

**Dengue : its history, symptoms and treatment, being clinical lectures delivered / by T. Edmondston Charles ; with subsequent additions and alterations.**

**Contributors**

Charles, T. Edmondston

**Publication/Creation**

[Calcutta] : [Wyman], [1872]

**Persistent URL**

<https://wellcomecollection.org/works/sbzngrbp>

**License and attribution**

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection  
183 Euston Road  
London NW1 2BE UK  
T +44 (0)20 7611 8722  
E [library@wellcomecollection.org](mailto:library@wellcomecollection.org)  
<https://wellcomecollection.org>

DENGUE  
AND ITS TREATMENT.

---

BY  
DR. T. EDMONDSTON CHARLES.

TRO  
Crawf.  
Coll.  
/CHA

WYMAN & CO'S

Now Ready,  
**THE INDIAN CRIMINAL CODES,**  
(Fourth Edition,)

VIZ.,

**THE PENAL CODE (ACT XLV. OF 1860)**  
AS AMENDED BY LATER ENACTMENTS,

AND THE

**CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE (ACT X. OF 1872)**  
(Comprising the Indian Criminal Law and Procedure);

WITH

**RULINGS OF ALL THE HIGH COURTS IN INDIA, AND THE CHIEF COURTS**  
**IN THE PUNJAB, OUDH, AND THE CENTRAL PROVINCES,**

ILLUSTRATED BY NUMEROUS DECISIONS FROM THE

**EXISTING BODY OF THE LAW IN ENGLAND;**

AND WITH

**NOTES FROM THE REPORTS OF THE LAW COMMISSIONERS AND FRAMERS**  
**OF THE ORIGINAL CODES, NOTES ON EVIDENCE,**  
**LEGAL MAXIMS, Etc.**

WITH

**AN APPENDIX**

OF

*Rules, Regulations, and Circulars Orders of the several High Courts and Chief Courts in India for the Enrolment and regarding the Qualifications of Pleaders and Attorneys-at-Law; and the consolidated Regulations of the several Societies of Lincoln's Inn, the Middle Temple, the Inner Temple, and Gray's Inn, (the four Inns of Courts,) as to the admission of Students, the mode of keeping Terms, the calling of Students to the Bar, the granting Certificates to practise under the Bar, and Legal Education.*

CONTAINING ALSO,

**A NOMINAL INDEX, A GENERAL INDEX, & TABLE OF CASES CITED;**  
*Making in all a comprehensive volume of 1,000 pages.*

BY

**FENDALL CURRIE, Esq.,**

*(Student of Lincoln's Inn,)*

**CITY MAGISTRATE, LUCKNOW.**

**BOUND IN CLOTH.**

Price: Cash with order, Mofussil ...	Rs. 18 8
"    if booked, ditto ...	" 22 8
"    in Calcutta, Cash on delivery ...	" 16 0
"    "    if booked ...	" 20 0

Rs. 3; if in full calf, Rs. 5.



22101479930

E.

their names, as the demand for copies of  
Council of India in the Criminal Procedure  
and (without extra charge) to subscribers,

LAW PUBLICATIONS.

THE UNREPEALED LEGISLATIVE ACTS  
OF THE  
GOVERNOR-GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL,

From 1834 to March 1871, inclusive; with a most copious  
and perfect Index.

By G. S. FAGAN, Esq., BARRISTER-AT-LAW.

1. The present edition contains abstracts, marginal and foot notes, a chronological table of the Acts to date, a table of all Acts repealed or modified, and a single, new, and full Index. None of the unrepealed Acts have been omitted, except those which are applicable exclusively to the Straits Settlements; and every repealed or modified Act is duly accounted for in the Table—*i.e.*, it is in every instance stated by what Act, Section, and Clause the repeal or modification has been effected. The foot notes also contain references to reported cases, abstracts of High Court Rulings, and orders of the different Governments and Administrations bearing on the subject of the Text.

2. The Acts in force relating to the Madras and Bengal Presidencies, British Burmah, and the other Non-Regulation Provinces, are all included.

VOL. VI.

*From March 1871 to July 1872, or from Act XIII. of 1871 to Act XVI. of 1872.*

This valuable Work, uniform with the volumes already published, but which may be had separately, contains the following Acts coming into operation on the 1st September, and subsequently:—

PENSION ACT.	CONTRACT ACT.
INDIAN TARIFF ACT.	CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE.
PUBLIC WORKS LOAN ACT.	CHRISTIAN MARRIAGE ACT.
INDIAN WEIGHTS & MEASURES' ACT.	CRIMINAL TRIBES' ACT.
EVIDENCE ACT.	INCOME TAX ACT.

CASH PRICE.

	Paper Covers.	In Cloth.	In half Calf.
In Calcutta ... ..	12 0	13 0	14 8
By registered post ... ..	13 8	14 8	16 0

If booked, the price will be 25 per cent. additional.


PRICE FOR THE FIRST 5 VOLS.

*From 1834 to March 1871.*

In cloth, for Cash with order ...	Rs. 43	If booked, Rs. 53	Including forwardal cost.
In half law calf, for Cash with order ..	50	If booked, ,, 60	

Crawford Coll.

CHARLES, T. E.



B L O O D   I N   D E N G U E .



A. White corpuscles—B. Red corpuscles—C. Masses of Bioplasts.

*D. G. Campbell*

*15 October 1909*

# DENGUE: —

ITS HISTORY, SYMPTOMS, AND TREATMENT.

BEING

## CLINICAL LECTURES

DELIVERED BY

T. EDMONDSTON CHARLES, M.D., M.R.C.P.

SURGEON, HER MAJESTY'S INDIAN ARMY.

PROFESSOR OF MIDWIFERY AND THE DISEASES OF WOMEN AND CHILDREN.

OBSTETRIC PHYSICIAN TO THE MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSPITAL.

MEMBER OF THE OBSTETRIC SOCIETIES OF BERLIN,  
EDINBURGH, AND LONDON.

---

WITH SUBSEQUENT ADDITIONS AND ALTERATIONS.



---

1872.





523840

Crawford Collection

CALCUTTA:  
CALCUTTA CENTRAL PRESS COMPANY, LIMITED,  
5, COUNCIL HOUSE STREET.

WELLCOME INSTITUTE LIBRARY	
Cell.	welTROmec
Call No.	Crawf.
	Call.
	/CHA

## PREFACE.

---

THE Publishers having informed me that there still was a demand for my Lectures on Dengue, which they were no longer able to supply, I gave them permission to have my remarks reprinted.


In revising the proofs I have taken the opportunity of making the alterations and additions which my further experience of the disease had taught me were necessary.

Some of the passages written in August are very evidently out of place, when read side by side with observations delivered to my class in the preceding December; and for such discrepancies I must ask for indulgence, as being under these circumstances unavoidable.

After this explanation, such sudden transitions in my remarks from the early to the later periods of the epidemic will, I trust, not lead to any confusion.

CALCUTTA :

*August, 1872.*




Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2017 with funding from  
Wellcome Library

<https://archive.org/details/b28985849>

## CONTENTS.

				PAGE.
The History	...	...	...	3
The Course of the Present Epidemic	...	...	...	8
The Statement of the Case	...	...	...	12
The Invasion	...	...	...	17
The Initial Rash	...	...	...	19
The Pyrexia	...	...	...	22
Temperature Chart	...	...	...	24
The Pulse	...	...	...	28
The Pains	...	...	...	29
The Catarrhal Symptoms	...	...	...	33
The Tongue	...	...	...	35
The Urine	...	...	...	36
The Blood	...	...	...	40
Occasional Symptoms	...	...	...	42
The Terminal Rash	...	...	...	47
Relapses	...	...	...	55
The Sequelæ	...	...	...	58
The Non-identity with Scarlatina..	...	...	...	63
The Diagnosis	...	...	...	68
The Treatment	...	...	...	73
The Contagious Properties	...	...	...	89
Repeated Attacks	...	...	...	97



## D E N G U E :

### ITS HISTORY, SYMPTOMS, AND TREATMENT.

---

GENTLEMEN,—I wish you to study along with me, to-day, the case of the little girl with dengue, who was seized with the disease while in the hospital. Many of you have watched this case, with interest, from the first day of her illness; and as you have seen the case at a more early stage than you have often opportunities of seeing similar ones in hospital, I think the occasion for speaking more at length about it is favorable.

The present epidemic is the first one which has occurred in Calcutta since most of you have commenced to study medicine, and as you will doubtless have many facilities for further making yourselves acquainted with this disease before the epidemic is over, I hope the remarks which I will have to make, may aid in placing you on vantage ground, from which to make the most of such chances as may fall in your way. Those of you who are at all regular in your hospital attendance, are already familiar with the term “dengue.” It sounds

rather uncouth to unaccustomed ears ; but as it has been adopted in the nomenclature of the Royal College of Physicians, and is also recognized by medical men in other countries, I will not detain you by attempting to substitute any other name for it. Many of you ask me the derivation of the term. I have never heard of so plausible a solution of this difficulty as the one which recognises in the word the corruption of the word "dandy." This affection was jocularly termed "dandy fever" by the negroes in certain parts of the West Indies, owing to the erect or stiff carriage assumed by their companions while the subjects of the aching joints common in this disease ; and the Spaniards seem to have modified the term into "dengy," or "dengue," which has somehow or other crept into our nosology. The term "scarlatina rheumatica" has also been rather widely accepted since Copland adopted the name. I mention this now to guard you against assuming that this disease has any pathological kinship relating it to scarlatina more closely than to measles or any other eruptive disorder. To avoid confusion, perhaps it would be better to discourage the use of this designation. In Calcutta we often familiarly style it "Goodeve's red fever," as my late colleague wrote the last account of the disease while describing the epidemic of 1853. It bears many other names, popular as well as classical, for which I must refer you to Dr. Aitken's monograph, which you will find in the first volume of Reynold's "System of Medicine," and which is the most complete account of the

disease that has yet appeared. I almost owe you an apology for entering into these details, as well as for further trespassing on the domain of the Professor of Medicine in my subsequent remarks ; but I only last night learned from Dr. Chevers that he had not yet lectured to you about this disease, so perhaps you will hold me justified if I credit you with some ignorance of the subject, and attempt, even in a clinical lecture, to make good the deficiency. Without further delay, then, allow me to give you a sharp sketch of what has been ascertained about the geographical distribution of dengue, and other general facts regarding this disease.

THE HISTORY.—We do not know very much regarding the previous history of the disease, the symptoms of which we are going to study together. Some facts concerning it have however been long known, and I shall try, in as few words as possible, to give you a general outline of what has been established about it. I have not seen any authentic allusion to dengue in India earlier than one pointed out by Dr. Wise, in which Mr. Persin, an Indian missionary, describes symptoms exactly similar to those of dengue as having occurred during an epidemic on the Coromandel Coast about the year 1780. Though the entire population seems to have been attacked, none, it would appear, died as far as was known to the writer. It seems probable that Mr. Persin had heard of the same disease as being prevalent in Africa, Arabia, Persia, and Thibet, and as having been



known to occur previously, from time to time, on the south-east coast of Hindustan. In the year before this (1779) Gaberts described a very severe epidemic as having raged round Cairo, which was probably dengue. Dr. Rush, of Philadelphia, published an account of an epidemic which he witnessed in that city in the year 1780, the symptoms of which coincided closely with those of the present outbreak in Calcutta.

Lima in 1818, according to Pezet, was overrun with dengue.

These early accounts of the disease were however little known, and when it showed itself in an epidemic form in Calcutta in 1824, it was regarded as one which had not been hitherto described. When, a few years after this, it again appeared in America, Dr. Rush's previous accounts of it seem also to have been very much overlooked, and those engaged in treating the disease appear to have looked on it as an entirely new one.

Without shutting our eyes entirely to its previous existence, we shall not be far wrong if we date our knowledge about dengue from the year 1824, when it caused much alarm and suffering in Calcutta, as well as in Rangoon, Berhampore, and other places in India, where it prevailed about the same time. This epidemic secured for us many good descriptions of the disease from the medical men who observed it, and their writings furnish us with materials of which all writers on dengue have freely availed themselves.

In the same way the accounts of the disease placed on record by various observers who saw it when it spread over America and the West India Islands, soon after we had come to know it in India, are of very great value.

In 1826 it prevailed in Savannah. In the next year we find it attacking the Islands of St. Thomas and Santa Cruz. According to Hirsch, to whose researches I am indebted for much of what I am now telling you, the disease spread from the last point, both to North and South America, ravaging in its progress both the larger and the smaller islands over which it passed. In 1828 the disease was at its worst in North America, and we find that while in many towns it appeared epidemically, in Boston, New York, and Philadelphia it only assumed a sporadic form. There are accounts of a local outbreak having occurred at Herville in 1839, and Mobile in 1844, as well as at other places in a sporadic form ; but the disease did not spread widely from these centres.

In 1848 it prevailed epidemically at New Orleans and other places, and spread over the Southern States of North America, which, in 1850, were affected severely, though apparently not to the same extent as in the previous epidemic of 1828.

I wish to draw your attention to the fact that, in the instances I have mentioned, there have been long intervals between epidemic visitations of dengue. In America the epidemic of 1780 was followed by that

of 1828, and then again by a lesser visitation in 1850. I know of no record of the epidemic in Lima in 1818 having been followed by any other epidemic of dengue up to the present time, though in 1852 it prevailed in Peru.

The Calcutta epidemic of 1824 has had no parallel up till the present epidemic of 1871-72.

As in America they had at different times minor outbreaks which did not extend to distant points, so in 1853 we had an outbreak of dengue which did not spread much even among the population of this city, and there is no record of its having passed into the country around. Besides every year seeing more or less dengue in Calcutta, I saw quite a succession of cases during the cold season of 1868-69.

I have no record of how many people I then saw attacked, or how long the outbreak lasted. I have however been able to secure evidence that I saw a case on the 11th November, 1868, another on the 12th January, 1869, and a third after the middle of February.

I have a very distinct recollection of cases before and after the dates mentioned, and in one house alone I attended four patients with dengue about this time. In short, the outbreak lasted for some months, and very considerable numbers were attacked; while, at the same time, those who suffered bore such a small proportion to those who escaped, that the disease attracted very little attention. On this occasion

I did not hear of the malady extending into the interior of the country.

This epidemic occurrence of dengue, at long distant intervals, seems to be a distinct characteristic of the disease; when it thus occurs, it finds, so to speak, a virgin soil, and runs through the whole population, with rare exceptions, sparing none of any age, sex, or nationality.

There, are however, several exceptions to this rule: for instance, it prevailed in the French possessions on the West coast of Africa—in the Island of Goreé—in the years 1845, 1848, 1856, and 1864. St. Louis, the chief town in Senegal, seems to have also suffered on most of these occasions. As Thaly states that the Canary Islands were affected by the last epidemic, I presume some of these visitations must have extended over very considerable tracts of country.

I have already referred to the epidemic in Cairo in 1779; in 1845 there is mention made of a second epidemic. In November, 1871, it prevailed extensively at Port Said, and seems to have extended over other parts of Egypt. For this as well as other facts which I have brought before you, I am indebted to excellent articles in the Archives of Naval Medicine in France.

It has been stated that dengue appeared as early as June, 1871, among the troops at Aden, and is believed that it reached this place from Zanzibar, where previously to this it had been epidemic. We have also heard of dengue at Muttra, on the northern shore of Arabia, as having been prevalent before September, 1871.

