### Contributors

Brewster, David, 1781-1868.

### **Publication/Creation**

Edinburgh : Archibald Constable & Co. [etc.], 1819.

### **Persistent URL**

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/sjwj36zg

### License and attribution

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org











Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2017 with funding from Wellcome Library

https://archive.org/details/b29295440





## TREATISE

ON THE

# KALEIDOSCOPE.

Printed by J. Rathern



Printed by J. Ruthwen & Sons.

# TREATISE

A

ON THE

# KALEIDOSCOPE,

# BZ

# DAVID BREWSTER, LL. D.

F.R. S. LOND. AND EDIN. &C.

Nihil tangit quod non ornat.

# Edinburgh:

PRINTED FOR ARCHIBALD CONSTABLE & CO. EDINBURGH; AND LONGMAN, HURST, REES, ORME, & BROWN; AND HURST, ROBINSON, & CO. LONDON.

1819.

TREATISE COM HISTORICAL LIBR P Conudnito : FOR ARCHINGS 1819. •

# SIR GEORGE STEWART MACKENZIE, OF COUL, BART. F.R.S.

There is none of the scientific establisheise

nts of our metro or is that does not fur

PRESIDENT OF THE PHYSICAL CLASS OF THE ROYAL 'SOCIETY OF EDINBURGH, AND VICE PRESIDENT OF THE SOCIETY OF SCOTTISH ANTIQUARIES.

§.c. §.c. §.c.

As you were the first person who saw the Kaleidoscope, and predicted its popularity, I am naturally led to lay the following description of it before the public, under the sanction of your name.

I might have been more flattered with the interest which you took in the success of this instrument, and with the assistance I have so often received from you in other researches, had I been less acquainted with the zeal and ardour with which you have devoted yourself to the promotion of every object of science, and of public utility.—

#### DEDICATION.

There is none of the scientific establishments of our metropolis that does not rank you either among its founders, or among its most zealous supporters; and there is none of its ingenious and inventive citizens that has not experienced the benefit of your active and enlightened patronage.

# DAVID BREWSTER.

## Edinburgh, March 22d 1819.

I might have been more flattered with the interest which you took in the success of this instrument, and with the assistance I have so often received from you in other researches, had I been less acquainted with the zeal and ardour with which you have devoted yourself to the promotion of every object of science, and of public utility.---

lowing description of it belive the public,

# CONTENTS.

CHAP. VI .- On the construction and use of the

Stimple Kaleidascope, - - -

(CONTENTS)

Page CINCUMSTANCES which led to the Invention of the
Kaleidoscope, 1
CHAP. I.—Preliminary principles respecting
the effects of combining two plain mirrors, - 9
CHAP. II.—On the principles of the Kaleido- scope, and the formation of symmetrical pic-
tures, by the combination of direct and inverted images,
CHAP. III.—On the effects produced upon the symmetry of the picture, by varying the posi- tion of the eye, 35
the
CHAP. IV.—On the effects produced upon the symmetry of the picture, by varying the posi-
tion of the object, 44
CHAP. V.—On the intensity of the light in dif- ferent parts of the field, and on the effects pro- duced by paraing the length and light for
duced by varying the length and breadth of the reflectors, 49

### CONTENTS.

P	age
	age
CHAP. VI.—On the construction and use of the	56
Simple Kaleidoscope,	
and the first for the	
CHAP. VII.—On the selection of objects for the	
Kaleidoscope, and on the mode of constructing	6A
the object plates,	64
CHAP. VIII.—On the construction and use of	1
the Compound Kaleidoscope,	72
CHAP. IX.—On the construction and use of	
Polyangular Kaleidoscopes, in which the reflec-	
tors can be fixed at any angle,	79
1. Description of Mr Bate's Conical Polyangular Kaleido-	00
scope, with metallic reflectors,	80
2. Description of Mr Bate's Cylindrical Polyangular Ka- leidoscope, with glass reflectors,	84
leidoscope, with glass rejectors,	
CHAP. XOn the construction and use of An-	
nular and Parallel Kaleidoscopes,	87
1. Description of Mr Dollond's Annular and Parallel Ka-	
leidoscone.	89
2. Description of Mr John Ruthven's Universal Kaleido-	
scope,	90
and and a second successf Po-	
CHAP. XI.—On the construction and use of Po-	92
lycentral Kaleidoscopes,	94
<ol> <li>On combinations of four mirrors forming a square,</li> <li>On combinations of four mirrors forming a rectangle,</li> </ol>	96
<ol> <li>On combinations of four matters formany des of 60°,</li> <li>On combinations of three reflectors at angles of 60°,</li> </ol>	ib.
4. On combinations of three reflectors at angles of 90°, 45°,	21:-1
and 45°.	98
5. On combinations of three reflectors at angles of 90°, 60°.	, 99
and 30°.	00

### CONTENTS.

I	Page	
CHAP. XIIOn Kaleidoscopes, in which the		
effect is produced by total reflection from the in-		
	00	
terior surfaces of transparent solids, - ]	100	
CHAP. XIII On the application of the Kalei-		
doscope to the Magic Lanthorn, Solar Micro-		
	102	
scope, and Camera Obscura,	103	
CHAP. XIV On the construction of Micro-		
	108	
scopic Materialscopes,		
54, the Gruck letters refer to Fig. 1		
CHAP. XV.—On the changes produced by the		
Kaleidoscope,	111	
D han a mi . Et		
CHAD VVI On the amplication of the Kalei		
CHAP. XVI.—On the application of the Kalei-	110	
abscope to the jene and as jut arroy	113	
1. Architectural ornaments,	117	
2. Ornamental painting,	122	
3. Designs for carpets,	125	
CHAP. XVII.—On the advantage of the Kalei-		
doscope as an instrument of amusement,	129	
Description of Father Castel's Ocular Harpsichord, -	131	
Loon provide a mar cancer o comme star provide a,		
CITAD WITT T'	,	
CHAP. XVIII.—History of the combinations of		
plane mirrors, which have been supposed to re-		
semble the Kaleidoscope,	137	
1. Baptista Porta's multiplying speculum,	139	
2. Kircher's combination of plane mirrors,	144	
3. Bradley's combination of plane mirrors,	150	
APPENDIX,	161	

vii

### ERRATA.

Page 28, line 2, for A a a b, read A  $a \equiv a$  b.

36, line 9, for do, read does.

42, line 26, for nearly, read near.

47, place an accent above o, thus o', in every part of the page.

52, the Greek letters refer to Fig. 1

53, for Fig. 1, read Fig. 2.

55, line 12, for Po, read Po'.

\_\_\_\_\_ 13, for o, read O.

------ 15, for Po', read Po.

91, line 8, for A O, B O, read A' O, B' O.

94, line last, for DE, read DA.

99, line 13, for Fig. 33, read Fig. 36.

135, line 5 from bottom, for arrive at, read arrive, it.

### TREATISE

ON THE

## KALEIDOSCOPE.

THE name KALEIDOSCOPE, which I have given to a new Optical Instrument, for creating and exhibiting beautiful forms, is derived from the Greek words xx los, beautiful; sidos, a form; and oxomew, to see.

The first idea of this instrument presented itself to me in the year 1814, in the course of a series of experiments on the polarisation of light by successive reflections between plates of glass, which were published in the Philosophical Transactions for 1815, and which the Royal Society did me the honour to distinguish by the adjudication of the Copley Medal. In these experiments, the reflecting plates were necessarily inclined to each other, during the operation of placing their surfaces in parallel planes; and I was therefore led to remark 2

the circular arrangement of the images of a candle round a centre, and the multiplication of the sectors formed by the extremities of the plates of glass. In consequence, however, of the distance of the candles, &c. from the ends of the reflectors, their arrangement was so destitute of symmetry, that I was not induced to give any farther attention to the subject.

On the 7th of February 1815, when I discovered the developement of the complementary colours, by the successive reflections of polarised light between two plates of gold and silver, the effects of the Kaleidoscope, though rudely exhibited, were again forced upon my notice; the multiplied images were, however, coloured with the most splendid tints; and the whole effect, though inconceivably inferior to the creations of the Kaleidoscope, was still far superior to any thing that I had previously witnessed.

In giving an account of these experiments to M. Biot, on the 6th of March 1815, I remarked to him, "that when the angle of incidence (on the "plates of silver) was about 85° or 86°, and the "plates almost in contact, and inclined at a very "small angle, the two series of reflected images "appeared at once in the form of two curves; and "that the succession of splendid colours formed a "phenomenon which I had no doubt would be con-"sidered, by every person who saw it to advan-"tage, as one of the most beautiful in optics."— These experiments were afterwards repeated with more perfectly polished plates of different metals,

### HISTORY OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE.

3

and the effects were proportionally more brilliant; but notwithstanding the beauty arising from the multiplication of the images, and the additional splendour which was communicated to the picture by the richness of the polarised tints, it was wholly destitute of symmetry; as I was then ignorant of those positions for the eye and the objects, which are absolutely necessary to produce that magical union of parts, and that mathematical symmetry throughout the whole picture, which, independently of all colouring, give to the visions of the Kaleidoscope that peculiar charm which distinguishes them from all artificial creations \*.

Although I had thus combined two plain mirrors, so as to produce highly pleasing effects, from the multiplication and circular arrangement of the images of objects placed at a distance from their extremities, yet I had scarcely made a step towards the invention of the Kaleidoscope. The effects, however, which I had observed, were sufficient to prepare me for taking advantage of any suggestion which experiment might afterwards throw in the way.

In repeating, at a subsequent period, the very beautiful experiments of M. Biot, on the action of homogeneous fluids upon polarised light, and in extending them to other fluids which he had not tried,

\* The experiments above alluded to have not yet been published, but an account of them has been given in the Analyse des Travaux de la Classe des Sciences Mathematiques et Physiques de l'Institut Royal de France, pendant l'année 1815, par M. le Chev. Delambre, p. 29, &c.

I found it most convenient to place them in a triangular trough, formed by two plates of glass cemented together by two of their sides, so as to form an acute angle. The ends being closed up with pieces of plate glass cemented to the other plates, the trough was fixed horizontally, for the reception of The eye being necessarily placed withthe fluids. out the trough, and at one end, some of the cement, which had been pressed through between the plates at the object end of the trough, appeared to be arranged in a manner far more regular and symmetrical than I had before observed when the objects, in my early experiments, were situated at a distance from the reflectors. From the approximation to perfect symmetry which the figure now displayed, compared with the great deviation from symmetry which I had formerly observed, it was obvious that the progression from the one effect to the other must take place during the passage of the object from the one position to the other ; and it became highly probable, that a position would be found where the symmetry was mathematically perfect. By investigating this subject optically, I discovered the leading principles of the Kaleidoscope, in so far as the inclination of the reflectors, the position of the object, and the position of the eye, were concerned. I found, that in order to produce perfectly beautiful and symmetrical forms, three conditions were necessary :

1. That the reflectors should be placed at an angle, which was an even or an odd aliquot part of a circle, when the object was regular, and simi-

4

larly situated with respect to both the mirrors; or the even aliquot part of a circle when the object was irregular, and had any position whatever.

2. That out of an infinite number of positions for the object, both within and without the reflectors, there was only one where perfect symmetry could be obtained, namely, when the object was placed in contact with the ends of the reflectors.

3. That out of an infinite number of positions for the eye, there was only one where the symmetry was perfect, namely, as near as possible to the angular point, so that the circular field could be distinctly seen; and that this point was the only one out of an infinite number at which the uniformity of the light of the circular field was a maximum, and from which the direct and the reflected images had the same form and the same magnitude, in consequence of being placed at the same distance from the eye.

Upon these principles I constructed an instrument, in which I fixed *permanently*, across the ends of the reflectors, pieces of coloured glass, and other irregular objects; and I shewed the instrument in this state to some Members of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, who were much struck with the beauty of its effects. In this case, however, the forms were nearly permanent, and a slight variation was produced by varying the position of the instrument with respect to the light.

The great step, however, towards the completion of the instrument, remained yet to be made; and it was not till some time afterwards that the idea occurred to me of giving motion to objects, such as pieces of coloured glass, &c. which were either fixed or placed loosely in a cell at the end of the instrument. When this idea was carried into execution, and the reflectors placed in a tube, and fitted up on the preceding principles, the Kaleidoscope, in its simple form, was completed.

In this form, however, the Kaleidoscope could not be considered as a general philosophical instrument of universal application. The least deviation of the object from the position of symmetry at the end of the reflectors, produced a deviation from beauty and symmetry in the figure, and this deviation increased with the distance of the object. The use of the instrument was therefore limited to objects held close to the reflectors, and consequently to objects whose magnitudes were less than its triangular aperture.

The next, and by far the most important step of the invention, was to remove this limitation, and to extend indefinitely the use and application of the instrument. This effect was obtained by employing a draw tube, containing a convex lens of such a focal length, that the images of objects, of all magnitudes and at all distances, might be distinctly formed at the end of the reflectors, and introduced into the pictures created by the instrument in the same manner as if they had been reduced in size, and placed in the true position of symmetry.

When the Kaleidoscope was brought to this de-

## HISTORY OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE.

gree of perfection, it was impossible not to perceive that it would prove of the highest service in all the ornamental arts, and would, at the same time, become a popular instrument for the purposes of rational amusement. With these views I thought it adviseable to secure the exclusive property of it by a Patent; but in consequence of one of the Patent instruments having been exhibited to some of the London opticians, the remarkable properties of the Kaleidoscope became known, before any number of them could be prepared for sale. The sensation excited in London by this premature exhibition of its effects is incapable of description, and can be conceived only by those who witnessed it. It may be sufficient to remark, that, according to the computation of those who were best able to form an opinion on the subject, no fewer than two hundred thousand instruments have been sold in London and Paris during three months. Out of this immense number there is perhaps not one thousand constructed upon scientific principles, and capable of giving any thing like a correct idea of the power of the Kaleidoscope ; and of the millions who have witnessed its effects, there is perhaps not an hundred who have any idea of the principles upon which it is constructed, who are capable of distinguishing the spurious from the real instrument, or who have sufficient knowledge of its principles for applying it to the numerous branches of the useful and ornamental arts.

Under these circumstances I have thought its

#### HISTORY OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE.

8

necessary to draw up the following short treatise, for the purpose of explaining, in as popular a manner as I could, the principles and construction of the Kaleidoscope; of describing the different forms in which it is fitted up; of pointing out the various methods of using it as an instrument of recreation; and of instructing the artist how to employ it in the numerous branches of the useful and ornamental arts to which it is applicable.

## CHAP. I.

O of the sector. It is then obvious that as

### PRELIMINARY PRINCIPLES RESPECTING THE EF-FECTS OF COMBINING TWO PLAIN MIRRORS.

The principal parts of the Kaleidoscope are two reflecting planes, made of glass, or metal, or any other reflecting substance ground perfectly flat and highly polished. These reflectors may have any magnitude, but in general they should be from four or five to ten or twelve inches long; their greatest breadth being about an inch when the length is six inches, and increasing in proportion as the length increases. When these two plates are put together at an angle of 60°, or the sixth part of a circle, as shewn in Fig. 1., and the eye placed at the narrow end E, it will observe the opening A O B multiplied six times, and arranged round the centre O, as shewn in Fig. 2.

In order to understand how this effect is produced, let us take a small sector of white paper of the shape A O B, Fig. 2., and having laid it on a black ground, let the extremity A O of one of the reflectors be placed upon the edge

A O of the sector. It is then obvious that an image A O b of this white sector of paper will be formed behind the mirror A O, and will have the same magnitude and the same situation behind the mirror, as the sector A O B had before it. In like manner, if we place the edge B O of the other reflector upon the other side B O of the paper sector, a similar image B O a will be formed behind it. The origin of three of the sectors seen round O is therefore explained : the first, A O B, is the white paper sector seen by direct vision; the second, A O b, is an image of the first formed by one reflection from the mirror AO; and the third is another image of the first formed by one reflection from the other mirror B O. But it is well known, that the reflected image of any object, when placed before another mirror, has an image of itself formed behind this mirror, in the very same manner as if it were a new object. Hence it follows, that the image A O b, being, as it were, a new object placed before the mirror B O, will have an image a O a of itself formed behind B O; and for the same reason the image B O a will have an image b O ß of itself, formed behind the mirror A O, and both these new images will occupy the same position behind the mirrors, as the other images did before the mirrors.

A difficulty now presents itself in accounting for the formation of the last or sixth sector,  $\alpha O \beta$ . Mr Harris, in the XVIIth Prop. of his Optics, has evaded this difficulty, and given a false demonstration of the proposition. He remarks, that the last sector & O & is produced " by the reflection " of the rays forming either of the two last images," (namely,  $b \ O \beta$  and  $a \ O \alpha$ ); but this is clearly absurd, for the sector & O & would thus be formed of two images lying above each other, which is impossible. In order to understand the true cause of the formation of the sector  $\alpha$  O  $\beta$ , we must recollect that the line O E is the line of junction of the mirrors, and that the eye is placed any where in the plane passing through O E and bisecting A O B. Now, if the mirror B O E had extended as far as O  $\beta$ , the sector  $\alpha$  O  $\beta$  would have been the image of the sector  $b O \beta$ , reflected from BO; and in like manner, if the mirror A O B had extended as far as  $O \approx$ , the sector  $\approx O \beta$  would have been the image of the sector  $a O \approx$  reflected from A O; but as this overlapping or extension of the mirrors is impossible, and as they must necessarily join at the line O E, it follows, that an image & O e, of only half the sector  $b O \beta$ , viz. b O r, can be seen by reflection from the mirror B O; and that an image  $\beta O e$ , of only half the sector a O a, viz. a O s, can be seen by reflection from the mirror A O. Hence it is manifest, that the last sector, « O B, is not, as Harris supposes, a reflection from either of the two last images  $b \ O \beta$ ,  $a \ O \alpha$ ; but is composed of the images of two half sectors, one of which is formed by the mirror A O, and the other by the mirror B O.

Mr Harris repeats the same mistake in a more

serious form, in his second Scholium, § 240, where he shews that the images are arranged in the circumference of a circle. The two images D, d, says he, coincide and make but one image. Mr Wood has committed the very same mistake in his second Corollary to Prop. XIV. and his demonstration of that Corollary is decidedly erroneous. This Corollary is stated in the following manner: "When " a (the angle of the mirrors) is a measure of  $180^{\circ}$ " two images coincide," and it is demonstrated, that since two images of any object X (Fig. 2.) must be formed, viz. one by each mirror ; and since these two images must be formed at 180° from the object X, placed between the mirrors, that is, at the same point x, it follows that the two images must coincide. Now, it will appear from the simplest considerations, that the assumption, as well as the conclusion, is here erroneous. The image x is seen by the last reflection from the mirror BOE, and another image would be seen at x, if the mirror A O E had extended as far as x; but as this is impossible, without covering the part of the mirror B O E, which gives the first image x, there can be only one image seen at x. When the object X is equidistant from A and B, then one half of the last reflected image x will be formed by the last reflection from the mirror B O, and the other half by the last reflection from the mirror A O, and these two half images will join each other, and form a whole image at e, as perfect as any of the rest. In this last case, when the angle A O B is a little different from an even aliquot part of

 $360^{\circ}$ , the eye at E will perceive at *e* an appearance of two incoincident images; but this arises from the pupil of the eye being partly on one side of E and partly on the other; and, therefore, the apparent duplication of the image is removed by looking through a very small aperture at E. As the preceding remarks are equally true, whatever be the inclination of the mirrors, provided it is an even aliquot part of a circle, it follows,

1. That when A O B is  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{6}$ ,  $\frac{1}{8}$ ,  $\frac{1}{10}$ ,  $\frac{1}{12}$ , &c. of a circle, the number of reflected images of any object X, is 4—1, 6—1, 8—1, 10—1, 12—1.

2. That when X is nearer one mirror than another, the number of images seen by reflection from the mirror to which it is nearest will be  $\frac{4}{2}$ ,  $\frac{6}{2}$ ,  $\frac{8}{2}$ ,  $\frac{10}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , while the number of images formed by the mirror from which X is most distant will be  $\frac{4}{2}$ —1,  $\frac{6}{2}$ —1,  $\frac{8}{2}$ —1,  $\frac{10}{2}$ —1; that is, an image more, always reaches the eye from the mirror nearest X, than from the mirror farthest from it.

3. That when X is equidistant from A O and B O, the number of images which reaches the eye from each mirror is equal, and is always  $4\frac{-1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{6-1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{8-1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{10-1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{12-1}{2}$ , which are fractional values, shewing that the last image is composed of two half images.

When the inclination of the mirrors, or the angle A O B, Fig. 3. is an odd aliquot part of a circle, such as  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{5}$ ,  $\frac{1}{7}$ ,  $\frac{1}{9}$ , &c. the different sectors which compose the circular image are formed in the very

same manner as has been already described; but as the number of *reflected sectors* must in this case always be *even*, the line O E, where the mirrors join, will separate the two last reflected sectors, b O e, a O e. Hence, it follows,

1. That when A O B is  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{7}$ ,  $\frac{1}{7}$ ,  $\frac{1}{9}$ , &c. of a circle, the number of reflected images of any object is 3-1, 5-1, 7-1, &c. and,

2. That the number of images which reach the eye from each mirror is  $\frac{3-1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{5-1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{7-1}{2}$ , which are always even numbers

Hitherto we have supposed the inclination of the mirrors to be *exactly* either an even or an odd aliquot part of a circle. We shall now proceed to consider the effects which will be produced when this is not the case.

If the angle A O B, Fig. 2. is made to increase from being an *even* aliquot part of a circle, such as  $\frac{1}{6}$ th, till it becomes an *odd* aliquot part, such as  $\frac{1}{7}$ th, the last reflected image  $\beta O \alpha$ , composed of the two halves  $\beta O e$ ,  $\alpha O e$ , will gradually increase, in consequence of each of the halves increasing; and when A O B becomes  $\frac{1}{7}$ th of the circle, the sector  $\beta O \alpha$  will become double of A O B, and  $\alpha O e$ ,  $\beta O e$  will become each complete sectors, or equal to A O B.

If the angle A O B is made to vary from  $\frac{1}{6}$ th to  $\frac{1}{5}$ th of a circle, the last sector  $\beta$  O  $\alpha$  will gradually diminish, in consequence of each of its halves  $\beta$  O e  $\alpha$  O e diminishing ; and just when the angle becomes  $\frac{1}{5}$ th of a circle, the sector  $\beta$  O  $\alpha$  will have become

14

## PRINCIPLES OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE. 15

infinitely small, and the two sectors  $b \circ \beta$ ,  $a \circ \alpha$ , will join each other exactly at the line  $\circ c$ , as in Fig. 3.

# CHAP. II.

ON THE FRINCIPLES OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE, AND THE FORMATION OF SYMMETRICAL PICTURES BY THE COMBINATION OF DIRECT AND INVERTED IMAGES.

The principles which we have laid down in the preceding chapter must not be considered as in any respect the principles of the Kaleidoscope.— They are merely a series of preliminary deductions, by means of which the principles of the Instrument may be illustrated, and they go no farther than to explain the formation of an apparent circular aperture by means of successive reflections.

All the various forms which nature and art present to us, may be divided into two classes, namely, simple or irregular forms, and compound or regular forms. To the first class belong all those forms which are called picturesque, and which cannot be reduced to two forms similar, and similarly situated with regard to a given point; and to the second class belong the forms of animals, the forms of regular architectural buildings, the forms of all articles of furniture and ornament, the forms of many natural productions, and all forms, in short, which are composed of two forms, similar and similarly situated with regard to a given line.

Now, it is obvious that all compound forms of this kind are composed of a direct and an inverted image of a simple or an irregular form; and, therefore, every simple form can be converted into a compound or beautiful form, by skilfully combining it with an inverted image of itself, formed by reflection. The image, however, must be formed by reflection from the first surface of the mirror, in order that the direct and the reflected image may join, and constitute one united whole; for if the image is reflected from the posterior surface, as in the case of a looking glass, the direct and the inverted image can never coalesce into one form, but must always be separated by a space equal to the thickness of the mirror glass.

