

**On hygrolgy, hygrometry, and their connexion with the phenomena
observed in the atmosphere / by J. De Luc.**

Contributors

Luc, J. A. de (Jean André), 1727-1817.
Royal College of Physicians of London

Publication/Creation

London : G. Sidney, 1812.

Persistent URL

<https://wellcomecollection.org/works/hbvbn6mb>

Provider

Royal College of Physicians

License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by Royal College of Physicians, London. The original may be consulted at Royal College of Physicians, London. This material has been provided by Royal College of Physicians, London. The original may be consulted at Royal College of Physicians, London. where the originals may be consulted. This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection
183 Euston Road
London NW1 2BE UK
T +44 (0)20 7611 8722
E library@wellcomecollection.org
<https://wellcomecollection.org>

(6)

ON
HYGROLOGY, HYGROMETRY,
AND
THEIR CONNEXION

WITH THE

Phænomena observed in the Atmosphere.

~~~~~  
By J. DE LUC, Esq. F. R. S.  
~~~~~

London :

PRINTED BY G. SIDNEY, NORTHUMBERLAND-STREET,
STRAND.

—
1812.

ON

HYGROMETRY,

AND

THEIR CONNECTION

WITH THE

PHENOMENA OBSERVED IN THE ATMOSPHERE.

BY A. DELUC, ESQ. F.R.S.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY G. ALLEN, NORTH-MONKTON-STREET.

STAND.

1812.

ON
 HYGROLOGY, HYGROMETRY,
 AND
 THEIR CONNEXION

WITH THE

Phenomena observed in the Atmosphere.*

IN the third part of my paper on the *electric column*, published in your Number 124, for December, 1810, where I have considered that instrument as an *aerial electroscope*, I have shown the importance of studying all the *atmospheric phenomena*, before a final decision could be obtained of the question agitated for some time, on the *nature of water*; whether it is a *compound* or a *simple substance*; a question which embraces the whole *theory of chemistry*. Especially I hope to have made it evident in that paper, that, since *atmospheric phenomena* are to be considered in the solution of the above question, we ought to study particularly all those of the *electric fluid* in the atmosphere; to which we might be led by the phenomena of the *aerial electroscope*, provided we did not connect them with arbitrary hypotheses, nor forget to take into consideration the *nature of the electric fluid*, which, from the great phenomena of *lightning* and *thunder*, has evidently a great share in *meteorological appearances*. My papers, Sir,

Atmospheric phenomena important to the knowledge of the nature of water :

and particularly those connected with electricity.

* From Nicholson's Philosophical Journal, vol. XXXIII.

in the last numbers of your Journal, were destined to show, from our own experiments and atmospherical observations, what are the *nature* of the *electric fluid*, and its interference in meteorological phenomena ; and I now come again to the same subject, under another point of view.

Rain not from moisture in the air :

but the ponderable part of the air itself.

Grounds of the conclusion.

Can the hygrometer be depended on ?

This an important question.

Progress made in the inquiry.

1. My observations of the *aerial electroscope*, published in your No. 124, show, that the changes in the phenomena exhibited by this instrument have no connexion with the state of *moisture* in the *ambient air*. I proved also, in the same paper, this important point in meteorology, that *rain* does not proceed from a *moisture* actually existing in the atmosphere. This, if it be certain, overturns the new *theory of chemistry* ; for thus *rain* cannot proceed from any other cause than that of a *decomposition* of the *atmospheric air* itself, a fluid *sui generis*, the *ponderable* part of which must be *water*.

2. But this conclusion rested on the indications of the *hygrometer*, Mr. De Saussure's observations, and my own, on high mountains ; in the very region of the atmosphere we saw the *clouds* forming around us, and pouring *rain*, while an instant before our *hygrometers* testified, that there was very little *moisture* in the *air*. But here a question arises : is the *hygrometer* an instrument to be depended upon, for the purpose of indicating the real quantity of *moisture*, or *evaporated water*, mixed with the *air*, in the place where it is observed ?

3. This, Sir, is a very important question, as well in natural, as in experimental philosophy ; and I wish, through your valuable Journal, to attract the attention of your readers to this instrument. I had very little hope of success on this point, when I wrote my preceding papers in your Journal ; because, from a circumstance which I shall explain hereafter, none of my *hygrometers* could be found ; but it is not the case now.