I have nowhere seen any trustworthy evidence of dengue having occurred in Europe in any country except Spain. In 1865-67 Andalusia and other provinces in Spain were severely visited by it. Don Paggio, who published an account of dengue in 1871, as observed in this epidemic, alludes to a very similar epidemic having prevailed in Cadiz and Seville between 1764—1768. If this really was dengue, the notice is the first reliable one we have of this disease.

THE COURSE OF THE PRESENT EPIDEMIC.—The dates which I have just mentioned as those at which we know that dengue prevailed in 1871, in Arabia, furnish us with tempting data for believing that the disease was imported thence to India by vessels trading directly between the two places. Indeed, we have evidence that the disease reached Bombay in two troopships which had passed through Egypt and touched at Aden. I have not heard whether any cases of dengue had occurred in Bombay before the arrival of these vessels in, I think, December and January; but as we had a case of it in Calcutta in September, these importations of the disease did not constitute the original source of the epidemic in India, though it was spread to various inland stations with the troops, and appeared in places previously exempt. I do not attach very much importance to our being able to trace where the first case of dengue in Calcutta came from, as other conditions besides the existence of a previous case of the disease

are necessary before the disease can extend as an epidemic. In our ignorance of what these conditions are, we term such conditions *epidemic influence*. To my mind the important point seems to be, that such a widespread atmospheric or other cosmic state existed during the present time as to favor the diffusion of dengue from person to person over Egypt, Arabia, and India. The disease is endemic in Calcutta; a year never passes without my seeing cases of it. Had there been no direct communication between Arabia and India, a single sporadic case occurring in Calcutta would have sufficed to send the disease broadcast over India during the year or two that the past history of the malady leads us to expect that such epidemic diffusion will be possible. As soon as these unknown conditions, necessary to allow the existence of an epidemic, are over, a long series of years will follow, during which sporadic cases of dengue will occur in Egypt, Arabia, and India, as well as in the other places in which it is endemic, and yet the disease will not spread. Under such circumstances we will have small communities suffering from local outbreaks—single towns, or it may be even parts of towns, more or less disturbed by this most unwelcome visitor; but the pandemic wane which is at present favoring the universal diffusion of the disease over thousands of miles being wanting, dengue will under the altered conditions spring up, and die down within comparatively narrow limits. Were I anxious to establish the importation of the disease from Arabia, I might ask for considerable weight being

allowed to the fact that the Jewish community were the first to suffer, as their close connection commercially with Arabia is well known.

Be this as it may, the first case of dengue which I saw in Calcutta, was in an English child in the first half of October. I then left Calcutta, and on my return saw cases of it both among English and Jewish patients in the first days of November. I have the trustworthy evidence of a medical friend, that he saw one case in the end of September, and many cases in October, all among the Jews. Before the close of the year I had seen many cases of the disease among every class of the community. The central parts of the town were severely affected before the end of December. In many of the densely-crowded lanes in this division of the town, inhabited chiefly by the poorer classes of Eurasians and Portuguese, the disease had affected nearly the whole of the population before the 1st of January. From this centre, in the beginning of the year, the servants of the Europeans with limited incomes became extensively affected, and communicated the disease to the servants of those occupying the larger houses, separated by gardens, in the Chowringhee quarter. There is no overcrowding in this part of Calcutta, and the residents were not all attacked at the same time. Both in November and August I saw cases of dengue in this section of the city; but I think, in February, March, and April, it prevailed more extensively than during any of the other months.

In fact, I think it was at its height in March.

Many of the Bengalee population were attacked, in the later months of 1871, in different parts of the town. In the early months of 1872, those of them who lived both in the central and southern parts were chiefly affected.

The wealthy Bengalee inhabitants in the northern parts of the town were affected by the epidemic at a later date than the European residents of Chowringhee, as the full burst of the disease was not felt by them till the months of April and May. I do not think that dengue was at its height in the extreme skirts of the northern limits of the town till the month of June, or even later. I have seen cases among the Bengalees in the north of the town in the month of August.

As regards the numbers attacked, I have statistics before me from a considerable number of offices attached to the Government and East Indian Railway, which show the numbers who have had dengue during this epidemic previously to the 1st August, 1872.

Without separating the Europeans and East Indians from the natives, I find that out of a total of 2,324 employès, 1,636 suffered from the disease, giving a percentage of over 70 per cent. attacked.

In addition to this, in Howrah—a populous suburb of Calcutta—among 3,356 persons in the service of the East Indian Railway, 2,066 of them had up to the same date been attacked; the percentage in this case being over 61 per cent.

To give you an idea of how whole households were seized on by the disease at the same time, I may



mention two extreme cases. In one of them I found a father and a mother and three children in bed with dengue, and every servant of a considerable establishment off duty in consequence of the epidemic; an infant in arms left unattended and crying for water, and no one able to supply its wants. In another house I found a little boy, three years of age, wandering about while its mother and grandmother were groaning in bed on the fourth or fifth day of the disease. Up to 3 in the afternoon none of them had tasted food, as the child's nurse, the house servants, and several table attendants, as well as some others employed by the family, had not made their appearance; those of them who had not dengue, having remained in their houses to attend on near relations. These I have told you are extreme cases, as they might otherwise convey to you an exaggerated idea of what was usually met with. Short of this, however, much inconvenience was experienced even by the wealthy in securing attendants, owing to large numbers of their domestics being unfit for work at the same time; while in many houses the servants who came to replace those who fell sick, one after another were prostrated almost before they had time to enter on their duties.

THE STATEMENT OF THE CASE.—Eliza Macqueen, aged three years, was in hospital ten days ago with some slight complaint. Went home to Boitakhana—a part of Calcutta, where there are a great many people who have dengue. Her mother came to hospital to nurse a sick

friend, and brought the little girl with her on the 18th December. The child was in perfect health, and played about with her old acquaintances in hospital. She had a good appetite, and ate heartily. About 5 o'clock in the afternoon she stopped in her play, and running up to the matron of the hospital, complained of severe pain in the ring finger of the right hand. Her play was resumed, and in about an hour after she again went to the matron, complaining of pain in all her bones. A report was now made to the House Surgeon that the child was getting dengue.

At this time she had no fever; but later in the evening the skin became hot, and the child vomited up the last meal which she had taken. On being put to bed the child became very restless, waking up frequently and starting in her sleep as if frightened, and in the intervals murmuring almost constantly. At 7 a.m. on the morning of the 19th, her pulse was 112, and her temperature was 100°F.

The face was covered with red patches, but was not noticeably swollen—the initial rash). At 4 p.m. the patches on the face had coalesced, giving it a uniform red appearance, and the whole surface of the body was of a homogeneous dull-red colour. It had not a faint approach to bright scarlet, but was of a dingy crimson tint. The colour disappeared on pressure with the finger; and though it was not bright, it could be seen at a distance in a comparatively dim light, constituting a very noticeable characteristic.

There was faint redness of the fauces and slight enlargement of the tonsils; but the child made no complaint of sore throat, and could swallow easily.

The tongue was white, with the edges and tip of bright red. Near the tip the reddened and enlarged papillæ gave it a strawberry appearance.

The palpebral conjunctivæ were much congested; the eyes looked heavy, but were not much injected.

The child made no complaint of pain, but cried when an attempt was made to move the joints.

On the morning of the 20th the redness of the surface had entirely disappeared. The child was found not to have slept during the night, but to have been very thirsty and restless, and to have vomited two or three times about 3 o'clock.

The highest temperature noted was at 7 p.m., when it had reached 105°F. It began to fall after this, and during the next twelve hours the thermometer ranged irregularly between 105° and 100°5, on three occasions marking 102°.

At 4 o'clock p.m. the child's eyes still had a heavy look, and it was dull and listless, otherwise it seemed well. From 101°5 at 9 a.m., the temperature fell steadily, till at 3 p.m. it stood at 97°F.

On the morning of the 21st it was noted that the child had passed a good night, having slept well.

The temperature had ranged between 97° and 99°F.

There was no eruption on the skin. The red papillæ at the tip of the tongue were still very evident, and

the rest of the tongue was covered with a yellowish fur.

Rather before 3 o'clock a very distinct measly eruption began at the root of the neck and upper part of the chest—the terminal rash). The child was covered up in bed with the view of making it perspire, hoping that the rash would extend and be vivid at the time the students were to see the case—an hour later. At four o'clock the rash, though still well-marked, was much less distinct, and in the course of the evening had almost entirely disappeared. On the 22nd there was no eruption; the child had slept well and had begun to eat, and the skin remained quite cool. On the 23rd the child seemed well and played about as usual, but the tongue was a little foul; she had lost her appetite again, and refused to eat. At 2 p.m., with a temperature of  $97^{\circ}$ , a measly eruption appeared on the palms of the hands and on the legs, a short distance above the ankles (the terminal rash), and the child seemed very cross. At 9 in the evening the temperature had fallen to  $96^{\circ}5F$ .

On the 24th I did not see the child, but in the morning it was reported that the forearms and hands, legs, and face, were a little swollen, and the rash was very distinct at all these points. The temperature was  $98^{\circ}$ . Now the eruption was no longer like that of measles, but little prominent wheals of urticaria, well raised above the surface, and surrounded by a red blush, were exclusively met with. At 3 p.m. the temperature

had risen to  $100^{\circ}$ ; the urticarial rash remained on the face, hands, and legs as before, and was now evident on the chest, abdomen, and back, which were covered by it. At this time the rash had so completely covered the body, that there was no portion of the surface of the size of the palm of the child's hand that was free from eruption. There was no itching present.

On the morning of the 25th not a trace of the rash remained: it had not faded gradually, but completely disappeared. No swelling remained at any point. The temperature was  $96^{\circ}$ , and the child seemed in every way well.

This little patient was kept under observation in the hospital till the 3rd January, 1872, and remained in good health, no abnormality being noted except that on the 28th. At 5 p.m. the mother noticed the child's face a little flushed, and its hands were slightly warm. At 3 p.m. of this day the temperature was  $97^{\circ}$ , and at 9 p.m.,  $98^{\circ}5$ .

The next day the thermometer rose at 9 p.m. to  $99^{\circ}$ , and on the 31st, to  $98^{\circ}5$  at 3 p.m. No other symptoms of fever were noticed on these occasions, the child being apparently in perfect health.

Throughout the whole course of the disease the bowels were regular, the evacuations being natural.

The urine was tested daily for albumen, but none was found. Its specific gravity was steadily 10.14. It was abundant and clear; no sediment was noticed

in it. On this last point, though instructions were issued, they were misunderstood; but, nevertheless, it seems tolerably certain that on no day was there much sediment, and on some days none at all.

*The Treatment.*—The child was put on two-minim doses of tincture of belladonna three times a day on the 19th, and this was continued till the 22nd.

On the 23rd ten minims of compound tincture of gentian were ordered to be given thrice daily. This was continued till the 1st January, when all medicines were stopped.

THE INVASION.—Dengue attacks suddenly. You have usually no history of a day or two, or even of a few hours' previous departure from a perfect standard of health. A person in perfect health is struck down suddenly, and can often tell you the minute that he began to feel ill. A merchant goes to the opera, and during the performance is obliged to leave the house. A lady, at her devotions in church, is seized while kneeling during a short prayer. Two young women jump into a swimming bath; they both are in their element, and experience much enjoyment; they leave the bath, and both of them go direct to bed in the tortures of dengue. Another lady goes into her own bath-room quite well, and after bathing cannot dress again, as the disease is upon her. A young delinquent falls under the chastisement of his father, and is carried to bed with dengue. In the case of the child who forms

the subject of to-day's lecture, while in perfect health and busy with its play, it left its toys abruptly and complained of the pains of dengue. Such are instances of what I have met with in this epidemic, and well exemplify the peculiar suddenness of the attack. As in this child, very often the first symptom is pain; even if its true significance is not at first understood, you are not left long in doubt as to what is coming. When your patients cannot express themselves more exactly, they can tell you very decidedly that they "feel ill." The usual sensations which precede fever are now present in more or less distinctness. A general feeling of malaise, faintness, giddiness, a tendency to sigh or yawn, with weariness, aching in the bones, and a desire to lie down, sufficiently indicate the nature of these sensations. A feeling of coldness in the spine, developed into more general chilliness, is usually complained of. A tendency to shiver, rather than a well-marked rigor, ushers in the disease. I have hardly ever seen what I would designate a regular rigor, though at times there has been a very close approach to it. I do not regard even this as usual; but, both in the present and in previous epidemics, regular rigors have been seen by other medical men. Several times in young women I have seen an attack of hysteria to be the first symptom; and in strong men too, in whom you would not expect such phenomena, the nerves may become unstrung, and hysterical symptoms usher in the attack. In children I have seen a tendency

to convulsion ; and in more than one case a violent convulsion marks the advent of dengue. I have also had occasion to see such convulsion repeated once and again. Even when this does occur, it need give you no cause for anxiety. In children it is not usually of more serious import than the other disordered nervous phenomena which you see in those of more advanced age.

In other epidemics, towards their close, persons have been described as not having been attacked so suddenly, but having, for a day or two before the attack, shown symptoms of being out of health, by being listless and languid, having white tongues, and leaving off their food. I saw none of these cases till lately, and in the few which have come under my care such symptoms would, in all probability, not have attracted my attention, unless I had known that the same observation had been previously made by Twining in the epidemic of 1824, and by others.

**THE INITIAL RASH.**—In this child you have had an opportunity of seeing one of the best-marked forms of this occurrence which I have ever met with. It is not seen in every case of dengue ; but when it does occur, it is one of the more special characters of this disease, and serves at once to distinguish it from any of the other eruptive fevers which are common in India. Unfortunately it is very often absent. I have no record which would enable me to tell precisely in what proportion of the cases which I have seen it has



been absent; but I think in fully one-third of the patients I have not been able to satisfy myself of its existence.

In the present instance it began in a very characteristic way on the face. It was fully developed on the body before it was noticed, and so I cannot say how it began there. The entire surface of the body was covered by it, and you will rarely meet with it in such an extensive and complete form. Often the face alone is affected, at other times the abdomen, chest, or knees may be the points at which you see it. In one case in which the rash was a most marked one, the face was of a bright, vivid red, almost scarlet, colour, and there was an irregularly round patch of a similar hue on the lower part of the abdomen, of about the size of a soup plate. I could not discover a trace of the rash on any other part of the body. In the same case the face was so swollen as to be quite disfigured.

This swelling of the face and eyelids, to a less extent, is very often met with. In the child whom you saw it did not exist; and even when it is present, it quickly subsides as the rash disappears.

Probably one reason why I have failed to see the initial rash in so many cases is, that it does not last long, and leaves no mark behind it. In many cases it has not lasted for six hours; and I do not remember ever having seen it remain in a well-marked form so long as twenty-four hours.

In speaking of the initial rash, I always call it the

scarlatinal rash, as this is almost the only form in which I have seen it. It is a diffuse uniform redness of the surface—erythema if you will; and even when it occurs in separate patches on the face, I have not noticed any special character which would enable you to recognise it as differing from any other large red blotches (roseola). More rarely you may have the initial rash, consisting of smaller irregularly shaped spots, which might be covered by the tip of the finger, occupying the nose, forehead, and prominent parts of the face, and then you have less difficulty in recognising this form of eruption as constituting a true roseola. As in other transient hyperæmic conditions of the skin, when you press with the finger you can obliterate all trace of colour for the moment, but it instantly returns on removing the finger. I have never seen it in such an intense form as to leave staining of the surface behind, or to be followed by desquamation. I can quite understand, however, that both of these effects might follow. I do not think this symptom has attracted the special notice it deserves from the earlier observers. That they noticed it in their cases is very clear from their writings. Thus, in a case which Cavell saw two hours from the commencement of the disease, he says: "The skin was covered with a bright scarlet blush." Mouat, in describing the early symptoms, writes—"The whole surface became suffused or flushed, the face scarlet." Again, Twining mentions that "the whole countenance appeared bloated

and swollen ;” and while describing the rash at a later period of the disease, expressly mentions, parenthetically, its being “seemingly quite distinct from the bloated suffusion of visage attending the first day of the disease.”

