

Essay III : Of food, and particularly of feeding the poor.

Contributors

Rumford, Benjamin, Graf von, 1753-1814.
University of Glasgow. Library

Publication/Creation

[London?] : [publisher not identified], [between 1790 and 1799?]

Persistent URL

<https://wellcomecollection.org/works/zut6cfrd>

Provider

University of Glasgow

License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by The University of Glasgow Library. The original may be consulted at The University of Glasgow Library. where the originals may be consulted. This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection
183 Euston Road
London NW1 2BE UK
T +44 (0)20 7611 8722
E library@wellcomecollection.org
<https://wellcomecollection.org>

61-1542
RQ 1770/193
UNIVERSITY
LIBRARY

CONTENTS

OF THE THIRD ESSAY.

INTRODUCTION. - Page 191

CHAP. I.

Great Importance of the Subject under Consideration.

—Probability that Water acts a much more important Part in Nutrition than has hitherto been generally imagined.—Surprisingly small Quantity of solid Food necessary, when properly prepared, for all the Purposes of Nutrition.—Great Importance of the Art of Cookery.—Barley remarkably nutritive when properly prepared.—The Importance of culinary Processes for preparing Food shewn from the known Utility of a Practice common in some Parts of Germany of cooking for Cattle.—Difficulty of introducing a Change of Cookery into common Use.—Means that may be employed for that Purpose. - - 193

CONTENTS

CHAP. II.

Of the Pleasure of Eating, and of the Means that may be employed for increasing it. Page 206

CHAP. III.

Of the different Kinds of Food furnished to the Poor in the House of Industry at Munich, with an Account of the Cost of them.—Of the Expence of providing the same Kinds of Food in Great Britain, as well at the present high Prices of Provisions, as at the ordinary Prices of them.—Of the various Improvements of which these different Kinds of cheap Food are capable. - 209


CHAP. IV.

Of the Small Expence at which the Bavarian Soldiers are fed.—Details of their Housekeeping, founded on actual Experiment.—An Account of the Fuel expended by them in Cooking. - 223

CHAP. V.

Of the great Importance of making Soldiers eat together in regular Messes.—The Influence of such economical Arrangements extends even to the moral Character of those who are the Objects of them.—Of the Expence of feeding Soldiers in Messes.—

61-P342.



of the THIRD ESSAY.

Messes.—Of the surprising Smallness of the Expence of feeding the Poor at Munich.—Specific Proposals respecting the feeding of the Poor in Great Britain, with Calculations of the Expence, at the present Prices of Provisions. Page 241

CHAP. VI.

Of INDIAN CORN.—It affords the cheapest and most nourishing Food known.—Proofs that it is more nourishing than Rice.—Different Ways of preparing or cooking it.—Computation of the Expence of feeding a Person with it, founded on Experiment.—Approved Receipt for making an INDIAN PUDDING. 248

CHAP. VII.

Receipts for preparing various Kinds of cheap Food.
—Of MACCARONI.—Of POTATOES.—Approved Receipts for boiling Potatoes.—Of Potatoe Puddings.—Of Potatoe Dumplins.—Of boiled Potatoes with a Sauce.—Of Potatoe Salad.—Of BARLEY—Is much more nutritious than Wheat.—Barley Meal, a good Substitute for Pearl Barley, for making Soups.—General Directions for preparing cheap Soups.—Receipt for the cheapest Soup that can be made.—Of SAMP—Method of preparing it—Is an excellent Substitute for Bread.—Of brown Soup.—Of RYE BREAD. 278

THE THIRD ESTATE

Of the history of the third estate, the most interesting part is that which relates to the period of its formation. It is a subject of great importance, and one which has attracted the attention of many writers. The history of the third estate is a subject of great importance, and one which has attracted the attention of many writers.

CHAPTER IV

Of the history of the third estate, the most interesting part is that which relates to the period of its formation. It is a subject of great importance, and one which has attracted the attention of many writers. The history of the third estate is a subject of great importance, and one which has attracted the attention of many writers.

CHAPTER V

Of the history of the third estate, the most interesting part is that which relates to the period of its formation. It is a subject of great importance, and one which has attracted the attention of many writers. The history of the third estate is a subject of great importance, and one which has attracted the attention of many writers.

E S S A Y III.

OF

F O O D;

AND PARTICULARLY OF

F E E D I N G THE P O O R,

III Y A 2 3

E S S A Y III.

INTRODUCTION.

IT is a common saying, that necessity is the mother of invention; and nothing is more strictly or more generally true. It may even be shown, that most of the successive improvements in the affairs of men in a state of civil society, of which we have any authentic records, have been made under the pressure of necessity; and it is no small consolation, in times of general alarm, to reflect upon the probability that, upon such occasions, useful discoveries will result from the united exertions of those who, either from motives of fear, or sentiments of benevolence, labour to avert the impending evil.

The alarm in this country at the present period *, on account of the high price of corn, and the danger of a scarcity, has turned the attention of the Public to a very important subject, *the investigation of the science of nutrition*;—a subject so curious in itself, and so highly interesting to mankind, that it seems truly astonishing it should have been so long neglected:—but in the manner in which it is now taken up, both by the House of Commons, and the Board of Agriculture, there is great reason to

* November 1795.

hope that it will receive a thorough scientific examination ; and if this should be the case, I will venture to predict, that the important discoveries, and improvements, which must result from these enquiries, will render the alarms which gave rise to them for ever famous in the annals of civil society.

C H A P. I.

Great Importance of the Subject under Consideration.

—Probability that Water acts a much more important Part in Nutrition than has hitherto been generally imagined.—Surprisingly small Quantity of solid Food necessary, when properly prepared, for all the Purposes of Nutrition.—Great Importance of the Art of Cookery.—Barley remarkably nutritive when properly prepared.—The Importance of culinary Processes for preparing Food shewn from the known Utility of a Practice common in some Parts of Germany of cooking for Cattle.—Difficulty of introducing a Change of Cookery into common Use.—Means that may be employed for that Purpose.

THERE is, perhaps, no operation of Nature, which falls under the cognizance of our senses, more surprising, or more curious, than the nourishment and growth of plants, and animals; and there is certainly no subject of investigation more interesting to mankind.—As providing subsistence is, and ever must be, an object of the first concern in all countries, any discovery or improvement by which

the procuring of good and wholesome food can be facilitated, must contribute very powerfully to increase the comforts, and promote the happiness of society.

That our knowledge in regard to the science of nutrition is still very imperfect, is certain; but, I think, there is reason to believe, that we are upon the eve of some very important discoveries relative to that mysterious operation.

Since it has been known that Water is not a simple element, but a *compound*, and capable of being decomposed, much light has been thrown upon many operations of nature which formerly were wrapped up in obscurity. In vegetation, for instance, it has been rendered extremely probable, that water acts a much more important part than was formerly assigned to it by philosophers.—That it serves not merely as the *vehicle* of nourishment, but constitutes at least one part, and probably an essential part, of the *Food* of plants.—That it is decomposed by them, and contributes *materially* to their growth;—and that manures serve rather to prepare the water for decomposition, than to form of themselves—substantially, and directly—the nourishment of the vegetables.

Now, a very clear analogy may be traced, between the vegetation and growth of plants, and the digestion and nourishment of animals; and as water is indispensably necessary in both processes, and as in one of them, (vegetation,) it appears evidently to serve as *Food*;—why should we not suppose it may serve as food in the other?—There

is,

is, in my opinion, abundant reason to suspect that this is really the case; and I shall now briefly state the grounds upon which this opinion is founded.— Having been engaged for a considerable length of time in providing Food for the Poor at Munich, I was naturally led, as well by curiosity as motives of economy, to make a great variety of experiments upon that subject; and I had not proceeded far in my operations, before I began to perceive that they were very important;—even much more so than I had imagined.

The difference in the apparent goodness, or the palatableness, and apparent nutritiouness of the same kinds of Food, when prepared or cooked in different ways, struck me very forcibly; and I constantly found that the richness or *quality* of a soup depended more upon a proper choice of the ingredients, and a proper management of the fire in the combination of those ingredients, than upon the quantity of solid nutritious matter employed;—much more upon the art and skill of the cook, than upon the amount of the sums laid out in the market.

I found likewise, that the nutritiouness of a soup, or its power of satisfying hunger, and affording nourishment, appeared always to be in proportion to its apparent richness or palatableness.

But what surprised me not a little, was the discovery of the very small quantity of *solid Food*, which, when properly prepared, will suffice to satisfy hunger, and support life and health; and

the very trifling expence at which the stoutest, and most laborious man may, in any country, be fed.

After an experience of more than five years in feeding the Poor at Munich, during which time every experiment was made that could be devised, not only with regard to the choice of the articles used as Food, but also in respect to their different combinations and proportions; and to the various ways in which they could be prepared or cooked; it was found that the *cheapest*, most *savoury*, and most *nourishing* Food that could be provided, was a soup composed of *pearl barley*, *pease*, *potatoes*, *cuttings of fine wheaten bread*, vinegar—salt and water, in certain proportions.

The method of preparing this soup is as follows: The water and the pearl barley are first put together into the boiler and made to boil; the pease are then added, and the boiling is continued over a gentle fire about two hours;—the potatoes are then added, (having been previously peeled with a knife, or having been boiled, in order to their being more easily deprived of their skins,) and the boiling is continued for about one hour more, during which time the contents of the boiler are frequently stirred about with a large wooden spoon, or ladle, in order to destroy the texture of the potatoes, and to reduce the soup to one uniform mass.—When this is done, the vinegar and the salt are added; and last of all, at the moment it is to be served up, the cuttings of bread.

The

The soup should never be suffered to boil, or even to stand long before it is served up after the cuttings of bread are put to it. It will, indeed, for reasons which will hereafter be explained, be best never to put the cuttings of bread into the boiler at all, but, (as is always done at Munich,) to put them into the tubs in which the soup is carried from the kitchen into the dining-hall; pouring the soup hot from the boiler upon them, and stirring the whole well together with the iron ladles used for measuring out the soup to the Poor in the hall.

It is of more importance than can well be imagined, that this bread, which is mixed with the soup, should not be boiled. It is likewise of use that it should be cut as fine or thin as possible; and if it be dry and hard, it will be so much the better.

The bread we use in Munich is what is called *femel* bread, being small loaves, weighing from two to three ounces; and as we receive this bread in donations from the bakers, it is commonly dry and hard, being that which, not being sold in time, remains on hand, and becomes stale and unsaleable; and we have found by experience, that this hard and stale bread answers for our purpose much better than any other, for it renders mastication necessary; and mastication seems very powerfully to assist in promoting digestion: it likewise *prolongs the duration of the enjoyment of eating*, a matter of very great importance indeed, and which has not hitherto been sufficiently attended to.

The

The quantity of this soup furnished to each person, at each meal, or one portion of it, (the cuttings of bread included,) is just *one Bavarian pound* in weight; and as the Bavarian pound is to the pound Avoirdupois as 1,123842 to 1,—it is equal to about nineteen ounces and nine-tenths Avoirdupois. Now, to those who know that a full pint of soup weighs no more than about sixteen ounces Avoirdupois, it will not, perhaps, at the first view, appear very extraordinary that a portion weighing near twenty ounces, and consequently making near *one pint and a quarter* of this rich, strong, savoury soup, should be found sufficient to satisfy the hunger of a grown person; but when the matter is examined narrowly, and properly analyzed, and it is found that the whole quantity of *solid food* which enters into the composition of one of these portions of soup, does not amount to quite *six ounces*, it will then appear to be almost impossible that this allowance should be sufficient.

That it is quite sufficient, however, to make a good meal for a strong healthy person, has been abundantly proved by long experience. I have even found that a soup composed of nearly the same ingredients, except the potatoes, but in different proportions, was sufficiently nutritive, and very palatable, in which only about *four ounces and three quarters* of solid Food entered into the composition of a portion weighing twenty ounces.

But this will not appear incredible to those who know, that one single spoonful of *salope*, weighing less than one quarter of an ounce, put into a
pint

pint of boiling water, forms the thickest and most nourishing soup that can be taken; and that the quantity of solid matter which enters into the composition of another very nutritive Food, *hartshorn jelly*, is not much more considerable.

The *barley* in my soup, seems to act much the same part as the *salope* in this famous restorative; and no substitute that I could ever find for it, among all the variety of corn and pulse of the growth of Europe, ever produced half the effect; that is to say, half the nourishment at the same expence. Barley may therefore be considered as the rice of Great Britain.

It requires, it is true, a great deal of boiling; but when it is properly managed, it thickens a vast quantity of water; and, as I suppose, *prepares it for decomposition*. It also gives the soup into which it enters as an ingredient, a degree of richness which nothing else can give. It has little or no taste in itself, but when mixed with other ingredients which are savoury, it renders them peculiarly grateful to the palate *.

It is a maxim, as ancient, I believe, as the time of Hippocrates, that "*whatever pleases the palate nourishes*;" and I have often had reason to think it perfectly just. Could it be clearly ascertained

* The preparation of water is, in many cases, an object of more importance than is generally imagined; particularly when it is made use of as a vehicle for conveying agreeable tastes. In making *punch*, for instance, if the water used be previously boiled two or three hours with a handful of rice, the punch made of it will be incomparably better, that is to say, more full and luscious upon the palate, than when the water is not prepared.

and

and demonstrated, it would tend to place *cookery* in a much more respectable situation among the arts than it now holds.

That the manner in which Food is prepared is a matter of real importance; and that the water used in that process acts a much more important part than has hitherto been generally imagined, is, I think, quite evident; for, it seems to me to be impossible, upon any other supposition, to account for the appearances. If the very small quantity of solid Food which enters into the composition of a portion of some very nutritive soup were to be prepared differently, and taken under some other form, that of bread, for instance; so far from being sufficient to satisfy hunger, and afford a comfortable and nutritive meal, a person would absolutely starve upon such a slender allowance; and no great relief would be derived from drinking *crude* water to fill up the void in the stomach.

But it is not merely from an observation of the apparent effects of *cookery* upon those articles which are used as Food for man, that we are led to discover the importance of these culinary processes. Their utility is proved in a manner equally conclusive and satisfactory, by the effects which have been produced by employing the same process in preparing Food for brute animals.

It is well known, that boiling the potatoes with which hogs are fed, renders them much more nutritive; and since the introduction of the new system of feeding horned cattle, that of keeping them confined in the stables all the year round, (a
method

method which is now coming fast into common use in many parts of Germany,) great improvements have been made in the art of providing nourishment for those animals; and particularly by preparing their Food, by operations similar to those of cookery; and to these improvements it is most probably owing, that stall feeding has, in that country, been so universally successful.

It has long been a practice in Germany for those who fatten bullocks for the butcher, or feed milch-cows, to give them frequently what is called a *drank* or *drink*; which is a kind of pottage, prepared differently in different parts of the country, and in the different seasons, according to the greater facility with which one or other of the articles occasionally employed in the composition of it may be procured; and according to the particular fancies of individuals. Many feeders make a great secret of the composition of their *drinks*, and some have, to my knowledge, carried their refinement so far as actually to mix brandy in them, in small quantities; and pretend to have found their advantage in adding this costly ingredient.

The articles most commonly used are, bran, oatmeal, brewers grains, mashed potatoes, mashed turnips, rye meal, and barley meal, with a large proportion of water; sometimes two or three or more of these articles are united in forming a *drink*; and of whatever ingredients the drink is composed, a large proportion of salt is always added to it.

There is, perhaps, nothing new in this method of feeding cattle with liquid mixtures, but the
manner

manner in which these drinks are now prepared in Germany is, I believe, quite new; and shows what I wish to prove, that *cooking renders Food really more nutritive.*

These drinks were formerly given cold, but it was afterwards discovered that they were more nourishing when given warm; and of late their preparation is, in many places, become a very regular culinary process. Kitchens have been built, and large boilers provided and fitted up, merely for cooking for the cattle in the stables; and I have been assured by many very intelligent farmers, who have adopted this new mode of feeding, (and have also found by my own experience,) that it is very advantageous indeed; that the drinks are evidently rendered much more nourishing and wholesome by being boiled; and that the expence of fuel, and the trouble attending this process, are amply compensated by the advantages derived from the improvement of the Food. We even find it advantageous to continue the boiling a considerable time, two or three hours, for instance; as the Food goes on to be still farther improved, the longer the boiling is continued*.

* I cannot dismiss this subject, the feeding of cattle, without just mentioning another practice common among our best farmers in Bavaria, which, I think, deserves to be known. They chop the green clover with which they feed their cattle, and mix with it a considerable quantity of chopped straw. They pretend that this rich succulent grass is of so clammy a nature, that unless it be mixed with chopped straw, hay, or some other dry fodder, cattle which are fed with it do not ruminate sufficiently. The usual proportion of the clover to the straw, is as two to one.

These

These facts seem evidently to show, that there is some very important secret with regard to nutrition, which has not yet been properly investigated; and it seems to me to be more than probable, that the number of inhabitants who may be supported in any country, upon its internal produce, depends almost as much upon the state of *the art of cookery*, as upon that of *agriculture*.—The Chinese, perhaps, understand both these arts better than any other nation.—Savages understand neither of them.

But, if cookery be of so much importance, it certainly deserves to be studied with the greatest care; and it ought particularly to be attended to in times of general alarm on account of a scarcity of provisions; for the relief which may in such cases be derived from it, is immediate and effectual, while all other resources are distant and uncertain.

I am aware of the difficulties which always attend the introduction of measures calculated to produce any remarkable change in the customs and habits of mankind; and there is perhaps no change more difficult to effect, than that which would be necessary in order to make any considerable saving in the consumption of those articles commonly used as Food; but still, I am of opinion, that such a change might, with proper management, be brought about.

There was a time, no doubt, when an aversion to potatoes was as general, and as strong, in Great Britain, and even in Ireland, as it is now in some parts of Bavaria; but this prejudice has been got over; and I am persuaded, that any national prejudice,

prejudice, however deeply rooted, may be overcome, provided proper means be used for that purpose, and time allowed for their operation.

