The anatomy of a pygmy compared with that of a monkey, an ape, and a man.: With an essay concerning the Pygmies, &c.; of the antients. Wherein it will appear that they are all either apes or monkies, and not men, as formerly pretended. To which is added, The anatomy and description of a rattlesnake: Also of the musk-hog. With a discourse upon the jointed and round-worm. And two letters concerning a monstrous birth, and preternatural conception: With reflections thereon. The second edition. / Being the works of Edward Tyson, M.D. F.R.S. Adorn'd with copper-plates, engraved by M. Vander Gucht.

## **Contributors**

Tyson, Edward, 1650-1708. Gucht, Michael van der, 1660-1725 Burghers, Michael, 1647 or 1648-1727

## **Publication/Creation**

London: Printed for T. Osborne, in Gray's-Inn, 1751.

## **Persistent URL**

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/kuwkw3sp

## License and attribution

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org





The Library of the Wellcome Institute for the History of Medicine

OF
LONDON
DEPOSIT

Accession Number

Press Mark

TYSON, E.

T. p. between pts ii and iii



They sleep in the Trees, and build shelters for the Rain. They feed upon Fruits that they find in the Woods, and upon Nuts; for they eat no kind of Flesh. They cannot speak, and have no Understanding, no more than a Beaft. The People of the Country, when they Travel in the Woods, make Fires, where they sleep in the Night: And in the Morning when they are gone, the Pongoes will come and fit about the Fire, till it goeth out; for they have no Understanding to lay the Wood together. They go many together, and kill many Negroes that Travel in the Woods. Many times they fall upon Elephants, which come to feed where they be, and so beat them with their clubbed Fifts, and pieces of Wood, that they will run away roaring from them. These Pongoes are never taken alive, because they are so strong, that Ten Men cannot hold one of them: But yet they take many of their Young Ones with poisoned Arrows. The Young Pongo bangeth on his Mother's Belly, with his Hands fast classed about her; So that when any of the Country People kill any of the Females, they take the Young one which hangeth fast upon his Mother. When they die among themselves, they cover the Dead with great beaps of Boughs and Wood, which is commonly found in the Forrests.

Our Pygmie had Calves in his Legs, the onet large, being emaciated; and it being young, I am uncertain to what height in time it might have grown; the I cannot think to the just Stature (if there be any such) of a Man. For different Nations extreamly vary herein, and even those of the same. Nor did our Pygmie seem so dull a Creature as these Pongoes, but on the contrary, very apprehensive, the nothing so robust and strong as they are represented to be.

I shall only further add what le Compte, a Modern Writer, tells us of the Savage Man, and so I think I shall have done: For this Argument is so Fruitful, that one does not know when to conclude. (40) Lewis le Compte therefore in his Memoirs and Observations upon China, tells us, That what is to be seen in the Isle of Borneo, is yet more Remarkable, and surpasseth all that ever the History of Animals hath hitherto related to be the most admirable, the People of the Country assure us, as a thing notoriously known to be true: That they find in the Woods a sort of Beast, called the Savage Man; whose Shape, Stature, Countenance, Arms, Legs, and other Members of the Body, are so like ours, that excepting the Voice only, one should have much ado not to reckon them equally Men with certain Barbarians in Africa, who do not much differ from Beasts.

This Wild or Savage Man, of whom I speak, is endued with extraordinary strength, and notwithstanding he walks but upon two Legs; yet is he so swift of Foot, that they have much ado to out-run him. People of Quality

(40) Pag. m. 510.

ON MEDICA

Course him, as we do Stags here, and this sort of Hunting is the King's usual Divertisement. His Skin is all hairy, his Eyes sunk in his Head, a stern Countenance, tanned Face; but all his Lineaments are pretty proportionable, although harsh, and thickned by the Sun. I learn'd all these Particulars from one of our French Merchants, who hath remained some time upon the Island. Nevertheless, I do not believe a Man ought to give much Credit to such sort of Relations, neither must we altogether reject them as sabulous; but wait till the unanimous Testimonies of several Travellers may more particularly acquaint us with the Truth of it.

Passing upon a time from China to the Coast of Coramandel, I did my self see in the Straits of Molucca a kind of Ape, that might make pretty credible that which I just now related concerning the Savage Man.

It marches naturally upon it's two hind Feet, which it bends a little, like a Dog's, that hath been taught to Dance, it makes use of it's two Arms as we do; it's Visage is in a manner as well favoured, as theirs of the Cape of Good Hope; but the Body is all covered with a white, black, or grey Wool: As to the rest, it cries exactly like a Child; the whole outward Action is so Humane, and the Passions so lively and significant, that dumb Men can scarce express better their Conceptions and Appetites. They do especially appear to be of a very kind Nature; and to shew their Affections to Persons they know and love, they embrace them, and kiss them with transports that surprise a Man. They have also a certain motion, that we meet not with in any Beast, very proper to Children, that is, to make a noise with their Feet, for joy or spight, when one gives, or refuses them what they passionately long for.

Although they be very big, (for that I saw was at least four Foot high) their nimbleness and slight is incredible; it is Pleasure beyond expression to see them run up the Tackling of a Ship, where they sometimes play, as if they had a particular knack of Vaulting to themselves, or as if they had been paid, like our Rope-Dancers, to divert the Company.

Sometimes suspended by one Arm, they poise themselves for some time negligently to try themselves, and then turn, all on the sudden, round about a Rope, with as much quickness as a Wheel, or a Sling that is once put in motion; sometimes holding the Rope successively with their long Fingers, and letting their whole Body fall into the Air, they run full speed from one to the other, and come back again with the same swiftness. There is no Posture but they imitate, nor Motion but they perform; bending themselves like a Bow, rowling like a Bowl, hanging by the Hands, Feet, and Teeth, according to the different Fancies which their whimsical Imagination supplies them with, which they Act in the most diverting manner imaginable; but their Agility to sling themselves from one Rope to another, at Thirty and Fifty Foot distance, is yet more surprising.

In this Character there are several things I could take notice of, and I may hereaster have occasion to refer to some of the Particulars; But what is mention'd of it's Cry, like a Child's; and it's expressing the Passions of Joy and Grief, by making a Noise with it's Feet, is agreeable enough to the Relation I had of our Pygmie: For I heard it Cry my self like a Child; and he hath been often seen to kick with his Feet, as Children do, when either he was pleased or angered.

We shall now proceed to the Anatomy, which in a History of Animals, is certainly the most Necessary, most Significant, and Instructive Part. Nor can I see, how an History of Animals can be well wrote without giving the Diffection of the Inward Parts: 'Tis as if one should undertake to describe a Watch, and at the same time, take notice only of the Case or Cover, and tell what fine Garniture there is about it; but inform us nothing of the admirable Contrivances of the Wheels and Springs within, which gives it Life and Motion. Galen (41) thought the Diffection of Apes very useful for the understanding the Structure of the Parts in Humane Bodies; and recommends it to his Scholars to Practice themselves herein. Not that he only diffected Apes, (as Vesalius oftentimes charges him with) or preferred it before the Diffection of Humane Body: But where that could not be had, he advises them to get Apes, and diffect them; especially those that come nearest to a Man. Had he known our Pygmie, no doubt but he would have preferred it, for this purpose, as much beyond the Ape, as he does the Ape beyond the Cynocephalus, and all other Animals. For, as we shall observe, there is no Animal, I have hitherto met with, or heard of, that so exactly refembles a Man, in the Structure of the Inward Parts, as our Pygmie: But where it differs, (as I have remark'd) there it resembles an Ape; being different both from a Man and an Ape: And in many things agreeing with both of them.

The Skin of the whole Body of our Pygmie was whitish; but that on the Head was tawny, and of a darker colour. Twas thin, but strong, and adhered pretty firmly, and more than usually to the Flesh; it's greatest adhæsion was at the Linea alba, and in the Palms of the Hands, and the Soles of the Feet, and in the Fingers and Toes; as it is in Men. In the Skin of the Arm-pits, I observed those Glandulæ Cutaneæ Axillares, which secrete that Orange-coloured Liquor, which in some Men stains the Shift here, with that colour. I call them Cutaneæ, to distinguish them from those larger Glands, that lie bedded under in the Fat, and are call'd Glandulæ Axillares. For these I have observed to be Lymphatic Glands; and have traced the Lymphaducts thence to the head of the Ductus Thoracicus, where they empty themselves.

<sup>(41)</sup> De Anat. Administr. lib. 1. cap. 2. p. m. 27.

Together with the Skin, we took off the Mamma or Breasts, which stuck close to it: And in our Subject, being a Male, they were but small and thin; yet I could plainly perceive they were made up of abundance of small Glands. I have already mentioned, how large the Breasts are in the Female Orang-Outang, and the Baris, so that no Woman's are larger. As to their Situation, and their being placed upon the Pectoral Muscles, this I find is common to the Ape-kind: And they are so described by the Parisians (42) in the Monkeys they dissected; as also in the Apes dissected by Drelincourt: (43) And because I shall have frequent occasion of referring to these Authors, unless I signific otherwise, I shall always mean the Places here quoted, without mentioning them any more.

In Brutes, next under the Skin, lies a Musculous Membrane, which therefore is call'd Panniculus Carnosus, which gives a motion to it, whereby they can shove off what offends them. In Man'tis otherwise; for next to the Skin, lies the Membrana Adiposa; or the Fat, and under that, the Membrana Carnosa: And the same I observed in our Pygmie; for the Fat here lay next to the Skin. Drelincourt, in the Apes he dissected, observed the Panniculus Carnosus next to the Skin, as 'tis in Brutes. For in the Male Ape, he tells us, Adeps nullus inter Panniculum Carnosum & Cutim; and in the Female, Panniculus Carnosus cuti cohærens, nullo adipe interjecto, Adiposus nullus. So that in this Particular, our Pygmie is like to a Man, and different from the Ape-kind.

Having separated the Skin and Membrana Adiposa, which in our Subject was not very thick, it being emaciated by it's illness, we come now to the Muscles. But I shall reserve my self to treat of them in the Myology. Next under the Muscles was the Peritonaum, a Common Membrane, that lines all the infide of the Abdomen, and fends a common outward Membrane to all the Viscera contained therein, and so secures their Situation. In this Membrane in Quadrupeds there is in the Groin of each fide, a Perforation, or rather a Processis, by which the Seminal Vessels pass down to the Testes in the Scrotum, as is very plain in Dogs and other Animals. But in Man, whose Posture is erect, 'tis otherwise. For here these Vessels pass between the two Coats, that make up this Membrane, the Peritonaum; so that the inward Coat, that respects the Cavity of the Abdomen, is altogether entire, and continued, and 'tis only the outward Coat that is protruded into this Process; and this for a very good Reason. For otherwise, a Man, whose Posture is erect, would be constantly liable to an Hernia, or a Rupture; which happens when this inward Coat is protruded down likewise; and if there be a descent of the Intestines, 'tis then call'd Entero-cele: If of the Omentum, Epiploocele. In our Pygmie I observed the Peritonaum, in this respect, to be

<sup>(42)</sup> Memoirs for a Natural History of Animals, p. 162, Gr. English Translation. (43) April Ger. Elasis, Animal. cap. 33. pag. 109, Gr.

formed exactly as 'tis in Man; and to be entire, and not protruded; as if Nature did design it to go erect. In Apes and Monkeys 'tis otherwise. So Blasius (44) observed in the Ape he dissected, Processus Peritonai (saith he) eodem modo hic se habet, ac in Cane. Datur & hic facilis via stylo ex ventre in Processum dictum inserendo. And the Parisians have remarked the same in the Monkeys they dissected, which is a notable difference of our Pygmie's from the Ape-kind, and an agreement with the Humane. Hereafter, whenever I mention Blasius, unless I specifie otherwise, be pleased to take notice, that I refer to this Quotation.

The Omentum or Caul in our Pygmie was very thin and large, falling over and covering most parts of the Guts. 'Twas fastened a little to the Peritoneum in the Left Side. It had but little Fat, and was tinged in many places with a deep Yellow Colour, by the Bladder of the Gall. as was likewise part of the Duodenum. It had numerous Blood-Vessels, and it's adhasion to the Stomach, Colon, and other Parts, as in Man. The Remarks the Parisians make upon the Epiploon or Omentum of the Monkeys they diffected, were different from our Subject. For they tell us, That the Epiploon was different from that of a Man, in several things. First, It was not fastened to the Colon in so many places, having no connexion with the left part of this Intestine. Ours I found was fastened just as 'tis in Man. Secondly, It had another Ligature, which is not found in Man, viz. to the Muscles of the Abdomen, by means of the Peritonaum. which formed a Ligament; which we have observed in the Hind of Canada. Ours adhered to the Left side: Drelincourt observed it in an Ape, to be fastened to the Right Side. Both I believe to be accidental, as I have frequently seen it in Humane Bodies. And in one Patient I found it fixt to the Peritonaum in the Groin, which gave him a great deal of Pain and Trouble, especially when his Bowels were any thing extended with Wind. Thirdly, The Parisians Say, The Vessels of the Epiploon, which in Man proceed only from the Vena Porta, did nevertheless in one of our Subjects come from the Cava, having there one of the Branches of the Hypogastrica, which was united to the Branches of the Porta. In our Animal these Vessels came all from the Porta, or rather emptied themselves into it. But they observing it only in one Subject, and it being different in all other Animals, it must be accidental. Fourthly, In fine, the whole Epiploon was without Comparison greater than it generally is in Man; because that it did not only cover all the Intestines, which is rarely seen in Man, (whatever Galen fays) but it even enveloped them underneath, as it does in Several other Brutes; where it is frequently seen, that the Epiploon is larger than in Man, especially in Animals that do run, and leap with a great deal of Agility: As if it were so redoubled under the Intestines to defend them, with the rest of the Bowels, against the rude jolts which these Parts do

<sup>(44)</sup> Ger. Blasij Miscellan. Anat. Hominis Brutorumque variorum, &c. in Odlavo. p. m. 253.

receive in running. It is true, that the Membranes of the Epiploon were entire and continued, as in Man, and not perforated like a Net, as they are in the generality of Brutes. The Epiploon or Caul in our Pygmie was very large, yet I have seen the same frequently in Humane Bodies; but when they are diseased, 'tis often less, and wasted; so that Gulen's Observation may be true. But methinks the Reason they give, why it should be so large in Brutes, may be doubted of; for it being so tender a Part, it would be in danger, upon those violent motions, of being broken, had not Nature made it loose below, and free from any adhæsion; and it being so, it cannot perform the Office they assign it. Drelincourt's Account of the Epiploon, as he observed it in the Female Ape, I like better. Epiploon macrum (faith he) vasis turgidis involvens Intestina omnia, usque ad pubem, adherens Extremo Hypochondrio dextro, qua parte Colon substratum jecoris limbis. Idem adhæret ventriculi fundo & Colo, ut in bomine. And in the Male Ape he dissected 'twas tinged yellow, as ours was.

We shall proceed now to the Ductus Alimentalis, at least those parts of it that are contain'd in the Abdomen, viz. the Stomach and Intestines; which I make to be the true Characterisk of an Animal, and a Proprium quarto modo. For all Animals have these Parts; and all that have them, are Animals. The Senses, or some of them, are wanting in a great many Animals, and in some we perceive none but that Universal one, Tactus, yet here we find a Ventricle and Intestines. By these Parts 'tis, that the Animal Kingdom is principally distinguish'd both from the Vegetable and Angelick. Vegetables, 'tis true, receive constantly Nourishment, and without it, they perish and decay; but 'tis in a far different manner; 'tis not received into fuch an Organick Body, where the Food is prepared and digested, and so the Nutritive parts thereof dispensed afterwards into all the Body, and the rest ejected, as Excrementitious; this is only to be met with in Animals, and in all of them. But yet I find there are intermediate Species of Beings between Vegetables and Animals, as the Zoophyta: the History of which I could extreamly defire might be given us; and can't but think that regularly in compiling a History of Animals, one should commence from them; and amongst these, no doubt, but that there are several degrees of Perfection, till we come to what might be properly called an Animal. I have had no Opportunity of observing any of them, but only one; wherein I could perceive a sensible Motion and Contraction of some of the Parts, but could not distinguish any thing like the Structure of any of the Parts in an Animal, or the Organs that belong to them. An Accident disappointed me of perfecting my Observations, otherwise I should have communicated what I had discovered. But am sensible that there are great Curiosities here to be met with, if diligently enquired into; and that they might be, was the occasion of this Digression.

This Canalis Alimentalis therefore, or Inductory Vessel (as I call it, for the Reasons I have often mentioned in my Anatomical Lectures at Chirurgeon's Hall) is commonly distingished into three Parts; The Gula, the Ventricle, and Intestines: The two latter do lie in the Cavity of the Abdomen, the former, in the Thorax and Neck; but being but one continued Canalis, I shall treat of the whole here.

The Gula or Gullet, by (45) Tully (in that excellent Anatomical Lecture he gives us, where he is proving a Providence) is call'd Stomachus. As 'tis also by Celsus, (46) so likewise in A. Gellius, (47) and frequently by Hippocrates. (48) And Aristotle (49) and Galen (50) expressly tell us, that that Part between the Fauces and the Ventricle, which the Antients called Oesophagus, after Aristotle's time, was wont to be call'd Stomachus, tho' now this word is more appropriated to the Ventricle it self, which Tully in the same place calls Alvus. So true is that of Horace,

(51) Ut Sylvæ foliis pronos mutantur in annos Prima cadunt: ita verborum vetus interit ætas.

However I shall follow Horace's Rule, since Custom now hath appropriated the word Stomach, to the Ventricle, especially our English Tongue, I shall do so too.

Multa renascentur, que jam cecidere: cadentque Que nunc sunt in honore vocabula: si volet usus: Quem penes arbitrium est, & vis & norma loquendi. (52)

This Gula or Gullet is a Hollow Muscle, and fitly enough compared to a Funnel; where the Mouth, which may be thought a Part belonging to it, being more capacious, first receives the Food, and prepares it, by chewing, and then forces it down into this Stem or Pipe, to convey it to the Ventricle. I did not observe, upon the Dissection, any difference of this Part in our Pygmie, from that of a Man. For as in a Man, (and so conformable too in other Circumstances) it passed under the lower Muscle of the Diaphragm, which by that slant running of it's sleshy Fibres over it, may perform to it the Office of a Valve, and prevent the Regurgitation of the Food that way. Which may be the more necessary in our Subject, because being used to climb Trees, and in coming down, to be prono Capite, it might be the more liable to this Accident. But for the better preventing this, I find here, that the Passage of the Gula, a little above where it empties it self into the Ventricle, was straiter, and

<sup>(45)</sup> M. T. Cicero de Nat. Deorum, lib. 2. §. 54. p. m. 427. (46) Cornel. Celsus, de re Med. lib. 4. cap. 1. (47) A. Gellij. Noll. Attic. lib. 17. cap. 11. (48) Vid. Anut. Fæsii Oeconom. Hipp. in verbo. (49) Arist. Hist. Anum. lib. 1. cap. 10. §. 108. p. m. 89. & passim alibi. (50) Galen de locis affellis. lib. 5. cap. 5. p. m. 490. (51) Horace de Arte Poetic. vers. 60. (52) Horace. Ibid. v. 70.

the inward Membrane here more rugous than in a Man; so that it seemed somewhat Analogous to a Valve. Drelincourt describes it, in the Female Ape he dissected, thus. Orificium ejus superius, nulla Valvula clausum; sed interceptum duplici portione Diaphragmatis carnosa, ab ejus tendinibus oriunda.

The Ventricle or Stomach, as we shall call this Part, in our Pygmie, as to it's Situation and Figure, exactly represented a Humane Stomach. When inflated, from the entrance of the Gula along the upper part to the Pylorus, it measured Two Inches and three quarters. Measuring with a Thread from the Pylorus along under the Fundus, up again to the entrance of the Gula, I found it to be Fifteen Inches; in all, near Eighteen Inches. The length of the Stomach in a strait Line, was Six Inches and an half; and it's breadth in a strait Line, where broadest, near Four Inches. The Girth of the Stomach in the middle, was near Twelve Inches. So that I thought the Stomach large, in Proportion to the bulk of the Body. It had numerous Blood-Vessels, spreading themselves all over, as in a Man's; and I could plainly perceive the Inosculations of large Trunks of the Coronary Branches, with those that descended from the upper Parts.

The Parisians observed in their Monkeys, That the Ventricle did like-wise differ from a Man's, it's inferiour Orifice being very large and low; for it was not elevated so high as the superiour, as it is in a Man. I did not observe this in our Pygmie. So Drelincourt tells us in the Female Ape, Ventriculus rugis interius nullis gaudet; and some other Particulars he takes notice of. But there was nothing in ours, that I observed, different from a Man's.

As to their Food, I find it very different in the Ape-kind; as in part appears by what I have already mentioned of the Ourang Outang, the Baris, the Pongo, &c. So that I can't but think, (like a Man) that they are omnivorous. What chiefly our Pygmie affected, when Wild, I was not informed of; after it was taken, and made tame, it would readily eat any thing that was brought to the Table; and very orderly bring it's Plate thither, to receive what they would give him. Once it was made Drunk with Punch, (and they are fond enough of strong Liquors) But it was observed, that after that time, it would never drink above one Cup, and refused the offer of more than what he found agreed with him. Thus we see Instinct of Nature teaches Brutes Temperance; and Intemperance is a Crime not only against the Laws of Morality, but of Nature too.

Facobus Bontius (53) tells us, that the Bezoar-stone is bred in the Stomachs of Apes, as well as Goats, and he prefers it as the best. Porro vidi (faith he) & Lapides Pa-zahar natos in ventriculis Simiorum, qui teretes sunt & longitudinem digiti aliquando excedunt, qui prastantissimi omnium censentur. Pa-zakar, he tells us a little before, signifies in the Persian, contra venenum, whence may come the word Bezoar. Job. Georg. Volchamerus (45) takes notice of one he had from Grimmius out of the Baboon-kind, as big as a Wallnut. And in the Scholium on that Observation, Joh. Bapt. Tavernier's (55) Travels are quoted, where he prefers two Grains of this, before fix of the Goat-Bezoar. Tho' Philip. Baldaus, in his Description of Malabar and Cormandel, does esteem it much cheaper. Casper Baubinus hath wrote a distinct Treatise of the Bezoar-stone, to whom I refer my Reader; and shall only farther observe of it, that I think this Medicine ought not to be despised, because in Health a Man may take a large Quantity of it, without any Injury; for I have evidently seen in the greatest Weaknesses, most Remarkable Effects from it, and have had Success beyond expectation; it supporting the Spirits, and relieving them, where a more active Medicine might over-power them, and yet not have done that Service.

But this Stone in Goats and Monkeys is a Disease, and not Natural; as well as the Stone in the Bladder or Kidneys of a Man. Bontius (56) therefore observing the good Essects of the Bezoar-stones bred in these Animals, argues with himself, why those in Men, which he finds laminated in the same manner, might not be of as great an Essicacy; and upon Tryal, he assures us, that they are so. Hoc certe compertum habeo, Lapidem in vesica hominis repertum, urinam & sudores probé ciere, quod tempore ingentis illius pestis, que Anno 1624 & 1625. Leydam, Patriam meam & reliquas Hollandie Civitates, miserandum in modum vastabat, in penuria Lapidis Basaartici, nos exhibuisse memini, & Sudorisscum (ausim dicere) melius & excellentius invenisse, cum admixta Theriaca, aut Mithridatio, cum Oleo Succini aut Juniperi guttis aliquot.

We come now to the Third Stage of the Ductus Alimentalis, the Inteffines; which serve for the separating the Chyle from the Faces, and so transmitting it into the Vasa Chylisera, or Venæ Lacteæ, as they are call'd, which conveys it into the Blood, for the recruiting the constant waste that is made there, and repairing it's losses; as also for the Nourishment and Augmentation of the Parts: And for the doing this, 'tis requisite that the Intestines should be long; and they being so, that they should be coyled and winding, that this Separation might be the better performed, and so we find the Guts in our Pygmie. For from the Pylorus

<sup>(53)</sup> Jac. Bontij, Hist. Nat. dy Med. lib. 4. in Animadu. in Garcia ab Orto, cap. 45. p. m. 48. (54) Miscell. Curiosa German. Decuria secunda annus secundas An. 1683. Observ. 189. p. 420. (55) Jo. Bapt. Tayern. lib. 2. Itin. Indic. cap. 24. (56) Bontius ibid. in cap. 46. p. m. 48.

to the Anus, they measured Thirteen Feet and three Inches, viz. from the Pylorus to the Cacum or beginning of the Colon, was Nine Foot Ten Inches; and the Colon and Rectum were Three Feet and Five Inches long. The Cacum here, or Appendicula vermiformis, was Four Inches and three quarters long. So that the length of the Guts here, in proportion to the length of the Body, is much the same as 'tis in a Man. But in two of the Sapajous dissected by the Parisians, the whole Intestines were but Five Foot two Inches; and in the other two Monkeys, Eight Foot long. So that herein our Pygmie more resembles a Man, than their Monkeys did.

And as in the length, so likewise in other Circumstances, the Intestines of our Pygmie were liker to those of a Man, than those of the Monkey and Ape-kind are. For the Parisians tell us, that in their Monkeys, the Intestines were almost all of the same bigness, and that the Ileon was in proportion a great deal bigger, than in a Man. In our Subject we found a sensible difference. For the small Guts, which were much of a bigness, being a little extended, measured in Compass about Two Inches and three quarters. The Colon was Three Inches and three quarters about; and the Appendicula Vermisormis (which was in our Pygmie as 'tis in a Man, and is not to be met with in Apes and Monkeys) was about the bigness of a Goose-quill. It's length I have mentioned before.

Into the Duodenum of our Pygmie, a little below the Pylorus, were inserted the Ductus Communis of the Gall, and the Ductus Pancreaticus; they both emptying themselves into the Gut at the same Orifice as is usual in Man: And the same is observed likewise by Drelincourt in the Male Ape he dissected, where he tells us, à Pyloro qui videtur suggrunda esse circularis & carnosa principio Ecphyseos praposita, ad foramen usque intra eandem Ecphysin Commune Ductui Bilario & Wirzungiano, pracise pollex est Mathematicus; ab illo autem foramine intra duplicem Ecphyseos tunicam stilus gracillimus intrusus est in pradictam Vesicula fellea recurvitatem, rursusque ab eodem Intestinali foramine idem stilus compulsus est in Ductum Wirzungianum. But the Parisians observed in the Monkeys, that the Insertion of the Ductus Pancreaticus into the Intestine, (which in Man is always near the Porus Bilarius) was Two Inches distant there-from. So that in this Particular the Monkey does not so much resemble a Man, as Apes and our Pygmie do.

The Convolutions and Windings of the small Guts in our Pygmie, and their Situation, were much the same, as in a Man: And they were all plentifully irrigated with Blood-Vessels. In the inward Coat of the Intestines I could observe the Miliary Glands, described by Dr. Willis; as also those larger clusters of Glands, mentioned by Joh. Conrad. Peyerus. The Colon I thought proportionably longer, than its in a Man. It had the same Ligaments and Cells, and leaves of Fat hanging to it, as a Man's hath:

hath; and the fituation, was the same: but it being so long, it had more windings than usually. The Parisians observed in their Monkeys, that the Colon was not redoubled like an S. as in Man, being quite strait. Drelincourt's Ape was more like ours, for speaking of the Colon, he saith, retorquetur varie antequam producat Rectum; cellulas habet ut in Homine. For the length of the Colon in the Monkeys dissected by the Parisians, was but thirteen Inches; and an Inch in Diameter; whereas, the Colon of our Pygmie with the Rectum, was three Foot sive Inches, as I have mentioned; and therefore liker to a Man's, and requiring these convolutions the more.

In a Man the Intestines are commonly distinguished into Intestina Tenuia and Crassa: The Tenuia are subdivided into the Duodenum, Jejunum, and Heon; The Crassa, into the Cacum, Colon and Rectum: and the Cacum commonly is reputed that Appendicula Vermiformis, which is placed at the beginning of the Colon, where the Ileon empties it felf into it. Now this Part in a Man, being so small; and being observed never to contain any Excrement; I can't think, that it deserves the Name of an Intestine, much less to be reputed one of the Crassa. 'Tis true, in Brutes, this part is often found to be very large and capacious; and to be filled with faces; and in such, it may be justly esteemed an Intestine. As in a Rabit, 'tis very long and hath a Cochlear Value; so in an Oftridge, there are two Cecums; each a yard long, with a like Valve. But in Man, 'tis far different. Many therefore do not think this Processis Vermiformis, to be the Cacum; but rather take for is, that bunching out of the beginning of the Colon; which is projected beyond the entrance of the Ileon; which in the Common Ape and Monkey is more, than in a Man. However, I think it not enough as to make it a distinct Intestine; and the number of the Intestines in a Man, ought to be made fewer.

Our Pygmie therefore having this Processus Vermiformis in all Circumstances, so like to that in a Man; and Monkeys and Aper having nothing like it: it is a remarkable difference of our Subject from them, and an agreement to the Structure of a Humane Body. So the Parisians tell us in their Monkeys, the Cacum had no Vermiform Appendix. So in the Ape dissected by Blasius, he saith, Processus Vermiformis in totum hic desideratur. And so Drelincourt, Cacum caret Epiphysi Vermiformi, qualem homines habent. We will see therefore, what kind of Cacum'tis, that they describe in the Monkeys and Apes.

The Parisians tell us, in the Dissection of their Monkeys; That the Cæcum was very large, containing two Inches and half in length; and an Inch Diameter at the beginning: it went pointing, and was fortisted by three Ligaments, like as the Colon is in Man; there to form little Cells; this Conformation is wholly different from that of a Man's Cæcum. 'Tis true,' tis more projected, than in a Man; So Blasius in his Ape, makes it jutting

out beyond the Infertion of the Ileon, Manus transversa, seu trium digitorum sputium. And Drelincourt tells us, duarum unciarum est. But since it hath those Ligaments of the Colon, 'tis plain, that 'tis only a part of it, and not a distinct Intestine; or as Blassus more truly calls it, Principium Coli. He hath given a sigure of it, but not very exact; and in another sigure he represents the Valve of the Ileon at the Colon, or rather Valves; for he makes more than one. His Description, as 'tis faultily printed; so I am afraid, it is not very accurately drawn up, and therefore do omit it.

But what is different from a Man, as also from the Ape and Monkey 200, or any other Animal I yet know of; is a fort of Valve I observed at the other Extream of the Colon in our Pygmie, where it passes into the Rectum. For the turn of the Colon here, is very short; and in the inside I observed a Membranous Extension like a Valve, an Inch in length, which divided the Cavity half way. The Rectum did not much differ from the Colon in the magnitude of it's fistula, but was much the same; and in other respects, as 'tis in a Man.

This great length of the Intestines in our Pygmie was orderly colligated and fastened to the Mesenterie, which kept them in a due situation; and so, as to make in them, several windings or convolutions; that hereby they might the better make a distribution of the Chyle; and the whole was, as it in a Man. But I observed here, the Membranes of the Mesenterie, to be more loosly joyned together, than usually. For by moving them by my singers, I found the blood vessels which were fastened to the upper Membrane, would easily shove over those, that were fixt in the under Membrane of the Mesenterie; and run on either side of one another, as I would draw them. I have sometimes seen the same in Humane Bodies.

The Mesaraic Vessels here, were very numerous; as they approach the Intestines, they form several Arches, whereby they communicate with one another; and from these Arches, they send out numerous Branches to the Intestines of each side, which run classing them; afterwards they subdivide, and inosculate with one another in infinite Ramuli: so that by injecting these Vessels with Mercury, they appeared so numerous; as almost wholly to cover the Trunk of the Intestines. And the same is in Man.

I have likewise seen, by injecting the Mesenterick Vessels; that the Mercury has passed into the Lymphæduels; and so into the Venæ or Vasa Lactea. Which is a great contrivance of Nature. For the Motion of all suids being Pulsion, without this advantage, part of the Chyle, must necessarily stagnate in some of the Vessels; till a fresh distribution of Chyle comes; to protrude it on; and so it would be apt to coagulate and

cause

cause Obstructions. But by the Lympha thus passing into them; the Chyle is still forced forwards, and the Vessels washed clean of it; and being thus often moistened, they are preserved from becoming over dry, or closed or obstructed. So Provident therefore is Nature, that in the whole Via lastea, not only in the Mesenterie; but into the Receptaculum Chyli, and Dustus Thoracicus likewise; abundance of Lymphadusts are emptied. Which gives us one good Reason; that Nature does not act in vain, in making such a separation of a Liquor from the Mass of Blood; which is so soon to be return'd to it again; since hereby she performs so great an Office.

In the Mesenterie of our Pygmie I observed several small Glands scattered up and down, as in a Man; but not so regularly amassed together in the middle; as the Pancreas Asellij is in Brutes. And Drelincourt observed much the same in the Male Ape. Glandula ad radicem Mesenterij, & passim in ambitu, numerosa & plana, magnitudinem Lentula, sed Ovales. Anastomoses frequentissima Venarum cum Venis & Arteriarum cum Arteriis in universo Mesenterij circulo. And as that part of the Mesenterie which sastens the Colon is call'd Mesocolon; so for the same reason, that slip of it represented in our sigure, that runs down to the Processus vermiformis, may be call'd the Mesocolon.

We shall next proceed to the Liver, in which part our Pygmie very remarkably imitated a Man, more than our common Monkeys or Apes do. For the Liver here was not divided into Lobes as it is in Brutes; but intire as it is in a Man. It had the same shape; it's situation in the body was the same; and it's Colour, and Ligaments, the same. It measured in it's greatest length about five Inches and an half; where broadest, 'twas about three Inches; and about an Inch and three quarters in thickness. Towards the Diaphragm' twas convex: it's under part was Concave, where it receives and emits the Vessels, having a little Lobe here, as 'tis in a Man.

The Parisians remark in the Monkeys they dissected, that the Liver was very different from the Liver of a Man, having five Lobes as in a Dog; viz, two on the right side; and two on the left; and a sith laid upon the right part of the body of the Vertebræ. This last was divided, making as it were two leaves. So Drelincourt in the Male Ape observes, Jecoris Lobi duo juxta umbilicalem venam, quorum secundo incuneata erat vesicula fellis, duo alij ventriculum amplectebantur, cum lobulo quinto se inserente in spatium ventriculi intra orisicium utrumque. So likewise in the Female Ape he tells us, Jecur opplet regionem Epigastricam quintuplici lobo, uno sexto minimo opplens cavitatem lunarem ventriculi. But Blasius in the Ape he dissected saith, Epar cum humano minimè, optimè cum Canino convenit, manifestissimè in lobos VII divisum, tantæ magnitudinis ut etiam utrumque Hypochon-drium

drium occupet. Vesalius (57) therefore is in the right, where he saith, Que enim Diffectionum Professores de Jecoris forma, ac penulis seu fibris (quos A6885 Graci vocant) commentantur; è Canum potius, & simiarum sectionibus; quam hominum didicerunt. Humanum enim Jecur in fibras, Porcini, ac mulio adhuc minus Canini Jecoris modo, non discinditur. And that he luints here at Galen, is plain, from what he expresses in his Epistle ad Joachim Roelants, (58) where he farther enlarges upon it. And Galen (59) himself tells us, that Herophilus was of this Opinion. So Theophilus Protospatarius (60) saith, that the Liver is divided into four Lobes; and gives us there a distinct Name for each. Aristotle, (61) 'tis certain, was much more in the right, where he faith, segyion d' 32 to 18 avlewns Eval, ic, o Leolov vas. Bosia. Rotundum Jecur hominis est, ac simile bubulo. For the Liver of a Bullock, like a Man's is entire; and not divided into Lobes. However Franciscus Puteus (62) in his Apology, having named feveral Physicians and Chirurgians, that were with him at the opening of Charles the Ninth, Duke of Savoy, saith, bi omnes per Jovem mibi pofsunt esse Testes, quod observatum est Epar habuisse quatuor pinnulas. bus Sylving (63) likewise justifies Galen, against Vesalius; and tells us, Quin & Hippocrates Lobos Epatis humani quinque connumerat libro suo de offibus. Rufus autem quatuor vel quinque. But Renatus Henerus (64) hathanswered Sylvius as to this matter; and there needs no farther dispute about it, if one will but believe his own Eyes, he may fully fatisfie himfelf, that, in an Humane Liver there are none of those Lobes, but that 'tis one entire Body; as it was also in our Pygmie. But in Apes and Monkeys the Liver is divided into Lobes.

The great use of the Liver is for to make a separation of the Gall from the Mass of Blood. We will therefore here examine the Biliary Vessels; nor do I find them any thing different from those in a Man; only the Bladder of Gall here in our Pygmie seemed longer, being four Inches in length. It's adhassion to the Liver was not so much as it is in a Man; for at the fundus or end, it juts beyond the Liver about half an Inch. For about three quarters of an Inch, it is more closely joyned to the Liver; afterwards it is fastened to it only by a Membrane, as is also the Dustus Cysticus. So that the Vesica fellea when inflated with wind, seemed more to represent an Intestine by it's anstractus and length, than the usual shape of the Bladder of Gall; which commonly is more bellying out.

The Parisians observed in their Monkeys, that the Bladder was fastened to the first of the two Lobes which were on the right side. That it was an Inch long, and

<sup>(57)</sup> Vesalij de fabrica corporis humani, lib. 5. cap. 7. p.m.619. (58) Andr Vesalij Episiola,&c.p.m.81. (59) Galen. de Anat. Administrat. lib.6. cap.8. (60) Theophilus de Corporis humani fabrica, lib. 2. cap. 2. (61) Arist. Hist. Animal. lib. 1. cap. 17. p. m. 595. (62) Franc. Putei Apologia pro Galeno in Anatomicis contra Andr. Vesalium, lib. 5. p. m. 153. (63) Vasanicujusdam Calumniarum in Hipp. Galenique rem Anat. depulsio. per Jac. Sylvium. vid. Depuls. 26. p. m. 150. (64) Renat. Henerus adversus Jacobi Sylvij Depulsionum Anat. Calumnias pro Andrea Vesalie Apologia, p.m. 55.

half an inch broad; it had a great Ductus, which was immediately inserted underneath the Pylorus. This Ductus received three others, which instead of that, which in Manis single, and which is called Hepaticus; these three Ductus's had their Branches dispersed like Roots into all the Lobes of the Liver, so that the first had four roots, viz. one in each of the three right Lobes, and one in the first of the left; the second and third Ductus had both their roots in the second of the left Lobes, these branches did not run under the Tunicle of the Liver, so that they were apparent, and not hid in the Parenchyma, as they generally are. But in our Subject the distribution of the Ductus Hepaticus was altogether the same as it is in Man. In the Male Ape, Drelincourt describing the Bladder of Gall, saith, Vesicula sellea longa 2° pollicibus à sundo ad cervicem, ubi recurvitatem habet maximam, dimindiate hæret mersa substantia Jecoris.

The Ductus Hepaticus in our Pygmie issued out of the Liver with two branches; one arising from the right, the other from the left part of the Liver; and after a short space, joined into one Trunk; and that, after a little way, joyning with the Ductus Cysticus, do form the Ductus Communis, which empties it self into the Ducdenum a little below the Pylorus, at the same Orifice with the Ductus Pancreaticus, exactly as 'tis in Man, as I have mentioned.

At the Simous part of the Liver I observed the Vena Porta to enter, as likewise the Epatic Arteries and Nerves. And here in the Membrane about these Vessels, I observed a pretty large whitish Gland. The Vena Umbilicalis entered the Liver at the fissure. It seemed large, but I found it's fistula or pipe was closed. The Vena Cava issued out of the Liver at the Convex part, where 'twas joyned to the Diaphragm.

In the Spleen of our Pygmie I did not observe any thing extraordinary, or different from a Humane Spleen. It was of a lead Colour, and of the shape represented in our figure; 'twas fastened by Membranes to the Peritoneum; and by the Omentum and Vasa brevia to the Stomach, so that upon inflating the Stomach, the Spleen would be brought to lye close on the Stomach, as if it was fastened immediately there. The Spleen here was two Inches and an half long; and one Inch and a quarter broad; and seated as usually in the left Hypochondre under the Bastard Ribs. The Ramus Splenicus was very remarkable; sending it's Trunk along the Pancreas, as in Man, and having numerous branches near the Spleen.

The Parisians tell us, that in their Monkeys the Spleen was seated along the Ventricle as in Man; but it's figure was different, in one of our Subjects being made as the Heart is represented in Blazonry; it's Basis containing an Inch. They give a figure of it, but nothing like that of ours, which more represented the figure of an Humane Spleen; tho' in Man it's figure is often observed very different. Blasius in the Ape he dissected, observes

that.

that the Spleen triangularis figura est, exiguus admodum respectu corporis, coloris nigricantis, lave equidem molleque valde corpus, aft exterius inæquale, quasi ex globulis variis confectum, adeo ut etiam conglomeratis Glandulis Substantiam Lienis annumerare velle, tali in Subjecto fundamentum aliquod agnoscat. Ex Ramo Splenico numerosos eosque insignes Ventriculo Suppeditat ramos, magnitudinem & figuram externam Fig. 3. Tab. XI. exhibet. But his figure of the Spleen was nothing like to that of ours. For I did not observe those inequalities in the superfice which he represents in his, to exhibit the conglomerate Glands. 'Tis true, having injected the Spleen of our Pygmie, the Mercury filling the cellulated body of the Spleen, did make an appearance on the furface somewhat like those inequalities in his figure. But Frederic. de Rusch (65) is very positive, that neither those Glands, nor Cells mentioned by Malpighius, are to be met with in a Humane Spleen: tho' he grants, that they are in the Spleen of Brutes. Drelincourt in the Female Ape faith, Lien Scalenum figura refert, cobaret Reni sinistro & liber est a Diaphragmate. And in the Male Ape he observes, Lien triangularis & crassior quam in famina, Pancreas excipiens.

We shall therefore now proceed to the Pancreas, which in our Pygmie was situated, just as it is in a Humane Body; lying under the Stomach, transverse to the Spine, from the Spleen towards the Liver. It was
about two Inches long, about half an Inch broad, of a white yellowish
Colour; it's surface uneven, being made up of abundance of Glands;
it's Secretory Duct emptied it self into the Duodenum, just where the DuElus Communis of the Gall doth, as I have mentioned before.

The Parisians in their Monkeys observed, that the Pancreas had only it's figure, which made it to resemble that of Man; it's connection, and infertion being wholly particular. For it was strongly fastened to the Spleen; and the infertion of it's Ductus into the Intestine (which in Man is always near the Porus Bilarius) was two Inches distant therefrom. Blasius in his Ape describes it thus; Pancreas ventriculo substratum, solida admodum substantia est, nec aded molle, quam in Canibus aliifque Animalibus notatur. Longum itidem insigniter, ast latitudinis ejus, qua ne minimi digiti latitudini respondeat. He takes no notice here, how the Ductus Pancreations was inserted; which Drelincourt tells us in the Female Ape was eight lines above the Porus Bilarius. Pancreas connatum Lienali Cauda, & extremo Rent sinistro. Ejus ductus inseritur octo lineis supra Porum Bilavium, contra ac Canibus, Substernitur immediate Ventriculo, & Supersternitur brevi Intestino. Tho' in the Male Ape he tells us, 'tis inserted into the Duodenum at the same Orifice with the Duct of the Gall; as I have already mentioned and quoted before.

30112 1

<sup>(65)</sup> Epistola Anatomica Problematica quarta.

The Glandule Renales in our Pygmie were very large, and placed a little above the Kidnies as they are in Man. That on the right side, was of a triangular; that on the lest of an oblong sigure. They were about three quarters of an Inch long: and almost half an Inch broad. They had the same Vessels, as there are in a Man.

The Parisians in their Monkeys, observe that the Gland called Capsula Atrabilatia, was very visible, by reason that the Kidney was without fat. This Gland was white, and the Kidney of a bright red; it's sigure was triangular. Blassus in his Ape tells us, Glandula Renalis triangularis fere sigura est, notabilis valde pro ratione Corporis, and gives us a sigure of it, which was nothing like ours. What Drelincourt remarks in the Male Ape, is, Capsula Atrabilaria triplicem Scrobiculum habent, quarum liquor expressus linguam non ità constringit, uti in Capsulis samineis. And in the Female Ape he tells us, Ren Succenturiatus sinister ab Emulgente venam habet; idem major Dextro. This I observed in our Pygmie; but he saith nothing farther here of their Liquor, nor did I taste it in ours.

We shall now proceed to the Kidneys. In our Pygmie I did observe very little or no fat in the common or outward Membrane, usually called Adiposa: Drelincourt observed the same, nullus hic Adeps in Tunica communi vel proprià, as he tells us of his Ape. The Kidneys of our Pygmie were two Inches and a quarter long, an Inch and an half broad; and about an Inch in depth. They had not altogether so large a Sinus at the Entrance of the Emulgent Vessels, as there is in a Man's; and the whole appeared somewhat rounder; but their situation was the same, as were likewise the Emulgents. Having divided the right Kidney length-ways, I observed the Cortical or Glandulous Part to appear like a distinct Substance, being a of tawny or yellowish colour; and different from the Inward or Tubulary Part; which was more entire and compacted together, than in a Man's; and was of a red colour, by means of the blood vessels which run between the Tubuli Urinarij or Secretory Ducts, which make up this part of the Kidneys. Which Vessels when inflamed and overextended, by making a Compression on these Tubuli Urinarij, may cause a Suppression of Urine; in which case Phlebotomy or Bleeding is very necessary. And without doubt was the Cause of the Success Riverius (66) met with in a Patient, who had a Suppression of Urine for three days; for upon bleeding freely, he was prefently relieved, and in a short time rendered a large quantity of Urine. In this Tubulary Part of a Humane Kidney I always observe these Blood Vessels: but here usually the Cortical or Glandulous Part makes a deeper descent between the heads of this Tubulary, and divides it into several Bodies; and as many of them as appear, so many lesser Kidneys may be reckoned to make up the Body of each Kidney. In Infants the Kidney externally appears more divided

<sup>(66)</sup> Riverij Observ. Med. Cent. 1. Obs. 1.

than in Adult Persons; but most remarkably they are so, in a Bear, the Porpois and an Ostrich; where there are abundance of distinct small Kidneys amassed together to make up each.

The Parisians in the Kidneys of their Monkeys observe, that they were round and stat; their situation was more unequal, than in a Man; the right being much lower than the left, viz. half it's bigness. Drelincourt in the Female Ape remarks, Renes globosi, dexter intra Hypochondrium incumbit Costa 11. & 12. Sinister locum habet intra Costam ultimam. Altitudo Renis dimidia uncia. Renalium venarum dextra longe elatior sinistra. Rene aperto Carnis discrimen ut in homine, exterior quidem nigricans lineis quatuor crassa, interior albicans lineis duabus.

The Pelvis of the Kidney in our Pygmie was as 'tis usually in a Man; and the Ureters had nothing remarkably different in their Structure, from the common make. They were about the bignels of a Wheat straw; and were inserted into the neck of the Bladder, as represented in our figure; rather somewhat nearer the neck, than in an Humane Bladder.

The Parisians and Blasius have no remarks upon the Ureters. Drelincourt in the Male Ape saith, Ureteres suprà Psoas Musculo & Iliaco, atque
subtùs vasis Spermaticis, quibus decussaim substrati sunt etiam quibus vascula
admittunt, sese reslectunt in Hypogastricam, decussantes ramos Iliacos & Ejaculatorios. And in the Female, Ureteris expansiones arcuatim reslexa ut
in homine. Vasa habent superne à Renalibus, inferne à Musculis.

The Bladder of Urine in our Pygmie was of an Oblong figure, not so globous as commonly in Man, for being moderately blown up it measured four Inches in length; and two Inches and half in breadth. In other Circumstances' twas agreeable enough with an Humane Bladder.

The Parisians tell us, that in the Female Monkey, the Neck of the Bladder had it's hole otherwise than in Women, being very far in the Neck of the Matrix, viz. towards the middle, at the place where it's roughness began, which were seen only towards the Extremity of the Ductus, near the internal Orifice. Blasius saith nothing of it in his Ape; and all that Drelincourt tells us is, Vesica Peritonaeo suspensa ut in aliis Brutis.