4. I had already made some progress in the correspondent researches of the indications of the *hygrometer*, and the phenomena of *rain* and *fair weather*, when, in 1786, I published in London my work, *Idées sur la Météorologie** ; but I had carried them much farther, when I delivered to the Royal Society my papers on *hygrometry* and *hygrometry*, published

* This work may be had of Messrs. Dulau and Co, booksellers in Soho Square.

in the *Phil. Transactions* for 1790 and 1791 ; the subjects of which I shall here shortly explain, for those of your readers who do not possess the *Phil. Trans.*

5. There is no physical instrument, the name of which terminates in *meter*, as used for measuring the *intensity* of the cause acting upon it, so deserving that name, as the *hygrometer* described in these papers ; for this instrument alone has the property of measuring the *whole extent* of the cause which influences it ; which *extent* is comprised between two natural and opposite *extreme points*, one of which I shall first describe : it is *extreme dryness*, or absence of all *moisture* ; which, therefore, is an absolute O. I have proved, in the above papers to the Royal Society, that this point is effectually obtained, by placing the *hygrometer* in a close vessel, filled previously with a sufficient quantity of fresh calcined *lime*, taken *red-hot* from the kiln.

The hygrometer a perfect measurer of moisture in the air.

Point of extreme dryness.

6. The principle which led me to this method is, that, *evaporation* being produced by *heat*, if *red-heat* is not destructive of a *hygroscopic body*, it must occasion the *evaporation* of all the *uncombined water* the latter contains in its pores. And by previous experiments on various bodies of that kind, I found, that *lime*, passing from *red-heat* to *extreme moisture*, increased in proportion of nearly *half* its *weight*. I fixed therefore, upon *lime*, and I employed a large vessel, which I filled with *red-hot lime*. When it was cool, that vessel having at the top small openings for introducing the *hygrometers*, (after which they were closed, and opened only for taking them out,) I took thus the point O on a great number of various sorts of *hygrometers*, of which I shall speak hereafter. I have described this vessel in the *Phil. Transactions* ; it is cylindrical, 1 foot diameter, and 3 feet high ; I have it still, and when I place in it one of the *hygrometers*, the O of which had been fixed in it 10 years ago, I do not find any sensible difference in this point. Thus, therefore, the point of *extreme dryness* is perfectly ascertained.

Principle on which the ascertaining it is founded.

Not varied by time.

7. As to the opposite point, that of *extreme moisture*, I have proved in the same paper, that it was surely obtained by immersing the *hygrometer* into *water* ; where it soon attains a point, beyond which it does not go, whatever length of time it remains

Point of extreme moisture.

Scale.

remains there. This point I have called 100, and the scale is divided into 100 parts.

Inquiry after the fittest substance for hygrometers.

8. Another important object treated in the same paper, and which occasioned me much labour, was, of what substance the *hygrometer* should be constructed. On this particular point I related a long series of experiments, occasioned by the first results I obtained by trying many kinds of *animal* and *vegetable* substances: some of which could be used in thin *threads*, torn in the *length* of their *fibres*; and also in thin *slips* cut *across* the *fibres*. Now, I found, that when used in the *length* of the *fibres*, their *lengthening* by *moisture* *decreased*, and at last they were even *shortened*, while the same substances cut *across* the *fibres* continued to *lengthen*: which at first embarrassed me very much*.

Exp. to find whether substances cut lengthwise imbibed moisture while shortening.

9. I could not decide immediately from these observations, whether the substances taken in *length* continued to imbibe *moisture*, while, however, their *length* was *decreasing*; and in order to ascertain this necessary point, I contrived a vessel, described in the same paper. In that vessel I enclosed together several pairs of *hygrometers*, made of the same substances; in one, it was used in the *length*, and in the other *across* the *fibres*; and a *beam*, indicating the 500th part of a grain, to which I

Reason why the substance should be cut across the grain.

