Dietary studies at the University of Missouri in 1895, and data relating to bread and meat consumption in Missouri / by H.B. Gibson, S. Calvert, and D.W. May.

Contributors

Gibson, H. B. Calvert, S. May, D. W. 1868-1937.

Publication/Creation

[Washington] : [Government Printing Office], [1896]

Persistent URL

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/ssb7w5rm

License and attribution

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org BULLETIN No. 31.

174

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

OFFICE OF EXPERIMENT STATIONS.

DIETARY STUDIES

AT THE

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

IN

1895,

AND

DATA RELATING TO BREAD AND MEAT CONSUMPTION IN MISSOURI.

BY

H. B. GIBSON, S. CALVERT, and D. W. MAY, ... UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI.

WITH COMMENTS,

BY

W. O. ATWATER and CHAS. D. WOODS.



WASHINGTON: GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE. 1896.



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. OFFICE OF EXPERIMENT STATIONS.

DIETARY STUDIES

AT THE

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

IN

1895,

AND

DATA RELATING TO BREAD AND MEAT CONSUMPTION IN MISSOURI.

BY

H. B. GIBSON, S. CALVERT, and D. W. MAY, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI.

WITH COMMENTS,

BY

W. O. ATWATER and CHAS, D. WOODS.



WASHINGTON:
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE,
1896.

-	WELI	LIBRARY
1	Coll.	WelMOmec
	Goll.	pam
	No.	QU 145
		1890
		G44 d

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

United States Department of Agriculture, Office of Experiment Stations, Washington, D. C., June 15, 1896.

SIR: I have the honor to transmit herewith a report on the food supply and consumption in Missouri, made in 1895, by H. B. Gibson, professor of chemistry of the University of Missouri, S. Calvert, and D. W. May. Two dietary studies of a students' club at the University of Missouri and an investigation of the relative bread and meat consumption in families in the State are included in this report. These investigations constitute a part of the inquiries made with aid of the funds appropriated by Congress "to enable the Secretary of Agriculture to investigate and report upon the nutritive value of the various articles and commodities used for human food." They were conducted under the immediate supervision of Prof. W. O. Atwater, special agent in charge of nutrition investigations, in accordance with instructions given by the Director of this Office.

In carrying out the provisions of the act above cited, representative localities have been selected in different parts of the country in order that definite information regarding the food supply and consumption of people living under different conditions might be obtained. The University of Missouri, at Columbia, Mo., offered many facilities for dietary work. It has well-equipped laboratories, and the department of chemistry was under the direction of Professor Gibson, one of the best authorities on dietary work in America. It was the original intention to make a somewhat extended series of investigations, but the work which was begun by Professor Gibson was interrupted by his untimely death in October, 1895. Comments on these investigations made by Professor Atwater and Mr. Woods, and appended to Professor Gibson's report herewith, indicate the value of the Missouri dietary investigations when taken in connection with those carried on elsewhere.

Professor Gibson's report and the accompanying comments by the special agents of this Department are respectfully submitted, with the recommendation that they be published as Bulletin No. 31 of this Office.

Respectfully,

A. C. TRUE, Director.

Hon. J. Sterling Morton, Secretary of Agriculture, Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2018 with funding from Wellcome Library

CONTENTS.

	Page.
Plan of investigations	7
Bread and meat consumption	7
The dietary studies	9
Description of food materials analyzed	10
Dietary studies of the college club in Missouri	13
First dietary study of the college club	13
Second dietary study of the college club	16
Comments on the food investigations at the University of Missouri	21
Bread and meat consumption of families in Missouri	21
Comparison of dietaries of college students in Missouri, Tennessee, and	
Connecticut	22
π	

INVESTIGATIONS CONCERNING FOOD SUPPLY AND CONSUMP-TION IN MISSOURI IN 1895.

This investigation has been prosecuted in two directions, namely, (1) an inquiry regarding the bread and meat consumption in the State, and (2) the studies of two dietaries of a students' boarding club at the State university.

PLAN OF INVESTIGATIONS.

The methods followed in the two dietaries described in this report are essentially those explained by Professor Atwater in a previous publication of this Office.¹ The waste, however, was treated in a different way, which is described in detail. The methods used in analysis are those described in the report of the Connecticut Storrs Station for 1891, pp. 47–49, and in Bulletin No. 29 of this Office, p. 8.

BREAD AND MEAT CONSUMPTION.

The university community furnishes an excellent field for the collection of approximate statistics regarding the dietary practices which prevail throughout the State. The students represent almost every county in the State, and are drawn from families in all the ordinary walks of life. Information furnished by them as to the kinds and approximate quantities of bread and meat used in their homes, while doubtless not accurate in comparison with, for instance, the statistical results of the study of a dietary, compares favorably with the quality of the average statistical information. With a view to the collection of information of this character, a circular, of which the following list of questions formed the essential feature, was placed in the hands of the students of the university:

GENERAL STATISTICS.

Home residence. Town, --- County, ---

Occupation.—Please underscore the occupation of the head of your family: Farmer.

Mechanic. Business. Professional.

Family.—Number of persons over 18 years, ——. Number of persons 12 to 18 years, ——.

SPECIAL STATISTICS.

Bread.—Please state the approximate percentages of the following kinds of bread used in your home: Biscuit, —— per cent; light bread, —— per cent; corn bread, —— per cent.

Meat.—Please state the approximate percentages of the following kinds of meat used in your home: Beef, —— per cent; veal, —— per cent; pork, —— per cent; mutton, —— per cent; poultry, —— per cent; game, —— per cent; fish, —— per cent.

Two hundred and eighty-two replies, representing as many families, living in 74 of the 114 counties of the State, were received. These statistics are given in a condensed form in Table 1. The proportions of the various kinds of bread and meat used at the college boarding club are also included in the table, the quantities of biscuit and corn bread being estimated from the amounts of flour and corn meal which were consumed during the dietary tests. All the raised bread used at the club was purchased at a local bakery.