Before we proceed to the Parts of Generation (which remain besides to be here described) we shall a little take notice of those large Canales of the Blood, the Arteria Aorta and the Vena Cava, and the Rivulets they emit or do receive; all which I find in our Pygmie to be just the same, as they are in a Man. For from the Aorta arises here, the Arteria Caliaca; the Arteria Mesenterica superior; then the Emulgent Arteries; below them, the Spermatick Arteries; then the Arteria Mesenterica inferior; then the Trunk

Trunk divides into the Iliac Branches. So the Vena Cava too in our Pyg mie exactly imitated that in a Man.

How the Structure of these Vessels are in Monkeys, the Parisians do not tell us, and their sigure is very imperfect; as is likewise that in Blasius, which seems altogether sictitious. What he writes, is this; Arteria magna circa Renem dextrum succumbit Venæ Cavæ, & ubi Iliacos Ramos constituit eandem supergreditur; contrà ac in Homine, Cane, aliisque animalibus sieri reperimus, ubi sinistra occupat, hinc à sinistra ad dextram progreditur supra Arteriam. So Drelincourt tells us in the Male Ape, Aorta descendens mox atque bisurcatur equitat, & adscendenti Cavæ incumbit.

We come now to the Parts of Generation; and shall begin with the Vasa Praparantia; The Arteries and Veins. The Spermatic Arteries in our Pygmie do both arise out of the Trunk of the Aorta, a little below the Emulgent Arteries, as in our figure; and after having ran a little way, they meet with the Spermatic Vein; and are both included in a common Capsula, and so do descend to the Testes. These Arteries do carry the blood to the Testes, from whence the Semen is afterwards separated; the residue of the Blood is return'd from the Testes by the Spermatic Veins; whereof that on the right side enters into the Trunk of the Vena Cava, a little below the right Emulgent Vein; and that of the lest, is emptied into the lest Emulgent Vein, just all one as it is in a Humane Body. Having injected the Spermatic Vein with Mercury, it discovered abundance of Vessels, running waving; which otherwise did not appear: and a great many of them were extreamly fine and small.

The Parifians give no description of the Spermatic Vessels in their Monkeys; and in their figure the left Spermatic Vein is omitted, or left out. Thomas Bartholine (67) in his Anatomy of a Mamomet (which he describes, as not having a Tail; and therefore it must be of the Ape-kind, and not a Cercopithecus, or a Monkey, as he calls it) in his figure of these parts, represents the left Spermatic Vein, emptying it self into the left Emulgent, as it is in our Creature. Blasius therefore in the account of the Ape he diffected, must be mistaken; both in his figure and description too; for in the former, he represents the left Spermatic Vein running into the Trunk of the Cava; and justifies it in the latter; in telling us, Vasa Spermatica utroque latere ex Trunco Cava & Aorta oriuntur, & quidem altiori loco ea que sunt lateris dextri, inferiore que sinistri. But Drelincourt certainly is more in the right, who informs us, that in the Male Ape he diffected, Vena Spermatica dextra crassa, & ab interiori trunco Cava adscendentis pollice infra Emulgentem sinistram enascitur, surculosque emittit sinistros in Membranas vicinas. Arteria Spermatica dextra à trunco anteriori

<sup>(67)</sup> Thom. Bartholin. Alla Medica & Philos. Hafniens. an. 1691. & 1672. Obs. 36.

Aortæ paulo infra Emulgentem sinistram enascens sub Venà Emulgente intercruciat Cavam ascendentem, quæ superinequitat, & conjungitur Venæ Consociali cò præcisè loci ubi Vena inseritur suum in truncum. Sinistra Vena Spermatica inseriturin Emulgentem juxta truncum Cavæ, & consocialem Arteriam admittit eò præcisè loci, in quo enascitur dextra. So in the Female Ape he saith, Spermatica Vena sinistra ab Emulgente sinistrà, dextra è Trunci parte anteriore, pollice infra Emulgentem sinistram.

We come now to describe the Testes, which in our Pygmie were not contained in a pendulous Scrotum, as they are in Man, but more contracted and pursed up by the outward Skin, nearer to the Os Pubis, and were seated by the sides of the Penis, without the Os Pubis; and I observed them bunching out there, before the Dissection; so that it seemed to want a Scrotum; or at least the Skin which inclosed them, was not so dilated, as to hang down like a Cod; but contracted them up nearer to the Body of the Penis; which to me seems a wise Contrivance of Nature. For hereby these Parts are less exposed to the injuries, they might otherwise receive in climbing Trees, or other accidents in the Woods. However, the outward Skin here that incloses them, performs altogether the office of a Scrotum. And if I mistake not, I observed that Sepimentum, as in a Humane Scrotum; which is made by a descent of a Membrane there, which divides each Testicle from one another.

But whether the Testes being thus closely pursed up to the Body, might contribute to that great salaciousness this Species of Animals are noted for, I will not determine: Tho' 'tis faid, that these Animals, that have their Testicles contained within the Body, are more inclined to it, than others. That the whole Ape-kind is extreamly given to Venery, appears by infinite Stories related of them. And not only fo, but different from other Brutes, they covet not only their own Species, but to an Excess are inclined and follicitous to those of a different, and are most amorous of fair Women. Besides what I have already mentioned, Gabriel Clauderus (68) tells us of an Ape, which grew so amorous of one of the Maids of Honour, who was a celebrated Beauty, that no Chains, nor Confinement, nor Beating, could keep him within Bounds; fo that the Lady was forced to petition to have him banished the Court. But that Story of Castanenda in his Annals of Portugal (if true) is very remarkable; of a Woman who had two Children by an Ape. I shall give it in Latin, as 'tis related by Licetus; and 'tis quoted too by Anton. Densingius (69) and others.

In hanc Sententiam faciunt (saith Fort. Licetus (70)) quæ Castanenda retulit in Annalibus Lusitaniæ de siliis ex muliere, ac simio natis, mulierem

<sup>(68)</sup> Miscell. Curiosa German. Decur. 2. Ann. 5. Obs. 187. (69) Ant. Deusingij Fassicul. Dissertat. select. de Ratione & Loquela Brutorum, p. m. 196. (70) Fortun. Licetus de Monstrorum Causis, lib. 2. cap. 68. p.m. 217.

nempe

nempe ob quoddam crimen in insulam desertam navi deportatam, quum ibi exposita fuisset, eam simiorum, quibus fertilis locus erat, agmen circumstetisse fremebundum; supervenisse unum grandiorem, cui reliqui loco cesserint: bunc mulierem blande manu captam in antrum ingens abduxisse, eique cum ipsum tum ceteros copiam pomorum, nucum, radicumque variarum apposuisse; onutu ut vesceretur invitasse; tandem à ferà coactam ad stuprum; facinus boc multis diebus continuatum adeò, ut duos ex ferà liberos pepererit : ita miseram (quantò mors optabilior!) victitasse per annos aliquot; donec Deus misertus navim co Lusitanam detulisset; quumque milites in terram aquatum ex proximo ad antrum fonte exscendissent : abessetque forte fortuna simius; feminam ad invisos din mortales accurrisse, & occidentem ad pedes supplicasse, uti se facinore, & calamitosissima servitute irent ereptum, adsentientibusque, & casum miserantibus illis, eam cum ipsis navim adscendisse. Sed ecce tibi simium supervenientem inconditis gestibus, & fremitibus conjugem non conjugem revocantem: ut vidit vela ventis data, concito cursu de liberis unum matri oftentat, minatur, ni redeat, in mare præcipitaturum; nec seeniter fecit, quod minatus: tum recurrit ad antrum, & endem velocitate ad littus rediens ostentat alterum, minatur, & demergit : subsequitur, donec unda natantem vicere. Rem totam Lusitania teste notissimam, & à Rege mulierem Ulyflipone addictam ignibus, quorundam precibus vita impetrata, lethum cum claustro perpetuo commutasse.

But to return to our Business. Our Pygmie in this Particular of the Scrotum, more resembles the Ape-kind, than a Man. For the Parisians tell us, that the Parts of Generation in three of our Subjects, which were Males, were different from those of Man, there being no Scrotum in two of these Subjects, and the Testicles not appearing, by reason that they were hid in the fold of the Groyne. It is true that the third, which was one of the Sapajous, had a Scrotum, but it was so shrunk, that it did not appear. Or, as they afterwards express it, The Testicles were shut up in a Scrotum, which joyned them close up to the Penis. So in the Ape Blasius describes, Testes insignes satis, sacculo suo inclusi, non dependent extra abdomen, ad modum eum quo in Homine, Canibus, similibusque Animalibus aliis, sed vicini adeò sunt tendinibus musculorum Abdominis, quos vasa Spermatica transeunt, ac si in uniti essent, sic ut potius in Inguine utroque collocatos eos dicerem, quam ultra offa Pubis a Corpore pendulos. And so Drelincourt to the same purpose; Scrotum pendulum nullum est, sest Testiculi utrinque juxta Ossis Pubis summa latera, vel Spinam summam ejus decumbunt extra prorsus Abdominis cavum, & proinde extra Musculorum Epigastrij Aponeuroses.

In the other Parts I am here to describe, I find our Pygmie more conformable to the Structure of the same in a Man. For the Testes were included in a Tunica Vaginalis, and had a Cremaster Muscle; which being separated, I observed the Epididymis large, and the Body of the Testis to be about the bigness of a Filbird; and it's compounding Parts nothing at all different from those of a Man. Jacobus Sylvius

vius (71) in the Ape he diffected, observed, the Testes humanis majores.

The Parisians tell us, that in some of their Subjects the Testicles were long and strait, and but one line in breadth, and eight in length. In one of their Sapajous they were found of a sigure quite contrary, and almost as remote from the sigure of those of Man, being perfectly round. Drelincourt's account in his Ape is, Tunica Elytroides sibris carneis à Cremastere conspersa, ut in homine. Arteria Spermatica miro lusu, spiratim revolvitur super Testiculi dorsum. Testiculus autem Ventri Epididymidum adhæret, nisi fibrillis paucis de laxis, capite suo, quo Spermatica Deferentia admittit, separatur illæsus, cauda autem sua, qua ejaculatoria vasa emittit, tot punëtula candicantia exhibet, divulsus ab Epididymide, quot à Testiculo canaliculi protenduntur.

From the Epididymis in our Pygmie (as it is in a Man) was continued the Vas Deferens; a slender Ductus, which conveys the Semen from the Testicle to the Vesiculæ Seminales. These Vesiculæ were two cellulated Bladders placed under the neck of the Bladder of Urine; which on the outside, did seem (as it were) nothing else but the Vas Deferens dilated, and placed in a waving sigure there. And as the Body of the Testes was made up of a curious convoluted Contexture of Seminal Vessels, which running into sewer, form at last the Body of the Epididymis; and these Vessels afterwards passing all into one Duct, do make up the Vas Deferens: so this Vas Deferens here, being dilated and enlarged, does form the Vesiculæ Seminales. And the same is in a Man.

The Parisians here do take notice of that Passage in Aristotle I have already quoted, where he likens the Parts of Generation in the Male Ape to those of a Dog, more than a Man. But the Philosopher herein, is under a Mistake; for, as they instance, in the Penis of a Dog, there is a Bone, which is not in the Monkey's; so likewise in Monkeys, there are Vesicula Seminales, which are not to be met with in a Dog. They describe them in their Monkeys thus: The Glandulous Prostatæ were small; the Parastatæ Cyrsoides were in requital very large; they contained an Inch in length; their breadth was unequal, being four lines towards the neck of the Bladder, and a line and an half at the other end, differing herein from those of Man, who has them slenderest near the neck of the Bladder. They were composed of several little Baggs, which opened into one another. Caruncle of the Urethra was small, but very like to that of a Man. sius hath given us a sigure of these Parts, which I do not like; as neither that of the Parifians. He describes them thus: Vesicula Seminales hic valde amplæ, quæ immisso flatu per ductum Seminalem Ejaculatorium insigniter intumescunt. Quod si premantur, manifestissime observamus Mate-

<sup>(71)</sup> Jac. Sylvij Variorum Corporum dissell. Operum, p. m. 130.

riam iis contentam moveri in Meatum Urinarium, Vesicæ continuum, & quidem per foramen singulare, quod in unoquoque latere unicum est, quæ res occasionem videtur dedisse facobo Sylvio duos ductus Seminales in simila constituendi. All that Drelincourt saith of them is, Vasa ejaculatoria retrò Vesicam tendunt in Corpuscula prædura mirè ansractuosa, ut & ipsum initium Epididymidis. Which is very conformable to what I observed in our Pygmie.

Between the root of the Penis, and neck of the Bladder, is seated the Corpus Glandulosum, or the Prostata, which in our Pygmie appeared the same as in Man. The Parisians tell us in their Monkeys that they were small. Blasius in his figure, besides the Prostates, which he saith are Glandula vesicis adstans, albidior solidiorque represents another, at the Letters (H. H.) viz. Glandula alia, major, rubicunda & plexu Nervorum, aliorumque vasorum prædita; which is no Gland, but the Bulb of the Penis. Drelincourt in his Ape tells us, Corpora Glandulosa duos velut Nates circa vesica cervicem suprà Sphintlerem exhibent.

We come now to the Penis, which in our Pygmie was two Inches long; the girth of it at the root was an Inch and a quarter; but it grew taperer towards the end. It had no frænum, fo that the Præpuce could be retracted wholly down; and herein our Pygmie is different from a Man. The Slit of the Penis here was perpendicular as in a Man. In the figure the Parisians give us, it seems to be horizontal, as it is plainly represented by Bartholine in his third and fourth figure of his Mamomet, altho' by his fecond figure one would think otherwise. Whether there was any Balanus or Glans in the Penis of our Pygmie, or what it was, I am uncertain: I do not remember I observed any. In my third figure the Penis is represented decurtated at the end, and without the Praputium, which was left entire to the Skin. Dreclincourt's account of it in the Ape is this; Genitale prorsus expers est franuli ac proinde Præputium devolvitur ad radicem usque Penis, & denudatur Glans ipsa, atque Penis integer. Balanus consimilis virili, excepto franulo, atque praterca hiatum maximum exhibet, qua parte Ligamenta Cavernosa desinant, & Glans utrinque prominet. At the root of the Penis of our Pygmie, we observed the Musculi Erectores to be short, and thicker proportionably than in a Man; and the Ligamentum Sufpensorium larger: The Musculus accelerator Urina was large, covering the Bulb of the Cavernous body of the Vrethra. The Corpora Nervosa, or the two Cavernous bodies of the Penis were divided length-ways by a Sepimentum in the middle, as in Man. In the Urethra likewise there was a Cavernous body. The Vessels of the Penis answered exactly to those of a Man.

Blasius in his Ape saith, Penis Nervosum Corpus unicum tantum habere videtur, sepimento notabili destitutum. But I am apt to think he might be mistaken; for in our Subject 'twas very plainly divided, but more remarkably

markably towards the root than forwards. What he adds afterwards, Circa radicem Penis Tuberculum exile occurrit, exteriùs carnosæ naturæ, interiùs reticulari vasorum plexu resertum, interstitia ipsius materià rubicundà occupante, by this I suppose he means the Bulb of the Penis. Drelincourt expresses it better, where he saith, Totus Penis duobus Ligamentis Cavernosis à tuberibus Ischij gaudet. In our Subject these two bodies were very large and cavernous within. But what Drelincourt adds, Urethra planè carnosa; This was different in our Pygmie; for as I have mention'd, the sides of the Urethra here were Cavernous too, tho' not much.

How the Organs of Generation are in the Female of this Species of Animals, I have had no opportunity of informing my felf. But by Analogy I can't but think, they must be very like to those of a Woman, since they are so even in Monkeys and Apes in several respect; tho' in some, they imitate the Structure of these Parts in Brutes. Thus the Parisians observe, The generative Parts of the Female had also a great many things which rendered them different from those of Bitches, herein resembling those of Women; there were some of them likewise which were as in Bitches, and after another manner than in Woman; for the exteriour Orifice was round and strait, as in Bitches, and the generality of other Brutes, and had neither Nymphæ nor Carunculæ. The Neck of the Bladder had it's hole otherwise than in Woman, being very far in the Neck of the Matrix, viz. towards the middle, at the place where it's roughness began, which were seen only towards the extremity of the Ductus near the Internal Orifice. The Trunks of the Matrix were also different from those of Women, and resembling those of Brutes in that they were proportionably longer, and more redoubled by various turnings. The Clitoris had something more conformable to that which is seen in other Brutes that have it, than in that of Women, being proportionably greater, and more visible than it is in Women. It was composed of two Nervous and Spongious Ligaments, which proceeding from the lower part of the Os Pubis, and obliquely advancing to the sides of these Bones, did unite to form a third Body, which was ten lines in length. It was formed by uniting of the two first, which a very strong Membrane joyned together, going from one of the Ligaments to the other, besides a hard and nervous Membrane which inveloped them. They terminated at a Gland like to that of the Penis of the Male. The little Muscles, which were fastned to these Ligaments, proceeded as usual from the tuberosities of the Ischium. These Ligaments were of Substance so thin and spongious, that the wind penetrated, and made them easily to swell, when blown into the Network of the Veins and Arteries which is in this place. This Network was visible in this Subject, being composed of larger Vessels than they proportionably are in Women. It was situated as usually under the second pair of Muscles of the Clitoris. It's figure was Pyramidal, ending from a very large Basis in a point, which run along the third Ligament to it's extremity towards the Gland.

The rest of the Parts of Generation were like to those of Women. The Neck of the Bladder had it's Muscles as in Women: For there were a great number of sleshy Fibres, which proceeding from the Sphincter of the Anus, were fastened to the sides of the Neck of the Uterus, and other such like Fibres which did come from the Sphincter of the Bladder to insert themselves at the same place. The Body of the Uterus, it's Membranes, internal Orifice, it's Ligaments as well the round as broad, and all it's Vessels had a conformation intirely like to that, which these same Parts have in Women. The Testicles, which were ten lines long, and two broad, were as in Women, composed of a great number of small Bladders, and fastned near the Membranes which are at the extremity of the Tubæ, and which is called their Fringe.

Drelincourt hath very little on this Subject, all he saith is, Vrethrarubicunda solida & brevis. Vagina admodum rugosa, monticulum habens in medio, Papillis extuberans ut in Palato, Pollicem longa, transversim scissa, Pollicem lata. Orificium interius valde solidum. Cervix interior admodum dura, & paulò intrà osculum internum duritie cartilaginosa.

We shall proceed now to the Parts of the Middle Venter, the Thorax; and here, as the Parts are fewer, so my Remarks will be also: and the rather, because in our Pygmie we observed so very little difference from the Structure of the same Parts in a Man. I must confess I can't be so particular in all Circumstances, as I would, because for the preserving the Sceleton more entire, I did not take off the Sternum. However, I observed enough to satisfie my self with what I thought most material.

This Cavity was divided from the Abdomen by the Diaphragm, whose Aponeurosis or Tendon seemed rather larger than in a Man: and the second Muscle which encompassed the Gula, as it passes through it, was very fair.

I made no Remarks upon the Pleura, and Mediastinum: The Thymus in our Pygmie was about an Inch long, and placed as 'tis in Man; downwards 'twas divided, but upwards 'twas joyned together. So in a Man I have often observed it divided. Generally this part is larger in Infants and Embrios than in grown Persons, for the Reasons I have frequently mentioned in my Anatomical Lectures. The Paristans observed in their Monkeys that the Thymus was large. Blasius and Drelincourt have no Remarks about it.

The Lungs in our Pygmie had three Lobes on one fide, and but two on the other; five in all. Their Colour, Substance, Situation, and all Circumstances exactly resemble a Man's. The Parisians tell us, that in their Monkeys the Lungs had seven Lobes, three on the right side, and as

many on the left, the seventh was in the Cavity of the Mediastine, as in the generality of Brutes. This again makes a notable difference between the internal parts of the Ape, and those of Man, whose Lungs have generally at the most but five Lobes, oftener but four, and sometimes but two. Vesalius affirms that he never saw in Man this fifth Lobe, which he reports to be in Apes, Supposing that they have but five. The Passage that the Parisians hint at in Vesalius is this, Lobum autem qui in Canibus, simiisque Venæ Cavæ Candicem suffulcit, nunquam in homine observavi, & hunc illo destitui certo certius scio, quamvis interim Galeni locus in septimo de administrandis Dissectionibus mibi memoria non exciderit, quo inquit, quintum bune Pulmonis Lobum eos non latêre, qui recte sectionem administrant; innuens Herophilo & Marino ejusmodi Lobum fuisse incognitum, uti sane fuit, cum illi Hominum Cadavera, non autem cum ipso, simiarum ac Canum duntaxat aggrederentur, in quibus præsenti Lobo nihil est manifestius. (72) Tho' Galen be herein mistaken, Vesalius certainly is too severe in his Censure, in charging him, that he never dissected any thing but Apes and Dogs; for the contrary evidently appears in abundance of Instances, that might be produced. And one would think he had not diffected Apes and Monkeys in making but five Lobes in their Lungs, whereas in either there are more. In what he argues, that this fifth Lobe in a Man could not lie upon the Vena Cava; because in a Man the Pericardium is fastened to the Diaphragm, and the Vena Cava enters there, and so immediately passes to the Heart; this is true, and the fame I observed in our Pygmie. So that in the formation of this Part. our Pygmie exactly resembles a Man; and is different from both the Monkey and Ape-kind. The former we have feen; as to the latter, Drelincourt tells us in the Male Ape; Pulmo dexter quadrifidus, Lobus insimus omnium crassissimus, superior minus crassus, intermedius reapse medius situ & magnitudine. Quartus demum crenam insculptam babet, qua parte Cavæ fulcrum præbet. Pulmo sinister bisidus, & Lobus ejus Superior bifurcatus. So in the Female Ape, Lobi Pulmonis dextri totaliter divisi IV, quorum superior, bisidus totus, adeo ut sint quinque in ed parte : sinister Pulmo bistidus totus, & Lobus superior ultrà dimidium sui divisus.

The Trachæa or Wind-pipe in our Pygmie was altogether the same as in a Man; consisting of a regular order of Cartilaginous Annuli, which were not perfectly continued round; but towards the Spine, were joyned by a strong Membrane. Drelincourt saith of it, Trachææ annuli se habent uti Intestinorum spiræ, nervosis Membranis colliguntur. The Comparison, I think, is not so well made.

<sup>(72)</sup> Andr. Vefalij de Corporis humani fabrica, lib. 6. cap. 7. p. 724.

For the present we will leave following the Duct of the Trachea up to the Larynx, (the Part according to the Method of Nature, we should have began with) and make some farther Observations, on those under our present view. In the Cavity of the Thorax therefore, (as I have remark'd) the Pericardium or that Bag that incloses the Heart in our Pygmie, was fastened to the Diaphragm, just as 'tis in Man. I must confess, when I first observed it, I was surprised, because I had not seen it so in Brutes before. And Vesalius, and others make it as a peculiarity to a Man. I will quote Vesalius's words, and make an Inference from our Observation, and so proceed.

Vesalius (73) therefore tells us, Caterum Involucri mucro, & dextri ipsius lateris egregia portio Septi transversi nerveo circulo validissime, amploque
admodum spatio connascitur, quod Hominibus est peculiare. Simiis quoque
& Canibus & Porcis involucrum à septo multum distat. Tantum abest ut ipsi
magna sui portione connecteretur, adeò sanè ut & binc luce clarius constet,
Galenum hominis viscera aut oscitanter, aut neutiquam spectasse, Simiasque
& Canes nobis describentem, immerito veteres arguisse. He can't forbear at
all turns to have a sling at Galen: But he is here in the right, and Galen
mistaken. So Blancardus (74) tells us, Homo pra cateris Animalibus hoc
peculiare habet, quod ejus Pericardium Septi transversi medio semper accrescat,
cum idem in Quadrupedum genere liberum, & aliquanto spatio ab ipso remotum
sit.

Now our Pygmie having the Pericardium thus fastened to the Diaphragm, it seems to me, as if Nature designed it to be a Biped and to go erect. For therefore in a Man is the Pericardium thus fastened, that in Expiration it might affift the Diastole of the Diaphragm: for otherwise the Liver and Stomach being so weighty, they would draw it down too much towards the Abdomen; so that upon the relaxation of it's Fibres in it's Diastole, it would not ascend sufficiently into the Thorax, so as to cause a Subsidence of the Lungs by lessening the Cavity there. In Quadrupeds there is no need of this adhasion of the Pericardium to the Diaphragm: For in them, in Expiration, when the Fibres of the Diaphragm are relaxed, the weight of the Viscera of the Abdomen will easily press the Diaphragm up, into the Cavity of the Thorax, and so perform that Service. Besides, was the Pericardium fastened to the Diaphragm in Quadrupeds, it would hinder it's Systole in Inspiration; or it's descent downwards upon the contraction of it's Muscular Fibres; and the more, because the Diaphragm being thus tied up, it could not then so freely force down the weight of the Viscera, which are always pressing upon it, and consequently not sufficiently dilate the Cavity of the Thorax, and therefore must hinder their Inspiration. Thus we see how necessary it is,

<sup>(73)</sup> Andr. Vefalij de Corporis Humani fabrica, lib.6.cap.8.p.m.728. (74) Steph: Blancardi Anatom. reformat. cap.2. p.8.

that in a Man the Pericardium should be fastened to the Diaphragm; and in Quadrupeds how inconvenient it would be; that from hence I think we may safely conclude, that Nature design'd our Pygmie to go erect, since in this particular 'tis so like a Man; which the common Apes and Monkeys are not; and tho' they are taught to go erect, yet 'tis no more than what Dogs may be taught to do.

We proceed now to the Heart; where we observed that in our Pygmie, it's Auricles, Ventricles, Valves and Vessels were much the same as they are in a Man's. It's Cone was not so pointed, as in some Animals, but rather more obtuse and blunt, even more than a Man's. What Avicenna (75) remarks of the Heart of an Ape, having a double Cone, must be accidental and extraordinary: for he tells us, Et jam repertum est Cor cujusdam Simij habens duo Capita. And a little after, he denies the Heart to be a Muscle; Jam autem erravit ( saith he ) qui existimavit, qu'od sit Lacertus, quamvis sit similium rerum in eo, verum motus ejus non est voluntarius. The Person he hints at, I suppose, is Hippocrates, who so long ago afferted this; 'H rapsm (faith (76) Hippocrates) wis En rapla ique is, & raf νούρω, αλλα πιλήμαι σαρκός. Cor musculus est validus admodum non Nervo, verum Carnis spissamento. And Steno and Dr. Lower fince have shewed us the way of diffecting it, and have made it most evident that 'tis Muscular; and it's motion is lugh; but as Avicenne observes, 'tis not a voluntary motion, but involuntary. 'Tis pity we had not a better Translation of his Works; for unless it be some particular Pieces, the rest is most barbaroully done, as appears from that little I have quoted of him. But to return to our Pygmie; the magnitude and figure of the Heart here, was exactly the same as represented in our Scheme, where part of the Pericardium is left lying on it. Both in the right and left Auricle and Ventricle, I observed two Polypous Concretions, which plainly represented the Valves both in the Arteria Pulmonalis, and Aorta. I must confess by what I have hitherto observed of them, (and I have very frequently met with fuch Concretions in Humane Bodies) I cannot think these Polypus's to be any thing else, than the Size of the Blood, or the Serum coagulated after The Observation I formerly gave (77) of a Polypus in the Trachea and Bronchie of a Patient troubled with an Hemoptoe, in it's kind I think remarkable.

The Parisians observe that the Heart of their Monkey was a great deal more pointed, than it usually is in Man; which is likewise a Character of Brutes. Tet in the interiour Superficies of it's Ventricles, it had that great number of Fibres and sleshy Columns, which are seen in Men. Drelincourt in his Ape observes, Cor solidum in ventriculo sinistro, laxum in dextro; prædurus Conus ejus: Serum in Pericardio salsum. Vasa Coronaria tumida, præsertim circà Ventriculum. Adeps circà ea nullus.

<sup>(75)</sup> Avisenna lib. 3. Fen. 2. Tract. 1. p.m. 670. (76) Hipp. de Corae, p.m. 270. (77) Vide Tho. Bartho-lini Alia Med. by Philof. Hafniens. Vol. 5. Obs. 30. p.94.

There was nothing farther, I think, that I observed peculiar in the Thorax of our Pygmie. I shall now therefore follow the Duct of the Trachea up to the Throat. And here as in Man, I observed placed the Glandula Thyroidea, upon the Cartilago Scutiformis of the Larynx; 'twas red and spungy, full of Blood vessels, not much unlike the inward Part of the Spleen, but somewhat firmer. In a Man I have always observed this part to be red. Drelincourt's Account of it in the Ape is, Glandula Thyroidea & Cricoidea crasse sunt, & submigricantes; & illas permeant surculi Corotidis Arteria & Jugularis vena externa; cum surculis Nervi Recurrentis. There is no sensible account yet given of the use of this part, as I have met with: And I think that from a Comparative Survey of it in other Animals, and a strict Observation of it's Structure, and the Vessels that compound it, it were not difficult to assign other uses of it more satisfactory.

As to the Larynx in our Pygmie, unless I enumerate all the Cartilages that go to form it, and the Muscles that serve to give them their Motion, and the Vessels that run to and from it, and the Membranes and Glands, there is nothing that I can further add, but only say, that I found the whole Structure of this Part exactly as 'tis in Man. And the same too I must say of the Os Hyoides. The Restection that the Parisians make upon the observation of this, and it's neighbouring Parts in the Dissection of their Monkey's, I think is very just and valuable. And if there was any farther advantage for the forming of Speech, I can't but think our Pygmie had it. But upon the best Enquiry, I was never informed, that it attempted any thing that way. Tho' Birds have been taught to imitate Humane Voice, and to pronounce Words and Sentences, yet Quadrupeds never; neither has this Quadru-manous Species of Animals, that so nearly approaches the Structure of Mankind, abating the Romances of Antiquity concerning them.

The Parisians therefore tell us, That the Muscles of the Os Hyoides, Tongue, Larynx, and Pharynx, which do most serve to articulate a word, were wholly like to those of Man; and a great deal more than those of the Hand; which nevertheless the Ape, which speaks not, uses almost with as much perfection as a Man. Which demonstrates, that Speech is an Action more peculiar to Man, and which more distinguishes him from Brutes than the Hand; which Anaxagoras, Aristotle, and Galen have thought to be the Organ which Nature has given to Man, as to the wifest of all Animals; for want perhaps of this Restection: For the Ape is found provided by Nature of all those marvellous Organs of Speech with so much exactness, that the very three small Muscles, which do take their rise from the Apophyses Styloides, are not wanting, althos this Apophysis be extreamly small. This particularity does likewise shew, that there is no reason to think, that Agents do perform such and such actions, because they are found with Organs proper there-

unto; for, according to these Philosophers, Apes should speak, seeing that they have the Instruments necessary for Speech.

I shall not engage in this Argument here, because it would be too great a digression; hereafter, it may be, I may take an occasion to do it; for this is not the only Instance in our Subject, that will justifie such an Inference: tho' I think it so strong an one, as the Atheists can never answer.

We shall take notice next of the *Uvula*, a Part of some use too in forming the *Voice*; for where 'tis missing or vitiated, it much alters the found; and even this I found in our *Pygmie* to be altogether alike as in Man. It had those two Muscles which are in a Man, the Musculus Sphano-Palatinus, and the Pterigo-Palatinus seu Sphano-Pterigo-Palatinus; the Tendon of which last, passed over the Pterigoidal Process, which was to it like a Trochlea or Pully, and was afterwards inserted as in a Man.

The Parisians tell us that the Uvula, which is in no other Brutes, was found in our Apes (it should be Monkeys) wholly resembling that of Man. And so Blasius, Uvula in Animalibus alies præter hominem & simiam nunquam à me observata. All that Drelincourt saith of it is, Uvula sirma est & carnosa.

The Tongue of our Pygmie in all respects, as I know of, resembled a Humane Tongue; only because twas somewhat narrower, it seemed longer: And under the Tongue in our Pygmie we observed the Glandulæ Sublinguales as in Man.

Drelincourt observes in the Ape, Linguæ basis non tantum incumbit Hyoidi superno, sed amplectitur ejus tuber inferius posticè: Papillas habet Bovinis similes, & tunicam propriam permeantes.

At the Root of the Tongue of each fide were placed the Tonfillæ in our Pygmie, as they are in a Man. They were protuberant and hard, and not so foraminulous, as usually in Man; very probably being vitiated by the Ulcer in the Cheek. For Drelincourt tells us in the Ape, Amygdalæ cavæ, pertusæ & Scrobiculos habentes.

The Parotides under each Ear in our Pygmie were large, and of the same Figure as in Man. Parotis glandula contegit Musculum Sterno-Mastoideum, articulationem Maxilla & Musculi Pectoralis portionem, saith Drelincourt.

The Maxillary Gland of the left side (where the Ulcer in our Pygmie was) had two of it's Lobes, globous and protuberant, above the Surface of the other Part, being infected and tumessed by the Ulcerous Matter. These Glands were about an Inch long, and about half an Inch broad;

and

and there were two other small Glands a little distant from the head of the Maxillary. Glandulæ salivales ad angulum Maxillæ Inferioris oblongæ, laxæ, molles, albicantes, saith Drelincourt.

But before I leave these Parts, there are some others I must here take notice of, in this Comparative Survey; which tho' they are not to be met with, either in our Pygmie or in Man; yet are very remarkable, both in the Monkey and Ape-kind, viz. those Pouches the Monkeys and Apes have in their Chaps, which serve them as Repositories for to hoard up, upon occasion, food in; when they are not disposed for the present to devour it; but when there Stomachs serve them, they then take it out thence and so eat it. That the Ape-kind has these Pouches, Drelincourt does inform us; where he tells us, Musculus latissimus Mentum universum & buccas obtegit, qua parte simiæ saccum formant, intra quem Esculenta recondunt. Pling is very express, That both Satyrs and Sphinges (which I make to be of the Monkey kind) have them likewise, (78) Condit in Thefauros Maxillarum Cibum Sphingiorum & Satyrorum Genus. Mox inde sensim ad mandendum manibus expromit; & quod formicis in annum solenne est, his in dies vel horas. The account the Parisians give us of this Pouch in the Mouth of the Monkeys they diffected, is this; That it was composed of Membranes and Glands, and of a great many Musculous and Carnous Fibres. It's situation was on the outside of each Jaw, reaching obliquely from the middle of the Taw to the under part of it's Angle, passing under a part of the Muscle called Longissimus. It was an Inch and an half long, and almost as broad towards it's bottom. It opened into the Mouth between the Jaw and the bottom of the Gum. 'Tis into this Pouch that Apes use to put what they would keep; and it is probable that the Musculous Fibres: which it has, do serve to shut and open it, to receive and put out what these Animals do there lay up in reserve. Now our Pygmie having none of these Pouches in it's Chaps, nor nothing like them; 'tis a notable difference both from the Monkey and Ape-kind, and an Agreement with the Humane.

We should now come to discourse of the five Senses: But there is little I have at present to remark of them. For in the Organs of those of Tactus, and Gustus, there was no difference I could observe between our Pygmie and a Man. As to those of Hearing and Smelling, I shall make my Observations upon them, in the Osteology. Here therefore I shall only remark some things of the Eyes, the Organs of Seeing; and so proceed to the Brain.

The Bony Orbit of the Eye in our Pygmie was large, conical, and deep. Here we observed the Glandula Lachrymalis, and Innominata. The Bulb of the Eye in proportion to the Bulk of the Body, was rather larger than in a Man. The Iris was of a light hazel Colour: The Pu-

pil round and large: The Crystalline Humour Sphærical or Lentisormis, and almost as large as in a Man. The Optic Nerve was inserted exactly as in a Man. The Tunica Choroides rather blacker than in a Man. And whereas in Brutes, that are prono Capite, there is usually a Musculus Septimus, which from it's use is call'd Suspensorius; in our Pygmie there was none of this Muscle. All the other Muscles of the Eye, were exactly the same as in Man. This seventh Muscle is also wanting in the Ape, as appears by the sigures Casserius (79) has given us of the Eye of an Ape. Neither the Paristans, nor Blasius, nor Drelincourt do give us any Remarks upon this Part.

We proceed now to the upper Venter, the Head, where at present we shall examine the Brain; that Part, which if we had proceeded according to the Method of Nature in forming the Parts, we must have began with. For I can't but think, as 'tis the first Part we observe formed, so that the whole of the Body, i. e. all the Containing Parts, have their rise from it. But I shall not enlarge upon this Argument here; it would be too great a digression, to give my Reasons for such an Hypothesis. From what is generally received, viz. That the Brain is reputed the more immediate Seat of the Soul it self; one would be apt to think, that fince there is so great a disparity between the Soul of a Man, and a Brute. the Organ likewise in which 'tis placed should be very different too. Yet by comparing the Brain of our Pygmie with that of a Man; and, with the greatest exactness, observing each Part in both; it was very surprising to me to find so great a resemblance of the one to the other, that nothing could be more. So that when I am describing the Brain of our Pygmie, you may justly suspect I am describing that of a Man, or may think that I might very well omit it wholly, by referring you to the accounts already given of the Anatomy of an Humane Brain, for that will indifferently serve for our Pygmie, by allowing only for the magnitude of the Parts in Man. Tho' at the same time I must observe, that proportionably to the Bulk of the Body, the Brain in our Pygmie, was extreamly large; for it weighed (the greatest part of the Dura Mater being taken off) twelve Ounces, wanting only a Dram. The Parifians remark, that in their Monkeys the Brain was large in proportion to the Body, it weighing two Ounces and a half: which nevertheless was inconsiderable to ours; fince our Pygmie exceeded not the Stature and Bulk of the Common Monkey or Ape; so that herein, as in a great many other Circumstances, our Pygmie is different from the Common Monkey and Ape, and more refembles a Man.

I can't agree with Vesalius, that the Structure of the Brain of all Quadrupeds, nay all Birds, and of some Fishes too, is the same as in Man.

<sup>(79)</sup> Jul. Casserij Placentini Pentastheseion, h. c. de quinque sensibus. Vide de Organo visus. Tab. 3.

There is a vast difference to be observed in the formation of the Parts. that serve to compose the Brain in these various Animals. And tho' the Brain of a Man, in respect of his Body, be much larger than what is to be met with in any other Animal (for Vefalius makes the Brain of a Man to be as big as those of three Oxen) yet I think we can't safely conclude with him, that Animals, as they excell in the largeness of the Brain, so they do likewise in the Principal Faculties of the Soul: For if this be true, then our Pygmie must equal a Man, or come very near him, since his Brain in proportion to his Body, was as large as a Man's. (80) his words are these; Cerebri nimirum constructione Simia, Canis, Equus, Felis, & Quadrupeda que hactenus vidi omnia, & Aves etiam universæ, plurimaque Piscium genera, omni propemodum ex parte Homini correspondeant: neque ullum secanti occurrat discrimen, quod secus de Hominis. quam illorum Animalium functionibus statuendum esse præscribat. Nist forte quis merito dicat Cerebri molem Homini, Perfectissimo Sane quod novimus Animali, obtigisse maximam, ejusque Cerebrum etiam tribus Boum Cerebris grandius reperiri: & dein secundum Corporis proportionem mox Simia, dein Cani magnum quoque non secus obtingere Cerebrum, quam si Animalia Cerebri tantum præstarent mole, quanto Principis Anima viribus apertius viciniúsve donata videntur.

Since therefore in all respects the Brain of our Pygmie does so exactly resemble a Man's, I might here make the same Resection the Parisans did upon the Organs of Speech, That there is no reason to think, that Agents do perform such and such Actions, because they are found with Organs proper thereunto: for then our Pygmie might be really a Man. The Organs in Animal Bodies are only a regular Compages of Pipes and Vessels, for the Fluids to pass through, and are passive. What actuates them, are the Humours and Fluids: and Animal Life consists in their due and regular motion in this Organical Body. But those Nobler Faculties in the Mind of Man, must certainly have a higher Principle; and Matter organized could never produce them; for why elfe, where the Organ is the same, should not the Actions be the same too? and if all depended on the Organ, not only our Pygmie, but other Brutes likewise, would be too near akin to us. This Difference I cannot but remark, that the Ancients were fond of making Brutes to be Men: on the contrary now, most unphilosophically, the Humour is, to make Men but meer Brutes and Matter. Whereas in truth Man is part a Brute, part an Angel; and is that Link in the Creation, that joyns them both together.

This Digression may be the more pardonable, because I have so little to say here, besides just naming the Parts; and to tell you (what I have already) that they were all like to those in a Man: For the Dura Mater, as a Common Membrane, firmly secured the situation of the whole Brain, strictly

<sup>(80)</sup> And. Vefalij de Corporis Humanis fabrica, lib. 7. cap. 1. p. 773,774.

adhering to the Sutures of the Cranium above; before to the Crista Galli; and at the basis so strongly, that it was not easily to be separated. By it's anterior Process of the Falx, it divided the two Hemispheres of the Cerebrum; by it's transverse Process, which descended deep, just as in a Man, it separated the Cerebrum and Cerebellum: it enjoyed the same Sinus's, and in all Particulars' twas conformable to what is in a Man.

The Pia Mater in our Pygmie was a fine thin Membrane which more immediately covered the Substance of the Brain, and may be reckoned it's proper Membrane; insinuating it's self all along between the Anfractus of the Cerebrum and the Circilli of the Cerebellum; being copiously surnished with numerous Branches of Blood Vessels, but they appeared more on the Convex Part, then at the Basis.

The figure of the whole Brain in our Pygmie was globous; but by means of a greater jutting in of the Bones of the Orbit of the Eye, there was occasioned a deeper depression on the Anterior Lobes of the Brain in this place, than in a Man. As to other Circumstances here, I observed all Parts the same. The Anfractus of the Cerebrum were alike; as also the Substantia Corticalis and Medullaris. On the basis of the Brain, we may view all the Ten pair of Nerves exactly situated and placed as in a Humane Brain; nor did I find their Originations different, or any Particularity that was fo. I shall therefore refer to the figures I have caused to be made of the Brain, and their Descriptions; where we may observe the Arteriæ Carotides, Vertebrales, and Communicans, and the whole of the Blood Vessels in our Pygmie to be the same as in a Man. Here was the Infundibulum, the Glandula dua alba pone Infundibulum, the Medulla Oblongata with it's Annular Protuberance, and the beginning of the Medulla Spinalis, just as in Man. I am here only a Nomenclator, for want of Matter to make particular Remarks upon. And the Authorrs that have hitherto furnished me with Notes, how the same Parts are in Apes and Monkeys, do fail me now; it may be, finding here nothing new or different, they are therefore filent. All the Parifians do tell us of the Brain in their Monkeys is this:

The Brain was large in proportion to the Body: It weighed two Ounces and an half. The Dura Mater entered very far to form the Falx. The Anfractuosities of the External part of the Brain were very like those of Man in the Anteriour part; but in the inward parts before the Cerebellum, there was hardly any: they in requital were much deeper in proportion. The Apophyses, which are called Mamillares, which are great Nerves that do serve to the smelling, were not soft, as in Man, but hard and membranous. The Optick Nerves were also of a Substance harder and sirmer than ordinary. The Glandula Pinealis was of a Conical signre, and it's point was turned towards the hinder part of the Head. There was no Rete Mirabile: for the Carotides being entered into the Brain, went by one single Trunk on each side

of the edge of the seat of the Sphenoides to pierce the Dura Mater, and to be distributed into the basis of the Brain. In our Subject I thought the Anfractus of the Brain much the same, both in the anteriour and hinder part. Nor did I observe any difference in the Mamillary Processes or Optick Nerves, or Rete Mirabile, but all, as in a Man.

The Cerebellum in our Pygmie was divided by Circilli, as in Man. It had likewise the Processus Vermisormes. Dr. Willis (80) makes this Remark upon this Part: Cerebellum autem ipsum, in quibusvis ferè Animalibus, ejusdem sigura & proportionis, nec non ex ejusmodi lamellis constatum reperitur. Qua Cerebrum diversimodè ab homine consiguratum habent, uti Volucres & Pisces, item inter Quadrupedes Cuniculi & Mures, quorum Cerebra gyris seu convolutionibus carent; his Cerebelli species eadem, similis plicarum dispositio & Partium caterarum compositura existunt. 'Tis from hence he forms his noted Hypothesis, How that the Animal Spirits that are bred in the Cerebrum, do serve for Voluntary Motions; and those in the Cerebellum for involuntary.

If we survey the inward Parts of the Brain in our Pygmie, we shall here likewise find all exactly as in a Humane Body; viz. The Medullary Substance running up between the Cortical; The Concameration, the Corpus Callosum, the Fornix and it's Crura the same. The Ventricles large and spatious. The Corpora Striata, the Thalami Nervorum Opticorum all alike. The Plexus Choroides the same; as were also the three Foramina as in Man; The Glandula Pinealis proportionably large. The Protuberantia Orbiculares; i.e. The Nates and Testes in our Pygmie were the same as in Man; whereas in Brutes (as Dr. Willis well observes) the Nates are always proportionably larger than in Man; but it was not so in our Pygmie. The Valvula major here was very plain. The Cerebellum being divided, the Medullary Parts represented the Branches of Trees, as a Man's does. The Medulla Oblongata and Medulla Spinalis the same as the Humane; and all Parts being so conformable here to a Humane Brain, I thought it sufficient just to name them, since I have caused to be made two figures of the Brain in our Pygmie from the Life, and in its Natural Bigness, where all the Parts are plainly represented to the Eye.

<sup>(80)</sup> Willis Cerebri Anat. cap.3. p.22.

THE

# OSTEOLOGY,

OR

### DESCRIPTION

OF THE

# BONES.

E come now to the Ofteology, to give a Description of the Sceleton of our Pygmie, by comparing which, with that of a Man, an Ape and a Monkey, we may observe (as we have already of the other Parts) that here too, our Pygmie more resembles a Man than Apes and Monkey's do; but where it differs, there 'tis like the Ape-kind. Galen (as I have already quoted him, vid. p. 15.) tells us that an Ape of all Creatures is the most like to a Man in the Viscera, Muscles, Arteries, Veins and Nerves, because 'tis so in the Structure of the Bones. But it may be questioned, Whether even the Structure of the Bones themselves, does not depend upon that of the Muscles: fince in their first Formation, they are soft and vascular; then Cartilaginous, and in time at last are hardened into Bones. In Ricketty Children too, we find, that even the Bones are rendered crooked, by the Contraction of the Muscles, how much more, when they are tender and foft, might they be bended any way by them. But by understanding exactly the Structure of the Bones, we shall the better apprehend the Rise and Insertions of the Muscles. And for the better attaining this, Galen in the same Chaper (81) recommends to his Students, when they cannot have an opportunity of Consulting an Humane Sceleton, then to

<sup>(\$1)</sup> Galen. de Anat. administr. lib. 1. cap. 3. p. m. 29, 30.

make use of those of Apes; not that he thinks them both alike, but the most like: and tells them, that it was worth their while on this account to go to Alexandria, where the Physicians taught their Scholars the Do-Etrine of the Bones from the Inspection of Humane Sceletons themselves. which he much prefers before Books. But fince in his time Humane Sceletons were not to be had but at Alexandria, for the supplying this Defect, they might observe the Bones of Apes; and after that, they might read his Book De Offium Natura, and to do as he did, visit the Sepulchres and Graves, and to observe there the Humane Bones themselves: And he tells us of two Sceletons he made use of; One that the River had washed out of a Tomb, where the Flesh was corrupted and washed away, yet the Bones held together. The other was of a Thief that was Executed, who was so much hated, that none would bury him; but the Birds pick'd off his Flesh, and left his Bones as a Sceleton. But faith he. סט ב בו עות ב דסופדטי עות לצי בריצוחסמה שבמסמשם, הושוחנט בע מעמדבעוטי, בשם מבוצ nalavonoov exasov tav osav axelbas, &c. i.e. If you can't happen to see any of these, dissect an Ape, carefully view each Bone, &c. Then he advises what fort of Apes to make choice of as most resembling a Man: And concludes, andrian, as eple, Tan osan nalavonous yen the gion eit both and points out μαλι, हार हा मा अमार हा δ εμηθείης αμεινον δ' 67π αμφοῖν, είθ' έξης 67π των των μωών avaloμίω ελθείν, i. e. One ought to know the Structure of all the Bones either in a Humane Body, or in an Ape's; 'tis best in both; and then to go to the Anatomy of the Muscles.