You must have already gathered that the initial rash is met with at a very early period in the disease. You will, in fact, often find it fully developed the first time you see your patient, even an hour or two after the illness began. In rare cases it has not attracted attention till the second day. In a few instances it has seemed to fade a little, and again become more vivid. I have seen it quite disappear and then return ; but this does not often occur.

THE PYREXIA.—You may regard the fever in this child as a very typical example of what is usual in this disease. In fact, the febrile stage in dengue is one of the only stable elements of this disease. In many points one case of dengue varies greatly from another, but they all agree very closely in the similarity of their attendant fevers. There are exceptions to this rule, but they are very rare. In the child, several of the characters of the fever cannot be so well studied as in the adult, as there is difficulty in getting it to express its feelings. When an adult is seized, he usually complains of coldness, and at once his temperature begins to rise. He experiences great distress ; and, as a rule, he is very restless. The febrile

anguish is out of all proportion greater than is usually experienced, with an equal elevation of temperature, in other fevers. Quite independent of any special suffering which may be present, the general distress constitutes the case a perfect picture of misery. Thirst is often much complained of. Sleep is not often obtained; and in those cases in which the patient is not altogether wakeful, his sleep is broken, troubled, and not refreshing. This child did not sleep on the second night; but in many cases I have seen comparatively good sleep obtained at this time. Delirium in the adult is rare, but in the child its dreams make it start up, and even when it is not so violently affected, it mutters often incoherently.

Perspiration occurs at intervals, and is at times very profuse and necessitates a changing of the clothes. The evidence on this point is somewhat contradictory; but, as a rule, I think relief is experienced by the sufferers on these occasions.

As in this child, if a patient is overtaken with dengue soon after a full meal has been taken, vomiting may occur. This is not at all a constant symptom in dengue. After the fever has lasted for some hours, vomiting may more or less disturb their comfort; but patients, with this disease, do not usually suffer much in such a way. In some cases, however, I have seen vomiting give much trouble, and prove a difficult symptom to control.

The fever is distinctly remittent. By this I do not mean that you will find the usual evening

exacerbation, and morning remission of other febrile states, but distinct periods of increase and decrease, during indefinite periods both of the day and night. In this the pyrexia of dengue is singular, and the older observers were struck by this peculiarity. When you see a patient he may tell you he feels better now, but two or three hours ago he had very intense fever. If you congratulate him on such a marked improvement, and lead him to suppose the fever will soon now be at end, you will cause disappointment; for, if you see him again in three or four hours, you will find the improvement, has not continued, that the remission has again been followed by increased heat of skin. These alternations in temperature may occur three or four times in the twenty-four hours to a well-marked degree, and in a minor extent much oftener than this. We can judge of such changes much more accurately now than they could do even a few years ago, and our clinical thermometers aid us much in dengue, and give us confidence in our observations by accurately registering the rise and fall of the temperature of the body.

To illustrate this point, and others in connection with the temperature, I have had a chart prepared to show you in a consecutive form the range which is usual in such cases. This child's temperature was taken for some time every two hours, and subsequently, when this was not necessary, at more distant periods. By looking at this one record, you will obtain a very good idea of what you will afterwards see in practice, and you may accept it as a good model for study.



~~When this has not been done, it is necessary to have several periods.~~  
By looking at this one record, you will obtain a very good idea of what you will afterwards see in practice, and you may accept it as a good model for study.

Often at first very little rise of temperature takes place. We have no record of what occurred during the first twelve hours; but I have no reason to believe that there was then a higher temperature than that noted at our first observation. The thermometer then showed only  $100^{\circ}\text{F}$ . at 7 a.m. and  $101^{\circ}$  at 2 p.m., while during the next three observations it steadily rose one degree or one degree and a half during each period of two hours. The maximum temperature reached was  $105^{\circ}$ , and it was attained by a steady progressive rise. After this the thermometer at once sank again, and rose and fell twice within twelve hours,  $102^{\circ}$  having been three times noted during the night. About 36 hours after the first symptom of the disease was noticed, the thermometer began to decline steadily, and before the expiry of 48 hours from the moment the child attracted attention by complaining of her finger, not only had a normal temperature been regained, but the heat of the body was very decidedly below the normal standard, having fallen to  $97^{\circ}\text{F}$ .

After this the temperature remained normal or depressed; the only occasions on which it rose above  $98^{\circ}\text{5}$  being, at 7 p.m. on the 20th to  $99^{\circ}$ , at 3 p.m. on the 24th to  $100^{\circ}$ , and on the 29th at 9 p.m. to  $99^{\circ}$ .

I have already dwelt, as long as time would permit, on the frequent remissions met with in this fever, and now I have only to direct your attention to two or three other points which the chart teaches you. The



maximum temperature reached was  $105^{\circ}$ . I attended many cases of dengue before I knew the temperature rose so high, while a little above or a little below  $103^{\circ}$  was a temperature often met with. There are two reasons for this:—*First*, in many cases the temperature does not quite reach  $105^{\circ}\text{F.}$ ; and *secondly*, even when it does do so, it never remains long at this point, but quickly begins to descend. If your thermometer is not applied during the short period of such a high temperature, you are left in ignorance of its having occurred. In general terms it may be said that the highest temperature occurs about twenty-four hours after seizure, while before twenty-four hours more have expired the whole of the pyrexia is at an end. Such a complete crisis may be delayed for a day, but I have not seen many cases when such a departure from the standard I have laid down for you has taken place. On the other hand, I have seen a normal temperature gained some hours earlier than it was in this child.

The depression below a normal temperature is a very usual occurrence. You know that this is common after other severe diseases, and in dengue I have often seen it more marked than in the case which we have been studying to the extent of one or even two degrees.

Take note, then, that within two days all trace of fever was gone. This is the rule in dengue; but the rule is not without exceptions, for, when speaking about relapses, I will have to tell you that the fever may

return again, not only once, but oftener. For the present, you will get a much better idea of the disease if you leave this occasional event in its natural history out of consideration, and regard this case as a type, as it assuredly is, of a disease with a sharp febrile period, terminating abruptly, not to return again. Though I wish to impress this fact on you, I cannot ask you quite to lose sight of the other fact, that the thermometer on six occasions marked slight rises of temperature over  $98^{\circ}$ . In most of these the rise was only half a degree or a degree; once only was the rise to  $100^{\circ}$ . It was chiefly when the child was asleep that this slight elevation was noted, and the manifestation was only a passing one. There was no regularity shown in the recurrence of these events, and, but for a careful use of the thermometer, we should have remained in ignorance of their existence. Nevertheless, if these are looked on in the light of abortive relapses of the pyrexia,—as representatives, in fact, of what in other cases constitute true relapses in dengue,—it will give additional interest to such trifling indications, and will not, I trust, lead to any confusion in your minds. You all, however, are familiar with the fact that a temperature of  $99^{\circ}$  is a perfectly normal temperature for a child, and one which you often find in a child in typical health; so possibly you may be disposed to regard the supposed analogy as a fanciful one, and to look on the comparison as overstrained. Without attaching too much importance to it, I must confess my leaning for the present to look

on these manifestations in the above light, as some weight is due to the fact that this slight rise was from an abnormally low temperature.

**THE PULSE.**—In many cases the rapidity of the pulse is comparatively little affected, and this want of relation to the severity of the other symptoms will strike you, if you examine with care, as not a familiar circumstance in other diseases.

In some few attacks, even when the case seems otherwise urgent, you will find the pulse under 100; 108 is a very common pulse, even in a patient who suffers much. You may consider it exceptional to see the pulse rise above 120 in the adult. I have however counted it over 140, and in fatal cases it becomes so quick that you can hardly count it. This comparative slowness of the pulse presents a great contrast to the habitually quick pulse in scarlatina.

In the early days of the disease, when you find the pulse quick, it need not cause you anxiety; it does not denote any undue severity in the case, and portends nothing important in the issue. It is chiefly in children that you will observe such quick pulses as 130 or 140, and unless you were aware of this peculiarity in them, you might justly look anxiously to the future. Even when the pulse beats thus, it quickly approaches a more natural standard. Such a pulse in the later stages of the disease is a very serious matter, and when the pulse remains thus high, or increases in

frequency beyond the first two or three days, your fears will not only be properly excited, but a fatal issue will too often justify your apprehensions. You seldom find the pulse hard: it may be full, but there is always a want of power about it, which, in days gone past, used to teach that such patients could not bear bleeding well. When the temperature falls, the pulse usually goes down; but in those cases especially in which there is much prostration, it may be some time before it becomes quite natural. You often find it, for long, a weak feeble pulse, and its rapidity is easily increased by the least excitement or exertion.

**THE PAINS.**—This symptom in the child was well marked at the commencement, but later on in the disease it did not constitute the very prominent affection which it often does. Even when the child made very little complaint of suffering, we were easily able to satisfy ourselves that very considerable tenderness of the joints existed. On moving the elbows, or knees, with extreme gentleness, the child cried bitterly. In this way you can often elicit unmistakable evidence of the presence of this symptom even long before the child can talk. Infants in arms thus tell their own tale of suffering joints; and older children, when they cannot, or will not, understand what you want of them, can be forced to take you into their confidence. Some of you seemed rather taken with this method of extracting an unwilling confession, and had I not pointed out to you that

it was not warrantable to put our little patient through such an ordeal, simply to gratify idle curiosity, I am sure every joint in her body would have been thoroughly investigated.

In more than half of the cases of dengue which I have seen, this pain of the joints has been a symptom so well marked as to distinguish it from all other eruptive fevers. The term "scarlatina rheumatica" sufficiently shows that the older observers regarded this as being a distinctive manifestation of the disease. The pain in many cases during this epidemic has been of such intensity that the most superficial observer could not help having his attention at once arrested by it.

In a few cases its exceptional severity has reminded me of the suffering caused by a bad attack of gout, and even when the intensity of suffering has fallen far short of this, it bore no resemblance to the pains complained of in any other form of fever which I have seen, except the very severe form of ephemera, termed "ardent" or "sun fever," which is so common among Europeans who have recently arrived in India, and attacks them during their first experience of the hot winds in Northern India. In this fever, the intense pain in the back and head is very similar to the pain of dengue when it affects similar situations.

Both the headache and backache at times are the cause of extreme anguish; but pain attacking the joints is much more characteristic of dengue. From the

cases of this disease which I saw in another epidemic, and from sporadic cases which I had seen from time to time, I used to regard the pain in the small joints as quite pathognomonic of the disease, and as one of very general occurrence. An enlarged experience, however, has taught me that in many instances the small joints are not affected. In many cases in which their implication is not very evident by making the patient move the terminal phalanx of the fingers, you will find that pain, or at least stiffness, will be complained of. When you can gain such information, in a doubtful case it will aid you in diagnosis. I have seen slight swelling of the joints, both large and small; but you may regard this as rather exceptional. Sometimes, but more rarely, pain in the muscles is complained of, and this form of suffering may be met with when the joints remain free. Weakened joints and tissues suffer out of all proportion to those whose nutrition has not been previously impaired. A medical man whom I attended loudly demanded relief for an ankle which had been sprained some time before, but which had given him no trouble for two months. A sprained wrist in the same way seemed in one case to monopolize the pain to itself, though before the attack of dengue it had attracted little notice. A hyperæmic uterus, by reflecting its agony to the back, enlisted very special attention. In a chronic case of ophthalmia tarsi, the eyelids proved the source of much trouble. In the same way, I have seen overtaxed brains, especially those much

worried with figures, give evidence of anguish out of all proportion greater than that experienced in other parts of the body. In all these cases not only did the pain in the weakened tissue overshadow that at other points, but it seemed almost to give comparative exemption from suffering elsewhere.

A very curious feature in connection with pain in the joints consists in its shifting nature. It flies about from one joint to another, apparently without any reason. Now, a little finger is the cause of your patient's lamentations; at your next visit, or even before you leave the house, an elbow or a toe may constitute the chief subject for complaint; and so on through almost endless variety. The pain usually begins suddenly, in many cases it ends in the same way; while in others it declines more gradually, or, before the suffering finally subsides, the joint may oscillate between periods of repose and those of more or less uneasiness.

I have alluded to the fact that such pain is not present in all cases. It is seldom entirely absent; but, from its comparative slightness, it may cease to attract special attention, and you may be unable to distinguish it from the suffering attendant on other cases of fever. This observation is much more applicable to other recorded epidemics than to the one now raging.

I had almost forgotten to tell you that I have seen abdominal uneasiness complained of so often, especially by children, that I do not consider it as an accidental

circumstance, but one which deserves to be noted in connection with the pains of dengue.

THE CATARRHAL SYMPTOMS.—In this child the catarrhal implication of mucous membranes was very slight. In fact, the throat affection was so insignificant as not to require any treatment; and, with the exception of redness of the palpebral conjunctivæ, there was no evidence of the other mucous membranes being involved. It is not at all unusual for you to meet with such slight implication; even when you have well-marked symptoms of catarrh at various points, it is very seldom indeed that you will have to resort to remedial measures on their account. The chief interest in such symptoms lies in the fact, that by their occurrence they may lead you astray, if you are not well versed in the different forms they may assume. Thus, when the Schneiderian membrane, or the mucous membrane of the bronchi, are so much affected as to attract prominent attention, if you give undue weight to this symptom alone, you may look on the case as being one of measles. So also, when the throat symptoms are urgent, scarlatina may be uppermost in your mind. Again, when the digestive tract is extensively affected, your thoughts may be turned away from dengue, unless you have prepared yourselves beforehand to meet with such cases. The chief point for you to bear in mind is, that all the mucous membranes at these points may not only be affected, but that the symptoms caused by such implication may be severe, and that it is dangerous for you to



attempt to found a differential diagnosis, between dengue and other diseases, on the presence of any catarrhal condition.

I believe that the catarrh, under such circumstances, is a specific one, but that it is usually determined to one or other point, by the general conditions which prevail at the time, quite independent of the dengue poison.

Thus, at the end of the rainy season, when diarrhœa was prevalent, I did not see any case of dengue with bronchial catarrh or sore throat, while more or less bowel affection was very usually met with. Immediately after this, when all one's friends were sneezing and having colds of sorts, our dengue patients joined in the chorus of coughs. Just before this child came into hospital sore throats were very prevalent, in some cases constituting a very serious affection; and many of our dengue cases complained of difficulty in swallowing, and gave other evidence of throat implication.

Dr. E. Goodeve describes very clearly the condition of the throat which he saw. The passage is too long for me to read to you, so I must satisfy myself with the remark that you might almost transcribe his descriptions and apply them to a case of slight scarlatina. He had not himself seen ulceration of the tonsils, but I have seen them thus affected several times, and well-marked implications of the lymphatic glands at the angles of the lower jaw. I have also seen the irritation spread from the fauces, and troublesome laryngeal complications arise.

Many more of my patients have had bronchial

catarrh than sore throat. When this is present, you at times have coryza combined with it. Sneezing has been a rare symptom. Bowel complaint was not so frequent a symptom as either of the other two while the cold weather lasted, but even then in two or three cases the profuse watery discharges were so abundant and frequent as to cause much more anxiety than that occasioned by the catarrh of other mucous membranes. In the hot months, again, all other forms of catarrh were insignificant, and coryza was so uniformly absent, that those who had not met with the disease in the cold months, were surprised at the position accorded to this symptom by those who had witnessed the earlier manifestations of the epidemic. In this period of its course, most of their patients suffered with bowel complaints, many of them to a severe extent; some dying suddenly with symptoms of cholera. In some cases the large rather than the small intestine has been the part implicated.

