. But thefe privileges were exercifed before government was underftood, and before kings had afcertained their prerogatives.

(2) An old writer, speaking of the greater barons or lords, has these words. 'In omnibus te-'nementis suis omnem *ab antiquo* legalem habuere 'justitiam, videlicet, ferrum, *fossam, furcas*, et simi-'lia.' *Gervasius Dorobern. an.* 1195. *ap. Du Cange*, *voc. Fossa.* 

'Proditores et transfugas,' fays Tacitus of the old Germans, 'arboribus fuspendunt. Ignavos et 'imbelles, et corpore infames coeno ac palude, in-'jecta insuper crate, mergunt.' De Mor. Germ. c. 12. This description has, doubtless, a reference to the German nobles or chiefs who presided in the courts of the cantons and districts into which a tribe or community was divided. And, does it not call to one's mind the pit and gallows, or

255

or the right to determine *de alto et baffo* of the feudal nobility ?

The power of mercy, or the pardoning of a criminal after fentence has been pronounced against him, is a curious circumstance in criminal jurifdiction. I should think, that it was exerted by the lord or baron in his dominions before it could be exercifed in a general manner by the fovereign. The connection between the lord and the vaffal was intimate; and the felony of the latter being chiefly an injury to the former, it might naturally enough be imagined, that he was entitled not only to forgive the offence, but to fuspend the punifhment. To his proper vaffals, the fovereign might also act in the fame way. It was thus, in fact, in the Anglo-Saxon period of our hiftory. For the king had then only the power of pardoning crimes as to himfelf. But, on what principle did the fovereign begin to exert the general prerogative of pardoning criminals, every where through the ftate, after condemnation? The queftion is important, and might be argued with great flow, and much ingenuity. But the narrow boundaries within which I must confine my remarks, admit not of either. I can only hint at my idea, and must not wait to infist upon it.

When the territorial jurifdictions of the nobles were to decay, they loft the privilege of giving pardons, as well as the other advantages annexed

to

to their fiefs. The judges who fucceeded them, were not to poffefs their prerogatives. Other, and more cultivated maxims of law and equity, had grown familiar. Unconnected with the diffributions and the offices of juffice, but as peers, the nobles were to ceafe to interfere with law and bufiness in their estates or territories. In this condition, their prerogatives could pass no where but to the crown. That of mercy was to be swallowed up with the reft. When regular courts were erected, and when the barons neither levied troops, coined money, nor pardoned crimes, all these privileges were to be exercifed, exclusively, by the fovereign. All the members of the community were then under one head. The kingdom feemed as it were to be one great fief, and the people looked up to the fovereign as the only fuperior.

The act of parliament which had the effect to abridge, for ever, the high prerogatives of the nobles, declares, 'That no perfon or perfons, of 'what eftate or degree foever they be, from the firft day of July, which fhall be in the year of our Lord God 1536, fhall have any power or authority to pardon or remit any treafons, murthers, manflaughters, or any kind of felonies, whatfoever they be; nor any acceffaries to any treafons, murthers, manflaughters, or felonies; or any outlawries, for any fuch offences committed, perpetrated, done, or divulged, or here-S 'after

257

8

after to be committed, done, or divulged, by,
or againft any perfon or perfons, in any part of
this realm, Wales, or to the marches of the fame;
but that the King's Highnefs, his heirs and fucceffors, Kings of this realm, fhall have the whole
power and authority thereof, united and knit to the
imperial crown of this realm.' Stat. 27. Henry
VIII. c. 24.

(3) Du Cange, Differt. 29. fur l'Hiftoire de St. Louis. Bruffel, usage general des fiefs, liv. 2.

(4) 'Suscipere tam inimicitias seu patris seu pro-'pinqui, quam amicitias, necesse est.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 21.

Hence the *deadly feuds* of our anceftors. Such is the ftate of manners in all rude ages. The American carries his friendfhips and his refentments to extremity, and delivers them as an inheritance to his fons. He is the beft friend, and the bittereft enemy. When he is difpofed to be hoftile, he knows how to conceal his fentiments: 'He can ' even affect to be reconciled till he catches the op-' portunity of revenge. No diftance of place, ' and no length of time can allay his refentment, ' or protect the object of it.' *Europ. Settlem. in Amer. vol.* 1. p. 165.

It was in confequence of the principle or right of revenge, that the Greeks made it a maxim of of their creed, that the gods punifh the crimes of the wicked upon their innocent pofterity. It was a confequence of it, that, even in modern times, those inclement and ungenerous laws were enacted, which taint the blood of a rebel, which dare to violate the facred rights of humanity, and to punifh a blameless progeny with penalties and forfeitures.

(5) ' In Gallia, non folum in omnibus civitatibus, atque pagis partibulque, 'fed pene etiam in fingulis domibus, *factiones* funt; earumque factionum funt *principes*, qui fummam auctoritatem eorum judicio habere existimantur; quorum ad arbitrium judiciumque fumma omnium rerum confiliorumque redeat.' *Caefar*, *de Bell. Gall. lib.* 6. c. 10.

After the Germanic conquefts, the words faida, feid, feeth, and feud, came to express the hoftilities of the combination of kindred, who revenged the death of any perfon of their blood, against the killer and his race. In the Anglo-Saxon period of our history, these factions and hostilities were prevalent to an uncommon degree. And, what is worthy of observation, when a perfon was outlawed, and could form no combination of this fort for his protection, but might be put to death by any individual who met him, the term frendlesman, expressed his condition. 'Talem,' fays S 2. Bracton

260

Bracton, 'vocant Anglici Utlaughe, et alio nomine 'antiquitus folet nominari, fcilicet FRENDLES-'MAN.' Lib. 3. p. 129.

About the year 944, King Edmund, with a view of reprefing the violence and pernicious tendency of fuch confederacies, enacted the following method for their regulation.

' Memet, et nos omnes tædet impiarum et quo-' tidianarum pugnarum quæ inter nos iplos fiunt, et propterea in hunc modum statuimus. Si ' quis alium posthac interfecerit, folus cum inter-' fecti cognatis faidam gerito, cujufcunque condi-' tionis fuerit, ni ope amicorum integram veram ' intra 12 menses persolverit. Sin destituerint eum cognati et noluerint : Volumus ut illi omnes · [praeter reum] à faida fint liberi, dum tamen, ' nec victum ei prebeant, nec refugium. Quod fi ' quis hoc fecerit fuis omnibus apud regem mulc-' tator, et cum eo quem destituit nuper, faidam ' jam suftineat propinquorum interfecti. Qui ' vero ab alio cognatorum quam a reo fumpferit ' vindictam, fit in faida ipfius regis et amicorum ' fuorum omnium, omnibufque bonis fuis plecti-' tor.' LL. Edmund. ap. Spelm. Gloff. p. 209.

The method of compounding, or of buying away the refertment of the injured kindred, is thus defcribed by the fame prince.

<sup>e</sup> Prudentium

<sup>6</sup> Prudentium eft faidas compescere. Primo
<sup>6</sup> [de more gentium] oratorem mittet interfector ad
<sup>6</sup> cognatos interfecti, nunciaturum se velle eisdem
<sup>6</sup> fatisfacere. Deinde tradatur interfector in manus
<sup>6</sup> oratoris, ut coram veniat pacaté, et de solvenda
<sup>6</sup> wera ipsemet spondeat. Sponsam solvi satisfato.
<sup>6</sup> Hoc facto, indictetur mundium regis, ab illo die
<sup>6</sup> usque in 21 noctes, et collistrigii mulctam depen<sup>6</sup> dito; post alias 21 noctes manbotam, et nocte 21
<sup>6</sup> fequenti primam vere solutionem numerato.' *LL. Edmund. ap. Spelm. Gloß. p. 210. et Wilkins, p.*74. 75.

Transactions of the same nature, characterise the criminal jurisprudence of all infant nations. ' Cri-' minal matters,' fays a most acute and elegant writer, ' are generally compromifed among the A-' mericans in the following manner. The offen-" der absents himself; his friends send a compli-" ment of condolence to those of the party murde-" red. Prefents are offered, which are rarely re-' fused. The head of the family appears, who, ' in a formal speech, delivers the presents, which ' confift often of above fixty articles, every one of " which is given to cancel fome part of the offence, ' and to affuage the grief of the fuffering party. "With the first he fays, By this I remove the batchet ' from the wound, and make it to fall out of the bands of ' him who is prepared to revenge the injury; with the " fecond, I dry up the blood of that wound; and fo ° on

• on, in apt figures, taking away, one by one, all • the ill confequences of the murder.' Europ. Settlem in America, vol. 1. p. 174.

The hostilities and factions of which I speak, were fupported among the Anglo-Saxons, as among the Gauls and the Germans, by the authority and countenance of the chiefs and the nobles. In the Norman times, the barons gave letters or mandates of protection to individuals, whom they were disposed to ferve. Even kings gave obligations to to abbeys and monafteries, by which they were bound to protect them against violence of every kind. On the confideration of fines, they were even to remit their own animofities, and to protect criminals from juffice. See Appendix, No 3. The fame things had place in the other kingdoms of Europe. Men, weak, and without ftrength, bought the affiftance and protection of the strong and powerful. Du Cange voc. Salvamentum, Capitalicium. Form Solen. ap. Baluz.

(6) After the beautiful difcovery of a magistrate, the violence of the injured is corrected; and it is then, probably, that fines and compensations for offences are invented, or at least established. 'Nec ' implacabiles durant,' fays *Tacitus*, of the refentments of the Germans, ' luitur enim etiam homi-' cidium certo armentorum ac pecorum numero, ' recipitque

### IN EUROPE.

<sup>e</sup> recipitque satisfactionem universa domus.<sup>e</sup> De Mor. Germ. c. 31.

These fines or compositions, of which it was the object to fatisfy the revenge of the relations of the perfon who had fuffered, were originally fettled by their agreement with the offender, or, by the difcretion of the magistrate. Afterwards they were fixed by ordinances. The Anglo-Saxon laws, as well as those of the other barbarians, recount not only the flated fines for particular offences, but for particular perfons, from the prince to the peafant. When the delinquent could not pay the fine, which wasto buy away, or to gratify the refentment of the injured family, the law, before it wasimproved, delivered him over to their refentment, and the wild state of nature revived again. Compositions of this kind were known, antiently, in Europe, under a variety of names. See in the Gloffaries, Wera, Faida, Compositio, Wergeldum, &c.

The exaction of fines to the injured, among the antient Germans, I confider as a proof that, in criminal matters, they had proceeded to appeal to a judge. I therefore differ from Dr Robertfon, when he obferves, that, ' among the antient Germans, as ' well as other nations in a fimilar flate of fociety, ' the right of avenging injuries was a private and ' perfonal right, exercifed by force of arms, with-' out any reference to an umpire, or any appeal to a ' magiftrate for decifion.' *Hift. of Charles V. vol.* I. p. 274.

263

In fact, it was not even folely the fine to individuals that was known among the Germans. They had advanced much farther in criminal jurifprudence. It was thought that the criminal, befide offending a particular family by the injury done to any of its number, had alfo offended the fociety, by breaking its peace. A fine, likewife, was, on this account, exacted from him, and went to the **public** or fifc. And thus Mr Hume, too, is miftaken, when he will not allow that the Germans had made this ftep towards a more cultivated life. *Hift. of England*, vol. 1. p. 154.

These different fines, the composition to the individuals, and that to the public, are pointedly and beautifully diftinguished in the following passage of *Tacitus*. Having mentioned the methods in which the German nations punished the greater crimes, he adds, ' Levioribus delictis, pro modo ' poenarum, equorum pecorumque numero con-' victi mulctantur. Pars mulctae *Regi* vel *Civitati*: ' Pars *ipsi* qui vindicatur, vel *propinquis* ejus, ex-' folvitur.' *De Mor. Germ. c.* 12. It is impossible for an authority to be more express or fatisfactory against these eminent writers.

After the conquefts of the Germans, the fine for diffurbing the public peace was exacted under the name of *fredum*; and, it is observable, that a portion of the profits of it came to conflitute the first falary of judges.

The

The biographer of Charles V. I am fenfible, profeffing to be guided by Baron Montesquieu, denies that ' the fredum was a compensation due " to the community, on account of the public ' peace;' and confiders it as ' the price paid to " the magistrate for the protection he afforded ' against the violence of refentment.' Vol. 1. p. This notion feems not to agree with his 302. former opinion, as he conceives that the fredum was paid in the age of Tacitus\*. And I observe he has also affirmed, that the fine to the injured family may, in like manner, be traced back to the ancient Germans †, which appears to be another inconfistency with his former declaration, But, waving any confideration of these inadvertencies. I think there is nothing more evident, than that the fredum was originally paid to the fife, or to the fovereign, for the breach of the peace. The following arguments are flubborn, and perhaps conclufive.

· Fredum

\* 'A certain fum, called a *fredum*, was paid to the king 'or ftate, as Tacitus expresses it, or the Fiscus, in the lan-'guage of the barbarous laws.' vol. 1. p. 300.

+ 'The payment of a fine, by way of fatisfaction to the
'perfon or family injured, was the first device of a rude
'people, in order to check the career of private refent'ment, and to extinguish those *faidae* or deadly feuds, which
'were profecuted among them, with the utmost violence.
'This custom may be traced back to the antient Germans.;
vol. 1, p. 299.
' Fredum regalis compositio PACIS.' Gloss. Vet. ap. Lindenbrog. p. 1404.

Hoc quoque jubemus, ut judices fupra nominati, five fifcales, de quacunque libet caufa
freda non exigant, priufquam facinus componatur. Si quis autem per cupiditatem ifta tranfgreffus fuerit, legibus componatur. Fredum
autem non illi judici tribuat, cui culpam commifit, fed illi qui folutionem recipit, tertiam partem
FISCO tribuat, ut PAX perpetua ftabilis permaneat.' LL. Ripuar. tit. 89.

Si quis liber liberum infra januas ecclefiae occiderit, cognoscat se contra Deum injuste fecisse,
et ecclesiam Dei polluisse : Ad ipsam ecclesiam
quam polluit lx. sol. componat. Ad Fiscum
vero similiter alios lx. sol. pro FREDO solvat :
Parentibus autem legitimum weregildum solvat.' LL. Alaman. tit. 4.

<sup>6</sup> Si nobilis furtum quodlibet dicitur perpetraffe, <sup>6</sup> et negare voluerit, cum quinque facramentalibus <sup>6</sup> juret : Aut fi negare non potuerit, quod abftulit <sup>6</sup> in duplum reftituat, et ad partem REGIS lxxx. <sup>6</sup> fol. pro FREDO componat, hoc eft Weregildum <sup>6</sup> fuum.<sup>7</sup> LL. Friftonum, tit. 3. l. 1. See farther LL. Longobard. tit. 30. l. 13. Capit. Kar. et Lud. lib. 3. tit. 30.

Among the Anglo-Saxons, the fine for the violated lated peace was termed Griethbrech. Spelm. Gloff. It was, as times became mercenary, that a part of the fredum, and fometimes the whole of it, went to the judge. And the falary thus affigned to him, was not for the protection he afforded, for he was the fervant of the public; but as the reward of his growing trouble, and the emolument of his office. See LL. Sal. tit. 52. l. 3. tit. 55. l. 2. LL. Baivwar. tit. 2. l. 16.

The giving a flipend to judges out of the fines for the violated peace, was common in England, as well as in the other flates of Europe. This flipend or allowance was ufually the third penny of the county. An old book of Battel-Abbey, cited by Mr. Selden, has thefe words. ' Confuetudina-' liter per totam Angliam mos antiquitus pro lege ' inoleverat, comites provinciarum TERTIUM DE-" NARIUM fibi obtinere.' Tit. Hon. part 2. cb. 5: Jett. 7. Gervafe of Tilbury, or whoever wrote the old dialogue concerning the exchequer, fpeaks thus. ' Comes eft qui TERTIAM PORTIONEM eorum quae de placitis proveniunt in quolibet ' comitatu percipit.' And the Earl, he fays, was called Comes, ' quia Fifco focius eft, et comes in ' percipiendis.' Dial. de Scaccar. lib. 1. c. 17. This tract is published by Mr. Madox, in his hiftory of the exchequer. ' De iftis octo libris,' fay the laws of the Confessor, ' [fcil. mulcta vio-' latae pacis] Ren habebat centum folidos, et · Conful

· Conful comitatus quinquaginta, qui TERTIUM · habebat DENARIUM de forisfacturis : Decanus ' autem reliquos decem.' LL. Confess. c. 31. ap. Spelm. Gloff. p. 142. What flows likewife, beyond a doubt, that the third penny of the county arofe out of the fines for the violated peace, is the circumstance, that the Kings of England made formal grants of it to fubjects whom they favour-This, the book already quoted concerning ed. the exchequer, lays down in these words. ' Hii • (it had been speaking of Earls, and of the pro-' fits of fines,) tantum ista percipiunt, quibus " regum munificentia obsequii praestiti, vel eximiae <sup>e</sup> probitatis intuitu comites fibi creat, et ratione ' dignitatis illius haec conferenda decernit, quibuf-' dam bæreditarie quibusdam personaliter.' Dial. de Scaccar. ap. Madox, p. 402. The higher Earls, or the Earls palatine, it is observable, had all the profits to their own ufe. Of the Earls who poffeffed the third penny, there is mentioned the Earl of Kent, who had it under William I. And there is evidence, that it was anciently enjoyed by the Earls of Arundel, Oxford, Effex, Norfolk, and Devonshire. Selden, Tit. Hon. part 2. cb. 5. Madox, Baron. Anglica, book 2. cb. I.

(7) When the right of private war was acknowledged as a legal prerogative of nobility, regulations were made to adjust its nature and exertion. *Beaumanoir*, *Coutumes des Beauvoiss*, cb. 59.

268

## IN EUROPE.

59. Du Cange, differt. 29. fur l'histoire de St. Louis. Boulainvilliers on the ancient parliaments of France, letter 5. What is furprising, even the neglect of exercising this right, when a proper occasion required its exertion, was an offence to the order who professed it, and an object of punishment. <sup>6</sup> La Duc Sandragèsse, fays Saint Foix, <sup>6</sup> ayant <sup>6</sup> été tuè par quelqu'un de se ennemis, les Grands <sup>6</sup> du Royaume citerent ses enfans qui negligeoient <sup>6</sup> de venger sa mort, et les priverent de sa successed <sup>6</sup> fion.<sup>7</sup> Essive bistor. tom. 2. p. 88. In France, this prerogative of the nobles was not entirely abolished in the middle of the fourteenth century. Brussel, usage general des Fiefs, liv. 2. ch. 2.

Dr. Robertfon feems to imagine, that, in England after the Norman invafion, the nobility loft, or did not exercife the right of private war; and he reafons with a view to account for these particulars. *Hift. of Charles* V. vol. 1.\* It is to be acknowledged,

\* 'After the conqueft, the mention of private wars
' among the nobility, occurs more rarely in the Englifh hi' ftory, than in that of any other European nation, and no
' laws concerning them are to be found in the body of their
' ftatutes. Such a change in their own manners, and fuch
' a variation from those of their neighbours, is remarkable.
' It is to be afcribed to the extraordinary power which
' William the Norman acquired by right of conquest, and
' transmitted to his fuccessors, which rendered the execution
' of justice more vigorous and decisive, and the jurifdiction
' of the King's court more extensive, than under the mo' narchs on the continent?' Or, was it owing to the fettle-

knowledged, that the hiftorians of England have not been fufficiently attentive to record the private wars of the nobles. But this elegant writer ought, doubtlefs, to have remembered, that, in the higher order of its nobility, the right of private war was as much inherent as the coinage of money, the holding of courts, or any other of their prerogatives; and that thefe received not their laft and effectual blow till the age and reign of Henry VIII.

In the appendix, I produce a very curious proof of the exercise of private war in England. It is a truce

ement of the Normans in England, who, having never · adopted the practice of private war in their own country, " abolifhed it in the kingdom which they conquered? It is " afferted, in an ordinance of John King of France, that in " all times paft, perfons of every rank in Normandy have <sup>6</sup> been prohibited to wage war, and the practice has been " deemed unlawful. Ordon. tom. 2. p. 407. If this fact were " certain, it would go far towards explaining the peculiarity " which I have mentioned. But, as there are fome English " acts of parliament, which, according to the remark of the " learned author of the observations on the statutes, chiefly the " more antient, recite falfehoods, it may be added, that this is not peculiar to the laws of that country. Notwithstand-'ing the politive affertion in this public law of France, " there is good reafon for confidering it as a flatute which " recites a falschood." Charles V. vol. 1. p. 286. 287.

The first question that is put by this historian, is founded on a mistake; for William the Norman atchieved no conquest over England. The second question is founded on a supposed fact, which he appears to regard as of no moment; and indeed it does not deferve to be confidered in any other light.

# IN EUROPE.

a truce between two nobles agreeing to ftop hoftilities. Appendix, No. 4. The following paffage of Glanville, is alfo a ftriking teftimony of the exiftence of the right of private war. 'Utrum vero 'ad guerram fuam maintenendam poffint domini 'hujufmodi auxilia exigere quaero.' lib. 9. c. 8. And the difpute between Richard, Earl Marshal, and Henry III. of which there is a fingular relation in Mathew Paris, is certainly to be accounted for on the principle of this prerogative.

Nor is there wanting other evidence of its exiftence. It was in a great meafure, from the exercife of the right of private war, that in England, in the age of Stephen, there were above eleven hundred forts and caftles. Lord Lyttelton's History of Henry II. vol. 1. p. 418. The feudum jurabile et reddibile was likewife a confequence of it, by which a fovereign or a noble put a vaffal into any of his caftles, in order to defend it, and to guard his ftores and his prifoners, and whom he bound by an oath, to reftore it in a certain time, or to his call or mandate. This form of fief and tenure was not only known in England, but frequent there; and mention is made of it in the laws of Henry I. The right of private war was therefore, often exercifed in this country; and, what deferves obfervation, without paying an attention to this right, it is impoffible to explain those ordinances of Henry which allude to this feudal peculiarity. Spelman,

Spelman, not attending to it, could not reach their meaning, and pronounces of them, that they are obfcure and corrupted. *Gloff. voc. Caftellacium*. Their fenfe, notwithftanding, when tried by this ftandard, is eafy and natural.

(8) The prerogatives of the higher nobility throughout Europe, may be referred to the following heads; the power of making war of their private authority, the right of life and death in their territories, the levying of imposts, the raifing of troops, the coining of money, and the making of laws. It is to be wished, that fome inquisitive and judicious antiquary would collect from the English laws and records, all the circumstances to be found which have a relation to these topics. He could not offer a more valuable present to the public.

Thefe powers were exercifed by the higher nobles among the Anglo-Saxons. For, though *palatinates*, which are generally allowed to have poffeffed them, were not familiar by name in thofe times; yet, I cannot but agree with Mr. Selden, that the fenfe and fubftance of them were then fully known. The Anglo-Saxon earls, who had their earldoms to their own ufe, had regal jurifdiction, and the king's writ of ordinary juffice did not run in their dominions. Such, for example, was Etheldred, Earl of Mercland, under King Alfred,

#### IN EUROPE.

273

fred, and his fon King Edward, Selden, Tit. Hon. part. 2. cb. 5. feet. 8. Differt. concerning the Antiq. of the Engl. Constitution, part 3.

After the Norman invalion, many of the higher nobility were expressly known as Earls-Palatine. Chefhire was a palatinate, and poffeffed by its earls, ad gladium, ficut ipfe rex totam tenebat Angliam ad coronam fuam. The ancient earls of Pembroke were alfo palatines, being domini totius comitatus de Pembroch, and holding totum regale infra praecinclum comitatus fui de Pembroch. This is the language of records. The like regality was claimed in the barony of Haverford. The bifhops of Durham had. anciently, omnia jura regalia, et omnes libertates regales infra libertatem fuam Dunelmensem. The archbifhop of York had a regality in Hexham, which, anciently, was flyled a county-palatine. The bishoprick of Ely was a palatinate, or a royal franchife. The earldom of Lancaster was created palatine in the reign of Edward III. Hugo de Belefme Earl of Shrewfbury, under William II. had the title palatine. The fame thing is mentioned of John Earl of Warren and Surrey, under Edward And Humphrey de Bohun, Earl of Hereford III. and Effex, had a regality within the honour of Brek-Spelman Gloff. de Comite Palatino. Selden, tit. nou. Hon. part. 2. ch. 5. Sect. 8. Madox, Bar. Angl. p. 150. Camden, Britan. p. 661. 935.

T

(9) Mar-

(9) Marculphus has preferved a form or writing by which the conversion of allodiality into tenure took place. The inquisitive reader may consult it in Baluz. Capit. Reg. Franc. tom. 2. p. 382. 383. with the notes of Hieron. Bignon. p. 896. 898.

The agreement of an allodial preprietor and the fovereign, or the feudal lord to whom he was difpofed to grant his property, with the view of fubmitting it to tenure, directed the nature and peculiarity of the obligations to which he was to yield in his new fituation. In confequence of the protection of a fuperior, he was generally to give his military fervice, and all the aids or incidents of fiefs. At other times, however, he was only bound not to take arms againft the fuperior, but to reremain at peace, without any connection with the enemies of his lord, and without the burden of the feudal incidents. He was fimply to be bound to homage, and a paffive fidelity.

It is contended for, indeed, ftrenuoufly, and at great length, by Monfr. Bouquet, that the greater and leffer jurifdictions were inherent in allodiality. *Le droit Public de France*. Dr. Smith, in his moft ingenious Inquiries concerning the Wealth of Nations, gives his fuffrage for the fame opinion. And Dr Robertfon, notwithftanding what he has faid concerning fiefs, is, in fome measure, disposed to it. *Hift. of Charles V. vol. 1. p. 303.* 

If supreme jurifdiction, however, and eminent prerogatives were connected with allodiality, it feems altogether inconceivable, why its poffeffors should have converted it into fiefs. Perhaps these writers have confounded with allodiality the feudum Francum, or bonoratum, which expressed a condition of it after its conversion into feudality. 'Ut ' omnia teneant,' fays an old monument cited in Du Cange, 'ab Abbate et successoribus in francum ' foedum five allodium, ut pro his homagium fran-· cum nobis Abbati et fuccefforibus noftris, am-' plius faceret teneantur.' ' Haec omnia,' fays another charter cited by him, ' habeo et teneo a te · D. Raymundo Comite Melgorii ad foedum francum et bonoratum, pro quibus omnibus referiptis facio ' vobis hominum et fidelitatem.' Du Cange, voc. Feudum francum et bonoratum. ' Les fiefs d'honneur,' fays Salvaing, ' font ceux qui ont tellement con-' servé la nature de leur origine, qu'ils ne doivent ' au seigneur que la bouche et les mains, sans au-· cune charge de quint, de rachat, ni d'autre profit ' quelconque.' cb. 3.

It is alfo well known, and might be illuftrated by a variety of proofs, that allodial proprietors were fo little attended to, and adorned with diffinctions, that they could not, without the confent of the king, build, for their protection, a house of ftrength or a caftle. Bruffel, usage-general des, fiefs, vol. 1. p. 368. Yet this privilege was originally of fo T 2 little

little account, that it was enjoyed indifferently by every feudal lord.

(10) Du Cange, voc. Gruarium, Pedagium, Rotaticum, Feudum Nummorum, Feudum Soldatae.
Bruffel, Ufage-general des fiefs, liv. 1. ch. 1. fect.
11. Affifes de Jerufalem, avec des notes, par Thaumaffiere. p. 171. 268.

# SECTION IV.

(1) ' DUCES ex virtute fumunt. . . . Duces ' exemplo potius quam imperio, fi prompti, fi con-' fpicui : Si ante aciem agant, admiratione prae-' funt.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 7. ' Ubi quis ex ' principibus in concilio fe dixit ducem fore, ut qui ' fequi velint profiteantur; confurgunt ii qui et ' caufam et hominem probant, fuumque auxilium ' pollicentur, atque ab multitudine collaudantur.' *Caefar, de Bell. Gall. lib. c.* 22.

(2) 'Nihil autem neque publicae neque privatae 'rei, nifi armati agunt. Sed arma fumere non ante 'cuiquam moris, quam civitas fuffecturum probaverit. Tum in ipfo confilio vel principum aliquis vel pater, vel propinquus fcuto frameaque javenem ornant.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 13.