* The reason of the difference in the successive expansion by moisture of the same fibrous substances, taken in the *length* and *across* their *fibres*, proceeds from the nature of these substances. The main *fibres* in their *length* are united by *fibrils*, which are seen when we split these bodies. These small *fibres* form with the larger ones a sort of *meshes*, similar to those of a *net*. The first effect of *moisture* is on the *longitudinal* *fibres*, which it *lengthens*; but when it penetrates the *meshes*, it *widens* them, and thus *shortens* the body; as the *length* of a *net* is *lessened* by stretching it *across*. *Moisture* therefore acts in two opposite ways on the *fibrous* substances taken in *length*, differently in its progress on the same substance, and differently also in different substances. And besides, the whole lengthening is very small in all of them. Now, one of these effects is suppressed by taking the same substances *across* the *fibres*, namely, that which acts on the *length* of the latter; there remains only that which acts on the *breadth* of the *meshes*, which, if not absolutely proportional to the increase of *moisture*, is never in an opposite sense. Besides, there is a great gain with respect to the extent of the lengthening, and therefore of the *degrees* of the *hygrometer*; for instance, a *slip* of *whalebone*, by passing from *extreme dryness* to *extreme moisture*, increases nearly *one ninth* in *length*.

suspended

suspended very thin *shavings* of the same substances as the enclosed *hygrometers*; which *shavings* indicated, by the increase of their *weight*, the *weight* of the *water* which penetrated them. I had a *lime-vessel* by which I first produced *extreme dryness* in the vessel containing the instruments; and when I had observed them in that state, and taken off the vessel containing the lime, I had also a manner of increasing *moisture* by degrees in that of the instruments, observing at each step the motions of the *hygrometers*, and the increase of weight of the *shavings*.

10. The general results of this experiment were the follow- **Results.**
ing:—1. That substances taken in *length* continue to imbibe *moisture*, though they cease to *lengthen*, and some even begin to *shorten*. 2. That *slips* cut across the *fibres* continue to *lengthen* so long as the *moisture* increases. 3. That the *slip* of **Whalebone preferable.**
whalebone follows very nearly in its *lengthening* the rate of the increase of *moisture*, indicated by the increase of *weight* in its *shavings*. From this last result, and from the great elasticity of this substance, which makes it always sensibly return to the same *length* with the same *degree* of *moisture*, I fixed on a *slip* of *whalebone* for my *hygrometer*.

11. Such was the point which I had attained, when I delivered my papers to the Royal Society; thus concluded by the **The instrument little known:**
determination of an absolute and comparable *hygrometer*, which was wanting in the set of meteorological instruments commonly observed: but by an unlucky circumstance, it still remains little known, and thus enters very seldom into the considerations concerning meteorological systems. I had directed, in the construction of that instrument, a very able German instrument-maker in London, Mr. Haas; but after he had sold a few, he was engaged to go to Portugal, with a pension from the government; and since that time, no other instrument-maker had undertaken to construct it. But lately a Hanoverian gentleman, Mr. Hausmann, who lives now at Cumberland lodge, near Windsor, seeing that it was a very important instrument for meteorology, has undertaken its construction, and having succeeded, he is disposed to make it for those experimental philosophers, who may wish to have it. **but now may be bought.**

12. So far, however, as may be seen in the above account of **The quantity of water in air answering to**
these experiments, I had only obtained a *ratio* between the **quantities**

given degrees of the hygrometer still remained to be found.

quantities of moisture, and the degrees of my hygrometer; or what part each degree was of the whole: but I had not obtained a knowledge of the absolute quantity of evaporated water, which, in a given bulk of air, corresponded to these degrees; a knowledge very essential in the investigation of the cause of rain. I saw that this was at least necessary for obtaining more certainty in meteorological conclusions. I relied in this respect on Mr. De Saussure's experiments, as I had not yet had time to undertake them myself; but I thought then to repeat the same experiments, for the following reasons.

Mr. De Saussure's experiments objected to.

13. Mr. De Saussure had made these experiments with his *hair-hygrometer*, which was so dissimilar to mine in the rate of *lengthening* with the same *increases of moisture*, that his results could not be immediately applied to my instrument. But especially, he had made all these observations in the course of one day; so that he could only obtain a few immediate points of comparison, whence he deduced a general law of the correspondence of the *degrees of his hygrometer* with the *quantities of evaporated water* in a given *bulk of air*. This was a first reason why some natural philosophers did not admit the results of his experiments. There were also some other reasons, which I shall hereafter mention: but these results were so important in meteorology, as he himself explained, that I resolved to repeat the same experiments in such a manner, as to remove all the objections, which I clearly saw could only affect the exactness of his experiments, but not their main results. I shall now mention all these objections, and the manner in which I proposed to remove them.

