Essays in preventive medicine : infection and disinfection : the health of children, and the period of infection in epidemic diseases / by William Squire.

PREVENTION OF

INFECTIVE FEVERS,

AND THE

USE OF DISINFECTANTS.

WITH NOTES ON

THE HEALTH OF CHILDREN.

BY

WILLIAM SQUIRE, M.D.,

Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians;
Physician to the St. George's, Hanover Square, Dispensary;
Member of the Epidemiological Society of London.

LONDON:
J. & A. CHURCHILL,
11, NEW BURLINGTON STREET.

1887.

Edgar March Crookshank.

SAINT HILL, SUSSEX.

With The author's compliments

INFECTION

AND

DISINFECTION.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY GEORGE PULMAN, 24 & 25, THAYER STREET,

MANCHESTER SQUARE, W.

ESSAYS

IN PREVENTIVE MEDICINE:

INFECTION AND DISINFECTION,

THE HEALTH OF CHILDREN,

AND THE PERIOD OF

INFECTION IN EPIDEMIC DISEASES.

BY

WILLIAM SQUIRE, M.D., F.R.C.P.



LONDON:
J. & A. CHURCHILL,
11, NEW BURLINGTON STREET.

1887.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY GEORGE PULMAN, 24 & 25, THAYER STREET

MANCHESTER SQUARE, W.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

PART I.

PART II.

ESSAYS.

(*The headings of all pages from* 50 *to* 100 *will guide to their contents.*)

ESSAYS IN PREVENTIVE MEDICINE.

———:———

INTRODUCTION:—These essays have aimed at setting forth some general hygienic and physiological truths; such as may help us against much avoidable illness, and may usefully be kept in mind during our efforts to prevent the more widely diffused infectious fevers.

Our first stand against diseases is based on a full knowledge of the characters of health; in this way only is that early recognition of departure from the healthy standard secured which is of chief importance in the avoidance of further mischief. It is not enough for the purposes of defence to know the symptoms of special illnesses, the natural history of each, or the earliest signs of ingress; but the normal variation of the pulse, respiration, temperature, secretions, growth and weight of the individual at different ages, and under varying conditions, must be studied and known. Any gain in certainties of this kind, however slowly won, steadily advances the art of medicine.

The titles or headings to the essays here brought together, sufficiently indicate their special objects; each must speak for itself as to how far it helps to the attainment of the general idea. The methods followed are those of my masters in medicine, Dr. Walter Hayle Walshe, and Sir William Jenner; these have led me to separate rubella from both rubeola and roseola, and to set catarrhal croup apart from diphtheria. Therapeutic details are omitted because of the opportunity afforded me by two of my oldest friends—Dr. Russell Reynolds and Dr. Quain—in the monumental works on medicine they have edited, to set forth in full in the "System" and "Dictionary," not only my views on the most prevalent and most fatal of the common infective fevers, but my ideas as to their treatment. In "A System of Medicine" an old and close intimacy with the editor, not only first set my observations among children into "act and use," but enabled me to show that, if active means were sometimes necessary against croup, the number of cases in which they were uncalled-for preponderated and was then enormously on the increase in London,—a warning much wanted at the time, but now less needed with our wider knowledge of septic influence in acute diseases. The analogies of erysipelas and diphtheria are dwelt upon in the "System;" and the therapeutic idea of aiding the resistance of the body against the encroachments of "germs," rather than to the use of germicides, is accepted. Later experience shews how little influence antiseptics exert over the course of the infective fevers. The sulpho-carbolates are disused; the power of Salicylic acid is defined, and this prevails against acute rheumatism where no specific germ is found. A use for antiseptics occurs in the treatment of Diarrhœa by acids inimicable to the associated

germs. Dilute Sulphuric Acid has well-nigh displaced the old chalk mixture. M. Lesage has shewn that Hydrochloric or Lactic Acids, at 2 per cent., arrest the green ferment so frequent with children (see p. 68), and are thus more available in infantile diarrhœa than mercurial powders, or the newer use of the perchloride. Much has been gained of late in general therapeutics that is necessary to a right judgment in the use of drugs; but a knowledge of the natural history of disease must precede treatment, and neither bleeding nor aconite nor the new antipyretics need be employed in cases when the raised pulse or high temperature will undergo a sudden fall, often in a few hours, in the known course of the ailment.

The class of preventable diseases, already a large one, extends much beyond our present view. Starting with the infections from without, and how to restrict their effects, we soon find that there are auto-genetic poisons to be guarded against, and often requiring similar means and care for their avoidance; as the horizon widens many other diseases become included. Some forms of Heart Disease and of Bright's Disease, secondary to processes brought more and more under our control, such as Rheumatic Fever and Kidney irritation, are greatly lessened and often prevented, not only by early and proper care, but by our direct medical intervention, as with the salicylates in the one case, and by pilocarpine or suitable medication in the other. Acute Rheumatism is so constantly shortened by the conveyance of the Salicylic antiferment to the tissues and fluids of the body during this disease process that it may in one sense be called Zymotic. Its arrest indirectly prevents the Heart Disease otherwise so frequent. The reaction of any disturbance of one part of the body on the functions of the other organs is well known in the causation of preventable disease. Impaired or enfeebled heart may cause hepatic or pulmonary congestions; excited cardiac action leads to rigid arteries and kidney disease with reciprocal injury. In these cases, to relieve or moderate the mischief at one point is to diminish it all round.

Taking the wider view of this subject, many of the diseases dependent upon defective nutrition and some of the so-called constitutional disorders are brought within the sphere of a rational and effective preventive medicine. There are forms of inherited disease, the enthetic, to be eradicated by medical treatment on timely recognition. Rickets, totally distinct from this and from Struma, is prevented by pure air and right food. The modern view of strumous and phthisical diatheses is against the supposition of inherited tubercle, but an inherited tendency to develope this form of disease must be admitted; also that much may be done for the susceptible to ward off its incidence by an abundance of fresh air, by a dietary rich in easily assimilable carbo-hydrates, and by such exercise as tends to free chest expansion, while extra care is taken to avoid local congestions. Tubercular accidents are frequent in convalescence from the infective fevers, so that to prevent one is to prevent the other. All that favours healthy growth in childhood and prevents arrest of development also prevents the disabilities and disease that would otherwise ensue.

ON INFECTION AND DISINFECTION.

SANITATION twenty years ago meant good drainage, a wholesome water supply, and air untainted by any common nuisance that could be abated or removed. The immediate gain from measures then urged forward was great, and no wonder; cleanliness, with purity of air and water, must always be the basis of hygiene. Many of the well-named "filth diseases" were prevented, some diminution was noticed in the prevalence of comsumption, improvement was even hoped for in the common infective fevers; for was it not possible that some of them might arise from decomposition or damp, and probable that their fatality was increased by insanitary surroundings? The latter of these suppositions is well founded, the former has had to be gradually relinquished. A specific 'germ' is concerned in all infective disease. Such a one is found in Phthisis; another is propagated in outbreaks of Enteric fever; the necessity for such an agency in Malarial fever is generally admitted, even if the *bacillus malariæ* of Klebs and Tommasi-Crudeli had no better acceptance than the Cholera *komma*.

Proofs of the latter of the above suppositions abound. Very often the greater severity of Measles leads to the discovery of something wrong about the house; or the fatality of scarlet fever is traced to a local sanitary defect; should this not be discovered and remedied, all attempts to remove infection may fail. A house has been unoccupied for a year, and for another six months under repair, but old drains and a cess-pit remaining infection has seized the new comers soon after commencing residence. Yet with full attention to such sanitary matters in Scarlet fever, and also to the one necessary precaution against Small-pox, both those diseases have occured in their greatest severity since the era of sanitation was fully inaugurated; nor has the prevalence of Measles, Rubella, Mumps, Varicella or Whooping Cough been in any degree lessened. The reason of this is that one factor, that of infection, has been disregarded or kept in the back ground. Much has been said of 'wash and be clean,' little of 'do as you would be done by,' the chief maxim to be acted on in restricting the spread of infectious diseases; if you would not wish infection to be brought into your own house or family avoid all risk of being, in any way, the medium of taking it elsewhere. To make it possible to act up to this rule, the laws of infection for each of the infective fevers should be known; and, one must always bear in mind that it is the slightest forms of these diseases that mostly carry infection; the sufferers from the more severe attacks are confined to their rooms, and are seldom the cause of any spread of infection while they are under the charge of nurses and doctors. There remain the dangers of convalescence, against which, also, it is the object of these pages to give some words of caution.

Scarlet fever differs from small-pox in three important particulars;

first, in the shortness of the incubation period, or the rapidity with which the disease is declared; secondly, in the variety and duration of the complications which follow; thirdly, in the long convalescence and increased length of time during which infection persists. Separate wards are required for cases of different degrees of severity, and unless a convalescent department is available, the length of time necessary to retain patients in hospital, lest they should be a source of danger to others, is double that for small pox, thus crippling the amount of hospital accommodation for acute cases by half the whole number of beds.

Vaccination affords a protection against small-pox hardly to be hoped for in any other disease of this class. No modified form of scarlet fever will ensure against its recurrence in a more severe form. Were we to inoculate from a mild case of the disease, it is likely we should set up a severe, and it might be a fatal attack; however mild a form might result it would involve the danger of infecting others, and every case risks the well-known after-complications. The modified disease lately discovered in the cow produces a slight form of illness in some cases, but not in all. The milder epidemics, conveyed through the medium of milk would continue to spread, and give rise to serious effects, if not so narrowly watched to their end. A first case of scarlet fever is often of a mild character, while a second, perhaps directly derived from the first, may be very severe, the more so if both were treated together in one room. This was observed in the Hendon milk epidemic. Moreover the milder cases, some from milk infection, give no certain immunity against a recurrence. We cannot hope to protect by inoculation in a disease not clearly self-protective. It is not an unusual thing to see scarlet fever happen at twice, as it were; a child has sore-throat and very little rash, or none, yet the illness is followed by desquamation, and, it may be, with other marked special signs; a year or two after this child is exposed to scarlet fever and has well-marked rash, a short illness, and no desquamation. Reinfection from scarlet fever has recently occurred, to my knowledge, in a child four weeks after a first typical attack the second attack being the more severe and both followed by desquamation. If the unmodified virus does not confer immunity from a future attack, it is not likely that a modified virus will do so. Two years ago, or more, Dr. Klein shewed that scarlet fever could be conveyed from man to the cow; he has now proved the converse. Quite recently at a meeting of the Royal Society he has shewn that a specific micrococcus from the abraded udder of an infected cow, cultivated in milk or gelatine, produced effects when inoculated under the skin of calves precisely like those resulting from a primary inoculation from the affected cow. Dr. Klein has obtained this micrococcus during the acute stage of scarlet fever in man; these, when inoculated in calves, after cultivation apart from the living body, reproduce themselves and a disease identical with scarlet fever. There is no proof, in the eager comments a discovery of so much interest has called forth, that we have here the origin of scarlet fever in man; the inference is rather the other way. Still less is it to be supposed in the case of a disease highly

infectious from person to person, that we should soon do away with scarlet fever by care as to cows, or drinking no milk that has not been boiled. The real utility of this discovery rather lies in the fact, that, having the microscopic agent of the disease directly under observation, we can ascertain what circumstances are inimical to its activity, and how it can best be destroyed.

Isolation of the sick and convalescent, varying in time and degree with the nature of the disease, and disinfection chiefly personal are our two great means of controlling the spread of infectious fevers. It may be premature to attribute the recent remarkable reduction in our small-pox and scarlet fever epidemics exclusively to our increased means of isolation, but it is well to call attention to the coincidence. The first action of the London Asylum Board Hospitals was to reduce the small-pox mortality in London from 7,912 in 1871 to 113 in 1873, or lower than ever before, and in 1874 and 1875 to 57 and 46; it was only 24 last year. The annual Scarlet Fever mortality in London, for the years 1875 and 1880 exceeded 3,000; in 1884 it was reduced to less than one half this, and for 1885 and 1886, the average is 700, the hospital accommodation for these cases having increased at the same time fourfold. This, and no vague general cause, is to be assigned for the remarkable decrease in scarlet fever in London. While declining in one place, even now the disease is seen to be increasing in another.

THE results obtained in our largest communities towards the control of Scarlet Fever raise two questions. First, can much in the same direction be done for the protection of our villages, schools, and families? Second, how far might what is trustworthy and possible in our large towns fail us at home, or be inapplicable in detail to the smaller units of the community. The room for doubt on this latter point is small, when we consider that the natural history of the disease has been gathered from the collection of individual facts, and by observations made in families; and, that having proved the validity, on a large scale, of the laws thus deduced which govern the spread of Scarlet Fever, we can the more confidently apply them to special cases in limiting infection. As infection is reproduced in the sick, and spreads from them, all effective measures of disinfection should begin at the bed-side, and follow the sick person; consequently the answer to the first question must be largely in the affirmative.

Six weeks is the shortest period in which simple cases of scarlet fever can be considered free from infection. Some careful observations on convalescents as to the period of infection in epidemic disease published more than twelve years ago* by the author, showed that persons recovering from scarlet fever, and apparently well, had imparted the disease to others six weeks, or, with certain complications, for a much longer period, after the commencement of the attack. Abundant proof of this has since accumulated.

* Transactions of the Epidemiological Society of London, Vol. III., p. 442.

The duration of infectiveness is shorter for small-pox and measles than for scarlet fever; it is shortest after chicken-pox, where after a week's convalescence, or ten days from the full eruption, while the places left by the spots had barely healed, children have safely mixed with others, although another week's seclusion is needed for their own safety. Long care is required for convalescents from all the exanthems; even in the least of them, chicken-pox, dropsical symptoms have appeared, and fatal kidney congestion from exposure to cold, three weeks after the attack. Two simple points serve to distinguish the severer forms of chicken-pox (Varicella) from modified small-pox (Variola); one is, that in the first a spot of eruption may be found on the head or neck with some prominent small glands, on the same day the fever begins; the other is, that allowing for an initial high temperature, the fever continues and mostly increases during the four days of eruption, while that of small-pox occurs two days before the spots appear and falls as they get full.

The infection of whooping cough may persist as long as that of scarlet fever, or be kept up by intercurrent disease; for this is one of those diseases which, unlike small-pox, can be intensified and prolonged by multiplying the number of cases treated together, and by keeping them in one room. Under favourable conditions infection may end in this disease at any time after the first three weeks. When the cough has ceased thus early, some precautions against a relapse or re-appearance of the cough must remain in force for a week or more. Spasmodic cough may recur much later without infection, for, as after scarlet fever the nutrition of the skin may remain altered when infection is over, so after whooping cough some cough may remain, or return and be spasmodic, long after all infective power has ceased.

More young children die of whooping cough than of scarlet fever; but the more serious and fatal consequences of that disease are accidental to the feeble frame of the youngest sufferers from it; they are not the specific and inner constitutional or organic changes such as are set up by scarlet fever, which are capable of reproducing this disease, and from which no age is exempt. The deaths from whooping cough, under five years, often exceed in number those from scarlet fever at all ages; last year they were twice as numerous in London.

To quote again from the last report of the Registrar General, the twenty-fifth, p. xii., after noticing that the mortality from this cause remains unchanged from one decennium to another, the report continues:—"Owing to the very early age at which whooping cough usually occurs, and the consequent impossibility of removing the sick from the healthy, this disease is less amenable than most other zymotic ailments to sanitary control. As was said in speaking of measles and scarlet fever, the mortality from whooping cough in a given decennium is determined mainly by the number of epidemical years that occur within the period; and there was one such year in each of the last decennia, viz., 1866 in

the earlier, and 1878 in the later decennium." We may already add 1882 for the next period. Surely much is due from intelligent effort towards minimising the effect of such epidemical recurrences.

The early Spring is always that part of the year when whooping cough is most prevalent and most fatal; at this time, together with croup and laryngitis, it is almost as deadly to young infants as the diarrhœa of the hot season of the year, or the bronchitis of winter. Scarlet fever always extends in the Autumn; diphtheria mostly in the Winter and Spring; measles has two seasons of greater prevalence, which curiously correspond with children's holiday times. The constant manner in which the autumn fatality from scarlet fever is balanced by the great increase of that from whooping cough in the Spring is a note of difference. Scarlet fever differs also from the diseases above mentioned in not being specially fatal to infants of a year old and under.

As the spread of infection is very often less from want of care during the illness than from ignorance of the natural history of the more common infectious fevers, the following points, to be remembered in dealing with each of them, are given in a tabular form.

Table for each infectious disease:—(i), of the time at which it is likely to show itself after exposure to infection; (ii.), the time after separation from infection, when, if no illness appear, we may conclude the disease has been escaped; (iii.), the time for the rash or other characteristic sign of the illness to appear after the first sickening for it; (iv.), the time from the beginning of the illness to the end of infectiveness:—

Name of Disease.	Usual time of Incubation. (i.)	Possible interval. (ii.)	For rash or other sign. (iii.)	Duration of infectiveness. (iv.)
Small Pox	12 days ...	15 days	... 2 to 3 days	... 3 to 4 weeks
Measles	8 to 12 „ ...	18 „	... 3 „ 4 „	... 3 „ 4 „
Scarlet Fever ...	2 „ 5 „ ...	8 „	... 2 „	... 6 „ 8 „
Rubella	10 „ 21 „ ...	3 weeks	... 1 „ 2 „	... 2 or 3 „
Chicken Pox ...	10 „ 14 „ ...	2½ weeks	... 1 „	... a fortnight
Mumps	12 „ 21 „ ...	3 weeks	... 1 „ 5 „	... 2 or 3 weeks
Whooping Cough ...	6 „ 12 „ ...	a fortnight	... 1 to 3 weeks	6 weeks more or less
Diphtheria	2 „ 12 „ ...	a fortnight	... 1 to 2 days	... 6 or 8 weeks
Enteric Fever ...	5 „ 20 „	a month or more	10 to 12 „	4 to 8 weeks or more
Typhus Fever ...	2 „ 12 „ ...	a fortnight	... 5 days	... 3 or 4 weeks
Cholera	2 „ 5 „ ...	a week	... sudden	... uncertain

It may be noticed in the above table, that, in most cases, the longer the period of incubation, the shorter the duration of infectiveness.

The interval in the first and second column has to be reckoned both from the day of separation from the infecting source and also from that of first exposure to it, for infection may have been received at any part of that time. In the case of small-pox if the exposure has not exceeded three days, re-vaccination has a reasonable chance of success; indeed all exposed to this infection, if not thoroughly protected, should be immediately re-vaccinated. To those who have not previously been vaccinated a single exposure may prevent the success of vaccination. In the third column two days or more of uncertainty are seen in the first stage of infectious diseases; these days are infectious. An early guide to a further separation of doubtful cases from others, will be found in some enlargement of the small glands of the neck or behind the ear. In children some of these glands may be very prominent three days before the rash of measles, and the day before the eruption is noticed in rubella, or in chicken-pox; smaller glands down the side of the neck near the windpipe become palpably perceptible before whooping cough, and in croup; their presence alone is not a sign of infectious disease, but with any febrile symptoms they suggest the need of further caution. The fall in body-heat noticeable before the ingress of certain of these diseases can seldom be acted on as a warning from want of continuous skilled observation.

Precautions against the spread of infection on the ingress of scarlet fever are more often successful than in other common communicable fevers, because the onset of the disease is sudden, and is generally so marked as to oblige the sufferer to lie up at once. Two days are required for the distinctive rash, but the sore throat is at once enough to arouse suspicion, and it is not difficult to keep the patient apart from others while the nature of the illness is in doubt; a rash that has been preceded by three or four days of irritable cough with sneezing, is probably measles; a bright spotty rash beginning on the face without marked previous illness, is most likely rubella, or false measles; the fine rash of scarlet fever, first found on the neck or loins, seldom begins on the face and is not in spots, though red points may be seen in the finely diffused and often dusky redness that extends over a large extent of surface from neck, chest, or wrists, and soon becomes general.

Separation when the rash first appears is mostly in time to save the susceptible from scarlet fever. This is not so in measles, where the earliest symptoms convey disease; nor in any other prevalent infection. Diphtheria is communicable in the stage of sore throat, or of malaise preceding the more marked signs of the disease; whooping cough is catching during the first catarrhal symptoms, before their specific character is recognised. These diseases are often spread in their early stages; scarlet fever is not without such risk, but, from the shortness of incubation, it is more under control; after one week of separation, if no symptoms of illness appear, we know the disease is escaped from; the other infectious fevers, to which children are most liable, require nearly

a fortnight for their development, and two or three of them sometimes as long as three weeks. In some mild cases of scarlet fever, we may have to wait as long as this before we can be sure we have scarlet fever to deal with, that is, until desquamation removes all doubt as to the nature of the disease.

Besides the danger of infection being carried by those only sickening for an infectious illness, there is the ready conveyance of it by what are called *fomites* to be guarded against; particles given off by the sick readily attach themselves to any surfaces they come into contact with, and may settle from the air of the sick room, even as it escapes into passages or other parts of the house, and so cling to clothing stored near, or be carried in the folds of the dress by those going to and fro. Without these means of conveyance infection, of the kind we are here dealing with, can travel but a short distance out of doors, and soon loses its dangerous powers when exposed to the action of fresh air and light; how this comes about is not clear, neither physical dilution, chemical change, or modified activity of the germ, will alone explain a fact that is, perhaps, more obvious in our crowded streets than in villages where sanitary surroundings are equally observed; country gossips often spread infection; while in London, where next door neighbours hardly know each other, infection seldom passes from house to house, still more rarely across even a narrow street.

An important rule, never to be neglected, follows: this is, while one member of a household is laid up with an infectious illness others of that family should not mix with parties of susceptible children, or be sent to school or to church. This rule should be observed during the progress of a doubtful illness. School managers cannot be too careful in this respect; in day schools when any child is absent from illness, inquiry should be made as to the nature of the ailment, and while the reply is not satisfactory other children from that home should stay away. Still more strictly should the return of a convalescent be guarded against until every precautionary measure has been fully completed; after scarlet fever and diphtheria six weeks must have passed and a certificate should be required from the health authorities that clothes and room have been disinfected. When a series of cases of this kind have occurred in any one school, the best plan is to close it for a time. In any village epidemic among children, school attendance should be suspended for two months.

A former word of advice as to scarlet fever may be quoted, not only important to the convalescents themselves, but which, if largely acted on, would further reduce the spread of the disease and relieve our health resorts from one of their greatest risks: "Do not seek change of air too "soon for scarlet fever convalescents; they are better in their rooms for "the first three weeks; they are not only safer in their homes, for the

"next three weeks after that, but they gain strength, just as fast, often "faster, than if they had been sent off for change of air, to the danger "of the conveyance used, to the danger of the lodging they go to, and "to the danger of all with whom they come into contact."*

Whooping cough, as an example of infectious disease not fitted for treatment in hospital, may furnish some hints as to the management of those cases of scarlet fever which cannot conveniently receive hospital treatment. The severity of whooping cough is certainly increased, both by inclemency of season, and by overcrowding. In all likelihood the latter may claim the greatest share in causing the high rate of infantile mortality. To avoid such risk, if we cannot separate the sick infants from the healthy, we can take means to separate healthy infants from the sick. In this as in other diseases of the class, more than in some others, the two room treatment is essential; with varicella, rubella, and even measles (all short self-limited fevers) the sick may be kept in one room with advantage during the strictly specific process; not so with diphtheria nor influenza-catarrh, in both of which children often suffer from strict confinement to one room. A too free or constant use of the steam-kettle does harm both by displacing fresh air and by favouring germs. In common colds and sore throats confinement to the house for considerable periods is often beneficial. It is worth noting that except in specific catarrhs children seldom expel mucus by coughing. Might not an effective isolation often be obtained in villages by boarding out the susceptible members of a family invaded by epidemic disease.

Next, to avoid the chance of aggravating their own illness by going out of doors, and of spreading the disease to others, young children with coughs and colds should be kept at home till they are well, or until the nature of the disease is clear. However useful change of air may be in convalescence from most ailments, nothing is so hurtful in the catarrhal stage of whooping cough as exposure to even moderate wind or chill. In this way more harm than good results from sending children to gasworks, and other places, to inhale the vapours of tar or sulphur. Here again the two room system is required, the one chiefly occupied by the sick must be cleaned, ventilated, and perhaps disinfected while they are placed in a second room, which afterwards has to be purified in its turn before it is used again by the healthy. Intelligent visitors, and in some districts a special nurse, may do much by words of advice during illness, with hints as to pure air, cleanliness, and disinfection, to lessen the danger and stop the spread of disease.

The room set apart for nursing a case of infectious illness, should have a clear capacity of seven hundred cubic feet at least (a room 8 feet high and 10 feet square will give this), so that the air may be continuously renewed without draught or undue lowering of the temperature. A fireplace is essential; even in the summer a lighted

*Social Science Transactions, 1875. Longmans & Co., p. 563.

fire aids ventilation. Not only should a screen be interposed between the door and the patient, but a smaller screen on a stand, or perhaps only a towel rack, can be so placed as to intercept the free diffusion by coughing, or otherwise, of exhalations from the patient throughout the room. No article of food is to be kept in the room. The air can be thoroughly changed and the windows opened while the child is covered.

When two children are treated in the same room for infectious illness, they should occupy separate beds in a large room with 500 cubic feet of space to each; they should be placed some distance apart, or on either side of the fireplace, so that the air current from door or window to the fire may pass between them; the separation thus effected is complete enough—aided by some interposed screen, with careful management and separate service, even when only one room is available—to enable a susceptible child to occupy one side of the room, and to keep in health, while on the other side a child goes through all the stages of an infectious illness. If only a small room is available the patients must be more frequently moved into a second room. Both rooms must be cleared as far as possible, thoroughly cleaned, and alternately purified; sometimes fumigation or a coat of limewash can be applied in the interval, and by some of these means mentioned the infectious period of many diseases has been much shortened; the duration of whooping cough has been reduced to three weeks by filling the room with sulphur fumes for a few hours, the patients being removed till it is cleared and warmed.

Free ventilation with neatness and cleanliness is at the root of all thorough disinfection. The action of any agent absolutely destructive to infective particles is necessarily very limited, both as to the extent and the conditions in which it can be applied; for each one of them in full intensity is also destructive to organized matter or to life. In trusting to germicides of more moderate powers, even those only antiseptic, or to the promoters of chemical change as our aids to disinfection, it is well to employ some whose powers can be judged of by sight or by smell; yet whatever disinfectant of this class is used, at whatever strength, its presence in the air of the room ought not to be so marked as to mask the sense of freshness in the air. It is not only useless to load the air of the sick chamber with any disinfectant, unless free ingress of air is provided, but positively iujurious to the patient.

The disinfectants used may be either Carbolic acid, a teaspoonful to a pint of water, or Permanganate of Potash, two grains (equalling a teaspoonful of Condy's fluid) to a pint of water; these are cheap and their use obvious to the senses, for the one is made evident by its disagreeable odour, the other by its well-marked colour. Carbolic acid has the advantage of not discolouring anything in which or to which it is applied, and may be used to cover all refuse for removal from the room, or be ready to receive expectoration, &c. When sprayed about the room, it is not so refreshing as the diluted Condy; this latter may again be diluted by one

half and used to purify any articles that have been handled by the patient, or even that have been on or near the bed; thus used, the pink colour is lost or becomes a dull brown as long as any impurities remain, so indicating the need of more of the disinfectant.

Among the more useful disinfectants, Sanitas and Terebene yielding Hydroxyl or peroxide of hydrogen, deserve a high place; they are derivatives from Oil of Turpentine with which the latter is isomeric; both give off a penetrating odour, ozonising the air; they are equally serviceable mixed with water to check infectious emanations from excreta, as for sprinkling about the room, on the screens, clothes, and carpets, or for use in spray; this latter method answers well for freshening the air of the sick room, and sometimes for its directly curative action; as is seen after the use of the Permanganate in sore throats, or of Terebene in coughs. A purer colourless form of Terebene is prepared for internal use; the crude, darker liquid is to be diluted in the same way as Condy for general purposes, or used in small quantities of full strength, where a stronger disinfectant is wanted. A Sanitas Oil is vaporized from boiling water; diluted it affords a cheap form of the ordinary liquid. As one disinfectant should serve as much as possible for all purposes the choice will often fall on Sanitas. A little of either of these weaker fluids can be used directly to the mouth or nose; any expectoration should be immersed in them. These means are to some extent required in common colds, and attacks of diarrhœa; also in consumption, and prolonged or other chronic illness; a five per cent. Carbolic acid solution serves for phthisical sputa. Some embrocations in common use are disinfectant; such are the Camphor and Turpentine liniments of the pharmacopœia, and the oil of amber. Benzoin used internally and the Balsam of Peru used externally have some effect of this kind, the former yields Benzoic Acid, the latter Styrone and Resorcin, all effective germicides. The use of Borax and the still greater potency of Boracic acid are well known.

Stronger disinfectants are sometimes required for special purposes. Benzine, in the carbolic series, is effective; coal-tar naphtha, paraffin or common petroleum oil, is a handy form and can, with any tainted shreds, be completely burnt afterwards out of doors; it is equally potent in destroying the germs of virulent discharges, as against those of the grosser parasites. The Chlorinated Soda of the Pharmacopœia is a strong disinfectant. The strongest are Chlorine and Corrosive Sublimate; the latter is now to be had in a soap, wherein there is less danger of any mistake in its use, and the efficacy is not lost. The Milton Chemical Company, of Glasgow, makes this and other disinfective soaps, such as that with Iodoform or Eucalyptus, that are preferable for some purposes to those made with carbolic acid.

The stronger disinfectants are *germicide*, that is, they kill the germs of disease. This is done either rapidly and absolutely as by

fire, more slowly but not less surely by heat from 180° to 240° F., and with varying degress of intensity by chemical agencies; among these must be reckoned the slow combustion of all particles brought back to common earth, also the absorption and purification of foul gases by charcoal. The latter is one type of *Antiseptic*, it holds the poison in its pores and secures its ultimate destruction; this class of antiseptic hastens change, the intended oxidation of the mass or the particle may go on in time to completion, till all traces of either are removed, or only go so far as to purifying the surrounding air. The second class of antiseptic acts rather by delaying change and so keeping the air pure from taint; this is done in two ways, either by a "pickling" action on the offensive medium, so that it can undergo its further destructive changes elsewhere and under conditions not likely to be harmful, or by sterilizing the activity of the germs of disease. Some power of this kind is possessed by all antiseptics; as by Sanitas or Peroxide of Hydrogen, and Permanganate of Potash of the first class, —where even the 'germ of decay' has a part—and, in the two divisions of the second class by common salt, alum, zinc, iron, vinegar, and alcohol, or by lime, the hydrochloric, carbolic, boracic, and salicylic acids, by quinine, and by all the stronger germicides in their weaker forms.

A knowledge of the relative powers of various germicides and antiseptics is needful to the intelligent use of disinfectants; all sure germicides, short of the energy which destroys organic structure, must have an intensity or continuity of action destructive to every kind of life and even to the protoplasm through which it is manifest. Yet these may either be used in full potency without danger, or may be reduced from any degree of activity to merely antiseptic power. In the first case, by removing all living creatures from a room till it is free again, sulphur can be burnt or chlorine evolved sufficient to penetrate and fill the whole space; then by allowing sufficient time, 24 hours or more, disinfection is complete. Again, the protoplasmic poisons, such as mercury, arsenic, or iodine can be strictly under the control of the doctor, and not left with either nurse or attendant in their strongest form. In the second case, by using a smaller proportion of sulphur or chlorine for a shorter time, or to a limited space, a purifying effect short of absolute disinfection, but very useful, can often be obtained.

Experiment has determined for several disinfectants their relative germicide and antiseptic power; of Chlorine, one part to 25,000, is an effective germicide; it is readily obtained from Chloride of lime by adding to it rather more than the same quantity of strong hydrochloric acid previously mixed with water. Next in power comes Corrosive Sublimate, of which 1 to 5,000 is germicide, 1 to 20,000 (1 to 300,000 Koch) is antiseptic; or one grain to ten ounces, half-a-pint, is fully disinfectant, and from a quarter of a grain up to 3 grains to the gallon sufficient for many of the more common purposes. Iodine or bromine have one-tenth of this power, neither are very available. Iodol is better than Iodoform.

Sulphurous acid has a high place as one of the more powerful

and most useful disinfectants; it is very volatile and penetrating, is absorbed by cloth and by leather, bleaches vegetable colours, and acts on iron; burning 1lb. of sulphur gives 11·7 cubic feet of this as gas. The gas is very soluble, and is powerful in the disinfection of liquids, it destroys sulphuretted hydrogen, and is not hindered in its actions by albuminous matters. The Pharmacopœial acid, a 5 per cent. aqueous solution, 1 part of gas to 20, is always obtainable, and, when fresh, is both effective and safe; this can be mixed with 20 parts of other matters, and as long as the mixture retains its acidity is effective for certain purposes; it is not merely a deodorizer, but will check the development of 'germs,' while, with free access of air, it rather hastens than retards other changes; with two parts of water this acid is useful, both as a lotion and in the form of a spray; it is a deoxidizing agent, and, therefore, opposed to the next.