What Galen advised, no doubt he practised himself, and observed both. But Andreas Vesalius will not allow him this: For in his great and excellent Book De Corporis Humani Fabrica, he all along tell's us, that Galen gives us rather the Anatomy of Apes than of a Man: And in his Epistola ad Joachimum Roelants de Radice Chynae, his chief Design is to prove, that Galen never dissected a Humane Body: and that he is often mistaken in the History of the Parts, as also in their Uses; and that his Reasonings are frequently unconclusive.

Upon the coming out of Vesalius his first Book, he was warmly opposed by Jacobus Sylvius a Physician at Paris, who had formerly been Vesalius his Master in Anatomy; in a Treatise stiled Depulsio Vesani cujusdame Calumniarum in Hippocratis & Galeni Rem Anatomicam. This was answered not long after by Renatus Henerus, who published another Treatise, viz. Adversus Jacobi Sylvij Depulsionum Anatomicarum Calumnias pro Andrea Vesalio Apologia. Sylvius afterwards procures a Disciple of his to write against Vesalius, who puts out, but unsuccessfully, Apologia pro Galeno contra Andream Vesalium Bruxellensem, Francisco Puteo Medico Vercellense Authore. A Scholar of Vesalius, Gabriel Cunaus, makes a Reply to Puteus in his Apologiae Francisci Putei pro Galeno in Anatome examen. Upon Vesalius his leaving Rome, a Disciple of his, Realdus Columbus, grew very famous for Anatomy, but ungrateful to his Master, as Vesalius

complains in his Book De Radice Chynæ, and his Examen Observationum Falloppij. But Gabriel Falloppius was always kinder to him, and mentions him with the greatest Honour, and calls him Divine; tho' in several things he dissents from him, which occasioned Vesalius his putting out his Observationum Falloppij Examen.

Realdus Columbus was succeeded at Rome by Bartholomæus Eustachius; a Man very knowing and curious in Anatomy, but extreamly devoted to Galen, as one may fee by this Passage; Ut uno verbo me expediam, talem eum esse (sc. Galenum) asseverem, qualem opinor neminem in posterum futurum, fuisse nunquam plane confirmo. Quare dubiis in rebus dissentire ab co honeste non possumus, sed magis expedire, decereque putandum est, illo Duce errare, quam his illisve Magistris hodie erudiri, ne dicam cum iis vera sentire (82). Too great a Partiality for so ingenious a Man. And it may be, this was one Reason why Vesalius so much endeavoured to lessen Galen's Authority; because the Humour of the Age was such, that nothing then was to be received, but what was to be met with in him. But certainly they are in the wrong, who, because Galen is mistaken in some things, do now wholly reject him, and lay him aside as good for nothing. The wifest and most experienced in the Art may read his Works, and in reading him, if just and not prejudiced, will acknowledge, a Satisfaction and an Advantage they have received from him.

The Design of Bartholomaus Eustachius in writing that Discourse of his. Ossium Examen, is to justifie Galen, that he did not only dissect Apes, but Humane Bodies likewise; and that his Descriptions are conformable to the Parts in Man, and not to Apes and Monkeys. He therefore draws a Comparison between the Sceleton of an Ape and a Man; and shews wherein they differ; and how far Galen's Descriptions of those Parts are different from those in an Ape. Volcherus Coiter has likewise made the same Comparative Survey, in his Analogia Offium Humanorum, Simia & veræ & caudatæ, quæ Cynocephali similis est, atque Vulpis. In most things I find Coiter to follow Eustachius, but Eustachius I think is to be preferred, because in his Annotationes de Ossibus, he quotes the Texts of Galen at Johannes Riolanus the Son hath wrote upon the same Argument likewise; viz. Simiæ Osteologia sive Ossium Simiæ & Hominis Comparatio; and he being later than either of the former, and having made use of all before him, he may be thought to be the most exact.

In giving therefore an Account of the Osteology of our Pygmie, and the better to compare it's Sceleton with that of a Man, and an Ape, and a Monkey, I thought I could not do better, than to insert this Discourse of Riolanus; and by Commenting upon it, to shew wherein our Pygmie a-

<sup>(82)</sup> Barthol. Eustachij Ossium Examen, p. m. 189.

grees or differs. This I thought the most compendious way, and what other Observations I have, that conveniently I can't insert in my Comment, I shall add at the close of this Discourse. And tho' I may be censured by some for discoursing so largely upon an Ape, yet this Apology I have to make, That 'tis an Argument that has exercised the Pens of the greatest Anatomists we have had; and ours being one of a higher degree than the Common sort, and in so many Particulars nearer approaching the Structure of Man, than any of the Apekind, and it being so rare and uncommon, it may the more excuse me, if I endeavour to be as particular as I can. But in some measure to avoid this Fault, I shall omit Riolan's first Chapter, which is but Præsatory, and begin with the second.

#### CAP. II.

#### De Capitis & Faciei Ossibus.

CImia Caput (a) rotundum est, humano simile, cynocephali verò caput oblongius. Utrinsque (b) Suturæ adeo sunt obscuræ, ut earum nullum appareat vestigium. Propterea potius harmonia dici merentur, quam sutura,quia rerum consutarum figuram non æmulantur. Attamen Volcherus Coiter suturas attribuit simiis, parum ab humanis discrepantes. In cercopitheco squammiformes desiderantur. (c) Frontis Os in calvariæ basis sede, ad conjun-Etionem Ossis sphenoidis, transvers à potius linea quam sutura distinguitur : ampla oblongaque scissura homines divisum obtinent, in quam alind Os instar cribri perforatum conjicitur, arctissimeque constringitur. At (d) Simiæ Os Frontale ea in parte omnino continuum existit, & qua nasus principium sumit, non longe ab ea sede, que frontem constituit, alto & rotundo foramine parumper à lateribus compresso, illo foramine quod nervum visorium emittit, nonnibil ampliori, excavatum est. In ejus humiliori profundiorique sede, quatuor aut quinque alia foramina recta & lata cernuntur. In simia caudata (e) Os Ethmoides admodum profunde in nares descendit, paulo infra eam regionem ex qua nasus exoritur. Harmonia per medium dividitur. Gutrimque ab Osse frontis, quod etiam profunde descendit essingi videtur. (f) Superficies sellæ Sphenoidis ad narium principium in Osse frontis non est plana & agualis ut in homine, sed est eminentissima. In posteriori sella eminentia glandulam excipiente, reperitur foramen exsculptum. In sellæ (g) hujus substantia nulla latet cavitas ut homine. (h) Cavitates illæ quæ in apophysibus pterygoideis exsculptæ sunt, maximæ & profundæ apparent. (i) Osfa, Bregmatis, & Temporum, nec intus, nec foris, ullam demonstrant divisionem, quasi ex unico continuatoque Osse constarent. (k) In Osse temporum apophysis Mastoidis deest, Styloidis exigna est. (1) Cavitas auris videtur unica, orbiculatim in plures gyros striata, nec tria Officula Malleolus, Incus, & Stapes reperiuntur, quibus aliorum animantium aures instructæ sunt, si credimus Casserio, sed ego semper observavi.

Os Zygoma (m), quâ parte ab Osse orbitario procedit, crassum & robustum est, atque ejus in medium lineâ potius quam suturâ distinguitur. In homine verètenue existit, & suturâ dirimitur.

Simiæ Facies (n) rotunda est, cynocephali oblonga & antrorsum protuberans. Ossa verò Maxillæ superioris respondent humanis. (0) Suturæ sunt harmoniis, sive rimis similes, potissimum ea quæ medium palatum intersecat. Sed peculiaris sutura notatur, ab inferiore orbita incipiens, secundum longitudinem maxillæ ad caninum dentem cujusque lateris prorepit, ipsumque palatum dirimit.

Maxilla inferior (p) integra est, nullà lineà in mento dissecta, brevissima est corporis proportione, ita ut ex omni animantium genere nullum breviorem habeat, excepto homine. Extremitas qua cavitati temporum articulatur, est condyladis, ut in homine. Quare non est gynglymoides hac articulatio, ut scripsit Volcherus Coiter.

(q) Simia in dentibus caninis & molaribus differt ab homine. Caninos quidem habet dentes humanis similes, in unaquaque maxilla binos, qui utrimque affident & accumbunt inciforibus. Singulas radices ut incifores habent, sed altius infixas robustioresque, minus etiam ex anteriore parte, qu'an posteriore pressas & angustas. Ac simia cynocephalos dentes caninos longiores prominentioresque, qu'im vera simia obtinet. Molarium dentium numerus in homine incertus est, authore Galeno: sæpius enim in utraque maxilla sunt sexdecim, interdum viginti, nonnunquam viginti quatuor. At simiæ semper certus ac definitus molarium numerus. Differunt quoque Maxillares fimia ab humanis, in figura externa, & radicum numero, quamvis enim priores duo molares simia, ab humanis, aut nibil, aut certe parum discrepent quia in simia primus inferior unum tantum apicem obtinet: Attamen in posteriorum dentium mensis, secundum longitudinem maxillarum, profunda admodum linea exsculpta est. Quam lineam altera etiam transversa, que in quinto dente simiæ non caudatæ gemina est, intrinsecus & extrinsecus ad gingivam usque protractata intersecat. Quo sit, ut singuli ejusmodi dentes eminentias, ut plurimum quatuor in angulis (nam quinto sex sunt) tres verd foveas in medio habentes, duarum serrarum mutud sibi occurrentium modo committantur: quod profecto accuratifius Author Galenus explicare minime prætermisisset, si molares simiarum descripsisset.

Os Hyoides (r) humano firme simillimum existit, præterquam quod medium ipsius ossiculum amplius est, quam in homine, & posteriore sede insigniorem ostendit cavitatem, gibbis ipsius laryngis partibus invehitur, sitque propugnaculum cartilaginis scutiformis. In illa enim lata oblongaque apophysi, interiores partes Ossis hyoidis efformat, quæ deorsum adeo producitur, ut instar clypei cartilagini thyroidi obtendatur.

- (a) The Cranium of our Pygmie was round and globous, and it feemed to be three times as big as the Head of a Common Monkey; for, that I might the better compare them, I procured the Sceleton of a Monkey, which I found was exactly the length of that of our Pygmie: though at the same time we shall see, that in several of the Parts, 'twas vastly different. For measuring the Head of our Pygmie by a Line drawn round from the Nose, over the Orbit of the Eyes, to the Occiput or hinder part of the Head, and so to the Nose again, I observed 'twas Thirteen Inches. The Cranium of the Monkey measured there only Nine Inches and a quarter. The girth of the Head of the Pygmie, from the Vertix round by the Ears to the Vertix again, was Eleven Inches and an half: in the Monkey 'twas only Seven Inches and an half. The longitudinal Diameter of the Cranium of the Pygmie was Four Inches; of the Monkey Two Inches and a quarter. The latitudinal Diameter of the Cranium of the Pygmie was Three Inches and above a quarter; of the Monkey a little above Two Inches. The profundity of the Cranium of the Pygmie, from the Vertix to the Foramen where the Medulla Spinalis passes out, was about Three Inches and a quarter; in the Monkey Two Inches. So that in the largeness of the Cranium, the Pygmie much exceeds the Monkey, as also Apes, and more resembles a Man.
- (b) The Sutures in our Pygmie perfectly resembled those in an Humane Cranium; The Sutura Coronalis, Sagittalis, and Lambdoides being all ferrated or indented very curioufly, as in Man. In the Lambdoidal Suture I observed Nine Osa triquetra Wormiana. In the Cranium of a Monkey I found the Coronary Suture for the most part to be Harmonia, and only for a little space to be serrated towards the middle, where it meets the Sagittal Suture. The Sagittal Suture here was indented throughout. The Lambdoidal Suture, as extended from the Sagittal of each fide for about half an Inch, was serrated; then the Suture disappeared, and there was formed here a rifing ridge of the Cranium, which was continued to that Apophysis which makes the hinder part of the Os Zygomaticum. There was no fuch bony ridge in the Cranium of the Pygmie. In the Monkey too I faw the Squammous Sutures very plain, tho' Riolan denies them; which likewise in our Pygmie was very apparent. Our Pygmie therefore in the Structure of the Sutures exactly refembled a Humane Cranium, and more than Apes and Monkeys do: For in them the Coronary and Lambdoidal Sutures were only in part ferrated; and they had no Offa triquetra Wormiana.
- (c) In our Pygmie there was an Os Cribriforme, as in Man; 'twas about half an Inch long, and a quarter of an Inch broad; in it I numbred about Thirty Foramina; here was likewise that long ridge, (which is call'd Crista Galli) as in a Man, to which the Dura Mater was fastened.

- (d) In the Cranium of the Monkey there was no Crista Galli; and where the Os Cribriforme should have been, there was a hollow Passage which led towards the beginning of the Nostrils, at the end of which there might be a small Os Cribriforme perforated with four or sive holes. But this Part appeared very different from the Structure of a Humane Skull, as likewise from our Pygmie; which was occasioned chiefly by the great bunching in of the Bones of the Orbit of the Eye, tho' our Pygmie too had these Bones more protruded in, than they are in a Humane Cranium.
- (e) This appeared more in the Cranium of a Monkey than in our Pygmie; tho' here too 'twas somewhat more than in a Man's Skull.
- (f) The Sella Equina in our Pygmie was exactly like a Man's. In a Monkey I observed it more rising and higher. In the middle of the Sella Turcica seu Equina of our Pygmie, I observed a Foramen; and the same I found in a Humane Cranium I have by me.
- (g) In our Pygmie I did not observe those two Cavities under the Sella Turcica which are to be met with in a Humane Skull. But the Bone here was very spungy and cavernous, and might answer the same end, tho' not formed perfectly alike.
- (h) These Cavities in our Pygmie, were nothing so large as they are in a Monkey, but conformable to the Structure of this Part in an Humane Skull. And in our Pygmie too, I observed the Pterigoidal Processes as they are in Man, but I did not find them in the Monkey.
- (i) The Ossa Bragmatis and Temporum in our Pygmie were very plainly distinguished by an indented Suture. In the Cranium of the Monkey these Bones were divided by a lineal Suture call'd Harmonia.
- (k) The Mastoid and Stylosorme Process in our Pygmie were very little, yet more than in the Monkey; but herein our Pygmie rather imitates the Ape-kind.
- (1) Because I would not spoil the Sceleton, I did not examin the Organ of the Inward Ear: But am wholly inclined to Riolan, who tells us he always found those Three little Bones, the Malleolus, Incus, and Stapes there; and no doubt but they are to be met with in our Pygmie. Tho' Casserius therefore thinks Galen does not mention them, and never observed them, because they are not to be found in Apes: But Riolan tells us the contrary.
- (m) The Os Zygomaticum in our Pygmie was not half so big or large as in the Cranium of the Monkey; herein therefore our Pygmie more refembles a Man.

- (n) Tho' the Face of our Pygmie was rounder than an Ape's, as that is than a Monkey, and a Monkey's more than the Cynocephalus, yet'twas not altogether so much as a Man's; the upper Jaw being proportionably longer and somewhat more protuberant. The Bones of the Nose too in our Pygmie more resembled the Ape-kind, than the Humane, being flat and simous; hence simia; and not protuberant and rising as in Man.
- (o) The Suture of the Palate in our Pygmie was just the same as in a Man. In a Monkey I observed that peculiar Suture Riolan mentions, but did not find it in the Pygmie: Only in the Palate of the Pygmie I observed a Suture, not from the Dens Caninus, as was in the Monkey, but from the Second of the Dentes Incisores.
- (q) Our Pygmie had in each Jaw before, four Dentes Incifores; then following them, of each fide a Dens Caninus; then after them of each fide, Four Dentes Molares, in all Fourteen Teeth in each Jaw, in both Twenty eight. But our Subject being young, I observed that all the Teeth were not perfectly grown out of the Jaw-bone, and could perceive some of the Molares, that still lay hid there, or were not much exerted. In a Monkey in each Jaw there were two Dentes Incifores before; then four Dentes Canini, two of each fide; then eight Dentes Molares, four of each fide. The Number of the Teeth in each law, and in the whole the same as in the Pygmie: only the Monkey had four Dentes Canini in each law, the Pygmie had but two, as in a Man: Or at least in the Monkey, the two first of the Canini seemed to be Amphibious, between an Incifor and Caninus; being not so broad as the two first Incifores, nor fo much exerted or extended as the two other Canini were. In the number of the Teeth our Pygmie imitated more the Ape-kind than the Humane: But in the Structure of them, more the Humane than the Ape-kind; for the Mensa or Superficies of the Molares, was not so serrated as the Monkey's, but liker Humane Teeth.

I have omitted the Printing the next Paragraph in Riolan, because I

<sup>(83)</sup> Galen de Anat. Administr. lib. 4. cap. 3. p. 94.

would not be tedious: And for the same reason, do not here particularly describe each Bone in the Head and Jaws of our Pygmie; for where I do not remark otherwise, 'tis to be understood, that all those Parts are the same in a Man, our Pygmie and the Ape-kind.

(r) There was nothing particular that I observed in the Os Hyoides of our Pygmie that was different from that of a Man's.

#### CAP. III.

#### De Spina & Offibus & Adnexis,

SIMIÆ (a) Cervix brevis est, septem vertebris extructa, corpora vertebrarum anteriori parte non sunt rotunda ut homini, sed plana. Posticæ apophyses spinosæ non sunt longæ, & bisidæ, sed breves, simplices, & acutæ. In prima (b) vertebra, nullum spinæ vestigium apparet, imò nulla sentitur asperitas, in anteriore parte corporis primæ vertebræ humanæ obtusa quædam eminentia apparet, quæ in simia magis extuberat, & in mucronem producitur. Quod si vertebras & spinas breves habet simia, (c) apophyses transversas obtinuit longiores, atque ad anteriora magis; quàm in homine restexas. Imprimis verò sexta colli vertebra, quæ hunc processum præ cæteris insignem adepta est, eumque bisidum, magisque recurvum & aduncum, quèm in aliis vertebris. Hic autem spondylus sextus maximus est, propter illas transversas apophyses grandiores, in simia caudata minor est. Septimi spondyli transversæ apophyses simplices & tenues, in caudata simia bisidæ, & satis longæ existunt, quæ licet in homine simplices appareant, sexto tamen crassitie non cedunt.

(d) Prima simiæ vertebra ad sinem processus transversi ascendentis utrimque foramen habet, ad nervum transmittendum, quo humana caret vertebra, septima colli vertebra in homine sæpius est perforata: Unde evenit, quod transversi processus hujus vertebræ non sunt similes apophysibus tranversis colli, sed potiùs thoracis apophysibus transversis assimilantur. (e) Vertebrarum dorsi corpora parum ab humanis differunt, neque apophyses multùm dissimiles sunt, exceptis rectis ultimarum duarum vertebrarum, quæ rectiores sunt in simiis, paulàm deorsum inclinat in hominibus. In (f) postremis vertebris dorsi reperiuntur quatuor infernæ apophyses articuli gratià constructæ. In humanis vertebris duæ tantàm notantur, quas etiam in lumborum vertebris observabis. (g) In simia decima dorsi vertebra, infra suprave suscipitur, at in homine est duodecima.

- (h) Lumbi, inquit Galenus, in simis sunt longiores quàm in hominibus, si pro ratione reliquarum partium hoc astimare velis, nam in homine quinque vertebra lumbos efformant, in simis non secus, quàm in aliis quadrupedibus sex adsunt. (i) Harum vertebrarum processus ab humanis differunt. Siquidem transversi in homine teretes sunt & oblongi, nonnihil in exteriora conversi, costularum vicem gerentes. In simia sunt ampli, intrò spectantes, & instar squammæ tennes: figurà caudam hirundinis reserunt, aut cornu retortum, quod oblongo acutoque mucrone erigitur, ac sursum vergit. Ac tertia lumbi vertebra primò incepit transversum consequi processum, qui brevis est. Reliqui subsequentes longiores existunt. (k) Posteriores processus spinosi non sunt recti, sed supernè spectant, atque excipiuntur à supernis incumbentibus vertebris, qua hiatu sive scissura triangulari inter duos transversales processus existente, dum in posteriora simia spinam inslectit, eosdem transversales excipiunt.
- (1) Observandum venit in homine circa radices infernas transversarum apophysewn lumbarium, atque etiam duarum infirmarum thoracis, quædam tubercula magnitudine siguraque mespilorum nucleos referentia sæpius reperiri, quæ cum in canibus & simiis non habeantur, suspicari posset aliquis vicem illarum quas paulò antè descripsi proceritatum in homine tenere.
- (m) Os Sacrum spinæ fundamentum in homine, ex tribus vertebris constatur: In simiis ex duabus tantum componitur, quibus ilium Ossa copulantur.
- (n) Simiæ longior est Coccyx, quam homini, pluribus ideo constructus Offibus, quæ juxta commissuram Ossis Sacri persorata sunt, medullamque continent, atque nervos antrorsum & retrorsum emittunt, quæ omnia desunt in coccyge hominis: cur autem simia vero coccyge caruerit, rationem reddit Fallopius, in Observat. Anatomicis.
- (0) Homo, inquit Galenus, ex omnibus animalibus Costas curvissimas habet, propterea latissimum pectus obtinuit. Simia latius cateris pectus datum, sed humano angustius.
- (p) Porro simia, tam caudata, quàm non caudata, costas viginti sex præ se fert, cum in homine tantùm viginti quatuor reperiantur. Harum utrimque sunt octo veræ costæ, in homine septem, quæ per articulum sterno committuntur. Quinque vero nothæ costæ non desinunt in perfectam cartilaginem versus sternum invicem constrictæ, ut in homine, sed osseæ magis quàm cartilaginosæ, à se mutuò disjunguutur. Costæ in simia, tam caudata, quàm non caudata, spatiis vertebrarum intermediis inseruntur: at in hominibus magis corporibus vertebrarum attexuntur.
- (q) Sternum octo constat Ossibus rotundis, quorum primum aliquantisper prominet, supra cartilaginum duarum primarum conjunctionem, que due cartilagines videntur amplexari superiore parte primum os sterni. Cartilagines K 2

costarum commissuris Ossium sterni accrescunt, due ultime concurrunt simul in articulationem ultimi & penultimi ossis sterni. Ultimum os sterni xiphoidem cartilaginem referens, impense longum est, & teres.

- (r) Simia quoad scapulas & claviculas homini maxime similis est, authore Galeno. Clavicula incipiens à primo sterni osse ad medium costa rectà procedit, inde ad acromion usque multum curvata intumescit: huic articulationi interjectum est ossiculum, quod in homine ad decimum octavum annum & ultrà, appendix existit: at in simia, nec istud ossiculum, nec illius vestigium ullum apparet, imò pars illa robustissima est.
- (a) In our Pygmie there were seven Vertebræ of the Neck, as there are in a Man, and an Ape too; but they were short, making in length about two Inches; and seemed more to imitate those in Apes, being flatter before, and not so round as in Man. And their Spines, tho' they were longer, and more obtuse, and not so acute as in Monkeys; yet they were not biside, as they are in Man.
- (b) In the first Vertebra of the Neck in the Pygmie there was no Spine, but an Asperity; in a Man there is a small Spine. And before, 'twas like to the Humane, having an Obtuse Eminence, and not running to a Mucro, as in the Ape and Monkey. The Dens of the second Vertebra in the Pygmie was partly Cartilaginous.
- (c) I did not observe in the Pygmie the Transverse Apophyses to be longer, nor to be reslected more forward, nor the sixth Vertebra to be larger than the others; nor the seventh Vertebra, to be any thing different from the same in Man; but in all these Circumstances, our Pygmie seemed to imitate the Structure of the same Parts in Man, more than does the Ape-kind.
- (d) Those Foramina observed in the Vertebræ of the Neck of Apes, were wanting in our Pygmie, who herein imitated the Humane Sceleton.
- (e) I did not observe any difference between the Vertebræ of the Back of our Pygmie and those of a Man's; nor what Riolan remarks of the Apophyses rettæ of the two last Vertebræ.
- (f) In the lower Vertebræ of the Back of the Pygmie, I observed but two Apophyses infernæ, as 'tis in a Humane Sceleton: in a Monkey there are four Apophyses there.
- (g) Our Pygmie if Riolan's account be true, is different both from the Ape and Man too; for here 'twas the thirteenth Vertebra, quæ infra, suprave suscipitur.

- (b) The Vertebræ of the Loins in our Pygmie were about two Inches long; and their number the same, as in a Man, viz. five; and not six, as are in Apes and Monkeys: But the Os Ilium of each side does ascend so high, as to include the two lower Vertebræ; which is not so in Man.
- (i) The Transverse Processes of the Lumbal Vertebræ in the Pygmie, were round and thick, as in Man; and not thin and slat, or broad, as in the Monkey.
- (k) The Spines of the Lumbal Vertebræ in the Pygmie, were strait, as in a Man; and not bending upwards, as in the Ape and Monkey kind.
- (1) I am apt to think these Tubercula are in our Pygmie; but our Subject being young, and several of the Parts not yet hardened into Bones, but Cartilaginous; I was not fully satisfied herein, and do leave it as a Quære.
- (m) Riolan in this account is mistaken, nor is he here consistent with himself, as to what he writes of this part in other places. Joh. Philip: Ingrassias (84) who has wrote a most learned and incomparable Comment upon Galen's Book de Ossibus, tells us; Amplum Sacrumve Os in Homine sex vel ad minus quinque ex Ossibus constat. Galenus tamen, Simiarum Canumve Sceletos dissecans, interdum quatuor ex Ossibus componi inquit. Sub Osse inquam Sacro largius sumpto, Coccygem quoque comprehendens: (quem Coccygem pro uno Osse, ut in prasenti textu facit, tanquam quartum adjungens assumpsit, à Sacro interim distinguens) sepius autem tribus duntaxat propriè sumptum Os Sacrum à Coccyge distinctum expressit, uti nunc etiam facit: unde tribus ex partibus constructum esse ait, tanquam ex propriis Vertebris. So Falloppius and others do make the Os Sacrum in a Man to conssist of six Bones, sometimes sive. In our Pygmie the Os Sacrum was composed of sive Bones: But in the Sceleton of a Monkey I observed but three Bones or Vertebræ which did make up the Os Sacrum.

But as our Pygmie in the number of the Vertebræ which composes the Os Sacrum, did imitate the Humane kind; so in other respects 'twas much liker to the Sceleton of Apes and Monkeys: For the Os Sacrum here, was nothing so dilated and spread, as 'tis in Man; but contracted and narrow as 'tis in Apes; and very remarkably different from the Humane Sceleton; as 'twas likewise in the Spines and Processes which more resembled the Ape-kind.

(n) The Os Coccygis in our Pygmie consisted of four Bones, as tis in an Humane Sceleton, and these not perforated. In the Ape, and especially in the

Monkey, there are more Bones, and those perforated, as Riolan describes them. Hence Julius Pollux stiles it, & rendes noncoz, Perforatus Coccyx. This Os Coccygis makes a little bunching out of the Skin in the Pygmie, as I have represented it in my second figure, and is remark'd before (vide pag.14.) but in Man, tis not protuberant. What Riolan observes out of the Nubian Geography, of a Nation in the Isle of Namaneg, having Tails, I think is fabulous; unless they be Monkeys, or of that kind: I am certain that Story of the Kentish Longtails he mentions, is utterly false, tho he modestly expresses himself, fabulosum puto. His words are these: In Insula Namaneg Maris Orientalis, Gens est caudata, ex Geographia Arabica Nubiensi, pag. 70. fabulosum puto quod de Anglis Caudatis referent Historici, quibus ob injuriam D. Thomæ Cantuariensi illatam, Deus Coccygem instar Cauda produxit (85).

- (o) In our Pygmie the Ribs were altogether as much curved, as in an Humane Sceleton; and it was as full chested as a Man.
- (p) In the number of the Ribs our Pygmie imitated the Ape-kind: for it had thirteen of a side, six and twenty in all: In a Man there is but twenty four, tho' sometimes there has been observed thirteen of a side. As to the other Particulars that Riolan mentions, viz. the number of the Costa vera, and the ossious Extreams of the Notha, and the Articulation of the Ribs, herein our Pygmie more resembled a Man: for it had but seven Costa vera that were articulated to the Sternum; and the Extreams of the Notha were Cartilaginous, not Ossious, and continued to the Sternum as in an Humane Sceleton; and the Articulation of the Ribs was more on the Body of the Vertebra, than in the Interstices. Drelincourt is mistaken in mentioning but twelve Ribs in the Ape, of a side, or his was different.
- (q) Jo. Philippus Ingrassias (86) makes eight Bones in the Sternum of Infants; and tells us, that in time these Bones do coalesce, and grow fewer. Galen makes seven Bones in the Sternum, according to the number of the Costæ veræ that insert their Cartilages into them. But the eighth Bone Ingrassias saith, is for the Cartilago Ensisormis. In the Sternum of our Pygmie I numbred seven Bones, the two last being small and partly Cartilaginous; and here the Cartilages were inserted at the Commissures and Joynings of the Bones of the Sternum. The Cartilago Ensisormis was long and roundish. The whole of the Sternum of our Pygmie much more resembled the Humane Sceleton, than the Monkey's, being much broader and larger, and as far as I observed just alike.
- (r) The Scapula of our Pygmie, tho' in most respects it resembled a Man's, yet I thought it did not so much, as a Monkey's; for it seemed

<sup>(85)</sup> Riolan. Encheirid. Anat. lib. 6. cap. 16. p. 451. (86) Jo. Phil. Ingraffias Comment. in Galen. de Offibus, Cap. 12. Text. 1. pag. m. 190,

narrower, and the Basis was proportionably longer. But this I suppose might happen in preparing the Sceleton by paring away the Cartilages (for the Creature was young) which in a longer time would have hardened into a Bone. So likewise that Process which receives the Clavicula call'd Acromion, was Cartilaginous; as was likewise the End of the Processive Coracoides, and of the Cervix it self, which last received the head of the Shoulder Bone. So that as yet there was not a Sinus formed here for the receiving it; but that Extream was flatter than usually and plain; nor was there that Sinus under the Spine, as in an adult Humane Scapula.

I observed no difference in the Figure and Structure of the Clavicula in our Pygmie and in a Man. Nor did I observe that Bone Riolan mentions, but a large Cartilage which did conjoyn that Extream of the Clavicula to the Acromium, which in time might become long; this Cartilage was about a quarter of an Inch long.

#### CAP. IV.

#### De Artubus Superioribus.

(a) SIMI Æ & Hominis Omoplatæ omnino similes sunt.

(b) Humerus simiæ non admodum ab humano differt, in caudata dissimilis est juxta inferius caput, quod cubito articulatur. Hac enim regione restectitur ab exteriori parte introrsum, atque in illa slexura canaliculum acquirit ex opposito latere pervium.

- (c) Cubiti Ossa duo in utraque simia humanis respondent.
- (d) Carpus simiæ non valde differt ab humano, obtinuit tamen nonum os, de quo sic loquitur Eustachius: Hoc ossiculum non in prima brachialis acie est locatum, sed tertio ejusdem aciei ossi incumbit, atque inter ea quæ indicem & medium digitum sustinent seipsum inserit: vocatur à Galeno dipies, hoc osse videntur carere simiæ caudatæ, sed ejus loco adipiscuntur os peculiare, quod carpi ossi cubito substrato annestitur, & satis longe protuberat. Deinde instar cornicis versus manus volam incurvatur, atque cum processu ossis carpiradio articulati, magnam cavitatem musculorum tendinibus efformat.
- (e) Metacarpij, Digitorumque Ossa simiæ, tam caudatæ quam non caudatæ, parum admodum ab bumanis ossibus discrepant. Simia quidem magnum manus digitum Pollicem, mutilum obtinet, & curtum, & indici propinquum, non oppositum, instar alterius manus, ut in homine: Reliqui digiti multo sunt minores digitis pedum.

(a) I

- (a) I have already mentioned that the Scapula or Omoplata in our Pygmie did not seem so like a Man's, as a Monkey's did; nor does it appear so in my figure; not but that I think 'tis so, when adult; and it's Cartilages are hardened into a Bone: but my figure only represents what was now formed into a Bone, and without the Cartilages, which in time would have become bony.
- (b) The Os Humeri in our Pygmie was a little above five Inches long, just the same length with the Thigh Bone, and not altogether so thick. That end which was joined to the Bones of the Cubit, was about an Inch and a half broad. I observed here, upon the flexure of the Cubit forwards, that in the Os Humeri there was a deep sinus, and the Bone so thin here, that it would admit the Rays of Light thorough; but 'twas not pervious as Riolan saith it is in a Monkey; nor did I observe it so, in the Sceleton of a Monkey.
- (c) In the Pygmie the Bones of the Cubit were exactly like a Man's. The Ulna was five Inches long; the Radius five Inches and an half. They had large Cartilages at both Extreams.
- (d) So likewise the Bones of the Carpus in the Pygmie resembled those of a Man. I did not observe here that ninth Bone described by Eustachius. For indeed in our Subject, there were but four in each Carpus, that were offissed: the others were only Cartilaginous.
- (e) In the Hand, our Pygmie resembled the Ape and Monkey-kind. For tho' the Bones of the Metacarp and Fingers were like to those of a Man; yet the Thumb was much smaller, than the other Fingers, and shorter, and liker the Ape-kind. This Galen frequently takes notice of. 'Tis true, the other Fingers were much larger in our Pygmie than in the Ape-kind, and more resembling those of a Man, so that I was surprised to see them so big: but the Thumb, which the Ancients and Gallen call arriving, and Hippocrates μέραν, in our Subject was so disproportionate and little, that as Galen remarks (87), any one that should view it, would think that it was but a ridiculous imitation of Man-kind, and nothing answering to it's Names. And in the precedent Chapter he vigorously disputes against the Epicureans and the Followers of Aselepiades; and from the admirable Structure and wife Contrivance of all the Parts, and particularly the Tendons that go to the Fingers; he confutes their Hypothesis as vain, and hath this noble Epiphonema, ετ', ω πεζς Δεων έδεν έχονλες εν τοσαύταις καλαφύσεσι μέμι ( αρά, ούτ εν τ είπον τη τενόνιων, έτε τ τόπον, ούτε τ τρόπον της εμφύσεως άλλ' εν άποσαις αὐταῖς θωμας ων ἀναλογίαν δρώνθες, μιᾶς μόνης δοπολλυμένης κατά τ αὐτὸν τεύπον εν άμφοθές σις τοις μεράλοις δακθύλοις, κη ταύτης εκ άλό.

<sup>(87)</sup> Galen de usu Partium, lib. 1. cap. 22. p. m. 310.

γως, ἀλλ' δτι μηθεν σιότης εχεήζομεν, είνη φάτε, η χωρίς τέχνης, ἄπανία τὰ τοιαίντα γεγονέναι. i. e. Vos, per Deos immortales, cùm nihil habeatis, quod in tot Insertionibus reprehendatis, neque Tendonum molem, neque locum, neque Insertionis modum, sed in his omnibus mérabilem quandam Proportionem videatis, una sola in utroque magno digito similiter perdita (& hoc non sine ratione, quod ea non egebamus) temerè dicitis & absque Arte omnia hujusmodi facta fuisse.

The Bones of the Metacarpus in the Pygmie were an Inch and three quarters long. The two last Joints of the Thumb were scarce an Inch long; the first Joint of the Thumb was a little above an Inch. The Fore-finger was two Inches and almost an half: The middle Finger, two Inches and three quarters. The third or Ring-finger was two Inches and half a quarter; and the little Finger was not full two Inches long. The first Joint of the fore and middle Finger was above a quarter of an Inch broad, and the Girth of each about was an Inch. The Pygmie therefore in the Fingers, having them so large and thick, imitated a Man; but in the Thumb, which was so slender and small, it resembled the Ape-kind.

#### CAP. V.

#### De Artubus Inferioribus.

- SSA (a) Ilium in utraque simia, tam caudata qu'àm non caudata, toto habitu, & sigura distant ab humanis: dehiscunt enim eo in loco, ubi pubis Osa esse debebant, atque omnino privantur Osse pubis: propterea ad velociter currendum ineptæ sunt. Ischij articulus plane dissimilis est ab illo hominis, ut notavit Galenus.
- (b) Ea est Femoris structura in simia, ut eam stare rectam non permittat, nec instar hominis corpus suum erigere, aut incedere, ne quidem sedere, quia femoris caput obliquius in articulo coxæ, committitur. (c) In homine cervix rotundi capitis semoris oblonga est, & sensim oblique deorsum ducitur. In simia verò brevis, & propemodum transversa visitur. Sed semoris cervice, apophyses duæ, trochanteres dictæ, in simia similes sunt humanis, verum in illa, ut in caudata minores.
- (d) Patella utriusque simiæ manifestum discrimen ab humana demonstrat:
  est enim oblonga, non rotunda. Quamvis autem extrinsecus gibba sit, atque
  intus cava, nihilominus longe aliter se babet quàm in homine. Nam secun-

dum ipsius longitudinem recurvatur, excavaturque adeo, ut nihil propemodum in medio emineat, curvo aduncoque ejus sinu naviculam quandam elegantissimè referre videatur. In caudata simia patella videtur ex duobus Ossibus mutuò adnatis constructa.

- (e) Tibiæ utrumque Os in utraque simia humanis Ossibus simillimum est.
- (f) Simix Pes ab humano maximè discrepat, est enim oblongus latusque homini, angustus brevisque simix, pro ratione corporis, pedisque digiti longiores sunt, sed metatarsi Ossa breviora, calcaneum verò angustius, & anteriori
  in parte qua cum Osse cyboide committitur, latius evadens, magisque inibi
  longum, quàm retrò, impedit ne simia diu erecta, & stare, & ambulare queat.
  Astragalus Galeno tenuis non efficitur, sed manens sublimis, Ossi scaphoidi
  conjungitur, quod simix repugnat, in qua astragalus humilem, oblongam atque
  tenuem cervicem habet. Planta in simia ex quatuor Ossibus componitur. Pollex ex tribus, inquit Eustachius. Quamvis Volcherus in caudata simia
  nullam observarit differentiam, quà discreparent ab homine. (g) Digitorum
  notissima est discrepantia in homine, ut notavit Galenus, omnes una serie
  disponuntur, brevissimoque spatio discreti, multo minores sunt, quàm qui in
  manu habentur. Nam quantò pes summa manu major est, tanto illius digiti
  manus digitis sunt minores.
- (h) Accedit quod pollex longitudine indici æqualis est, quem dupla crassitudine superat, talisque est quatuor digitorum commensuratio, ut ab indice ad minimum semper desiciat longitudo: Es secundæ aciei Ossa, si indicem exceperis, breviora sunt iis, quæ in tertia phalange reponuntur. Hæc omnia in utraque simia aliter se habent, omnes enim pedis digiti insigni spatio discreti sunt, multoque longiores, quàm in manu existunt: Pollex cæteris digitis brevior tenuiorque est, atque diversam ab aliis positionem sortitur, dehiscit etiam, ut pollex in manu valde ab indice. Digiti pedis simiæ, manus humanæ digitorum seriem imitantur, est enim pollex in pede simiæ reliquis digitis brevior, inter alios quatuor digitos ut in manu, medius omnium longissimus.
- (a) There was no Part I think in the whole Sceleton where the Pygmie differed more from a Man, than in the Structure and Figure of the Os Ilium: for in a Humane Sceleton those Bones are spread broad, forming a Sinus or Hollow on the Inside. In the Pygmie they were proportionably longer and narrower, and not so Concave on the inside, but in all respects conformable to the Shape of the same Bones in the Ape and Monkey-kind. But why Riolan should deny the Os Pubis to be in Monkeys, I see no reason; for naturally there is not that Debiscence or Separation of the Os Pubis, as Coiter has given in his Figure of the Sceleton of a Monkey, and as he describes it; from whence I suppose Riolan borrows this Description: for in the Sceletons of two Monkeys I observed these Bones were joined together, and in the Pygmie they are closed as in a Man. When the Cartilage that joins them is divided, they will part assume a Man. When the Cartilage that joins them is divided, they will part

asunder; but otherwise they are firmly knit together. This therefore is no reason, why they should not run fast: and the contrary was observed of the Pygmie that it did so.

The length of the Os Ilium, from it's Spine to it's Conjunction with the Os Ischium, was three Inches; where 'twas broadest, 'twas an Inch and half; where narrowest, but three quarters of an Inch. The Os Ischium was an Inch and three quarters long; the Os Pubis was an Inch long.

(b) I did not observe any difference in the Structure of the Thighbone in our Pygmie from that in Man; nor was it's Articulation or Insertion of it's Head into the Acetabulum, more oblique than in Man. So that from this Articulation, I saw no reason why it should not walk upright and sit; our Pygmie did both: When I saw it, 'twas just a little before it's death; and tho' 'twas weak and feeble, it would stand, and go upright.

The length of the Thigh-bone in the Pygmie was five Inches: The girth of it in the middle an Inch and three quarters; where 'twas joined to the Bones of the Leg, 'twas an Inch and almost an half broad.

- (c) The Neck of the Head of the Thigh-bone in our Pygmie was not different in it's length, as I did observe, from that of a Man's, but the same proportionably; as were likewise the two Apophyses, called Trochanteres.
- (d) The Patella in our Pygmie was not yet offisied. As much as I could discover of it's shape, it was the same as in Man; round and not long; and but one Bone, and not two, as Riolan describes it in the Monkey. In the Sceletons of the Monkeys I used, these Bones were lost, so that I did not observe them.
- (è) The two Bones in the Leg, the Tibia and the Fibula were just the same in the Pygmie as in Man; and their Articulations were alike: The Tibia was four Inches long; the Fibula was a little shorter. The girth of the Tibia in the middle was about an Inch; of the Fibula, about half an Inch.
- (f) What makes the foot of the Pygmie seem different from a Man's, is chiefly the length of the Toes, and the Structure of the great Toe. In other respects, it has a great resemblance with it. For the Bones of the Metatarsus here, seemed proportionably as long as in Man. The Os Calcis, Calcaneum or Heel-bone was not narrow, but broad; and forewards, where 'twas joined to the Os Cuboide or Cubiforme, not broader, nor longer, than behind; where it juts out so far, as sufficiently secures it's L 2

standing or walking erect. The Astragalus I did not observe different from a Man's. The Scaphoides or Naviculare here was Cartilaginous. If one reckons three Joints in the great Toe, then there can be but four Bones in the Planta Pedis, or Metatarsus; which with Enstachius I am more inclined to, because really this Part performs upon any occasion the use of an Hand too; and the great Toe, (like the Thumb in the Hand) stands off from the range of the other Fingers. Besides, I observed a disserence in the Colour in the Bones of the Metatarsus and the Toes: for the Colour of the Toes was white and opace; the Colour of the Bones of the Metatarsus was like to that of the Cartilages, and more transparent. Now all the three Bones in the great Toe were of the same colour, white as were the other Toes. Therefore I shall make but four Bones in the Metatarsus, answerable to those of the Metacarpus in the Hand, and three Bones in the great Toe.

- (g) And as the Hand of our Pygmie in some Parts resembled the Humane; in others the Ape-kind: So the same may be said of the Foot too. For the Heel, the Tarsus and Metatarsus were like to the Humane. But all the Toes were liker to the Ape and Monkey-kind: For the Toes here, if we may call them Toes, and not rather Fingers, were almost as long as the Fingers in the Hand; much longer proportionably than in Man, and not lying so close together: But the Bones of the Fingers in the Hand, were larger and bigger than those of the Toes.
- (b) The great Toe in the Pygmie, was shorter than the sirst of the other Toes; tho' in a Man'tis altogether as long; and herein it resembles the Ape-kind. But whereas Aristotle (as I have remarked) mentions, that in Apes the middle Toe is the longest, as is the middle Finger in the Hand; In the Sceleton of the Pygmie I did observe, that the first and middle Toe were both much of a length, each measuring an Inch and three quarters: The third and little Toe were about an Inch and an half long; the little Toe being rather somewhat shorter than the third Toe. If in the great Toe you reckon three Articuli, as Eustachius does, then from the Tarsus to it's Extream, the great Toe measured two Inches and an half: but if with Coiter you make but two Articuli or Joints in the great Toe, and the other to be a Bone of the Metatarsus; these two were only an Inch and a quarter long: The four Bones of the Metatarsus were much of a length, being about an Inch and a quarter long.

This great Toe (as has been already frequently remarked) being fet off from the range of the others, more resembles a Thumb. This Difference I observe in it's make, That the Bones that compose it, are much bigger and larger, than any of the other Toes; and in respect of the Thumb in the Hand, vastly bigger. In the Sceleton of a Monkey I did not observe the Bones of the great Toe, to exceed those of the other. But as the Thumb in the Foot is much bigger, than that in the Hand; so the Fingers in the Hand are much larger than those in the Foot. CAP.

#### CAP. VI.

#### De Sesamoideis.

IN Homine Ossa Sesamoidea pauca sunt, magnaque ex parte cartilaginosa, & si ea que pollici applicantur exceperis, in constanti sede sirmata. In simia verò multa, atque magna occurrunt, & osse perpetud sunt. Cuique primo quatuor digitorum internodio, & secundo pollicis gemina ferè semper adnectuntur. Duo ossicula magnitudine ciceris, supra utrumque tuberculum femoris in origine gemellorum reperiuntur.

As to the Offa Sesamoidea in our Subject, I have very little to say: For it being young, very likely they might be only Cartilaginous, and the Skin adhering so firmly here, they might be taken off with it. Since they are in Apes, I do not doubt, but that they were in our Pygmie too, tho' I did not observe them.

Having now made my Remarks upon the Comparison, that Riolan, or rather Enstachins and Coiter, have given us, between the Sceleton of a Man, an Ape, and a Monkey; and shewn wherein the Sceleton of our Pygmie either agreed or disagreed from any of them, I shall make some Reflections upon the whole; and more particularly upon some Parts; which deserve here a more distinct Consideration. But shall first of all take the Dimensions of the Sceleton, and of some other Parts I have not mentioned already.

As from the top of the Cranium to the Extream of the Heel in a strait Line, the Sceleton of the Pygmie measured about two Foot; from the first Vertebra of the Neck to the last of the Os Coccygis, eleven Inches; from the head of the Shoulder-bone, to the end of the middle Finger, twas about fifteen Inches; the end of this Finger reaching in an erect Posture an Inch and half below the Patella: whereas in an Humane Sceleton, from the end of the middle Finger to the lower part of the Patella, it wanted five Inches and an half: Our Pygmie therefore herein imitated the Ape-kind. From the head of the Thigh-bone, to the bottom of the Os Calcie in the Pygmie, was about ten Inches. From the setting on of the first Rib, to the fastening on of the last, was four Inches. distance between the last Rib, and the Spine of the Os Ilium, not full two Inches. From the Spine of the Os Ilium, to the bottom of the Os Pubis, in a strait Line, was four Inches and three quarters. The distance between the end of the Scapula, and Spine of the Os Ilium about three Inches. -

Both when it was alive, and after it's death, I admired the straitness and shape of it's Back. Now the Scapula coming down so low on the Ribs, and inclining towards the Vertebræ of the Back, and the Os Ilium rising so high, they do contribute very much towards it; and must also afford a great safeguard and strength to the Back and Spine.