The figures in the table express percentages and not amounts. Those for bread show the percentage which each kind is estimated to make of the total bread used. The same is true with the meat. That is to say, when the reports from the farmers' families were classified and their statements averaged, it appeared that in 142 families, living in 59 counties, the average number of persons per family was 6.4. Of every 100 pounds of "bread" used by these families 53.7 pounds were in the form of biscuit, 30.7 pounds were raised bread, and 15.6 pounds corn bread. Of the total weight of meat 56.9 per cent was pork, 20.9 beef, and 12.9 poultry, the remainder consisting of mutton, veal, fish, and game.

Table 1.—Approximate bread and meat consumption of families in Missouri.

Thinks were	Fam	ilies.	od.	Kind	s of bread. Kinds of					ds of n	meat.		
Occupation.	Total number.	Average number of persons.	Counties represented.	Discuit.	Raised bread.	Corn bread.	Beef	Veal.	Pork.	Mutton.	Poultry.	Game.	Fish.
Mechanics	14 77 48	5. 1 5. 4 5. 4	6 31 28	P. ct. 46. 7 44. 9 52. 6	P. ct. 41. 4 41. 8 36. 2	P. ct. 11. 9 13. 3 11. 2	P. ct. 40. 2 49. 6 47. 0	P. ct. 2. 9 4. 0 3. 3	P. ct. 32. 4 23. 5 25. 3	P. et. 3. 6 2. 7 4. 5	P. et. 11. 0 12. 0 11. 7	P. ct. 2. 8 2. 8 3. 1	P. ct 7. 5. 5.
Average Farmers. University board- ing club.	142	6.4	59	48. 1 53. 7 45. 0	39. 8 30. 7 46. 0	12. 1 15. 6 9. 0	45, 6 20, 9 66, 0	3.4	27. 0 56. 9 26. 0	3. 6 2. 7	11. 6 12. 9 6. 0	2.9	5. 2. 2.

The contrast between the food consumption of the farmers' families (country population) and those of mechanics, business and professional men (largely town population) is well defined, and in some respects even striking. Especially is this true of the meats consumed. The farmer does not have easy access to the butcher's shops, and furthermore has no conveniences for keeping fresh beef. He therefore lives largely on pork and poultry, the former being more palatable when preserved than when fresh, and the latter always at hand.

THE DIETARY STUDIES.

The university boarding club is specially adapted to a dietary study. This club, which has a membership of approximately 100, is conducted in essentially the same manner as the numerous boarding clubs which form such a prominent feature of American college communities. Its members, with few if any exceptions, are Missourians, coming from families engaged in the ordinary vocations and living in all portions of the State. These young men live in a thoroughly substantial although modest fashion, their table being, in so far as practicable, copied after the dietary practices of their own homes. The extent to which they succeed in this may be seen from Table 1. The percentages of biscuit, raised bread, and corn bread do not differ essentially from those prevalent in the State at large; that of the beef is noticeably higher. This is due largely to two causes, namely, an opportunity for the storage of fresh meat, which is of course wanting in rural communities, and perhaps more especially to the fact that the club buys its beef by the quarter during a considerable portion of the year.

The details of the dietaries will be found beyond (Tables 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11). The preliminary test (No. 94)¹ covered a period of six consecutive days, and the final test (No. 95) a period of seven consecutive days. The amount of nutrients purchased, wasted, and eaten per man per day in each test and the average of the two are shown in the following table:

Table 2 .- Nutrients purchased, wasted, and eaten per man per day.

	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	Fuel value.	Nutritive ratio.
Purchased:	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Calories.	
No. 94	107	169	458	3, 885	
No. 95	107	183	443	3,960	
Average	107	176	450	3,920	
Wasted:			****	0,020	
No. 94	11	14	41	350	COLUMN TO A STATE OF
No. 95	11	18	39	375	
Average	11	16	40	360	
Eaten:	**	10	20	000	
No. 94	96	155	417	3, 540	1:8.0
No. 95	96	165	404	3,585	1:8.0
Average	96	160	410	3, 560	1:8.0

These dietaries are striking in point of their comparatively high potential energy and wide nutritive ratio, due to the relatively large proportions of fats and carbohydrates. The protein consumed (95 grams) is slightly below the average, and furthermore 40 per cent of the protein is of vegetable origin, principally from bread. Inasmuch as the digestibility of the vegetable protein is slightly less than that of the animal protein, the actual metabolism of the nitrogen compounds could hardly have exceeded 90 grams. This possible shortage seems

¹The numbers of the dietary studies are laboratory numbers used in the investigations of which this study forms a part.

to have been covered by an increased consumption of fats, the average amount being 161 grams. This substitution can, however, scarcely be regarded as detrimental, as it does not entail an undesirable excess of the carbohydrates, which is usually the most noticeable defect in dietaries with so wide a nutritive ratio.

The protein compounds form 14.4 per cent of the weight of the total nutrients, and their energy amounts to 11 per cent of the total energy.

The method employed in handling the table and kitchen wastes deserves special attention. The wastes were kept in three distinct portions, namely, (1) meats and other animal food materials; (2) bread of all descriptions, and (3) vegetables. No attempt was made to dry this material, but at intervals of a few days, the interval depending on the rapidity of the accumulation and the weather, each portion was treated as follows:

- Meat, etc.—All bone was removed and the edible portion was chopped, weighed, and sampled. This sample was then prepared for analysis in the ordinary manner.
- Bread.—The various kinds of bread were separated, weighed, sampled, and analyzed in the usual way.
- 3. Vegetables.—This portion of the waste was thoroughly mixed, weighed, and sampled and the sample prepared for analysis in the same way as the meats. Each sample of meat and vegetable waste was analyzed separately, although "composite samples" might have been made.

This method has two decided advantages—first, a great saving of labor and fuel, and second, the possibility of an actual division of the nutrients according to their respective sources, namely, animal foods, bread and breadstuffs, and vegetables.

DESCRIPTION OF FOOD MATERIALS ANALYZED.

In connection with the dietary studies the following analyses were made:

Beef.—The local market was considerably affected by the unusually high prices which prevailed at the time when these dietary studies were made (May, 1895). Much of the beef offered for sale had been raised in the immediate vicinity, and at that season was very young, watery, and often immature as well; high prices elsewhere had forced it upon the market before it was in the proper condition.