If we arrange simple forms in the most perfect manner round a centre, it is impossible by any art to combine them into a symmetrical and beautiful picture. The regularity of their arrangement may give some satisfaction to the eye, but the adjacent forms can never join, and must therefore form a picture composed of disunited parts.

The case, however, is quite different with compound forms. If we arrange a succession of simi-

## PRINCIPLES OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE.

lar forms of this class round a centre, it necessarily follows that they will all combine into one perfect whole; in which all the parts either are or may be united, and which will delight the eye by its symmetry and beauty.

In order to illustrate the preceding observations, we have represented in Fig. 4 and 5. the effects produced by the multiplication of single and compound forms. The line a b c d, for example, Fig. 4., is a simple form, and is arranged round a centre in the same way as it would be done by a perfect multiplying glass, if such a thing could be made. The consecutive forms are all disunited, and do not compose a whole. Fig. 5. represents the very same simple form, a b c d, converted into a compound form, and then, as it were, multiplied and arranged round a centre. In this case every part of the figure is united, and forms a whole, in which there is nothing redundant and nothing deficient; and this is the precise effect which is produced by the application of the Kaleidoscope to the simple form a b c d.

The fundamental principle, therefore, of the Kaleidoscope is, that it produces symmetrical and beautiful pictures, by converting simple into compound or beautiful forms, and arranging them, by successive reflections, into one perfect whole.

This principle, it will be readily seen, cannot be discovered by any examination of the luminous sectors which compose the circular field of the Kaleidoscope, and is not even alluded to in any of the

17
propositions given by Harris and Mr Wood. In looking at the circular field composed of an even and an odd number of reflections, the arrangement of the sectors is perfect in both cases ; but when the number is odd, and the form of the object simple, and not similarly placed with regard to the two mirrors, a symmetrical and united picture cannot possibly be produced. Hence it is manifest, that neither the principles nor the effects of the Kaleidoscope could possibly be deduced from any practical knowledge respecting the luminous sectors.

In order to explain the formation of the symmetrical picture shewn in Fig. 5., we must consider that the simple form m n, Fig. 2., is seen by direct vision through the open sector A O B, and that the image n o, of the object m n, formed by one reflection in the sector B O a, is necessarily an inverted image. But since the image o p, in the sector a O ., is a reflected (and consequently an inverted) image of the inverted image m t, in the sector A O b; it follows, that the whole  $n \circ p$  is an inverted image of the whole n m t; consequently the image n o will unite with the image o p, in the same manner as m nunites with m t. But as these two last unite into a regular form, the two first will also unite into a regular or compound form. Now, since the half  $\beta$  O e of the last sector  $\beta$  O  $\alpha$  was formerly shewn to be an image of the half sector a O s, the line q v will also be an image of the line o z, and for the same reason the line v p will be an image of t y. But the image v p forms the same angle with B O,

or nq, that ty does, and is equal and similar to ty; and  $q \cdot v$  forms the same angle with A O that o z does, and is equal and similar to o z. Hence, O o = O q, and O y = O v, and therefore q v and v pwill form one straight line, equal and similar to tq, and similarly situated with respect to B O. The figure  $m n \circ p q t$ , therefore, composed of one direct object, and several reflected images of that object will be symmetrical. As the same reasoning is applicable to every object extending across the aperture A O B, whether simple or compound, and to every angle A O B, which is an even aliquot part of a circle, it follows,

1. That when the inclination of the mirror is an *even* aliquot part of a circle, the object seen by direct vision across the aperture, whether it is simple or compound, is so united with the images of it formed by repeated reflections, as to form a symmetrical picture.

2. That the symmetrical picture is composed of a series of parts, the number of which is equal to the number of times that the angle A O B is contained in 360°. And,

3. That these parts are alternately direct and inverted pictures of the object; a direct picture of it being always placed between two inverted ones, and, vice versa, so that the number of direct pictures is equal to the number of inverted ones.

When the inclination of the mirrors is an odd aliquot part of 360°, such as  $\frac{1}{2}$ th, as shewn in Fig. 3., the picture formed by the combination of the direct object and its reflected images is symmetrica only under particular circumstances.

If the object, whether simple or compound, is similarly situated with respect to each of the mirrors, as the straight line 1, 2, of Fig. 6., the compound line 3, 4, the inclined lines 5, 6, the circular object 7., the curved line 8, 9., and the radial line 10, 0, then the images of all these objects will also be similarly situated with respect to the radial lines that separate the sectors, and will therefore form a whole perfectly symmetrical, whether the number of sectors is odd or even.

But when the objects are not similarly situated with respect to each of the mirrors, as the compound line 1, 2, Fig. 7., the curved line 3, 4, and the straight line 5, 6; and, in general, as all irregular objects that are presented by accident to the instrument; then the image formed in the last sector a O e, by the mirror B O, will not join with the image formed in the last sector b O e, by the mirror A O. In order to explain this with sufficient perspicuity, let us take the case where the angle is 72°, or 7th part of the circle, as shewn in Fig. 3. Let A O, B O, be the reflecting planes, and mn a line, inclined to the radius which bisects the angle A O B, so that O = O n; then m n', n m', will be the images formed by the first reflection from A O and B O, and n' m", m' n" the images formed by the second reflection ; but by the principles of catoptrics, O m = O m' = O m'', and O n = O n'=O n'', consequently since O m is by hypothesis greater

than O n, we shall have O m" greater than O n"; that is, the images m' n", n' m" will not coincide. As O n approaches to an equality with O m, O n" approaches to an equality with O m", and when O m = O n, we have O n" = O m", and at this limit the images are symmetrically arranged, which is the case of the straight line 1, 2, in Fig. 6. By tracing the images of the other lines, as is done in Fig. 7., it will be seen, that in every case the picture is destitute of symmetry when the object has not the same position with respect to the two mirrors.

This result may be deduced in a more simple manner, by considering that the symmetrical picture formed by the Kaleidoscope contains half as many pairs of forms as the number of times that the inclination of the mirrors is contained in 360°; and that each pair consists of a direct and an inverted form, so joined as to form a compound form. Now the compound form made up by each pair obviously constitutes a symmetrical picture when multiplied any number of times, whether even or odd; but if we combine so many pair and half a pair, two direct images will come together, the half pair cannot possibly join both with the direct and the inverted image on each side of it, and therefore a symmetrical whole cannot be obtained from such a combination. From these observations we may conclude,

1. That when the inclination of the mirrors is an odd aliquot part of a circle, the object seen by direct vision through the aperture unites with the images of it formed by repeated reflections, and forms a

complete and symmetrical picture, only in the case when the object is similarly situated with respect to both the mirrors; the two last sectors forming, in every other position of the object, an imperfect junction, in consequence of these being either both direct or both inverted pictures of the object.

2. That the series of parts which compose the symmetrical as well as the unsymmetrical picture, consists of direct and inverted pictures of the object, the number of direct pictures being always equal to half the number of sectors increased by one, when the number of sectors is 5, 9, 13, 17, 21, &c. and the number of inverted pictures being equal to half the number of sectors diminished by one, when the number of sectors is 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, &c. and vice versa. Hence, the number of direct pictures of the object must always be odd, and the number of inverted pictures even, as appears from the following table :

Inclination of the Mirrors,		Number of Sectors.	I	umber of nverted ictures.		umber Direct Pictures	
120°	-	3	117	2	-	1	
72	-	5	-	2	-	3	
51루	-	7		4	-	3	
40	7	9	-	4	-	5	
32 5	-	11	-	6	-	5	
27 23	-	13	-	6	-	7	
24	-	15	-	8	-	7	
213	-	17	-	8	-	9	
1815	-	19	-	10	-	9	
17:	-	21	-	10	-	11	

3. That when the number of sectors is 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, &c. the two last sectors are inverted; and when the number is 5, 9, 13, 17, 21, &c. the two last sectors are direct.

When the inclination of the mirrors is not an, aliquot part of 360°, the images formed by the last reflections do not join like every other pair of images, and therefore the picture which is created must be imperfect. It has already been shewn at the end of Chap. I. that when the angle of the mirrors becomes greater than an even or less than an odd aliquot part of a circle, each of the two incomplete sectors which form the last sector becomes greater or less than half a sector. The image of the object comprehended in each of the incomplete sectors must therefore be greater or less than the images in half a sector; that is, when the last sector \$ O a, Fig. 2., is greater than A O B, the part q v in one half must be the image of more than o z, and v p the image of more than t y, and vice versa, when  $\beta O \alpha$  is less than A O B. Hence it follows, that the symmetry is imperfect from the image in the last sector being greater or less than the other images. But besides this cause of imperfection in the symmetry, there is another, namely, the disunion of the two images q v, and v p. The angles O q v and O o p are obviously equal, and also the angles Opv, Opo; but since the angle  $\beta O \alpha$ , or q O p, is by hypothesis greater or less than p O o, it follows that the angles of the triangle q O p are either greater or less

than two right angles, because they are greater or less than the three angles of the triangle p O o. But as this is absurd, the lines q v, v p, cannot join so as to form one straight line, and therefore the completion of a perfect figure by means of two mirrors, whose inclination is not an aliquot part of a circle, is impossible. When the angle  $\beta O \pi$  is greater than p O o, or A O B, the lines q v, v p, will form a re-entering angle towards O, and when it is less than A O B, the same lines will form a salient angle towards O.

Hitherto we have considered both the object and the mirrors as stationary, and we have contemplated only the effects produced by the union of the different parts of the picture. The variations, however, which the picture exhibits, have a very singular character, when either the objects or the mirrors are put in motion. Let us, first, consider the effects produced by the motion of the object when the mirrors are at rest.

If the object moves from M to O, Fig. 2., in the direction of the radius, all the images will likewise move towards O, and the patterns will have the appearance of being absorbed or extinguished in the centre. If the motion of the object is from O to M, the images will also move outwards in the direction of the radii, and the pattern will appear to develope itself from the centre O, and to be lost or absorbed at the circumference of the luminous field. The objects that move parallel to M O will have their centre

of developement, or their centre of absorption, at the point in the lines A O, B O, a O, b O, &c. where the direction in which the images move cuts these lines. When the object passes across the field in a circle concentric with A B, and in the direction A B, the images in all the sectors formed by an even number of reflections will move in the same direction A B, namely, in the direction  $\beta b$ ,  $a \alpha$ ; while those that have been formed by an odd number of reflections will move in an opposite direction, namely, in the directions a B, A b. Hence, if the object moves from A to B, the points of absorption will be in the lines B O, & O, and b O. and the points of developement in the lines A O, a O, and \$ O, and vice versa, when the motion of the object is from B to A.

If the object moves in an oblique direction m n, the images will move in the directions m t, o n, o p, q t, q p, and m, o, q, will be the centres of developement, and n, p, t, the centres of absorption; whereas, if the object moves from n to m, these centres will be interchanged. These results are susceptible of the simplest demonstration, by supposing the object in one or two successive points of its path m n, and considering that the image must be formed at points similarly situated behind the mirrors; the line passing through these points will be the path of the image, and the order in which the images succeed each other will give the direction of their motion. Hence, we may conclude in general,

1. That when the path of the object cuts both the mirrors AO and BO like m n, the centre of absorption will be in the radius passing through the section of the mirror to which the object moves, and in every alternate radius; and that the centre of development will be in the radius passing through the section of the mirror from which the object moves, and in all the alternate radii: and,

2. That when the path of the object cuts any one of the mirrors and the circumference of the circular field, the centre of absorption will be in all the radii which separate the sectors, and the centre of development in the circumference of the field, if the motion is towards the mirror, but vice versa if the motion is towards the circumference.

When the objects are at rest, and the Kaleidoscope in motion, a new series of appearances is presented. Whatever be the direction in which the Kaleidoscope moves, the object seen by direct vision must always be stationary, and it is easy to determine the changes which take place when the Kaleidoscope has a progressive motion over the object. A very curious effect, however, is observed when the Kaleidoscope has a rotatory motion round the angular point, or rather round the common section of the two mirrors. The picture created by the Instrument seems to be composed of two pictures, one in motion round the centre of the circular field, and the other at rest. The sectors formed by an odd number of reflections are all in motion in the same direction as the Kaleidoscope, while the see-

tor seen by direct vision, and all the sectors formed by an even number of reflections, are at rest. In order to understand this, let M, Fig. S., be a plane mirror, and A an object whose image is formed at a, so that a M = A M, let the mirror M advance to N, and the object A, which remains fixed, will have its image b formed at such a distance behind N, that b N = A N; then it will be found, that the space · moved through by the image is double the space moved through by the mirror; that is, a b = 2 M N. Since MN = AM - AN, and since AM = aM, and A N = b N, we have M N = a M—b N; and adding M N or its equal b M + b N to both sides of the equation, we obtain 2 M N = a M - b N + b N + b M; but—b N+b N = 0 and a M+b M = a b; hence 2 M N = a b. This result may be obtained without the aid of mathematics, by considering, that if the mirror M advances one inch to A, one inch is added to the distance of the image a, and one substracted from the distance of the object ; that is, the difference of these distances is now two inches, or twice the space moved through by the mirror; but since the new distance of the object is equal to the distance of the new image, the difference of these distances, which is the space moved through by the image, must be two inches, or twice the space described by the mirror.

Let us now suppose that the object A advances in the same direction as the mirror, and with twice its velocity, so as to describe a space A  $\alpha = 2$  M N = a b, in the same time that the mirror moves thro'

M N, the object being at  $\alpha$  when the mirror is at N. Then, since  $A \alpha \equiv a \ b$  and  $b \ N \equiv A$  N, the whole  $\alpha$  N is equal to the whole a N, that is, a will still be the place of the image. Hence it follows, that if the object advances in the same direction as the mirror, but with twice its velocity, the image will remain stationary.

If the object A moves in a direction opposite to that of the mirror, and with double its velocity, as is shewn in Fig. 9.; then since b would be the image when A was stationary, and when M had moved to N, in which case  $a \ b = 2$  M N, and b' the image when A had advanced to  $\alpha$  through a space A  $\alpha =$ 2 M N, we have  $b \ N = A \ N$ , and b' N =  $\alpha \ N$ , and, therefore,  $bb' = A \ N - \alpha \ N = A \ \alpha = 2 M \ N$ , and a b +bb' or its equal  $ab' = 4 \ M \ N$ . Hence it follows, that when the object advances towards the mirror with twice its velocity, the image will move with four times the velocity of the mirror.

If the mirror M moves round a centre, the very same results will be obtained from the very same reasoning, only the angular motion of the mirror and the image will then be more conveniently measured by degrees.

Now, in Fig. 2., let X be a fixed object, and A O, B O two mirrors placed at an angle of  $60^{\circ}$  and moveable round O as a centre. When the eye is applied to the end of the mirrors, or at E, Fig. 1, the fixed object X seen by direct vision will of course be stationary, while the mirrors describe an arch A M of  $10^{\circ}$  for example; but since A O has ap-

proached X by 10°, the image of X formed behind A O must have approached X by 20°, and consequently moves with twice the velocity in the same direction as the mirrors. In like manner, since BO has receded 10° from X, the image of X formed by B O must have receded 20° from X, and consequently must have moved with twice the velocity in the same direction as the mirrors. Now, the image of X in the sector  $b \ O \beta$  is, as it were, an image of the image in B O a reflected from A O. But the image in B O a advances in the same direction as the mirror A O and with twice its velocity, hence the image of it in the sector  $b \circ \beta$  will be stationary. In like manner it may be shewn, that the image in the sector  $a O \approx$  will be stationary. Since  $\approx O e$  is an image of b O r reflected from the mirror B O, and since all images in that sector are stationary, the corresponding images in a O e will move in the same direction  $\alpha \beta$  as the mirrors; and for the same reason the images in the other half sector  $\beta O e$  will move in the same direction ; hence, the image of any object formed in the last sector & O & will move in the same direction, and with the same velocity as the images in the sectors A O b, B O a.

By a similar process of reasoning, the same results will be obtained, whatever be the number of the sectors, and whether the angle A O B be the even or the odd aliquot part of a circle. Hence we may conclude,

1. That during the rotatory motion of the mirrors round O, the objects in the sector seen by direct vision, and all the images of these objects formed by an even number of reflections are at rest.

2. That all the images of these objects, formed by an odd number of reflections, move round O in the same direction as the mirrors, and with an angular velocity double that of the mirrors.

3. That when the angle A O B is an even aliquot part of a circle, the number of moving sectors is equal to the number of stationary sectors, a moving sector being placed between two stationary sectors, and vice versa.

4. That when the angle A O B is an odd aliquot part of a circle, the two last sectors adjacent to each other are either both in motion or both stationary, the number of moving sectors being greater by one when the number of sectors is 3, 7, 11, 15, &c. and the number of stationary sectors being greater by one when the number of sectors is 5, 9, 13, 17, &c. And,

5. That as the moving sectors correspond with those in which the images are inverted, and the stationary ones with those in which the images are direct, the number of each may be found from the table given in page 22.

When one of the mirrors, A O, is stationary, while the other, B O, is moved round, and so as to enlarge the angle A O B, the object X, and the image of it seen in the stationary mirror A O, remain at rest, but all the other images are in motion receding from the object X, and its stationary

image; and when B O moves towards A O, so as to diminish the angle A O B, the same effect takes place, only the motion of the images is towards the object X, on one side, and towards its stationary image on the other. These images will obviously move in pairs; for, since the fixed object and its stationary image are at an invariable distance, the existence of a symmetrical arrangement, which we have formerly proved, requires that similar pairs be arranged at equal distances round O, and each of the images of these pairs must be stationary with regard to the other. Now, as the fixed object is placed in the sector A O B, and its stationary image in the sector A O b, it will be found that in the semicircle M b e, containing the fixed mirror, the

1st reflected image and direct object,	this projects who
2d and 3d reflected image,	are stationary
4th 5th	with respect to
6th 7th	each other.
Sth 9th	mondi withurs
while in the same semicircle M b e, t	he
1st reflected image and 2d reflected image	her n Odleni
3d 4th	are moveable
5th 6th	with respect
7th Sth	
9th 10th	man manual

On the other hand, in the semicircle M a e, containing the moveable mirror, the phenomena are reversed, the images which were formerly stationary with respect to each other being now moveable, and vice versa.

In considering the velocity with which each pair of images revolves, it will be readily seen that the pair on each side, and nearest the fixed pair, will have an angular velocity double that of the mirror BO; the next pair on each side will have a velocity four times as great as that of the mirror : the next pair will have a velocity eight times as great, and the next pair a velocity sixteen times as great as that of the mirror, the velocity of any pair being always double the velocity of the pair which is adjacent to it on the side of the fixed pair. The reason of this will be manifest, when we recollect what has already been demonstrated, that the velocity of the image is always double that of the mirror, when the mirror alone moves towards the object, and quadruple that of the mirror when both are in motion, and when the object approaches the mirror with twice the velocity. When B O moves from A O, the image in the sector B O a moves with twice the velocity of the mirror; but since the image in  $b \circ \beta$  is an image of the image in B O a reflected from the fixed mirror A O, it also will move with the same velocity, or twice that of the mirror B O. Again, the image in the sector a Q a being a reflection of the stationary image in A O b from the moving mirror, will itself move with double the velocity of the mirror. But the image in the next sector  $\approx O \beta$  is a reflection of the image in  $b \ O \ \beta$  from the moving mirror B O; and as this latter image has been shewn to move in the direction  $b \beta$ , with twice the velocity of the mirror B O,

while the mirror B O itself moves towards the image, it follows that the image in  $\approx O \beta$  will move with a velocity four times that of the mirror. The same reasoning may be extended to any number of sectors, and it will be found, that in the semicircle M b e, containing the fixed mirror,

The  $\begin{cases} 2 \text{ and } 3 \\ 4 \text{ and } 5 \\ 6 \text{ and } 7 \\ 8 \text{ and } 9 \end{cases}$  reflections, move with  $\begin{cases} 2 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 16 \end{cases}$  times the velocity of the mirthe mir- $16 \end{cases}$  to ror; whereas in the semicircle M a e, containing the moveable mirror,

	1 and 2		2) times the
images	3 and 4		4 velocity of
formed	5 and 6	reflections, move with	8 the mir-
by (	7 and 8		l6 ror;

a progression which may be continued to any length.

Before concluding this chapter, it may be proper to mention a very remarkable effect produced by moving the two plain mirrors along one of two lines placed at right angles to each other. When the aperture of the mirrors is crossed by each of the two lines, the figure created by reflection consists of two polygons with salient and re-entering angles. By moving the mirrors along one of the lines, so that it may always cross the aperture at the same angle, and at the same distance from the angular point, the polygon formed by this line will remain stationary, and of the same form and magnitude ; but the polygon formed by the other line, at first emerging from the centre, will gradually increase

D

till its salient angles touch the re-entering angles of the stationary polygon; the salient angles becoming more acute, will enclose the apices of the reentering angles of the stationary polygon, and at last the polygon will be destroyed by truncations from its salient angles.

When the lines cross each other at a right angle, the salient angles of the opening polygon can never touch the salient angles of the stationary polygon, but always its re-entering angles. If the lines, however, form a less angle than the complement of the angle formed by the mirrors, then the salient angles of the opening polygon may touch the salient angles of the stationary polygon, by placing the mirrors so as to form re-entering angles in the polygon. When the lines form an angle between 90° and the complement of the angle formed by the mirrors, the salient angle of the opening polygon may be made to touch the salient angles of the stationary one, but in this case the stationary polygon can have no re-entering angles. The preceding effects are finely exemplified by the use of a Kaleidoscope with a draw tube and lens, and by employing the vertical and horizontal bars of a window, which may be set at different angles, by viewing them in perspective.

# CHAP. III.

35

ON THE EFFECTS PRODUCED UPON THE SYMMETRY OF THE PICTURE BY VARYING THE POSITION OF THE EYE.

It has been taken for granted in the preceding chapters, not only that the object seen by direct vision is in a state of perfect junction with the images of it formed by reflection; but that the object and its images have the same apparent magnitude, and nearly the same intensity of light. As these conditions are absolutely necessary to the production of symmetrical and beautiful forms, and may be all effected by particular methods of construction, we shall proceed to investigate the principles upon which these methods are founded, in so far as the position of the eye is concerned.

When any object is made to touch a common looking-glass in one or more points, the reflected image does not touch the object in these points, but is always separated from it by a space equal to the thickness of the glass, in consequence of the reflection being performed by the posterior surface of the mirror. The image and the object must

D 2

#### EFFECTS OF VARYING THE

therefore be always disunited; and as the interval of separation must be interposed between all the reflected images, there cannot possibly exist that union of forms which constitutes the very essence of symmetry. In mirror glass there is a series of images reflected from the first surface, which unite perfectly with the object, and with one another. When the angles of incidence are not great, this series of images is very faint, and do not much interfere with the more brilliant images formed by the metallic surface. As the angles of incidence increase, the one series of images destroys the effect of the other, from their overlapping or imperfect coincidence-an effect which increases with the thickness of the glass; but when the reflections are made at very oblique incidences, the images formed by the metallic surface become almost invisible, while those formed by the first surface are as brilliant and nearly as perfect as if the effect of the posterior metallic surface had been entirely removed. In the following observations, therefore, it is understood that the images are reflected either from a polished metallic surface, or from the first surface of glass.