'Thefe military youths,' fays Camden, 'were 'called in their language Knechts, as they are in 'ours.' Introd. to the Britannia, p. 245. (3) 'Patri Regi Rex Ludovicus Ingelheim oc-'currit, indeque Renefburg cum eo abiit, ibique 'enfe jam appetens adolefcentiae tempora, accintus 'eft.' Vit Lud. Pii, an. 791. Of King Athelftane there is this mention in Malmsbury, 'Nam et avus 'Alfredus profperum ei regnum imprecatus fuerat, 'videns et gratiofe complexus fpeciei fpectatae 'puerum, et geftuum elegantium : Quem etiam 'premature militem fecerat donatum chlamyde coccinea, 'gemmato baltheo, enfe Saxonico, cnm vagina aurea.' Lib. 2. 'Henrico nepoti fuo David Rex Scotorum 'virilia tradidit arma.' Hen Huntingdon, lib. 8. See Du Cange, voc. Arma.

Other particulars, expressive of the antiquity of knighthood, may be seen in the Differtations on the history of St. Louis. And, with regard to our Saxon ancestors in particular, Mr Selden has found frequent mention of knights in the charters of that age. *Titles of bonour*, *part 2. cb. 5.* Mr. Hume, therefore, reasons hypothetically, when he admits not of chivalry in the Anglo-Saxon times. *Appendix*, 11.

The addition Sir to the names of knights, was in use before the age of Edward I. and is from Sire, which in old French fignifies feignieur, or lord. Though applicable to all knights, it ferved properly to diffinguish those of the order who were not barons. To knights-baronet, who are a modern

dern inftitution, and no part of the antient chivalry, the addition Sir is granted by a claufe in their patents of creation. Afbmole on the Garter, cb. 1.

The most honourable method of receiving knighthood was from the fovereign. But every posseful of a fief could bestow it; and one knight could create another. 'Eorum,' fays Spelman, 'fuit militem facere quorum fuit feodum dare.' Differt. de milite, ap. Reliq. p. 180. 'Tout che-'valier,' fays St. Palaye.' avoit le droit de faire 'chevaliers.' Memoires fur l'ancienne chevalerie, tom. 1. p. 70. A king could receive it from the hands of a private gentleman.

Its value may be remarked in the following peculiarity. 'Scitis,' faid a Lombard king to his courtiers, 'non effe apud nos confuetudinem, ut 'regis filius cum patre prandeat, nifi prius a rege 'gentis exterae arma fusceperit.' Paul Diac lib. I ep. Honoré de Sainte Marie, dissert. sur la chevalerie, p. 182. 'Liberos fuos,' faid Caesar of the Gauls, 'nifi quum adoleverint, ut munus militiae fustinere 'poffint, palam ad fe adire non patiuntur; filiumque in puerili aetate in publico in conspetu patris 'asfistere, turpe ducunt.' De Bell. Gall. lib. 6. c. 18.

<sup>6</sup> Dans les premiers temps,' fays St. Palaye, <sup>6</sup> la <sup>6</sup> plus illustre naisfance ne donnoit aux nobles au-<sup>6</sup> cun rang perfonnel, a moins qu'ils n'y eusfent a-<sup>6</sup> joûte le titre ou le grade de chevalier. Jusqu'alors <sup>6</sup> on on ne les confideroit point comme membres de
l'etat, puisqu'ils n'en etoient point encore les foutiens et les defenseurs: Les Ecuyers appartenoient
à la maison du maitre qu'ils 'fervoient en cette
qualité; ceux qui ne l'etoient pas encore, n'appartenoient qu' a la mere de famille dont ils avoient
reçu la naisfance et la premiere education.' Tom.
p. 298.

Tacitus, having described the ceremony of investing the German with arms, adds, 'Haec apud 'illos toga, hic primus juventae honos, ante hoc 'domus pars videntur, mox reipublicae.' De Mor. Germ. c. 13.

This tendency and concurrence of circumftances is ftriking; and to thefe inftitutions we may trace the contempt with which the rights of *minors*, both of high and low condition, were treated, in the middle ages. To be in minority was to be nothing. Before his majority, or the inveftiture of arms, the individual did not feem a citizen or a fubject.

(4) 'Virtutem proprium hominis bonum : Deos 'fortioribus adeffe.' *Tacit*. Hift. lib. 4. c. 57.

(5) ' Eft et alia obfervatio aufpiciorum, qua gra' vium bellorum eventus explorant. Ejus gentis,
' cum qua bellum eft, captivum quoquo modo in' terceptum, cum electo popularium fuorum, pa' triis

289

triis quemque armis committunt. Victoria hujus
vel illius pro praejudicio accipitur.' *Tacit. de* Mor. Germ. c. 10.

An inftance of the duel is defcribed in Livy, lib: 28. c. 21. And the prevalence of this mode of trial is mentioned by Paterculus lib. 2. c. 118. It was by fingle combat that the Celtic and Gothic nations decided the fucceffion to offices, when the candidates were numerous and of equal merit. This was leaving it to the Deity to determine their pretenfions. It was in this manner, that, among the Gauls, the place of the fovereign Druid was fupplied, in cafes of doubt. 'His autem omni-' bus Druidibus praeeft unus, qui fummam inter ' eos habet auctoritatem. Hoc mortuo, fi quis ex ' reliquis excellit dignitate, fuccedit. At fi funt ' plures pares fuffragio Druidum adlegitur : Non-' nunquam etiam de principatu armis contendunt.' Cæsar de Bell. Gall. lib. 6. c. 12.

This form of deciding controverfies and difputes, continued to prevail after the conquefts of the barbaric nations; is to be feen every where in their laws; and became an important article in the jurifprudence of the middle times. The following ordinances illustrate its use and purposes.

• Qui terram fuam occupatam ab altero dixerit, • adhibites idoneis teftibus, probat eam fuam fuiffe : <sup>e</sup> fe : Si occupator contradixerit, campo dijudicetur.<sup>\*</sup> LL. Saxonum, tit. 15.

Si quis Adalingum occiderit DC. fol. componat. Qui liberum occiderit, CC. fol. componat.
Et de utroque fi negaverit, cum xii. juret, aut in *campum exeat*, utrum ille voluerit, ad quem caufa
pertinet.' LL. Angl. et Werinor. tit. I.

<sup>6</sup> Qui domum alterius noctu incenderit, dam-<sup>6</sup> num triplo farciat, et in fredo folid. lx. aut fi ne-<sup>6</sup> gat, cum undecim juret, aut *campo* decernat.<sup>7</sup> *Ibid. tit.* 8.

<sup>6</sup> Si aut calumniator, aut ille cui calumnia irro-<sup>6</sup> gata eft, fe folum ad facramenti myfterium per-<sup>6</sup> ficiendum protulerit, et dixerit : Ego folus ju-<sup>6</sup> rare volo, tu fi audes nega facramentum meum, <sup>6</sup> et armis mecum contende. Faciant etiam illud, <sup>6</sup> fi høc eis ita placuerit ; juret unus, et alius neget, <sup>6</sup> et in *campum* exeant.<sup>7</sup> *LL. Frifion. tit.* 11. *l.* 3.

<sup>6</sup> Si mulier in morte mariti fui confiliata fuerit <sup>6</sup> per fe, aut per fuppofitam perfonam, fit in potef-<sup>6</sup> tate mariti fui de ea facere quod voluerit : Simili-<sup>6</sup> ter et de rebus ipfius mulieris. Et fi illa nega-<sup>6</sup> verit, liceat parentibus eam purgare aut per facra-<sup>6</sup> mentum, aut per *pugnam*, id eft, per *campionem*.<sup>7</sup> *LL. Longobard. lib.* 1. *tit.* 3. *l.* 6.

Even from rude times, it is obfervable, that this trial took place at the command of the magiftrate.

ftrate. And, it is probable, that it was in a good meafure at his difcretion, whether it took effect. If the truth was to be inveftigated by witneffes, fo that complete evidence appeared, and there was no room for doubt, the battle might be avoided. It was, however, much to the tafte of martial times. The barbarians, alfo, believed firmly that Providence actually interfered in their affairs. And this abfurdity was encouraged by the Chriftian clergy, who, like the priefts of all religions, found an intereft in deceiving the vulgar.

(6) The word *nidering* or *nidernig*, was a term of difhonour among the Normans and Danes; and, it is told by the hiftorians of William Rufus, that, on an occafion which required the fpeedy aid of his vaffals, including in his fummons, that those of them who neglected to repair to him should be accounted *nidering*, his standard was immediately crowded. Du Cange, voc. Nidering.

To apply to a perfon the term *arga* among the Longobards, was to fay, that he was a *coward* and a *wortblefs* fellow; and this offence 'to his honour could not be pardoned. If the accufer perfifted in the affertion, the *combat* took place; and, if he confeffed his crime, he was fubjected to a fine. *LL. Longobard, tit. 5. l.* I.

Of arga, it is remarkable, that, in its original and proper fignification, it meant a perfon who permitted

### IN EUROPE.

mitted the infidelities of his wife. 'Proprie arga 'is dicitur,' fays Du Cange, 'cujus uxor moecha-'tur, et ille tacet.' Gloff. vol. 1. p. 319. Spelm. p. 40. A perfon of this kind was infamous in the extreme, and generally of the vileft condition. The word cucurbita had alfo this fenfe; and hence the French coucourd, and our cuckold. Each of thefe terms, accordingly, in its enlarged acceptation, came naturally enough to fignify a mean, cowardly, and flupid fellow. To have a caput cucurbitinum, was to be a block-bead. And, from the confusion of the proper fenfe of cucurbita, and its enlarged one, the infamy feems to have arifen which, to this hour, conftantly attends even an involuntary cuckold. It is thus, that even words operate upon manners.

The point of honour in Sweden, in early times, is well illuftrated by the following law, which I give in the words of *Stiernbook*, whofe book is not commonly to be met with.

Si dicat vir viro probofum verbum : Non es
vir viri compar, aut virili pectore : Ego vero fum
vir [inquit alter] qualis tu. Hi in trivio conveniunto. Si comparet provocans, nec provocatus ; talis efto [provocatus] fequior ut dictus fuit,
ut qui nec pro femina nec viro facramentalis effe
queat, inteftabilis : Si vero comparet provocatus,
nec provocans, quam vehementiflime trino immani clamore exclamet, et fignum in terra radat,
et

et fit vir ille [provocans] eo deterior, quod verba
locutus eft, quae praestare non ausus fit. Si jam
uterque comparent, justis instructi armis, et cadat
provocatus, dimidio mulctae pretio [caedes] expiator. Si vero provocans cadit, imputet temeritati. Capitalis ei linguae suae petulantia, jaceat
in campo inexpiatus.' De Jure Sueonum et Gothorum vetusto, lib. 1. c. 6.

Among the ancient Germans, in the age of *Tacitus*, the point of honour was carried fo high, that a gamefter having rifked and loft his liberty and perfon on the laft throw, fubmitted to voluntary fervitude, allowing himfelf, though ftronger and younger than his antagonift, to be bound and fold by him. 'Ea eft in re prava pervicacia; ipfi *fidem* 'vocant.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 24. The other words of the paffage are: 'Aleam quod mirere, 'fobrii inter feria exercent, tanta lucrandi perden-'dive temeritate, ut cum omnia defecerunt, ex-'tremo ac novifimo jactu, de libertate et de cor-'pore contendant. Victus voluntariam fervitutem 'adit: quamvis junior, quamvis robuftior, alligare 'fe ac venire patitur.'

It is not foreign to the purpofes of this work, to remark, that the paffion for play followed the conquefts of the barbarians; that many ordinances were made to fupprefs it; and that, to this hour, it is a *point of bonour* to extinguish game-debts. There

#### IN EUROPE.

There is fomething interefting in this fubject, and I cannot leave it without flarting a conjecture.

The idea of borrowing under an obligation of repayment, was too cultivated for the German gamefter. When he had loft every thing \*, he therefore flaked his liberty and his perfon. Having left his woods, he improved upon this ufage; and, inftead of endangering his perfon, gave a pledge as a fecurity that he would pay his lofs. ' Waidia dabat.' Lindenbrog. Gloff. voc. Wadium. The usage was not loft. 'En 1368,' fays a French hiftorian, ' le Duc de Bourgogne ayant perdu foix-' ante francs à la paume contre le Duc de Bour-6 bon, Messire Guillaume de Lyon et Messire Guy ' de la Trimouille, leur laissa, faute d'argent, sa cein-' ture : Laquelle il donna encore depuis en gage au ' Comte d' Eu pour quatre vingt francs par lui ' perdu au meme jeu.' Le Laboureur, ap. Saint Foix. tom. 1. p. 343.

The cuftom of *pledges* introduced by gaming, grew common in other transactions, and in debts of every kind. From moveables, which were the first pledges, a transition was soon made to land.

#### Hence

• It does not appear what the German ufually played for. It might be, fometimes, the coins of the Romans. • Jam et pecuniam accipere docuimus.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 15. His chains and ornaments, utenfils and furs, were probably his common ftakes.

285

Hence the *mortuum-vadium*  $\uparrow$ , the pawn of land, or the mortgage; and hence alfo the legal doctrine of *diftreffes* \*. Such a mixture is there of whim and accident in the greater as well as the minuter precautions of civil polity !

(7) The forms of trial in the *duel* at common law, and in the *duel* for points of honour, were diftinct. This fubject will be treated in the fequel.

(8) 'Genus spectaculorum unum atque in omni
'caetu idem. Nudi juvenes, quibus id ludicrum
'eft, inter gladioes se atque infestas frames saltu
'jaciunt. Exercitatio artem paravit, ars decorum.
Quamvis audacis lascivae pretium est, voluptas
'spectantium.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 24.

There is a remarkable paffage in *Procopius* with regard to King *Totilas*, from which we may learn the dexterity which was exhibited in fuch military fports.

#### ' Ipfe

† ' It is called a *dead-gage*,' fays *Cowel*; ' becaufe, ' whatfoever profit it yieldeth, yet it redeemeth not itfelf ' by yielding fuch profit, except the whole fum borrowed be ' likewife paid at the day.' *The Interpreter*, voc. Mortgage.

\* ' Namium et namus] Captio, a Sax. naman, al. nyman ' capere. Voces prifci fori, haec aqud Scotos, illa aqud ' Anglos veteres ufitatior : Res, bona, animalia, quae per ' districtionem capiuntur fignificantes : Hoc eft, ea quae a ' poffeffore auferunter, legitimèque retinentur, mulcae vel ' pignoris nomine, quousque, id fecerit vel praestiterit, quod ' non fine injuria recufaverit.' Spelm. Gloss. See farther the other Glossaries, and Coke on Littleton. 'Ipfe equo eximo vectus, inter geminas acies armorum ludum fcite ludebat. Equum enim circumagens ac reflectens utroque verfum, orbes orbibus impediebat. Sic equitans, haftam in auras jaculabatur, eamque, cum tremula relaberetur, aripiebat, mediam, et ex altera manu in alteram faepe trajiciens, ac dextere mutans, operam huic arti feliciter navatam oftendebat : refupinabat fefe, et flexu multiplici nunc hus nunc illuc ita inclinabat, ut appareret diligenter ipfum a pueritia didiciffe faltare.' *Tub.* 4. c. 31.

These ideas make a figure even in the paradife of the Gothic nations. 'Tell me,' fays Gangler in the Edda, 'How do the heroes divert themselves 'when they are not drinking?' 'Every day,' replies Har, 'as foon as they have dreffed them-'felves, they take their arms; and, entering the lifts, fight till they cut one another in pieces: 'This is their diversion. But, no fooner does the 'hour of repast approach, than they remount their 'fteeds all safe and found, and return to drink in 'the palace of Odin.' The Edda, or antient Icelandic or Runic mythology, ap. Northern Antiquities, vol. 2. p. 109. See also Keysler, Antiq. Select. Septentr. et Celt. p. 127.

(9) In the books of the middle times, torneaments are called *ludi militares, militaria exercitia, et imaginariae bollorum prolusiones.* A writer in Du Cange

Cange fays, 'Torneamenta, dicunt quaedam nun-'dinae, vel feriae, in quibus milites ex-edicto con-'venire folent, et ad oftenfionem virium fuarum 'et audaciae temere congregari, vel congredi.' *Gloff.* voc. *Torneamentum*.

Thefe exercises were the great schools of discipline and war. Their high antiquity on the continent may be seen in the differtations on the history of St Louis. And there is mention of them in England in the days of King Edgar, and a more antient period. Selden, duello, cb. 3. Mr Madox was therefore in a great mistake, when he ascribed the rise of the spirit of torneying to the holy wars. Bar. Angl. p. 281.

The frequent accidents which neceffarily happened in the exercife of thefe reprefentations of war, through the impetuofity of valour, and the extravagance of heroifm; the fulminations of the church; and, above all, the jealoufy of princes which was excited by armed nobles and their retainers, gave them powerful checks. They continued, notwithftanding, to be long in fashion. In England, they were practifed in the reign of Queen Elizabeth; and their total disappearance was preceded, under the elder James and his fon Charles, by a gentle method of them, termed *caroufals*.

Torneaments originally were celebrated by all warriours at their pleafure. In after times, the fovereign, vereign, at the head of chivalry and arms, claimed their direction, and iffued out his licenfes and prohibitions. Richard I. by the following patent to Hubert, Archbishop of Canterbury, gave license for lifts or torneaments in five places within the kingdom.

' Sciatis nos concessifie, quod torneamenta fint ' in Anglia in quinque placeis, inter Sarum et Wil-" ton, inter Warwick et Kelingworth, inter Stamford et Walingford inter Brakeley et Mixeber, inter " Bly et Tikebill, ita quod pax terrae meae ' non infringetur. Et comes qui ibi tornee are voluerit, dabit nobis 20 marcas, et baro 10 ' marcas, et miles, qui terram habuerit, 4 marcas, et qui non habuerit, 2 marcas. Nullus autum extraneus ibi attorneabit. Unde vobis manda-' mus, quod ad diem torneamenti habeatis ibi 2 · clericos et 2 milites vestros, ad capiendum facra-' mentum de comite et barone, quod nobis de praedicta pecunia ante torneamentum satisfaciet, et ' quod nullum torneare permittant antequam fuper ' hoc fatisfecerit; et inbreviari faciant quantum et ' a quibus receperint. Et 10 marcas pro carta ad ' opus noftrum capiatis, unde comes Sarum, et co-' mes de Clara, et comes de Warrena plegii funt: " Tefte meipfo, apud villam epifcopi 22 die Au-" gufti. Ex lib. Rubro Scaccarii, ap. Selden in the Duello, cb. 3.

Edward I. and Edward III. granted the liberty of holding yearly a just viris militaribus comitatus U Lincoln Lincoln. Richard Redman, and his three companions in arms, had the license of Richard II. bastiludere cum Willielmo Halberton cum tribus sociis apud civitat Carliol. And a fimilar liberty was granted to John de Gray by Henry IV. Cottoni Postbuma, p. 63. Edward I. commanded, by proclamation, that no torneaments or jufting, or feeking of adventures, and no feats of arms fhould be celebrated or undertaken without his permif-' Publice fecit proclamari, et firmiter infion. ' hiberi, ne quis, fub forisfactura terrarum et om-' nium tenementorum, torneare, bordeare, justas ' facere, aventuras quaerere, feu alias ad arma ire ' praefumat, fine licentia Regis speciali.' Cot. Post. p. 67. There are also prohibitions of torneaments by Henry III. and other princes. They command all earls, barons, knights, and others, under their faith, homage, and affection, and under pain of lofing their lands and tenements, that they prefume not to torney, make jufts, feek adventures, or go to feats of arms within the realm, without the King's express leave. See Appendix, No. V.

(10) 'Tum ad negotia, nec minus faepe ad 'convivia, procedunt armati.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 22.

This usage continued during the middle times. The posterity of the Germans went in armour to their parliaments and public councils, and to their private

. .. con is in con is.

200

private vifits and meetings. Juftice, fays Mezeray, was rendered among the Franks by people in arms: The axe and the buckler were hung upon a pillar in the midft of the malle or the court. See bis hiftory under Clotaire II. From this practice among the Anglo-Saxons, the hundred court was, in fome counties, called the Wapentake. The hundreder, holding up his lance, it was touched by those of all the members, and thus the affembly was conflituted. LL. Edward. Confes. c. 33. Wapnu, fays Whitelocke, is arms, and tac, touch. Notes upon the King's writ for members of Parliament, vol. 2. p. 39.

To this day, in the kingdoms of Europe, the wearing of a fword is a part of drefs. We go in arms to a feaft as well as to a battle, and retain, in orderly times, a cuftom which habitual danger, and the defects of legiflation, made neceffary to barbarians. The clergy, it feems, pertinacioually opposed the cuftom, and it was retained with obftinacy. What is more furprifing, they have ceafed to exclaim against it, and yet it continues !

(11) ' Scutum reliquisse praecipuum flagitium. ' Nec aut facris adesse, aut concilium inire ignomi-' nioso fas.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 6.

Hence a high composition was allowed to the Frank, who had been reproached injuriously with U 2 the

the lofs of his fhield. 'Si quis homo ingenuus 'alio improperaverit, quod *fcutum* fuum jactaffet, 'et fuga lapfus fuiffet, et non potuerit adprobare, 'DC. den. qui faciunt fol. xv. culpabilis judicetur.' *Pactus legis Salicae*, *ap. Georgifch. p.* 69. It was by raifing him aloft on a fhield, and fupporting him on their fhoulders, that the Germans proclaimed their fovereign, or lifted up a general to command their armies. *Tacit. Hift. lib.* 4. c. 15. It was by the fame ceremony that the Kings of the Franks were acknowledged. This was their inauguration. The efcutcheon or fhield, fays *Favine*, is the effential note of a nobleman, a knight, and an efquire. *Theatre of Honour*, book 1. ch. 2.

The usages which had their rife from arms, make a curious figure in the Gothic nations. We know from *Tacitus*, that the founding or clashing of arms, expressed approbation in the German affemblies; that a javelin wet with blood, and a war horfe, were the rewards of German valour; that fuits of armour were a flattering present to the more diffinguissed chiefs in the German communities; that an interchange of arms constituted the ceremonial of marriage among this people; and, that their only public amusement was the leaping amidst the threatening points of fwords and lances. *De Mor. Germ. c.* 11. 15. 18. 24.

Charlemagne used to feal his treaties with the pommel

292

pommel of his fword : ' With the point of it,' faid he, ' I will maintain them.' St. Foix, Eff. Hift. vol. 2. p. 74. To take his arms from a free man, was to deprive him of his rank, and to reduce him to the condition of a flave. LL. Alfr. c. 1. And to put into the hands of a flave the arms of a free man, was to give him his liberty. When an individual gave his oath in a court, or would bind himfelf in the most folemn manner to the performance of his contracts, he laid his hand on his fword. In the judicial combat, the cuftoms growing out of arms were numerous : Thus, to ftrike a perfon with a club, or to give him a blow on the face, was to treat him like a villain; because villains were permitted to fight only with clubs, and were not allowed to cover their faces with armour. L'esprit des Loix, liv. 28. cb. 20. A free man could not part with his fword as a part of his ranfom. LL. Longobard. lib. 1. tit. 11. 1. 33. And what flows, in a particular manner, the feverity of the forest-laws, the killing of a royal ftag inferred the lofs of the shield, or the reduction of a free man to a flave. LL. Foreft. Canut. c. 25. From the change of arms there refulted a change of ulages. Thus, when archery was introduced, to wound the finger which fends off the arrow, was punished more feverely than the maining of the other fingers. Lindenbr. Gloff. voc. Digitus.

293

The old Germans rufhed to battle with a loud noife, applying their fhields to their mouths, that their voices might rife by repercuffion into a fuller and more fonorous fwell. 'Sunt illis haec 'quoque carmina, quorum relatu quem *barditum* vocant, accendunt animos, futuraeque pugnae fortunam ipfo cantu augurantur; terrent enim, 'trepidantve, prout fonuit acies. Nec tam voces illae, quam virtutis concentus videntur. Affec-'tatur praecipue afperitas foni, et fractum murmur, objectis ad os fcutis, quo plenior et gravior 'vox repercuffu intumefcat.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 32.

It merits observation, that, from this usage, there grew the cry d'armes of the middle ages. Thefe cries were supposed to incite to valour, and to make the foldier precipitate himfelf upon the enemy. Montjoie Saint Denis, was a famous cry of the Franks. Deus adjuva, Deus vult, were cries during the crufades. Every banneret, or every knight who had a banner, had a cry peculiar to himfelf and the troops under him. Barons had alfo their cries. There were thus general and particular cries. While fiefs and the feudal militia continued, these cries prevailed in Europe. They were loft on the introduction of an improved military discipline, and of standing armies. Perhaps, it is to thefe cries, that we must trace the origin of the mottos to enfigns armorial. (12) ' Scuta

### IN EUROPE.

(12) ' Scuta lectissimis coloribus distinguunt.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 6.

On the foundation of the *fagum*, or the fhort veft of the Gaul and the German, which covered his arms, fhoulders, and breaft, *coats of arms* arofe. <sup>6</sup> La cotte d'armes a efté le vêtement le plus or-<sup>6</sup> dinaire des anciens Gaulois : il eftoit appellé par <sup>6</sup> eux *fagum*, d'ou nous avons emprunté le mot de <sup>6</sup> *faye*, ou de *fayon*.<sup>7</sup> Differt. 1. fur l'Histoire de St. Louis, p. 127. <sup>6</sup> Tegumen omnibus *fagum*,<sup>7</sup> fays *Tacitus*, c. 17.

According to this inftructive hiftorian, the fagum was adorned with fpots and with bits of fur. <sup>6</sup> Eligunt feras, et detracta velamina fpargunt <sup>6</sup> maculis, pellibufque belluarum.<sup>7</sup> c. 17. And we know from *Herodian*, that it was fometimes ornamented with filver. *Lib.* 4.

These things are very curious; and it is impoffible not to see in them the *colours*, the *furs*, and the *metals* which are the materials of the science of blazonry.

When *Tacitus* mentions the fhield, he takes occafion to remark, that the German warriors had the knowledge of *coats of mail*, and of *bead-pieces* or *belmets*, but feldom made use of them. His words are, 'Paucis loricae, vix uni alterive cassis, 'aut galea.' c. 6. They were about to be more fashionable. (13) Valer.

(13) Valer. Maximus, lib. 5. c. 6. Florus, Rom. Rer. Hift. lib. 3. c. 3.

(14) These captives were of the tribe of the Catti, a Germanic people; for, it is furely this tribe that Dio means, when he speaks of the Cenni.
'Horum captae a Romanis uxores, interrogatae
'ab Antonino, utrum vendi, an occidi mallent,
'mori se malle responderunt: quumque effent
'postea venditae, omnes mortem fibi confcive'runt: Nonnullae una filios interfecerunt.' Excerpt. e Dion. p. 876. A multitude of examples, to the fame purpose, might easily be collected, if it were necessary.

But, while we reflect on these things, it must not be fancied, that the German women were deficient in gentlenes. A high independent spirit is not inconfistent with the softest passions. There are a few beautiful and energetic words in *Tacitus*, which may be employed on this occasion, and finely express the distinctive characters of the fexes in antient Germany. ' Lamenta ac lacry-' mas cito: dolorem et triftitiam tarde ponunt. ' *Feminis* lugere honestum est; viris meministe.' De Mor. Germ. c. 27.

(15) Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 7.

(16) Saint Foix, Effais Hiftoriq. fur Paris, tom.5. p. 184.

(17) ' Re-

(17) 'Regnator omnium Deus, cetera fubjecta 'atque parentia.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 39. This testimony of the purity of the German theology, is well illustrated by the following passage of the Icelandic Edda.

Ganglerus orfus eft tunc fuum fermonem.
Quis eft fupremus, feu primus deorum ? Har.
refpondet : Qui noftra lingua Pantopater dicitur.
Tunc Gang. Ubi eft hic Deus ? Aut quid poteft
efficere ? Aut quid voluit ad gloriam fuam manifeftandam ? Har. refp. Ille vivit per omne
ævum, ac gubernat omne regnum fuum, et magnas
partes er parvas.' Edda, ap. Northern Antiq. vol.
2. p. 283.