With the exception of the samples of porterhouse steak (86) and rib ends (172), the analyses will be of little interest except in their present use. The beef used at the boarding club at a given meal was of such a varied character—often representing four or five cuts—that it was impossible with the time at our disposal to take specimens of each particular cut for analysis. Specimens 120–123, 124 and 125 are therefore samples of miscellaneous cuts of the forequarter—rib, chuck, neck,

brisket, plate, etc., in whatever proportion the several cuts were purchased in the market for each meal.

Pork.—No. 2088 was a so-called "country-cured" shoulder. Farmers salt shoulders and hams for winter and spring use, but do not smoke them. Pork cured in this way is used largely in the country, and a considerable quantity of it finds its way into town groceries.

Poultry.—No. 2706 was a fowl of average fatness.

Dairy products.—Nos. 11, 12, and 13 are milk from a Holstein-Friesian herd and No. 14 is from a Jersey herd. No. 15 is a fair specimen of farmer's butter. Nos. 4030 and 4041 are "cottolene" and "oleomargarine," respectively.

Bread, etc.—No. 5430 is wheat bread, baker's 5-cent loaf. No. 5438 is graham bread, baker's 5-cent loaf. No. 5450 is soda and sour milk or baking powder biscuit, homemade. This is the form of wheat bread principally used in country districts, and also to a considerable extent by town population. (See Table 1.) No. 5150 is wheat flour, so-called "half patent," milled in Columbia. No. 6107 is sorghum molasses.

Table 3.—Composition of food materials as purchased, including both edible portion and refuse, analyzed at Columbia, Mo.

Kind of food material.	Reference num- ber.	Refuse.	Water.	Pro- tein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	Ash.	Fuel value per pound.
ANIMAL FOOD. Beef: Porterhouse steak Rib Roast Do. Steak, forequarter Do. Do. Cottolene Oleomargarine. Pork: Shoulder, salted (not smoked) Poultry: Fowl Butter. Milk, whole Milk, skimmed. Milk, skimmed, sour³. Buttermilk³.	86 172 124 125 120 121 122 123 4030 4041 2088 2706 215 211 212 213 214	Per ct. 14. 5 21. 0 25. 5 15. 0 13. 5 13. 5 12. 5 10. 5 26. 0 33. 0	Per ct. 49.1 42.6 36.5 45.3 53.2 64.4 52.8 52.0 10.2 16.7 44.7 12.5 88.7 91.3 91.7 91.3	Per ct. 16.9 14.2 12.0 14.1 17.1 17.3 16.8 16.9 11.0 10.7 12.8 11.2 3.5 3.5	Per ct. 18.6 21.4 25.3 24.8 15.2 3.7 17.0 19.6 100.0 86.1 43.1 8.8 84.7 3.7 1.1	Per cent.	0.9 .8 .7 .8 1.0 1.1 .9 1.0 2.7 3.5 .7 1.6 .7 .8	Calories. 1, 100 1, 165 1, 290 1, 310 960 488 1, 030 1, 140 4, 222 3, 650 2, 020 610 3, 590 288
Wheat flour, roller process Bread Bread, graham Biscuit Molasses (sorghum)	5150 5430 5438 5450 6107		11. 1 31. 4 30. 5 22. 9 27. 4	11. 7 7. 3 7. 4 9. 3	.8 .7 2.3 13.7	75. 9 59. 5 58. 4 52. 6 69. 5	.5 1.1 1.4 1.5 3.1	1, 663 1, 270 1, 320 1, 730 1, 290

¹ Curd.

² Columbia laboratory number.

³ Only water and fat determined.

 ${\bf Table 4.} - Composition \ of \ fresh, \ edible \ portion \ of \ food \ materials \ analyzed \ at \ Columbia, Mo.$

Kind of food material.	Refer- ence num- ber.	Water.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	Ash.	Fuel value per pound.
ANIMAL FOOD.		7	7	7	7		
Beef:	00	Per cent.	Per cent.		Per cent.	STATE OF THE PARTY	Calories.
Porterhouse steak	86	57.4	19.8	21.8		1.0	1,290
Rib	172	53. 9	18.0	27.1			1,480
Roast	124	49.0	16.1	34.0		. 9	1,735
Do	125	53. 3	16. 6	29. 2		.9	1,540
Steak, forequarter	120	61.5	19.8	17.6		1.1	1,110
Do	121	74.4	20.0	4.3		1.3	555
Do	122	60.4	19.2	19.4		1.0	1, 175
Do	123	58.1	18.9	21.9		1.1	1, 275
Cottolene	4030			100.0			4, 220
Oleomargarine	4041	10.2	11.0	86.1		2.7	3,650
Pork: Shoulder, salted (not							
smoked)	2088	22.6	14.5	58.2		4.7	2,725
Poultry: Fowl		66.7	19.1	13.1		1.1	905
Butter	215	12.5	11.2	84.7		1.6	3, 595
Milk, whole		88. 7	3.5	3.7	3,4	.7	285
Milk, skimmed		91. 3	3.5	.6	3.8	.8	160
Milk, skimmed, sour3	213	91.7	0.0	.7		.0	100
Buttermilk 3	214	91.3		1.1			
Dateermink	-14	91.0		1.1			
VEGETABLE FOOD.							
Wheat flour, roller process	5150	11.1	11.7	.8	75.9	.5	1, 665
Bread	5430	31. 4	7.3	.7	59.5	1.1	1, 270
Bread, graham		30. 5	7.4	2.3	58 4	1.4	1, 320
Biscuit	5450	22. 9	9.3	13.7	52.6	1.5	1, 730
Molasses (sorghum)		27. 4	0.0	20. 1	69.5	3.1	1, 290

¹ Curd.

Table 5.—Composition of water-free substance of edible portion of food materials analyzed at Columbia, Mo.