The author resolved to repeat them.

1st. objection.

14. The first objection, as I have said above, was the short time employed in his experiments, to which he had been obliged by the nature of his vessel: I therefore wanted to use a vessel in which I could prolong these operations as long as I should find it necessary. A second objection had been made

2nd. objection.

against the manner in which he first produced *extreme dryness* in his vessel, which was by new-calcined *salt of tartar*; a substance which has *chemical affinities* with *water*, and might absorb *air* with it: I wanted therefore to use new-calcined *lime*, as I had used it for fixing the point of *extreme dryness* on my *hygrometers*. Lastly, there was an objection against the manner by which he had determined the *quantities of evaporated*

3d. objection.

water

water in his vessel: it certainly could not be very exact; but it was sufficiently so, for the final and most important conclusions of a first attempt of these experiments. However, these objections had rendered the greatest number of experimental philosophers inattentive to this great step concerning meteorology, so that it was almost forgotten. This was my first motive for undertaking the same experiments with the precautions above explained.

15. I found this attempt much more difficult than I had expected; for it cost me more than two years in useless trials, for obtaining, first, a vessel which would remain *air-tight* during all the time that these experiments should require. At last, however, I succeeded, and the experiments themselves took me afterward more than one year. These experiments are related in a work which I published at Paris, in 1803, under the title of *Traité élémentaire sur les Fluides expansibles*: but on account of the present circumstances of Europe, and this work being in French, a few copies only are come to England. This, Sir, makes me desirous to consign to your Journal a short account of these experiments.

The experiments difficult.

Related in a French work.

16. My purpose was to ascertain what quantities of *evaporated water* in a known *space* of *air* corresponded to each *degree* of my *hygrometer*; and I determined, that this *space* should be one *cubic foot*. My first success in overcoming the difficulties was that of obtaining a vessel, which would remain *air-tight* during the whole course of these experiments. I found, that no vessel could be rendered *air-tight* so long, which had a large opening at the top; and that therefore this opening should be only what was necessary to introduce the instruments into it. I then procured a *glass vessel*, about 23 inches high, and $8\frac{1}{2}$ in diameter, the opening of which at the top was only $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter. I measured the *capacity* of this vessel; it was not quite one *cubic foot*; but I ascertained the differences to which I was to proportionate the quantities of *evaporated water*, so that they might be as 1 *grain* in a *cubic foot*.

Object of the experiments.

Vessel for making them in.

17. Before that time, I had found a sure method of ascertaining the quantities of *water* successively evaporated in a vessel, without opening it; in order to prevent any exchange of the internal with the external air, lest the latter should introduce

Method of ascertaining the quantity of water evaporated in a vessel with certainty.

duce some moisture with it. This method was to enclose equal quantities of *water* in very thin and small *glass bubbles*, with a neck drawn to a very small point, easily sealed with the flame of a taper; and before this last operation, I determined the quantity of *water* that each contained, by a beam which indicated 1000th part of a *grain*. These *glass bubbles* were placed in the upper part of the vessel on a circular stand, and I had, outwards at the top, a mechanism for breaking them without opening the vessel. This method I applied to the glass vessel above mentioned.

Mr. de Saussure showed, that rain is not condensed by cold.

Thermometer enclosed in the vessel.

Extreme moisture produced by a few grains of water to a cubic foot of air. The experiments confined to spring and autumn for uniformity of temperature.

Two series of experiments made.

18. Such were the means which I employed for ascertaining the quantities of *evaporated water* in a *cubic foot* of air, acting on the enclosed *hygrometer*. But these experiments required another condition, which Mr. de Saussure had already introduced in them: because those natural philosophers, who attributed *rain* to the *moisture* in the atmosphere, had supposed, that this *moisture* was condensed by *cold*. Mr. De Saussure had sufficiently proved, that it was not the case, by observing the effects of the changes of *temperature* on his enclosed *hygrometer*. I was therefore to introduce the same condition in my experiment, and for this purpose I enclosed also in my vessel a *thermometer* with Fahrenheit's scale. Lastly, as I intended to make the same observations on every successive *grain* of *evaporated water*, which would take a very long time; having previously found that *extreme moisture* was produced in the vessel by a small number of *grains* of *water*; and even that they could not undergo great changes in the degree of *heat*, without some *water* being deposited in the sides of the vessel: this obliged me, in order to obtain the same temperatures in the observations of the effects of each successive *grain* of *evaporated water* in the vessel, to make these experiments only in the spring and the autumn; because, in these seasons, I could obtain naturally almost every day in my room the temperatures of 50, 55, 60 of Fahrenheit, on which I fixed for all these experiments. By this method I was sure, that the temperature would be always the same in every part of the vessel it being that of the air in the room.