(18) 'Aufpicia, fortesque ut qui maxime ob-'fervant. Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 9. See also, Du Cange, voc. Aucones et Sors. The following form of divination was common to all the German tribes. 'Virgam frugiserae arbori decisam, 'in circulos amputant, eosque notis quibusdam 'discretis super candidam vestem temere ac for-'tuito spargunt. Mox si publice consulatur fa-'cerdos civitatis, sin privatim, ipse pater familae 'precatus deos, coelumque suspiciens, ter singulos 'tollit, sublatos secundum impressamante notam 'interpretatur.' De Mor. Germ. c. 10. Of this folly, there is yet a remain in the Baguette Divinatoire of the miners in Germany; and it is to be observed,

298

obferved, that the heralds of the Franks had confecrated twigs, which they bore as the emblems of peace. Thus the heralds fent by Gundobald to Guntram appeared ' cum virgis confecratis, juxta ' ritum Francorum, ut feilicet non contingerentur ' ab ullo.' Gregory of Tours, lib. 7. c. 32. But, what is more remarkable, thefe twigs came to figure in the invefiture of lands. Hence the feoffment or fafine per fustem et per baculum, per virgam et per ramum. Hence the tenure par la verge, which is formally treated by Littleton. On what a fimple foundation does there rife inftitutions, important and interesting in bufines and fociety !

(19) Hence the Gothic ordeals, the fire ordeal, and the water ordeal. Of the antiquity of these trials I have spoken in another work. Differt. on the Antiq. of the Eng. Constitut. part 4. It is observable, that the trials of fire and water, though abfurd in the greateft degree, were much encouraged by the Chriftian clergy. What is more difgraceful to them, they invented modes of trial, founded in the fame fuperftition, and not lefs abfurd. These were the judgment of the cross, the corfned or confecrated morfel, the Eucharift, and the fortes fanctorum. By the first, the criminal was to remain with his arms extended before a crofs for fix or feven hours, without motion. If he failed in fuftaining this trial, he loft his caufe, and was judged guilty. By the fecond, the accufed perfon fwallowed

fwallowed a bit of bread or cheefe, over which the prieft had muttered a form of execration. If he was guilty, he was fuffocated by the morfel; if innocent, he efcaped without injury. In the judgment of the Eucharift, the fymbols of the blood and body of Chrift were employed; and they convicted the guilty, by acting as a poifon, which inflicted death or fickness. The fortes fanctorum confifted in the opening, at a venture, the Bible, or any holy book, and in confidering as oracular the first passage that prefented itself. See Du Cange, voc. Crux, Corfned, Eucharistia, Sors. This impiety, and thefe impofitions on the common understanding of mankind, advanced the temporal emolument of the priefthood ; an end, which is at . all times more important to them than the interefts of religion and virtue.

(20) 'Matrem Deum venerantur. Infigne fu'perfitionis, formas aprorum gestant. Id pro ar'mis omniumque tutela, fecurum deae cultorem eti'am inter hostes praestat.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ.
c. 45.

'Iknow a fong,' faid Odin, ' by which I foften and inchant the arms of my enemies, and render their weapons of none effect. I know a fong which I need only to fing, when men have loaded me with bonds; for the moment I fing it, my chains fall to pieces, and I walk forth at liberty. I know

200

<sup>6</sup> I know a fong useful to all mankind; for, as <sup>6</sup> foon as hatred inflames the fons of men, the mo-<sup>6</sup> ment I fing it, they are appealed. I know a fong <sup>6</sup> of fuch virtue, that, were I caught in a ftorm, I <sup>6</sup> can hush the winds, and render the air perfectly <sup>6</sup> calm.' The Magic of Odin, ap. North. Antiq. vol. 2. p. 217. Du Cange, Literae Solatoriae, et voc. Incantare.

By fecret or magical operations, it was not only fuppofed, that men could defend themfelves againft all dangers whatever, and render themfelves invulnerable; but that they could even change themfelves into wolves, and other animals. The word werwolff expressed this metamorphosis, and the extravagancy is to be traced to a distant antiquity. 'Neuri, ut accepimus, statis tempori-'bus in *lupos* transfigurantur; deinde, exacto spa-'tio quod huic forti attributum est, in pristinam 'faciem revertuntur.' Solinus, c. 15. To late times this ridiculous fancy was continued down among the Irish; and Camden was puzzled to account for it. Britannia by Gibson, vol. 2. p. 1350.

(21) These things appear clearly and strongly from the laws which were made against them, after the introduction of Christianity, and from other authentic evidence. Capit. Kar. et Lud. lib. 7. LL. Longobard. lib. 2. tit. 38. Du Cange, voc. Fons,

#### IN EUROPE.

Fons, Arbor, &c. Pelloutier, Hift. des Celtes, vol. 2. edit. par Monf. de Chiniac.

(22) Du Cange, voc. Fadus, Fada, Caragus, Dusi, Folleti Daemoni, Tempestarii. Edda. Keysler, Antiq. Septentr. et Celt. Here we have the source of the wonders and extravagancies of the old romance.

(23) 'Deo imperante quem adesse bellantibus cre-'dunt.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 7. This deity was called Teut or Tis. After the age of Tacitus, if I am not mistaken, he had usually the name of Odin; and, it is of Odin that Wormius thus expresses himfelf, 'Suam implorentibus open in bello, instar 'fenis monoculi equo infidentis, et albo clypeo 'tecti, quandoque se conspiciendum praebuit.' Monument. Dan. c. 4.

(24) Traces of the fpirit of gallantry and love, it is to be remarked, appear in a ftriking manner, even in the religious fyftem of the Gothic nations.

'Freya,' fays the Edda, 'is the moft propitious
of the goddeffes. The place which fhe inhabits
in heaven is called "the union of the people."
She goes on horfeback to every place where battles are fought, and afferts her right to one half
of the flain; the other half belongs to Odin. Her
palace is large and magnificent; thence fhe fallies forth in a chariot drawn by two cats. She
lends a very favourable ear to thofe who fue for 'her
<sup>6</sup> her affiftance. It is from her that the ladies have
<sup>6</sup> received the name which we give them in our lan<sup>6</sup> guage. She is very much delighted with the
<sup>6</sup> fongs of lovers; and fuch as would be happy
<sup>6</sup> in their amours, ought to worfhip this goddefs.<sup>7</sup>
<sup>6</sup> p. 76.

In another fable of the Edda, there are the following particulars. 'Gefione is a virgin, and ' takes into her fervice all chafte maids after their ' death. Fylla, who is also a virgin, wears her \* beautiful locks flowing over her fhoulders. Her ' head is adorned with a golden riband. She is " entrufted with the toillette and flippers of Frigga, " and admitted into the most important fecrets of \* that goddefs. . . . . Siona employs her-" felf in turning men's hearts and thoughts to love, " and in making young men and maidens well with <sup>6</sup> each other. Hence lovers bear her name. Lovna " is fo good and gracious, and accords fo heartily ' to the tender vows of men, that, by a peculiar ' power which Odin and Frigga have given her, " fhe can reconcile lovers the most at variance. · Varra prefides over the oaths that men make, and e particularly over the promifes of lovers. She is ' attentive to all concealed eugagements of that 'kind, and punishes those who keep not their ' plighted troth.' Ibid. p. 96. 97.

It is also remarkable, that, in the Gothic Elysium, it was beautiful virgins named Valkyriae, who poured

302

## IN EUROPE.

poured out their liquor to the heroes. Keyster, Antig. Septr. et Celt. p. 152.

(25) St Palaye, speaking of the candidates for chivalry, fays, ' Les premieres lecons qu'on leur ' donnoit regardoient principalement Pamour de ' Dieu et des dames, c'est a dire, la religion et la ga-' lanterie.' Mem. fur l'ancienne cheval tome 1. p. 7. The Christian knight was not less devout than the Pagan warriour. Anciently, during the celebration of mass in every country of Europe, he drew his sword, and held it out naked, in testimony of his readiness to defend the faith of Christ, Favine, p. 54. Keysler, Antiq. felett. Celt. p. 164.

It was the influence of fuch manners which induced ' that agreeable libertine Boccace very feri-' oufly to give thanks to God Almighty and the La-' dies for their affiftance in defending him againft ' his enemies;' and which made Petrarch compare ' his miftrefs Laura to Jefus Chirift;' circumftances which appeared fo abfurd to Mr Hume. See his Effays, p. 277.

When the Count de Dunois was about to attack the Englifh army which befieged Montargis, la Hire, a knight and a man of fafhion who ferved under him, having received abfolution, joined devoutly his hands, and thus prayed. 'Dieu, je te ' prie que ut faffes aujourd'hui pour la Hire autant ' que tu voudrois que la Hire fit pour toi, s'il étoit ' Dieu

304

<sup>•</sup> Dieu, et tu fussela Hire.' St Foix, Eff. bist. tome 1. p. 347.

A picture, not less strange, and still more profane, is in the poetry of *Deudes de Prades*, a canon who had the reputation of being wife and spiritual. He thus laments the death of Brunet, a troubadour, or one of the provencal bards. 'Ik ' chantoit si bien, que les rossignols se taissoient d'ad-' miration pour l'entendre. Aussi Dieu l'a t'il pris ' pour son usage. Je prie Dieu le placer a sa ' droite. Si la Vierge aime les gens courtois, ' qu'elle prenne celui-la.' *Histoire litteraire des Troubadours, tome* 1. p. 320.

These ftrokes are expressive, and illustrate, more than the most careful reasonings, the nature and spirit of the devotion of the ages of chivalry. Amidst the decencies and the proprieties which philosophy introduces in cultivated times, we look back, with furprise, to this gross familiarity with supreme Being, and to this blass familiarity with fupreme Being, and to this blass familiarity with fupreme Being, and to this blass familiarity with supreme Being, and to this blass familiarity with fupreme Being, and to this blass familiarity with supreme Being, and to this blass familiarity with fupreme Being, and to the superstant of the remember, that these the fame time, not to remember, that these things are equalled, if not exceeded, among us, by those gloomy and fanatical men, who, having got what they term the new light, conceit themselves the fociety of the elest, and the friends of God !

SEC-

## IN EUROPE,

305

# SECTION V.

(1)' THE character or station which preceded knighthood, was that of the ecuyer, or armourbearer. The candidate for chivalry had formerly been a page, a valet, or a damoifeau. The last term was applied to the fons of men of rank. G. André de la Roque, Traité de la noblesse, p. 7. Moeurs des François, par le Gendre, p. 63. Daniel, Hift. de la milice Françoife, tome 1. p. 94. 95. St Palaye Mem. fur l'anc. Cheval. partie 1.

In those times, the terms page and valet were not expressive of meannels and low condition, as at present. Du Cange, voc. Valeti et Donnicellus. Sir John Fortescue, who was chief justice under Henry VI. has observed, when speaking of England, ' Sunt Valecti diversi in regione illa qui plus quam fexcenta fcuta per annum expendere pof-" funt.' De Laud. Leg. Angliae, c. 29.

(2) The age of knighthood, it is probable, varied with the nature and weight of the arms which were in use at different periods. In general, it has been fixed by antiquaries and historians at 21 years. This rule, however, could be infringed in favour of fignal merit or high birth. The noviciate of the knight commenced in his feventh

venth year. In that tender age, he turned his attention to the art of war, his mistress, and his catechism. Daniel, Milice Françoise, lib. 3. cb. 4. Reliq Spelman, p. 174. St Palaye, Mem. fur l'anc. Cheval. partie 1.

(3) The power of the German priefts did not escape the penetration of Tacitus. ' Neque animadvertere, neque vincire, neque verberare quidem nisi facerdotibus permissum.' De Mor. Germ. c. 7. The Chriftian priefts were no lefs felfish and ambitious. In every country of Europe, they attained immense wealth, and prodigious influence. They prefided in the inferior courts with the civil magistrates; they took their seats in the national affemblies; and, in the preambles of the barbaric laws, they are often mentioned next to the Kings themfelves. ' Incipiunt,' fays the prologue to the Capitularies of Charlemagne, ' capitula regum et episcoporum maximeque nobilium omnium Fran-' corum.' Baluz. Capit. Reg. Franc. tome 1: p. 698. It is thus, alfo, in fome of the prefaces to the Anglo-Saxon laws. The powers they affumed were exorbitant, and often improperly exercifed. To use the ftrong language of Bacon, ' they were lo-' vers of lordships, and troublers of states.' Hist. and polit. discourse on the laws and government of England.

(4) Selden, Tit. hon. part. 2. ch. 5. fect. 34. 35. Ashmole, Institutions of the Garter, ch. 1. fect. fect. 9. Du Cange, voc. Miles, Daniel, Milice Françoife, lib. 3. ch. 4. La Roque, p. 354. 356. A defcription of the ceremonies used at the creation of knights of the bath, is inferted in the Appendix, No. 6. They were nearly the fame with those employed in the creation of the knightbachelor, and illustrate the manners of old times.

(5) The *feftum tyrocinii*, which is the name given in the old hiftorians to the rejoicings on the inveftiture of knighthood, often lafted many days; and, in the cafes of perfons of diffinction, was folemnized with torneaments and fhows. The feafon of torneaments was alfo embraced as a fit occafion for conferring knighthood on those whose birth and fortune did not entitle them to exhibit these folemnities. And this, from the principle of giving encouragement to the military art. For the fame reason, public entries into cities, coronations, and festivals of every kind, were opportunities for the creation of knights.

(6) Spelman, voc. Auxilium. Ashmole, ch. 1. sect. 9. St. Palaye, tom. 1. p. 195. 248. Daniel, Milice Françoise, liv. 3. ch. 4.

When the celebrated Joan d' Arc raifed the fiege of Orleans, the Englifh commander, the Earl of Suffolk, ' was obliged to yield himfelf ' prifoner to a French man called Renaud; but ' before he fubmitted, he afked his adverfary X 2 ' whether

whether he was a gentleman? On receiving a
fatisfactory anfwer, he demanded, Whether he
was a knight? Renaud replied, That he had not
yet attained that honour. Then I make' you one,
replied Suffolk. Upon which he gave him the
blow with his fword, which dubbed him into that
fraternity; and he immediately furrendered himfelf his prifoner.' Hume, vol. 2. p. 340.

(7) The knights affected great magnificence, and more particularly after the holy wars. 'Por-'tabant autem diversi generis species preciosas, 'aurum et argentum, pallia oloferica, purpuram ficlades, oftrum et multiformium vestium ornamenta; praeterea arma varia, tela multiplicis ge-'neris, infinitas loricas, culcitras de ferico acu va-'riatas operose, papiliones et tentoria preciosifima,' & & Brompton, ap. Baron. Angl. p. 281.

(8) The horfe and armour of a knight were called his contenementum, or countenance. Selden, Tit. Hon. part 2. cb. 5. feEl. 37.

The refpectful behaviour, even to vanquifhed knights, and indeed the extreme honour in which knights in general were held, is exemplified very ftrongly in the conduct of Edward the III. to Euftace de Ribaumont. This prince thought it neceffary to leave England privately for the protection of Calais, and carried with him the Prince of Wales. The day after his arrival at Calais, a battle

308

battle enfued between his troops and the French forces commanded by Geoffrey de Charni, who, notwithftanding the truce which had been concluded between the contending powers, had bribed the governor of Calais to furrender the place to him. To prevent this circumftance, was the intention of Edward's vifit.

This great prince, who fought as a private gentleman under Sir Walter Manny, encountered Eustace de Ribaumont, a hardy and valorous knight, who beat him twice to the ground. Pushed to extremity, Edward had occasion for all his ftrength and address. After an encounter, sharp and dangerous, he vanquished his antagonift, who furrendering his fword, yielded himfelf his prifoner. The next day the English enjoyed their victory, and in the evening the French prifoners were invited to fup with the Prince of Wales and the English nobility. After supper, Edward himfelf entered the apartment, and conversed, in a strain of compliment and familiarity, with the prifoners. His behaviour to his antagonist Eustace de Ribaumont was more particularly attentive, and is thus defcribed by Froiffard. · Vint le Roi à Messire Eustache de Ribaumont : Vous êtes le chevalier au monde que veisse on-' ques plus vaillamment affaillir fes enemis, ne ' fon corps deffendre, ni ne me trouvai onques en ' bataille où je veisse qui tant me donnast affaire ' corps

corps à corps, que vous avez hui fait; fi vous en
donne le prix fur tous les chevaliers de ma court
par droite fentence. Adonc print le roi fon chapelet qu'il portoit fur fon chef (qui etoit bon et
riche) et le meift fur le chef de Monfeigneur Euftache, et dit; Monfeigneur Euftache, je vous
donne ce chapelet pour le mieux combattant de
la journée de ceux du dedans et du dehors, et
vous prie que vous le portez cette anné pour
l'amour de moi. Je fai que vous êtes gai et
amoureux et que volontiers vous trouvés entre
dames et damoifelles, fi dites par tout où vous
irez, que je le vous ai donné. Si vous quite votre prifon, et vous en pouvez partir demain, s'il
vous plaift.' an. 1348.

(9) Favine, Theater of Honour, book. 1. St Palaye Mem. fur l'anc. Cheval. partie 4. Selden, Tit. hon. part 2. ch. 5. fect.

(10) The chief ftrength of armies confifted, at this time, of cavalry. The fkilful management of a horfe was, of confequence, one of the great accomplifhments of a knight or a wariour. It is to be noticed, that this way of thinking characterized fome of the German tribes, even in the age of *Tacitus*. The following energetic defcription of the Tencteri, is applicable, in a ftriking manner, to the purer ages of chivalry. 'Tenc-' teri fuper folitum bellorum decus, equefitis dif-' ciplinae arte praecellunt. Nec major apud Cat-' tos

310

<sup>c</sup> tos peditum laus, quam Tencteris equitum. Sic <sup>e</sup> inftituere majores, posteri imitantur. Hi lusus infantium baec juvenum aemulatio, perseverant senes.<sup>\*</sup> De Mor. Germ. c. 32.

(11) Hence the diffinction of knights banneret and knights bachelors; the latter expression denoting the simple knight; the former, the knight who had a standard and followers. The number of knights and esquires who served under the banneret, varied in proportion to his riches, and insummeret, varied in proportion to his riches, and influence. It is also observable, that this dignity was not always feudal. It was sometimes personal Selden, Tit. part 2. cb. 3. fest. 23. and cb. 5. sett. 39. Du Cange, Differt. Sur l'Histoire de S. Louis. Spelm. voc. Banerettus. Daniel, Milice Françoise, liv. 3. cb. 5.

(12) Favine, Theater of Honour, book 10. St Palaye, Mem. fur l'anc. Cheval. partie 6.

(13) An old ceremonial of chivalry has thefe words: 'Le Roy Artus d'Angleterre, et le Duc
'de Lencastre ordonnerent et firent la table ronde,
'et les behours, tournois, et joustes, et moult
'd'autres choses nobles, et jugemens d'armes,
'dont ils ordonnerent pour juger, dames et damoi'felles, Roys d'armes et heraux.' Differt. 7. fur
i' Histoire de S. Louis, p. 179.

(14) The greater torneaments were those given by

311

by fovereigns and princes, to which knights were invited from every part of Europe; for, over Chriftendom, the honour and privileges of knighthood were the fame. The leffer torneaments were those given by the barons.

It deferves observation, that the exhibition of torneament produced an intercourfe between the nations of Europe, which could not but contribute to knowledge and civilization. When there were no express prohibitions, knights followed the more important torneaments wherever they were celebrated, for the purpose of studying the art of war; and that they might find fignal and proper opportunities of diffinguishing themselves, and of cultivating the friendship and acquaintance of illustrious perfons of both fexes. It was even the fashion for knights to avoid the reftraint of marriage for fome years after their inftallation into the order, that they might confectate them to the travelling into diftant countries, and the vifiting of foreign courts, 'afin de s'y rendre chevaliers parfaits.' St Palaye, tom. 2. p. 8.

From these circumstances, it is obvious, that the itrong conclusions of Dr. Robertson, concerning the little intercourse between nations, during the middle ages, are not to be relied upon in all their force, but to be understood with much referve, and

#### INEUROPE. 313

and many limitations. Hist. of Charl. V. vol. 1. p. 325. et seq.

(15) This prefent was called *faveur:* St. Palaye, tom. 1. p. 95. Hence the pieces of lace or riband which are yet fometimes diffributed at marriages, are termed the bride's *favours*.

(16) It would be tedious to enumerate and to defcribe the different forms of exercise or combat which were practised in the torneaments; and it is not neceffary in this work. The *jouste* was the combat of one against one; *les armes á outrance*, were the combats of fix against fix, and consisted occasionally of more or fewer perfons. *Le pas d' armes*, was the defence of a pass by one or more perfons against every affailant. The curious reader may consult the books which treat expressly of torneaments.

(17) Favine on torneaments. St. Palaye, Mem. fur l'anc. Cheval. partie 2.

(18) 'Effigiesque et signa quaedam detracta lucis in praelium ferunt.' Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c. 7. The posterity of the Germans were equally superstitious under the light of the gospel. 'Les 'Germains,' says St. Foix, who had this passage of Tacitus in his eye, 'portoient à la guerre des 'drapeaux, et des figures qui étoient en depôt 'pendant la paix dans les boix facrées.' He adds, 'Nos

Nos Rois alloient prendre de même la chappe de
S. Martin fur fon tombeau, et l'oriflamme dans
l'eglife de S. Denis, et les reportoient lorfque la
guerre etoit finie.' Eff. Hift. fur Paris, tom. 2.
p. 187.

(19) The Edda, Keyfler, Antiq. felect fect. Septentr. p. 149.—163. Pelloutier, Hift. des Celtes, liv. 3. ch. 18.

(20) The difcerning reader will perceive, that I defcribe Chriftianity from the writings of the clergy; becaufe, it is always from their reprefentations of it that it acts upon fociety and manners. I therefore fpeak politically, and not as an inquirer into theology.

From the pretended friends of Chriftianity, and from its moft zealous partizans, too, I fear, it has received deep and cruel wounds. Its moft enlightened and genuine admirers have reafon to regret, that it has not been left to defend itfelf. Were it poffible to deftroy the comments, the explanations, the catechifms, and the fyftems of divines, a very confiderable blow would be given to infidelity. One can refpect the honeft doubts of philofophy. But, is it poffible to with-hold indignation or fcorn, when ability ftoops to be uncharitable and difingenuous, when bigotry preffes her folly, and fpits her venom ?

(21) It

(21) It was Gregory VII. whofe magnificent mind first formed the plan of the croifes. The fanaticism, the heroic spirit, and the wild enterprife of knighthood, fuggested, doubtless, the idea of them. The advantages they were to give to the holy fee, and the church in general, were numerous and great. The Popes not only conferred remiffion, or pardon of their fins, on all those who yielded this madnefs; but, what was no lefs interefting, they undertook the protection of their families and affairs. The clergy, of confequence, drew immenfe wealth, by acting as tutors and truftees for widows, pupils, and minors. The troops defigned for these pious projects, could be employed by the church to protect and enlarge its temporalities; and, under the pretence of recovering the holy fepulchre, prodigious fums were to be extracted from women, the devout, the infirm, and the dying.

From the holy wars it followed, that new fraternities of knighthood were invented. Hence the knights of the holy fepulchre, the hofpitallers, templars, and an infinite number of religious orders who fhed blood, and deformed fociety, for the glory of God. Many of these acquired great riches, and all of them increased the influence of the church.

Some writers have fancied, but very abfurdly, that

that the croifes gave rife to chivalry. Without chivalry the croifes could not have been carried into execution. The Popes and the clergy would in vain have preached, that they were the road to falvation and the gates to heaven.

From the cultivated flate of manners in the eaft, fome improvement was imported into Europe by the crufaders. But the crufades deferve not to be confidered as the firft, or indeed as a very powerful caufe of refinement in Europe; though it is to be allowed, that they encouraged a refpect for order, and ideas of regular government; and that they made additions to the fcience of heraldry and the fafhions of liveries, and heightened the fplendor of equipage and drefs.

When the medal, however, is reverfed, there appear many and great difadvantages. They drained the kingdoms of Europe of their inhabitants; they took away their riches, and thereby difcouraged trade and the arts; they removed kings and nobles beyond the feas, and introduced into ftates difquiets and diforder; they added to the power of the Roman fee, by affording favourable opportunities for the operation of its policy, and for eftablifhing the right of the Popes to interfere in the temporal affairs of nations; and, in in fine, they promoted every pious impertinence, and advanced the moft abject fuperflition. It is also worthy of remark, that fome writers, who have no tincture of philosophy, have treated chivalry and the holy wars as primary and diftinctive causes of the refinement of the European states; yet the latter, being really the confequences of the former, their influence ought to have been as a foribed to them.

The fame want of penetration is perceivable in thofe, who, while they urge as a primary fource of improvement, the revival of literature, hold out, diffinctively, as another caufe of it, the civil code, or the laws of the Romans. They might, with equal propriety, record as particular and diffinctive fources of refinement, the writings of Cicero, of Livy, or of Tacitus.

During the prevalence of chivalry, it is likewife to be obferved, that the ardour of redreffing wrongs feized many knights fo powerfully, that, attended by efquires, they wandered about in fearch of objects whofe misfortunes and mifery required their affiftance and fuccour. And, as ladies engaged more particularly their attention, the relief of unfortunate damfels was the atchievement they most courted. This was the rife of knights-errant, whofe adventures produced romance. These were originally told as they happened. But the love of the marvellous came to interfere; fancy was indulged in her wildest exaggerations,

317

aggerations, and poetry gave her charms to the most monstrous fictions, and to scenes the most unnatural and gigantic.

(23) 'Supplicem aut debilem vel arma abjici-'entem hoftem occidere, etiam hodie apud Go-'thos fempiterno opprobrio dignum computatur.' Jo. Magnus, Hift. Suec. lib. 4.

In the battle of Poictiers, fought by the heroic Edward Prince of Wales, the King of France was made prifoner; and the behaviour to the captive monarch illustrates, more than any particulars I can mention, the nobleness of the principles of chivalry. The Earl of Warwick conducted the French king, with many demonstrations of respect, to the Prince's tent.

'Here,' fays a great hiftorian, ' commences
the real and the truly admirable heroifm of Edward : For victories are vulgar things, in comparifon of that moderation and humanity difcovered by a young prince of twenty-feven years
of age, not yet cooled from the fury of battle,
and elated by as extraordinary and as unexpected fuccefs, as had ever crowned the arms of any
general. He came forth to meet the captive
king with all the figns of regard and fympathy ;
adminiftered comfort to him amidft his misfortunes; paid him the tribute of praife due to his
valour; and afcribed his own victory merely to

to the blind chance of war, or to a fuperior providence, which controuls all the efforts of human force and prudence. The behaviour of
John fhewed him not unworthy of this courteous treatment: His prefent abject fortune never made him forget a moment that he was a
king: More fenfible to Edward's generofity
than to his own calamities, he confeffed, that,
notwithftanding his defeat and captivity, his
honour was ftill unimpaired; and that, if he
yielded the victory, it was at leaft gained by a
prince of fuch confummate valour and hu-

' Edward ordered a magnificent repart to be e prepared in his tent for the prifoners, and he ' himfelf ferved the royal captive's table, as if he ' had been one of his retinue. He ftood at the "King's back during the meal; conftantly re-' fused to take a place at table; and declared, " that, being a fubject, he was too well acquainted ' with the diftance between his own rank, and ' that of his royal Majefty, to affume fuch freedom. All his father's pretenfions to the crown of France were now buried in oblivion : John, ' in captivity, received the honours of a king, ' which were refused him when feated on the ' throne : His misfortunes, not his title, were ' refpected : And the French prifoners, conquered by his elevation of mind, more than by their late

<sup>6</sup> late difcomfiture, burft out into tears of joy
<sup>6</sup> and admiration; which were only checked by
<sup>6</sup> the reflection, that fuch genuine and unaltered
<sup>6</sup> heroifm in an enemy, muft certainly, in the if<sup>6</sup> fue, prove but the more dangerous to their na<sup>6</sup> tive country.' Hume, bift. of England, vol. 2. p.
214. See alfo Afbmole, p. 673.

Morfels of ftory like thefe are precious, and diftinguish those historians who can render instructive the details which common writers are only attentive to make agreeable.

(24) The following was one of the oaths administered by the constable in the duel. 'A. de "B. ye fhall lay your hand ayen on the holy gof-' pels, and fwere that ye shall have no moo " wepnes or poynts, but tho that ben affigned you ' by the conftable and marefchall, that is to wite, ' gleyve, long fwerd, fhort fwerd, and dagger : " Nor no knyfe, fmall ne grete; ne none engine, " ne none othir inftrument with poynt : Nor ftone ' of vertue, nor hearb of vertue; nor charme, nor " experement, nor none othir enchauntment by ' you, nor for you, whereby ye truft the better to ' overcome C. de D. your adversarie, that shall ' come ayens you within these lists in his defence; " nor that ye truft in none othir thynge propirly, ' but in God and your body, and your brave f quarell; fo God you help, and all halowes, ' and

\* and the holy gospells.' Dugdale. origin. juridic. p. 82.