In order to explain the effects produced upon the symmetry of the picture by a variation in the position of the eye, we must suppose the object to be placed at a small distance from the end of the mirror. This position is represented in Fig. 10. where A E is a section of the mirror in the direction of its length; M N O P an object placed at æ

distance from the extremity A of the mirror, and mn op, its image, which, by the principles of catoptrics, will be similar to the object and similarly situated with respect to the mirror A E. Now, if the eye is placed at s, it will see distinctly the whole object M N O P, but it will only see the portion n r s o of the image cut off by drawing the line  $\varepsilon \mathbf{A} r$ through the extremity of the mirror, so that there cannot be a symmetrical form produced by observing at the same time the object M N O P and this portion of its image; and the deviation from symmetry will be still greater, if MNOP is brought nearer the line **B** A, for the image mnop will be entirely included between the lines A r and A B, so that no part whatever of the image will be visible. As the eye of the observer moves from  $\epsilon$  to  $\epsilon$ , the line  $\epsilon \mathbf{A} r$  will move into the position  $e \mathbf{A} x$ , and when it has reached the point e, the whole of the image m n o p will be visible. The symmetry, therefore, arising from the simultaneous contemplation of the object and its image will be improved; but it will still be imperfect, as the image will appear to be distant only by the space m x from the plane of the mirror, while the distance of the object is M x. As the eye moves from e to E, the line e A x will move into E A B, and the object and its image will seem to be placed at the equal distances M B, m B from the plane of the mirror, and will therefore form a symmetrical combination. When the object is moved, and arrives at BA, the image will touch the object, and they will form one

#### EFFECTS OF VARYING THE

perfect and united whole, whatever be the shape of the line M P. Hence we conclude, that when an object is placed at a little distance from the extremity of a plain mirror, its image formed by reflection from the mirror cannot unite with the object in forming a conjoined and symmetrical picture, unless the eye is in the plane of the mirror.

When two mirrors, therefore, 'are combined, as in Fig. 1., the eye must be in the plane of both, in order that the object and its image may have a symmetrical coincidence, and therefore it must be at the point E where the two planes cut each other. The necessity of this position, and the effects of any considerable deviation from it, will be understood from Fig. 1., where A O B is the angle formed by the mirrors, and M N the place of the object. Then if the eye is placed at s, the aperture A O B will be projected into  $a b \omega$  upon the plane M N; but the orthographic projection of A BO upon the same plane is A' B' O', or, what is the same thing, the reflecting surfaces of which A O, B O are sections, will, when prolonged, cut the plane M N in the lines A' O', B' O'; hence, rays from the objects situated between A' O' B' and a w b cannot fall upon the mirrors AOE, BOE, or images of these objects cannot be formed by the mirrors. The images, therefore, in the different sectors formed by reflection round O as a centre, cannot include any objects without A' O' B'; and since the eye at sees all the objects between A' O' B' and  $a \ \omega b$ , there can be no symmetry and uniformity

in the picture formed by the combination of such an object with the images in the sectors. When the eye descends to e, the aperture A O B is projected into a' o' b', which approaches nearer to AOB; but for the reasons already assigned, the symmetry of the picture is still imperfect. As the eye descends the lines a' o', b' o' approach to A' O', B' O', and when the eye arrives at E, a point in the plane of both the reflecting surfaces, the projection of the aperture A O B will be A' O' B', and the images in all the sectors will be exactly similar to the object presented to the aperture. Hence we conclude in general, that when an object is placed at any distance before two mirrors inclined at an angle, which is an even aliquot part of 360°, the symmetry of the picture is perfect, when the eye, considered as a mathematical point, is placed at E, and that the deviation from symmetry increases as the eye recedes from E towards z.

If the object were a mathematical surface, all the parts of which were in contact with the extremities A O, B O of the mirrors, then it is easy to see that the symmetry of the picture will not be affected by the deviation of the eye from the point E, and, in consequence of the enlargement of the sector, seen by direct vision. The symmetry of the picture, is, however, affected in another way, by the deviation of the eye from the point E.

We have already seen, that, in order to possess perfect symmetry, an object must consist of two parts in complete contact, one of which is an in-

verted image of the other. But in order that an object possessing perfect symmetry may appear perfectly symmetrical, four conditions are required. The two halves of the object must be so placed with respect to the eye of the observer, that no part of the one half shall conceal any part of the other; that whatever parts of the one half are seen, the corresponding parts of the other must also be seen ; and that the corresponding parts of both halves, and both halves themselves, must subtend the same angle at the eye. When we stand before a looking-glass, and hold out one hand so as to touch it, the hand will be found to conceal various parts of its image; and, in some positions of the eye, the whole image will be concealed, so that a symmetrical picture cannot possibly be formed by the union of the two. If the eye is placed so obliquely to the looking-glass, that the hand no longer interferes with its image, it will still be seen, that parts of the hand which are not directly visible, are visible in its reflected image, and therefore that a symmetrical picture cannot be created by the union of two parts apparently dissimilar. If the eye of the observer is placed near his hand, so that he can see distinctly both the hand and its image, the angular magnitude of his hand is much greater than that of its image; and therefore, when the two are united, they cannot form a symmetrical object. This will be better understood from Fig. 10. When the eye is placed at s, the object M NO P is obviously nearer than its image mnop, and

must therefore appear larger; and this difference in their apparent magnitudes will increase as the eye rises above the plane of the mirror A E. As the eye approaches to E, the distances of the object and its image approach to an equality; and when the eye is at E, the object M N O P, and its image  $m n \circ p$ , are situated at exactly the same distance from the eye, and therefore have the same angular magnitude. Hence it follows, that when they are united, they will form a perfectly symmetrical form.

When the eye is placed in the plane of both the mirrors, the field of view arising from the multiplication of the sector A O B will be perfectly circular; but as the eye rises above the plane of both the mirrors, this circle will become a sort of ellipse, becoming more and more eccentric as the eye comes in front of the mirrors, or rises in the direction E :. If the observer were infinitely distant, these figures would be correct ellipses; but as the eye, particularly when the mirrors are broad, must be nearly twice as far from the last reflected sector as from the sector seen by direct vision, the field of view, and consequently every pattern which it contains, must be distorted, and destitute of beauty, from this cause alone.

Hitherto we have alluded only to symmetry of form, but it is manifest that, before the union of two similar forms can give pleasure to the eye, there must be also a symmetry of light. If the object M N O P is white, and its image  $m n \circ p$  black, they cannot possibly form, by their combination, an agreeable picture. As any considerable difference in the intensity of the light will destroy the beauty of the patterns, it becomes a matter of indispensable importance to determine the position of the cye, which will give the greatest possible uniformity to the different images of which the picture is composed.

It has been ascertained by the accurate experiments of Bouguer, that when light is reflected perpendicularly from good plate glass, only 25 rays are reflected out of 1000; that is, the intensity of the light of any object seen perpendicularly in plate glass, is to the intensity of the light of its image as 1000 is to 25, or as 40 is to 1. When the angle of incidence is 60°, the number of reflected rays is 112, and the intensities are nearly as 9 to 1 .--When the angle of incidence is  $87\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$  the number of reflected rays is 584, and the intensities are nearly as 17 to 10, so that the luminousness of the object and its image approach rapidly to an equality. It is therefore clear, that, in order to have the greatest uniformity of light in the different images which compose the figure, the eye must be placed as nearly as possible in the plane of both the mirrors, that is, as nearly as possible to the angular point .--But as it is impracticable to have the eye exactly in the plane of both mirrors, the images formed by one reflection must always be less bright than the direct object, even at the part nearly in contact with the object. The second and third reflec-

tions, &c. where the rays fall with less obliquity, will be still darker than the first; though this difference will not be very perceptible when the inclination of the mirrors is 30° or upwards, and the eye placed in the position already described.

It is a curious circumstance, that the positions of the eye which are necessary to effect a complete union of the images—to represent similar parts of the object and its images—to observe the object and its image under the same angular magnitude, and to give a maximum intensity of light to the reflected images—should all unite in the same point. Had this not been the case, the construction of the Kaleidoscope would have been impracticable, and hence it will be seen how vain is the attempt to produce beautiful and symmetrical forms from any combination of plain mirrors in which this position of the eye is not a radical and essential principle.

have a cirrular immingue field one

a circular pattern. Such a mainen

## CHAP. IV.

ON THE EFFECTS PRODUCED UPON THE SYMMETRY OF THE PICTURE, BY VARYING THE POSITION OF THE OBJECT.

HAVING ascertained the proper position of the eye, we shall now proceed to determine the position of the object.

If the object is placed within the reflectors at any point D, Fig. 1 and 2, between their object end O and their eye end E, a perfectly symmetrical picture will obviously be formed from it; but the centre of this picture will not be at O, the centre of the luminous sectors, but at the point D, or its projection d, where the object is placed, so that we shall have a circular luminous field enclosing an eccentric circular pattern. Such a position of the object is therefore entirely unfit for the production of a symmetrical picture, unless the object should be such as wholly to exclude the view of the circular field, formed by the reflected images of the aperture A O B.

As the point D approaches to O, the centre of the symmetrical picture will approach to O, and when D coincides with O, the centre of the picture will be at O, and all the images of the object placed in the plane A O B will be similarly disposed in all the sectors which compose the circular field of view. Hence we may conclude, that a perfectly symmetrical pattern cannot be exhibited in the circular field of view, when the object is placed between O and E, or any where within the reflectors. If the eye could be placed exactly at the angular point E, so that every point of the line E O should be projected upon O, then the images would be symmetrically arranged round O; but this is obviously impossible, for the object would, in such circumstances, cease to become visible when this coincidence took place. But independent of the eccentricity of the pattern, the position of the object within the mirrors prevents that motion of the objects, without which a variation of the pattern cannot be produced. An object between the reflectors must always be exposed to view, and we cannot restrict our view to one-half, one-third, or onefourth of it, as when we have it in our power to move the object across the aperture, or the aperture over the objects.

Another evil arising from the placing of the objects within the mirrors, is, that we are prevented from giving them the proper degree of illumination which is so essential to the distinctness of the last reflections. The position of the mirrors, too, without the objects, or between D and O, are wholly unnecessary, as they are not concerned in the for-

#### EFFECTS OF VARYING THE

mation of the picture. Hence it follows, that the effects of the Kaleidoscope cannot be produced by any combination of mirrors, in which the objects are placed within them.

- Let us now consider what will happen, by removing the object beyond the plane passing thro' AOB. In this case the pattern will lose its symmetry from two causes. In the first place, it is manifest, that as the eye is necessarily raised a little above the point E, and also above the planes AOE, BOE, it must see through the aperture A O B a portion of the object situated below both of these planes. This part of the object will therefore appear to project beyond the point, or below the plane where the direct and reflected images meet. If we suppose, therefore, that all the reflected images were symmetrical, the whole picture would lose its symmetry in consequence of the irregularity of the sector A O B seen by direct vision. But this supposition is not correct; for since the image m n, Fig. 3. seen by direct vision does not coincide with the first reflected images mn', nm', it is clear, that all the other images will likewise be incoincident, and therefore that the figure formed by their combination must lose its symmetry, and consequently its beauty.

As the eye must necessarily be placed above a line perpendicular to the plane A B O at the point O, it will see a portion of the object situated below that perpendicular continued to the object. Thus, in Fig. 1. if the eye is placed at e above E, and if

M N is the object placed at the distance P O, then the eye at e will observe the portion P o of the object situated below the axis P O E, and this portion, which may be called the aberration, will vary with the height E e of the eye, and with the distance O P of the object.

Let us now suppose E e and O P to be constant, and that a polygonal figure is formed by some line placed at the point Q of the object M N. Then if P Q is very great compared with P o, the polygonal figure will be tolerably regular, though all its angles will exhibit an imperfect junction, and its lower half will be actually, though not very perceptibly, less than its upper half. But if Q approaches to P, P o remaining the same, so that P o bears a considerable ratio to P Q, then the polygonal figure will lose all symmetry, the upper sectors being decidedly the largest, and the lowest sectors the smallest. When Q arrives near P, the aberration becomes enormous, and the figure is so distorted, that it can no longer be recognised as a polygon.

The deviation from symmetry, therefore, arising from the removal of the object from the extremity of the reflectors, increases as the object approaches to the centre of the luminous sectors or the circular field, and this deviation becomes so perceptible, that an eye accustomed to observe and admire the symmetry of the combined objects, will instantly perceive it, even when the distance of the object or P O is less than the 20th part of an inch. When

### EFFECTS OF VARYING THE

the object is very distant, the defect of symmetry is so enormous, that though the object is seen by direct vision, and in some of the sectors, it is entirely invisible in the rest.

The principle which we have now explained is of primary importance in the construction of the Kaleidoscope, and it is only by a careful attention to it that the instrument can be constructed so as to give to an experienced and fastidious eye that high delight which it never fails to derive from the exhibition of forms perfectly symmetrical.

From these observations it follows, that a picture possessed of mathematical symmetry, cannot be produced unless the object is placed exactly at the extremity of the reflectors, and that even when this condition is complied with, the object itself must consist of lines all lying in the same plane, and in contact with the reflectors. Hence it is obvious, that objects whose thickness is perceptible, cannot give mathematically symmetrical patterns, for one side of them must always be at a certain distance from O. The deviation in this case is, however, so small, that it can scarcely be perceived in objects of moderate thickness.

In the simple form of the Kaleidoscope, the production of symmetrical patterns is limited to objects which can be placed close to the aperture A O B; but it will be seen in the sequel of this treatise, that this limitation may be removed by an optical contrivance, which extends indefinitely the use and application of the instrument.

# CHAP. V.

ON THE INTENSITY OF THE LIGHT IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE FIELD, AND ON THE EFFECTS PRO-DUCED BY VARYING THE LENGTH AND BREADTH OF THE REFLECTORS.

WHEN we look through a Kaleidoscope in which the mirrors are placed at an angle of 18° or 221, the eye will perceive a very obvious difference in the intensity of the light in different parts of the field. If the inclination of the mirrors be about 30°, and the eye properly placed near the angular point, the intensity of the light is tolerably uniform; and a person who is unaccustomed to the comparison of different lights, will find it extremely difficult to distinguish the direct sector from the reflected ones. This difficulty will be still greater if the mirrors are made of finely polished steel, or of the best speculum metal, and the observer will not hesitate in believing that he is looking through a tube whose diameter is equal to that of the circular field. This approximation to uniformity in the intensity of the light in all the sectors, which arises wholly from the determination of the proper position of the eye,

E

tics of the Kaleidoscope, and is one, w

is one of the most curious and unexpected properties of the Kaleidoscope, and is one which could not have been anticipated from any theoretical views, or from any experimental results obtained from the ancient mode of combining plain mirrors. It is that property, too, which gives it all its value; for if the eye observed the direct sector with its included objects distinguished from all the rest by superior brilliancy, not only would the illusion vanish, but the picture itself would cease to afford pleasure, from the want of symmetry in the light of the field.

Before we proceed to investigate the effects produced by a variation in the length of the reflecting planes, it will be necessary to consider the variation of the intensity of the light in different parts of the reflected sectors. In the direct sector AOB, Fig. 2., the intensity of the light is uniform in every part of its surface ; but this is far from being the case in the images formed by reflection. In Fig. 1, take any two points m, o, and draw the lines m n, o p, perpendicular to A O, and meeting b Oin n and p. Let OE, Fig. 11, be a section of the reflector A O seen edgewise, and let O p, O n, be taken equal to the lines mn, op, or the height of the points n, p, above the plane of the reflector AO. Make OR to RE as Op is to E e the constant height of the eye above the reflecting plane, and Or to  $r \to as On$  to Ee, and the points R, r, will be the points of incidence of the rays issuing from p and n; for in this case O R p = E R e, and O r n

= E r e. Hence it is obvious, that E R e is less than E r e; and that the rays issuing from p, by falling more obliquely upon the reflecting surface, will be more copiously reflected. It follows, therefore, that the intensity of the light in the reflected sector A O b is not uniform, the lines of equal brightness, or the *isophotal* lines, as they may be called, being parallel to the reflecting surface A O, and in every sector parallel to the radius, between the given sector and the reflecting surface by which the sector is formed.

As it is easy from the preceding construction to determine the angles at which the light from any points m, n is reflected, when the length O E of the reflectors, and the position of the eye at E is given, we may calculate the intensity of the light in any point of the circular field by means of the following table, which shews the number of rays reflected at various angles of incidence, the number of incident rays being supposed to be 1000. Part of this table was computed by Bouguer for plate glass not quick-silvered, by means of a formula deduced from his experiments. By the aid of the same formula I have extended the table considerably.

E 2

#### EFFECTS OF VARYING THE LENGTH

Complement of the angles of incidence.	Rays re- flected out of 1000.	Complement of the angles of incidence.	
210	584	35°	79
5	543	.36	74
71	474	37	69
10	412	38	65
121	356	39	61
15	299	40	57 -
20	222	46	40
21	210	50	34
25	157	55	29
26	149	60	27
30	112	70	25
31	105	80	25
34	85	90	25

Table shewing the quantity of light reflected at various angles of incidence from plate-glass.

In order to explain the method of using the table, let us suppose that the angle of incidence, or O R  $p_2$ is 85°: then the number of rays in the corresponding point  $\pi$  of the reflected sector A O b will be 543. By letting fall perpendiculars from the points  $\mu$ ,  $\pi$ , upon the mirror BO, and taking O p, O n, Fig. 11, equal to these perpendiculars, we may ascertain the angles at which the light from the points  $\mu$ ,  $\pi$ , suffer a second reflection from the mirror B O. Let the angle for the point  $\pi$  be 10°, then the number of rays out of 1000 reflected at this angle, according to the table, is 412; but as the number of rays emanating from  $\pi$ , and incident upon B O, is

not 1000, but only 543, we must say as 1000:412 = 543:224, the number of rays reflected from BO, or the intensity of the light in a point in the line O b' corresponding to  $\pi$ .

The preceding method of calculation is applicable only with strictness to the two sectors A O b, B O a, formed by one reflection, for the intensity of the light in the other sectors which are formed by more than one reflection, must be affected by the polarisation which the light experiences after successive reflections; for light which has acquired this property is reflected according to laws different from those which regulate the reflection of direct light.

When the mirrors are metallic, the quantity of reflected light is also affected by its polarisation, but it is regulated by more complicated laws.

In Kaleidoscopes made of plates of glass, the last reflected image  $\beta O \alpha$ , Fig. 1., is more polarised than any of the rest, and is polarised in a plane perpendicular to M N, or in the same manner as if it had been reflected at the polarising angle from a vertical plane parallel to M N.

Let us now consider what will take place by a variation in the length of the reflecting planes, the angular extent of the field of view remaining always the same. If AOE, AOE', Fig. 12, be two reflecting plates of the same breadth AO, but of different lengths, it is manifest that the light which forms the direct sector must be incident nearer the perpendicular, or reflected at less obliquities in the
### 54 EFFECTS OF VARYING THE LENGTH

short plate than in the long one, and therefore that a similarly situated point in the circular field of the shorter instrument, will have less intensity of light than a similarly situated point in a larger instrument. But in this case, the field of view in the short instrument is proportionally enlarged, so that the comparison between the two is incorrect. When the long and the short instrument have equal apertures, which will be the case when the plates are AOE, A'OE', then similarly situated points of the two fields will have exactly the same intensity of light.

This will be better understood from Fig. 11, where OE may represent the long reflector and O'E the short one. Then if these two have exactly the same aperture, or a circular field of the same angular magnitude, the rays of light which flow from two given points, p, n, of the long instrument, will be reflected at a certain angle from the points R, r; but as the points p', n', are the corresponding points in the field of the shorter instrument, the rays which issue from them will be reflected at the same angles from the points R, r, the eye being in both cases placed at the same point e. Hence it is obvious, that the quantity of reflected light will in both cases be the same, and therefore that there is no peculiar advantage to be derived, in so far as the light of the field is concerned, by increasing the length of the reflectors, unless we raise the eye above c, till every part of the pupil receives the reflected rays.

There is, however, one advantage, and a very important one, to be derived from an increase of length in the mirrors, namely, a diminution of the deviation from symmetry which arises from the small height of the eye above the plane of the mirrors, and of the small distance of the objects from the extremity of the mirrors. As the height of the eye must always be a certain quantity, E e, Fig. 1, above the angular point E, whatever be the length of the reflectors, it is obvious, that when the length of the reflectors is e O, the deviation from symmetry will be only Po, whereas, when the length of the reflectors is reduced to e' o, the height of the eye being still equal to e E, the aberration will be increased to P o'. This advantage is certainly of considerable consequence; but in practice, the difficulty of constructing a perfect instrument, increases with the length of the reflectors. When the plates are long, it is more difficult to get the surface perfectly flat; the risk of a bending in the plates is also increased, which creates the additional difficulty of forming a good junction, on which the excellence of the instrument so much depends. By augmenting the length of the reflectors, the quantity of dust which collects between them is also increased, and it is then very difficult to remove this dust, without taking the instrument to pieces. From these causes it is advisable to limit the greatest lengths of the reflectors to seven or eight inches.

# CHAP. VI.

e small distance of the object

# ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE OF THE SIMPLE KALEIDOSCOPE.

In order to construct the Kaleidoscope in its most simple form, we must procure two reflectors, about five, six, seven, or eight inches long. These reflectors may be either rectangular plates, or plates shaped like those represented in Fig. 1, having their broadest ends A O from one to two inches wide. If the reflectors are of glass, the newest plate glass should be used, as a great deal of light is lost by employing old plate glass, with seratches or imperfections upon its surface. The plate glass may be either quick-silvered or not, or its posterior surface may be ground, or covered with black wax, or varnish, or any thing else that removes its reflective power. This, however, is by no means absolutely necessary, for if the eye is properly placed, the reflections from the posterior surface will scarcely affect the distinctness of the picture, unless in very intense lights. If it should be thought necessary to extinguish as completely as possible all extraneous light that may be thrown into the tube

from the posterior surface of the glass plates, that surface should be coated with a varnish of the same refractive and dispersive power as the glass.

If the plates of glass have been skilfully cut with the diamond, so as to have their edges perfectly straight, and free from chips, two of the edges may be placed together, as in Fig. 1, or one edge of one plate may be placed against the surface of the other plate, as shewn in Fig. 20. But if the edges are rough and uneven, one of them may be made quite straight, and freed from all imperfections, by grinding it upon a flat surface, with very fine emery, or with the powder scraped from a hone. When the two plates are laid together, so as to form a perfect junction, they are then to be placed in a brass or any other tube, so as to form an angle of 45°, 36°, 30°, or any even aliquot part of a circle. In order to do this with perfect accuracy, direct the tube containing the reflectors to any line, such as m n, placed very obliquely to one of the reflectors. A O, and open or shut the plates till the figure of a star is formed, composed of 8, 10, or 12 sectors, or with 4, 5, or 6 points, corresponding to angles of 45°, 36°, and 30°. When all the points of the star are equally perfect, and none of the lines which form the salient and re-entering angles disunited, the reflectors must be fixed in that position by small arches of brass A B, a b, filed down till they exactly fit the space between the open ends of the plates. The plates must then be kept in this position by pieces or wedges of cork or wood, or any

other substance pushed between them and the tube. The greatest care, however, must be taken that these wedges press lightly upon the reflectors, for a very slight force is capable of bending and altering the figure even of very thick plates of glass.

When the reflectors are thus placed in the tube, as in Fig. 13, their extremities  $a \to b \to b$ , next the eye, must reach to the very end of the tube, as it is of the greatest importance that the eye get as near as possible to the reflectors. The other ends of the reflectors AO, BO, must also extend to the other extremity of the tube, in order that they may be brought into contact with the objects which are to be applied to the instrument. In using transparent objects the cell which contains them may be screwed into the end of the tube, so as to reach the ends of the reflectors, if they happen to terminate within the tube; but an instrument thus constructed is incapable of being applied to opaque objects, or to transparent objects seen by reflected light.

If the plates are narrower at the eye end, as in Fig. 13, the angular point E should be a little on one side of the axis of the tube, in order that the aperture in the centre of the brass cap next the eye may be brought as near as possible to E. When the plates have the same breadth at both ends, the angular point E will be near the lower circumference of the tube, as it is at O; and in this case it is necessary to place the eye-hole out of the centre, so as to be a little above the angular point E. This construction is less elegant than the preceding; but

it has the advantage of giving more room for the introduction of a feather, or a piece of thin wood covered with leather, for the purpose of removing the dust which is constantly accumulating between the reflectors. In some instances, the plates have been put together in such a manner, that they may be taken out of the tube, for the purpose of being cleaned; but though this construction has its advantages, yet it requires some ingenuity to replace the reflectors with facility, and to fix them at the exact inclination which is required. One of the most convenient methods is to support the reflector in a groove cut out of a solid cylinder of dry wood of nearly the same diameter as the interior diameter of the tube; and after a slip of wood, or any other substance, is placed along the open edges of the plates, to keep them at the proper angle given by the groove, the whole is slipped into the tube, where it remains firm and secure from all accident.