19. I made two series of these experiments; one beginning in the autumn of 1795, and ending in January, 1796; the other beginning in the autumn of 1796, and terminating in February,

February, 1797: each of them began by producing *extreme dryness* in the vessel, and proceeded by the *evaporation* of successive grains of water; observing afterward the changes produced on the *hygrometer* at the three fixed *temperatures*. In the course of these experiments I had a proof, that the vessel remained *air-tight*. For in order to ascertain the effects of the increase of water at the three temperatures, I consecrated many days, even weeks, to the observation of each step, by repeating it many times; which made both sets of experiments last near 6 months: however, I found no sensible difference in these observations from the first to the last day, with every quantity of water; and in ending them, I had an immediate proof, which it would be too long here to explain, that the *aqueous vapour*, which had been produced in the vessels, had added its expansibility to that of the *air* originally enclosed in it.

The vessel remained air-tight.

The experiments many times repeated.

20. This, I think, was a complete determination of the correspondence between the *degrees* of my *hygrometer*, and the *quantities* of *evaporated water* in one *cubic foot* of *air*, at the observed *degrees* of *heat*. I then undertook to derive from these experiments general rules of *hygrometry*. These deductions begin at p. 325, of the 2d vol. of the above-mentioned work; they are given in 13 successive tables, of which I shall only mention two.

Rules of hygrometry deduced from the experiments.

21. In *table ii.* are united the results of both experiments, (which differ very little from each other), reduced to their mean terms. Each set began at the point of *extreme dryness* in the vessel; a point where the *hygrometer* stood at 0 in both. At that point, no *moisture* being in the vessel, the change of *heat* from 50 to 60 of *Fahr.* produced no change in the *hygrometer*. During both sets of experiments, the limits of the *evaporation* in the vessel were the same: 5 *grains* only of *water* could remain *evaporated* at the temperature of 50; 6 *grains* at that of 55, and 7 *grains* at 60. Beyond these quantities, at the respective *temperatures*, a certain quantity of *water* was deposited on the sides of the vessel in the form of *dew*; but when this effect took place at the temperature of 50, the *dew* was dissipated when the *heat* of my room came to 55; and when it happened at 55, it was dissipated when the *heat* in the room arrived at 60.

Limits of evaporation at different temperatures.

22. Thus therefore we have the natural *limits* of the quantities

ties

ties of *evaporated water* that can subsist in one *cubic foot* of air with these three degrees of heat ; but by the rate of its progress, this correspondence may be continued to higher and lower temperatures, as I shall explain, after the following indication of the immediate effects observed on the *hygrometer* of each increase of 1 *grain* at the three *temperatures*. In the first two columns of the table, the points of the *hygrometer* cease to be indicated at the period when *dew* appeared on the side of the vessel.

Table of moisture indicated by the hygrometer at different temperatures.	Grs. of water in 1 cubic foot.	Points of the	Points of the	Points of the
		hygr. at temp. 50°.	hygr. at temp. 55°.	hygr. at temp. 60°.
		0	0	0
	1	15.2	14.5	13.9
	2	29.9	28.5	27.6
	3	51.6	47.2	43.2
	4	74.9	64.1	55.
	5	89.8	78.6	68.3
	6		93.9	82.1
	7			96.6

Remarks on this table.

23. This table shows the progress of the effects on the *hygrometer* of the *evaporation* of the successive *grains* of water. These increases were stopped, as I have said above, by some water being deposited on the sides of the vessel. This effect took place for the 6th *grain* with the temperature 50°, and for the 7th *grain* at 55° : however, this happened only when the *grains* were entirely evaporated, during which time the *hygrometer* had moved ; but there was no fixed point to be obtained correspondent to the new *grain* of *water*, since a part of it at last was deposited on the sides of the vessel.

Account of the other tables in the work.