Kind of food material.	Reference number.	Nitro- gen.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	Ash.
ANIMAL FOOD. Beef: Porterhouse steak	172 124 125 120 121 122 123 4030 4041 2088 2706 215 211 212 213	Per cent. 7, 36 6, 43 5, 16 5, 69 8, 17 12, 58 7, 64 7, 31 2, 95 9, 42	46. 5 39. 0 31. 6 35. 6 51. 4 78. 1 48. 5 45. 1 11. 1 18. 7 57. 4 11. 4 31. 0	51. 2 58. 8 66. 7 62. 5 45. 7 16. 8 49. 0 52. 3 100. 0 95. 9 75. 2 39. 3 96. 8 32. 7 6. 9 8. 4	Per cent.	2. 3 2. 2 1. 7 1. 9 2. 9 2. 5 2. 6 3. 0 6. 1 3. 3 1. 8 6. 2 9. 2
Wheat flour, roller process	5450			.9 1.0 3.3 17.8	85. 4 86. 8 84. 0 68. 2 95. 7	1.6 2.6 1.9 4.3

¹ Curd.

² Columbia laboratory number.

³ Only water and fat determined.

²Columbia laboratory number.

³Only water and fat determined.

DIETARY STUDIES OF THE COLLEGE CLUB IN MISSOURI.

FIRST DIETARY STUDY OF THE COLLEGE CLUB (No. 94).

The study began May 10, 1895, and continued six days.

The club was composed of 98 male students, the matron, and the household servants.

The number of meals taken was as follows:

Men	Meals. 1, 753
Women (124 meals × 0.8 meal of man) equivalent to	
Children (20 meals × 0.7 meal of man) equivalent to	14
Total number of meals taken equivalent to	1,866
Equivalent to one man six hundred and twenty-two days.	

Remarks.—With exception of the waste no analyses were made especially for this test. When possible the estimates of composition were based upon analyses made for the final test; in other cases the averages of American analyses* were taken.

Table 6.—Composition and amounts of food materials and table and kitchen wastes in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 94).

	Percen	tage comp	osition.		Weigh	t used.		
Kind of food material.			Carbohy-	Total food	Nutrients.			
	Protein.	Fat.	drates.	mate- rial.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	
ANIMAL FOOD. Beef: Steak¹	17. 0 13. 4	Per cent. 15. 2 13. 4 23. 8 86. 1	Per cent.	Grams. 16, 560 8, 620 52, 050 16, 010	Grams. 2, 832 1, 465 6, 975 160	Grams. 2,517 1,155 12,388 13,784	Grams.	
Total				93, 240	11,432	29, 844		
Pork, etc.: Chops Shoulder 1 Ham 1 Cottolene 1	10.7 10.7	25. 6 43. 1 43. 1 100. 0		9, 980 5, 690 35, 150 29, 260	1, 407 609 3, 761	2, 555 2, 452 15, 150 29, 260		
Total. Poultry: Fowl ¹ Fish, salmon, canned. Eggs Butter ¹ Cheese Milk, whole ¹ Milk, skimmed ¹ Buttermilk ¹	20: 7 13. 1 1. 2 26. 0 3. 5 3. 5 3. 3	8.8 10.8 9.5 84.7 34.2 3.7 .6 .7	1. 2 2. 3 3. 4 3. 8 3. 6 3. 5	80, 080 790 7, 150 32, 430 13, 720 910 102, 060 222, 720 12, 250 52, 620	5, 777 101 1, 480 4, 248 165 237 3, 572 7, 795 404 1, 736	49, 417 70 772 3, 081 11, 621 311 3, 776 1, 336 86 579	36 21 3,470 8,460 441 1,842	
Total animal food				617, 970	36, 947	100, 893	14, 323	
VEGETABLE FOOD. Cereals, sugar, etc.: Cornmeal		2. 2 . 8 7. 3 13. 7	75. 1 75. 9 68. 0 52. 6	17, 060 100, 930 3, 520 2, 610	1, 518 11, 811 549 243	375 807 257 358	12, 812 76, 605 2, 394 1, 373	
Bread, graham ¹ Bread, white ¹ Crackers, cream Macaroni Sugar, granulated	7. 4 7. 3 9. 3 11. 7	2.3 .7 13.1 1.6	58. 4 59. 5 69. 2 72. 9 100. 0	39, 350 87, 540 4, 760 2, 490 45, 340	2, 912 6, 390 443 291	905 613 623 40	22, 980 52, 086 3, 294 1, 815 45, 340	
Molasses, sorghum 1 Cocoa	21.6	28. 9	69. 5 37. 7	30, 730 230	50	66	21, 357 87	
Total				334, 560	24, 207	4, 044	240, 143	

¹Composition estimated from analyses made in the subsequent dietary.

^{*} U. S. Dept. Agr., Office of Experiment Stations Bul. 28.

Table 6.—Composition and amounts of food materials and table and kitchen wastes in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 94)—Continued.

	Percen	tage comp	osition.		Weigh	t used.			
Kind of food material.			Carbohy-	Total	Nutrients.				
	Protein.	Fat.	drates.	food mate- rial.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy drates.		
VEGETABLE FOOD-cont'd.									
Vegetables: Beans, dried Beans, string Corn, canned Lettuce Potatoes (35.5 per cent refuse)	2. 8 1. 1	Per cent. 1.8 .4 1.3 .3	Per cent. 59.1 9.4 19.3 2.7	Grams. 10,090 3,860 5,900 5,670	Grams. 2, 250 85 165 62 2, 129	Grams. 181 15 77 17	Grams. 5, 96 36 1, 13 15 18, 24		
Rhubarb Spinach Tomatoes, canned	2.1		2. 2 3. 1 4. 0	7, 820 7, 480 25, 170	32 157 302	31 37 50	17: 23: 1, 00		
Total				167, 370	5, 182	509	27, 27		
Fruit, nuts, etc.: Apples Nectarines Strawberries	. 6	. 4	12. 4 14. 8 6. 8	11, 340 8, 850 9, 870	46 53 99	45	1, 40 1, 31 67		
Total				30, 060	198	114	3, 38		
Total vegetable food				531, 990	29, 587	4, 667	270, 80		
Total food				1, 149, 960	66, 534	105, 560	285, 13		
Table and kitchen waste: Meat. Do Fat.	22. 1 25. 2	39. 1 32. 3		6, 120 7, 820 2, 150	1, 353 1, 971	2, 393 2, 526 2, 150			
Total animal				16,090	3, 324	7,069			
Bread Vegetable Do	6.8	1. 2 12. 8 5. 3	59. 1 43. 6 16. 7	33, 680 8, 730 10, 660	2, 459 594 458	1, 117 565	19, 90 3, 80 1, 78		
Total vegetable				53, 070	3,511	2, 086	25, 49		
Total waste				69, 160	6, 835	9, 155	25, 49		

Table 7.—Recapitulation of weights and percentages of food materials and nutritive ingredients used in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 94).