Permanganate of Potash ranges with sulphurous acid in efficacy; it acts more by oxidizing and destroying organic matter than as a germicide; sometimes it leaves the bacteria of decay unchecked in their activity, and thus indirectly aids disinfection; at others one part to 5000, or even 15,000 if no albuminous matters are present, will prevent the growth of germs, for, as with chlorine, albuminoids interfere with its full activity; one part to 800 is germicide, so that except in the presence of much animal matter, a strength of two grains to the ounce is fully disinfectant. The Permanganate can be bought at a cost of one penny per ounce; twice its quantity of strong acid, or five times as much Dilute Hydrochloric of the Pharmacopœia, added gradually to it gives rise to ozone, which oxidises organic matter, and so destroys noxious emanations with increased energy: instead of inert Condy placed in remote corners, the salt in a jar moistened with the acid from time to time is effective in refreshing the air of the sick room.

Carbolic acid, eight times less powerful than the above, is about equal in strength to Condy's fluid. If germicide at one per cent. it should be used to this end at about one to twenty; this will serve to disinfect an equal quantity of any matters to be acted on. An ounce or two of Calvert's dark fluid mixed with hot water in the slop-pail to pour down the drains is a good deodorizer; this is useful for stables and out-houses, when no infection has to be dealt with. When Carbolic Acid is used to disinfect excreta a strength of two per cent. is required, or an ounce of Crude Acid to three pints or pounds of medium; hence, unless obtained wholesale in considerable quantity, it is not a very cheap agent. One part to 200 is antiseptic, and in this way, it is highly serviceable for many ordinary purposes, the more so from its special action on the albuminous matters in which infection dwells. The carbolic powders sold as disinfectants and deodorants are to be recommended for the above reasons; their hygienic usefulness is increased by the lime they contain, and also by the Cresylic acid which

gives the reddish colour. Crude Carbolic acid of a dark colour, from the presence of crysol, is said to be stronger than the white as a disinfectant, but none of these are certain germicides; they save the air from contamination by averting change in organic matter rather than by favouring oxidation or restoring freshness to the air. Some other disinfectants of this class act on the medium in a different way, yet so as to prevent the multiplication of the germs. Chloride of Zinc (Burnett's fluid), and the Sulphate of Copper and of Iron, are comparable in effect with Carbolic acid. The Perchloride is the more effective iron compound; one per cent. is germicide, and half that strength is antiseptic. Chloride of Zinc can be made at little cost by mixing Calamine, a carbonate of Zinc, with Hydrochloric acid.

Hydrochloric acid is itself an effective germicide; Sulphuric acid is more potent, but less manageable; the former known as Muriatic Acid or Spirit of Salt is very cheap and serviceable; when diluted with nine parts of water it does not act in iron vessels or fittings unless Chloride of Lime is also used; both these are cheap and effective agents, less suitable for town than for country use. The acid further diluted is useful for chamber purposes. A solution of Chloride of Lime, 1 to 40 serves to wet the corpse-cloth after death from Small-Pox or Typhus; two ounces to the gallon, 1 to 80 answers well for the immersion of soiled linen when it is removed; a strength of 5 per cent. in this solution, 1 to 20 or an ounce to a pint, is needed to disinfect tuberculous matter. Sanitary Authorities and Establishments for infectious diseases will find both the Chloride of Lime and the Spirits of Salt among the best and cheapest disinfectants; either can be obtained at less than twopence per pound, the one in cwt. casks, the other in carboys.

Complete disinfection is so often conveniently carried out away from the sick room, either by heating or boiling in an out-house at home, or by stoving or steaming at some public establishment at a distance, that we come to rely more in personal and domestic use upon such antiseptics as arrest infective actions in various slight and efficient ways than upon the stronger disinfectants. The relative potencies already given for these has been estimated from the point at which they kill, or stop the growth of certain low organisms, agents of decay, closely allied to germs of disease, and, in case of one microscopic rod or *bacillus*, the actual germ of a disease—splenic fever—in animals; this germ produces 'spores' out of the body, very resistant to heat and difficult to destroy; none of the microzymes hitherto detected in the course of any of the infectious diseases of man are known to produce spores. The bacillus in question, owing to its power of resisting many antiseptic agents has been taken as the test for germicide action; it only produces spores when it leaves the blood for sites with more available oxygen, as in cultivations.

With some differences for certain germs the results of experiment have been remarkably uniform; thus the increase of every species of *microphyte*, or microbe, as these minute forms of life have been called, is stopped by 0·5 of sulphate of iron, or one part to 200, but none

of them are checked by 0.25 or half that proportion; moreover mercuric chloride at 0.003, and iodine at 0.025 were effective or not, according to the time of exposure. In all experiments with disinfectants, their intimate access to every part of the infected material, and duration of the contact are necessary to success; the time of exposure is often of the very essence of the question.*

On the lowest organisms and especially upon the unorganised ferments, however, a great difference of action is observed; *i.e.* the activity of the latter is arrested by some weak germicides, but they are not affected by some of the more potent, nor by so strong a protoplasmic poison as Mercuric Chloride. Alcohol acts on the septic *micrococcus*, and controls infective suppuration; Boracic acid on the *bacterium* of putrification. The anti-ferments are feeble germicides, and except in the case of the yeast plant, they stop fermentation without destroying its agents. They are more to be used as remedies, than as disinfectants.

But, all diseases are not owing to germs, most of the infective fevers in all probability are due to a protoplasmic particle of this kind, but whether due directly to their growth and increase, or to some outcome of their activity is not thoroughly ascertained. However fever is excited or carried on, it is evident that without germs that can be transported to another home, and not only excite a similar action there but be multiplied in the process, the disease can hardly be called infectious. Snake poison is infective, but it does not set up an infectious disease; it is not a chemical, but an albuminoid or proteid body;† not an independent organism, not a living entity, but the product of cell-life, a formed material, no longer even protoplasmic.

The unorganized ferments are also proteid particles, many of them concerned in vital processes, as in those of digestion, others in the causation of disease; though destroyed by a heat of 160° F., they are not acted on by some germicides, and have this special resistance to the action of others, that, while inert in their presence, no sooner is the anti-ferment removed than the fermentive power reappears.

Boracic and Salicylic acids, are of this latter class; both, germicide at or below 1 to 200, are antiseptic at 1 per 1,000, and under some

* In Dr. BUCHANAN'S most valuable Reports to the Local Government Board (14th, p. 208); the degree of dilution at which Sulphuric Acid prevents the growth of *Bacillus Anthracis* is given as 1 to 1,800; at 1 to 600 it kills them in two hours of exposure; at 1 to 500 in ten minutes; at one per cent. the spores are inert after a week. An acid of the carbolic series was germicide at 0.16 or 1 to 600 in an albuminous medium, yet in the absence of nitrogen 0.05 of this acid, 1 to 2,000 killed the *bacillus*, and killed it in a shorter time, p. 186. I am also indebted to Dr. G. M. STERNBERG, of the United States Army, and National Board of Health, for many germicide values; he says, "a re-agent with little or no power of this kind may be capable of *restricting the development* of pathogenic organisms, and thus limiting their power for mischief." See American Journal of the Medical Sciences, April, 1883, p. 333.

† R. H. Wolfenden, Journal of Physiology, Vol. VII. 327-364.

circumstances to double that extent ; the latter at 1 to 15,000 has kept a solution of grape sugar from fermenting. This acid combined as Salicylate of soda has no antiseptic power, unless under conditions where the acid is set free ; the bi-borate of soda retains near upon half the power of the uncombined Boracic acid. Formic and Lactic acids have similar activity. These antiseptics are powerful anti-putrescents, yet in such strong solutions as 1 to 25 are not always disinfectant ; they, with other germicides as carbolic acid in spray or some preparation of acetic acid, without destroying the germ, impede its developement by uniting with the substances indispensable to germ growth ; they also act by preventing germs being set free during decomposition. Chromic acid 1 to 8 is potent.

The anti-febrile power of quinine is partly due to some resistance of this kind imparted to the tissues, as by restraining the absorptive power and motility of the white blood corpuscle ; it is also seen directly to check the increase of germs by some special action on them ; Iron, alum, tannin, and zinc, act in one or both of these ways. A similar power, long known to reside in the volatile oils, has of late been largely utilised in Thymol and Menthol, the derivative camphors of two of them, and in the oil of Eucalyptus from the leaves of the Australian Blue Gum Tree. The latter also has an ozonising effect on the air while it oxidizes; it is not an irritant even to tender surfaces ; an ointment of one part to five is in use. Boracic acid, a weaker germicide, is used in the same way ; this acid is soluble in 25 parts of water. Salicylic acid disolves in 760 parts of water, or in 120 parts of olive oil ; an ointment of 1 to 27 is ordered in the pharmacopœia ; by mixing an equal part of borax with this acid, the solubility is increased to 1 in 24, so that 20 grains can be disolved in an ounce of water, and its germicide power of 1 to 200 maintained.

By heating nine parts of Salicylic Acid with ten of Carbolic Acid oxygen is set free and a new antiseptic, Salol, with an odour like that of 'Sanitas' is developed ; this scent is more marked if one part of Eucalyptus oil be added. As this compound is soluble in alkalis and, to a sufficient extent, in fatty matters it has been incorporated into a useful sanitary soap. When used with oil or vaseline for inunction, the proportions should be eight or ten grains of this compound to an ounce of the medium ; a drachm heated with half an ounce of lard and mixed with three parts of hot vaseline makes a strong and effective ointment. Vaseline takes up 5 per cent. of Carbolic Acid. The use of adding lard or other fatty matter to Vaseline for inunction is that it remains longer on the surface, and aids the diffusion of the Carbolic Acid. Salicylic Acid readily disolves in lard, and this mixed with Vaseline, so that 5 or 10 grains of the acid are contained in each ounce of the Carbolated Pommade, secures better penetration into the epidermic scales, and the carbolic odour is lost. Salicylic soap checks and removes disagreeable perspiration.

It is a good rule to keep to one disinfectant, and to bear in mind

the way in which it acts; the above exceptions are allowable, but it is to be remembered that Chlorine cannot be used with Peroxide of Hydrogen, nor Carbolic acid with Sulphur or Condy's fluid; the latter is decolorized by Carbolic acid, and rendered inert. One important exception to the rule is that, when antiseptics are trusted to in the sick room, the more effective means of disinfection must not be forgotten; in Enteric fever (typhoid), and in Cholera, beyond removing all excreta in diluted mineral acid, as before recommended, some further means are required to disinfect; any increase of germs is prevented, while the medium retains its acidity, but this is soon lost if carried by water along the sewers; when not got rid of in this way, the addition of chloride of lime would destroy infection, and in country places this might be insured by covering with earth in a trench, when this cannot be done, a little quicklime might be added; slaked lime with sulphate of iron or copperas would precipitate solid matters, and then using permanganate of potash would have full effect on the effluent; any permanganic acid set free by the mineral acid, would act further as a disinfectant. Corrosive Sublimate is most effective.

Desiccation, which arrests the development of all germs, has been ingeniously applied to this purpose, by Astrop's procees (*Lancet*, vol. i, 1887, p. 287). Cold checks the activity of germs, but does not destroy them; excess of oxygen, and exposure to light as recently shewn by M. Arloing stops their growth; warmth and moisture favour their increase. All germ-growth implies rapid interchanges with the environment, where oxygen, some nitrogen, and much water must be present. The microphytes of some of our common infections (*e.g.*, Vaccinia) when cultivated out of the body, and supplied with sufficient nitrogen and abundant oxygen, increase in size but lose their infective power. This agrees with Pasteur's demonstration, that a full supply of oxygen favoured the growth of the yeast plant, but lessened the activity of fermentation; one part of ferment in a deep vat destroyed one hundred parts of sugar, but only five parts in the shallow vat with freer access of oxygen. Most diseases are less active in fresh air, some may even pass unrecognised under milder forms. All germs are killed sooner after removing any of the elements on which they grow or increase.

Water and aqueous vapour, while giving activity to germ life, are also the means of bringing germicides into play, and of securing their full effect; germ-spores that resist for some time the hot-air chamber are soon reached when steam is admitted even in small quantity. A little water evaporated while sulphor is burnt in an empty closed room favours the action of the sulphurous acid, probably by aiding its penetration; a considerable quantity of water should be evaporated when chlorine is to be generated in sufficient amount to thoroughly purify a disused room; which of these gases is best for this purpose must depend on the quantity present and the length of time allowed for their action. The proportion of sulphur to be used for a room of given size is more definately known than the volume of chlorine required, the only rule for the latter is that it must be sufficient to

render the air irrespirable. Chlorine as an air-disinfectant has a much wider range of utility and power. In the 13th Annual Report of the Local Government Board, 1884, p. 131, we see that a healthy animal can be placed in the same compartment with a diseased one, even for so long a time as six hours, for five successive days, without being infected, provided the place remained well fumigated with chlorine gas up to marked pungency, twice during the six hours being sufficient. Sulphurous acid gas at the strength at which it could be used was only successful against milder forms of disease.

The disinfection of the clothes worn by every one brought near to sources of infection, should be followed by full exposure to air and light before they are either worn or put away. Re-packing should be done out of doors, or some of the atmosphere of the infected house is packed up and taken away with the clothes. The necessity for this precaution is specially great in scarlet fever. From the Reports quoted above (13th p. 61), Dr. Page relates how in this disease the assistant mistress of a board school, closed because child after child, none of them resident, had scarlet fever, though not herself ill, carried infection with her to an isolated farm-house and started the disease within a week of her arrival. Dr. Murchison mentioned before the Clinical Society in 1878, an instance of scarlet fever breaking out on board ship in the Red Sea, seven weeks after leaving Sydney. Three weeks before embarkation in April, a family on board had resided with friends in Queensland who had scarlet fever; all went well at starting, but the light clothing they had worn in the semi-tropical heat of Queensland had been packed up there for the voyage, and the boxes had not been opened until after leaving Aden and reaching the terrible summer heat of the Red Sea, when it was unpacked and again called into use. It was in this family that the two first cases occurred, three months after they left the infected house in Queensland. Of 147 passengers and 40 children, 30 cases of scarlet fever appeared in four days before reaching Suez.

The general principles of disinfection only will be stated with hints as to their applicability to scarlet fever; as where any one is under treatment for this disease much detail must be left in the hands of the doctor and nurse. With a sufficiently airy room either a little apart from those used by other inmates, or at the top of the house with an adjacent room or landing at the disposal of the nurse, the risk to others in the house, except to the young, is not great. In removing all unnecessary furniture and clothes from the sick room, none in use since the sick person occupied it should be packed away without first having been well cleaned and exposed to heat. A linen cloth or screen should be fixed over the doorway, on which some simple disinfectant should be sprinkled. Sanitas answers equally well for these purposes, also as a spray in the room, and for use in other ways. Sponging parts of the skin with the diluted permanganate, or with a little aromatic vinegar, or the use of Sanitas or of Eucalyptus Soap is pleasant to the patient. Carbolic soap is less effective as a disinfectant than that

containing Eucalyptus and Iodoform. Lubricating the whole surface of the body, as is now a very general practice, certainly restricts the dissemination of infectious particles. Carbolic oil (1 to 40) or the Eucalyptus or Boracic ointment during convalescence can be used after detergent baths; either of these, or a hair-wash of Acetic acid two parts, with one each of Spirit and of Glycerine to two of Rose water, can be used to the head after it has been washed.

At first a little cold cream or vaseline only should be applied to the skin after sponging. Vaseline is specially suited for this purpose, it keeps moist for eight hours or more and is not readily absorbed; this is of great advantage in the use of carbolic or salicylic acid, or of the two combined in the manner before mentioned, as their effect is thus properly restricted to the skin-dust during convalescence. In young children, and where the skin is tender, all irritants should be avoided. Olive oil will take up one part to nine of Carbolic Acid, even at this strength, an ounce to half-a-pint of oil, it is not a reliable disinfectant, and there is fear of the acid being absorbed and acting injuriously on the kidneys, a serious objection to the use of even the weaker carbolic oil in many cases.

The topical use of the milder disinfectants in the daily toilette is advantageous throughout the illness; after the first remission or on the subsidence of the more marked symptoms they should be carefully applied, especially to the hair, in connection with a warm bath and change of clothes. To freshen the air of the sick room, Sulphurous Acid, the gas in aqueous solution, one part to two of water, or a solution of Peroxide of Hydrogen in similar form can be used in spray; the latter gas has no odour. This, with ether, called Ozonic Ether, of thirty volumes strength, three times that of the liquid, may be sprinkled about the room, and in the hair, or on to a handkerchief; it has been used as a gargle with two parts of Glycerine, and thirty parts of water. A bad throat is better sprayed with weak Condy, or the diluted Sulphurous Acid; or cleared with Chlorinated Soda solution, one part to ten of water; gargling is less effective and often painful. A solution of Chlorinated Soda mixed with two parts of water is often required in the room as a stronger disinfectant for soiled lint or rag that cannot be immediately burned, or for use to bedding or carpet without injuring the fabric as the chlorinated lime is apt to do. All linen clothes after use should be removed from the room and immersed in water with some disinfectant.

Chlorine seems specially suited to counteract scarlet fever infection; one or two dishes with a little moist Choride of lime set about the room will, by means of carbonic acid in the air, give off enough Euchlorine to deodorize without any irritating effect on the inmates; the same gas can also be liberated slowly in the room by placing on the top of a shelf, not too near the patient, a wide mouthed bottle in which ten grains of crystals of chlorate of potash, and half a teaspoonful of strong hydrochloric acid have been placed; or, more of the crystals can be used and the acid renewed from time to time.

It is not necessary that these vapours should be of a strength to kill the germs of disease; if they prevent contamination of, or restore the oxygen to the air they are of service. Many antiseptic or disinfecting agents are not really germicide or absolutely destructive to all infection; some do little more than deodorize; others arrest the septic power; some have their use in safely conveying away the agents of disease from the room or house. In this way clothes can be taken to the laundry, but must not mix with others, until they have undergone the requisite boiling for half an hour or more; this really destroys all infective power.*

Very often it would be possible, in the course of the disease, to remove the patient to a well-aired room for a whole day, and thoroughly purify the sick room with sulphur vapour. Spread open the bedding, clothes, playthings, &c., close the windows, chimney or other apertures, and burn, in the centre of the room, 1 lb. of yellow Sulphur moistened with methylated spirit, with precautions for its safe and complete combustion. After five or six hours the room can be entered and cleansed ready for use again by night. The room occupied by the convalescents during the day can then be similarly fumigated, a pound of sulphur will suffice for this purpose. Either allow half a pound of sulphur for each person occupying the room or a pound per 1000 cubic feet. A larger quantity is needed, and a much longer time of exposure, for full disinfection.

Thorough and complete disinfection is only effected by heat; that of boiling water if applied long enough is sufficient. Steam applied under moderate pressure for five minutes destroys the germs of most diseases. Boiling the linen clothes for half an hour with a little soap and soda or common salt disinfects thoroughly, if so managed that the heat reaches to all parts; any stained linen after the use of the strong disinfectant should be steeped and rinsed in cold water to remove the stain before boiling, as by steaming or long boiling the stain is fixed. Woollen clothes require dry heat, as hot water shrinks flannel and woollen textures; felt hats, shoes, and leather goods are spoilt by steam or by wetting, these stand dry heat well; mattresses should be unpicked before exposure to heat and re-made afterwards to ensure disinfection. Some degree of safety is obtained by placing articles of this kind before the fire, or in an oven, after they have been cleaned. Any heat over 240° is injurious to these materials, a heat of 250° scorches flannel.

After a sick-room is no longer occupied, complete disinfection should be brought about by means of the full use of sulphur fumes, the sulphurous acid liberated by burning sulphur, in sufficient volume, may be relied on to reach and act upon all that is most conveniently left in the room. To effect this, the bed should be uncovered and raised from the frame, the brass or iron work here and elsewhere, as on

* We are indebted to Dr. James B. Russell, of Glasgow, for establishing this fact on a sufficiently large scale. Dr. James A. Russell, of Edinburgh, in Quain's *Dictionary of Medicine*, gives a very complete article on Disinfection.

doors, windows, &c., is to be protected by a coat of grease, drawers and cupboards are to be set open, the windows and chimneys closed; then a pound or more of sulphur, according to the size of the room, in a perforated earthern or iron pan, is to be moistened with methylated spirit and placed for security, during combustion, over a tub of water in the middle of the room, or in more than one place, if the room be a large one. When this is lighted the room is to be closed and left so for more than twenty-four hours; then means can be taken for dissipating the sulphur fumes, and the room and everything in it must be well cleaned and ventilated.

A small room can be very conveniently disinfected after short occupancy by igniting Bisulphide of Carbon, by means of a cotton wick placed in the half-pint bottle the liquid is sent in, and letting it burn out while standing in a good sized vessel of water; over the flame water can be evaporated at the same time from a shallow dish. This is a convenient way of disinfecting any private carriage used for the conveyance of the sick. It is more effective than the pan of Chloride of Lime moistened with dilute hydrochloric Acid as generally used for this purpose.

This fumigation, after the end of the disease, should be superintended by the Sanitary Authority of the district, to whom notification of all infectious illness ought to be made, at the beginning of the illness as well as at the end. In this way much assistance is often obtained, and especially in the complete purification of bedding, and intractable material, either in the steam chamber kept for this purpose, or by removal under all proper precaution to where thorough stoving can be done. Some colored fabrics are better disinfected by stoving, than by sulphur fumes which bleach. After the use of sulphur a thorough cleaning and a general lime-washing of ceiling, walls, and passages, should be carried out. In re-painting and re-papering walls, cupboards, and recesses, no shred of old paper must be allowed to remain.

Disinfectants may be classed, excluding those destructive to all forms of life, such as fire and nitrous fumes, into *direct* and *mediate* disinfectants; the former are again divided into—1 local, used to substances apart from the living body, or—2, surface, applied to the body; the latter into—3, those acting through the air, and—4 through the medium of water. Under division 1, are Lime, Charcoal, Chloralum, Carbolic Powders—Calvert's or McDougall's. Waste Chlorides from Chlorine works should be cheap, and would be very effective if not used with Carbolic compounds. Division 2, includes Nitric Acid, Nitrate of Silver, Iodoform, Ferric Chloride, Alum, Acetic, Carbolic, Chromic, Boracic and Salicylic Acids, Eucalyptus Oil, Thymol; in division 3, are Chlorine, Sulphurous Acid Gas, Peroxide of Hydrogen, Sanitas and Terebene; in division 4, Hydrochloric Acid, Mercuric Chloride, Burnett's fluid (Zinc Chloride), Condy's fluid (permanganates), the Sulphates of Iron and of Copper, Sulphurous Acid, and Chloride of Lime, find a place.

With reference to the patients welfare, cleanliness and fresh air are the first essentials; common soap and water, careful attention, and good ventilation, are needed in the room to keep the air from taint. Terebene or Sanitas have an advantage over Condy and Carbolic Acid, that both the latter interfere with the efficacy of chlorine and sulphur in further disinfection, moreover they counteract each other and should never be used together. The liquid Sulphurous Acid or diluted Hydrochloric Acid are the best of the stronger disinfectants to add to the water used for covering the excretions, or for removing linen from the room, and even for these purposes either of the first mentioned preparations will mostly suffice.

But little variation is required in the management of the different diseases that have been enumerated. In all of them, isolation of the sick is the first object, and if this is not done by removal to hospital the same end is to be attained as completely as possible at home. In Small-pox the attendant should be known to be protected from liability to this infection; no one who has not previously been re-vaccinated should come into the sick room until four days after this operation has been performed, and it is seen to be successful; any matter from the spots has to be steeped in the strongest disinfectant, or at once burned; the crusts separating during convalescence should be burned.

Scarlet fever patients must be nursed by those who are protected through a previous attack; both surface and air disinfectants are required; chlorine is advantageous. Convalescents must observe six weeks quarantine whether desquamating or not.

Diphtheria requires most of the precautions given for scarlet fever; the attendants should not be selected from the young, nor should they remain continuously in the sick room; all secretions from the throat should be destroyed, and some surface antiseptics either as spray, liquid, linctus, or powder, used to the throat; in the last form, Magnesian Sulphite is useful.

Measles and Whooping Cough are aggravated by insanitary surroundings; the air, the surface of the body, and the clothes require disinfectants; chlorine is objectionable. Convalescents after measles should be kept four weeks, and after whooping cough six or eight weeks from mixing with the young and susceptible.

Mumps and Chicken-pox require a disinfectant mouth wash, weak Condy is best, as well as other precautions.

Enteric fever needs moderate air disinfection and most careful and efficient water disinfection for the excreta up to complete convalescence. In Typhus fever a free air supply, absolute cleanliness, some aerial freshening, and complete disinfection of the clothes, if they were not at once destroyed, are required. The attendants should be selected from the younger nurses, as the persons protected by a previous attack are few, and older persons are most liable to severe attacks.

In Cholera, disinfect the excreta as quickly and thoroughly as possible; this is more effective if the medium is made acid. The attendants should take none of their meals in the sick room, and be as attentive to their own ablutions as to those of the patient.

The germs of all these diseases excepting cholera, enteric fever, scarlet fever, and possibly of diphtheria, multiply exclusively in the bodies of the sick; they get into the air, water, food, clothing, and bedding near the patient, to be carried forth or remain in the room to infect others either quickly or after some length of time. When the conditions of their existence are widely known and the means at our disposal for dealing with them more widely understood, it is to be hoped that a marked diminution of these "preventable" diseases may be secured. Even the less fatal of them will then receive the care necessary to their restriction, for much discomfort, much inconvenience, hindrance to education, arrested development, and often permanent injury to health and activity, result from neglect of the minor infectious diseases.

One attack of any of the truly infective diseases, the germs of which increase only in the living body, is usually protective against the recurrence of another. This is fairly constant for Measles, Typhus, and Hydrophobia, nearly so for Small-pox, Varicella, Rubella, Mumps, and Whooping Cough. It is the rule in Scarlet fever, and is not exceptional in Diphtheria. Cholera may recur, and is so far like Ague and Influenza; but in this disease one attack predisposes to another, instead of conferring any immunity as Enteric fever certainly does. The latter may proceed from a micrococcus allied to that of Pneumonia, and Erysipelas, or to a *bacillus* like that of Tuberculosis or Struma. In the other diseases dealt with, two kinds of germs must be concerned, one re-produced only in the bodies of the sick, the disease being self-protective; the other capable of multiplication outside the body, resulting in a disease liable to recur. The germs of Ague, and Remittent or Malarial fever are certainly of the latter type; and probably those of Influenza and Cholera.

The various germs associated with the infective diseases are referred to the lower forms of vegetable life both from their morphological characters, as accepted by skilled observers, and from dynamic considerations. Animals convert simple elements into the more complex, as carbon into carbonic acid, setting free energy—some of it as heat. Plants reduce the complex to more simple elements, the unstable to more stable compounds. The lowest "germs" do this; with the forms of life they are agents of decay; their activity is increased by the heat from condensing vapour or of decomposition, for their energy is evolved from the medium they destroy. Death, or the shaded side of the globe of change, is another mode of life; when the higher and controlling vital power fails, the lower comes into play, and we do but substitute chemical for vital processes in disinfection.

The courtesy of Dr. George M. Sternberg, Chairman of Committee, has supplied me with the Report of the American Public Health Association on Disinfectants, and also with a copy of his Prize Essay, on Disinfection, published last year. The utility of Chloride of Lime and the efficacy of Mercuric Chloride are fully recognised in both works. To render these agents more readily available, standard solutions are recommended to be kept in constant readiness for use. That of Chloride of Lime, 1 to 20, eight ounces to a gallon of water, is very handy; it can be diluted for use by one-half, or more when no organic matter has to be dealt with. This solution, or one of Chlorinated Soda 2 per cent., serves for steeping infected linen. Fluorine compounds are most potent disinfectants. The Sodium Fluosilicate as used by Mr. W. Thomson, is odourless and safe; it surpasses Mercuric Chloride in power, and is not poisonous. The power of the Bichloride of Mercury may be utilised while its dangers are guarded against, either by giving to it the red colour of Permanganate of Potash, as in the Standard Solution No. 2 in the Report, p. 131 where two drachms of each salt are dissolved in a gallon of water, or by the strong blue colour of copperas as in Solution No. 3, to be issued in special bottle:—Bichloride of Mercury 4 ounces, Sulphate of Copper 1 pound, Water 1 gallon.

This is further diluted with eighty parts of water, two ounces to a gallon, for the immersion of infected linen or clothes for two hours before they go to the laundry. When thus diluted, the fear of accidental poisoning is reduced to a minimum. It cannot be used in metallic pans, for the Mercury is precipitated by contact with copper, lead and tin; leaden pipes are acted on injuriously by it and rendered brittle. When clothes cannot be immediately disinfected by boiling, immersion for four hours in this solution is efficacious, and equal in effect to a 2 per cent. solution of Chlorinated Lime or Soda. When circumstances make it expedient to use the more potent and odourless disinfectant, the solution as above, or coloured with indigo or by other means, offers an additional safeguard against the dangers, not greater than for Carbolic Acid, which may be incidental to its use. Either of these solutions diluted may be used on the moist cloth with which any surfaces exposed to dust in the sick room should be wiped. Wet sawdust impregnated with Sanitas Oil can be used in clearing the floor, with the object of preventing any dry dust being raised and diffused.

Thoroughly to disinfect a vacant room, experiments shew that 3 lbs. of Sulphur should be burnt for every 1,000 cubic feet of space; it is impossible so to close all apertures as to keep vapour enough in the room from 1 lb. of Sulphur for the requisite time, 12 hours, to be efficient. All fumigations are injurious to the sick, and it is useless to burn Tar, Turpentine, and scented things in the room; Carbolic Acid loses its properties on being vapourized by heat, and burns to inert particles of Carbon.

NOTES

ON THE

HEALTH OF CHILDREN.

[*Reprinted from* "Our Homes," *with some alterations. By permission of* Messrs. CASSELL.]

THE HEALTH OF CHILDREN.

A FIRST series of observations on the variations of body-heat in infants and children, made, as a foundation for the study of the diseases of childhood, mostly in the ten years before 1865, has long been out of print. Further enquiries of the same kind led to some hygienic comments recently embodied in "Health in the Nursery," a contribution to the volume entitled, "Our Homes, and how to make them Healthy," published by Messrs. Cassell; their kind permission enables me to add many extracts from this later publication to the reprint of my earlier paper. My best thanks are also due to Messrs. Cassell, for the opportunity of including among more strictly medical essays the diagrams prepared by them at my suggestion, from vol. xxxiii. of *The New York Medical Journal*, of the normal rate and ratio of growth in the first year of infancy. These illustrate the way in which our first start in life is made; they need to be brought to the more special notice of professional readers, as our medical text books give no standard by which healthy infantile development can be judged by, and it is but quite lately that the very constant physiological loss of weight in the first week of independent existence has been noticed in our medical journals as well established.

Among the more recently acquired facts bearing on the subject in hand are the determinations, by Dr. Percy Frankland, of the relative proportion of germs found in the air under different circumstances. These were brought before the Society of Arts, March 22nd, 1887. Fewer germs of decay are found in country air than in town air; fewer in winter than in summer. In January, about 600 cubic inches of air passed through a glass tube lined with prepared gelatine produced four colonies of germ centres, while in August 105 were obtained in the same way. On May 19th, 1886, the air on Primrose Hill gave 9 colonies, at the foot 19 were obtained; the number from air above the dome of St.

Paul's was 11, from the churchyard below it was 70. In Exhibition Road, when crowded, on June 8th, 1886, the air yielded 554 colonies. Few germs are found in the air of rooms when very still and quiet, but many if dust arises. From a room tenanted and closed dust should be removed in a moist state. In an occupied room germs are not the only spoilers of the air; the carbonic acid given off by respiration is almost equalled by the organic emanations constantly going on. It is most important for children, whose resistance to the encroachment of adverse influences is less than in adults, to have ready access to fresh air in open spaces. The ill effects of any leakage from gas pipes on health, set forth by Dr. Richardson in "Our Homes," has since received further elucidation from Dr. Corfield.