If the length of the reflectors is less than the shortest distance at which the eye is capable of seeing objects with perfect distinctness, it will be necessary to place at the eye end E a convex lens, whose focal length is equal to, or an inch or two greater than, the length of the reflectors. By this means the observer will see with perfect distinctness the objects placed at the object end of the Kaleidoscope. This lens, however, must be removed when the instrument is to be used by persons who are shortsighted.

The proper application of the objects at the end

of the reflectors is now the only step which is required to complete the simple Kaleidoscope. The method of forming, selecting, and mixing the objects, will be described in the next chapter. At present, we shall confine our attention to the various methods which may be employed in applying them to the end of the reflectors.

The first and most simple method consists in bringing the tube about half an inch beyond the ends of the reflectors. A plane lens, of the same diameter as the tube, is then pushed into the tube, so as to touch the reflectors. The pieces of coloured glass being laid upon this lens, another plane lens, having its upper surface ground with fine emery, is next placed above the glass fragments, being prevented from pressing upon them, or approaching to the first plane lens by a ring of copper or brass; and is kept in its place by burnishing down the end of the tube. The eye being placed at the otherend of the instrument, the observer turns the whole. round in his hand, and perceives an infinite variety of beautiful figures and patterns, in consequence of the succession of new fragments, which are brought opposite the aperture by their own gravity, and by the rotatory motion of the tube. In this rude state, however, the instrument is by no means susceptible of affording very pleasing exhibitions. A very disagreeable effect is produced by bringing the darkest sectors, or those formed by the greatest number of reflections, to the upper part of the circular field, and though the variety of patterns will

be very great, yet the instrument is limited to the same series of fragments, and cannot be applied to the numerous objects which are perpetually presenting themselves to our notice. These evils can be removed only by adopting the construction shewn in Fig. 13, in which the reflectors reach the very end of the tube. Upon the end of the tube a b, c d, Fig. 14, is placed a ring of brass, m n, which moves easily upon the tube  $a \ b \ c \ d$ , and is kept in its place by a shoulder of brass on each side of it. A brass cell, M N, is then made to slip tightly upon the moveable ring m n, so that when the cell is turned round by means of the milled end at M N, the ring m n may move freely upon the tube. The fragments of coloured glass, &c. are now placed in a small box, or object plate, as it may be called, consisting of two glasses, one transparent and the other ground, kept at the distance of 1-Sth or 1-10th of an inch by a brass rim .---This brass rim generally consists of two pieces, which screw into one another, so that the object plate can be opened by unscrewing it, and the fragments changed at pleasure. This object plate is placed at the bottom of the cell M N, as shewn at O P, and the depth of the cell is such as to allow the side O to touch the end of the reflectors, when the cell is slipped upon the ring m n. When this is done the instrument is held in one hand with the angular point E downwards, which is known by a mark on the upper side of the tube between a and b, and the cell is turned round with

the other, so as to present different fragments of the included glass before the aperture A O B. The tube may be directed to the brightest part of the sky in the day-time, or in the evening to a candle, or an Argand Lamp, so as to transmit the light directly through the coloured fragments; but it will always be found to give richer and more brilliant effects if the tube is directed to the window shutter, a little to one side of the light, or is held to one side of the candle-or, what is still better, between two candles or lamps placed as near each other as possible. In this way the picture created by the instrument is not composed of the harsh tints formed by transmitted light; but of the various reflected and softened colours which are thrown into the tube from the sides and angles of the glass fragments.

In the preceding method of applying the objects to the reflectors, the fragments of coloured glass are introduced before the aperture, and pass across it in concentric circles; and as the fragments always descend by their own gravity, the changes in the picture, though infinite in number, constantly take place in a similar manner. This defect may be remedied, and a great degree of variety exhibited in the motion of the fragments, by making the object plates rectangular instead of circular, and moving them through a groove cut in the cell at M N, in the same manner as is done with the pictures or sliders for the magic lanthorn and solar microscope. By this means the different fragments

that present themselves to the aperture may be made to pass across it in every possible direction, and very interesting effects may be produced by a combination of the rotatory and rectilineal motions of the object plate.

When the simple Kaleidoscope is applied to opaque objects, such as a seal, a watch-chain, the seconds hand of a watch, coins, pictures, gems, shells, flowers, leaves, and petals of plants, impressions from seals, &c. the object, instead of being held between the eye and the light, must be viewed in the same manner as we view objects through a microscope, being always placed as near the instrument as possible, and so as to allow the light to fall freely upon the object. The object plates, and all transparent objects, may be viewed in this manner : but the most splendid exhibition of this kind is to view minute fragments of coloured glass, and objects with opaque colours, &c. placed in a flat box; the bottom of which is made of mirror glass. The light reflected from the mirror glass, and transmitted through the transparent fragments, is combined with the light reflected both from the transparent and opaque fragments, and forms an effect of the finest kind.

63

# -se est minde den CHAP. VII. .... and do enpage.

ON THE SELECTION OF OBJECTS FOR THE KALEIDO-SCOPE, AND ON THE MODE OF CONSTRUCTING THE OBJECT PLATES.

ALTHOUGH the Kalcidoscope is capable of creating beautiful forms from the most ugly and shapeless objects, yet the combinations which it presents, when obtained from certain forms and colours, are so superior to those which it produces from others, that no idea can be formed of the power and effects of the instrument, unless the objects are judiciously selected.

When the inclination of the reflectors is great, the objects, or the fragments of coloured glass, should be larger than when the inclination is small; for when small fragments are presented before a large aperture, the pattern which is created has a spotted appearance, and derives no beauty from the inversion of the images, in consequence of the outline of each separate fragment not joining with the inverted image of it,

The objects which give the finest outlines by inversion, are those which have a curvilineal form,

present themselves to the sperture may the

#### ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF OBJECT PLATES. 65

such as circles, ellipses, looped curves like the figure S, curves like the figure 3 and the letter S; spirals; and other forms, such as squares, rectangles, and triangles, may be applied with advantage. Glass, both spun and twisted, and of all colours and shades of colours, should be formed into the preceding shapes; and when these are mixed with pieces of flat-coloured glass, blue vitriol, native sulphur, yellow orpiment, differently coloured fluids enclosed and moving in small vessels of glass, &c. they will make the finest transparent objects for the Kaleidoscope. When the objects are to be laid upon a mirror plate, fragments of opaquely-coloured glass should be added to the transparent fragments, along with pieces of brass wire, of coloured foils, and grains of spelter. In selecting transparent objects, the greatest care must be taken to reject fragments of opaque glass, and dark colours that do not transmit much light; and all the pieces of spun glass, or coloured plates, should be as thin as possible.

When the objects are thus prepared, the next step is to place them in the object plates. The distance between the interior surfaces of the two plane glasses, of which the object plates are composed, should not exceed 1.5th of an inch. The thickness of the transparent glass next the reflectors should be just sufficient to keep the glass from breaking; and the interior diameter of the brass rings into which the transparent and the grey glass are burnished, should be so great that no part of

F

# 66 ON THE SELECTION OF OBJECTS, AND

the brass rim may be opposite the angular part of the reflectors during the rotatory motion of the cell. If this precaution is not attended to, the central part of the pattern, where the developement of new forms is generally the most beautiful, will be entirely obliterated by the interposition of the brass rim. When the two parts of the object plate are screwed together, it should be nearly twothirds filled with the mixture of regular and irregular objects, already mentioned. If they fall with difficulty during the rotation of the cell, two or three turns of the screw backward will relieve them ; and if they fall too easily, and accumulate, by slipping behind one another, the space between the glasses may be diminished by placing another glass in contact with the grey glass.

When the object plate, now described, is placed in the cell, and examined by the Kaleidoscope, the pictures which it forms are in a state of perpetual change, and can never be fixed, and shewn to another person. To obviate this disadvantage, an object plate with fixed objects generally accompanies the instrument; the pieces of spun and coloured glass are fixed by a transparent cement to the inner side of the glass of the object plate, next the eye, so that the patterns are all permanent, and may be exhibited to others. After the cell has performed a complete rotation, the same patterns again recur, and may therefore be at any time recalled at the pleasure of the observer. The same patterns, it is true, will have a different ap-

### THE CONSTRUCTION OF OBJECT PLATES. 67

pearance, if the light falls in a different manner upon the objects, but its general character and outline will remain the same.

The object plates, which have now been described, are made to fit the cell, but at the same time to slip easily into it, so that they themselves have no motion separate from that of the cell. An object plate, however, of a less diameter, called the vibrating object plate, and containing loose objects, is an interesting addition to the instrument. When the Kaleidoscope is held horizontally, this small object plate vibrates on its lower edge, either by a gentle motion of the tube, or by striking it slightly with the finger; and the effect of this vibration is singularly fine, particularly when it is combined with the motion of the coloured fragments.

Another of the object plates, in several of the instruments, contains either fragments of colourless glass, or an irregular surface of transparent varnish or indurated Canada balsam. This object plate gives very fine colourless figures when used alone; but its principal use is to be placed in the cell between an object plate with bright colours and the end of the instrument. When this is done, the outline of the pieces of coloured glass are softened down by the refraction of the transparent fragments, and the pattern displays the finest effects of soft and brilliant colouring. The colourless object plate supplies the outline of the pattern, and the mass of colour behind fills it up with the softest tints.

F 2

## 68 ON THE SELECTION OF OBJECTS, AND

Some of the object plates are filled with iron or brass wires, twisted into various forms, and rendered broader and flatter in some places by hammering. These wires, when intermixed with a few small fragments of coloured glass, produce a very fine effect. Other object plates have been made with pitch, balsam of tolu, gum lac, and thick transparent paints; and when these substances are laid on with judgment, they form excellent objects for the Kaleidoscope. Lace may be introduced with considerable effect, and also festoons of beads strung upon wire or thread; but pieces of glass, with cut and polished faces, are entirely unfit for objects.

Hitherto we have supposed all colours to be indiscriminately adopted in the selection of objects; but it will be found from experience, that though the eye is pleased with the combination of various objects, yet it derives this pleasure from the beauty and symmetry of the outline, and not from the union of many different tints. Those who are accustomed to this kind of observation, and who are acquainted with the principles of the harmony of colours, will soon perceive the harshness of the effect which is produced by the predominance of one colour, by the juxta-position of others, and by the accidental union of a number; and even those who are ignorant of these principles, will acknowledge the superior effect which is obtained by the exclusion of all other colours except those which harmonise with each other.

### THE CONSTRUCTION OF OBJECT FLATES. 69

In order to enable any person to find what colours harmonise with each other, I have drawn up the following table, which contains the harmonic colours,

Deepest Red......Blue and Green equally mixed Red......Blue unmixed

It appears from the preceding table that *Blue* harmonises with *Red*, or in other words, *Red* is said to be the *accidental colour* of *Blue*, and *vice versa*. These colours are also called *complementary colours*, because the one is the complement of the other, or what the other wants of white light; that is, when the two colours are mixed, they will always form white by their combination \*.

The following general method of finding the harmonic colours will enable the reader to deter-

\* See the article Accidental Colours, in the EDINBURGH ENCY-CLOPÆDIA, Vol. I. p. 88.

## 70 ON THE SELECTION OF OBJECTS, AND

mine them for tints not contained in the preceding table. Let A B, Fig. 15, be the prismatic spectrum, containing all the colours in the proportion assigned to them by Sir Isaac Newton. Bisect the spectrum at m, and let it be required to ascertain the colour which harmonises with the colour in the Indigo space at p. Take A m and set it from p to o, and the colour opposite o, or an orange yellow, will be that which harmonises with the indigo at p. If p is between m and A, then the distance A mmust be set off from m towards B.

In order to shew the method of constructing object plates on the preceding principles, we shall suppose that the harmonic colours of orange yellow and indigo are to be employed. Four or five regular figures, such as those already described, must be made out of indigo coloured glass, some of them being plain, and others twisted. The same number of figures must also be made out of an orange yellow glass; and some of these may be drawn of less diameter than others, in order that tints of various intensities, but of the same colour, may be obtained. Some of these pieces of spun glass, of an indigo colour, may be intertwisted with fibres of the orange yellow glass. A few pieces of white flint glass, or crystal spun in a similar manner, and intertwisted, some with fibres of orange yellow, and others with fibres of indigo glass, should be added; and when all these are joined to some flat fragments of orange yellow glass, and indigo coloured glass, and placed in the object plate, they will exhibit,

## THE CONSTRUCTION OF OBJECT PLATES. 71

when applied to the Kaleidoscope, the most chaste combinations of forms and colours, which will not only delight the eye by the beauty of their outline, but also by the perfect harmony of their tints.

The effect produced by objects of only one colour is perhaps even superior to the combination of two harmonic colours. In constructing object plates of this kind, various shades of the same colour may be adopted; and when such objects are mixed with pieces of colourless glass, twisted and spun, the most chaste and delicate patterns are produced; and those eyes which suffer pain from the contemplation of various colours, are able to look without uneasiness upon a pattern in which there is only one.

In order to shew the power of the instrument, and the extent to which these combinations may be carried, I have sometimes constructed a long object plate, like the slider of the magic lanthorn, in which, combinations of all the principal harmonic colours followed one another in succession, and presented to the eye a series of brilliant visions no less gratifying than those successions of musical sounds from which the ear derives such intense delight.

We cannot conclude this chapter without noticing the fine effects which are produced by the introduction of carved gems, and figures of all kinds, whether they are drawn or engraved on opaque, or transparent grounds. The particular mode of combining these figures will be pointed out in a subsequent chapter.

# CHAP. VIII.

### ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE OF THE COMPOUND, OR TELESCOPIC KALEIDOSCOPE.

WE have already seen, in explaining the principles of the Kaleidoscope, that a symmetrical picture cannot be formed from objects placed at any distance from the instrument. If we take the simple Kaleidoscope, and holding an object plate in contact with the reflectors, gradually withdraw it to a distance, the picture, which is at first perfect in every part, will, at the distance of 1-10th of an inch, begin to be distorted at the centre, from the disunion of the reflected images; the distortion will gradually extend itself to the circumference, and at the distance of 18 inches, or less, from the reflectors, all the symmetry and beauty of the pattern will disappear. An unexperienced eye may still admire the circular arrangement of the imperfect and dissimilar images; but no person acquainted with the instrument could endure the defects of the picture, even when the slightest distortion only is visible at the centre.

#### OF THE COMPOUND KALEIDOSCOPE.

As the power of the Kaleidoscope, therefore, in its simple form, is limited to transparent objects, or to the outline of opaque objects held close to the aperture of the reflectors, it becomes a matter of consequence to extend its power by enabling it to produce perfectly symmetrical patterns from opaque objects, from immoveable objects at a distance, or from objects of such a magnitude that they cannot be introduced before the opening of the reflectors. Without such an extension of its power, the Kaleidoscope could only be regarded as an instrument of amusement; but when it is made to embrace objects of all magnitude, and at all distances, it takes its place as a general philosophical instrument, and becomes of the greatest use in the fine, as well as the useful arts.

In considering how this change might be effected, it occurred to me, that if M N, Fig. 16, were a distant object, either opaque or transparent, it might be introduced into the picture by placing a lens, L L, at such a distance before the aperture A O B, that its image may be distinctly formed upon the plane passing through A O B. By submitting this idea to experiment, I found it to answer my most sanguine expectations. The image formed by the lens at A O B became a new object, as it were, and was multiplied and arranged by successive reflections in the very same manner as if the object M N had been reduced in the ratio of M L to L A, and placed close to the aperture.

73

### 74 ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE

The compound Kaleidoscope is therefore fitted up as shewn in Fig. 17. with two tubes A B, C D. The inner tube, A B, contains the reflectors as in Fig. 13, and at the extremity C, of the outer tube C A, is placed a lens, which may be taken off or put on at pleasure. The focal length of this lens should always be much less than the length of the outer tube CA, and should in general be such that it is capable of forming an image at the end of the reflectors, when A B is pulled out as much as possible, and when the object is within three or four inches of the lens. When it is required to introduce into the picture very large objects placed near the lens, another lens of a less focal length should be used, and when the objects are distant, and not very large, a lens, whose principal focal length is nearly equal to the greatest distance of the lens from the reflectors, should be employed.

When this compound Kaleidoscope is used as a simple instrument for viewing objects held close to the aperture, the tube A B is *pushed in as far as it* will go, the cell with the object plate is slipped upon the end C of the outer tube, and the intrument is used in the same way as the simple Kaleidoscope.

In applying the compound Kaleidoscope to distant objects, the cell is removed, and the lens substituted in its place. The instrument is then directed to the objects, and the tube A B drawn out till the pattern appears perfectly symmetrical. When this is done, the pattern is varied, both by turning the instrument round its axis, and by moving it in any direction over the object to which it is applied.

When the object is about four inches from the lens, the tube requires to be pulled out as far as possible, and for greater distances it must be pushed in. The points suited to different distances can easily be determined by experiment, and marked on the inner tube, if it should be found convenient. In most of the instruments there is, near the middle of the tube A B, a mark which is nearly suited to all distances beyond three feet. The object plates held in the hand, or the mirror box placed upon a table, at a distance greater than five or six inches, may be also used when the lens L is in the tube. The furniture of a room, books and papers lying on a table, pictures on the wall, a blazing fire, the moving branches and foliage of trees and shrubs, bunches of flowers, horses and cattle in a park, carriages in motion, the currents of a river, moving insects, the sun shining through clouds or trees, and, in short, every object in nature may be introduced by the aid of the lens into the figures created by the instrument.

The patterns which are thus presented to the eye are essentially different from those exhibited by the simple Kaleidoscope. Here the objects are independent of the observer, and all their movements are represented with the most singular effect in the symmetrical picture, which is as much superior to what is given by the simple instrument,

#### ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE

as the sight of living or moving objects is superior to an imperfect portrait of them. When the flame of a blazing fire is the object, the Kaleidoscope creates from it the most magical fireworks, in which the currents of flame which compose the picture can be turned into every possible direction.

In order to mark with accuracy the points on the tube A B, suited to different distances, the instrument should be directed to a straight line, inclined like m n, Fig. 3, to the line bisecting the angular aperture A O B, and brought nearer to the centre O of the field. The perfect junction of the reflected images of the line at the points m', n', &c. so as to form a star, or a polygon with salient and re-entering angles, will indicate, with great nicety, that the tube has been pulled out the proper length for the given distance. In this way a scale for different distances, and scales for different lenses, may be marked on the tube.

In the construction of the compound Kaleidoscope, the greatest care must be taken to have the lens of sufficient magnitude. If it is too small, the field of view embraced by the instrument will not coincide with the circular pattern, that is, the centre of the circular pattern will not coincide with the centre of the field; and this eccentricity will increase as the distance of the lens from the reflectors is increased, or as the object introduced into the picture approaches to the instrument. The boundary of the luminous field is also an irregular outline, consisting of disunited curves. These ir-

76

regularities are easily explained. When the lens is too small, the luminous field is bounded by the brass rim in which the glass is fixed; and as this brass rim is at a distance from the reflectors, the portion of it presented to the angular aperture cannot be formed by successive reflections into a continuous curve; and for the same reason, the upper sectors of the luminous field are larger than the lower ones, and consequently the centre of the pattern cannot coincide with that of the field, (See p. 47.) In order to avoid these defects, therefore, the diameter of the lens should be such, that when it is at its greatest distance from the reflectors, the field of view may be bounded by the arch A B, Fig. 13, and not by the brass rim which holds the lens. This may be readily known by removing the eye-glass, and applying the eye at E when the lens is at its greatest distance. If the eye cannot see the brass rim, then the lens is sufficiently large; but if the brass rim is visible, the lens is too small, and must be enlarged till it ceases to become visible. Sometimes the lens has been made so small that the brass rim is seen not only at A B, but appears also above the angular point O, and produces a dark spot in the centre of the picture.

Instead of using two tubes, a lens is sometimes fitted into a tube about an inch longer than the focal length of the glass, and this tube is slipped upon the object end A B O, Fig. 13. This mode of applying the lens is, however, inferior to the first method, as there is little room for adjusting it to

#### 8 OF THE COMPOUND KALEIDOSCOPE.

different distances ; whereas with the long tube all objects at a greater distance than four inches from the lens may be introduced into the picture—a property which possesses very peculiar advantages.

The extension of the instrument to distant objects is not the only advantage which is derived from the use of the lens. As the position for giving perfect symmetry is rather within the extremities of the reflectors than without them; and as it is impossible to place moveable objects within the reflectors, we are compelled to admit a small error, arising principally from the thickness of the objects, and from the thickness of the plate of glass which is necessarily interposed between the objects and the reflectors. The compound Kaleidoscope, however, is entirely free from this defect. The image of a distant, or even of a near object, can be formed within the reflectors, and in the mathematical position of symmetry; while, at the same time, the substitution of the image for the object itself, enables us to produce all the changes in the picture which the motion of the object could have effected, merely by turning the instrument round its axis, or by moving it horizontally, or in any other direction across the object.

.78

# CHAP. IX.

ON THE CONTRUCTION AND USE OF POLYANGULAR KA-LEIDOSCOPES, IN WHICH THE REFLECTORS CAN BE FIXED AT ANY ANGLE.

In all the preceding instruments, the reflecting planes are fixed at an invariable angle, which is some even aliquot part of  $360^{\circ}$ ; and therefore, though the forms or patterns which they create are literally infinite in number, yet they have all the same character, in so far as they are composed of as many pairs of direct and inverted images as half the number of times that the inclination of the reflectors is contained in  $360^{\circ}$ .

It is therefore of the greatest importance, in the application of the Kaleidoscope to the arts, to have it constructed in such a manner, that patterns composed of any number of pairs of direct and inverted images may be created and drawn. With this view, the instrument may be fitted up in various ways, with paper, cloth, and metallic joints, by means of which the angle can be varied at pleasure; but the most convenient methods are shewn in the Figures from Fig. 18-24, inclusive, which represent two

#### ) ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE

different kinds of Polyangular Kaleidoscopes, as made by Mr R. B. BATE, Optician, London, who has devoted much time and attention to the perfection of this species of Kaleidoscope.

The three Figures, viz. 18, 19, and 20, represent the Polyangular Kaleidoscope with metallic reflectors, as made by Mr BATE. Fig. 18 shews the complete instrument, when mounted upon a stand; Fig. 19 is a section of it in the direction of its length; and Fig. 20 is a transverse section of it through the line ST, Fig. 19. The tube of this instrument is composed of two cones, M M, N N, Fig. 19, connected together by a middle piece or ring R R, into which they are both screwed. These two cones enclose two highly-polished metallic reflectors A O, BO, Fig. 20, only one of them, viz. BOE, being seen in Fig. 19. One of these reflectors BOE, is fixed to the ring R R, by the intermediate piece KGL. The reflector is screwed to this piece by the adjustable screws K, L; and the piece KGL is again fixed to the ring R R, by two screws seen above and below G, in Fig. 20. Hence the tube, consisting of the cones M M, N N, and the ring R R, are immoveably connected with the mirror BOE. The surface of the reflector BOE is adjusted by the screws at K and L, till it passes accurately through the axis of the cones and ring as seen in Fig. 20. The other reflector AO, is fixed to an outer ring r r, by means of an intermediate piece, similar to KGL, the arm F of which, corresponding to G, passes through an annular

80

space, or open arch, of more than  $90^{\circ}$ , cut out of the circumference of the inner ring R R. The arm F is fixed to the outer ring r r by two screws, seen above and below F, in Fig. 20; and the reflector AO is fixed to the bar corresponding to K L, by similar screws, for the purpose of adjusting it.

The lower edge O E of the reflector B O E extends about the 15th of an inch below the axis of the cones, as represented by the dotted line in Fig. 19; but the lower edge O E of the other reflector A O E, which is finely ground to an acute angle, forming a perfectly straight and smooth line, is placed exactly in the axis of the cones, so as just to touch a line in the reflector A O E, which coincides with the axis of the cones, and to form a junction with that line in every part of the two meeting planes. The very nice adjustments which are necessary to produce so exact a motion are effected by the screws corresponding to K and L.