The Sceleton of our Pygmie was just the same length of one of a Monkeys that I borrowed: But because I observed most of the Apophyses of the Bones to be Cartilaginous in the Pygmie, I must conclude, that 'twas but young; and that probably it might grow taller; to what height I am uncertain. Yet I can by no means be induced to believe, that it would ever arrive to the Stature of a Man, as some fort of this Species of Animals has been observed to do; for then I could not expect, to have feen here, the Bones themselves so solid, or the Cranium to be so entirely offified, or the Sutures to be so closed and indented, and the Backbone and Ribs so fully hardened, as all the Bones of the Artus or Limbs were likewise, except at their Apophyses, and in the Carpus and Tarsus. Now all these Parts that had these Cartilaginous Apophyses, had already acquired fo great a length, in proportion to the rest of the Body, that 'tis not to be imagined, that they would have exceeded it, or at least not much; and confidering that Animals come to their axin of growth fooner or later, according to their Longevity, as a Man, (till he is past the Age that any of these Creatures, it may be, arrive to) does not leave growing: this inclines me to think, fince we found most parts of the Body so perfected here, that it might not in time much exceed the height it had already acquired. I could have wished that those that have wrote of any of this Species of Animals, had given us their Dimensions and Ages; but they are filent herein, or at least too general: only Le Compte observed an Ape in the Straits of Molucca four foot high; but this may not be our fort. As to those of Borneo, I was informed by a Sea-Captain who used those Parts, that the King there formerly had one as tall as a Man, that would frequently come down to the Town, and a great many Stories are told of him. The same Captain had two given him, both young, and about the height of our Pygmie; but these were not hairy, but naked as a Man; and one of them that he carried to Batavia, was looked upon as so great a Rarity, that all the time he staid there, his Ship was constantly visited by such as came to see it. But 'tis Matter of Fact, not Reasoning, that will best determine this doubt, and a faithful Observation that must inform us, to what tallness this fort of Animal in Angola, and the Countries thereabout, does usually grow; for in different Countries they may be different in this respect. tho' the same Species, as is seen even in Mankind.

'Tis not therefore that I am fond of the word Pygmie, that I have call'd our Animal so, or that I would undertake to justifie our present Subject

Subject to be exactly the Pygmie of the Ancients: Of this Quadru-manus fort of Animals there are divers Species, and some may be taller and others shorter; but all of them being but Brutes, I was unwilling to call ours a Man, tho' with an Epithet. 'T was necessary to give it a Name, because not tallying exactly with the Descriptions of those that are given us, I did not know but that it might be different: and it's present height corresponding so well with that of the Pygmies of the Ancients, (and we may allow something for growth too) induced me to this denomination: For as A. Gellius (88) tells us, the Pygmies were two Foot and a quarter high. Pygmæos quoque (saith he) hand longe ab his nasci, quorum qui longissimi sunt, non longiores esse quam pedes duos & quadrantem. And fo Pliny (89), Supra hos extrema in parte Montium Trispithami, Pygmæique narrantur, ternas Spimathas longitudine, hoc est ternos dodrantes non excedentes; that is twenty seven Inches. For as Ludovicus Vives (90) observes, a Foot contains sixteen Digiti or twelve Pollices. The Dodrans or Spithama, which is the Palmus major, contains nine Pollices; the Palmus minor is but three Pollices, or four Digiti, that is, a quarter of a Foot: And so Herodotus (91) informs us, that the Palmus contains four Digiti, and the Cubit fix Palmi. The Pygmie therefore being Trifpithamus or three Spithamæ long, was twenty feven Inches long, or as A. Gellius tells us, two Foot and a quarter. So our Animal, before Diffection measured twenty fix Inches; but in the Sceleton, only four and twenty Inches. Not but Strabo (92) out of Megasthenes, does mention too, the πεν λασοθάμες ανθεώπες, as well as the τε ισοθάμες; but these latter (he tells us) were those, that Homer makes to fight the Cranes. However it be, if our Ape be not the Pygmie of the Ancients, yet I can't but think, the Pygmies of the Ancients were only a fort of Apes, notwithstanding all the Romances that have been made about them. And if fo, and our Ape be found not much to exceed the measures given, I shall think my Conjecture in giving this Name, not amis. But of this hereafter. And to proceed:

Since the Bones are the main Timber-work in this Fabrick of Animal Bodies, by which the whole is supported, and upon their Structure, in a good measure, does depend their manner of local motion, we will here more particularly enquire, which may be thought the most natural way of walking in our Pygmie, either as a Quadruped or a Biped, for it did both upon occasion; and we will see whether by Nature 'twas equally provided for the doing both.

Now when I observed it to go upon all four, as a Quadruped (as has been already remark'd) it did not place the Palms of the Hands flat to

<sup>(88)</sup> A. Gell. Nost. Attic. lib.9.cap.4.p.205. (89) Plinij Nat. Hiff. lib.7.cap.2.p.m.13. (90) Lud. Vives Comment in D. Augustini de Civitate Dei, lib.16.cap.8.p.m.882; (91) Herodotus in Euterpe, No. 149. p. m. 448. (92) Strabo. Geograph. lib.15.p.m.489.

the Ground, but went upon it's Knuckles, or rather upon the first Joints of the Fingers of the Fore-hands, the second and third Joints being bended or touching the Ground; which seem'd to me so unusual a way of walking, as I have not observed the like before in any Animal. And I did expect it the less here: because the Fore-limbs being so very long, it might be thought, that it had the less need of thus raising the Body. And the whole weight of the Body thus lying upon these Joints of the Fingers, one would think, that they should be soon tired in supporting it, and that Nature did not design it for a Constancy, but only upon occasion, or a present shift: For if it was to be it's usual way of walking, no doubt, for it's greater ease, it would place the Palms stat to the Ground, as all other Animals do the sole of the Foot, and hereby it would be rendered better able to bear this weight.

Besides, when it walks thus upon it's Fingers, the flexure at the Elbow will be inwards, towards the fides of the Body, which is different from all other Quadrupeds, and in it's Progression will be of no use at all. nay, will be an hinderance to it; and it will require a great tention of the Muscles to keep these Fore-limbs strait; and if they are not kept so. they must halt, and can't move swiftly; which makes me diffident, that this can't be it's Natural Posture in going; for Nature always contrives the easiest and best ways of Motion. Now in Quadrupeds the flection of the fore and hinder Limbs, is both the same way: But in a Man and an Ape (as I have before remarked from Aristotle) 'tis contrary; or as Pliny expresses it, Homini genua & Cubita contraria, item Ursis & Simiarum generi, obid minime pernicibus. But how Pliny comes to bring in the Bear here, I do not understand: for if with the Parisians (93) we should here understand by Genua, the Heel-bone, and by Cubita a Boneof the Carpus (which are often longer in Brutes than in Man) then this will be a Property not peculiar to Bears, but might be observed in other Quadrupeds too. I should rather own it as a Mistake in Pliny. Nor can I affent to the Parisians, That all Animals have these Parts turned after the same manner, whatever Aristotle may report thereof. I must confels I am of Aristotle's mind, and any Body may experience it in himself, and observe the flexure of the Cubit to be different from that of the Knee: and where 'tis fo, there the Motion upon all four, will be very awkward and unnatural, and as Pliny observes, it can't be swift,

I shall here further observe, that in Quadrupeds the make of the Thorax, the setting on of the Scapula, and the Articulation of the Humerus, or Shoulder-bone, are much different from what they are in Bipeds: for Quadrupeds are narrow Chested, and their Thorax not so round as in a Man, because in them the Scapulæ are to be placed more forward upon the Ribs, and not so backwards as in Men. And the Articulation of the

Shoulder with the Scapula in Quadrupeds lies nearer the Ribs; in Man 'tis extended farther from them. Now our Pygmie so exactly imitating Humane-kind in all these Circumstances, makes me think that Nature did not design it a Quadruped, but a Biped. For it had a full round Chest or Thorax, and it's Scapulæ placed backwards, not so forwards on the Ribs, and the Articulation of the Shoulder with the Scapula, flood off from the Ribs as it do's in Man. And from this very Confideration Galen (94) tells us, That a Man, if he would, could not walk upon all four, Δεόνλως εν ανθρωπ & ( faith he ) οὐδ' εἰ βεληθείη βαδίζεων όπτι τω τετίαρων κάλων διωαιί αν, απη μένων αυτώ πίρεω το θώραν Οι τηθ κατά τας ωμαπλάτας άρθεων. i. e. Merito itaque Homo ne, si volet, quidem ambulare quatuor artubus queat, quod in ipso Scapularum Articuli longe à Thorace fint abducti. And Galen all along owns, that the Structure of the Scapula in the Ape, is the same as in a Man; and tells us that an Ape is exactly neither a Quadruped, nor a Biped, but amphibious between both. For in the same Chapter, speaking of the Ape, he saith, Τὰ ή και ώμοπλάτας κὸ κλείς ἀνθεώπω, κὸ μάλιςα πεςσέρικεν, κὸ τοί γ' έ δεόμεν Β. εοίκελαι ταύτη τοις ανθεώποις είς ωπύτηλα-βαδίσεως· απαμιρολεείζει τοιγαρεν έκαθέροις τοῖς γένησιν, κ) έτε δίπεν εξίν ακριδες, ούτε τεθράπουν, άλλα ή ώς δίπουν χωλόν, έ 35 ακριδώς ερθον επναι δύναλα, ή ώς τελεάπουν, ανάπηρον τε αιιά, η βραθύ, διά το πλείτον απηχθαι το δώρακο. αὐτό το καί ώμον άρθερν, καθάπερ εί κ, τρι άλλων πνός ζώων δπο απαθέν To Sweam & culds Smoxwehouser i. e. Quod verò ad Scapulas & Claves attinet, homini maxime est similis, quamquam ea parte homini similis esse non debebat, nam quod ad ambulationis celeritatem pertinet, simia inter genus utrumque ambigit, neque enim Bipes penitus est, neque Quadrupes; sed quatenus est Bipes, clauda est, non enim recte plane stare potest; & quatenus est Quadrupes, mutila simul est, ac tarda, quod Humeri articulus à Thorace plurimum sit abductus, quemadmodum si idem articulus in alio quopiam animante à Thorace divulsus extra secessisset. Now altho' Galen tells us here, that an Ape can scarce stand upright; yet in another place he declares quite the contrary; for, faith he (95), Εςι δ' ώμιοιότα ! Θι ανθρώπω πίθην. Θι, ώς αν σεργύλον τε μάλις' έγων το πεόσωπον, η τές κυνόδονίας μικεές, το σέρνον πλαίο, η τάς κλείς μαreolleas, in nura duois, in opdos isalas na has, wis in Basilew autentius, 2, Deiv wiews Subaday. i. e. Est autem simillima homini Simia, ut qua rotundam præcipue habet faciem, Dentes Caninos parvos, latum Pecfus, Claviculas longiores, minimum Pilosa, qua recta etiam stat belle, ut & incedere sine errore, & currere velociter possit.

We have seen upon what accounts our Pygmie may be thought not to be a Quadruped, or that it's natural Gressian is not on all four, and how ill it is provided to go that way. We will now enquire, Whether there is not more reason to think that Nature designed it

<sup>(94)</sup> Galen de usu Partium, lib. 13. cap. 11. p. m. 627. (95) Galen de usu Partium, lib. 11. cap. 2-

to be a Biped, and to walk erect. And in the doing this, we may observe the largeness of the Heel-bone in the Foot, which being so much extended, sufficiently secures the Body from falling backwards, as the length of the Toes do's it's being cast too forwards; and the Arms being so long, may easily give a poise either way, for the preserving the Equilibrium of the Body. And it may be, this is the Reason why the Pongos hold their hands behind their Necks, when they walk erect. If we consider the Articulation of the Os Femoris in the Acetabulum, there is no difference to be observed from a Man. nor indeed in any other Circumstance that relates to this Matter. 'Tis true, in my first figure I represent him as weak and feeble and bending; for when I first saw him, he was dying; besides, being young, and ill, it had not that strength in it's Limbs, as in time and in health, it might have acquired; and I was willing to reprefent what I saw my self. But what very much sways with me, to think him a Biped, and to go erect, and that Nature did design it so, much more than any of the Ape and Monkey-kind besides, was my obferving the Peritonaum to be entire, and not perforated or protruded in the Groin, as it is in Apes and Dogs, and other Quadrupeds: as likewife, because I found the Pericardism in our Pygmie to be fastened to the Diaphragm, as 'tis in Man, and which is not so in Apes and Monkeys. Both which are so remarkable differences, and (as I have already remarked) so particularly contrived for the advantage of an erect Posture of the Body, that, I think, the Inference is easie, and we may fafely conclude, that Nature intended it a Biped, and hath not been wanting in any thing, in forming the Organs, and all Parts accordingly; and if not altogether so exactly as in a Man, yet much more than in any other Brute besides: For I own it, as my constant Opinion. (notwithstanding the ill surmise and suggestion made by a forward Gentleman ) that tho' our Pygmie has many Advantages above the rest of it's Species, yet I still think it but a sort of Ape and a meer Brute; and as the Proverb has it, midna@ & o midna@, nav xedoea Eyn σύμβολα, (96) An Ape is an Ape, tho finely clad.

This Proverb, perhaps, might have it's rife from some such occasion as Lucian mentions in another place; and the Story being pleasant, and relating to what we have been just now discoursing upon, viz. it's manner of Motion, we will insert it here, and then proceed to the Myology. Lucian (97) therefore saith, Aéxelay Dig Bandel's us Airithos, midness work woofix (Cen Adizon, &c. i. e. Fertur Ægyptius Rex quidam Simias ut tripudiarent instituisse, Animaliaque (nam admodum ad res bumanas imitandas sunt apta) celerrime didicisse, ut Personata ac Purpurata saltarent: eratque admodum visu res digna, donec Spectator quispianz

<sup>(96)</sup> Lucian. adversus indollum. Oper. p. m. 865. (97) Lucian. Piscator sive Reviviscentes. p. m. 214.

urbanus nuces è sinu depromptas in medium abjiceret : id simiæ videntes, tripudij oblitæ, id quod erat, simiæ pro Saltatoribus evaserunt, Personas conterebant, vestitum discerpebant, invicemque pro fructibus depugnabant, ità ut Pyrriches ordo dissolveretur, à Theatroque ridebatur. And in another place (98) he tells the like Story of Cleopatra's Apes. So that they can, not only go erect, but can dance in a figure too, if taught to do fo. But this is not natural, but acquired by Art; and even Dogs have been taught to do the same. So Ælian (99) tells us, that an Ape is easily taught to perform any Action; if 'tis taught to Dance, 'twill Dance, or Play upon the Pipe; and that once he saw one supply the Place of a Coachman; holding the Reins; pulling them in, or letting them loofe, and using the Whip, as there was occasion. And that Story in Kercher (100), of the Embassie that the King of Bengal sent to the Great Mogul in the Year 1660 is very remarkable, where a great Ape richly adorned, did drive a Chariot magnificently gilded, and fet with Jewels; and did it with the greatest State and Pageantry in the World. and as skilfully as the best Coach-man could do.

It would be infinite to relate all the Stories that are told us of them; and I have been too tedious already. I shall therefore hasten now: But must inform the Reader, that I am obliged to my good Friend Mr. Comper, not only for designing all my figures; but obtained of him likewise to draw up this ensuing account of the Muscles; whose great Skill and Knowledge herein, is sufficiently made evident by his Myotomia Reformata, or, New Administration of all the Muscles in Humane Bodies, published sometime since: To which I refer my Reader, for a suller account of them, whenever 'tis said, that such and such Muscles in the Pygmie resembled those in Humane Bodies. And for his greater Ease, there are References all along made, to the sigures; where the first Number signifies the Figure, or Table; the second Number the Muscle exhibited or represented there.

THE

<sup>(98)</sup> Lucian. pro Mercede conductis, p.m.363. (99) Ælian. Hist. Animal. lib. 5.p.m.26. (100) Kercher. China illustrata, Part.4.cap.7. p.m.195.

THE

# MYOTOMY

OR

### DESCRIPTION

OF THE

## MUSCLES.

Of the Muscles of the Abdomen.

HE Obliquus Descendens (Fig. 3.38.) agreed in it's situation and progress, with that of a Humane Body, as the accurate Galen and Vesalius describe it, and did not partly spring from any of the Transverse Processes of the Vertebræ of the Loins; or their Ligaments and Membranes, as the later Writers would have it in Humane Bodies. Neither did any part of the Obliquus Ascendens (Fig. 3. 39.) arise from the Lumbal Vertebra, as Vesalius describes it in Men : but agreed with the Description of Galen, and did not differ from the Humane. Drelincourt observes the like in Apes: The same Author takes notice, that the Pyramidales are wanting in those Animals; which were absent also in the Pygmie. The Redus (Fig. 3. 40.) agreed with the Humane, and had no Connection with a Muscular Portion, springing either from the Clavicula or first Rib, as Vesalius has figured Galen's Description of it in Apes and Dogs. The Parisians say, In Monkeys it ascends to the top, passing under the Pectoralis and Little Serratus, it was Fleshy only to the half of the Sternum, the rest being but a meer Tendon. Drelincourt observes the Tendinous Inscriptions of these Muscles in Apes, appear'd only on their inside, and not on the out. The Transversalis in this, as in most Quadrupeds, did not differ from that in Man.

The

The Cremaster Muscles were very small by reason of the leanness of the Subject. The Accelerator Spermatis (Fig. 7. G.) Erector Penis (Fig. ib. K.) and Transversalis Penis (ib. L.) agreed in their Situation and Figure with those of Men; the last of which only varied in it's Termination, as appears in the Figure.

The Detrusor Urina agreed with the Figure of the Bladder of Urina of this Animal. The Sphintler Vesica dister'd not from that in Men; and most, if not all Quadrupeds; it being placed in the Neck of the Bladder, beyond the Caruncula or Caput Gallinaginis, immediately above the Prostates. The Sphintler Ani dister'd not from the Humane; unless it might seem somewhat less. The Levatores Ani were longer and more divided from each other, than in Humane Bodies: The like may be observed in most, if not all Quadrupeds; by reason of the Length and differing Figure of the Bones, whence these Muscles take their rise.

I could find no Occipital nor Frontal Muscles in this Animals-

The Orbicularis Palpebrarum (Fig. 3. 2.) and Aperiens Palpebram Rectus agreed with the Humane, and those of most Quadrupeds. The Obliquus Superior, Inferior, Elevator, Depressor, Adductor, and Abductor Oculi, agreed with those of the Humane Eye and an Ape's, as Julius Casserius Placentinus Figures them Tab. 4. Organi Visus, Fig. XII. & XIII. Nor was there any Musculus Septimus Brutorum in this Animal. The Alæ Nasi of the Pygmie being small, those Muscles only appear'd, which from their Office are call'd Constrictores Alarum Nasi, ac Depressores Labij superioris.

The Quadratus Genæ, or Platusma Myoides, by reason of the Leannels of the Subject, (as I suspect) did not appear Fleshy. The Buccinator (Fig. 3.7.) was longer than that in Man. Nor was it any where intertext with various orders of Fibres, as Anatomists commonly represent it in Man; or seem'd to arise from any other Parts, but the Processus Corone; from whence it's Fibres had a strait progress to the Angle of the Lips; as in Men: This and the former Museles, are counted Common Muscles to the Cheeks and Lips.

The Muscles Common to both Lips, are the Zygomaticus, (Fig. 3.3.) Elevator, Depressor, and Constrictor Labiorum, which were not so conspicuous, as in Men. The Proper Muscles of the upper and under Lip, were very distinct in this Animal, (viz.) the Elevator and Depressor Labis Superioris, (Fig. 3.4.) the last of which is mentioned above, and called Constrictor Ala Nasi; the Depressor and Elevator Labis Superioris, (Fig. 3.5.)

Tho' the Auricula or Outward Ear of this Animal was as large, if not larger than that of a Man, yet I could not observe any Muscle, which serv'd for it's Motion. I could not examine the Muscles of the Tympanum and Stapes, by reason the Bones were kept entire for a Sceleton.

The Sternohyoideus, Coracohyoideus, Mylohyoideus and Geniohyoideus, did not differ from those in Men; which Drelincourt has also observed of the former in the Female Ape. The Stylohyoideus did not arise from the Styliform Process; that Process being wanting in this Animal, or at least did not appear, by reason it was young; this Muscle therefore seem'd to arise from the Os Petrosum.

The Genioglossus, by reason of the length of the Lower Jaw, was longer than that in Man. The Ceratoglossus and Styloglossus dister'd not; except that the latter arises from the Os Petrosum, like the Stylohyoideus. The other Muscles appear'd in this Animal belonging to it's Tongue. The Sternothyroideus, Hyothyroideus, Cricothyroideus, Cricoarytanoideus, Posticus and Lateralis; the Thyroarytanoideus, and Arytanoideus varied not from those in Man. The Muscles of the Fauces also, differ'd not from those in Man, (viz.) The Stylopharyngaus, Pterygopharyngaus, Oesophagaus and Vaginalis Gula. The following Muscles of the Gargareon were exactly like the Humane, (viz.) the Sphenostaphylinus and Pterygostaphylinus.

Now all the Muscles of the Lower Jam may be seen without incommoding any hereafter mentioned. The Temporalis (Fig. 3. 1.) and Masseter (Fig. 3. 6.) seem'd somewhat larger than the Humane, and as they are commonly in Brutes, by reason their lower Jam-bones are larger than those of Men; yet these Muscles were not so strong, as those of Monkeys, as the Parisians represent them. The Superior Salival Duct past over the Masseter, and entred the Musculus Buccinator of the Pygmie, as in Man. The Digastricus arose not from the Mammisorm Process, as in Men; but sprang from the Occipital-bone; it's progress in this Animal agreed exactly with that in a Humane Body. Drelincourt describes it in Apes thus, Tendinem habet intermedium pollice longum, & gracilem, enascitur, autem non ab Apophyse Styloide, sed ab osse Basilari.

The Muscles of the Thorax which appear on the fore-part come next. The Intercostales externi and interni, (Fig. 4. 32.) Triangularis, Scalenus Primus, Secundus and Tertius; Subclavius (Fig. 3. 34.) Serratus minor anticus, (Fig. 3. 35.) Serratus major anticus, (Fig. 3. 37.) All these were like the Humane. The Parisians tell us, That the Great Serratus did in in their Monkeys arise from the fourth, fifth, and sixth Vertebra of the Neck; but it was not so in the Pygmie: The like is taken notice of by Drelincourt in Apes. The Diaphragma was larger in this Animal, than in Man.

Man, agreeable to the Capacity of its Thorax: The rest of the Muscles of the Thorax appear on it's Back-part, which we shall mention hereafter.

Before I pass to the Muscles on the Back-part of our Pygmie, I shall take notice of a Pair of Muscles, that do not appear in Humane Bodies; which from their Use may be call'd Elevatores Clavicularum, (Fig. 3. 12.) Either of them arises Fleshy from the Transverse Processes of the second and third Vertebra of the Neck; and descends obliquely outwards to it's broad Insertion at the upper part of the Clavicula; when it Acts, it draws up the Clavicle, assisting the Elevator Scapula, and upper part of the Cucularis, in raising the whole Shoulder. The situation of this Muscle, is not unlike the upper part of that represented by Vesalius in his sixth Table of the Muscles O. T. P. Q. which he says is found in Dags and Apes, and described by Galen in Humane Bodies, in whom it is not existent. Drelincourt calls it Levator Omoplata, (adding) ab Apophysibus transverse cervicalibus in Acromion & extremum claviculae extenditur.

The Muscles imploy'd in the Motion of the Scapula, are the Cucularis. (Fig. 4. 1.1.1.) Rhomboides (Fig. 4.6.) Levator Scapulæ (ib. 5.) These also agreed with the Humane: The like being taken notice of by Drelincourt of the Cucularis, in the Female Ape. The rest of the Muscles of the Thorax, are the Serratus superior posticus, (Fig. 4. 7.) the Serratus inserior posticus (Fig. 4. 32. 32.) These differ'd not from those in Men. The Sacrolumbalis (Fig. 4. 29.) was not so thick as in Men, but was every way slenderer.

The Muscles imploy'd in the Motion of the Head of the Pygmie, differed very little from those in Man; as the Splenius, (Fig. 4. 2.) Complexus, (Fig. 4. 4.) Rectus major, Rectus minor, Obliquus Superior, and Obliquus Inserior, neither was this Inserior Oblique Muscle larger than in Man; as Vesalius, Lib. II. Cap. XXVIII. assures us, it is in Apes and Dogs. The Mastoideus (Fig. 3. 8. 8.) was chiefly inserted to the Occipital-bone, as the Parisians observe it in Monkeys. The Rectus internus major, not commonly described by Authors in Humane Bodies, tho' it is very plain and constant in all those, I have hitherto lookt for it, was also in the Pygmie. The Rectus internus minor, or Musculus Annuens, sometimes observed by me in Humane Bodies, was also in this Animal; and so was the Rectus Lateralis described by Falloppius in Men. Nor was any of those Muscles I have discovered in Humane Bodies, wanting in this Animal, but the Interspinales Colli.

The Longi Colli of this Animal, appear'd to be longer and larger than those of Humane Bodies. The Spinalis Colli and Transversalis Colli were like those in Humane Bodies. The Interspinales Colli, which I have elsewhere described in Men, did not appear in this Animal. The Longisti-

not so thick and sleshy at it's Origin from the Os Ilium, Sacrum, and Vertebræ of the Loins; nor was it's external Surface in the Pygmie so tendinous, as in Humane Bodies; but was somewhat broader. The Quadratus Lumborum was longer than in Men, agreeable to the space between the Spine of the Os Ilium, and lower Rib of this Animal. See the Figure of the Sceleton. The Sacer, and Semispinatus, disser'd not from the Humane, as I have represented them in my Myotomia Reformata, pag. 135.

The Muscles of the Superior Parts and Trunk of the Body being dispatch't, we come next to those of the Limbs; and first of the Arm or Os Humeri. The Pettoralis (Fig. 3. 33.) was much broader at it's Original, from the Sternum, than in Man: it's Fibres were decussated near it's Insertion. Galen and Jacobus Sylvius take notice of another Muscle under the Pectoralis in Apes, which is implanted into the Arm near the Pectoral Muscle. The Deltoides (Fig. 3. 15. and 4. 12.) was also broader at it's Original. Jac. Sylvius tells us, this Muscle in Apes is like that of a Man. The Supraspinatus (Fig. 4. 8.) agreed with the Humane in it's situation; but was somewhat broader at it's Origin from the upper part of the Basis Scapulæ. The Infraspinatus, as the former Muscle was broader at it's Original from the Scapula, this on the contrary was there narrower than the Humane. Sylvius and Drelincourt mention these Muscles in Apes; but whether they resemble those of Men, or this Animal, do's not appear by their Accounts. Teres minor, (Fig. 4. 10.) this is fometimes wanting in Men: it was somewhat shorter and thicker in this Animal. The Teres major, (Fig. 4. 11.) was very large in the Pygmie. The Latiffimus Dorsi agreed with the Humane in it's Original and Progress towards the Arm; but when it arrived at the Axilla, it parted with a fleshy Portion, which descended on the inside of the Arm, with the Musculus Biceps, and becoming a flender Tendon is inserted to the internal protuberance of the Os Humeri: (vide Fig. 8. C.) which reprefents the production of this Muscle. This Appendix or Accessory Muscle of the Latissimus Dorse, is not peculiar to this Animal; the like being found in Apes according to Jacobus Sylvius, who, I am inclin'd to think is mistaken, in representing it's Insertion at the Olecranum of that Animal: This part of the Latiffimus Dorsi seems a proper Instrument in turning the Os Humeri to a prone Position, when these Animals go on all four, for the more advantagious stepping with the Fore-feet, by raising the Os Humeri, and turning it backwards. Galen in Lib. de Musculis, Cap.XIX. describes this Appendix of the Latissimus Dorsi, under the Title of a small Muscle found in the Articulation of the Shoulder. The Coracobrachialis was like that in Man, but had no division in it for any Nerve to pass through. The Subscapularis was also like that in Man.

The Muscles employed in Bending and Extending the Cubit, differ'd very little from the Humane, viz. Biceps, (Fig. 3.16.16.) Brachiæus internus, (ib. 18.) Gemellus, (Fig. 4. 14.) Brachiæus externus, Anconæus, (Fig. 4. 15. 15.) The like is observed of these Muscles by Sylvius in Apes, who only adds that the Extenders are remarkably large in that Animal. The Biceps in the Pygmie, had the same double tendinous Termination, as in Man.

The Caro Musculosa Quadrata appear'd in the Palm of the Pygmie: nor was there any fleshy Belly, and long Tendon to the Palmaris; yet there was a Tendon or Ligament extended in the Palm; the like has been often taken notice of in Men, as Realdus Columbus also observes. The Parisians tell us, the Palmaris in Monkeys is extraordinary large.

The Muscles of the four Fingers were, the Perforatus, (Fig. 3. 24.) Perforans, (Fig. 3. 25.) Lumbricales; (ib. 31.) these agreed exactly with the Humane; but the Extensor Digitorum Communis (Fig. 4. 21.) was larger and distinct from the Extensor minimi Digiti, as in Men and Apes, which Drelincourt observes. The Extensor Indicis, Abductor Indicis, (Fig. 3. 30.) Extensor minimi digiti, (Fig. 4. 20.) Abductor minimi digitis (Fig. 4. 25.) and Interossij Manus, dister'd not from those in Men. All the Muscles of the Thumb resembled those in Men, (viz.) the Flexor tertij internodij pollicis, Abductor Pollicis, (Fig. 3. 28.) Flexor primi & secundi ossis pollicis, (ib. 29.) Adductor Pollicis, (Fig. 4. 27.) Extensor primi internodij Pollicis, (ib. 23.) Extensor secundi ossis Pollicis, and Extensor tertij ossis pollicis. The Muscles of the Wrist also agreed with those in Men; viz. the Flexor Carpi Radialis, (Fig. 3. 23.) and Ulnaris, (ib. 26.) the Extensor Carpi Radialis, (ib. 19.) and Ulnaris; (ib. 20.) The two last Drelincourt says, are also like the Humane in the Male-Ape.

The Muscles employ'd in the Pronation and Supination of the Radius in the Pygmie, were larger in proportion than those in Men. The Pronator Radij teres (Fig. 3. 20.) had a double Origin; the one from the internal Protuberance of the Os Humeri, the other from the upper part of the Ulna: the Pronator Radij Quadratus. The Supinator Radij Longus is taken notice of by Drelincourt in Apes to be like that of Men. The Supinator Radij brevis, (Fig. 4. 24.) agreed exactly with the Humane.

The Muscles of no part disagreed so much from those in Men, as those of the Thigh of this Animal: Here was no Glutaus minor; nor did the Glutaus maximus (Fig. 4. 33. 33.) resemble the Humane: It was meerly Tendinous at it's Origin, from the whole Spine of the Os Ilium; it was much longer, and not so thick as in Man; nor were it's sleshy Fibres so divided: This Sylvius describes for the Membranosus in Apes. The Parisians give a very imperfect account of the Musculi Glutai in Monkeys, where they tell us, The Muscles of the Buttock had a Figure differing from those

those in Men, being shorter, by reason the Ossa Ilium in Apes are much straiter than in Man. The Glutaus medius was also longer than that in Man. The Pfoas magnus was also longer; which Sylvius (from it's Figure I suppose) calls Lumbaris Biceps in Apes. The Psoas parvus was also longer and larger, than in Man. Besides this, the Parisians tell us of two other little Muscles in Monkeys, which have the same Origin as the Psoas; and were inserted into the upper and inward part of the Os Pubis. Iliacus Internus was long, conformable to the Figure of the Os Ilium of this Animal; (Vide Fig. 5. 28. 28.) The Pectineus was not very distinct. The Triceps (Fig. 4. 37.) had no Tendinous Termination at the lower Appendix of the Thigh-bone internally. Jacobus Sylvius says in Apes, Tricipitis pars longissima à Tubere in Condylum: altera portio insignis, à Tubere etiam nata, postico cruri propè toti affixa, ad usque Cavitatem inter duos condylos mediam: tertia minima & brevissima ossis pubis in medium & poficum Os Cruris. The Pyriformis (Fig. 4. 35.) was like the Humane; nor did it appear less in proportion, as the Parisians represent it, in Monkeys, who say, This Muscle, instead of taking it's rise from the lower and external part of the Os Sacrum, it proceeded from the Ischium near the Cavitas Cotyloides. The Marsupialis had it's Marsupium much broader than in Men. The Quadratus Femoris was less than in Man. The Obturator extrorfum was much larger.

The Common Muscles of the Thigh and Leg, agreed in their Situation and Number, with those of Men. The Membranosus (Fig. 3. 41.) had not so strong a Tendon to cover the Muscles of the Thighs and Tibia, as in Man. The Sartorius (Fig. 3. 42.) agreed with the Humane. The Gracilis (Fig. 3. 48.) was thicker and larger near it's Origin. The Seminervosus (Fig. 4. 40.) and Semimembranosus, differ'd not from the Humane. The Biceps (Fig. 4. 41.) had it's second beginning, somewhat lower, than in Men: The Parisians tell us, The Biceps in Monkeys had not a double Origin as in Man, but proceeded intire, from the Knob of the Ischium, and was inserted to the upper part of the Perona. This single Head was in requital very thick and strong. The Rectus had a double order of Fibres, as in Man. The Popliteus, I must confess escap't my notice. Sylvius tells us, in Apes, it agrees with Men. The rest of the Muscles of this part, which we esteem Proper to the Tibia, and arise from the Os Femoris, were much less than the Humane, as the Vastus Internus, (Fig. 3. 44.) Crureus, and Vastus externus.

The Muscles of the Tarsus or Foot, agreed in Number and Situation with the Humane; but varied in their Figure. The Gasterocnemius externus (Fig. 4. 43.) had not so large a Belly, nor were it's Fibres so variously disposed; but it continued sleshy much lower, than in Man. Sylvius tells us in Apes, Capita Gemellorum (meaning this Muscle) Ossa Sesamoidea habent, sirmantia in Condylis Crus cum Tibia. The Plantaris disser'd not from that in Man. The Gasterocnemius internus, or soleus, (Fig.

(Fig. 4. 44.) continued fleshy to the Os Calcis, as Sylvius observed it in Apes. The Tibialis Anticus (Fig. 3. 49.) was much larger, and continued fleshy much lower, than in Man. Sylvius observed an Os Sesamoides in the Tendon of this Muscle in Apes. The Peroneus primus (Fig. 3. 51.) differ'd very little from that in Man; it's Tendon having the same progress in the Bottom of the Foot, to the Bone of the Metatarsus of the Great Toe; which is nevertheless denied by Galen to be existent in Man; for which Vesalius, lib. 2. cap. 59. severely Censures him. I have more than once, seen a Boney body, placed in this Tendon at it's Flexure on the Os Cuboides in Humane Bodies: The like is taken notice of by Sylvius in an Ape. The Peroneus secundus differ'd not from that in Man. The Tibialis Posticus (Fig. 4. 45.) was not so large as in Man.

The Muscles of the Great Toe differ'd from the Humane. The Extensor Pollicis longus (Fig. 3. 52.) had a more Oblique progress, and was fleshy lower. The Extensor Pollicis brevis (Fig. 3. 53.) was much larger, and it's progress on the Foot almost transverse. The Flexor Pollicis longus was pretty large. The Flexor Pollicis brevis (Fig. 4. 47.) was very large, and inseparably joined with the Abductor, which was very little. The Parisians tell us, The Great Toes of the Monkeys had Muscles like those of a Man's Thumb. The Extensor Digitorum Pedis longus (Fig. 3.53.) had no Tendon implanted on the Os Metatarsi of the Little Toe. The Perforatus (Fig. 4. 46.) Perforans, (ib. 48.) Lumbricales, and Abductor minimi Digiti, differ'd very little from those in Men. The Musculus Extensor Digitorum brevis, and Transversalis Pedis did not appear in this Animal.

I shall not at present give the Reader the trouble of the Restections, that I intended, upon the Observations made in the Anatomy of this remarkable Creature; since I am conscious (having been so tedious already) that 'twill but farther tire him, and my self too. I shall therefore now conclude this Discourse, with a brief Recapitulation of the Instances I have given, wherein our Pygmie, more resembled the Humane kind, than Apes and Monkeys do: As likewise sum up those, wherein it differ'd from a Man, and imitated the Ape-kind. The Catalogues of both are so large, that they sufficiently evince, That our Pygmie is no Man, nor yet the Common Ape; but a sort of Animal between both; and tho' a Biped, yet of the Quadrumanus-kind; tho' some Men too, have been observed to use their Feet like Hands, as I have seen several.

### The Orang-Outang or Pygmie more resembled a Man, than Apes and Monkeys do.

1. IN having the Hair of the Shoulder tending downwards; and that of the Arm, upwards.

2. In the Face 'twas liker a Man; having the Forehead larger, and the

Rostrum or Chin shorter.

3. In the outward Ear likewise; except as to it's Cartilage, which was thinner as in Apes.

4. In the Fingers; which were much thicker than in Apes.

5. In being in all respects designed by Nature, to walk erect; whereas Apes and Monkeys want a great many Advantages to do so.

6. The Nates or Buttocks larger than in the Ape-kind.

7. It had Calves in it's Legs.

8. The Shoulders and Breast were more spread.

9. The Heel was longer.

10. The Membrana Adiposa placed here, next to the Skin.

11. The Peritonaum in the Groin entire; and not perforated, or protruded, as in Apes and Monkeys.

12. The Intestines or Guts much longer.

13. The Intestines being very different in their bigness, or largeness of their Canalis.

14. In having a Cacum or Appendicula Vermiformis, which Apes and Monkeys have not: and in not having the beginning of the Colon so projected or extended, as Apes and Monkeys have.

15. The Insertion of the Ductus Bilarius and the Ductus Pancreaticus in a Man, the Pygmie, and an Ape was at the same Orifice. In a Monkey

there was two Inches distance.

16. The Colon was here longer.

17. The Liver not divided into Lobes, as in Apes and Monkeys; but entire, as in Man.

18. The Biliary Vessels, the same as in Man.

19. The Spleen the same. 20. The Pancreas the same.

- 21. The Number of the Lobes of the Lungs, the same as a Man's.
- 22. The Pericardium fastened to the Diaphragm, as in Man; but is not so in Apes and Monkeys.

23. The Cone of the Heart, not so pointed, as in Apes.

24. It had not those *Pouches* in the *Chaps*, as *Apes* and *Monkeys* have.
25. The *Brain* was abundantly larger than in *Apes*; and all it's Parts exactly formed like the *Humane Brain*.

26. The Cranium more globous; and twice as big as an Ape's or Monkey's.

27. All the Sutures here, like the Humane: And in the Lambdoidal Suture were the Offa triquetra Wormiana. In Apes and Monkeys 'tis otherwise.

28. It had an Os Cribriforme, and the Crista Galli; which Monkeys

have not.

29. The Sella Equina here, the same as in Man; in the Ape-kind 'tis more rising and eminent.

30. The Processus Pterygoides, as in Man: In Apes and Monkeys they

are wanting.

31. The Offa Bregmatis and Temporum here the same as in Man. In Monkeys they are different.

32. The Os Zygomaticum in the Pygmie was small; in the Monkey and

Apes 'tis bigger.

33. The Shape of the Teeth more resembled the Humane, especially the

Dentes Canini and Molares.

34. The Transverse Apophyses of the Vertebræ of the Neck, and the Sixth and Seventh Vertebra, were liker the Humane, than these Parts in Apes and Monkeys are.

35. The Vertebræ of the Neck had not those Foramina for transmitting

the Nerves; which Apes have and Man has not.

36. The Vertebræ of the Back, and their Apophyses Rectæ like the Humane: and in the lower Vertebræ but two Apophyses infernæ; not four, as in Apes.

37. There were but five Vertebræ of the Loins here, as in Man: in Apes

and Monkeys there are fix.

38. The Spines of the Lumbal Vertebræ strait, as in Man.

39. The Os Sacrum was composed of five Vertebræ, as in Man: in Apes and Monkeys there are but three Vertebræ.

'tis in Man: In Monkeys there are more Bones, and these not perforated, as

41. In the Pygmie there were but seven Costa vera; and the Extreams of the Notha were Cartilaginous; and the Ribs were articulated to the body of the Vertebra. In Apes and Monkeys there are eight Costa vera; and the Extreams of the Notha are ossious; and the Articulation is in the Interstices of the Vertebra.

42. The Os Sterni in the Pygmie was broad, as in a Man: in the

Monkey 'tis narrow.

43. The Bones of the four Fingers much larger than in the Ape kind.
44. The Thigh-bone in it's Articulation, and all other respects, like the Humane.

45. The Patella round, not long; fingle, not double; as 'tis said to be in Apes.

46. In the Heel, the Tarsus, and Metatarsus, the Pygmie was like a

47. The middle Toe in the Pygmie was not the longest, as 'tis in the Ape-kind.

48. These

48. These Muscles, viz. The Obliquus Inferior Capitis, the Pyriformis and Biceps Femoris, were like the Humane; whereas the same in Apes and Monkeys are different. And Note, That all the other Muscles that are not otherwise specified in the following Catalogue, were like the Humane also; but whether all the same Muscles in Apes and Monkeys resemble the Humane, could not be determined, for want of a Subject to compare them with, or Observations made by others.

# The Orang-Outang or Pygmie differ'd from a Man, and resembled more the Ape and Monkey-kind.

1. IN the littleness of it's Stature.

2. In the flatness of the Nose, and the slit in the Ala Narium.

3. In having a rising Ridge of the Cranium under the Eye-brows.

4. In being more hairy behind, than before.

5. In having the Thumb so little, tho' larger than in the Ape-kind.

6. In having the Palm of the Hand longer and narrower.

7. In the length of the Toes.

8. In having the Great Toe set at a distance from the other, like a Thumb; and being Quadrumanus, like the Ape-kind.

9. In having the Shoulder and Thigh shorter.

10. In having the Arms longer.

11. In having no pendulous Scrotum.
12. In the largeness of the Omentum.
13. The Gall-Bladder long and slender.

14. The Kidneys rounder than in Men; and the Tubuli Vrinarij different.

15. The Bladder of Urine longer.

16. In having no Franum to the Praputium.

- 17. In having the Bony Orbit of the Eye so much protruded inwards, towards the Brain.
  - 18. It had not those two Cavities under the Sella Turcica, as in .Man.

19. The Processus Mastoides and Styloides very small, almost wanting.

20. The Bones of the Nose flat.

21. In the Number of the Teeth, it resembled the Ape-kind.

22. The Vertebræ of the Neck short as in the Ape-kind, and flat before, not round; and their Spines, not bifide, as in Man.

23. In the first Vertebra of the Neck there was no Spine.

24. In an Ape the Tenth Vertebra of the Back; in a Man the Twelfth; in the Pygmie the Thirteenth Vertebra, infra suprave suscipitur.

25. The Os Sacrum altogether like the Ape-kind, only in the number of the Vertebræ.

26. In having Thirteen Ribs on a side: a Man has but Twelve.

27. The Bone of the Thumb but small.

\*28. The Os Ilium perfectly like the Ape-kind; being longer, narrower, and not so Concave as in Man.

29. The Bones of the Toes in their length, and the Great Toe in it's

Structure imitated the Ape-kind.

30. These Muscles were wanting in the Pygmie, which are always found in Men; viz. Occipitales, Frontales, Dilatatores Alarum Nasi, seu Elevatores Labij Superioris, Interspinales Colli, Glutæi minimi, Extensor

Digitorum Pedis brevis, and Transversalis Pedis.

31. These Muscles did not appear in the Pygmie, and are sometimes wanting too in Humane Bodies; viz. Pyramidales; Caro musculosa Quadrata; the long Tendon and sleshy Belly of the Palmaris; Attollens Auriculam; and Retrabens Auriculam.

32. The Elevatores Clavicularum are in the Pygmie and the Ape-kind,

and not in Man.

33. These Muscles resembled those in Apes and Monkeys, and differ'd from the Humane, viz. Longus Colli, Pectoralis, Latissimus Dorsi, Glutæus maximus & medius, Psoas magnus & parvus, Iliacus internus, and the Gasterocnemius internus.

34. These Muscles differ'd likewise from the Humane, viz. the Del-

toides; the Pronator Radij teres; the Extensor Pollicis brevis.

#### The Explanation of the Figures.

#### Figure the First

Represents the Fore-parts of the Orang-Outang or Pygmie, in an Erect Posture: Where you may observe the largeness of the Head; and broadness of the Forehead; the jutting out of the Eye-brows; the Eyes somewhat sunk; the Nose slat; the Face without hair and wrinkled; the Teeth like the Humane; the Chin short; the Ears standing off from the Head; the Head hairy; the Shoulders spread and large; the Arms and Palms of the Hands long; the Nails like those in a Man; the Hair of the Shoulder inclining downwards, and that on the Arms, upwards; the Fingers large; the Thumb little; the Breast sull chested and spread; the Mamme or Teats placed as in Man; the Belly slat; the Navil as in Man; the Penis half-way covered with the Prepuce, which had no Frenum; no pendulous Scrotum here; the Thighs a little divaricated; the

Legs long and with Calves; the Foot like a Hand, having long Toes, and the Great Toe placed at a distance from the others, like a Thumb; the Feet, Hands, Face, Ears, and Penis without Hair; and all the Foreparts of the Body rather less hairy than here represented; and the Head is too much shrunk down between the Shoulders.

#### The Second Figure

Epresents the Hinder Parts of the Pygmie in an Erect Posture likewise; where may be observed the Globous Figure of the Head; the straitness of the Back; and that 'tis more hairy behind, than before; the Fingers of the right Hand are represented bending, to shew the Action, when it goes on all four; for then it places only the Knuckles, not the Palms of the Hands to the Ground. The Sole of the left Foot, by reason of the length of the Toes, and the setting on of the Great Toe, looks like the Palm of the Hand: but the right, having so long a Heel, and its Toes being hid, appears rather like a Foot, and upon occasion performs the Office of both, either of a Foot or Hand. A little above the Anus, there is a black Spot, which represents a small Protuberance of the Os Coccygis.

#### The Third Figure

REpresents the Muscles which appear on the Fore-part of the Body.

A. Part of the Coronary Suture.

B. The Division of the Cranium made by the Saw.

c. The Meatus Auditorius.

d. Part of the Os Jugale, or Zygomaticum.
e. The Parotid Gland. \* The Salival Duct.

f. The Inferior Maxillary Gland.

g. g. The Clavicula.

b. Part of the Spina Scapulæ, as joyned to the Clavicle.
j. The Nerves, and Blood Vessels which pass to the Arm.

k. The Trunk of the Nerve in the left Arm, that goes to the Fingers.
1. A large Trunk of the Arterie, and a Nerve in the Cubit, as in Humane Bodies.

m. m. The Internal Protuberances of the Os Humeri.

n. The Radius of the left Arm made bare.

o. The Umbilious, or Navil.

p. The Linea Alba.

q. q. The Tendons of the Oblique Muscles, call'd Linea Semilunaris.

r. r. The Tunica Vaginalis, containing the Vasa Praparantia, &c.

s. s. The Testes or Stones.

t. The Blood Vessels of the Thigh, as they pass under the Inguinal Glands. T. The Os pubis.

V. The Ligamentum Suspensorium Penis.

u. The Great Trochanter.

w. The Penis.

x. x. The two Patellæ.

y. y. The internal and lower Appendix of the Os Femoris.

z. z. The Tibia.

No 1. The Musculus Temporalis.

- 2. The Orbicularis Palpebrarum.
- 3. Zygomaticus, seu distortor oris. 4. Elevator Labij superioris proprius.

5. Elevator Labij inferioris proprius.

6. Masseter.

7. Buccinator.

8. 8. Mastoideus. 9. Sternobyoideus.

X. Part of the Corocobyoideus.

11. Part of the Digastricus, and it's Insertion into the Chin.

12. Elevator Claviculæ, which Muscle is not in Man, but in the Pygmie and Apes.

13. Part of the Complexus Capitis.

14. Part of the Cucularis.

15. 15. Deltoides. 15. 16. The Biceps.

17. The thin Tendinous Expansion of the Biceps, which involves the Muscles of the Cubit, as in Man.

18. Part of the Bracheus internus.

19. The Tendinous Elongation of the Latissimus Dorsi, which is found in the Pygmie, and in Apes and Monkeys; and not in Man; near it's Insertion into the Internal Protuberance of the Os Humeri.

20. 20. The Pronator Radij teres. That of the left fide, being dif-

fected from it's Insertion, and left at it's two Originals.