SANITARY defects in dwellings are most plainly shown in spoiling the health of children; the young soonest suffer from bad air, noxious vapours, damp, dust, and want of cleanliness. Into houses where the children are lively and well we may be sure no sewer-gas enters; this may not be the particular cause of illness where children are sickly, but some violation of sanitary law will be found that checks healthy development. Nowhere is the right of health to be more vigorously maintained, or more jealously guarded, than in the nursery; for while the neglect of sanitation here saps the cheerfulness of childhood, and ruins the fair prospect of youth, nowhere is attention to sanitary law more promptly and permanently rewarded than in the normal evolution of all we hope for in the young. The healthy condition of the child, and the means of ascertaining it, will be set forth as the measure of how far the children's rooms at home and at school are made healthy.

The details of nursery fittings must vary extremely in the different grades of society, while many of the same details of nursery management can be commonly carried out; the principles to be kept in view are the same in all stations of life, and may often be as well observed in the poorest as in the richest dwellings. No amount of grandeur will keep mansions free from noxious gases; the most costly chamber soon becomes unhealthy if constantly occupied. Luxury can add nothing to the pure milk required by infants; when this has to be supplemented, the same care must be taken that the added food should be fresh and uncontaminated, a care required earlier and oftener among the rich than among the poor. Arrest of nutrition, actual starvation, from dieting an infant on what it cannot assimilate, is still frequent among all classes. Danger from placing a baby

in the horizontal position the moment it has finished a full meal, or of covering its face completely during sleep, may happen on a bed of down. Sleep may be prevented by tight bands, or miserable swathes, in a satin bassinette or gilded cradle. Warmth can be kept by plain clothes or loose wraps, while all the evils of chill may be suffered in the embroidered dress of fashion.

> "If onely to go warme were gorgeous
> Why Nature needs not what thou gorgeous wear'st,
> Which scarcely keepes thee warme."
> *King Lear*, Act II., sc. 4.

Children are the better for frequent changes of room; they have to spend most of their time in the house; they require short intervals between their meals, with quick transitions from play to rest. The meals should be taken where there is no litter of toys; a quiet room is needed both for work and sleep. Means of getting change of air, and of taking exercise within-doors or under cover, are essential. Children thrive best with free and frequent access to the outer air; no attempt should be made to render any suite of apartments for the young independent of this, and any arrangement that makes it difficult for children to get out of doors is to be avoided. The infant schools for the poor in large towns are distinctly useful in bringing young children, from the rooms they occupy at home, into the fresh air twice a day. All schoolrooms are now improved.

Home life to the younger members of a family and to the gentler sex means that by far the largest part of every day must be spent indoors, and half of it—at least for the very young—in the bed room. No attempt should ever be made to rear children in a single room. The necessity of providing a full supply of pure and fresh air in youth, when change and growth are most active, is obvious. Whatever has been said of the general requirements of a house, it is in the nursery where all that is most essential to health and comfort should be most perfectly represented. The active man, whose duties for the greater part of the day call him abroad, sooner forgets his fatigue, and has his strength for renewed activity more thoroughly restored, where a healthy home awaits his return. For those who have to spend most of their time within, from duty or necessity, the greatest care in all the details of a wholesome dwelling are most wanted. The strong man after free respiration out of doors may pass through foul or damp air in the basement of the house with the inner breath of his capacious chest untouched; he may sit in a close hot parlour without enervation, or sleep in a chilled bedroom without his vigorous circulation being seriously depressed Not so those who stay at home; from these evils even the

strong would suffer; delicate women, susceptible youth, tender childhood suffer most. The mature and robust bear cold well, so that the air be dry and pure; the young must have warmth. Another necessity for those much indoors is light. No room can be healthy however well calculated for its inmates, unless, in addition to the requisite air-space, the air it contains is being constantly renewed; this is ventilation. Most important of all those requirements is cleanliness.

The cares exercised for a healthy person kept in bed by an accident, or for an invalid confined to the house or room for a season, set forth what infants always need. At first the comfort of the bed room is everything; the night is not all rest, and part of the day has to be shaded into night. Soon it becomes possible to change the room for a time; then, open the windows, brush the floor, dust and clean the chamber, so as to replenish it with pure air, and remove the myriad particles ever spoiling the oxygen of its freshness. While the room is still occupied much of this can be done; done quickly, that the temperature be not lowered unduly, and so done as not to expose the patient to chill. The air of the room must be changed, to some extent, both in the day and at night; a partly-open door or window only admits fresh air to some part of the room, but if not to the part of the room occupied, or even to the very face of the occupant, the breath is breathed over again, and effete matters or injurious emanations mingle with the vital air. A special atmosphere, readily vitiated, forms around a cot or within the curtains of a bed. The lowest layers of air about or under a bed, or by the cot near it, become soonest impure; respired vapour is heavy, and contains moisture, with numerous particles that settle in it, contaminating the lower stratum of air even when nothing is left near the bed that should be removed.

Young children bear cold badly. In removing them to a separate room means must be taken to secure moderate warmth. Sudden changes of temperature are to be avoided, and special care must be taken against any long continuance in a low temperature. A small child soon loses heat, and is most depressed by such loss during sleep. In many cases of illness or weakness a fall of ten degrees in the child's room may be of the utmost injury. Besides proper means of warming children's rooms, and care to see that windows are closed in cold weather after the air of the room is changed, the chamber thermometer, an indispensable requisite for the nursery, must always be consulted. The bath thermometer, with its metal trough and high index, often extending to the boiling-point at 212°, does not answer the purpose; and, moreover, should always be kept in the bath-room

to be ready for use in any bath, whether cold, tepid, or hot; but a cheaper instrument, mounted on wood, with more open register, the degrees from 50 to 70 conspicuously marked, should be so placed in any room that the variations can easily be noted.

The temperature of the room is a fair guide for the cold and tepid bath. It is well to add a little warm water to the children's bath, or to wash them in warm or tepid water and sponge over the surface with water of the temperature of the room, just before drying with a warm towel and gentle friction. The ablution of young infants must always be in warm water about 90°, or raised as much above the temperature of the room as the nurse may judge necessary; here the sensation of the hand and arm may generally be trusted, but the correctness of the estimate should now and then be tested by a thermometer. A warm, or hot bath, for the complete immersion of a child, should never be given without careful use of an accurate thermometer. A temperature of 98° is quite enough to begin with, and even then the child should be immersed gradually and gently. For the relief of pain a heat of 99° or 100° may be required; if so, the child should be removed on to a warm flannel while more hot water is added, and the exact thermometric degree obtained. No hurry is ever allowable in preparing a hot bath. Many of the emergencies for which a hot bath is recommended would be more safely managed with merely a warm or tepid bath. Time is also required for consideration as to whether a hot bath is appropriate; it is seldom proper during febrile symptoms of any kind; elevating the temperature of the blood above the normal is a stimulus to be used with caution; warmth just sufficient to dilate the surface circulation, while still below the body-heat, cools the blood as it comes by successive pulsations in contact with the surrounding medium, and often gives much internal relief. Any sudden impress of heat tends to close the surface vessels, much as the shock of cold would do, for the moment. Too warm a medium, beyond the excitement at first produced, rapidly induces exhaustion in young creatures. Older persons may be reminded that in consequence of the contraction of the surface-vessels by sudden chill, less heat is abstracted from the body by a plunge into ice-cold water than by a long stay in water not many degrees below the heat of the body. This applies to the bath in health; a good swimmer may indulge long in the summer bath, as exercise raises the body-heat; the morning "tub" should be a short process. A bath to lower fever should not be far below the degree of fever present.

Children sometimes suffer fatigue or chill from the way in which they are first dressed in the morning; they require a

biscuit or some milk as soon as they get up, and before the ablutions begin ; it is much better to give them a general wash in warmed water, in which they could stand while being sponged over with cool or tepid water, than to chill them when their powers of reaction are at their lowest. The soap used should not be irritating from excess of alkali, or from impure and imperfectly-combined ingredients. Babies most easily suffer from this, and also from want of care in the warmth of the water used, or from harsh rubbing ; they also suffer many things both from the kind of dress and the fashion of dressing them ; a broad band is so rolled on as to compress the abdomen, and comes up so high on the chest as to interfere both directly and indirectly with free breathing ; then come complex, many-stringed instruments of torture, while thick folds of linen, flannel, or even macintosh, curiously involve the legs; over all comes an inexplicable length of garment that is actually doubled on to the child, so as to ensure every form of over-heating, pressure, and encumberment. After a month of this process, aided by hoods, flannels, shawls, and wraps of all kinds, a strange variation is adopted ; the under bands and folds are left, but a short outer garment is provided, with curious holes cut in the stiffened edges, so as to make sure that it shall afford no protection to legs, arms, or neck, if it were, indeed, fashioned to cover or even to come at all close to them. To prevent the possibility of this, and thoroughly to expose the chest and arms a string or ribbon ties the edge of the degenerated or absorbed neck or shoulder-piece to what remains of a rudimentary or metamorphosed sleeve.

It is interesting to know that this picturesque fragment of some past phase of dress development can be preserved without the sacrifice of effective covering by inserting a close sleeve, or putting beneath the frills a little knitted jacket ; or one of soft texture can be made to go moderately high on to the neck, with a seam over the shoulder, to let it lie flat to the upper part of the chest, and a sleeve cut with a good angle for the elbow and a very short inside seam ; this bit of clothing, if of nice material and colour, has a pretty effect under the open-work embroidery, thus shown off to advantage without risking the exposure of half the child's body. Effective protection of the other half, which it is most important to keep warm, presents greater difficulties. Much irritation is produced by keeping damp clothes close to the skin, and more when caustic soda has been used in washing and is left from careless rinsing and drying. All impervious wraps are to be avoided ; there must be frequent changes of linen. An infant's tender skin has to be kept dry ; it is soothed and protected by the use of violet powder after being washed. The best toilet powders are, in some degree, antiseptic, and are constantly

improving in this direction. French chalk, white fuller's earth, or Taylor's Cimolia already replace starch, and, instead of orris-root, eucalyptus oil, oleate of zinc or boracic acid might be, and are, used in nursery powder; some of the milder disinfecting powders may be sparingly brought into use.

Young children suffer much from the direct effect of a hot sun, or from hot weather and out-door exertion during the hotter parts of the day. Not only is sun-stroke, or the fever of insolation, a danger, but the enervation from heat renders children specially unable to resist many of the diseases of warm seasons, particularly diarrhœa. Besides care as to the time for, and duration of, exercise and exposure in hot seasons and climates, cooling the room by ventilation, perhaps with evaporation outside and ice within, should be attended to. The degree of warmth that enfeebles us is also that most favourable to many lower forms of life inimical to ours, and to many of the disintegrating changes on which they flourish; great care is therefore necessary in keeping rooms, inside and outside, clear of all refuse that might favour their development; also that no remains of food are left in dwelling-rooms, nor any article of diet kept there.

In warm weather various methods of artificial refrigeration will be required for the food kept in the house. A porous cover for some things, with means of evaporation, will answer, or ice can be used. It is not always easy nor convenient to keep the larder below 50°, yet there is risk in having any kind of food day by day in rooms at the temperature suitable for one's own health or comfort. There are other reasons to be given farther on for not allowing milk, bread and butter, biscuits, or fruit to be kept in the nursery, but here it is well to insist on one particular point—viz., that the temperature of a room for children to live in should be higher than that where food can be safely kept; and, conversely, that a room cool enough for a larder is not fit for a nursery.

Short contact with quite cold air or water is injurious to infants; prolonged exposure to the low temperature of a cold house or chamber still more so; most so when the air is not only cold, but damp. In houses otherwise healthy, the onset of acute disease in children, of inward congestions, glandular swelling, tubercle, dropsy, has started from the occurrence of unusually low temperature in their rooms, during exceptionally cold weather, when the means of maintaining sufficient warmth have been neglected, or applied with difficulty.* Children are also

* The germs of some diseases, as the *micrococcus* of pneumonia for one, are either always present in the body or readily developed from healthy protoplasm under the influence of chill or depression.

to be guarded against sudden changes of temperature. After some days in a well-warmed room the first promenade should be short. A child of four or five years old cannot bear a long walk in cold weather, but soon tires, and is then still more liable to suffer from cold. Out of doors, children passing from a sheltered to an exposed position, the turn of a street, the draught in a passage, may get a chill; or, returning indoors hot and excited from running or play, the wraps being removed though the room to which they have returned is only half warmed, perhaps has become too far cooled from open windows or neglected fire, they catch cold more on coming indoors than on going out. An infant in arms is often chilled in this way; closely muffled at starting out, carried near the nurse's body, under warm coverings, or shut in a carriage with closed windows, it is brought home, hot and perspiring, and laid down asleep (its load of clothes removed) on a cold cot in the chill quiet of the bedroom, while the other children prepare for dinner; no wonder the youngest suffers first. Not only should the woollen clothes and coverings not be removed at once, but the chamber thermometer should be consulted. Prevention of illness is better than cure, and for both objects a thermometer in the children's room is indispensable. The delicate and expensive clinical thermometer, invaluable in marking the changes of disease, and useful in some of the variations in health when in skilled hands, is often misleading in the nursery; there its only safe purpose, in the hands of the nurse, is to test the accuracy of the bath thermometer.

The best and most trustworthy means of being assured of the continuous well-being of infants and children is an accurate record of their weight. In infants weight alone may be depended upon; for older children, growth as measured by height must also be noted. The two taken together, if increasing, afford evidence of progress, and, if interrupted, give timely warning of some impending difficulties. At first, gain in weight is much more marked than increase in length; these increments seldom advance in close proportion to each other, though a certain relation between the two should always be preserved. An increase in weight often precedes further growth, and rapid growth is often interrupted while weight is being made up. Particular care both as to rest, occupation, and food is required when a growing child ceases to gain in weight.

The rate of growth for young children varies greatly at different periods of infancy, and follows laws of its own.

The proportion between the age, weight, and height of infants has a bearing on successful nursery management of much the same import as that observed all through the period of child-

hood. On comparing healthy, well-fed infants, with others on artificial food, very little difference in height is found at first, but instead of gaining four pounds of weight in three months, they gain less than three pounds, and advance by half a pound monthly, instead of by a pound or more. The rapid rate of increase in the earlier months of infancy, and the variations which are then observable, make a separate study of this period essential. A vigorous healthy child should double its birth-weight in the first four or five months, and treble it at a year old. This rate of growth is not uniform, nor does it proceed at any steadily-decreasing ratio, but is subject to the variations shown on the diagrams.

Fig. 407 is a diagram of the rate of increase for the first year, from "Our Homes" by permission:—



Fig. 407.—The black line shows the Average Weekly Rate of Increase in ounces. The dotted line gives the Average Daily Growth in centimetres, two fifths of an inch, from measurements by Dr. Haehner.

At first, under the new conditions of life, there is always a *loss* of weight, amounting on the second and third day to three or four ounces a day; so that a child three days old may weigh half a pound less than it did at birth. This is called the physiological loss, and is said to average six per cent. of the birth-weight. Nutritive matters, or the waste of past nutritive processes, stored up in the body of the child, are now utilised, and the way is cleared for new material to be readily assimilated. Very soon, after food is taken freely, the loss of weight ceases;

often a gain begins on the fourth day. The first loss in weight is mostly, or should be always, more than made up before the end of the first week. A progressive increase of weight now goes on at the rate of two or three ounces for the second week, at four and five ounces for the next two weeks, and at six ounces a week, or even an ounce a day, in part of the second month, when the increase is greatest. For the next .two months a uniform gain, at the rate of five ounces a week, is maintained, while growth is more rapid than in the previous month. In the fifth, sixth, and seventh month, the rate of increase for weight falls to three ounces a week ; growth also pauses a little, and both undergo some variation while dentition is proceeding. The natural period of most rapid growth comes to a pause about the fifth month in healthy children, when the teeth are forming, and a further demand on the nutritive supply is made. Care has to to be taken lest this pause be unduly prolonged, and a downward tendency advance to positive illness. We see also the first increase of weight checked as growth increases, so that some processes may be less active while a new direction is given to healthy development.

This holds good for all stages of growth, it is specially marked by a pause in the rapid growth of girls as periodic functions are established. In undue stress upon a child's mental powers nutritive energy is checked ; a loss of weight should suggest timely relaxation of study before increase in height is interfered with ; on the other hand rapid growth should be met with some leniency as to lessons, for attention is less sustained at such times, and work done then to be good in quality must be lessened in quantity. An example of the harm done by arrested growth is well seen in the transverse mark on the permanent teeth caused by severe illness, or a short convulsive attack, during the first dentition. The second teeth are then forming, and as they suffer permanently so must other structures essential to future vigour. It is possible that the fall in growth-rate from six to ten months, both in weight and height, seen in the diagram, would be less if dentition were aided by some fitter food supply. The formation of teeth is itself an evidence of healthy progress ; any interruption of health delays their appearance. To persist in the use of diluted milk, highly charged with sugar, or thickened with the starch of corn-flour, instead of with whole meal or other more substantial food, until the teeth appear, is to become involved in a vicious circle from which the escape is slow, and not without risk. Good teeth are the sign of precedent health ; not only do the first teeth decay early when health has been precarious in infancy, but in serious constitutional disease,

the second set of teeth will be injured, and the six-year-old molars imperfect. In estimating healthy development at the end of childhood, the change of teeth, and the appearance of the bicuspids and large molars, at twelve years old, is as much part of healthy growth as the increase in weight and height then observable.

The diagram of these changes will serve to fix attention on normal progress of infantile growth, and as a reference-table by which any slight departure from health in the earlier months may be at once recognised, and so lead to the detection, perhaps to the removal, of its cause before any serious interference with sound development has occurred.

Much of the comfort and happiness of all concerned depends upon the uninterrupted well-being and steady nutrition of infants in the earlier months. Regular and systematic weighing, as the only trustworthy criterion of healthy infantile progress, has of late years been widely adopted in the public institutions of France and Germany. We are indebted to Anna Angell, of the New York Infant Asylum, for many hundred careful observations, week after week, for a year. The results agree very closely with those obtained by other observers; they are approximately given in the following diagram, Fig. 408. *(From "Our Homes.")*



Fig. 408.—Average Weekly Gain in Weight for each Month, drawn from observations by Dr. Anna Angell, of New York. The dotted line shows Bouchut's estimate of the Progressive Decline in Rate of Growth.

By these Figures the rapid rate of growth after the first week is seen at a glance. The critical time for making sure that the infant is obtaining the proper supply of food, and is thriving on it, is in the first six weeks, and there is no means of doing this so reliable as weighing. In this way the check to nutrition is often discovered before any of the painful, and often unmanage-

able, symptoms of athrepsia are declared. There are some conditions unfavourable to the health of infants not discoverable by weighing, but this means would serve to point out the most frequent of them in time to avert their worst consequences.

For infants under three years of age, weight is the best criterion of progress; for children of three years old and upwards, height and weight must always be taken together; in order to judge of healthy growth it may be sufficient to do this three or four times a year.

Growth is very irregular in children and young people generally; perhaps two inches may be gained in two months, and for the next ten months not another inch, even up to the ages of ten or twelve years. This increase is most noticed in the spring and early summer with us; but it is not restricted to this part of the year as seems to be the case in more northern latitudes. While growth is most rapid, fatigue is readily induced; during the pause weight is gained, and work or training can go on again.

In the first two years after birth a child should gain twenty pounds in weight and ten inches in height. The chief increase in growth and weight is in the first year, thirteen or fourteen pounds of the gain in weight being in the first year, and seven or eight pounds in the second. The third year also is one of active growth, the first dentition is completed, and the child often gains four inches in height with four or five pounds in weight. From four to ten years of age a more uniform increase proceeds at the rate of about four pounds a year in weight and two or three inches in height; after ten years the rate of increase in weight for the next five years is doubled. Unsuitable food, defective teeth, bad hygiene, and the various infantile ailments at any time check nutrition, so that the weight of sixty-five pounds and the height of fifty-four inches, or four feet six inches, is not always attained before twelve years of age.

With big children the rate of increase is rather greater than in the small and weakly. A rather higher average is found for boys than girls; the boys may have at birth an excess of ten ounces in weight and half an inch in length, but this is of very little importance, as individuals of either sex may commence life at a weight of nearly two pounds, more or less, than the average. Setting aside the extremes, and taking children who are within a pound weight either way of the ordinary size at birth, there is less difference than might be expected in the rate of growth after the first year. This is also true for the difference in sex, and exactly corresponding weight and measure for both sexes at each age up to ten or twelve years occur; at this time girls grow as fast as boys or faster, and often increase as much in weight, but growth ceases sooner with them.

TABLE OF CHILDREN'S HEIGHTS AND WEIGHTS.

(For both sexes: add to height half an inch for shoes, and to weight 5, 7, *or* 10 *lbs., according to age, for clothes.)*

Age.	Average Height.	Average Weight.	Range more and less.	
			in inches.	in lbs.
At birth.	19 inches	7 lbs.	3	+5 −2
1 year.	28 „	21 „	4	4 −3
2 „	32 „	28 „	4	3
3 „	35 „	31 „	4	3
4 „	38 „	35 „	4	5
5 „	41 „	40 „	4½	5 −3
6 „	43 „	44 „	5	6
7 „	45 „	48 „	4½	8
8 „	47 „	52 „	4	10
9 „	49 „	56 „	4	10
10 „	51 „	60 „	4	10
11 „	54 „	67 „	5	12
12 „	56 „	72 „	6	14
13 „	58 „	80 „	7	19+
14 „	60 „	90 „	8	20+
15 „	62 „	100 „	8	25+

The above are the limits found to be consistent with health in children. Divergences due to illness or markedly abnormal in either direction, have not been taken into account. The birth of a child weighing twenty pounds is recorded in the *Lancet*, Vol. II., 1886. A Gargantua at a year old, of three feet in length and five stones, or seventy pounds weight, who gained two stones in the next half year, is reported from Canada. Exceptional growth more often occurs from the age of twelve onwards; but neither Brobdignadians, nor Lilliputians, however healthy, are included in the above averages.

The range in both directions is given from cases under my own observation; the results have been compared with Tables in Charles Robert's "Manual of Anthropometry." Weight should vary with height, thus lads who are only fifty-four inches high at fifteen and sixteen weigh seventy pounds, the same as boys who are that height at twelve years; yet rapid growth has to be allowed for on the one side and mature age on the other. A youth five feet four inches at thirteen weighed over one hundred pounds, but others the same height at seventeen and nineteen years of age were from five to ten pounds heavier; an addition of this amount has to be made in estimating the right ratio of weight to height in adults.

The circumference of the chest, and the degree of expansion

at different ages is noteworthy. The average chest measurements of 3,362 children from eight to ten years of age was from 22½ to 23 inches after breathing out, and 24 to 25 inches after drawing a full breath ; of 3,478 children, eleven and twelve years of age, the average was, chest empty, 24 and 24½ inches ; chest full, 26 and 26½ inches. Rather more boys than girls were measured, they were factory hands ; the boys had a somewhat freer chest expansion. My own measurements give 27 and 30 inches for girls twelve years of age.

Of the changes produced by illness in children, two or three instances may be given. First, a boy ten years old, after scarlet fever had lost four pounds in weight ; this he regained in one month after convalescence, and added another five pounds in the next three months. Second, a weakly boy, eight years old, height forty-six inches, weight forty-two pounds, had gained no weight and but one inch in height during six months' care in London ; he then goes into the country for three months—August, September, and October—gains eight pounds and grows another inch ; at nine years old he gets to the proportions of fifty pounds and fifty inches, the average height but not the average weight, until, after another year of care, he became strong and well. Another boy of this age, the same weight but an inch shorter, made no advance, and then, with slight febrile action, began to lose flesh, till his weight in pounds became less than his height in inches ; he did not recover. It may be noted in the table that till the sixth year the height in inches exceeds the weight in pounds, and that, from eight to twelve years, the height in feet and the weight in stones nearly correspond ; as growth advances a still further increase of weight over height should occur. A child in the fourth year should be three feet high, and weigh more than two stones ; in the sixth year, three and a half feet high, and weigh three stones ; in the ninth year, four feet high and four stones in weight. At adolescence, one stone should be gained for every two or three inches of height ; eight stones for five feet four inches ; ten stones for five feet eight ; eleven stones for five feet eleven inches ; and twelve stones for six feet of height is good weight.

The increase of short-sightedness with the increase of education, is an evil so important to guard against and prevent, that some of the rules to this end will be explained. Young children must have a good light while they are busy ; they should not be long engaged with small objects very near the eyes, close attention to threading beads, or toys held to the mouth for blowing through, may lead to weak or short sight, or to squinting. A stooping position and too prolonged attention conspire, with other causes, as a child's instruction advances, to produce that

widely spread but avoidable evil of civilized life, short sight. Books for the young should have large type and not be used in a dim or a hot glaring light. Insufficient or ill-arranged light obliges us to lessen the distance between the eye and the book; this is done in twilight, and must occur if the desk and seats are not rightly proportioned. Children are sometimes seated on insufficiently raised seats and so have their faces too near the table. Ten inches should be the least distance between the eye and the book, and copy-books should not be placed straight upon the desk, but so that the lines slope upwards to the right; a straight down-stroke can then be made without bringing the left eye too near the paper, or giving a twist to the head and body. For writing, the paper should be raised by an angle of 20°; for reading, the book is better raised to 40°; the two eyes can thus be moved along the lines without fatiguing the muscles or compressing the eye, then the book must not be too far on the table, or the child will have to sit on the edge of the seat and lean forward and press against the chest, or use the arms in support. The seats must have backs, not too high, and not standing backwards; the back ought to be straight, with a firm bar of wood about three inches broad to come across the loins close above the hips. The seat should be broad enough to take the whole length of the thigh, and a foot-board should be so fixed as to let the foot rest naturally on it. The edge of the desk must be perpendicularly above that of the seat, and just high enough to allow the elbow to rest on it, without displacing the shoulders. For school work the back of the seats should consist only of the support before mentioned; for boys it should be one inch lower than the edge of the table, and for girls one inch higher than the table. The keyboard of a piano is 2ft. 3in. high; for children, a high chair, with low back and movable foot-rest, should take the place of the music-stool. Too long a time at once is often directed to lessons; the length of application or attention has to be varied with the age of the child.

Not only short sight, but various spinal deformities, result from inattention to the above directions. The reciprocal influence of seats and lighting is well insisted on by Mr. Liebreich; he says, "A back-rest is necessary to avoid short-sightedness, and good light is necessary to avoid curvature of the spine. For preservation of sight, as well as of a normal figure, the possibility of remaining in a normal posture during school time, and especially when writing, is an absolute necessity."

Growing girls require a complete and easy rest for the body during some part of the day. In Mr. Liebreich's lectures on

"School Life and its Influence on Sight and Figure," a model of a good chair for this purpose is given. The essential part of this is the firm upper surface bent to follow the curves of the spine, and so give complete support and rest to the back. By prolonging the lower end a little downwards, and adding a projecting foot to the lowest part of the back, the framework is done away with, and the bent board will stand firmly anywhere, and form a very convenient reclining-board. Messrs. Callaghan, New Bond Street, are the agents for this simple structure.



Such boards are very useful, for a rest of ten minutes, in relieving the fatigue of the upright position, or in the intervals of lessons when active play is not to be had. By means of easy rest and varied exercise, rather than by the rigid back-board and wooden foot-frame of a past age, we may hope to avoid the tendency to spinal curvature and short-sightedness so frequently met with.

A careful hygiene should guard the early training of childhood from every hindrance to full development, and from all interference with growth, activity and health.

John Bale and Sons, Steam Printers, 87-89, Great Titchfield Street, W.

THE PERIOD OF INFECTION

IN

EPIDEMIC DISEASE.

"Hinc itaque cernere est variam admodum et accuratam Naturae methodum, quam ad morborum generationem adhibet; quam nemo hominum (arbitror,) hactenus pro rei dignitate satis observando est assecutus."—SYDENHAM, *De Morbis Epidemicis.*

THE

Period of Infection in Epidemic Disease.

[*Revised 1887, from Epidemological Society's Transactions for 1873.*]

"Hinc itaque cernere est variam admodum et accuratum Naturae methodum, quam ad morborum generationem adhibet; quam nemo hominum (arbitror,) hactenus pro rei dignitate satis observando est assecutus.."—SYDENHAM, *De Morbis Epidemicis.*

THE first object in collecting the following observations was to define with all possible accuracy the interval between the reception of infection and the appearance of symptoms in some of the more common epidemic diseases. This interval, the incubation period, instead of being uncertain, unimportant, and almost without symptoms, has been found to have definite symptoms, a great influence on the spread of measles, whooping-cough, and diphtheria, and a duration sufficiently constant to be of generic value in the classification of disease.

The study of all that pertains to the ingress of disease can only be said to commence with the last century. Dr. Richard Mead, in his Essays on Poisons, published in 1702, calls attention to the long period of latency in rabies. In a later essay* the whole argument as to contagion is as fully stated as in any recent discussions on cholera or typhus; sudden attacks of the plague, attributed to fright, he traces to infection; he says "contagion is a real poison," and afterwards remarks† that the practice of inoculation "shows that the small-pox does not break forth before the eighth or ninth day from receiving infection." Till this was known, terror at the swift stroke of plague had impressed people with the idea that all illness was sudden, so that hydrophobia, small-pox, measles, as well as all ordinary ailments, were commonly thought to be owing to the last thing that seemed strange because it did or did not happen.

The shortness of the incubation period in scarlet fever affords a ready means, still serviceable, of distinguishing that disease from measles. These diseases, carefully separated in descriptions since the time of Sydenham, are sometimes confused in practice now as they were then. The existence

---

* *A Discourse on the Plague*, London, 1720.

† *The Medical Works of Richard Mead, M.D.*, 4to, London, 1762, p. 317.

of a third exanthem, rubella, evidently as prevalent at that time as in our own, increased some difficulties which, owing to epidemic roseola having been recently used as a synonym, we have not yet escaped. Richard Morton (ΠΥΡΕΤΟΛΟΓΙΑΣ 1694) considered scarlet fever to differ only from measles in the appearance of the rash, and to be wholly the same disease; he gives ten cases under the former heading, nine being measles, while the name of confluent measles is emphatically applied to an eleventh history* really relating to scarlet fever. At that time every severe and fatal case was referred to measles, scarlet fever being specially constituted, by definition only, a mild disease hardly deserving of classical nomenclature.

The epidemic increase of scarlet fever in the eighteenth century, and its association with malignant sore throat, attracted the attention of such men as Huxham, Fothergill, Cullen, and Heberden. The ill effects following upon a treatment in this fever, that had been harmless in measles, pointed to an absolute difference in their nature. Measles had always been so closely associated with small-pox that it was assumed to require a similar period for development, and it soon became evident that scarlet fever, like plague, could be quickly communicated. Dr. Withering† determined that the rash would appear on the third or fourth day after contagion; Dr. Blackburne‡ says it may occur from four to six days after; Heberden§ mentions the fifth day; and Dr. Willan‖ considers the sixth day as the latest for its occurrence. Whether rash be, or be not, an early symptom, scarlet fever begins soon after infection—possibly within two days, and not beyond a week. Where *epidemic roseola* is said to have this short incubation period, a suspicion of scarlet fever arises. The idea of a hybrid between measles and scarlet fever could only have arisen in the loose phraseology and looser thought of a time now passed. There is no half-way point between these diseases, and rubella is distinguished from scarlet fever by its long period of incubation. The want of a distinctive name, evident in my article on Rosalia (*British Medical Journal*, 1870, vol. i, p. 99), was supplied by me at the International Medical Congress of 1881, in London.

---

* *Op cit.*, pp. 71-87.
† *On Scarlet Fever and Sore Throat*, p. 61.
‡ *Facts and Observations concerning Scarlet Fever.*
§ *Commentorii*, p. 20. ‖ *Diseases of the Skin*, p. 255.

The practical bearing of this part of pathology was first appreciated by Dr. Maton* at the commencement of the present century; to him is due the credit of recognising as a separate disease, on account of its length of incubation, the rubeoloid exanthem only now receiving a definite position. Dr. Mason Good,† who considered the quality of contagion in disease as "a doubtful and perhaps an inappropriate ordinal character," far from admitting this ground of distinction, classed Dr. Maton's cases as rosalia with scarlet fever, instead of referring them to the *rubeola sine catarrho* of Willan or the spurious measles of Richard Morton.

Dr. Maton had several times seen cases called either scarlatina or measles in which the symptoms were so trivial that he could not decide as to their nature. He says: "If scarlatina be mistaken for rubeola (for instance), or *vice versâ*, the consequences may be fatal, since the treatment suitable to the one must commonly be quite opposite to that required by the other; their distinction must not be placed on the external characters, for though the eruption may be the same in appearance, "the shortness of its duration, and the absence of all symptoms which could deserve to be denominated those of debility, made me resolve to keep a scrutinising eye on all similar cases."‡ He writes:—

On August 18th, 1813, Miss F. C., aged 13 years, had this rash, her face was suffused with innumerable points, but she did not feel ill; her sister, K. C., aged 20 years, with her at the time, was complaining, and next day had the rash; the state of the tongue and the obviously slight character of the illness pointed to roseola rather than to scarlet fever. Four others of this family were in the room with these two sisters, and were it a contagious disorder separation would be too late. Of these four children, two girls, of the age of 10 and 15 years, had the rash on the 4th and 5th of September; a brother, Josh. C., aged 17 years, and a visitor, Miss R. C., aged 15 years, both had it on the 7th. Mr. C., aged 24 years, the eldest of this family, was taken on Sept. 24th, when absent from home; his infant son, aged 1½ year, showed it on September 30th; fulness and induration of the small cervical glands was noticed; and two of the girls did not quite recover their health for two months.

