Development in relation to brain and nutrition.

Contributors

Coupland, W. H. Telford-Smith, Telford King's College London

Publication/Creation

[London] : [publisher not identified], [1890]

Persistent URL

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/yph28qmc

License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by King's College London. The original may be consulted at King's College London. where the originals may be consulted.

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org Reprinted from the BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL, August 23, 1890. .

DEVELOPMENT IN RELATION TO BRAIN AND NUTRITION.

Dr. FRANCIS WARNER presented an elaborate report on this subject, which was taken as read. The examination of 5,344 pupils in schools, of which an analysis was published by a Committee of the British Medical Association last year, appeared to have established the usefulness of such work as a section of clinical and pathological inquiry, and had also demonstrated that it was possible by the methods thus employed, and by careful examination and analysis of results, to prepare a body of statistics demonstrating the relative frequency, and the distribution of certain physical conditions among school children. Such work appeared to be necessary as a preliminary to ascertaining their causation. Evidence had been obtained showing certain connections between conditions of development, nutrition, and nerve balance. The condition of children seen in public elementary schools had been contrasted with those in the pauper schools, and other exceptional groups of pupils. The analysis in the annexed tables was prepared from the report published last year.¹ The following conclusions were drawn from them :

1. Defects in development are most common in boys. Taking the whole group of pupils seen, defects of development were found in 399 cases—of the boys in 9.8 per cent., in girls 5 per cent. This law held good as one glanced down the percentages of Table I, column A. In the ten public elementary schools there were 2.2 per cent. of cranial abnormalities; in the pauper school 4.2 per cent. Evidently the pauper school received less well made children than the public elementary schools. The following facts, embodied in the table here appended, concerning the distribution of certain conditions between the series, were obtained from various hospitals. (See Table II.)

2. Defects in development have a causal connection with nerve signs. The group of 399 pupils with visible defects of development showed nerve signs in 36 per cent. and the 31 cases with triple defects showed 55 per cent. with nerve signs. In examining the details of Table I, Column C, as to the relation of nerve signs to

¹Report on Condition of School Children published by Cambridge University Press.