But notwithstanding the difficulty of introducing a general use of soups throughout the country, or of any other kind of Food, however palatable, cheap, and nourishing, to which people have not been accustomed, yet these improvements might certainly be made, with great facility, in all public hospitals and work-houses, where the Poor are fed at the public expence; and the saving of provisions, (not to mention the diminution of expence,) which might be derived from this improvement, would be very important at all times, and more especially in times of general scarcity.

Another measure, still more important, and which might, I am persuaded, be easily carried into execution, is the establishment of public kitchens in all towns, and large villages, throughout the kingdom; whence, not only the Poor might be fed *gratis*, but also all the industrious inhabitants of the neighbourhood might be furnished with Food at so cheap a rate, as to be a very great relief to them at all times; and in times of general scarcity, this arrangement would alone be sufficient to prevent those public and private calamities, which never fail to accompany that most dreadful of all visitations, a famine.

The saving of Food that would result from feeding a large proportion of the inhabitants of any country from public kitchens, would be immense, and that saving would tend, immediately,
and

and most powerfully, to render provisions more plentiful and cheap,—diminish the general alarm on account of the danger of a scarcity, and prevent the hoarding up of provisions by individuals, which is often alone sufficient, without any thing else, to bring on a famine, even where there is no real scarcity: for it is not merely the *fears* of individuals which operate in these cases, and induce them to lay in a larger store of provisions than they otherwise would do; and which naturally increases the scarcity of provisions in the market, and raises their prices; but there are persons who are so lost to all the feelings of humanity, as often to speculate upon the distress of the Public, and all *their* operations effectually tend to increase the scarcity in the markets, and augment the general alarm.

But without enlarging farther in this place upon these public kitchens, and the numerous and important advantages which may in all countries be derived from them, I shall return to the interesting subjects which I have undertaken to investigate;—the science of nutrition, and the art of providing wholesome and palatable Food at a small expence.

C H A P. II.

Of the Pleasure of Eating, and of the Means that may be employed for increasing it.

WHAT has already been said upon this subject will, I flatter myself, be thought sufficient to show that, *for all the purposes of nourishment*, a much smaller quantity of solid Food will suffice than has hitherto been thought necessary; but there is another circumstance to be taken into the account, and that is, the *pleasure of eating*;—an enjoyment of which no person will consent to be deprived.

The pleasure enjoyed in eating depends first upon the agreeableness of the taste of the Food; and secondly, upon its power to affect the palate. Now there are many substances extremely cheap, by which very agreeable tastes may be given to Food; particularly when the basis or nutritive substance of the Food is tasteless; and the effect of any kind of palatable solid Food, (of meat, for instance,) upon the organs of taste, may be increased, almost indefinitely, by reducing the size of the particles of such Food, and causing it to act upon the palate by a larger surface. And if means be used to prevent its being swallowed too soon, which may be easily done by mixing with it some hard and tasteless substance, such as crumbs of bread rendered hard by toasting, or any thing else of that kind, by which a long mastication is rendered necessary,

cessary, the enjoyment of eating may be greatly increased and prolonged.

The idea of occupying a person a great while, and affording him much pleasure at the same time, in eating a small quantity of Food, may, perhaps, appear ridiculous to some; but those who consider the matter attentively, will perceive that it is very important. It is, perhaps, as much so as any thing that can employ the attention of the philosopher.

The enjoyments which fall to the lot of the bulk of mankind are not so numerous as to render an attempt to increase them superfluous. And even in regard to those who have it in their power to gratify their appetites to the utmost extent of their wishes, it is surely rendering them a very important service to show them how they may increase their pleasures without destroying their health.

If a glutton can be made to gormandize two hours upon two ounces of meat, it is certainly much better for him, than to give himself an indigestion by eating two pounds in the same time.

I was led to meditate upon this subject by mere accident. I had long been at a loss to understand how the Bavarian soldiers, who are uncommonly stout, strong, and healthy men, and who, in common with all other Germans, are remarkably fond of eating, could contrive to live upon the very small sums they expend for Food; but a more careful examination of the economy of their tables cleared up the point, and let me into a secret

which awakened all my curiosity. These soldiers, instead of being starved upon their scanty allowance, as might have been suspected, I found actually living in a most comfortable and even luxurious manner. I found that they had contrived not only to render their Food savoury and nourishing, but, what appeared to me still more extraordinary, had found out the means of increasing its action upon the organs of taste so as actually to augment, and even prolong to a most surprising degree, the enjoyment of eating.

This accidental discovery made a deep impression upon my mind, and gave a new turn to all my ideas on the subject of Food.—It opened to me a new and very interesting field for investigation and experimental inquiry, of which I had never before had a distinct view; and thenceforward my diligence in making experiments, and in collecting information relative to the manner in which Food is prepared in different countries, was redoubled.

In the following Chapter may be seen the general results of all my experiments and inquiries relative to this subject.—A desire to render this account as concise and short as possible has induced me to omit much interesting speculation which the subject naturally suggested; but the ingenuity of the reader will supply this defect, and enable him to discover the objects particularly aimed at in the experiments, even where they are not mentioned, and to compare the results of practice with the assumed theory.

C H A P. III.

Of the different Kinds of Food furnished to the Poor in the House of Industry at Munich, with an Account of the Cost of them.—Of the Expence of providing the same Kinds of Food in Great Britain, as well at the present high Prices of Provisions, as at the ordinary Prices of them.—Of the various Improvements of which these different Kinds of cheap Food are capable.

BEFORE the introduction of potatoes as Food in the House of Industry at Munich, (which was not done till last August,) the Poor were fed with a soup composed in the following manner:

S O U P N^o I.

| Ingredients. | Weight | | Cost in | | |
|---|--------------|-----|-----------------|-------|--------------------|
| | Avoirdupois. | | sterling money. | | |
| | lb. | oz. | £. | s. | d. |
| 4 <i>wierls</i> * of pearl barley, equal to about 20 $\frac{1}{3}$ gallons - - | 141 | 2 | — | 0 | 11 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4 <i>wierls</i> of peas - - - | 131 | 4 | — | 0 | 7 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Cuttings of fine wheaten bread | 69 | 10 | — | 0 | 10 2 $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| Salt - - - - | 19 | 13 | — | 0 | 1 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 24 <i>maafs</i> , very weak beer—vinegar, or rather small beer turned sour, about 24 quarts | 46 | 13 | — | 0 | 1 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Water, about 560 quarts - - | 1077 | 0 | | | |
| | <hr/> | | | <hr/> | |
| | 1485 | 10 | — | 1 | 11 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ |

* A *wierrl* is the twelfth part of a *schäffl*, and the Bavarian *schäffl* is equal to 6 $\frac{3}{4}$ Winchester bushels.

| | £. | s. | d. |
|--|----|----|-----------------|
| Brought over | 1 | 11 | 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Fuel, 88lb. of dry pine wood, the Bavarian <i>clafter</i> , (weighing 3961 lb. avoirdupois,) at 8s. 2 $\frac{1}{4}$ d. sterling * - - - - - | 0 | 0 | 2 $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| Wages of three cook-maids, at twenty florins (37s. 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ d.) a year each, makes daily - | 0 | 0 | 3 $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| Daily expence for feeding the three cook-maids, at ten creutzers (3 $\frac{2}{3}$ pence sterling) <i>each</i> , ac- cording to an agreement made with them - | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Daily wages of two men servants, employed in going to market—collecting donations of bread, &c. helping in the kitchen, and assisting in serving out the soup to the Poor - | 0 | 1 | 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Repairs of the kitchen, and of the kitchen furni- ture, about 90 florins (8l. 3s. 7d. sterling) a year, makes daily - - - | 0 | 0 | 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Total daily expences, when dinner is provided for 1200 persons - - - - | 1 | 15 | 2 $\frac{1}{4}$ |

This sum (1l. 15s. 2 $\frac{1}{4}$ d.) divided by 1200, the number of portions of soup furnished, gives for each portion a mere trifle more than *one third of a penny*, or exactly $\frac{4\frac{2}{3}}{1200}$ of a penny; the weight of each portion being about 20 ounces.

But, moderate as these expences are, which have attended the feeding of the Poor of Munich, they have lately been reduced still farther by introducing the use of potatoes.—These most valuable vegetables were hardly known in Bavaria till very lately; and so strong was the aversion of the public, and particularly of the Poor, against them, at the time when we began to make use of them in the public kitchen of the House of Industry in

* The quantity of fuel here mentioned, though it certainly is almost incredibly small, was nevertheless determined from the results of actual experiments. A particular account of these experiments will be given in my Essay on the Management of Heat and the Economy of Fuel.

Munich, that we were absolutely obliged, at first, to introduce them by stealth.—A private room in a retired corner was fitted up as a kitchen for cooking them; and it was necessary to disguise them, by boiling them down entirely, and destroying their form and texture, to prevent their being detected:—but the Poor soon found that their soup was improved in its qualities; and they testified their approbation of the change that had been made in it so generally and loudly, that it was at last thought to be no longer necessary to conceal from them the secret of its composition, and they are now grown so fond of potatoes that they would not easily be satisfied without them.

The employing of potatoes as an ingredient in the soup has enabled us to make a considerable saving in the other more costly materials, as may be seen by comparing the following receipt with that already given.

S O U P, N° II.

| Ingredients. | Weight Avoirdupois. | | Cost in sterling money. | | |
|--|------------------------|-----|----------------------------|----|--------------------|
| | lb. | oz. | £. | s. | d. |
| 2 <i>viertls</i> of pearl barley | 70 | 9 | — | 0 | 5 9 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 2 <i>viertls</i> of peas | 65 | 10 | — | 0 | 3 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 8 <i>viertls</i> of potatoes | 230 | 4 | — | 0 | 1 9 $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| Cuttings of bread | 69 | 10 | — | 0 | 10 2 $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| Salt | 19 | 13 | — | 0 | 1 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Vinegar | 46 | 13 | — | 0 | 1 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Water | 982 | 15 | | | |
| Total weight | 1485 | 10 | | | |
| Expences for fuel, servants, repairs, &c. as before | | | 0 | 3 | 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Total daily expence, when dinner is provided for 1200 persons | | | 1 | 7 | 6 $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| | P 4 | | | | This |

This sum (1l. 7s. 6 $\frac{2}{3}$ d.) divided by 1200, the number of portions of soup, gives for each portion *one farthing* very nearly; or accurately, 1 $\frac{1}{40}$ farthing.

The quantity of each of the ingredients contained in one portion of soup is as follows:

| Ingredients. | In avoirdupois weight. | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Soup, N ^o I. | Soup, N ^o II. |
| | oz. | oz. |
| Of pearl barley — | 1 $\frac{1053}{1200}$ | 0 $\frac{1129}{1200}$ |
| Of peas — | 1 $\frac{960}{1200}$ | 0 $\frac{1050}{1200}$ |
| Of potatoes — | — | 3 $\frac{84}{1200}$ |
| Of bread — | 0 $\frac{1114}{1200}$ | 0 $\frac{1114}{1200}$ |
| Total solids | 4 $\frac{772}{1200}$ | 5 $\frac{977}{1200}$ |
| Of salt — | 0 $\frac{116}{1200}$ | 0 $\frac{116}{1200}$ |
| Of weak vinegar — | 0 $\frac{748}{1200}$ | 0 $\frac{748}{1200}$ |
| Of water — | 14 $\frac{432}{1200}$ | 13 $\frac{127}{1200}$ |
| Total | 19 $\frac{968}{1200}$ | 19 $\frac{968}{1200}$ |

The expence of preparing these soups will vary with the prices of the articles of which they are composed; but as the quantities of the ingredients, determined by weight, are here given, it will be easy to ascertain exactly what they will cost in any case whatever.

Suppose, for instance, it were required to determine how much 1200 portions of the Soup, No. I. would cost in London at this present moment, (the 12th of November 1795,) when all kinds of provisions are uncommonly dear. I see by a printed report of the Board of Agriculture, of the day before yesterday (November 10), that the prices of the articles necessary for preparing these soups were as follows:

Barley, per bushel weighing 46 lb. at 5s. 6d. which gives for each pound about 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ d.; but prepared

pared as pearl barley, it will cost at least two pence per pound *.

Boiling peas per bushel, weighing $61\frac{3}{4}$ lb. (at 10s.) which gives for each pound nearly $1\frac{1}{2}$ d.

Potatoes, per bushel, weighing $58\frac{1}{4}$ lb. at 2s. 6d. which gives nearly one halfpenny for each pound.

And I find that a quartern loaf of wheaten bread, weighing 4 lb. 5 oz. costs now in London 1s. $0\frac{1}{4}$ d.;—this bread must therefore be reckoned at $11\frac{2}{3}\frac{5}{9}$ farthings per pound.

Salt costs $1\frac{1}{2}$ d. per pound; and vinegar (which is probably six times as strong as that stuff called vinegar which is used in the kitchen of the House of Industry at Munich) costs 1s. 8d. per gallon.

This being premised, the computations may be made as follows:

Expence of preparing in London, in the month of November 1795, 1200 portions of the SOUP, N^o I.

| lb. | oz. | | s. | d. | | £. | s. | d. |
|--|-----|-------------------------|----|----------------------------|---------|----|----|----------------|
| 141 | 2 | pearl barley, at | 0 | 2 | per lb. | 1 | 12 | 6 |
| 131 | 4 | peas, at | — | $0\frac{1}{2}$ | — | 0 | 16 | 4 |
| 69 | 10 | wheaten bread, at | 0 | $11\frac{2}{3}\frac{5}{9}$ | — | 0 | 16 | 6 |
| 19 | 13 | salt, at | — | $0\frac{1}{2}$ | — | 0 | 2 | $5\frac{1}{2}$ |
| | | Vinegar, one gallon, at | — | 1 8 | — | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Expences for fuel, servants, kitchen furniture, &c. | | | | | | | | |
| reckoning three times as much as those articles of expence amount to daily at Munich | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 0 | 10 | | 0 | 10 | $4\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Total | | | | | | 3 | 9 | $9\frac{1}{2}$ |

* One Bavarian schäfl (equal to $6\frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{8}$ Winchester bushels) of barley, weighing at a medium 250 Bavarian pounds, upon being pearled, or rolled (as it is called in Germany), is reduced to half a schäfl, which weighs 125 Bavarian pounds. The 79 lb. which it loses in the operation is the perquisite of the miller, and is all he receives for his trouble.

Which sum (3l. 9s. 9½d.) divided by 1200, the number of portions of soup, gives $2\frac{9\frac{5}{8}}{1200}$ farthings, or nearly $2\frac{1}{4}$ farthings for each portion.

For the Soup, N° II. it will be,

| lb. | oz. | | s. | d. | | £. | s. | d. |
|----------------------------------|-----|------------------|----|----|-----|----|----|----|
| 70 | 9 | pearl barley, at | 0 | 2 | — | 0 | 11 | 9 |
| 65 | 10 | peas, at | — | 0 | 1½ | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| 230 | 4 | potatoes, at | — | 0 | 0½ | 0 | 13 | 9 |
| 69 | 10 | bread, at | — | 0 | 11½ | 0 | 16 | 6 |
| 19 | 13 | salt, at | — | 0 | 1½ | 0 | 2 | 5½ |
| Vinegar, one gallon | | — | — | — | — | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Expences for fuel, servants, &c. | | — | — | — | — | 0 | 10 | 4½ |
| Total | | | | | | 3 | 4 | 7½ |

This sum (3l. 4s. 7½d.) divided by 1200, the number of portions, gives for each $2\frac{1}{2}$ farthings very nearly.

This soup comes much higher here in London, than it would do in most other parts of Great Britain, on account of the very high price of potatoes in this city; but in most parts of the kingdom, and certainly in every part of Ireland, it may be furnished, even at this present moment, notwithstanding the uncommonly high prices of provisions, at less than *one halfpenny* the portion of 20 ounces.

Though the object most attended to in composing these soups was to render them wholesome and nourishing, yet they are very far from being unpalatable.—The basis of the soups, which is water prepared and thickened by barley, is well calculated to receive, and to convey to the palate in
an

an agreeable manner, every thing that is savoury in the other ingredients; and the dry bread rendering mastication necessary, prolongs the action of the Food upon the organs of taste, and by that means increases and *prolongs* the enjoyment of eating.

But though these soups are very good and nourishing, yet they certainly are capable of a variety of improvements.—The most obvious means of improving them is to mix with them a small quantity of salted meat, boiled, and cut into very small pieces, (the smaller the better,) and to fry the bread that is put into them in butter, or in the fat of salted pork or bacon.

The bread, by being fried, is not only rendered much harder, but being impregnated with a fat or oily substance it remains hard after it is put into the soup, the water not being able to penetrate it and soften it.

All good cooks put fried bread, cut into small square pieces, in peas-soup; but I much doubt whether they are aware of the very great importance of that practice, or that they have any just idea of the *manner* in which the bread improves the soup.

The best kind of meat for mixing with these soups is salted pork, or bacon, or smoked beef.

Whatever meat is used, it ought to be boiled either in clear water or in the soup; and after it is boiled, it ought to be cut into very small pieces, as small, perhaps, as barley-corns.—The bread may be cut in pieces of the size of large peas, or
in

in thin slices; and after it is fried, it may be mixed with the meat and put into the soup-dishes, and the soup poured on them when it is served out.

Another method of improving this soup is to mix with it small dumplins, or meat-balls, made of bread, flour, and smoked beef, ham, or any other kind of salted meat, or of liver cut into small pieces, or rather *minced*, as it is called.—These dumplins may be boiled either in the soup or in clear water, and put into the soup when it is served out.

As the meat in these compositions is designed rather to please the palate than for any thing else, the soup being sufficiently nourishing without it, it is of much importance that it be reduced to very small pieces, in order that it be brought into contact with the organs of taste by a large surface; and that it be mixed with some hard substance, (fried bread, for instance, crumbs, or hard dumplins,) which will necessarily prolong the time employed in mastication.