(25) The folemn taking away of the fword, the cutting off the fpurs, the tearing from the body the coat of arms, and the bruifing every piece of the knight's armour, appear to have been ceremonies of the degradation. Selden, Tit. bon. part 2. cb. 5. Sect. 38. Afbmole, p. 620.

Religion came alfo to concern itfelf in a matter fo important. Priefts pronounced over the culprit a pfalm, containing imprecations against traitors. Water was thrown upon him to wash away the facred character conferred by his installation into the order. And, at length he was dragged on a hurdle to the church, where there were faid and performed over him the prayers and ceremonies which are used for the dead, St Palaye, tome 1. p. 320:

AU-

321



# AUTHORITIES, CONTROVERSY,

#### AND

# REMARKS.

# BOOK II.

# CHAPTER I:

# SECTION I.

(1) THE ordinary form of homage and fealty varied in fome little particulars in different nations, and in the fame nations, at different times; and fidelity, while the fief was precarious, could only be promifed during the connection of the lord and the vaffal. The oldeft example of these ceremonies which is preferved, and perhaps the most fimple, is that of *Taffilon* Duke of Bavaria, to King Pepin, in the year 757. It is thus described.  $X_2$  Taffilo

Taffilo Dux Bajoariarum cum primoribus gentis
fuae venit, et more Francorum, in manus regis
in vassaticum manibus suis semetipsum commendavit; fidelitatemque, tam ipsi regi Pipino, quam
filiis ejus Carolo et Carlomanno, jure jurando suis
pra corpus Sancti Dionysii promisti.' Adelmus, Annal. Franc. ap. Brussel, liv. 1. cb. 1. sect. 7.

From the words more Francorum it is to be inferred, that thefe ufages were of a ftill higher antiquity; and, indeed, there can be little doubt, that they prevailed from the earlieft times. We find them, accordingly, in the Anglo-Saxon period of our hiftory. Nichol. Praefat. ad LL. Anglo-Saxon. p. 6. 7. It is true, notwithftanding, that fome eminent authors contend, that they were confequences of the perpetuity of the fief. But the homage of Taffilon, and the Anglo-Saxon fealty, were prior to the general eftablifhment of this perpetuity. And there does not appear any folid reafon to think, that thefe ceremonies were a refult of it.

When the exercise of the prerogative of private war among the nobles had spread its diforders and calamity, it became common, both in France and England, to infert a refervation in the form of homage, which limited the fidelity of the vaffals of a lord or a chief, to the acts which were not derogatory to the faith they owed to the king. This was intended as an obstruction to the prevalence of of private war, and difcovered an advancement in the ideas of civilization and government. Saint Louis eftablished it in France; and it appears in England, in 'what is called 'The Statute of Ho-'mage,' in the feventeenth year of Edward II. By this form or ordinance, the vassal, after expressing the fidelity he is to bear to his lord for the lands he holds, is made to add, faving the faith I owe unto our Lord the King.

Out of these usages, in this state of their restriction, there grew, as fields died away, the ligeance, or allegiance, which every subject, whether a proprietor of land or not, was supposed to owe to his fovereign. Thus, the oath of ligeance or fealty was to produce the oath of allegiance.

(2) I have endeavoured to inveftigate, in another work, the high antiquity of the feudal incidents: *Differt. on the Antiq. of the Eng. Conftitut. part 2.* It is a common miftake, that the feudal fruits or incidents were not known in England till the Norman times. This opinion is to be afcribed to the want of curiofity in fome inquirers of great name, who have given a fanction to it without deliberation; and to the narrow prejudices of others, who affect to confider the Norman invafion as the proper æra of our political conftitution, from the view of paying a compliment to the prerogative of our kings, by holding out Duke William as a conqueror, and by infulting the confequence of the

the people. It is in this manner that errors have been engrafted upon errors.

The Anglo-Saxon laws, however, oppose the conceit of the late rife of the feudal incidents, with a force that is not to be refisted. They make an actual and express mention of them. And, for formal illustrations of the feudal incidents in the Anglo-Saxon times, the reader may confult, The case of tenures upon the commission of defective titles, argued by the judges of Ireland; Mr Selden, in many parts of his works; and Mr Whitaker, in his history of Manchester.

One of Canute's laws I cannot forbear to mention, becaufe it illustrates very ftrongly, in this age, the existence of tenures. It ordains that a vaffal who deferts, in an expedition against an enemy, shall forfeit his land to his lord; and that, if he should fall in battle, his heriot shall be remitted, and his land go to his heirs. LL. Canut. c. 75. This defertion was, in all feudal countries, one of the caufes of the escheat or forfeiture of the fief. Spelm. Gloff. voc. Felonia. We thus learn, that, in the age of Canute, there prevailed the feudal incidents of escheat and heriot, and that lands were not only granted in tenure, but might go to heirs; a circumftance which may lead us to conceive, that advances were then made towards the effablifhment of the perpetuity of the fief. This important

portant law is mifinterpreted by Wilkins, and, probably, with defign. The learned reader will not require to be informed, that his verfion of the Anglo-Saxon laws is often defective and unfaithful.

What is worthy of notice, while many writers of England look to Normandy and Duke William for the introduction of the feudal law, and its incidents, into their nation, an author of France, William Roville of Alenzon, in his preface to the grand Couftumier of Normandy, contends, That they were first brought into that duchy from England by Edward the Confession.

The fact is, that there fruits and this law extended themfelves over Europe, from no principle of adoption, but from the peculiarity of manners and fituation of the barbaric nations who made conquefts. There is no position in hiftory which is clearer than this. And Du Cange, in particular, when we confider the amazing extent of his information, is very much to blame, while he fondly holds out the tenet, that the ufages and inftitutions of the European ftates proceeded chiefly from the manners and cuftoms of France.

(3) Even in the days of Bracton, after the feudal affociation had received its most flaggering blows, the doctrines of the reciprocal duties of the lord and

and the vaffal, and their perpetual league, are laid down in ftrong language.

<sup>6</sup> Nihil facere poteft tenens propter obligationem <sup>6</sup> homagii, quod vertatur domino ad exhaeredati-<sup>6</sup> onem vel aliam atrocem injuriam; nec dominus <sup>6</sup> tenenti, e converfo. Quod fi fecerint, diffolvitur <sup>6</sup> et extinguitur homagium omnino, et homagii <sup>6</sup> connectio et obligatio, et crit inde juftum judi-<sup>6</sup> cium cum venerit contra homagium et fidelitatis <sup>6</sup> facramentum, quod in eo in quo delinquunt puni-<sup>6</sup> antur, fc. in perfona domini, quod amittat domi-<sup>6</sup> nium, et in perfona tenentis, quod amittat tene-<sup>6</sup> mentum.<sup>7</sup> De leg. et Confuetud. Angl. p. 1.

(4) The ftate, I know, of the people of old, as defcribed by Dr Brady, and Mr Hume, by Dr Robertfon, and a multitude of other authors, was uniformly moft abject; and yet the power of the nobles is reprefented as moft exorbitant. They dwell on what they term the ariftocratical genius of the times, and feem to take a pleafure in painting the abjectness of the people.

It is remarkable, that these notions are contradictory and inconfistent. The nobles had immense influence; but, in what did this influence confist? Was it not in the numbers and the attachment of their vaffals? These were their power; and, did they oppress them? The reverse is the truth. They treated them with the utmost lenity, and it was was their intereft to do fo. The cordiality, accordingly, of the nobles and the vaffals, was maintained during a long tract of time, of which the hiftory has been repeatedly written, without the neceffary attention to its nature and fpirit. The decay, indeed, of this cordiality, was to create confusions and opprefilion; and, what confirms my remark, it was in this fituation, that the power of the nobles was to be humbled.

The error I mention was first thrown out by a writer of ability, because it fuited the theory he inculcates. It was adopted, for the same reason, by a writer of still greater talents; and nothing more is necessary to give currency to an absurdity. For, the authors who do not think for themselves, but who gain a fashionable and temporary reputation, by giving dress and trappings to other men's notions, will repeat it till it is believed.

(5) Mr Hume has the following very fingular paffage. 'None of the feudal governments in 'Europe had fuch inflitutions as the *country-courts*, 'which the great authority of the conqueror ftill retained from the Saxon cuftoms. All the free-'holders of the county, even the greatest barons, 'were obliged to attend the sheriffs in these courts, 'and to affift him in the administration of justice.' Mppend. 11. In every feudal kingdom, notwithstanding this ftrong affirmation, the comes was known, and the comitatus. The comitatus, or county, was the territory or estate of the comes; and the court he held, and in which he presided, was the countycourt, to which the freeholders and feudators were called, and acted as affestors or judges. Du Cange, and Spelman, voc. Comites.

There might, indeed, be a comes who enjoyed not the property of the county, but only a part of it; and, in this cafe, he was conflituted to exercife jurifdiction in it. The fheriff originally was a very fubordinate officer. He was fometimes no more than the depute of the comes. Hence vicecomes was the term by which he was known. Sometimes he was only vefted with the care of the king's intereft in particular counties. And, in reality, he began only to figure when the jurifdiction of the nobles, in the decline of fiefs, had died away to a fhadow.

It is faid by Mr Hume, That the great authority of the conqueror retained the country-courts from the Saxon cuftoms. He thus infers, that thefe courts were favourable to the royal authority. The fact, however, is exactly the reverfe. The greater jurifdicton there is in the nobles and the people, the more limited is the prerogative of princes. The county-courts were eminent and formidable fupports of the liberty of the fubject. And, inflead of giving them encouragement, it was the intereft tereft of the conqueror to employ his great authority in their fuppression.

Mr Hume adds, in the spirit of a writer who had made a discovery, 'Perhaps this institution of 'county-courts *in England*, has had greater effect on the government, than has yet been distinctly pointed out by historians, or traced by antiquaries.' *Ibid*.

I have remarked thefe and other weak places in the works of this illuftrious man, that I might flow the danger of implicit confidence even in the greateft names. The undue weight of what are called great authorities, gives a flab to the fpirit of inquiry in all fciences.

(6) The diffinguishing freedom of the Germanic tribes was carried with them into their conquests. *Tacitus* faid of them, while they were in their woods, 'De minoribus rebus principes confultant, 'de majoribus omnes.' De Mor. Germ. c. 11. This peculiarity of government, and this importance of the people, appear not only in the history of these nations, but in their laws. The prologue to the laws of the Franks has these words. 'Hoc decre-'tum est apud regem, et principes ejus, et apud 'cunctum populum Christianum, qui infra regnum 'Merwungorum confistunt.' Lindenbr. p. 499. The lex Alamannorum begins thus. 'Incipit lex 'Alamannorum, quae temporibus Chlotarii regis

<sup>e</sup> una cum principibus fuis, id funt, xxxiii. epifco-<sup>e</sup> pis, et xxxiiii. ducibus, et lxxii. comitibus, vel <sup>e</sup> cetero populo conftituta eft.' Lindenbr. p. 363. In the fame fenfe, we read of the *infinita multitudo* fidelium who appeared in the Anglo-Saxon parliaments. Spelman's councils. Originally, as in Germany, in all the European ftates, every perfon who wore a fword had a title to go to the national affembly. The fovereign could enact no new laws, and could repeal no old ones, without the confent of the people.

But, in antient Germany, a representation of the people was even practifed on particular occasions ; and we are told by Taticus, that, when Civilis declared war against the Romans, ' convocavit pri-" mores gentis, er promptiffimos vulgi.' Tacit. Hift. lib. 4. See farther A Differtation concerning the Antiquity of the English Constitution, part 5. After the erection of the European states, the inconveniencies arifing from great multitudes of armed men in councils of bufinefs, difcovered fully the advantages of representation. And deputies made their appearance in these to confult and defend the privileges and rights of the people. The exact aera of this eftablishment is not known in any country of Europe. Its antiquity, however, is beyond all doubt. And the commons made a figure in the affemblies of France, termed, les champs de mars, et les champs de mai, in the cortes of Spain, and in the wittenagemots of England.

It

It is probable, that in France, the people were represented before the age of Charlemagne. That they were important in the reign of this politic and powerful prince, there are proofs, politive and certain. The inftructive work of Archbishop Hincmar, de ordine Palatii, places this matter in a strong light; and Abbé Mably, who copies and comments upon it, acknowledges the fupreme power of the affemblies of those days, felects examples of it, and of the interference and confideration of the people. In fact, nothing of any moment or value, in peace or in war, or in any fubject whatever, could be done without their approbation. 'Lex " consensu populi fit, et constitutione regis.' Capit. Kar. Calv. an. 864. ap. Baluz. tom. 2. p. 177. This conclusion is supported by express, numerous, and concurring testimonies of antient laws, hiftories, and ordinances. See Hotoman, Franco-Gallia, ch. 10. 11. Mably Observat. Sur l'Hist. de France, lib. 2. ch. 2. Rymer on the antiquity of parliaments, &c. \*. These affemblies were very different from the Etats Generaux of after times, when the

\* Mr Hume, notwithstanding a variety of authorities which oppofe his affertions, could express himself to the following purpose. 'The great fimilarity among all the 'feudal governments of Europe, is well known to every 'man that has any acquaintance with antient history; 'and the antiquarians of all foreign countries, where the 'question was never embarrassed by party disputes, have 'allowed, that the commons were very late in being admitted 'to a share in the legislative power.' Append. 11.

the rights of the people were infulted, and the legiflative power came to refide in the fovereign. Yet, it is not uncommon to confound them; and, on the foundation of this error, improper conclusions have been inferred against the *commons* of England.

At what period the deputies of the people appeared in the cortes of Spain, is uncertain. But the liberty of the Wifigoths, who founded that kingdom, was ferocious; their love of independence was foftered by the ills of the Moorish domination; and their fovereigns, during a long tract of time, were kept in a furprifing degree of fub-Like all the other barbaric tribes who jection. made eftablishments, the individuals among the Goths who wore fwords, affembled originally in the councils of the nation : and when the difadvantages of crowded and tumultuous affemblies were uniformly felt, it is natural to conclude, that the deputies of the people were called to reprefent them.

From defign, however, in the Spanish government, from the ravages of the Moors, or from the waste and havock of time, no direct proofs of this representation, it is faid, are to be found of an earlier date than the year 1133. Of the appearance of the deputies of the people, at this time, the evidence is produced by *Dr. Geddes*; and this writer has also published the writs of furmons, which, in in the year 1390, required the city of Abula to fend its reprefentatives to the parliament of Spain. Mifcellanous Tracts. vol. 1. There is likewife evidence of a Spanish parliament in the year 1179, in which the deputies of the people were assembled; and of another in the year 1210, in which they asserted as a branch of the legislature. Gen. Hist. Spayn. ap. Whitelock, Notes upon the King's Writ, vol. 2. p. 65.

While liberty and the deputies of the people made a figure, and while the prerogative of the fovereign was reftrained and directed by national councils and affemblies in the other countries of Europe, it feems the height of wildnefs to conclude, as many have done, that, in England, the inhabitants were in a ftate of flavery, and that the mandate of the Prince was the law. His condition, fo far from being defpotic, was every moment exposed to danger and infult. He might be deposed for a flight offence. He was elected to his office. And, his coronation-oath expressed his fubjection to the community, and bound him to protect the rights of his fubjects.

The Anglo-Saxon laws are proofs, that, inftead of governing by his will or caprice, he was under the controul of a national affembly. In the preambles to them, we find, that the wites or fapientes were a conftituent branch of the government. The expression feniors fapientes

tes populi mei, is a part of the prologue of the ordinations of King Ina, an. 712. And these fapientes populi, or deputies of the people, appear in the laws of other princes of the Anglo-Saxons. LL. Anglo-Saxon. ap. Wilkins.

It is very remarkable, that the term *fapientes*, as may be feen in Du Cange, in his explanation of it, expressed, in Italy, in antient times, those who governed the affairs of cities and communities. When men, therefore, of this fort are uniformly mentioned as a part of the Anglo-Saxon wittenagemots, it is impossible, but to prejudice, not to fee, that they must have acted as the *representatives* of the people, and, must have procured this diffunction from the opinion entertained of their wisdom or experience.

By a curious teftimony, it is even obvious, that the word *fapientes* muft have meant the commons. In the fupplication del county de Devonshire, to Edward III. there are these expressions, 'que luy ' please par l'avys des prelats, countees, barons, et auters fages in cest present parliament ordeiner,' &c. This supplication is printed in the 4. Inst. p. 232. In the reign of the third Edward, from the auters fages expressing the commons, it may surely be decisively inferred, that fapientes had the same meaning in older times.

In fact, the expressions which denote the Anglo-Saxon Saxon affemblies, allude to their nationality. • Commune concilium, conventus omnium conci-• lium cleri et populi, omnium principum et om-• nium fapientum conventus,' &c. are appellations which mark forcibly the interference and affiftance of the commons \*.

In the annals of Winchelcomb, an. 811. there is to be feen the term *procuratores*, as expressive of a branch of the wittenagemot. It also occurs in a charter of King Athelstane. And, that the perfons denoted by it were the deputies of the people, feems past all doubt, when it is recollected, that, in the Spanish writers, this order of men is expressed by *procuradores de las cividades y villas*. Nay, in Polydore Virgil, we meet the expression *procuratores civium populique*. p. 478. ap. Whitelocke, vol. 1. p. 378.

To thefe notices I might add a multitude of authorities, refpectable and politive. But I mean not now to enter fully into the difpute concerning the importance of the people. To give completeness to the fpirit of my present volume, it is fufficient for me to affert the antiquity of the commons, in Z opposition

\* Mr. Hume has obferved, indeed, that 'None of the expressions of the antient historians, though several hun-'dred passages might be produced, can, without the ut-'most violence, be tortured to a meaning which will admit 'the Commons to be constituent members of the great coun-'cil.' Append. 11. It is painful to remark a want of candour so glaring in so great a man.
opposition to an opinion of their late rife, which a modern hiftorian of great reputation, has inculcated with that hardiness which he displays in all his writings, but with little of that power of thought and of reasoning which does honour to his philosophical works.

Mr. Hume, ftruck with the talents of Dr. Brady, deceived by his ability, difpofed to pay adulation to government, or willing to profit by a fyftem, formed with art, and ready for adoption, has executed his hiftory upon the tenets of this writer. Yet, of Dr. Brady it ought to be remembered, that he was the flave of a faction, and that he meanly proftituted an excellent understanding, and admirable quicknefs, to vindicate tyranny, and to deftroy the rights of his nation. With no lefs pertinacity, but with an air of greater candour, and with the marks of a more liberal mind, Mr Hume has employed himfelf to the fame purpofes; and his hiftory, from its beginning to its conclusion, is chiefly to be regarded as a plaufible defence of prerogative. As an elegant and a fpirited composition, it merits every commendation. But no friend to humanity, and to the freedom of this kingdom, will confider his conftitutional inquiries, with their effect on his narrative, and compare them with the antient and venerable monuments of our ftory, without feeling a lively furprife, and a patriot indignation. (7) The

(7) The general doctrines concerning wardfhips may be feen in Craig, lib. 2. Du Cange, voc. Custos, Warda. La Coutume reformée de Normandie, par Basnage, Art. des Gardes.

In that inftructive collection of records, The hiftory and antiquities of the exchequer of the Kings of England, by Mr Madox, there are the following examples of the fale of wardships by the crown, in the times which passed from Duke William to King John.

Godfrey de Cramavill gave xxv l. x s. for the cuftody of the land of Aketon, which was Ralf de Heldebouill's, and of Ralph's heir during his nonage. Hugh de Flammavill profered xl. for the cuftody of his fifter, with her land. Ralf de Gernemue gave a fine of lx marks, that he might have the cuftody and donation of Philipp de Niwebote's daughter, with her inheritance. Earl David gave cc marks to have the cuftody of Stephen de Cameis, with his wholeland, till his full age; faving to the King the fervice of the faid land; and Earl David was to make no destruction upon it. And Philip Fitz-Robert gave cc l. and c bacons and c cheefes for the wardship of the land and heir of Ivo de Munby, till the heir came to be of full age. Vol. 1. p. 323. 324.

In remarking these fales, the value of money in its variations, is to be attended to. From Mr  $Z_2$  Madox,

Madox, it appears, that, ' in the reign of Henry ' III. Simon de Montfort gave ten thoufand marks to have the cuftody of the lands and heir of Gil-' bert de Unfranville, until the heir's full age, ' with the heir's marriage, and with advousons of churches, knight-fees, and other pertinencies ' and escheats;' and my Lord Lyttleton has calculated the amount of this payment, according to the present value of money. "Ten thousand ' marks,' he observes, ' containing then as much ' filver in weight as twenty thousand pounds now; ' and the value of filver in those days, being un-" queftionably more than five times the prefent va-' lue, this fum was equivalent to a payment of above ' a hundred thousand pounds made to the exche-' quer at this time.' Hift. of Henry II. vol. 2. p. 297. Madox, vol. 1. p. 326.

(8) Of reliefs in England, it is fufficient to give the following examples, as they will fully illustrate the opprefisions which must have refulted from the exaction of this feudal incident.

In the 5th year of King Stephen, Walter Hait gave v marks of filver for relief of his father's land. Alice, wife of Roger Bigot, gave c and fourfcore and xviii l. for her father's land or manour of Belvoir. Humfrey de Bohun paid xxii l. and x s. for relief of his father's land. Waleran Fitz William anfwered xxxiii l. xi s. and viii d. for relief of his land. In the reign of King Henry II. William Fitz

Fitz William paid xxv marks for relief his land; Theobald de Valeines xxx l. for relief of fix knight-fees; and Robert de Dudaville x marks for relief. In the reign of K. Richard I. Robert de Odavill's fon paid c marks for acceptance of his homage, and for relief and feifin of his land; Walter de Niewenton paid xxviii s. and iiii d. for feifin of the fourth part of a knight's-fee, which was taken into the King's hands for default of paying relief. William de Novo Mercato gave c marks, that the King would receive his reasonable relief, to wit, cl. In the reign of K. John, John de Venecia gave ccc marks for feifin and relief, and did homage to the King, and was to make the King an acceptable present every year. Geoffrey Wake cc marks for his relief. Madox, Hift. of the Excheq. vol. 1. p. 316. 317.

The minute fteps in the hiftory of reliefs, and of the other feudal perquifites, are no part of this work. The reader who would inveftigate Englifh reliefs ftill farther, may confult *LL Guliel*. *LL. Hen. I. Chart. Johan. &c.* and, for their ftate in foreign countries, he may confider what is faid in Bruffel, ufage-general des fiefs, liv. 2. Affifes de Jerufalem, and the Gloffaries.

(9) Littleton on tenures, sect. 107. Du Cange, Disparagare. La Coutume reformée de Normandie.

(10) Celestia,

(10) Celeftia, wife of Richard fon of Colbern, gave xls. that fhe might have her children in wardship with their land, and that she might not be married, except to ber own good-liking. William Bishop of Ely gave ccxx marks, that he might have the cuftody of Stephen de Beauchamp, and might marry him to whom he pleased. William de St. Marie-church gave D marks, to have the wardship of Robert, fon of Robert Fitzharding, with his whole inheritance, with the knight's-fees, donations of churches, and marriages of women thereto belonging; and that he might marry him to one of his [William's] kinfwomen; provided, that Robert's land fhould revert to him, when he came to full age. Bartholomew de Muleton gave c marks, to have the cuftody of the land and heir of Lambert de Ybetoft, and that he might marry Lambert's wife to whom he pleased, but without disparagement. Geoffrey Crois gave xL marks, for the wardship of the lands and heirs of Sampson de Mules, who held of the King in capite, by ferjeanty, with the marriage of the heirs. John Earl of Lincoln, conftable of Chefter, fined MMM marks, to have the marriage of Richard de Clare, for the behoof of Maud, eldeft daughter to the faid Earl. Gilbert de Maisnil gave x marks of filver, that the King would give him leave to take a wife. Lucia, Countefs of Chefter, gave p marks of filver, that the might not be married within five years. Cecilie,

cilie, wife of Hugh Pevere, gave xiil. x s. that fhe might marry to whom fhe pleafed. Ralf Fitz William gave c marks fine, that he might marry Margery, late wife of Nicholas Corbet, who held of the King in chief, and that Margery might be married to him. And Alice Bertram gave xx marks, that fhe might not be compelled to marry. *Madox, hift. of the Exchequer, vol.* 1. p. 322-326. 463-466.

These valuable notices are from records in the reigns of Henry II. Rich. I. King John, Henry III. and Edward I.

(11) Henry II. levied an *aid* of one mark, *per fee*, for the *marriage* of his daughter Maud to the Duke of Saxony. Of this aid, the proportion of the Earl of Clare for his own knight-feee, and for those of his lady the Countels, of the old feofment, was ' fourfcore and fourteen pounds and odd;' and for his fees of the new feofment, it was cill s; iii d. The feofments which had been made either to barons or knights, before the death of Henry I. were called *vetus feffamentum*. Fees of the new feofment were from the accellion of Henry II. This appears from the Black Book of the Exchequer.

Henry III, had an *aid* of xls. of every knight's fee to make his *eldeft fon* a knight. When King Richard was taken and imprifoned on his return from

from the holy wars, an *aid* was given for the ranfome of his perfon. The barons and knights paid at the rate of xx s. per fee. Madox, bift. of the Excheq. vol. 1. p. 572.590.596.

In all cafes of aids, the inferior vaffals might be called to affift the crown vaffals. They were even to contribute to extinguish their debts.

(12) Du Cange, voc. Auxilium. Bruffel, Ufagegeneral des Fiefs en France. Couft. Norman. Madox, hiftory of the Exchequer, vol. 1. p. 614-618.

(13) Spelman, voc. Felonia. Lib. Feud. Etabliffemens de S. Louis, liv. 1. Craig, Jus Feudale, lib. 3.

### SECTION II.

(1) T is to be conceived, that, originally, little ceremony was employed in the duel. Book I. Chap. 2. Sect. 4. and the Notes. But, as ranks and manners improved, a thousand peculiarities were to be invented and observed. This institution, accordingly, is one of the most intricate in modern jurisfprudence. It would be improper to attempt to exhaust, in a note, a topic which would require

### IN EUROPE.

require a large volume. It is only my province to put together fome remarks.

I begin with a diffinction which has escaped many inquirers, who have thence wandered in contradiction and obfcurity. The duel was, in one view, a precaution of civil polity; in another, an inflitution of honour. These diffinctive characters it bore in its origin. Book I. Chap. 2. Sell. 4. And, in these different respects, it was governed by different forms. The common law, and the ordinary judges, directed it in the one condition; the court of chivalry, or the conftitutions which gave a foundation to this court, governed it in the other. In reading what many authors have amaffed on the duel, it is difficult to know what refers to the former flate of the matter, and what to the latter. They either knew not the diffinction, or poffeffed an imperfect notion of it. Even in the refearches of Montefquieu, concerning the judicial combat, there is thence, perhaps, a faintnefs and embarrafiment; and, in the observations of Dr. Robertson, on the fame fubject, the confusion is evident and palpable. See Note 22. to Charles V.

It has been affirmed, indeed, that the court of chivalry was not known till the eleventh century, or till a period ftill later. And, it is probable, that this court, in all its formalities, and in its condition

condition of greatest splendour, existed not in an early age. But there is evidence, that its duties were exercifed in very ancient times. And, from an examination of the oldeft laws of the barbarians, it is to be inferred, that the bufinefs of it, except perhaps in a few inftances, was not determined by the common judges. We know, at leaft, with certainty, that, in England, in the Saxon æra, before a regular court of chivalry was eftablished, points of honour and of war were under the direction of the beretochs, while the duel, as a civil rule, was at the direction of the common judges; and that, in the Norman age, when the court of chivalry was formally in exiftence, with extensive powers, the constable and the marshal had fucceeded to the jurifdiction of the heretochs. Spelman, Gloff. p. 400. Sir Edward Coke on the court of chivalry.