Dr. Maton remarks: "There is only one other exanthem that I know to which these cases can be considered refer-

---

* "Some account of a Rash liable to be mistaken for Scarlatina." By William George Maton, M.D., F.R.S., Physician Extraordinary to the Queen, *Medical Transactions*, published by the College of Physicians in London, vol. v, p. 149, London, 1815.

† *The Study of Medicine.* By John Mason Good, M.D., F.R.S., in four volumes, London, 1822, vol. ii, p. 545; or vol. iii, p. 4, of second edition in five volumes, London, 1825.

‡ *Op. cit.*, p. 151.

able, that is, roseola; but tumours do not occur in roseola, nor is it infectious. The period intervening between the application of the infectious influence and the commencement of the disease was considerably longer than has been noticed in scarlatina. Hence it seems requisite to form a new designation, which, however, I do not venture to propose at present, being satisfied with calling the attention of my colleagues to the subject."*

An analogy is observable between the action of the infectious poisons of fevers and the poison of rabies, which is only communicable by inoculation, and probably depends on some undetected microphyte. This analogy may be extended to many intermediate diseases, whether dependent on specific bacilli, or the organisms of erysipelas, pneumonia, purulent ophthalmia, and probably diarrhœa and catarrh; or, as in the septic states most under the control of Listerism, to micrococci with intensified or acquired toxic powers. There are, also, animal poisons from without, such as that of snake-bite, or those arising within the body, as uric acid, the ptomaines, and the rheumatic ferment, or the more limited product, cancer, not productive of epidemic disease:—but, in one year 20,000 deaths from snake-bites are recorded in our Indian population.

The agent in malarial fevers is probably a vegetable spore not dependent upon its human *habitat* for acquired virulence or multiplying power, yet not necessarily arising *de novo* where it is chiefly found. The isle of Bourbon—Réunion—is said, on French authority, to have been free from remittant fever until plants and earth from Mayotta were introduced. It would seem as if such spores, raised with moisture in evaporation, could be carried some not very great distance and re-deposited with dew or snow. The dust that has fallen on to ships at a great distance from land, whether volcanic or meteoric, has not been followed by any outbreak of disease. Side by side with the experimental enquiry, begun by Davaine and carried on by Pasteur, Klebs, Lister, Sanderson, and others, as to the nature of disease-germs, it is well to place such views as to the natural history of disease as result from accumulated clinical study and experience.

When, with Sydenham,† we consider the sources of all

---

* *Loc. cit.*, p. 165.

† Thomæ Sydenham, M.D., Opera Omnia, Londini, 1844, Sydenham Society edition, p. 28, cap. 1, § 6.

the air-borne poisons, we find this secret condition of the air is conferred by no chemical vapour, whether mineral or vegetable, but by the presence of living organisms. Very near a potential germ theory, he noticed how, in obedience to laws regulating all organic growth, "some vernal epidemics appear early, as in January, and thence, gradually increasing, come to their state about the vernal equinox; after which they gradually decrease, and at length disappear about the summer solstice. Others, rising in the spring, and daily increasing, come not to their state till about the autumnal equinox, after which they gradually decrease and vanish at the approach of winter."

Sydenham, though apparently unaware that small-pox was contagious, justly attributed epidemic diseases "to a latent and unexplained alteration of the air infecting the bodies of men." Only so long as the air contains this secret cause can the epidemic rage. Hence this "state of the air," which has been translated back to us from the Latin into "atmospheric constitution" means only the presence of the germs of disease. He further says* that the various epidemic states or constitutions of different years "owe their origin neither to heat, cold, dryness, nor moisture; but rather depend upon some unknown change from the very bowels of the earth, contaminating the air with such special effluvia as force the human body into this or that disease." Upon the conveyance of such infecting germs from the sick to the healthy, the extension of every epidemic depends; details of the successive infection of each individual would be the full history of the epidemic. The prevention of disease requires us to know the conditions under which the different infections act.

It is by careful study of each of the special poisons that a nearer approach is made to the successful investigation of epidemics. Emanations from scarlatina chiefly cling to everything they touch, and are restricted to small distances; those of whooping-cough and influenza can be widely diffused through the air. Much that is attributed to a remote or indefinite cause, such as season, will be found dependant upon some intermediate condition with which season, for instance, may have only an indirect connection. Low temperature,

---

* *Op. cit.*, p. 30. Observationes Medicæ circa Morborum Acutorum Historiam et Curationem. Authore Tho. Sydenham, Londini, 1885, cap. i. § 6; cap. ii, § 5.

harmless in typhus, is prejudicial in measles, directly and indirectly. Measles is more common in winter and spring, because the bronchial mucous membrane is then more susceptible; the greater fatality of measles with us in cold weather is less an effect of temperature than of the tainted air pent up for the sake of warmth.

Some of the epidemic poisons, notably that of yellow fever, have limitations of elevation and of temperature above and below which they cannot exist. Small-pox, increasing in winter, is replaced by cholera in summer; both may be very fatal at the same time and place, and are utterly distinct. Some others are modified greatly by temperature; little doubt can remain, after seeing how exactly the diarrhœa of our later summer months is replaced in the winter by cough and catarrh, and noticing how, by almost imperceptible gradations, they pass into each other, but that a similar poison is operative in both cases; or the alteration may be in the susceptibility, or the different balance of health of the individual attacked.

The epidemic constitution of any place or period, to use the phrase of Sydenham, not only comprehends the result of the preceding influences and takes into consideration the varying circumstances, social and physical, affecting the individual, but specially includes the full estimate of the extraneous elements of contagion existing around him. The phrase is rather the expression of the general state of the health of a locality prevailing at the time of observation than of a separate condition, and the existence of contagion is of the very essence of the idea to be conveyed. In this term are summed up the vestiges of an infection that is disappearing, and the first evidences of an invading disease is recorded; in the absence of all traces of contagious maladies it is sometimes said that "no epidemic constitution" prevails.

To bring about and perpetuate so desirable a condition, next to the supply of fresh air and pure water, and, indeed, one of the conditions for preserving the purity of these first requisites, it is of primary importance to reduce infection to its smallest possible limits. Not only must disinfection begin at the body of the sick, but it must commence with the first elimination of disease-germs, and be continued until they cease to be given off. This course is the way to the safety of the individual, the protection of those immediately surrounding, and the incalculable amelioration of

the public health. For this we must know how soon infection begins, and how long it lasts; otherwise our efforts to check the spread of epidemic disease will often fail, even where our knowledge of the laws governing the course of the special infection is full enough to place it almost under our control.

How soon does infection begin? how long does it last? Of the two questions proposed, the former might be dismissed with an obvious answer as of little importance, while the importance of the latter is as clear as the difficulty of answering it is great; this difficulty may be simplified, and, it is hoped, be made to yield some rules of practical service before the conclusion of the paper. Practical considerations of no slight interest also depend upon the first part of the question, the answer to which is not quite so simple as it may seem, but in reality involves some intricate pathological problems, as well as hygienic deductions of the greatest importance.

Effective limitation of disease, in epidemics having a most marked influence on mortality, especially among the young, must greatly depend on the recognition of the fact that *infection begins as soon as the disease*, so that our first question is pushed back to "when does the disease begin?" If the answer be, upon the development of the more prominent or characteristic sign by which the disease is known, be it rash, whoop, cough, or diarrhœa (and this will always be the popular response), then, in order to meet the popular notions of disease with warning and direction that shall be generally useful and intelligible, we must let it be generally known and understood that infection is to be guarded against while a person is only sickening for diseases, such as small-pox, measles, mumps, hooping-cough, diarrhœa, cholera, and diphtheria, and be prepared to give authority to the somewhat startling announcement that "diseases are infectious before they are developed." The incubation period, as it is called, of epidemic diseases, affords us the means of translating this paradox into a scientific position; a correct and definite idea of the first steps, the ingress, of these diseases lies at the very root of this inquiry, and merits a full investigation.

The incubation period has been divided into the two distinct and separate stages of latency and invasion; a division admitted indeed and applied vaguely to the beginnings of

these diseases, but not with that definite and scientific precision which is to be sought for in each special disease of the class, and which must be reached before such general conviction as is alone conducive to useful action can result. Excepting mere conveyance, diseases are not communicable by personal infection until they have themselves been received by the infecting person ; nor when received are they immediately communicable, unless certain local effects from contagia, coming before any general illness and even without being followed by any, may give rise to infection ; for it may be stated generally that an interval must elapse before infection received can be again propagated.

This period, of longer or shorter duration for different diseases, nearly without symptoms and probably non-infectious, is the stage of latency ; then, with the earliest symptoms, commences the stage of invasion. Febrile movement is now progressive and continuous, so that it cannot be stopped or averted ; the disease-germ multiplies, products of the diseased action are formed, and infection begins. This point is marked by elevation of temperature, easily recognised by the thermometer ; it is often preceded by an equally recognisable thermometric depression, analogous to the shock after injuries, marking the first effects of the poison.

Until now the attack might have aborted ; this is one mode of escape from the diseases we most frequently encounter. Abortive attacks afford no protection against the liability to a subsequent infection ; they sometimes procure a temporary immunity where a continuous exposure to an infection has reduced susceptibility ; more frequently some premonitory symptoms appear and disappear after a short or casual exposure. Some few diseases, at first local, where the early manifestations are under control, may be aborted by direct treatment. But it is a grave mistake to trust to local treatment in a general disease, Diphtheria, for instance ; it is an error to suppose scarlet-fever or measles can be lessened by emetics or aperients clearing away morbid matters ; no increase of the ordinary secretion of the body can eliminate the poison or germ of these diseases ; the more intense the disease the greater the specific product, and the greater the risk to all. The disease once set up is, from first to last, an elimination of itself *in its own form and manner ;* which self-elimination, the sign of the disease, is the very source of infection, and the basis of the axiom that infection begins as soon as the disease.

During incubation the latent period, nearly without symptoms and probably non-infectious, may either be absent or be prolonged within certain limits varying for each special disease; to ascertain these limits is necessary to an effective quarantine. The characteristic of this period is its variability, and it is to this that the wide divergence observed in the time of incubation of certain diseases is owing.

The period of invasion is more fixed, and nearly constant for each special disease; to recognise the commencement of this stage is necessary to the successful isolation of persons already affected by disease, so as to prevent its extension to others.

The incubation period, though divided in this way, may still be usefully spoken of as covering all the interval from the beginning of infection to the first symptoms of the disease. A fixed time can more readily be assigned to it as a whole, not without some of the uncertainty that still attaches to its essential divisions; for, what is spoken of as the stage of invasion in a particular disease by one writer, might by another be included in the course of the disease, or, more frequently, be overlooked or lost sight of in the period assigned to incubation.

This stage of invasion, then, differing in each different disease, but uniform within moderate and ascertainable limits for the same disease, is *the constant quantity* of the period of incubation, if reckoned as a part. It does not commence immediately or directly upon exposure to its exciting cause, and often at a surprisingly long, though at a remarkably uniform interval. The latent stage not only varies for each different disease, but according to the different modes by which the poison of each is conveyed into the blood, possibly also as to the amount and intensity of the poison introduced.

Direct inoculation of the virus of any special disease affords us an opportunity of observing with exactitude the time occupied from the initial processes to the fully developed disease; a slight difference even here is observable in the first stage, and a question arises as to how far the local multiplication of the inserted germ may go on before such general disturbance of the system is set up as enables us to say that the disease in question is produced. This process once largely practised in the inoculation of small-pox, the typical disease of the class, and everyday observable in

vaccinia, furnishes us with the best analogue to what must take place in allied diseases; the result is a short period of latency and a definite stage of invasion, so that the incubation period necessarily occupies many days.

The same thing is observable in measles; though the exact time when the poison was introduced may be uncertain, it must be certain that it was received days before the full characters of the disease became obvious; for those days are necessary to the development of the initial processes of the disease, which here occupy four days.

It may be affirmed, therefore, of some diseases, that they cannot show themselves except after a certain interval, the stage of invasion, following upon the uncertain period of latency, and that consequently their incubation period must be a long one. On the other hand, without assuming that some diseases arise at once with full malignity, it is seen that the different processes by which their virulence is acquired may be gone through with extreme rapidity, and of these, it may be affirmed that the period of incubation may be short.

Small-pox, vaccina, measles, rubella, mumps, varicella, typhoid, typhus, and from one point of view, whooping-cough represent diseases having a long incubation period. Relapsing fever forms the connecting link with the division having a short incubation period, where we find besides scarlet fever, diphtheria, plague, cholera, yellow fever, diarrhœa, influenza, denguè, erysipelas, and, if strictly investigated, whooping-cough, which combines some of the leading features of both classes.

A long incubation period is generally followed by a very definite illness terminating in a crisis, and, excepting debility, with sequelæ neither very prolonged nor very definite; the infection ceasing at a comparatively early period of convalescence. A short incubation period commences a sudden morbid disturbance, having either a long or a short process, very liable to relapses, and to prolonged or definite sequelæ, infection persisting far into convalescence. It follows that infection is spread most at the end of these diseases, with a short incubation, generally from impatience of their last lingering effects; and at the earlier, or even the earliest part of those, with a long incubation period, from disregard of their premonitory symptoms.

The duration of this period for the more frequent diseases should be widely and generally known, so that instead of

referring an attack of small-pox or measles to an imaginary fright, chill, or other circumstance of the day or two before, the true source may at once be sought in the occurrences that preceded the illness by exactly twelve days.

Exact data as to the origin of fifty cases of measles have been collected, showing to what extent the time of incubation may vary; many of these instances illustrate in a striking manner how constantly this disease is propagated before the rash appears, and consequently how hopeless is the effort to prevent its spread among those who have been in close contact up to the time of the eruption. On the other hand, where no such previous communication occurred an effective separation was maintained in cases 24 and 25, who were brought home at the height of the rash of measles, but kept apart from the other children of the family. Case 45 affords another instance of measles being communicable before the rash.

Of the forty cases of rubella now for the first time brought together, twenty-eight afford data for fixing the incubation period. This is found more frequently to exceed a fortnight than to fall far short of it; of the cases referred to (p. 174), two of them, cases 4 and 5, may have extended from ten days to twenty-one. Case 3 alone serves to fix the shortest limit at ten days; twenty cases have fourteen days as their shortest limit; in fifteen cases, the longest limit may have reached to sixteen or eighteen days; and, in three or four, this seems to have been twenty-one days, thus equalling mumps in the length of interval possible.

This disease, unlike measles, may recur, though rarely as with measles and chicken-pox, it can probably be conveyed by fomites. A clear instance of mumps being thus conveyed has come under notice; a boy returning from school where mumps prevailed, his family take the disease three weeks after, while he escaped.

The youngest children are not exempt from these diseases. Small-pox has been gone through *in utero;* vaccination may be effectively performed on the day of birth. The rash of measles may appear as early as the third week after birth; in an infant born while three other children were ill with measles, the first symptoms were noticed a fortnight after birth, and the rash on the third day, the attack being less severe than it usually is in the first two years of infancy. The youngest infants often go through scarlet fever favourably. Whooping-cough may be fully de-

veloped in a child a fortnight old; at p. 184 is seen with what result.

Whooping-cough is brought into the first group more because of the frequency with which it is communicated in its early stages, than from any valid claim it may have to a long period of incubation. The stage of invasion is often considerably prolonged, nor need we dispute as to the right this stage has to be considered, in the absence of the whoop, an essential part of the disease itself, so long as we recognise that it is a serious and highly infectious part of it. The remarkable association of whooping-cough with measles also gives it a right to some notice here; statistics on a large scale show this connection to be the reality that partial observation has frequently remarked; a close parallelism is also observable in their ingress, so that sometimes a doubt may remain whether, in a particular instance of impending illness, the one or the other is to result.

Measles and whooping-cough exhibit a mutual interference during development, so that if contracted together the one or the other is delayed; a mutually intercepting influence is also noticed with regard to whooping-cough and varicella (p. 178); while with more nearly allied diseases it would seem that some of their initial stages, instead of intercepting each other, could be accomplished together. When a child has been exposed to the infection of both mumps and measles, the measles having the shorter incubation period is the first to appear, mumps showing itself about ten days after the subsidence of the measles, or in a time but slightly, if at all, in excess of that sometimes occupied in the development of mumps when there has been no intervening illness.

These diseases are frequently separated for us by a process of clinical analysis, and shown to be distinct, whatever be the degree of affinity between them. Where, as in cases 18 to 21, a child who has previously had whooping-cough takes the infection of measles from another suffering from the mixed complaint, the former communicates measles only to one who has never had whooping-cough; even those susceptible to whooping-cough, as cases 27, 28, may contract measles only, from one suffering from this complication, if a moderately careful separation be maintained after the termination of the eruptive disease. During the earlier part of the concurrence of mumps with measles, even where some symptoms indicative of mumps are already present, up to the completion of the eruptive process, measles alone is communicated to children susceptible of both; a child having received the infection in this way will propagate measles only. Persons who have previously had mumps, when exposed to the mixed complaint after, or at the time the mumps is most prominent, will take and give measles only. Where the exposure is the same, but the susceptibility reversed, mumps only will be communicated.

Infection, commencing at the very beginning of diseases

of the first class, and probably most intense at their height, continues with great intensity during the earlier convalescence. In small-pox, measles, mumps, and varicella, for a fortnight after the eruption the greatest care is requisite to guard against their spread; but many instances point to the infection of these diseases of slow ingress disappearing in a comparatively short time afterwards, so that three weeks may often suffice to terminate the persistence of personal infection, this, in small-pox, corresponding to the disappearance of the last pustular crusts. No such limited time can be assigned to some diseases of the other class. Whooping-cough, contagious before its characteristic sign is developed, probably ceases to be infectious before all traces of spasmodic cough are lost; but scarlet fever continues to be infectious long after all remnants of local morbid action are removed, so that personal contagion may persist for nine or ten weeks from the commencement of an attack. The shortness of the incubation period, and the suddenness of ingress, in scarlet fever, diminishes the chances of its being unexpectedly conveyed, and enables its progress to be checked by a timely separation of the sick. The long incubation period of other diseases favour their unexpected dissemination. In one of them, measles, it would not be too much to say that one-half of all the cases met with are contracted during the premonitory or catarrhal stage.

To limit the extension of most infectious diseases it is necessary to recognise the first signs of ingress. A close parallelism is presented in the earlier stages of most of them; this can be well studied during the course of vaccination; by careful observation of the one we learn to appreciate the slighter symptoms of the others, nor till we recognise these shall we be able to stop the spread of many of the most fatal among them, for it is while sickening for small-pox, as well as measles, that infection commences. On this account the invasion of the more readily inoculable diseases should receive careful study.

In vaccination the earliest vesicle of vaccinia will reproduce the disease, while from vesicles of the sixth and seventh days it may be so certainly propagated that the smaller quantity of lymph then procurable is the chief obstacle to the practice. Inoculated small-pox corresponds to what is observed in vaccinia, with less tendency to variation from the greater intensity of the poison; it is only latent till the third day, then a papule appears, this on the fourth day si

vesicular; there is local irritation on the fifth, glandular sympathy on the sixth, rigors and nausea on the seventh and eighth days; two days after, possibly four, the eruption shows itself. These two last days are identical with the two days sickening for small-pox, and they are infectious. With the secondary eruptions on the eleventh day, the inoculated pustule is at its worst, and on the fourteenth has a crust. At the end of the last century, when inoculation was the common practice, children were removed to a house provided for the purpose as soon as the sickness began, and before the eruption appeared, so as to prevent small-pox being set up in their own houses.

Vaccination and inoculated small-pox follow the same course until the ninth day, when the former terminates in the areola and sets up no diffusible infection. Their shorter incubation period does not admit of either modifying in any way the course of small-pox already received by infection. We vaccinate any persons exposed to small-pox, and at once remove such for a few days from the source of infection, in the hope that they may not have already taken it,* for happily all do not at once suffer from infections to which they are exposed. At one time it was thought that if we could vaccinate within four days of the exposure to small-pox, a protective effect would result. The last small-pox epidemic showed that the special nurses could not commence their duties with impunity until a re-vaccination was seen to be effective.*

Small-pox is communicable from the moment the initiatory fever begins. It may be given by the breath of the patient before the eruption has appeared on the body.—MARSON.

Dr. T. Ridge-Jones in the *St. George's Hospital Reports* for 1872, pp. 235-40, gives a most instructive account of how the linen used by a small-pox patient propagated the disease in that hospital through the medium of the laundry on more than one occasion before the mode of conveyance was detected, and the further spread of the outbreak stopped. In all these occasions the cause was traced, by counting fourteen days backward from the eruption in

---

* A doubtful belief as to the protective power of inoculations over past infections, has lead to the late extension of rabic inoculation. M. Pasteur's inoculation of rabbits from rabid dogs has produced a form of disease with a shorter incubation than that of furious rabies; this again will protect a dog from future risk from a rabid bite; it has not yet been proved to be effective against infection already received.

the different cases, to the removal of linen from those suffering from an attack; its redistribution to the wards showed how, in ordinary washing, heat is seldom applied at a sufficient height, or for a sufficient time, to destroy infection. The original source of infection was a woman who had been out of the hospital (and so possibly exposed to contagion) exactly twelve days before she was taken ill, and fourteen before the rash.

These cases, however illustrative of the axiom that "the time from taking the disease to its appearance on the skin is never longer than fourteen days," do not afford precise data for its confirmation; our conviction is based more upon common experience, and the rarity of any valid instance to the contrary being brought to notice, than upon the recorded facts of cases that had originated from a definite source of infection where the limits of the exposure were accurately known: it would be satisfactory to receive the particulars of such cases from our small-pox hospitals; any illustration of variation thus collected would not be without both scientific interest and practical utility. Dr. Gregory* has published an instance of twenty-one days' incubation of small-pox from a definite exposure; for the short period of ten days very exact details are given of a young medical friend accompanying him to the Small-Pox Hospital on a Thursday; he soon after became languid, and his appetite fell off; on Saturday, in the ensuing week, rigors came on, and, two days afterwards, the eruption of small-pox." These two cases and seven others of small-pox from Dr. Gregory, present a striking analogy to what will presently be seen in measles.

We may conclude that small-pox begins in most cases twelve days after infection, and seven or eight days after inoculation; so that it would seem to be almost an impossibility for small-pox to appear in less than twelve days from exposure to infection, or in less than eight days after the reception of the virus in the most direct manner, the latter being the shortest time in which the disease can be developed, even when the period of latency is reduced to a minimum.

The infecting poison of small-pox so abundantly pro-

---

* "An Essay on the Periods of Incubation of the various Morbific Germs:" addressed to the Central Board of Health, by George Gregory, M.D., Physician to the Small-Pox Hospital. The *Cholera Gazette*, Jan. 28th, 1832, No. ii., p. 60.

duced during the height of the disease, and of such intense activity then, does not seem to attach itself to the individual for long after the pustular incrustations clear off at the end of the third week, though the infection will cling to the clothes and furniture for a long time; it may lurk in some parts of the chamber for two years, as one case that came under my own observation seemed to show; how long it might be preserved in the dried crust is indefinite.

Small-pox also presents the typical example of what is seen in some other diseases, for instance, scarlet fever and whooping cough, viz.: that persons suffering from a second attack, however mild or however modified, may communicate the disease, in all its virulence, to others who are susceptible. A most significant illustration of this is given by Mr. Marson, in the case of a lady who, twelve days after meeting with a person infected with small-pox, has an illness, with delirium, but no eruption; a sister confined to the house with her, is taken ill twelve days afterwards, and has confluent small-pox. Something analogous happens sometimes in measles, when convulsions and lung complication have prevented the appearance of the rash, yet infection is propagated; in such a case protective effect is produced if the patient recovers.

Measles has a shorter time of incubation than small-pox: the rash will never appear until a week after infection, it will often be deferred until a fortnight; the usual interval is ten to twelve days. Measles has been inoculated, when the interval was seven days; now the initial processes of the disease occupy five or six days, of which the last four constitute the well-known catarrhal stage of measles, so that we have here but three days of incubation, even if we include the initial fever, which terminates the incubation stage. This period of incubation is prolonged for a day or two, not without symptoms, when the disease is taken by infection, and then from two to four days of latency must often be added, so that a child cannot be said to be free from the fear of taking measles, and consequently of giving it to others, for a fortnight after being exposed to infection. The longest interval met with from exposure to the full rash has been eighteen days.

The first of the following cases, affords the shortest interval from infection of measles.

Case 1.—A girl, 12 years old, who had been away from her family since Christmas (certainly for three weeks), is brought home on January 24th, 1865,

when her next sister and a younger brother are both ill with the measles and have the rash upon them ; two days after her return this girl has headache and the initial feverish disturbance with quickened pulse on the 27th, catarrhal symptoms on the 28th, the full rash on the 31st ; seven days only after coming to the infected house.

CASE 2.—A girl, aged 11 years, sister to the above, was slightly febrile on January 17th, she attended an evening party on the 18th, had coryza on the 19th, rash on the 22nd ; the illness was at its height on the 23rd and 24th, with serious short complication ; time uncertain.

CASE 3.—A boy, aged 7, constantly with his sister, Case 2, is not noticeably ill till the 22nd, with rash on the 24th, at its acme on the 25th ; time uncertain.

CASE 4.—A girl, aged 9, sister to the above, at home throughout the illness of the others and frequently in the sick room ; slightly febrile on January 27th, pulse not quickened till the 29th, full rash on Feb. 1st ; eight to ten days for incubation.

So that this child, Case 4, who was with the others throughout the illness, does not take it so rapidly as her elder sister, who is brought fresh into the infection when at its height. Cases 2 and 3 probably contracted the disease at the same time from an unknown source of contagion.

CASE 5.—A boy, aged 7½ years, attends a school daily when on Oct. 24th, 1868, a boarder is complaining of illness which is known to be measles on the 26th. The boy, Case 5, was not at school on the 25th and 26th ; he went for his books only on the 27th and then stayed away ; on the 31st he vomits, seems pretty well next day, but is ailing on November 2nd, and has the full rash on the 6th ; consequently an interval of six days before any symptoms, and of twelve to the rash ; incubation period eight to ten days.

CASE 6.—A brother, aged 5 years, with exactly the same exposure on October 24th, had the initial fever on November 2nd, high fever on the 6th, full rash on the 7th and 8th ; incubation period ten to twelve days.

CASE 7.—A girl, aged 6 years, sister of these last two boys, was removed from the house in which they were nursed on November 5th, and was not in the room with her brothers after November 4th. She has the rash on November 15th and 16th, or, after twelve days.

CASE 8.—A boy, aged 1¾ years, brother of the above, removed on the same day as his sister, November 5th, and slept in the same room with her, is not noticed to have any symptoms of illness till November 15th, when the pulse was 116 and the temperature 99 degs. at night. He has the rash with convulsions on the 18th ; this is on the fourteenth day after separation.

CASE 10.—A boy, aged 12 years, leaves school, where cases both of mumps and measles had recently occurred, for the Easter holidays on March 20th, 1869, and goes to Brighton. He seemed dull on the 27th, returns home on the 29th, and has the rash of measles on the 30th, on which day by noon he is removed to another house ; ten to twelve days for the incubation of measles ; in another ten days after this he had mumps.

CASE 11.—A boy, aged 6½ years, who was with the last case from March 26th to the 29th, and is watched rather closely lest he should have the measles, has the initial fever on the night of April the 4th, and, after a slight subsidence, the usual symptoms on the 7th and 8th, with full rash on the 9th and 10th ; again ten or twelve days from greatest exposure.

CASE 12.—A girl, aged 5 years, sister of the above, is one of three children whose chief exposure to infection arose from the boy, case 10, having spent one night in their house while throwing out the rash of measles, March 29th to 30th ; she has premonitory symptoms on April 4th, some cough came before that, and the not unusual temporary lull in the symptoms on the two

following days of incubation, coryza on April 8th, and the full rash on the 11th and 12th ; ten to twelve days' interval.

Case 13.—A boy, aged 4 years, brother to the above, exposure the same, has cough on April 9th, and the full rash on the 13th ; twelve days' interval.

None of these children took mumps on this occasion, though the boy from school, who brought measles into the house, had also received the infection of mumps and spread the infection of it ten days afterwards. Case 1 of mumps.—The eldest boy in this family was much with him during the incubation, but not after the eruption of measles. They all (Cases 6 to 12 of mumps) passed through that ailment in the following year, shewing that they were at this time susceptible.

Case 14.—A younger sister in the above family, at first not much with the other children sleeps with them on the nights of April 9th and 10th ; she begins to cough on the 22nd, and has the rash of measles on the 24th and 25th ; interval twelve to fourteen days.

Case 15.—A girl, aged 6 years, until May 10th much with a companion who a few days after is ill with measles, complains of fatigue on the 15th, and from that time remains at home ; she becomes febrile on the 19th, with sore throat, fulness of the gland at the angle of the jaw, stiff neck ; next day there is less fever, but the glandulæ concatenatæ are enlarged ; there is cough on the 21st and 22nd, coryza on the 23rd, with less cough, and the first signs of the rash. This is fullest on the 25th ; on the 26th there are severe pulmonary symptoms, relieved by stimulants, but persistent with complete defervescence on the 27th ; nine days' interval, or thirteen to the more marked signs.

Case 16.—A girl, aged 4 years, is taken to see a brother who is ill at another part of London, on February 19th, 1872. She only stays half an hour, as her brother is found to have measles with the rash fully out. On March 1st, ten days afterwards, for it is leap year, the little girl sneezes ; on the 2nd she has headache, and feels ill ; is quite ill on the 3rd, and has the full rash of measles on March 4th ; fourteen days afterwards.

Case 17.—A boy, aged 8 years, brother of the above, exactly the same exposure, does not complain of illness until March 4th ; next day he seemed better till evening, when spots of measles were perceived ; on the 6th and 7th the rash was full ; here a whole fortnight intervened, or sixteen days from exposure till the rash.

The following cases not only illustrate how measles is propagated during its catarrhal stage, but how a sort of clinical analysis is often performed for us, separating whooping cough from measles, just as in other cases we find mumps separated from measles.

Case 18.—A girl, aged 6 years, ceases to attend school on November 25th, 1871, because she seemed ailing. On November 28th or 29th she begins to have a cough, suspiciously like whooping-cough. On December 4th, she has the first sign of measles, and the full rash on the 6th and 7th ; twelve days after her last attendance at school. The subsequent course of her illness makes it probable that she contracted whooping-cough at the same time.

Case 19.—A girl, aged 6 years, visited the above girl on Sunday, Dec. 3rd, 1871, the cough was then the only symptom, and no signs of measles were visible till the next day, after which no communication was continued between the two houses, as the children of this family, though they

had had whooping-cough, had not had measles. On December 14th she was seriously ill, but had not the full rash of measles till December 17th or 18th, fourteen to fifteen days' after. Ten days' incubation.

CASE 20.—A boy, aged 4 years, brother of the above, exposure the same, had rash of measles on December 14th and 15th, twelve days from exposure; neither of these children had any subsequent cough.

CASE 21.—A boy aged 1 year, who had been kept indoors with these children for two or three weeks, after a day or two's illness, has the rash of measles on December 26th and 27th, ten days after his brother and sister. This child, not having had whooping-cough, has no subsequent cough.

The next case presents a very clear example of measles being infectious during the earlier stages of invasion, and before the rash appears.

CASE 22.—A girl, aged 10 years, coughs and is febrile on February 20th; she has coryza and epistaxis on the 23rd, with the rash of measles on the 24th, attaining its height on the 25th, and subsiding, with complete defervescence, on the following day. A little boy had come on a visit to the girl's home on February 5th; these children occupied the same room at night from the 9th to the 12th; the boy is first noticed to be ailing on the 13th, and returns at once to his own home; he has signs of measles on the 15th, and the full rash on the 16th and 17th. He must have communicated the disease to the girl two or three days before himself throwing out the eruption. The interval from his leaving to the height of the girl's attack of measles is twelve days; had they not been separated on February 12th the interval from rash to rash would have been set down as eight days only.

The highly infectious nature of the catarrhal stage of measles is doubtless the cause of this disease so frequently spreading through schools and families. With every desire to prevent extension of the disease the separation is effected too late; the next case is an instance of this.