When this is done, and where the meat employed has much flavour, a very small quantity of it will be found sufficient to answer the purpose required.

One ounce of bacon, or of smoked beef, and *one ounce* of fried bread, added to *eighteen ounces* of the Soup No. I. would afford an excellent meal, in which the taste of animal food would decidedly predominate.

Dried salt fish, or smoked fish, boiled and then minced, and made into dumplins with mashed potatoes,

tatoes, bread, and flour, and boiled again, would be very good, eaten with either of the Soups No. I. or No. II.

These soups may likewise be improved, by mixing with them various kinds of cheap roots and green vegetables, as turnips, carrots, parsnips, celery, cabbages, four-cROUT, &c.; as also by seasoning them with fine herbs and black pepper.—Onions and leeks may likewise be used with great advantage, as they not only serve to render the Food in which they enter as ingredients peculiarly savoury, but are really very wholesome.

With regard to the barley made use of in preparing these soups, though I always have used pearl barley, or *rolled* barley (as it is called in Germany), yet I have no doubt but common barley-meal would answer *nearly* as well; particularly if care were taken to boil it gently for a sufficient length of time over a slow fire before the peas are added*.

Till the last year, we used to cook the barley-soup and the peas-soup separate, and not to mix them till the moment when they were poured into the tubs upon the cut bread, in order to be carried

* Since the First Edition of this Essay was published the experiment with barley-meal has been tried, and the meal has been found to answer quite as well as pearl barley, if not better, for making these soups. Among others, Thomas Bernard, Esq. Treasurer of the Foundling Hospital, a gentleman of most respectable character, and well known for his philanthropy and active zeal in relieving the distresses of the Poor, has given it a very complete and fair trial; and he found, what is very remarkable, though not difficult to be accounted for—that the barley-meal, *with all the bran in it*, answered better, that is to say, made the soup richer, and thicker, than when the fine flour of barley, without the bran, was used.

into

into the dining-hall; but I do not know that any advantages were derived from that practice; the soup being, to all appearance, quite as good since the barley and the peas have been cooked together as before.

As soon as the soup is done, and the boilers are emptied, they are immediately refilled with water, and the barley for the soup for the next day is put into it, and left to steep over night; and at six o'clock the next morning the fires are lighted under the boilers*.

The peas, however, are never suffered to remain in the water over-night, as we have found, by repeated trials, that they never boil soft if the water in which they are boiled is not boiling hot when they are put into it.—Whether this is peculiar to the peas which grow in Bavaria, I know not.

When I began to feed the Poor of Munich, there was also a quantity of meat boiled in their soup; but as the quantity was small, and the quality of it but very indifferent, I never thought it contributed much to rendering the victuals more

* By some experiments lately made it has been found that the soup will be much improved if a small fire is made under the boiler, just sufficient to make its contents boil up once, when the barley and water are put into it, and then closing up immediately the ash-hole register, and the damper in the chimney, and throwing a thick blanket, or a warm coverlid over the cover of the boiler, the whole be kept hot till the next morning. This heat so long continued, acts very powerfully on the barley, and causes it to thicken the water in a very surprising manner. Perhaps the *oat-meal* used for making water gruel might be improved in its effects by the same means. The experiment is certainly worth trying.

nourishing :

nourishing: but as soon as means were found for rendering the soup palatable without meat, the quantity of it used was gradually diminished, and it was at length entirely omitted. I never heard that the Poor complained of the want of it; and much doubt whether they took notice of it.

The management of the fire in cooking is, in all cases, a matter of great importance; but in no case is it so necessary to be attended to as in preparing the cheap and nutritive soups here recommended.—Not only the palatableness, but even the strength or richness of the soup, seems to depend very much upon the management of the heat employed in cooking it.

From the beginning of the process to the end of it, the boiling should be as gentle as possible;—and if it were possible to keep the soup always *just boiling hot*, without actually boiling, it would be so much the better.

Causing any thing to boil violently in any culinary process is very ill judged; for it not only does not expedite, even in the smallest degree, the process of cooking, but it occasions a most enormous waste of fuel; and by driving away with the steam many of the more volatile and more savoury particles of the ingredients, renders the victuals less good and less palatable.—To those who are acquainted with the experimental philosophy of heat, and who know that water once brought to be *boiling hot*, however gently it may boil in fact, *cannot be made any hotter*, however large and intense the fire under it may be made, and who know that it is by the *heat*—that

is to say, *the degree* or intensity of it, and the *time* of its being continued, and not by the bubbling up or *boiling*, (as it is called) of the water that culinary operations are performed—this will be evident, and those who know that more than *five times* as much heat is required to *send off in steam* any given quantity of water *already boiling hot* as would be necessary to heat the same quantity of *ice-cold* water *to the boiling point*—will see the enormous waste of heat, and consequently of fuel, which, in all cases must result from violent boiling in culinary processes.

To prevent the soup from burning to the boiler, the bottom of the boiler should be made *double*; the false bottom, (which may be very thin) being fixed on the inside of the boiler, the two sheets of copper being every where in contact with each other; but they ought not to be attached to each other with folder, except only at the edge of the false bottom where it is joined to the sides of the boiler.—The false bottom should have a rim about an inch and a half wide, projecting upwards, by which it should be riveted to the sides of the boiler; but only few rivets, or nails, should be used for fixing the two bottoms together below, and those used should be very small; otherwise, where large nails are employed at the bottom of the boiler, where the fire is most intense, the soup will be apt to *burn to*; at least on the heads of those large nails.

The two sheets of metal may be made to touch each other every where, by hammering them together

gether after the false bottom is fixed in its place; and they may be tacked together by a few small rivets placed here and there, at considerable distances from each other; and after this is done, the boiler may be tinned.

In tinning the boiler, if proper care be taken, the edge of the false bottom may be soldered by the tin to the sides of the boiler, and this will prevent the water, or other liquids put into the boiler, from getting between the two bottoms.

In this manner double bottoms may be made to sauce-pans and kettles of all kinds used in cooking; and this contrivance will, in all cases, most effectually prevent what is called by the cooks *burning to*.*

* This invention of double bottoms might be used with great success by distillers, to prevent their liquor, when it is thick, from burning to the bottoms of their stills. But there is another hint, which I have long wished to give distillers, from which, I am persuaded, they might derive very essential advantages.—It is to recommend to them to make up warm clothing of thick blanketing for covering up their still-heads, and defending them from the cold air of the atmosphere; and for covering in the same manner all that part of the copper or boiler which rises above the brick-work in which it is fixed. The great quantity of heat which is constantly given off to the cold air of the atmosphere in contact with it by this naked copper, not only occasions a very great loss of heat, and of fuel, but tends likewise very much to *embarrass* and to *prolong* the process of distillation; for all the heat communicated by the naked still-head to the atmosphere is taken from the spirituous vapour which rises from the liquor in the still; and as this vapour cannot fail to be condensed into spirits whenever and *wherever* it loses *any part* of its heat,—as the spirits generated in the still-head in consequence of this communication of heat to the atmosphere do not find their way into the worm, but trickle down and mix again with the liquor in the still,—the bad effects of leaving the still-head exposed naked to the cold air is quite evident. The remedy for this evil is as cheap and as effectual, as it is simple and obvious.

The heat is so much obstructed in its passage through the thin sheet of air, which, notwithstanding all the care that is taken to bring the two bottoms into actual contact, will still remain between them, the second has time to give its heat as fast as it receives it, to the fluid in the boiler; and consequently never acquires a degree of heat sufficient for burning any thing that may be upon it.

Perhaps it would be best to double copper sauce-pans and small kettles throughout; and as this may and ought to be done with a very thin sheet of metal, it could not cost much, even if this lining were to be made of silver.

But I must not enlarge here upon a subject I shall have occasion to treat more fully in another place.—To return, therefore, to the subject more immediately under consideration, Food.

C H A P. IV.

Of the small Expence at which the Bavarian Soldiers are fed.—Details of their Housekeeping, founded on actual Experiment.—An Account of the Fuel expended by them in Cooking.

IT has often been matter of surprise to many, and even to those who are most conversant in military affairs, that soldiers can find means to live upon the very small allowances granted them for their subsistence; and I have often wondered that nobody has undertaken to investigate that matter, and to explain a mystery at the same time curious and interesting, in a high degree.

The pay of a private foldier is in all countries very small, much less than the wages of a day-labourer; and in some countries it is so mere a pittance, that it is quite astonishing how it can be made to support life.

The pay of a private foot-foldier in the service of His Most Serene Highness the Elector Palatine, (and it is the same for a private grenadier in the regiment of guards,) is *five creutzers* a-day, and no more.—Formerly the pay of a private foot-foldier was only four creutzers and a half a-day, but lately, upon the introduction of the new military arrangements in the country, his pay has been raised to five creutzers;—and with this he receives

one pound thirteen ounces and a half, Avoirdupois weight, of rye-bread, which, at the medium price of grain in Bavaria and the Palatinate, costs something less than three creutzers, or just about *one penny* sterling.

The pay which the soldier receives in money,—(five creutzers a-day,) equal to one penny three farthings sterling, added to his daily allowance of bread, valued at one penny, make *two pence three farthings* a-day, for the sum total of his allowance.

That it is possible, in any country, to procure Food sufficient to support life with so small a sum, will doubtless appear extraordinary to an English reader;—but what would be his surprise upon seeing a whole army, composed of the finest, stoutest, and strongest men in the world, who are fed upon that allowance, and whose countenances show the most evident marks of ruddy health, and perfect contentment?

I have already observed, how much I was struck with the domestic economy of the Bavarian soldiers. I think the subject much too interesting, not to be laid before the Public, even in all its details; and as I think it will be more satisfactory to hear from their own mouths an account of the manner in which these soldiers live, I shall transcribe the reports of two sensible non-commissioned officers, whom I employed to give me the information I wanted.

These non-commissioned officers, who belong to two different regiments of grenadiers in garrison at Munich, were recommended to me by their colonels

colonels as being very steady, careful men, are each at the head of a mess consisting of twelve foldiers, themselves reckoned in the number. The following accounts, which they gave me of their housekeeping, and of the expences of their tables, were all the genuine results of actual experiments made at my particular desire, and at my cost.

I do not believe that useful information was ever purchased cheaper than upon this occasion; and I fancy my reader will be of the same opinion when he has perused the following reports, which are literally translated from the original German.

“ In obedience to the orders of Lieut. General
 “ Count Rumford, the following experiments
 “ were made by Serjeant Wickenhof’s mess, in
 “ the first company of the first (or Elector’s own)
 “ regiment of grenadiers, at Munich, on the 10th
 “ and 11th of June 1795.

“ June 10th, 1795.

“ *Bill of Fare.*

“ Boiled beef, with soup and bread dumplins.

“ Details of the expence, &c.

“ *For the boiled beef and the soup.*

| lb. | loths. | | | Creutzers. |
|-----|------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----------------|
| 2 | 0 | beef * | — — | 16 |
| 0 | 1 | sweet herbs | — — | 1 |
| 0 | 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ | pepper | — — | 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 6 | salt | — — | 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1 | 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ammunition bread, cut fine | | 2 $\frac{7}{8}$ |
| 9 | 20 | water | — — | 0 |

Total 13 10

Cost 20 $\frac{7}{8}$

* The Bavarian pound (equal to 1 $\frac{17}{18}$ $\frac{8}{10}$, or near one pound and a quarter Avoirdupois,) is divided into 32 loths.

“ All these articles were put together into an
 “ earthen pot, and boiled two hours and a quar-
 “ ter. The meat was then taken out of the soup
 “ and weighed, and found to weigh 1 lb. 30 loths;
 “ which, divided into twelve equal portions, gave
 “ *five loths* for the weight of each.

“ The soup, with the bread, &c. weighed 9 lb.
 “ $30\frac{1}{2}$ loths; which, divided into twelve equal
 “ portions, gave for each $26\frac{7}{12}$ loths.

“ The cost of the meat and soup together,
 “ $20\frac{7}{8}$ creutzers, divided by twelve, gives $1\frac{3}{4}$ creut-
 “ zers, very nearly, for the cost of each portion.

“ *For the bread dumplins.*

| lb. | loths. | | | Creutzers. |
|-------|--------|---------------------|------|----------------|
| 1 | 13 | of fine semel bread | — | 10 |
| 1 | 0 | of fine flour | — | $4\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 6 | salt | — | $0\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 3 | 0 | of water | — | 0 |
| <hr/> | | | | <hr/> |
| Total | 5 19 | | Cost | 15 |

“ This mass was made into dumplins, and these
 “ dumplins were boiled half an hour in clear wa-
 “ ter. Upon taking them out of the water, they
 “ were found to weigh 5 lb. 24 loths; and divid-
 “ ing them into twelve equal portions, each por-
 “ tion weighed $15\frac{1}{3}$ loths; and the cost of the
 “ whole (15 creutzers), divided by twelve, gives
 “ $1\frac{1}{4}$ creutzers for the cost of each portion.

“ The meat, soup, and dumplins were served
 “ all at once in the same dish, and were all eaten
 “ together; and with this meal, (which was their
 “ dinner,

“dinner, and was eat at twelve o’clock,) each
 “person belonging to the mess was furnished with
 “a piece of rye-bread, weighing ten loths, and
 “which cost $\frac{5}{16}$ of a creutzer.—Each person was
 “likewise furnished with a piece of this bread,
 “weighing ten loths, for his breakfast;—another
 “piece, of equal weight, in the afternoon at four
 “o’clock; and another in the evening.”

Analysis of this Day's Fare.

| | | Each person received in the course of the day, | | Amount of cost in Bavarian money. | |
|---------------|------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | In solids. | | In fluids. | |
| | | lb. | loths. | lb. | loths. |
| In the soup. | Boiled beef | 0 | 5 | _____ | _____ |
| | Rye-bread | 0 | $3\frac{7}{8}$ | _____ | _____ |
| | Sweet herbs | 0 | $0\frac{1}{16}$ | _____ | _____ |
| | Salt | 0 | $0\frac{1}{16}$ | _____ | _____ |
| | Pepper | 0 | $0\frac{1}{16}$ | _____ | _____ |
| | Water | - | - | 0 | $23\frac{1}{2}$ |
| | Total | 0 | $4\frac{3}{4}$ | 0 | $23\frac{1}{2}$ |
| In dumplings. | Wheaten-bread | 0 | $3\frac{1}{2}$ | _____ | _____ |
| | Ditto flour | 0 | $2\frac{2}{3}$ | | |
| | Salt | 0 | $0\frac{1}{16}$ | | |
| | Water | - | - | 0 | $7\frac{1}{2}$ |
| | Total | 0 | $6\frac{1}{4}$ | 0 | $7\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Dry bread. | For breakfast | 0 | 10 | _____ | _____ |
| | At dinner | 0 | 10 | _____ | |
| | In the afternoon | 0 | 10 | _____ | |
| | At supper | 0 | 10 | _____ | |
| | Total | 1 | 8 | _____ | $2\frac{1}{2}$ |
| General total | | 2 | $24\frac{13}{16}$ | 0 | $31\frac{1}{2}$ |

which cost $5\frac{1}{8}$

The ammunition bread is reckoned in this estimate at two creutzers the Bavarian pound, which is about what it costs at a medium; and as the daily allowance of the soldiers is $1\frac{1}{2}$ Bavarian pounds of this bread, this reckoned in money amounts to three *creutzers a-day*; and this added to his pay at *five creutzers a-day*, makes *eight creutzers a-day*, which is the whole of his allowance from the sovereign for his subsistence.

But it appears from the foregoing account, that he expends for Food no more than $5\frac{7}{8}$ creutzers a-day, there is therefore a surplus amounting to $2\frac{3}{8}$ creutzers a-day, or very near *one-third of his whole allowance*, which remains; and which he can dispose of just as he thinks proper.

This surplus is commonly employed in purchasing beer, brandy, tobacco, &c. Beer in Bavaria costs two creutzers a pint; brandy, or rather malt-spirits, from fifteen to eighteen creutzers; and tobacco is very cheap.

To enable the English reader to form, without the trouble of computation, a complete and satisfactory idea of the manner in which these Bavarian soldiers are fed, I have added the following Analysis of their fare; in which the quantity of each article is expressed in *Avoirdupois weight*, and its cost in *English money*.

Analysis.

Analysis.

Each person belonging to the mess
received in the course of the day,
June 11th, 1795.

Cost in English
money.

| | lb. | oz. | | s. | d. |
|--|---------|-------------------|---|----|-------------------|
| Dry ammunition bread | - 1 | 8 $\frac{7}{10}$ | — | 0 | 0 $\frac{1}{11}$ |
| Ammunition bread cooked in the soup | - - - 0 | 2 $\frac{4}{10}$ | — | 0 | 0 $\frac{2}{104}$ |
| Fine wheaten (<i>semel</i>) bread in the dumplins | - 0 | 2 $\frac{1}{10}$ | — | 0 | 0 $\frac{1}{11}$ |
| Total bread | 1 | 13 $\frac{4}{10}$ | | | |
| Fine flour in the dumplins | 0 | 1 $\frac{6}{10}$ | — | 0 | 0 $\frac{1}{11}$ |
| Boiled beef | - - - 0 | 3 $\frac{1}{10}$ | — | 0 | 0 $\frac{7}{118}$ |
| In seasoning; fine herbs, salt, and pepper | - - - 0 | 0 $\frac{1}{10}$ | — | 0 | 0 $\frac{1}{11}$ |
| Total solids | 2 | 2 $\frac{3}{10}$ | | | |
| Water prepared by cooking. | | | | | |
| In the soup | - - - 0 | 14 $\frac{5}{10}$ | | | |
| In the dumplins | - - - 0 | 4 $\frac{3}{10}$ | | | |
| Total prepared water | 1 | 2 $\frac{8}{10}$ | | | |
| Total solids and fluids | 3 | 5 $\frac{1}{10}$ | | | |

Total expence for each person $5\frac{1}{4}$ creutzers,
equal to *two pence* sterling, very nearly.