The determination of a doubt, for which no compleat evidence could be produced, was the end of the duel as a civil precaution. The decifion of points of honour, and difputes of arms, or the fatisfaction of a proud and a wounded fpirit, was the end of the duel, as an inftitution of chivalry. While the common judges of the land managed the duel in the former inftance, as an object of common law; it was governed in the latter by the judges in the court of chivalry, that is, by the conftable and the marfhal; and the forms

forms of procedure in these cases were effentially different.

Of the court of chivalry, the jurifdiction regarded matters of war, precedency, and armorial diffinctions, as well as points of honour; and treafons, and deeds of arms committed without the realm, were objects of its cognizance. In a word, where the common law was defective, the powers of the conftable and the marshal were competent. 4. Institut. c. 17.

Yet, from these officers, there lay an appeal to the fovereign, as the head of arms, and he might ftop, by his power, their proceedings. It is thence that we find the Kings of England fuperfeding combats of chivalry. It was as the head of the civil ftate that they could fuperfede the combats of right, or at common law. Inftances of their jurifdiction, in both cafes, are not unufual. An exertion of it, in the duel of chivalry, took place in the intended combat between the Lord Rae and Mr. Ramfay. The Lord Rae, a Scots baron, impeached Ramfay and Meldrum for moving him beyond the feas, to join in the treafons of the Marquis of Hamilton. Ramfay denied the fact, and offered to clear himfelf by combat. A court of chivalry was conftituted, by commission under the great feal; and the parties were on the point of engaging, when Charles I. interpoling to prevent

vent the duel, fent them prifoners to the tower. *Kennet, complete biftory of England, vol. 3. p.* 64. An interpolition in the duel at common law, was exercised in an intended combat in a writ of right between the champions of Simon Low and Jo. Kine, petitioners, and of Thomas Paramore, defendant. The battle was discharged by Queen Elizabeth. Spelm. Gloff. p. 103.

In the duel by chivalry, champions were not ufual; becaufe queftions of honour required the engagement of the parties. In the duels of right, the parties might have champions, becaufe the trial was merely an appeal to the Divinity, who was to decide the truth by affifting, miraculoufly, the caufe of the innocent perfon; and this affiftance might be manifefted either to himfelf or to his reprefentative. The fashion, however, of martial times, was an inducement to the parties themfelves to engage: And, in general, champions were only proper for the old and infirm, for priefts, minors, and women. Du Cange, voce Campiones.

Anciently, in the duel of right, there was a difcretionary power in the judges to determine in what cafes it was neceffary; and this was a proper reftraint on the violence with which the duel was courted, in preference to other modes of trial. *Bruffel, Ufage general des Fiefs, liv. 3. cb. 13.* Exprefs laws were even made to defcribe the occasions in in which alone it was to be expedient. There is, on this head, the following regulation of Henry I. 'Non fiat bellum fine capitali, ad minus x fol. nifi 'de furto vel hujufmodi nequitia compellatio fit, 'vel de pace regis infracta, vel in illis in quibus eft capitale mortis, vel diffamationis.' *LL. Hen.* I. c. 59.

In the reign of Henry II. it was the practice to permit the defendant to take his choice between the affife or jury and the duel. ' Habebit electio-' nem,' says Bratton, ' utrum fe ponere velit fu-' per patriam, utrum culp. fit de crimine ei impo-' fito, vel non: Vel defendendi se per corpus suum?' Lib. 3. c. 18. This marks the decline of the duel, and accordingly, it gradually gave way to the jury. To this alternative of being tried by one's country, which expresses the form of the jury, or by the duel, which expresses the appeal to the Divinity, there is yet an allusion in the question proposed to a culprit, and in his answer. Culprit, How wilt thou be tried? His reply is, By God and my country. There is here a rule of law which has furvived its caufe or neceffity. The alternative is fuggefted in the queftion, when no alternative exifts. And the anfwer includes both trials, when one only is in practice. Abfurdities of this kind, for they furely deferve this name, must be frequent in the progression of jurisprudence in all nations.

The duel of chivalry loft its legality with the fall of the court of chivalry. It left behind it, however, the modern challenge or duel, which it is difhonourable to refuse, and illegal to accept. The jury, which fwallowed up the duel at common law, could here afford no remedy.

A punier, though a more useful relic of the honourable court of chivalry, which was once fo high in repute, that it was in danger of incroaching on the jurifdiction of other courts, is yet familiar in the heralds who manage armories, defcents, and funerals, and who record admissions to the peerage.

The decay of the manners of chivalry, was the diftant caufe of the fall of this court ; and its immediate one was, perhaps, the jealoufy of the great powers of its judges. There has been no regular high conftable of England fince the 13th year of Henry VIII. And the mareschal dwindled down into a perfonal diffinction, or name of dignity.

In France, points of honour were originally under the cognizance of the maire of the palace; and this officer, who was to acquire the greateft powers, appeared in times of a remote antiquity. Du Cange, voc. Major Domus. After the age of Hugh Capet, this dignity was fuppreffed; and out of its ruins four courts arole. One of these was

was the court of chivalry, or the offices of the high conftable and marshal: The other courts were those of the high chancellor, the high treasurer, and the great master of France, or the judge of the king's household. For, in the æra of his grandeur, the maire of the palace had engrossed to his jurifdiction whatever related to arms, justice, and finance.

(2) It has been contended, that a knight's fee confifted regularly of a certain number of acres. Spelman, voc. Foedum. Camden, Introd. to the Britann. p. 246. But the value of acres must have varied according to their fertility and fituation; and it feems the more probable notion, that a proportion of land, of a determined value, no matter for the quantity of the acres, was what in general conftituted a knight's fee. The confideration of the revenue that was necessary for the maintenance of a knight, and for the furnishing of his arms. would direct the extent of the land. The will of the grantor, however, and the confent of the receiver, might conftitute any portion of land whatever a knight's fee, or fubject it to the fervice of a knight.

This is put paft all doubt by the following remarkable paper in the Black Book of the Exchequer, which certifies Henry II. of the flate of the knight's fee of one of his vaffals.

. Carta

#### Carta Willelmi, filii Roberti.

Kariffimo Domino fuo H. regi Anglorum, Willelmus, filius Roberti, falutem. Sciatis, quod de vobis teneo feodum. 1. militis *pauperrimum*, nec alium in eo feodavi, qui vix in fufficientia, et ficut tenuit pater meus. Valete. Liber Niger Scaccari, vol. 1. p. 247. Edit. 1771.

In the records of England, there is mention alfo of the *fmall* fees of the honour of Moreton; and it is fuppofed that the fees which were granted previous to the death of Henry I. were in general more extensive than those which were posterior to it. *Madox*, *bift. of the Excb. vol.* 1. *p.* 649. In England, as well as in France, there are even frequent examples of whole manors which were held by the fervice of one knight, and accounted as a fingle knight's fee. *Dugdale's baronage*, vol. 2. *p.* 107. Notes fur les Affifes de Jerusalem, par Thaumaffiere, p. 252.

But, there were not only poor fees granted out by the crown. There were even grants *in capite* of the half of a knight's fee, and of other inferior portions of it. Of this the charters which follow are an inftructive evidence.

#### Carta \* Guidonis Extranei.

Gwido extraneus tenet de Rege Alvin delegam per fervitium dimidii militis.

Carta

\* Guy Strange.

#### IN EUROPÉ.

#### Carta Roberti, filii Albrici.

Domino fuo Kariflimo H. Regi Anglorum, Robertus, filius Albrici Camerarii, falutem. Sciatis, Domine, quod ego teneo de vobis foedum dimidii militis. Valete.

#### Carta Willelmi Martel.

Ego Willelmus Martel teneo in capite de rege quartam partem feodi. 1. militis in Canewic juxta Lincolniam de antiquo fefamento, unde debeo ei facere fervitium, et nihil habeo de novo fefamento in comitatu Lincolniae. *Lib. Nig. Scaccarii, vol.* 1. *p.* 147. 217. 269.

It was chiefly the polity or the natural beneficence of princes and nobles that varied the condition of fees. At times, the fee was fcarcely fufficient for the fervice required; and, on other occafions, it was infinitely plentiful, and beyond all proportion to the military purpofe of the grant. Its value, on an average, is, however, to be calculated from records and acts of parliament. From William the Norman till King John, it was in progreffion, a five, a ten, a fifteen, and a twenty pound land\*. In King John's times, it grew to be a forty pound land; and, before the æra of the

Aa

\* Sir William Blackstone feems to think, that the knight's fee, in the reign of the Conqueror, was stated at L. 20 per annum, which is certainly a mistake. Book 2. ch. 5.

act

act of parliament which took away and abolished the military part of the feudal system, the knight's fee was computed at L. 200 per annum. These things are very curious, and might lead to political reasonings of importance. Spelman, vol. Miles, Assence on the Order of the Garter.

(3) Baronies and earldoms could be created or made to confift of any number of fees whatever. Thus, the Barony of William de Albeney Brito, confifted of thirty-three knight's fees, the barony of Earl Reginald, of two hundred and fifteen knight's fees, and a third part of a fee; and William de Mefchines had a barony of eleven knight's fees. *Madox, Baronia Anglica, p. 91.* Thus the earldom of Geofrey Fitzpeter Earl of Effex confifted of fixty knight's fees; and that of Aubry Earl of Oxford, of thirty knight's fees. *Selden, Tit. bon. part. 2. cb. 5. Ject. 26.* Inftances to the fame purpofe might be collected in the greateft profusion.

From facts fo particular, it is, I conceive to be concluded, that Sir Edward Coke is miftaken, when he lays it down, that a barony confifted, in ancient times, of thirteen knight's fees and a third part, and that an earldom confifted of twenty knight's fees. I. Institut. p. 69. 70. According to this way of thinking, fome of the barons and earls whofe names are now recited, must have poffeffed

feffed many baronies, and many earldoms; an idea which is furely not only ftrange, but abfurd. The supposition that nobility is inherent in a certain and determined number of fees, which this opinion implies, is a notion, that does not correfpond with feudal principles. The nobility was given, not by the mere poffession of the fees, but by their erection into an honour by the fovereign. Yet Sir Edward Coke had an authority for what he faid. It is the old treatife, termed the Modus tenendi parliamentum. This treatife, however, is not of fo high a date as the Saxon times, to which it pretends; and the circumstance of its assumed antiquity, with the intrinsic proofs it bears of being a fabrication in the times of Edward III. detract very much from its weight. And, in the prefent cafe, it is in opposition to indubitable monuments of hiftory.

I am fenfible that Sir William Blackftone has faid expressly, 'That a certain number of knight-'fees were requisite to make up a barony.' Book 2. cb. 5. He has not, however, entered into any detail concerning this position. I should, therefore, imagine, that he has relied implicitly on the authority of Sir Edward Coke, which ought not, perhaps, to be esteemed too highly in questions which have a connection with the feudal inftitutions \*.

#### Aa 2

#### Nor

\* That Lord Coke had neglected too much the feudal cuftoms,

Nor is it in England only that examples can be produced to refute this notion about the conftitution of baronies and earldoms. In Normandy, five knight's fees might form a barony, and of this the following testimonies are an authentic proof. I. · Ricardus de Harcourt tenet honorem S. Salvatoris · de domino rege per fervitium 4 militum : Sed ' debebat quinque, quando baronia erat integra.' 2. 'Guillelmus de Hommet constabularius Nor-' manniae tenet de domino rege honorem de · Hommetto per fervitium 5 militum, et habet in ' eadem

cuftoms, was a matter of lamentation to Sir Henry Spelman. It is with a reference to them, that Sir Henry thus fpeaks. ' I do marvel many times, that my Lord · Coke, adorning our law with fo many flowers of anti-" quity and foreign learning, hath not, (as I fuppofe), turned afide into this field, from whence fo many roots \* of our law have, of old, been taken and transplanted. · I with fome worthy lawyer would read them diligently, · and show the feveral heads from whence those of ours " are taken. They beyond the feas are not only diligent, • but very curious in this kind; but we are all for profit " and lucrando pane, taking what we find at market, without inquiring whence it came.' Relig. Spelman, p. 99.

The neglect which produced this complaint, and drew this wifh from this learned knight, is still prevalent. The law in Great Britain is no where studied in its history, and as a fcience. The ftudent is folicitous only to ftore his memory with cafes and reports; and courts of juffice pay more regard to authorities than to reafonings. From the moment that the Dictionary of Decifions was published in Scotland, the knowledge of the Scottifh law has declined. Yet the respectable author of that compilation did not furely imagine that he was about to do a prejudice to his nation.

#### IN EUROPE.

<sup>e</sup> eadem baronia 22 feoda militum ad fervitium <sup>f</sup> fuum proprium.<sup>e</sup> Regestrum Philip. Aug. Herouvallianum, ap. Du Cange, voc. Baronia.

(4) The terms knight and chivaler denoted both the knight of bonour and the knight of tenure ; and chivalry was used to express both knighthood and knight-fervice. Hence, it has proceeded, that these perfons and these states have been confounded. Yet the marks of their difference are fo ftrong and pointed, that one must wonder that writers should miftake them. It is not, however, mean and common compilers only who have been deceived. Sir Edward Coke, notwithftanding his diftinguishing head, is of this number. When eftimating the value of the knight's fee at L. 20 per annum, he appeals to the flatute de militibus, an. I. Ed. II. and, by the fense of his illustration, he conceives, that the knights alluded to there, were the the fame with the poffeffors of knight's fees; and they, no doubt, had knight's fees; but a knight's fee might be enjoyed not only by the tenants in capite of the crown, but by the tenants of a vaffal, or by the tenants of a fub-vaffal. Now, to these the statute makes no allusion. It did not mean to annex knighthood to every land-holder in the kingdom who had a knight's fee; but to encourage arms, by requiring the tenants in capite of the crown to take to them the dignity. He Aa 3 thus

thus confounds knightbood and the knight's fee. Coke on Littleton, p. 69.

If I am not deceived, Sir William Blackftone has fallen into the fame miftake, and has added to it. Speaking of the knights of bonour, or the equites aurati, from the gilt fpurs they wore, he he thus expresses himself. ' They are also called, " in our law, milites, because they formed a part, " or, indeed, the whole of the royal army, in virtue of their feodal tenures; one condition of which " was, that every one who held a knight's fee (which, ' in Henry the Second's time, amounted to L. 20 e per annum), was obliged to be knighted, and " attend the king in his wars, or fine for his non-<sup>6</sup> compliance. The exertion of this prerogative, " as an expedient to raife money, in the reign of <sup>c</sup> Charles I. gave great offence, though warranted " by law and the recent example of Queen Elizabeth: But it was, at the Reftoration, together " with all other military branches of the feodal law, abolished; and this kind of knighthood has, " fince that time, fallen into great difrepute." Book, I. ch. 12.

After what I have just faid, and what is laid down in the text, I need hardly observe, that this learned and able writer has confounded the the knight of *bonour* and the knight of *tenure*. And, that the requisition to take knighthood, was not

not made to every poffeffor of a knight's fee, but to the tenants of knight's fees held in capite of the crown, who had merely a fufficiency to maintain the dignity, and were thence disposed not to take it. See farther the notes to chapter IV. The idea that the whole force of the royal army confifted of knights of bonour, or dubbed knights, is fo extraordinary a circumftance, that it might have flown, of itfelf, to this eminent writer, the fource of his error. Had every foldier in the feudal army received the inveftiture of arms? Could he wear a feal, furpaís in filk and drefs, use enfigns-armorial, and enjoy all the other privileges of knighthood ? But, while I hazard thefe remarks, my reader will observe, that, it is with the greatest deferrence I diffent from Sir William Blackftone, whofe abilities are the object of a most general and deferved admiration.

In this note, and, perhaps, in other places of this volume, I use the expression ' tenant in capite of the crown,' which may seem a tautology to many. The phrase, ' a tenant in capite,' may, indeed, express sufficiently the royal vassal. It may, however, express a tenant in capite of a subject. And this diffinction was not unknown in the law of England. Madox, Bar. Angl. p. 166. Spelm. Gloss. voc. Caput.

(5) It is natural to think, that the number of tenants

tenants in capite who gave no infeudations, could not be great. The following curious records of the age of Henry II. are proofs, however, that tenants in capite, who gave no infeudations, did actually exift; and, perhaps, they flow, by implication, their uncommonnefs.

#### Carta Albani de Hairun.

Domino fuo excellentifimo H. Regi Anglorum. Albanus de Hairun. Vestrae excellentiae notifico, quod ego in Hertfordscire feodum. 1. militis de veteri fefamento de vobis principaliter teneo, et quod de novo fefamento nihil habeo, nec militem feofatum aliquem habeo. Valete.

#### Carta Mathaei de Gerardi Villa.

Mathaeus de Gerardi Villa tenet in capite de Domino Rege feodum. 1. militis de veteri fefamento, et nullum habet militem fefatum, nec habet aliquid de novo. *Liber Niger Scaccarii*, p. 246. 247.

In the fame inftructive monument, there are other examples of grants *in capite* of fingle fees; and, in general, it is to be inferred, that, of fuch grants, there were fub-infeudations. *p.* 129. 130. 179.

America

#### CHAP-

### IN EUROPE, 361

# CHAPTER II.

(1) LIB. Feud. lib. 1. tit. 1. Craig, Jus feudale, lib. 1. Spelman. voc. Feodum.

(2) An inftance of the fovereign felecting the fon the most agreeable to him, for enjoying the effate, occurs in England fo late as the reign of Henry II. This prince gave feifine to Ralf de Mandevill of the barony of Merswude, because he was a better knight than his elder brother Robert de Mandevill. Madox, Baron. Angl. p. 97.

It is remarkable, that, among the German nations, fimilar principles, even in the days of *Tacitus*, had an influence on the rights of fucceffion. <sup>6</sup> Inter familiam, et penates, et jura fucceffionum, <sup>6</sup> equi traduntur, excipit filius, non ut cetera maxi-<sup>6</sup> mus natu, fed prout *ferox bello et melior*.<sup>7</sup> De Mor. Germ. c. 32;

A fin-

A fingular confequence of these usages made its appearance in the law of England. On the devolution of a peerage to heirs female, the King might felect the fortunate daughter on whom to bestow it. This privilege, beautiful and interesting, was to grow out of martial customs.

(3) Beneficium, and beneficia, are frequently mentioned in the laws of the Barbarians, and, from the difeription given of them, it is evident, that they were fubject to military fervice. A law of the Longobards has this paffage. 'Per multas 'interpellationes factas ad nos didicimus, milites 'beneficia fua paffim diffrahere.' LL. Longob. lib. 3. tit. 9. l. 9. ap. Lindenbrog. Antient charters allude to their fervice, by calling them 'bene-'ficia militaria.' Du Cange, voc. Beneficium. See alfo a capitulary, an 807. It is likewife to be obferved, that vaffalli, a feudal term, denoted, in early times, the poffeffors of benefices. Of this there are proofs in the years 757, and 807. Du Cange, voc. Vaffalli.

It is commonly thought, the word *feudum* was not known till about the year 884, when there is certain evidence of its ufe. Now, this period was, in fome countries, posterior to the perpetuity of the fief, and thus *beneficium* and *feudum* were to express

express the same thing. In fact, in a conflictution of the Emperor Charles III. who died in the 888, *beneficium* and *feudum* are employed alternately in expressing a hereditary grant. In the year 1162, there is a charter by the Emperor Frederic I. to Raimond his nephew, giving him the perpetual grant of a county; and, in this charter, the words *beneficium* and *feudum* are also used alike to express the donation. *Bruffel*, *Ufage general des fiefs*, p. 72. 78. Even in the Books of the fiefs, these terms are employed promiscuously in the fame fense.

(4) Chamtereau le Fevre contends, that, under the Kings of France of the first and second race, there were only two kinds of landed property, the domains of the Prince, and allodiality. This notion, which is the foundation of his fystem, obliges him to affert, that benefices were allodium. Inferior writers have followed his fancy. For all ingenious men draw after them a train of book-makers, who are more folicitous to defend their opinions, than to understand them.

That benefices were not propriety or allodium, has been just now faid. But it may not be improper to produce express proofs of their distinction. The following laws will ferve this purpose.

<sup>c</sup> Auditum

'Auditum habemus qualiter et comites et alir · homines, qui nostra beneficia habere videntur, · comparant sibi proprietates de ipso nostro beneficio, et faciunt fervire ad ipfas proprietates fervientes · nostros de corum beneficio, et curtes nostrae rema-6 nent desertae, et in aliquibus locis ipfi vicinantes " mula mala patiuntur.' Capit. Kar. et Lud. lib. 3. tit. 19.

" Audivimus, quod aliqui reddant beneficium nofe trum ad alios homines in proprietatem, et in ipfo e placito dato pretio comparant ipías res iterum " fibi in alodem ; quod omnino cavendum eft ; quia ' qui hoc faciunt, non bene custodiunt fidem, quam " nobis promissam babent. Et ne forte in aliqua infi-· delitate inveniantur, qui hoc faciunt, deinceps ca-· veant se omnino a talibus, ne a propriis honoribus, 'a poprio folo, a Dei gratia et nostra, extorres ' fiant.' Capit. Kar. et Lud. lib. 3. tit. 20. ap. Lindenbrog. p. 877.

The reader may also confult and compare what is collected in Du Cange, under Alodis and Beneficium.

(5) See Chapter 1. and the Notes to it.

(6) Spelman, Littleton, Coke, Houard, Madox, Dalrymple, Blackstone, the Judges of Ireland in the

the cafe of Tenures upon the commission of defective titles, &c.

In the elaborate treatife on feuds and tenures by Sir Henry Spelman, his whole argument to fhow that hereditary fiefs were unknown to the Anglo-Saxons, or at leaft the great weight of it, refts on the idea, that the feudal incidents were confequences of the perpetuity of the fief. Yet it is observable, that this polition is conftantly supposed, and never proved. He no where evinces, that wardship, marriage, relief, aid, and escheat, were necesfary and certain refults of the fief, in its condition of perpetuity; and, in the course of this work, if I do not flatter myfelf, I have produced evidence, from which it is to be concluded, in the cleareft manner, that the feudal incidents were the attendants of the fief in all the fteps of its progrefs.

On a foundation of mere froth, this diftinguished antiquary has erected a superstructure that is without folidity, and which the flightest effort may overthrow. Yet it is reforted to as an impregnable castle; and here, vainly secure, many a combatant has thrown down the gauntlet of defiance. If authors were not generally the unthinking copiss of each other, it might provoke laughter to confider the gravity with which an opinion

opinion is held out as irrefragable, that is in a high degree grofs with abfurdity, and feeble with weaknefs.

(7) Capitul. Reg. Franc. an. 877. ap. Baluz. tom. 2. p. 269. Abbé Mably Obfervant. fur l'hiftoire de France, liv. 2.

#### (8) Du Cange, voc. Militia.

(9) Madox, Bar. Angl. p. 28. 277. 278. Houard, Anciennes loix des François, confervées dans les coutumes Angloifes, recueillies par Littleton, difcours preliminaire. Craig, Jus feud. Somner, Treatife of Gavelkind. Spelm. Gloff. Hume, Hift. of England, vol. 1. Hale, Hitt. of the com. law.

(10) Sir Ed. Coke. The Judges of Ireland in the cafe of tenures. Selden, in his titles of honour. Bacon, Difcourfe on the laws and government of England, &c.

(11) The use of entails, which was not unknown in the Anglo-Saxon times, and the fuccession which obtained in allodial estates, must have contributed very much to the establishment of the perpetuity of the fief. *LL. Ælfredi, ap. Wilkins.* The general tendency of the fief to this ultimate step ftep, and the immense power of many of the Anglo-Saxon nobles, seem also to confirm the idea, that the existence of its perpetuity might, in some cases, be known in the Anglo-Saxon times. But presumptive arguments, though of great weight, are not to be entirely relied upon in questions of this fort.

There is actual evidence, that Ethelred poffeffed, as an hereditary fief and earldom, the territority which had conflituted the kingdom of Mercland. He had this grant from King Alfred, when he married his daughter Ethelfleda. Selden, Tit. bon. part 2. cb. 5. It is teftified out of records, that the earldom of Leicefter was an inheritance in the days of Æthelbald; and the regular fucceffion of its earls, for a long period, is to be pointed out. Camden, Britannia by Gibson, vol. 1. p. 542. It is known from old hiftorians of credit, that Deireland and Bernicia were Saxon earldoms, which were not only feudal, but inheritable. Tit. bon. part 2. cb. 5.

The grant of Cumberland by King Edmund to Malcolm King of Scotland, was also feudal and inheritable; and this appears from the Saxon chronicle, and from the following version of the terms employed in it; 'Eadmundus Rex totam Cumberland

<sup>6</sup> berland praedavit et contrivit, et commendavit <sup>6</sup> eam Malcolmo Regi Scotiae, hoc pacto quod in <sup>6</sup> auxilio fibi forte terra et mari.' H. Huntindon, ap. Praefat. Epifc. Derrenf. ad. LL. Anglo-Sax. p. 7. The expression commendare, indeed, is faid by Spelman not to mean a feudal homage. Feuds and tenures, p. 35. But the original Saxon evinces this fense; and, in fact, the word commendare, notwithstanding the authority of this learned glossographer, is used with the utmost propriety to express a feudal homage. Commendare fe alicui, was even the marked expression for faire l'hommage à un fuferain. See Du Cange, voc. Commendare et Bruffel, Ufage-general des fiefs, p.35. 276.

(12) 'Volumus etiam, ac firmiter praecipimus et concedimus, ut omnes liberi homines totius monarchiae regni noftri praedicti, habeant et teneant terras fuas, et possessione fuas bene, et in pace, libere ab omni exactione injusta, et ab omni tallagio, ita quod nihil ab eis exigatur vel capiatur, nisi fervitium suum liberum, quod de jure nobis facere debent, et facere tenentur; et prout ftatutum est eis, et illis a nobis datum et concessum fum, jure haereditario in perpetum per commune confilium totius regni nostri praedicti.' LL. Guliel. c. 55.

It

It is to be mentioned here as fomewhat remarkable that the laws of Duke William, and efpecially those of them which relate to the feudal inftitutions, are represented by many foreign writers, and by our domestic advocates for tyranny, as the mandates or ordinances of a prince who governed by the fword. Yet they were parliamentary acts, and bear this honourable testimony in their bosom.

(13) LL. Edward. Reg. ap. Wilkins, p. 197. Chart. Guil. de leg. Edw. Regis ap. Spelm. Cod. Leg. vet. p. 290.

(14) Spelm: Cod. Leg. vet. ap. Wilkins, p. 295. 296.

(15) LL. Henry I. ap. Wilkins, p. 233. et feq:

(16) Chart. Steph. Reg. de libertatibus, ap. Spelm. Cod. Leg. vet.

Sciatis me conceffiffe, et praesenti charta mea
confirmasse, omnibus baronibus et hominibus
meis de Anglia omnes *libertates* et *bonas leges* quas
Henricus Rex Angliae avunculus meus eis dedit
et concessit, et omnes *bonas leges* et *bonas confuetu- dines* eis concedo quas habu erunt tempore Regis *Edwardi.* p. 310.

(17) Charta libertatum Angliae Regis Henrici II. ap. Spelm. Cod. p. 318. (18) Magna Charta Regis Johannis de libertatibus Angliae, ap Spelm. Cod. p. 367. et seq.

Many important claufes of the great charter relate to the feudal feverities. And, it is worthy of notice, that, from the flight confideration of thefe feverities, it has proceeded, that fo many writers have defcribed the feudal inftitutions as a fyftem intended and formed for opprefilion. Yet I have clearly flown, that thefe feverities grew out of thefe inftitutions from the change of manners; and that the fcheme of benefices or fiefs was not only confiftent with liberty, but founded in it.

## (19) Hume, Hift. of England, vol. 1. p. 185.

(20) There are laws which bear the name of Edward; but it is acknowledged, on every hand, that their authority is not to be fully trufted. And, in the queftion treated, they are not of any ufe, unlefs it be, perhaps, that they illuftrate the exiftence of fiefs among the Anglo-Saxons. This compilation, however, though pofterior to the age of the Confeffor, deferves to be examined with more attention than has hitherto been beftowed upon it. M. Houard, a foreign lawyer, whofe acquaintance with Norman cuftoms is more intimate than with thofe of the Anglo-Saxons, is the

Bb

(18) Marna

### IN EUROPE.

the lateft writer who feems to have made a fludy of it.

(21) The following very curious law of William the Norman makes express mention of the *knight's*fee and *knight-fervice*. It does more. It alludes to a prior law which actually established this tenure, and which was the act of William and his parliament. It is, of confequence, a decifive proof of the introduction of the *knight's fee* or of *knightfervice*, by this prince, and of this only.