$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-									-					1000
All Low Nurmatrow. NERVERIES. MERVERIES. of Oases. to the totals Low Nurmatrow. Nerver. Gases. Merver. Duranse and the totals No. of Cases. No. of Cases. verally. No. of Cases. No. of Cases. No. of Cases. No. of Cases. A. X. T. X B. X G. X T. X B. X G. X T. X B. X G. Y T. Y B. X G. Y T. Y 35 5 399 7.4 62 22:6 40 32 102 25 101 36.8 44 35 145 36 86 31 39 31 129 A. 55 5 231 4.3 43 25 24 37 67 29 59 37 16 40 45 30 50 42 22 82 31 129 20 122 6 0 1117 29 37 13 32 42 35 29 37 16 40 45 31 52 23 20 50 50 42 22 12 4 22 4 22 4 23 4 23 </td <td rowspan="4"></td> <td>s.</td> <td></td> <td>4.9</td> <td>48</td> <td></td>		s.												4.9	48	
A. TABLE I. D. NUTRITION. NERVE-SIGNS. MENTAL DUTRITION. of Gases. Iow NUTRITION. No. of Cases. No. of Cases. MENTAL DUTRITION. resoft boys and the totally. Percentage on Column No. of Cases. No. of Cases. No. of Cases. $3.$ Y T . Y H . X Y . Y . Y Y . $3.$ T . Y H . X Y . Y . Y Y Y Y . X Y . X Y		Col.	E													
A. TABLE I. MENTAL A. I. OW NUTRITION. NEAVE-SIGNS. MENTAL of Gases. LOW NUTRITION. NEAVE-SIGNS. MENTAL vesol boys and othe totals. Percentage on Column of the totals. No. of Cases. No. of Cases. $R.$ Y Y Y Y Y Y $R.$ Y Y Y Y Y Y $R.$ Y Y Y Y Y Y Y $R.$ Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y $R.$ Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y $R.$ Y		A. D. Cas					23	28	28			16			35	
A. TABLE I. Mary E. O. Mary E. Mary E. after of Cases. Low NUTRITION. No. of Cases. No. of Cases. Mary E. at the totals Percentage on Column Percentage on Column Percentage on Column Percentage on Column A. X. B. X G. X Y B. X Y </td <td>I LAL D. of age</td> <td>9</td> <td>39</td> <td>19</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>9</td> <td>C.I</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10</td> <td></td>		I LAL D. of age	9	39	19		4	9	C.I			9			10	
TABLE I. A. Iow NUTRITION. A. Low NUTRITION. ges of boys and the totals Percentage on Column Resolution Percentage on Column Percentage on Column Percentage on Column G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. Z. Gases. B. G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. Z. χ T. χ B. G. 0 D H G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. G. 0 H B. Z. G B. Z. G Z. χ Z. χ Z. χ Z. χ Z. χ L. χ H. D. D		IEN7 No	*	31	31		37	27	58			25		5.9	53	
TABLE I. TABLE I. A. NUTRITION. Ge Classes. No. of Cases. To the totals Percentage on Column R. X T. X T. X R. X T. X B. X G. X T. X R. X T. X B. X G. X T. X R. X G. X T. X B. X G. X T. X B. X G. X T. X B. X G. X T. X B. Z G. X T. X B. X G. X T. X B. Z G. X T. Z B. Z G. X T. Z Z Z Z Z <thz< th=""></thz<>		Per	Ë.	86	52	22	24	10	14		79	21		38	17	-
TABLE I. A. Low NUTRITION. of Cases. No. of Cases. resoft boys and verally. No. of Cases. G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ D. χ T. χ T. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ A. G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ A. G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ G. χ T. χ B. χ G. χ T. χ <th< td=""><td></td><td>umi</td><td>~</td><td></td><td>36</td><td>39</td><td>35</td><td>17</td><td>55</td><td></td><td></td><td>37</td><td></td><td>4.6</td><td>32</td><td></td></th<>		umi	~		36	39	35	17	55			37		4.6	32	
A. TABLE I. Name O. Secondary Nervestion O. O. <th< td=""><td></td><td>NS. es. Colt</td><td>H</td><td>145</td><td>84</td><td>45</td><td>29</td><td>10</td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td>44</td><td></td><td>50</td><td>15</td><td>2</td></th<>		NS. es. Colt	H	145	84	45	29	10	17			44		50	15	2
A. I. Ow NUTRITION. B. Nerveration. of Cases. Iow NUTRITION. Nerveration. Nerveration. res of boys and the totals No. of Cases. No. of Cases. No. of Cases. G. V. X. Y. B. Z. G. Z. B. Z. T. Z. B. Z. G. A. G. Z.5 5 399 7.4 B. Z. G. Z. B. Z. G. B. Z. G. A. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. A. S. Z. Z. B. Z. G. A. J. S. S. S. S. S. A. S. Z. B. Z. G. A. J. S. S. S. S. A. Z. Z. B. Z. J. S. S. S. I.1 J. Z. Z. B. Z. J. S. S. S. I.1 J. Z. Z. Z. J. J. J. S. S. I.1 J. Z. Z. Z. J.		Cas Cas on	~	35	38	40	8	14	85		5.5	43		2.9	21	
TABLE I. A. TABLE I. Number of Cases. of Cases. No. of Cases. fee of boys and the totals No. of Cases. G. Z. T. Z. B. Z. G. T. Z. B. Z. T. No. of Cases.		of of age	9		25	16	5	3	9		III	16		13	00	6
TABLE I. A. Town NUTRITION. of Cases. Low NUTRITION. res of boys and the totals Percentage on Column G . χ . T. χ B. χ χ . T. χ G . χ . T. χ B. χ χ . T. χ G . χ . T. χ B. χ χ . T. χ G . χ . T. χ χ χ G . χ χ . χ χ G . χ χ χ G . χ χ χ G χ χ χ G χ χ χ χ G χ χ χ χ G χ	and the second s	Ne No Senta	*	36.8	35	37	37	19	46		4.5	34		5.8	37	13