**THE TONGUE.**—As in this child, so on most occasions the state of the tongue is such as to aid you in recognising the disease. A white tongue, with an angry red tip and edges, and some bright-red enlarged papillæ anteriorly, contrasting with the white ground, is sufficiently often met with to justify you in missing it when it is absent. When such appearances are not present, the tongue may be natural, or simply white, or slightly loaded over the entire surface. If you examine

sufficiently far back, you will not unfrequently find the large papillæ at the root of the tongue enlarged and of a bright-red colour, standing up prominently amidst the more heavy coating which is generally seen at the back of the tongue. The tongue is usually moist, and I have never seen it of the bright-red colour it assumes during scarlatina.

Usually, on the second or third day, the tongue is more thickly coated than at first, and the papillæ may not then be so apparent. The tongue frequently becomes clean very quickly, and ceases to present any characteristic appearances.

When the tongue has been thickly coated, especially in cases which have much tendency to relapses, it may not resume its natural appearances rapidly; and in doing so, owing to its cleaning irregularly, large bright-red patches may give it a startling mottled appearance.

THE URINE.—In this case the rough examination of the urine was somewhat neglected; but having paid very considerable attention to the point, I can, to some extent, make good the deficiency to you. In most cases there is little about the urine to attract your attention. Its specific gravity is often natural, as it was in this case, but may also fall below a normal standard. During the febrile paroxysm you would naturally expect to find the urine scanty and of high colour, but in very many cases which I have examined

I did not find this to be the case. In several instances the urine presented very marked characters of quite an opposite sort. It was very abundant and limpid, resembling the urine of hysteria. In the cases in which this seemed best marked, very considerable nervous disturbance was present, and probably this condition of the nervous system may have led to a relaxation of the blood-vessels in the kidney, as it does in epilepsy and hysteria. I have so frequently noticed this condition of urine, and so habitually noticed the absence of febrile urine, even with comparatively high temperatures, that I wish to impress this fact on you as having special relation to dengue. It is no accidental circumstance that I have noted: in fact, Twining mentions expressly that in 1824 "the urine was copious and pale-coloured." Other observers, curiously enough, are at direct variance on this point. Cavell describes it as "scanty and high-coloured;" Mouat, as "high-coloured;" E. Goodeve, as "scanty." Occasionally I have seen cases which would have borne out their opinions, but I am sure that such are not nearly so frequent as those in which an extra amount of particularly clear urine is passed. I have however noticed, sometimes, that though the urine was very clear and abundant in the commencement of the attack, it gradually changed its character, and became more scanty, high-coloured, and inclined to deposit at a later stage. This observation may perhaps, in some measure, serve to explain the discrepancies in the recorded

experience of different observers. On cooling, the urine usually shows very little tendency to deposit. I have often kept it for twenty-four hours, and at most found only a little cloud of epithelia. It has been singularly free from deposits of all sorts; but when these have existed, I have met with them of all shades, from the almost snow-white urate of ammonia to the darkest shades in which urates occur through red to brown. You must not conclude from this that sedimentous urine is common; for, though I have seen some very extreme instances of it, when such fall under my observation, I regard them as curiosities in dengue. In one or two cases I have found the urine turbid, even on being passed. In the later stages of the disease, or after it is over, deposits of triple phosphate are rather common, just as they are in other low states of the system. The urine is generally neutral, or, perhaps, rather oftener slightly acid. In a few specimens the acidity has been more marked.

Both during this epidemic and on previous occasions, I have paid particular attention to having the urine examined for albumen. This child's urine was examined every day with great care for it, but none was found. In a few cases I have met with albumen in the urine of dengue patients, but it has been almost exclusively found in the urine of those persons who were known previously to have had kidney affections. In no case have I yet found more than a doubtful trace of albumen in the urine of a person in whom I knew

the kidneys to be healthy. Previously to my recent opportunities, I only examined for albumen in the later stages of the disease, expecting to find it, as we often do, after an attack of scarlatina. On the present occasion I have not been so exclusive in confining myself to this period of the disease. You know, both in measles and scarlatina, on the appearance of the eruption, albumen is now and then present in the urine. In some epidemics of these diseases the frequency of the occurrence is very much increased. In this epidemic of dengue I made sure I would find it as the secondary eruption appeared, but have been completely balked in my expectation. I looked for it even earlier than this, hoping to find it occasionally taking the place of other forms of catarrh, which are the accompaniments of the first days of the disease, but have been no more fortunate. Throughout my investigations I have been aware that E. Goodeve on four occasions has detected albumen in the urine during this disease, and that its occasional occurrence has been noted by others. I have appealed thus to the test tube on hundreds of occasions, having watched the urine in several cases steadily from day to day, besides having made numerous less systematic examinations of it, and confess my disappointment at the result. I am aware that others, even during this epidemic, have been more fortunate; but my mass of observations have completely satisfied my own mind that the presence of albumen in the urine in dengue, at least in some

epidemics, is an extremely rare and exceptional occurrence—so rare, indeed, that I would feel inclined to ask for proof that the kidneys had not previously been disordered, before I would regard its presence as an indication of the working of the poison of dengue.

THE BLOOD.—I invited Dr. Douglas Cunningham to assist me in examining the blood of this patient, day by day, with the microscope. The method of preparation employed was that of exposing the blood, as soon as it was drawn, over a solution of osmic acid, then moistening it with a nearly saturated solution of acetate of potash. In this way the movements of the white cells are suddenly arrested. They remain petrified, as it were, in the condition they were in at the time they were subjected to the influence of the re-agent, and can be examined some time after to great advantage. The only appearance observed in the specimens of dengue blood which were examined, differing from those to be found in specimens of healthy blood, was a considerable relative increase in the numbers of minute bioplastic bodies normally found in small numbers in every specimen of blood. In the specimens in question they were present in abundance, occurring either solitary and free, or in small masses, the individual bioplasts in which appeared to adhere to one another by means of some gelatinous molecular investment.

These appearances were only met with during a

few days, and the blood rapidly assumed its normal character. I cannot tell you exactly the period at which these changes begin, but from this as from other cases of dengue in which the blood has been examined, such changes seem to become noticeable as early as the third day. They have also been seen to continue to as late a period as the sixth day of the disease. In more than one case of suspected dengue which has been subjected to this test, no changes in the blood have been noticed. In these cases, however, the symptoms have proved so mild, that a doubt exists as to whether they were genuine examples of this affection.

The action of the osmic acid does not prevent the protoplasm from taking up carmine, and some specimens of blood have been beautifully coloured in this way. I am indebted to Dr. Cunningham for a sketch of the appearances observed, which will doubtless give you a much more correct idea of the changes which have been seen than any description of mine would convey. The illustration has been drawn to a scale of 700 diameters.

Similar appearances in the blood have been met with in other eruptive disorders—for instance, in varicella and in vaccinia; so I do not wish to give you the idea that the appearances are in any way peculiar to dengue. This is not the place for me to speculate as to the origin of these bioplasts, whether free or collected together in masses. The temptation to regard the minute bioplasts present in the blood,



as resulting from the proliferation of those larger bioplasts which we are in the habit of designating "white blood-cells," is very great. At the same time you are already well aware that Dr. Beale regards similar bodies as the result of living material, extrinsic to the organisms, which has somehow found its way into the system.

These bodies appear to be identical with those described by Hallier as existing in the blood of every specific disease. He regards them as the ultimate elements of the individual fungi peculiar to the blood of each such disease.

OCCASIONAL SYMPTOMS.—There are other occurrences which are sometimes met with in the course of the disease, which you ought to know of, and though none of them were met with in the case of this child, I think it right, nevertheless, to draw your attention to them.

The most common of these is the utter prostration that some patients complain of. You are all, in the daily routine of the hospital, brought in contact with patients who tell you they are very weak after a short attack of fever; it is nothing new for you to know that many diseases produce a very debilitating effect; but the way that many patients urge on your attention the fact that they have been absolutely prostrated by an attack of dengue, is not a circumstance that you meet with in other diseases. None of you have seen an

epidemic of influenza, so my referring to the debility which is early felt in that disease will not help you much to understand the extreme degree to which this symptom is carried. My impressions on this point, however, are so vivid, that I cannot help drawing your attention to the fact in passing, that I never come in contact with a well-marked instance of this excessive debility without my mind being carried back to similar phenomena which I have witnessed when influenza was prevailing in other latitudes. You often see a strong man reduced at once, with very little apparent cause, to a state of abject helplessness—a condition of which he is painfully conscious, and to which he is ever ready to direct your attention.

A medical man, on becoming aware of this for the first time in his own person, expressed to me the greatest surprise how, without any very high temperature, a few hours of fever should have so reduced him. Intelligent sufferers have again and again dwelt on the fact that they had previously often had fever, but they had never known the misery of such a feeling of helplessness as that brought on by dengue. Former observers seem all to have recognized the symptom which I have been attempting to describe to you, but I do not think that I have met with anything in their writings which would have led me to expect that I would encounter such exaggerated instances of this prostration as I have seen during this epidemic. It is rare to see this weakness passing on to collapse; but I have

seen the lesser degrees of that state, though never occurring to such an extent as to prove fatal.

Another form in which the nervous system shows that it is overpowered by powerful influences, consists in well-marked drowsiness and tendency to stupor. I cannot for the moment recall any instance in which I have observed such a symptom developed to any decided extent in an adult. I think it has been almost exclusively in children that this drowsiness has been observed by me, and, fortunately, it is far from being a common occurrence, for it is a symptom of very evil omen, the insensibility gradually deepening till the child dies comatose. Drs. Verchere and Raye, however, have put on record similar cases in the adult which recovered ; as well as cases of paralysis, complete or partial, of a limb, of a group of muscles, or of single muscles : in these cases there was no loss of sensation, and the control of the muscles was regained in from two or three days to a week. Sometimes the paralytic condition remains for a longer time, and for weeks or months the affected limbs are comparatively useless.

Epistaxis can hardly be said to be a symptom of dengue, but I have so often seen it occur during the epidemic, that I think it deserves mention in this place. I have seen it take place to a troublesome extent, and to be repeated more than once ; but though it has alarmed relatives, I have never seen it so profuse as to call for treatment. I think I have more often met with it

after all fever had gone, than during the continuance of the pyrexia.

Bleeding from all the other mucous surfaces is also sometimes met with—metrorrhagia, hæmatemasis, hæmaturia, and bleeding from the lungs and rectum; the blood so lost has on several occasions amounted to a very considerable quantity.

In these cases the other attendant symptoms have not been alarming, and all the cases have done well. In this statement I exclude cases of malignant dengue, which I shall have afterwards to allude to, as being a form of the disease in which many symptoms of a very serious character, besides vomiting and purging of blood, too clearly show the extreme danger that has overtaken your patient. As I have seen phthisis rapidly developed in those cases in which much blood has escaped into the lungs, I feel I should warn you to be very cautious in any prognosis you may have to make about such cases, even though you feel you can safely say that no immediate danger is to be apprehended.

Mellis mentions one case in which there was affection of the testicle, and very considerable pain was complained of in that organ. Dr. Aitken also alludes to the fact of the testicle becoming swollen to a great degree. I think I have elsewhere seen the statement advanced that the testicle is implicated in dengue. At all events, I used to have a very distinct impression that such was the case, and often wondered why I never

had met with such complication. In this epidemic, as well as during my previous opportunities of watching the disease, I have had my attention directed to this point, and have never met with any well-marked instance of it. It is true, patients have admitted under cross-examination, that they think they may have felt something more or less wrong with the testicle, but even such an admission was made with so much hesitation, that it did not convey a satisfactory idea to my mind that they had suffered in reality; and I think I could obtain just as good evidence regarding any imaginary symptom on which I wished to fix the attention of patients. I do not wish to cast any doubt on the single fact noted by Mellis, or to deny that swelling of the testicle has been seen by others,—as, indeed, one medical man has told me he has seen four instances during this epidemic, and I myself have observed hydroceles enlarge rapidly in connection with this malady,—but simply wish to guard you against believing that you will often meet with this symptom in dengue.

In the same way, I have not met with the well-marked ptyalism described by many good observers. The saliva has in some instances been secreted in increased quantity, but, unless the writings of previous authors had prepared me to look out for this symptom, I am pretty sure it would not have attracted my attention, as in no case have I witnessed its existence in any remarkable degree. In several cases I have seen

enlargement of the parotid and sub-maxillary glands; but, even when this caused considerable inconvenience, I have not seen true salivation take place. Mouat states that in this disease very small doses of calomel salivate. Perhaps, when this drug was in more general use, some of the cases of ptyalism attributed to this disease may have resulted from the use of calomel, without the causation being traced to it as clearly as it was by Mouat.

The lymphatic glands, in like manner, are sometimes the seat of great irritation, and in many cases you may find chains of enlarged glands in various situations, as in the groins or neck; or you may meet with tender spots just below the skin at any point of the surface, at which you may recognize a minute gland enlarged to the size of a split pea.

**THE TERMINAL RASH.**—The terminal rash in this case has in various ways been very instructive. It appeared rather more early than usual, and at first occupied a not unusual site at the root of the neck and upper part of the chest. Had it been delayed for two to six hours, it would have more clearly resembled the standard which I would propose for your adoption. It is usual for the terminal rash to appear during the course of the fourth day, and not at the end of the third; indeed, when the epidemic was at its height, the rash more often made its appearance on the fifth day, and even sometimes on the sixth. I was disappointed in my endeavour to make it more general at the hour at which the

class was to see the case; and, so far from being a better example of the eruption, it was not half so distinct as it had been nearly an hour before. You may justly regard this evanescent character of the eruption as one of its special characteristics. It is quite the rule for it to be fugitive; and in this way it often evades detection. Had it taken place during the night, we would in all probability have remained in ignorance of the fact that it had appeared. In many cases it appears thus suddenly between the visits of a medical man, and disappearing leaves no trace behind. Very frequently an attentive nurse or observant mother will tell you that your patient was covered for a few hours with an abundant rash, without your being able to confirm her assertion. In no other eruptive fever is this the case. In measles, for example, even when the rash does recede suddenly, it leaves well-marked appearances on the skin; and, besides this, recession of the eruption is attended with the most alarming symptoms, such cases almost always ending fatally. In dengue, when the rash recedes thus, nothing noticeable occurs; the child remains perfectly well. I beg you to note also, as a very prominent peculiarity which at once distinguishes the affection which we are studying to-day, that the rash appeared while the temperature of the body was natural.

In the great majority of the cases which you will see, you will find this to be the case. In a few instances the thermometer will mark 100° F. at the time the terminal rash appears; but this is so exceptional an

occurrence that I am by no means prepared to say whether, in such case, even this slight rise of temperature is caused by the disease, or whether some trivial accidental circumstance may not have led to the slight elevation of temperature.

Dengue is the only fever that I am acquainted with which possesses the peculiarity of the eruptive period being habitually without fever. The rash of scarlatina appears during intense pyrexia. Though, as the eruption occurs in small-pox, a fall in the temperature takes place, the high temperature when the rash begins is something quite distinct from the normal or sub-febrile temperature of a patient with dengue, in whom the terminal rash is appearing. In measles, the temperature, so far from falling, often rises when the eruption begins, and the general distress is often aggravated. Keeping all this in view, you will be better prepared to attach to this circumstance the importance that it deserves.