<sup>6</sup> Statuimus etiam et firmiter praecipimus, ut <sup>6</sup> omnes comites, et barones, et milites, et fervien-<sup>6</sup> tes, et univerfi liberi homines totius regni noftri <sup>6</sup> praedicti, habeant et teneant fe femper bene in <sup>6</sup> armis, et in equis, ut decet et oportet, et quod <sup>6</sup> fint femper prompti et bene parati ad fervitium <sup>6</sup> fuum integrum nobis explendum, et peragendum, <sup>6</sup> cum femper opus adfuerit, fecundum quod No-<sup>6</sup> B1s debent de feodis et tenementis fuis de jure fa-<sup>6</sup> cere, et ficut illis ftatuimus per commune confilium <sup>6</sup> totius regni noftri praedicti, et illis dedimus et <sup>6</sup> conceffimus in feodo jure haereditario.<sup>7</sup> LL. Guill. c. 58.

(22) ' Terras militibus ita diftribuit, et eorum
' ordines ita disposuit, ut Angliae regnum lx millia
' militum indefinenter haberet, ac ad imperium
B b 2 regis,

<sup>e</sup> regis, prout ratio poposcerit, celeriter exhiberet.<sup>'</sup> Ord. Vit. lib. 4.

Sprott, the monk of Canterbury, makes the knight-fees to amount to 60,215, and of thefe he relates, that 28,115 were in the hands of the clergy. Some writers have made Doomfday-book agree with Ordericus Vitalis, as to the number of knight's fees. But they produce not, fo far as I have obferved, the paffage or paffages of that monument, which illustrate this opinion. And, it is difficult to conceive, that it can give a complete fatisfaction on this head or topic.

(23) Selden, Tit. hon. part 2. ch. 5. fect. 17. Madox, Baron. Anglica, p. 30.

Ben del attentibe auco ibe

THE PERMIT AT ATT A

Maria allen and the

(24) Coke, I. Institute, sect. I.

# CHAPTER

INEUROPE. 373

## CHAPTER III.

#### SECTION I.

(1) THE military plan of the feudal inftitutions, or an idea of the militia created by fiefs, may be feen to the greateft advantage in that curious monument, ' the Black Book of the Exchequer;' of which it was the object to exhibit, not only a lift of the feudal tenants, but of the fees and knights held and provided by them. An article from it, therefore, while it may employ the reflections of the reader, will illustrate the general notion inculcated in the text.

## Carta Gervasii Paganelli.

Domino fuo dilectiffimo Henrico, Regi Angliae et Duci Normanniae et Aquitaniae, et Comiti Andegaviae, Gervafius Paganellus falutem:

Isti funt milites, de quibus vobis debeo servitium.

Petrus de Bremingeham tenet feod IX. militum. Giffardus di Tiringeham feod. trium militum. Henricus de Mohun feodum. I. militis. Ricardus Engaine feodum. I. militis. Robertus de Caftreton feodum. I. militis.

Paganus
Paganus de Embreton feodum. I. militis. Manifelinus de Ovunges feod. duorum militum, Petrus de Stamford feodum, I. militis. Willelmus de Jetingeden feodum. I. militis. Elias de Englefeld feod. III. militum. Ricardus de Ditton feod. IIII. militum. Philipus de Hamton feod. II. militum. Willelmus de Abbenwrthe feodum. I. militis, Willelmus, filius Widonis, feod. III. militum. Bernardus de Frankelege feod. IIII. militum. Gervafius de Berneke feod, IIII, militum. Willelmus de Bello campo feod. II. militum. Willelmus de Haggaleg feod. I. m. Milo de Ringefton feodum. I. milites et dimid. Willelmus Buffare feod. II. militum et dim. Robertus de Effingeton feod. I. militis. Henricus de Oilli tenebat feodum. I. militis.

Haec eft fumma militum, de quibus Anteceffores mei Antecefforibus veftris fecerunt fervitium, et ego, veftri gratia, vobis, fcilicet. L.

Et isti funt milites, quibus pater meus et ego dedimus terram de dominio nostro post mortem Henrici, avi vestri, scilicet,

Henricus de Erdinton feodum. I. militis. Radulfus Manfel feodum. I. militis. Willelmus Paganellus feodum. I. militis.

Michael

Michael filius Ofberti et Willelmus de Lovent. feodum dimidii militis.
Godwinus Dapifer tertiam partem. I. militis.
Walterus Manfel feodum. I. militis.
Petrus de Surcomunt feodum dimidii militis.
Galfridus de Rivilli tertiam partem. I. militis.

Liber Niger Scaccarii, vol. 1. p. 139. 140.

It is in this form that other vaffals of the crown certify, in this work, the fervices and the knights they were to furnifh.

(2) It was enacted by a law of Henry II. 'Ut 'quicunque habet feodum unius militis habeat lori-'cam, et cassidem, et clypeum, et lanceam.' Hoveden, an. 1181. The variations in the nature of the arms to be provided, at different periods, by vassa and foldiers, are learnedly explained in an author whom the adorers of tyranny affect to defpife, in the manly and spirited work of Nathaniel Bacon, on the laws and government of England.

(3) 'In universum aestimanti plus penes pedi-'tem roboris.' *Tacit. de Mor. Germ. c.* 6.

(4) Many writers have obferved, that it was William the Norman who introduced archers into England. But they were known in the Anglo-Saxon armies. A law of Alfred has thefe words, ' Si quis alteri digitum unde fagittatur abfeiderit, ' xv

\* xv fol. comp.' See LL. Alfr. c. 40. as interpreted by Lindenbrogius, in his Gloffary, p. 1389. Archery was alfo of high antiquity in the other ftates of Europe. See LL. Sal. tit. 31. l. 6. LL. Ripuar. tit. 5. l. 7. The English were to excel all nations in the use of the bow, and for far shooting. It was the archers who gained the battles of Cress, Poictiers, and Agincourt.

King Edwarde the third,' fays Afcham, ' at the
battaile of Creffie, against Philip the French King,
as Gaguinus the French historiographer plainlye
doth tell, flewe that day all the nobilitye of
Fraunce onlye with his archers.

<sup>6</sup> Such like battaile alfo fought the noble Prince <sup>6</sup> Edwarde befide Poicters, where Johne the <sup>6</sup> French Kinge, with his fonne, and in a manner <sup>6</sup> all the peres of Fraunce, were taken, befides <sup>6</sup> thirty thousand which that daye were flaine, and <sup>6</sup> very few English men, by reason of theyr bowes.

Kinge Henrye the Fifte, a prince pereleffe, and
moft victorious conquerour of all that ever dyed
yet in this parte of the worlde, at the battle of
Agincourt, with feven thousand fightinge men,
and yet many of them ficke, being fuche archers, as the chronicle fayth, that most parte of
them drewe a yarde, flewe all the chivalrye of
Fraunce, to the number of forty thousand and
mo,

376

" mo, and loft not past twenty-fix Englishmen." Toxophilus, or the Schole of Shootinge, p. 112.

(5) 'He,' fays Littleton, 'which holdeth by the 'fervice of one knight's fee, ought to be with the 'King forety dayes, well and conveniently arrayed 'for the warre.' Tenures, book 2. cb. 3. See farther Du Cange, voc. Feudam militare. Spelman, voc. Feudum Hauberticum, et Affifes de Jerufalem, avec des ote s par Thaumaffiere, p. 266.

(6) Bruffel, Usage-general des fiefs, vol. 1. p. 164. 168. Daniel, hift. de la milice Françoise, liv. 3.

In England, in the time of Edward III. his army in France, Normandy, and before Calais, befides the Lords, confifted of 31294 combatants and attendants; and their pay for one year and 131 days amounted to 127201 l. 2 s. 9 d. The following fpecification of particulars will furnish an idea of the military pay and fervice of those times.

<sup>6</sup> To Edward Prince of Wales, being in the
<sup>6</sup> King's fervice in Normandy, France, and before
<sup>6</sup> Calais, with his retinue, for his wages of war,
<sup>6</sup> 20 s. a day. Eleven banerets, every one taking
<sup>6</sup> 4 s. a day. 102 knights, each 2 s. a day. 264
<sup>6</sup> efcuires, each 12 d. a day. 384 archers on horfe<sup>6</sup> back, each 6 d. a day. 69 foot archers, each 3 d. a

3 d. a day. 513 Welfhmen, whereof one chaplain at 6 d. a day. One phyfician, one herald or
cryer, 5 enfignes, 25 ferjeants or officers over
20 men, each 4 d. a day. 480 footmen, each
2 d. a day.

<sup>6</sup> To Henry Earle of Lancaster, being in the
<sup>6</sup> King's fervice before Calais, with his retinue, for
<sup>6</sup> his wages of war, and one other Earle, each 6 s.
<sup>6</sup> 8 d. a day. Eleven banerets, each 4 s. a day.
<sup>6</sup> 193 knights, each 2 s. a day. 512 efcuires, each
<sup>6</sup> 12 d. a day. 46 men at armes, and 612 archers
<sup>6</sup> on horfeback, each 6 d. a day.

<sup>6</sup> To William Bohun, Earle of Northampton,
<sup>6</sup> being in the King's fervice in Normandy, France,
<sup>6</sup> and before Calais, 2 banerets, 46 knights, 112
<sup>6</sup> efcuires, 141 archers on horfeback. For their
<sup>6</sup> wages as above.

• To Thomas Hatfield bifhop of Durham, 6s. •8 d. a day. 3 banerets, 48 knights, 164 efcuires, •81 archers on horfeback, every one taking as • above.

<sup>6</sup> To Ralf Baron of Stafford, being in the King's
<sup>6</sup> fervice in the places aforefaid, with 2 banerets,
<sup>6</sup> 20 knights, 92 efcuires, 90 archers on horfeback.
<sup>6</sup> Every one taking as above.'

These things appear in a contemporary record, published

#### IN EUROPE.

published by Dr. Brady in his history of England. See vol. 2. Appendix, p. 88.

## SECTION II.

(1) IN rolls of the militia of France in the year 1236, and preceding that period, which were obferved by *Pere Daniel*, there we entered military tenants who were marked down for the fervice of 5 days, and for other proportions of the ordinary fervice of forty Days. And thefe are proofs, not only of fees in France, but of the fractions of fees. *Milice Françoife*, p. 55.

This learned author, indeed, not attending to the regulations which made the fractions of a fee give their proportion of the ordinary fervice, has endeavoured to account for the limited number of days which many tenants were bound to ferve, by refined reafonings and conjectures; which flow how acutely, and yet how abfurdly, a man of ability may employ himfelf in fearching out the truth. \*.

#### Littleton

\* ' Pour ce qui est de ceux que l'on voit dans les roles
' n'être obligez qu'à cinq, qu'a quinze, ou vingt cinq
' jours, ce furent des concessions particulieres, dont il est
' difficile de conjecturer la cause; ce sur pour quelque fervice

379

Littleton, having remarked that the ordinary fervice of the knight's fee was forty days, is careful to add, ' that he, which holdeth his land by ' the moitie of a knight's fee, ought to be with ' the King twenty days; and that he which hold-' eth his land by the fourth part of a knight's fee, ' ought to be with the King ten days; and fo he ' that hath more, more; and he that hath leffe, ' leffe.' Tenures, p. 69.

In

fervice fignalé rendu a l'état, ou peut-être que leurs
ancêtres durant les guerres civiles foumirent au Roi
Leurs châteaux, ou leurs terres à cette condition, ou qu'ils
avoient quelque autre obligation qui fupléoit au fervice
ordinaire; comme, par exemple, de faire la garde en
certains lieux lorfque l'ennemi approchoit. On voit en
effet dans ces roles quelques gentilfhommes fieffez, obligez feulement à faire le guet en certaines occafions dans
quelques fortereffes.

Une autre raifon peut avoir contribué à la reduction
du fervice à un terme plus court qu'il n'étoit autrefois :
C'est que fous la premiere race, et fort avant fous la feconde, l'empire François étoit beaucoup plus étendu que
fous la troisieme. Il falloit aller chercher les ennemis et
les rebelles dans la Germanie, et au delà ; il falloit passer
les Alpes, ou les Pyrenées, et entrer bien avant en
Italie et en Espagne : Par confequent les expeditions
duroient beaucoup plus long tems que sous la troisieme
race, fous laquelle le royaume avoit de bornes beaucoup plus étroites.' Liv. 3. ch. 2.

The wildnefs of these Conjectures does not require to be pointed out minutely, as it will appear from a comparison of the text with this note. Yet I cenfure not the abilities

380

In a roll, de l'oft de Foix, in the year 1272, there are the following explicit proofs of the fractions of fees, and of the limited fervice that was to be given for them.

Gaufridus de Baudreville, præsentavit servitium suum per xx dies pro dimidio feodo.

Johannes Morant dicit, quod debet fervitium quarti unius militis.

Johannes de Falefia Scutifer dicit, quod tenet dimidium feodum loricæ, pro quo debet, ficut dicit, auxilium exercitus et calvacatæ quando per Normanniam levatur, aut fervitium per xx dies eundo et redeundo; et fi fervitium dictorum xx dierum captum fuerit, auxilium prædictum non debet capi nec levari. See Bruffel, Ufage-general des fiefs, p. 174.

In

abilities of this historian. If we could reach the truth in all fciences, we fhould find, that it is the greateft men who have wandered ofteneft. The philofoper, who ftates fentiments of his own, must neceffarily be mistaken at times, and is often to reafon hypothetically. The author who would catch the general fense and opinions of the world, has no title to travel out of the right path; and, if his errors are frequent, he deferves to be contemptible. It is not fo with the wanderings of the inventive and reflecting mind. Though they merit not approbation, they call for refpect. The abfurdities of the profound are the refults of thought and courage; those of the fhallow are the fruits of mere weaknefs. In England, the fractions of fiefs are to be proved by almost every article in the *Black Book* of the Exchequer, and by a multitude of records in *Madox*; and to these authorities I refer the inquifitive reader.

(2) Du Cange, voc. Membrum Loricæ. Craig Jus Feudale, lib. 1. Affifes de Jerufalem, avec des Notes, par Thaumassiere, p. 104.

(3) Cowel, Interpreter, voc. Fee Ferm. Spelman, voc. Feodi Firma. Du Cange, voc. Feudi Firma.

(4) See what is faid by Mr. Baron Dalrymple, in the mafterly fketch he has given of the hiftory of the alienation of land, in his comprehensive and learned treatife concerning feudal property in Great Britain.

(5) Littleton, Tenures, fect. 96. Daniel, Hift. de la milice Françoife, liv. 3.

(6) In the ftrictness of the feudal regulations, the eftate of the vassal might be forfeited for his neglect of service. But, in general, it seemed equitable, that a fine only should punish his disobedience. Brussel, tome 1. Assisted de Jerusalem, avec des notes par Thaumassiere, p. 267. Etablissemens de S. Louis, liv. 1. In England, in the Anglo-Saxon times, the forfeiture of the benefice or a fine, as in the other countries of Europe, was the punifhment of the refractory valial. The cafe was the fame in the Norman period of our hiftory. When the King's fummons *ad babendum fervitium*, was iffued, it was expected that it would be complied with. The following fines and forfeitures for neglect of fervice are from records.

' The Abbot of Pershore was amerced, for not ' fending his knights to ferve in the army of Ca-' marun, as he was warned to do. William de ' Haftinges fined in c marks, that he might have ' the king's favour, becaufe he did not march at ' the king's fummonce in the army of Normandy. ' William, bishop of Winchester, fined, or was ' amerced, in c marks, becaufe he was not in the ' army of Gannok, nor had his fervice there. ' Matthew Turpin was diffeifed of his land and ' ferjeanty in Winter-law, becaufe he was not in ' the king's fervice beyond fea. Duncan de Laf-' cels was diffeifed of three knight's fees and a ' half, because he was not with the king in his ar-' my of Scotland, with horfes and arms. Roger ' de Cramavill was diffeifed of his land, becaufe ' he did not go with the king in his voyage to ' Ireland. Malgar de Vavafur was diffeifed of his ' land, because he neither went with the king into " Ireland

<sup>•</sup> Ireland, nor made fine for the voyage.' Madox, Hift. of the Exchequer, vol. 1. p. 662. 663. See farther Baron. Anglic. book 1. ch. 5.

(7) Littleton, Tenures, fect. 95. Du Cange, Gloff. voc. Scutagium.

(8) Daniel, Milice Françoife, liv. 3. Du Cange, voc. Coterelli, Brabanciones, Brabantini. Hume, Hift. vol. 1. p. 308.

In France, it is faid, that mercenaries were not employed in confiderable numbers, till the reign of Philip the August. In England, it is thought, they were first known under Henry II. From the causes I mention, it is probable, that their use must have been familiar, and even extenfive, in both countries, in earlier times.

(9) Baronia Anglica, book 1. ch. 6. Daniel, Milice Françoife, liv. 3.

(10) 'The religious,' fays Madox, 'infifted that 'they held all their lands and tenements in fran-'kalmoigne, and not by knight fervice. This 'allegation was ufed with fuccefs by the abbot of Leycefter, the priour of Novel-lieu without 'Staunford, and the of abbot Pippewell.' He cites the records which prove thefe frauds; and, in another place, appealing alfo to recods, he has thefe words. 'The abbot of St Auftin had a great fuccefs in <sup>6</sup> in defrauding the king of his fervices. The ab-<sup>6</sup> bot, it feems, had been feoffed to hold by the fer-<sup>6</sup> vice of fifteen knights. Of thefe fifteen, he found <sup>6</sup> means to conceal twelve, and anfwered to the <sup>6</sup> king with three only.' *Baron. Angl. p* 109. 114.

(11) A record of Henry III. fays of Richard Crokel, 'Faciet fervitium tricefimae partis feodi
'j militis.' A record of the fame prince, fays of John Hereberd, 'Faciet fervitium fexagefimae
'partis unis feodi.' *Hift. of the Exchequer, vol.*1. p. 650, 651. A variety of inftances, to the fame purpofe, are to be collected.

On the fuppofition that the fractions of a fee beyond the eight parts, were not properly its members, the demands of fervice for the thirtieth and the fixtieth parts of a fee, muft have been encroachments and feverities, againft the ufual practice and ufages of fiefs. If *fervice*, however, was required for fuch fractions, the affeffment of a *fcutage* on the tenants of knight-fervice would neceffarily fubject them in their proportion of payments. And the difficulties attending either the exaction of thefe fervices, or thefe payments, muft have been infinite.

It is to be confeffed, that the giving the thirtieth or the fixtieth part of the fervice of forty days, which was the ufual term of the fervice of the mi-C c litary

litary tenants, has a ftrange afpect. Perhaps the grants I mention were not regulated by the ufual rules which directed fees. It is well known, that there were tenants in knight-fervice who were bound to give, not the ufual fervice of forty days, but the attendance of themfelves and their knights, both at home and abroad, at all times, and whereever it fhould be demanded. Even in this view, however, it is difficult to comprehend the regulations which muft have governed the fractions of fuch fees.

But there were also vaffals in knight-fervice whose ftipulated time in the field and in expeditions was fixty days. See *Etabliffemens de S. Louis*, p. 23. There might thus, by the agreement of fuperiors and tenants, be ftipulated fervices for one hundred, two hundred, or any definite number of days whatever. On this principle, it is easy to account for the fractions of fees which gave fervice for the thirtieth, the fixtieth, or any fuch proportions of a fee. In this ftate of the matter, however, the fractions I speak of in the text, though out of the common usage of fiefs, must have been *members* of the fee.

In the courtly and agreeable introduction to the History of Charles the Fifth, in the View of the Progress of Society in Europe, from the subversion of the

### IN EUROPE.

the Roman Empire, to the beginning of the fixteenth century, of which the scheme is so comprehensive, it is remarkable, that, amidst a wide variety of other omifions, there is not even the flighteft confideration of knight-fervice, and the knight's fee.' Yet these circumstances were of a most powerful operation, both with respect to government and manners. I make not this remark to detract from the diligence of an author whofe laborioufnefs is acknowledged, and whofe total abftinence from all ideas and inventions of his own, permitted him to carry an undivided attention to other men's thoughts and speculations; but that, refting on these peculiarities, I may draw from them this general and humiliating, yet, I hope, not unufeful conclusion, that the fludy and knowledge of the dark ages are still in their infancy. Are we for ever to revel in the fweets of antient lore? And are we never to dig up the riches of the middle times ?

Cc2 CHAP-

388

## CHAPTER IV.

(1) A MONG the difburfements from the Exchequer of the kings of England, there feems to have been much for the behoof of the knights of honour, whom they retained. This appears from a variety of records in *Madox*, and accounts for the high charges of the fherifs for Palfreys, faddles, gilt-fpurs, peacocks-crefts, filk toifes, robes, gloves, fteel-caps, fwords, and lances. *Hift. of the Exchequer*, *cb.* 10.

A penfion of L. 40 per annum was given by Edward III. to John Atte Lee, who had been invefted with knighthood in auxilium flatus fui manutenendi; and that Sir Nele Loring might better maintain the honour of knighthood, he granted to him, and his heirs male, L. 20 per annum. An annuity of forty marks was given to Sir John Walfh, by Richard II. to enable him to fupport this dignity. And other examples to this purpofe are to be collected. Afbmole on the Garter, p. 34. See farther Du Cange, voc. Milites Regis, et Differt. 5. fur l'Histoire de St. Louis.

(2) In-

(2) Information concerning the knights retained by the nobles, is not to be found in that abundance which might be expected. In an account of the household expence of Thomas Earl of Leicester an. 1313, there are charged 70 pieces of blue cloth for his knights, and 28 for the efquires; 7 furs of powdered ermin, 7 hoods of purple, 395 furs of budge for the liveries of barons, knights, and clerks; 65 faffron-coloured cloths for the barons and knights; and 100 pieces of green filk for the knights. In this account, there is also a charge of L. 623 : 15 : 5, as fees to earls, barons, knights, and efquires. Stow, Survey of London, in Strype's edition, vol. 1. p. 243. The total expenditure of the Earl of Leicester for one year, which was 1 309, is valued by Mr. Anderson, at L. 21,927 of our money; and from the difference of living, or of the efficacy of money, his expence is made to be equal to L. 103,633. Anderson, Hist. of Commerce, vol. 1. p. 153. A. board for the knights was one of the eftablishments of the fifth Earl of Northumberland, Housebold book, p. 310. See farther, St. Palaye, tome 1. p. 312. 364.

(3) ' In the nineteenth year of King Henry III.' fays Madox, ' all the fherifs of England were com-' manded, by clofe writs of the great feal, to ' make proclamation in their refpective counties, ' that all they who held of the king in chief, one C c 3 ' knight's

389

<sup>6</sup> knight's fee or more, and were not yet knighted,
<sup>6</sup> fhould take arms and get themfelves knighted,
<sup>6</sup> before the next Chriftmas, as they loved the te<sup>6</sup> nements or fees which they held of the king.<sup>9</sup> *Baron. Angl. p.* 130. Proclamations of this kind were frequent.

(4) The writs to take knighthood expressed often, in their bofoms, the fingle knight's fee, as the eftate entitling to knighthood; and they are useful, as afcertaining, at different times, the value of the knight's fee. Thus, there are rolls of different dates which state the knight's fee at fifteen, twenty, thirty, forty, and fifty pounds of yearly valuation. A fpecification of fuch records may be seen in Astmole, p. 33. and Coke, 11. In-And, it is to be wished, that stitute, p. 597. fome intelligent perfon, who has accefs to the public offices, would publish a feries of them. From fuch a work ingenious men might derive many advantages.

It is not to be conceived, that the knight's fee which was held of a fubject, could entitle to knighthood; and yet many learned writers have expressed themselves to this purpose. It was the knight's fee *in capite*, or of the crown. This is illustrated by the writs of fummons to take knighthood. And, of this writ, the record which follows

### IN EUROPE.

lows is an example, in the ufual or regular form.

Rex Vicecomiti Norf. et Suff. falutem. Praecipimus tibi, quod, vifis literis iftis, per totam balivam clamari facias, quod omnes *illi qui de nobis tenent in* CAPITE *feudum unius militis, vel plus*, et milites non funt, citra feftum natalis Domini anno regni noftri decimo nono, arma capiant et fe milites fieri faciant, ficut tenementa fua quae de nobis tenent diligunt. *Clauf.* 19. H. 3. m. 25 dorfo. *ap. Madox, Hift. of the Exchequer, vol.* 1. p. 510.

(5) In the reign of Henry III. the honour of Dudley, and other lands of Roger de Sumery, were taken into the king's posseficien, with all the chatels found on them; because Roger did not come to be girt with the belt of knighthood. Bar. Angl. p. 131. For the fame reason, the fame prince feized the estates of Gilbert de Sampford and William de Montagu. And, in the twentieth year of Edward I. the soft fuch persons as did not appear to take knighthood, and to answer at the exchequer for the issue of them. Hist. of the Exchequer, vol. 1. p. 510.

The neglect of the fherifs to diffrain the lands of those who were entitled to knighthood, and refused it, was often to subject them in amerciaments

ments and punifhments. And, what is remarkable, it appears that the command of the King's writ to his officers was at times accompanied with much feverity, in cafe they fhould be negligent of their duty, or be tempted to connivance by bribes. This is illustrated by the evidence of the following writ to the fherif of Northamptonfhire.

Rex Vicecom. North. falutem. Praecipimus tibi quod, ficut teipfum et omnia tua diligis, omnes illos in baliva tua, qui habeant viginti libratas terrae, diftringas, quod fe milites faciendos curent, citra nativitatem Sancti Johannis Baptiftae proxime futur. Sciturus pro certo, quod fi, pro munere, vel aliqua occafione, aliquam relaxionem eis feceris, vel aliquem respectum dederis, nos ita graviter ad te capiemus, quod omnibus diebus vitae fuae te fenties esse gravatum. T. R. apud Wyndefor decimo quarto die Aprilis. *Clauf.* 28. H. 3. m. 12. dorso. ap. Astronole, p. 33. See also Coke, 11. Inst. p. 596.

Thus, by a ftrange fate, chivalry was to grow into an impofition and a tax. It is a wild circumftance, and fhews the unfortunate counfels, and the perverse humour of Charles I. that this unamiable method of raising money was revived in his reign. An act of tyrannical infolence was thus founded founded upon the pretext of an antient cuftom. Charles lived to abolifh the oppreffion he had revived, and to ordain, that no perfon whatever fhould be compelled to take the order of knighthood, or to undergo any fine or trouble for not having taken it. Stat. Car. I. an. 1640, cap. 20.

(6) In the reign of Henry III. Bartholomew Fitz-William gave v marks to have refpite for taking knighthood; and Thomas de Moleton, and feveral others, gave fines on the fame account. *Hift. of the Exch. vol. 1. p. 509.* In the fame reign, Robert de Menevil paid v marks for a refpite of knighthood for two years; and Peter Fouden 48 fhillings and 8 pence for the refpite of three years. And, for a fufpenfion from knighthood for the fame period, John de Drokensford, in the days of Edward III. paid ten pounds. *Afbmole, p. 33.* 

The refuling to take knighthood, when not punifhed by the feizure of the land, was fubjected to fines and amerciaments, which feem to have been arbitrary. In the time of Edward III. William, the fon of Gilbert de Alton, paid twenty fhillings for not appearing and receiving knighthood, according to the command of the king's proclamation; and the fine of forty fhillings was fet upon Simon de Bradeney, Thomas Trivet, and John de

de Neirvote. In the days of Henry IV. Thomas Pauncefoot paid four nobles for this neglect or contempt. Ashmole, p. 34. See farther Baron. Angl. p. 131. 132. Camden, Introd. to the Britan. p. 246. 247.

CHAP-

IN EUROPE. 395

## CHAPTER V:

## SECTION I.

(1) 'FIT interdum,' fays the old dialogue concerning the exchequer, ' ut imminente vel infur-' gente in regnum hoftium machinatione, decernat
' rex de fingulis feodis militum fummam aliquam
' folvi, marcam feilicet, vel libram unam; unde
' militibus ftipendia vel donativa fuecedant. Ma' vult enim princeps ftipendiarios, quam domef' ticos, bellicis apponere cafibus. Haec itaque
' fumma, quia nomine feutorum folvitur, feutagium
' nuncupatur.' Dial, de Scacear. lib. 1. fect. 9.