If we now fix the outer ring r r into the ring of a stand S T, so as to be held fast, and turn the cones with the hand, we shall give motion to the reflector B O, so as to place it at any angle we please, from 0° to 90°; and during its motion through this arch, the junction of the two reflectors must remain perfect, if the touching lines are adjusted, as we have described them, to the axis of motion, which must also be the axis of the cones and rings. If, on the contrary, we take away the stand, and, holding the instrument in the hand by either of the cones M, N, turn the ring R with the

G

#### ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE

other, we shall give motion to its reflector A O, and produce a variation in the angle in the same manner as before; or the same effect may be produced by an endless screw working in teeth, cut upon the circumference of the outer ring r r.

In order to enable the observer to set the reflectors at once to any even aliquot part of a circle, or so as to give any number of pairs of direct and inverted images, the most convenient of the even aliquot parts of the circle are engraven upon the ring rr; so that we have only to set the index to any of these parts, to No. 12. for example, and the reflectors will then be placed at an angle of 30°, and will form a circular field with *twelve* luminous sectors, or a star with *six* points, and consequently a pattern composed of *six* pairs of direct and inverted images.

As the length of the plates is only about five inches, it is necessary, excepting for persons very short-sighted, to have a convex lens placed at E. A brass ring containing a plane glass screws into the outer ring C D, when the instrument is not in use; and there is an object plate containing fragments of differently coloured glass. This object plate consists of two plates of glass, one ground and the other transparent, set in brass rims. The transparent one goes nearest the reflector, and the brass rim which contains it screws into the other, so as to enclose between them the coloured fragments, and regular figures of coloured and twisted glass. A loose ring surrounds this object plate; and when this ring is screwed into the circular rim C D, the object plate can be turned round so as to produce a variety of patterns, without any risk of its being detached from the outer cone.

In applying this instrument to opaque objects, such as engravings, coins, gems, or fragments of coloured glass laid upon a mirror, the aperture of the mirrors is laid directly over them, the large cone M M having been previously unscrewed, for the purpose of allowing the light to fall freely upon the objects. This property of the Kaleidoscope is of great importance, as in every other form of the instrument opaque objects must be held obliquely, and therefore at such a distance from the reflectors as must affect the symmetry of the pattern.

As the perfection of the figures depends on the reflectors being kept completely free of dust, particularly at the angles, where it naturally accumulates, the greatest facility is given by the preceding construction in keeping them clean. For this purpose, the large cone must be unscrewed; the reflectors having been previously closed, by turning the index to 60 on the ring. They are next to be opened to the utmost, and the dust may in general be removed by means of a fine point wrapped in clean and dry wash leather. If any dust, however, still adheres, the small screw in the side of the ring opposite to the index should be removed, and the smaller cone, N N, also unscrewed. By easing the supporting screws of either of the reflectors, their touching sides will separate, so as to allow a piece

G 2

#### ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE

of dry wash leather to be drawn between them. When every particle of dust has been thus removed, the metals should be re-adjusted and closed before the cones are replaced; both of which should be screwed firmly into the ring R R.

As the axis of motion in the preceding construction is necessarily the axis of the cones and rings, the diameter of these cones and rings must every where be double the breadth of the reflectors. From this cause, the tube, and consequently the object plate, are wide, and the instrument is, to a certain degree, unportable. This defect is completely avoided in another Polyangular Kaleidoscope constructed by Mr BATE, upon entirely different principles, and which we shall now proceed to describe.

A section of the whole instrument, in the direction of its length, is shewn in Fig. 21. A section through M N or O P, near the eye end, is shewn in Fig. 23., Fig. 22 representing the mode of supporting the fixed reflector, and Fig. 24 the mode of supporting the moveable reflector. The tube of the Kaleidoscope, in Fig. 21. is represented by bcdefgh, and consists of two parts, bcgh, and cdefg. The first of these parts unscrews from the second, and the second contains all the apparatus for holding and moving the reflectors. At the parts M N O P, of the tube, are inserted a short tube, a section of which is shewn in Fig. 22. The object of these tubes is to support the fixed mirror A O, which rests with its lower end O upon the piece of

84

brass t. It is kept from falling forwards by the tongue r, connected with the upper part ss, and from falling backward by the piece of cork Q, which may be removed at any time, for the purpose of taking out and cleaning the reflectors. This little tube is fixed to the outer tube by the screws s, s. The contrivance for supporting and moving the second reflector BO, is shewn in Fig. 24, in section; and a longitudinal view of it is given in Fig. 21. The mirror BO lies in an opening cut in two pieces of brass, v B p, one of which is placed at M N, and the other at O P. These two pieces of brass are connected by a rod mn, Fig. 21; and in the middle of this rod there is inserted a screw k, which passes through the main tube cdefg, into a broad milled ring ww, which revolves upon the tube. As the screw k, therefore, fastens the ring w w to the rod m n, the reflector BO will be supported in the tube by the ring w w. The lower part of the mirror BO, or rather of the brass piece v B p, rests at y, upon the piece of watch spring x y z, fastened to the main tube at z. This spring presses the face of the reflector BO against the ground and straight edge of the other reflector A O, so as always to effect a perfect junction in every part of their length':-The apparatus for both reflectors is shewn in Fig. 23. An arch of about 45° is cut out of the main tube,. so as to permit the screw k to move along it; and hence, by turning the broad ring ww, the reflector BO may be brought nearly to touch the re-

## SG OF FOLYANGULAR KALEIDOSCOPES.

flector A O, and to be separated from it by an arch of 45°, so as to form every possible angle from nearly 0° to 45°, which is a sufficient range for the Kaleidoscope. The main tube terminates in a small tube at E, upon which may be screwed, when it is required, a brass cap e f, containing a convex lens. A short tube, or cell, a a a a, for containing the object plates, slips upon the end of the tube, and should always be moved round from right to left, in order that the motion may not unscrew the portion of the tube b c g h, upon which it moves. When the instrument is used for opaque objects, the end piece, b cg h of the tube, screws off, so as to admit the light freely upon the objects. In order to apply this Kaleidoscope to distant objects, a piece of tube with a lens at the end of it is put upon the end piece, b c g h, and may be suited to different distances within a certain range.

The advantages which the Polyangular Kaleidoscopes possess over all others, are,

- 1st, That patterns of any number of sectors, from the simplest to the most complicated, can be easily obtained.
- 2d, That the reflectors can be set, with the most perfect accuracy, to an even aliquot part of a circle.
- 3d, That the reflectors can be at any time completely cleaned and freed from all the dust that accumulates between them, and the instrument rendered as perfect as when it came from the hands of the maker.

# CHAP. X.

# ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE OF ANNULAR AND PARALLEL KALEIDOSCOPES.

In the instruments already described, the pictures which they create, though they may be made of various outlines, have all a centre to which the reflected images are symmetrically related. The same instruments give an annular pattern, or a pattern returning into itself, and included between two concentric circles, by keeping the objects from the central part of the aperture; but as such a pattern can never have its greatest radius more than the breadth of the mirror, and as annular patterns of a very great radius, where the eye can see only a portion of them at a time, are often required, it becomes of importance to adapt the Kaleidoscope for this species of ornament.

Let A C B D, Fig. 25, be two plane mirrors, and let their inclination be measured by the angle A O B; then, if the eye is placed between C and D, it will observe the reflected images of the objects which are placed before the aperture A C B D,

# -88 ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF ANNULAR

arranged, in the annular segment MABN, round O, as a centre. The effect is exactly the same as if the reflectors had been continued to O, with this difference only, that the annular segment can never be complete. This defect in the segment arises from two causes: When the centre O is near C D, the defect is occasioned by the want of a reflecting surface to complete the ring, and not from any want of light in the reflected images; but when the centre O is remote from C D, the defect arises from the want of light in the last reflections, as well as from the want of a reflecting surface.

The theory of the Annular Kaleidoscope is exactly the same as that of the common instrument, and therefore all the contrivances for producing symmetrical pictures, from near and distant objects, are applicable to this instrument. As the picture, however, never can return into itself, it is of no importance that the angle AOB be the aliquot part of a circle, the picture being equally complete at all angles. In order to have the most perfect symmetry with this Kaleidoscope, the eye should be placed at E, between the nearest ends of the reflectors, as it will there be nearer the plane of both reflectors than in any other position. If the two mirrors are brought nearer each other, so that their surfaces always pass through the point O, the deviation from perfect symmetry will diminish as the eye becomes more and more in the plane of both; and for the same reason the light of the field will be more brilliant.

When the point O is infinitely distant, the two reflectors become parallel to each other, as in Fig. 26, and the series of reflected images extends in a straight line, forming beautiful rectilineal patterns for borders, &c. In this position of the reflectors the eye should be placed in the centre at E, and the symmetry of the picture and the light of the field will increase as the distance of the reflectors diminishes, or as their length is increased.

Two different kinds of instruments have been constructed on the preceding principles, the one by Mr DOLLOND, and the other by Mr JOHN RUTHVEN, both of which possess very valuable properties.

The instrument constructed by Mr Dollond is represented in Figs. 27, 28, and 29, in section, and is intended to unite the properties of a common Kaleidoscope, in which the reflectors are inclined at an angle of 30°, and also those of an annular and a parallel Kaleidoscope. Fig. 27 represents the reflectors, &c. when they act as a common Kaleidoscope; and Fig. 28 shews them when they form a parallel Kaleidoscope, an annular Kaleidoscope being formed when they have an intermediate position. The tube of the instrument is shewn, in section, by TT; and to this tube is fixed, by the screws s s, a frame of metal, a b, to which the reflectors are fastened. The reflectors, which are made of the finest speculum metal, are shewn at AO, BO, and are attached to plates of brass, cd, cd, whose breadth exceeds that of the reflectors so as to allow their extremities to descend below the point O,
#### 90 ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF ANNULAR

Fig. 27. A double spring, y x x y, is placed in the tube so as to press upon the back of the reflectors, and keep them in contact, as shewn in Fig. 27, and is sufficiently elastic to allow them to open, as in Fig. 28. The milled head M N, which passes through the lower part of the tube, carries, at its other end, a very eccentric button or wheel, the least diameter of which is seen at m, Fig. 27, and the greatest at m, Fig. 28. In the first position it has allowed the reflectors to come into contact at O. In the other position it has forced them open into the position of parallelism. By turning the milled head, the lower ends, O, O, of the reflectors, may be brought to any distance less than O O, so as to form an annular Kaleidoscope. The eye end of the instrument is shewn in Fig. 29. The lens E is placed in a slider, C D, which is to be moved according to the position of the reflectors, being a little above O, in Fig. 27, opposite the centre of the tube in Fig. 28, and at an intermediate position in intermediate positions of the reflectors.

This instrument is attached to a stand with a draw tube, which screws into the bottom of a mahogany box. The object plates, and the lens for introducing distant objects, are placed at the end of the instrument, in the same manner as those of the usual construction. Particular kinds of objects are selected for giving rectilineal borders.

The instrument constructed by Mr RUTHVEN is an Universal Kaleidoscope, which unites the properties of a Polyangular one with those of An-

nular and Parallel Kaleidoscopes. Its construction will be understood from Fig. 30, 31, and 32, where ABEFGH represents a frame of iron or brass, which slips into the tube. The two sides AB, FH, of this frame, are kept together by four cross pieces, ab, cd, &c., the other two corresponding to these being invisible in the figure. The two reflectors, the ends of which are seen at AO, BO, are each fixed to a plate of metal p p, a section of which is seen in Fig. 32. Each plate of metal has four cylindrical pins, p, p, &c. both on its upper and under edge. The two pins nearest the ends of the reflectors pass through openings in the cross pieces ab, cd. On the top of the frame is placed a plate of brass MNQP, in which are cut grooves ef, gh, kl, mn; ef and kl, and also gh and mn, being parallel to each other. This plate can be moved forwards and backwards between the cross pieces ab, cd, by means of a small screw SS, working in a female screw fixed upon the edge NO of the plate, and as the middle pins p, p, attached to the plates which carry the reflectors, pass through these grooves, any change in the position of the plate M Q, produces a change in the distance p p, of the pins, and consequently in the distance of the upper edges of the reflectors. By turning the screw SS, therefore, the upper edges of the reflectors may be either brought into contact, or separated to a distance regulated by the inclination of the grooves ef, gh. A similar plate with a similar screw is placed upon the lower edges of the reflectors, so that we are

#### 92 ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE

furnished with the means of giving the plates any inclination to each other, or placing them at any distance within certain limits. For example, if the lower edges of the plates are in contact, we can vary the angle of their inclination by separating or closing their upper edges by means of the upper screw. By separating the lower edges, we give them the position for annular patterns, and by making the distance of the lower and upper edges the same, we obtain from them rectilineal patterns; and the figures of these annular and rectilineal patterns may be either contracted or expanded, by altering the distance of the plates when in this parallel position.

# CHAP. XI. Bound in the second state in the second state of the sec

ench other. This place dans

ick wards)

## ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE OF POLYCENTRAL KALEIDOSCOPES.

**HITHERTO** we have considered the effects of combining two reflectors, by means of which the reflected images are arranged around one centre, either visible or invisible; but it must be obvious, from the principles already explained, that very singular effects will be obtained from the combination of three or more reflectors. As in instruments of this kind the reflected images are arranged round several centres, we have distinguished them by the name of *Polycentral*.

As 90° is the greatest angle which is an even aliquot part of 360°, and as all regular 'polygons, with a greater number of sides than four, must have their interior angles greater than 90°, it follows, that symmetrical pictures cannot be created by any number of reflectors greater than four, arranged like the sides of a regular polygon. If the polygon is irregular, and consists of four sides, or more, then one of its angles must exceed 90°, and therefore it cannot give symmetrical patterns. In constructing Polycentral Kaleidoscopes, therefore, we are limited to combinations of four or three reflectors.

The only modes in which we can combine four reflectors, are so as to form a hollow square, or a hollow rectangle; but though these combinations afford regular patterns, from their angles being even aliquot parts of 360°, yet these figures are composed merely of a great number of squares, or rectangles, the point where every four squares, or rectangles meet being the centre of a pattern. Those, however, who may wish to construct such instruments, must make the plates as narrow as possible at the eye end, so as to bring the eye as much as possible into the plane of all the four reflectors.

In combining three reflectors, the limitation is nearly as great; but the effect of the combination is highly pleasing. Since the angles at which the reflectors must be placed are even aliquot parts of  $360^{\circ}$ , such as  $90^{\circ}$ ,  $60^{\circ}$ ,  $45^{\circ}$ ,  $36^{\circ}$ ,  $25\frac{1}{14}^{\circ}$ ,  $22\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ ,  $20^{\circ}$ ,  $18^{\circ}$ , &c., which are the quotients of  $360^{\circ}$ , divided by the even numbers, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, &c.; and since the reflectors are combined in the form of a prism, the section of which is every where a triangle, the sum of whose angles is  $180^{\circ}$ , we must select any three of the above even aliquot parts which amount to  $180^{\circ}$ ; and when the reflectors are combined at these angles, they will afford forms perfectly symmetrical. Now, it is obvious, that these conditions will be complied with when the angles are

 $90^{\circ} + 45^{\circ} + 45^{\circ} = 180^{\circ}$  $90^{\circ} + 60^{\circ} + 30^{\circ} = 180^{\circ}$  $60^{\circ} + 60^{\circ} + 60^{\circ} = 180^{\circ}$ 

The Polycentral Kaleidoscopes are therefore limited to five different combinations, namely,

1. Four reflectors of equal breadths, forming a square.

- 2. Four reflectors, two of which are broader than the other two, and form a rectangle.
- 3. Three reflectors at angles of 90°, 45°, and 45°.
- 4. Three reflectors at angles of 90°, 60°, and 30°.
- 5. Three reflectors at angles of 60°, 60°, and 60°.

1. On combinations of four mirrors forming a square.

The first of these Kaleidoscopes is represented in Fig. 33, where A B, B C, C D, D E, are the

four equal and similar reflectors, placed accurately at right angles to each other. If we consider the effect only of the two reflectors A B, B C, and regard A D, D C as only the limits of the aperture, it is obvious, from the principles explained in Chap. II., that we shall have a regular figure D m k h, composed of four squares, one of which D B is seen by direct vision ; other two A l, C i formed by one reflection from each mirror; and the fourth composed of two half squares, each half being formed by a second reflection from each mirror. In like manner, if we suppose A D, D C to act alone, they will form a square pattern B b d f, composed like the last ; and the same result will be obtained by supposing BA, AD, and BC, CD to act alone. The combination of these effects will produce a square a dgk, composed of nine squares, four of which, formed by second reflections, are placed at the angles; other four, formed by first reflections in the middle; and one, seen by direct vision, in the centre. Hence, it follows, that the light of the different squares is symmetrical as well as the patterns, a property which does not belong to all polycentral instruments. The pattern, however, does not terminate with the square a dg k, but extends indefinitely on all sides till the squares become invisible, from the extinction of the light by repeated reflections. In order to discover the law according to which the squares succeed each other, we shall examine in what manner a still larger square EFGH is completed round the central

#### ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE

square, seen by direct vision. By considering every square in the large square a d g k as an object placed before the four reflectors, and recollecting that the reflected images must be similarly situated behind the reflectors, we shall find, that the larger square E F G H is completed by images that have suffered 2, 3, and 4 reflections, as marked in the figure, and that all these are symmetrically arranged with regard to the central square. The squares which are crossed with a dotted diagonal line, are those composed of two halves, each half being formed by a different reflector. When a Kaleidoscope is formed out of the preceding combination, the aperture, or the breadth of the plates next the eye, should not exceed 1-6th of an inch. The effect is very pleasing when the reflectors are accurately joined and nicely adjusted, and when distant objects are introduced by means of a lens.

### 2. On combinations of four mirrors forming a rectangle.

When the reflectors are of different breadths, so as to form a rectangle, the very same effects are produced as in the preceding combination, with this difference only, that the images are all rectangular, in place of being square.

### 3. On combinations of three reflectors at angles of 60°.

When three reflectors are combined at angles of 60°, as shewn at AOB, Fig. 34, they form an

96

equilateral triangle, and therefore all the images will also be equilateral triangles. The figure C D EFGH, which is a truncated equilateral triangle, is obviously composed of three hexagonal patterns, of which the sectors, or rather triangles, are arranged round the three centres A,O,B; the triangle AOB being common to all the three. The three triangles, adjacent to the sides of A O B, are formed by one reflection from each mirror. The three which spring from the vertices A, O, B of the triangle, consist of two halves, each of which is formed by three reflections, the last reflection of the one half being made from one of the nearest mirrors, and that of the other half from the other nearest mirror. If we consider the formation of a more extended figure, ILMPNK, which is also a truncated equilateral triangle, with its truncations corresponding to the sides of the former figure, we shall find that it has been completed by an addition, to each side of the former, of three equilateral triangles, two of which are formed by three reflections, and the third, consisting of two halves, formed by four re-This figure consists of three entirely seflections. parate hexagons, ICAOHK, LDABEM, and BOGNPF, all of which are formed of reflected images ;-of one triangle AOB seen by direct vision ;-and of three triangles ACD, BEF, OGH, consisting of half sectors.

In constructing this Kaleidoscope, which, like the two former, has the equally luminous images symmetrically arranged round the aperture AOB,

<sup>97</sup> 

### 98 ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE

it is unnecessary to shape all the reflectors with accuracy. When two of them, both of which have a greater breadth than is wanted, are placed together, with the edge of the one resting upon the face of the other, the third reflector, which must be ground with great accuracy to the desired shape of the tapering equilateral prism, may then be placed so that each of its edges rests upon the faces of the other two. When this instrument is nicely executed with metallic plates, and when all the junctions are perfect, the effects which it produces are uncommonly splendid.

# 4. On combinations of three reflectors at angles of 90°, 45°, and 45°.

The effect produced by the combination of three reflectors at angles of 90°, 45°, and 45°, is shewn in Fig. 35. The two reflectors A O, A B produce a pattern C D B I, composed of eight triangles; the reflectors B O, B A, likewise give a pattern A F G H, composed of eight triangles; and the reflectors A O, O B give a pattern A B H I, composed of four triangles. The triangle I H K is an image formed by three reflections, one half of it being a reflection of half of A I a, from the mirror BO, and the other half a reflection of half of B H b, from the mirror A O; and the triangle D E F consists of two half images, which are reflections of the two half images in IOH. The remaining triangle DLF is a reflection of IKH, from the mirror AB, and is therefore formed by four reflections.

As the three mirrors are not symmetrically placed, with regard to each other, the equally luminous images are not arranged symmetrically round the open triangle AOB, as in the preceding combinations. The effect is, however, very pleasing, and all the reflected images included in the figure CLGK are sufficiently bright.

### 5. On combinations of three reflectors at angles of 90°, 60°, and 30°.

The most complicated combinations of three reflectors is represented in Fig. 33. In the first combination, all the angles were equal; in the second, two of the angles only were equal; but in the present combination, none of them are equal. The field of view, represented in the figure by DEHLMP, is a truncated rhomb, consisting of no fewer than thirty-one images of the aperture AOB. The figure is composed of two hexagons DEFBRC, RBKLMN, every division of the hexagon consisting of two reflected images, and of two rhombs CRNP, FBKH, each of which is composed of four reflected images.

In this combination, as in the last, the equally luminous sectors are not symmetrically arranged round the centre O of the figure. In the rhomb CRNP, for example, the four images are formed by three, four, and five reflections; whereas in the

. H 2

### 100 ON KALEIDOSCOPES WHICH OPERATE

corresponding rhomb FHKB, they are formed by two, three, and four reflections. The effects produced by a Kaleidoscope constructed in this manner are very beautiful, particularly when the reflectors are metallic. In the four figures which represent the different combinations of the reflectors, the small figures indicate the number of reflections by which each image is produced.

### CHAP. XII.

ON KALEIDOSCOPES IN WHICH THE EFFECT IS PRO-DUCED BY TOTAL REFLECTION FROM THE INTERIOR SURFACES OF TRANSPARENT SOLIDS.

 $W_{\text{HEN}}$  light is incident upon the most perfectly polished metals, a very considerable quantity of it is absorbed, and even when the reflection is made at the greatest obliquities, there is a very manifest difference in the intensity of the direct and the reflected pencil. In the total reflection of light from the second surfaces of transparent bodies, the loss of light is very inconsiderable, and the reflection is made with a degree of brilliancy far surpassing that of the most resplendent metals.

In constructing a Kaleidoscope upon this prin-

ciple, we must procure a piece of glass entirely free from veins, and cut it into the form shewn in Fig. 37. The two surfaces BOE, AOE must be inclined at an angle which is the even aliquot part of a circle. They must be ground perfectly flat and highly polished, and the junction OE must be made as fine as possible. The upper surface A B E should be rough ground, and the side A B O, and the side at E, should be parallel and well polished. If the glass is colourless, and good, the eye, when placed at E, will see the very same appearance as in the Simple Kaleidoscope; and objects placed at ABO will be arranged into the same beautiful figures. The only defects attending this form of the Kaleidoscope, are the loss of light occasioned by its passing through a mass of solid glass, not perfectly transparent, and the difficulty of obtaining a perfect junction of the two reflecting planes. The first of these evils is, however, counterbalanced by the great intensity of the light which suffers total reflection; and the second does not exist when the Kaleidoscope is intended to give rectilineal or annular patterns.

In the construction of instruments of this kind, it is necessary to make the prism of glass longer than the distance at which the eye can see objects with perfect distinctness; that is, if the eye is capable of seeing objects distinctly, at the distance of five inches, it will not perceive the same objects distinctly when they are placed at the end of a prism of glass five inches long. This singular ef-

### 102 KALEIDOSCOPES BY TOTAL REFLECTION.

fect arises from a property of plain lenses, in consequence of which, they cause divergent rays to diverge from a point nearer the lens than that from which they radiated. It will therefore be more convenient, for many reasons, to make the glass prism only two or three inches long, and obtain distinct vision by means of a lens placed at the eye end of it; but, for the reason already mentioned, the focal length of the lens must be less than the length of the glass prism. The lens may even be joined to the prism, by grinding the eye end into a spherical form, but the degree of convexity must be calculated upon the principles already stated.