The rash which you saw can be very easily described. It was a measly rash. So very exact, indeed, is the resemblance, that mothers learned in the various eruptive fevers of infancy will argue the question with you, and insist that the child with such a rash must have measles. More than this, medical men who think they have special experience in measles, treat a succession of such cases under the impression that they have to do with measles. Other medical men have told me that they had never seen measles with the same attendant symptoms as those of the cases they were now treating,



and yet they regarded the eruption as so peculiarly distinctive, that they lulled to rest their doubts on the subject, and tried to persuade themselves that the disease must be measles. Any dermatologist, if he were shown a case in which the eruption was at all general, if he attempted to found a diagnosis on the characters of the eruption alone, would infallibly find himself in error, and pronounce, without hesitation, that the case before him was measles. I cannot tell you any means of distinguishing between the measly rash of dengue and that of measles. Not only are the elements of which the rash consists similar, but in many cases they arrange themselves on the skin in the same crescentic manner. In dengue, however, I have noticed that the arrangement of the irregularly-rounded patches and crescentic margins of the eruption is seldom so marked as in measles. It seldom begins on the face as in measles, and often first appears at the root of the neck, or on the knees, or elbows, or palms of the hands. It is sometimes quite as general an eruption as that of the best marked case of measles; but, as a rule, it is not so, and much larger spaces are generally met with in which there is no rash than are usually left uncovered by the eruption of measles.

So far from constituting a general eruption, it is very often extremely limited in its appearance. In this case, when the eruption first came out, four inches at the upper part of the chest was all that was occupied by it. This is often the case, but more usually, even when it is

limited in extent, some other part of the body—as one or both knees, or the hands, for example—may constitute the whole surface affected by it.

In most of the cases which I have seen, the eruption having once disappeared, does not return again. This child, however, constituted an exception to this rule. After remaining nearly forty-four hours without eruption, having had no fever in the meantime, and no rise of the thermometer marking its advent, the rash again showed itself, but it began at quite different points—the palms of the hands, and legs above the ankles, being now the parts affected by a measly rash. In measles you sometimes see a similar phenomenon in a second outbreak of the eruption, but in such a case the rise in temperature, which heralds in the first appearance of the rash, is again repeated when the exanthem re-appears.

On the next morning, about eighteen hours after the rash had re-appeared, it was still distinct, and had not spread much. I did not see the child then, but reliable witnesses state that the eruption had quite changed its characters. It no longer resembled measles, but the parts affected by the eruption were swollen, and covered by the wheals of urticaria, each element of the eruption being prominent, and surrounded by a red blush. Within twenty-three hours of the time this rash began, and rather more than six hours after it was seen to have resumed the characters of urticaria, it spread and covered the whole body. With this urticarial rash there was no itching. The morning after the rash had

become general, as soon as it was light, a search was made for the rash, but it had vanished, the skin being left without a blemish.

It is by no means uncommon for the terminal rash to appear as urticaria. In fact, one of my medical friends consulted me about a fever which he had never seen before, and which was invariably followed by urticaria. Nurses and mothers recognize this as "nettle rash," and it possesses the usual characters of this eruption, as it occurs under other circumstances.

Its fugitive nature is often well marked, as it may remain visible for only half an hour. The distressing itching it occasions is at times very troublesome. While alluding to this, let me mention that the itching, though it was at no time present in the case of this child, often proves the source of great annoyance, and calls for treatment. You must be prepared to meet with it in cases in which the rash has not assumed the characters of urticaria: the itching of the surface is sometimes a very prominent symptom even in those cases in which no eruption has been seen.

In this case the urticaria was preceded by a measly rash, probably the one form of eruption was developed into the other. I have no other observations bearing on this point. In fact, I have been singularly unfortunate in being unable to see the first commencement of any of the forms of rash. They are usually so evanescent, and reach their full development so early, that opportunities for watching how they begin are rare.

Nothing that I have observed would lead me to think they begin differently from the rash of measles. Indeed, competent observers have assured me that they have seen the eruption begin in the minute red points observed in measles.

About the termination of the rash I can speak with more confidence. In almost all the cases that I have seen, it disappeared entirely after remaining out for a few hours. In other cases I have seen it remain out for two or three days and vanish suddenly. I think I observed it to remain for five days in an exceptional case.

In several cases it did not end in this way. It gradually declined like most other rashes, or even when gone, left a motling of the surface behind, not unlike the appearance seen after measles. This was not common in my experience, but other observers have told me they have met with it so frequently as to cause them to regard it as the rule.

In rare cases the hyperæmia attendant on the rash is so great as to lead to distinct ecchymoses. I have seen the tiny elements of the eruption thus *hæmatographed* on the skin with vivid minuteness, and remaining distinct for many days after the eruption proper had gone.

I have already dwelt so long on the characters of the terminal rash, that though I have very much more to say regarding it, I must be extremely brief in order to find time for other points of importance. The terminal rash you have seen to be one that usually very closely

resembles measles, while at times the form it assumes is that of urticaria.

A third form is that of scarlatina. In my experience this has very seldom occurred. During this epidemic one of my medical brethren assures me he has seen more of this form of rash than of any other. This quite coincides with what was seen in others of the recorded epidemics; and in 1853 the rash seems much more often to have resembled scarlatina than measles. A fourth form of rash is lichen; a fifth, roseola; while, sixthly, vesicles and bullæ have been met with in this as in previous epidemics.

I have already incidentally mentioned that at times no terminal rash is observed. I use this expression advisedly, because the rash is often overlooked. In the case of any fugitive rash, it is easy to understand how it is so. Knowing this to be the case, I have had several patients carefully watched, and examined every second hour during the night. Special provision has been made for good light, and yet in many cases I have failed to obtain evidence of any rash having appeared. I am confident that I have seen many cases without a trace of rash, and that the absence of the exanthem, which we regard as a rare occurrence in other eruptive fevers, is very common in dengue. I cannot give you any exact idea of how often the eruption is absent, but I should not be a bit surprised if subsequent observations proved it to be wanting in about a third of all the cases.

You may well be astonished at what I tell you on this

point, and about the diversity of the forms in which the eruption appears. To show you, however, that I am by no means singular in my observations of this disease, let me read to you a sentence or two that were written nearly half a century ago. Of the Calcutta epidemic of 1824 Cavell wrote as follows:—“Although some eruption was common, we cannot consider this an eruptive disease, since in a great many cases there was none. How, unlike any former history of eruptive disease, is it that the eruption should assume so many characters? In one case it belonged to the class papula; in a second, exanthema; in a third, vesicula; in a fourth, bullæ; and a fifth, to that of wheal. All of these varieties I have seen.”

RELAPSES.—In the case of this child, when once the pyrexia was over, within forty-eight hours from her first seizure she had no return of fever, though sub-febrile temperatures were occasionally noted. By stretching a point, I tried to recognize in these slight elevations of temperature a resemblance to the relapses of fever which we sometimes see in dengue. If the defervescence has not been very perfect, and the temperature, in any case, instead of going down to sub-normal limits, remains, say, at 99°F., a rise of a degree and a half at once brings you into the range of febrile temperatures. It is by no means rare to meet with such cases, and then patients tell you—“I felt a little hot at such an hour, and I think I had a little fever,” while, when you see them

shortly after, the thermometer marks  $99^{\circ}$ . This is the simplest form the relapse takes, and it may be repeated several times within a week. In most of your cases even this slight form is not met with, and should it occur once, it need not be repeated again. A slightly more severe form of relapse than this is not very rare. A patient, with a normal or even sub-normal temperature on any day of the disease, say the fourth, fifth, or sixth, is again seized with fever, about which there can be no doubt, and has to lie down for some hours; in such cases you often find a temperature ranging between  $102^{\circ}$  and  $103^{\circ}$ F. Under such circumstances the fever may even last for twenty-four hours, and the pains of the first attack may return in the relapse, usually, however, in a modified form. In rare cases, a more severe form of relapse than this even is met with, in which temperatures over  $104^{\circ}$ F. may be noted. I have seen such a relapse occur on the third day, some twelve hours after the first attack was over; and when it occurs on the fourth day, or later, when the secondary rash is out, a high temperature, with a measly rash, is apt to lead you to make a diagnosis of measles. I wish you to keep this fact in mind, as I shall have to refer to it again. I have never been able to notice any regularity in the occurrence of these relapses, and cannot lead you to any law which guides them. Perhaps more close watching may enable you to make advance in this direction; but, for the present, I can only regard them as accidental manifestations, not following any

system in their coming or remaining away. This tendency of febrile action to recur is one of the features of dengue which deserves prominent mention, as I do not think you meet with it in any of the other febrile exanthemata, except as an index of serious local disease, while here it has no such significance. These relapses chiefly take place within the first ten days after seizure, but a condition sometimes remains which seems to render persons liable to irregular attacks of fever for long after they are well and able to pursue their usual avocations. Even when no relapse has taken place immediately after the attack, such people now and again get fever for a few hours, although they never before were liable to similar attacks, and seem thus to be rendered easily affected by influences which would not previously have told upon them. You will do well not to regard these attacks of fever as specific in any way, as they probably depend on the weakening tendency of an attack of dengue, which lowers the powers of resistance of the individual, and makes him an easy prey to even slight exciting causes of disease. I have more than once seen repeated relapses within the first ten days after the attack in those who had been much subjected to malarious influences. The curves illustrating the temperature in relapsing dengue require little comment. In the case of the adult on the fourth day the temperature rose to over 104°F. In both cases quinine was given as soon as it was seen that the attacks were not becoming



slighter, and at once checked the disease. I am glad to be able to show you these two tracings of the temperature, as such cases are far from common.

THE SEQUELÆ.—As you might expect, when the secondary rash has been a prominent feature of the complaint, desquamation often follows. In this child we could not discover any tendency to removal of the cuticle in this way. In most cases of dengue you will fail to find desquamation, but still in many it constitutes a sufficiently noteworthy occurrence. When it takes place, it resembles very much the desquamation after an attack of measles; small bran-like scales separate, or the scurf may be much finer than this, and almost resemble white dust. At times the desquamation is very partial in extent, but you will sometimes find it extremely general. When it is so, and much desquamation takes place, the appearance it produces on the dark-skinned races is a very loathsome one, as the white fragments of cuticle are chiefly confined to the points at which the elements of the rash were situated, and the dark intervening skin gives rise to a remarkable contrast. Sometimes the amount of layers of cuticle shed is very considerable, and quite reminds one of pityriasis furfurans. When you rub such a skin in a strong sun-light, you raise quite a little cloud of epidermic debris. Such an extreme form, however, is far from common. The scales are much finer than those usually separated after scarlatina. I have never seen any large

flake or layer of skin separate ; in fact, desquamation has been altogether insignificant in my experience when compared to what is frequent in scarlatina, and I have never met with any cases in which large continuous patches of the skin separated from the hands and feet, as one may see often enough to occur in scarlatina. Occasionally, desquamation proceeds to a much greater extent than it has done in my experience, and in at least one recorded case it proved so severe as to leave quite a raw, painful surface behind. You will understand that this case is quite one of the curiosities of medical practice, and, so far from expecting to meet such in your after-experience of this disease, you must be prepared to see many cases of dengue before you encounter one in which you can recognize well-marked desquamation. Sometimes the irritation caused while this process is going on is very considerable, and patients ask to be relieved of distressing itching, tingling, or burning ; but more commonly it attracts very little attention.

Pains of a rheumatic character are very common after an attack of dengue. They are chiefly complained of in the joints, and resemble somewhat those which occur during the pyrexia, only they are usually not nearly so severe. They do not occur in all cases, but when they are met with they may give rise to most urgent distress. Sometimes they are present when the sufferer from dengue first gets out of bed. At other times he may pass some days before he is attacked by

these after-pains. They may last for a day or two, or pass off in ten days or a fortnight; but they are often much more persistent than this, and last for six weeks or three months. Even when they do not continue long, they are sufficiently annoying; but when week after week passes away without the suffering coming to an end, the bravest heart gives in, and they are regarded with feelings akin to despair. In a few cases they give rise to quite as much suffering as an attack of acute rheumatism or gout, but in most cases they are not nearly so distressing. Even when they are not severe, and little complaint is made while the sufferer is at rest, the moment an attempt is made to use the affected joint, at once he finds himself disabled. If a knee or ankle is affected, either he cannot walk at all, or hobbles along in a manner you would style grotesque were it not such a serious matter for him. In the same way, if an elbow or wrist is attacked, he cannot lift a book or feed himself, and laments his helplessness while expressing himself otherwise well. These pains fly about from one joint to another, and after, by your treatment, you have relieved one joint, your gain may be little, for another joint becomes the seat of the malady. There is a sense of fulness connected with the pain, and if you are guided by your patient's sensations, you will always meet with swelling of the affected part. If you trust to your own preceptions, you will often discover no change in the size of the joint. Occasionally you may meet with a little swelling, and in

rare instances you may find a little puffiness of the textures round the joint. I have never seen the tissues in such a state that œdema would be a fit term to apply to it, and even when there is a little swelling you may regard it as insignificant. I have never seen ulceration of the cartilages, or disorganization of any joint, follow on dengue. So, although this pain is a very distressing sequela, you need not look on it in any more serious light than that of the distress entailed.

All the earlier observers seem to have noticed a tendency to abort in consequence of an attack of dengue. I attended a great many women who were pregnant before I was able to confirm their observation on this point. I have seen premature labor come on soon after a patient had become convalescent, but have not in any case been able to satisfy myself that this depended in any way on the previous attack. In fact, after the midterm of pregnancy, I have always considered a patient being safe from any danger on this score.

Before this, however, I have had ample reason for believing that the teachings of those who passed through the earlier epidemics were in the main correct.

The poison of this disease acts in two distinct ways in producing this effect.

You may, on the one hand, have a direct action on the uterus produced, and contractions coming on during the course of the disease, which end in the organ being emptied of its contents; or, on the other,

you may have the child dying while the disease affects the mother, and the uterus in the first instance remaining quite unaffected for some weeks. During this interval, between the death of the child and its expulsion, the uterus and ovum continue to enlarge, and you may fancy that all danger is over. Indeed, you will seldom have any idea of what is impending, unless you have been very watchful, and noticed a retrograde process going on among the mammary signs of pregnancy. Often, the first indication you will have, will consist in finding the miscarriage in progress, and when it has been completed, the small size of the foetus will show you that it has long ceased to grow.

The most lamentable of the consequences of dengue which I have met with, has consisted in my being asked to see several cases of insanity which have followed immediately after the attack. I have also heard of other cases besides those which I have seen. These have resembled in many particulars cases of puerperal insanity.

In one case there was a history of predisposition, but in the other no family or other history could be traced to account in any way for the attack. All the sufferers recovered within a few weeks under the use of tonic remedies, a generous diet, and a liberal allowance of stimulants. In some, chloral in large doses had to be given to procure sleep.

An irritable state of the mucous membrane of the intestines sometimes follows on dengue, and very

intractable diarrhœa or dysentery may continue for long. Fortunately such a complication is not common, as it may prove so severe as to carry your patient to the brink of the grave. In other cases, when it is present, it is by no means serious, and hardly requires treatment. As a rule, the bowels are not disordered in any way after dengue.

A more rare, but still interesting, event following on dengue, is deafness. I suppose it depends on affection of the eustachian tube. I have never seen it proceed to such an extent as after scarlatina, and have not yet met with any instance in which the auditory apparatus has become disorganized.

Sometimes the state of health is most cruelly broken down by an attack of dengue, and the constitution remains in a shattered state for long.