21. 21. The Supinator Radij Longus. 22. Part of the Extensor Radialis.

23.23. The Flexor Radialis, that of the left Arm hanging at it's Infertion.

24. 24. The Perforatus; that of the left side hanging by its Tendons on the Palm of the Hand.

25. The Perforans; a little raised in the left Arm.

26. The Tendon of the Flexor Ulnaris as it runs to the Carpus.

27. A Tendinous Expansion, like the Palmaris in Man; but here was no Muscle, which is often seen in Humane Bodies.

28. 28. The Abductor Pollicis.

#### 98 Orang-Outang sive Homo Sylvestris: Or,

29. The Flexor Secundi internodij Pollicis.

30. Abductor Indicis, 31. 31. The Lumbricales.

32. The Abductor minimi digiti.

33. The Pettoralis; that of the left side being raised, to shew the decussation of it's Fibres, as in Man.

34. Part of the Musculus subclavius.

35. Serratus minor anticus.

36. 36. The Intercostales externi.

37. 37. The Serratus major anticus; where 'tis indented with the Musculus obliquus descendens.

38. 38. The Obliquus descendens.

39. The Obliquus ascendens, as it appeared after the descendens was removed.

40.40. The Musculi Recti, with their Paragraphs or Inscriptions, as in Man

41. 41. The Musculus communis Membranosi.

42. 42. The Sartorius.

43. 43. The Rectus Femoris.

44. 44. The Vastus internus.

45. Part of the Vastus externus.

46. 46. Parts of the Triceps.

47. 47. The Pectineus.

48. The Gracilis.

49. 49. The Tibialis Anticus.

50. Part of the Gasterocnemius.

51. Parts of the Peronei.

52. The Extensor Pollicis longus.

- 53. The Extensor Pollicis brevis, which differ'd in this Animal, from that in Man.
- 54. The Tendons of the Extensor Communis digitorum, as they pass between the Interossij.

55. The Abductor minimi digiti. 56. The Pronator Radij Quadratus.

57. Part of the Supinator Radij brevis ; at it's Insertion to the Radius.

#### Figure the Fourth

Shews the Muscles of the Back-part of the Body.

a. THE Sagittal Suture.
b. The Lambdoidal Suture.

c. c.c. The Spines of the Superior Vertebræ of the Thorax, and of one of the Inferior of the Neck.

d. The

d. The Extremity of the Clavicle, where it is connected to the Spine of the Scapula.

e. The Spine of the Scapula.

f. The lower Angle of the Scapula.

g. The upper part of the Os Humeri, made bare, by raising the Deltoid Muscle.

b. b. The Acromion or Elbow.

i. The External Protuberance of the Os Humeri, where the upper part of the Radius, is Articulated.

k. The Ulna.

1. 1. The Spines of the Back and Loins.

m. m. The Spines of the Offa Ilium.

n. The Os Coccygis.

o. The Great Trochanter.

p. The Trunk of the Great Crural Nerve.

q. q. The Offa Ischij.

r. r. r. The Crural Nerves in the Hams.

f. f. The Os Calcis.

- t. t. The Malleolus Internus.
- u. The Malleolus externus.

w. w. The Great Toe.

x. x. The four little Toes.

- y. y. The Pelvis left open, by taking out the Anus with the Rectum.
- Nº 1.1.1.1. The Musculus Cucularis, raised on the right side, and lest fastened to the Occiput, and to its Insertion at the Spine of the Scapula and Clavicle.

2. Part of the Splenius.

3. Part of the Mastoideus.

4. Part of the Complexus.
5. Part of the Levator Scapulæ.

6. Rhomboides.

7. Part of the Serratus Superior posticus.

8. Supraspinatus.
9. Infraspinatus.

X. The Teres minor, which is larger here than in Man.

11. The Teres major.

12. The Deltoides raised.

13. 13. 13. 13. The Latissimus Dorsi, on the right side in situ, in the left, freed from it's Original and hanging down.

14. The Biceps Externus seu Gemellus.

15. The Anchonaus.

16. Part of the Brachaus internus.

17. Part of the Biceps internus.

18. The Supinator Radij longus. 19. The Extensor Carpi Radialis.

20. 20. The Extensor Carpi Ulnaris.

21. 21. The Extensor Communis digitorum, on the right side hanging by its Tendons.

22. 22. The Extensor minimi digiti, on the right side hanging down.

23. The Extensores Pollicis.

- 24. The Supinator Radij brevis. 25. The Abductor minimi digiti.
- 26. The Musculi interossei. 27. The Abductor Pollicis. 28. The Longiffimus Dorfi.

29. The Sacrolumbalis. 30. 30. The Intercostales.

31. Part of the Serratus major anticus.

32. The Serratus inferior posticus.

33. The Glutaus maximus on the left side in situ, on the right freed from its Origin, and left at it's Infertion.

34. The Gluteus medius.

35. The Pyriformis. 36. The Marsupialis s. Obturator.

37. 37. Part of the Triceps.

38. 38. The Gracilis.

39. The Semimembranofus.

40. The Seminervolus. 41. The Biceps femoris.

42. Part of the Vastus externus.

43. 43. The Gasterocnemius externus, that of the right side hanging to its Infertion, at the Os Calcis.

44. The Gasterocnemius Internus.

45. Part of the flexor Digitorum perforans.

46. The fleshy part of the flexor Digitorum perforatus.

47. The flexor Offis Pollicis, together with the Abductor Pollicis, raised from it's Origin, and hanging down.

48. The Musculi Lumbricales.

#### The fifth Figure

#### Represents the Sceleton, or the Bones.

HE Os Frontis. 2. The Os Bregmatis. 3. Part of the Os Occipitis.

4. Os Temporale, seu Squammosum. 5. Os Jugale, sen Zygomaticum.

6. The first Bone of the Upper Fam.

7. The Os Lachrymale.

8. The Os Narium.

9. The fourth Bone of the Upper Jaw. 10. The upper part of the Os Sphænoides.

11. The lower faw.

a. The Processus Condyloides of the lower Jaw.

b. The Processus Corone.
c. The Coronal Suture.

d. The Sutura Offis Temporalis, seu Squammofi.

e. A Foramen for the passing the Nerves, and the Blood Vessels in the upper faw.

f. A like Foramen in the under Jaw.

g. Where the Skull was sawed, to take out the Brain.
b. The Transverse Processes of the Vertebræ of the Neck.

j. j. The Oblique ascending and descending Processes of the Neck.

12.12. The Vertebræ of the Neck. 13.13. The Claviculæ, or Collar Bones.

K. K. The Connection of the Clavicule, to the Spina Scapula.

14. 14. The Internal parts of the Scapula.

1. 1. The Processus Chorocoides Scapulæ.

15. 15. The Os Humeri.

†.†.A Sinus for receiving the External Tendon of the head of the Biceps.

m. m. A Sinus for receiving the Prominence (n.n.) of the Ulna upon bending the Arm.

16. 16. The Ulna.

o. Part of the Olecranon of the Ulna of the left Arm.

17. 17. The Radius.

p. A Prominence of the Radius, to which the internal great Tendon of the Musculus Biceps is inserted.

18. 18. The Bones of the Carpus, which in a great measure were Carti-

laginous.

19. 19. The Bones of the Metacarpus. 20. 20. The Bones of the Thumb.

21.21. The Bones of the Fingers. 22. 22. The Sternum or Os Pectoris.

23. The Cartilago Ensiformis.

1. 2.3. 4.5. 6.7. 8.9. 10.11. 12. 13. The Thirteen Ribs of each side.

24. The Vertebræ of the Back. 25. The Vertebræ of the Loins.

q. The Transverse Processes of the Vertebræ of the Loins.

r. The Foramina for the passage of the Nerves.

26. The Os Sacrum.
27. The Os Coccygis.

28. 28. The Os Ilium.

29. The Os Pubis.

s. s. The Cartilaginous Conjunction of the Os Ilium with the Os Pubis and Ischij at the Acetabulum.

#### 102 Orang-Outang sive Homo Sylvestris: Or,

t. t. The large Foramen of the Os Pubis and Ischij.

31. 31. The Os femoris.

v. v. The Head of the Os femoris in the Acetabulum. w.w. The Great Trochanter, which was Cartilaginous.

X. The leffer Trochanter.

32. 32. The Patella, which was Cartilaginous.

33. 33. The Tibia.

34. 34. The Fibula.

35. 35. The Os Calcis. 36. 36. The Aftragalus.

37. The Os Cubiforme.

38. The Os Naviculare, seu Cuneiforme majus.

39. The Offa Cuneiformia minora.

40. 40. The Offa Metatarfi. 41. 41. The Offa Digitorum.

42. 42. The Bones of the Great Toe.

y. The Malleolus externus.

z. The Malleolus internus.

\*\* \* \* Signifie, that those Parts were Cartilaginous.

#### The fixth Figure

#### Represents the Stomach, Intestines, Pancreas, Spleen, Liver, &c.'

A. A. HE back fide of the Stomach, it being turned upwards.

B. Part of the Oesophagus or Gullet, before it joyns with the upper or left Orifice of the Stomach.

C. The right Orifice of the Stomach, or Pylorus.

a. a. The Extremities of the Vasa Brevia, which pass between the Spleen and the Stomach.

b. b. &c. Divers Lymphatick Glands on the Stomach.

D. The Superior Coronary Arteries and Veins, and their Ramifications. E. E. The Inferior Coronary Blood Veffels of the Stomach, which fends Branches also to the Omentum.

F. F. The Omentum or Caul turned up, to shew it's lower Leaf.

G. G. The Liver, like the Humane; and not divided into Lobes, as tis in Apes.

c. A small Lobe of the Liver at the entrance of the Vena Porta.

d The Fissure or Cleft in the Liver at the entrance of the Umbilical Vein.

f. f. The Gall Bladder.

H. The beginning of the Duodenum.

I. I. The Pancreas.

g. g. The Blood Vessels of the Spleen, especially a Branch of the Vena Porta.

K. K. The Spleen.

L. L. L. The Small Guts.

M. The Ileon just before it enters the Colon.

N. The beginning of the Colon.

h. b. One of the Ligaments of the Colon.

O. O. The Cacum, or Appendicula Vermiformis.

P. P. The Colon in its whole Progress, to the Rectum.

j.j. Part of the Mesenterie.

k. k. The Glands of the Mesenterie.

1. That part of the Mesenterie, which is connected to the Cacum, or the Mesocacum.

m. The Mesocolon, or that Part of the Mesenterie that is fastened to the

Colon.

2. The upper part of the Intestinum Rectum.

#### The Seventh Figure

#### Shews the Organs of GENER ATION.

A. THE back part of the Bladder of Urine, the greatest part of the Bladder being cut off.

B. The Penis.

C. C. The two Ureters.

D. D. The Vasa Deferentia. E.E. The Vesicula Seminales.

F. The Glandulæ Prostatæ, or Corpus Glandosum.

G. The Bulb of the Cavernous Body of the Urethra, covered with the

Musculus accelerator Vrina seu Spermatis.

a.a. The two Productions of the last mentioned Muscle, which are inserted to the two Cavernous Bodies of the Penis, on each side the Urethra, by which means that part of the Urethra is comprest, and it's Contents forced out.

b. b. The beginning of the two Cavernous Bodies of the Penis.

H. The Cavernous Body of the Urethra.

j. One of the Transverse Muscles of the Penis, call'd the Third Pair.

K. K. The Musculi Directores Penis.

#### The Eighth Figure

#### Exhibits part of the Musculus Latissimus Dorsi disseded.

A. A. THAT part of the Muscle that lies on the Back, as in Humane Bodies.

B. It's Tendon which is inserted to the Os Humeri, as in Men.

c. The Tendinous Extremity of a fleshy Production of this Muscle, which is implanted on the Internal Protuberance of the Os Humeri of this Animal; as 'tis also in Apes and Monkeys.

#### The ninth Figure

#### Represents the Vrinary Parts and Organs of Generation.

A. HE left Kidney entire.

a. a. The Membrana Adiposa, partly freed from the Kidney, and turned back.

B. The Right Kidney opened, to shew its Glandulous Substance, and

Urinary Tubes, and the Pelvis.

b The Tubuli Urinarij which arise from the Glandulous Substance, and like Lines drawn from a Circumference to a Center, pass to the Fimbria or Edge cc, in Man to the several Papilla, where their Orifices open and empty themselves into the Pelvis.

c. c. The said Fimbria, of a Semicircular Figure, where the Extreams of the Urinary Tubes discharge the Urine into the Pelvis, or rather

Funnel of the Kidneys.

d. The Pelvis or Infundibulum: For being large here in the Kidney, and running into a long slender Stem in the Ureter, it more properly represents a Funnel, and serves for the Conveying the Urine thence into the Bladder.

C.C. The Glandulæ Renales.

D. D. The Descending Trunk of the Arteria Magna or Aorta, below the Diaphragm.

d. The Caliac Arterie.

δ. The Arteria Mesenterica superior.
g The Arteria Mesenterica inferior.

E. The Descending Trunk of the Vena Cava.

F. F. The Emulgent Arteries. f. f. The Emulgent Veins.

G. G. The Ureters.

H. The Bladder of Urine.

b. b. The Spermatick Veins which discharge themselves into the Vena Cava, and the left Emulgent, as in Man.

j. The Spermatick Arteries, as they arise from the fore-part of the

Trunk of the Aorta.

J. J. The Vasa Præparantia Pampini-formia, seu Corpora Pyramidalia.

K.K. The Testes or Stones, which appear here flaccid, having been kept some time, before the figure was taken.

L. L. The Epididymis, making several Convolutions on the body of

the Testes.

M. Part of the Cremaster Muscle.

N. N. The Vasa Deferentia.
O.O. The Vesiculæ Seminales.

P. The Prostates or Corpus Glandosum.

2. The Musculus Erector Penis of the right side.

R. The upper part or Dorsum Penis.

S. The Corpora Cavernosa Penis, cut transverse.

T. The Urethra.

k. k. The main Trunk of the Iliac Arterie and Vein.

1.1. The Umbilical Arteries.

m.m. The Arterie that goes to the Penis.

n. n. The Arterie that goes to the Bladder of Urine.

o. The internal Iliac Vein and Arterie.
p. The external Iliac Vein and Arterie.

q. The Vena Pudenda seu Penis. r. r. The Nerves of the Penis. s. s. The Arteries of the Penis.

The tenth Figure

### Demonstrates the Parts of the Thorax with the Arteria Aspera

A. THE fore-part of the Os Hyoides.

a. a. Its two ends, that are connected to the two Superior long:

Processes of the Cartilago Scutiformis.

B. The Epiglottis.

C. The Cartilago Scutiformis.

b. The Prominent part of the Annulary Cartilage.

D. D. The Musculi Hyothyroidei. E. E. The Musculi Sternothyroidei. c. c. The Musculi Cricothyroidei.

F. The Arteria Aspera, or Wind-pipe.

G. It's division, where it passes to the right and left Lobes of the Lungs.

H. H. The Lungs.

#### Orang-Outang sive Homo Sylvestris: Or,

7. The Cone of the Heart.

K. The right Ventricle of the Heart here opened, so that part of the Polypus contained there, came in view.

L. Part of the Pericardium, on the Basis or upper part of the Heart.

M. M. The Thymus, lying on the Pericardium.

N. The Mediastinum freed from the Sternum, and turn'd to the right side.

O.O. The two Subclavian Arteries.

P. The Carotid Arteries.

#### The eleventh Figure

Shews the Polypus or Coagulated Blood found in the left Venticle of the Heart.

A. THAT part contained in the Ventricle.

B. Three Impressions, formed by the Semilunary Valves.

C. That part, that lay in the Aorta.

D. That part that passed into the descending Trunk of the Aorta. E. Those Ramuli of it that lay in the ascendent Branches of the Aorta.

#### The twelfth Figure The Polypus found in the right Ventricle of the Heart.

A. The Impressions made level Ventricle. B. The Impressions made by the Valvula sigmoides. C. The Branches leading to the right and left Lobes of the Lungs.

#### The thirteenth Figure Represents the Basis of the Brain with the Medulla Oblongata, and the Nerves and Arteries cut off.

A. A. HE two anterior or fore Lobes of the Brain.
B. B. The two posterior or hinder Lobes of the Brain. a.a. Two depressions in the fore Lobes caused by the rising of the Frontal bone, that composes the upper part of the Orbit of the Eye; which in this Animal, and in Monkeys, is more eminent than in Man.

b. b. The division of the right and left Hemisphere of the Brain, where the Falx is placed. This fore-part of the Brain in this Animal appeared

somewhat flatter than in Man.

C. C. The Cerebellum.

D. The Principium Medulla Spinalis, or that part of the Candex Medullaris,

dullaris, where the Corpora Pyramidalia and Olivaria are placed, as in an Humane Brain.

E. E. The Protuberantia Annularis, or Pons Verolij.

e. e. The Carotid Arteries.

f. f. The Vertebral Arteries.

g. The Cervical Arterie.

h. The Communicant Branches between the Cervical and Carotid Arteries.

j. A small Arterie descending down the Spinal Marrow.

k. The Infundibulum.

l. l. The Glandulæ duæ albæ pone Infundibulum, , or rather two Medul-lary Protuberances there.

m. m. Parts of the Crura Medulla Oblongata before they unite under the Pons Verolij, or Annular Protuberance.

1. The Olfactory, or first pair of Nerves.
2. The Optick, or second pair of Nerves.

3. The Nervi Oculorum motorij, or third pair of Nerves.

4. The Pathetick, or fourth pair of Nerves.

5. The fifth pair of Nerves.
6. The fixth pair of Nerves.

7. The Auditory, or seventh pair of Nerves. 8. The Par Vagum, or eighth pair of Nerves.

9. The ninth pair of Nerves.

10. The tenth pair of Nerves, which may be reckoned rather the first pair of the Neck.

\* \* The Nervus accessorius, that goes to the eighth pair, or Par Vagum.

#### The fourteenth Figure.

Represents the inward Parts of the Brain, as divided by an Horizontal Section; where the Basis of the Brain is reslected upwards.

A. A. Parts of the hinder Lobes of the Brain.

B. B. The upper part of the Brain next it's Hemispheres, divided from the lower.

C. C. The lower part next the Basis, reslected or turned up.

a. a. The Cortical or Cinericious part of the Brain, which is Glandulous.
b. b. The Medullary part, that runs up between the Cortical, and is Nervous.

D. The Corpus Transversale.

E. The Fornix.

e. e. The Crura Fornicis.

f. The two Roots of the Fornix.

#### 108 Orang-Outang sive Homo Sylvestris: Or,

F. F. The two first Ventricles of the Brain.

G.G. Parts of the Corpora Striata, entire.

g. g. The Striæ as they appear in this Section in the Corpora Striata in the lower part of the Brain.

b. b. The same Striæ, in the upper part of the Brain.

H. H. The Plexus Choroides.

J. J. The Thalami Nervorum Opticorum.

j. The Plexus Choroides continued.

K. K. The Cerebellum divided perpendicularly, to shew the ramifications of the Medullary part in the Cortical.

k. The Foramen anterius that leads to the Cavity under the Protuberan-

tiæ orbiculares.

1. The Glandula Pinealis.

m. The Nates.
n. The Testes.

o. The Commissure of the Medullary Processes of the Cerebellum and Te-

p. The fourth Ventricle opened.

q.q. The Accessory Nerves. 10. The tenth pair of Nerves.

r. The Foramen posterius or inferius, that leads to the Cavity under the Protuberantiæ orbiculares.

s. The Rima or Foramen, that leads to the Infundibulum.

#### The fifteenth Figure

Is a Copy of the Figure that Nicholans Tulpius gives of the Orang-Outang that was brought to Holland from Angola.

#### The sixteenth Figure

Represents the Figure that Jacob. Bontius gives of the Orang-Outang in Piso.

#### The seventeenth Figure.

Is taken out of Gesner, which he tells us, he met with in a German Book, wrote about the Holy Land.

FINIS.

A

# PHILOLOGICAL FESSAY

Concerning the

### PYGMIES,

THE

CYNOCEPHALI,

THE

SATYR S and SPHINGES

OF THE

### ANCIENTS,

Wherein it will appear that they were all either Apes or Monkeys; and not Men, as formerly pretended.

By Edward Tyson M. D.

Concerning the

## BHINDE

CAMOCHPIALL

MATTAR S and SPHINGES

### STRUMIO MA

dependent it will appear that they were all we cither Arrs of the control of the cither as formerly protonocd.

By Bdown Lylen M. D.

A

## Philological Essay

Concerning the

## PYGMIES

OFTHE

#### ANCIENTS.

A VING had the Opportunity of Dissecting this remarkable Creature, which not only in the outward shape of the Body, but likewise in the structure of many of the Inward Parts, so nearly resembles a Man, as plainly appears by the Anatomy I have here given of it, it suggested the Thought to me, whether this sort of Animal, might not give the Foundation to the Stories of the Pygmies? and afford an occasion not only to the Poets, but Historians too, of inventing the many Fables and wonderful and merry Relations, that are transmitted down to us concerning them? I must confess, I could never before sntertain any other Opinion about them, but that the whole was a Fiction: and as the sirst Account we have of them, was from a Poet, so that they were only a Creature of the Brain, produced by a warm and wanton Imagination, and that they never had any Existence or Habitation elsewhere.

In this Opinion I was the more confirmed, because the most diligent Enquiries of late into all the Parts of the inhabited World, could never discover any such Puny diminutive Race of Mankind. That they should be totally destroyed by the Cranes, their Enemies, and not a Straggler here and there lest remaining, was a Fate, that even those Animals that are constantly preyed upon by others, never undergo. Nothing therefore appeared to me more Fabulous and Romantick, than their History, and the Relations about them, that Antiquity has delivered to us. And

not only Strabo of old, but our greatest Men of Learning of late, have wholly exploded them, as a meer figment; invented only to amuse, and divert the Reader with the Comical Narration of their Atchievements, believing that there were never any such Creatures in Nature.

This Opinion had so fully obtained with me, that I never thought it worth the Enquiry, how they came to invent such Extravagant Stories: Nor should I now, but upon the Occasion of Dissecting this Animal: For observing that 'tis call'd even to this day in the Indian or Malabar Language, Orang-Outang, i.e. a Man of the Woods, or Wild-men; and being brought from Africa, that part of the World, where the Pygmies are faid to inhabit; and it's present Stature likewise tallying so well with that of the Pygmies of the Ancients; these Considerations put me upon the fearch, to inform my felf farther about them, and to examine, whether I could meet with any thing that might illustrate their History. For I thought it strange, that if the whole was but a meer Fiction, that so many succeeding Generations should be so fond of preserving a Story, that had no Foundation at all in Nature; and that the Ancients should trouble themselves so much about them. If therefore I can make out in this Estay, that there were such Animals as Pygmies; and that they were not a Race of Men, but Apes; and can discover the Authors, who have forged all, or most of the idle Stories concerning them; and shew, how the Cheat in after Ages has been carried on, by embalming the Bodies of Apes, then exposing them for the Men of the Country, from whence they brought them: if I can do this, I shall think my time not wholly lost, nor the trouble altogether useless, that I have had in this Enquiry.

My Design is not to justifie all the Relations that have been given of this Animal, even by Authors of reputed Credit; but, as far as I can, to distinguish Truth from Fable; and herein, if what I assert amounts to a Probability, 'tis all I pretend to. I shall accordingly endeavour to make it appear, that not only the Pygmies of the Ancients, but also the Cynoce-phali, and Satyrs and Sphinges were only Apes or Monkeys, not Men, as they have been represented. But the Story of the Pygmies being the greatest Imposture, I shall chiefly concern my self about them, and shall be more concise on the others, since they will not need so strict an Examination.

We will begin with the Poet Homer, who is generally owned as the first Inventor of the Fable of the Pygmies, if it be a Fable, and not a true Story, as I believe will appear in the Account I shall give of them. Now Homer only mentions them in a Simile, wherein he compares the Shouts that the Trojans made, when they were going to joyn Battle with the Gracians, to the great Noise of the Cranes, going to fight the Pygmies: he saith (a),

<sup>(</sup>a) Homer. Iliad. lib. 3. ver. 4.

"Αι τ' ἐπεὶ εν χειμώνα φύγον, κὶ, ἀθέσφαλον ὁμερον Κλαίγη ται γε πέτονιαι ετο ώκεανοῖο βοάων 'Ανδεάσ πυγμαίοισ φόνον κ, κίνεα φέρεσαμ. i. e.

Quæ simul ac fugere Imbres, Hyememque Nivalem Cum magno Oceani clangore ferantur ad undas Pygmais pugnamque Viris, cadesque ferentes.

Or as Helius Eobanus Heffus paraphrases the whole (b).

Postquam sub Ducibus digesta per agmina stabant Quæque suis, Equitum turmæ, Peditumque Cohortes, Obvia torquentes Danais vestigia Troës Ibant, Sublato Campum clamore replentes: Non secus ac cuneata Gruum sublime volantum Agmina, dum fugiunt Imbres, ac frigora Brumæ, Per Cælum matutino clangore feruntur, Oceanumque petunt, mortem exitiumque cruentum Irrita Pigmæis moturis arma ferentes.

By and edo mo fualous therefore, which is the Passage upon which they have grounded all their fabulous Relations of the Pygmies, why may not Homer mean only Pygmies or Apes like Men. Such an Expression is very allowable in a Poet, and is elegant and fignificant, especially fince there is so good a Foundation in Nature for him to use it, as we have already seen, in the Anatomy of the Orang-Outang. Nor is a Poet tied to that strictness of Expression, as an Historian or Philosopher; he has the liberty of pleafing the Reader's Phancy, by Pictures and Representations of his own. If there be a becoming likeness, 'tis all that he is accountable for. I might therefore here make the same Apology for him, as Strabo (c) do's on another account for his Geography, & 20 nal' ayvoiav τω τοπικών λέγελαι, αλλ' ήδονης η τέρλεως χάριν, That he said it, not thro' Ignorance, but to please and delight: Or, as in another place he expresses himself (d), & 20 421° azvoiav of isocias worknown of vereday 1800, arra τεσιγωδίας χάριν. Homer did not make this slip thro' Ignorance of the true History, but for the Beauty of his Poem. So that tho' he calls them Men Pygmies, yet he may mean no more by it, than that they were like Men. As to his Purpose, 'twill serve altogether as well, whether this bloody Battle be fought between the Cranes and Pygmaan Men, or the Cranes and Apes, which from their Stature he calls Pygmies, and from their shape Men; provided that when the Cranes go to engage, they make a mighty terrible noise, and clang enough to fright these little Wights their mortal Enemies. To have called them only Apes, had been

<sup>(</sup>b) Homeri Ilias Latino Carmine reddita ab Helio Echano Hesso. (c) Strabo Geograph. lib.1.p.m. 25. (d) Strabo ibid. p. m. 30.

flat and low, and lessened the grandieur of the Battle. But this Periphrafis of them, and per musually, raises the Reader's Phancy, and surprises him, and is more becoming the Language of an Heroic Poem.

But how came the Cranes and Pygmies to fall out? What may be the Cause of this Mortal Feud, and constant War between them? For Brutes, like Men, don't war upon one another, to raise and encrease their Glory, or to enlarge their Empire. Unless I can acquit my self herein, and assign some probable Cause hereof, I may incur the same Censure as Strabo (e) passed on several of the Indian Historians, coerastroans in Tunio Opposition of the Indian Historians, coerastroans in the Homerical Fight of the Cranes and Pygmies, which he looks upon only as a siction of the Poet. But this had been very unbecoming Homer to take a Simile (which is designed for illustration) from what had no Foundation in Nature. His Betrachomyomachia, 'tis true, was a meer Invention, and never otherwise esteemed: But his Geranomachia hath all the likelyhood of a true Story. And therefore I shall enquire now what may be the just Occasion of this Quarrel.

Athenœus (f) out of Philochorus, and so likewise Ælian (g), tell us a Story, That in the Nation of the Pygmies the Male-line failing, one Gerana was their Queen; a Woman of an admired Beauty, and whom the Citizens worshipped as a Goddess; but she became so vain and proud, as to prefer her own, before the Beauty of all the other Goddesses, at which they grew enraged; and to punish her for her Insolence, Athenœus tells us that 'twas Diana, but Ælian saith 'twas Juno that transformed her into a Crane, and made her an Enemy to the Pygmies that worshipped her before. But since they are not agreed which Goddess' twas, I shall let this pass.

Pomponius Mela will have it, and I think some others, that these cruel Engagements use to happen, upon the Cranes coming to devour the Corn the Pygmies had sowed; and that at last they became so victorious, as not only to destroy their Corn, but them also: For he tells use(†), Fuere interius Pygmai, minutum genus, & quod pro satis srugibus contra Grues dimicando, defecit. This may seem a reasonable Cause of a Quarrel; but it not being certain that the Pygmies used to sow Corn, I will not insist on this neither.

Now what seems most likely to me, is the account that Pliny out of Megasthenes, and Strabo from Onesicritus give us; and, provided I be not obliged to believe or justifie all that they say, I could rest satisfied in great part of their Relation: For Pliny (b) tells us, Veris tempore universo

<sup>(</sup>e) Strabo Geograph. lib. 2. p. m. 48. (f) Athenei Deipnosoph. lib. 9. p. m. 393. (g) Ælian. Hist. Animal. lib. 15. cap. 29. (†) Pomp. Mela de situ Orbis, lib. 3. cap. 8. (h) Plinij Hist. Nat. lib. 7. cap. 2. p. m. 12

agmine ad mare descendere, & Ova, Pullosque earum Alitum consumere: That in the Spring-time the whole drove of the Pygmies go down to the Sea fide, to devour the Cranes Eggs and their young Ones. So likewife Onesicritus (i), Πεός ή τες τριαπθάμες πόλεμον εί) ταϊς Γεράνοις (ον κ) Ομπεςν δικλούν) ης τοις Πέρδιξιν, ες χηνομεγίθεις εί) τέτες δ' ἀκλέγειν αὐτίο τα ώα, η φθείρειν όπει γο ωοιοπείν τας Γεράνες δίσπες μποαμέ μπο ώα έυρίoneday Tregirar, unl' Er rebilia. i.e. That there is a fight between the Pygmies and the Cranes (as Homer relates) and the Partridges, which are as big as Geese; for these Pygmies gather up their Eggs, and destroy them; the Cranes laying their Eggs there; and neither their Eggs, nor their Nefts, being to be found any where elfe. 'Tis plain therefore from them, that the Quarrel is not out of any Antipathy the Pygmies have to the Cranes, but out of love to their own Bellies. But the Cranes finding their Nests to be robb'd, and their young Ones prey'd on by these Invaders, no wonder that they should so sharply engage them; and the least they could do, was to fight to the utmost so mortal an Enemy. Hence, no doubt, many a bloody Battle happens, with various fuccess to the Combatants; sometimes with great flaughter of the long-necked Squadron; sometimes with great effusion of Pygmaan blood. And this may well enough, in a Poet's phancy, be magnified, and represented as a dreadful War; and no doubt of it, were one a Spectator of it, 'twould be diverting enough.

> Gentibus in nostris, risu quatiere : sed illic, Quanquam eadem assiduè spectantur Prælia, ridet Nemo, ubitota cohors pede non est altior uno (k).

This Account therefore of these Campaigns renewed every year on this Provocation between the Cranes and the Pygmies, contains nothing but what a cautious Man may believe; and Homer's Simile in likening the great shours of the Trojans to the Noise of the Cranes, and the Silence of the Greeks to that of the Pygmies, is very admirable and delightful. For Aristotle (1) tells us, That the Cranes, to avoid the hardships of the Winter, take a Flight out of Scythia to the Lakes about the Nile, where the Pygmies live, and where tis very likely the Cranes may lay their Eggs and breed, before they return. But these rude Pygmies making too bold with them, what could the Cranes do less for preserving their Off-spring than fight them; or at least by their mighty Noise, make a shew as if they would. This is but what we may observe in all other Birds. And thus far I think our Geranomachia or Pygmaomachia looks like a true Story; and there is nothing in Homer about it, but what is credible. He only expresses himself, as a Poet should do; and if Readers will mistake his meaning, 'tis not his fault.

<sup>(</sup>i) Strab. Geograph. lib. 15. pag. 489. Animal, lib. 8. cap. 15. Edit. Scalig.

<sup>(</sup>k) Juvenal. Satyr. 13. vers. 170. (1) Aristotle. Hist.

'Tis not therefore the Poet that is to be blamed, tho' they would father it all on him; but the fabulous Historians in after Ages, who have so odly drest up this Story by their fantastical Inventions, that there is no knowing the truth, till one hath pull'd off those Masks and Visages, wherewith they have disguised it. For tho' I can believe Homer, that there is a fight between the Cranes and Pygmies, yet I think I am no ways obliged to imagine, that when the Pygmies go to these Campaigns to fight the Cranes, that they ride upon Partridges, as Atheneus from Bafilis an Indian Historian tells us; for, faith he (m), Bandus je tal deτέρω τη Ινδικών, οι μικοοί, φησίν, ανδρες οι ταίς Γεράνοις διαπολεμικνίες Πέρδιξιν οχήμαλι χεωνίαι. For presently afterwards he tells us from Menecles. that the Pygmies not only fight the Cranes, but the Partridges too, Mereκλής ή εν πρώτη της σιωαγωγής οι πυζικαίοι, φήσι, τοίς περδίζι, η ταίς Γεράνοις πολέμεσι. This I could more readily agree to, because Onesicritus, as I have quoted him already confirms it; and gives us the same reason for this, as for fighting the Cranes, because they rob their Nests. But whether these Partridges are as big as Geese, I leave as a Quare.

Megasthenes methinks in Pliny mounts the Pygmies for this Expedition much better, for he sets them not on a Pegasus or Partridges, but on Rams and Goats: Fama est (saith Pliny (n)) insedentes Arietum Caprarumque dorsis, armatis sagittis, veris tempore universo agmine ad mare descendere. And Onesicritus in Strabo tells us, That a Crane has been often observed to fly from those parts with a brass Sword fixt in him, massaus δ' દેવામાં મિલા γροσιου γακλιώ έγεσαν ακίδα δίπο το το ένει θεν πλη μάτων (0). But whether the Pygmies do wear Swords, may be doubted. 'Tis true, Ctesias tells us (p), That the King of India every fifth year sends fifty Thousand Swords, besides abundance of other Weapons, to the Nation of the Cynocephali, (a fort of Monkeys, as I shall shew) that live in those Countreys, but higher up in the Mountains: But he makes no mention of any such Presents to the poor Pygmies; tho' he assures us, that no less than three Thousand of these Pygmies are the King's constant Guards: But withal tells us, that they are excellent Archers, and so perhaps by dispatching their Enemies at a distance, they may have no need of such Weapons to lye dangling by their fides. I may therefore be mistaken in rendering axida a Sword; it may be any other tharp pointed Instrument or Weapon, and upon fecond Thoughts, shall suppose it a fort of Arrow these cunning Archers use in these Engagements.

These, and a hundred such ridiculous Fables, have the Historians invented of the Pygmies, that I can't but be of Strabo's mind (q), Padlov δ αν τις Ήπωδω, η Ομήρω πις δίσειεν ήρωοχογέσι, η τοῖς τραγικοῖς ποινίαῖς, η Κληνία τε η Ἡροδότω, η Έλλανίαω, η άλλοις τοιδτοις i. e. That one may sooner believe Hesiod, and Homer, and the Tragick Poets speaking of their

<sup>(</sup>m) Athensi Diepnesoph, lib. p. 9. m. 390. (n) Plinij Nat. Hist. lib. 7.cap.2. p. 13. (o) Strabo Geograph, lib. 15. p. 489. (p) Vide Photij Biblioth. (q) Strabo Geograph, lib. 11. p. m. 350. Hero's,

Hero's, than Ctesias and Herodotus and Hellanicus, and such like. So ill an Opinion had Strabo of the Indian Historians in general, that he censures them all as fabulous (r); Απανίες μὲν τοίνον εἰ περὶ τὰ Ἰνδινῶς γρά ζανίες ὡς ὁπὶ τὰ πολύ ψο δολόγοι γεγόνασι, καθὶ ὑκρβολίω ἢ Δης μαχθι τὰ ἢ δούπερα λέγει Μεγαθένης, 'Ονησικριίος τε ἢ Νέαρχθι, ἢ ἄνλοι τοιθτοι' i. e. All who have wrote of India, for the most part, are fabulous, but in the highest degree Daimachus; then Megasthenes, Onesicritus, and Nearchus, and such like. And as if it had been their greatest Ambition to excel herein, Strabo (s) brings in Theopompus, as bragging, "Οπ ιὰ μύθες ἐν ταῖς Ἱρορίαις ἐρεῖ κρεῖτῖον, ἢ ὡς Ἡρόδοθθι, ἢ Κίνσίας, ἢ Ἑλλάνικθι, ἢ οἱ τὰ Ἰνδικὰ συγράψανίες. That he could foist in Fables into History, better than Herodotus and Ctesias and Hellanicus, and all that have wrote of India. The Satyrist therefore had reason to say,

Audet in Historia (t).

Aristotle (u), 'tis true, tells us, "Odos & tad pèr appla applatione en the land and en the Edge the tade of the Edge the Edge

I am the more willing to instance in Ctessas, because he tells his Story roundly; he no ways minces it; his Invention is strong and fruitful; and that you may not in the least mistrust him, he pawns his word, that all that he writes, is certainly true: And so successful he has been, how Romantick soever his Stories may appear, that they have been handed down to us by a great many other Authors, and of Note too; tho some at the same time have look'd upon them as meer Fables. So that for the present, till I am better informed, and I am not over curious in it, I shall make Ctessas, and the other Indian Historians, the Inventors of the extravagant Relations we at present have of the Pygmies, and not old Homer. He calls them, 'tis true, from something of Resemblance of their shape, Žvoses: But these Historians make them to speak the Indian Language; to use the same Laws; and to be so considerable a Nation;

<sup>(</sup>r) Strabo ibid. lib. 2. p. m. 48. (s) Strabo ibid. lib. 1. p. m. 29. (t) Juvenal. Satyr. X. vers. 174. (u) Aristotle Hist. Animal. lib. 8. cap. 28. (w) Plin. Nat. Hist. lib. 6. cap. 30. p. m. 741.

and so valiant, as that the King of India makes choice of them for his Corps de Guards; which utterly spoils Homer's Simile, in making them so little, as only to fight Cranes.

Ctessas's Account therefore of the Pygmies (as I find it in Photins's Bibliotheca (x), and at the latter end of some Editions of Herodotus) is this:

"Οπ εν μέση τη Ίνδικη άνθρωποι είσι μέλανες, η καλούνται πο μαΐοι, τοις άλλοις δμογλωσσοι Ινδοίς μικερί de ein λίαν οι μακε ότα οι σύτη πη-צ בשי שלים, כו כן אוליודים, בילה אושוסב שהיχεως, πόμιω ή έχεπ μακεστάτιω, Mixel में 6मां को Youala, में हैंस मुक्री कं-Τερον, η σώρωνα μέγισον πάντων αν-Βρώπων επειδάν εν τον σώμανα μέζα वर्णकाण, अमर्गा वेमक्रिण्यामिय अम्म दिलं गाण : बेरिने गरेंड मांद्रवड़, मांड मारे पर रे negating, Emoder ngodierlay word ngitto नी र्रावंत्रक चेंड हैं देश मह कर्ज रका कि, έμπροοθεν μέχρι ποδών έλπομένας. Ε-TEITE TES LITURGOTE MEVOI TRES TPLYAS περί άπαν το σώμα, ζώννιωλα, χρώmeros autais artificalles. audoior ) me-כמ ביצוחי, שבצ למופוי דע ספטףשי משדע, में मक्यूरं. क्यार्गिह कार्या मह में बांद्र हरी. नके 🕽 σερόσαλα αὐτλύ, ές άρνες. κλ αί βόες મે, દાં દેખા, બુક્કેમ ઉંજામ માટાદો. મે, દાં દેમજાદા वर्षेत्री में ही मिलांका में यह बेरिक मर्वारिक ζῶα, ἐδὲν μείζω κριῶν. ἔπονίαι 🖰 τῶ Banksi Tu Indan, Tetan To mul maiwe ανδρες τειγίλιοι. σφόδεσε γαρ είσι τοξόται δικαιότα Τοι δέ είσι καὶ νόμοισι χεώνται ώσσες και οί Ίνδοί. Λαγωές τε ησί άλωπεκας Σπρεθεσιν, έ τοίς κυσίν, केरिके महिलाई। मुझे रिमरींडा मुझरे महिलांचाई भवां वंश्वादिः

Narrat præter ista, in media India homines reperiri nigros, qui Pygmæi appellentur. Eadem hos, qua Inda reliqui, lingua uti, sed valde esse parvos, ut maximi duorum cubitorum, O plerique unius duntaxat cubiti cum dimidio altitudinem non excedant. Comam alere longiffimam, ad ipfa ufque genua demissam, atque etiam infra, cum barba longiore, quam apud ullos hominum. Que quidem ubi illis promilfior esse caperit, nulla deinceps veste uti: sed capillos multo infra genua à tergo demissos, barbamque præter pectus ad pedes usque defluentem, per totum corpus in orbem constipare & cingere, atque ita pilos ipsis suos vestimenti loco esse. Veretrum illis esse crassum ac longum, quod ad ipsos quoque pedum malleolos pertingat. Pygmeos hosce simis esse naribus, & deformes. Ipsorum item oves agnorum nostrorum instar esse ; boves & asinos, arietum ferè magnitudine, equos item multosque & cætera jumenta omnia nihilo esse nostris arietibus majora. Tria horum Pygmæorum millia Indorum regem in suo comitatu habere, quod Sagittarij sint peritissimi. Summos esse justitiæ cultores, iisdémque quibus Indi reliqui, legibus parere.

Venari quoque lepores vulpésque, non canibus, sed corvis, milvis, cornicibus, aquilis adhibitis.

'In the middle of India (saith Ctesias) there are black Men, they are call'd Pygmies, using the same Language, as the other Indians; they

<sup>(</sup>x) Photij Bibliothec, Cod. 72. p. m. 145.

'are very little, the tallest of them being but two Cubits, and most of them but a Cubit and a half high. They have very long hair, reaching down to their Knees and lower; and a Beard larger than any Man's. 'After their Beards are grown long, they wear no Cloaths, but the Hair of their Head falls behind a great deal below their Hams; and that of their Beards before comes down to their Feet: then laying their Hair thick all about their Body, they afterwards gird themselves, making use of their Hair for Cloaths. They have a Penis so long, that it reaches to the Ancle, and the thickness is proportionable. They are flat nosed, and ill savoured. Their Sheep are like Lambs; and their Oxen and Asses scarce as big as Rams; and their Horses and Mules, and all their other Cattle not bigger. Three thousand Men of these Pygmies do attend the King of India. They are good Archers; they are very just, and use the same Laws as the Indians do. They kill Hares and Foxes, not with Dogs, but with Ravens, Kites, Crows, and Eagles.

Well, if they are so good Sports-men, as to kill Hares and Foxes with Ravens, Kites, Crows and Eagles, I can't see how I can bring off Homer, for making them fight the Cranes themselves. Why did they not fly their Eagles against them? these would make greater Slaughter and Execution, without hazarding themselves. The only Excuse I have is, that Homer's Pygmies were real Apes like Men; but those of Ctessas were neither Men nor Pygmies; only a Creature begot in his own Brain, and to be found no where else.

Ctesias was Physician to Artaxerxes Mnemon as Diodorus Siculus (y) and Strabo (z) inform us. He was contemporary with Xenophon, a little later than Herodotus; and Helvicus in his Chronology places him three hundred eighty three years before Christ: He is an ancient Author, 'tis true, and it may be upon that score valued by some. We are beholden to him, not only for his Improvements on the Story of the Pygmies, but for his Remarks likewise on several other parts of Natural History; which for the most part are all of the same stamp, very wonderful and incredible; as his Mantichora, his Gryphins, the horrible Indian Worm, a Fountain of Liquid Gold, a Fountain of Honey, a Fountain whose Water will make a Man confess all that ever he did, a Root he calls majonsov, that will attract Lambs and Birds, as the Loadstone does filings of Steel; and a great many other Wonders he tells us: all which are copied from him by Ælian, Pliny, Solinus, Mela, Philostratus and others. And Photius concludes Ctesias's Account of India with this passage; Tauta yedgav xal μωθολογῶν Κτησίας. λέγει τ' άληθές αία γεφίρειν' ἐπάγων ώς τὰ μὲν αὐτὸς ἰδὰν redeer, मां ने मारहे कोरी padair मी हो हिस्ता. कामिये ने महत्त्वर स्वी बैमिय निवाμασιώπεσα παραλιπείν, διὰ τὸ μὰ δόξαι τοῖς μὰ ταῦτα Θεασαμένοις ἄπιςα συγράφειν i. e. These things (saith he) Ctesias writes and feigns, but he

<sup>(</sup>y) Diodor. Siculi Bibliothec. lib. 2. p. m. 118. (z) Strabo Geograph. lib. 14. p. 451.

himself says all he has wrote is very true. Adding, that some things which he describes, he had seen himself; and the others, he had learn'd from those that had seen them: That he had omitted a great many other things more monderful, because he would not seem to those that have not seen them, to write incredibilities. But notwithstanding all this, Lucian (a) will not believe a word he faith; for he tells us that Ctesias has wrote of India, A μήτε αὐτος είδε, μήτε άλλε εἰπόν Q ήκεσεν, What he neither saw himself, nor ever heard from any Body else. And Aristotle tells us plainly, he is not fit to be believed: 'Ev h Tỹ 'lvding de ono Klnowes, sh de delome Q. (b). And the same Opinion A. Gellius (c) seems to have of him, as he had likewise of several other old Greek Historians which happened to fall into his hands at Brundusium, in his return from Greece into Italy; he gives this Character of them and their performance: Erant autem isti omnes libri Graci, miraculorum fabularumque pleni: res inaudita, incredula, Scriptores veteres non parvæ authoritatis, Aristeas Proconnesius, & Isagonus, & Nicaensis, & Ctesias, & Onesicritus, & Polystephanus, & Hegesias. Not that I think all that Ctesias has wrote is fabulous; For tho' I cannot believe his speaking Pygmies, yet what he writes of the Bird he calls BiffaxQ, that it would speak Greek and the Indian Language, no doubt is very true; and as H. Stephens (d) observes in his Apology for Ctesias, such a Relation would feem very furprifing to one, that had never feen nor heard of a Parrot.

But this Story of Ctesias's speaking Pygmies, seems to be confirm'd by the Account that Nonnosus, the Emperour Justinian's Ambassador into Ethiopia, gives of his Travels. I will transcribe the Passage, as I find it in Photius (e), and 'tis as follows:

"OT केल में क्वर्क्यें मार्रिक्षी। मही Novυδσω, 67/2 में हेgatle The vhow nalleolnnon rolov de no ouvien, Davina is ansσωι ενέτυπε γάς ποι μος ρίω μέν κ ιδέ-מי בוצמו מילפשחיוש, בפתעומדסוב ב דם μέγεθω, η μέλασι τ χεραν. του ή प्रश्रूष्ण महीवन्यम्पर्धणाइ ठीवे न्यारेट यह क्यं प्रवीकि. सम्मारी है काँड व्येष्टिक में γιωαϊκες παραπλήσιας κ, παιδάρια έπ βεαχύτερα, την πας αυτοίς ανδεών. Juposi & hour amoules while Sequals पाणी μικεώ में αίδω περιεκάλυπου, οί אף פלבלחמלדבק לעוסושק מעל פבל דל ממו עני values. विश्वारण ने हिर्मि हेमहर्त डांसरप्टिश है की arhuegor arra nal parlid eixor mèr ล้งปิยุษาก่งใน, ล้โทษรอง 🕽 หลุงใสหลอง รั

Naviganti à Pharsa Nonnoso, & ad extremam usque insularum delato, tale quid occurrit, vel ipso auditu admirandum. Incidit enim in quosdam forma quidem & figura humana, sed brevissimos, & cutem nigros, totumque pilosos corpus. Sequebantur viros aquales famina, & pueri adhuc breviores. Nudi omnes agunt, pelletantum brevi adultiores verenda tecti. viri pariter ac fæminæ: agreste nibil, neque efferum quid præ se ferentes. Quin & vox illis humana, sed omnibus, etiam accolis. prorsus ignotalingua, multoque amplius Nonnosi sociis. Vivunt marinis ostreis, & piscibus è

<sup>(</sup>a) Lucian lib.) vera Histor.p.m.373. (b) Arist. Hist. Animal. lib. 8.cap.28. (c) A. Gellij Noctes Attic. lib. 9.cap. 4. (d) Henr. Stephani de Ctesia Historico antiquissimo disquistio, ad finem Herodoti. (e) Photij Bibliothee. cod. 3. p. m. 7.