But as the Bavarian soldiers have not the same
fare every day, the expences of their tables cannot
be ascertained from one single experiment. I shall
therefore return to Serjeant Wickenhof's report.

“ 11th

“ 11th of June 1795.

“ *Bill of Fare.*

“ Bread, dumplins, and foup.

“ Details of expences, &c.

“ *For the dumplins.*

| lb. | loths. | | Creutzers. |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| 2 | 13 | wheaten bread — | 14 |
| 0 | 16 | butter — | 9 |
| 1 | 0 | fine flour — | $4\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 11 | eggs — | 3 |
| 0 | 6 | salt — | $0\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | $0\frac{1}{2}$ | pepper — | $0\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 3 | 16 | water — | — |
| <hr/> | | | <hr/> |
| 7 | $30\frac{1}{2}$ | | Cost $31\frac{1}{2}$ creutzers. |

“ This made into dumplins;—the dumplins,
 “ after being boiled, were found to weigh eight
 “ pounds eight loths, which, divided among twelve
 “ persons, gave for each twenty-two loths.—And
 “ the cost of the whole ($31\frac{1}{2}$ creutzers), divided
 “ by 12, gives $2\frac{5}{4}$ creutzers for each portion.

“ *For the foup.*

| lb. | loths. | | Creutzers. |
|-------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | $14\frac{1}{2}$ | ammunition bread — | $2\frac{7}{8}$ |
| 0 | 6 | salt — | $0\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 1 | sweet herbs — | 1 |
| 12 | 0 | water — | — |
| <hr/> | | | <hr/> |
| 13 | $21\frac{1}{2}$ | | Cost $4\frac{3}{8}$ creutzers. |

“ This foup, when cooked, weighed 11 lb. 26
 “ loths; which, divided among the twelve per-
 “ sons belonging to the mess, gave for each
 “ $31\frac{1}{2}$

“ $31\frac{1}{2}$ loths; and the cost ($4\frac{3}{8}$ creutzers), divided
 “ by twelve, gives nearly *three-ninths* of a creutzer
 “ for each portion.

“ *For bread.*

“ Four pieces of ammunition bread, weighing
 “ each ten loths, for each person,—namely, one
 “ piece for breakfast—one at dinner—one in the
 “ afternoon,—and one at supper; in all, 40 loths,
 “ or one pound and a quarter, costs two creutzers
 “ and a half.”

Details of expences, &c. for each person.

| | lb. | loths. | | Creutzers. |
|-------|-----|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| For | 1 | 8 | dry bread | — $2\frac{1}{2}$ |
| For | 0 | 22 | bread dumplins | — $2\frac{1}{2}\frac{5}{8}$ |
| For | 0 | $31\frac{1}{2}$ | bread soup | — $0\frac{3}{8}$ |
| <hr/> | | | | <hr/> |
| | 2 | $30\frac{1}{2}$ | of Food | Cost $5\frac{1}{2}$ creutzers. |

The same details expressed in Avoirdupois weight, and English money:

For each person

| | lb. | oz. | | Pence. |
|-------|---------------------------|-----|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | $8\frac{7}{8}\frac{6}{8}$ | | dry ammunition bread | — $0\frac{1}{4}\frac{0}{4}$ |
| 0 | $13\frac{6}{8}$ | | bread dumplins | — $0\frac{6}{8}\frac{3}{4}$ |
| 1 | $3\frac{1}{2}$ | | bread soup | — $0\frac{3}{8}\frac{6}{4}$ |
| <hr/> | | | | <hr/> |
| 3 | $9\frac{4}{8}\frac{6}{8}$ | | of Food | Cost 2 pence. |

“ June

“ June 20th, 1795.

“ Serjeant Kein’s mess, second regiment of
grenadiers.

“ *Bill of Fare.*

“ Boiled beef—bread soup—and liver dumplings.

“ Details of expences, &c.

“ For the boiled beef and soup.

| lb. | loths. | | | Creutzers. |
|-------|-----------------|------------------|------|-----------------------------|
| 2 | 0 | beef | — | 15 |
| 0 | 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ | salt | — | 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ | pepper | — | 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 2 | sweet herbs | — | 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 2 | 24 | ammunition bread | — | 3 $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 17 | 0 | water | — | — |
| <hr/> | | | | <hr/> |
| 22 | 1 | | Cost | 19 $\frac{1}{2}$ creutzers. |

“ These ingredients were all boiled together
 “ two hours and five minutes; after which
 “ the beef was taken out of the soup and weighed,
 “ and was found to weigh 1 lb. 22 loths; the
 “ soup weighed 15 lb.; and these divided equally
 “ among the twelve persons belonging to the mess,
 “ gave for each portion, 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ loths of beef, and 1 lb.
 “ 8 loths of soup; and the cost of the whole (19 $\frac{1}{2}$
 “ creutzers), divided by 12, gives 1 $\frac{3}{4}$ creutzers
 “ for the cost of each portion.

“ Details

“ Details of expences, &c. for the *liver dumplins*.

| lb. | loths. | | | Creutzers. |
|-------|--------|----------------------------|---|--------------------|
| 2 | 28 | of fine <i>semel</i> bread | — | 15 |
| 1 | 0 | of beef liver | — | 5 |
| 0 | 18 | of fine flour | — | $2\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 6 | of salt | — | $0\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 2 | 24 | of water | — | — |
| <hr/> | | | | <hr/> |
| Total | 7 12 | | | Cost 23 creutzers. |

“ These ingredients being made into dumplins,
 “ the dumplins after being properly boiled were
 “ found to weigh 8 lb.—This gave for each por-
 “ tion $21\frac{1}{3}$ loths; and the amount of the cost
 “ (23 creutzers), divided by 12, the number of
 “ the portions, gives for each $1\frac{1}{3}$ creutzers.

“ The quantity of dry ammunition bread fur-
 “ nished to each person was 1 lb. 8 loths; and this,
 “ at two creutzers a pound, amounts to $2\frac{1}{2}$
 “ creutzers.”

Recapitulation.

For each person

| lb. | loths. | | Creutzers. |
|-------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0 | $4\frac{1}{2}$ | of boiled beef, and | — $1\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 1 | 8 | of bread soup | |
| 0 | $21\frac{1}{3}$ | of liver dumplins | — $1\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 1 | 8 | of dry bread | — $2\frac{1}{2}$ |
| <hr/> | | | |
| 3 | $9\frac{5}{8}$ | of Food | Cost $6\frac{3}{8}$ creutzers. |

In Avoirdupois weight, and English money, it
 is,—for each person :

| lb. | oz. | | Pence. |
|-------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 0 | $2\frac{7}{8}$ | of boiled beef, and | — $0\frac{9}{16}$ |
| 1 | $8\frac{1}{8}$ | of bread soup | |
| 0 | $13\frac{1}{8}$ | of liver dumplins | — $0\frac{17}{16}$ |
| 1 | $8\frac{6}{8}$ | of dry bread | — $0\frac{10}{16}$ |
| <hr/> | | | |
| 4 | $1\frac{5}{8}$ | of Food | Cost $2\frac{1}{2}$ pence. |

“ June

“ June 21st, 1795.

“ *Bill of Fare.*

“ Boiled beef, and bread soup, with bread
“ dumplins.

“ Details of expences, &c. for the *boiled beef*
“ and *bread soup*.

“ The same as yesterday,

“ For the *dumplins*.

| lb. | loths. | | | Creutzers. |
|-------|--------|-------------|---|--------------------|
| 2 | 30 | semel bread | — | $15\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 18 | fine flour | — | 3 |
| 0 | 6 | salt | — | $0\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 3 | 0 | water | — | — |
| <hr/> | | | | <hr/> |
| 6 | 22 | | | Cost 19 creutzers. |

“ These dumplins being boiled, were found to
“ weigh 7 lb. which gave for each person $18\frac{2}{3}$ loths;
“ and each portion cost $1\frac{7}{8}$ creutzers.

“ Dry ammunition bread furnished to each
“ person 1 lb. 8 loths, which cost $2\frac{1}{2}$ creutzers.

Recapitulation.

“ Each person belonging to the mess received
“ this day:

| lb. | loths. | | | Creutzers. |
|-------|-----------------|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| 0 | $4\frac{1}{2}$ | of boiled beef, and | } | $13\frac{1}{8}$ |
| 1 | 8 | of bread soup | | |
| 0 | $18\frac{2}{3}$ | of bread dumplins | — | $1\frac{7}{8}$ |
| 1 | 8 | of dry bread | — | $2\frac{1}{2}$ |
| <hr/> | | | | <hr/> |
| 3 | $7\frac{1}{6}$ | of Food | | Cost $5\frac{3}{4}$ creutzers. |

“ In

“ In *Avoirdupois weight*, and *English money*, it is,

| lb. | oz. | | Pence. |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 0 | 27 ⁸ / ₁₀₀ | of boiled beef, and } | 0 9 ⁴ / ₁₀ |
| 1 | 87 ⁶ / ₁₀₀ | of bread soup } | 0 15 ⁸ / ₁₀ |
| 0 | 117 ⁴ / ₁₀₀ | of bread dumplins | 0 2 ⁸ / ₁₀ |
| 1 | 87 ⁶ / ₁₀₀ | of dry bread — | 0 1 ⁰ / ₁₀ |
| <hr/> | | | <hr/> |
| 4 | 0 | of Food | Cost 2 ¹ / ₂ pence. |

“ June 22d, 1795.

“ *Bill of Fare.*

“ *Bread soup and meat dumplins.*

“ Details of expences, &c.

| lb. | loths. | | Creutzers. |
|-------|--------|--------------------------|--|
| 2 | 0 | of beef — | 15 |
| 2 | 30 | of semel bread — | 15 ¹ / ₂ |
| 0 | 18 | of fine flour — | 3 |
| 0 | 1 | of pepper — | 1 |
| 0 | 12 | of salt — | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | of sweet herbs — | 0 ¹ / ₂ |
| 2 | 24 | of ammunition bread | 3 ¹ / ₂ |
| 2 | 16 | of water to the dumplins | |
| <hr/> | | | <hr/> |
| | | | Cost 39 ¹ / ₂ creutzers. |

“ The meat being cut fine, or minced, was
 “ mixed with the semel or wheaten bread; and
 “ these with the flour, and a due proportion of
 “ salt, were made into dumplins, and boiled in the
 “ soup.—These dumplins when boiled, weighed
 “ 10 lb. which, divided into 12 equal portions,
 “ gave 20²/₃ loths for each.

“ The soup weighed 15 lb. which gave 1 lb.
 “ 8 loths for each portion.—Of dry ammunition
 “ bread, each person received 1 lb. 8 loths, which
 “ cost 2¹/₂ creutzers.

Recapi-

Recapitulation.

“ Each person received this day

| lb. | loths. | | Creutzers. |
|-------|-----------------|------------------------|---|
| 0 | $20\frac{2}{3}$ | of meat dumplings, and | } $3\frac{1}{4}\frac{3}{8}$ |
| 1 | 8 | of bread soup | |
| 1 | 8 | of ammunition bread | $2\frac{1}{2}$ |
| <hr/> | | | <hr/> |
| 3 | $4\frac{2}{3}$ | of Food | Cost $5\frac{3}{4}\frac{7}{8}$ creutzers. |

“ In *Avoirdupois weight*, and *English money*, it is,

| lb. | oz. | | Pence. |
|-------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 0 | $12\frac{7}{8}\frac{7}{8}$ | of meat dumplings, and | } $1\frac{3}{4}\frac{0}{8}\frac{0}{4}$ |
| 1 | $8\frac{7}{8}\frac{6}{8}$ | of bread soup | |
| 1 | $8\frac{7}{8}\frac{6}{8}$ | of ammunition bread | $0\frac{1}{4}\frac{0}{8}$ |
| <hr/> | | | <hr/> |
| 3 | $14\frac{2}{8}\frac{2}{8}$ | of Food | Cost $2\frac{1}{4}$ pence. |

The results of all these experiments, (and of many more which I could add,) show that the Bavarian soldier can live,—and the fact is that he actually does live,—upon a little more than *two-thirds* of his allowance.—Of the *five creutzers* a-day which he receives in money, he seldom puts more than *two creutzers and an half*, and never more than *three creutzers* into the mess; so that at least *two-fifths* of his pay remains, after he has defrayed all the expences of his subsistence; and as he is furnished with every article of his clothing by the sovereign, and no stoppage is ever permitted to be made of any part of his pay, on any pretence whatever, *there is no soldier in Europe whose situation is more comfortable.*

Though

Though the ammunition bread with which he is furnished is rather coarse and brown, being made of rye-meal, with only a small quantity of the coarser part of the bran separated from it, yet it is not only wholesome, but very nourishing; and for making soup it is even more palatable than wheaten bread. Most of the soldiers, however, in the Elector's service, and particularly those belonging to the Bavarian regiments, make a practice of selling a great part of their allowance of ammunition bread, and with the money they get for it, buy the best wheaten bread that is to be had; and many of them never taste brown bread but in their soup.

The ammunition bread is delivered to the soldiers every fourth day, in loaves, each loaf being equal to two rations; and it is a rule generally established in the messes, for each soldier to furnish one loaf for the use of the mess every twelfth day, so that he has five-sixths of his allowance of bread, which remains at his disposal.

The foregoing account of the manner in which the Bavarian soldiers are fed, will, I think, show most clearly the great importance of making soldiers live together in messes.—It may likewise furnish some useful hints to those who may be engaged in feeding the Poor; or in providing Food for ship's companies, or other bodies of men who are fed in common.

With regard to the expence of fuel in these experiments, as the victuals were cooked in earthen

R

pots,

pots, over an open fire, the consumption of fire-wood was very great.

On the 10th of June, when 9 lb. 30 $\frac{1}{2}$ loths of soup, 1 lb. 28 loths of meat, and 5 lb. 24 loths of bread dumplings, in all 17 lb. 18 $\frac{1}{2}$ of Food were prepared, and the process of cooking, from the time the fire was lighted till the victuals were done, lasted two hours and forty-five minutes, and twenty-nine pounds, Bavarian weight, of fire-wood were consumed.

On the 11th of June, when 11 lb. 26 loths of bread soup, and 8 lb. 8 loths of bread dumplings, in all 20 lb. 2 loths of Food were prepared, the process of cooking lasted one hour and thirty minutes;—and seventeen pounds of wood were consumed.

On the 20th of June, in Serjeant Kein's mess, 15 lb. of soup; 1 lb. 22 loths of meat, and 8 lb. of liver dumplings, in all 24 lb. 22 loths of Food were prepared, and though the process of cooking lasted two hours and forty-five minutes, only 27 $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. of fire-wood were consumed.

On the 21st of June, the same quantity of soup and meat, and 7 lb. of bread dumplings, in all 23 lb. 22 loths of Food were prepared in two hours and thirty minutes, with the consumption of 18 $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. of wood.

On the 22d of June, 15 lb. of soup, and 10 lb. of meat dumplings, in all 25 lb. of Food, were cooked in two hours and forty-five minutes, and the wood consumed was 18 lb. 10 loths.

The

The following table will show, in a striking and satisfactory manner, the expence of fuel in these experiments:

| Date of the Experiments. | Time employed in cooking. | Quantity of Food prepared. | | Quantity of Wood consumed. | Quantity of Wood to 1 lb. of Food. |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| June 1795. | Hours. min. | lb. | loths. | lb. | |
| 10th, | 2 45 | 17 | $18\frac{1}{2}$ | 29 | |
| 11th, | 1 30 | 20 | 2 | 17 | |
| 20th, | 2 45 | 24 | 22 | $17\frac{1}{2}$ | |
| 21st, | 2 30 | 23 | 22 | $18\frac{1}{2}$ | |
| 22d, | 2 45 | 25 | 0 | $18\frac{1}{2}$ | |
| Sums 5 | | 111 | $0\frac{1}{2}$ | $100\frac{1}{2}$ | |
| Means | 2 23 | 22 | $0\frac{1}{2}$ | $20\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{10}{11}$ lb. |

The mean quantity of Food prepared daily in five days being 22 lb. very nearly, and the mean quantity of fire-wood consumed being $20\frac{1}{2}$ lb.; this gives $\frac{10}{11}$ lb. of wood for each pound of Food.

But it has been found by actual experiment, made with the utmost care, in the new kitchen of the House of Industry at Munich, and often repeated, that 600 lb. of Food, (of the Soup No. I. given to the Poor,) may be cooked with the consumption of only 44 lb. of pine-wood. And hence it appears how very great the waste of fuel must be in all culinary processes, as they are commonly performed; for though the time taken up in cooking the soup for the Poor is, at a medium, more than *four hours and a half*, while that employed by the soldiers in their cooking is

less than *two hours and an half*; yet the quantity of fuel consumed by the latter is near *thirteen times* greater than that employed in the public kitchen of the House of Industry.

But I must not anticipate here a matter which is to be the subject of a separate Essay; and which, from its great importance, certainly deserves to be carefully and thoroughly investigated.

C H A P. V.

Of the great Importance of making Soldiers eat together in regular Messes.—The Influence of such economical Arrangements extends even to the moral Character of those who are the Objects of them.—Of the Expence of feeding Soldiers in Messes.—Of the surprising Smallness of the Expence of feeding the Poor at Munich.—Specific Proposals respecting the feeding of the Poor in Great Britain, with Calculations of the Expence, at the present Prices of Provisions.

ALL those who have been conversant in military affairs must have had frequent opportunities of observing the striking difference there is, even in the appearance of the men, between regiments in which messes are established, and Food is regularly provided under the care and inspection of the Officers; and others, in which the soldiers are left individually to shift for themselves. And the difference which may be observed between soldiers who live in messes, and are regularly fed, and others who are not, is not confined merely to their external appearance: the influence of these causes extends much farther, and even the *moral character* of the man is affected by them.