THE NON-IDENTITY WITH SCARLATINA.—Before proceeding to speak of the diagnosis of this disease, I think I ought here to say a few words to you on a kindred matter of such importance, that without having settled convictions on the point, it will be very difficult for you to follow me in what I have to say. I allude to the supposed resemblance or identity of dengue with scarlatina.

If you admit that the two diseases are identical, of course there is no necessity for attempting to formulate a diagnosis between them, and the sooner the term "dengue" is got rid of, and the term "scarlatina"

substituted for it, the better. I hope, in the sequel, to prove to you that this can never be and that, therefore, we can safely confine ourselves to a consideration of the supposed resemblance between the two diseases. That there must be some likeness, I am forced to admit, as men thoroughly versed in medicine have not felt quite certain whether this fever were not scarlatina: the symptoms modified, it may be, by the difference of climate, but still caused by the working of the scarlatina poison. When I tell you that Dr. Goodeve left the question in this state of doubt when he wrote in 1853, you will see that there is weighty reason why I should bring the subject before you. I have told you that I am forced to admit there must be some similarity. I hasten to say I have never been able to see it. I have met with cases of dengue which were not at all well-marked instances of the disease, which it might be difficult to surround with well-marked lines of demarcation serving to separate them from equally indefinite instances of scarlatina; but it is not to such imperfect specimens that we should appeal when we want to establish either the similarity or the difference between two objects which we wish to compare.

Take an average case of dengue, such a case as you can meet with every day when the disease is about, and compare it with a similarly selected case of scarlatina, and then you can have no doubt regarding the essential difference between these two diseases. I take it for granted,

of course, that you are familiar with both diseases, for a great deal depends on this. You all know that strangers dwell much on the resemblance between the children of a family, while those within the family circle are impressed rather by the points in which the likeness of one to another is deficient. To those who have seen both diseases the resemblances pass unnoted, the points of want of similarity alone impress themselves on the mind. It is right that it should be so: both of these diseases belong to the same class of febrile exanthemata, and, as such, necessarily possess certain characters in common, exactly as they do with small-pox or measles. By looking at their points of similarity, under these circumstances, you can gain nothing. It is by studying the features in which they differ that you can hope to understand rightly the true relationship between the two diseases. In coming to the inquiry, I must ask you not to allow your minds to be biased by the fact that certain points of similarity have led observers to question the non-identity of these two diseases. It may help you to do so if you call to remembrance that there was a time when the identity of small-pox and measles was believed in; that it is not yet very long ago that the distinctions between typhoid fever and typhus came to be recognized; while, even in the present day, the battle requires yet to be fought to establish again the non-identity of small-pox and varicella.


We are more fortunate now than our predecessors in being able to appeal to a single, crucial, undeniable




test, which, of itself, is sufficient to put the question at rest. Our clinical thermometers, if properly used, furnish the most unanswerable proof that dengue is not scarlatina. Give the history of any case of dengue, purposely dwell on the points of resemblance to scarlatina, and keep back all the evidence which goes against this view, and furnish along with it a temperature chart, and no one versed in medical thermometry will accept your diagnosis of scarlatina. It is difficult to compare things so utterly dissimilar as temperature charts of dengue with similar delineations of the temperature in scarlatina. I will not occupy your time with any labored explanations. I send round a number of such illustrations which appeal forcibly to the eye, and a casual glance even will satisfy you how very different are the two diseases. During the initial stage there is a certain resemblance. The temperature mounts gradually up, and attains its maximum rapidly. This type of *pyrogenetic* stage is also common in intermittent fever, in ephemera, and in many other diseases besides scarlatina, so you must not lay undue stress on this point of similarity. Even here, however, I think that there is a difference. It occupies twenty-four hours in dengue to reach a temperature of 104° or 105° F., while, if I am not mistaken, the rise is much more rapid in scarlatina, and may be accomplished in perhaps a fourth of that time.

As soon as this high temperature is reached, the similarity, be it more or be it less, ceases, and the two diseases pursue totally different courses, and


Mild Scarletina. (WUNDERLICH)




Mild Dengue,




Measles. (WUNDERLICH)




Relapsing Dengue adult. (severe)



Relapsing Dengue child (severe)





finally decline in a totally different manner. In scarlatina the *fastigium*—the stage of fully developed fever—is prolonged for days. In it temperatures of 104°F. are very usually met with, and before the fourth day of the disease a temperature of 102°F. may be considered exceptional. In dengue, on the other hand, the *fastigium* is acuminate, and as soon as such temperatures as 104°, 105°, and 106°F. are reached, an immediate fall takes place, and it is quite exceptional to find a continuous temperature over 102°F. In very many slight cases of dengue, indeed, the temperature does not rise over 102°F. at all. Here we have at once a sharp, well-defined difference, and in tracing the course of the disease still further, we meet with equally conclusive proofs of want of identity. In dengue, the defervescence takes place by crisis, and not only so, but by a singularly rapid crisis which carries the temperatures down to sub-normal ones; in fact, at times, to those of moderate collapse. In scarlatina, a return to normal temperatures is effected in a widely different way, as it occurs by lysis. Here the tissue changes are much more serious than in dengue, and a longer time for reparative action is necessary before a normal temperature can be regained. This period of lysis extends over several days in scarlatina, and is interrupted by slight evening exacerbations, contrasting strongly with the abrupt crisis of dengue which is effected in a few hours.

I wish to impress these facts strongly on you, and, even at the risk of provoking your mirth, will ask you

to allow me to compare the course of these two fevers by applying familiar names to their stages, so that I may be able to bring out strongly how unlike they are to one another as judged by the test of temperature. Let me then call the *pyrogenetic* stage the "head," the *fastigium* the "body," and the period of *defervescence* the "tail," and you have scarlatina and dengue resembling each other more or less in their heads; scarlatina having a long bulky big body in contrast to the short, imperfect, almost rudimentary one of dengue; while dengue has a short, straight, perpendicular tail, quite a different sort of thing from the long, curly, horizontal tail of scarlatina, as depicted in the chart of the temperatures met with during the pyrexia.

THE DIAGNOSIS.—Fortunately, in Calcutta, the diagnosis between dengue and scarlatina is not one you will be often called upon to make, as the latter disease so rarely occurs that most of those who have the largest opportunities of seeing it, did it appear, have never seen an instance of the disease. In other latitudes, should the two diseases ever chance to prevail at the same time, in many of the least marked instances of either affection, which are by no means rare, the differential diagnosis might be sufficiently perplexing.

When the characteristic symptoms of either disease are well developed, the distinctions between them would be sufficiently easy even at the commencement of the malady.

The pains of dengue are not met with in scarlatina

at the commencement of the disease, though they may be occasionally simulated at a later stage as the rash is disappearing, by the suffering caused from the larger joints becoming swollen. The rapid pulse, even early in the disease, in scarlatina presents a marked contrast to the much slower one in dengue. Even here you must exercise caution in making your observations, for if you count the pulse while your dengue patient is sitting or standing, especially if he has been making any slight exertion immediately before, the pulse may have risen to 120 or 130 beats; while in the same patient, after a little rest, in a state of recumbency, it may again recover a more normal equilibrium of about 100 beats in the minute. Then, the initial rash of dengue is a much more early feature in the disease than the eruption is in scarlatina; although on rare occasions you may find the rash of scarlatina appear in the first day, it is habitually on the second day that it occurs.

In making a diagnosis from measles likewise, the initial rash of dengue, when it is present, will assist you, for there is no corresponding manifestation in measles. The high temperature  $104^{\circ}\text{F}$ . or  $105^{\circ}\text{F}$ . which you almost invariably meet with during the first day of the disease, distinguishes dengue from measles, in which affection you expect lower temperatures, such as those below  $103^{\circ}\text{F}$ ., until the time that the rash appears.

In most cases of dengue, the complete or even comparative absence of the characteristic catarrhal symptoms of measles will, of itself, serve to prevent you from

committing any mistake in discriminating between these two affections. The only occasions on which I think you will have any difficulty in making a diagnosis between measles and dengue, will be in cases which you have not seen till the fourth or fifth day, and find the patient with a measly eruption. In such cases, the diagnosis may be sufficiently doubtful, if both diseases are epidemic at the same time, and your patient have a relapse of the pyrexia—the thermometer marking, for instance,  $104^{\circ}\text{F}$ . With the usual cool skin of dengue, the measly rash need never cause you any uncertainty, as the higher temperature of measles at this period would prevent any doubt. In the few cases of dengue in which the terminal rash has appeared during a relapse of the pyrexia, the very decided history of the pains of dengue has served, in each case, to enable me to pronounce with certainty on the nature of the affection; but had I been compelled to found a diagnosis on any general symptoms, gathered from unobservant friends, I confess I would have felt myself in difficulties. Those of you who wish to possess a more exact delineation of the differences between the various allied exanthemata, will find in Aitken's work a tabular form under the head of Rötheln; which was drawn up by Dr. Paterson. If you will head a fourth column "dengue," and under each division sketch in the most prominent symptoms that I have described to you, you will easily see that there are many other points than those I have dwelt

on, which will more or less assist you in framing a diagnosis.

From other febrile affections, the diagnosis is usually an easy matter. In most cases the well-marked stiffness or pain at the onset of the disease is of itself sufficient to guide you to recognize that you have to deal with dengue. When you see your patient very early in the attack, and you do not find the pains developed in a characteristic manner, while the initial rash also fails you, you will find dengue written in bright-red characters on the mucous membrane of the under eyelid, and after everting it, you need hardly ever delay in pronouncing your verdict.

Although, generally, you have no difficulty in arriving at a diagnosis when the disease occurs in a sporadic form, as it does annually in Calcutta, I have seen it often mistaken for measles, and unless you are on the alert, you will find it too easy to make such a mistake. Again, you meet with cases of *denguis latens*, in which, with the characteristic pains of the disease, perhaps in an aggravated degree, you have no fever, or at least when you see such a case some days after your patient has been confined to bed with it, you can get no history of a hot skin.

Then there are very slight forms of the disease, which may prove quite protective against a second attack—instances of *denguis mitis*, if you will,—in which your patients are scarcely ill, where it is not at all easy



to decide on its exact nature. A trifling sore throat, and slight malaise, may be all you can lay hold of, till the terminal rash appears to show you what you have had to deal with; or even this may not be seen, and then all the evidence you have to guide you consists in your patient not being seized with dengue again, while all others in the house have taken it.

Again, *denguis maligna* may occur, and throw difficulties in the way of a ready diagnosis. A huge overdose of dengue poison may so overpower your patient's nervous system as to obscure all the usual symptoms. Drowsiness may have passed into coma, some convulsion may have taken place, and you see your patient, for the first time, with a temperature verging on hyperpyretic, a failing heart and œdematous lungs, with a whole surface highly cyanotic. These cases have been popularly termed "black fever," and justly are much dreaded. Under such circumstances I know of nothing which will assist your diagnosis, beyond a knowledge of the fact that dengue is epidemic, and that its specific poison is capable of giving rise to such serious manifestations.

I have often wondered how it was that in America and the West Indies they thought it necessary to discriminate between dengue and yellow fever. I have ceased to do so, however, since I have seen cases of dengue attended by jaundice, and have also seen in

other cases typical instances of black vomit and black stools. These symptoms, however, are very rare, and need not, I think, interfere much with the diagnosis.

THE TREATMENT.—At the outset I have to impress on you the fact that dengue is a specific disease, and runs a certain course of its own, uninfluenced by remedies. The days are past when you would attempt to cut short a disease such as small-pox, and I think your faith will be strengthened in what I have afterwards to tell you about the treatment of dengue, when you start from such a fixed and certain basis as that nothing that you can do will avail much in altering the course that the disease takes. You can do much good by treatment—you can do much harm by treatment—to the individual who is the subject of this affection ; but during all the times I have been brought in contact with this disease, I have not been able to collect a shadow of proof that I have ever succeeded in shortening its duration, or in converting a severe case of dengue into a mild one. Mouat thought he had proof of this ; the many years which have elapsed since he wrote, make us weigh more carefully such evidence as he trusted to, with the result of rejecting much that in his day seemed good. I have told you already that there are slight forms of the disease which give little trouble, as well as more severe ones, which, besides being very distressing, entail a long train of bad health. If you adopt any favorite line of treatment, especially if the remedies

you employ are rather violent ones, insensibly you allow yourselves to indulge in the belief that your therapeutic measures tend to convert cases, which would otherwise have been severe, into those of a mild nature. If you change your plan of treatment, and, more certainly, if you adopt an expectant line of dealing with dengue, you will meet with the same sort of slight cases, and be able to recognize them as ordinary examples of the disease uninfluenced by treatment.

Emetics and purgatives have both their advocates as efficient means to be employed in this disease, with the view of checking or curtailing it, or modifying its severity. Many practitioners have employed both of these measures in a way that perhaps now we might be justified in regarding as heroic practice. I strongly advise you not to be led astray by their recommendations, and to reject both emetics and purgatives as measures not only unworthy of your confidence in dengue, but, on the contrary, means to be avoided as far as you possibly can. I treated a few cases some years ago by emetics, having been led to use them by the recorded experience of others. It was my good fortune to have my eyes opened in this wise, to the unnecessary use of a remedy which I should feel inclined now to regard as an abuse of it. One of my early patients with dengue was a gentleman of refined education, and gifted with rare discrimination. He took twenty grains of ipecacuanha on the first day of the disease, and its operation was very violent. He had a most mild

attack, and was ungrateful enough to upbraid me with the taunt that my remedy was worse than his disease. I at once treated some cases without any emetic, and soon felt certain that most patients got on better without such a violent remedy. I hope you will not run into the extreme of believing that because emetics are not necessary in all cases, in no case are they to be resorted to. Avoid them by all means as far as you can ; but when a much loaded tongue, gastric derangement and nausea, or any condition which your general familiarity with disease points out to you as being a morbid state of the system likely to be relieved by free emesis, do not let anything I have said prevent you from resorting to the use of an emetic as an exceptional expedient.

In the same way, even to a greater degree, make sure that any purgative you think right to prescribe is only given to combat some special symptom.

There are two very cogent reasons why you should, if possible, not resort to purgatives as a routine mode of treatment for this disease. In those cases in which the pains are severe, the movements entailed during the operation of a purgative are productive of extreme agony to the sufferers. I have always held this full in view, and have never yielded to the temptation of prescribing this class of remedies as long as there was much fear of their leading to suffering. I have however had several opportunities of watching the results when prescribed by others, or when taken by the

patients on their own responsibility. In several of these cases, where all the resources of the sick room were available, the results were described as a manifold aggravation of the tortures of the disease; and in any case in which pain constituted a prominent symptom, nothing would tempt me to sanction the use of any medicine likely to cause purgation.

The other reason why, in my opinion, you should abstain from acting freely on the bowels in dengue, is that in cases in which there is much tendency to prostration, the weakening effects of such a line of treatment are ill-borne. Not unfrequently such debility is extreme, and any addition to it comes to be a serious matter. I have seen syncope produced during the operation of a purgative, and though I have not met with actual collapse, I have witnessed such a close approach to it as to lead me to dread its possible occurrence. At times I have felt inclined to indulge in the uncharitable suspicion, that perhaps it was from noticing minor degrees of such a condition our predecessors allowed themselves to deal so freely in this means of treatment. I have seen a free watery evacuation abruptly take the temperature down 6°F.