A. Low NUTRITION. A. Low NUTRITION. ges of boys and the totals verally. Percentage on Column A. No. of Cases. A. A. A. A. Ge Sof boys and the totals Percentage on Column A. A. A. A. B. X G. X T. X B. X G. X T. X 25 B. X G. X T. X 25 B. X G. X T. X 26 B. X G. X T. X 27 B. Z G. X T. X 28 G. B. Z G. X T. X G. B. II 28 24 28 G. B. II 28 28 11 G. B. II 28 28 11 G. B. II 46 8 38		Perc	ä.		59	29	24	L	=		68	28		37	12	2
A. B. A. of Cases. fer of boys and the totals Iow NUTRHITION. res of boys and the totals Percentage on Colu res of boys and the totals Percentage on Colu G. X T. X B. X G. X T. G. X T. X B. X G. X T. G. S 2.5 399 7.4 62 22.6 40 32 102 5 2.5 231 4.3 43 25 24 37 67 6 81 1.5 17 26 4 23 21 7 .66 81 1.5 17 26 4 23 21 8 25 21.0 6 16 5 24 11 7 .27 31 .58 11 46 6 85 17 7 .27 31 .58 11 46 6 85 17 7 .27 31 .58 11 46 6 85 17 6 16 .6 .95 7 15 40 43 7 .37 31 .58 11 46 7 6 85 17 7 .37 31 .58 11 46 7 6 8 15 17 7 .37 31 .58 11 46 7 6 8 16 17 8 .14 119 2.2 28 34 15 40 43 9 .3 46 4.3 3 9.3 2 14 5 14 3.2 46 4.3 3 9.3 2 14 5		ION.	~	25	8	35	26	19	55		3.3	36		1.2	H	118
TABLE I. A. $I. OW NUTRIT def Cases. LOW NUTRIT ges of boys andthe totals Percentage on Case n the totals Percentage on Case verally. B. Z G. Zase G. Z T. Z B. Z G. Zase J. Z Z J. 4.3 43 25 J58 1.1 26 4 23 J58 1.1 26 4 23 J57 31<.58$			E	102	19	42	21	=	17							lum
TABLE I. A. of Cases. Low NUT fee of boys and the totals Percentage a the totals B. Z. G. G. Z. T. Z. B. Z. G. G. Z. T. Z. B. Z. G. G. Z. T. Z. B. Z. G. J. Z. J. J. B. Z. G. J. Z. J. 43 25 J58 1.0 6 J58 1.1 26 J57 31 .58 J57 11 46 J57 11 46 J57 11 46 J57 11 46 J58 13 25 J58 13 60 J57 11 46 J57 11 46 J57 13 .58 J57 13 .58 J. 1.4 119 2.2 J. 1.4 119 2.3 J51 13 .58 J51 14 6 J51 13 .58 J51 28 34 J51 28 33 J51 3 9.3 J51 3		Case on C	*		37	32	53	24	85			40		1.5	14	8
TABLE Low A. of Cases. Low res of boys and res of boys and n the totals Percenta G. X. T. X. B. X. G. X. T. X. B. X. G. X. T. X. B. X. G. X. T. Y. B. X. G. S. 5 399 7.4 62 22.6 S. 5 231 4.3 43 25 G. 117 - 29 37 G. 118 1.55 11 46 G. 131 .58 11 46 G. 14 119 2.2 28 34 H 3.2 46 4.3 3 9.3 M 1.4 119 2.4 3 9.3		Low NUT No. of C Percentage	÷.	40	24	13	4	2	9			15				lin
TABLE I. A. of Cases. L fers of boys and n the totals Perc verally. Perc G. X. T. X B. G. X. T. X B. G. X. T. X B. G. S.			*	2.6	25	37	26	16	46		3.7	34		92	9.3	user
TABLE A. A. fes of boys and the totals verally. d. 2 T. 2 d. 2 1 d. 2 2 d. 2 2			m.		43	59	17	9	=			38		8		nal
TAI A. A. A. Cases. Fes of boys a n the totals verally. G. 2. T. C. 231 C. 2.5 231 C. 2.5 231 C. 117 C. 68 C. 117 C. 68 C. 117 C. 68 C. 117 C. 68 C. 117 C. 68 C. 117 C. 27 C. 119 C. 27 C. 27 C. 21 C. 21 C	BLE	Jases. of boys and le totals ully.	~	1.4	£.3	1	1.5	0.1	58	177	1	2.2		I	1.2	2 2.
A. of Case res of bo n the to n	TAI		E			111					1			T		4 a)
A definition of the second of											1			1		u
			÷.	125	65	40	17	21			1	37		1	14	lum
No. No. eentaa eentaa 9.8 7 8.9 9.8 1 1.3 1.3 .85 - 22.9 .85 - 22.9		No. enta ris c	*			1	8.3	1.3	.85		1			1	0.0	3
Perco Perco Perco 214 B. 214 B		ero	'n.			11			24		T			1		d in
Percession in the second secon		DEFECTS IN DEVELOPMENT.	:	:	:			the same	and a state	rls	:			:	2000	nde
Gi			344			3.				Gi			077.			ncl
al 5,2 			al 5			•	•	•		.944	:	•	al 1,	•		168 1
r DEVELOPMENT. -Girls 2,550-Total 5,344 ment ment 			-Tot	:	:	:	:	:	:	78 1	:	:	Tot	:	:	Cas
BAUE			550-							-Boy			40-			
ELLOP ELLOP			ls 2,	•	•	•	÷	•	•	ols-			rls 4		•	
DEV Gir -Gir - Gir			Gir	ent	:	:	+	:	:	cho	ases	:	B	38.868	:	1
IN IN 194-194-194-194-194-194-194-194-194-194-		N	794-	undo	ies					31.8	86 CI	ee	637-	686	les	1
ccrs) s 2,75 s 2,75 evelo alitie alitie alitie soys e t the alitie		CTB	s 2,	evel	alit				defe	1 3,9	the	alit	loys	th	alit	1
DEFE Boy Coting		EF1	Boy	of d	orm	ctiv	9.4	:	ple	Pr	al of	orm	Ţ	alo	IOL	
I oup oup ects ects f tri thus thus thus tot abnoo chooc		D	dno	ects	abr	defe	ecti	thus	f tri	110	tot	abr	shoo	e tot	I abi	1
DEFECTS IN DEVI hole group Boys 2,794-Girl All defects of development Cranial abnormalities Palate defective Ear defective Epicanthus Cases of triple defects roup of 10 Primary Schoo 1,987-Total 3,931. For the total of these cases Cranial abnormalities auper school-Boys 637-Gir For the total of these cases Cranial abnormalities			e gr	def	nial	ate	def	can	es o	p 01	the	nial	er s	t th	ania	
DEFECTS IN DEVELOPMENT. DEFECTS IN DEVELOPMENT. Whole group Boys 2,794 -Girls 2,550-Total 5,344 Mil defects of development Cranial abnormalities Cranial abnormalities Palate defective Ear defective Epicanthus	-		Vhol	III	Cra	Pal	Bai	Epi	Cas	irou	For	Cra	aup	Fo	5	