The solid form of the Kaleidoscope is peculiarly fitted for polycentral instruments, as we have only to polish the side, which would otherwise have been left rough, the prism being supposed to be cut to the angles which are necessary to give symmetrical forms, according to the principles stated in Chapter XI.

### CHAP. XIII.

ON THE APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE TO THE MAGIC LANTHORN, SOLAR MICROSCOPE, AND CAMERA OBSCURA.

In the various forms of the Kaleidoscope which have been described in the preceding chapters, the pictures which it creates are visible only to one person at a time; but it is by no means difficult to fit it up in such a manner as to exhibit them upon a wall to any number of spectators. The necessary limitation of the aperture at the eye end of the instrument, however, is hostile to this species of exhibition, as it requires a very intense light for the purpose of illuminating the objects. The general principle of the apparatus requisite for this purpose, is shewn in Fig. 38, where C.DGF is the tube containing the reflectors AOE, &c. The objects from which the pictures are to be created. are placed in the cell CD, which may be made either to have a rotatory movement round the axis of the tube, or to slide through a groove, like the sliders of a magic lanthorn. These objects are powerfully illuminated by a lens B, which concentrates

#### 104 ON THE APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

upon them the direct light of the lamp or candle H, and also the part of the light which is reflected from the mirror M N. At the eye end E of the Kaleidoscope is placed a lens LL, close to the end of the reflectors, and having its centre coincident with the centre of the aperture at E. In order that this lens may form behind it an image PP of the objects placed in the object plate C D, its focal length must be less than the length AE of the plates. If the focal length of L L is so small as one half of A E, then it follows, from the principles of optics, that the distance L P at which the image is formed behind the lens, will be precisely equal to the distance A E of the object; but this is obviously too small a distance, for the diameter of PP would be equal only to the apparent diameter of the circular aperture of the Kaleidoscope, or to twice AO. Hence it is necessary, that the focal length of the lens LL be less than AE, and greater than half of A E. Two-thirds, or three-fourths of AE will be found to be a suitable focal length; for if it is larger than this, the image will be formed upon the wall at too great a distance from the instrument.

When the instrument is thus fitted up, an enlarged image of the pattern will be thrown upon the wall, which must be covered with white paper, or some white ground, in order to exhibit the colours to advantage. By turning the object plate round its centre, or if it is a rectilineal one, by pushing it through the groove, and at the same time giving it a rotatory motion, the pattern on the wall will undergo every possible transformation, and exhibit to the spectators, in a magnified form, all those variations which would have been observed by applying the eye to the Kaleidoscope.

When the preceding apparatus is used in daylight, so that the objects are illuminated by the rays of the sun, the mirror M N is unnecessary. The Kaleidoscope, &c. must, however, be attached to the part of the frame of a Solar Microscope, which goes into the aperture in the window shutter.

As the most brilliant light is obtained from the burning of oxygen, an oxygen lamp is peculiarly fitted for displaying the pictures of the Kaleidoscope to a number of spectators. One of Mr BATE's Polycentral Kaleidoscopes has been fitted up with a lamp of this kind, for exhibition, at the lectures on natural philosophy, delivered at GUY's hospital, by that eminent chemist, WILLIAM ALLEN, Esq. F. R. S.

The patterns which are created by the Compound Kaleidoscope from natural objects, or from objects independent of the instrument, may in like manner be exhibited to several spectators at once. If the objects are in a room, such as bunches of flowers, statues, human figures, or large pictures, they must be placed in one apartment, and strongly illuminated. The lens must then be placed upon the end A O of the Kaleidoscope, the object plate CD having been removed, and must be so adjusted, that the images of the objects may fall exactly upon

### 106 ON THE APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

the end A O of the reflectors. The objects may be placed at any distance from the lens, from six inches to twelve feet, according to their magnitude, and the pictures will be exhibited with great distinctness and effect upon the wall of the other apartment. If a blazing fire is employed, the most brilliant display of fireworks may be exhibited. When the objects are out of doors, such as trees, shrubs, &c. the Kaleidoscope, with its two lenses, must be fixed in the circular opening of a window shutter, and the picture received upon white paper, or any other suitable ground, as in the Camera Obscura.

Similar effects may be produced in a portable Camera Obscura, by placing the apparatus C F L L G D in the moveable drawer of that instrument. If the lens L L is of such a focal length as to admit the formation of the image within the instrument, the picture will be finely displayed upon the ground glass, and may be copied with great exactness.

In the preceding applications of the Kaleidoscope, the great difficulty to be overcome arises from the smallness of the aperture which can be obtained at the eye end of the reflectors. If we take a larger aperture, for the purpose of gaining more light, the light of the reflected images is diminished by this very circumstance, and the picture loses its symmetry at the centre. The only method by which we can remove this evil is to lengthen the reflectors, and consequently increase their breadth in the same proportion. Let us suppose, for example, that when the reflectors are five inches long, we can safely employ an aperture one-fourth of an inch in diameter; then if the plates are made ten inches long, we may use an aperture two-fourths in diameter, the symmetry continuing as complete, and the light of the reflected images as intense, as when their length was only five inches. By increasing the length of the reflectors, therefore, we increase also the quantity of light; but, unfortunately, this increase of length is unfavourable to the other properties of the instrument; for we must now use a lens L L of a great focal length, which will render it necessary to receive the image at a great distance from the instrument.

In order to render the effect as brilliant as possible, the inclination of the reflectors should, in the present case, never exceed 30°, and might be even 36° or 45°. The objects should be selected as thin as possible, and none with dark tints ought to be admitted into the object plates.

### CHAP. XIV.

### ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF MICROSCOPIC KALEIDO-SCOPES.

THE name of *Microscopic Kaleidoscope* may be given to the instrument, under two forms, namely, when it is made to produce symmetrical patterns from microscopic objects, or when it is made so short that a lens of a high power is necessary at one end of the reflectors, to see distinctly, and magnify the objects at the other end. In both these forms I have often constructed them so small as one inch and one inch and a half in length. As the Kaleidoscope, in this minute state, has been applied both in this country and abroad, as a female ornament, we shall proceed to point out the best method of constructing it.

Since the aberration from symmetry increases, as the length of the reflectors is diminished, and since the light of the field diminishes from the same cause, it becomes extremely difficult to obtain correct figures, and uniformity of light in small instruments. In order to overcome these difficulties, as far as

possible, the reflectors should be metallic, and may be either made of polished steel or polished speculum metal. The inclination at which they are fixed should not be less than 36° or 45°; and the eye-hole, which should not exceed 1-15th of an inch in diameter, must be placed as near as possible to the angular point. Since the aberration from symmetry increases with the distance of the object from the reflectors, and is much augmented in small instruments, the greatest care must be taken to have the objects at the least possible distance from the reflectors. To accomplish this, the objects themselves should be as thin and slender as they can be made; the colours should be brilliant and not gloomy; and they should be separated from the reflectors by a thin film of the most transparent mica, which is superior to glass of equal thickness, even if it could be got, from its extreme toughness and elasticity. The mica, indeed, is easily scratched, but if this should take place to any extent, it can easily be replaced by a new film. It would even be of consequence to bend the mica into a slight concavity, so as to permit the objects to lie rather within than without the extremity of the reflectors. In order to see the pattern with perfect distinctness, a lens must be placed at the end of the instrument : the focal length of this lens, however, must not be exactly equal to the distance of the objects from the eye, but as much greater as possible, so that the eye, by a little exertion, may be able to obtain distinct vision. The reason of this

### 110 ON MICROSCOFIC KALEIDOSCOPES.

will be understood, by considering that the images of the objects, seen by reflections, are thrown to a greater distance, as it were, from the eye, and could not therefore be seen distinctly by using a lens adjusted exactly to the nearest part of the picture. Consequently, the focal length of the lens must be a mean between the distances of different parts of the picture, that is, a little greater than that which is suited to the sector seen by direct vision.

When small Kaleidoscopes are made with four or with three mirrors, the preceding directions are equally applicable, the greatest care being taken that the reflectors taper nearly to a point at the eye end, so as not to leave an aperture greater than 1-15th of an inch in diameter. When they are made of solid glass, the focal length of the lens must be determined from the principles contained in the last chapter. The preceding instruments may also be fitted up with a draw tube and lens.

### CHAP. XV.

ON THE CHANGES PRODUCED BY THE KALEIDOSCOPE.

THE property of the Kaleidoscope, which has excited more wonder, and therefore more controversy than any other, is the number of combinations or changes which it is capable of producing from a small number of objects. Many persons, entirely ignorant of the nature of the instrument, have calculated the number of forms which may be created from a certain number of pieces of glass, upon the ordinary principles of combination. In this way it follows, that 24 pieces of glass may be combined 1391724288887252999425128493402200 times, an operation, the performance of which would take hundreds of thousands of millions of years, even upon the supposition that 20 of them were performed every minute. This calculation, surprising at it appears, is quite false, not from being exaggerated, but from being far inferior to the reality. It proceeds upon the supposition that one piece of glass can exhibit only one figure, and that two pieces can exhibit only two figures,

### 112 CHANGES PRODUCED BY THE KALEIDOSCOPE.

whereas it is obvious that the two pieces, though they can only be combined in two ways, in the same straight line, yet the one can be put above and below the other, as well as upon its right side and its left side, and may be joined, so that the line connecting their centres may have an infinite number of positions with respect to a horizontal line. It follows, indeed, from the principles of the Kaleidoscope, that if only one object is used, and if that object is a mathematical line without breadth, the instrument will form an infinite number of figures from this single line. The line may be placed at an infinite number of distances from the centre of the aperture, and equally inclined to the extremities of the reflectors. It may be inclined at an infinite variety of angles to the radii of the circular field, and it may be placed in an infinite variety of positions parallel to any radius. In all these cases, the Kaleidoscope will form a figure differing in character and in magnitude. In the first case, all the figures are polygons of the same character, but of different sizes. In the second case, they are stars, differing from each other in the magnitude of their salient and re-entering angles; and in the third case, they form imperfect figures, in which the lines unite at one extremity and are open at the other.

If, instead of supposing a mathematical line to be the object, we take a *single piece* of coloured glass, with an irregular outline, we shall have no difficulty in perceiving, from experiment, that an infinite variety of figures may be created from it

### APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE, &c. 113

alone. This system of endless changes is one of the most extraordinary properties of the Kaleidoscope. With a number of loose objects, it is impossible to reproduce any figure which we have admired. When it is once lost, centuries may elapse before the same combination returns. If the objects, however, are placed in the cell, so as to have very little motion, the same figure, or one very near it, may, without difficulty, be recalled; and if they are absolutely fixed, the same pattern will recur in every revolution of the object plate.

### CHAP. XVI.

### ON THE APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE TO THE FINE AND USEFUL ARTS.

IF we examine the various objects of art which have exercised the skill and ingenuity of man, we shall find that they derive all their beauty from the symmetry of their form, and that one work of art excels another in proportion as it exhibits a more perfect developement of this principle of beauty. Even the forms of animal, vegetable and mineral bodies, derive their beauty from the same source.

#### 114 APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

The human figure consists of two halves, one of which is the reflected image of the other; and the same symmetry of form presents itself in the shapes of all the various tribes of animated beings. In the structure of vegetables, the principle of symmetry is less perfectly developed. From the extreme delicacy and elasticity of its parts, a plant, regularly constructed, would have lost all its symmetry from the influence of gravitation, or from the slightest breath of wind; and therefore a symmetrical combination of parts has been effected only in its leaves and flowers. When the laws of crystallization are allowed to perform their functions uncontrolled, the beautiful geometrical forms which they create are marked with the most perfect regularity. Even their physical properties are symmetrically related to some axis or fixed line; and though all their functions are performed in utter silence and repose, yet their physiology, if we may apply that name to the actions of apparently dead matter, is not less wonderful than that which embraces the busy agencies of animal and vegetable bodies.

The irregular forms which are the foundation of picturesque beauty constitute a single exception to this general law, and therefore the Landscape Painter is the only artist who is not professionally led to the study of that species of beauty which arises from the inversion and multiplication of simple forms.

When we consider the immense variety of pro-

### TO THE FINE AND USEFUL ARTS. 115

fessions connected both with the fine and the useful arts, in which the creation of symmetrical ornaments forms a necessary part, we cannot fail to attach a high degree of utility to any instrument by which the operations of the artist may be facilitated and improved. We are disposed to imagine that no machine is really useful, unless it is directly employed in providing for our more urgent wants .---This, however, is a vulgar error. An engine which forms the head of a pin, has, in reality, as much importance as an engine for raising water, or for manufacturing cloth; for in these cases the three machines have the same object, which is merely that of abridging manual labour. The water would still be raised, and the cloth and pins manufactured, if the machines did not exist; but the machinery ensures us a more regular supply of these articles, and enables us to receive them at a cheaper rate.

The operations of machinery have, however, a still higher character in comparison with those of individual exertion, when they enable us to obtain any article, either of necessity or of luxury, in a more perfect state. In this case, the machine effects what is beyond the reach of manual labour; and instead of being the mere representative of animal force, it exhibits a concentration of talent and skill which could not have been obtained by uniting the separate exertions of living agents.

When we consider, that in this busy island thousands of individuals are wholly occupied with the composition of symmetrical designs, and that

12

### 1.16 APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

there is scarcely any profession into which these designs do not enter as a necessary part, so as to employ a portion of the time of every artist, we shall not hesitate in admitting, that an instrument must have no small degree of utility which abridges the labour of so many individuals. If we reflect farther on the nature of the designs which are thus composed, and on the methods which must be employed in their composition, the Kaleidoscope will assume the character of the highest class of machinery, which improves at the same time that it abridges the exertions of individuals. There are few machines indeed which rise higher above the operations of human skill. It will create, in a single hour, what a thousand artists could not invent in the course of a year; and while it works with such unexampled rapidity, it works also with a corresponding beauty and precision.

The artist who forms a symmetrical design, is entirely ignorant of the effect till it is completed; and if the design is to be embodied in coloured materials, or in stone, or any other solid substance, he has no means of predicting the final effect which it is to produce. Every result, in short, is a matter of uncertainty, and when the work is completed, it must remain as it is. The art of forming designs, therefore, is in a state of extreme imperfection; and a more striking proof of this could not be obtained than from the servility with which we copy, at the present moment, the mouldings and ornaments of Greek and Gothic architecture, and the decorations which embellish the furniture, the dresses, and the utensils of the Romans.

If the Kaleidoscope had been an instrument which merely enabled us to project upon a plane surface a variety of designs of the same character as those which the artist forms with his pencil, it would still have been an instrument of great utility. But it does much more than this. When properly constructed, and rightly applied, it exhibits the *final* effect of the design, when executed in the best manner; and it does this, not only by embodying the very materials out of which the reality is to be produced; but by exhibiting, instead of lights and shades, the very eminences and depressions which necessarily exist in every design the parts of which lie in various planes.

In proceeding to point out the practical methods of obtaining these effects from the Kaleidoscope, we take it for granted that the artist has one or other of the correct instruments described in the preceding chapters, and that they are mounted upon a stand, and furnished with Dr WOLLASTON'S Camera Lucida, for enabling him to copy the designs which he wishes to perpetuate.

### 1. Architectural Ornaments.

Every public, and even private edifice, with the exception of picturesque cottages, and buildings erected for the purposes of defence, has a regular form, consisting of two halves, one of which is the

117

### 118 APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

inverted image of the other. The inferior parts of the building, such as the doors and windows, have the same regular character; and hence it necessarily follows, that all the decorations, whether in the form of rectilineal borders, circular patterns, or groups of figures, should not only have the same symmetry, but should also be symmetrically related to the bisecting line which separates the building into two halves. If, for example, a rectilineal border, surrounding a building, like a belt, consists of a pattern, or of lines inclined in one direction, such a border is not symmetrically related to the vertical and horizontal lines of which the building consists. Hence it will follow, that sculptures, representing an action of any kind, or statues representing living objects, when they are sufficiently large, to be seen at the same time with the whole building, can never connect themselves with its regular outline. If these sculptures, or statues, are inverted so as to form a Kaleidoscope pattern, like the beautiful sculpture in the door of the temple of Jun-wassa \*, they may then be employed without the risk of destroying the general symmetry of the edifice. These remarks are equally applicable to every object which derives its beauty from symmetry: and it is curious to observe the numerous deviations from this principle, and the bad effects they produce on some of

\* See EDINBURGH ENCYCLOPÆDIA, Art. CIVIL ARCHITEC-TURE, Plate CLI.

#### TO THE FINE AND USEFUL ARTS.

the finest vases and ornaments of the Romans \*. If Mr COCKERELL's ingenious theory + of the original composition of the statues of Niobe and her children be correct, the mode of grouping the figures will shew how much the artist was disposed to sacrifice every other kind of effect, to obtain something like a symmetrical group within the pediment. The gradual increase in the height of the statues towards the middle of the tympanum, and their inclination on both sides towards the same point, form strong proofs of Mr CockERELL's hypothesis, and afford a singular example, the only one with which we are acquainted, of an attempt to reconcile the apparently incongruous effect of a real picture and a symmetrical group. Had the statues been confined to one half of the tympanum, while the other half was a reflected image of the first, we are persuaded, that though the effect, as a picture, would have been diminished, yet the effect, as a part of the temple, would have been greatly increased.

After the architect has fixed upon the nature and character of his ornaments, he must cut, upon the surface of a large stone, or place in relief upon it, the elements of a variety of patterns. These elements need not be exact representations of any object, or any portion of it, though, in some cases,

\* See Hope's Costume of the Ancients, Vol. II. Plate 230, 264, 278, Fig. 1. and 298, Fig. 2.

+ See Journal of the Royal Institution, Vol. V. p. 99.

119

### 120 APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOFE

an approximation to this may be desirable. When this stone is set in a vertical position, and so that the light may fall obliquely upon its surface, for the purpose of giving light and shade to the pattern, the Kaleidoscope should be placed exactly opposite the stone, and at a distance from it corresponding to the magnitude of the pattern which is wanted. The tube containing the lens, or lenses, being put on, or the inner tube being drawn out, if the instrument consists of two complete tubes, it must be adjusted to the distance of the stone, or till an image of the stone is formed at the end of the reflectors. When this adjustment is perfect, the Kaleidoscope must be directed to the carved part of the stone, out of which it is proposed to form the pattern; and by slight changes in its position, by turning it round its axis, and by varying the inclination of the reflectors, an immense variety of the most beautiful designs will be exhibited, in the finest relief, and as perfect as if they had been carved out of the stone by the most skilful workman. The architect has therefore only to select from the profusion of designs which are thus presented to him; and when he has made his choice, he may either copy it with his eye, or by means of the Camera Lucida; or he may trace upon the stone the projection of the angular aperture of the instrument, in order that, in the execution of his work, he may have constantly before his eyes the real element out of which the picture is created. If, in the course of this selection, the

picture should become capable of improvement, either by giving it depth in particular parts, or by altering the outline, this alteration can be easily made, and its effect throughout the whole ornament will be instantly seen.

If the architect is desirous to introduce into his ornament a natural object, such as a leaf, he may first try the effect which it will produce when applied in its natural state to the instrument, and he may then carve either the whole or the half of it in stone, and then examine what will be its final effect. If one half of the leaf is an inverted image of the other half, it is necessary only to carve one half of it, and place the reflectors at an angle contained as many times in a circle as twice the number of times that he wishes the whole leaf to be multiplied : for example, if the whole leaf is to be multiplied six times, the angle of the mirrors must be 1-12th of 360°, or 30°. The very same effect would be obtained by applying the whole leaf to the instrument when the inclination of the reflectors is 60°, or 1-6th of 360°. But when the whole leaf is not symmetrical in itself, or consists of two dissimilar halves, it must be applied in its entire state to the instrument.

In the formation of circular Gothic windows, the architect will find the Kaleidoscope a most important auxiliary. By applying it to a mullion drawn upon paper, with a portion of the curves which he' wishes to introduce, or by placing it upon various. ornamental parts of the drawing of a Gothic cathe-

### 122 APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

dral, he will obtain combinations to which he has never observed the slightest approximation.

In designing the decorations for ceilings, which are generally made of plaster of Paris, the same method should be followed as that which we have described for architectural ornaments.

### 2. Ornamental Painting.

In ornaments carved out of stone or marble, or formed from plaster of Paris, the idea of colour does not enter into the consideration of the architect. The forms, however, which are necessary in ornamental painting, are always associated with colour; and therefore, in the invention and selection of these forms, the Kaleidoscope performs a double task. While it creates the outline, it at the same time fills it up with colour; and by representing the effect of the two in a state of combination, it enables the artist to judge of the harmony of his tints, as well as of the proportion of his forms.

In the decoration of public halls and galleries, there is no species of ornament more appropriate than those which consist in the combination of single figures, or of groups of heads, which are either directly or metaphorically associated with the history or object of the institution. Regular historical paintings on the ceiling of a room are quite incompatible with the symmetrical character of a public gallery. If they are well executed, they can never be seen to advantage, and therefore their individual effect is lost, while, from their very nature, they cannot possibly produce that general effect as an ornament which good taste imperiously requires. In employing regularly combined groups of figures, there is sufficient scope given to the powers of the artist, while the systematic arrangement of his work prevents it from interfering with the general character of the place which it is to embellish.

The effects which the Kaleidoscope developes, when applied to the representations of living objects, will, we have no doubt, give very great surprise to those who have not previously examined them. In order to enable the reader to form some notion of them, we have given, in Plate VII, a series of reduced figures, taken principally from the antique. In order to separate an individual figure from the rest, we have only to cut an opening of nearly the same size in a piece of paper, and lay it upon the surface of the plate, so as to conceal all the adjoining figures, and permit the required figure to be seen through the aperture. By applying a Kaleidoscope, in which the inclination of the mirrors does not exceed 30°, the figure will be combined into a fine pattern, exhibiting, perhaps, the head and a part of the body in every sector; while the hands, or the lower extremities. are thrown into the stiff part of the design. The singular ease and grace with which the figure necessarily rises out of the formal part of the pattern, and with which it connects itself with the general

#### 124 APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

picture, produces a new effect, which, so far as we can learn, no artist had ever attempted to produce.

In order to group these single figures with perfect accuracy, the Kaleidoscopes constructed by Mr BATE should be employed, as both his instruments have a contrivance which allows the light to fall freely upon the surface of the picture \*.

When the figures which we wish to introduce are larger than the aperture of the Kaleidoscope, we must use the lens, and place them at such a distance as to reduce them to the proper magnitude.

The effect which is produced by these simple outlines will convey some idea of the beauty which must characterise the designs when the figures are finely shaded, or chastely coloured.

By the application of the lens, paintings or statues of any size may be reduced and admitted into the figure.

In order to convey to the reader some idea of the effect produced by the Kaleidoscope in grouping figures, we have engraven in Fig. 41 a design created by the instrument, out of one of the figures in Plate VII. The inclination of the mirrors by which the figures were arranged is 36°, or 1-10th of a circle; and therefore the object is multiplied *ten* times, so as to give *five* pair of direct and inverted images.

#### TO THE FINE AND USEFUL ARTS. 125

### . 3. Designs for Carpets.

There is none of the useful arts to which the creations of the Kaleidoscope are more directly applicable than the manufacture of carpets. In this case, the manufacturer requires not merely the outline of a design, but a design filled up with the most brilliant colours; and upon the nature of the figure which he selects, and the tints with which he enriches it, will depend the beauty of the effect which is produced. A carpet, indeed, is in general covered with a number of Kaleidoscope designs, arranged in lines parallel to the sides of the apartment; and while this instrument creates an individual pattern, it may also be employed, by the assistance of the lens, in exhibiting the effect or arranging or grouping these individual patterns, according to the form of the apartment, and other circumstances which should invariably be attended to.