This you might be inclined to think a most successful result to achieve; but when I tell you that the heat of the body is quickly regained, and that such a casual remission is followed by great weakness—temporary at least, if not more permanent,—I hope you will see I have sufficient grounds for asking you to use

purgatives with all caution. The bowels very often act daily without any assistance, and even when they do not do so, no great inconvenience seems to result by their remaining confined for two days. In the older epidemics, considerable importance appears to have been attached to the unhealthy nature of the evacuations. If you do not irritate the bowels, I think you will have little reason to be dissatisfied on this score—so little, indeed, that you may attend a score of such cases without having to ask anything more about the bowels than the simple question as to whether they have acted or not. In severe cases, then, I believe purgatives to be contra-indicated; during seasons when catarrhal implication of the mucous membrane of the intestinal tract constitutes a prominent feature of dengue, purgatives should be withheld; in slight cases they are unnecessary as a rule, but should distress be experienced, owing to constipation, you must be prepared to deviate from your ordinary rule, and employ such mild measures to secure efficient evacuation, without any unnecessary cathartic action, as the symptoms in any individual case may seem to indicate as appropriate. If you act on the principle of allowing the bowels to take care of themselves as long as movement is likely to cause much pain, you are far from liable to do any harm by using laxatives when occasion demands.

It has attracted the attention of most of you that this child was put on belladonna; many of you asked me why she was treated in this way. I was led,

many years ago, to suggest the use of belladonna for the relief of the severe pains experienced in ardent fever. The power which I believed this drug to possess in producing contraction of the vessels in the membranes surrounding the spinal cord, was the idea which prompted me to make a trial of this agent. For long I have used it as a sovereign remedy in the pains of dengue, though I attach very little credit to the hypothesis which first guided me to make use of belladonna under similar circumstances. I do not, for the present, propose to theorise on its mode of action. In Dr. Harley's valuable work you will find much information on this subject. I prefer to bring it forward to your notice as an empirical fact—a fact which you will find of immense value to you—that in belladonna we possess an agent of surprising power, when used against the very severe symptom which pain often constitutes in this disease.

It is little short of marvellous how case after case yields as soon as the drug is given.

You do not require to push the belladonna very far; medium doses very soon produce the results you want. The preparation given to this child was the tincture, and I habitually use it in this form, as little likely to lead to accident.

When I first began to use it, I prescribed one drachm of the tincture of belladonna and five drachms of orange syrup, and directed that a sixth part should be taken every hour by an adult till the third dose

had been given. In this way you can regulate the effect, and stop the medicine if a first or second dose gives the desired result. I very seldom, however, find that less than three doses prove sufficient in any cases in which the pains are really troublesome. So, when immediate relief is wanted, you may give two or three doses in one. In a bad case you may require to give rather larger doses, but I have not often had to do so. Sometimes three doses give such marked relief, that no more of the medicine requires to be taken. More often, though the relief is very decided, the pain still remains, and in some hours again becomes so troublesome as to lead to a repetition of the dose.

In this way, by having resort to two or three doses of the belladonna from time to time, you get over the period, during which this symptom is troublesome, with very little suffering. I cannot commend my formula to you as a very elegant one, it is much too sweet for most palates ; but this fault is easily forgiven, as your patients are very grateful for the immediate relief they experience. In very few cases will more than two drachms of the tincture be expended in a single case, and you will find it a safe precaution not to order any large quantity of the medicine to be at the bedside at one time.

I began to use the tincture, and having hit on an active sample of it, very often send to the same druggists to have prescriptions containing this tincture dispensed. You may prefer, however, to use the succus



belladonnæ in similar doses ; or, if chance restricts you to the use of the extract, a third of a grain, repeated twice or thrice in twenty-four hours, will generally suffice ; or in a case with severe pains, half-grain doses may be necessary. You will sometimes find it useful not to give it in the form of pill, but to dissolve the extract, and give it in some mixture which conceals its taste.

I think you will find it safer not to use atropine frequently ; but if in any case you wish to employ it hypodermically, you will do well to use Dr. Harley's solution of one grain of the sulphate of atropia in an ounce of water, as this is a very convenient strength for ordinary use. Five minims of the solution containing  $\frac{1}{60}$ th of a grain of the drug, will be enough for a small woman or a child of twelve ;  $\frac{1}{60}$ th of a grain—eight minims—is sufficient for most cases ; while ten minims, containing  $\frac{1}{8}$ th of a grain, is the full dose for a powerful, well-developed adult, and is a dose which should seldom be required, and must not be exceeded in any case. In my own practice, I restrict the use of atropine in dengue to cases in which the pain is very intense, and has lasted for some time before I see the sufferer, and I use it then because the relief it affords is so rapid. I always, however, dissuade enthusiastic followers from using it more extensively, as I think its place as a therapeutic measure should be limited to the position I have attempted to assign to it.

If you cannot secure trustworthy attendance on any case of dengue, you must make sure that the

belladonna is left within reach of your patient, for often a sufferer is quite unable to go any distance to hunt for the medicine. I know the case of a medical man who, after having experienced the immediate relief of a previous dose of belladonna, was quite unable to avail himself of a second one, as he was deserted by his attendant and suffered hours of misery, though the charmed antidote was within a few yards of him, simply because he was unable to get at it.

You will find that belladonna helps you much in another way besides by that of its power of relieving pain. Restlessness, distress, and anguish, quite apart from pain, are often very prominent features of the attack. Even in cases where the pain is not very severe, your patient may experience sufficient misery. You will find nothing alleviate all this so well as belladonna. You have seen me use the drug under similar circumstances in typhoid fever with very happy results, and even if there were no pains to be relieved in dengue, I would use belladonna to soothe these other symptoms.

The only occasion in which I had an opportunity of noting any decided physiological action from the drug, was in the case of an over-zealous patient, who, in spite of my instructions to the contrary, persisted in taking six drachms of an active sample of the succus belladonnæ (Thompson's) within two days. Its mydriatic action was here considerable; though, with the assistance of double convex lenses, he could read small type quite close to the eye, reading without this aid was

impossible. Where no belladonna had been taken, I have seen the power of accommodation of the eye so much impaired after an attack of dengue, that, while using this drug, you must be cautious in attributing to belladonna all the faulty vision you may chance to meet with in this disease.

Belladonna does not relieve the headache materially. For this symptom I have found a sponge bag filled with ice, if kept on the pillow in loose contact with the head, to be the best palliative. In cases in which the headache was described as maddening, I have seen relief obtained by the application of leeches to the temple, but regard this measure as one which should seldom be resorted to.

During the pyrexia, I think a coffee-cupful of strong soup taken every six hours is more easily digested than any other food. When there is an objection to soup, milk answers tolerably well. Soda-water and iced-water may be given freely.

For myself, I prefer to treat the pyrexia of dengue purely expectantly, and seldom, unless I find the urine to be scanty, give even a few grains of citrate of potass. If you think you must medicate, make your fever mixtures as simple and innocuous as possible. I was led into believing that twenty-grain doses of quinine and large doses of aconite were beneficial, by often finding that a lower temperature supervened soon after these drugs were given. Now I know that such remissions are normal characters of dengue, and occur

without the assistance of medicine, so I no longer use this class of remedies. When the temperature rises over  $105^{\circ}\text{F.}$ , I use cold water sponging to assist in producing a fall of temperature. The natives of this country and some Europeans dread the use of cold water in this way. You may however avoid coming in collision with such a prejudice by prescribing a lotion containing compound tincture of lavender, to be used in the same way. Besides the disadvantage of staining the sheets red, I know of no other drawback, and even this is of little consequence, as it gives rise to the idea of salutary mediation, more than a colourless lotion would do.

In a case in which I found a temperature of  $107^{\circ}8\text{F.}$ , when I, for the first time, saw the patient, I attribute recovery to cold sponging, which was had recourse to at once, and rapidly lowered the temperature degree after degree till  $103^{\circ}\text{F.}$  had been reached, and it was discontinued. When the temperature again began to rise, as it did twice within twelve hours, recourse to cold sponging was on each occasion successful.

The other symptoms in this case were unpromising, and the medical man who invited my assistance is confident, equally with myself, that the effects of the cold saved a life which would otherwise have been lost.

As soon as the temperature rises over  $106^{\circ}\text{F.}$ , I advise you to have a cold bath made ready, and to familiarise yourselves beforehand with this form of treatment for hyperpyrexia as worked out for us by

Dr. Wilson Fox. I have never had occasion to employ it, though I have seen the preparations made ready, and left instructions for the patient to be put into the bath as soon as a temperature of 107°F. had been reached. In a case where a relapse of the pyrexia at a late period of a fair convalescence had taken place, I found a temperature, when for the first time I saw the child, to be 109°5F. Judging this to be the *pro-agonistic* stage, and that death was too close at hand for a cold bath to influence the result, I did not advise this means to be used, and under similar circumstances I counsel you to act with caution.

The danger from these high temperatures, during the hot months of the year, is very great, as it is precisely under such conditions that heat apoplexy is apt to supervene. If you see cases under such circumstances, you will do well to remember that vessels with ice kept near your patient are sometimes of use.

I have already told you that convulsions are common in children during the course of dengue. Provided this symptom occurs early in the disease, and the child wakens completely after the attack is over, you need be under no apprehension, as the occurrence, though very alarming, is not dangerous. I have treated many such cases expectantly, and they have all recovered. When the convulsions recur frequently, even if the child continues to regain sensibility after the fits are over, you will do right to make an attempt to put a stop to such undesirable manifestations. I

think I have seen bromide of potassium assist in arriving at this result, but I am much more sure that conium has a very decided action in such cases. As soon as I see that the excitement of the motor centres does not pass off of itself, I at once prescribe this drug with confidence. Two drachms of the succus conii in twenty-four hours is a fair dose for a child of a year old; and Dr. Harley cautions you, that to give this drug in too small doses is simply to trifle with it. When other medical men have prescribed it, at my suggestion, I have found that the druggists have lessened the dose prescribed; so, in addition to signing such prescriptions, I advise you to take the precaution of placing your initials after the amount of the conium which you order, to show cautious dispensers that you have made no mistake in what strikes them as being an unusually large dose.

As I have seen cases in which these convulsions returned several times, recover without any very special treatment, you will understand why I have been somewhat guarded in my estimation of the value of therapeutic agents.

Such convulsions are also often dependent on the irritation caused by the cutting of a tooth during the attack of dengue, so you must not neglect to have the gums examined on every occasion when this symptom occurs during the period of dentition, to have them freely divided, if necessary.

For the distressing itching and other sensations which afflict some patients about the period of the

terminal rash, you will find nothing so good as a free application to the skin of a drachm of camphor in fifteen drachms of mustard-oil. As I have seen loss of sleep produced by patients getting out of bed again and again to have hunts for imaginary ants which they thought were stinging them, you will do well to guard against the possible necessity for the use of such an application by having the remedy prepared beforehand.

The little girl whose case has served as a good text for my remarks, took a little compound tincture of gentian for a few days after the terminal rash had disappeared. Any other simple tonic would have answered equally well. In many cases you will find the convalescence after dengue sufficiently rapid without any assistance from medicine. The majority of cases, however, stand sadly in need of some tonic when the disease is over, as, besides being much pulled down, the convalescent has lost all appetite. Use your own favorite remedies under such circumstances; but keep in mind that when the nervous tissues have had their nutrition much impaired, and the brain refuses to work, you possess in strychnine a means of promoting rapid recovery which you will find invaluable. I often combine  $\frac{1}{6}$ th of a grain of strychnine with fifteen minims of dilute phosphoric acid and a sufficiency of water to cover the extreme bitterness, and prescribe such a combination to be taken three times a day. A generous diet is essential, and a few ounces of good wine often necessary. A pint bottle of really good after-

dinner claret during twenty-four hours is my favorite stimulant after dengue, or a similar quantity of sound full-bodied burgundy ; but as long as you are sure your wine is good, you may consult your patient's likings, or your own whims, within very considerable limits.

For the pains in the joints, which occasionally trouble patients for a few days after an attack of dengue, I usually prescribe nothing, as they often pass off without any treatment. When they are more persistent, or so severe as to demand treatment, any of the usual liniments which you would use under similar circumstances afford temporary relief here. Combinations containing opium, or a chloroform and belladonna liniment, are more or less useful. Advantage is sometimes derived from frictions with any of the more stimulating compounds as those containing ammonia, oil of cajeput, &c. The remedy, however, which I would most strongly advise you to employ, is turpentine. As a fomentation, or a constituent of a liniment, or simply rubbed on by itself, it often gives marked relief. During the epidemic of acrodynia which began in Paris in 1828, as well as in previous epidemics of this disease elsewhere, all observers seem to have agreed that turpentine was their most reliable resource in combating the distressing pains which were so often met with.

In acrodynia, the pains seem very much to have resembled those of dengue, and indeed in other respects as in the curious variety of the eruptions observed,



the two diseases possess many points of similarity. As in the Paris epidemic, various forms of paralysis, and other symptoms not common in dengue, were frequently observed ; and as the pyrexia, which is so prominent a symptom of dengue at the commencement of the disease, seems to have been entirely wanting, we must conclude the two diseases were different, though we avail ourselves of the experience accumulated in acrodynia while treating the after-pains of dengue.

The endermic application of morphia after Trousseau's method, with which you are familiar, is an expedient you should always bear in mind.

Among internal remedies, you will find alkalies and colchicum, and indeed all the more usual modes of treatment, of very little use. The only medication which I think I have seen do much good, has been that of iodide of potassium combined with a fatty diet. Dr. Raye tells me he has seen bromide of potass succeed after the iodide had failed.

Galvanism and electricity are sometimes useful in these pains. I think I have found the continuous current to be more effective than any other form.

Whatever treatment you employ, you will find that sudden changes of temperature and slight gastric derangements are apt to bring the pains back, even when you thought you had got rid of them ; so you must be particular in guarding sufferers against both sources of danger. The expedient of immediately using additional clothing as soon as the temperature of the air falls

suddenly, a strict attention to diet, and, above all, avoiding wines with the slightest suspicion of acidity, are measures which are absolutely indispensable; and a disregard of them will bring discredit on any line of treatment which you may adopt.

I have already casually remarked that quinine is efficacious in arresting the relapses of the pyrexia which I have described to you: ten grains a day was given to the adult whose case furnished the curve which is in your hands. It was begun on the fifth day of the disease, and you can judge of the result. In the case of the child whose temperatures are delineated in the other chart, quinine in five-grain doses twice a day was begun late on the eleventh day of the disease, and quickly put a stop to further paroxysms of fever.

THE CONTAGIOUS PROPERTIES.—Much difference of opinion has existed as to whether dengue is contagious or not. Regarding the epidemic in Calcutta in 1824, almost all of the medical men of the day believed that the disease was not communicable from man to man. Dr. H. H. Goodeve wrote—"I certainly have seen nothing as yet to induce me to regard this complaint as contagious." Mouat refers to "its non-contagious nature." Twining, though he speaks of "so many medical men having had the disease," states distinctly—"I believe it was not communicable from one person to another." Of the outbreak of 1853 E. Goodeve writes—"I could not trace evidence of

contagion." On the other hand, most of the American physicians seem to have no doubt that the disease does pass from one individual to another; while the belief among those who have seen the present epidemic in Egypt, Arabia, and India, seems to be very general that the disease has well-marked contagious properties. For myself I have never had the least hesitation in regarding dengue as a contagious malady, and nothing that I have seen during the present epidemic would lead me to suspect that I was wrong in my belief. If you asked me for unassailable evidence in support of the proposition that the disease passed from individual to individual, I should be constrained to admit that it was very difficult to procure such exact data, and would have to appeal to the sum of observed facts pointing strongly in the direction of the malady being passed from one person to another. For example, I would adduce for you instances of a person from an infected locality proceeding to a station as yet free from the disease, and after getting ill either just before or after his arrival, serving as a centre from which it was spread widely to those around. I could relate, too, very numerous instances of servants who contracted the disease from their companions in parts of Calcutta where the disease was prevalent, carrying it to those whom they served in houses previously exempt, and first communicating it to the child whom they had special charge of, the mistress whom they attended, or the master with whom their duties brought them into close relations. Allied

cases also might be forthcoming in any numbers, of the head of a household brought in contract in his office, or elsewhere in the course of business, with those recently affected by the disease, contracting it and serving as the medium for infecting his household, which previously had escaped other sources of contagion. Contaminated localities in a similar way infecting those exposed to their influence, afford evidence of a like tendency, as I have again and again seen visitors to a house in which the inmates had lately suffered, contract the disease before long. Another class of facts which I believe to deserve attention, are those supplied by visitors to a house being the first to contract the disease and communicate it to their hosts. In several such cases I believe the explanation to have been, that at the height of the epidemic the public conveyances became infected, and in them the visitor contracted dengue, which his hosts, making use only of private carriages, had managed up to that time to escape. Facts of this kind, if subjected to the test of rigid analysis, might fail in any individual instance to satisfy you as absolute proof; but by the extreme frequency with which they are met, the force of their evidence becomes cumulative, and any impartial mind is bound to acknowledge that, without having recourse to this theory of propagation, circumstances of every-day occurrence become difficult of explanation.