CASE 23.—On March 2nd, 1868, a boy at a day school is confined to his class-room because he was dull at his lessons; next day he is too ill to come at all, and the day after has measles. On March 12th, several boys who met in this class-room were away from school ill; they had the rash of measles from the 14th to the 16th of March.

On the other hand, where children have been kept apart during the earlier stages of measles, the limitation of the infection is possible, even where, as in the next cases, it is brought into a dwelling at its acme. Here personal infection seems to have ceased in three weeks.

CASES 24 and 25.—Two girls of 14 and 11 years old, are sent to school, January 13th, 1872; they have coryza on the 27th, and are brought home with the rash of measles fully out on the 30th. There are five younger children in the family, three of whom remain at home from the time the sisters arrive, the other two being sent away that a fair quarantine may be established; none of these children take the disease, and three weeks after it is over they mix freely with their convalescent sisters.

Instances of infection from a short exposure, both the limits of which are known, can never be so numerous as those from a longer exposure of uncertain duration; nor are

they of such crucial value in determining the period of incubation as might have been expected, the rule being that the interval is longer after a single definite exposure of short duration, than where the exposure has been more continuous. The example of shortest interval (Case 1) resulted from a continuous exposure, the earliest limit of which was fixed. The instance of longest interval, presented by a case where the latter limit only of a continuous exposure is known, is the following:—

Case 26.—A boy aged 6 years, one of a family of three children, attends a day school until November 17th, 1871, when he is removed from fear of measles and whooping cough, both prevailing among the scholars. He remains at home for some days as he seems to require rest; there is no other family in the house and no visitors. On November 26th he walks to the park, a very short distance, and is obliged to return he is so soon tired; next day he seems rather better, but is chilly on the 28th; he coughs and is sick on the 29th, and continues ill with fever and loss of appetite till December 4th, when he is delirious at night; next day he has the first signs of measles, and the full rash on the 6th and 7th. The time of incubation was twelve days, the interval from exposure to the rash was eighteen days, but there was reason to suspect a complication with whooping cough.

Case 27.—A boy, aged 4 years, brother of the last and much with him until December 4th, sickens on the 10th, and has the rash of measles on the 14th, but does not take whooping cough.

Case 28.—An infant, aged 8 months, of this family, not much with the other children, also sickens on the 10th, and has the rash on December 14th.

The two younger children have whooping cough two years afterwards, when the elder boy escapes.

Case 29.—A boy aged 6 years, at a large day school, where are both measles and whooping cough, is feverish on the night of March 26th, 1872, and remains at home; he coughs on the 28th, and has the rash of measles on March 31st.

Case 30.—A boy, aged 4½ years, not at school, brother of the last and with him from March 26th, has the rash of measles on April 7th, with rapid defervescence on the 8th, and no subsequent cough; probably having taken the infection of measles from his brother while he was sickening. The elder boy was seriously ill for the four days preceding the rash, with delirium and convulsive dyspnœa: again, after the rash, he was dangerously ill with extreme dyspnœa and spasmodic suffocative cough until 12th, with clubbing of the fingers and dusky face and lips; the lung complication not being proportionate to the distress suffered. On recovery at the end of the month, the finger ends became flattened at the sides, and the nails for a time arched. This boy had previously had whooping cough. His father suffers from asthma.

The following cases were complicated with subsequent whooping cough.

Case 31.—A boy, aged 6 years nearly, the eldest of four children in a poor family, commences, by school-board authority, to attend day school on March 11th, 1872; several children in his class have coughs; he begins to cough about ten days afterwards, and had slight symptoms of cold, but continued his attendance at school up to March 28th, when the cough, already spasmodic, almost amounted to a whoop. He was quite ill on March 31st, and had the full rash of measles on April 2nd and 3rd; he was rather slow in recovering

his appetite and strength, but seemed pretty well on April 20th, soon after which his cough became more noticeably spasmodic, and he suffered the extreme effects of whooping-cough, from which he died on June 2nd.

CASE 32.—A girl, aged 5 years, sister of the above, at the same school, in a different class, discontinued attendance at school on March 28th, when she has coryza, but less cough than her brother; on April 2nd she appeared lively and well, but had a quickened pulse and a temperature of 102½ degs.; this exceeded 103 degs. by morning, and was more than 104 degs. in the evening, exactly 104 degs. next morning, April 4th, by evening it slightly diminished as the rash of measles appeared. There was a full rash on the 5th and 6th, with complete defervescence on the 7th.

CASE 33.—A girl, aged 3 years, another sister, has the first febrile disturbance, and some redness of the conjunctiva, on April 5th, with slight subsidence on the 7th and 8th, coryza on the 9th and 10th, full rash on the 11th, and defervescence on the 12th. This child probably took the infection from the others between March 28th and April 2nd.

An infant in this family, a boy, has slight cough, with sickness, on the 5th of April, disappearing after two or three days without rash. He begins with whooping cough on the 4th of May. The two girls showed the first symptoms of whooping cough on April 28th, a week later than the elder brother. Supposing that the elder boy took the infection of whooping cough with that of measles, at school, and that his elder sister did not, which seems to be the necessary conclusion to be drawn, an illustration is afforded of how a certain proximity is necessary to the conveyance of infection; it is probable that effective ventilation, both in bed-rooms and schools, would limit in a great measure, if it did not prevent, the spread of this disease.

The order of infection with whooping cough shows it to be no necessary consequence of measles appearing at a definite time afterwards, for here two girls take the whooping cough together, while the one child had measles a week later than the other. Both these inferences are supported by the following cases:—

CASE 34.—A girl, aged 3 years, supposed exposure to infection December 24th, is ill on January 5th, 1872, and has the full rash of measles on the 6th. She begins with whooping-cough on February 3rd.

CASES 35 and 36.—Two girls, aged 5 and 4 years, sisters of the above, became ill January 13th, and have the rash of measles on the 15th and 16th, followed by some spasmodic cough. They have whooping-cough, the elder in March, the younger in May.

The order in which they were exposed to infection requires to be further noticed here. The youngest girl, the first to begin with measles, seemed to be making a good recovery, when on February 2nd she was taken on to the roof of the house, where there was a garden (the first and only time of her going out during her convalescence); next day she had a more marked cough, and again became

febrile, with well-marked symptoms of whooping cough of some severity, from the 6th to the 19th of February. During this time the little girl was nursed apart in her mother's room; on the birth of a baby she had to go to another room, at first with the nurse and afterwards with the elder sister; this sister has whooping cough in March. The little girl again goes to sleep at night in her mother's room in April, and before the end of the month the infant, now two months old, gets the cough. The other girl, aged four years, who had been more alone, and slept in a separate room, does not take whooping cough until May 4th, has high febrile disturbance all the month of May, and dies with symptoms of cerebral disease in the middle of June: so that the whooping cough was contracted the one from the other, and had no definite relation to the measles; for the infant, who had not measles, took it sooner than the little girl who, having had that complaint, was kept longer separate from the others.

This part of the subject will be concluded with cases of uncomplicated measles in two other families, and two instances of supposed second attacks.

Case 37.—A boy, aged 10 years, at home from school for the Christmas holidays sickens for measles on January 17th and has the full rash on 21st. A younger brother sickens on the 26th and has the rash of measles on the 30th, ten to twelve days after exposure.

Cases 38 and 39.—Two girls, aged 8 and 4 years, sisters of the above, show symptoms of measles on the 27th, and have the rash on the 31st of January, ten to thirteen days after exposure.

Cases 40 to 44.—A boy, aged 7 years, second child in a family of four, was noticed to be ill on July 18th, has coryza on the 20th, and the full rash of measles on the 22nd. An elder sister sickens on August 2nd and has the rash of measles on the 6th. Two younger children, ill on August 4th and 5th, have the rash on the 8th or 9th. The mother, who was in constant attendance upon the children, but had had measles herself when eight years old, felt sick and giddy, with aching of limbs at night on August 9th, seemed ill as with a cold from the 10th to 12th, and, on the morning of the 11th, found herself covered with rash; this began to fade by night, leaving some irritation of skin, and a feeling of weakness, but no further illness.

Case 45.—A young man, aged 23 years, had measles fifteen years ago, he felt giddy on the evening of February 27th; next day he was ill with signs of catarrh, there is rash at night with cough, and every characteristic of measles. On tracing back fourteen days, it was found that he passed the night of February 14th shut up in a close cabin in crossing from Liverpool to Dublin; he returned on deck, and thought he had taken cold in his journey home, where he had but just arrived in time to take to his bed on the evening of the 28th. The account of the previous attack is from family memoranda written at the time and points to that having been rubella. This case affords another instance of measles being communicable before the rash; measles appeared a fortnight afterwards in the family of a friend who was with this gentleman on February 27th. The previous attack proved to have been one of rubella; so that in no case has the rash of second measles come under my notice.

The invasion stage of measles may be so brief as to escape notice; this will seldom happen in more than one of several children affected at the same time, so that all doubt as to the true nature of the disease soon vanishes. The following three cases bearing upon this point occurred in the family of a most accurately observant medical friend.

Case 46.—A florid healthy girl, aged 6 years, has the rash of measles on February 4th, with no obvious evidence of previous sickness, not even for an hour; there are two other children at home with her who have not hitherto been segregated by day. On looking back a fortnight it was found that these children, a sister one year older and a brother one year younger than this little girl, all carefully withheld from Christmas parties, had been taken to the pantomime on January 21st and to a circus on the 20th. It soon became evident that, with the same exposure, the sister and brother had not taken the infection at that time; they were now carefully watched. The elder girl has headache on February 12th, at night the temperature is high, next day this is normal, but is raised on the night of the following day to 101 degs.; it continues to be high for the next two days with cough; the rash appears on the evening of the 15th, and is full on the 17th, defervescence is sudden and complete on the 18th. The little boy is placed under observation at the same time. A slight elevation of temperature is found on the night of February 12th, next day there is cough; some spots of measles appear at noon on the 15th, and there is copious rash with temperature of 103 degs. at night; on the 16th the rash and all the symptoms are very intense; on the 17th the temperature is reduced to 99½ degs. in the morning after diarrhœa, in the evening it is raised to 102½, with a trace of bronchitis; next day this disappears, and the defervescence is complete: the interval for these cases is eight to twelve days.

The two succeeding cases have some features both of resemblance and of contrast to the last; they show that a long interval may be looked for after a limited exposure, and that a continuous exposure tends to shorten the interval.

Cases 49 and 50.—Of two little girls, the youngest, aged 4½ years, has the rash of measles on Saturday, March 8th; she had been ailing all the week, and had seemed dull and easily tired in the preceding week; she had been with her sister to a morning performance at a circus much frequented by children on February 22nd. The elder girl, aged 10 years, when seen at noon on March 8th seemed to be quite well except for a slight excitability of manner, a quick pulse of 100, and an elevation of temperature to 99½ under the tongue—this, probably normal for midday, at night was 98 degs. only. Next day both pulse and temperature were normal. It was evident that this child had not taken the infection with her sister at the circus. She had slept in the room with her sister during the two nights of fever, and, besides having been with her much during the few preceding days, was now entirely confined to the same room; she was without any sign of illness on March 10th and 11th; on the 12th she was lively, but again had a slight rise of pulse and temperature; on the 13th she was sick in the morning; next day the pulse was 116, the temperature 101 degs.; her appetite continued good until the 16th, when the temperature rose to 102.5 degs. and the spots appeared in the evening; on the 17th there was full rash after a temperature of 103.9 degs. had been reached; next day there was the usual subsidence of temperature, with complete defervescence on the following day.

From the care those children always receive, from the limited number of their visitors, from the fact that the elder

only of the two goes to school, and that the younger is always with her mother, who even takes her for her walks, no doubt remains as to other sources of infection; tracing back a fortnight from the appearance of the rash of measles brings us to this visit to the circus, the one amusement with which these children had been indulged. An illustration of what is noticeable in the previous cases and must frequently happen, is seen in only one of these children taking the disease, though they both had the same or nearly the same exposure; fortunately, many risks of this kind are escaped. How soon the symptoms developed by the younger child could have imparted infection to the elder is uncertain; allowing four days for the period of infection before the rash appears as the limit, then, in the second case, the rash came on the twelfth day, but as the exposure was much greater at the height of the rash, namely, on March 8th, and the two subsequent days, it is more probable that in this second case eight days only sufficed to develop the disease, and of these it is clear that the first four days were without signs of illness.

Guided by the law that a short limited exposure to the infection of measles is followed by a longer interval before the disease appears than when the exposure has been continuous we infer that, when the disease is of unknown origin, by looking back a fortnight the illness can be traced to its source, but that where there is free communication with those already ill, the malady will probably be developed in less than a fortnight, and not sooner than the eighth day from the commencement of the exposure. The next cases are confirmatory of these inferences; the first two have ten days for the incubation period, the last case only eight days.

Cases 51 and 52.—Two children, a girl and a boy, aged 6 years and 4 years respectively, who, with one exception, had certainly not met, or mixed with, or spoken to, any other children, are seized with measles on March 25th, and have the full rash of measles on the 26th; they had some catarrhal symptoms for two or three days, attributed to change of weather. On inquiry as to the events of the previous fortnight, it appeared that these children were taken to a friend's house in London to see the processional entry of the Duchess of Edinburgh on March 12th; a person had been ill with measles in this house a fortnight before, and two days after, some children resident in the house, who were in close communication with these two during the two or three hours of their stay, became seriously ill with this prevalent complaint. A half-sister, aged 18 years, comes home to the house where the two younger children are convalescent, on Wednesday, April 1st, associating freely with them during the day; she sickens, and is chilly, with loss of appetite on the evening of Wednesday the 8th; feels ill the next day; has some spots of measles on the 10th, but does not keep her bed till the 11th; the rash was at its height on the 12th.

The duration of personal infection after measles is probably limited to three weeks from the time of the eruption. Infection is evidently as intense in the first week of convalescence as at any part of the illness, it is likely to be considerable in the second, and may persist into the third week. How completely this danger is over in the fourth week is shown by cases 24 and 25, where they not only mixed closely with young and susceptible children of their own family, but were also taken among others to a large establishment on the Day of Thanksgiving at St. Paul's, without being the cause of illness. The following case gives a further reason for believing infection to be over by the end of the third week.

Case 54.—A boy, aged 9 years, son of a clergyman in the country; while at school sickens for measles on Sunday, December 7th, 1873. He remains away from home at Christmas, as his eight brothers and sisters, four older and four younger than himself, who have none of them had measles, are all at home for the holidays. On December 30th, exactly three weeks from the height of the rash, he returns to his family, mixing with the other children freely all day. He has a separate bed-room; his clothes were efficiently exposed to the fumes of burning sulphur, and he himself had a wash with carbolic soap; no one took infection from him.

This rapid disappearance of bodily infection closely agrees with what is observed of small-pox, and contrasts remarkedly with what will afterwards be noticed of scarlatina.

After exposure to the infection of measles the signs of illness cannot be expected to appear in less than a week, nor will more than a fortnight elapse before symptoms sufficiently indicative of impending danger give notice that the suspected person must not yet be allowed to mix with the susceptible. Only in those cases complicated with whooping cough, when the general symptoms were unusually severe, did the kind of illness remain in doubt for more than fourteen days. In the one uncomplicated case that exceeded this limit signs of the disease on the fourteenth day, sufficient to give warning of the impending eruption, were apparent; so that a fortnight's quarantine after exposure to measles will suffice to prevent unexpected outbreaks. In scarlet fever even a shorter quarantine might suffice, for the rule for measles may almost be reversed in this case, and we may say that if the disease does not show itself in the first week, or by the eighth day after exposure to infection, it probably will be escaped altogether.

Fifty of the cases of measles afford more or less accurate data for fixing the incubation period; the disease appeared

in less than eight days in one case, in from eight to ten days in fifteen cases, in twelve cases exactly in ten days, in from eight to twelve days in fifteen cases, after twelve days in three cases; only one of those (case 17), extended to fourteen days; the incubation being reckoned, as it should be, from exposure to onset. In the essay of Dr. Gregory one case only of measles is referred to, where, after a single exposure, the full period of incubation is given as fourteen days; this being reckoned to the appearance of the rash includes two or three days of invasion, and may correspond to a true incubation period of ten or twelve days. No clear instance of a second attack of measles has come under notice; most of the so-called cases of second measles are attributable to rubella (*rötheln*, or *rubeola notha* of Babington), the rash of which, besides having some features distinct from measles, occurs without the precedent four days of catarrhal illness.

Rubella, rosalia, or epidemic roseola, has an incubation period of from ten to fourteen days or more, closely approximating therefore to true measles, *morbilli*, from which it is really distinct. That it is not second measles is well illustrated in the Cases 34, 35, and 36 of measles. At Christmas, 1869, these children had not had measles, when two elder sisters, who had had measles in the previous year, have this rash, and communicate it to them; they have it in as characteristic a manner as the elder girls, but without seeming to be at all more ill with it. Two years afterwards those younger girls go through measles without any modification.

Case 1.—The eldest of these girls was a weekly boarder at a school where a companion had a rash, supposed to be measles, on November 16th, 1869, and was sent home the same day, returning to school on the 22nd, sooner than was thought prudent by the friends of Case 1, as she had not had measles. To avoid infection, my patient does not return to school after this date, November 22nd. On November 28th she had some cough and seemed ill; but woke up lively and well on the morning of the 29th covered with this rose rash, finer, brighter in colour, less raised than measles, less diffused than scarlet fever, showing clear skin between the spots; she keeps her bed for two days, and gets up quite well on December 1st. During this interval the mother of her school friend visited her and said the rash and illness, or rather the absence of illness, seemed to be exactly as in her own child's case. Case 2: Her next sister sleeps in the same room and lies near to her; she feels heavy and amiss on December 13th; by evening she has the rash, and goes to bed earlier than usual that evening, but not until she had spent two or three hours with two cousins who had come on an afternoon visit. Case 3: The elder of the cousins became ill on Dec. 24th (on which day she kept in bed) with rash on the chest and arms in the morning; by evening this extended to the legs, body, and face, where it was very conspicuous next day, though she herself felt pretty well again. Case 4: The younger, sleeping in the same room with

the last case, felt sore throat on January 3rd, and had the rash on the 4th, just ten days after her sister was in bed with it.

To return to the first family: the youngest of those who had previously had measles, slept in the same room with the two elder sisters, but at a little distance from them; she (Case 5) became ill on December 22nd, and had the rash on the 23rd, also ten days after the second sister's illness. These girls mix freely during the day with the three younger sisters, who sleep in a different part of the house, and who have not had measles; each one of these, Cases 6-8, has the rash early in January, or after another interval of ten or twelve days, without seeming to be at all more ill with it than those who had previously had measles. Three years afterwards these same children take measles, and the elder girls do not.

Cases 9 and 10.—A girl, aged 8 years, attending a day school, is very lively and well on June 5th, wakes up next morning covered with crimson spots; some remain sparsely distributed over the arms and legs on the 7th, but she is otherwise quite well. A younger sister, sleeping with her, complains of her throat on the 14th, but soon seems well again; they attend school together for a few days, and on the 22nd the second girl is noticed to have rose spots on her face in the afternoon; small, isolated, slightly raised, and not very bright spots occur on the chest and back at night. Next day the spots on the face form rose-coloured patches, not crescentic; isolated, slightly-raised pink spots occur on the arms, fewer on the legs, and very few on the feet.

These two cases are of interest as neither of these children had had measles. About three months afterwards an elder brother, aged thirteen, Case 11, who had measles some two years before, was sent home from school covered with a rash which, with apparent reason, was considered to be measles, for the eruption was very abundant, deep in colour, with very little clear skin between the spots, an elevation of temperature to 102°, and that disagreeable odour, on entering the room before ventilation had been seen to, which is so often noticeable in that disease. Neither of the sisters took any illness, though they were frequently in attendance on their brother, nor did three younger children who were kept more apart.

In a family of four children (two boys, aged nine and eight years, and two girls, of seven and five), the elder of the two girls, while absent from home, has rubella; the others escape. Next year they all have measles, this girl equally with the others. Early in the following year the brothers return from a preparatory school with rubella, and now the elder girl escapes, but the younger one takes it the

week after the boys returned, and has sore throat and considerable hoarseness of voice.

Cases 16 to 21.—A boy at home for the Easter holidays, complains on April 2nd, 1869, of being tired, and next day has rash; he was secluded for two days, and then, on April 4th and afterwards, joined his family, seeing much of two elder sisters near his own age. On April 18th both those girls had the rash after complaining of giddiness and of feeling tired the night before the eruption. One of them had left home on the 15th, and communicated the complaint to two cousins who had previously had measles. A younger sister, not quite three years old, who associated less with the elder children, had well-marked rash on the 20th, with but little coryza and less marked symptoms of illness than the others, though they had had measles, and she had not.

Cases 22-28.—In these cases rubella occurred subsequently to measles. They are cases 46-48 of measles (*vide* p. 171). In this family an elder sister, who was not ill with these children, having previously had measles, comes home from school on May 7th with the rash of rubella, some fulness of the cervical glands, but no elevation of temperature. On May 26th, the under nurse and little girl (case 46) have the rash, on May 28th the little boy; he had looked pale for several days, but except debility and some fulness of the lips and tonsils, had no illness or fever after the rash appeared. On June 11th, case 47, the other girl has the rash; exactly a fortnight after the last case. On June 14th and 16th other cases occurred in this family.

The time of incubation can only be fixed in five of this series of nine cases, because the exposure was continuous. In this latter group from eighteen to twenty days occur before the first spread, and fourteen to nineteen to the second; in the former, one of the girls leaves home ten days after the brother joins them. Had she been separated from that time, before visiting her cousins, she, in all probability, would not have carried infection to them, though less than a fortnight's quarantine would have been as uncertain against this disease as it is in preventing the communication of measles.

Case 29.—A young man, W. F., aged eighteen years, in business in the city (source of infection unknown), while training for athletics, becomes easily tired in the evening of May 6th; next day he is better, but has headache and sickness the day after, the 8th. On the 10th he faints during a foot race, but is able to resume his duties on the 12th, and continues at the office until the 17th. The rash appears on the 18th; he has a week's rest at home; he returns to his duties on the 26th. On the evening of the 31st one of the clerks in the same office complains of fatigue, and next day has the rash. Another clerk, quite well on June 3rd, has the rash on the 4th.

There may have been some common source of infection for these cases, otherwise the two clerks may either have taken infection from W. F. on his return to them, if the incubation period can be as little as from five to seven days, or, as seems more probable, they took infection from him on May 17th, before the appearance of the rash, with an incubation period of from fourteen to seventeen days. In the next case there is no such uncertainty.

Case 31. A sister by marriage, in the same house, but not much with W. F. till the latter part of the week that he remained at home, removes to another part of London the week after, May 31st, and has the rash on June 8th. She felt tired only the day before, but on the appearance of the eruption, there was neither acceleration of pulse nor rise of temperature; nor was this the first time of her having a rash, believed to be identical, she having previously gone through both scarlet fever and measles.

Cases 32 to 38.—At a ladies' school of the highest class, with thirty boarders, a few girls from the neighbourhood are admitted to some of the classes; on Monday, March 30th, a day pupil was noticed about noon to have some spots on her face and went home; next day she was excused attendance because of a rash, nor did she return. On April 12th, one girl shows similar spots on the face; this was not thought much of until the next day, Monday, the 13th, exactly a fortnight after the day pupil left. Two other girls have also spots on the face, and the one first to show this has a decided eruption; two of these girls had slight headache with some tenderness of throat, and of some small glands on each side of the neck—one had no previous symptom. On each of the three following days one fresh case occurs, some having had similar sensations to the first two cases, also dating from Sunday the 12th; none of these had either coryza or cough; all these six girls had had measles from two to twelve years previously; in none was there any feeling of illness after the appearance of the rash. The longest period of incubation was here seventeen days. Some of the girls were sent home and a longer interval occurred in one other case. Those who took the complaint were not specially in contact with the original case, and in only one could any predisponent variation of health be traced.

In most of these cases of rubeola an incubation period of from ten to fourteen or more days is observable; the mean is higher than for measles, as the short period of ten or twelve days was only found in five cases; in fifteen cases this period extended to sixteen or eighteen days, and in three of them to twenty or twenty-one days. The period of invasion occupies one or two days only; infection is greatest at this time and in the two or three following days, it soon subsides and may be over in a week. Enlargement of the small cervical glands was noticed by Dr. Maton as an early sign in this disease—another point in which it resembles measles in its development; yet it was differentiated from that exanthem sooner that it has been from scarlet fever. The preceding cases show how small is the claim rubella has to be considered as second measles; this is as baseless as that of varicella to be looked upon as second smallpox. Both are distinguished from their more formidable congeners by the short ingress of the slighter exanthems; their evolution from the severer forms of disease is conjectural (see p. 171), but the nearness of their relationship is well expressed by the names of variola and varicella, and of rubeola and rubella. The incubation stage of rubella may be as short as that of measles; the *Practitioner* for September, 1887, p. 196, gives an instance of eight days only; that of five days is doubtful.

The two other diseases now to be noticed of the first group, varicella and mumps, correspond with measles in never appearing till after a week's interval. Infection in the latter may persist as in measles for three weeks; it probably ceases much sooner in varicella.

Varicella, or chicken-pox has an incubation period of rather less duration, subject to the same variations as measles. The following ten cases give an interval of from ten to twelve days for incubation. This was prolonged to fifteen days in Case 5, where the infection was from a limited and not very certain source, and to sixteen days in one of the three supplementary cases, which were complicated with whooping cough.

CASE 1.—A girl, aged seven years, who attends a day school, is noticed to have a spot on the lip on May 30th, and next day other spots recognised as those of varicella; she remains at home, is febrile at night, with full eruption next day; the height of the disease was on June 1st and 2nd when she remained in bed.

CASE 2.—A younger brother of the above, occupying the same bedroom, is ill with chicken-pox on June 12th; ten days after his sister's illness was at its height.

CASES 3 and 4.—Two elder brothers of the above have the disease on June 13th. The interval in these cases was probably twelve days.

CASE 5.—A girl, aged three years nearly, is visited by a nurse attending upon a child with chicken-pox on the last or last day but one, of November. On December 14th this little girl has signs of chicken-pox, well marked on December 16th. A possible interval of sixteen days.

CASE 6.—A boy, aged seven years, brother of the above, at home with her, is taken ill on December 27th, with full eruption on the 28th.

CASE 7.—A boy, aged five years, of the same family, absent during the illness of his brother and sister, is brought home to them on January 12th because a child in the same room where he is staying has chicken-pox; he begins to be ill on the 21st, with the full eruption of chicken-pox on the 22nd and 23rd. Ten days' interval.

CASE 8.—A boy, aged seven years, returning home from a visit on January 6th, sickens for chicken-pox on April 13th, and is ill with it on the 14th and 15th.

CASES 9 and 10.—Two elder brothers return home from school on April 10th, and are much with their younger brother up to the time of his illness; both show slight signs of the disease on the 23rd; the younger of the two has high fever and full eruption on the 26th, and is some time before he recovers. The eldest, aged sixteen years, who is known to have had this complaint in infancy, shows signs of rash on the same day, but the spots do not become vesicular; they are most numerous on the exposed parts of the body, namely, the face and hands, appearing first around some recent scratches on the wrist; further rounded red spots, slightly raised, show themselves on the second day, but there is no fever, and the spots fade on the third and fourth days without any of them producing pustules or even vesicles.

VARICELLA WITH WHOOPING COUGH.—A boy, aged five and a half years, at a day school where there is both whooping cough and varicella, after a slight cough barely exciting suspicion, stays at home on March 8th with spots of varicella, these are full on the 9th and 10th; he is not very ill on the 11th, aud is sent to school in the following week; a week afterwards (a fortnight

after the eruption), on the 25th, his cough again becomes frequent, and is very severe, with illness characteristic of whooping cough on the 31st; this continues for three weeks, when the febrile disturbance terminated with the formation of pus in an old psoas abscess; the cough continued to be spasmodic, but not very severe, for more than another month. *Vide* case 27 of measles.

Case 12.—A younger brother, two years of age, has febrile disturbance attributable to the ingress of whooping cough during the week that the elder one is at home with varicella; this febrile disturbance suddenly subsides on March 20th; there was a great diminution both of gastric and pulmonary irritation on the 24th, and on the 26th the spots of varicella appeared in great numbers with the ordinary febrile disturbance, which however did not subside as quickly as usual, and, on April 1st, he had a temperature of 100.4°, the crusted spots of the varicella still very numerous, and the whooping cough more marked than before accompanied with vomiting. Here the presence of whooping cough had prolonged the incubation period of varicella to nearly its extreme limit, viz., fifteen or sixteen days; the varicella had intercepted the course of the whooping cough for a week or more, and in all probability modified its subsequent course, for the child, though delicate, made a good recovery, and had less trouble from the cough than either of the others in this family. This boy is case 28 of measles.

An infant at the breast, a girl aged four months, who was about to be vaccinated, has this operation delayed until March 31st, because of varicella, which appeared on March 22nd, ten days after the elder boy's illness. The day before the varicella showed itself the mother feared the child had either taken cold, or that whooping cough might be threatening; a similar fear was expressed on the day before vaccination, but on that day there was no indication of illness sufficient to warrant a further delay. The vaccination took readily, the vesicles were mature on the eighth day with areola on the ninth; the areola was not delayed, as Jenner noted it to be in measles and scarlatina; the after subsidence of temperature was not so marked as usual, previously the febrile action had been slightly accelerated, that of the seventh day being rather in excess of what is usually met with, and now a cough, noticed from the day after the vaccination, became suspiciously like whooping cough; on April 10th there was still redness of the arm; this was less on the 14th, and the febrile disturbance had subsided. There were no pulmonary *râles*, and the child seemed well, except for some fits of cough, with coryza: during this week the cough became very violent. On April 24th sickness followed the cough, and the act of sucking excited it; the crusts of vaccinia had not separated. The child became thin and ill, with more than one complication to give rise to anxiety which was not at an end until the middle of May, when a succession of small boils appeared under and near the vaccination marks. The eldest boy in this family who had whooping cough with measles (case 26 of measles), now escaped.

Mumps has fourteen days of incubation, which may be extended to three weeks; twenty-two days being the longest period that has come under my observation. The boy (aged twelve years, case 10 of measles), who must have contracted both measles and mumps at school before he left on March 20th, has signs of mumps on April 9th, exactly three weeks afterwards, and ten days after the rash of measles; he was removed on March 30th to a house where there were four children who had had measles but not mumps. They all four have mumps from the 23rd

to the 25th of April, the shortest interval being fourteen days. Six cases in another family give an interval of from nineteen to twenty-four days.

CASE 6.—A boy, aged eight years, attending a day school, who had not seemed very well for a week, has stiff neck on March 20th, 1870, and next day is febrile, with parotitis. His next younger brother and the nurse have mumps on April 8th, eighteen days. Two sisters sicken on April 9th and 10th; the mother on the 12th, and an infant, aged one year, is febrile on April 12th, and has enlarged parotid and submaxillary glands, with a temperature of 104.7° at night on April 14.

CASES 13 to 16—in another family, are these: a boy is sent home from school unwell on March 11th, next day he is found to have mumps; on the 14th, a brother and sister, separated from him the day before, are sent to different houses, they remain free from symptoms till the 25th, when the girl complains of stiffness in the neck while eating her dinner, and next day has obvious mumps, thirteen days after the separation. The younger boy (case 14) has no disturbance of health until April 2nd, he was sent home on April 3rd, and next day, exactly three weeks from his leaving home, the parotids are swollen and the disease established. Three weeks after this a servant in the house (case 17) is taken with the disease on April 25th.

The last part only of this long incubation is probably infectious; the febrile disturbance is seldom marked until the day before the glandular enlargement is felt; sometimes various symptoms of illness are noticed before this; the healing of a wound in a strong lad was arrested for a fortnight until mumps appeared; a tall delicate girl felt weak and fainted still earlier in this stage, and before infection could possibly be imparted. Infection might persist for more than three weeks where the salivary secretion is contaminated, or ulceration near the orifice of the ducts persists. A specific micrococcus has been found in the clouded epithelium of the affected glands; also in the blood, by Karth, and by MM. Cabitan and Charrin. and in the renal secretion by M. Bouchard. Certain metastases are common; the more dangerous complications are rare.