When a plasterer ornaments the ceiling of a room, the figure which he chooses is always related to the shape of the ceiling, and varies according as it is circular, elliptical, square, or rectangular. In like manner, a carpet should always have a relation to the form of the apartment, not only in the shape and character of the individual designs, but in the mode in which they are combined into a whole. Although the designs given by the Kaleidoscope are in general circular, yet, when they are once
## 126 APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

drawn, their outline may be made either triangular, square, rectangular, elliptical, or of any other form that we please, without destroying their beauty. The outline of the pattern may be varied in the instrument, by varying the shape of the part of the tube or aperture which bounds the field of view at the widest end of the angular aperture; but it is only at certain inclinations of the reflectors that any of the regular figures can be produced in this way. If the bounding line is circular, the field of view will be a circle: if the bounding line is rectilineal, and equally inclined to the reflectors, the field of view will be a regular polygon, of as many sides as the number of times that the angle of the reflectors is contained in 360°: if the bounding line is rectilineal, but placed at right angles to one of the reflectors, the figure will still be a regular polygon, but its number of sides will be equal to half the number of times that the angle of the reflectors is contained in 360°. Hence it follows, that a square field may be obtained in two ways, either by placing the mirrors at an angle of 45°, and making the bounding line perpendicular to one of the reflectors; or by inclining the mirrors 90°, and making the bounding line equally inclined to both reflectors ;- and that a triangular field may be obtained, either by inclining the mirrors 60°, and setting the bounding line at right angles to one of the reflectors, or by making the inclination 120°, and placing the bounding line at an angle of 60° and 30° to the reflectors. An elliptical

#### TO THE FINE AND USEFUL ARTS.

field may be obtained, by giving the bounding line the shape of one quarter of an ellipse, and placing it in such a manner that the vertex of the conjugate axis falls upon one of the reflectors, and the vertex of the transverse axis upon the other.

The form of the pattern being determined, the next step is to select an outline, and the colours which are to enter into its formation. In order to do this to the greatest advantage, the differentlycoloured worsteds which the manufacturer proposes to employ should be placed upon a plane surface, either in the state of thread, or, what is much better, when they are wrought into cloth. These differently-coloured pieces of carpet, which we may suppose to be blue, green, and yellow, must then be placed at the distance of a few feet from the Kaleidoscope, so that their image may, by means of the lens, be formed at the end of the reflectors. In this state a very perfect pattern will be created by the instrument, and the blue, green, and yellow colour will predominate according as a greater or a lesser portion of these colours happens to be opposite to the angular aperture. By shifting the position of the Kaleidoscope, any one of the colours may be made to predominate at pleasure; and the artist has it thus in his power, not only to produce any kind of outline that he chooses, but regulate the masses of colour by which it is to be filled up; and to try the effects which will be produced by the juxta-position of two colours, by the separation of others, or by the transference of the separate or

# 128 APPLICATION OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE, &G.

combined masses to different parts of the design. It would be foreign to our object, to describe the apparatus by which these changes in the quantities of colour, and in their relative position, may be most easily and conveniently effected : the artist can have no difficulty in constructing such an apparatus for himself, and by means of it he will be enabled to obtain results from the Kaleidoscope which he would have sought for in vain from any other method.

As the methods we have described of using the Kaleidoscope in ornamental architecture, or ornamental painting, and in the manufacture of carpets, will apply to the various other professions in which the formation of symmetrical designs is a necessary part, I shall merely state, that it will be found of the greatest advantage to the jeweller in the arrangement of precious stones; to the bookbinder, the wire-worker, the paper-stainer, and the artist who forms windows of painted glass. In this last profession, in particular, the application of the Kaleidoscope cannot fail to indicate combinations far superior to any thing that has yet been seen in this branch of art. From the uniformity of tint in the separate pieces of glass which are to be combined, the effect produced by the instrument from portions of the very same glass that is to be used for the windows, may be considered as a perfect fac-simile of the window, when well executed on a large scale.

# 30 ADVANTACES OPSIER XALEIDOSCOPE

displaying the powers of some of the preceding instruments; and the effects of the rest can only be exhibited after much previous preparation. From these causes, but principally from a want of variety in their exhibitions, they have constantly failed to excite, in ordinary minds, that intense and continued interest which might have been expected from the ingenuity of their construction and the

# 

ON THE ADVANTAGES OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE AS AN INSTRUMENT OF AMUSEMENT.

THE splendid discoveries which have been made with the telescope and microscope have invested them with a philosophical character which can never be attached to any other instrument. It is only, however, in the hands of the astronomer and the naturalist that they are consecrated to the great objects of science : their ordinary possessors employ them solely as instruments of amusement; and it is singular to remark how soon they lose their novelty and interest when devoted to this inferior purpose. The solar microscope, the camera obscura, and the magic lanthorn, are equally shortlived in their powers of entertainment; and even the wonders of the electrical and galvanic apparatus are called forth, at long intervals, for the occasional purposes of instructing the young, or astonishing the ignorant. A serenity of sky, very uncommon in our northern climate, is absolutely necessary for

displaying the powers of some of the preceding instruments; and the effects of the rest can only be exhibited after much previous preparation. From these causes, but principally from a want of variety in their exhibitions, they have constantly failed to excite, in ordinary minds, that intense and continued interest which might have been expected from the ingenuity of their construction and the splendour of their effects.

The pleasure which is derived from the use of musical instruments is of a different kind, and far more intense in its effects, and more general in its influence than that which is obtained from any of the preceding instruments. There are, indeed, few minds that are not alive to the soothing and exhilarating influence of musical sounds, or that do not associate them with the dearest and most tender sympathies of our nature. But the ear is not the only avenue to the heart; and though sorrow and distress are represented by notes of a deep and solemn character, and happiness and gaiety by more light and playful tones, the same kind of feelings may also be excited by the exhibition of dark and gloomy colours, and by the display of bright and aerial tints. The association, indeed, is not so powerful in the one case as in the other, for we have been taught from our infancy, in consequence of the connection of music and poetry, to associate particular sentiments with particular sounds; but there can be no doubt that the association of colour is naturally as powerful as that of

sound, and that a person who has never listened to any other music but that of nature, nor seen any other colours but those of the material world, might have his feelings as powerfully excited through the medium of the eye as through that of the ear.

The first person who attempted to supply the organ of vision with the luxuries of light and colour, was Father CASTEL, a learned Jesuit, who had distinguished himself chiefly by his opposition to the splendid optical discoveries of NEWTON .---About the year 1725, or 1726, he published in the Mercure de Paris, his first ideas of an organ, or ocular harpsicord. A full account of this curious instrument was afterwards published at Hamburgh, in 1739, by M. Tellemann, a German musician, who had seen one of the harpsicords in the possession of the inventor, when he was on a visit to This account was afterwards translated Paris. into French, and printed at the end of CASTEL's L'Optique des Couleurs \*, which appeared at Paris in 1740. The ocular harpsicord is a common harpsicord, fitted up in such a manner, that when a certain sound is produced by striking the keys, a colour related to that sound is at the same instant exhibited to the eye in a box or frame connected with the harpsicord; so that when a piece of music is played for the gratification of the ear, the eye is

\* This work is entitled L'Optique des Couleurs, fondée sur les simples observations et tournée surtout a la pratique de la peinture, de la teinture, et des autres arts coloristes. Par le R. P. Castel Jesuite. Paris 1740.

## 132 ADVANTAGES OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

simultaneously delighted by the display of corresponding colours.

In adjusting the colours and the sounds, CASTEL lays down the following six propositions :

1st, There is a fundamental and primitive sound in nature, which may be called *ut*, and there is also an original and primitive colour, which is the foundation of all other colours, namely, *blue*.

2d, There are three chords, or essential sounds, which depend upon the primitive sound *ut*, and which compose with it a primitive and original accord, and these are *ut*, *mi*, *sol*. There are also three original colours depending on the *blue*, which, while they are not composed of any other colours, produce them all, namely, *blue*, *yellow*, and *red*.— The *blue* is here the note of the tone, the red is the fifth, and the yellow is the third.

3d, There are five tonic chords, ut, re, mi, sol, la, and two semitonic chords, fa and si. There are also five tonic colours, to which all the rest are ordinarily related, namely, blue, green, yellow, red, and violet, and two semitonic or equivocal colours, namely, aurora and violant, (related to the orange and indigo of NEWTON.)

4th, Out of these five entire tones, and two semitones, is formed the diatonic scale, ut, rc, mi, fa, sol, la, and si; and in like manner, out of the five entire or tonic colours, and the two demi-colours, are formed the gradation of colours, blue, green, yellow, aurora, red, violet, and violant; for the blue leads to green, which is demi-blue; the yellow to aurora, which is gilded yellow. The aurora leads to red, the red to violet, which is two-thirds of red, and one-third of blue; and the violet leads to violant, which has more blue than red.

5th, The entire tones divide themselves into semitones; and the five entire tones of the scale, or gamut, comprehending in this the two natural semitones, make twelve semitones, viz. ut natural, ut dieze, re, re dieze, mi, fa, fa dieze, sol, sol dieze, la, la dieze, and si. In like manner there are twelve demi-colours, or demi-tints, and there can be neither more nor less, according to the opinion of painters themselves, and as may be demonstrated by other means. These colours are blue, sea-green, green, olive, yellow, aurora, orange, red, crimson, violet, agathe, and violant. Blue leads to sea-green, which is a greenish blue ; sea-green leads to green ; green to olive, which is a yellowish-green ; olive to yellow ; yellow to aurora ; aurora to orange ; orange to red, the colour of fire; red to crimson, which is red mixed with a little blue; crimson to violet, which is still more blue ; violet to agathe, or bluish violet; and agathe to violant.

6th, The progression of sounds is in a circle, setting out from ut and returning back: thus, ut, mi, sol, ut, or ut, re, mi, fa, sol, la, si, ut. This is called an octave, when the last ut is one-half more acute than the first. The colours also have their progression in a circle.

7th, After an octave, ut, re, mi, fa, sol, la, si,

## 134 ADVANTAGES OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE

there recommences a new one, which is one-half more acute, and the whole circle of music produces several octaves.

Such are the principles upon which the ocular harpsicord was founded; but though the instrument, from its singularity, excited great attention when it was first constructed, we have not been able to learn that it was ever supposed to possess the power of affording pleasure to the eye. It must be obvious, indeed, to any person who considers the subject, that colour, independent of form, is incapable of yielding a continued pleasure .--Masses of rich and harmonious tints, following one another in succession, or combined according to certain laws, would no doubt give satisfaction to a person who had not been familiar with the contemplation of colours; but this satisfaction would not be permanent, and he would cease to admire them as soon as they ceased to be new. Colour is a mere accident of light, which communicates richness and variety to objects that are otherwise beautiful; but perfection of form is a source of beauty, independent of all colours; and it is therefore only from a combination of these two sources of beauty that a sensation of pleasure can be excited.

Those who have been in the habit of using a correct Kaleidoscope, furnished with proper objects, will have no hesitation in admitting, that this instrument realizes, in the fullest manner, the formerly chimerical idea of an ocular harpsicord. The

## AS AN INSTRUMENT OF AMUSEMENT. 135

combination of fine forms, and ever-varying tints, which it presents, in succession, to the eye, have already been found, by experience, to communicate to those who have a taste for this kind of beauty, a pleasure as intense and as permanent as that which the finest ear derives from musical sounds. An eye for admiring and appreciating the effect of fine forms, seems, indeed, to be much more general than an ear for music; and we have heard of many cases where the tedium of severe and continued indisposition has been removed, and where many a dull and solitary hour has been rendered cheerful, by the unceasing variety of entertainment which the Kaleidoscope afforded. In one respect, indeed, this instrument is superior to all others. When it is once properly constructed, its effects are exhibited without either skill or labour; and so numerous are its applications, and so inexhaustible its stores, that the observer is constantly flattered with the belief that he has obtained results which were never seen before, and that he has either impoved the instrument, or extended its power, by new applications.

Such are the advantages, as an instrument of amusement, which the Kaleidoscope possesses, even in its present imperfect state. To what degree of perfection it may yet arrive at, is not easy to anticipate; but we may venture to predict, because we see the steps by which the prediction is to be fulfilled, that combinations of forms and colours may be made to succeed other in such a manner as

# 136 ADVANTAGES OF THE KALEIDOSCOPE, &C.

to excite sentiments and ideas with as much vivacity as those which are excited by musical composition. If it be true that there are harmonic colours which inspire more pleasure by their combination than others; that dull and gloomy masses, moving slowly before the eye, excite feelings of sadness and distress; and that the aerial tracery of light and evanescent forms, enriched with lively colours, are capable of inspiring us with cheerfulness and gaiety; then it is unquestionable, that, by a skilful combination of these passing visions, the mind may derive a degree of pleasure far superior to that which arises from the immediate impression which they make upon the organ of vision. A very simple piece of machinery is alone necessary for introducing objects of different forms and colours, for varying the direction of the motion across the angular aperture, and for accommodating the velocity of their motion to the effect which it is intended to produce. mintdo and and tarff loiled offs.

never seen before, and that he has either impoved the instrument, or extended its power, by new applications.

Such are the advantages, as an instrument of amusement, which the Kaleidoscope possesses, even in its present imperfect state. To what degree of epsification it may yet arrive at, is not easy to anticlipate, but we may venture to predict, because we see the steps by which the prediction is to be fillfilled, that combinations of forms and colours only be made to succeed other in such a manner as very well-meaning persons into the belief that they understood its mode of operation; and it was only those that passessed more then a moderate share of optical knowledge, who saw that it was not only more difficult to understand, but also more difficult to execute, than most of the philosophial instru-

# the Kaleidoscope.IIIVX TAHO to consisting of

HISTORY OF THE COMBINATIONS OF PLANE MIRRORS WHICH HAVE BEEN SUPPOSED TO RESEMBLE THE KALEIDOSCOPE.

rous condidates for the IT has always been the fate of new inventions to have their origin referred to some remote period; and those who labour to enlarge the boundaries of science, or to multiply the means of improvement, are destined to learn, at a very early period of their career, that the desire of doing justice to the living is a much less powerful principle than that of being generous to the dead. This mode of distributing fame, injurious as it is to the progress of science, by taking away one of the strongest excitements of early genius, has yet the advantage of erring on the side of generosity; and there are few persons who would reclaim against a decision invested with such a character, were it pronounced by the grave historian of science, who had understood and studied the subject to which it referred.

The apparent simplicity, both of the theory and the construction of the Kaleidoscope, has deceived

very well-meaning persons into the belief that they understood its mode of operation; and it was only those that possessed more than a moderate share of optical knowledge, who saw that it was not only more difficult to understand, but also more difficult to execute, than most of the philosophial instruments now in use. The persons who considered the Kaleidoscope as an instrument consisting of two reflectors, which multiplied objects, wherever these objects were placed, and whatever was the position of the eye, provided that it received only the reflected rays, were at no loss to find numerous candidates for the invention All those, indeed, who had observed the multiplication and circular arrangement of a fire blazing between two polished plates of brass or steel; who had dressed themselves by the aid of a pair of looking-glasses, or who had observed the effects of two mirrors placed upon the rectangular sides of a drawing room, were entitled, upon such a definition, to be constituted inventors of the Kaleidoscope. The same claim might be urged for every jeweller who had erected in his window two perpendicular mirrors, and placed his wares between them, in order to be multiplied and exhibited to advantage; and for every Dutch toy-maker, and dealer in optical wonders, who had manufactured show-boxes, for the purpose of heaping together, in some sort of order, a crowd of images of the same object, of different intensities, seen under different angles, and presenting different sides to the

## 138

eye. This mode of grouping images, dissimilar in their degree of light, dissimilar in their magnitude, and dissimilar in their very outlines, produced such a poor effect, that the reflecting show-boxes have for a long series of years disappeared from among the number of philosophical toys.

From these causes, the candidates for the merit of inventing the Kaleidoscope have been so numerous, that they have started up in every part of the world; and many individuals, who are scarcely acquainted with the equality of the angles of incidence and reflection, have not scrupled to favour the world with an account of the improvements which they fancy they have made upon the instrument \*.

The earliest writer who appears to have described the use of two plane mirrors, was BAPTISTA PORTA, who has given an account of several experiments which he performed with them, in the 2d chapter of the VIIth book of his Magia Naturalis.

As the combination of plain mirrors which he there describes has been represented as the same as the Kaleidoscope, we shall give the passage at full length:

\* It would be an easy matter to amuse the reader with an account of these improvements. One of the most notable of them consists in covering the back of the reflectors with white paint, for the purpose of increasing the light of the circular field. This scheme is identically the same as if the author had proposed to improve the magnificent Telescopes of HERSCHEL, when rendered dark with a high magnifying power, by white-washing the interior of the tube,

## Speculum è planis multividum construere.

Speculum construitur, quod polyphaton id est multorum visibilium dicitur, illud enim aperiendo et claudendo solius digiti viginti et plura demonstrat simulacra. Sic igitur id parabis. Ærea duo specula vel crystallina rectangula super basim eandem erigantur, sintque in hemiolia proportione, vel alia, et secundum longitudinis latus unum simul colligentur, ut libri instar apte claudi et aperiri possint, et anguli diversentur, qualia Venetiis factitari solent : faciem enim unam objiciens, in utroque plura cernes ora, et hoc quanto arctius clauseris, minorique fuerint angulo: aperiendo autem minuentur; et obtusiori cernes angulo, pauciora numero conspicientur. Sic digitum ostendens, non nisi digitos cernes, dextra insuper dextra, et sinistra sinistra convisuntur; quod speculis contrarium est: mutuaque id evenit reflexione, et pulsatione, unde imaginum vicissitudo." Edit. Amstelod. 1664.

The following is an exact and literal translation of this passage.

How to construct a multiplying speculum out of plane ones.

A speculum is constructed, called *polyphaton*, that is, which shews many objects, for by opening and shutting it, it exhibits twenty and more images

of the finger alone. You will therefore prepare it in the following manner : Let two rectangular specula of brass or crystal be erected upon the same base, and let their length be one and a-half times their width, or in any other proportion; and let two of their sides be placed together, so that they may be opened and shut like a book, and the angles varied, as they are generally made at Venice. For by presenting your face, you will see in both more faces the more they are shut, and the less that the angle is; but they will be diminished by opening it, and you will see fewer as you observe with a more obtuse angle. If you exhibit your finger, you will see only fingers, the right fingers being seen on the right side, and the left on the left side, which is contrary to what happens in looking-glasses, and this arises from the mutual reflection and repulsion which produce a change of the images \*."

\* We request that the reader will take the trouble of comparing with the original the following translation of BAPTISTA PORTA's description, which was published in London, and copied into all the foreign newspapers, &c. We hope the translator of it had no improper motive in altering the obvious meaning of the original; yet it is singular, that in the journal where this translation appeared, the specification of the patent was published under the title of Directions for making the Kaleidoscope, purporting to be an original communication to that journal; the name of the patentee, and the technical parts of the specification having been left out, apparently to promote the belief that there was no patent, and that every person might make them with impunity. The following is the translation alluded to:

"In the following manner we may construct a mirror for seeing a multitude of objects on a plain surface. This kind of mirror,

It is quite obvious, from the preceding passage, that the multiplying speculum described by PORTA was not an invention of his own, but had been long made at Venice. In the very next chapter, indeed, where he describes a speculum theatrale or amphitheatrale, (the show-box of HARRIS and other modern authors,) he expressly states, that a multiplying speculum was invented by the ancients .----" Speculum autem é planis compactum, cui si unum spectabile demonstrabitur, plura illius rei simulachra demonstrabit, prudens invenit vetustas ; ut ex quibusdam Ptolemæi scriptis quæ circumferuntur percipitur;" that is, "a speculum consisting of plane ones, which, when one object is presented to it, will exhibit several images of it, was invented by skilful antiquity, as appears from some of the

when constructed, is what is called polypluton, that is to say, multiplying, for by opening and shutting, it shews twenty and more images of one single finger. If, therefore, you wish to prepare it, let two brazen or crystal rectangular mirrors be erected on the same base, and let the proportion of length be one and an half of the width, or any other proportion ; and let each side for the whole of its length be so connected together that they may easily be shut and opened like a book, and that the angles may be varied, as they are usually constructed at Venice ; for if you place one object opposite to the face of each, you will see several figures; and this in proportion as you shut it closer, and the angle shall be less. But, by opening, the objects will be reduced in number, and the more obtuse the angle under which you see it, the fewer objects will be seen. So if you exhibit your finger as the object, you will see nothing but fingers. The right fingers will be seen on the right side, and the left on the left side, which is contrary to the usual custom with looking-glasses; but this happens from the mutual reflection and repulsion which produce a change of the images."

writings of PTOLEMY." This speculum, which consists of several mirrors arranged in a polygon, with the object within it, is characterised by PORTA as puerile, and much less wonderful and agreeable than one of his own, which he proceeds to describe. This new speculum consists of ten mirrors, placed within a box, in a sort of polygonal form, with one of the sides of the polygon open. Architectural columns, pictures, gems, pearls, coloured birds, &c. are all placed within the box, and their images are seen heaped together in inextricable disorder, as a whole, but so as to astonish the spectator by their number, and by the arrangement of individual groups. PORTA speaks of this effect as so beautiful, "ut nil jucundius nil certe admirabilius oculis occurset nostris," that nothing more agreeable, and certainly nothing more admirable, was ever presented to our eyes.

It would be an insult to the capacities of the most ordinary readers to shew that the instruments here described by BAPTISTA PORTA have no farther connection with the Kaleidoscope than that they are composed of plane mirrors. The sole purpose of these instruments was to *multiply* objects by reflection; and so little did the idea of producing a symmetrical picture enter into BAPTISTA PORTA's contemplation, that he directs the mirrors to be placed at any angle, because the multiplying property of the mirrors is equally developed, whatever be the angle of their inclination.

The show-box of which PORTA speaks with such

admiration, has so many mirrors, and these are placed at such angles, that not one of the effects of the Kaleidoscope can be produced from them. Its beauty is entirely derived from the accumulation of individual images.

The next competitor for the invention of the Kaleidoscope is the celebrated KIRCHER, who describes, as an invention of his own, the construction of two mirrors which can be opened and shut like the leaves of a book. This instrument is represented in Fig. 39, and is described in the following passage:

# "PARASTASIS I. TROIL .aquong

the seat and merendine all certe admirabilities oc

# Specula plana multiplicativa sunt specierum unius rei. Vide Fig. 39.

Mira quædam et a nemine, quod sciam observata proprietas elucescit in duobus speculis ita constructis, ut ad instar libri claudi et aperiri possint; ponantur illa in plano quopiam, in quo semicirculum in gradus suos descriptum habeas. Si enim punctum, in quo specula committuntur, in centro semicirculi statuas, ita ut utrumque speculi latus diametro insistat, semel tantam videbitur rei imago, apparebuntque duæ res, una extra speculum vera, altera intra, phantastica: Si vero specula ita posueris, ut divaricatio laterum 120 gradus intercipiat, videbis rei intra latera est, quia angulus reflectionis et incidentiæ tantus est, quantus est an-

gulus interceptus a lateribus, videlicet 120 grad. qui cum obtusius sit, non nisi binam imaginem causare potest, ut in Propos. V., Fol. 848, ostensum est. Si vero specula interceperint angulum 90 graduum, videbis in plano circulum in quatuor partes divisum, in quibus totidem simulacra rei positæ comparabunt, tria phantastica, et unum verum; cum enim reflexio fiat ad angulos rectos utrumque latus reflectens formam causabit intra se alias duas formas, unde et consequentur pro multiplicatione laterum formæ multiplicabuntur, quæ et in reflexione laterum normam servabunt uti in Propos. V., Fol. 848, ostendimus. Porro si speculorum latera interceperint angulum 72 graduum, videbis in plano horizontali efformari perfectum et regulare pentagonum, in quo totidem formæ apparebunt, item, si sexaginta graduum interceperint angulum, videbis hexagonum totidemque formas quinque nimirum phantasticas unam veram. Ita, si speculorum angulus interceperit 51 gradus cum 3 comparebit perfectum heptagonum, cum totidem rei intra specula collocatæ formis; non secus angulus speculorum 45 graduum dabit octogonum; 40 graduum dabit enneagonum; 36 graduum decagonum; 32 graduum angulus cum <sup>8</sup>/<sub>11</sub> dabit endecagonum et denique angulus 30 graduum referet dodecagonum cum totidem formis, et sic in infinitum; ita ut semper tot laterum sit futurum polygonum anacampticum totidemque formarum, quot polygonum cuivis latus speculorum intercipit divaricatio, latera

habuerit : quorum omnium rationes dependent a Propos. V. precedentis distinctionis." KIRCHERF Ars Magna Lucis et Umbræ Rom. 1646. p. 890.