It was according to the number of their fees that the barons and tenants *in capite* were charged with *fcutage*. Each knight's fee paid a determined fum to the King. And, as the vaffals of the crown were charged with the full payments for their fees, they had recourfe for compensation to their knights, from whom they claimed a fcutage in proportion to the fees held and possefied by each. The

1

The king applied to his vaffals, and his vaffals applied to their tenants.

(2) It is commonly conceived, on the authority of Alexander de Swereford, an accurate obferver of records, that, in England, there was no *fcutage* or tax on knight's-fees before the reign of Henry II. There is great probability, however, that the fcutage preceded the age of this prince. It is to be thought that it was coeval with the use of *mercenaries*; but the period of the introduction of these is not, I believe, to be afcertained with precision.

In the fecond year of the reign of Henry II. there was a foutage for the army of Wales. It was affeffed only on the prelates who held their lands in knight-fervice: They paid at the rate of xx s. for each knight's fee. There was, in the fifth year of the fame reign, a fecond foutage for the army of Wales; and it was affeifed not only on the prelates, but on all the tenants by knight-fervice indiforiminately. They paid two marks for each knight's fee. In the thirty-third year of the fame prince, there was a foutage for the army of Galway. It was xx s. per fee. Under Richard I. a a foutage for Wales was affeifed at c s. per fee. Madox, hift of the Excheq. vol. 1. p. 620. et feq:

I know

I know that the firft mode of taxation in England was not the tax on knight's fees, of which I now fpeak. In the Anglo-Saxon times, Danegeld was an imposition on the landed property of England; and it was established with the confent of the people in the wittenagemot or national council. The earlier Norman princes appear alfo to have levied this tribute; but, in doing fo, they probabably exercised an illegal stretch of prerogative.

It was as mercenaries came to be employed, and as the fpirit of the feudal inftitutions declined, that the fcutage, or the tax on knight-fees, was to prevail. To this tax the Magna Charta gave a blow, which, in time, was to be decifive. The grant of money by the people fucceeded to it. Subfidies, tenths, and fifteenths, were adopted, and continued long. The tax of Danegeld was only intended as a temporary expedient. The fcutage led the way to a regular, a conftant, and a formal method of taxation.

(3) Kennet, Collection of English Historians. Madox, Hist. of the Exchequer. Hume, History of England.

(4) It is an important circumflance, that the free gifts of cities and towns should have grown into taxes. In France, in the Year 1231, the burgeffes

burgeffes of S. Omer paid to S. Louis the fum of 1500 livers; and this payment was called a *donum*; a proof that it was not exigible as a duty. It is likewife evident, that, in France, fuch prefents had been common, and had grown into taxes. Bruffel, Usage-general des Fiefs. liv. 2. cb. 32.

In England, it is clear, from a variety of records produced or appealed to in *Madox*, that the word *donum* muft alfo have been ufed to express gifts that were free. *Hift. of the Excheq. ch.* 17. After mercenaries were known, these gifts ceased to be free, and were termed *tallages*. And of tallages, both in England and France, there are frequent examples in the books I have just cited. See farther *Du Cange, voc. Donum*.

As Kings received gifts which they were to convert into tallages, fo the lords and fuperiors, who were honoured with fimilar prefents, did not fail to change them alfo into taxes or cuftoms. Du Cange, voc. Talliare.

What is curious in a peculiar degree, the diftant fource of these usages, and the spirit of them too, while manners retained their simplicity, may be feen in the following words of *Tacitus*, of which this note may serve as an illustration. 'Mos est civitatibus ultro et viritim conferre principibus ' vel <sup>c</sup> vel armentorum vel frugum, quod pro honore <sup>c</sup> acceptum, etiam neceffitatibus fubvenit.<sup>'</sup> De Mor. Germ. c. 15.

A diffinction of great moment, as to civil liberty, deferves here to be remarked. During the pure times of the Gothic manners, the towns and boroughs made gifts at their own pleafure. When thefe manners were altered, they were tallaged at the pleafure of the crown and the barons. The former times were times of liberty; the latter of opprefion.

When Dr. Brady, therefore, Mr. Hume, and a multitude of writers, enlarge on the low and infignificant ftate of the towns, and, treating their inhabitants as little better than flaves, infer thence, the original defpotifm of our government, they are only active to betray their inattention. It is strange, that men of genius and talents, should take fo lame a furvey of this fubject. Of the two states or conditions of fociety which prevailed, they have no conception. They knew only the hiftory of towns in their laft fituation, and could not perceive that the opprefiions they faw had only a reference to the change of manners, and the breaking down of the feudal fystem, which affected, indeed, the administrations of princes, and the conduct of the nobles to their vaffals, but did not

not alter the established form of our Government.

From the Magna Charta, thefe authors prefume to date the commencement of our liberty; while that monument is a proof, the moft indubitable, of the encroachments which had been made upon liberty, fince it was its great purpofe to deftroy them.

(5) 'Nullum fcutagium vel auxilium ponatur in regno noftro, nifi per commune confilium regni noftri, nifi ad corpus noftrum redimendum, et ad primogenitum filium noftrum militem faciendum, et ad filiam noftram primogenitam femel maritandam; et ad hoc non fiet nifi rationabile auxilium.' Magna Charta, Reg. Joan. ap. Spelm. Cod. vet. p. 369.

The *fcutagium* was the tax on lands held in knight-fervice. The *auxilium* was any tax whatever. I am fenfible, that, after the *Magna Charta*, there are inftances of taxes which were levied without the concurrence of the great council of the nation; but thefe were violations of the conflitution, and of liberty. For, from that period, the legal method of affifting government was by a parliamentary fubfidy or affeffment. The violent exactions of feveral princes, pofterior to the *Magna Charta*, are, indeed, held out, by many authors, as deferiptive of of the defpotifm of our government. But of fuch authors, it is to be faid, that they cannot diffinguifh our conflictation from the administrations of our princes. The madness or the folly of a King may disfigure our government by wild, encroaching, and unhappy exertions; but from these we must infer nothing against those principles of liberty upon which it is founded.

(6) 'Simili modo fiat de *auxilius* de civitate 'Londinenfi. Et civitas Londinenfis habeat om-'nes ANTIQUAS *libertates*, et liberas confuetudines 'fuas, tam per terras quam per aquas. Praeterea 'volumus et concedimus, quod omnes aliae civita-'tes, et burgi, et villae et barones de quinque por-'tubus, et omnes portus habeant omnes libertates et 'omnes liberas confuetudines fuas, et ad habendum 'commune confilium regni de auxiliis aflidendis.' Mag. Chart. ap. Spelm. Cod. vet. p. 369.

The Magna Charta was explanatory of the antient law and cuftom, as well as correctory of abufes and tyranny. It is to be regretted, that, notwithftanding all which has been written con-' cerning this invaluable record, there fhould yet, at this late hour, be defiderated a complete illuftration of it. Much, I know, has already been executed towards this end; but, if I am not greatly deceived, there remains ftill more to be done. And D d this

this, I imagine, will appear clearly to the philosophical reader, who will attend to it, in its connection with hiftory, law, and manners.

## SECTION II.

(1) BRUSSEL, Usage-general des Fiefs, liv. 2. ch. 6. Bacon, Discourse on the Government of England, part 1. p. 141. 264.

(2) Daniel, Milice Françoife, liv. 4. Hume, Hiftory of England, vol. 2. p. 85. Barrington, Observations on the more antient statutes, p. 379.

(3) Bacon, Discourse on the Government of England, part. 1. ch 63. 71. Lord Lyttelton, Hist of Henry II. vol. 3. p. 354.

(4) Pere Daniel mentions an array in France in the 1302, which called out ' tous les François nobles, en non nobles, de quelque condition qu'ils
foient, qui auront âge de 18 ans et plus, jufqu' á
l'âge de 60 ans.' He adds, ' Ce n'eft pas á dire
pour cela que tous marchaffent en effet : Mais
ceux que le roi commettoit pour faire ces levèes,
prenoient de chaque ville, et de chaque bourg et
village le nombre d'hommes, et telles hommes
qu'ils

<sup>e</sup> qu'ils jugeoient à propos en ces occasions.' Hist. de la Milice Françoise, vol. 1. p. 57.

In England, ' in the fixteenth year of King Ed-' ward II. a commission issued out of the exchequer to Geoffrey de St Quyntyn and John de "Kafthorp, ordering them to raife fpeedily, in every town and place in the wapentake of Dy-" keryng, as well within the franchifes as without, ' all the defenfible men that were between the ' age of fixteen and fixty, as well of gentz d'armes ' as of foot, each man being duly arrayed, accord-" ing to his eftate, and to put the faid men in array " by hundreds and twenties, and being fo arrayed, to lead them to the King at York, by fuch a ' day, to act against the Scots. The like com-" miffions iffued out of the exchequer, to John de " Belkthorp and Geoffrey Stuli, for the wapentake \* of Buckros, and to other perfons, for other wapen-" takes.' Madox, Hift. of the Exchequer, vol. 2. p. III.

An example of an array, in the reign of Edward I. is also remarked by *Mr Maddox*, and it proceeded on writs from that prince to all the sherifs of England \*. It has been thought, that King

\* In his writ to each fherif, after having ordered the Array, and expressed his meaning, he subjoins these words. 'Et hoc, sicut indignationem nostram vitare et 'te indempnem servare volueris, nullatenus omittas.' Hist. of the Exchequer, vol. 2. p. 04.

King John's reign afforded the first instance of an array. But I thing it highly probable, that arrays were prior to his age. Mr Hume had met with no commission of array till the reign of Henry V. and this circumstance could not fail of leading him into mistakes. *Hist. of England*, vol. 2. p. 321.

Arrays for failors were practifed after the fame method as for foldiers. The cuftom is ftill retained in *the prefing of Jeamen*. It is fomewhat remarkable, that this illegal power is yet fuffered to remain with the crown. If exerted as to foldiers, it would feem the higheft tyranny. In apology for it, authors have faid, that it is difficult to difcover an expedient to answer its purposes, without greater danger to liberty.

(5) Daniel, Hift. de la Milice Françoife, liv. 3. ch. 8. Hume, Hift. of England, vol. 2. p. 224. Barrington, Obfervations on the more antient Statutes, p. 378. 380.

I am difpoled to believe, that it was chiefly the enormous diffolutenels and irregularity of manners introduced by the mercenaries, which deformed England fo much in the reign of Edward I. that the ordinary judges were thought unable to execute the laws. This, it would feem, made Edward invent a new tribunal of juffice, which had

230

had power to traverse the kingdom, and to inflict diferentionary punishments on offenders. Spelman. Gloff. voc. Trailbaston. Yet a court so inquifitorial was a daring infult to a free nation, and infinitely a greater calamity, than all the diforders which prevailed. That country is miserable where the diferention of a judge is the law.

(6) Daniel, Hift. de la Milice Françoife, liv. 4. The archers were called *frank*, becaufe they were free from taxes.

(7) 3 Inftitute, p. 85. 87. Barrington on the more antient Statutes, p. 379. 380.

(8) Bacon, Discourse on the Government of England, part 1. p. 187. part 2. p. 60.

(9) 2. Inftitute, p. 3.

(10) Parl. an. 1. Hen. IV. de Deposit. Reg. Ricardi II. ap. Dec. Script. p. 2748.

(11) Sir John Fortefcue, who refided fome time in France with Prince Edward, the fon of Henry VI. and who wrote there his excellent treatife, 'De 'Laudibus Legum Angliae,' defcribes, from actual knowledge, the exorbitant infolence of the French foldiery, and the miferable condition of the people. The picture he draws is too long for infertion in this place. But, though the features are

are ftrong, there is no reason to suspect the likenefs. A native of Great Britain, in attending to it, must feel, in a lively degree, the happy advantages of our free constitution.

(12) 12. Charles II. cap. 24.

# CHAP-

IN EUROPE. 407.

## CHAPTER VI.

(1) TACITUS alludes to the historic fongs of the old Germans, of which it was doubtlefs the purpose to record the migrations of tribes, and the exploits of chieftains. Of fuch Songs, there were many in the eight century; and Charlemagne was fond of committing them to his memory. Eginbart, Vit. Car. Magn. c. 29. Of the celebrated Attila, it is faid, that he had conftantly his poets in waiting, and that their verfes in honour of his exploits, were a part of the entertainment of his court. Priscus, p. 67. 68. In all rude times, the character of the bard is in repute, and attended with diffinctions. This character was not peculiar to our anceftors, as fome writers have fancied; for we find it among the Greeks, and in other nations. It is peculiar to the early flate of fociety. when the paffions are warm, and language imperfect.

(2) It is a common notion, that the poets and Troubadours were only to be found in France and Italy. They were frequent, however, in all the countries

countries of Europe; and they haftened, by their rivalihip, the progress of literature.

Henry III. had a poet or Troubadour in his fervice, on whom he beftowed a regular penfion. This circumftance is to be gathered from the following record. 'Rex thefaurario et cameariis 'fuis falutem. Liberate de thefauro noftro, dilec-'to nobis Magistro Henrico versificatori centum foli-'dos, qui ei debentur de arreragiis stipendiorum 'fuorum. Et hoc fine dilatione et difficultate fa-'ciatis, licet scaccarium st clausum. T. R. apud 'Wodstoke xiiij die Julii.' 35. H. 3. ap. Madox, 'Hist of the Excheq. vol. 1. p. 391.

There is a commiffion of Henry VI. De Minifirallis propter folatium regis providendis, from which it is to be gathered, that the recitation or chaunting of fongs, was an amufement in repute and fashion. Rymer, 34. Henry VI. The fifth Earl of Northumberland had his minstrels and players; and it was a qualification of his almoner, that he was ' a maker of interludes.' Housbold-book, p. 44. 85. 93. 331. 339. The reader may consult farther on this subject, an author, who is not more diffinguished by the foundness of his knowledge, than by the classical fimplicity of his language, Mr Warton, in his history of English poetry.

(3) Hiftoire

(3) Hiftoire Litteraire des Troubadours, par M. l'Abbé Millot.

(4) It is to be obferved, that it was the married women chiefly who vied in the merits of their poets and Troubadours. An interefting figure, as well as the talent of rhyming, was neceffary to the Troubadour; and it was his conftant aim to gain the heart or the perfon of his patronefs. Perhaps it would be to refine too much, if one fhould confider the prefent infidelity of the married women in France, as a relict of this ufage, and the corruptions of chivalry.

Of the Duke of Orleans, the Brother of Charles VI. there is a pleafant notice in Brantome, which illustrates very aptly the profligate manners introduced by fiefs and chivalry. 'C'etoit un grand 6 debaucheur de dames de la cour, et des plus ' grandes : Un matin en ayant une couchée avec ' lui dont le mari vint par hazard pour lui donner · le bon jour, il cacha la tête de cette dame, et lui ' découvrit tout le corps, la faissant voir et toucher ' nue à ce mari à son bel aise, avec defense sous ' peine he la vie d'oter le linge du visage . . . Et · le bon fut que le mari etant la nuit d'aprée ' couché avec sa femme, lui dit que M. d'Orleans ' lui avoit fait voir la plus belle femme nue qu'il eut jamais vue; mais, quant au visage, qu'il n'en · sçavoit
### 410 A VIEW OF SOCIETY

<sup>6</sup> fçavoit que dire, ayant toujours été caché fous le
<sup>6</sup> linge.' It is added, 'De ce petit commerce,
<sup>6</sup> fortit ce brave et vaillant bâtard d'Orleans,
<sup>6</sup> Comte de Dunois, le foutien de la France et le
<sup>6</sup> fleau des Anglois.' Brantome, ap. St. Foix, Eff.
<sup>6</sup> biftor. vol. 1. 319.

(5) See, in Ste Palaye, le voeu du Paon ou du Faisan, et les Honneurs de la Cour.

(6) Hiftoire des Troubadours, tom. 1. p. 11.

(7) This invention is afcribed to William the ninth Earl of Poitou. 'Ce fut un valeureux et 'courtois chevalier, mais grand trompeur de 'dames.' *Hift. des Troub. tom.* 1. p. 4. 7.

(8) Le Moine de Foffan, a Troubadour, composed a song, in which he speaks thus of the Virgin.
<sup>6</sup> Je suis devant elle à genoux, les mains jointes,
<sup>6</sup> comme son tres humble esclave, plein d'ardeur
<sup>6</sup> dans l'attente de seregards amoureux, et d'ad<sup>6</sup> miration dans la contemplation de son beau
<sup>6</sup> corps et de seregards manieres.' Hist. des Troub. tom. 2. p. 225.

(9) Deudes de Prades, a Troubadour, has this fentiment: 'Je ne voudrois pas être en Paradis,
'à condition de ne point aimer celle que j'adore.' Hist. des Troub. tom. 1. p. 321.

(10) It

(10) It was faid wittily, but not without reafon,
by the Troubadour Raimond de Caftelnau: 'Si
Dieu fauve pour bien manger et avoir des femmes, les moines noirs, les moines blancs, les
Templiers, les Hofpitaliers, et les Chanoines
auront le Paradis; et Saint Pierre et Saint And é
font bien dupes d'avoir tant fouffert de tourmens,
pour un paradis qui coute fi peu aux autres.'
Hift. des Troub. tome 3. p 78.

It was in confequence of the depraved manners of the clergy, that, in England, the perfonage who, in the feafon of Chriftmas feftivity, was to prefide in the houfes of the nobility over riotous mirth and indecent indulgencies, was termed ' the ab-' bot of mifrule.' This character appears in the eftablifhment of the fifth Earl of Northumberland, an. 1512. Houfbold-book, p. 344. See alfo Dr. Percy's notes to this record,

In Scotland, the fame character or perfonage feems to have been ftill more common, and even fo familiar in the loweft ranks of civil life, that he grew to be a nuifance in towns and boroughs. His appellation there was, ' the abbot of unrea-' fon;' and, when the feverity and ftarchnefs of the reformation foured and deformed this country with the hypocritical precifenefs, and the difmal formality which have not yet left it, an act of parliament was thought expedient to fupprefs and abolifh

#### 412 A VIEW OF SOCIETY

abolifh an office fo highly licentious and profane. 6. Parl. Mary 1555.

(11) Giannone, Hiftory of Naples, vol. 1. p. 283. 446. Mezeray, Moeurs de l'Eglife du xi. fiecle. Du Cange and Spelman, voc. Focaria. Ste Palaye fur l'anc. cheval. partie 5.

(12) Joinville, Hiftoire de S. Louis, p. 32.

(13) 'Si quis dixerit conjugi, malam licentiam 'dando, vade et concumbe cum tali homine; aut fi 'dixerit alicui homini, veni et fac cum muliere mea 'carnis commixtionem; et tale malum factum fue-'rit, et cauffa probata fuerit, quod per ipfum ma-'ritum factum fit, ita ftatuimus, ut illa mulier, 'quae hoc malum fecerit et confenferit, moriatur, 'fecundum anterius edictum; quia nec talem 'cauffam facere, nec celare debuit.' Leg. Longobard. p. 1096. ap. Georgifch, Corp. Jur. Germ. Antiq.

This law evinces the antiquity and the heinoufnefs of the practice alluded to; but, in pofterior times, the fashion was thought of more lightly, and too prevalent to be punished with severity. See fome curious information in *Du Cange*, voc. *Cugus*, *Cucucia*, *Licentia Mala*, *Uxorare*.

(14) The Gynaeceum, by which the apartment was expressed where the women were kept to work

work at the needle, and other domeftic employments, came to fignify a brothel, or place of debauch, from the use that was made of it. Du Cange, voc. Gynaeceum. Over the doors of a palace which belonged to Cardinal Woolfey, there was written, Domus Meretricum Domini Cardinalis. It has been faid, indeed, that Meretrices flood of old for Lotrices; and the advocates for the chaftity of the Cardinal contend, of confequence, that this infcriprion only ferved to direct to his laundry. But, I am afraid, that this plea will not hold. For the terms were convertible; and the women who acted in the laundry, and who were employed in working. in linen and tapeftry, were in general the convenient mistresses, to whom their lords paid a temporary worship. It was from some mistakes of this fort, that, in the reign of Elizabeth, there was an order, that no laundreffes, nor women called victuallers, fhould come into the gentlemens chambers of Gray's Inn, ' unlefs they were full forty years of ' age.' Dugdale, Orig. Jurid. p. 286.

(15) Ranulph. de Hengham, Summa Magna, cap. 2. and Selden's notes to it.

(16) In the Britannia, in the defeription of Surrey, there is this notice. 'Hamo de Catton held
Cattefhull-manour by being Marshal of the whores
when the King should come into these parts.' Camden, vol. 1. p. 181. In the reign of Edward II. Thomas

Thomas de Warblynton held the manor of Shirefeld in Hampshire, of the King in chief, by the feijeanty of being Marshal of the whores in the King's household, and of difmembering malefactors condemned, and of measuring the galons and bushels in the King's household. The words of the record are, ' Tenuit in capite, die quo obiit de Domino E. nuper ' rege Angliae patre regis nunc, per fargantiam ' effendi Mareschallus de meretricibus in bospitio regis, ' et dismembrare malesactores adjudicatos, et ' mensurare galones et bussellos in hospitio regis.' Pass. Fines 1. Edw. III. Rot. 8. a. ap. Bar. Angl. p. 242.

(17) The vaffal forfeited his eftate in the following cafes: 'Si dominum cucurbitaverit (id eft, 'uxorem ejus ftupraverit,) vel turpiter cum ea
'luferit. Si cum filia domini concubuerit, vel
'nepte ex filio, vel cum nupta filio, vel cum forore
'domini fui in capillo, id eft, in domo fua manente.'.
Lib. Feud. ap. Spelman Gloff. voc. Felonia.

The words *in capillo*, allude to a peculiarity in the Germanic and Gothic manners, which deferves to be explained. All virgins wore their hair uncovered, and with ornaments. Married women concealed their hairs, and covered their heads. The ornaments for the hair were many. And, in the progrefs of time, it was not the hair of their heads only, that the women were curious to deck out. The mother

mother of the fair Gabrielle being affaffinated, her body lay, for many hours, exposed, in a public manner to the spectator, and in a postrue so exceedingly *indecent*, that it discovered a strange mode or affectation. In this last fashion, which was probably introduced in the decline of chivalry the ornaments were ribands of different colours; and, it feems to have been peculiar to women of rank and condition. St Foix, Ef. Hist. vol. 4. p. 82.

In general, it merits remark, that the veneration for their hair entertained by the Germans and their posterity, was very great, and gave rife to a multitude of cuftoms. It was a mark of refined attention in a perfon to prefent a lock of his hair to a friend on faluting him; it was to fay, that he that he was as much devoted to him as his flave.' To take away the hair of a confpirator, was one of the most afflicting parts of his punishment. To give a flave the permiffion of allowing his hair to grow, was to offer him his freedom. Du Cange and Spelman, voc. Capilli. William Earl of Warrenne, in the age of Henry I I. granted and confirmed to the church of St. Pancrace of Lewes, certain land, rent, and tithe, and gave feifine of them ' per capillos capitis sui, et fratris sui Radulfi 6 de Warr. quos abscidit de capitibus suis cum cule tello ante altare. Mig. rot. 24. Henry III. ap. Madox. Hift. of the Excheq. Prefatory Epift. p. 30. This

### 416 A VIEW OF SOCIETY

This must have been a compliment in the highest ftyle of flattery; and the clergy of St Pancrace must have been enchanted with the politeness of this nobleman.

There feems fomething wild and romantic in fuch ufages; yet they produced the locket and the hair-ring of modern times; and we finile not, nor are furprifed, that thefe fhould teach us to employ our moments of foftnefs in melancholy recollections of abfent beauty, or departed friendfhip. What is diftant and remote, affect us with its ridicule. What is prefent and in practice, efcapes our cenfure. In the one inftance, we act with the impartiality of philofophers; in the other, we are carried away by our paffions and our habitudes.

(18) St Foix, Eff. Hiftor. vol. 1. p. 102. Stow,
Survey of London, in the Edition of Strype, vol.
2. p. 7.

(19) There is evidence of public or licenfed flews in England in Stat. 2. Henry VI. cap. 1. in Cowel, vic. Stews, Spelman, voc. Stuba, and in Coke, 3. Inftitute. cb. 98. Henry II. gave his privilege to the flew-houfes of Southwark, according to the ' old cuftoms which had been ufed there time out ' of mind.' And patents confirming their liberties were granted by other princes. Stow, in Strype's edit.

### IN EUROPE.

edit. vol. 2. p. 7. In Normandy, there was a custos meretricum; and this officer seems to have been known in the different countries of Europe. Du Cange, voc. Custos meretricum, et Panagator.

It has frequently been a fubject of inquiry among politicians; whether public flews, under proper regulations, with a view to the health of individuals and the peace of fociety, be not an advantageous inftitution. In fome states of Europe, a tolerated or authorized proftitution is known at this day." And, by the Code of Gentoo laws, this inftitution was acknowledged as falutary; and proftitutes forming a community were, in Hindoftan, an object of care to the government. I avoid, however, to enter into a queftion of fuch infinite delicacy." It is dangerous in a flate to give the flighteft flab to morality. Yet, I cannot but observe, that, in the most cultivated nations, there are laws and regulations which wound morality more feverely than could be done by an authorized proftitution, and with lefs of utility to mankind.

(20) The licenfed ftew-houfes in the reign of Henry VII. were the Boar's-head, the Crofs-keys, the Gun, the Caftle, the Crane, the Cardinal's-hat, the Bell, the Swan, &c. Sir Edward Coke has preferved this information, 3. Institute, p. 205. In the time of Edward VI. Bishop Latimer complain-E e e ed

## 418 A VIEW OF SOCIETY.

ed and preached to the following tenor. 'There 'is more open whoredom, more *stued* whoredom, 'than ever was before. For God's fake, let it be 'lookt to.' *Stow*, in *Strype's edit*. vol. 2. p. 8.

(21) 3 Institute, p. 206.

(22) Spelman voc. Stuba, 3. Inftitute, p. 205.

asimulitors costonter no vid anno od bluoo sada

The second of the second of the

CON-

# CONCLUSION.

Prefume not to think that I have exhaufted the topics I treat in this volume. For, what fubject does not ftretch to infinity? But it has been my particular care to go back to the fources, and to express the beginnings of law, government, and manners; and I have been folicitous to open up, with a due advantage, the original ideas, which I have ventured to ftrike out, and which, perchance, may attract the notice of the ingenious and the learned. Yet, when I confider what many great men have written before me concerning human affairs, I know not, whether it ought to flatter my pride, or to fill me with shame, that I, too, have yielded to my reflections and my fentiments; and, though in the obscurity of a private station, and in the fervour of youth, have prefented to my fellowcitizens this afpiring fruit of my fludies and ambition.

### Ee 2 APPENDIX.



## No. I. P. 224.

ARTICLE I. Charta Dotis quam Folradus constituit Helegrinae Sponsae Suae.

N Dei nomine. Dulcissima sponsa mea Helegrina. Ego enim Folradus filius quondam Eriperti ex genere Francorum, et modo habitator fum in pago Pinnenfi. Dum non eft incognitum qualiter per voluntatem Dei vel parentum quondam tuorum te desponsavi et carnali conjugio sociari dispono, propterea dono tibi He! sponsa mea in honore pulchritudinis tuae in die nuptiali dotem dignam atque aptam, hoc eft, manfos meos infra vicum Pinnensem, qui mihi pertinet et ex comparatione evenit et data mea pecunia comparavi. Trado tibi ipfos mansos cum omni integritate sua et domum dignam ad commanendum exquifitam cafam unam conftratam, cum omnibus utenfilibus et vafis, cum omnibus adjacentiis ad ipfos manfos afpicientibus vel pertinentibus, cum terris et vineis, pomis,

pomis, cum omnibus quae fuper se habentur vel ad ipfam curtem de Vico pertinent, et quae habere visus sun, vel inantea Deo adjuvante ibidem parare vel conquirere potuero, in integrum ista omnia fuperius comprehenfa, fi nos Deus carnali conjugio fociari voluerit, in die nuptiali tibi dono, trado, atque transcribo ad possidendum, ut quicquid exinde facere volueris, liberam et firmisfimam in omnibus habeas potestatem. Si quis vero, quod futurum effe non credo, fuerit inpoftmodum ego aut aliquis de heredibus meis, seu quaelibet ulla opposita persona contra hanc cartulam libellum dotis venire tentavertit, aut eam frangere voluerit, primitus iram Dei incurrat, et infuper una cum focio fisco aurum libram unam, argentum pondera duo mulctam componat, et quod repetit non vendicet, fed haec cartula libellum dotis omni tempore firma et stabillis permaneat, cum stipulatione subnixa. Unde pro stabilitate vestra Audoaldum Notarium fcribere rogavimus. Actum in Vico anno regni et imperii Domini Ludovici xxx. et primo anno Supponis Comitis, die viiii. menfis Junii, Indictione v. Signum Folradi, qui hunc libellum dotis fieri rogavit. Lioto, Majolfus, Aloini, teftes. An. 827. Ex. Chartulario Monasterii Casauriensis, ap Baluz. Capit. Reg. Franc. vol. 2. p. 1427.