Peace of mind, which is as essential to contentment and happiness as it is to virtue, depends much upon order and regularity in the common affairs of life; and in no case are order and method more necessary to happiness, (and consequently to virtue,) than in that, where the preservation of health is connected with the satisfying of hunger; an appetite whose cravings are sometimes as inordinate as they are insatiable.

Peace of mind depends likewise much upon economy, or the means used for preventing pecuniary embarrassments; and the savings to soldiers in providing Food, which arise from housekeeping in messes of ten or twelve persons who live together, is very great indeed.

But great as these savings now are, I think they might be made still more considerable; and I shall give my reasons for this opinion.

Though the Bavarian soldiers live at a very small expence, little more than *two-pence* sterling a-day, yet when I compare this sum, small as it is, with the expence of feeding the Poor in the House of Industry at Munich, which does not amount to more than *two farthings* a-day, even including the cost of the piece of dry rye-bread, weighing seven ounces Avoirdupois *, which is given them in their hands,

* For each 100 lb. Bavarian weight, (equal to $123\frac{84}{100}$ lb. Avoirdupois,) of rye-meal, which the baker receives from the magazine, he is obliged to deliver sixty-four loaves of bread, each loaf weighing 2 lb. $5\frac{1}{2}$ loths; equal to 2 lb. 10 oz. Avoirdupois;—and as each loaf is divided into six portions, this gives seven ounces Avoirdupois for each portion. Hence it appears that 100 lb. of rye-meal give
149 lb.

hands, at dinner, but which they seldom eat at dinner, but commonly carry home in their pockets for their suppers;—when I compare, I say, this small sum, with the daily expence of the soldiers for their subsistence, I find reason to conclude, either that the soldiers might be fed cheaper, or that the Poor must be absolutely starved upon their allowance. That the latter is not the case, the healthy countenances of the Poor, and the air of placid contentment which always accompanies them, as well in the dining-hall as in their working-rooms, affords at the same time the most interesting and most satisfactory proof possible.

Were they to go home in the course of the day, it might be suspected that they got something at home to eat, in addition to what they receive from the public kitchen of the Establishment;—but this they seldom or ever do; and they come to the house so early in the morning, and leave it so late at night, that it does not seem probable that they could find time to cook any thing at their own lodgings.

Some of them, I know, make a constant practice of giving themselves a treat of a pint of beer at night, after they have finished their work; but I do not believe they have any thing else for their

149 lb. of bread; for sixty-four loaves, at 2 lb. $5\frac{1}{2}$ loths each, weigh 149 lb.—When this bread is reckoned at two creutzers a Bavarian pound, (which is about what it costs at a medium,) one portion costs just $\frac{1}{6}$ of a creutzer, or $\frac{1}{512}$ of a penny sterling, which is something less than one farthing.

suppers, except it be the bread which they carry home from the House of Industry.

I must confess, however, very fairly, that it always appeared to me quite surprising, and that it is still a mystery which I do not clearly understand, how it is possible for these poor people to be so comfortably fed upon the small allowances which they receive.—The facts, however, are not only certain, but they are notorious. Many persons of the most respectable character in this country, (Great Britain,) as well as upon the Continent, who have visited the House of Industry at Munich, can bear witness to their authenticity; and they are surely not the less interesting for being extraordinary.

It must however be remembered, that what formerly cost *two farthings* in Bavaria, at the mean price of provisions in that country, costs *three* farthings at this present moment; and would probably cost *six* in London, and in most other parts of Great Britain: but still, it will doubtless appear almost incredible, that a comfortable and nourishing meal, sufficient for satisfying the hunger of a strong man, may be furnished in London, and at this very moment, when provisions of all kinds are so remarkably dear, at *less than three farthings*. The fact, however, is most certain, and may easily be demonstrated by making the experiment.

Supposing that it should be necessary, in feeding the Poor in this country, to furnish them with
three

three meals a-day, even that might be done at a very small expence, were the system of feeding them adopted which is here proposed. The amount of that expence would be as follows:

| | Pence. Farths. | |
|---|----------------|----|
| <i>For breakfast</i> , 20 ounces of the Soup No. II. composed of pearl barley, peas, potatoes, and fine wheaten bread (See page 210.) | 0 | 2½ |
| <i>For dinner</i> , 20 ounces of the same Soup, and 7 ounces of rye-bread — — | 1 | 2 |
| <i>For supper</i> , 20 ounces of the same Soup — | 0 | 2½ |
| <hr/> | | |
| In all 4 lb. 3 oz. of Food *, which would cost | 2 | 3 |

Should it be thought necessary to give a little meat at dinner, this may best be done by mixing it, cut fine, or minced, in bread dumplings; or when bacon, or any kind of salted or smoked meat is given, to cut it fine and mix it with the bread which is eaten in the soup. If the bread be fried, the Food will be much improved; but this will be attended with some additional expence.—Rye-bread is as good, if not better, for frying, than bread made of wheat flour; and it is commonly not half so dear.—Perhaps rye-bread fried might be furnished almost as cheap as wheaten bread not fried; and if this could be done, it would certainly be a very great improvement.

* This allowance is evidently much too large; but I was willing to show what the expence of feeding the Poor would be at the *highest* calculation. I have estimated the 7 ounces of rye-bread, mentioned above, at what it ought to cost when rye is 7s. 6d. the bushel, its present price in London.

There

There is another way by which these cheap soups may be made exceedingly palatable and savoury;—which is by mixing with them a very small quantity of *red herrings*, minced very fine, or pounded in a mortar.—There is no kind of cheap Food, I believe, that has so much taste as red herrings, or that communicates its flavour with so much liberality to other eatables; and to most palates it is remarkably agreeable.

Cheese may likewise be made use of for giving an agreeable relish to these soups; and a very small quantity of it will be sufficient for that purpose, provided it has a strong taste, and is properly applied.—It should be grated to a powder with a grater, and a small quantity of this powder thrown over the soup, *after it is dished out*.—This is frequently done at the sumptuous tables of the rich, and is thought a great delicacy; while the Poor, who have so few enjoyments, have not been taught to avail themselves of this, which is so much within their reach.

Those whose avocations call them to visit distant countries, and those whose fortune enables them to travel for their amusement or improvement, have many opportunities of acquiring useful information; and in consequence of this intercourse with strangers, many improvements, and more *refinements*, have been introduced into this country; but the most important advantages that *might* be derived from an intimate knowledge of the manners and customs of different nations,—the introduction of improvements tending to facilitate the
means

means of subsistence, and to increase the comforts and conveniences of the most necessitous and most numerous classes of society,—have been, alas! little attended to. Our extensive commerce enables us to procure, and we do actually import most of the valuable commodities which are the produce either of the soil of the ocean, or of the industry of man in all the various regions of the habitable globe;—*but the result of the EXPERIENCE OF AGES respecting the use that can be made of those commodities* has seldom been thought worth importing! I never see *maccaroni* in England, or *polenta* in Germany, upon the tables of the rich, without lamenting that those cheap and wholesome luxuries should be monopolized by those who stand least in need of them; while the Poor, who, one would think, ought to be considered as having almost an *exclusive* right to them, (as they were both invented by the Poor of a neighbouring nation,) are kept in perfect ignorance of them.

But these two kinds of Food are so palatable, wholesome, and nourishing, and may be provided so easily, and at so very cheap a rate in all countries, and particularly in Great Britain, that I think I cannot do better than to devote a few pages to the examination of them;—and I shall begin with *Polenta*, or *Indian corn*, as it is called in this country.

C H A P. VI.

Of INDIAN CORN.—It affords the cheapest and most nourishing Food known.—Proofs that it is more nourishing than Rice.—Different Ways of preparing or cooking it.—Computation of the Expence of feeding a Person with it, founded on Experiment.—Approved Receipt for making an INDIAN PUDDING.

I CANNOT help increasing the length of this Essay much beyond the bounds I originally assigned to it, in order to have an opportunity of recommending a kind of Food which I believe to be beyond comparison the most nourishing, cheapest, and most wholesome that can be procured for feeding the Poor.—This is Indian Corn, a most valuable production; and which grows in almost all climates; and though it does not succeed remarkably well in Great Britain, and in some parts of Germany, yet it may easily be had in great abundance, from other countries; and commonly at a very low rate.

The common people in the northern parts of Italy live almost entirely upon it; and throughout the whole Continent of America it makes a principal article of Food.—In Italy it is called *Polenta*, where it is prepared or cooked in a variety of ways, and forms the basis of a number of very nourishing dishes.—The most common way however of using it in that country is to grind it
into

into meal, and with water to make it into a thick kind of pudding, like what in this country is called a hasty-pudding, which is eaten with various kinds of sauce, and sometimes without any sauce.

In the northern parts of North America, the common household bread throughout the country is composed of one part of Indian meal and one part of rye meal; and I much doubt whether a more wholesome, or more nourishing kind of bread can be made.

Rice is universally allowed to be very nourishing,—much more so even than wheat; but there is a circumstance well known to all those who are acquainted with the details of feeding the negro slaves in the southern states of North America, and in the West Indies, that would seem to prove, in a very decisive and satisfactory manner, that *Indian Corn is even more nourishing than rice.*—In those countries, where rice and Indian Corn are both produced in the greatest abundance, the negroes have frequently had their option between these two kinds of Food; and have invariably preferred the latter.—The reasons they give for this preference they express in strong, though not in very delicate terms.—They say that “*Rice turns to water in their bellies, and runs off;*”—but “*Indian Corn stays with them, and makes strong to work.*”

This account of the preference which negroes give to Indian Corn for Food, and of their reasons for this preference, was communicated to me by two gentlemen of most respectable character, well known in England, and now resident in London, who

who were formerly planters ; one in Georgia, and the other in Jamaica.

The nutritive quality which Indian Corn possesses, in a most eminent degree, when employed for fattening hogs and poultry, and for giving strength to working oxen, has long been universally known and acknowledged in every part of North America ; and nobody in that country thinks of employing any other grain for those purposes.

All these facts prove to a demonstration that India Corn possesses very extraordinary nutritive powers ; and it is well known that there is no species of grain that can be had so cheap, or in so great abundance ;—it is therefore well worthy the attention of those who are engaged in providing cheap and wholesome Food for the Poor,—or in taking measures for warding off the evils which commonly attend a general scarcity of provisions, to consider in time, how this useful article of Food may be procured in large quantities, and how the introduction of it into common use can most easily be effected.

In regard to the manner of using Indian Corn, there are a vast variety of different ways in which it may be prepared, or cooked, in order to its being used as Food.—One simple and obvious way of using it, is to mix it with wheat, rye, or barley meal, in making bread ; but when it is used for making bread, and particularly when it is mixed with wheat flour, it will greatly improve the quality of the bread if the Indian meal, (the coarser part of the bran being first separated from it by sifting,) be previously mixed with water, and boiled for a
confi-

considerable length of time,—two or three hours for instance, over a slow fire, before the other meal or flour is added to it.—This boiling, which, if the proper quantity of water is employed, will bring the mass to the consistency of a thin pudding, will effectually remove a certain disagreeable *raw taste* in the Indian Corn, which simple baking will not entirely take away; and the wheat flour being mixed with this pudding after it has been taken from the fire and cooled, and the whole well kneaded together, may be made to rise, and be formed into loaves, and baked into bread, with the same facility that bread is made of wheat flour alone, or of any mixtures of different kinds of meal.

When the Indian meal is previously prepared by boiling, in the manner here described, a most excellent, and very palatable kind of bread, not inferior to wheaten bread, may be made of equal parts of this meal and of common wheat flour.

But the most simple, and I believe the best, and most economical way of employing Indian Corn as Food, is to make it into puddings.—There is, as I have already observed, a certain rawness in the taste of it, which nothing but long boiling can remove; but when that disagreeable taste is removed, it becomes extremely palatable; and that it is remarkably wholesome, has been proved by so much experience that no doubts can possibly be entertained of that fact.

The culture of it requires more labour than most other kinds of grain; but, on the other hand, the produce is very abundant, and it is always much cheaper than either wheat or rye.—The
price

price of it in the Carolinas, and in Georgia, has often been as low as eighteen pence, and sometimes as *one shilling* sterling *per* bushel;—but the Indian Corn which is grown in those southern states is much inferior, both in weight and in its qualities, to that which is the produce of colder climates.—Indian Corn of the growth of Canada, and the New England states, which is generally thought to be worth twenty *per cent.* more *per* bushel than that which is grown in the southern states, may commonly be bought for two and sixpence, or three shillings a bushel.

It is now three shillings and sixpence a bushel at Boston; but the prices of provisions of all kinds have been much raised of late in all parts of America, owing to the uncommonly high prices which are paid for them in the European markets since the commencement of the present war.

Indian Corn and rye are very nearly of the same weight, but the former gives rather more flour, when ground and sifted, than the latter.—I find by a report of the Board of Agriculture, of the 10th of November 1795, that three bushels of Indian Corn weighed 1 cwt. 1 qr. 18 lb. (or 53 lb. each bushel), and gave 1 cwt. 20 lb. of flour and 26 lb. of bran; while three bushels of rye, weighing 1 cwt. 1 qr. 22 lb. (or 54 lb. the bushel), gave only 1 cwt. 17 lb. of flour and 28 lb. of bran.—But I much suspect that the Indian Corn used in these experiments was not of the best quality*.

I saw some of it, and it appeared to me to be of that kind which is commonly grown in the

* Farther inquiries which have since been made, have proved that these suspicions were not without foundation.

southern states of North America.—Indian Corn of the growth of colder climates is, probably, at least as heavy as wheat, which weighs at a medium about 58 lb. *per* bushel, and I imagine it will give nearly as much flour*.

In regard to the most advantageous method of using Indian Corn as Food, I would strongly recommend, particularly when it is employed for feeding the Poor, a dish made of it that is in the highest estimation throughout America, and which is really very good, and very nourishing. This is called *hasty-pudding*; and it is made in the following manner: A quantity of water, proportioned to the quantity of *hasty-pudding* intended to be made, is put over the fire in an open iron pot, or kettle, and a proper quantity of salt for seasoning the pudding being previously dissolved in the water, Indian meal is stirred into it, by little and little, with a wooden spoon with a long handle, while the water goes on to be heated and made to boil;—great care being taken to put in the meal by very small quantities, and by sifting it slowly through the fingers of the left hand, and stirring the water about very briskly at the same time with the

* Since writing the above, I have had an opportunity of ascertaining, in the most decisive and satisfactory manner, the facts relative to the weight of Indian Corn of the growth of the northern states of America. A friend of mine, an American gentleman, resident in London, (George Erving, Esq. of Great George-street, Hanover-square,) who, in common with the rest of his countrymen, still retains a liking for Indian Corn, and imports it regularly every year from America, has just received a fresh supply of it, by one of the last ships which has arrived from Boston in New England; and at my desire he weighed a bushel of it, and found it to weigh 61 lb.: It cost him at Boston three shillings and sixpence sterling the bushel.

wooden spoon, with the right hand, to mix the meal with the water in such a manner as to prevent lumps being formed.—The meal should be added so slowly, that, when the water is brought to boil, the mass should not be thicker than water-gruel, and half an hour more, at least, should be employed to add the additional quantity of meal necessary for bringing the pudding to be of the proper consistency; during which time it should be stirred about continually, and kept constantly boiling.—The method of determining when the pudding has acquired the proper consistency is this;—the wooden spoon used for stirring it being placed upright in the middle of the kettle, if it falls down, more meal must be added; but if the pudding is sufficiently thick and adhesive to support it in a vertical position, it is declared to be *proof*; and no more meal is added.—If the boiling, instead of being continued only half an hour, be prolonged to three quarters of an hour, or an hour, the pudding will be considerably improved by this prolongation.

This hasty-pudding, when done, may be eaten in various ways.—It may be put, while hot, by spoonfuls into a bowl of milk, and eaten with the milk with a spoon, in lieu of bread; and used in this way it is remarkably palatable.—It may likewise be eaten, while hot, with a sauce composed of butter and brown sugar, or butter and molasses, with or without a few drops of vinegar; and however people who have not been accustomed to this American cookery may be prejudiced against it, they will find upon trial that it makes a most excellent

cellent dish, and one which never fails to be much liked by those who are accustomed to it.—The universal fondness of Americans for it proves that it must have some merit;—for in a country which produces all the delicacies of the table in the greatest abundance, it is not to be supposed that a whole nation should have a taste so depraved as to give a decided preference to any particular species of Food which has not something to recommend it.

The manner in which hasty-pudding is eaten with butter and sugar, or butter and molasses, in America, is as follows: The hasty-pudding being spread out equally upon a plate, while hot, an excavation is made in the middle of it, with a spoon, into which excavation a piece of butter, as large as a nutmeg, is put; and upon it, a spoonful of brown sugar, or more commonly of molasses.—The butter being soon melted by the heat of the pudding, mixes with the sugar, or molasses, and forms a sauce, which, being confined in the excavation made for it, occupies the middle of the plate.—The pudding is then eaten with a spoon, each spoonful of it being dipt into the sauce before it is carried to the mouth; care being had in taking it up, to begin on the outside, or near the brim of the plate, and to approach the center by regular advances, in order not to demolish too soon the excavation which forms the reservoir for the sauce.

If I am prolix in these descriptions, my reader must excuse me; for persuaded as I am that the action of Food upon the palate, and consequently the pleasure of eating, depends very much indeed

upon the *manner* in which the Food is applied to the organs of taste, I have thought it necessary to mention, and even to illustrate in the clearest manner, every circumstance which appeared to me to have influence in producing those important effects.