The following is a translation of the preceding passage.

## PARASTASIS I.

Plane specula may be made to multiply the images of one object. See Fig. 39.

A wonderful, and, so far as I know, a new property, is exhibited by two specula, so constructed that they may be opened and shut like a book. If they are placed upon any plane, in which there is a semicircle divided into degrees, in such a manner that the point where the specula meet is in the centre of the circle, and the edge of each speculum stands upon the diameter of the semicircle, one image only will be visible, and there will appear two things, namely, a real one, without the specula, and another formed by reflection behind them \*, and so on, as in the following table, where the first column shews the inclination of the specula, and the second the figure which is produced.

+ I have thrown the rest of the passage into a tabular form, that the reader may see, more readily, the effect produced by the variation of the angle.

Angle of Specula.Effect produced. $180^{\circ}$ .....one image and one object,\*120......four images and one object,90......four images,\*72\*72.....a pentagon and five forms,60.....a hexagon and six forms,\*51  $\frac{3}{7}$ .....a heptagon and seven forms,45.....an octagon and eight forms,\*40.....a decagon and ten forms,36.....a decagon and ten forms,32  $\frac{8}{17}$ .....a dodecagon and twelve forms,

and so on, *ad infinitum*, the polygon formed by reflection having always as many sides as the number of times that the angle of the specula is contained in 360°.

The combination of plane mirrors, which KIR-CHER describes in the preceding extract, is precisely the same as that which is given by BAPTISTA PORTA. The latter, indeed, only mentions, that the number of images increases by the diminution of the angle, whereas KIRCHER gives the number of images produced at different angles, and enumerates the *regular polygons* which are thus formed.

It must be quite obvious to any person who attends to KIRCHER's description, that the idea never once occurred to him of producing beautiful and symmetrical forms, by means of plane mirrors. His sole object was to multiply a given regular form a certain number of times; and he never imagined

L 2

that, when the mirrors were placed at the angles marked with an asterisk, there could be no symmetry in the figure, and no union of the two last reflected images, unless in the case where a regular object was placed, either by design or by accident, in a position symmetrically related to both the reflectors.

In KIRCHER'S mirrors the eye was placed in front of them. The object therefore was much nearer the eye than the images, and the light of the different reflected images was not only extremely unequal, but the difference in their angular magnitude was such that they could not possibly be united into a symmetrical whole. From the accidental circumstance of his using *lines upon paper* as an object, the distortion of the pictures arising from the erroneous position of the eye was prevented; but if the same combination of mirrors were applied to the object plates of the Kaleidoscope, it would be found utterly incapable of producing any of the fine forms which are peculiar to that instrument.

If it were necessary to prove that KIRCHER and his pupils were entirely ignorant of the positions of the eye and the object, which are necessary to the production of a picture, symmetrical in all its parts, and uniformly illuminated, and that they went no farther than the mere multiplication of forms that were previously regular and symmetrical, we would refer the reader to SCHOTTUS'S Magia Universalis Natura et Artis, printed at Wurtzbourg in 1657,

where he repeats, almost word for word, the description of KIRCHER, and adds the following curious observation :--- " But it is not only the objects " placed in the semicircle in the angle of the glasses " that are seen and multiplied, but also those which " are more distant: for example, a wall, with its " windows, and in this case the multiplication pro-" duced by the mirrors will create an immense " public place, adorned with edifices and palaces." This passage shews, in the clearest manner, not only that the multiplication of an object, independent of the union of the multiplied objects into a symmetrical whole, was all that KIRCHER and his followers proposed to accomplish; but also that they were entirely unacquainted with the effects produced by varying the distance of the object from the mirrors. If any person should doubt the accuracy of this observation, we would request him to take KIR-CHER's two mirrors, to direct them to a "wall with its windows," either by KIRCHER's method, or even by any other way that he chooses, and to contemplate the " public place adorned with edifices and with palaces." He will see heaps of windows and of walls, some of the heaps being much larger than others; and some being farther from, and others nearer to, the centre; and some being dark, and others luminous; while all of them are disunited. Let him now take a Kaleidoscope, and direct it to the same object : he will instantly perceive the most perfect order arise out of confusion, and he will not scruple to acknowledge, that no two things in na-

ture can be more different than the effects which are produced by these two combinations of mirrors.

We come now to consider the claims of Mr BRADLEY, professor of Botany in the University of Cambridge. In a work, entitled "New Improvements in Planting and Gardening," published in 1717, this author has drawn and described KIR-CHER's apparatus as an invention of his own; and, instead of having in any respect improved it, he has actually deteriorated it, in so far as he has made the breadth of the mirrors greater than their height. An exact copy of the mirrors used by BRADLEY is shewn in Fig. 40, from which it will be at once seen, that it is precisely the same as KIRCHER's, shewn in Fig. 39. We are far from saying that BRADLEY stole the invention from KIRCHER, or that KIRCHER stole it from BAPTISTA PORTA, or that BAPTISTA PORTA stole it from the ancients. There is reason, on the contrary, to think that the apparatus had been entirely forgotten, in the long intervals which elapsed between these different authors, and there can be no doubt that each of them added some little improvement to the instrument of their predecessors. BAPTISTA PORTA saw the superiority of two mirrors, as a multiplying machine, to a greater number used by the ancients. KIRCHER shewed the relation between the number of images and the inclination of the mirrors; and BRADLEY, though he rather injured the apparatus, yet he had the merit of noticing, that figures upon paper, which had a certain degree of irregularity,

like those in Fig. 42, could still form a regular figure.

In order that the reader may fully understand BRADLEY's method of using the mirrors, we shall give it in his own words :---

"We must choose two pieces of looking-glass, (says he,) of equal bigness, of the figure of a long square, *five* inches in length, and *four* in breadth; they must be covered on the back with paper or silk, to prevent rubbing off the silver, which would else be too apt to crack off by frequent use. This covering for the back of the glasses must be so put on, that nothing of it may appear about the edges on the bright side.

"The glasses being thus prepared, they must be laid face to face, and hinged together, so that they may be made to open and shut at pleasure, like the leaves of a book; and now the glasses being thus fitted for our purpose, I shall proceed to *explain the use of them*.

"Draw a large circle upon paper; divide it into three, four, five, six, seven, or eight equal parts; which being done, we may draw in every one of the divisions a figure, at our pleasure, either for garden-platts or fortifications; as for example, in Fig. 40, we see a circle divided into six parts, and upon the division marked F is drawn part of a design for a garden. Now, to see that design entire, which is yet confused, we must place our glasses upon the paper, and open them to the

sixth part of the circle, *i. e.* one of them must stand upon the line b, to the centre, and the other must be opened exactly to the point c; so shall we discover an entire garden-platt in a circular form, (if we look into the glasses,) divided into six parts, with as many walks leading to the centre, where we shall find a basin of an hexagonal figure.

"The line A, where the glasses join, stands immediately over the centre of the circle, the glass B stands upon the line drawn from the centre to the point C, and the glass D stands upon the line leading from the centre to the point E : the glasses being thus placed, cannot fail to produce the complete figure we look for; and so whatever equal part of a circle you mark out, let the line A stand always upon the centre, and open your glasses to the division you have made with your compasses. If, instead of a circle, you would have the figure of a hexagon, draw a straight line with a pen from the point c to the point b, and, by placing the glasses as before, you will have the figure desired.

"So likewise a pentagon may be perfectly represented, by finding the fifth part of a circle, and placing the glasses upon the outlines of it; and the fourth part of a circle will likewise produce a square, by means of the glasses, or, by the same rule, will give us any figure of equal sides. I easily suppose that a curious person, by a little practice with these glasses, may make many improvements with them, which, perhaps, I may not have yet discovered, or have, for brevity sake, omitted to describe.

" It next follows, that I explain how, by these glasses, we may, from the figure of a circle, drawn upon paper, make an oval; and also, by the same rule, represent a long square from a perfect square. To do this, open the glasses, and fix them to an exact square; place them over a circle, and move them to and fro till you see the representation of the oval figure you like best; and so, having the glasses fixed, in like manner move them over a square piece of work till you find the figure you desire of a long square. In these trials you will meet with many varieties of designs. As for instance, Fig. 42, although it seems to contain but a confused representation, may be varied into above two hundred different representations, by moving the glasses over it, which are opened and fixed to an exact square. In a word, from the most trifling designs, we may, by this means, produce some thousands of good draughts.

"But, that Fig. 42, may yet be more intelligible and useful, I have drawn on every side of it a scale, divided into equal parts, by which means we may ascertain the just proportion of any design we shall meet with in it.

"I have also marked every side of it with a letter, as A, B, C, D, the better to inform my reader of the use of the invention, and put him in the way to find out every design contained in that figure.

" Example I.—Turn the side A to any certain point, either to the north, or to the window of your

room; and when you have opened your glasses to an exact square, set one of them on the line of the side **D**, and the other on the line of the side **C**, you will then have a square figure four times as big as the engraved design in the plate : but if that representation should not be agreeable, move the glasses (still opened to a square) to the number 5, of the side **D**, so will one of them be parallel to **D**, and the other stand upon the line of the side **C**, your first design will then be varied; and so by moving your glasses, in like manner, from point to point, the draughts will differ every variation of the glasses, till you have discovered at least fifty plans, differing from one another.

"Example II .- Turn the side marked B, of Fig. 42, to the same point where A was before, and by moving your glasses as you did in the former example, you will discover as great a variety of designs as had been observed in the foregoing experiment: then turn the side C to the place of B, and, managing the glasses in the manner I have directed in the first example, you may have a great variety of different plans, which were not in , the former trials; and the fourth side, D, must be managed in the same manner with the others; so that from one plan alone, not exceeding the bigness of a man's hand, we may vary the figure at least two hundred times; and so, consequently, from five figures of the like nature, we might shew about a thousand several sorts of garden-platts; and if it should happen that the reader has any number of

plans for parterres or wilderness-works by him, he may, by this method, alter them at his pleasure, and produce such innumerable varieties, that it is not possible the most able designer could ever have contrived."

In reading the preceding description, the following conclusions cannot fail to be drawn by every person who understands it.

1. Dr BRADLEY, like KIRCHER, considers his mirrors as applicable to regular figures, such as are represented in Fig. 40, and was entirely unacquainted with the fact, that the inclination of the mirrors must be an even aliquot part of a circle. This is obvious, from his stating that the mirrors may be set at the *third*, fourth, *fifth*, sixth, *seventh*, or eighth part of a circle; for if he had tried to set an irregular object between the mirrors when placed at the *third*, *fifth*, or *seventh* part of 360°, he would have found that a complete figure could not possibly be produced.

2. From the erroneous position of the eye in front of the mirrors, there is such an inequality of light in the reflected sectors, that the last is scarcely visible, and therefore cannot be united into an uniform picture with the real objects.

3. As the place of the eye in BRADLEY's instrument is in front, and therefore much nearer the object, or sector, seen by direct vision; the angular magnitudes of all the different sectors are different,

and hence they cannot unite into a symmetrical figure. This is so unavoidable a result of the erroneous position of the eye, a position too rendered necessary from the absurd form of the mirrors, that BRADLEY actually employs his mirrors to convert a circle into an ellipse, and a square into a rectangle !

4. In BRADLEY's instrument, the sectors thus unequally shaped, and unequally illuminated, are all separated from one another, by a space equal to the thickness of the glass plates; and from the same cause, the images reflected from the first surface interfere with those reflected from the second, and produce a confusion or overlapping of images entirely incompatible with a symmetrical picture.

These results are deduced upon the supposition that the object consists of lines drawn upon the surface of paper, the only purpose to which BRAD-LEY ever applied his mirrors; but when we attempt to use these mirrors as a Kaleidoscope, and apply them to the objects which are usually adapted to that instrument, we shall fail entirely, as the mirrors are utterly incapable of producing the beautiful and symmetrical forms which belong to that instrument.

We come now to consider the method of applying the mirrors to the object shewn in Fig. 42., which is an exact, but reduced copy of BRADLEY's figure. Because the angles of this figure are 90°, he directs that the mirrors " be opened, and fixed to an exact square;" and that the edge of one of the mirrors must always be placed so as to coincide

exactly with one of the sides of the rectangle, and carefully kept in this line when the position of the mirrors is changed. Hence it is manifest, that BRADLEY was entirely ignorant of the fundamental principle of the Kaleidoscope, namely, that if the inclination of the mirrors is an even aliquot part of a circle, and if they are set in any position upon any object or set of objects, however confused, or distorted, or irregular, they will create the most perfect and symmetrical designs. But even if BRADLEY had been aware of this principle in theory, neither he nor any other person was acquainted with the mode of constructing and fitting up the reflectors, in order to render them capable of producing the effect. The position of the eye, for symmetry of light, and for symmetry of form ;---the position of the mirrors, to produce a perfect junction of the last sectors ;--the position of the object necessary to produce an equality and perfect junction between the object and the reflected images ;---and the method of accom-plishing this for objects at all distances, were fundamental points, in the combination of plain mirrors, which, so far as I know, have never been investigated by any author. But even though a knowledge of these theoretical points had been obtained, numerous difficulties in the practical construction of the instrument remained to be surmounted; and it required no slight degree of labour and attention to enable the instrument to develope, in the most simple and efficacious manner, the various effects

which, in theory, it was found susceptible of producing.

As the combination of mirrors, described by KIR-CHER and BRADLEY, had been long known to opticians, and had excited so little attention that they had even ceased to be noticed in works on optical instruments, it became necessary to discover some other origin for the Kaleidoscope. The XIIIth and XIVth Propositions of Wood's Optics, and some analogous propositions in HARRIS's Optics, were therefore presumed to be an anticipation of the invention. Professor Wood gives a mathematical investigation of the number and arrangement of the images formed by two reflectors, either inclined or parallel to each other. These theorems assign no position to the eye, or to the object, and do not include the principle of inversion, which is absolutely necessary to the production of symmetrical forms. The theorems, indeed, which have no connection whatever with any instrument, are true, whatever be the position of the eye or the object; and Mr Wood, with that candour which belongs to him, has frankly acknowledged, " that the effects produced by the Kaleidoscope were never in his contemplation \*."

The propositions in HARRIS'S Optics, relate, like Professor Wood's, merely to the multiplication and circular arrangement of the apertures or sectors

\* See the APPENDIX, p. 161.

formed by the inclined mirrors, and to the progress of a ray of light reflected between two inclined or parallel mirrors; and no allusion whatever is made, in the propositions themselves, to any instrument. In the propositions respecting the multiplication of the sectors, the eye of the observer is never once mentioned; and the proposition is true, if the eye has an infinite number of positions; whereas, in the Kaleidoscope, the eye can only have one position. In the other proposition, (Prop. XVII.) respecting the progress of the rays, the eye and the object are actually stated to be placed between the reflectors ; and even if the eye had been placed without the reflectors, as in the Kaleidoscope, the position assigned it, at a great distance from the angular point, is a demonstration that HARRIS was entirely ignorant of the positions of symmetry, either for the object or the eye, and could not have combined two reflectors so as to form a Kaleidoscope for producing beautiful or symmetrical forms \*. It is important also to remark, that all HARRIS's propositions relate either to sectors or to small circular objects; and that he supposes the very same effects to be produced when the inclination of the mirrors is an odd, as when it is an even, aliquot part of a circle. It is clear, therefore, that he was neither acquainted with the fundamental point in the theory of the Kaleidoscope, nor with any of its practical effects.

<sup>\*</sup> See CHAP. I. p. 10 and 11, where we have shewn that HARRIS was not even acquainted with the way in which the last sector is formed by reflection.

The only practical part of HARRIS's propositions is the 5th and 6th scholia to Prop. XVII. In the 5th scholium he proposes a sort of catoptric box, or cistula, known long before his time, composed of four mirrors, arranged in a most unscientific manner, and containing opaque objects between the speculums. "Whatever they are," says he, when speaking of the objects, " the upright figures between the speculums should be slender, and not too many in number, otherwise they will too much obstruct the reflected rays from coming to the eye." This shews, in a most decisive manner, that HARBIS knew nothing of the Kaleidoscope, and that he has not even improved the common catoptric cistula, which had been known long before. The principle of inversion, and the positions of symmetry, were entirely unknown to him. In the 6th scholium, he speaks of rooms lined with looking-glasses, and of luminous amphitheatres, which were known even to the ancients, and have been described and figured by all the old writers on optics.

APPENDIX.

I have not time to read your Optical

161

ALTHOUGH I have no doubt that the observations contained in the preceding Chapters are sufficient to satisfy every candid and intelligent person respecting the true nature of all the combinations of plane mirrors that preceded the invention of the Kaleidoscope, yet, as there are many who are incapable, from want of optical knowledge, to understand the comparison which has been made between them, and as the subject must soon come under the notice of another tribunal than that of the public, I shall here present the opinions of four of the most eminent mathematicians and natural philosophers of the present day.

The first of these is contained in a note from Professor WOOD, of Cambridge, written in reply to a letter, in which I requested him to say, if he had any idea of the effects of the Kaleidoscope when he wrote the XIIIth and XIVth Propositions of his Works on Optics.

"SIR, St Johns, May 19th, 1818. "The propositions I have given relating to the number of images formed by plane reflectors inclined to each other, contain merely the mathematical calculation of their number and arrangement. The effects produced by the Kaleidoscope were never in my contemplation. My attention has for
some years been turned to other subjects, and I regret that I have not time to read your Optical Treatise, which I am sure would give me great pleasure.

I am,

Sin, Your obedient humble Servant, J. Woop."

The following is the opinion of the celebrated Mr WATT:

" It has been said here," says Mr WATT, " that you took the idea of the Kaleidoscope from an old book on Gardening. My friend, the Rev. Mr CORRIE, has procured me a sight of the book. It is BRADLEY's Improvements of Planting and Gardening. London 1731, Part II. Chap. I. It consists of two pieces of looking-glass, of equal bigness, of the figure of a long square, five inches long, and four inches high, hinged together upon one of the narrow sides, so as to open and shut like the leaves of a book, which, being set upon their edges upon a drawing, will shew it multiplied by repeated reflections. This instrument I have seen in my father's possession seventy years ago, and frequently since, but what has become of it I know not. In my opinion, the application of the principle is very different from that of your Kaleidoscope."

The following is Professor PLAYFAIR's opinion :

# " Edinburgh, 11th May 1818.

"I have examined the Kaleidoscope invented by Dr BREWSTER, and compared it with the description of an instrument which it has been said to resemble, constructed by BRADLEY in 1717.— I have also compared its effect with an experiment to which it may be thought to have some analogy, described by Mr Wood in his Optics, Prop. XIII. and XIV.

"From both these contrivances, and from every optical instrument with which I am acquainted, the Kaleidoscope appears to differ essentially, both in its effect and in the principles of its construction.

"As to the effect, the thing produced by the Kaleidoscope is a series of figures presented with the most perfect symmetry, so as always to compose a whole, in which nothing is wanting and nothing redundant. It matters not what the object be to which the instrument is directed; if it only be in its proper place, the effect just described is sure to take place, and with an endless variety. In this respect, the Kaleidoscope appears to be quite singular among optical instruments. Neither the instrument of BRADLEY, nor the experiment or theorem in Wood's book, have any resemblance to this: they go no further than the multiplication of the figure.

"Next, as to the principle of construction, Dr

the telescope.

M 2

BREWSTER'S instrument requires a particular position of the eye of the observer, and of the object looked at, in order to produce its effect. If either of these is wanting, the symmetry vanishes, and the figures are irregular and disunited. In the other two cases, no particular position, either for the eye or the object, is required.

"For these reasons, Dr BREWSTER's invention seems to me quite unlike the other two. Indeed, as far as I know, it is quite singular among optical instruments; and it will be matter of sincere regret, if any imaginary or vague analogy, between it and other optical instruments, should be the means of depriving the Doctor of any part of the reward to which his skill, ingenuity, and perseverance, entitle him so well.

## JOHN PLAYFAIR,

Professor of Natural Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh."

"P. S.—Granting that there were a resemblance between the Kaleidoscope and BRADLEY's instrument, in any of the particulars mentioned above, the introduction of coloured and moveable objects, at the end of the reflectors, is quite peculiar to Dr BREWSTER's instrument. Besides this, a circumstance highly deserving of attention, is the use of two lenses and a draw-tube; so that the action of the Kaleidoscope is extended to objects of all sizes, and at all distances from the observer, and united, by that means, to the advantages of the telescope. J. P."

164

Professor PICTET's opinion is stated in the following letter:

### " SIR,

Among your friends, I have not been one of the least painfully affected by the shameful invasion of your rights as an inventor, which I have been a witness of lately in London. Not only none of the allegations of the invaders of your patent, grounded on a pretended similarity between your Kaleidoscope and BRADLEY's instrument, or such as Wood's or HARRIS's theories might have suggested, appear to me to have any real foundation ; but, I can affirm, that, neither in any of the French, German, or Italian authors, who, to my knowledge, have treated of optics, nor in Professor CHARLES's justly celebrated and most complete collection of optical instruments at Paris, have I read or seen any thing resembling your ingenious apparatus, which, from its numberless applications, and the pleasure it affords, and will continue to afford, to millions of beholders of its matchless effects, may be ranked among the most happy inventions that science ever presented to the lovers of rational enjoyment.

### M. A. PICTET,

Professor of Natural Philosophy in the Academy of Geneva."

Those who wish to examine farther the ancient combinations of plain mirrors, and other subjects connected with the Kaleidoscope, are referred to the following works:

BAPTISTA PORTA'S Magia Naturalis. KIR-CHER'S Ars Magna Lucis et Umbræ. SCHOTTUS'S Magia Universalis Naturæ et Artis. BRADLEY'S Treatise on Planting and Gardening. HARRIS'S Treatise on Optics. WOOD'S Optics. Dr ROGET on the Kaleidoscope, in the Annals of Philosophy, Vol. XI. p. 375; and the Compte Rendu des Travaux de L'Academie de Dijon, pour 1818, p. 108, -117.

knowledge, have treated of optics, nor in Professor Casarsis justly celebrated and mest complete collection of optical instruments at Paris, have I read or seen any titing recembling your ingenious apparatus, which, from its numberless applications, and the pleasure it affords, and will continue to afford to millions of beholders of its matchless efforts may be ranked among the most happy in-

THE PATENT KALEIDOSCOPES, in various forms, and with all the latest improvements, are made and sold,

## IN LONDON,

By Messrs P. & G. DOLLOND, St Paul's Church-Yard; Messrs W. & S. JONES, Holborn; Mr R. B. BATE, Poultry; Messrs THOMAS HARRIS & SON, Great Russel Street; Mr BANCKS, Strand; Messrs WM. & THOS. GILBERT, Leadenhall Street; Mr BERGE, Piccadilly; Mr THOMAS JONES, Cockspur Street; Mr BLUNT, Cornhill; Mr Schmalcalder, Strand; Messrs WATKINS & HILL, Charing Cross; Mr SMITH, Royal Exchange; and Messrs SPENCER, BROWNING, & RUST, Wapping.

### AT BIRMINGHAM,

By Mr PHILIP CARPENTER.

AT BRISTOL, By Mr C. BEILBY.

### AT LIVERPOOL,

By Messrs EGERTON SMITH, & Co.

### AND

AT EDINBURGH, By Mr John Ruthven.



























PLATE VII. 6

