ART.

celam unam confiratam, cuna

ART. II. A reciprocal Grant: Roger Pit and his Wife grant and release to the Priour of Brommore a Tenement held in Dower; and the Priour grants a yearly Rent for the Life of the Wife.

SCIANT praesentes et futuri, quod haec est carta Cyrographata, anno ab Incarnacione Domini Millefimo CCXLIIII facta, inter Dominum S. Priorem et Conventum de Brummore ex una parte, et Rogerum de la Putte et Editham uxorem suam ex altera. Scilicet, quod praedictus Rogerus et Editha uxor sua, tradiderunt, et concesserunt, et quietum clamaverunt, ad vitam ipforum, totum tenementum quod dictae Edithae evenit in dotem, de Hugone Fichet, in villa de Brummore, cum omnibus pertinenciis. Et dicti Prior et Conventus tenentur reddere, fingulis annis, ad feftum S. Michaelis, tres fol. et VI denar. dictis Rogero et Edithae uxori fuae, quamdiu ipfa vixerit. Si vero, quod abfit, praedicti Prior et Conventus dictum redditum, scilicet III. fol. et VI den. praenotato termino non folverint praedictis R et E uxori suae, licebit tenementum fuum diftringere, donec fuerit eis fatisfactum. Tenentur etiam acquietare dictum Rogerum et E uxorem suam, de omnibus sectis tam comitatus quam Hundredi, et omnium aliarum Curiarum, et de omnibus taillagiis tam Regalibus quam aliis, dicto tenemento spectantibus. Hanc Convencionem fideliter et fine dolo tenendam, ex utraque parte affidaverunt, affidaverunt Et ad majorem securitatem faciendum, alterno scripto figilla sua apposuerunt. Hiis testibus, Ric. de Burle, Johanne Baldewin, Johanne de Brummore, Rocelino de Burle, Hugone de Lapolet, Phillippo le Champiun; et multis alliis. Ap. Madox, Formulare Anglicanum, p. 84.

### ART. III. A Release of yearly Rent in Dower

OMNIBUS Chrifti fidelibus ad quos praesens Scriptum pervenerit, Nicholaa quae fui uxor Willelmi de Nafford in Bereford falutem in Domino." Noveritis me in pura et legitima viduaetate mea, relaxaffe et omnino pro me et haeredibus meis vel affignatis quietum clamaffe Domino Fulconi de Lucy Militi, et haeredibus fuis vel affignatis, totum Jus et clameum quod habui vel aliquo modo habere potui, in tribus folidatis redditus quos ab eodem Domino Fulcone recipere folebam nomine Dotis meae per annum, ad festum Sancti Michaelis, de tenemento quod Johannes de Merebull tenuit in Bereford; Ita quod nec ego nec haeredes mei vel affignati, nec aliquis nomine meo, aliquid juris vel clamii a praefato Domino Fulcone et haeredibus fuis vel affignatis, occafione dictorum trium folidorum redditus, decaetero exigere velvendicare potermus. In cujus rei testimonium, praesenti scripto Sigillum meum appofui. Datum Berefordiae die Lunae in Crastino S. Mariae Magdelenae Anno regni Regis,

Regis Edwardi decimo nono Ap. Formulare Anglicanum, p. 381.

No. II. 239.

ART. I. A Feoffment in Frankmarriage of Land, a Capital Manshon, &c. made to a Man with the Daughter of the Feoffer.

SCIANT omnes tam praesentes quam futuri, quod ego Petrus de Pokotorp dedi et concessi, et hac mea praesenti carta confirmavi, Herveio filio Willelmi filii Jole, in Maritagio cum Matilda filia mea. duas bovatas terrae in Snape, cum pertinenciis: illas scilicet quae funt remociores a Sole, in dimidia carucata terrae quam Robertus filius Radulfi michi dedit pro Humagio et Servicio meo; Et capitalem Manfuram meam in eadem villa: Et gardinum meum ultra aquam; et pratum meum apud Sutham Kelde ; Et praeterea apud Joles Croft tres acras terrae et dimidiam ; Illi et haeredibus qui de praedicta filia mea exibunt : Tenendum de me et de haeredibus meis in feudo et haereditate, libere, et quiete : Faciendo forinfecum fervicium. quantum pertinet duabus bovatis terrae in feudo quo duodecim carucate terrae faciunt feudum unius Militis. Et ex incremento dedi ei fervicium duarum

duarum bovatarum terrae in Torneton Watlous, quas Herveius de Norfolke de me tenuit, et quas Tomas de Torneton et Beatricia Sponsa sua michi pro Humagio et Servicio meo dederunt. Hiis testibus (viz. Seven Persons) et multis aliis. Ap. Formulare Anglicanum, p. 79.

### ART. II. A Feoffment, or Gift of Land in Frankmarriage with the Sifter of the Donor.

SCIANT tam praesentes quam futuri, quod ego Ricardus Takel de Burnham, cum affenfu Miruldae uxoris meae, et Galfridi mei filii et haeredis mei, dedi et concessi, et praesenti carta mea confirmavi, Galfrido filio Johannis de Haxai, cum Alicia forore mea, in libero maritagio, totam terram quam habui arabilem et in prato in Blespit; illi scilicet et haeredibus fuis, tenendam de me et haeredibus meis, libere, folide, et quiete : Reddendo inde annnuatimmihi et haere dibusmeis, proomni servicioet exactione faeculari ad nos pertinente, iiijor denarios ad duos terminos, fcilicet duos denar. ad feftum Omnium Sanctorum, et duos denar; ad Purificacionem beatae Mariae. Et ego et haeredes mei, praedictam terram illi et haeredibus fuis, pro praedicto fervicio, contra omnes homines warantizabimus in perpetuum. Hiis teftibus, Roberto Takel de Burnham, Galfrido de Burnham, Roberto de Burnham, Roberto Norrais, Gregorio ad Aulam, Samfone de Landeles, Elid Capellano; et multis aliis. A. Formulare Anglicanum, p. 81.

No. III.

## No. III. P. 254.

ART. I. A Grant of Privilege and Protection from King Edward to the Abbey of Bury St. Edmund.

E ADWEARDUS Rex falutem mitto meis Episcopis et meis Comitibus, et omnibus Theinis meis qui sunt in Sciris ubi Sanctus Eadmundus habet Terras, benevole. Et vobis significo, quod volo ut Leoss Abbas et omnes Fratres in Eadmundi burgo Saca et Socna sua libere potiantur de omnibus suis propriis hominibus, tam intra Burgum quam extra. Et nolo pati ut quisquam eis ullam injuriam inferat. Ap. Form. Anglic. p. 290.

ART. II. A Mandate of Protection from King Henry the Second for the Abbey of Battell.

H. DEI gratia Rex Angliae, et Dux Normanniae et Aquitaniae, et Comes Audegaviae Jufticiariis, Vicecomitibus, et omnibus Ministris suis Angliae, in quorum baillivis Abbas et Monachi de Bello habent terras, salutem. Praecipio vobis, quod cuftodiatis et manuteneatis et protegatis Abbatiam de Bello et Monachos ibidem Deo servientes, et terras

et

et omnes res et possessiones fuas, ficut meus proprias; nequis eis injuriam faciat vel contumeliam; Et non vexetis eos, nec injuriam aliquam eis faciatis nec fieri permittatis, exigendo ab eis confuetudines vel fervitia quae Cartae meae et Antecessorum meorum testantur quod facere non debent; Et siquis eis injuriam intulerit, contra libertates et confuetudines quas Cartae suae testantur quod habere debent, eam ipsis fine dilatione emendari faciatis. Teste Ricardo Episcopo Wintoniens apud Lutegaressall. Ap. Form. Anglic. p. 296.

## ART. III. Fines made to Kings, that they would remit their Resentments and Indignation.

OSBERTUS de Lerec. debet cc marcas argenti, ut Rex pardonaret ei et Ofberto Clerico fuo malivolentiam fuam. Mag. Rot. 5. Steph.

Tomas Clericus de Camera debet ij palefridos pro Roberto Capellano, ut Rex perdonaret eidem Roberto, malivolentiam fuam, quia comeditcum praedicto Toma apud Corf. Mag. Rot. 6. Job.

Galfridus de Infula debet quater xxxv marcas, ut Rex remittat indignationem. Ex. Memor. 31. Henr. 3. Rot. 10. Willelmus de Ros debet c marcas, ut Rex remittat indignationem. Ib. Rot. 11. Madox, Hist. of the Exchequer, vol. 1. p. 472-476.

ART:

ART. IV. Fines for Favour and Protection.

GILEBERTUS filius Fergafi debet DCCCC et xix l. et ix s. pro habenda benevolentia Regis. Mag. Rot. 26. H. 2. Rot. 4.

Radulfus Murdac debet L l. and vij s. and vij d pro habendo amore regis Ricardi. Mag. Rot. 11. J. Rot. 14.

Decanus et capitulum Londoniae debent ij palefridos, pro protectione, nec vexentur contra libertates cartarum fuarum. Mag. Rot. 2. J. Rot. 11. Hist of Excheq: cb. 13.

## No. IV. P. 262.

An Accord or Truce between the Earl Marsball, and the Earl of Gloucester, and their Men, under Reciprocal Oath.

SCIANT hoc scriptum visuri, quod cum die Dominica proxima ante Cathedram Sancti Petri, Inter Dominum R. de Clifford ex parte Comitis Marescalli, Et Dominum Ricardum Basset et Martinum, Hostiarium, ex parte Comitis Glocestriae, super quibusdam excessibus tractatus haberetur; Tandem inter eos sic convenit. Videlicet quod Homines dictorum Comitum, fidelem et sirmam Treugam ex utraque

utraque parte, a dicta die Dominica usque in fexdecim dies proximo fequentes inviolabiliter observabunt. Et Dominus R. de Clyfford, die Lunae proximo post dictum Festum, ad Comitem Gloceftriae apud Cirencestriam accedet, ad formandam pacem inter praedictos Comites. Et fi alter eorum tunc venire nequiverit, hoc alteri parti die Veneris proximo praecedente vel die Sabbati, scilicet Comiti Glocestriae apud Fayreford, vel Domino Rogero de Clyfford apud Suttun juxta Banneburiam denuncietur. Ad hoc fi Morgan filius Hoel dictam Tregam pro fe et Hominibus suis tenere voluerit, recipiatur in ipfam; Quod fi noluerit, tunc durantibus Treugis habitabit in montanis, nec in aliquod Caftrum vel Burgum ipfe vel fui interim admittentur. Haec autem firmiter, et absque dolo, et omni cavillatione, Dominus R. de Clyfford et W. de Lucy ex parte Comitis Marscalli, et Dominus R. Basset et M. Hostiarius pro Comite Gloucestriae, affidaverunt. In hujus autem rei testimonium, praesens scriptum in modum Cirograffi est compofitum; Cujus una pars, Sigillis dicti R. de Clyfford et W. de Lucy fingnata, dictis R. Baffet et M. est commissa, Reliqua vero parte, fingnis dicti R. Baffet et M. fingnata, penes R. de Clyfford remanente. Ap. Form. Anglic. p. 84.

rum Comitum, fidelen et firmans Trepann es

ART.

## No. V. P. 282.

Ser.

### ART: I. An Injunction not to torney by Henry III.

REX Comitibus, Baronibus, Militibus, et omnibus aliis, ad inftantem diem Jovis in vigilla Beati Martini, seu aliis diebus apud Warrewicum, ad torneandum ibidem conventuris, falutem. Mandamus vobis, in fide, homagio et dilectione, quibus nobis tenemini, firmiter injungentes, et sub poena amiflionis terrarum et tenementorum et omnium bonorum vestrorum, quae in regno nostro habetis, districte inhibentes, ne ibi velalibi in eodem regno nostro torneare, justas facere, aventuras quaerere, seu alio modo ad arma ire, praesumatis, fine Licentianostraspeciali. Scituri, quod fi secus egeritis, nos terras, tenementa et omnia bona vestra in manum nostram capiemus, et ea retinebimus tanquam nobis forisfacta. In cujus, &c. T. Rege apud Westmon. iiii die Novembris. Pat. 57. Hen. 3. m. I Apud Madox, Baronia Anglica, p. 283.

## ART. II. A Probibition of Torneaments by Edward III.

REX Vicecomiti Lincolniae falutem. Praecipimus tibi, firmiter injungentes, quod ftatim vifis praefentibus, per totum ballivam tuam, in Civitatibus bus, Burgis, et locis aliis quibus melius videris expedire, publice proclamari, et diftricte ex parte nostra facias inhiberi, nequi sub forisfactura vitae et membrorum, terrarum et tenementorum, bonorum et catallorum fuorum, ac omnium illorum quae nobis forisfacere poterunt, torneamenta, juítas aut burdeicias facere, feu aliter infra ballivam tuam ad arma ire praesumant, set se praeparent quanto potentius poterunt, ad proficifcendum nobifcum in obsequium nostrum ad partes Scociae, ad rebellionem et nequiciam quorundam Scotorum rebellium et proditorum nostrorum, jam contra nos prodicionaliter infurgencium, viriliter, cum Deo et ipforum adjutorio, reprimendam; Ita quod omnes homines ad arma de balliva tua, quilibet videlicet juxta exigenciam Status fui, fint ad nos cum equis et armis apud Karliolum, in quindena Nativitatis Sancti Johannis Baptistae proximo futura ad ultimum, ad apponendum una nobifeum, et cum confimilibus fidelibus noftris, quos tunc nobifcum ibidem adesse contigerit, super negociis ftatum terrae nostrae Scocia tangentibus, prout nobis Altifimus duxerit infpirandum confilium et. juvamen. Praecipimus etiam tibi, quod fi qui vel quis torneamenta, justas, aut burdeicias, contra hanc inhibitionem noftram, infra ballivam tuam facere, seu aliter ad arma ire praesumant vei praeumat, tunc corpora ipforum vel ipfius, quos vel quem delinquentes vel delinquentem inveneris in hac

hac parte, fine dilatione capias, et in prifona noftra falvo cuftodias, donec aliud inde praeceperimus. Et nos de hiis quae facienda duxeris in praemiflis, in craftino Sanctae Trinitatis proximo futuro reddas diftincte et aperte certiores, hoc breve nobis remittentes. T. Rege apud Wolvefeye vi die Aprilis.

Eodem modo mandatum eft fingulis Vicecomitibus Angliae. Clauf. 34. Edward 3. m. 16. dorfo, Ap. Baron. Anglic. p. 289.

# No. VI. p. 298.

The Order and Manner of creating Knights of the Bath in the Time of Peace, according to the Custom of England \*.

1. WHEN an equire comes to court, to receive the order of knighthood, in the time of peace, according to the cuftom of England, he fhall be honourably received by the officers of F f the

\* This narrative is a translation of an old tract in French, which was first published by Edward Byshe, Esq; in his learned notes to Upton de Studio Militari, p. 21.-24. Sir William Dugdale took the trouble to turn it into English, in his antiquities of Warwickshire, vol. 2 p. 708.-710. Both in Byshe and in Dugdale this narrative the court; Sc. the fteward or the chamberlain, if they be prefent, but otherwife by the marshalls and ushers. Then there shall be provided two efquires of honour, grave, and well seen in courtship and nurture, as also in the feats of chivalrie, and they shall be esquires, and governours in all things relating to him, which shall take the order aforefaid.

2. And if the equire do come before dinner, he shall carry up one dish of the first course to the king's table.

3. And after this the efquire's governours shall conduct the efquire, that is to receive the order, into his chamber, without any more being feen that day.

5. And when the elquire s governouis are had a

4. And in the evening the equire's governours fhall fend for the barbour, and they fhall make ready a bath, hanfomely hung with linen, both within and without the veffel, taking care that it

narrative is illustrated by figures, delineated from a book in which they were drawn in colours, in the time of Edward IV. Pere Daniel holds it as expressive of the ceremonies used in France; and, it is to be thought, that they were universal over Europe. The original French, of which the naiveté of the style has been observed, is to be found both in Upton and P. Daniel. Of the ceremonies, the fantastickness and levity are not more remarkable, than the important feriousness with which they were performed.

be covered with tapiftrie and blankets, in refpect of the coldnefs of the night. And then fhall the efquire be fhaven, and his hair cut round. After which the efquire's governours fhall go to the king, and fay, Sir, it is now in the evening, and the efquire is fitted for the bath when you pleafe: Whereupon the king fhall command his chamberlain that he fhall take along with him unto the efquire's chamber, the moft gentle and grave knights that are prefent, to inform, counfel, and inftruct him touching the order, and feats of chivalrie: And, in like manner, that the other efquires of the houfehold, with the minftrells, fhall proceed before the knights, finging, dancing, and fporting, even to the chamber door of the faid efquire.

5. And when the efquire's governours shall hear the noife of the ministrells, they shall undrefs the faid equire, and put him naked into the Bath: But, at the entrance into the chamber, the efquire's governours shall cause the music to cease, and the efquires alfo for a while. And this being done, the grave knights shall enter into the chamber without making any noife, and, doing reverence to each other, shall confider which of themselves it shall be that is to instruct the esquire in the order and course of the bath. And when they are agreed, then shall the chief of them go to the bath, and, kneeling down before it, fay, with a foft voice : Sir ! be this bath of great bonour to you ; and Ff2 then

then he shall declare unto him the feats of the order, as far as he can, putting part of the water of the bath upon the shoulder of the esquire; and having so done, take his leave. And the esquire's governours shall attend at the sides of the bath, and so likewise the other knights, the one after the other, till all be done.

quire to take their leave of him; and he in 6. Then shall these knights go out of the chamber for a while; and the equire's governours shall take the equire out of the bath, and help him to his bed, there to continue till his body be dry; which bed shall be plain and without curtains. And as foon as he is dry, they shall help him out of bed, they shall cloath him very warm, in respect of the cold of the night; and over his inner garments shall put on a robe of russet, with long fleives, having a hood thereto, like unto that of an hermite. And the equire being out of the bath, the barbour shall take away the bath, with whatfoever appertaineth thereto, both within and without, for his fee; and likewife for the coller (about his neck) be he earl, baron, baneret, or batcheler, according to the cuftom of the court.

7. And then shall the esquire's Governours open the dore of the chamber, and shall cause the antient and grave knights to enter, to conduct the esquire to the chapell: And when they are come in, the esquires, sporting and dancing, shall go before the

the equire, with the minftrells, making melodie to the chapell.

8. And being entered the chapell, there fhall be wine and fpices ready to give to the knights and efquires. And when the efquire's governours fhall bring the faid knights before the efquire to take their leave of him; and he fhall give them thanks all together, for the pains, favour, and courtefie which they have done him; and this being performed, they fhall depart out of the chapell.

9. Then fhall the efquire's governours fhut the dore of the chapell, none ftaying therein except themfelves, the prieft, the chandler, and the watch. And, in this manner fhall the efquire ftay in the chapell all night, till it be day, beftowing himfelf in orifons and prayers, befeeching Almighty God, and his bleffed mother, that, of their good grace, they will give him ability to receive this high temporal dignitie, to the honour, praife, and fervice of them; as alfo of holy church, and the order of knight-hood. And, at day break, one fhall call the prieft to confefs him of all his fins, and, having heard mattines and mafs, fhall afterwards be commended, if he pleafe.

And after his entrance into the chapell, there and fhall be a taper burning before him; and fo foon as as mass is begun, one of the governours shall hold the taper untill the reading of the gospell; and then shall the governour deliver in into his hands, who shall hold it himself, till the gospel be ended; but then shall receive it again from him, and set it before him, there to shand during the whole time of mass.

11. And at the elevation of the hoft, one of the governours shall take the hood from the esquire, and afterwards deliver it to him again, untill the gospel *in principio*; and at the beginning thereof the governour shall take the same hood again, and cause it to be carried away, and shall give him the taper again into his own hands.

12. And then, having a peny, or more, in readinefs, near to the candleftick, at the words verbum caro fathum eft, the efquire, kneeling, fhall offer the taper and the peny; that is to fay, the the taper to the honour of God, and the peny to the honour of the perfon that makes him a knight. All which being performed, the efquire's governours fhall conduct the efquire to his chamber, and fhall lay him again in bed till it be full day light. And when he fhall be thus in bed, till the time of his rifing, he fhall be cloathed with a covering of gold, called Singleton, and this fhall be lined with blew Cardene. And when the governours fhall fee it fit time, they fhall go to the king, and

and fay to him; Sir, when doth it please you that our master shall rife? Whereupon the king shall command the gave knights, equires, and minftrells, to go to the chamber of the faid equire for to raife him, and to attire and drefs him, and to bring him before him into the hall. But, before their entrance, and the noife of the minftrells heard, the elquire's governours shall provide all necessaries ready for the order, to deliver to the knights, for to attire and drefs the efquire.

And when the knights are come to the equire's chamber, they shall enter with leave, and fay to him; Sir, Good-morrow to you, it is time to get up and make yourfelf ready; and thereupon they shall take him by the arm to be dreffed, the most antient of the faid knights reaching him his fhirt, another giving him his breeches, the third his doublet; and another putting upon him a kirtle of red Tartarin, two other shall raise him from the bed, and two other put on his nether flockings; with foles of leather fowed to them; two other shall lace his fleives, and another shall gird him with a girdle of white leather, without any buckles thereon; another shall combe his head; another shall put on his coife; another shall give him his mantle of filk (over the bases or kirtle of red Tartarin) tyed with a lace of white filk, with a pair of white gloves hanging at the end of the lace. And

And the chandler fhall take for his fees all the garments, with the whole array and neceffaries wherewith the efquire fhall be apparelled and cloathed on the day that he comes into the court to receive the order; as alfo the bed wherein he first lay after his bathing, together with the fingleton and other neceffaries; in confideration of which fees, the fame chandler shall find, at his proper cost, the faid coife, the gloves, the girdle, and the lace.

13. And when all this is done, the grave knights shall get on horseback, and conduct the equire to the hall, the minftrells going before making mulick : But the horfe must be accoutred as followeth : The faddle having a cover of black leather, the bow of the faddle being of white wood quartered. The ftirrup-leathers black, the ftirrups gilt; the paitrell of black leather gilt, with a crofs pate gilt, hanging before the breaft of the horfe, but without any crooper : The bridle black, with long notched reins, after the Spanifly fashion, and a cross pate on the front. And there must be provided a young equire, courteous, who shall ride before the efquire, bareheaded, and carry the efquire's fword, with the fpurs hanging at the handle of the fword; and the fcabbard of the fword fhall be of white leather, and the girdle of white leather, without buckles. And the youth fhall ciols

shall hold the sword by the point, and after this manner must they ride to the king's hall, the governours being ready at hand.

14. And the grave knights shall conduct the faid equire; and as soon so they come before the hall dore, the marshalls and huissers are to be ready to meet him, and defire him to alight; and being alighted, the marshall shall take the horse for his fee, or elfe c s. Then shall the knights conduct him into the hall, up to the high table, and afterwards up to the end of the second table, until the king's coming, the knights standing on each fide of him, and the youth holding the fword upright before him, between the two governours.

15. And when the king is come into the hall, and beholdeth the efquire ready to receive this high order and temporal dignitie, he fhall afke for the fword and fpurs, which the chamberlain fhall take from the youth, and fhew to the king; and thereupon the king, taking the right fpur, fhall deliver it to the most noble and gentile perfon there, and fhall fay to him, *Put this upon the efquire's beel*; and he kneeling on one knee, must take the efquire by the right leg, and, putting his foot on his own knee, is to fasten the fpur upon the right heel of the efquire; and then making a most of the effurities of the effurities of the making a

crofs upon the efquire's knee, fhall kifs him; which being done, another knight muft come and put on his left fpur in the like manner. And then fhall the king, of his great favour, take the fword and gird the efquire therewith; whereupon the efquire is to lift up his armes, holding his hands together, and the gloves betwixt his thumbs and fingers.

16. And the king, putting his own armes about the efquire's neck, fhall fay, *Be thou a good knight*, and afterwards kifs him. Then are the antient knights to conduct this new knight to the chapell, with much mufick, even to the high altar, and there he shall kneel, and, putting his right hand upon the altar, is to promife to maintain the rights of the holy church, during his whole life.

17. And then he shall ungirt himself of his fword, and, with great devotion to God and holy church, offer it there; praying unto God and all his faints, that he may keep that order, which he hath so taken, even to the end: All which being accomplished, he is to take a draught of wine.

18. And, at his going out of the chapell, the king's mafter-cook being ready to take off his fpurs, for his own fee, shall fay, I the king's mastercook am come to receive your spurs for my fee; and if you do any thing contrary to the order of knightbood, (which

4:12

(which God forbid) I shall hack your Spurs from your beels.

19. After this the knights muft conduct him again into the hall, where he fhall fit the firft at the knight's table, and the knights about him, himfelf to be ferved as the others are; but he muft neither cut nor drink at the table, nor fpit, nor look about him, upwards or downwards, more than a bride. And this being done, one of his governours having a handkerchief in his hand, fhall hold it before his face when he is to fpit. And when the king is rifen from the table, and gone into his chamber, then fhall the new knight be conducted, with great flore of knights, and minftrells proceeding before him, into his own chamber; and at his entrance, the knights and minftrells fhall take leave of him, and go to dinner.

20. And the knights being thus gone, the chamber dore fhall be faftened, and the new knight difrobed of his attire, which is to be given to the kings of armes, in cafe they be there prefent; and if not, then to the other heralds, if they be there; otherwife, to the minftrells, together with a mark of filver, if he be a knight bacheler; if a baron, double to that; if an earl, or of a fuperior rank, double thereto. And the ruffet night-cap must be given to the watch, or elfe a noble.

Then

444

Then is he to be cloathed again with a blew robe, the fleives whereof to be ftreight, fhaped after the fashion of a priest's; and upon his left fhoulder to have a lace of white filk hanging : And he shall wear that lace upon all his garments, from that day forwards, untill he have gained fome honour and renown by arms, and is registered of as high record as the nobles, knights, equires, and heralds of arms; and be renowned for fome feats of arms as aforefaid; or, that fome great prince, or most noble ladie, can cut that lace from his shoulder, faying, Sir ! we have heard fo much of the true renown concerning your bonour, which you have done in divers parts, to the great fame of Chivalrie, as to yourfelf, and of him that made you a knight, that it is meet this lace be taken from you.

21. After dinner, the knights of honour and gentlemen must come to the knight, and conduct him into the prefence of the king, the efquire's governours going before him, where he is to fay, Right noble and renowned Sir! I do in all that I can give you thanks for these honours, courtes, and bountie, which you have vouchsafed to me. And having fo faid, shall take his leave of the king.

22. Then are the equire's governours to take leave of this their mafter, faying, Sir! we have, according

according to the king's command, and as we were obliged, done what we can, but if through negligence we have in aught difpleafed you, or by any thing we have done amifs at this time, we defire pardon of you for it. And, on the other fide, Sir, as right is, according to the cuftoms of the court, and antient kingdoms, we do require our robes and fees, as the king's efquires, companions to batchelors and other lords.

and heraids of arms; and be renowned for fome one of arms as aforefaid; or, that fome great there, or most noble ladie, can cut that lace from this flouider, faying, Sir f we have heard fo much of the true renown concerning your honour, which you have acte in divers parts, to the great fame of Chivalrie, as to merfolf, and of him that made you a knight, that it

## THEEND.

gen. After dianer, the knights of honour and sentlemen mult come to the knight, and conduct han hato the protones of the king, the exquire's gowernours going before him, where he is to fay, Style noble and renounced Sir 1 I do in all that I can give you thanks for these bonours, courtestes, and bountie, tailed, thall take his leave of the king.

22. Than are the efquire's governours to take itevo of this facir mafter, (sying, Sir I we have, ecording