In the case in question, as it is the fauce alone which gives taste and palatableness to the Food, and consequently is the cause of the pleasure enjoyed in eating it, the importance of applying, or using it, in such a manner as to produce the greatest and most durable effect possible on the organs of taste, is quite evident; and in the manner of eating this Food which has here been described and recommended, the small quantity of fauce used, (and the quantity must be small, as it is the expensive article,) is certainly applied to the palate more immediately;—by a greater surface;—and in a state of greater condensation;—and consequently acts upon it more powerfully;—and continues to act upon it for a greater length of time, than it could well be made to do when used in any other way.—Were it more intimately mixed with the pudding, for instance, instead of being merely applied to its external surface, its action would certainly be much less powerful; and were it poured over the pudding, or was proper care not taken to keep it confined in the little excavation or reservoir made in the midst of the pudding to contain it, much of it would attach itself and adhere to the surface of the plate, and be lost.

Hasty-pudding has this in particular to recommend it,—and which renders it singularly useful

as Food for poor families,—that when more of it is made at once than is immediately wanted, what remains may be preserved good for several days, and a number of very palatable dishes may be made of it.—It may be cut in thin slices, and toasted before the fire, or on a gridiron, and eaten instead of bread, either in milk, or in any kind of soup or pottage; or with any other kind of Food with which bread is commonly eaten; or it may be eaten cold, without any preparation, with a warm sauce made of butter, molasses, or sugar, and a little vinegar.—In this last-mentioned way of eating it, it is quite as palatable, and I believe more wholesome, than when eaten warm; that is to say, when it is first made.—It may likewise be put cold, without any preparation, into hot milk; and this mixture is by no means unpalatable, particularly if it be suffered to remain in the milk till it is warmed throughout, or if it be boiled in the milk for a few moments.

A favourite dish in America, and a very good one, is made of cold boiled cabbage chopped fine, with a small quantity of cold boiled beef, and slices of cold hafty-pudding, all fried together in butter or hog's lard.

Though hafty-puddings are commonly made of Indian meal, yet it is by no means uncommon to make them of equal parts of Indian, and of rye meal;—and they are sometimes made of rye meal alone; or of rye meal and wheat flour mixed.

To give a satisfactory idea of the expence of preparing hafty-puddings in this country, (England,)

land,) and of feeding the Poor with them, I made the following experiment:—About 2 pints of water, which weighed just 2 lb. Avoirdupois, were put over the fire in a saucepan of a proper size, and 58 grains in weight or $\frac{1}{120}$ of a pound of salt being added, the water was made to boil.—During the time that it was heating, small quantities of Indian meal were stirred into it, and care was taken, by moving the water briskly about, with a wooden spoon, to prevent the meal from being formed into lumps; and as often as any lumps were observed, they were carefully broken with the spoon;—the boiling was then continued half an hour, and during this time the pudding was continually stirred about with the wooden spoon, and so much more meal was added as was found necessary to bring the pudding to be of the proper consistency.

This being done, it was taken from the fire and weighed, and was found to weigh just 1 lb. $11\frac{1}{2}$ oz.—Upon weighing the meal which remained, (the quantity first provided having been exactly determined by weight in the beginning of the experiment,) it was found that just *half a pound* of meal had been used.

From the result of this experiment it appears, that for each pound of Indian meal employed in making hasty-puddings, we may reckon 3 lb. 9 oz. of the pudding.—And the expence of providing this kind of Food, or the cost of it by the pound, at the present high price of grain in this country, may be seen by the following computation:

Half

| | £. | s. | d. |
|---|----|----|-------------------|
| Half a pound of Indian meal, (the quantity used in the foregoing experiment,) at 2d. a pound or 7 s. 6 d. a bushel for the corn, (the price stated in the report of the Board of Agriculture of the 10th of November 1795, so often referred to,) costs | | 0 | 0 1 |
| 58 grains or $\frac{1}{128}$ of a pound of salt, at 2 d. per pound | | 0 | 0 $\frac{1}{28}$ |
| Total, | | 0 | 0 $1\frac{1}{28}$ |

Now, as the quantity of pudding prepared with these ingredients was 1 lb $11\frac{1}{2}$ oz. and the cost of the ingredients amounted to *one penny and one sixtieth of a penny*, this gives for the cost of one pound of hasty-pudding $\frac{7\frac{1}{2}}{128}$ of a penny, or $2\frac{1}{4}$ farthings, very nearly.—It must however be remembered that the Indian Corn is here reckoned at a very exorbitant price indeed*.

But before it can be determined what the expence will be of feeding the Poor with this kind of Food, it will be necessary to ascertain how much of it will be required to give a comfortable meal to one person; and how much the expence will be of providing the fauce for that quantity of pudding.—To determine these two points with some degree of precision, I made the following experiment:—Having taken my breakfast, consisting of two dishes of coffee, with cream, and a dry toast,

* The price of Indian meal as it is here estimated,—(2d. a pound,)—is at least twice as much as it would cost in Great Britain in common years, if care was taken to import it at the cheapest rate.

at my usual hour of breakfasting, (nine o'clock in the morning,) and having fasted from that time till five o'clock in the afternoon, I then dined upon my hasty-pudding, with the American sauce already described, and I found, after my appetite for Food was perfectly satisfied, and I felt that I had made a comfortable dinner, that I had eaten just 1 lb. $1\frac{1}{2}$ oz. of the pudding; and the ingredients, of which the sauce which was eaten with it was composed, were half an ounce of butter; three quarters of an ounce of molasses; and 21 grains or $\frac{1}{32}$ of a pint of vinegar.

The cost of this dinner may be seen by the following computation:

For the Pudding.

| | | |
|---|---|----------------|
| 1 lb. $1\frac{1}{2}$ oz. of hasty-pudding, at | } | Farthings, |
| $2\frac{1}{3}$ farthings a pound | | $2\frac{1}{2}$ |
| | | — |

For the Sauce.

| | | |
|--|---|------------------|
| Half an ounce of butter, at 10 d. | } | 1 $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| per pound | | |
| Three quarters of an ounce of | } | 1 |
| molasses, at 6d. per pound | | |
| $\frac{1}{32}$ of a pint of vinegar, at 2 s. | } | 0 $\frac{1}{16}$ |
| 8 d. the gallon | | |
| | | — |

Total for the Sauce, $2\frac{5}{16}$ farthings.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Sum total of expences for this | } | $4\frac{13}{16}$ farthings. |
| dinner, for the pudding and | | |
| its sauce | | |

Or something less than one penny farthing.

I believe it would not be easy to provide a dinner in London, at this time, when provisions of
all

all kinds are so dear, equally grateful to the palate and satisfying to the cravings of hunger, at a smaller expence.—And that this meal was sufficient for all the purposes of nourishment appears from hence, that though I took my usual exercise, and did not sup after it, I neither felt any particular faintness, nor any unusual degree of appetite for my breakfast next morning.

I have been the more particular in my account of this experiment, to show in what manner experiments of this kind ought, in my opinion, to be conducted;—and also to induce others to engage in these most useful investigations.

It will not escape the observation of the reader, that small as the expence was of providing this dinner, yet very near one-half of that sum was laid out in purchasing the ingredients for the sauce.—But it is probable that a considerable part of that expence might be saved.—In Italy, *polenta*, which is nothing more than hasty-pudding made with Indian meal and water, is very frequently, and I believe commonly eaten without any sauce, and when on holidays or other extraordinary occasions they indulge themselves by adding a sauce to it, this sauce is far from expensive.—It is commonly nothing more than a very small quantity of butter spread over the flat surface of the hot polenta which is spread out thin in a large platter; with a little Parmezan or other strong cheese, reduced to a coarse powder by grating it with a grater, strewed over it.

Perhaps this Italian sauce might be more agreeable to an English palate than that commonly

used in America. It would certainly be less expensive, as much less butter would be required, and as cheese in this country is plenty and cheap. But whatever may be the sauce used with Food prepared of Indian Corn, I cannot too strongly recommend the use of that grain.

While I was employed in making my experiment upon hasty-pudding, I learnt from my servant, (a Bavarian,) who assisted me, a fact which gave me great pleasure, as it served to confirm me in the opinion I have long entertained of the great merit of Indian Corn.—He assured me that polenta is much esteemed by the peasantry in Bavaria, and that it makes a very considerable article of their Food; that it comes from Italy through the Tyrol; and that it is commonly sold in Bavaria *at the same price as wheat flour!* Can there be stronger proofs of its merit?

The negroes in America prefer it to rice; and the Bavarian peasants to wheat.—Why then should not the inhabitants of this island like it? It will not, I hope, be pretended, that it is in this favoured soil alone that prejudices take such deep root that they are never to be eradicated, or that there is any thing peculiar in the construction of the palate of an Englishman.

The objection that may be made to Indian Corn,—that it does not thrive well in this country,—is of no weight. The same objection might, with equal reason, be made to rice, and twenty other articles of Food now in common use.

It has ever been considered, by those versed in the science of political economy, as an object of the
first

first importance to keep down the prices of provisions, particularly in manufacturing and commercial countries;—and if there be a country on earth where this ought to be done, it is surely Great Britain:—and there is certainly no country which has the means of doing it so much in its power.

But the progress of national improvements must be very slow, however favorable other circumstances may be, where those citizens, who, by their rank and situation in society, are destined to direct the public opinion, *affect* to consider the national prejudices as unconquerable*.—But to return to the subject immediately under consideration.

Though hasty-pudding is, I believe, the cheapest Food that can be prepared with Indian Corn, yet several other very cheap dishes may be made of it, which in general are considered as being more palatable, and which, most probably, would be preferred in this country; and among these, what in America is called a *plain Indian pudding* certainly holds the first place, and can hardly fail to be much liked by those, who will be persuaded to try it.—It is not only cheap and wholesome, but a great delicacy; and it is principally on account of these puddings that the Americans, who reside in this country, import annually for their own consumption Indian Corn from the Continent of America.

* Those who dislike trouble, and feel themselves called upon by duty and honor to take an active part in undertakings for the public good, are extremely apt to endeavour to excuse,—to themselves as well as to the world,—their inactivity and supineness, by representing the undertaking in question as being so very difficult as to make all hope of success quite chimerical and ridiculous.

In order to be able to give the most particular and satisfactory information respecting the manner of preparing these Indian puddings, I caused one of them to be made here, (in London,) under my immediate direction, by a person born and brought up in North America, and who understands perfectly the American art of cookery in all its branches *. This pudding, which was allowed by competent judges who tasted it to be as good as they had ever eaten, was composed and prepared in the following manner:

Approved Receipt for making a plain Indian Pudding.

Three pounds of Indian meal (from which the bran had been separated by sifting it in a common hair sieve) were put into a large bowl, and *five pints of boiling water* were put to it, and the whole well stirred together; *three quarters of a pound of molasses* and *one ounce of salt* were then added to it, and these being well mixed, by stirring them with the other ingredients, the pudding was poured into a fit bag; and the bag being tied up, (an empty space being left in the bag in tying it, equal to about one-sixth of its contents, for giving room for the pudding to swell,) this pudding was put into a kettle of *boiling water*, and was boiled *six hours* without intermission; the loss of the water in the kettle by evaporation during this time being frequently replaced with *boiling water* from another kettle.

* The Housekeeper of my friend and countryman, Sir William Pepperel, Bart. of Upper Seymour Street, Portman Square.

The pudding upon being taken out of the bag weighed *ten pounds and one ounce*; and it was found to be perfectly done, not having the smallest remains of that raw taste so disagreeable to all palates, and particularly to those who are not used to it, which always predominates in dishes prepared of Indian meal when they are not sufficiently cooked.

As this raw taste is the only well-founded objection that can be made to this most useful grain, and is, I am persuaded, the only cause which makes it disliked by those who are not accustomed to it, I would advise those who may attempt to introduce it into common use, where it is not known, to begin with Indian (bag) puddings, such as I have here been describing; and that this is a very cheap kind of Food will be evident from the following computation:

Expence of preparing the Indian Pudding above mentioned.

| | Pence. | Pence. |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| 3 lb. of Indian meal at ——— | 1½ | 4½ |
| ½ lb. of molasses at ——— | 6 | 4½ |
| 1 oz. of salt at 2d. per lb. ——— | — | 0½ |
| Total for the ingredients, | | 9½ |

As this pudding weighed $10\frac{1}{8}$ lbs. and the ingredients cost *nine pence and half a farthing*, this gives *three farthings and an half* for each pound of pudding.

It will be observed, that in this computation I have reckoned the Indian meal at no more than 1½d per pound, whereas in the calculation which was given to determine the expence of preparing hafty-pudding

pudding it was taken at *two pence* a pound. I have here reckoned it at $1\frac{1}{2}$ d. a pound, because I am persuaded it might be had here in London for that price, and even for less.—That which has lately been imported from Boston has not cost so much; and were it not for the present universal scarcity of provisions in Europe, which has naturally raised the price of grain in North America, I have no doubt but Indian meal might be had in this country for less than *one penny farthing per pound*.

In composing the Indian pudding above mentioned, the molasses is charged at 6d. the pound, but that price is very exorbitant. A gallon of molasses weighing about 10 lbs. commonly costs in the West Indies from 7d. to 9d. sterling; and allowing sufficiently for the expences of freight, insurance, and a fair profit for the merchant, it certainly ought not to cost in London more than 1s. 8d. the gallon*; and this would bring it to 2d. *per pound*.

If we take the prices of Indian meal and molasses as they are here ascertained, and compute the expence of the ingredients for the pudding before mentioned, it will be as follows:—

| | Pence. | Pence. |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3 lb. of Indian meal at ——— | $1\frac{1}{2}$ | $3\frac{3}{4}$ |
| $\frac{3}{4}$ lb. of molasses at ——— | 2 | $1\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1 oz. salt at 2d. <i>per lb.</i> ——— | — | $0\frac{1}{8}$ |
| | Total, | $5\frac{3}{8}$ |

Now as the pudding weighed $10\frac{1}{16}$ lbs. this gives *two farthings*, very nearly, for each pound of pud-

* Molasses imported from the French West India Islands into the American States is commonly sold there from 12d. to 14d. the gallon.
ding;

ding; which is certainly very cheap indeed, particularly when the excellent qualities of the Food are considered.

This pudding, which ought to come out of the bag sufficiently hard to retain its form, and even to be cut into slices, is so rich and palatable, that it may very well be eaten without any sauce; but those who can afford it commonly eat it with butter. A slice of the pudding, about half an inch, or three quarters of an inch in thickness, being laid hot upon a plate, an excavation is made in the middle of it, with the point of the knife, into which a small piece of butter, as large perhaps as a nutmeg, is put, and where it soon melts. To expedite the melting of the butter, the small piece of pudding which is cut out of the middle of the slice to form the excavation for receiving the butter, is frequently laid over the butter for a few moments, and is taken away (and eaten) as soon as the butter is melted. If the butter is not salt enough, a little salt is put into it after it is melted. The pudding is to be eaten with a knife and fork, beginning at the circumference of the slice, and approaching regularly towards the center, each piece of pudding being taken up with the fork, and dipped into the butter, or dipped into it *in part only*, as is commonly the case, before it is carried to the mouth.

To those who are accustomed to view objects upon a great scale, and who are too much employed in directing *what* ought to be done, to descend to those humble investigations which are
necessary

necessary to show *how* it is to be effected, these details will doubtless appear trifling and ridiculous; but as my mind is strongly impressed with the importance of giving the most minute and circumstantial information respecting the *manner of performing* any operation, however simple it may be, to which people have not been accustomed, I must beg the indulgence of those who may not feel themselves particularly interested in these descriptions.

In regard to the amount of the expence for sauce for a *plain Indian (bag) pudding*,—I have found that when butter is used for that purpose, (and no other sauce ought ever to be used with it,) *half an ounce* of butter will suffice for *one pound* of the pudding.—It is very possible to contrive matters so as to use much more;—perhaps twice, or three times as much;—but if the directions relative to the *manner* of eating this Food, which have already been given, are strictly followed, the allowance of butter here determined will be quite sufficient for the purpose for which it is designed; that is to say, for giving an agreeable relish to the pudding.—Those who are particularly fond of butter may use three quarters of an ounce of it with a pound of the pudding; but I am certain, that to use an ounce would be to waste it to no purpose whatever.

If now we reckon Irish, or other firkin butter, (which, as it is salted, is the best that can be used,) at eight pence the pound, the sauce for one pound of pudding, namely, half an ounce of butter, will cost just *one farthing*; and this, added to the cost of the
pudding,

pudding, *two farthings* the pound, gives *three farthings* for the cost by the pound of this kind of food, *with its sauce*; and, as this food is not only very rich and nutritive, but satisfying at the same time in a very remarkable degree, it appears how well calculated it is for feeding the Poor.

It should be remembered, that the molasses used as an ingredient in these Indian puddings, does not serve merely to give taste to them;—it acts a still more important part;—it gives what, in the language of the kitchen, is called *lightness*.—It is a substitute for eggs, and nothing but eggs can serve as a substitute for it, except it be treacle; which, in fact, is a kind of molasses; or perhaps coarse brown sugar, which has nearly the same properties.—It prevents the pudding from being heavy, and clammy; and without communicating to it any disagreeable sweet taste, or any thing of that flavour peculiar to molasses, gives it a richness uncommonly pleasing to the palate. And to this we may add, that it is nutritive in a very extraordinary degree.—This is a fact well known in all countries where sugar is made.

How far the laws and regulations of trade existing in this country might render it difficult to procure molasses from those places where it may be had at the cheapest rate, I know not;—nor can I tell how far the free importation of it might be detrimental to our public finances;—I cannot, however, help thinking, that it is so great an object to this country to keep down the prices of provisions, or rather to check the alarming celerity
T with

with which they are rising, that means ought to be found to facilitate the importation, and introduction into common use; of an article of Food of such extensive utility. It might serve to correct in some measure, the baleful influence of another article of foreign produce, (tea,) which is doing infinite harm in this island.