		Weight	in grams		Weight in pounds.				
Kind of food material.	Nut			ts.	Food	Nutrients.			
	Food material.	Pro- tein.	Fat.	Carbohy-drates.	ma- terial.	Pro- tein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	
FOR FAMILY, 6 DAYS.									
Beef, veal, and mutton	80, 080 790 7, 150 32, 430 13, 720 910	11, 432 5, 777 101 1, 480 4, 248 165 237	29, 844 49, 417 70 772 3, 081 11, 621 311	86	205. 6 176. 5 1. 7 15. 8 71. 5 30. 2 2. 0	25. 2 12. 7 . 2 3. 3 9. 4 . 4	65.8 108.9 .2 1.7 6.8 25.6	0.20	
Milk Total animal food	389, 650 617, 970	13, 507 36, 947	5, 777	14, 216	858. 9 1, 362. 2	29.8	12. 7 222. 4	31. 30	
Cereals, sugars, starches Vegetables Fruits	334, 560 167, 370 30, 060	24, 207 5, 182 198	4, 044 509 114	240, 143 27, 279 3, 387	737. 6 369. 0 66. 2	53. 4 11. 4 . 4	8. 9 1. 1 . 3	529, 40 60, 20 7, 50	
Total vegetable food	531, 990	29, 587	4, 667	270, 809	1, 172. 8	65. 4	10.3	597. 10	
Total food	1, 149, 960	66, 534	105, 560	285, 132	2, 535. 0	146, 7	232.7	628. 70	

Table 7.—Recapitulation of weights and percentages of food materials and nutritive ingredients used in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 94)—Cont'd.

	,	Weight i	n grams	-	77	Veight:	in poun	ds.
Kind of food material.	Food	3	Nutrient	н.	Food		Nutrie	ıts.
	material.	Pro- tein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	ma- terial.	Pro- tein.	Fat.	Carbohy drates.
PER MAN PER DAY.					-			
Beef, veal, and mutton Pork, lard, etc	150 129	18 9	48 79		0, 33 , 29	0.04	0.11	
Poultry	1 12 52 22	2 7	1 5 19		.03	. 02	.01	
Butter	1 627	1 22	1 9	23	1.38	. 05	.02	0.00
Total animal food	994	59	162	23	2. 19	. 13	. 36	. 0
Cereals, sugars, starches Vegetables Fruits	538 269 48	39 9	6 1	386 44 5	1. 19 . 59 . 11	.09	.01	.8
Total vegetable food	855	48	7	435	1.89	. 11	.01	. 9
Total food	1,849	107	169	458	4.08	. 24	. 37	1.0
PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL FOOD.	Per cent.	Per ct.	Per ct.	Per cent.				
Beef, veal, and mutton Pork, lard, etc	8. 1	17. 2	28.3	2 07 00300				
Poultry	.1	2.2	.1					
EggsButter	1.2	6.4	2.9 11.0					
Cheese	33.9	20.3	5.5	5.0				
Total animal food	53.7	55. 5	95. 6	5.0				
Cereals, sugars, starches Vegetables Fruits	29. 1 14. 6 2. 6	36.4 7.8 .3	3.8 .5 .1	84. 2 9. 6 1. 2				
Total vegetable food	46.3	44. 5	4.4	95. 0				
Total food	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				

Table 8.—Nutrients and potential energy in food purchased, rejected, and eaten in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 94).

Kind of food material.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	Fuel value.
Food purchased: Animal Vegetable	Grams. 36, 947 29, 587	Grams. 100, 893 4, 667	Grams. 14, 323 270, 809	Calories. 1, 148, 510 1, 275, 030
Total	66, 534	105, 560	285, 132	2, 423, 540
Waste: Animal Vegetable	3, 324 3, 511	7, 069 2, 086	25, 491	79, 370 138, 310
Total	6, 835	9, 155	25, 491	217, 680
Food actually eaten: Animal Vegetable	33, 623 26, 076	93, 824 2, 581	14, 323 245, 318	1, 069, 140 1, 136, 720
Total	59, 699	96, 405	259, 641	2, 205, 860

Table 8.—Nutrients and potential energy in food purchased, rejected, and eaten in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 94)—Continued.

		Nutrients		Fuel
Kind of food material.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	value.
PER MAN PER DAY. Food purchased: Animal Vegetable.	Grams. 59 48	Grams. 162 7	Grams. 23 435	Calories. 1, 840 2, 045
Total	107	169	458	3, 885
Waste: Animal Vegetable.	5 6	11 3	41	125 220
Total	11	14	41	345
Food actually caten: Animal Vegetable.	54 42	151 4	23 394	1, 715 1, 825
Total	96	155	417	3, 540
PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL FOOD PURCHASED.		THE PERSON NAMED IN		
Food purchased: Animal Vegetable	Per cent. 55, 5 44, 5	Per cent. 95. 6 4. 4	Per cent. 5. 0 95. 0	Per cent. 47. 4 52. 6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Waste: Animal Vegetable	5. 0 5. 3	6.7 2.0	8.9	3.3
Total	10.3	8.7	8.9	9.0
Food actually eaten: AnimalVegetable	50.5 39.2	88. 9 2. 4	5. 0 86. 1	44. 1 46. 9
Total	89.7	91.3	91.1	91.0

SECOND DIETARY STUDY OF THE COLLEGE CLUB (No. 95).

The study began May 20, 1895, and continued seven days.

During this period the club was composed of 95 male students, the matron, and household servants.

The number of meals taken was as follows:

Men	1, 978
Women (156 meals × 0.8 meal of man) equivalent to	125
Children (40 meals × 0.7 meal of man) equivalent to	28
Total number of meals taken equivalent to	2, 131

Equivalent to one man seven hundred and ten days.