Where children are exposed to the infection of both mumps and measles at the same time, the measles, even if delayed in its development, will always precede the mumps, though some of the initiatory stages of mumps would seem to have been accomplished in the meanwhile, for it is only nine or ten days after measles that the mumps appear, instead of after a fortnight, which is the shortest period of incubation for mumps; or after three weeks, at which interval whooping cough is generally found to follow measles. In whooping cough following measles, it frequently happens that the measles only is communicated while at its height, (for there is reason to believe that in early convalescence from measles, or es-

pecially if measles have followed whooping cough, that both may be communicated) so, where mumps and measles have been taken together, it may happen that measles only was communicated as in the following instance :—

CASES 18 and 19.—Two boys attended a day school in the spring, where cases both of mumps and of measles had recently occurred ; the elder of these boys has to remain away for a week from illness, sufficiently severe, but of no marked type ; the younger continues to attend the school till at the end of the week he has the catarrhal symptoms of measles ; both boys have the rash of measles together, and a younger brother, who does not go to school, is allowed to remain in the same room with them, and indeed has been sleeping in the room with the elder all the week, the youngest boy is just beginning with the catarrhal stage of measles when the eldest shows signs of mumps, and two days afterwards the second boy has mumps ; the youngest was removed to another room as soon as he became ill, and did not afterwards take mumps.

CASE 20.—A lad, aged fifteen years, left school on December 17th (one of his schoolfellows, with whom he associated, began with mumps the day before) ; he has no feeling of illness until December 24th, when he was restless at night, next morning he vomited, and then felt better ; on the 26th he was able to skate, though easily tired ; he came to London on December 28th on a visit, and was noticed not to be looking well ; he felt and looked better on the 30th, except for some swelling under the right ear ; there was increased swelling with pain and illness, next day, December 31st. The submaxillaries were swollen on January 5th, the left parotid on the 7th, and he was well again, except for almost imperceptible swelling of the parotids, on the 12th. For the latent period ten days, and three to five days for the stage of invasion.

Six schoolfellows who left school on the same day had mumps about the same time—all early in the new year—between a fortnight and three weeks after exposure. In this case the disease cannot be said to have begun until the fourteenth day after leaving, viz., on the 30th of December; the sickness on the 25th can hardly mark the commencement of the invasion period, for no infection was set up till three days after, thus leaving ten days for the period of latency which, as other cases show, may in this complaint be easily extended. Infection can hardly be said to have begun in this case until the first local signs (which in other instances are shown to follow very quickly upon the first progressive elevation of temperature) were perceptible. Five children were at home with him from December 17th to 28th, none of whom took the disease ; he returned home also after a fortnight's quarantine, and did not communicate it to others. Case 14 shows that for preventive quarantine, where it is doubtful whether the poison of mumps has been received, fully three weeks must be allowed ; here again, a short limited exposure with complete separation does not, any more than in measles, serve to mark the

shortest incubation period, but may, in fact, be followed by a longer interval of freedom from all signs of the disease than when the exposure had been more complete and continuous.

The close parallelism between the ingression of the diseases hitherto considered is worth notice; an initial fever generally marks the invasion period; this, in measles, is often to a considerable degree, sometimes nearly equal, but never quite, to what takes place at the acmè just before the eruption; at others it is either less obvious, or attention is withdrawn from this part of the illness by the temporary depression of temperature that follows it. This, however, has but little practical importance, for it is not until progressive febrile disturbance, easily recognised by the thermometer, marks the real beginning of the disease that infection begins. This usually is four days before the eruptions of small-pox and of measles (probably not so long before mumps), and only one, or at most two, days before the specific indications of chicken-pox and rubeola.

Whooping cough may be considered at the end of this group, though many of its affinities are with disease of the other class. Facts are wanting to show what is the period of incubation; this stage being frequently prolonged or overlooked, is one great cause of the extensive spread of this disease, for it is quite as infectious in the early catarrhal stage as when the whoop is developed. The incubation period of whooping cough may be as short as one week only; but a separation of more than a fortnight must be allowed for quarantine purposes. Both these points are illustrated by the following cases; they also show that whooping cough is infectious in its earliest stages before the whoop is developed.

Case 1.—A girl, aged twelve years, is brought home to a family with whooping cough on July 25th, has spasmodic cough on the 30th with bronchial râles and the whoop on August 2nd, the eighth day after. It is rare to meet with the whoop until the second week, but not, I believe, to find evidence of the disease within a week of exposure.

Cases 2-5.—The younger children of a family in the north-west of London associate with another family in the Square Gardens, who after an absence from home, return from the seaside at the end of August with whooping cough; after September 2nd there is no further communication between the families, but during the week one child, a boy, aged six years, has a cough, which by September 7th becomes frequent. An elder sister, aged fifteen years, who is a good deal with this boy but had not been in the Square Gardens, is sent from home to escape the cough on September 9th. During the next few days all the younger children begin to cough, but letters from the elder state that she has escaped and is quite well; this is considered to be most fortunate,

as the aunt at whose house she is visiting has an only daughter, eight years old, who has always been considered delicate, and who is watched with care. On September 13th the elder girl first makes mention of a cough, she afterwards describes this as "fidgetting," and complains that she could not sleep at night. On the 19th she is seen by the doctor, who is unable to recognise any of the distinctive signs of whooping cough; next day and the day after more violent paroxysms of cough leave him in no doubt as to its nature, though she felt better herself and was able to sleep when the paroxysm of cough was over. The cousin, who had never occupied the same room at night, was now kept more apart during the day, but it was too late; a short irritative cough commenced, and by the end of the week she becomes languid, and is soon prostrate and febrile. The character of the cough was quite pronounced by September 30th, and was afterwards very severe. A serious illness continued till October 16th.

The infection of whooping cough can be conveyed:—

CASE 6.—A stout boy, two years old, quite well except for cutting the two last molars, is visited by some friends on November 20th who have a child at home with whooping cough; this boy coughs on November 24th, and is seriously ill with lobular pneumonia the last three days of November and the first two of December. On December 8th there is no pulmonary mischief, but he has short cough, worse at night, with thin loose secretion, and whoops on December 15th. This and Case 1 give the short limit of incubation.

Most of the cases under my notice give quite a week for incubation, and a week or rather more for the febrile or catarrhal stage, so that the whoop is seldom developed until after a fortnight from exposure. Some cases have been observed by Dr. Bristowe with a clear fortnight of incubation.

In the course of whooping cough an intercurrent inflammation often stops the whoop, a fact impressed into the service of various theories; such accidents certainly interrupt and probably delay the ordinary course of the disease; what often happens in the more advanced stages of the disease sometimes occurs at the commencement, as in the last case given, when pneumonia occupying five days of the ingress, the whoop instead of appearing on the first week of December, was delayed till the 20th, and this is frequently found to be the case from much slighter causes. If such prolonged intervals are to be considered as "incubation period" then is whooping cough closely approximated to the diseases previously treated of, and it may be concluded for all lessons of practical precaution, as in those cases, so here, that if a child has been exposed to infection, a fortnight may elapse before the symptoms of illness are declared, nor can such child be considered free from the chance of conveying infection until after three weeks. On the other hand, while it is probable that small-pox could hardly be communicated for the first eight or ten days from

exposure, or mumps for nearly a fortnight, whooping cough has the dangerous prerogative of being communicable from the earliest symptoms that may appear within three days of infection, as well as by the later manifestation of the disease, that may be delayed if not indefinitely, at least for two, and perhaps even for three months.

The poison of whooping cough, like that of scarlet fever and others, may be intensified both by the re-infection of those in certain states of weak health, or by being concentrated where several sufferers are congregated or kept too much confined either in small rooms or else in the same room. A case is given in my *Temperature Variations* (*vide* p. 43, *et ante* p. 76), where a child was in considerable danger until removed from the room where another was ill.

Fatal consequences resulted in the following case from this cause, further intensified by allowing the body of the sufferer to remain in the dwelling :—

A girl, two years old, while teething, has a cough at first attributed to that cause, but suspected to be whooping cough on February 2nd, and recognised as such on the 10th of February when a brother, four and a half years old, occupying the same room, has a similar cough. On this day the mother gives birth to an infant, who, thriving very well for the first week, after that is noticed to pine and have difficulty in taking the breast—there is no doubt of the infant having whooping cough, on the 24th of February, it was sick with its cough, and before the end of another week could hardly suck, as the first touch of fluid in the fauces induced violent spasm. On March 1st the infant dies ; at this time the little girl's cough was improving greatly, and though the boy's cough was violent he was otherwise doing well, he had a strong well-formed chest. Instead of the infant receiving prompt burial it remained in the room, there were but two on one floor occupied by the family, until March 8th, when, in spite of disinfectants, an offensive atmosphere was induced. On March 10th the boy's cough had increased, the fauces became red and irritable, there was viscid phlegm in the mouth and difficulty of taking food ; on the 17th he had dysuria, with marked pyrexia, afterwards convulsions, and death on the 24th of March. The girl's cough was also increased at the same time, but her health improved by the end of the month, and after April 2nd her convalescence progressed rapidly.

A frequent mode by which the poison of whooping cough spreads, is by persons who have previously had the complaint, while much with the sick, suffering a second attack in a modified form, which, by not exciting alarm, leads to neglect of the requisite precautions against conveying infection. Germs readily attach themselves to surfaces, whether articles used by the sick or near them, and to the clothes of attendants ; these infecting particles, probably minute solids, cannot be wafted far, they may be carried by the healthy, but the most frequent mode of diffusion is by liberation from the breath of the sick.

Scarlet fever is the typical example of my second class of infectious diseases to which these latter properties, that are also attributable to whooping cough, belong. The incubation period is essentially short, and may be very short, even to a few hours, the more usual period is from three to five days. A necessary question arises as to how long may this period be extended; the longest interval hitherto met with has been eight days, and when children have been removed from a scource of infection if any have taken it they will most probably sicken within a week. This generally is true of diphtheria, but here it may extend to a fortnight, of which some instances have come to my notice.

Scarlet fever is not so infectious during the first day or two of sore throat as it afterwards becomes, so that an early separation gives good hopes of immunity, especially if the exposure has not been at very close quarters, such as sleeping in the same bed; even a moderate distance, about two yards, between children's beds, is some safeguard. Persons suffering the sore throat, so often experienced by those who, having previously gone through the disease, attend upon scarlet fever, are not free from the fear of infecting others while such short illness lasts, if not afterwards; an instance has lately come under notice where a nurse had such a sore throat, with aching of limbs, and only a slight degree of fever, enough, however, to oblige her to go home for two or three days; her husband who had never had scarlet fever, takes the complaint with great severity. Infection is over sooner in second attacks.

The way in which the infection of scarlet fever clings to surfaces and rooms is well known, it may thus lie dormant, as in the case of small pox, for months or possibly for years. In this way the disease may unexpectedly make its appearance, when by some chance a debilitated or susceptible person is confined to the infected chamber, or exposed to slight traces of infection that may linger on clothing. The latter cause is illustrated by two cases occurring on board ship, published in the *Lancet*, September 3rd, 1864, p. 279, by Dr. W. M. Saunders, R.N. A naval cadet of H.M.S. *North Star*, has symptoms of scarlet fever on February 21st, 1842; the ship left Madeira, the last land touched, on the 1st of January, where the lad visited at a house wherein some children had recently had scarlatina.

A midshipman of H.M.S. *Shannon* was put on the sick list 2nd May, 1857, for a wound of the foot; on the 7th of May he was attacked with febrile symptoms which proved to be those of scarlatina. The ship anchored in Simons Bay, Cape of Good Hope, on the same day, not having communicated with the shore, or with any vessel since leaving England, on the 25th of February. The *Shannon* was at Portsmouth, in February, 1857, when some children near this young man's father's house at Southsea, had scarlatina. Here, although the infection had lain dormant for nine weeks, it becomes operative in the usual period of incubation, five days, after a susceptibility is induced by the wound.

An instructive instance of continuous exposure to the poison of scarlet fever becoming dangerous only upon the receipt of an injury is given by Mr. Henry Veasey, of Woburn, Bedfordshire, in the *British Medical Journal*, 1869, vol. i., p. 113. A servant, aged 30, in a family where scarlatina had prevailed two months previously, with desquamating convalescents in the house, but no new cases of fever for the last month, cuts the knuckle of her right middle finger against a child's broken mug, on May 27th. The wound was contused and painful, it was the girl's menstrual period. She is sent home the same evening. Next day she has rigors and erysipelatous swelling of the hand. Her illness was extreme for some days. On the fifth day, May 31st, there was sloughing at the seat of injury, vesications in the right arm, rash on the neck, chest, and left arm, with sore throat, full rash, and all the characters of scarlet fever well marked by evening. The patient recovered. A nurse in attendance upon her at the height of the illness, going home at night, takes the infection with her, and on June 3rd, the nurse's child aged 3 years, has sore throat, and scarlatina rash well marked on three subsequent days.

It is not likely that the poison of scarlatina was introduced only by the wound in the hand; doubtless, material had already been received sufficient to set up the disease, when the accident gave it a starting point. The first evidence of small-pox, measles, and varicella will appear at, or near, a recent wound or abrasion of the skin, when the special infection must have been received days before the injury. Sir James Paget, in his clinical lectures, gives instances of scarlet fever following upon surgical operations; most of these are from the nurse having introduced the infection at the time of the operation or soon after, the disease appearing at a time closely corresponding to the ordinary incubation period of scarlet fever. In one case of lithotomy in a boy, followed first by scarlet fever, soon after that by whooping cough probably taken during convalescence, there is reason to believe that the poison of scarlet fever had already been received before the operation; the first signs of scarlet fever gave way to suppuration from the wound, after that had ceased the scarlet fever symptoms returned and went through their full course after a fortnight's interruption; how long such an interruption and delay in the development of scarlatina may be prolonged is uncertain;

ten or twelve days would be a short time to allow for such a possible extension of the incubation period, and consequent quarantine limit. It may be that the complications of intercurrent disease, by interrupting the morbid process of scarlet fever in their midst, tend to prolong the subsequent duration of infection as in the following case.

CASE 1.—A girl, aged 9 years, probably exposed to scarlet fever on January 13th, has the first symptoms on the 14th; on the next two days there is delirium and a full eruption; this subsides on the 19th, when articular rheumatism began. Pericarditis, with effusion, existed as a serious complication from January 24th to February 10th; there was then some otitis and paralysis of the palate, and afterwards of the abdominal muscles. Convalescence having fairly set in, after a month's quarantine she is sent into the country, on March 20th, to join other children of the family, nine weeks and a half, or sixty-six days after the commencement of her illness. A younger brother meets her at the railway station, and, in returning home, sits opposite to her in a close carriage. On March 22nd this boy is ill, he vomits, and is very restless at night; next day has sore throat and rash, with full rash on the 24th and 25th; the illness is severe, and continues to the end of April. Two children, one older and one younger than this one, escape.

Another instance of the length of time scarlet fever continues to be infectious is the following, in which there is no important complication to draw attention from the fact that personal infection may linger, not only far beyond the time of desquamation, but after the clearing away of the last visible morbid product of the disease.

CASE 2.—A girl, 10 years old, comes from school on July 4th with scarlet fever; the attack was severe, but uncomplicated. Throughout August one nostril is tender and obstructed, the tonsil on the same side is full; there is eczema of that ear. On August 14th, six weeks after the commencement of the illness, this girl is sent to the sea-side, where she joins her two younger brothers; the youngest, aged 5 years, has sore throat on August 16th and the full rash of scarlet fever on the 20th.

CASE 3.—The other brother, aged 9 years nearly, is separated from the younger, and returns to London with the convalescent sister, who has now no vestige of the illness, on September 4th; they stay at the house of a friend, who has one grown-up daughter, in whose room the girl sleeps, from the 4th to the 10th of September; the boy goes to his own house on September 6th, he has slight sore throat on that day, is feverish on the 9th, and full rash on the 10th.

CASE 4.—The young lady with whom the girl was staying, is taken suddenly ill on the afternoon of September 10th, with headache, lassitude, and chills; next day she vomits, and has sore throat, with intense aching of limbs and prostration; there is the full rash of scarlet fever on the 12th. These attacks either originated from the girl, again nine weeks and a half, or sixty-six days from the commencement of her illness; or the boy communicated scarlet fever four days before he is himself ill; he probably contracted the disease before leaving the infected house, as the symptoms appeared within three days of leaving it.

To give all the instances of short incubation in scarlet fever would be to exhaust a record of cases more numerous than those of measles. It sometimes happens that of chil-

dren with equal exposure to either infection one escapes. In measles there is danger of attack while the children remain together, even after a month or more has elapsed, but if they are separated, and the room and clothes disinfected, the danger of a fresh outbreak is little or none ; this is not the case with scarlet fever, when local causes of disease may remain after every means of disinfection have been practised.

CASE 5.—Of two young children, one, a girl, has an illness in June, which, after some days of uncertainty, is recognised as scarlet fever ; it is considered too late to separate them, and on the appearance of some slightly suspicious symptoms, the other, a boy, is placed in the same bed with the girl ; he was soon better again, continued much with his sister throughout the illness and during convalescence ; they both make a long visit to the sea-side, and return to their house early in October ; within three days of their arrival the boy is taken ill.

Every care had been taken to disinfect the house, and special care as to the room and everything in it ; the child's bed had been near the fire-place, and at the foot of a mahogany polished bedstead, with rounded end ; on washing this surface with diluted Condy's fluid, the end nearest the child's bed discolored several basinfuls ; the corresponding round end of the footboard furthest from the child's bed caused hardly any discoloration ; some shells used as playthings by the sick child also required repeated washings, others exactly similar not used by the child did not change the weak solution of permanganate of potash used. The local cause of infection here was traced to a neighbour having converted the rain water pipe, which had an opening near the child's bedroom window, into a soil pipe for a water-closet.

In another case of doubtful scarlatina occurring to a little boy (Case 6), during hot weather, commencing with diarrhœa, on July 10th, the symptoms had so rapidly disappeared that he was allowed to mix with other children on July 16th ; on the 19th two other children were ill with scarlet fever.

CASES 7 and 8.—Of two little girls living in an isolated, perfectly healthy house, the elder, four years of age, has a rash on February 21st, thought to be measles, and attributed to contagion from a child met with on February 17th, when she was taken to a London hospital, this being the only occasion for some time on which any communication with others could be traced. On the evening of the second day after this, February 19th, the child vomited ; on the 20th she had sore-throat ; the rash now appearing was evidently that of scarlet fever. The younger child, aged two years, was also ailing on the 21st, and seemingly had some difficulty in swallowing on the previous evening before there was any appearance of rash on the elder child. Both had rash on February 22nd, that on the eldest being most diffused and intense ; next day the elder

has albuminuria. They die, poisoned by scarlatina, the younger on February 26th, the elder on March 2nd, leaving their parents childless. The younger child took the complaint from her sister, if not while she was only sickening for it on February 19th and 20th, yet certainly before the 21st, when the rash appeared.

The danger of receiving anyone into a susceptible family direct from an infected place is shown in the following instances :—

Cases 10 and 15.—The eldest boy in a family of nine children returns from school on December 3rd, 1861 ; he had suffered from sore throat and the school had broken up before the usual holidays because of scarlet fever there. Three days after, Friday, December 6th, the mother, who was in delicate health, became ill, and next day had the rash of scarlet fever. Three girls, twelve, eleven and nearly ten years of age, now go to the house of an aunt, and the school-boy leaves home. Next day, December 8th, two of the brothers, aged seven and five years, are seized with the illness ; on December 12th, five days after the separation, the girl aged eleven years returns home with scarlet fever. On the 14th one of the maid-servants is ill, and on the 15th a little boy not quite three years old is seized. On the advice of Sir Thomas Watson my services were sought. The two younger children died on December 20th and 28th, the elder boy began to amend on the 25th, the sisters' illness was less severe. The two youngest children who left the house with their nurse escaped, as also two of the elder girls who were sent away in the first instance ; one of these joined the eldest brother on December 21st and suffered nothing. All joined the convalescents at the seaside on January 25th without injury.

Terrible consequences not unfrequently result from allowing children from a house or family infected with either scarlet fever or diphtheria to go direct to school ; beyond the chance of infection being carried, is the certainty that if such child have only the first process of either disease set up in themselves, they will diffuse it widely before it is known to have commenced ; quite recently a widely-spread outbreak of diphtheria originated in this way : a scholar continued to attend school while a member of the family at home was ill with diphtheria, no harm resulted until soon after the first symptoms of illness were felt by this scholar, and though further attendance at the school then ceased, nineteen children in the school were attacked. The closest correspondence is found to exist between the laws of infection in scarlet fever and diphtheria, as is fully set forth in the article on the latter disease, in the first volume of Reynolds' *System of Medicine.*

Influenza is more closely connected with scarlet fever and diphtheria than is commonly known. Prevailing under two forms, the catarrhal and the herpetic, it has an incubation period closely corresponding to what is observed in those diseases : this may either be very short, or may occupy three or four days, but will not extend beyond a

week. The shorter period generally obtains in the catarrhal form, the longer in the herpetic. The two forms, though most frequently propagating their own specific characters, are capable the one of giving rise to the other; instances of this are given in my *Temperature Variations*, p. 33; perhaps the more frequent being from the catarrhal form to the herpetic, but they are really interchangeable. It would seem that the poison in a diffused state, acting on a healthy person under healthy conditions, may be rapidly thrown off with catarrhal secretion, while the same poison if concentrated and acting in different conditions of health, or under insanitary surroundings, may show itself less quickly but with more profound effect. Hence the affinities of herpetic catarrh with croup, ulcerated sore-throat, and diphtheria; those of influenza when mild, with common cold and diarrhœa. What in the winter is cough, in the summer affects the gastric mucous membrane rather than the pulmonary, resulting in diarrhœa; this may go through a household, as does catarrh: one person visiting another with summer diarrhœa, and staying in the sick room, is liable shortly to be similarly affected. The epidemic form of influenza has some affinity with scarlet fever, both in the rapid action and in the intensity of the contagion; influenza, though acting on different tissues, and productive of less serious lesions, is much more readily spread by atmospheric, or other less appreciable, modes of conveyance.

Typhoid or Enteric fever, with an incubation period generally of ten or twelve days, which may be considerably prolonged, may also be developed in so short a time as four days. Dr. Clifford Allbutt records* the exceptionally short interval of five days in a child after sleeping one night with a nurse ill of enteric fever; the evidence if not quite conclusive in this case is presumptive of the possibility of so short an incubation period. One case of enteric fever from tainted milk which came under my notice occurred on the fifth day from that on which alone the poison was imbibed. Dr. Murchison† gives a case from Knævenagel's work on the Etiology of Typhoid of a

---

* *British Medical Journal*, 1870, vol. i., p. 480.

† *A Treatise on the Continued Fevers of Great Britain*, by Charles Murchison, M.D., LL.D., F.R.S. Second Edition. Longman, Green & Co., London, 1873, p. 469.

man who falling into a latrine has typhoid fever eight days afterwards, some of the poison probably being received by inhalation at the time of the accident.

An instance of definite and short incubation of enteric fever from inhalation has come under my own notice in a house near Grosvenor Square, most carefully guarded against the entrance of sewer gas and the usual sources of water contamination, the pipe drains being well ventilated by air tubes from below the trap of each water-closet to the roof. A change in the main sewer obliged the house drain to be carried from the back to the front of the house; for some days in May workmen were employed in putting new pipe drains in the previously undisturbed basement; from this work no mischief could arise until the old communication with the outer drains was severed or the new one opened. From the memorandum of the work done, supplied to me by the contractor, the period of danger appears to have been limited to three hours, on Saturday, the 24th of May. No defect was noticed in the portion of the drain removed, unless there had been slight leakage from the scullery sink at the back of the house, this was remedied on Friday, the 23rd, and lime was added to the earth surrounding the new drain. The opening in the basement of the house where the old drain passed through was closed with cement.

Beyond a somewhat sickly smell under the sink, removed by the lime, nothing disagreeable was noticeable until during the three hours on Saturday, when one of the servants, a delicate woman of thirty, complained more of it than the others, and consequently went out in the evening for fresh air and to see the illuminations on the Queen's birthday. She made no further complaint, nor did she feel any illness until seven days after, when, on Friday the 30th of May, she again felt nausea, and returned from a walk tired, with a fixed pain in neck, and diarrhœa; at night she was sick, the diarrhœa continued; she complained of chills, headache, night fever, and restlessness, with occasional vomiting, and persistent diarrhœa. On June 5th her evening temperature was 104.3, with nearly the same elevation for the next five days, the morning temperature ranging from 101° to 101°.8; at this time very characteristic rose spots appeared. By June 15th, the diarrhœa and febrile disturbance were moderated; there was slight nocturnal delirium and great prostration. In the last week of the illness, bronchial râles, with free expectoration, a tendency to constipation or inactivity of the bowels, and considerable emaciation; very little solid nourishment could be taken till June 30th, when she first left her bed. No one else suffered in the house. The milk supply was unexceptionable. This servant had no friends in London, had visited no other house, nor taken either food or drink elsewhere.

The most frequent source of enteric fever is the diffusion of the poison in drinking water, whether contaminated by direct admixture of sewage, or tainted by sewer gas. The outbreak at Guildford, investigated by Dr. Buchanan,* affords definite data for estimating the ordinary incubation period of enteric. A new well for the supply of water by steam power to the higher parts of the town of Guildford was constructed in fissured chalk, in such proximity to the old sewer that contaminated water was pumped into the reservoirs until August the 1st; from that date to August the 17th, the high service drains were charged

* *Tenth Report of the Medical Officer of the Privy Council*, 1867, p. 34.

from the old well, when from some interruption to this source of supply the water stored before August 1st in the new high service reservoirs was distributed to 330 houses. "It was distributed on no other day, and to no other houses, and on these 330 houses the fever almost exclusively fell." The first cases happened on the last three days of August; houses that had no cisterns, but took their supply direct from the mains, were attacked particularly early and suddenly; many houses had cisterns, or large underground tanks, in which the water received on August the 17th would be stored. Other cases of enteric fever came under observation in the first two days in September, and on September the 3rd and 4th a surprisingly large number of people sent for medical assistance, and were found to be suffering from the same fever. During the month, 264 cases of fever were under treatment. Dr. Buchanan fixes the occurrence of the first symptoms, in a great proportion of the cases, eleven days after the operation of the cause. A fact of interest in connection with the transitory operation of the cause is the low death rate, only three cases died in September. The total number of cases was 500, of the deaths 21; the last death was on November 22nd.

Much uncertainty as to the time necessary for the development of enteric is owing to the difficulty of ascertaining how long, or how continuously, its cause has been operative. Some persons exposed to it would escape with slight symptoms of malaise, did not a continuance or a repetition of the exposure at length overcome their powers of resistance, and they fall victims to the disease, not from the effects of the last only of the exciting causes; yet if this alone were taken into consideration the period of incubation would be unduly shortened. Others, after or during exposure, suffer from diarrhœa, and may escape with no other symptoms, unless by sudden interference with the accustomed eliminative effort, or some other change of condition, the disease is set up; hence the incubation period may be unusually prolonged. My friend Mr. W. Adams was attending a gentleman for troublesome diarrhœa persisting for more than a fortnight after his return from a continental town of bad sanitary odour; the diarrhœa aggravated an ulcer or fissure of the rectum, and the pain was so intense that the usual operation was necessitated; while the incision was suppurating freely no diarrhœa and no discomfort occurred, but on the complete healing of the fissure a sudden rigor

ushered in a rise of temperature with evening exacerbations, ochrey diarrhœa began, there were rose spots in the second week, and all the symptoms of enteric fever continued their usual course.

A convalescent patient probably does not give off infection long after the symptoms cease; but for how long enteric fever might in this way be carried to distant parts is indefinite; that it may become active long after it has found its way into water sources is well known. The poison of enteric, like that of cholera, acquires increased activity after it is thrown off from the human body, under the co-operation of heat and moisture upon filth; contaminated water is the great medium of conveyance for these poisons, whether by direct entry, or by subtle particles of them diffused into the air and condensed on to water surfaces, or carried by sewer gas into drinking water. Personal infection is rarer in enteric fever than in any other of the diseases under consideration, yet the infection, however propagated, derives its origin from persons previously affected. Certain forms of illness of irregular duration, generally shorter than that of enteric, and often characterised by diarrhœa, are probably owing to the poison of enteric fever, and may reproduce it. A form of malarial disease with symptoms closely simulating those of enteric fever, often of longer duration without relapse, has been called typhomalarial, *Medico Chirurgical Transactions*, vol. lxix., p. 247. The cases of malarial enteric there mentioned shew a much longer incubation period than that established for ordinary enteric fever. For a full discussion of this subject see a paper by my son, Dr. J. Edward Squire, in the *International*, or, *American Journal of the Medical Sciences* for April, 1887.

Typhus has been so exhaustively examined in the masterly essays of Dr. Murchison, that it becomes merely necessary to refer to the second volume of the *St. Thomas's Hospital Reports* for 1871, new series. The incubation period is mostly twelve days; of thirty-one cases there collected seventeen occurred at this limit; one case in not less than thirteen days; one in fourteen days; one exactly fifteen days; and in one it was not less than twenty-one days. Ten cases, or nearly one-third of the whole number, fell below the limit of twelve days; of these, in two it was not more than ten days; in one not more than six days; in one exactly five days; in one between five and a half

and six days; in two not more than four days; in one not more than two days; and in two there was no latent period, or only one of a few hours. In this disease it is to be remembered that the distinctive rash does not appear till the fourth or fifth day of illness. The remarkable way in which the infection of this most infectious of diseases is diluted and soon destroyed by free ventilation and fresh air is forcibly stated in the great work on fevers already referred to; one statement there, however, requires correction: instead of convalescents from typhus not having the power of spreading the disease, a medical friend of my own, impatient of precaution during rapid convalescence, hastened from London to a distant part of the country, and communicated typhus in two remote villages where nothing of the kind had previously been known. A recent instance of the spread of this fever by convalescents occurred in the late epidemic at a charitable institution in Hammersmith.

Relapsing fever is conspicuous for the shortness of its incubation period. Instances of persons attacked at once upon exposure to sources of strong infection are reported. In the Camberwell workhouse, tramps received at night to infected quarters were found next day to be suffering from the disease. The cases related by Sir Henry Marsh,* where the febrile rigor succeeded immediately to the application of the contagious effluvium, were most likely of this kind. Very clear instances of a five days incubation period have been recorded of this disease, and it has been stated to be oftener over than under five days. Of twelve accurately determined cases, three were immediate; two from two to four days; two were five days; and one each took seven, nine, twelve, thirteen, and fourteen days. The possibility of latency to the fourteenth day has, however, yet to be determined. The disease has been produced by inoculation. A drop of blood taken by a doctor during a febrile accession, when the *spirillæ* are most active and numerous, for self-inoculation reproduced the fever in five days.

Yellow fever usually with a short incubation period of from two to five days, and rarely exceeding eight, has yet been stated sometimes to extend to ten days. In the thirty-eight cases reported by Inspector-General Lawson on board the *Bristol*, some began to appear two days after exposure;

---

* *Dublin Hospital Reports*, vol. iv., 1827, p. 455, case 5; see also pp. 518-20.

twenty-nine of them occurred in the first eight days; the one exceptional case was a week after the others, thus suggesting the possibility of reinfection. This case falling fourteen days after the original exposure, cannot be used to justify a quarantine of a fortnight's duration, for the possibility of this length of incubation period is not beyond doubt. In hot climates infection clings to places and ships. One attack protects from a recurrence.

Plague, now nearly extinct in Europe, is fortunately characterised by a short period of incubation. Dr. Gregory writes, "From the concurrent testimony of numerous authors, we are warranted in saying that the period of incubation of the true pestilential germ is very short. Two days has been stated as the minimum, and fifteen as the maximum; five days may be looked upon as a fair average. Four days suffice to set up the constitutional symptoms when the plague is received by inoculation." The shortness of the incubation period offers an additional character to those noticed by Dr. Tholozan as distinguishing plague from typhus.

Malignant pustule, anthrax or *charbon*, like plague has usually four days incubation, but may start at once from inoculation, with rigor as soon as the pustule begins; or the pustule may precede the rigor by four days (see *Pathological Society's Transactions*, 1883, p. 291). This disease, known as Splenic Fever in animals, has afforded a means of studying the relation of a specific germ, the *bacillus authracis*, to the disease associated with it; this analogy reaches to our own infective fevers, and the action of disinfectants upon the various "germs" associated with them. Even if the germ only excites a process, or develops a secondary product, to which some symptoms or after effects may be attributable, and indirectly causes disease, whatever hinders this germ development has some effect in controlling the result.

Rabies, or hydrophobia, another disease which is propagated by animals, deserves notice, not that it ever should be allowed as epidemic, but because of the evidence of the singularly lengthened incubation it affords. The inoculations of M. Pasteur have shewn that this disease does not recur after recovery from a modified form of it. The long period of latency for rabies after infection has always been remarkable. Galen* himself saw a case after a year.

---

* Comment. ii., in Prorrhet. Hippocrat (Mead's *Works*, p. 77).