Tew-

Νάλεκθον τοῖς τὲ περιοίκοις ἄπασι, καὶ πολλῶ πλέον τοῖς περὶ τὰ Νοννοσον, διέζων ζά ἀπαλαπτίων ὀςρείων, κὶ ἰχθύων, τὰ ἀπο τὰ Βαλάωτης εἰς τὰ νῆσον ἀπορρίπτομένων Βάρσος ζείχον ἐδὲν. ἀλλὰ κὸ è mari ad insulam projectis. Audaces minime sunt, ut nostris conspectis hominibus, quemadmodum nos visa ingenti fera, metu perculsi suerint.

δρώντες τὸς καθ ήμας ἀνθρώπες υπέπτησαν, Θασερ ήμεῖς τὰ μείσω τζύ Απρίων.

'That Nonnosus sailing from Pharsa, when he came to the farthermost of the Islands, a thing, very strange to be heard of, happened to him; for he lighted on some (Animals) in shape and appearance like Men, but little of stature, and of a black colour, and thick covered with hair all over their Bodies. The Women, who were of the same stature, followed the Men: They were all naked, only the Elder of them, both Men and Women, covered their Privy Parts with a small Skin. They seemed not at all sierce or wild; they had a Humane Voice, but their Dialest was altogether unknown to every Body that lived about them; much more to those that were with Nonnosus. They liv'd upon Sea Oysters, and Fish that were cast out of the Sea, upon the Island. They had no Courage; for seeing our Men, they were frighted, as we are at the sight of the greatest wild Beast.

Φωνίω είγον μεν ανθρωπίνιω I render here, they had a Humane Voice, not Speech: for had they spoke any Language, tho' their Dialect might be fomewhat different, yet no doubt but some of the Neighbourhood would have understood something of it, and not have been such utter Strangers to it. Now 'twas observed of the Orang-Outang, that it's Voice was like the Humane, and it would make a Noise like a Child, but never was obferved to speak, tho' it had the Organs of Speech exactly formed as they are in Man; and no Account that ever has been given of this Animal. do's pretend that ever it did. I should rather agree to what Pliny (f) mentions, Quibusdam pro Sermone nutus motusque Membrorum est; and that they had no more a Speech, than Ctesias his Cynocephali which could only bark, as the same Pliny (g) remarks; where he saith, In multis autem Montibus Genus Hominum Capitibus Caninis, ferarum pellibus velari, pro voce latratum edere, unguibus armatum venatu & Aucupio vesci, horum supra Centum viginti Millia fuisse prodente se Ctesias scribit. But in Photius I find, that Ctesias's Cynocephali did speak the Indian Language as well as the Pygmies. Those therefore in Nonnosus since they did not speak the Indian, I doubt, spoke no Language at all; or at least, no more than other Brutes do.

Ctesias I find is the only Author that ever understood what Language twas that the Pygmies spake: For Herodotus (h) owns that they use a sort of Tongue like to no other, but screech like Bats. He saith, Oi Γα-edμανίες εποι τες τρωγλοδύτας Αιδίσπας δηρδύεσι τοῦσι τεθείωποισι. Οἱ γδ

<sup>(</sup>f) Plinij Nat. Hift. lib. 6. cap. 30. p. m. 741. (g) Plinij Nat. Hift. lib. 7. cap. 2. p. m. 11. (h) Herodot. in Melpomene. pag. 283.

Τρωγλοδύται αὐθιοπες πόδας πάχιςοι ἀνθρώπων πάντων εἰσὶ, τῷ ἡμεῖς πέρι λόγες δπορερομένες ἀκέομεν. Σιθέονλαι ἢ οἱ Τρωγλοδύται ὁρις, κὴ Σαώρες, κὴ πὰ ποιαῦτα τῷ Ερπείων. Γλωσσαν ἢ ἐδεμιῆ ἄλλη παρομοίων νενομέκασι, ἀλλὰ περύγασι καθάπερ αἱ νυκιερίδες i.e. These Garamantes hunt the Troglodyte Æthiopians in Chariots with four Horses. The Troglodyte Æthiopians are the swiftest of foot of all Men that ever he heard of by any Report. The Troglodytes eat Serpents and Lizards, and such sort of Reptiles. They use a Language like to no other Tongue, but screech like Bats.

Now that the Pygmies are Troglodytes, or do live in Caves, is plain from Aristotle (i), who faith, Tewyhoduray of ein + Bior. And so Philostratus (k), Tes 3 musuales cineir mer woyeles. And methinks Le Compte's Relation concerning the wild or favage Man in Borneo, agrees so well with this, that I shall transcribe it: for he tells us, (1) That in Borneo this wild or savage Man is indued with extraordinary strength; and notwithstanding he walks but upon two Legs, yet he is so swift of foot, that they have much ado to outrun him. People of Quality course him, as we do Stags here: and this fort of hunting is the King's usual divertisement. And Gassendus in the Life of Peiresky, tells us they commonly hunt them too in Angola in Africa, as I have already mentioned. So that very likely Herodotus's Troglodyte Æthiopians may be no other than our Orang-Outang or wild Man. And the rather, because I fancy their Language is much the same: for an Ape will chatter, and make a noise like a Bat, as his Troglodytes did: And they undergo to this day the same Fate of being hunted, as formerly the Troglodytes used to be by the Garamantes.

Whether those and eas punges punges pulle law endasonas and ear which the Nasamones met with (as Herodotus (m) relates) in their Travels to discover Libya, were the Pygmies; I will not determine: It seems the Nasamones nes neither understood their Language, nor they that of the Nasamones. However, they were so kind to the Nasamones as to be their Guides along the Lakes, and afterwards brought them to a City, in the nasamones are long the Lakes, and afterwards brought them to a City, in the nasamones are long the same stature with the Guides, not black. Now since they were all little black Men, and their Language could not be understood, I do suspect they may be a Colony of the Pygmies: And that they were no farther Guides to the Nasamones, than that being frighted at the sight of them, they ran home, and the Nasamones sollowed them.

I do not find therefore any good Authority, unless you will reckon Ctessas as such, that the Pygmies ever used a Language or Speech, any

<sup>(</sup>i) Arist. Hist. Animal. lib. 8. cap. 15. p. m. 913. (k) Philostrat. in vita Apollon. Tyansi, lib. 3. cap. 14. p. m. 152. (l) Lewis le Compte Memoirs and Observations on China, p. m. 510. (m) Herodotus in Euterpe seu lib. 2. p. m. 102.

more than other Brutes of the same Species do among themselves, and what we know nothing of, whatever Democritus and Melampodes in Pliny (n), or Apollonius Tyanaus in Porphyry (o) might formerly have done. Had the Pygmies ever spoke any Language intelligible by Mankind, this might have furnished our Historians with notable Subjects for their Novels; and no doubt but we should have had plenty of them.

But Albertus Magnus, who was so lucky as to guess that the Pygmies were a fort of Apes; that he should afterwards make these Apes to speak, was very unfortunate, and spoiled all; and he do's it, methinks, fo very awkardly, that it is as difficult almost to understand his Language as his Apes; if the Reader has a mind to attempt it, he will find it in the Margin (p).

Had Albertus only afferted, that the Pygmies were a fort of Apes, his Opinion possibly might have obtained with less difficulty, unless he could have produced some Body that had heard them talk. But Olysses Aldrovandus (q) is so far from believing his Ape Pygmies ever spoke, that he utterly denys, that there were ever any such Creatures in being, as the Pygmies, at all; or that they ever fought the Cranes. Cum itaque Pygmæos (saith he) dari negemus, Grues etiam cum iis Bellum gerere, ut fabulantur, negabimus, & tam pertinaciter id negabimus, ut ne jurantibus credemus.

I find a great many very Learned Men are of this Opinion: And in the first place, Strabo (r) is very positive; Eweana's men 30 edeis Engertag The misses agion and ear i.e. No Man worthy of belief did ever see them. And upon all occasions he declares the same. So Julius Cafar Scaliger (s) makes them to be only a Fiction of the Ancients, At hac omnia ( faith he) Antiquorum figmenta & meræ Nugæ, si exstarent, reperirentur. At cum universus Orbis nunc nobis cognitus sit, nullibi hæc Naturæ Excrementa reperiri certissimum est. And Isaac Casanbon (t) ridicules such as pretend to justifie them : Sic nostra ætate (saith he) non desunt, qui eandem de Pygmæis lepidam fabellam renovent ; ut qui etiam è Sacris Literis, si Deo placet, fidem illis conentur astruere. Legi etiam Bergei cujusdam Galli Scripta, qui se vidisse diceret. At non ego credulus illi, illi inquam Omnium. Bipedum mendacissimo. I shall add one Authority more, and that is of

Adrian

<sup>(</sup>n) Plnij Nat. Hist. lib. 10. cap. 49.

(p) Si qui Homines sunt Silvestres, sicut Pygmeus, non secundum unam rationem nobiscum dieti sunt Homines, sed aliquod babent Hominis in quadam deliberatione of Loquela, &c. A little after adds, Voces quadam (sc. Animalia) formant ad diversos conceptus quos babent, sicut Homo of Pygmaus; of quadam non faciunt boc, sicut multitudo fere tota aliorum Animalium. Adhuc autem eorum qua ex ratione cogitativa formant voces, quadam sunt succumbentia, quadam autem non succumbentia. Dico autem succumbentia, à conceptu Anima cadentia of mota ad Natura Instinctum, sicut Pygmeus, qui non, sequitur rationem Loquela sed Natura Instinctum; Homo autem non succumbit sed sequitur rationem. Albert Magn. de Animal. lib. 1. cap. 3. p.m. 3.

(q) Ulss. Addrovandi Ornitholog. lib. 20. p. m. 344.

(r) Strabo Geograph. lib. 17. p. m. 565.

(s) Jul. Cas. Scaliger. Comment. in Arist. Hist. Animal. lib. 8. §. 126. p. m. 914.

(t) Isaac Causabon Nota of Cassigat. in lib. 1. Strabonis Geograph. p. m. 38.

Adrian Spigelius, who produces a Witness that had examined the very place, where the Pygmies were said to be; yet upon a diligent enquiry, he could neither find them, nor hear any tidings of them. Spigelius (u) therefore tells us, Hoc loco de Pygmæis dicendum erat, qui nuera noise escedunt. Verùm ego Poetarum fabulas esse crediderim, pro quibus tamen Aristoteles minime haberi vult, sed veram esse Historiam. 8. Hist. Animal. 12. asseverat. Ego quo minùs hoc statuam, tum Authoritate primum Doctissimi Strabonis 1. Geograph. coactus sum, tum potissimùm nunc moveor, quod nostro tempore, quo nulla Mundi pars est, quam Nautarum Industria non perlustrarit, nihil tamen unquam simile aut visum est, aut auditum. Accedit quod Franciscus Alvarez Lustanus, qui ea ipsa loca peragravit, circa quæ Aristoteles Pygmæos esse scribit, nullibit tamen tam parvam Gentem à se conspectam tradidit, sed Populum esse Mediocris staturæ, & Æthiopes tradit.

I think my self therefore here obliged to make out, that there were such Creatures as Pygmies, before I determine what they were, since the very being of them is called in question, and utterly denied by so great Men, and by others too that might be here produced. Now in the doing this, Aristotle's Assertion of them is so very positive, that I think there needs not a greater or better Proof; and it is so remarkable a one, that I find the very Enemies to this Opinion at a loss, how to shift it off. To besten it's Authority they have interpolated the Text, by so foisting into the Translation what is not in the Original; or by not translating at all the most material passage, that makes against them; or by miserably glossing it, to make him speak what he never intended: Such unsair dealings plainly argue, that at any rate they are willing to get rid of a Proof, that otherwise they can neither deny, or answer.

Aristotle's Text is this, which I shall give with Theodorus Gaza's Translation: for discoursing of the Migration of Birds, according to the Season of the Year, from one Country to another, he saith (w):

Μεία μεν τιω φθινοπως ινίω Ίσημες ίαν, εν τε Πόνιε η, το ψυχρών φείγονια
τον Επιώνια χειμώνα: μεία ή τιω εας ινίω, εν το Θερινών, εἰς τες τόπες τες
ψυχρες, φοθέμενα τὰ καύμαια τὰ μεν,
η εν το Κοίς τόπων ποιέμενα τὰς
μεία δολάς, τὰ ή, η εκ το εχάτων, ώς
εἰπείν, οις αὶ γερανοι ποιέσι. ΜείαΒάλλεσι γὸ εκ το Σκυδικών εἰς τὰ ελη
τὰ ἄνω της Αιγύπε, οθεν ὁ Νείλο, ρεί.
Εςι ή ὁ τόπο ετο περλον οι ποιμαϊ-

Fam ab Autumnali Æquinoctio ex Ponto, Locisque frigidis fugiunt Hyemem futuram. A Verno autem ex tepida Regione ad frigidam sese conferunt, astûs metu futuri: E alia de locis vicinis discedunt, alia de ultimis, prope dixerim, ut Grues faciunt, qua ex Scythicis Campis ad Paludes Ægypto superiores, unde Nilus profluit, veniunt, quo in loco pugnare cum Pygmæis dicuntur. Non enim id

<sup>(</sup>u) Adrian. Spigelij de Corporis Humani fabrica, lib. 1. cap. 7. p. m. 15. (w) Aristotel. Hist. Animak-

οι καθοικέσιν έ γάς όξι τέτο μώθω, ἀλλ ές καθὰ τω ἀλήθειαν. Γένω μικε ον μέν, ώστες λέγεθαι, η αὐτοί η οί εποι Τεωγλοδύται δ' εἰσὶ τὸν βίον. fabula est, sed certè, genus tum hominum, tum etiam Equorum pusillum (ut dicitur) est, deguntque in Cavernis, unde Nomen Troglodytæ à subeundis Cavernis accepere.

In English 'tis thus: 'At the Autumnal Æquinox they go out of Pontus 'and the cold Countreys to avoid the Winter that is coming on. At the 'Vernal Æquinox they pass from hot Countreys into cold ones, for fear of 'the ensuing Heat; some making their Migrations from nearer places; others from the most remote (as I may say) as the Cranes do: for they come out of Scythia to the Lakes above Ægypt, whence the Nile do's flow. This is the place, whereabout the Pygmies dwell: For this is no Fable, 'but a Truth. Both they and the Horses, as 'tis said, are a small kind. They are Troglodytes, or live in Caves.

We may here observe how positive the Philosopher is, that there are Pygmies; he tells us where they dwell, and that 'tis no Fable, but a Truth. But Theodorus Gaza has been unjust in translating him, by foisting in, Quo in loco pugnare cum Pygmæis dicuntur, whereas there is nothing in the Text that warrants it: As likewise, where he expresses the little Stature of the Pygmies and the Horses, there Gaza has rendered it, Sed certe Genus tum Hominum, tum etiam Equorum pusillum. Aristotle only saith, The muego μέν, ωωτε λέχελα, κ, αὐτοί, κ, οί ζωποι. He neither makes his Pygmies Men, nor faith any thing of their fighting the Cranes; tho' here he had a fair occasion, discoursing of the Migration of the Cranes out of Scythia to the Lakes above Ægypt, where he tells us the Pygmies are. Cardan (x) therefore must certainly be out in his guess; that Aristotle only afferted the Pygmies out of Complement to his Friend Homer; for furely then he would not have forgot their fight with the Cranes; upon which occasion only Homer mentions them (\*). I should rather think that Aristotle, being fensible of the many Fables that had been raised on this occasion, studiously avoided the mentioning this fight, that he might not give countenance to the Extravagant Relations that had been made of it.

But I wonder that neither Cafanbon nor Duvall in their Editions of Aristotle's Works, should have taken notice of these Mistakes of Gaza, and corrected them. And Gesner, and Aldrovandus, and several other Learned Men, in quoting this place of Aristotle, do make use of this faulty Translation, which must necessarily lead them into Mistakes. Sam. Bochartus (y) tho' he gives Aristotle's Text in Greek, and adds a new

<sup>(</sup>x) Cardan de Rerum varietate, lib.8. cap. 40. p. m. 153. (\*) Apparet ergo (faith Cardan) Pyg-mæorum Historiam esse fabulosam, quod dy Strabo sentit, dy nostra etas, cum omnia nunc sermè orbis mirabilia innotuerint, declarat. Sed quod tantum Philosophum decepit, suit Homeri Austoritas non apud illum levis. (y) Bocharti Hierozoic. S. de Animalib. S. Script. part. Posterior. lib. 1. cap. 11. p.m. 76.

Translation of it, he leaves out indeed the Cranes fighting with the Pygmies, yet makes them Men, which Aristotle do's not; and by anti-placing, ut aiunt, he renders Aristotle's Assertion more dubious ; Neque enim (saith he in the Translation) id est fabula, sed revera, ut aiunt, Genus ibi parvum est tam Hominum quam Equorum. Julius Casar Scaliger in translating this Text of Aristotle, omits both these Interpretations of Gaza; but on the other hand, is no less to be blamed in not translating at all the most remarkable passage, and where the Philosopher seems to be so much in earnest; as, हे ही हैंडा महत्त्व मारिक, बेरो' हैंडा स्वार मार्थ बेरेशीसवर, this he leaves wholly out, without giving us his reason for it, if he had any: And Scaliger's (z) infinuation in his Comment, viz. Negat effe fabulam de his (se. Pygmeis) Herodotus, at Philosophus semper moderatus & prudens etiam addidit, wave nigelay, is not to be allowed. Nor can I affent to Sir Thomas Brown's (a) remark upon this place; Where indeed (faith he) Aristotle plays the Aristotle; that is, the wary and evading As-Sertor; for the with non est fabula he seems at first to confirm it, yet at last he claps in, sicut aiunt, and shakes the belief he placed before upon it. And therefore Scaliger (faith he) bath not translated the first, perhaps supposing it surreptitious, or unworthy so great an Affertor. But had Scaliger known it to be furreptitious, no doubt but he would have remarked it; and then there had been some Colour for the Gloss. But 'tis unworthy to be believed of Aristotle, who was so wary and cautious, that he should in fo short a passage, contradict himself; and after he had so positively affirmed the Truth of it, presently doubt it. His ware highly therefore must have a Reference to what follows, Pusillum genus, ut aiunt, ipsi atque etiam Equi, as Scaliger himself translates it.

I do not here find Aristotle afferting or confirming any thing of the fabulous Narrations that had been made about the Pygmies. He does not fay that they were and pes, or and power unegl, or medares; he only calls them myuaion. And discoursing of the Pygmies in a place, where he is only treating about Brutes, 'tis reasonable to think, that he looked upon them only as fuch. This is the place where the Pygmies are; this is no fable, faith Aristotle, as 'tis that they are a Dwarfish Race of Men; that they speak the Indian Language; that they are excellent Archers; that they are very Just; and abundance of other Things that are fabulously reported of them; and because he thought them Fables, he does not take the least notice of them, but only faith, This is no Fable, but a Truth, that about the Lakes of Nile such Animals, as are called Pygmies, do live. And, as if he had foreseen, that the abundance of Fables that Ctessas (whom he faith is not to be believed) and the Indian Historians had invented about them, would make the whole Story to appear as a Figment, and render it doubtful, whether there were ever fuch Creatures as Pyg-

<sup>(</sup>z) Scaliger. Comment. in Arift. Hift. Animal. lib. 8.p. m. 914. (b) Sir Thomas Brown's Pfeudodoxia, or, Enquiries into Vulgar Errors, lib. 4. cap. 11.

mies in Nature; he more zealously afferts the Being of them, and assures us. That this is no Fable, but a Truth.

I shall therefore now enquire what sort of Creatures these Pygmies were; and hope, so to manage the Matter, as in a great measure, to abate the Passion these Great Men have had against them: for, no doubt, what has incensed them the most, was, the sabulous Historians making them a part of Mankind, and then inventing a hundred ridiculous Stories about them, which they would impose upon the World as real Truths. If therefore they have Satisfaction given them in these two Points, I do not see, but that the Business may be accommodated very fairly; and that they may be allowed to be Pygmies, tho' we do not make them Men.

For I am not of Gesner's mind, Sed veterum nullus (saith he (b)) aliter de Pygmæis scripsit, qu'am Homunciones esse. Had they been a Race of Men, no doubt but Aristotle would have informed himself farther about them. Such a Curiosity could not but have excited his Inquisitive Genius, to a stricter Enquiry and Examination; and we might easily have expected from him a larger Account of them. But sinding them, it may be, a sort of Apes, he only tells us, that in such a place these Pygmies live.

Herodotus (c) plainly makes them Brutes: For reckoning up the Animals of Libya, he tells us, Kal 20 of opies of warequera Dees, it, of heovies raid τέτες είσι, η οι ελέφαντές τε η άρηθοι, η άσούδες τε η όνοι οι τα κέρατα έχονθες η, οί πυνοκέραλοι (in the Margin 'tis ἀκέραλοι) οι εν τοίσι τή θεσι τες όρθαλμες έχονιες (એક અમે મેર્ટ્સિયા મેર્ટ જે માર્કિયા) મે હૈરિયા લેંપઈ pes, મે મુલ્લા લેંપ્રદાય મે, લેંમિય જામેલિક morna Ingla anglald saile. That there are here prodigious large Serpents, and Lions, and Elephants, and Bears, and Asps, and Asses that have horns, and Cynocephali, (in the Margin 'tis Acephali) that have Eyes in their Breast, (as is reported by the Libyans) and wild Men, and wild Women, and a great many other wild Beafts that are not fabulous. 'Tis evident therefore that Herodotus his are soi ard pas, is revaines are say are only Ingla or wild Beafts; and tho' they are call'd and pas, they are no more Men than our Orang-Outang, or Homo Sylvestris, or wild Man, which has exactly the same Name, and I must confess I can't but think is the same Animal: and that the same Name has been continued down to us, from his Time, and it may be from Homer's.

So Philostratus speaking of Æthiopia and Ægypt, tells us (d), Βόσκεσι η με βαρία οι α εχ επέρωθε η ανθρώπες μέλανας, δ μη άλλαμπτειες. Πυσμαίων τὰ εν αὐταις ἔθνη, η υλακθέντων άλλο άλλη i.e. Here are bred wild Beasts that are not in other places; and black Men, which no other Country affords:

<sup>(</sup>b) Gesner. Histor. Quadruped. p. m. 885. (c) Herodot. Melpomene seu lib.4. p. m. 285. (d) Philostranu in vita Apollon. Tyanei, lib. 6. cap. 1. p. m. 258.

and amongst them is the Nation of the Pygmies, and the BARKERS, that is, the Cynocephali. For tho' Philostratus is pleased here only to call them Barkers, and to reckon them, as he does the Black Men and the Pygmies amongst the wild Beasts of those Countreys; yet Ctesias, from whom Philostratus has borrowed a great deal of his Natural History, stiles them Men, and makes them speak, and to perform most notable Feats in Merchandising. But not being in a merry Humour it may be now, before he was aware, he speaks Truth: For Calius Rhodiginus's (e) Character of him is, Philostratus omnium qui unquam Historiam conscripserunt, mendacissimus.

Since the Pygmies therefore are some of the Brute Beasts that naturally breed in these Countries, and they are pleased to let us know as much, I can easily excuse them a Name. "And pes ayeld, or Orang-Outang, is alike to me; and I am better pleased with Homer's and sees they had if he had called mission. Had this been the only Instance where they had misapplied the Name of Man, methinks I could be so good natur'd, as in some measure to make an Apology for them. But finding them so extravagantly loose, so wretchedly whimsical, in abusing the Dignity of Mankind, by giving the Name of Man to such monstrous Productions of their idle Imaginations, as the Indian Historians have done, I do not wonder that wise Men have suspected all that comes out of their Mint, to be false and counterfeit.

Such are their 'Audreges or 'Appives, that want Nofes, and have only two holes above their Mouth; they eat all things, but they must be raw; they are short lived; the upper part of their Mouths is very pro-The Evoroxeiray, whose Ears reach down to their Heels, on which they lye and fleep. The Asomo, that have no Mouths, a civil fort of People, that dwell about the Head of the Ganges; and live upon smelling to boil'd Meats and the Odours of Fruits and Flowers; they can bear no ill scent, and therefore can't live in a Camp. The Movouμαλοι or Μονόρθαλμιοι, that have but one Eye, and that in the middle of their Foreheads; they have Dogs Ears; their Hair stands an end, but smooth on the Breasts. The Στερνόςθαλμοι, that have Eyes in their The Πάναι σοηνοκέραλοι with Heads like Wedges. The Μακρο-Breafts. κέραλοι, with great Heads. The Τρεδόρεοι, who live a Thousand years. The whomodes, so swift, that they will out-run a Horse. The omedodan-Turon, that go with their Heels forward, and their Toes backwards. The Μακε μοπελείς, The Στερανόποδες, The Μονοσκελείς, who have one Leg, but will jump a great way, and are call'd Sciapodes, because when they lye on their Backs, with this Leg they can keep off the Sun from their Bodies.

<sup>(</sup>c) Calij Rhodigini Lellion. Antiq. lib. 17, cap. 13.

Now Strabo (f), from whom I have collected the Description of these Monstrous forts of Men, and they are mentioned too by Pliny, Solinus, Mela, Philostratus, and others; and Munster in his Cosmography (g) has given a figure of some of them; Strabo, I say, who was an Enemy to all such fabulous Relations, no doubt was prejudiced likewise against the Pygmies, because these Historians had made them a Puny Race of Men, and invented so many Romances about them. I can no ways therefore blame him for denying, that there were ever any fuch Men Pygmies; and do readily agree with him, that no Man ever faw them: and am so far from diffenting from those Great Men, who have denied them on this account, that I think they have all the reason in the World on their fide. And to shew how ready I am to close with them in this Point, I will here examine the contrary Opinion, and what Reafons they give for the supporting it: For there have been some Moderns, as well as the Ancients, that have maintained that these Pygmies were real Men. And this they pretend to prove, both from Humane Authority and Divine.

Now by Men Pygmies we are by no means to understand Dwarfs. In all Countries, and in all Ages, there has been now and then observed fuch Miniture of Mankind, or under-fized Men. Cardan (b) tells us he faw one carried about in a Parrot's Cage, that was but a Cubit high. Nicephorus (i) tells us, that in Theodosius the Emperour's time, there was one in Egypt that was no bigger than a Partridge; yet what was to be admired, he was very Prudent, had a sweet clear Voice, and a generous Mind; and lived Twenty Years. So likewise a King of Portugal sent to a Duke of Savoy, when he married his Daughter to him, an Æthiopian Dwarf but three Palms high (k). And Thevenot (1) tells us of the Present made by the King of the Aby Jins, to the Grand Seignior, of several little black Slaves out of Nubia, and the Countries near Æthiopia, which being made Eunuchs, were to guard the Ladies of the Seraglio. And a great many fuch like Relations there are. But these being only Dwarfs, they must not be esteemed the Pygmies we are enquiring about, which are represented as a Nation, and the whole Race of them to be of the like stature. Dari tamen integras Pumilionum Gentes, tam falsum est, quam quod falsisimum, saith Harduin (m).

Neither likewise must it be granted, that tho' in some Climates there might be Men generally of less stature, than what are to be met with in other Countries, that they are presently Pygmies. Nature has not fixed the same standard to the growth of Mankind in all Places alike, no more

<sup>(</sup>f) Strabo Geograph. lib. 15. p.m. 489. & lib. 2.p. 48. & alibi. (g) Munster Cosmograph. lib. 6. p. 1151. (h) Cardan de subtilitate, lib. 11. p. 458. (i) Nicephor. Histor. Ecclesiast. lib. 12. cap. 37. (k) Happelius in Relat. curiosis, No. 85. p. 677. (l) Thevenot. Voyage de Levant. lib. 2. c. 68. (m) Jo. Harduini Nota in Plinij Nat. Hist. lib. 6. cap. 22. p. 688.

than to Brutes or Plants. The Dimensions of them all, according to the Climate, may differ. If we consult the Original, viz. Homer that first mentioned the Pygmies, there are only these two Characteristics he gives of them. That they are Tuymason sen Cubitales; and that the Cranes did use to fight them. 'Tis true, as a Poet, he calls them and set, which I have accounted for before. Now if there cannot be found such Men as are Cubitales, that the Cranes might probably fight with, notwithstanding all the Romances of the Indian Historians, I cannot think these Pygmies to be Men, but they must be some other Animals, or the whole must be a Fiction.

Having premised this, we will now enquire into their Assertion that maintain the Pygmies to be a Race of Men. Now because there have been Giants formerly, that have so much exceeded the usual Stature of Man, that there must be likewise Pygmies as defective in the other extream from this Standard, I think is no conclusive Argument, tho' made use of by some. Old Caspar Bartholine (n) tells us, that because J. Cassanius and others had wrote de Gygantibus, since no Body else had undertaken it, he would give us a Book de Pygmæis; and since he makes it his design to prove the Existence of Pygmies, and that the Pygmies were Men, I must confess I expected great Matters from him.

But I do not find he has informed us of any thing more of them, than what Jo. Talentonius, a Professor formerly at Parma, had told us before in his Variarum & Reconditarum Rerum Thesaurus (0), from whom he has borrowed most of this Tract. He has made it a little more formal indeed, by dividing it into Chapters; of which I will give you the Titles; and as I fee occasion, some Remarks thereon: They will not be many, because I have prevented my self already. The first Chapter is, De Homuncionibus & Pumilionibus seu Nanis à Pygmæis distinctis. The second Chapter, De Pygmæi nominibus & Etymologia. The third Chapter, Duplex esse Pygmæorum Genus; & primum Genus aliquando dari. means Dwarfs, that are no Pygmies at all. The fourth Chapter is, Alterum Genus, nempe Gentem Pygmæorum effe, aut saltem aliquando fuisse Autoritatibus Humanis, fide tamen dignorum afferitur. Tis as I find it printed; and no doubt an Error in the printing. The Authorities he gives. are, Homer, Ctesias, Aristotle, Philostratus, Pliny, Juvenal, Oppian, Baptista Mantuan, St. Austin and his Scholiast. Ludovic. Vives, Jo. Laurentius Anania, Joh. Cassanius, Joh. Talentonius, Gellius, Pomp. Mela, and Olaus Magnus. I have taken notice of most of them already, as I shall of St. Austin and Ludovicus Vives by and by. Jo. Laurentius Anania (p) ex Mercatorum relatione tradit (saith Bartholine) eos (sc. Pygmæos) in Septentrionali Thraciæ Parte reperiri, (quæ Scythiæ est proxima) atque ibi cum Gruibus pugnare. And Joh. Cassanius (q) (as he is here quoted) saith,

<sup>(</sup>n) Caspar. Bartholin. Opusculum de Pygmais. (o) Jo. Talentonij Variar dy recondit. Rerum Thesaurus, lib. 3. cap. 21. (p) Joh. Laurent. Anania prope sinem trastatus primi sua Geograph. (q) Joh. Cassanius libello de Gygantibus, p. 73.

De Pygmæis fabulosa quidem esse omnia, quæ de iis narrari solent, aliquando existimavi. Verum cum videam non unum vel alterum, sed complures Classicos & probatos Autores de his Homunculis multa in eandem fere Sententiam tradidisse; eò adducor ut Pygmæos fuisse inficiari non ausim. He next brings in Jo. Talentonius, to whom he is so much beholden, and quotes his Opinion, which is full and home, Constare arbitror (faith Talentonius) (r) debere concedi, Pygmæos non solum olim fuisse, sed nunc etiam esse, & homines esse, nec parvitatem illis impedimento esse quo minus sint & homines fint. But were there fuch Men Pygmies now in being, no doubt but we must have heard of them; some or other of our Saylors, in their Voyages, would have lighted on them. Tho' Aristotle is here quoted. yet he does not make them Men; So neither does Anania: And I must own, tho' Talentonius be of this Opinion, yet he takes notice of the faulty Translation of this Text of Aristotle by Gaza: and tho' the parvity or lowness of Stature, be no Impediment, because we have frequently seen such Dwarf-Men, yet we did never see a Nation of them: For then there would be no need of that Talmudical Precept which 70b. Ludolphus (s) mentions, Nanus ne ducat Nanam, ne forte oriatur ex iis Digitalis (in Bechor. fol. 45.)

I had almost forgotten Olaus Magnus, whom Bartholine mentions in the close of this Chapter, but lays no great stress upon his Authority, because he tells us, he is fabulous in a great many other Relations, and he writes but by hear-say, that the Greenlanders fight the Cranes; Tandem (saith Bartholine) neque ideo Pygmæi sunt, si forte sagittis & bastis, sicut alij homines, Grues conficiunt & occidunt. This I think is great Partiality: For Ctefias, an Author whom upon all turns Bartholine makes use of as an Evidence, is very positive, that the Pygmies were excellent Archers: so that he himself owns, that their being such, illustrates very much that Text in Ezekiel, on which he spends good part of the next Chapter, whose Title is, Pygmæorum Gens ex Ezekiele, atque rationibus probabilibus adstruitur; which we will consider by and by. And tho' Olaus Magnus may write some things by hear-say, yet he cannot be so fabulous as Ctesias, who (as Lucian tells us) writes what he neither saw himself, or heard from any Body else. Not that I think Olaus Magnus his Greenlanders were real Pygmies, no more than Ctesias his Pygmies were real Men; tho' he vouches very notably for them. And if all that have copied this Fable from Ctesias, must be look'd upon as the same Evidence with himself; the number of the Testimonies produced need not much concern us, fince they must all stand or fall with him.

The probable Reasons that Bartholine gives in the fifth Chapter, are taken from other Animals, as Sheep, Oxen, Horses, Dogs, the Indian Formica and Plants: For observing in the same Species some excessive large,

<sup>(</sup>r) Jo. Talentonius Variar. & recondit. Rerum Thesaurus, lib 3. cap. 21. p. m. 515. (s) Job Ludol-phi comment. in Historiam Athiopic. p. m. 71.

and others extreamly little, he infers, Que certe cum in Animalibus & Vegetabilibus fiant; cur in Humana specie non sit probabile, hand video: imprimis cum detur magnitudinis excessus Gigantaus; cur non etiam dabitur Defectus ? Quia ergo dantur Gigantes, dabuntur & Pygmæi. Quam consequentiam ut sirmam, admittit Cardanus, (t) licet de Pygmæis hoc tantum concedat, qui pro miraculo, non pro Gente. Now Cardan, tho' he allows this Consequence, yet in the same place he gives several Reasons why the Pygmies could not be Men, and looks upon the whole Story as fabulous. Bartholine concludes this Chapter thus: Ulterins ut Probabilitatem fulciamus, addendum Sceleton Pygmæi, quod Dresdæ vidimus inter alia plurima, servatum in Arce sereniss. Electoris Saxonia, altitudine infra Cubitum, Ossium soliditate, proportioneque tum Capitis, tum aliorum; ut Embrionem, aut Artificiale quid Nemo rerum peritus suspicari possit. Addita insuper est Inscriptio Veri Pygmæi. I hereupon looked into Dr. Brown's Travels into those Parts, who has given us a large Catalogue of the Curiolities, the Elector of Saxony had at Dresden, but did not find amongst them this Sceleton; which, by the largeness of the Head, I suspect to be the Sceleton of an Orang-Outang, or our wild Man. But had he given us either a Figure of it, or a more particular Description, it had been a far greater Satisfaction.

The Title of Bartholine's fixth Chapter is, Pygmæos effe aut fuiffe ex variis eorum adjunctis, accidentibus, &c. ab Authoribus descriptis oftenditur. As first, their Magnitude: which he mentions from Ctesias, Pliny, Gellias and Juvenal; and tho' they do not all agree exactly, 'tis nothing. Autorum bic dissensus nullus est (saith Bartholine) etenim sicut in nostris bominibus, ita indubie in Pygmæis non omnes ejusdem magnitudinis. Place and Country: As Ctesias (he saith) places them in the middle of India; Aristotle and Pliny at the Lakes above Ægypt; Homer's Scholiast in the middle of Ægypt; Pliny at another time faith they are at the Head of the Ganges, and sometimes at Gerania, which is in Thracia, which being near Scythia, confirms (he faith) Anania's Relation. Mela places them at the Arabian Gulf; and Paulus Jovius docet Pygmæos ultra Japonem esse; and adds, has Autorum dissensiones facile fuerit conciliare; nec mirum diversas relationes à Plinio auditas. For (saith he) as the Tartars often change their Seats, fince they do not live in Houses, but in Tents, so 'tis no wonder that the Pygmies often change theirs, since instead of Houses they live in Caves or Huts, built of Mud, Feathers, and Eggthels. And this mutation of their Habitations he thinks is very plain from Pliny, where speaking of Gerania, he saith, Pygmæorum Gens fuisse (non jam esse) proditur, creduntque à Gruibus fugatos. Which passage (faith Bartholine) had Adrian Spigelius confidered, he would not so soon have left Aristotle's Opinion, because Franc. Alvares the Portuguese did not find them in the place where Aristotle left them; for the Cranes, it

<sup>(</sup>t) Cardan de Rerum varietate, lib. 8. cap. 40

may be, had driven them thence. His third Article is, their Habitation, which Aristotle faith is in Caves; hence they are Troglodytes. Pliny tells us they build Huts with Mud, Feathers, and Egg-shells. But what Bartholine adds, Eò quod Terræ Cavernas inhabitent, non injurià dicti sunt olim Pygmæi, Terræ filii, is wholly new to me, and I have not met with it in any Author before: tho' he gives us here several other significations of the word Terræ filij from a great many Authors, which I will not trouble you at present with. 4. The Form, being flat nosed and ugly, as Ctesias. 5. Their Speech, which was the same as the Indians, as Ctesias; and for this I find he has no other Author. 6. Their Hair; where he quotes Ctesias again, that they make use of it for Clothes. 7. Their Vertues and Arts; as that they use the same Laws as the Indians, are very just, excellent Archers, and that the King of India has Three thousand of them in his Guards. All from Ctesias. 8. Their Animals, as in Ctesias; and here are mentioned their Sheep, Oxen, Asses, Mules, and Horses. 9. Their various Actions; as what Ctesias relates of their killing Hares and Foxes with Crows, Eagles, &c. and fighting the Cranes, as Homer, Pliny, Tuvenal.

The seventh Chapter in Bartholine has a promising Title, An Pygmai fint homines, and I expected here fomething more to our purpose; but I find he rather endeavours to answer the Reasons of those that would make them Apes, than to lay down any of his own to prove them Men. And Albertus Magnus's Opinion he thinks abfurd, that makes them part Men part Beafts; they must be either one or the other, not a Medium between both; and to make out this, he gives us a large Quotation out of Cardan. But Cardan (u) in the same place argues that they are not Men. As to Suessanus (w) his Argument, that they want Reason, this he will not grant; but if they use it less, or more imperfectly than others (which yet, he saith, is not certain) by the same parity of Reafon, Children, the Baotians, Cumani and Naturals may not be reckoned Men; and he thinks, what he has mentioned in the preceding Chapter out of Ctesias, &c. shews that they have no small use of Reason. As to Suessanus's next Argument, that they want Religion, Justice, &c. this, he faith, is not confirmed by any grave Writer; and if it was, yet it would not prove that they are not Men. For this defect (he faith) might hence happen, because they are forced to live in Caves for fear of the Cranes; and others besides them, are herein faulty. For this Opinion, that the Pygmies were Apes and not Men, he quotes likewise Benedictus Varchius (x), and Job. Tinnulus (y), and Paulus Jovius (z), and several others of the Moderns, he tells us, are of the same mind. Imprimis Geographici quos non puduit in Mappis Geographicis loco Pygmaorum simias cum Gruibus pugnantes ridicule dipinxisse.

<sup>(</sup>u) Cardan. de Rerum varietate, lib. 8. cap. 40. (w) Suessanus Comment. in Arist. de Histor. Animal. lib. 8. cap. 12. (x) Benedist. Varchius de Monstris. lingua vernacula. (y) Joh. Tinnulus in Glotto. Christio. (z) Paulus Jovius lib. de Muscovit. Legatione.

The Title of Bartholine's eighth and last Chapter is, Argumenta corum qui Pygmæorum Historiam fabulosam censent, recitantur & resutantur. Where he tells us, the only Person amongst the Ancients that thought the Story of the Pygmies to be fabulous was Strabo; but amongst the Moderns there are several, as Cardan, Budaus, Aldrovandus, Fullerus and others. The first Objection (he saith) is that of Spigelius and others; that fince the whole World is now discovered, how happens it, that these Pygmies are not to be met with? He has seven Answers to this Objection; how satisfactory they are, the Reader may judge, if he pleases, by perusing them amongst the Quotations (a). Cardan's second Objection (he faith) is, that they live but eight years, whence feveral Inconveniences would happen, as Cardan shews; he answers that no good Author asferts this; and if there was, yet what Cardan urges would not follow; and instances out of Artemidorus in Pliny (b), as a Parallel in the Calingæ a Nation of India, where the Women conceive when five years old, and do not live above eight. Gesner speaking of the Pygmies, saith, Vite autem longitudo anni arciter octo ut Albertus refert. Cardan perhaps had his Authority from Albertus, or it may be both took it from this passage in Pliny, which I think would better agree to Apes than Men. But Artemidorus being an Indian Historian, and in the same place telling other Romances, the less Credit is to be given to him. The third Objection, he faith, is of Cornelius à Lapide, who denies the Pygmies, because Homer was the first Author of them. The fourth Objection he saith is, because Authors differ about the Place where they should be: This, he tells us. he has answered already in the fifth Chapter. The fifth and last Objection he mentions is, that but few have feen them. He answers, there are a great many Wonders in Sacred and Profane History that we have not feen, yet must not deny. And he instances in three; As the Formica Indica, which are as bigs as great Dogs: The Cornu Plantabile in the Island Goa, which when cut off from the Beast, and flung upon the Ground, will take root like a Cabbage: And the Scotland Geefe that grow upon Trees, for which he quotes a great many Authors, and fo concludes.

Now how far Bartholine in this Treatise has made out that the Pygmies of the Ancients were real Men, either from the Authorities he has quoted, or his Reasonings upon them, I submit to the Reader. I shall proceed now (as I promised) to consider the Proof they pretend from Ho-

<sup>(</sup>a) Responded 1. Contrarium testari Mercatorum Relationem apud Ananiam supra Cap. 4. 2. Et licet mon inventi essent vivi à qualibet, pari jure Monocerota de alia negare liceret. 3. Qui maria pernavigant, vive oras paucas maritimas lustrant, adeo non terras omnes à mari dissitas. 4. Neque in Oris illos habitare maritimis ex Capite quinto manisestum est. 5. Qui testatum se omnem adhibuisse diligentiam in inquirendo eos ut inveniret. 6 Ita in terra habitant, ut in Antris vitam tolerare dicantur. 7. Si vel maxime omni abomnibus diligentia questit suissent, nec inventi; sieri potest, ut instar Gigantum jam desierint nec sint ampliks.

(b) Plinij Hist. Nat. lib. 7. cap. 2. p. m. 14.

ly Writ: For Bartholine and others insist upon that Text in Ezekiel (Cap. 27. Vers. 11.) where the Vulgar Translation has it thus; Filij Arvad cum Exercitu tuo supra Muros tuos per circuitum, & Pygmæi in Turribus tuis suerunt; Scuta sua suspenderunt supra Muros tuos per circuitum. Now Talentonius and Bartholine think that what Ctesias relates of the Pygmies, as their being good Archers, very well illustrates this Text of Ezekiel: I shall here transcribe what Sir Thomas Brown (c) remarks upon it; and if any one requires farther Satisfaction, they may consult Job Ludolphus's Comment on his Æthiopic History (d).

The second Testimony (saith Sir Thomas Brown) is deduced from Holy Scripture; thus rendered in the Vulgar Translation, Sed & Pygmæi qui erant in turribus tuis, pharetras suas suspenderunt in muris tuis per gyrum: from whence notwithstanding we cannot infer this Assertion, for sirst the Translators accord not, and the Hebrew word Gammadim is very variously rendered. Though Aquila, Vatablus and Lyra will have it Pygmæi, yet in the Septuagint, it is no more then Watchmen; and so in the Arabick and High-Dutch. In the Chalde, Cappadocians, in Symmachus, Medes, and in the French, those of Gamed. Theodotian of old, and Tremellius of late, have retained the Textuary word; and so have the Italian, Low Dutch and English Translators, that is, the Men of Arvad were upon thy Walls round about, and the Gammadims were in thy Towers.

Nor do Men only dissent in the Translation of the word, but in the Expofition of the Sense and Meaning thereof; for some by Gammadims understand a People of Syria, so called from the City of Gamala; some hereby understand the Cappadocians, many the Medes: and hereof Forerius hath a singular Exposition, conceiving the Watchmen of Tyre, might well be called Pygmies, the Towers of that City being so high, that unto Men below, they appeared in a Cubital Stature. Others expound it quite contrary to common Acception, that is not Men of the least, but of the largest fize; so doth Cornelius construe Pygmæi, or Viri Cubitales, that is, not Men of a Cubit high, but of the largest Stature, whose height like that of Giants, is rather to be taken by the Cubit than the Foot; in which phrase we read the measure of Goliah, whose height is said to be six Cubits and a span. Of affinity hereto is also the Exposition of Jerom; not taking Pygmies for Dwarfs, but stout and valiant Champions; not taking the Sense of working, which signifies the Cubit mea-Sure, but that which expresseth Pugils; that is, Men fit for Combat and the Exercise of the Fist. Thus can there be no satisfying illation from this Text. the diversity, or rather contrariety of Expositions and Interpretations, distracting more than confirming the Truth of the Story.

But why Aldrovandus or Caspar Bartholine should bring in St. Austin as a Favourer of this Opinion of Men Pygmies, I see no Reason. To me

<sup>(</sup>c) Sir Thomas Brown's Enquiries into Vulgar Errors, lib. 4. cap. 11. p. 242. (d) Comment. in Hyt. Æthiopic. p. 73.

he seems to assert quite the contrary: For proposing this Question, An ex propagine Adam vel filiorum Noe, quædam genera Hominum Monstrosa prodierunt? He mentions a great many monstrous Nations of Men, as they are described by the Indian Historians, and amongst the rest, the Pygmies, the Sciopodes, &c. And adds, Quid dicam de Cynocephalis, quorum Canina Capita atque ipse Latratus magis Bestias quam Homines consistentur? Sed omnia Genera Hominum, quæ dicuntur esse, esse credere, non est necesse. And afterwards so fully expresses himself in favour of the Hypothesis I am here maintaining, that I think it a great Consistentus non Homines esse, sed Bestias, possent isti Historici de sua Curiositate gloriantes velut Gentes aliquas Hominum nobis impunità vanitate mentiri. At last the concludes and determines the Question thus, Aut illa, quæ talia de quibusdam Gentibus scripta sunt, omnino nulla sunt, aut si sunt, Homines non sunt, aut ex Adam sunt si homines sunt.