A point of great importance in preparing an Indian pudding, is to boil it *properly* and *sufficiently*. The water must be actually boiling when the pudding is put into it; and it never must be suffered to cease boiling for a moment, till it is done; and if the pudding is not boiled full six hours, it will not be sufficiently cooked.—Its hardness, when done, will depend on the space left in the bag for its expansion. The consistency of the pudding ought to be such, that it can be taken out of the bag without falling to pieces;—but it is always better, on many accounts, to make it too hard than too soft. The form of the pudding may be that of a cylinder; or rather of a truncated cone, the largest end being towards the mouth of the bag, in order that it may be got out of the bag with greater facility; or it may be made of a globular form, by tying it up in a napkin.—But whatever is the form of the pudding, the bag, or napkin in which it is to be boiled, must be wet in boiling water before the pudding, (which is quite liquid before it is boiled,) is poured into it; otherwise it will be apt to run through the cloth.

Though this pudding is so good, perfectly plain, when made according to the directions here given,
that

that I do not think it capable of any real improvement; yet there are various additions that may be made to it, and that frequently are made to it, which may perhaps be thought by some to render it more palatable, or otherwise to improve it. *Suet* may, for instance, be added, and there is no *fuet* pudding whatever superior to it; and as no sauce is necessary with a *fuet* pudding, the expence for the *fuet* will be nearly balanced by the saving of butter. To a pudding of the size of that just described, in the composition of which three pounds of Indian meal were used, one pound of *fuet* will be sufficient; and this, in general, will not cost more than from five pence to six pence, even in London;—and the butter for sauce to a plain pudding of the same size would cost nearly as much. The *fuet* pudding will indeed be rather the cheapest of the two, for the pound of *fuet* will add a pound in weight to the pudding;—whereas the butter will only add five ounces.

As the pudding, made plain, weighing $10\frac{1}{8}$ lb. cost $5\frac{1}{8}$ pence, the same pudding, with the addition of one pound of *fuet*, would weigh $11\frac{1}{8}$ lb. and would cost $11\frac{1}{8}$ pence,—reckoning the *fuet* at six pence the pound.—Hence it appears that Indian *fuet* pudding may be made in London for about *one penny* a pound. Wheaten bread, which is by no means so palatable, and certainly not half so nutritive, now costs something more than three pence the pound: and to this may be added, that dry bread can hardly be eaten alone; but of *fuet* pudding a very comfortable meal may be made without any thing else.

A pudding in great repute in all parts of North America, is what is called an *apple pudding*. This is an Indian pudding, sometimes with, and sometimes without fuet, with dried cuttings of sweet apples mixed with it; and when eaten with butter, it is most delicious Food. These apples, which are pared as soon as they are gathered from the tree, and being cut into small pieces, are freed from their cores, and thoroughly dried in the sun, may be kept good for several years. The proportions of the ingredients used in making these apple puddings are various; but, in general, about one pound of dried apples is mixed with three pounds of meal,—three quarters of a pound of molasses,—half an ounce of salt, and five pints of boiling water.

In America, various kinds of berries, found wild in the woods, such as huckle-berries, bel-berries, whortle-berries, &c. are gathered and dried, and afterwards used as ingredients in Indian puddings: and dried cherries and plums may be made use of in the same manner.

All these Indian puddings have this advantage in common, that they are very good *warmed up*.—They will all keep good several days; and when cut into thin slices and toasted, are an excellent substitute for bread.

It will doubtless be remarked, that in computing the expence of providing these different kinds of puddings, I have taken no notice of the expence which will be necessary for fuel to cook them.—This is an article which ought undoubtedly to be taken into the account. The reason of my not
doing

doing it here is this:—Having, in the course of my Experiments on Heat, found means to perform all the common operations of cookery with a surprisngly small expence of fuel, I find that the expence in question, when the proper arrangements are made for saving fuel, will be very trifling. And farther, as I mean soon to publish my Treatise on the Management of Heat, in which I shall give the most ample directions relative to the mechanical arrangements of kitchen fire-places, and the best forms for all kinds of kitchen utensils, I was desirous not to anticipate a subject which will more naturally find its place in another Essay.—In the mean time I would observe, for the satisfaction of those who may have doubts respecting the smallness of the expence necessary for fuel in cooking for the Poor, that the result of many experiments, of which I shall hereafter publish a particular account, has proved in the most satisfactory manner, that when Food is prepared in large quantities, and cooked in kitchens properly arranged, the expence for fuel ought never to amount to more than *two per cent.* of the cost of the Food, even where victuals of the cheapest kind are provided, such as is commonly used in feeding the Poor. In the Public Kitchen of the House of Industry at Munich the expence for fuel is less than *one per cent.* of the cost of the Food, as may be seen in the computation, page 206, Chapter III. of this Essay: and it ought not to be greater in many parts of Great Britain.

With regard to the price at which Indian Corn can be imported into this country from North America in time of peace, the following information, which I procured through the medium of a friend, from Captain Scott, a most worthy man, who has been constantly employed above thirty years as master of a ship in the trade between London and Boston in the State of Massachusetts, will doubtless be considered as authentic*.

The following are the questions which were put to him,—with his answers to them:

Q. What is the freight, *per ton*, of merchandise from Boston in North America to London in time of peace?—A. Forty shillings (sterling).

Q. What is the freight, *per barrel*, of Indian Corn?—A. Five shillings.

Q. How much *per cent.* is paid for *insurance* from Boston to London in time of peace?—A. Two *per cent.*

Q. What is the medium price of Indian Corn, *per bushel*, in New England?—A. Two shillings and sixpence.

* This gentleman, who is as remarkable for his good fortune at sea, as he is respectable on account of his private character and professional knowledge, has crossed the Atlantic Ocean the almost incredible number of *one hundred and ten times!* and without meeting with the smallest accident. He is now on the seas in his way to North America; and this voyage, which is his *hundred and eleventh*, he intends should be his last. May he arrive safe,—and may he long enjoy in peace and quiet the well-earned fruits of his laborious life! Who can reflect on the innumerable storms he must have experienced, and perils he has escaped, without feeling much interested in his preservation and happiness?

Q. What

Q. What is the price of it at this time?—A. Three shillings and sixpence.

Q. How many bushels of Indian Corn are reckoned to a barrel?—A. Four.

From this account it appears that Indian Corn might, in time of peace, be imported into this country and sold here for less than *four shillings* the bushel;—and that it ought not to cost at this moment much more than *five shillings* a bushel.

If it be imported in casks, (which is certainly the best way of packing it,) as the freight of a barrel containing four bushels is five shillings, this gives 1s. 3d. a bushel for freight; and if we add *one penny* a bushel for insurance, this will make the amount of freight and insurance 1s. 4d. which, added to the prime cost of the Corn in America, (2s. 6d. *per* bushel in the time of peace, and 3s. 6d. at this time,) will bring it to 3s. 10d. *per* bushel in time of peace, and 4s. 10d. at this present moment.

A bushel of Indian Corn of the growth of New England was found to weigh 61 lb.; but we will suppose it to weigh at a medium only 60 lb. *per* bushel; and we will also suppose that to each bushel of Corn when ground there is 9 lb. of bran, which is surely a very large allowance, and 1 lb. of waste in grinding and sifting;—this will leave 50 lb. of flour for each bushel of the Corn; and as it will cost, in time of peace, only 3s. 10d. or 46 pence, this gives for each pound of flour $\frac{4}{5}$ of a penny, or $3\frac{3}{4}$ farthings very nearly.

If the price of the Indian Corn *per* bushel be taken at 4s. 10d. what it ought to cost at this
T 4 time

time in London, without any bounty on importation being brought into the account,—the price of the flour will be 4s. 10d. equal to 58 pence for 50 lb. in weight, or $1\frac{1}{5}$ penny the pound, which is less than one third of the present price of wheat flour. Rice, which is certainly not more nourishing than Indian Corn, costs $4\frac{1}{2}$ pence the pound.

If $\frac{1}{3}$ of the value of Indian Corn be added to defray the expence of grinding it, the price of the flour will not even then be greater in London than *one penny* the pound in time of peace, and about *one penny farthing* at the present high price of that grain in North America. Hence it appears, that in stating the mean price in London of the flour of Indian Corn at *one penny farthing*, I have rather rated it too high than too low.

With regard to the expence of importing it, there may be, and doubtless there are frequently other expences besides those of freight and insurance; but, on the other hand, a very considerable part of the expences attending the importation of it may be reimbursed by the profits arising from the sale of the barrels in which it is imported, as I have been informed by a person who imports it every year, and always avails himself of that advantage.

One circumstance much in favour of the introduction of Indian Corn into common use in this country is the facility with which it may be had in any quantity. It grows in all quarters of the globe, and almost in every climate; and in hot countries two or three crops of it may be raised from the same ground in the course of a year.—It succeeds equally well in the cold regions of Canada;—in the
tem-

temperate climes of the United States of America;—and in the burning heats of the tropics; and it might be had from Africa and Asia as well as from America. And were it even true,—what I never can be persuaded to believe,—that it would be impossible to introduce it as an article of Food in this country, it might at least be used as fodder for cattle, whose aversion to it, I will venture to say, would not be found to be *unconquerable*.

Oats now cost near two pence the pound in this country. Indian Corn, which would cost but a little more than half as much, would certainly be much more nourishing, even for horses, as well as for horned cattle;—and as for hogs and poultry, they ought never to be fed with any other grain. Those who have tasted the pork and the poultry fattened on Indian Corn will readily give their assent to this opinion.

C H A P. VII.

Receipts for preparing various Kinds of cheap Food.
 —Of MACCARONI.—Of POTATOES.—Approved
Receipts for boiling Potatoes.—Of Potatoe Pud-
dings.—Of Potatoe Dumplins.—Of boiled Pota-
toes with a Sauce.—Of Potatoe Salad.—Of
 BARLEY—*Is much more nutritious than Wheat.—*
Barley Meal, a good Substitute for Pearl Barley,
for making Soups.—General Directions for pre-
paring cheap Soups.—Receipt for the cheapest Soup
that can be made.—Of SAMP—Method of pre-
paring it—Is an excellent Substitute for Bread.—
Of burnt Soup.—Of RYE BREAD.

WHEN I began writing the foregoing Chapter of this Essay, I had hopes of being able to procure satisfactory information respecting the manner in which the macaroni eaten by the Poor in Italy, and particularly in the kingdom of Naples, is prepared;—but though I have taken much pains in making these inquiries, my success in them has not been such as I could have wished :—The process, I have often been told, is very simple ; and from the very low price at which macaroni is sold, ready cooked, to the *Lazzaroni* in the streets of Naples, it cannot be expensive.—There is a better kind of macaroni which is prepared and sold by the nuns in some of the convents in Italy,

Italy, which is much dearer ; but this fort would in any country be too expensive to be used as Food for the Poor.—It is however not dearer than many kinds of Food used by the Poor in this country ; and as it is very palatable and wholesome, and may be used in a variety of ways, a receipt for preparing it may perhaps not be unacceptable to many of my readers.

*A Receipt for making that Kind of Maccaroni
called in Italy TAGLIATI.*

Take any number of fresh-laid eggs and break them into a bowl or tray, beat them up with a spoon, but not to a froth,—add of the finest wheat flour as much as is necessary to form a dough of the consistence of paste.—Work this paste well with a rolling-pin;—roll it out into very thin leaves;—lay ten or twelve of these leaves one upon the other, and with a sharp knife cut them into very fine threads.—These threads (which, if the mass is of a proper consistency, will not adhere to each other) are to be laid on a clean board, or on paper, and dried in the air.

This maccaroni, (or *cut paste* as it is called in Germany, where it is in great repute,) may be eaten in various ways ; but the most common way of using it is to eat it with milk instead of bread, and with chicken broth, and other broths and soups, with which it is boiled. With proper care it may be kept good for many months.

It is sometimes fried in butter, and in this way of cooking it, it forms a most excellent dish indeed; inferior, I believe, to no dish of flour that can be made. It is not, however, a very cheap dish, as eggs and butter are both expensive articles in most countries.

An inferiour kind of *cut paste* is sometimes prepared by the Poor in Germany, which is made simply of water and wheat flour, and this has more resemblance to common macaroni than that just described; and might, in many cases, be used instead of it. I do not think, however, that it can be kept long without spoiling; whereas macaroni, as is well known, may be kept good for a great length of time.—Though I have not been able to get any satisfactory information relative to the process of making macaroni, yet I have made some experiments to ascertain the expence of cooking it, and of the cost of the cheese necessary for giving it a relish.

Half a pound of macaroni, which was purchased at an Italian shop in London, and which cost ten pence*, was boiled till it was sufficiently done, namely, about one hour and an half, when, being taken out of the boiling water and weighed, it was found to weigh thirty-one ounces

* This macaroni would not probably have cost one quarter of that sum at Naples.—Common macaroni is frequently sold there as low as fourteen grains, equal to five pence halfpenny sterling the rottolo, weighing twenty-eight ounces and three quarters Avoirdupois, which is three pence sterling the pound Avoirdupois. An inferior kind of macaroni, such as is commonly sold at Naples to the Poor, costs not more than two pence sterling the pound Avoirdupois.

and an half, or one pound fifteen ounces and an half. The quantity of cheefe employed to give a relish to this dish of boiled maccaroni, (and which was grated over it after it was put into the dish,) was one ounce, and cost *two farthings*.

Maccaroni is considered as very cheap Food in those countries where it is prepared in the greatest perfection, and where it is in common use among the lower classes of society; and as wheat, of which grain it is always made, is a staple commodity in this country, it would certainly be worth while to take some trouble to introduce the manufacture of it, particularly as it is already become an article of luxury upon the tables of the rich, and as great quantities of it are annually imported and sold here at a most exorbitant price * :—But maccaroni is by no means the cheapest Food that can be provided for feeding the Poor, in this island;—nor do I believe it is so in any country.—*Polenta*, or *Indian Corn*, of which so much has already been said,—and *Potatoes*, of which too much cannot be said,—are both much better adapted, in all respects, for that purpose.—Maccaroni would however, I am persuaded, could it be prepared in this country, be much less expensive than many kinds of Food now

* If maccaroni could be made in this country as cheap as it is made in Naples, that is to say, so as to be afforded for three pence sterling the pound Avoirdupois, for the best sort, (and I do not see why it should not,) as half a pound of dry maccaroni weighs when boiled very nearly two pounds, each pound of boiled maccaroni would cost only *three farthings*, and the cheefe necessary for giving it a relish *one farthing* more, making together *one penny*; which is certainly a very moderate price for such good and wholesome Food.

commonly

commonly used by our Poor; and consequently might be of considerable use to them.

With regard to *Potatoes* they are now so generally known, and their usefulness is so universally acknowledged, that it would be a waste of time to attempt to recommend them.—I shall therefore content myself with merely giving receipts for a few cheap dishes in which they are employed as a principal ingredient.

Though there is no article used as Food of which a greater variety of well-tasted and wholesome dishes may be prepared than of potatoes, yet it seems to be the unanimous opinion of those who are most acquainted with these useful vegetables, that the best way of cooking them is to boil them simply, and with their skins on, in water.—But the manner of boiling them is by no means a matter of indifference.—This process is better understood in Ireland, where by much the greater part of the inhabitants live almost entirely on this Food, than any where else.

This is what might have been expected;—but those who have never considered with attention the extreme slowness of the progress of national improvements, *where nobody takes pains to accelerate them*, will doubtless be surprised when they are told that in most parts of England, though the use of potatoes all over the country has for so many years been general, yet, to this hour, few, comparatively, who eat them, know how to dress them properly.—The inhabitants of those countries which lie on the sea-coast opposite to Ireland have adopted

adopted the Irish method of boiling potatoes ; but it is more than probable that a century at least would have been required for those improvements to have made their way through the island, had not the present alarms on account of a scarcity of grain roused the public, and fixed their attention upon a subject too long neglected in this enlightened country.

The introduction of improvements tending to increase the comforts and innocent enjoyments of that numerous and useful class of mankind who earn their bread by the sweat of their brow, is an object not more interesting to a benevolent mind than it is important in the eyes of an enlightened statesman.

There are, without doubt, *great men* who will smile at seeing these observations connected with a subject so humble and obscure as the boiling of potatoes, but *good men* will feel that the subject is not unworthy of their attention.

The following directions for boiling potatoes, which I have copied from a late Report of the Board of Agriculture, I can recommend from my own experience :

*“ On the boiling of Potatoes so as to be eat as
“ Bread.*

*“ There is nothing that would tend more to
“ promote the consumption of potatoes than to
“ have the proper mode of preparing them as
“ Food generally known.—In London, this is
“ little*

“ little attended to; whereas in Lancashire and
“ Ireland the boiling of potatoes is brought to
“ very great perfection indeed. When prepared in
“ the following manner, if the quality of the root
“ is good, they may be eat as bread, a practice
“ not unusual in Ireland.—The potatoes should
“ be, as much as possible, of the same size, and
“ the large and small ones boiled separately.—
“ They must be washed clean, and, without paring
“ or scraping, put in a pot with cold water, not
“ sufficient to cover them, as they will produce
“ themselves, before they boil, a considerable quan-
“ tity of fluid.—They do not admit being put
“ into a vessel of boiling water like greens.—If
“ the potatoes are tolerably large, it will be ne-
“ cessary, as soon as they begin to boil, to throw in
“ some cold water, and occasionally to repeat it,
“ till the potatoes are boiled to the heart, (which
“ will take from half an hour to an hour and a
“ quarter, according to their size,) they will other-
“ wise crack, and burst to pieces on the outside,
“ whilst the inside will be nearly in a crude state,
“ and consequently very unpalatable and unwhole-
“ some.—During the boiling, throwing in a little
“ salt occasionally is found a great improvement,
“ and it is certain that the slower they are cooked
“ the better.—When boiled, pour off the water, and
“ evaporate the moisture, by replacing the vessel
“ in which the potatoes were boiled once more
“ over the fire.—This makes them remarkably
“ dry and mealy.—They should be brought to
“ the table with the skins on, and eat with a little
“ salt,

“ salt, as bread.—Nothing but experience can
“ satisfy any one how superior the potatoe is,
“ thus prepared, if the sort is good and meally.—
“ Some prefer roasting potatoes; but the mode
“ above detailed, extracted partly from the inte-
“ resting paper of Samuel Hayes, Esquire, of
“ Avondale, in Ireland, (Report on the Culture
“ of Potatoes, p. 103.), and partly from the Lanca-
“ shire reprinted Report (p. 63.), and other com-
“ munications to the Board, is at least equal, if
“ not superior.—Some have tried boiling pota-
“ toes in steam, thinking by that process that
“ they must imbibe less water.—But immersion in
“ water causes the discharge of a certain substance,
“ which the steam alone is incapable of doing,
“ and by retaining which, the flavour of the root
“ is injured, and they afterwards become dry by
“ being put over the fire a second time without
“ water.—With a little butter, or milk, or fish,
“ they make an excellent mess.”