A considerable number of food materials were analyzed in this dietary, as was also the refuse. Such analyses are designated in the table following on page 17 by the letter a.

Table 9 .- Composition and amounts of food materials and table and kitchen wastes in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 95).

	Percent	tage com	position.		Weight	used.	
Kind of food material.				Westell.		Nutrients	
King of food material.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	Total food mate-		9000	Canbaha
			drates.	rial.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy drates.
Beef: ANIMAL FOOD.	Per ct.	Per ct.	Per cent.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.
Steak, forequarter (a)	17.1	15. 2		9,750	1,667	1,482	
Do	17.3	3.7		10,660	1,844	394	
Steak, forequarter (a)	17.3 16.8	3. 7 17. 0		5, 330 5, 440	922 914	197 925	
Do	16. 9	19.6		10, 210	1,726	2,001	
Steak, porterhouse (a)	16.9	18, 6		9,750	1,648	1,813	
Roast, miscellaneous (a)	12.0	25. 3		10,770	1, 292	2,725	
Roast, miscellaneous 1	14. 1	24. 8 25. 1		9, 980 16, 560	1, 407 2, 169	2, 475 4, 157	
Roast, chuck1	17. 0	13. 4		11, 340	1,928	1,520	
Rib ends (a)	14.2	21.4		3,740	531	800	
Dried and smoked	31.8	6.8	0.6	680	216	46	and the same of th
Bologna	18.0	19. 7 86. 1		2, 270 14, 630	409 146	12,596	
Ofeomat garino		- CO. I.		14,000	140	12,000	
Total				121, 110	16, 819	31,578	
Pork, etc: Shoulders, salted (a)	10.7	43.1		19, 160	2,050	8, 258	
Cottolene		100.0		49, 220		49, 220	
Total (a)				68, 380	2,050	57, 478	
Poultry: Fowl (a)	12.8	8.8		16, 100	2,061	1,417	
Eggs	13.1	9.5 84.7		41, 280 23, 250	5, 408 279	3, 921 19, 692	
Cheese		34. 2	2.3	8, 160	2, 121	2, 791	18
Milk, whole (a)		3.7	3.4	142, 770	4,997	5, 283	4, 8
Milk, skimmed (a)	3.5	. 6	3.8	274, 430	9,605	1,647	10, 43
Milk, skimmed, sour (a) Buttermilk (a)	3.3	1.1	3.6	44, 430 58, 970	1, 466 1, 946	311 649	1, 60 2, 00
		1.1	0.0				
Total animal food				798, 880	46,752	124, 767	19, 13
Cereals, sugar, etc: Corn meal	8.9	2.2	75.1	22, 230	1, 978	489	16, 69
Flour, wheat (a)	11.7	.8	75.9	99, 680	11,663	797	75, 6
Oatmeal		7.3	68.0	4, 880	761	356	3,3
Biscuit, soda (a)		13.7	52. 6 58. 4	680 45, 930	3, 399	93 1, 056	26, 8
Bread, wheat (a)		.7	59.5	89, 360	6, 523	625	53, 1
Crackers, cream	9.3	13.1	69. 2	7, 140	664	935	4,9
Sugar, granulated			100.0	36, 400			36, 4
Sugar, brown (a)			99. 2 69. 5	29, 140 25, 860			28, 9 17, 9
Cocoa		28. 9	37.7	340	74	98	1
Total				361, 640	25, 125	4,449	264, 30
Vegetables:							
Beans, string Cabbage, edible portion	2.2	-4	9. 4 5. 8	4, 990 4, 310	110 91	20 17	4 2
Corn, canned		1.3	19.3	25, 520	715	332	4,9
Lettuce		.3	2.7	3, 180	35	9	
Onions		.4	8.9	1,930	29	7	1
Peas, shelled Potatoes (31.5 per cent refuse).	2.1	.5	16. 1 18. 0	2, 270 90, 150	1,893	11 90	16, 2
Radishes		.1	4.6	11, 000	110	11	5
Rhubarb	.4	.4	2.2	17, 690	70	71	3
Spinach	2.1	. 5	3.1	12, 470	262	62	3
Tomatoes, canned	1.2	.2	4.0	14, 180	170	29	5
Total Fruits, nuts, etc. :				187, 690	3, 585	659	24, 3
Bananas, pulp		.8	22.9	1,360	16	11	3
Jelly	1.1		77.1	4,540	50 82	98	3, 5
Pears	1.0	. 6	10. 6 6. 8	16, 330 16, 330	163	114	1, 1
Total	-			38, 560	311	223	6, 6
Total vegetable food		===		587, 890	29. 021	5, 331	295, 3
				1, 386, 770	75, 773	130, 098	314, 5
Total food	The second second	The second second	and the same of th	400000 4.414		BARRION TRACES	17 4 75 4 515

Table 9.—Composition and amounts of food materials and table and kitchen wastes in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 95)—Continued.

	Percent	tage con	aposition.		Weight	used.	
Kind of food material.				Total	3	Nutrients	
	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	food ma- terial.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy drates.
VEGETABLE FOOD—continued.			Lay week				
Table and kitchen waste:	Per ct.	Per ct.	Per cent.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.
Meat (a)	21.9	23.7		6, 350	1, 391	1,505	
Do		37.7		6, 350	1,486	2,394	
Do		35.0		6,010	1,328	2, 103	
Fat		100.0		4, 540		4,540	
Total animal				23, 250	4, 205	10, 542	
Bread, wheat (a)	7.3	.7	59.5	26, 650	1,945	187	15, 85
Bread, graham (a)		2.3	58. 4	6, 920	512	159	4, 04
Biscuit, soda (a)	9.3	13.7	52.6	8,960	833	1, 228	4,71
Vegetable (a)	2.7	2.9	13.6	5, 780	156	168	78
Do	3.0	3.6	15.3	5, 780	173	208	88
Do	2.8	5.0	12. 5	9,070	254	454	1, 13
Total vegetable				63, 160	3, 873	2, 404	27, 41
Total waste				86, 410	8,078	12,946	27, 41

Table 10.—Recapitulation of weights and percentages of food materials and nutritive ingredients used in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 95).