There is nothing therefore in St. Austin that justifies the being of Men Pygmies, or that the Pygmies were Men; he rather makes them Apes. And there is nothing in his Scholiast Ludovicus Vives that tends this way, he only quotes from other Authors, what might illustrate the Text he is commenting upon, and no way afferts their being Men. I shall therefore next enquire into Bochartus's Opinion, who would have them to be the Nubæ or Nobæ. Hos Nubas Troglodyticos (saith (e) he) ad Avalitem Sinum effe Pygmæos Veterum multa probant. He gives us five Reafons to prove this. As, 1. The Authority of Hesychius, who saith Nasay Πυγμαίοι. 2. Because Homer places the Pygmies near the Ocean, where the Nubæ were. 3. Aristotle places them at the Lakes of the Nile. Now by the Nile Bochartus tells us, we must understand the Astaboras, which the Ancients thought to be a Branch of the Nile, as he proves from Pliny, Solinus and Æthicus. And Ptolomy (he tells us) places the Nubæ hereabout 4. Because Aristotle makes the Pygmies to be Troglodytes, and so were the Nubæ. 5. He urges that Story of Nonnosus which I have already mentioned, and thinks that those that Nonnosus met with, were a Colony of the Nubæ; but afterwards adds, Quos tamen absit ut putemus Statura fuisse Cubitali, prout Poetæ fingunt, qui omnia in majus augent. But this methinks spoils them from being Pygmies; several other Nations at this rate may be Pygmies as well as these Nuba. Besides, he does not inform us, that these Nubæ used to fight the Cranes; and if they do not, and were not Cubitales, they can't be Homer's Pygmies, which we are enquiring after. But the Notion of their being Men, had so posfessed him, that it put him upon fancying they must be the Nubæ; but tis plain that those in Nonnosus could not be a Colony of the Nubæ; for then the Nubæ must have understood their Language, which the

<sup>(</sup>e) Sam. Bechart. Geograph. Sacra, Part. 1. lib. 2. cap. 23.p. m. 142.

Text faith, none of the Neighbourhood did. And because the Nuba are Troglodytes, that therefore they must be Pygmies, is no Argument at all. For Troglodytes here is used as an Adjective; and there is a fort of Sparrow which is called Passer Troglodytes. Not but that in Africa there was a Nation of Men called Troglodytes, but quite different from our Pygmies. How far Bochartus may be in the right, in gueffing the Lakes of the Nile (whereabout Aristotle places the Pygmies) to be the Fountains of the River Aftaboras, which in his description, and likewise the Map, he places in the Country of the Avalita, near the Mossylon Emporium; I shall not enquire. This I am certain of, he misrepresents Aristotle where he tells us (f), Quamvis in ea fabula hoc saltem verum esse asserat Philosophus, Pufillos Homines in its locis degere: for as I have already observed; Aristotle in that Text saith nothing at all of their being Men: the contrary rather might be thence inferred, that they were Brutes. And Bochart's Translation, as well as Gaza's is faulty here, and by no means to be allowed, viz. Ut aiunt, genus ibi parvum est tam Hominum, quam Equorum; which had Bochartus confidered, he would not have been so fond it may be of his Nuba. And if the Nacon Tuyuain in Helychius are such Pygmies as Bochartus makes his Nubæ, Quos tamen absit ut putemus statura fuisse Cubitali, it will not do our business at all; and neither Homer's Authority, nor Aristotle's does him any Service.

But this Fable of Men Pygmies has not only obtained amongst the Greeks and Indian Historians: the Arabians likewise tell much such Stories of them, as the same learned Bochartus informs us. I will give his Latin Translation of one of them, which he has printed in Arabick alfo: Arabes idem (saith (g) Bochartus) referunt ex cujusdam Græculi side, qui Jacobo Isaaci filio, Sigariensi fertur ita narrasse. Navigabam aliquando in mari Zingitano, & impulit me ventus in quandam Insulam. In cujus Oppidum cum devenissem, reperi Incolas Cubitalis esse statura, & plerosque Coclites. Quorum multitudo in me congregata me deduxit ad Regem suum. Just is, ut Captivus detinerer; & in quandam Caveæ speciem conjectus sum; eos autem aliquando ad bellum instrui cum viderem, dixerunt Hostem imminere, & fore ut propediem ingrueret. Nec multo post Gruum exercitus in eos insurrexit. Atque ideo erant Coclites, quod eorum oculos ha confodissent. Atque Ego, virga assumpta, in cas impetum feci, & ille avolarunt atque aufugerunt; ob quod facinus in honore fui apud illos. This Author, it feems, represents them under the same Misfortune with the Poet, who first mentioned them, as being blind, by having their Eyes peck'd out by their cruel Enemies. Such an Accident possibly might happen now and then, in these bloody Engagements, tho' I wonder the Indian Historians have not taken notice of it. However the Pygmies shewed themselves grateful to their Deliverer, in heaping Honours on him. One would guess,

for their own sakes, they could not do less than make him their Generalissimo; but our Author is modest in not declaring what they were.

Isaac Vossius seems to unsettle all, and endeavours utterly to ruine the whole Story: for he tells us, If you travel all over Africa, you shall not meet with either a Crane or Pygmie : Se mirari (saith (b) Isaac Vossius) Aristotelem, quod tam serio affirmet non esse fabellam, que de Pygmeis & Bello, quod cum Gruibus gerant, narrantur. Si quis totam pervadat Africam, nullas vel Grues vel Pygmæos inveniet. Now one would wonder more at Volfius, that he should affert this of Aristotle, which he never said. And fince Vollius is so mistaken in what he relates of Aristotle; where he might so easily have been in the right, 'tis not improbable, but he may be out in the rest too: For who has travelled all Africa over, that could inform him? And why should he be so peremptory in the Negative, when he had so positive an Affirmation of Aristotle to the contrary? or if he would not believe Aristotle's Authority, methinks he should Aristophanes's, who tells us (i), Σπείρειν όταν μεν Γέραν Β. πρωίζων is τιω λιδυίω μελαχωρή. 'Tis time to sow when the noisy Cranes take their flight into Libya. Observation is likewise made by Hesiod, Theognis, Aratus, and others. And Maximus Tyrius (as I find him quoted in Bochartus) faith, Ai riegνοι Τζ Αιγύπε ώρα θέρες άρις άμεναι, έκ άνεχομεναι το θάλπω, τείνασαι πέρυγας ωσουρ isla, φέρ gray δια τε άερ Φ. ευθύ την Σπυθών γης. i.e. Grues per estatem ex Ægypto abscedentes, quia Calorem pati non possunt, alis velorum instar expansis, per aerem ad Scythicam plagam redà feruntur. fully confirms that Migration of the Cranes that Aristotle mentions.

But Vollius I find, tho' he will not allow the Cranes, yet upon second Thoughts did admit of Pygmies here: For this Story of the Pygmies and the Cranes having made so much noise, he thinks there may be something of truth in it; and then gives us his Conjecture, how that the Pygmies may be those Dwarfs, that are to be met with beyond the Fountains of the Nile; but that they do not fight Cranes but Elephants, and kill a great many of them, and drive a confiderable Traffick for their Teeth with the Jagi, who fell them to those of Congo and the Portuguese. I will give you Vossius's own words; Attamen (faith (k) he) ut solent fabellæ non de nihilo fingi & aliquod plerunque continent veri, id ipsum quoque kic factum esse existimo. Certum quippe est ultra Nili fontes multos reperiri Nanos, qui tamen non cum Gruibus, sed cum Elephantis perpetuum gerant bellum. Præcipuum quippe Eboris commercium in regno magni Macoki per istos transigitur Homunciones; habitant in Sylvis, & mira dexteritate Elephantos sagittis conficiunt. Carnibus vescuntur, Dentes verò Jagis divendunt, illi autem Congentibus & Lusitanis.

 <sup>(</sup>h) Isaac Vossius de Nili aliorumque fluminum Origine, Cap. 18.
 (i) Aristophanes in Nubibus.
 (k) Isaac Vossius ibid.

Job Ludolphus (1) in his Commentary on his Æthiopick History remarks, That there was never known a Nation all of Dwarfs. Nani quippe (faith Ludolphus) Natura quodam errore ex aliis justa statura hominibus generan-Qualis verd ea Gens sit, ex qua ista Natura Ludibria tanta copia proveniant, Vossium docere oportebat, quia Pumiliones Pumiles alios non gignunt, sed plerunque steriles sunt, experientia teste; ut plane non opus habuerunt Doctores Talmudici Nanorum matrimonia prohibere, ne Digitales ex iis nascerentur. Ludolphus it may be is a little too strict with Vossius for calling them Nani; he may only mean a fort of Men in that Country of less Stature than ordinary. And Dapper in his History of Africa, from whom Vossius takes this Account, describes such in the Kingdom of Mokoko, he calls Mimos, and tells us that they kill Elephants. But I fee no reason why Vossius should take these Men for the Pygmies of the Ancients, or think that they gave any occasion or ground for the inventing this Fable, if there was no other reason, this was sufficient, because they were able to kill the Elephants. The Pygmies were scarce a Match for the Cranes; and for them to have encountred an Elephant, were as vain an Attempt, as the Pigmies were guilty of in Philostratus (m), 'who to revenge the Death of Antaus, having found Hercules napping in Libya, " mustered up all their Forces against him. One Phalanx (he tells us) asfaulted his left hand; but against his right hand, that being the stronger, two Phalanges were appointed. The Archers and Slingers befieg-'ed his feet admiring the hugeness of his Thighs: But against his Head, as the A fenal, they raised Batteries, the King himself taking his Post there. They set fire to his Hair, put Reaping-hooks in his Eyes; and that he might not breath, clapp'd Doors to his Mouth and Nostrils; but all the Execution that they could do, was only to awake him, ' which when done, deriding their folly, he gather'd them all up into his Lion's Skin, and carried them (Philostratus thinks) to Euristhenes. This Anteus was as remarkable for his height, as the Pygmies were for their lowness of Stature: For Plutarch (n) tells us, that Q. Sertorius not being willing to trust Common Fame, when he came to Tingis (now Tangier) he caused Anteus's Sepulchre to be opened, and found his Corps full threescore Cubits long. But Sertorius knew well enough how to impose upon the Credulity of the People, as is evident from the Story of his white Hind, which Plutarch likewise relates.

But to return to our Pygmies; tho' most of the great and learned Men would seem to decry this Story as a Fiction and meer Fable, yet there is something of Truth, they think, must have given the first rise to it, and that it was not wholly the product of Phancy, but had some real soundation, tho' disguised according to the different Imagination and Genius

of

<sup>(1)</sup> Job Ludotphus in Comment. in Historiam Æthiopicam, p. m. 71. (m) Philostratus. Icon. lib. 2. p. m. 817. (n) Plutarch. in vita Q. Sertovij.

of the Relator: 'Tis this that has incited them to give their several Conjectures about it. Job Ludolphus finding what has been offered at in Relation to the Pygmies, not to satisfie, he thinks he can better account for this Story, by leaving out the Cranes, and placing in their stead, another fort of Bird he calls the Condor. I will give you his own words: Sed ad Pygmæos (saith (o) Ludolphus) revertamur; fabula de Geranomachia Pygmæorum seu pugna cum Gruibus etiam aliquid de vero trahere videtur, se pro Gruibus Condoras intelligas, Aves in interiore Africa maximas, ut sidem penè excedat; aiunt enim quod Ales ista vitulum Elephanti in Aerem extollere possit; ut infra docebimus. Cum his Pygmæos pugnare, ne pecora sua rapiant, incredibile non est. Error ex eo natus videtur, quod primus Relator, alio vocabulo destitutus, Grues pro Condoris nominârit, sicuti Plautus Picos pro Gryphibus, & Romani Boves lucas pro Elephantis dixere.

'Tis true, if what Juvenal only in ridicule mentions, was to be admitted as a thing really done, that the Cranes could fly away with a Pygnie, as our Kites can with a Chicken, there might be some pretence for Ludovicus's Condor or Cunctor: For he mentions afterwards (p) out of P. Joh. dos Santos the Portuguese, that 'twas observed that one of these Condors once flew away with an Ape, Chain, Clog and all, about ten or twelve pounds weight, which he carried to a neighbouring Wood, and there devoured him. And Garcilasso de la Vega (q) relates that they will seize and fly away with a Child ten or twelve years old. But Juvenal (r) only mentions this in ridicule and merriment, where he saith,

Ad Subitas Thracum volucres, nubemque Sonoram Pygmæos parvis currit Bellator in armis: Mox impar hosti, raptusque per aera curvis Unguibus à Sæva fertur Grue.

Besides, were the Condors to be taken for the Cranes, it would utterly spoil the Pygmæomachia; for where the Match is so very unequal, 'tis impossible for the Pygmies to make the least shew of a sight. Ludolphus puts as great hardships on them, to sight these Condors, as Vossius did, in making them sight Elephants, but not with equal Success; for Vossius's Pygmies made great Slaughters of the Elephants; but Ludolphus his Cranes sweep away the Pygmies, as easily as an Owl would a Monse, and eat them up into the bargain; now I never heard the Cranes were so cruel and barbarous to their Enemies, tho' there are some Nations in the World that are reported to do so.

Moreover, these Condor's I find are very rare to be met with; and

<sup>(9)</sup> Job Ludolphus Comment. in Historiam suam Æthiopic. p. 73. (p) Job Ludolphus ibid. pag. 164. (9) Garcilasso de la Vega Royal Comment. of Peru. (r) Juvenal Satyr. 13, vers. 167.

when they are, they often appear single, or but a few. Now Homer's, and the Cranes of the Ancients, are always represented in Flocks. Thus Oppian (s) as I find him translated into Latin Verse:

Et velut Æthiopum veniunt, Nilique fluenta Turmatim Palamedis Aves, celsæque per altum Aera labentes fugiunt Athlanta nivosum, Pygmæos imbelle Genus, parvumque fatigant, Non perturbato procedunt ordine densæ Instructis volucres obscurant aëra Turmis.

To imagine these Grues a single Gigantick Bird, would much lessen the Beauty of Homer's Simile, and would not have served his turn; and there are none who have borrowed Homer's fancy, but have thought so. I will only farther instance in Baptista Mantuan:

Pygmæi breve vulgus, iners Plebecula, quando Convenere Grues longis in prælia rostris, Sublato clamore fremunt, dumque agmine magno Hostibus occurrit, tellus tremit Indica, clamant Littora, arenarum nimbis absconditur aër; Omnis & involvit Pulvis solemque, Polumque, Et Genus boc Hominum natura imbelle, quietum, Mite, facit Mavors pugnax, immane Cruentum.

Having now confidered and examined the various Opinions of these learned Men concerning this Pygmaomachia; and represented the Reafons they give for maintaining their Conjectures ; I shall beg leave to subjoyn my own: and if what at present I offer, may seem more probable, or account for this Story with more likelyhood, than what hath hitherto been advanced, I shall not think my time altogether mispent: But if this will not do, I shall never trouble my head more about them, nor think my felf any ways concerned to write on this Argument again. And I had not done it now, but upon the occasion of Dissecting this Orang-Outang, or wild Man, which being a Native of Africa, and brought from Angola, tho' first taken higher up in the Country, as I was informed by the Relation given me; and observing so great a Resemblance, both in the outward shape, and, what surprized me more, in the Structure likewise of the inward Parts, to a Man; this Thought was easily suggested to me, That very probably this Animal, or some other such of the same Species, might give the first rise and occasion to the Stories of the Pygmies. What has been the mpwow 1500G, and rendered this Story so difficult to be believed, I find hath been the Opinion that has generally

obtained, that these Pygmies were really a Race of little Men. And tho' they are only Brutes, yet being at first call'd wild Men, no doubt from the Resemblance they bear to Men; there have not been wanting those especially amongst the Ancients, who have invented a hundred ridiculous Stories concerning them; and have attributed those things to them, were they to be believed in what they say, that necessarily conclude them real Men.

To fum up therefore what I have already discoursed, I think I have proved, that the Pygmies were not an Humane Species or Men. And tho' Homer, who first mentioned them, calls them and ges my praise, yet we need not understand by this Expression any thing more than Apes: And tho' his Geranomachia hath been look'd upon by most only as a Poetical Fiction; yet by affigning what might be the true Cause of this Quarrel between the Cranes and Pygmies, and by divesting it of the many fabulous Relations that the Indian Historians, and others, have loaded it with. I have endeavoured to render it a true, at least a probable Story. I have instanced in Ctesias and the Indian Historians, as the Authors and Inventors of the many Fables we have had concerning them: Particularly, I have Examined those Relations, where Speech or Language is attributed to them; and shewn, that there is no reason to believe, that they ever spake any Language at all. But these Indian Historians having related so many extravagant Romances of the Pygmies, as to render their whole History suspected, nay to be utterly denied, that there were ever any fuch Creatures as Pygmies in Nature, both by Strabo of old, and most of our Learned Men of late, I have endeavoured to affert the Truth of their being, from a Text in Aristotle; which being so positive in affirming their Existence, creates a difficulty, that can no ways be got over by fuch as are of the contrary Opinion. This Text I have vindicated from the false Interpretations and Glosses of several Great Men, who had their Minds fo prepoffesfed and prejudiced with the Notion of Men Pygmies, that they often would quote it, and misapply it, tho' it contain'd nothing that any ways favoured their Opinion; but the contrary rather, that they were Brutes, and not Men.

And that the Pygmies were really Brutes, I think I have plainly proved out of Herodotus and Philostratus, who reckon them amongst the wild Beasts that breed in those Countries: For tho' by Herodotus they are call'd ἄνδρες ἄγειοι, and Philostratus calls them ἀνθρώπες μέλανας, yet both make them Απεία or wild Beasts. And I might here add what Pausanias (t) relates from Euphemus Car, who by contrary Winds was driven upon some Islands, where he tells us, & παύταις οἰκείν ἄνδεας ἀγείες, but when he comes to describe them, tells us that they had no Speech;

that they had Tails on their Rumps; and were very lascivious toward the Women in the Ship. But of these more, when we come to discourse of Satyrs.

And we may the less wonder to find that they call Brutes Men, since 'twas common for these Historians to give the Title of Men, not only to Brutes, but they were grown so wanton in their Inventions, as to describe several Nations of Monstrous Men, that had never any Being, but in their own Imagination, as I have instanced in several. I therefore excuse Strabo for denying the Pygmies, since he could not but be convinced, they could not be such Men, as these Historians have described them. And the better to judge of the Reasons that some of the Moderns have given to prove the Being of Men Pygmies, I have laid down as Postulata's, that hereby we must not understand Dwarfs, nor yet a Nation of Men, tho' somewhat of a lesser size and stature than ordinary; but we must observe those two Characteristicks that Homer gives of them, that they are Cubitales, and fight Cranes.

Having premised this, I have taken into consideration Caspar Bartholine Senior his Opusculum de Pygmeis, and Jo. Talentonius's Differtation about them; and upon examination do find, that neither the Humane Authorities, nor Divine that they alledge, do any ways prove, as they pretend, the Being of Men Pygmies. St. Austin, who is likewise quoted on their side, is so far from favouring this Opinion, that he doubts whether any such Creatures exist, and if they do, concludes them to be Apes or Monkeys; and censures those Indian Historians for imposing such Beasts. upon us, as distinct Races of Men. Julius Casar Scaliger, and Isaac Ca-saubon, and Adrian Spigelius utterly deny the Being of Pygmies, and look: upon them as a Figment only of the Ancients, because such little Men as they describe them to be are no where to be met with in all the World. The Learned Bochartus, tho' he esteems the Geranomachia to be a Fable, and flights it, yet thinks that what might give the occasion to the Story of the Pygmies, might be the Nubæ or Nobæ; as Isaac Vossius conjectures. that it was those Dwarfs beyond the Fountains of the Nile, that Dapper calls the Mimos, and tells us, they kill Elephants for to make a Traffick with their Teeth. But Job Ludolphus alters the Scene, and instead of Cranes, substitutes his Condors, who do not fight the Pygmies, but fly away with them, and then devour them.

Now all these Conjectures do no ways account for Homer's Pygmies and Cranes, they are too much forced and strain'd. Truth is always easie and plain. In our present Case therefore I think the Orang-Outang, or mild Man, may exactly supply the place of the Pygmies, and without any violence or injury to the Story, sufficiently account for the whole History of the Pygmies, but what is most apparently sabulous; for what has been the greatest difficulty to be solved or satisfied, was their being Men.

Men; for as Gesner remarks (as I have already quoted him) Sed veterum nullus aliter de Pygmæis scripsit, qu'am Homunciones esse. And the Moderns too, being byassed and misguided by this Notion, have either wholly denied them, or contented themselves in offering their Conjectures what might give the sirst rise to the inventing this Fable. And tho' Albertus, as I find him frequently quoted, thought that the Pygmies might be only a sort of Apes, and he is placed in the Head of those that espoused this Opinion, yet he spoils all, by his way of reasoning, and by making them speak; which was more than he needed to do.

I cannot fee therefore any thing that will fo fairly folve this doubt, that will reconcile all, that will so easily and plainly make out this Story, as by making the Orang-Outang to be the Pygmie of the Ancients; for 'tis the same Name that Antiquity gave them. For Herodotus's and pes are so, what can they be else, than Homines Sylvestres, or wild Men? as they are now called. And Homer's and pes muyuain, are no more an Humane Kind, or Men, than Herodotus's and pes ayelos, which he makes to be Ingla, or wild Beafts: And the avopes unegl or medaves (as they are often called) were just the same. Because this fort of Apes had so great a resemblance to Men, more than other Apes or Monkeys; and they going naturally erect, and being designed by Nature to go so, (as I have shewn in the Anatomy) the Ancients had a very plaulible ground for giving them this denomination of and pes or and ew moi, but commonly they added an Epithet; as azeloi, muzel, mymaioi, médares, or some fuch like. Now the Ancient Greek and Indian Historians, tho' they might know these Pygmies to be only Apes like Men, and not to be real Men, yet being so extreamly addicted to Mythology, or making Fables, and finding this fo fit a Subject to engraft upon, and invent Stories about, they have not been wanting in furnishing us with a great many very Romantick ones on this occasion. And the Moderns being imposed upon by them, and misguided by the Name of and pes or avβρωποι, as if thereby must be always understood an Humane Kind, or real Men, they have altogether mistaken the Truth of the Story, and have either wholly denied it, or rendered it as improbable by their own Con-

This difficulty therefore of their being called Men, I think, may fairly enough be accounted for by what I have said. But it may be objected that the Orang-Outang, or these wild or savage Men are not requally, or Trispithami, that is, but two Foot and a quarter high, because by some Relations that have been given, it appears they have been observed to be of a higher stature, and as tall as ordinary Men. Now tho' this may be allowed as to these wild Men that are bred in other places; and probably enough likewise, there are such in some Parts of the Continent of Africa; yet 'tis sufficient to our business if there are any there, that will come within our Dimensions; for our Scene lies in Africa; where Strabo observes, that generally the Beasts are of a less size than ordinary; and

this

this he thinks might give the rife to the Story of the Pygmies. For, faith he (u), Tà j βοσκημαία αὐτοῖς ἐςὶ μικεά, πεοβαία κὸ αίγες, κὸ κύνες μικερί, τεσεχείς ή τη μάχιμοι (οἰκενίες μικεοί δίνιες) τάχα ή τές πυγμαίες δίπο & τετων μικε οφυίας επενθησαν, η, ανεπλασαν. i.e. That their Beafts are small. as their Sheep, Goats and Oxen, and their Dogs are small, but hairy and fierce: and it may be (saith he) from the pune oquia or littleness of the stature of these Animals, they have invented and imposed on us the Pygmies. And then adds, That no body fit to be believed ever faw them; because he fancied, as a great many others have done, that these Pygmies must be real Men, and not a fort of Brutes. Now fince the other Brutes in this Country are generally of a less fize than in other Parts, why may not this fort of Ape, the Orang-Outang, or wild Man, be so likewise. Aristotle speaking of the Pygmies, saith, www. muneov mir is aurol, is of strator That both they and the Horses there are but small. He does not say their Horses, for they were never mounted upon Horses, but only upon Partridges, Goats and Rams. And as the Horses, and other Beasts are naturally less in Africa than in other Parts, so likewise may the Orang-Outang be. This that I diffected, which was brought from Angola (as I have often mentioned) wanted something of the just stature of the Pygmies; but it was young, and I am therefore uncertain to what tallness it might grow, when at full Age: And neither Tulpius, nor Gaffendus, nor any that I have hitherto met with, have adjusted the full stature of this Animal that is found in those Parts from whence ours was brought: But 'tis most certain, that there are forts of Apes that are much less than the Pygmies are described to be. And, as other Brutes, so the Ape-kind, in, different Climates, may be of different Dimensions; and because the other Brutes here are generally small, why may not they be so likewise. Or if the difference should be but little, I see no great reason in this case, why we should be over-nice, or scrupulous.

As to our Ape Pygmies or Orang-Outang fighting the Cranes, this, I think, may be easily enough made out, by what I have already observed; for this wild Man I dissected was Carnivorous, and it may be Omnivorous, at least as much as Man is; for it would eat any thing that was brought to the Table. And if it was not their Hunger that drove them to it, their Wantonness, it may be, would make them apt enough to rob the Cranes Nests; and if they did so, no doubt but the Cranes would make noise enough about it, and endeavour what they could to beat them off, which a Poet might easily make a Fight: Tho' Homer only makes use of it, as a Simile, in comparing the great Shouts of the Trojans to the Noise of the Cranes, and the Silence of the Greeks to that of the Pygmies when they are going to Engage, which is natural enough, and very just, and contains nothing, but what may easily be believed; tho

upon this account he is commonly exposed, and derided, as the Inventor of this Fable; and that there was nothing of Truth in it, but that 'twas wholly a Fiction of his own.

Those Pygmies that Paulus Jovius (w) describes, tho' they dwell at a great distance from Africa, and he calls them Men, yet are so like Apes, that I cannot think them any thing else. I will give you his own words: Ultra Lapones (faith he) in Regione inter Corum & Aquilonem perpetua oppressa Caligine Pygmaos reperiri, aliqui eximiæ fidei testes retulerunt; qui postqu'am ad summum adoleverint, nostratis Pueri denum annorum Mensuram vix excedunt. Meticulosum genus hominum, & garritu Sermonem exprimens, adeo ut tam Simiæ propingui, quam statura ac sensibus ab justa Proceritatis homine remoti videantur. Now there is this Advantage in our Hypothesis, it will take in all the Pygmies, in any part of the World, or wherever they are to be met with, without suppofing, as some have done, that 'twas the Cranes that forced them to quit their Quarters; and upon this account feveral Authors have described them in different places: For unless we suppose the Cranes so kind to them, as to waft them over, how came we to find them often in Islands? But this is more than can be reasonably expected from so great Enemies.

I shall conclude by observing to you, that this having been the Common Error of the Age, in believing the Pygmies to be a fort of little Men, and it having been handed down from so great Antiquity, what might contribute farther to the confirming this Mistake, might be, the Imposture of the Navigators, who failing to these Parts where these Apes are, they have embalmed their Bodies, and brought them home, and then made the People believe that they were the Men of those Countries from whence they came. This M. P. Venetus assures us to have been done; and 'tis not unlikely: For, saith he (+), Abundat quoque Regio ipsa (Sc. Basman in Java majori) diversis Simiis magnis & parvis, hominibus simillimis, hos capiunt Venatores & totos depilant, nise quod in barba & in loco secreto Pilos relinguunt, & occisos speciebus Aromaticis condiunt, & postea desiccant, venduntque Negociatoribus, qui per diversas Orbis Partes Corpora illa deferentes, homines persuadent Tales Homunciones in Maris In-Sulis reperiri. Joh. Jonston (x) relates the same thing, but without quoting the Author; and as he is very apt to do, commits a great mistake, in telling us, pro Homunculis marinis venditant.

I shall only add, That the Servile Offices that these Creatures are obferved to perform, might formerly, as it does to this very day, impose upon Mankind to believe, that they were of the same Species with them-

felves;

<sup>(</sup>W) Paul. Jovij de Legatione Muschovitar. lib.p. m.489. (†) M. Pauli Veneti de Regionibus Orieneal. lib. 3. cap. 15. p. m. 390. (X) Jo. Jonsten. Hist. Nat. de Quadruped. p. m. 139.

felves; but that only out of fullenness or cunning, they think they will not speak, for fear of being made Slaves. Philostratus (y) tells us, That the Indians make use of the Apes in gathering the Pepper; and for this Reason they do defend and preserve them from the Lions, who are very greedy of preying upon them: And altho' he calls them Apes, yet he speaks of them as Men, and as if they were the Husbandmen of the Pepper Trees, η τὰ δένδεα αι πιπτερίδες, ων γεωργοί πίθηκοι. And he calls them the People of Apes; & Neyelay molinar oineir diped en puyois To coss. Dapper ( z ) tells us, That the Indians take the Baris when joung, and make them so tame, that they will do almost the work of a Slave; for they commonly go erect as Men do. They will beat Rice in a Mortar, carry Water in a Pitcher, &c. And Gassendus (a) in the Life of Pieresky, tells us, That they will play upon a Pipe or Cittern, or the like Musick, they will sweep the House, turn the Spit, beat in a Mortar, and do other Offices in a Family. And Acosta, as I find him quoted by Garcilasso de la Vega (b) tells us of a Monkey he saw at the Governour's House at Cartagena, whom they sent often to the Tavern for Wine, with Money in one ' hand, and a Bottle in the other; and that when he came to the Ta-' vern, he would not deliver his Money, until he had received his "Wine. If the Boys met with him by the way, or made a houting or noise after him, he would set down his Bottle, and throw Stones at them; and having cleared the way, he would take up his Bottle, and hasten home. And tho' he loved Wine excessively, yet he would onot dare to touch it, unless his Master gave him License. A great many Instances of this Nature might be given that are very surprising. And in another place tells us, That the Natives think that they can speak, but will not, for fear of being made to work. And Bontius (c) mentions that the Javans had the same Opinion concerning the Orang-Outang, Loqui verò eos, easque Javani aiunt, sed non velle, ne ad labores cogerentur.

<sup>(</sup>y) Philostratus in vita Apollonij Tyanai, lib. 3. cap. 1. p. m. 110, & 111.

(z) Dapper Description de l'Afrique, p. m. 249.

(a) Gassendus in vita Pierskij, lib. 5. p. m. 169.

(b) Garcilasso de la Vega Royal Commentaries of Peru, lib. 8. cap. 18. p. 1333.

(c) Jac. Bontij Hist. Nat. & Med. lib. 5. cap. 32. p. m. 85.

A

# Philological Essay

Concerning the

### CYNOCEPHALI

OF THE

## ANCIENTS.

#### Of the Cynocephali of the Ancients.

IS not that I think there are any at present so mistaken, as to believe the Cynocephali to be a Race of Men, that I write this Essay: 'tis so notoriously known that they are Monkeys, or rather Baboons, that 'tis needless to go about to prove it, 'tis what even the Ancients themselves have sufficiently confessed. That which induces me to mention them, is to shew how fond the Ancients were of inventing Fables; and Ctessas, who hath told us such fine Stories of the Pygmies, whom he makes to be little Men, tho' indeed they are only a fort of Apes; when he comes to discourse of the Cynocephali, which are a fort of Baboons, and far less like Men than the Pygmies are, to perswade us that these likewise are a Race of Men; he is obliged to exercise his Inventive Faculty with more force, to use much bolder strokes, and by roundly afferting so many incredible Things, to amuse our Imaginations, he hopes at least to give the Reader Entertainment, tho' he misses his Design of gaining our belief.

I will give you Ctesias's own words, that you may see I do not abuse him,

him, as he hath done Mankind, in most of the Natural History that he hath left us; for as (a) Photius informs us, Ctesias tells us

Έν τοῖς 🕽 τοῖς όρεσι φησίν ανθρώπες Βιοίδθειν, πιωδς έχονίας περάλίω. Έλθητας ή φορέσιν όπ τη άγείων θη-ບໄໝາ: ອຸໝາໄພ ກຼີ ອາລຸກະກຸດທົງ ຮໍອີະເມລາ , ຂໍກາ ພໍວຸປຸດທົງ ພິດຫະຊ ກຸປຸທະຊາ ກຸ່ ຮັກພ ຫຼຸ ກາລົດນ ແມ່ງໃນ ກີ ອຸພາໄພ. "Osovlas ກູ້ μείζες έχεσι κιινός, η τες όνυχας δριοίws, newwo, maneglepes i is segyoholepus. Olnun jer tolk open, mexet 78 थि के मार्गिक मार्गिक मही की की की में δίναιοι πάνυ, ώσσες κ) οί άλλοι 'Ινδοί, ois [ ம் ] 6 சாய்லாய் இ. Kai எய்புள் μέν τὰ πας εκείνων λεγόμενα, αυτοί છે કે δύναν β διαλέγεσαμ : αλλά τή ορυγή η ταις χεροί, η τοις δακθύλοις σημαίνουση, ώσοξε οί κωροί κ, άλαλοι. אמאטננים בי שנים לול "ועלטי מפאטיקפונו, άπες όξιν έλλιωις ι κιωοκέραλοι. Τὸ j idva igiv, Ews dudera merá-

que gentis capita numerari ad centum & viginti millia. And a little afterwards he adds,

Οπ οἱ κιωοκέφαλοι οἰκοιώτες ἐν τοῖς ὅρεσιν, ἐκ ἐργάζονὶαμ: ἀπὸ πίσας ἢ ζῶσιν. Οτ' ἀν [δὲ] ἀποκιείνωσιν αὐτὰ, ἐπῶσι πεὰς π κλιον. Τρέφεσι ἢ ἢ πεὰκατα πολλὰ, ἢ ἄιγας, ἢ ὅἰς. Πίνεσι ἢ γάλα ἢ ὁξύγαλα τῷ πεὰκαταν. Ἐδίκσι ἢ ἢ καρπὰν τἔ σιπαχόρε, ἀρ' ἔ τὸ ἤλεκίες ν: γλυχύς γδ τὰ ἔρράπεσιν, ὥαπες ἐν τοῖς ἔλλισι τὶω καρίὰα. Οἱ ἢ κιωοκέραλοι χεδίαν ποιποάμενοι, καὶ ὅπιθένίες, ἀπάγεσι φόριον τέτε. Καὶ τῆς πορφύρας. τὸ ἀνθος καθαρὰν ποιήσανίες, ἢ τἕ ἤλέκίρε ξ' ἢ σ' τάλανία τἕ ἐνισιτε' ἢ ἔτω

Degere iisdem bisce in montibus homines memorat canino capite, qui ferarum pellibus vestiantur. Sermone hos nullo uti, canum tantum more latratum edere, atque ita mutud sese intelligere. Dentes illis esse quam canibus majores, & caninos similiter ungues, sed longiores, ac rotundiores. Montes incolere ad Indum fluvium usque, & colore esse nigro, insignésque justitiæ cultores, ceterorum Indorum more, inter quos versentur. Intelligere quoque que ab Indis dicantur, licet ipsi loqui minime possint, ut propterea latratu, manibus, atque digitis signa dent, que mad modum ferè Surdi ac muti solent. Vocari hos ab Indis Calystrios, quod Graci dicerent newokepanes, id est, Canicipites, [carnibus eosdem vesci crudis] totius-

Narrat insuper hos Cynocephalos in montibus habitantes nullum exercere opificium; sed de venatione vivere, ferasque quas occiderint ad solem torrere. Magnam nihilominus pecoris copiam alere, caprarumque G ovium: quarum quidem ovium lac atque oxygala propotu illis sit. Vesci tamen etiam Sipachoræ fructuze qua,uti dictum est, arbore succinum emanat. dulcem enim illum esse. Hunc item illos fructum arefactum in corbes constipare, ad eum modum quo uvas passas Græci. Eosdem illos Cynocephalos ratem quoq3 extemporariam construere, qua impositum bujus fructus onus, ut & purpuræ (sed purgato prius ejus flore) itémque electri, ad ducenta & sexaginta talenको powincov Bante) यह paquans, हम्हन् τοσαύτα. Καὶ ἡλίκηρε χίλια τάλαντα वे मयें प्रका मुखी देश जारांग में "Ivdair Bao!λεί. Καὶ έπερα ή καθάγονθες πωλέσι τοις Ινδοίς, πρός άρτες η άλριλα ή ξύλινα ιμάτια. Πωλθσιή κζέρη, οίς xpind negs it is melwe ayege, is τόξα η απόνλια. Πάνυ γδ η δεινοί εἰ-σὶν αποντίζειν η τοξείζειν Απολέμη-का है। होने, ठावें के ग्ले ग्ले ब्रोम को महेड़ देन्ह्य वे Ε ατα η ύξηλα. Δίδασι ή σώτοις διά πεμπί ετους δίεσε ο Βασιλούς, λ' μεν μωριάδας τόξων, η αποντίων τοσούτας, אדא ארון ב לשלבועבי על בולח ב אבאדמונוםμύθια. Τέτοις τοίς κιμοκεράλοις έκ होंगे गोरांदा, बेरेरे के कामरेकांगड़ मिया किंगे. Onpolison 3 ra Inela, rozolionres is αποντίζοντες, η διώκοντες καταλαμδά-ישטו דמצט של דףלצודו אצטושים לן מו วูเผลัทธุร อยาที่ ลิทธรุ าธิ แทงธุร, อานง τα καταμένια αυτής έλ. Οπ' αλλοτε δι हैं. Oi j ਕੱνδρες है त्रहार महेंग महेंग, नवेंद्र की xeigas dowizor). Exala de xparo Tels TE wwos, नहीं वेलां TE jahanlow γανομένω, η σαλείδου θέρμασι. Τίω δε εσθήτα έγεσιν έ δασείαν, άλλα λιλών τω μασλημάτων, ώς λεπω-Tatav, से, autol से, ai yuuaikes aut f. Οί δε πλεσιώτατοι αὐτί, λίνα φορβσιν έτοι δε είση όλίγοι. Κλίναι δε αύτοις έκ είσιν, άλλα ςιδάδας ποιβν.). Quitos d'auril misorienal Quopile हा), के वेर को लेडिय महंदेवक में : में में άλλη έσια, παραπλησία. Ούρου δε έγεσι πάντες κ, άνδρες κ γιωαίκες, ζονα δε η δασυτέραν. Και μίσγου) Tais yungi Tilea modisi, wave of xuves. बेरेरेकड़ में mynnay autois, हिरोप बोgeor. Dinasos de cios, is mane obisτατοι πάντων άνθρώπων: ζώσι οδ έτη P R O. EVIOL DE OUTE R T.

ta quotannis avebunt; additis talentis totidem illius Pigmenti, quo infectores puniceum colorem inducunt. Electri præterea mille talenta quotannis ad Indorum regem advehere. Immà & alia plura devehere ad Indos venalia, pro quibus vicissim panes, farinam, & xylinas vestes accipiant. Habere quoque enses venales, quibus ipsi ad venatum utuntur, cum arcubus & jaculis. Peritissimos enim esse jaculandi atque sagittandi: & præterca etiam, quod montes habitent altos atque inaccessos, bello insuperabiles. Regem ipsis pro munere quinto quoque anno præbere trecenta arcuum, totidemque jaculorum millia ; jam peltarum centum viginti, & gladiorum quinquaginta millia. Nullas item apud hos esse domos, sed in antris degere. In venatione jaculis potissimum feras, vel sagittis petere ; easdemque persequendo, quod cursus velocitate præstent, etiam assegui. Horum uxores semel duntaxat per mensem, cum menstrua patiuntur, lavare; alias nunquam. Neque viros unquam omnino lavare, sed manus tantummodo abluere. Oleo tamen ex lacte confecto ter Saltem mensibus singulis ungi, & pellibus deinde abstergi. Veste ad bæc uti, non villosa, sed è glabris maceratisq; pellibus quam tenuissimis, ipsos æque atque uxores. Exceptis forte ditissimis inter eos, & iis quidem pancis, qui lineos gestent amictus. Nec item lectorum novisse usum eos, qui extemporaneos sibi toros exstruant. Hunc apud eos ditissimum haberi, qui plurimum habeat pecoris, ac reliquas opes his propemodum esse similes. Caudam insuper habere omnes, tam viros quam

mulieres, supra clunes, caninæ, similem, nist quòd major sit, & pilis densior. Quadrupedes item hos, canum more, cum mulieribus congredi aliúmque congrediendi modum omnem pro turpi habere. Justissimos eosdem esse,
witæq; reliquos inter homines longissimæ. Vivere namq; ad centesimum usq; &
septuagesimum, nonnullosq; ad ducentissimum quoq; annum.

i. e.

'i. e. In these Mountains (saith Ctessas) live certain Men, who have 'Heads like Dogs, are cloathed with Skins of wild Beasts, speak no Language, but bark like Dogs, and thereby understand one another. They have Teeth larger than Dogs; and Nails like Dogs, but longer and rounder. They dwell up in the Mountains, as far as the River Indus; they are black and very just, as are the other Indians with whom they are mixt; and they understand what is said to them, tho' they cannot speak themselves. But by their Barking, and their Hands and Fingers, they signific their Minds, as Deaf and Dumb Men do. They are called by the Indians, Calystrij, which in Greek is Cynocephali. The 'whole Nation is an hundred and twenty Thousand in number.

These Cynocephali that inhabit the Mountains, do not work, but live ' upon Hunting; and when they kill any wild Beast, they rost it in the They breed a great many Sheep, Goats and Asses; and drink the Milk and Butter-milk of the Sheep. They eat likewise the Fruit of the ' Sipachora Tree, from whence comes Ambar, the Fruit whereof is sweet, which having dried, they put up in Baskets, as the Greeks do Raisins. 'These Cynocephali having made a Boat, they load it with this Fruit, and with Purpura, the Flower being first picked, and with Ambar, to the quantity of Two hundred and fixty Talents, which they every Year thip off, and as much too of the Drug, with which the Dyers dye the Scarlet; and they carry every Year a Thousand Talents of Ambar to the King of India, and they take with them other Commodities, ' which they fell to the Indians; for which they receive Bread, and ' Meal and Cotton Garments. And the Indians fell them likewise Swords, ' which they use in taking the wild Beasts; and Bows and Darts, for they 'are very skilful Archers and Darters. They are invincible, because ' they inhabit very high, and inaccessible Mountains. Every fifth year, the King bestows upon them Three hundred thousand Bows, and as ' many Darts: Also an Hundred and twenty thousand Shields, and Fifty 'thousand Swords. They have no Houses, but live in Caves. In hunt-'ing the wild Beasts, they use their Bows and Darts, and pursuing them, they take them; for they run very swift. The Women bathe only once a Month, when they have their Catamenia, otherwise not. 'The Men don't bathe, but only wash their hands; but they anoint 'themselves three times in a Month with Oyl made of Milk, and rub themselves with Hides. The Cloaths both of the Men and Women are onot hairy, but Skins macerated smooth, and made very thin. The 'richest of them wear Linnen, but those are but few. They have no Beds, but lye upon Straw or Leaves. He is esteemed the richest amongst them, who hath most Sheep, or such like Substance. They have 'all, both Men and Women, Tails on their Rumps, like Dogs, but larger and more hairy; and like Dogs too, they lye with their Women on all four, and they think itunbecoming to do otherwise. They are

' just, and the longest lived of any Men, for they live an Hundred and 'seventy, and some of them Two hundred years.

Had not Ctesias made such a Solemn Asseveration of the Truth of all that he had wrote, that Apology that Strabo(b) makes for the Poets, might excuse him, Palve) & (saith Strabo) &v. Die on posses nagantineous excuse him, Palve) & (saith Strabo) &v. Die on posses nagantineous excuse him, palve) of the Statim enim apparet eos fabulas admiscere, non ob verorum ignorationem, sed delectationis causa, monstra & alia que esse non possunt, singentes. For our Historian had as good a Talent at Fiction as any of the Poets. And tho Historian had as good a Talent at Fiction as any of the Poets. And the Unoculi, as the same Strabo there tells us, first invented the Story of the Cynocephali, or Canicipites, as likewise the Pectoroculati and the Unoculi, as Hesiod and Homer did that of the Pygmies; yet I can't but think he hath as far out-done the Original in what he relates of the Cynocephali, as he did in the Account he gives of the Pygmies.

These Cynocephali by (c) Ælian are called ἄνθρωποι πυνοπεόσωποι, and he gives this Relation of them, as I find him translated by Conrad. Gesner, who is more faithful in rendering him than Pet. Gillius, Ultra Oasin Ægypti, solitudo maxima ad septem dierum iter extenditur. Eam excipit Regio quam Cynoprosopi Homines incolunt, in via Æthiopiam versus. Vivunt illi Caprarum & Bubalidum venatu. Aspectus iis niger, Caput & Dentes Canis. Quod Animal, quum referant, non absurda eorum (quamquam Hominum) boc in loco existimanda est mentio. Nam & Sermonis usu carent, & acuto quodam stridore sonant. Barbam insta supraque os gerunt, Draconum quadam similitudine. Manus eorum validis & acutissimis unguibus armantur. Corpus omne birsutum est, hoc etiam instar Canum. Sunt autem pernicissimi, & aquas Regionis norunt; atque eam ob causam, difficiles captu.

Now tho Alian calls them here Men, yet where he describes them before, even out of Ctesias, he plainly tells us they are not Men, but only Brutes, because they cannot speak, but only bark. I will give you (d) Gesner's Translation of this Passage: In eodem India tractu, ubi Canthari (†) jam dicti, Cynocephali etiam reperiuntur: quibus à facie & Corporis specie nomen inditum, catera fere humana habent: & vestiti pellibus ferarum ingrediuntur. Justi sunt, Hominum nemini molesti aut injurij, non Sermone sed ululatu sonant. Indorum tamen linguam intelligunt. Venatione Animalium ferorum vivunt, qua ut sunt celerrimi; facile consecuti interimunt, & srustatim divisa ad solem assunt. Capras etiam & oves alunt, ut

<sup>(</sup>b) Strabo Geograph.lib.1.p.m.29. (c) Ælian. Hist. de Animal. lib. 10. cap.25. p.m.601. (d) Ælian. Hist. de Animal. lib. 4. cap. 46. p. m. 239. (†) These Canthari are that sort of Scaribaus we call a Lady-Cow, and I have formerly given a Figure of, in Philosoph. Transact. N. 176. p. 1202. from the Worm or Chrysalis of which, come the Cochineel, for dying Scarlet, of which there is a good account here in Ælian out of Ctesias.

ex lacte potu fruantur. Horum inter Animantes rationis expertes non temere mentionem feci, articulato enim, discretoque & humano Sermone non utuntur.

But 'twas for want of Education, it may be, and by their living wild in the Woods, they lost their Learning and their Speech; for the Ægyptians in the time of the Ptolomies took more care of them; and as the same Ælian relates, they taught them Letters, and to Dance, and to play upon Musick: Nor were they ungrateful to their Masters; for they beg'd a great deal of Money, which they carefully put up into a Bag, to reward them for the pains they had taken with them. For thus, saith (e) Ælian, as Gesner translates him; Animalia Disciplinae idonea hac esse percepi. Regnantibus Ptolomeis Cynocephalos Ægyptij literas, & saltare, for pulsare Citharam docebant. Tum verò unusquispiam Cynocephalorum mercedem, Domini nomine sic scitè tanquam peritus aliquis Mendicus exigebat. Et id quod dabatur in Marsupium, quod ferebat, appensum, congerebat.