These directions are so clear, that it is hardly possible to mistake them; and those who follow them exactly will find their potatoes surprisingly improved, and will be convinced that the manner of boiling them is a matter of much greater importance than has hitherto been imagined.

Were this method of boiling potatoes generally known in countries where these vegetables are only beginning to make their way into common use,—as in Bavaria, for instance,—I have no doubt but it would contribute more than any thing else to their speedy introduction.

The following account of an experiment, lately made in one of the parishes of this metropolis (London), was communicated to me by a friend, who has permitted me to publish it.—It will serve to show,—what I am most anxious to make appear,—that the prejudices of the Poor in regard to their Food *are not unconquerable.*

“ Feburary 25th, 1796.

“ The parish officers of Saint Olaves, Southwark,
 “ desirous of contributing their aid towards lessening
 “ the consumption of wheat, resolved on the follow-
 “ ing succedaneum for their customary fuet pudding,
 “ which they give to their Poor for dinner one day
 “ in the week ; which was ordered as follows :

| | £. | s. | d. |
|--|----|----|----|
| 200 lb. potatoes boiled, and skinned and mashed } | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 2 gallons of milk - - - | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 12 lb. of fuet, at 4½ - - - | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 1 peck of flour - - - | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Baking - - - | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Expende | 1 | 0 | 6 |

“ Their ordinary fuet pudding had been made
 “ thus :

| | £. | s. | d. |
|---|----|----|----|
| 2 bushels of flour - - - | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| 12 lb. fuet - - - | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Baking - - - | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Expende | 1 | 18 | 2 |
| Cost of the ingredients for the potatoe fuet pudding } | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Difference | 0 | 17 | 8 |

This

This was the dinner provided for 200 persons, who gave a decided preference to the cheapest of these preparations, and wish it to be continued.

The following baked potatoe-puddings were prepared in the hotel where I lodge, and were tasted by a number of persons, who found them in general very palatable.

Baked Potatoe-puddings.

N^o I.

12 ounces of potatoes, boiled, skinned, and mashed ;

1 ounce of fuet ;

1 ounce (or $\frac{1}{8}$ of a pint) of milk, and

1 ounce of Gloucester cheese.

—
Total 15 ounces,—mixed with as much boiling water as was necessary to bring it to a due consistence, and then baked in an earthen pan.

N^o II.

12 ounces of mashed potatoes as before ;

1 ounce of milk, and

1 ounce of fuet, with a sufficient quantity of salt.—Mixed up with boiling water, and baked in a pan.

N^o III.

12 ounces of mashed potatoes ;

1 ounce of fuet ;

1 ounce of red herrings pounded fine in a mortar.—Mixed—baked, &c. as before.

N^o IV.

12 ounces of mashed potatoes;
 1 ounce of suet, and
 1 ounce of hung beef grated fine with a grater.—Mixed and baked as before.

These puddings when baked weighed from 11 to 12 ounces each.—They were all liked by those who tasted them, but N^o 1 and N^o 3 seemed to meet with the most general approbation.

Receipt for a very cheap Potatoe-dumplin.

Take any quantity of potatoes, half boiled;—skin or pare them, and grate them to a coarse powder with a grater;—mix them up with a very small quantity of flour, $\frac{1}{16}$, for instance, of the weight of the potatoes, or even less;—add a seasoning of salt, pepper, and sweet herbs;—mix up the whole with boiling water to a proper consistency, and form the mass into dumplins of the size of a large apple.—Roll the dumplins, when formed, in flour, to prevent the water from penetrating them, and put them into boiling water, and boil them till they rise to the surface of the water, and swim, when they will be found to be sufficiently done.

These dumplins may be made very flavory by mixing with them a small quantity of grated hung beef, or of pounded red herring.

Fried bread may likewise be mixed with them, and this without any other addition, except a seasoning of salt, forms an excellent dish.

Upon the same principles upon which these dumplins are prepared large boiled bag-puddings may be made; and for feeding the Poor in a public establishment, where great numbers are to be fed, puddings, as there is less trouble in preparing them, are always to be preferred to dumplins.

It would swell this Essay, (which has already exceeded the limits assigned to it,) to the size of a large volume, were I to give receipts for all the good dishes that may be prepared with potatoes.—There is however one method of preparing potatoes much in use in many parts of Germany, which appears to me to deserve being particularly mentioned and recommended;—it is as follows:

A Receipt for preparing boiled Potatoes with a Sauce.

The potatoes being properly boiled, and skinned, are cut into slices, and put into a dish, and a sauce, similar to that commonly used with a fricaseed chicken, is poured over them.

This makes an excellent and a very wholesome dish, but more calculated, it is true, for the tables of the opulent than for the Poor.—Good sauces might however be composed for this dish which would not be expensive.—Common milk-porridge, made rather thicker than usual, with wheat flour, and well salted, would not be a bad sauce for it.

Potatoe Salad.

A dish in high repute in some parts of Germany, and which deserves to be particularly recommended, is a salad of potatoes. The potatoes being pro-

perly boiled and skinned, are cut into thin slices, and the same sauce which is commonly used for salads of lettuce is poured over them; some mix anchovies with this sauce, which gives it a very agreeable relish, and with potatoes it is remarkably palatable.

Boiled potatoes cut in slices and fried in butter, or in lard, and seasoned with salt and pepper, is likewise a very palatable and wholesome dish.

Of Barley.

I have more than once mentioned the extraordinary nutritive powers of this grain, and the use of it in feeding the Poor cannot be too strongly recommended.—It is now beginning to be much used in this country, mixed with wheat flour, for making bread; but it is not, I am persuaded, in bread, but in *soups*, that Barley can be employed to the greatest advantage.—It is astonishing how much water a small quantity of Barley-meal will thicken, and change to the consistency of a jelly;—and, if my suspicions with regard to the part which water acts in nutrition are founded, this will enable us to account, not only for the nutritive quality of Barley, but also for the same quality in a still higher degree which sago and falope are known to possess.—Sago and falope thicken, and change to the consistency of a jelly, (and as I suppose, prepare for decomposition,) a greater quantity of water than Barley, and both sago and falope are known to be nutritious in a very extraordinary degree.

Barley will thicken and change to a jelly much more water than any other grain with which we are acquainted, rice even not excepted;—and I have found reason to conclude from the result of innumerable experiments, which in the course of several years have been made under my direction in the public kitchen of the House of Industry at Munich, that for making soups, Barley is by far the best grain that can be employed.

Were I called upon to give an opinion in regard to the comparative nutritiousness of Barley-meal and wheat flour, *when used in soups*, I should not hesitate to say that I think the former at least three or four times as nutritious as the latter.

Scotch broth is known to be one of the most nourishing dishes in common use; and there is no doubt but it owes its extraordinary nutritive quality to the Scotch (or Pearl) Barley, which is always used in preparing it.—If the Barley be omitted, the broth will be found to be poor and watery, and will afford little nourishment;—but any of the other ingredients may be retrenched;—even the meat;—without impairing very sensibly the nutritive quality of the Food.—Its flavour and palatableness may be impaired by such retrenchments; but if the water be well thickened with the Barley, the Food will still be very nourishing.

In preparing the soup used in feeding the Poor in the House of Industry at Munich, Pearl Barley has hitherto been used; but I have found, by some experiments I have lately made in London, that Pearl

Barley is by no means necessary, as common Barley-meal will answer, to all intents and purposes, just as well.—In one respect it answers better, for it does not require half so much boiling.

In comparing cheap soups for feeding the Poor, the following short and plain directions will be found to be useful ;

General Directions for preparing cheap Soup.

First, Each portion of Soup should consist of *one pint* and a *quarter*, which, if the Soup be rich, will afford a good meal to a grown person.—Such a portion will in general weigh about *one pound* and a *quarter*, or *twenty ounces* Avoirdupois.

Secondly, The basis of each portion of Soup should consist of *one ounce* and a *quarter* of Barley-meal, boiled with *one pint and a quarter of water* till the whole be reduced to the uniform consistency of a thick jelly.—All other additions to the Soup do little else than serve to make it more palatable ; or by rendering a long mastication necessary, to increase and prolong the pleasure of eating ;—both these objects are however of very great importance, and too much attention cannot be paid to them ; but both of them may, with proper management, be attained without much expence.

Were I asked to give a Receipt for the cheapest Food which (in my opinion) it would be possible to provide in this country, it would be the following :

Receipt

Receipt for a very cheap Soup.

Take of water eight gallons, and mixing with it 5lb. of Barley-meal, boil it to the consistency of a thick jelly.—Season it with salt, pepper, vinegar, sweet herbs, and four red herrings, pounded in a mortar.—Instead of bread, add to it 5lb. of Indian Corn made into *Samp*, and stirring it together with a ladle, serve it up immediately in portions of 29 ounces.

Samp, which is here recommended, is a dish said to have been invented by the savages of North America, who have no Corn-mills.—It is Indian Corn deprived of its external coat by soaking it ten or twelve hours in a lixivium of water and wood-ashes.—This coat, or husk, being separated from the kernel, rises to the surface of the water, while the grain, which is specifically heavier than water, remains at the bottom of the vessel; which grain, thus deprived of its hard coat of armour, is boiled, or rather simmered for a great length of time, two days for instance, in a kettle of water placed near the fire.—When sufficiently cooked, the kernels will be found to be swelled to a great size and burst open, and this Food, which is uncommonly sweet and nourishing, may be used in a great variety of ways; but the best way of using it is to mix it with milk, and with soups, and broths, as a substitute for bread. It is even better than bread for these purposes, for besides being quite as palatable as the very best bread, as it is less liable than bread to grow too soft when mixed with these liquids, without

out being disagreeably hard, it requires more mastication, and consequently tends more to increase and prolong the pleasure of eating.

The Soup which may be prepared with the quantities of ingredients mentioned in the foregoing Receipt will be sufficient for 64 portions, and the cost of these ingredients will be as follows :

| | Pence. |
|---|------------------|
| For 5 lb. of Barley-meal, at $1\frac{1}{2}$ pence, the | |
| Barley being reckoned at the present | |
| very high price of it in this country, viz. | } $7\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 5 s. 6 d. per bushel | |
| 5 lb. of Indian Corn, at $1\frac{1}{4}$ pence the pound | $6\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 4 red herrings - - - - - | 3 |
| Vinegar - - - - - | 1 |
| Salt - - - - - | 1 |
| Pepper and sweet herbs - - - - - | 2 |
| | <hr/> |
| Total | $20\frac{3}{4}$ |

This sum, ($20\frac{3}{4}$ pence,) divided by 64, the number of portions of Soup, gives something less than *one third of a penny* for the cost of each portion.—But at the medium price of Barley in Great Britain, and of Indian Corn as it may be afforded here, I am persuaded that this Soup may be provided at *one farthing* the portion of 20 ounces.

There is another kind of Soup in great repute among the poor people, and indeed among the opulent farmers, in Germany, which would not come much higher.—This is what is called *burnt Soup*, or as I should rather call it, *brown Soup*, and it is prepared in the following manner :

Receipt

Receipt for making BROWN SOUP.

Take a small piece of butter and put it over the fire in a clean frying-pan made of iron (not copper, for that metal used for this purpose would be poisonous);—put to it a few spoonfuls of wheat or rye meal;—stir the whole about briskly with a broad wooden spoon, or rather knife, with a broad and thin edge, till the butter has disappeared, and the meal is uniformly of a deep brown colour; great care being taken, by stirring it continually, to prevent the meal from being burned to the pan.

A very small quantity of this roasted meal, (perhaps half an ounce in weight would be sufficient,) being put into a sauce-pan and boiled with a pint and a quarter of water, forms a portion of Soup, which, when seasoned with salt, pepper, and vinegar, and eaten with bread cut fine, and mixed with it at the moment when it is served up, makes a kind of Food by no means unpalatable; and which is said to be very wholesome.

As this Soup may be prepared in a very short time, an instant being sufficient for boiling it; and as the ingredients for making it are very cheap, and may be easily transported, this Food is much used in Bavaria by our wood-cutters, who go into the mountains far from any habitations to fell wood.—Their provisions for a week, (the time they commonly remain in the mountains,) consist of a large loaf of rye bread (which, as it does not so soon grow dry and stale as wheaten bread, is always preferred to it); a linen bag containing a small quantity of roasted meal;—another small bag
of

of salt;—and a small wooden box containing some pounded black pepper;—with a small frying-pan of hammered iron, about ten or eleven inches in diameter, which serves them both as an utensil for cooking, and as a dish for containing the victuals when cooked.—They sometimes, but not often, take with them a small bottle of vinegar;—but *black-pepper* is an ingredient in brown Soup which is never omitted.—Two table-spoonfuls of roasted meal is quite enough to make a good portion of Soup for one person; and the quantity of butter necessary to be used in roasting this quantity of meal is very small, and will cost very little.—One ounce of butter would be sufficient for roasting eight ounces of meal; and if half an ounce of roasted meal is sufficient for making one portion of Soup, the *butter* will not amount to more than $\frac{1}{16}$ of an ounce; and, at eight pence the pound, will cost only $\frac{1}{32}$ of a penny, or $\frac{1}{8}$ of a farthing.—The cost of the meal for a portion of this Soup is not much more considerable. If it be rye meal, (which is said to be quite as good for roasting as the finest wheat flour,) it will not cost, in this country, even now when grain is so dear, more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ d. per pound;— $\frac{1}{2}$ an ounce, therefore, the quantity required for one portion of the Soup, would cost only $\frac{6}{32}$ of a farthing;—and the meal and butter together no more than $(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{6}{32}) = \frac{10}{32}$, or something less than $\frac{1}{3}$ of a farthing.—If to this sum we add the cost of the ingredients used to season the Soup, namely, for *salt*, *pepper*, and *vinegar*, allowing for them as much as the amount of the cost of
the

the butter and the meal, or $\frac{1}{3}$ of a farthing, this will give $\frac{2}{3}$ of a farthing for the cost of the ingredients used in preparing one portion of this Soup; but as the bread which is eaten with it is an expensive article, this Food will not, upon the whole, be cheaper than the Soup just mentioned; and it is certainly neither so nourishing nor so wholesome.

Brown Soup might, however, on certain occasions, be found to be useful. As it is so soon cooked, and as the ingredients for making it are so easily prepared, preserved, and transported from place to place; it might be useful to travellers, and to soldiers on a march. And though it can hardly be supposed to be of itself very nourishing, yet it is possible it may render the bread eaten with it not only more nutritive, but also more wholesome;—and it certainly renders it more savoury and palatable.—It is the common breakfast of the peasants in Bavaria; and it is infinitely preferable, in all respects, to that most pernicious wash, *tea*, with which the lower classes of the inhabitants of this island drench their stomachs, and ruin their constitutions.

When tea is mixed with a sufficient quantity of sugar and good cream;—when it is taken with a large quantity of bread and butter, or with toast and boiled eggs;—and above all,—*when it is not drunk too hot*, it is certainly less unwholesome; but a simple infusion of this drug, drunk boiling hot, as the Poor usually take it, is certainly a poison which, though it is sometimes slow in its operation, never fails to produce very fatal effects, even in the strongest

strongest constitution, where the free use of it is continued for a considerable length of time.


Of Rye Bread.

The prejudice in this island against bread made of Rye, is the more extraordinary, as in many parts of the country no other kind of bread is used; and as the general use of it in many parts of Europe, for ages, has proved it to be perfectly wholesome.—In those countries where it is in common use, many persons prefer it to bread made of the best wheat flour; and though wheaten bread is commonly preferred to it, yet I am persuaded that the general dislike of it, where it is not much in use, is more owing to its being *badly prepared*, or not well baked, than to any thing else.

As an account of some experiments upon baking Rye Bread, which were made under my immediate care and inspection in the bake-house of the House of Industry at Munich, may perhaps be of use to those who wish to know how good Rye Bread may be prepared; as also to such as are desirous of ascertaining, by similar experiments, what, in any given case, the profits of a baker really are; I shall publish an account in detail of these experiments, in the Appendix to this volume.

I cannot conclude this Essay, without once more recommending, in the most earnest manner, to the attention of the Public, and more especially to the attention of all those who are engaged in public affairs,—the subject which has here been attempted to be investigated. It is certainly of very great importance,

importance, in whatever light it is considered; and it is particularly so at the present moment: *for however statesmen may differ in opinion with respect to the danger or expediency of making any alterations in the constitution, or established forms of government, in times of popular commotion, no doubts can be entertained with respect to the policy of diminishing, as much as possible, at all times,—and more especially in times like the present,—the misery of the lower classes of the people.*



END OF THE THIRD ESSAY.

...in a better light it is considered; and
 it is necessary to be in the present moment; for there
 is a great deal of time that is wasted in the
 ...of the ... of the ... of the ...
 ... of the ... of the ... of the ...
 ... of the ... of the ... of the ...
 ... of the ... of the ... of the ...
 ... of the ... of the ... of the ...
 ... of the ... of the ... of the ...
 ... of the ... of the ... of the ...

END OF THE THIRD VOLUME