There is a recent instance of this long interval. Professor Gamgee* refers to a still longer period. Dr. Mead† says: "I remember one after eleven months; but the attack is generally within thirty or forty days, though possibly sooner in young subjects. Nothing seems more wonderful in this whole affair than that the venom should, as it were, lie latent in the body so long a time before it discovers itself. This in different constitutions will be done in a different space of time: nay it may so happen that this ferment being weak, and the constitution strong, no visible mischief may ensue, till some accidental alteration in the body unluckily gives it an additional force." He gives the case of a lady who had pain from the bitten part every month, until on the fifteenth recurrence it became fatal rabies. Sir Henry Marsh‡ relates the case of a boy twelve years old bitten by a dog with incipient rabies. "Four weeks afterwards, whilst playing, he was thrown by his companions into a ditch; he went home wet, chilled, and complaining that he felt ill; that very night the fatal symptoms showed themselves." Of thirty-one cases, all fatal, collected by Dr. Gregory, twelve were seized from twenty-six to forty-three days after; one in three weeks; eleven, from forty to seventy-seven days; four, from three to four months; and three at eight and nine months. He says, "from this we may learn to distrust those alleged cases of hydrophobia occurring *within* three weeks from the infliction of the wound, and which ended favourably."

A shortened period of incubation accompanies the dumb form of rabies, as modified by the inoculations of Pasteur; in the rabbit this is reduced to seven days. M. Regnault gives one instance of ten days incubation out of sixty eight attacks of rabies in dogs, most of these occupying from three weeks to three months. The shortest period for the development of this disease in man is a week; one such case is given by Blane. A similar instance occurring in St. Bartholomew's Hospital is mentioned by Dr. B. W. Richardson. A long incubation is fairly established by Dr. Léon Colin, a soldier bitten in Algeria, November 2nd, 1874, is seized August 30th, 1879. I have notes of three cases in children extending over two years; other instances of three months, five months, and sixteen and a half months (*Revue de Médecine*, August, 1884), are before me.

The inoculations of M. Pasteur have proved in this disease, as in the pre-

---

* Reynolds' *System of Medicine*, first edition, vol. i., p. 720. Cœlius Aurelianus, lib. iii., cap. ix., is quoted, as giving the incubation period most accurately.

† Mead on the "Poison of the Mad Dog." *Op. cit.*, pp. 77-84.

‡ "Observations upon the Origin and Latent Period of Fevers." By Henry Marsh, B.A., M.D. *Dublin Hospital Reports*, vol. iv., p. 494.

ceding specific "anthrax," that one attack is protective against a recurrence in the same individual, even though the inoculated form of the disease be much modified. It is contended that some secondary product common to either form of rabies which is inimical to the further development of the specific germ, is in this way introduced, and is preventive of the further accidents of the disease and of further liability to it. (*Vide foot note, p.* 162.)

If for persons bitten by rabid dogs the proportion of those who suffer may be as low as one in fifty, this would seem to reach one in five after the bite of rabid wolves or jackals.

Dengué, an eruptive disease, is, like influenza, a pure epidemic, first attacking a few and extending its circle till few escape; the ingress is sudden, with febrile and articular pains, followed by a scarlet rash; it passes off without danger, but leaves debility, a tendency to relapse, with pain and weakness of the joints. The stage of incubation is a short one, but may extend to three or four days; one attack is not protective against another. Infection will cling to surfaces, and may linger long on board ship, breaking out unexpectedly; in 1870 this happened among troops conveyed by sea from Bombay (where it had extended from Zanzibar) to Cannamore on the Malabar coast; in 1871 the epidemic extended to Madras and thence to Calcutta, Rangoon, and Singapore; in 1872 it had extended both to China and to the Punjab. Dr. Taylor* notes the disease first in Delhi on June 1st, 1872, attacking one member in an European family that had arrived from Calcutta three days before; subsequent seizures were observed amongst persons who had been in communication with this family. The disease prevailed as an epidemic from July to November. Almost every one exposed to the cantagion caught the disease; when once it entered a house hardly a person escaped. One fatal case only is reported in the whole course of the epidemic. Common in India, where scarlet fever is rare, dengué would seem to be of African origin, and to owe its extension to the slave trade. It has not yet appeared in Europe. In the West Indies it was first described as a hybrid between urticaria and rubeola, as with us rubella is sometimes spoken of as scarlet fever and measles conjoined, a confusion of totally different morbid conditions possible only so long as the distinctive characters of a disease remain unrecognised.

Cholera, whatever may be said of its mode of propagation, is distinguished by the shortness of its incubation

---

* *Report of the Sanitary Administration of the Punjab for the year* 1872, p. 70.

stage; hence no length of quarantine is needed, if any ever be advisable. From inquiries made by the Central Board of Health in 1831, of 171 cases at Berlin 159 were found to have occurred within five days from exposure to the infective germ. At St. Pètersburgh, in the cases where single exposures to infection were best ascertained, the period of incubation ranged between one and five days. In the Austrian territory, the Genoese Medical Commission observes "that those who had absorbed the germs of the disease were generally attacked before the third, and not later than the fourth day." The difficulty experienced in the early recognition of some diseases offers no obstacle to the efficiency of preventive measures against cholera. The premonitory diarrhœa generally affords an obvious symptom, and it is well known that the infection at this stage must be guarded against. One attack of cholera affords very slight, if any, protection against recurrence.

Until quite recently typhus has been often confounded in India with remittent fevers: ten times the number of deaths in British India are registered under the head of "fevers" to those from cholera during the worst epidemics.* In the report already referred to, Dr. Fairweather states, "In no instance do medical officers attribute these severe forms of fever to any but a malarious origin. The manner of distribution seemed to point more to a *specific cause* than to any general condition. In the early part of 1872 typhus fever was found mixed up with the ordinary fever of the country in a jail in the north-west of India;† it was known to have prevailed here before, but had escaped detection. How much more difficult must it be to detect the presence of a specific fever among the mass of the native population. That fevers peculiar to this country do exist few will be prepared to deny. No mere general descriptions will ever be useful in determining the nature of a disease, and it is

---

* *Ninth Annual Report of the Sanitary Commissioners with the Government of India*, p. 207.

† *Report on the Sanitary Administration of the Punjab for the year* 1872, p. 68. Dr. De Renzy directed an inquiry on this point Assistant-Surgeon Lombard, by careful clinical observations, proved the fever in question to be typhus; he caught it and died. The temperature observations made leave no doubt as to the nature of the fever of which he himself fell a victim; yet on these very proofs, with the usual evening exacerbation, the local medical authority remarks, "The disease therefore was, as far as the temperature is concerned, decidedly remittent."—Appendix to Report.

only by an officer who can make minute clinical notes of cases, such as were made by Dr. Lombard, that the tangled skein of Indian fevers will ever be unravelled." Dr. H. Vandyke Carter has shewn, 1887, that more than one form of malarial germ occurs in the remittent fevers of different parts of India.

Ague.—The London bills of mortality included many cases of enteric fever under the name of ague; the death of James I. is a probable instance. How long a new resident in a malarious district may escape, or how soon he may suffer, was seen in this country when our old ague grounds were undrained; Irish harvest men, a month in the fens, were sometimes attacked before their work was over, many were seized on their journey home, others long after their return. Malaria, short of inducing ague, produces some ill effects not immediately noticeable, but developed on some subsequent exposure to any cause of illness, even though this be experienced long after quitting the malarious district.

Malarial fevers, having many points of resemblance to epidemics like yellow fever and cholera, though non-contagious, are found also to have an incubation period which, capable of being accelerated or retarded, is yet as constant as that found to obtain in so specialised a disease as typhoid. Dr. Walter Dickson informs me that the non-contagious malarious fevers of the west coast of Africa often show an incubation period of twelve days. At about that interval, after the return of a boat's crew from a river expedition, some cases of remittent fever would occur even among the more cautious of the men; sometimes a debauch would determine the attack before this period, or bring it on at a somewhat later time in those who otherwise in all probability would have escaped. M. Littré, of the Institute of France, has ingeniously shown how the fatal illness of Alexander the Great was brought on in this way nine days after exposure to malaria in a boat expedition near Babylon.

Dr. Gregory, in the Essay already noticed, gives illustrations of the incubation period of malaria or endemic fever in Sicily, from Sir William Franklin, Principal Inspector of the Medical Department of the Army. On the 12th of July, 1810, a regiment marched from Milazzo to the neighbourhood of Messina; a detachment was subsequently sent to occupy a large mansion, the Casa del Corso, where in certain seasons no one sleeps without afterwards suffering

intermittent or remittent fever. Ninety-one men were stationed here. On the 31st of July, thirteen days after exposure to the malaria, the first case of remitting fever was sent to hospital. On the 1st August, five others were reported. The following day the detachment moved from the Casa del Corso, and encamped near the rest of the regiment, which was then healthy. Yet the men continued to drop, and the admissions into hospital from this detachment were, August 2nd to 6th, one, eight, five, six, and seven men on each day; on the 7th eleven were admitted, and twelve on the 9th, after which the numbers were, four, eight, two, three, on consecutive days; then comes one on the 15th, two on the 20th, and one on the 26th day. Seventy-seven men of the ninety-one exposed to the germs of the disease were attacked; after their removal the greatest number of cases fell within twelve days, and one exceptional seizure takes place twenty-five days after removal from the malarious locality. Would the fourteen who escaped have fallen with fever had the exposure been longer? Dr. Gregory thinks not. He says, "as a proof of the intensity of the poison, of the seventy-seven attacked twenty-three died, being in the exact ratio of thirty per cent., the usual rate of mortality in small-pox, and also approximates to that of epidemic cholera." The account is insufficient to reveal the causes of this great mortality, or the exact nature of the disease. Like a true malarial remittent, it did not spread to any not exposed to the local influence.

In conclusion, the great questions bearing on all that may intensify or that can mitigate the extension and severity of these diseases must pass with bare mention. How much hygienic measures, directed by a full knowledge of the natural history of each epidemic, lessen the fatality of some and defend against others is beginning to be understood and acted upon. There are scourges of the past now only matter of history; social progress has slowly and indirectly, but most effectively, obliterated many evils inseparable from imperfect knowledge of the conditions, and deficiencies, in the means of healthy living. Plague is abolished from Christian Europe. By what means the mortality from small-pox may be annihilated is well established; even if the reactionary activity of ill-instructed intellects so far prevail as recently in Leipzig, the head-quarters of German learning, to check the employment of vaccination, the loss in one year of seven hundred children and the death of one in every hundred of the inhabitants by small-pox forcibly recalls the

value of the disused protection, and limits for the future any such disastrous success on the part of anti-vaccination leagues.* Where we have no direct means of preventing personal susceptibility to disease, we lessen it by all that tends to maintain intact the vital resistance of each individual, by all that conduces to general prosperity, and by such local cleanliness and public conservancy as will maintain the purity and secure the freest supply of the water we drink and the air we breathe. The least speck of the less potent poisons finds quick inlet, and acts strongly, when the state of health is weak, or the air bad around. Free admission of air almost robs the poison of typhus of its power; in my own experience this fever was banished for a time from London by the first operation of the Common Lodgings House Act. An infection the most subtle and persistent, that of scarlet fever, has been limited to one patient in a room with other children living and sleeping in it, by preserving a separation of a few yards, and by attention to the maxim that disinfection must begin at the body of the sick. As these poisons are diluted and destroyed by fresh air, so are they intensified by accumulating in air otherwise foul; the mortality of measles is one per cent. under good hygenic conditions for all ages beyond the first year; under ordinary circumstances this rate is doubled; the usual mortality for all ages is one in thirty or forty cases; during the seige of Paris the mortality from measles in the Hôpital de Bicêtre exceeded one in three.† All clinical experience is confirmatory of Dr. Sanderson's demonstration of the increased virulence infecting poisons may acquire in transmission from one individual to another. Essential differences are shown in the various morbid poisons by another order of facts; the mortality from typhus is far less in childhood than in age; whooping cough, so dangerous to the infant, is merely troublesome to the adult. The regulations to keep out cholera would be powerless for the exclusion of small-pox. Thus, the best intentioned sanitary efforts often fail, wanting the precise information that can alone be afforded by the science of medicine.

---

* La Variole au point de vue épidémiologique et prophylactique par Léon Colin, Professeur à Val de Grâce, Médecin en chef de l'hôpital militaire des varioleux de Bicêtre pendant le siége de Paris, 1870-71. Baillière et fils, Paris, 1873, p. 22. The numbers here quoted, given by Professors Wunderlich and L. Thomas, are from November, 1870, to February, 1871.

† Léon Colin. *Op. cit.*, p. 151.

PART II.

(Separately Paged.)

FURTHER REMARKS ON THE PERIOD OF INFECTION IN EPIDEMIC DISEASE.

The starting point for the present investigation is from an "Essay on the periods of Incubation of the Various Morbific Germs", addressed to the Central Board of Health, by George Gregory, M.D., Physician to the Small-pox Hospital, and published during the cholera epidemic of 1832. Besides the high importance which this subject obviously possessed in reference to the sanitary and police regulation of that time, one principal object of the author "was to direct the attention of observing physicians to this neglected branch of pathology". His observations on ague, as well as on small-pox, are of the highest value; I acknowledge my obligation, and wish to place my contributions on the excellent basis afforded by this essay.

A previous communication to the Epidemiological Society on this subject, chiefly deals with the earlier stages of infectious diseases; it is to the latter limit of infection rather than to the incubation period I would now direct attention. The new facts to be brought forward, though not very numerous, are important; they support and define many of the positions already assumed, illustrate the duration of infection in scarlet fever, and supply details of the incubation of diphtheria.

Dr. Gregory's experience at the Small-Pox Hospital afforded abundant evidence that the period of incubation for small-pox was twelve days; or fourteen, "counting", he says, "as I always recommend should be done, from exposure to the *appearance of the eruption*". He moreover establishes the possibility of variation in this period by cases in which the longest interval following upon a single exposure was fifteen days; the shortest under the same condition was twelve days. These cases show for small-pox what appears from my cases to be true for measles, that a single definite exposure is often followed by an irregular incubation period, instead of serving to fix the normal period.

The scope of Dr. Gregory's subject precluded remarks on the duration of personal infection in convalescents. An example of it, however, is seen in his first case of small-pox. Mary Argent was discharged convalescent from the Small-Pox Hospital, July 6, 1830. She slept that night with her sister, Susan Argent, who sickened for the small-pox July 19; the eruption showed itself July 21. Further experience at the hospital has obviated this danger. Mr. Marson informs me that some time ago convalescents from the Small-Pox Hospital were traced to their homes, and inquiries made for two or three weeks after their return, without finding a single instance of the disease having been thus occasioned. Have typhus convalescents been similarly watched, to see if on return to their homes and occupations they had or had not given rise to new cases? I ventured to assert, from a single instance coming under my own observation, that the infection of typhus did not cease so soon after convalescence as had been inferred from the limited sphere of observation in hospitals, where typhus patients make no long stay after their rapid recovery. We have not much typhus now in London to test the question, but in the late epidemic at Carlisle there were examples of this mode of conveyance, and one very clear instance of typhus being conveyed by means of *fomites*. None of these came from the Fever Hospital.

It is not contended that personal infection lingers as long in typhus as in scarlet fever; these two diseases are placed in different categories in this respect; but even if the duration of infection in typhus be comparatively short, its limit is not the less in need of definition.

The incubation period of measles *(morbilli)*, rubeola, varicella, and mumps, as previously demonstrated, follows closely that for small-pox, ten days being the shortest, and fifteen to seventeen the longest time, excepting mumps, where it has extended to three weeks. A further illustration of this, for measles, is given me by Dr. Evans, from St. Thomas' Hospital; a child from a house infected with measles was admitted to the Victoria ward for a burn; ten days afterwards this child has measles and is removed; ten days after this, five children in the ward are ill with measles; in a second outbreak three other of the children sicken on the tenth and twelfth days. Rubeola, so prevalent last summer, has an incubation period closely corresponding to, but somewhat in excess of, that for measles. This, in my first published case, is proved by all subsequent experience

to be not less than twelve days, viz., from November 16th to 28th, a correction required at p. 25 of the previous paper; the interval has in all cases exceeded ten days. Some of the later cases show an interval of seventeen, and, possibly, of twenty-one days. The long time of incubation distinguishes this rose rash broadly and absolutely from scarlet fever; it is also a cause of the source of the exanthem being so often overlooked and the eruption attributed to cold, heat, fatigue, or food, instead of to the infection on which this form of rubeola (rötheln), often confused with *Roseola æstiva*, is always dependent.

For diseases of a long incubation, as typhus, typhoid, small-pox, chicken-pox, measles, and mumps, infection would seem not to continue long after the special morbid process of each disease is completed. Three weeks from the height of the eruption in small-pox and measles suffice for the cessation of personal infection, allowance being made for delay from complications; care is also requisite that no effete remnant of a morbid action already over, clinging to the skin or to articles of clothing, should convey a disease from which the convalescent is free.* Exception may be made to the short period assigned for the termination of infection in these diseases, but there is proof of this being near the usual limit. For small-pox we have the fact that within that time the crusts have separated, and evidence that after this is completed the risk of infection is over.

Very different is the teaching conveyed by the results obtained in the investigation of the group of diseases characterised by a short period of incubation. The old *quarantaine, i.e.*, forty days, or six weeks of isolation for those who had been infected with plague, represents very nearly the time necessary for the strictest caution to be observed after whooping cough, diphtheria, and scarlet fever, in guarding against the spread of these diseases. The six weeks should be reckoned always from the height of the disease, and in scarlet fever, where the earliest symptoms are the most obvious, a longer interval must be allowed.

In whooping cough, precautions should always be taken for six weeks from the commencement of the whoop, and though in some complications the time may have to be extended, it seemed sufficient in the following case for all infection to have ceased:—A boy at school has whooping cough from July 16, the whoop well marked on the 21st;

* I have known measles conveyed to another house, somehow, by a child two months convalescent.

febrile disturbance continued to the second week in August, when the expectoration was free and the appetite returned; he now had change of air, and improved rapidly. On September 5, the family reassembled at home without any bad results. On October 20, this boy has a bad cold, with spasmodic cough, and much viscid secretion; a younger brother, now with him at school, has a cold and cough on November 4, but neither he nor others with him have whooping cough. Infection may persist for two months.

This relapse of symptoms in whooping cough may occur, as is well known, for months, and certainly after all infection is over. In diphtheria, it is possible that some of the later relapses may be without infection. We have lately had cases brought before us by our member, Dr. Ransome, where exudation constantly reappeared up to six and eight weeks, and in prolonged disease infection is most likely prolonged also. The persistence of personal infection for from four to six weeks is abundantly proved: the little girl who took diphtheria on joining her convalescent sisters at the seaside had been separated six weeks. In two of Sir William Jenner's cases infection seems to have been particularly active in the fourth and fifth week.

Possibly in some of these diseases, most probably in scarlet fever, infection is given off more at a certain advanced stage of convalescence than in some earlier part of it; when all the functions are more nearly restored to their healthy standard, they may expel the last products of the disease with more activity than when they were in a languid or debilitated condition. For scarlet fever, it has been shown by the two cases in the previous paper (p. 38), how convalescents may communicate infection nine weeks after the commencement of the disease; in the second case it seemed as if the infection was more active at the end of this long period than at an earlier part of it. For another instance of this I am indebted to my friend Mr. Tweed, of Upper Brook Street:—A lad, aged 14 years, has scarlet fever in the summer in a mild form at school, where he goes through the illness with others. After a month he comes home to his family in London, but at first is kept as much apart as possible. A fortnight after his return, two sisters, aged 17 and 19 years, spend a week with him, and then go on a visit to the country. They remain away ten days, and again return home to their brother; on the day week of their return both have scarlet fever; the symptoms were mild, but well marked throughout, so as to leave no doubt

as to their nature. No other source of infection is discoverable beyond this return home to their brother, sixty days after the commencement of his illness. This period was exceeded in the other cases given, but here we remark the infection was inoperative during a previous fortnight. May it be that some infection given off by the brother accumulated in the house, and that the sisters returned to it from the country in a higher state of susceptibility? One of our members, Dr. Elliot, of Carlisle, attests the accuracy of the following facts published by the gentleman in whose family the cases occurred.*

Four years ago a boy from school, convalescent from mild scarlet fever, is sent away a fortnight after his recovery, with one of his brothers as a companion; in six days this one is ill with the disease. Six weeks and a day after this, all desquamation having ceased in the younger one, and both being dressed throughout in new clothes, they return home; again in six days from their return two younger children simultaneously begin with the disease. In watching these two patients most carefully, desquamation was traceable in the mildest of the two cases up to the eighth week; at the end of the ninth week they joined the rest of the family, and no further evil consequences ensued.

More importance is generally attributed to desquamation in the spread of scarlet fever than is its due. As a visible sign concurrent with others less obvious, it is useful in showing that the reparative processes are incomplete, and in directing attention to the skin, to warmth and cleanliness, but a perfectly innocuous cutaneous epithelium may be separating, while from another surface an actively infecting product is being set free. Perhaps the evil resulting from this erroneous view more than compensates for the good, for by directing attention exclusively to the "peeling", which, often active in the second week, may be nearly over in the third, persons are deluded into the idea that infection is then over also, and the disease be again allowed to spread.

The numerous instances of infection in the latter stages of scarlet fever, and the success which usually follows early separation of the healthy from the sick in saving them from the disease, have originated an idea that scarlet fever might

* "On the Necessity of Perfect and Prolonged Isolation for Stamping Out Contagious and Infectious Diseases." Steel Brothers, Carlisle. 1874.

possibly not give off infectious particles or germs until arriving at a certain maturity; consequently, that the first stages of the disease might be harmless. A striking example of the influence of this belief occurred last November at the Epsom Petty Sessions, where a person escaped penalty for removing a scarlet fever patient in a hired fly, by obtaining direct medical testimony that the disease was not contagious in its early stages. That this is an error is clearly shown by cases 7 and 8 (p. 443) in the former paper, where of two children one only is exposed to scarlet fever on February 17th, has rash on February 20th, and communicates it so rapidly to the other that the symptoms of it appear the very next day. Another instance of scarlet fever being communicable on the first day of illness is the following. In a family of nine children, the eldest comes home from a school in which was scarlet fever. Three days after, the mother, in delicate health, is suddenly seized in the night with illness, and the next morning has the rash of scarlet fever. The eldest of the family has already gone away, and the two youngest children are now sent off with the nurse; three elder girls, who had been into their mother's room on the morning of her illness, go to the house of an aunt near; three of the children remain in the house. On the third day two of these are taken ill; on the fifth day, five days after the separation, one of the girls is sent home with scarlet fever; two days after, a servant and the only other child in the house are seized. The two youngest die. The five children who had been separated join the convalescents at the sea side, six weeks and three days after the last seizure, without injury.

The two first of the following cases occurred ten years earlier in houses well situated, but with old brick drains remaining in the basements. The eldest girl of a family of five young children, sleeping apart from them in her mother's room is seized in the night with an illness which next morning is thought to be scarlet fever; that day none of the other children come into the room; lodgings are taken for them in a house opposite the same day. They are sent there with their clothes and all that they want, and no communication is said to take place between the houses; the day fortnight after the removal of the four children, the eldest of them, a girl, is sent home with fever and commencing rash; the three younger, boys, continue well. A month from this time, six weeks from the first illness, the second case being mild and both considered convalescent,

all the family meet at Brighton. Again, at the end of a fortnight the disease re-appears; the second boy, aged two years becomes ill; three weeks after the youngest, nine months old, takes it; lastly, the eldest boy, who had not been kept apart during the stay at Brighton, sickens when his brothers have recovered, the scarlet fever running a mild course. These attacks occurred from July to October, keeping up an anxiety during three months which another three weeks of isolation in the first instance would have obviated.

In the first of these groups of cases we see that personal infection had ceased in six weeks from the illness; we cannot always be sure it is over so soon. We see in the second group that to allow a less period is to let the disease be again spread in a way that is most frequent. In the first group, all who remain in the house take the disease; in both, one of the children removed has it, but on again separating the affected child, all the others escape: the long interval in the second is exceptional, yet any conveyance of infection from the house opposite was not traced. In the first case the disease appeared within six days after separation, an interval corresponding with the usual limit. In the only instance under my own observation, where the symptoms have appeared as late as the eighth day after separation, there had been communication with the infected household during the interval. It is a point of the greatest practical importance that, on removing the healthy from a source of scarlet fever, one week will generally suffice to determine their immunity. Yet I have known children kept from school three weeks, because they had visited at a house where scarlet fever broke out after they had left.

For diphtheria, to determine whether infection has been escaped, a somewhat longer separation is prudent than is required for scarlet fever. An eight days' interval for incubation is proved in Mr. Adams' case, where prompt removal was effected. Details of a similar case will be given, wherein it is not perfectly clear that the interval was only eight days. Abundant evidence of the shortness of the incubation period for diphtheria exists. We know from Sir William Jenner's case in the Children's Hospital, where the disease was found to be in an advanced stage within thirty hours, that the hours of the latent stage may be numbered by units. The two cases published by the author, in the "System of Medicine", article "Diphtheria", show that the shortest period of incubation could not have been less than three days in the first case, nor the longest period more than four

days in the second. But single instances do not seem to fix either the longest or shortest possible periods for diseases so variable in intensity and duration as are scarlatina and diphtheria. The following is an example of the long interval :—In the family of a doctor of medicine, living near the southern boundary of the Fens, a housemaid is ill with sore throat and slightly marked febrile symptoms on July 17, 1874; on July 23 the elder of his two children goes to visit a relative at a perfectly healthy house, well situated on the chalk, in Hertfordshire. On July 30, this child, who had been very lively and well till bed time, becomes suddenly febrile, with vomiting, high pyrexia, and next day enlarged cervical glands; exudation soon appeared on the tonsil and fauces, and persisted for ten days. The child at home was taken ill in the same manner on the same day. Subsequently two other residents in that house were ill, the one with severe, the other with slight sore throat. The possibility of a more than eight days' interval is suggested by these cases; but until some clear evidence of a longer interval is forthcoming we must leave this as a limit, or allow ten days at most for it.

One reason why the duration of the incubation period for diphtheria has been unduly prolonged is because the special exudation cannot sometimes be depended on as characteristic until the third day of the disease; it often does not excite attention till a day or two later; consequently, when the source of infection is known, the interval from exposure is reckoned up to this advanced stage of the illness. A second reason is, that very often the whole time from the commencement of a continuous exposure is reckoned, though the infection may only have been received in the latter part of it. This consideration affects the cases contributed by Dr. Rumsey to the second report of the Medical Officer to H.M. Privy Council. In these cases, one sister takes the complaint exactly a fortnight after the other was seized with it. But they had been together during the illness, the second taking part in the nursing of the first. The first sister also was seized exactly a fortnight after the return home of a brother convalescent from diphtheria, but infection might have been imparted on any day after the first of his return. In diphtheria, as in scarlet fever, infection may be more active at certain stages of convalescence than at others. This appears in some cases given by Dr. Johnson in "The Lancet," January 2, 1875. A girl, aged 17 years, who had been ill ten days before at school, returns to a

healthy country house where are seven younger children; all keep well for a fortnight, when, the elder girl being freer from all signs of illness than on her first return, two of the children take diphtheria and die; the others, separated as soon as these were seized, all escape; yet they had been already exposed for a fortnight to infection from the elder sister.

In the same article some very instructive cases, observed by Dr. Carey, of Windsor, are given. In a family of nine children, two, and the mother, are ill with diphtheria; the mother dies: this is in December. Five of the children are then sent away; the two convalescents and two others remain at home. Of these, the youngest child is seized January 15, and dies on the 23rd; the three remaining now leave the house, which is thoroughly cleaned. The family does not return till April 9. On April 16 a gentleman visits at the house, staying that night and the next. He feels ill on the evening of the day he left; next day he has sore throat, and on the third day diphtheritic exudation. Hence this case might by some be reckoned as of four days incubation, instead of one, or less than two at the longest. A more important correction is required in the last of these cases. On April 23 the whole family again leaves the house. Of two children who go together to a healthy farmhouse, one becomes ill on April 25, or within the usual time for the infection of diphtheria showing itself. On May 11 the other child sickens; but instead of supposing the infection in this case to have been received eighteen days before, and taking this as a proof that it came directly from the infected house, it is easier to believe that the illness of the second child was derived from that of the one then going through the disease under the same roof. These cases require noticing at length, as they are used to support the view of local causes being in themselves sufficient to originate diphtheria. The first link in their causation, as is so often the case, eludes us; but the persistence and fatality of the outbreak can be traced to the existence of a foul disused cesspit under the floor of the infected house. It is argued, from the fact of this cesspool being disused, that infected matters from the sick did not directly enter it and so become a source of re-infection; but it must be conceded that if the air of the cesspool could get into the house and infect the inmates, the air of the house bearing infectious particles could get into the cesspool; indeed, with the alternations of moisture, pressure, day and night temperature, house

fires, and other causes, this interchange must be constantly going on.

The relation to local sanitary defects of diphtheria would seem to be somewhere intermediate to that of typhoid and scarlet fever, less constant and definite than the one, somewhat closer than the other; like scarlet fever, often intensified by the association, yet much influenced by individual susceptibility and not independent of infection, as seen in the following cases:—On January 8th, 1871, a woman, aged forty-six years, returns home to a perfectly healthy house at the west end of London, after nursing a child who died of diphtheria at Teddington the previous day. On January 9th, the nurse has stiff neck and fever; next day, exudation on the uvula and right tonsil, albuminuria, temperature 104·5 deg. Her son, aged seven years, is removed to another part of the house; a grown-up daughter remains to attend in the sick room. Four days afterwards, in the night of January 14th, the boy is sick, and on January 15th, has sore throat, enlarged cervical glands, high temperature, but no rash. In the night of the 15th, the daughter has chills and complains of her throat, next day is febrile, and the day after has exudation on the right tonsil. The fever lasts five days, but is not severe, the skin being pale and moist; both she and her mother had previously had scarlet fever. The brother had a prolonged and serious illness, which during the whole time it was impossible to say was not scarlet fever; there was albuminuria from the second week to the sixth, with anasarca, and hæmaturia at the month end. The pyrexia lasted a fortnight; twice in the course of the illness some irregular rash was noticed; he had never had scarlet fever. One such instance of this ætiological relation of scarlet fever to diphtheria gives great support to the idea of their essential identity: some facts from morbid anatomy point to a close relationship between diphtheria and croup; were we, in consequence, disposed to assume that these two diseases are really identical, the difficulty of admitting the identity of croup and scarlet fever would be an insuperable obstacle.

On investigating the source of these attacks at Teddington, it was evident that the child who died had diphtheria, and not scarlet fever; three other young children were in the house who, not having had the disease, did not then take it, nor was it epidemic near. The sanitary defects at first sight were glaring; ten houses built on alluvial gravel, near together, had no drainage; in the back yards were

open privies. The interior of the house was clean and commodious, and this child, the youngest, never went to the out-door privy; in fact no connection could be established between the local conditions and the occurrence of diphtheria, but this: the grandmother, aged ninety-three, on returning from the back yard, on December 8th, the weather being cold, was seized with illness, and had sore throat; afterwards the mouth showed many white patches, and the breath was offensive. All this had cleared off, and beyond debility, the old lady was feeling pretty well again, when her grandchildren came to visit her in her room in the last week of the year. She had the youngest on the bed to kiss; the child was taken ill on Sunday, January 1st, and died on the 7th of January, with great cervical swelling but without laryngeal symptoms. The depressing effect of cold probably was more concerned in predisposing this aged woman to diphtheria than anything from the foul privy, though the exciting cause may have arisen there. No other inmates of these houses suffered.

The close relationship between scarlet fever and diphtheria is a matter of common knowledge; the same precautions necessary against the one are required against the other; they agree in the circumstances that either aid their favourable progress or are hurtful to it. The precaution necessary to prevent the unintentional spread of these diseases by sending persons among the healthy from a source of infection is that, not only should the full week requisite for scarlet fever elapse, but a period somewhat in excess of this; for while, as in scarlet fever, diphtheria will generally show itself, if it be taken, in three or four days, much clearer instances of it happening after a full week's separation are forthcoming than in the case of scarlet fever.

Scarlet fever affords a strong contrast to measles in all its characters; the interval from exposure to sickening is usually short, and the rash is always an early symptom, so that it ought not to be difficult to separate the sick from the healthy with good prospect of success; and, as a matter of experience, early separation is found generally successful. Moreover, there is this in favour of such a course, that if any of those removed have really received the infection, symptoms of it will appear so soon, that no long uncertainty has to be provided against, and a second separation is sure to be timely.