I could not but take the more notice of this passage in Ælian, because the Cynocephali are always represented to be of a fierce and untractable Nature; which seems their particular Character: For saith Aristotle, as I have quoted him already (f), Kal οι πιωοπέραλοι δε τίω αὐτίω έχεσι μιοςρίω τοίς πιθήποις, πλίω μείζονες τ' είσι, κ' ίχυρότεροι, κ' πά πρόσωπα έχοντες κυνοειδές ερα. \*Επ δε άγριώτερα τε τα ήθη, η τες οδόνλας έχεσι πυνοειδες έρες η legue gripus. i. e. The Cynocephali are of the same shape with Monkeys, but they are bigger and stronger, and they have a Face more like a Dog's, and are of a fiercer Nature, and have Teeth more like a Dog's, and stronger. And fo (g) Pliny, Efferacior Cynocephalis Natura sicut Satyris: And (b) Solinus, Cynocephali & ipst sunt è numero simiarum, in Æthiopiæ parte frequentissimi : violenti ad saltum, feri morsu, nunquam ita mansueti, ut non sint magis rabidi. And (i) Diodorus Siculus describes them after the same manner : Οι δι δνομαζόμενοι πυνοπέραλοι τοῖς μεν σώμασιν ανθρώποις δυσειδέσι παρεμφερείς είσι, ταϊς δε φωναϊς μιν μικς ανθρωπίνες περίεν) άγειώταλα δε ταύτα τά ζωα, η πανελώς ἀπθάσσελία καιθεςώτα, των δπό την όφρύων πε όσοψη σώς ηεστέραν έχει ταϊς δε Απλείαις ιδιώταθον συμβαίνει, το τω μήτεαν έκτος τε σώμαίο φέρειν απανία τ χρόνον. i. e. Qui Cynocephali (à Canino Capite) dicuntur, Corporis aspectum Hominum deformium instar habent, quorum vocenz mussitatione tantum exprimunt. Apprime ferox est boc Animal, nec ullo cicurationem pacto admittit, & vultum à superciliis austerioribus præsert. Singulare quiddam famellis accidit; quod vulvam perpetud extra Corpus projectam habent. And Agatharcides in (k) Photius gives just the same description of them: O de newonipan (faith he) to mer ou ma artpuns duoted is 200-

<sup>(</sup>e) Ælian. Hist. de Anim. lib. 6. cap. 10. p. 331. (f) Vid.pag. 3. (g 7. of the Anatomy. (h) Plinij. Hist. Nat. lib. 8. cap. 54. p. 243. (h) Solini Polyhistor. cap. 27. p. m. 39. (i) Diodori Siculi Biblioth. Histor. lib. 3. p. m. 168. (k) Photij Biblioth. Cap. 38. Cod. 250. p. m. 1364.

γεάρω, τὸ πείσωπον δὲ καυὸς φωνίω δὲ ἀρίποι μυγμῷ παραπλησίαν ἄγριον δὲ λωβολη κὰ τελώως ἀτιθάος ευίον, κὰ τιω ὅψιν ἐμφαῖνον δπό τε τῶν ὁρρίων καὶ τῶν ὁμιμάτων αὐς ηράν. Περλ μὲν τὰ ἄβρενα ταῦτα. Τῶ δὲ λήλω πρόσκως) καὶ τὸ τὶω μήτραν ἔξω τὸ σώμαι. Θορεῖν, καὶ οῦτω διαχίνωδαι πάντα τὰ είν. i. e. In Cynocephalo Hominis Corpus, specie turpis, adumbratur. Canina ei facies, vocem stridori Muris non dissimilem exprimit. Sed immodice ferum est Animal, nec ullo modo cicuratur: vultumque à superciliis & oculis austerum prodit. Ita Mas comparatus est. Fæmineo generi hoc est proprium, ut uterum extra Corpus gestet, eoque habitu totam exigat vitam.

Salmasius (1) remarks that Agatharcides borrowed this Passage, as he hath some others likewise, from Diodorus Siculus. But that these Relations of Ctesias that are so extravagant and wild, should be copied from him, by so many and noted Authors too, seems somewhat strange. Yet we find Ælian, Pliny, Solinus, and a great many others have done it; tho' they have added by it little Credit to their Histories, and no doubt much lessened their own Reputation by transcribing the Errors of their Predecessors. In the History therefore of Nature we must not depend upon the Authority of the Number of those that only transcribe the same thing, without duly examining the Matter themselves: For the Authority here wholly depends on the veracity of the first Relator: And if what Ctesias saith is false, tho' never so many say the same thing from him, they must all be in the wrong. Especially in transcribing the Ancients, and believing their Reports, we ought to be very cautious, fince 'twas a common Practice amongst them to disguise and conceal the Truths they would deliver, in Enigmatical and Mythological Representations. times there is something of Truth contained in their Relations, but 'tis under such Vails, that you will not discover it, till you have taken them off. And tho' there are no fuch Men, as Ctesias's Cynocephali, and Pygmies; yet there are Apes, and Monkeys, and Baboons, that afforded him a ground for his Invention.

Now what fort of Monkey these Cynocephali were, I shall not at present enquire; that they are of the Monkey-kind is evident, because they have Tails: and Aristotle tells us, that they are bigger and stronger, and therefore I make them of the Baboon-kind. But not having seen any of them my self, I shall refer my Reader to the Authors who have wrote about them. Tis sufficient to my present purpose that they are a fort of Monkeys, and not Men, as formerly represented.

<sup>(1)</sup> Salmasij Exercitat. Plinian. Cap.27.p.267.

A

# Philological Essay

Concerning the

## SATYRS

OF THE

## ANCIENTS

Of the SATYRS of the Ancients.

ULPIUS and Bontius indeed think the Orang-Outang to be the Satyr of the Ancients; but if we enquire into their History, and examine what Opinion the Ancients had concerning them, we shall find it no less involved in Fables, than that of the Pygmies; and upon this account several of our Learned Men of late, have wholly denied them, and look upon all the Stories concerning them to be only a Fiction of the Poets and Painters, and that there were never any fuch Beings in Nature. The Learned (a) Cafaubon is clearly of this Mind, Quicquid de Satyris legimus (faith he) ex Poetarum Pictorumque fingendi Licentia Originem ducere. bujus reverà in Rerum Natura existere. So (b) Isaac Vossius speaking of the Ægipanes tells us, Sane neque in forma bujus monstri conveniunt, si tamen monstris accensenda sunt ea, que sunt mera Greculorum Commenta. And the Learned (c) Bochartus faith, Absit interim ut ex his locis Quisquame colligat, ullos aut jam exstare, vel unquam extitisse in Rerum Natura Satyros. However, I do not doubt but to make it plainly appear, that there were

G 2

<sup>(</sup>a) Casaubon de Poese Satyrica, lib. 1. cap. 2. (b) Is. Vossij Comment.ad Pompon. Melam. lib. 1. c. 8. p.m. 46. (c) Bocharti Hierozoic. seu de Animal. Sacra Scriptura. part. post. lib. 6. cap. 7. p. 829.

fuch Animals in Africa which the Ancients called Satyrs. And tho' they fometimes called them Men, and for the most part worshipped them as Gods, yet I shall shew, that they were only a fort of Monkeys, and likewise Evince, that the Orang-Outang was not this sort of Monkey or Satyr of the Ancients.

Having proposed these as the Heads of my ensuing Discourse, it will not be expected of me to give an Account of all that has been said on this Argument. I shall rather apply my self to make out what I have here afferted. And tho' on this occasion, it may be, the Poets have Enigmatically represented some Nobler Secrets of Philosophy, by what they relate under the Fables they have made of these Satyrs, the Fauni, the Nymphæ, Pan, Ægipan, Sylvanus, Silenus, or any other Name they have given of this sort of Animal; yet I think my self no farther concerned at present, than to shew what might give the first rise to and occasion of these Inventions: or rather to prove that the Satyrs were neither Men, nor Demi-gods, nor Damons; but Monkeys or Baboons, that in Africa were worshipped as the Gods of the Country; and being so, might give the Poets the Subject of the Stories which they have forged about them.

The Satyrs therefore are generally represented like Men in the upper Parts, but with Horns on their Heads; and in their lower Parts or Legs like Goats: hence they are called Capripedes, or Airwoodes and ees, as Herodotus expresses it. And Pliny (as I shall shew) where he describes them as Brutes; and faith, they are sometimes Quadrupeds, sometimes Bipeds, yet tells us, they are Humana Effigie. Diodorus Siculus (d) informs us, that when Ofiris went into Athiopia, an Divay Alyson ne gs awitor to the Saτύρων γένω, ες φασίν όπι της δοφύω έχειν κόμας,&c. i.e. Dum in Æthiopia versatur (Osiris) Gens Satyrorum ei adducitur, quas pilos in lumbis (Ofphye) habere ferunt. Risus enim amator erat Ofiris & Musica Choreifa; gaudebat, &c. Satyri igitur quia ad tripudia, & decantationem Carminum, omnemque hilaritatem & lusum apti erant, in partem Militiæ venerunt. makes them likewise the Companions of Bacchus, and for the same reafon (e), Τες ή Σαθύρες ταις πεώς γέλωθα συνεργέσαις βπηλοδύσεοι χρωμένες, παρασκελάζειν τω Διονύσω τον ευδαίμιονα η κεχαρισμένον βίον i.e. Ita Satyri ludicris & ad risum compositis gestibus & actionibus, vitam Dionyso beatam, Gratiisque delibutam, reddunt. And they are always represented as Jocose and Sportful, but Scurrilous and Lascivious; and wonderful Things they relate of their Revellings by Night, their Dancing, Musick, and their wanton Frolicks. For thus Pliny (f) describing the Parts about the Mountain Atlas in Africa, informs us, Incolarum neminem interdiu cerni: silere omnia, non alio quam solitudinum horrore: subire tacitam

<sup>(</sup>d) Diodorus Siculus Bibliothec. Hift. lib. 1. p. m. 16. (e) Diodorus Siculus ibid. lib. 4. p. m. 213. (f) Plinij Hift. Nat. lib. 5. cap. 1. p. m. 523.

Religionem animos proprius accedentium, præterque horrorem elati (sc. Montis) super nubila, atque in viciniam Lunaris circuli. Eundem noctibus micare crebris ignibus, Ægipanum, Satyrorumque lascivia impleri, Tibiarum ac Fistulæ Cantu, & Cymbalorum Sonitu strepere. And then adds, Hec celebrati Authores prodidere. And so (g) Pomponius Mela, Ultra hunc sinum Mons altus (ut Græci vocant) Ozw ognuca, perpetuis ignibus flagrat : ultra montem viret Collis longo tractu, longis littoribus obductus, unde visuntur patentes magis Campi, quam ut prospici possint, Panum, Satyrorumque. Hinc opinio ea fidem cepit, quod cum in his nihil culti sit, nulla habitantium Sedes. nulla Vestigia, Solitudo in diem Vasta, & silentium Vastius, nocte crebri ignes micant, & veluti Castra late jacentia ostenduntur, Crepant Cymbala & Tympana, audiunturque Tibiæ Sonantes majus humanis. Where we may obferve that what Pliny calls Ægipanes, Mela calls here Panes. And the Satyrs being commonly called Fauni, I can't but think, that the idle Stories we have about the Fairies, must come from hence: For they likewise have their Revellings, Dancing, and Musick by Night. And as even to this day, to fright Children, they tell them Stories of Fairies and Hobgoblins, fo the Ancients did use to call any great sudden Fear, as we do now, a Panick Fear, from this Pan. For as (b) Pausanias tells us, Έν ή τη νυκτί φόδ 🕞 σφίσιν ἀππίπθει Πανικός. Τὰ γδ ἀπό αἰτίας ἐδεμιᾶς δείματα όα τέτε φαοί γίνεδας i. e. Eà nocte Panicus illos incessit terror. Terrores enim nulla ex causa Ortos ab eo (sc. Pane) immitti aiunt. And so (i) Euripides:

Κερνίε Πανός τερμερά μάς τη φοδή.

Saturnij (Senis) Panis tremendo flagello (Ictus) trepidas.

And so (k) Dionysius Halicarnasseus speaking of the Faunus, says, Τέτων η ἀναπιθέαση τώς δαίμωνι Ρωμαΐοι τὰ Πανικά, κὶ ἔσα φάσμαθα ἄ ὁτε ἀλλοίας εξχονθα μορφάς, εἰς ἔψιν ἄνθρώπων ἔξχονθ, δείμαθα φέρονθα i. e. Huic enime Romani Panicos terrores adscribunt, & quæcunque alia Spectra, quæ varias induentia formas in Hominum conspectum veniunt, & Metum ipsis incutiunts. And (1) Ovid:

-Faunique bicornes

Numine contactas attonuere suo.

How jolly therefore soever and merry the Satyrs may be by night amongst themselves, with their Dancing and Musick: yet they have been frightful to Men formerly, as the Stories of the Fairies and Hobgoblins are (as I said before) to Children now; and indeed, the telling Children Stories of this

kind, is a very mischievous Custom; for they are thereby impressed with such Fears, as perhaps they cannot conquer all their Life time. But the Account that (m) Phurnutus gives of these Panick Fears, I think is natural; for he tells us, Εςι ἢ τὸ πανικὰς λέγκδαι παραχὰς πὰς ἀρνιδιες, ὰ ἀλόγες, ἔτω γάς πως ὰ, ἱς ἱ ἀγκλαι, ὰ, τὰ ἀνπόλια πορίται, ὑόρε πνὸς ἢς ἔλκς, ἢ τῷ ἀπάνερων ὰ, φαραχωδῶν τόπων ἀπεσανθα' i. e. Nibil probibet quin etiam Panicos tumultus dicamus, qui subito & sine ratione certa exoriuntur: sic enim interdum armenta & greges terrentur, dum sonus quidam subitus & Sylva, aut ex Antris aut ex Terræ voraginibus affertur.

Now Lucretius thinks that all this Musick of Pipes, Flutes, Cymbals and Drums, that is said to be made by the Jollity and Revellings of the Satyrs, Fauni, Panes, &c. in this dreadful Mountain by Night, is meer Romance and Fiction; and that 'tis nothing but the Ecchoing of the whistling boisterous Winds amongst those hideous Rocks: For speaking of Eccho's, he tells us (n),

Sex etiam, aut septem loca vidi reddere voces Unam cum jaceres: ita colles collibus ipsis Verbarepulsantes iterabant dicta referre. Hæc loca Capripedes Satyros, Nymphasque tenere Finitimi fingunt, & Faunos effe loquuntur; Quorum noctivago strepitu, Ludoque jocanti Adfirmant volgo taciturna silentia rumpi, Chordarumque Sonos fieri, dulceifque querelas, Tibia quas fundit digitis pulsata canentum: Et genus Agricolum late sentiscere, cum Pan Pinea semiferi Capitis velamina quassans, Unco sape labro calamos percurrit bianteis, Fistula Silvestrem ne cesset fundere Musam. Cætera de genere hoc monstra, ac Portenta loquuntur, Ne loca deserta ab Divis quoque forte putentur Sola tenere: ideo jactant miracula dictis Aut aliqua ratione alia ducuntur, ut omne Humanum Genus est avidum nimis auricularum.

Which the Ingenious Mr. Creech hath thus rendered:

—— And I my felf have known
Some Rocks and Hills return fix words for one:
The dancing words from Hill to Hill rebound,
They all receive, and all restore the sound.
The Vulgar, and the Neighbours think, and tell,
That there the Nymphs, and Fauns, and Satyrs dwell;

<sup>(</sup>m) Phurnutus de Natura Decrum Cap. de Pane, p. m. 70. (n) T. Lucretij de Rerum Naturâ, lib.4.

And that their wanton sport, their loud delight
Breaks thro' the quiet silence of the Night:
Their Musick's softest Ayrs sill all the Plains,
And mighty Pan delights the listning Swains;
The Goat-fac'd Pan, whilst Flocks securely feed,
With long-hung lip he blows his Oaten Reed;
The horn'd, the half-beast God, when brisk and gay
With Pine-leaves crown'd, provokes the Swains to play,
Ten thousand such Romants the Vulgar tell,
Perhaps least Men should think the Gods will dwell
In Towns alone, and scorn their Plains and Cell
Or somewhat; for Man credulous and vain
Delights to hear strange things, delights to feign.

Lucretius here attributes the Invention of these Fables to the superstitious Notions Men had of Deities, and the Itching Ears Mankind generally hath for hearing Novelties and Wonders; and no doubt, the satisfying this Humour put the Ancients upon inventing most of these Stories. But we may take notice that Lucretius places together the Satyrs, the Nymphs, the Fauni and Pan; and generally I observe, where mention is made of them, several are joyned together: As (0) Ovid,

Illum Ruricolæ, Sylvarum Numina, Fauni Et Satyri fratres, & tunc quoque clarus Olympus Et Nymphæ flerunt.

The Fauni therefore and Satyrs I find are near akin. And (p) Ovid in another place saith,

Quid non & Satyri Saltatibus apta juventus Fecere, & Pinu præcincti Cornua Panes. Silvanusque suis semper juvenilior annis.

And elsewhere he tells us (q),

Panes & in Venerem Satyrorum prona juventus.

The Satyrs therefore and Fauni seem to be young ones, and the elder, the Panes and Silvani, according to that of (r) Virgil,

——Deos qui novit agrestes Panaque, Silvanumque Senem Nymphasque Sorores.

And (s) Plutarch tells us that what the Greeks called Ægipan, the Romans called Silvanus. And (t) Pausanias expressy tells us, that when the Satyrs

<sup>(</sup>o) Ovid. Metamorph. lib. 6. vers. 392. (p) Ovid. Metamorph. lib. 14. vers. 637. (q) Ovid. l.1.
Faslorum. (r) Virgil. Georg. l.2. vers. 494. (s) Plutarch in Parallelis. (t) Pausan. in Attic.p.m.21;

grow old, they are called Sileni: Τες ηδ κλυάφ η Σαθύρων σε εκπονίας δνομάζεσι Σειλίως. And by Virgil's Expression Nymphasque Sorores, 'tis very evident, that the Nymphs likewise were of this Family, and nearly related. Ovid (u) joyns them together.

Sunt mihi Semidei, sunt Rustica Numina Nymphæ, Faunique, Satyrique, & monticulæ Silvani.

Now what difference there is amongst all these, unless as to their Age and Sex, I will not undertake at present to determine. The Poets and the Painters of old, if we nicely enquire into them, have been pleased, as their fancy govern'd them, to make, or not make a distinction between them. Those that have a mind to satisfie their Curiosity farther in this Matter, may consult Salmasius, Bochart, Gerard, and Isaac Vossius, and several others, who have largely wrote about them. I am apt to think that Pan, Ægipan, Silvanus and Silenus, were all the same; as were the Satyri and the Fanni; only these were younger than the former; and the Nymphs were the Females of the Kind. But 'tis sufficient to my business, if I make it appear, notwithstanding all this, that the Satyrs were not Men, nor Demi-Gods, nor Damons, but only Brutes of the Monkey-kind; which is plain enough even from the Ancients, who have invented so many Fables about them.

For (w) Herodotus tells us, and he is apt enough oftetimes to be overcredulous, euol mer & mea herovles, oinesu na spea airmodas ard eggs for they are neither Men, nor have they such Feet. Satyri de hominibus nibil alind præferunt quam figuram, saith (x) Solinus. Satyrus præter Effigiem nibil bumani, saith (y) Mela. Pliny gives us a larger description of them; Sunt & Satyri (saith (z) he) Subsolanis Indorum montibus (Catharcludorum dicitur Regio) pernicioffimum Animal: Cum Quadrupedes tum recte incedentes, humana effigie, propter velocitatem, nisi Senes aut ægri, non capiuntur. Choromandarum Gentem vocat Tauron, Silvestrem, fine voce, Bridoris horrendi, hirtis Corporibus, oculis glaucis, dentibus caninis. You may here perceive they have something of the shape of Men, but can't speak, they are hairy, they go fometimes upon all four, fometimes erect, they have Dogs Teeth, they are wild mischievous Animals. But Ælian is a little more express: Finitimos Indiæ montes (saith (a) he) transmittenti, ad intimum latus densissimas convalles videri aiunt, & Corudam locum nominari: ubi Bestia Satyrorum similitudinem formamque gerentes, & toto Corpore hirsutæ, versantur: atque Equina Cauda præditæ dicuntur. Eæ quum non à venatoribus agitantur, in opacis & spissis Sylvis Solent ex frondibus (& fructibus) vivere. Qu'um autem Venantium strepitum sentiunt, & Ca-

<sup>(</sup>u) Ovid. Metamorph. lib. 1. vers. 193. (w) Herodot. in Melpomene, p. m. 229. (x) Solinus Polyhist. cap. 34. (y) Pomp. Mela de situ Orbis, lib. 1. cap. 8. p. 11. (z) Plinij Hist. Nat. lib. 7. cap. 2. (x) Ælian. Hist. Animal. lib. 16. cap. 21.

num latratus exaudiunt, in Montium vertices incredibili celeritate excurrunt: nam per montes iter conficere assuctæ sunt. Contra eos qui se insequuntur pugnant, de summis montibus saxa devolventes, quorum impetu sæpe multi deprebensi pereunt. Itaque difficillime capiuntur: Et ex iis nonnullæ, sed ægerrime tandem, aut ægrotantes nimirum, aut gravidæ comprebenduntur. Illæ quidem propter morbum; kæ verð ob gravitatem. autem ad Prasios deferuntur. Ælian here tells us that they have Tails like Horses, therefore they must be of the Monkey or Baboon kind. And Paufanias, who made it his Business to enquire more particularly about them, informs us they have such Tails, but can't speak, but are very Lascivious and Lustful, as they are observed to be to this day. I will give you Paufanias's words; Περί ή Σαθέρων (laith (b) he) οἶτινες εἰοὶν, ἔτέρς πλέον εθέλων βθέρασμα, πολλοῖς αὐτίν τέτων είνεμα εξ λόγες ñλθον. Ερη ή Εύφημο Kag avne πλέων ες Ίταλίαν αμαρίειν νωο ανέμων το πλο, η ες τίω έξω Βάλασαν, ες ην εκέπ πλευσιν Εξευνεχθήναι Νήσυς ή είναι μεν ερήμυς πολλάς, έν ή τούταις οίκειν ανδεας αγείες άλλαις ή έν εθέλειν νήτοις περσίχειν τες ναύτας, οια πεόπερον τε πεορχόνιας, η ηθ ενοίκων εκ απείρως έχονιας. Βιαθίωω δι εν κή τότε. Τεύτας καλείωσαι μεν του τη ναυτή Σαίνείδας, εί) ή τες ενοι-મહેરીવડ મે, જાર્ણકેડ, મે, દિવાલય કે જારતો μકીકડ દેપકાર ઉત્તરે જાદે iglois કેટલેડ. πωθονίο κατάδεαμώνιας όπι τιω ναιώ, φωνίω μέν έδεμλαν ίέναι, ταίς ή γιωαιξίν οπιχειρείν ταις εν τη νηί. Τέλος ή δείσανλας τες ναύτας, βάρδας ον γιωαίκα οκδαλείν ές τιω νήσον. Ες ταύτιω εν ύδείζειν τες Σαίνες, έ μάνον ή καθέςnκεν, άλλα ται το παν δμοίως σώμα. Which (c) Conrad. Gefner. I find hath thus translated; Caterum de Satyris, quinam sint, cum plura qu'am alij scire laborem, cum multis ea de re sum collocutus: Dixit autem Euphemus Car, se quum in Italiam navigaret cursu esse excussum vi ventorum, & ad mare extimum, quod navigari non item soleat portatum. Insulas autem ibi multas esse ac desertas, & viris agrestibus incoli. Ad alias verò aiebat nautas deslectere recusasse, quòd antea quoque cò appulsi, Incolarum Inhumanitatem essent experti. Tempestatis denique violentia co pervenisse. Insulas eas à Nautis vocari Satyrias. Incolas inesse rubicundos, & caudas imo dorso habere, Equinis non multo minores. Hos, ubi senserant, ad navigium accurrisse, nullamque vocem edidisse, sed mulierilus Navi una advectis manus injecisse. Nautas verò timore correptos, Barbaram Mulierem in Insulam tandem projecisse. Eam Satyros, non solum qua parte consuetudo permittat, verum etiam toto corpore libidinose violasse, referebat.

It appears therefore plainly that the Satyrs have Tails. But that there might not the least Scruple remain what sort of Animals these Satyrs were, I shall produce a Passage out of (d) Philostorgius which is very express, and comes fully up to our Business: For he tells us, "Εςι ἢ ὰ, τέτο (sc. Satyrus) πίθηκω, ἐξυθεζν τὸ πεζουντον, ὰ, γοργὸς τίωὸ κίνησιν, ὰ, ἐξοὰν ἔχων. i. e. That a Satyr is a sort of Ape with a red face, swift of motion, and ha-

<sup>(</sup>b) Pausanias in Atticis, p. m. 21. (c) Gesner. de Animal. p. 865. (d) Philoslorgij Hist. Ecclesiaslic. lib. 3. cap. 11. p. 41.

ving a Tail. Where you may observe that Philostorgius and Pausanias both agree, that they have a red Face, which may be some mark, by which to know them again. And (e) Galen hath given us another, viz. that their Rostrum or Chin is longer than an Apes, but not so long as that of the Cynocephalus, as appears in that Passage I have already quoted (f), viz. That a Man in proportion to his Body bath the shortest Chin of any Animal; next to a Man, an Ape; then the Lynx and Satyrs; and after these the Cynocephali. Now none of these Marks agree to the Orang-Outang; for it had no Tail, it had not a red Face, and his Chin was shorter than any other fort of Apes. So that Bontius was mistaken in calling it a Satyr. And Tulpius was too hasty in laying down this Conclusion, In summå (saith (g) he) vel Nullus est in Rerum Natura Satyrus: aut si quis est, erit proculdubio illud Animal, quod in Tabella bic à nobis depictum. Had Tulpius a mind to have made his Orang-Outang a Satyr, he should not have compared him to a Courtier, nor instanced in such Niceties as he obferves, of his drinking, and going to bed : For, Efferatior Cynocephalis Natura, sicut Satyris, saith (b) Pliny. And in another place he tells us, Satyris prater figuram nihil moris humani (i). But the Orang-Outang had very tender Passions, and was very gentle and loving. Another very remarkable difference that I find between the Satyrs and the Orang-Outang, is, that the Satyrs have Pouches in their Chops as Monkeys have; but the Orang-Outang, as I have shewn in the Anatomy, had none. Condit (saith (k) Pliny) in Thefauros Maxillarum Cibum Sphingiorum & Satyrorum genus: mox inde sensim ad mandendum, manibus expromit : & quod formicis in annum solenne est, his in dies vel horas. The Orang-Outang therefore cannot be the Satyrs of the Ancients, as Tulpius, and Bontius, and Dapperimigined.

By what has been said, I think it fully appears that there were such Animals as the Ancients called Satyrs; and that they were a fort of Monkeys or Apes with Tails: And this Account that I have given of them, will very well make out those Texts in Isaiah; as Chapter 13. verse 21. But wild Beasts of the Desart shall lye there, and their Houses shall be full of doleful Creatures, and Owls shall dwell there, and Satyrs shall dance there. And Chapter 34. vers. 14. The wild Beasts of the Desart shall also meet with the wild Beasts of the Island; and the Satyr shall cry to his Fellow; the Schrich-Owl also shall rest there, and find for her self a place of rest. For since the Text calls them wild Beasts, I see no reason why we should fancy the Satyrs here to be Damons, as the Learned Bochartus and others seem to do. I agree with Bochart, that what is told us in the Life of St. Paul the Hermite by St. Jerome, and in that of St. Anthony by St. Anastasius of a Satyr meeting St. Anthony in the Desart, and discoursing with him, may be

fabulous

<sup>(</sup>c) Galen. Administr. Anat. lib. 4. cap. 3. p. m. 94. (f) Vid. Anat. of the Orang Outang, pag. 94. (g) Nic. Tulpij Observ. Med. lib. 4. cap. 56. p. m. 274. (h) Pliny Nat. Hist. lib. 8. cap. 54. p. 243. (i) Plinj ibid. lib. 5. cap. 8. p. m. 549. (k) Plinij Nat. Hist. lib. 10 cap. 72. p. m. 466.

fabulous or a Delusion. Non assentior (saith (1) Bochartus) narrationi Magni Scriptoris, in qua Satyrus introducitur Antonium in Eremo rogans, ut pro se communem Deum deprecetur, tanquam Salutis in Christo particeps suturus. Non alios servat Christus, quam quos assumpsit. At non assumpsit Angelos, mulio minus Dæmones ant Satyros qui nusquam sunt, sed semen Abrahæ. And tho' St. Jerome, to consirm this Relation, adds, That in Constantine's time one of these Monsters was seen alive at Alexandria in Egypt, and after it's Death, it's Carcass was embalmed and sent to Antioch for the Emperor to see it; Yet I shall plainly prove that this Satyr was nothing else but that sort of Monkey I am now discoursing about.

This Story I find often mentioned; but (m) Philostorgius gives us the most particular Account of it, and therefore I shall insert his own words; Καὶ άλλαις πολλών ζωών είδεαις της πιθηκείας μορφής βπημίνυμένης κ, δήλον ταῦτα εξίν, πολλών εἰς ἡμᾶς κομιζομένων, οί . δίν ή δ Παν Επικλιθείς ζωάρχει, ός τω περαλω αίγοπε όσωπος όζει, κ) αίγδηερως, κ) έκ λαγόνων τα κάτω αίγοσκελής, τίω ή κοιλίαν η το εξρνον η τας χείεας καθαε ες πίθηκω, ον η δ τω Ίνδων Βασιλόθς Κωνςαντίω άπεςάλκει. Τέτο ή το ζωον έζη μεν φερόμενον άχρι πιος εν τηνι πλέρια τι διά το Δηριώδες είργμένον, ἐπεί ή ἀπέθανε, παριχδίourles dito of noull overs, Indual @ magagin a outhous einova, where This Kwiσαντίνε διεσώσανλο πόλεως. Και μοι δοκεσι το ζώον τέτο Ελληνες πάλαι ίδειν, ες οκπλαγέντες το ξίνω της θέας, Θεον σφίου νομέσαι, ελθισμένου αὐτοῖς τὰ παeddoža Stonoisiv. 'Ωαντες η τ Σάτυς ον. i.e. This Ape-form is mixt with other Species of Animals; and this is plain, several being sent over to us; as that which is called Pan, which in its Head had a Goat's face and Goat's horns, from it's Loins downwards Goat's Legs; but in it's Belly, Breasts, and Hands was a pure Ape. Such an one the King of India sent to Con-Stantius. This Animal lived for some time, and was carried about inclosed in a Cage, being very wild. When it died, those that looked after it, having embalmed it to make a shew of this unusual sight, sent it to Constantinople. Now I am apt to believe the ancient Greeks had seen this Animal, and being Surprised at the strangeness of the sight, fancied it to be a God; it being usual for them to make a God of any thing that they admired or wondered at: as they did the Satyr.

Tis evident therefore by this Relation, that the Satyr is of the Ape or Monkey-kind: For midmid, here is generical, and includes both. But there being several Species of them, they received a denomination according to the resemblance they had to other Animals; as in Philostorgius are mentioned before, the Leontopithecus, the Arctopithecus, the Cynocephalus and Aegopithecus, which last seems to be our Satyr, from the resemblance it hath in it's Head and Legs to a Goat. That their Legs and Face are like a Goat's, is easie enough to be believed: but the Horns that they clap upon his Head, seem to me as an addition of the Poets, or the Painters, or both. But what gave a foundation to this Invention, possibly may be the large-

<sup>(1)</sup> Bochart. Hierozoic. part. poster. lib. 6. cap. 7. p. 829. (m) Philostorg. Hist. Ecclesiastic. lib. 3. cap. 11. H 2

ness of their Ears standing off from their Head, and which are very remarkable. And this *Phurnutus* (n) gives as the reason of it. *Horace* (o) takes notice of their Ears, but ill describes them in making them sharp pointed, whereas they are round.

Capripedum Satyrorum acutas.

But by this Account it likewise appears, that Pan was a Name of this fort of Monkey; and Philostorgius's Remark at the Conclusion of this Pasfage, I think is very just : for is certain that this Animal was worshipped in India as a Deity, as a Dog was by the Ægyptians; and 'twas Death for any Body to kill one of them: For thus faith (p) Diodorus Sieulus, Τάς τε γδ αὐτάς οἰκίας οἱ πίθηκοι καθώκεν τοῖς ἀνθρώποις, Θεοὶ πας αὐτοῖς νομιζόμενοι, καθάπες πας Αίγυπίοις οι κύνες έκ τε την παςετικλαπιένων έν τοις ταμείοις τὰ ζῶα τὰς τροράς ἐλάμβανον ἀκωλύτως δπότε βέλοιλο. Καὶ τὰς προσηγορίας δι ετίθεσαν γονείς τοις παιοί κατά το πλείτον δοπό τη πιθήκων, ώσωτε παρ' ημίν δοτό τη θεών. Τοίς δι' δοποκθείνασι τέτο το ζώον, ώς ποτεδιικόπ τὰ μέ-152, Saval & ώρισο πρόσιμον. Διο δίκ κ, παρά που είγυσεν εν παρομίας μέρει λεγόμενον 6π τη αναθεινομένων, όπ πιθίκε άιμα πολίσειαν. i.e. Easdem enim domus Simiæ quas Homines frequentant; & pro Diis habentur apud illos, ut apud Ægyptios Canes; paratos etiam in Cellis penariis cibos, quando libet, nemine prohibente, ha bestia sumunt, nominaque ut plurimum à Simis, ut apud nos à Diis, Parentes Liberis suis imponunt. Qui Animal boc interfecerint, in eos, ut nefariæ Impietatis reos, supplicio capitis animadvertitur. Ideo apud nonnullos Proverbij vicem obtinuit, quod in magnifice se efferentes dicitur; Simiæ Cruorem bibisti. And in another place (q) Diodorus tells us, that Pan was in the greatest Veneration amongst the Ægyptians, and his Statue was in every Temple. And (r) Juvenal remarks,

#### Effigies Sacri nitet aurea Cercopitheci.

The Superstition of worshipping this Animal obtained not only amongst the Ancients, but there are Instances likewise of a later date, and what (s) Johannes Linschoten relates, is very remarkable. 'How that in the Year 1554. the Portuguese having taken the Island of Ceylon, they proposed to rob a Temple on the top of Adam's Pike; but they found nothing there, but a little Cabinet adorned with Gold and Jewels, in which was kept the Tooth of an Ape, which they took away, to the great grief of the Kings of that Place; who sent Ambassadors to the Portuguese, and offered them Seventy thousand Ducats for the Tooth; which the Portuguese were willing enough to take, but were dislikated from it by their Bishop Gaspar, who told them, that it was a Crime, thus to encourage the Idolatry of the Indians; whereupon he burnt the Tooth, and slung the Ashes into the River. Joh. Euseb. Nierembergius (t) hath the

<sup>(</sup>n) Phurnutus de Nat. Deorum. Cap. de Pane. p. m. 71. (o) Horace Odarum, Lib. 2. Ode 19. (p) Diodor. Sicul. Biblioth. Hist. 1. 20. p. m. 793. (q) Diodor. Sicul. ibid. 1. p. m. 16. (r) Juven. Satyr. 15. v. 4. (s) J. Linschoten apud Theod. de Bry India Orient. part. 2 cap. 46. p. m. 111. (t) J. Eus. Nieremberg. Hist. Nat. 1.9: cap. 46. p. 180.

fame Story, but varies in the Account of some Particulars. And Joh. Petrus Maffeius (u) gives us a Relation of one of their Temples, which for Magnificence, might vie with any at Rome: His words are these; Sane fanum est Simiæ dicatum: Cujus duntaxat Pecori in victimarum usum custodiendo, Porticus miram in longitudinem excurrit, Columnarum Septingentarum è Marmore, tanta magnitudinis, ut Agrippa Columnas, qua in celeberrimo quondam omnium Deorum Templo Rome visuntur, sine dubio adequent. Now these Animals being worshipped by the Indians as Gods, 'tis natural to believe (as Lucretius suggests) that they would invent and relate prodigious things concerning them; and no doubt this gave the occasion to the Poets and Historians of making such fabulous Representations of them: How far the latter might be concerned in the addition of Horns to the Satyrs Heads, I shall not at present enquire: I call it an Addition, because there is no Account from any credible Author, that there were ever observed any of the Ape-kind to have Horns. Possibly some ancient Statues or Paintings might give some light into this matter: for the ancient Statuaries and Painters were curious in representing them; and Pliny recommends, as excellent in this kind, the Satyr of Mylo, of Lucippus, Antiphalus, Protogenes, Ariston, and Nicomachus, as Pieces admired in those days.

Albertus Magnus (w) who was happier in guessing, than in proving or describing what he meant; tells us indeed, that the Satyr (whom he calls Pilosus) was of the Ape-kind; but he makes such an odd Composition of him, that one would take it to be rather a Chimara, than a real Being: You may see his words in the Citations.

<sup>(</sup>u) Joh-Pet-Masseij Hist. Indic. lib. 1.p.m. 36. (w) Albert. Magnus de Animalib. lib. 22. p. m. 223. Pilosus est Animal Compositum ex bomine superius, & Capra inferius; sed Cornua habet in fronte; & est de genere Simiarum; sed multium monstruosum; & aliquotiens incedit erectum, & efficitur domitum. Hoc asserunt in Disertis habitare Æthiopiæ; & aliquotiens captum & in Alexandriam deductum, o mortuum sale insusum & in Constantinopolin delatum.

#### Of the SPHINGES of the Ancients.

of the Ancients, where I shall not relate all that is said of them; nor concern my self with the Mythology or Interpretation of the several Fables that have been invented about them; but I propose rather to shew, that there were indeed such Animals which the Ancients call'd Sphinges; and that they were not Men, but Brutes; and that they were of the Ape or Monkey-kind.

If we consult the sabulous Descriptions that are given of the Sphinx, we shall find it a very monstrous Composition. Apollodorus (a) tells us, επεμιθε ηδ "Η εα Σρίγα, η μεθεός μεν Έχιδνης ιω, Παθεός ἢ, Τυρῶν Β., είχε ἢ πεόσωπον μεν γιωαικός ενθ Β΄ ἢ κ Βάσιν κ ἐξεὰν λέον Β., κ) πείρυγας ὅρνιθ Β. That Sphinx was the daughter of Echidna and Typho, she had the face of a Woman, the Breast, Feet, and Tail of a Lion, and the Wings of a Bird. And (b) Ausonius,

Terruit Aoniam, Volucris, Leo, Virgo triformis Sphinx, volucris pennis, pedibus fera, fronte Puella.

But as their Fansies govern'd them, so they made their description. Clearchus (as I find him quoted in (c) Natalis Comes) has out-done them all; At Clearchus (saith he) Caput & Manus Puellæ, Corpus Canis, vocem Hominis, Caudam Draconis, Leonis ungues, Alus Avis, illam habuisse scripst. Palaphatus (d) is somewhat different in his Account, where he tells us, There is the Kad μείας Σριβγός λέγεσαν ως βπείον εγένελο, σώνια μεν έχον ως πικός, περαλίω ζ, καὶ πεόσωπον πόρης, πείρυγας όρνιθω, φωνίω ζ άνθρώπε i. e. They say that the Cadmean Sphynx was a wild Beast, having the Body of a Dog, the Head and Face of a Virgin, the Wings of a Bird, and the Voice of a Man. But for the most part they make the Sphinx Biformis with a Maiden's Face and Lion's Feet; as the Scholiast upon (e) Euripides gives it, πεόσωπον παρθένει ενίθως ζιλ πάδας λέοντος. So the Scholiast upon (f) Aristophanes, οι ε Σριγρος πόδες λεοντώδεις νοαν. And Euripides himself, as he is quoted by (g) Ælian, makes her to have the Tail and Feet of a Lion, in that Verse,

Οὐρὰν δι' ἐωτειλεσ' ἐωτο λεοντόπεν βάπν' Caudam remulcens ad Leoninos pedes.

Where we may observe that Ælian tells us here that the Ægyptian Statuaries, and the Theban Fables, made the Sphinx to be only Bisormis: Bisormem nobis conantur representare, ipsam ex Corpore Virginis & Leonis cum gravitate compositam architectantes, as Gesner there translates him: But the Greeks represented the Sphynx with wings; for as (b) Ælian in another place tells

<sup>(</sup>a) Apollodori Bibliothec.l.3.c.5, § 8.p.m.170. (b) Ausonius in Grypho Ternarij. (c) Natalis Comes Mytholog.l.9.c.18. (d) Palephatus de incredibilibus Historiis Cap.de Sphinge.p.m.14. (e) Eurip. in Phanissis. (f) Aristophan. in Ranis. (g) Ælian. de Antmal. l.12.c.7. (h) Ælian. de Animal. l.12.cap.38.

us, Sphingem quicunque vel Pictura vel Plastica operam dant, singere alatam solent.

But our chief Business is to enquire, how Nature hath formed them; and not how the Poets, Painters, or Statuaries have, according to the Luxuriancy of their Fancie, seigned or figured them; to shew what they really are in themselves, and not what Hieroglyphically the Ancients might intend or understand by them; and we shall find, that they are only a fort of Ape or Monkey, that is bred in Æthiopia and amongst the Troglodytes, of a comely Face, with long Breasts, thence up to their Neck not so hairy as on the rest of their Body; and are of a mild and gentle Nature. For thus (i) Pliny, Lyncas vulgo frequentes, & Sphinges, fusco Pilo, mammis in Pectore geminis Æthiopia generat. And so (k) Solinus, Inter Simias habentur & Sphinges, villose comis (Salmasius reads it villose omnes) mammis prominulis ac profundis, dociles ad feritatis oblivionem. Ælian (1) places them amongst the wild Beasts of India, where he tells us, Naturali quodam Ingenio & Prudentia valent etiam apud nos Animalia, non totidem tamen, quot sunt in India: illic enim bujusmodi sunt, Elephantus, Psittacus, Sphinges & nuncupati Satyri, & Indica Formica. And Artemidorus in (m) Strabo tells us, that the Sphinges, Cynocephali and Cepi are bred amongst the Troglodytes. Agatharchides (n) confirms the same and gives us this Account of them; Ai σφίγες κ) οί Κιωοκέραλοι κο Κάροι παραπέμιπου? eis the 'Aregard peiar on & Trayhobelinns, it, & Aldromas ein jai mèr Eplyes παις γραφομέναις παρόμωια. Πλίω όπι πάσαι δασείαι, η παις ψυχαις ήμεροι η περίοι. Και πανεργίας κοινωνέσι πλείτης, διδασκαλίας τε μελοδολικής όπο ποσον απίον), ως των ευρυθμίαν εν πάσι θαυμάζειν. i. e. The Sphinges, Cynocephali and Cepi are sent to Alexandria from the Country of the Troglodytes and Æthiopia. The Sphinges are like to what they are painted, only they are all hairy, and mild and gentle in their Nature: they have a great deal of Cunning, and a Method of Learning what they attain to, that one would wonder at their aptness to any thing. Diodorus Siculus (o) gives us much the same Relation, and 'tis likely Agatharchides borrowed his from him: for he tells us, Ai 3 Spires vigored wer need to the Teaphodolinia, is τω Αιβοπίαν, πείς ή μορφαίς ζωάρχεση έκ ανόμοιοι πείς γεαρομέναις, μόνον ή ταϊς δασύτηση διαλλάτικη. Τας ή λυχας ημέρες έχεσαι ή πανέργες, όπι πλείον n) διδασκαλίαν μεθοδικίω Επιδέχου). i. e. Sphinges circa Troglodyticam & Æthiopiam existunt, formå his non absimiles, que Arte Pictorum exhibentur, nisi quod birsutia tantummodo differunt. Placidi illis sunt Animi, & versuti, artisque quæ compendio tradi solet, admodum capaces. But Philostorgius (p) is so particular in his Description, and he is the more to be credited, because he declares he had seen them himself, that I think I need

<sup>(</sup>i) Plinij Hist. Nat. lib. 3.cap. 21.p.m. 168. (k) Solinus Polyhist. cap. 27. p.m. 39. (l) Ælian. de Animal. lib. 16.cap. 15. (m) Strabo Geograph. lib. 16.p. 533. (n) Agatharchides apud Photij Biblioth. p. m. 1362. cap. 38. (o) Diodorus Siculus Biblioth. lib. 3. p. m. 167. (p) Philostorgius Hist. Ecclesiast. 1.3.c. 11. p. 41.

no more Authorities to prove what I have here laid down, that these Sphinges were only a fort of Ape or Monkey. I will therefore give you his own words, which are these; Kal wer & Doly Zyer & Bed m Sincer (autos οδ θε αστίμεν & γρά Jw) ης το μεν άλλο σώμα λάσιον εξτν, ώς τοις άλλοις πβήκοις το βεέρνον άχει γε αὐτε τε τεαχήλε ελίλω), μάζες βγιωαικός έχει. Ερυθρε τινός βεαχέω κείχερειδες έπανας ημαίω άπαν εν κύκλω το γεγυμνωμένον το σώμα Το περιθέον ΤΟ, κ, είς πολλίω τινα ευπρέπειαν ανθρωπορανεί όνη το έν μέσω χρώμαλι σωνας παζομένε.Τό ή πε όσω πον ένες ες γύλω) μάλλου, κ, είς γιωαικίαν έλκει μορφίω. Η τε φωνή Επτεικώς άνθρωπεία, πλίω δσον έκ είς άρθες διαιρεμένη, άλλά τωι παγέως, η όδι μετά πυθι όρχος τε η, άγθηδέν Β. άσημα τω ορθεγομένης πε σσερικής βαρυθέρα τε μάλλον όξην όξυνομένα άγειον τέ όζη δεινώς το Απείον, η πανεργόταλον, η έδε ραδίως πθαστευόμενον. i. e. A Sphinx is a fort of Ape (I shall write what I saw my felf) all the rest of whose Body is hairy like other Apes. But it's Sternum or Breast is smooth without hair up to the Throat. It has Mamma or Breasts like a Woman; little reddish Pimples like Millet Seeds, running round that part of the Body that is bare; very prettily suiting with the Flesh colour in the middle. It's Face is roundish, and resembles a Woman's. It's Voice is very much like the Humane, only it is not articulate, but pracipitate; and like one that Beaks unintelligibly thro' Anger and Indignation. When 'tis incensed, it's Voice is deeper. This Animal is very wild, and crafty, and not easily tamed. And Pierius, as I find him quoted by (q) Philip Camerarius, gives us much the same description of one he saw at Verona. Harum ego unam (saith he) Veronæ quum essem vidi; Mammis illi & Glabris & Candidis, à Pectore propendentibus. Circumducebat eam circulator quidam Gallus, ex ignotis antea Insulis recens advectam. And a little after adds, Ipfa verd Sphinx toto erat pectore glabello, facie & auribus humanis proprioribus, dorso bispido Supra modum, fusco & oblongo Pilo, eoque densissimo.

What has been said, I think fully makes out, that the Sphinx is not a meer Figment of the Poets, but an Animal bred in Africa, of the Ape or Monkey-kind. 'Tis different from our Orang-Outang in the colour of it's Hair; in the roundness and comeliness of it's Face; in it's Breasts, being pendulous and long; and the red Pimples it hath on the naked part of it's Body. Pliny tells us (as I have elsewhere remarked) that the Sphinges have Pouches in their Chops as Satyrs and Monkeys have; and the Poets describing them with a Lion's Tail, make me apt to think, that they are of the Monkey-kind.

<sup>(9)</sup> Phil. Camerarij Opera subcisiva siv: Meditat. Hist. Cent. 1. Cap. 71. p. m. 325.

### ANATOMY

A TO with all the Care

## Paris of York of Grand Monte Yes

Compared with that of a

### Monkey, an Ape, and a Man.

The it were needles to NAHTIW

ESSAY concerning the PYGMIES, &c. of the Antients.

Wherein it will appear that they are all either APES or MONKIES, and not MEN, as formerly pretended.

TO WHICH IS ADDED, and of side need sad

The ANATOMY and DESCRIPTION of a
RATTLE-SNAKE:

Also of the Musk-Hog. With a Discourse upon the Jointed and Round-Worm.

And Two LETTERS concerning a Monstrous Birth, and Preternatural Conception: With Reflections thereon.

#### The SECOND EDITION.

Being the WORKS of

EDWARD TYSON, M.D. F.R.S.

Adorn'd with COPPER-PLATES, engraved by M. VANDERGUCHT.

LONDON:

Printed for T. OSBORNE, in Gray's-Inn, 1751.

HT

# A M O T A M A

TIMPY

Compared with that of a

# MONKEY, an AFE, and a MAN.

WITH AN.

ESSAY concerning the Pychins, &c.

Wherein it will appear that they are all either Apps or Monkins, and not Men, as formerly pretended.

TO WHICH IS ADDED.

The ANATOMY and DESCRIPTION of a RATTLES NAKE:

Alfo of the Musk-Hoo. With a Discourse upon the Joints and Round Work.

And Two Lettles concerning a Mingligue Birth, and Pretranatural Conception: With R. William thereon.

#### THE SECOND EDITION.

Being the Works of

EDWARD TYSON, MID. F.R.S.

Adom'd with Coffee Peates, engined by

LONDON:

Printed for T. Ossonie, in Gray's Long 1751.