		Weight i	n grams		Weight in pounds.				
Kind of food material.	723		Nutrien	ts.	Food		Nutrien	ts.	
	Food material.	Pro- tein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	ma- terial.	Pro- tein.	Fat.	Carbohy-drates.	
FOR FAMILY, 7 DAYS.				100				Page 1	
Beef, veal, and mutton Pork, lard, etc Poultry Eggs Butter Cheese Milk.	121, 110 68, 380 16, 100 41, 280 23, 250 8, 160 520, 600	16, 819 2, 050 2, 061 5, 408 279 2, 121 18, 014	31, 578 57, 478 1, 417 3, 921 19, 692 2, 791 7, 890	188 18,946		37.1 4.5 4.6 11.9 .6 4.7 39.7	69, 6 126, 7 3, 1 8, 7 43, 4 6, 2 17, 4	0. 40 41. 80	
Total animal food	798, 880	46, 752	124, 767	19, 138	1,761.1	103.1-	275.1	42. 20	
Cereals, sugars, starches Vegetables Fruits	361, 640 187, 690 38, 560	25, 125 3, 585 311	4, 449 659 223	264, 369 24, 344 6, 655	797. 3- 413. 8 85. 0	55. 4 7. 9 . 7	9.8 1.4 .5	582, 80 53, 60 14, 70	
Total vegetable food	587, 890	29, 021	5, 331	295, 368	1, 296. 1	64. 0	11.7	651. 1	
Total food	1, 386, 770	75, 773	130, 098	314, 506	3, 057. 2	167.1	286, 8	693. 3	
PER MAN PER DAY.			1		1	-			
Beef, veal, and mutton Pork, lard, etc Poultry Eggs Butter Cheese Milk.	170 96 23 58 33 12 733	24 3 3 8 3 25	45 81 2 5 28 4 11	27	.37 .21 .05 .13 .07 .03 1.62	.05 .01 .01 .02 	.10 .18 .01 .01 .06 .01	.00	
Total animal food	1, 125	66	176	27	2.48	. 15	. 39	. 00	
Cereals, sugars, starches Vegetables	510 264 54	35 5 1	6 1	372 34 10	1. 12 . 58 12	.08	. 01	. 85	
Total vegetable food	828	41	7	416	1.82	. 09	. 01	. 9	
Total food	1, 953	107	183	443	4.30	. 24	. 40	. 9	

Table 10.—Recapitulation of weights and percentages of food materials and nutritive ingredients used in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 95)—Cont'd.

	1	Weight i	in grams		Weight in pounds.				
Kind of food material.	77	Nutrients.				de puin	Nutrien	ts.	
	Food material.	Pro- tein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	Food ma- terial.	Pro- tein.	Fat.	Carbohy drates.	
PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL FOOD. Beef, veal, and mutton Pork, lard, etc Poultry Eggs Butter Cheese	1. 2 3. 0 1. 7	Per ct. 22. 2 2. 7 2. 7 7. 1 4 2. 8 23. 8	Per ct. 24.3 44.2 1.1 3.0 15.1 2.1 6.1	Per cent.					
Total animal food	57. 6	61.7	95. 9	6.1					
Cereals, sugars, starches Vegetables Fruits	26. 1 13. 5 2. 8	33. 2 4. 7 . 4	3.4 .5 .2	84. 1 7. 7 2. 1					
Total vegetable food	42.4	38. 3	4.1	93. 9				.,	
Total food	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0					

Table 11.—Nutrients and potential energy in food purchased, rejected, and eaten in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 95).

		Nutrients		
Kind of food material.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy- drates.	Fuel value.
Food purchased: Animal Vegetable	Grams. 46, 752 29, 021	Grams. 124, 767 5, 331	Grams. 19, 138 295, 368	Calories. 1, 430, 480 1, 379, 570
Total	75, 773	130, 098	314, 506	2, 810, 050
Waste: Animal Vegetable.	4, 205 3, 873	10, 542 2, 404	27, 415	115, 286 150, 640
Total	8,078	12, 946	27, 415	265, 920
Food actually eaten: Animal Vegetable	42, 547 25, 148	114, 225 2, 927	19, 138 267, 953	1, 315, 200 1, 228, 930
Total	67, 695	117, 152	287, 091	2, 544, 130
Food purchased: Animal Vegetable. Total	66 41 107	176 7	27 416 443	2, 020 1, 940 3, 960
Waste: Animal Vegetable	6 5	15 3	39	165 210
Total	11	18	39	375
Food actually eaten: Animal Vegetable	60 36	161 4	27 377	1, 855 1, 730
Total	96	165	404	3,585
PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL FOOD PURCHASED. Food purchased: Animal	Per cent. 61. 7 38. 3	Per cent. 95. 9 4. 1	Per cent. 6. 1 93. 9	Per cent. 50.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Table 11.—Nutrients and potential energy in food purchased, rejected, and eaten in dietary of the college club in Missouri (dietary No. 95)—Continued.

American Services				
Kind of food material.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbohy-drates. Per cent. 8.7 8.7	Fuel value.
PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL FOOD PURCHASED—continued. Waste: Animal	Per cent. 5. 6 5. 1	Per cent. 8.1 1.8		Per cent. 4. 1 5. 4
Total	10.7	9. 9	8.7	9. 5
Food actually eaten: Animal Vegetable.	56. 1 33. 2	87. 8 2. 3	6. 1 85. 2	46. 8 43. 7
Total	89.3	90.1	91.3	90.5

COMMENTS ON THE FOOD INVESTIGATIONS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI.

By W. O. ATWATER and CHAS. D. WOODS.

After Professor Gibson had presented the foregoing, as a preliminary report of the food investigations undertaken by himself and associates at the University of Missouri, and before he had opportunity to give it the final revision which had been contemplated, he was stricken with an illness which proved fatal. His greatly lamented death not only prevents the contemplated elaboration of the results already obtained, but interrupts for the time the inquiries into the food economy of the people of Missouri which had been so successfully begun at the university. Much that Professor Gibson hoped to say and do must therefore be left unsaid and undone until the work can be taken up by others. Meanwhile the writers, with whom Professor Gibson had been associated for a number of years before going to Missouri and who have been familiar with his work there, add here a few comments.