While having been very forcibly struck by the power which dengue has of being propagated in this way,

the instances have been very numerous in which its contagious properties were not manifested. I have seen a single member of a large household attacked, and the rest of the family, who were all freely exposed to its influence, escape: a wife often escaped while nursing her husband, and a mother might not be attacked while tending her children with the disease. More curious still were the cases in which a solitary individual escaped, while considerable numbers in the same house were, one after another, attacked. Both men, and women seemed at times to be possessed of special powers of being able to withstand danger, and freely visited, once a day or oftener, those of their friends whom they knew to be laid up. Medical men in large practice continued week after week, and month after month, to attend on a succession of patients during the epidemic without contracting the disease: in fact, it is quite remarkable how scarcely any of them were affected during November, December, January, and February, while, when the epidemic was near its height, numbers of them went down under its influence about the same time. Others, too, who appeared at one time proof against the disease, took it at another. For instance, a little boy, about five years of age, who was much with his mother while she was ill, escaped for two months, and then went through a severe attack. Numbers who escaped while the disease was most rife, nevertheless were singled out for attack, one after another, when no cases of dengue had been in their

neighbourhood for a considerable time. We are prevented from accepting such occurrences as proofs of the disease not being a contagious one, by a knowledge of the fact that other diseases about the contagion of which there can be no doubt, furnish parallel instances of persons being for longer or shorter periods insusceptible to their contagious properties. Although I have enumerated a considerable number of occasions in which the receptive power of the individual was for some time in abeyance,—and every observer had opportunities of collecting very many such examples,—yet I feel sure that such occurrences, when compared to the much more numerous instances in which no such exemptions were noticed, constituted but a small body of exceptions to an almost universal rule.

Examples of persons escaping from the disease by taking precautions to avoid being infected, were also to be encountered. One of the first of these which I met with, occurred early in the epidemic. A lad at school who was working for a special prize, had still some weeks to get over when dengue broke out in his house. Of his own accord he kept himself separate from the rest of his family, and almost barked at any servant who dared to come near him; he was rewarded for his forethought, and escaped the disease, though both his parents, three brothers, and two other relatives in the same house, were laid up rapidly in succession. A very young infant was just recovering from a dangerous illness when dengue attacked one of the older children;

the infant had been so weakened that I feared it would not survive if it contracted the disease. The infected child was shut up in a separate room with an English nurse, and most effective precautions were taken to prevent any communication with the rest of the family. Our endeavours were successful, and months afterwards, when the puny infant had grown to be a plump, strong child, one after another of the family became affected. Dengue attacked the master of a house at a time when there were special reasons for making an attempt to save one of the children from the disease; a most disagreeable and irksome quarantine was established, and carried out in spite of vigorous remonstrance as to its inutility. A servant told off to attend his master, contracted the disease from him; the table attendant selected to wait on him at meals, was also quickly attacked; and, as soon as they showed symptoms of illness, were banished from the grounds in which the house was situated, and these precautions proved effectual. Dengue was again brought into the same house by another male member of the family, who contracted it in the course of his duties some weeks after the master of the house had been ill; the most rigid seclusion was again enforced with a relentless hand, and the hard-hearted proceeding a second time secured immunity from the disease, for the mother and two children left Calcutta in August without having been attacked.

Much less careful avoidance of those affected seemed, on very numerous occasions, to secure at least tem-

porary immunity. At a time when the parts of the town inhabited by servants was being ravaged by the epidemic, I am sure that many secured exemption best who were most particular in insisting that any servant not in perfect health should never enter the house, and that those servants who had recently had the disease should not return to work till several days had elapsed, and time been allowed for their infecting powers to disappear.

I can give you no exact observations bearing on the question of how long the contagion lasts; but I feel sure that more danger is to be apprehended when the eruption is out than before it has appeared, and that the power of conveying the disease is greater just after the rash has declined than at any period of the disease. My own routine practice was to order a warm bath with carbolic soap on the eighth, ninth, and tenth days of the disease, and after this to pronounce the patients safe to be associated with. I have never even had occasion to suspect that any one thus certified, falsified my statement.

I am equally unable to give you exact data regarding the period that intervenes between receiving infection and showing signs of dengue. It is very difficult to conduct an investigation on this point, and exclude all possible sources of error. Examples by the hundred can be collected of individuals who showed the first symptom of the disease six hours, for instance, after they had been near another case, or twenty-four hours



after, or when two or three days had elapsed; in these cases, I believe the true source of contamination has been overlooked, and that the seeds of the malady had been received into the system before the time when the exposure that was noted took place. So also when a person gets the disease a week or two or a month after having been near a given case of dengue, I believe that the malady was not contracted on such an occasion, but from some other unknown source at a later date. The period of incubation I would estimate, in a general way, as being about five days, as many isolated observations lead me to think that such is probably the interval which is most often met with, after excluding cases which analogy points out as being unsuited for the purposes of such an inquiry. Two of the cases on which I relied most as apparently free from sources of fallacy, are as follows:—A gentleman went to call on a friend at the time that he was covered with the terminal rash of dengue; his friend remarked that he looked ill and unable to drive himself any further, and sent him back in a brougham driven by a native coachman. As soon as the brougham returned, his friend's wife at once went out in it, remaining in it for about an hour; and in about 120 hours after this drive she felt the pains of dengue, and had a sharp attack of the disease. In the other instance, two people, who had just come to Calcutta, went to live in an infected house, and both of them got the disease within a few hours of each other, before six days had elapsed. In America,

I believe they look on seven days as constituting the incubating period ; and though I would hesitate to say I have never seen it so prolonged, I feel confident I have often seen cases in which it has not occupied so long.


REPEATED ATTACKS.—A feature in dengue which caused considerable speculation, was the power which it possessed of attacking an individual more than once during the epidemic. As far as I know, the other febrile exanthemata never recur in this way. A second attack of scarlatina may take place. Measles may be repeated more than once in a lifetime, and so also may small-pox ; but an attack of any of these diseases confers protection for so long a time, that I have never seen any one who was more than once attacked during any single epidemic.

In the circumstance that many individuals have, during the past few months, gone through more than one seizure of the disease, I believe that dengue differs from its congeners. A great deal too much weight has however been given to this point of difference, for after all it is one of degree only. I have several times seen children who have had measles once, take it again the next year, when other members of their family had the disease. I know of small-pox having twice attacked the same person within the year ; and I once had a house surgeon attached to these wards who had such an inconvenient proclivity to varicella, that he

had to go through a severe attack of the disease each time he was brought in contact with a case of it. All these instances constitute exceptions to the fully acknowledged law, that a previous attack of any of these maladies confers a great amount of protection against a repetition of the disease. In dengue the same law holds good, and any one having once suffered from this affection, has a right to expect immunity from it for the future. The exceptions to this rule, however, constitute such a large body in the case of this malady, that most of the medical men who have seen such a searching and continued epidemic as the one now in progress, have had opportunities of attending one or more patients who have had the misfortune to be more than once selected as victims of its attack. I have heard of persons who, on previous occasions when the disease has been in Calcutta, have gone on for some weeks having attack after attack of it, one seizure commencing almost before another had terminated, and the sufferer being, for the whole period, in a chronic state of dengue. In these instances, one eruption after another seems to have declared itself in a much more marked form than the fever. I have not as yet seen any similar examples in this epidemic, as in any case of a second attack which has presented itself to my observation there has been a well-marked interval of weeks at least, if not of months, between the seizures. In the case of one lady, I believe that I have been a witness to three attacks during the present epidemic.

A first serious illness I regarded as an instance of *defaced* dengue—an example of the working of the poison of the disease in which several of usual manifestations were wanting; and to use Trousseau's illustration, I was in the position of one obliged to read the inscription on a coin in which some of the words or letters had become erased. In this first seizure there was no fever; the amount of the pains experienced was not of itself sufficient to stamp the case as one of dengue, though the discomfort of the small joints rendered this supposition extremely probable. The terminal rash only showed itself on the palms of the hands, and the initial rash was scarcely recognisable; while from the first an amount of depression and tendency to syncope existed to such an exaggerated extent, when compared to what is met with in other cases of the disease, as rather to obscure the diagnosis than to confirm the view I had taken of the attack. There was no difficulty in recognising the second advent of the disease which supervened when the children of the family suffered; and on the third occasion it was equally unmistakable, when it was contracted from her husband, who imported the malady afresh into the house, at a later period in the epidemic, after having used precautions to escape it, when the family had been previously infected. In both of these attacks, a repetition of the alarming sensations of sinking took place, and confirmed me in the belief that I had correctly read the significance of the doubtful symptoms of the first seizure.

Not only is it uncommon, in spite of all I have told you, to have more than one attack of dengue in the same epidemic, but the immunity from attack seems in many cases to last for years. I have heard of persons who suffered in 1824 escaping on this occasion; but this is the less conclusive evidence to my mind, as one English gentleman has told me that he escaped in 1824 and as yet has passed unscathed through the present season, and I know of a Bengalee lady in a similar position. Some of those whom I attended during past years with sporadic attacks of dengue are now scattered not only over India, but in distant parts of the world; so the evidence which their presence in Calcutta would have afforded is defective. However, I give you the statement for what it is worth, that I have not yet seen or heard of any one who had in previous years gone through an attack of the disease having suffered during this epidemic. Besides other instances of exemptions, I know of one in which a gentleman carried the infection of dengue to a distant out-station, to the house of patients of mine who had been attacked by the disease in 1868. He was laid up in the house of his hosts, both of whom were freely exposed, but did not suffer; though an English maid, who had joined their service lately and was not similarly protected, took the disease, and a child also showed signs of being ill, which they attributed to a slight attack of the same affection.



WYMAN & CO.'S

---

**EVERYBODY'S HANDY-BOOKS.**

**FROM CALCUTTA TO THE SNOWY RANGE;**

FORMING

A TOURIST'S GUIDE THROUGH THE UPPER PROVINCES OF INDIA TO THE HIMALAYAS

CONTAINING

*Historical and Descriptive Accounts of Monghyr and Benares, Allahabad, Cawnpore, Lucknow, Agra, Delhi, and Simla, with notes by the way.*

BY FRED. F. WYMAN.

With Eight Coloured Illustrations. Price, with postage, Cash, Rs. 4 ; if booked, Rs. 5.

---

**A GUIDE TO SIMLA;**

WITH DESCRIPTIVE ACCOUNTS OF THE

*Neighbouring Sanitaria—Subathoo, Dugshai, Sanawar, Kussowlie, Kotegurh, Chinee, &c., &c., &c.*

Price, with postage, Cash, Rs. 4 ; if booked, Rs. 5.

---

**THE REMITTANCE LETTER-BOOK,  
FOR OUT-STATIONS THROUGHOUT INDIA.**

*A printed form of letter (with counterfoil) for sending and recording Remittances to Tradesmen and others, with an Alphabetical Index.*

Price, with postage, Cash, Rs. 3-8 ; if booked, Rs. 4-4.

By the use of this most handy book, reference can at any moment be made, and full particulars ascertained, regarding payments made—no matter when. This book possesses the several advantages of: 1. Saving of time (in writing); 2. Protection in case of loss or theft through the post (since all particulars of the remittance can be found here); 3. Prevention of disputes with Tradesmen as to payments of money; 4. And is a permanent RECEIPT BOOK, with full evidence *as to how the money has been paid.*

---

**DENGUE, AND ITS TREATMENT.**

2ND EDITION, ENLARGED.

With Notes, Additional Information, later Observations, and Analysis. Illustrated by Diagrams.

By T. EDMONDSTON CHARLES, M.D.

Price, with postage, Cash, Rs. 3-8 ; if booked, Rs. 4.

---

**THE INDIAN COOKERY-BOOK;**

WRITTEN IN INDIA FOR INDIA.

Containing, in addition to Hundreds of New Receipts for Indian Dishes, a Large Collection of Valuable Household and General Receipts.

Price (Cloth 12mo., interleaved), with postage, Cash, Rs. 4 ; if booked, Rs. 5.

MISCELLANEOUS PUBLICATIONS.

INDIAN IMPERIAL TABLES  
OF  
WEIGHTS, MEASURES, PRICES, BULLION, & EXCHANGE  
COMPRISING

*Tables converting English, Indian, and Burmese Weights and Measures, and Prices based on them, into Indian Imperial Weights and Measures of Act XI. of 1870, together with Bullion and Exchange Tables.*

By JAMES BRIDGNELL,

*Author of "Indian Commercial Tables;" Chief Accountant, H.M.'s Mint, Calcutta; Auditor and Average Adjuster.*

Second Edition, with the New Act.

The Work embraces 120 conversions of the present Weights and Measures of India, Burmah, and England into the new Weights and Measures which have become the Standards of India; and includes, besides, Exchange Tables for converting Indian Money into Pound Sterling and Dollars. It is accordingly full of tabular equivalents, which cannot but prove invaluable to men of business.

Price, with postage, Cash, Rs. 8; if booked, Rs. 10.

INDIAN HOUSEHOLD BOOKS.

	<i>Cash with order.</i>	<i>If booked.</i>		<i>Cash with order.</i>	<i>If booked.</i>
The Wine and Cellar-Book ...	Rs. 2	2-4	The Lady's Dhubie Book—		
The Servant's Register and Pay-Book ...	Rs. 2	2-4	Part I.—For a Single Lady, or Married Lady without Children ...	Re. 1	1-4
The Indian Order-Book ...	Rs. 2	2-4	Part II.—For a Lady with Children ...	Re. 1	1-4
The Household Dhubie-Book ...	Re. 1	1-4	The Gentleman's Dhubie-Book	Re. 1	1-4

*Including forwardal cost.*

A HANDY BOOK  
ON THE LAW OF MASTER AND SERVANT;  
FOR DOMESTIC AND GENERAL USE.

By GIDEON COLQUHOUN SCONCE, Esq., B.L.

Price, with postage, Cash, Rs. 4; if booked, Rs. 5.

This book is an attempt to meet a public want, which is believed to be universally felt.

The state of the Law on the subject of Master and Servant is but little understood by the Public at large; or, if understood, is taken little advantage of by employers. On the one hand, ignorance of the Law frequently leads a Master to submit when a Servant makes a vexatious and wholly unjust claim against him; and on the other hand, the Master, while honestly seeking a mere remedy against his Servant's fraud or misconduct, unconsciously puts himself in the wrong.