24. The tables which follow this, in my work, serve to combine these results, by the rules of interpolation, for obtaining the intermediate terms not given by the experiments ; and also to continue the same series, on one side, up to 98 of the *thermometer*, and on the other, for a particular purpose, down to 0. The table ix., which is the result of all these combinations, is constructed in such a manner, as to afford immediately the answer to the following questions, very important in meteorology.

Questions are—

I. A point having been observed on the *hygrometer* in the open

open air, what are the quantities of *evaporated water* in one *cubic foot* of that *air*, at any given *temperature* ?

answered by table ix.

II. The points of the *hygrometer* and *thermometer* having been observed, what is the quantity of *evaporated water* in one *cubic foot* of that part of the atmosphere ?

III. The points of both instruments having been observed, to what degree ought the *thermometer* to fall, in order that the *hygrometer* should arrive in that *air* at 100 ; which point it must attain before there is any precipitation of *water* ?

25. The answers to these questions, from the immediate results of my experiments, led to this first conclusion ; that no diminution of *heat* in the atmosphere could occasion in it the precipitation of such a quantity of *water* as to produce *clouds* pouring *rain* ; which confirmed me in the opinion already expressed in my work, *Idées sur la Météorologie*, that the *aqueous vapour*, constantly ascending in the atmosphere, ceased in great part to act on the *hygrometer*, being converted into an *aeriform fluid*, namely, the *atmospheric air*, and that *clouds* and *rain* were produced by the decomposition of this *fluid*.

No degree of cold in the air can produce clouds and rain.

Aqueous vapour ceases to act on the hygrometer from its being converted into atmospheric air.

26. Such was the conclusion of all the above *hygroscopic* experiments ; and with respect to *atmospheric* phenomena, it coincided with the observations of Mr. de Saussure and myself in the high regions of the atmosphere. Having both long inhabited our mountainous country near the Alps, we had separately followed the same meteorological observations with our *hygrometers*, and we had absolutely ascertained these two

Conclusions from hygroscopic experiments agreed with the atmospheric phenomena of the Alps.

points.—1. That the more we ascend in the atmosphere, the *drier* the *air* is observed ; and that even, in clear weather, it is *drier* in the night than in the day. 3. That *clouds*, *rain*, *hail*, and *thunder*, are produced in certain *strata* of the atmosphere which were *clear* a moment before, and in which one *cubic foot* of *air* did not contain above *two grains* of *water*. Having both separately, at different times, and also in different parts of the mountains, made the same observations, and published them separately, I cannot suppose, that their results can be contested. Thus it is certain, that *rain* is *not* produced by a *moisture* existing in the atmosphere ; and consequently that it proceeds from a *decomposition* of the *air* itself.

The same deductions formed separately by the author and Mr. de Saussure.

27. From what I have said so far, it may be judged, that the *Proofs*, that whole

the modern
theory of che-
mistry is erro-
neous.

whole of this work was intended to prove, how erroneous was the modern *theory of chemistry*, the foundation of which is to suppose, that *water* is a compound of *two* substances, called by its authors *hidrogen* and *oxigen*, and that the *atmosphere* is principally composed of two *fluids* called by them *hidrogen air* and *oxigen air*; a system in which, for the explanation of the greatest atmospheric phenomena, which ought to have been their first objects of comparison, those of *clouds* and *rain*, they had been reduced to suppose a *condensation* of the *aqueous vapour* by *cold*, which supposition the above experiments prove to be absolutely erroneous. This is the only point, which I have here considered; and indeed it is sufficient to overturn the whole theory: but in other parts of the work I entered into the examination of all its parts, beginning with the original experiments from which the *composition* of *water* had been concluded; and in analysing these experiments I made it manifest, that, far from being satisfactory, there were many unwarrantable hypotheses to be made, in order to connect the *facts* with the *conclusion*.

Berthollet's at-
tempt to de-
fend it.