THE BREAD AND MEAT CONSUMPTION OF FAMILIES IN MISSOURI.

The method of inquiry and the results detailed on pages 7, 8 are of no little interest. Of course, statistics obtained by this method are always incomplete, but with the limited time and funds at Professor Gibson's disposal it is not easy to see how better answers to the questions as to the kinds and the relative amounts of meats and bread used in the ordinary households could have been obtained, and the number of families represented in the report is so large as to give decided value to the average figures.

It is to be remembered that these statistics are from families of the classes whose sons were at the university. It would seem, therefore, that they could hardly be assumed to represent exactly the eating habits of the average people of either the country districts or the cities of Missouri.

It will be observed that the term "bread" includes (1) ordinary wheat bread raised by use of yeast and designated as "raised" bread; (2) "biscuit" made from wheat flour but not fermented, and (3) "corn bread" made from maize.

The figures of the last column of the table, "Other meats, etc.," include game and fish. The figures show the proportion which each kind makes of the total amount consumed, but give no indication of the absolute quantities per person or per family for a given time.

How largely the sources of supply regulate the character of the food consumption is illustrated by the following table, taken from the figures given on page 8:

Table 12 .- Kinds of bread and meat eaten by families in country and city.

	Kinds of bread.			Kinds of meat.			
Amore et	Raised.	Biscuit.	Corn.	Beef, veal, mutton.	Perk.	Other meats, etc.	
	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	
Farmers living in country, with limited access to markets	31	54	16	24	57	19	
Families living in cities or larger towns, with better markets	40	48	12	53	27	20	

Evidently there is relatively much less of raised bread and more of corn bread and biscuit eaten in the country than in the town. It would seem natural to assume that the larger proportion of yeast-raised bread in the cities is due to bakers, to the ease with which good quick-acting yeast can be obtained, and to the fact that city people have more convenient markets to buy in and more ready money. The effect of supply upon the kinds of meat eaten is even more evident. Pork is easily raised on the farm, and in the form of salt pork, bacon, and ham is readily preserved for later use. On the other hand, city people can always have fresh beef, veal, and mutton from the markets. That this accounts largely for the fact that pork constitutes 57 per cent of the meat supply of the farmers' families and only 27 per cent of that of families living in the large towns is hardly to be doubted, though, of course, the relative cost may be a factor also. The fact that beef, veal, and mutton make more than half of the total meats eaten by well-to-do people in the cities and less than a quarter of that used by thrifty farmers is naturally explained in the same way.

COMPARISON OF DIFTARIES OF COLLEGE STUDENTS IN MISSOURI, TENNESSEE AND CONNECTICUT.

It will be interesting to compare the results of the studies of the two dietaries of the students' club at the University of Missouri with those of investigations of other college clubs. The only other studies of this character made in the United States, and at present available and exactly comparable with these, so far as we are aware, are several series of dietary studies of students' clubs at Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn., and at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tenn.

¹Connecticut Storrs Station Reports, 1891-1894, and U. S. Dept. Agr., Office of Experiment Stations Bul. 21.

²U. S. Dept. Agr., Office of Experiment Stations Bul. 29.

The students of the University of Missouri were mostly residents of that State, and it would seem fair to assume that their eating habits would be more or less such as they had acquired at home, although the diet in Columbia would be somewhat modified by the markets in that city at the time when the dietaries were made.

In the following table the results of the dietary studies at the three colleges are summarized. There is also appended to the table for comparison a suggested dietary standard for a man at light work. These comparisons are based upon the quantities of food actually eaten and not upon the total food purchased.

Table 13.—Comparison of nutrients in food eaten by college clubs in Missouri, Tennessee, and Connecticut.

Kind of food material.	Protein.	Fats.	Carbohy- drates.	Fuel values.	Nutritive ratio.
FOOD EATEN.					
In Missouri:	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Calories.	
Animal	57	156	25	1,785	
Vegetable	39	4	385	1,775	
Total	96	160	410	3, 560	1: 8.0
In Tennessee: Animal. Vegetable	43 49	114 13	12 467	1, 280 2, 210	
Total	92	127	479	3, 520	1: 8.3
In Connecticut: Animal Vegetable	63 36	131	21 315	1,560 1,580	
Total	99	139	336	3, 140	1: 6.7
Average of above: Animal Vegetable	53 42	131	19 400	1, 505 1, 915	

[Quantities per man per day.]

We are far from urging that these results portray accurately the dietary practices of the people of the different sections represented by the young men in the three institutions. Still the families represented were doubtless numerous enough to represent fairly well the people of their classes and communities.

112

Suggested standard for man with light muscular

work (Atwater).....

140

419

3,420

3,000

1: 7.8

1: 5.5

The case was similar with the club at Knoxville, whose members were nearly all from Tennessee. The homes of the students at Middletown were scattered through the northern Atlantic States, though a few were from other States and countries. The larger number were from towns with markets in which the available food materials were very similar to those in Middletown. The cost of board, like the general living expenses of the students at Middletown, was decidedly larger than that of the young men at Knoxville and Columbia.

¹U. S. Dept. Agr., Office of Experiment Stations Bul. 21.

It will be noticed that, as measured by the suggested standard, the food eaten in all three of the college clubs was deficient in protein and had an excess of the nutrients (fats and carbohydrates) which serve simply as fuel and tend to make the nutritive ratios wide. The standard represents nothing more than the attempt to state in a general way the proportions of nutrients which physiological experiment on the one hand and observations of the dietary habits of the best fed people on the other imply to be most appropriate. Among different dietaries here summarized the narrowest nutritive ratio is found in those representing the people who were most favorably situated with respect to both the kinds of food materials at their disposal and the pecuniary ability to select at will. The inference is that the diet of all, and especially of those in the more Southern States, would be improved by diminishing the carbohydrates and fats and increasing the protein.¹



See reference to the same subject in discussion of the dietaries of the students at the University of Tennessee, U. S. Dept. Agr., Office of Experiment Stations Bul. 29.