It is well to state more formally this difference between scarlet fever and measles: on separating children from a

short, definite exposure to measles, no distinctive illness is to be expected until after more than a week's interval, and they are not safe to escape for a fortnight: on separating persons exposed to the infection of scarlet fever a few days, it may be three to five, sometimes a few hours will suffice for the disease to appear: after a week of immunity, we may be satisfied that the disease has been escaped. The utter divergence from, and dissimilarity of measles to scarlet fever cannot be too forcibly insisted on; these two diseases are representatives of wholly different classes of disease, measles bearing affinities with small-pox, chicken-pox, mumps; scarlet fever with plague, erysipelas, influenza, diarrhœa, perhaps also with cholera. No vestige of place for doubt remains as to the close relation of rötheln, the rash to which much attention has recently been called, to measles and not to scarlatina; so the relation to dengue, a rash common in Africa and both the Indies, is clearly and closely to scarlet fever. I doubt if it have more than an accidental resemblance to measles. To speak of any new or anomalous rash as a hybrid between measles and scarlet fever is a confusion of terms and ideas, destructive of what we know, and obstructive of further knowledge.

A popular recognition of these differences is of the utmost importance to the safe management of the sick, and especially to the safety of others. For instance, is the finely diffused rash of scarlet fever the early symptom? Then separate, if possible, others of the family: but, supposing the isolated spots or groups of spots of measles appear, doubtless the previous sneezing or coughing will not have been overlooked, and it is too late to separate others, or at least it is wrong to send them among the healthy susceptible. Again, after the rash of measles is fully out, one day suffices for the child to eat and drink and sit up; care against chill and fatigue is wanted, but the illness has suddenly declined with the throwing out of the rash. In scarlet fever the rash is only the commencement of a series of special injuries to which most organs of the body are liable for a period of three weeks, against which rest in bed for all this time is the best prophylactic; after this the special accidents of convalescence are to be feared for another three weeks. Albuminuria and the dropsy which result after scarlet fever are unknown in or after measles. Measles seldom or never recurs; scarlet fever not unfrequently happens more than once.

An important practical deduction from these facts which,

if acted upon, would soon reduce the spread of scarlet fever to a minimum, and relieve our healthy sea-side towns from one of their greatest risks, is—do not seek change of air too soon for scarlet fever convalescents: they are better in their rooms for the first three weeks; they are not only safer in their homes for the next three weeks after that, but they gain strength just as fast, often faster, than if they had been sent off for change of air, to the danger of the conveyances used; to the danger of the lodgings they go to; and to the danger of all with whom they come in contact. This is of special importance in the management of schools. Much may be done by domestic management in keeping convalescents not only from school, but from play, theatres, parties, and fêtes, until reasonable fear of infection is over. School managers have a duty to protect their schools, they should have power, so as to be able to exclude from school those known to be likely to bring infection with them. It need not be difficult to obtain a written statement of the illness a child is absent for; then let it be incumbent on the manager not to receive that child for six weeks at least after an attack of scarlet fever.

For the nearer allies of each of the diseases already treated of, some variation is noticed from the typical characters just given. Chicken-pox follows small-pox so far as for the sickening to occur twelve days after exposure to infection; but, instead of the rash beginning two days afterwards, it begins at once; and some isolated spot may always be detected as soon as the first symptoms of sickness are felt. There is no more trustworthy guide to so important a matter as the distinguishing off-hand whether it be chicken-pox or small-pox that may have appeared in a family or institution, than to ascertain whether the first spots were preceded by illness or not. I have known chicken-pox to be attended with so much fever, and the spots of so suspicious an aspect, that, but for this circumstance, a fear of small-pox would have remained; also, and this is of greater practical moment, I have known small-pox so masked and modified, that but for the two days of back-ache and malaise preceding the eruption, some of the necessary precautions against so insidious a danger might have been omitted.

There is the same parallel noticeable in the relation of measles and rubeola (rötheln); in the latter the eruption occurs without precedent illness, or with some faintness or catarrh of only one day's existence, instead of three or four.

An allied exanthem, marked by a diffused form of rash, not unfrequently met with in the summer, may be termed *epidemic roseola*. It is quite distinct from rubeola or rötheln, though hitherto not clearly separated from it, and some confusion has entered into the descriptions given of either from want of attention to their distinguishing characteristics. Bateman's description (*op. cit.*, p. 96), applies to the latter; the former may be included in one of his first varieties. Both are readily discriminated from the rose-rashes produced by irritating matters taken into the stomach, as with them the illness follows quickly upon the exciting causes, be they food or physic. Roseola differs from rubeola in aspect, for it does not begin in isolated spots, but a finely diffused redness is noticeable first in the face and neck soon after slight faintness or giddiness has been felt. The small lymphatic glands of the neck are palpably enlarged in both ailments, and there is soreness of the throat. Fuller, in his "Exanthematologia", p. 128, speaks of this sort of rose rash, "as a flushing all over the body, like fine crimson, which is void of danger". This rash may be followed by desquamation, which, rare in measles, is still rarer after rubeola.

Roseola agrees with the scarlatina group in having an incubation of near upon a week; another strong affinity is shown to this class of disease by the occasional occurrence of albuminuria, a point contrasting strongly with the measles group in which albuminuria is not known to occur. I have met with one statement, that albumen has been found in rötheln or rubeola; I suspect here the rash was of the diffused type I wish to discriminate, for I have examined for myself very many cases of rubeola without ever finding albuminuria, but in a less numerous series of this kind it has sometimes been present. The interest attaching to this consideration is very great, for seeing the close connection of rötheln with measles, roseola, as here defined, might turn out to be some derivative of scarlet fever; the more prevalent scarlet fever is, the more does this prevail. Long ago the connection of roseola with summer diarrhœa was noticed by Bateman; the interchange of summer diarrhœa and winter cough is very constant, and has frequently been set forth as closely correspondent. These ailments may seem slight and of no great moment to the strong, but they are serious to the weak, and are the great causes of infantile mortality, especially in great towns.

Albuminuria has been met with in the early stages of this

diffused form of exanthem, even where the febrile disturbance has been slight; muscular and articular pains have followed some of the more marked cases.*

The infection of catarrh, and of sore throat under its two distinct forms, the follicular and parenchymatous, with the relation to influenza, alluded to in my former paper at p. 444, may be illustrated by a few cases. On December 11th a little girl comes home from school with bronchial catarrh, and sleeps in the same room with a sister, four years old; it was noticed at night how quick was the breathing of the elder girl, and how quiet that of the other. The next morning, by 7 a.m., the younger child is breathing rapidly, has coryza and fever by evening, on the second day catarrh, on the third congestion of the lung, and a six days' illness. Two other children of this family on December 13th and 14th were similarly attacked. The same winter, in another nursery, an elder child introduces catarrh on December 8th; on December 10th the younger sister, two years old, has a similar cough; on December 13th a boy three and a half years old becomes suddenly febrile and has a bronchial attack lasting six days. In both these nurseries the under

* I may add some recent details: on May 8th, 1875, a little girl of two years old felt tired and was restless at night; on the 9th a fine bright redness was noticed in large patches on the back, chest, and neck; a vivid patch encircled one wrist. The redness became more diffused at night, the temperature was not quite 100 deg. The next day the redness was fading, and the temperature normal; the small cervical glands were palpable, there was no sore throat, but some mucus four days after. Other children in the family had no rash. In another house, on June 16th, a maid-servant, aged fifteen years, in regular health, felt giddy while dressing, and vomited; a fine rash was discernable over chest, neck, and arms; face very red, eyes suffused, pulse 100, temperature 99·2 deg.; 17th, rash fading, tongue clean, slight redness of palate and fauces, slight fulness of tonsil just visible, one small gland felt at angle of jaw. Pulse 80, temperature 99·6 deg., albuminuria. 18th, feels well, albumen much increased, it lasted a week. On the 24th, the lady on whom she attended felt faint, and was sick at noon; suffusion of face by evening; the rash faded next day, but she had aching of the limbs and restless nights. On May 29th, two young married people came from different parts of the country to attend an evening reception at the Foreign Office; on the 31st, the lady has a fine rash on the chest, neck, and arms, with no rise of temperature; next day in bed with more rash, temperature 99·6 deg. The gentleman complained of sore throat, and next day is covered with finely diffused red rash; he kept in doors, but not in bed, and the temperature rose to 101 deg. Afterwards severe pain in the limbs, and loss of sleep. These two cases, though they had not previously had scarlatina, and did not communicate it to young children in the house, very much resemble some cases of modified scarlatina, transmitted by milk or cream, during the same season in London. The others were simply roseola, as my second published case of scarlatina ("Temperature Observations," p. 18) proved to be, for the child afterwards took scarlet fever.

nurses were seized, during the week they were in attendance upon these children, with follicular tonsillitis, coming on with intense aching of the back and limbs, and resulting in the formation of several small ulcers on the tonsils in the one girl, and a larger round ulcer of one tonsil in the other. The febrile period did not exceed three days. This form of catarrh is often epidemic, it has a short incubation period, and is associated with influenza; it begins with elevation of temperature, often subsiding on the third day; there is generally some enlargement of the lymphatic glands in the neck. I once found the mother, while in close attendance on a child dying with laryngeal croup, suffering this form of follicular tonsillitis.

The parenchymatous form of tonsillitis is associated with conditions more limited in their operation, whether individual or local; the two forms are seldom met with together, and rarely pass the one into the other; when transmitted by infection the latter inclines to the longer period of incubation, extending from two to six days. Last spring, in a good country house with but one sanitary defect—that of an indoor water-closet connected with a closed receptacle, which had not been recently emptied—a boy, aged eight years, has fever and sore throat on March 10th; there was dysphagia for a week. No sooner is this boy convalescent than his mother, who nursed him, suffers with sore throat and great prostration on the 25th; her illness lasted a fortnight. On the 2nd of April a youth in weak health comes to the house, he is seized on the 8th with sore throat and fever. The illness lasted ten days, though during his illness the defect in the water-closet was remedied. The patient left his room on the 18th, and went out of doors on the 20th. On the 22nd he visited a family living at an isolated house two miles off; on the 24th a servant there complained of her throat, and is ill on the 25th with quinsy. At the same time, a servant at the first house has quinsy. Two children of different families visiting at the second house have sore throats on the 26th and 27th; another child then with them soon suffers, and each of these three children, two in different parts of the country, and one on returning to London, become centres from which other attacks proceeded. Stomatitis in the young is communicable by infection, and is more closely related to this than to the catarrhal form of inflammation. I have notes of the visit of a healthy girl, five years old, on March 23rd, to a house near London, where the children had this complaint.

The little girl returned home on March 25th; on the 27th she became ill, next day small ulcers appeared in the mouth similar to those in the other children, and the lymphatic glands were enlarged for some days longer.

Relapsing fever has now been produced by inoculation, the incubation being five days. It is only during the presence of *spirillæ* in the blood that it is infective.

Plague, on its re-appearance last year, was studied at Benghazi by Dr. Laval, Surgeon-Major of the French army in Algeria, at the cost of his life. The incubation period was from two to four days; the attacks were sudden, with high fever in the first few days, the temperature soon reaching 102 or 104°, the pulse 100 to 130 or more; the glandular swellings began on the first day.* Dr. Augustus Bozzi Granville, in his "Autobiography" (London, 1874, p. 137), describes how on reaching Constantinople, many years ago, landing at Tophaneh in the forenoon, he awoke with tremor and headache in the course of the very first night, the swelled glands characteristic of plague appeared in the axilla on the morning of the third day, the fever and delirium left on the morning of the seventh day. He was convalescent in a fortnight; he also relates the self-inoculation of Dr. Valli, who had not been in the Plague hospital three days before he contracted the disease.

Yellow fever has recently been most accurately observed in America, especially by Drs. J. C. Faget, and J. Jones, of New Orleans, and by Dr. Sternberg, of the United States army. The temperature-curves of 183 cases show a single paroxysm, highest at the outset of the disease (103 to 105° or more), rarely extending to the third day; the pulse falls before the temperature, and sometimes becomes slow, one attack in the vast majority of cases protects from a second. The incubation period was mostly close upon five days, often considerably less; there are exceptional cases of eight days, but the danger of infection attaches more to places and things than to personal transmission.

Dengue has been proved thermometrically, in the epidemic of 1873, to have a very sudden ingress, a remission on the

---

* This feature is noted by Surgeon-major W. H. Colvill, in his report to the British Consul, at Bagdad, on Plague in Mesopotamia, in 1875. Glandular tenderness sometimes began with the fever; enlargement of the glands was noticed before the fever in one case, in this the temperature was 100 deg. on the fourth day; some of the temperatures taken evidently denote collapse. A very clear instance of incubation not exceeding forty-eight hours is given by Dr. Colvill, proving the disease not to be marsh fever.

third day, and one exacerbation on the fourth day; the temperature elevation, both of the ingress and the culmination, is from 102 to 103°; the variations of the pulse exactly correspond with those of the temperature; there is swelling of the lymphatic glands. Sudden faintness, vomiting, chill and high temperature precede the rash by a few hours only; some fine desquamation follows; relapses are not infrequent, and infection lingers for two months. Persons who, in my own knowledge, have had measles, are not protected against attacks of dengue. The liability to recurrence in this disease enables me to agree with Dr. Seguin, of New York (to whose courtesy I am indebted for these new facts) in considering dengue a malarial epidemic; he thinks it may take its place "with intermittent, remittent and hay fever, influenza, true pneumonia, etc., a group which will grow larger as our knowledge of ætiology will enlarge."*

Cholera incubation lasts from a few hours to four days; the premonitory diarrhœa, properly a part of the disease, a few days only. The detection of albuminuria by Dr. Schlimmel,† several hours before other symptoms of cholera appear, tends to limit more closely the period of incubation.

I am permitted by one of our members, now present, to record these facts as they befel in his own family:—In 1853 three gentlemen from different parts of Kent met in London, and dined at the Nag's Head, in the Borough, on Friday, October 21st, the landlord's wife being then ill with choleraic diarrhœa. The elder of the three, who passed the night in the hotel, returned home on Saturday, was seized with cholera the same night, or morning of the 23rd; he died on October 27th; next day, the 28th, his wife, who nursed him, was seized, and died on the 31st. A friend, who came to the house on the 27th, staying only a few

---

* "Medical Thermometry and Human Temperature", by E. Seguin, M.D., New York, 1876, p. 143. From this perfect store of information, received while correcting this sheet for the press, I extract—"DENGUE (Syn. *dandy fever, break-bone*, etc.) Apparently a purely eruptive, epidemic disease of the warm climates. By its eruption often mixed with other epidemics due to summer heat; looks like measles or scarlatina by its eruption; by its pains and swellings of the joints, like rheumatism; by its glandular symptoms like typhus; by its mode of invasion, like yellow fever, by its initial chill, like intermittent, and by its periods like remittent. Thermometry takes it out from that hybrid status, and sets it up as a malarial entity which has its own thermonomy, viz.: invasion too sudden to be noted previously to its acme. Two paroxysms separated by a short remission, and lasting from five full days to seven short."

† "Du Présage et de l'avortement de l'imminence Cholérique." Rotterdam, 1874, p. 32.

hours, but visiting the patient, was attacked on the 30th, within sixty hours of entering the house, dying on the same day. An old man-servant, well on the 30th, was seized with cholera on the 31st, and died the next day. Of the two younger men, returning to their homes on the Friday and Saturday, one became sick before he reached home, the other was taken ill at 7 a.m. on the following day, and had a serious illness; both recovered. There was no extension of the disease from any of these houses.

An outbreak of cholera in Normandy has this history:— In 1848 a fishing lugger, l'Étoile of Fécamp, had to put in to Dunkerque, when cholera was there, leaving on November 9th, and arrived on the 10th at Fécamp with three of the crew ill of cholera, one was taken to the hospital, two to friends in Ypport; the youngest, a lad already algide, was placed in his mother's bed beside her; she was seized next day, and died in twenty-four hours; a few days after a young sister was taken ill and died rapidly. The disease then spread to the houses near, and soon to the whole village.

Kennedy, in "The History of Contagious Cholera" (London: 1832), says the first fatal case in England, that of Robert Henry, a Sunderland pilot, began August 1831, the day after he had piloted a foreign vessel that had come from an infected port of the Baltic. The conveyance of cholera from Newcastle to Gateshead, separated only by the Tyne, is traced to a Mrs. Hindmarsh, who visited an infected district of Newcastle on December 14th. "About eleven o'clock of that night she was seized herself with symptoms of cholera, and on Thursday, the day ensuing, she died." On the 18th the husband goes to lodge with his brother-in-law and two sons in a healthy part of the town; he has some diarrhœa at the time, and takes a chest of clothes with him. On the 26th, one of the sons in this house is attacked, and on the same day the father, and also a second son, who left home the day before to commence work at Shields, all died; the first on the evening of the 26th, the father on the 27th, and the second son at Shields on the 28th of December. The mistress of the house at Shields, where this young man died, was taken ill four days afterwards, and died the next day of cholera.

In these, as in many other instances, very sudden effects follow on first exposure to infection of great intensity, while in some subsequent seizures an interval of three or four days is found. Hence an inference, supported by some in-

conclusive experiments, that the infecting particles might undergo some change of state, after being thrown off from the body of the sick person and before entering that of the recipient, analagous to what we suppose for the period of latency within the body. The fresh ejecta of cholera patients are not strongly infective, they become so after decomposition; whether this sets free the infecting particles, or whether they are increased by special fermentation is uncertain. Such special augmentation in hot climates would seem a necessary assumption for yellow fever. Any possible increase in the intensity or quantity of infection in this way would probably be restricted within narrow limits that might come within the scope of the present inquiry. There is, however, another possible development of infecting material quite different to anything here treated of; alternating with outbursts of epidemic energy are times and seasons of quiescence for infective germs. We know how for long periods infection may be restrained from action, as that of vaccinia in glass tubes, and we can understand how it may again become active. I would withhold the term of *incubation* from these uncertain periods, and using the term *dormant* for certain conditions under which infection may remain inactive, restrict the use of incubation to the development of disease within the body, and the terms *latency* and *invasion* to the two stages of incubation.

Hydrophobia and ague point to the possibility of infection lying dormant for an uncertain time within the body. Dr. Gregory gives, in his essay, a case of nine month's interval in each of these two diseases. He tabulates thirty-one cases of hydrophobia, and says, "From this table it appears that the average period of incubation is forty-five days; the minimum twenty-one days; the maximum, nine months". Some instances of a longer interval are on record. It is of more importance to direct attention to one or two cases which show the disease may appear in less than three weeks. Dr. Fletcher of Broxbourne, has just informed me of a boy bitten on the morning of April the 1st, who first noticed a difficulty in drinking on April 18th; he saw him with slight choking spasms on the 19th; on the 20th the patient was removed to the Hertford Infirmary, where he died on the same day. The shortest time for incubation of hydrophobia that I have seen published was a fortnight. The more usual period is from four to six weeks.

ON THE PREVENTIVE INFLUENCE OF OUR INCREASED MEANS OF Isolation in Infective Fevers.

THE following abstract of a contribution to the Section of Public Medicine at the Annual Meeting of the British Medical Association at Brighton, read there more than ten years after the preceding paper, reaffirms the utility both of small-pox and fever hospitals in checking the prevalence of these diseases in London. That means for isolating the sick should be provided in any large community as a first step towards checking the spread of infectious epidemics has long since been admitted, and is at length, after considerable effort, fairly carried out in London. The success that has followed, not unexpected, is great in a remarkable and unexampled degree. The clamour against the special hospitals requisite to this end is now restricted to those for small-pox; it is conceded that scarlet fever hospitals produce no injurious influences around them, and the control of scarlet fever consequent upon improved means of isolation is most marked. Yet the *Times* newspaper now decries what, in the extract above given, it once so ably advocated. In a leader of January 21st, 1887, on the Decrease of Scarlet Fever in London, it says, "there is not the smallest reason to suppose that treatment in hospital has anything whatever to do with it." This reflects some arguments in the medical press now to be considered. As to small-pox, it is contended that though the increased hospital accommodation in London had three times in eleven years reduced the small-pox mortality from epidemic proportions to amounts exceptionally low, yet that these hospitals tended to keep up epidemics, and that cases of small-pox were found in parts of London near special hospitals more than in other parts. The disastrous ability of these hospitals to spread small-pox is supposed to extend to the distance of at least a mile, and to be independent of lines of human communication. It is said to be excited when the number of acute cases in a hospital has been restricted to 20 or 30, and that "it was on one occasion exerted when only five acute cases were in the hospital together." How this last point was established is not clear. If true, it proves too much, for if infection spreads from 30 patients in hospital as well as from 300, and five patients give rise to the same danger, we should return to the use of a large hospital, as one centre of infection is better than many. In some districts small-pox had reappeared before the special hospitals were re-opened, and the relief given to the neighbourhood by these establishments may be fairly considered to counterbalance the possible injury attributed to them. The main result is that we have a more complete immunity from small-pox in the metropolis than was ever before known.

The abstract of the paper read, August, 1886, is this:—Diseases propagated by personal infection have, under an improved sanitary engi-

neering, showed no diminution until efficient measures of isolation were established. The scarlet fever mortality for England and Wales stood at 12,000, with epidemic leaps, as in 1864 and 1874, to 20,000 and 30,000. In London alone the mortality from this cause in 1870, the first year of compulsory school-attendance under the School Board Act, exceeded 6,000; the deaths from scarlet fever in London have averaged in the last twenty-five years, more than 2,000 annually. Last year the scarlet fever mortality in London was 700; for the first quarter of the present year it was only 102—not much over half of any quarter of the previous year, less than one-third of what is recorded for 1884, and the lowest number registered in London from scarlet fever for the fifty years that registration has been established; the result, as I shall show, of recently improved and extended means of isolation.

Small-pox and scarlet fever may here be considered together; they, with typhus, are the only truly infectious diseases that have been dealt with on a large scale by isolation. The efforts of the Metropolitan Asylums Board to meet the requirements of the great outbreak of small-pox, 1870-71, first increased the necessary hospital accommodation. The various impediments encountered led to a further increase in this direction, and then to a greatly extended and improved ambulance system. Obstructions that interfered with the control of small-pox had the effect of diverting much of the increased hospital space to fever patients. The spread of typhus, that had again threatened some parts of London, was arrested. Special wards for this and for enteric fever were found sufficient, but the need for convalescent quarters for scarlet fever and small-pox was soon apparent. The rapid and permanent collapse of the small-pox epidemic when the Darenth establishment was complete, leads to high expectations from the institution now near completion for scarlet fever convalescents at Winchmore Hill.

The first action of the London Asylum Board Hospitals was to reduce the small-pox mortality in London from 9,698 in the two years 1871-72, to 113 for the year 1873, and to 103 only for the two years 1874-75—lower figures than had ever been known for small-pox in London. Litigation and ill-advised restrictions had so far interfered with the means of dealing with the 1876 outbreak of small-pox that the epidemic increased the following year, was barely held in check till it rose to an epidemic again in 1881 and 1884, and was not finally repressed until last year. Then the camp for 1,000 convalescents at Darenth had so aided the hospitals that in the latter half of 1885 the deaths in London from small-pox were 97, 13 of them in the last quarter of the year; and for the first quarter of the present year one only. All the other fatal cases, amounting to 13, had been removed from London, and were treated at the hospital-ships in Long Reach.

In 1884 and 1885 the hospital ships were reinforced by the newly fitted *Castalia*, and removed to Long Reach below Dartford. In these two years 10,718 cases were treated on board. Last year there were 5,644 admissions of small-pox patients, 4,069 from their

homes and 1,575 from other hospitals. The deaths were 444, being a mortality of 7.6 per cent. Dr. Birdwood, in his report for last year, says, page 7: "The severe cases are treated together; on the *Atlas*, 30 acute cases have been in bed at the same time on one side of the decks; on the *Castalia*, in No. 3 Ward 20, and in No. 8, 14 beds have been occupied by confluent cases for several weeks. Neither patients nor staff were injuriously affected." He further remarks, page 14: "The first use of the ships at Long Reach was to promote the recovery of convalescents. Mild cases were next received, then moderately severe, and now all cases, except those in too extreme a condition of illness to be conveyed safely by the admirable steam river ambulances, are sent direct to the hospital-ships as soon as the first symptoms appear. This early removal is of the greatest benefit to the patient, and one of the essentials towards the arrest of epidemics." The report of Dr. Phillip on the River Ambulance Service shows that, from February 9th, 1884, to the end of 1885, the number of patients removed to Long Reach was 11,060; and of these 10,076 were brought back well. Instead of less than half the fatal cases occurring in hospital, as in 1877, more than three-fourths of all the deaths from small-pox are thus accounted for, and the control of the epidemic is assured. In the same way, the proportion of fatal cases of typhus treated in the board-hospitals increased from 10 per cent. in 1876 to 40 or 50 per cent. in 1881; and the whole number of deaths has fallen from 163 in the former year to about 30 annually.

This evidence of control over scarlet fever is, happily, increasing year by year. From 1875 to 1877, the proportion of scarlet fever deaths in the board-hospitals was only four per cent. of the whole number of deaths in London; this has risen to 16.4 and 19 per cent., showing that the means of isolation have increased four-fold. However groundless the fears entertained by the Royal Commission as to the possible ill-effects to small-pox patients from being treated in any numbers together, the limitation imposed by that Commission in the London hospitals set free many wards for the treatment of scarlet fever. An injunction in Chancery, practically closing the Fulham Hospital against small-pox, enabled a number of scarlet fever patients to be received from the neighbourhood, with marked good effect in the epidemic prevailing around. Again, these legislative hindrances, if they tended to an increase of small-pox by indirectly enforcing a sort of traffic with this disease through various parts of London, were productive of improved organisation of the methods and means of moving the sick.

Considering the rapidity of ingress in scarlet fever, the hospital for the reception of acute cases should not be at any great distance from the district to be benefited; a convalescent institution at some greater distance, accessible from the fever hospitals, is desirable. To carry out this necessary adjunct, the Metropolitan Asylums Board have nearly completed, at Winchmore Hill, a sanatorium for 416 scarlet fever convalescents. The number of hospital beds provided for scarlet fever cases is about 500; this number could be

increased on occasion, as the number of beds provided for fever cases by the Asylums Board is 936; for small-pox cases, 600 beds are available. The ambulance system, with its centre at Norfolk House, Norfolk Street, Strand, close to the Thames Embankment, in telephonic communication with the different hospitals and ambulance-stations of the Board, ensures the prompt removal of either of these infectious illnesses which cannot be well attended to or isolated at home.

It may be premature to attribute the recent remarkable reduction in our small-pox and scarlet fever epidemics exclusively to our efforts towards isolation, but it is well to call attention to the coincidence. The periods for epidemic increase of both these diseases is again close upon us, and it is to be feared that a pause in these efforts may allow them to advance. There has been delay in sanctioning the necessary outlay at Darenth for a permanent establishment. Our authorities seem to accept the principle of isolation, and then to yield to popular prejudices by postponing the execution of the necessary works. Even Winchmore Hill is not completed; and, instead of being ready beforehand to meet the increase of scarlet fever, always present in the autumn and already noticeable, the Asylums Board wait till there is a more obvious public necessity for its installation. Are we always to be behind the changing requirements of the times?

The better classes can mostly be very efficiently isolated at their homes. The London Fever Hospital attends to all claims in emergency, and is of great assistance both to the upper and middle classes. In the lower middle classes and the poor, the Asylums Board hospitals are now readily available, and are constantly extending their influence. We also gladly acknowledge the good work done by the careful and intelligent management of the sick at their homes, through the intervention of skilled nursing, and care as to isolation of the affected through kindly supervision and advice in illness. The efforts in this way to check the spread of scarlet fever in Hastings, by Mrs. Johnstone, have been found not ineffective in the more crowded parts of London and Westminster. Foremost in the attempt to prevent the spread of scarlet fever, of so frequent occurrence during convalescence, must be placed the splendid effort of Miss Mary Wardell, in opening a convalescent establishment at Brockley Hill, where forty or more inmates may have the benefit of fresh air and every necessary comfort until health is re-established, and all fear of communicating the disease to others is passed. Up to the opening of this institution, the London Fever Hospital always kept its convalescents until the period of infection was over; as this mostly exceeds six weeks, it is obvious what aid may be afforded by a convalescent home. In the first report of the home at Brockley Hill, it is satisfactory to see that thirty-four cases had been received direct from the London Fever Hospital, and twenty-two from other hospitals. Some relief has been afforded in this way also to the Asylums Board hospitals, as well as to numerous families.

The recent epidemic, 1887, of scarlet fever in London has tested to the utmost the means of isolation provided. A subsidence of enteric fever during the present summer, and the absence of small-pox from London, enabled the Metropolitan Asylums Board by using a large proportion of the beds reserved for these diseases, and, by other efforts, to treble the number of beds set apart for the reception of scarlet fever patients, and to double at Winchmore Hill, within a month of its occupation, the accommodation intended for convalescents. By the end of October the Board Hospitals contained 2,100 scarlet fever cases and 400 convalescent, with less than 150 of enteric and other fevers. The number of beds held in reserve being 95 for scarlet fever, 18 for enteric fever, and 30 for other cases, or for isolation purposes.

The perfected ambulance system organised by the Asylums Board and the ready way in which the help thus afforded to the sick poor was obtainable, had become well-known in London, and such aid was habitually sought in the early part of the year before the advent of this scarlet fever epidemic was realised. The deaths from scarlet fever had risen in the second quarter of the year to 192, of which the large number of 63 occurring in three of the Asylums Board Hospitals, showed how largely the aid of these hospitals had been sought. Still the deaths only averaged 16 a week, or a yearly rate of 0·18 per 1,000 inhabitants. During the first half of the next quarter the weekly average always exceeded 20; in the second half this was over 42, the whole number was over 480, and the rate for the whole quarter 0·4 per 1,000.

This increase was soon obvious to the health officers of London, it had been earliest known to the Metropolitan Asylums Board. Attention was called as publicly as possible to the demand already made upon the resources at hand, and to the necessity for extending them. The *Times* of August 29th, 1887, in referring anew to the subject, at length stated in a leader that "there is reason to believe that the explanation of the comparatively low London rate (of deaths from scarlet fever) may be found in the beneficial provision made by the Metropolitan Asylum Hospitals for the reception and isolation of patients."

At this time the Chairman of the Metropolitan Asylums Board urged the opening of Winchmore Hill for convalescents; even on September 10th with more than 1,000 cases under treatment, and patients coming in at the rate of 20 a day, this necessary step was further delayed. At the end of the month when the removal of convalescents gave more space for acute cases as many as 72 fever patients were admitted in one day, 3 of them enteric and 69 scarlet fever. At this very time the mortality for all London fell from 53 and 57 a week (September 17th) to an average of below 40 for the next three weeks. After the first week of October convalescents were being discharged from all the Board Hospitals, yet 1,291 new cases were admitted in the month, and always more than 2,000 were under treatment; at the same time 122 new cases were received in the London Fever Hospital, and those of a worse type as is very

generally the case in October. The whole scarlet fever mortality of the Metropolitan Asylums during this epidemic has been, that of last month excepted, always less than one third of that for all London.

In the absence of any system of notification of infectious diseases for London, the returns of the Metropolitan Board supply the only means of noting how far the returns of mortality can guide to the prevalence of any epidemic amongst us. It would appear that not only does this kind of return come too late to be made available for the control of an epidemic, but that it is misleading. The Asylums Board have no compulsory powers for enforcing notification, but by affording the refuge so much needed in these illnesses the sufferers come and in such numbers as to proclaim where infection is most rife. Without the system that has so recently been perfected, we should have been in ignorance of this amount of scarlet fever surrounding us. The actual deaths this year from scarlet fever are less than in most epidemic years and do not exceed the decennial average. The means for arresting the spread of the epidemic may also have contributed towards lessening its fatality. Moreover the Board statistics gave warning when the numbers of scarlet fever cases last year were low that their increasing severity threatened a further outbreak this year. In two of the hospitals open only in the first half of the year, 1866, the mortality was 5½ per cent., while in two open during the whole year this was 8·9 and 11·8. In these latter three-fourths of the patients were young children.

A valuable store house of facts bearing on the history of our London epidemics, is found in the annual reports published by the Metropolitan Asylums Board, with the tables prepared by the medical superintendents of each hospital under the supervision of a statistical committee. From the report for 1886 the rise of the epidemic of scarlet fever may be traced. The full account can only appear in this year's report, but some of the results already in the hands of the committee have been kindly forwarded by the Clerk of the Board on request, and deserve a cordial acknowledgment.

RETURN OF ADMISSIONS and MORTALITY OF SCARLET FEVER CASES at BOARD'S HOSPITALS, during the under-mentioned periods:—

	No. of Patients Admitted.	Number of Deaths.	Per-centage of Mortality.
Quarter ended 31st March, 1887 ...	463	41	8 · 8
Quarter ended 30th June, 1887 ...	606	53	8 · 7
Quarter ended 30th September, 1887 ...	1922	128	6 · 6
Month of October, 1887	1291	93	7 · 2
TOTALS	4282	315	7 · 3

4th November, 1887.

LONDON:
PRINTED BY
JOHN BALE AND SONS,
GREAT TITCHFIELD STREET,
OXFORD STREET, W.