28. When my work had been published at Paris, Mr. BERTHOLLET, one of the authors of that *chemical theory*, attempted, in the *Annales de Chimie*, and in another French Journal, to defend the only resource of that theory, namely, that *rain* was the effect of the *condensation* by *cold* of the *aqueous vapour* existing in the atmosphere. He acknowledged however two points, first, that my experiments with respect to the effects of *evaporated water* on the *hygrometer* at different temperatures had been made with an uncommon accuracy; and that I had thus demonstrated the error of those, who attributed *evaporation* to a *dissolution* of *water* by *air*. These were two important concessions; but being loth to abandon his theory, and totally unacquainted with meteorological phænomena, he attempted again, as it was absolutely necessary for the support of his theory, to explain *rain* by the *cold* condensating the *aqueous vapour* in the atmosphere; thinking that by transporting the condensation to *very high regions* of the air, no objection could be made from immediate facts: but he was mistaken; since Mr. de Saussure and myself had proved, from immediate facts, that the upper regions of the atmosphere are *dryer* than those that we can attain.

29. I answered, in the *Annales de Chimie*, to every part of Mr. Berthollet's objections ; and neither himself, nor any other experimental philosopher, has ever replied ; while, on the contrary, many have abandoned the fundamental part of that theory, the *composition of water* : and indeed, one of its first inventors, with whom, having seen his experiments, I had acquiesced in his conclusion, and for a time maintained it, I mean Dr. Priestley, made me himself abandon it, on account of new chemical results obtained in his experiments, which he opposed to Mr. Berthollet.

Answered by
the author.

The compo-
sition of water
given up by
one of its first
supporters.

30. I have been induced, Sir, to give you this abstract of a work little known in England, in order the more to fix the attention of natural philosophers on the *hygrometer*, of which I have thus proved the importance in natural science. It is difficult to abstain from making *theories* on the first phenomena we observe of a new kind, or from admitting those which appear probable to us ; and I have said above, that I had at first acquiesced in that of the *composition of water* : but by the progress of experiments, new facts are discovered, and correct the *theories* too soon admitted. My long study of every branch of meteorology, being united with the experiments related in this paper, which indeed were directed to that object, have demonstrated to me this great point in natural philosophy—that it is impossible to attribute *rain* to a *moisture* actually existing in the atmosphere ; which alone entirely refutes the new *chemical theory*. Moreover, all the experiments on the combinations of *gasses* with other bodies concur to show, that the *ponderable* part of these fluids is *water*. Lastly, in the above mentioned work I proved, as I have done succinctly, Sir, in my paper published in your Journal for December, 1810, that, when we consider the atmospheric air as an *aeriform fluid*, though never mixed but with a very small quantity of *aqueous vapour*, all the *atmospheric* phenomena are explained.

We are too
hasty in form-
ing hypo-
theses.

I may conclude, therefore, that *meteorology* makes an essential part of *natural philosophy*, and that it is not so obscure as it is commonly thought.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient, humble Servant,

J. A. DE LUC.

Windsor.

29. I have, in the same way, with every part of the
 the composition of water; and I have, in the same way,
 many have spent much time and labour in the
 the composition of water; and I have, in the same way,
 with whom, having seen the experiments, I had a great deal
 his conclusion, and for a time maintained it. I have, in the
 made me himself believe it, on account of the new
 same contained in his experiments, which he opposed to his

29. I have, in the same way, with every part of the
 work has been known in England, in order that it may be
 attention of natural philosophers to the water, and I have
 I have thus proved the importance of natural science. I
 difficult to obtain from nature the truth of the phenomena
 we observe of a new kind of them, and I have, in the same way,
 year probably, and I have, in the same way, in the same way,
 neglected in that of a new kind of them, and I have, in the same way,
 great of experiments, new facts are discovered, and connect the
 through two new admitted, a few years ago, of every branch
 of meteorology, being united with the experiments related in
 this paper, which have been directed to the object, have de-
 monstrated to me the great value of natural philosophy—this is
 is impossible to attribute to a water, actually existing
 in the atmosphere; what alone exists, is the new, the
 mixture, all the experiments on the composition
 of gases with other bodies, come to show, that the por-
 tion of gas of these fluids is water. I say, in the above men-
 tioned work I proved, as I have done recently, 29, in my paper
 published in your Journal for December, 1810, that, when we
 consider the atmosphere as an aqueous fluid, though never
 mixed but with a very small quantity of oxygen vapour, all
 the atmospheric phenomena are explained.

I may conclude, therefore, that meteorology makes an
 essential part of natural philosophy, and that it is not so ob-
 scure as it is commonly thought.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your obedient, humble servant,

J. A. DE LUC.

Windsor.