the sexes, this appears sometimes to the disadvantage of the girls—sometimes on the side of the boys; some explanation must be given. In the ten elementary schools 200 pupils showed nerve signs—boys 4.57 per cent., girls 5.58 per cent. After preparing statistics for the Committee, he (Dr. Warner) found that these nerve signs should be divided into two groups. There was a great difference in the nerve signs most common in primary schools and in the 4 exceptional schools; these were given in Table III. In the pauper school nerve signs were present in 4.6 per cent. cases—boys 5.8 per cent., girls 2.9 per cent.; they were twice as frequent in boys as in girls. Looking at Table III, it was found that "frontals overacting" and the "weak hand" were much more common among the paupers than among the elementary school children. This was probably associated with their low average

T.T.X PAT PLAN	Total	Percentages of Boys and Girls on the Totals severally.						
	Number	Boys.	Per Cent.	Girls.	Per Cent.			
Cleft palate and hare-lip	207	121	58.4	86	41.5			
Defects of bladder and urethra .	35	34	97.1	1	2.7			
Congenital defects of heart	16	9	56.2	7	43.3			
Talipes	249	161	64.6	88	35.3			
Pseudo-hypertrophic paralysis .	34	34	100.0	-	-			
Chronic hydrocephalus	56	33	58.9	23	41.0			
Deaf-mutes (report of Royal Con	1-				-			
mission)	14,874	8,043	54.0	6,831	45.2			
Epilepsy	335	199	59.4	136	40.6			
Chorea	502	188	35.4	314	62.5			
Rickets	1,783	1,071	60.0	712	44.2			

The second	TPI	1.773		I
12	ΔВ.	10.00	10	

of development. On the other hand, the "nervous hand" was more common among the neurotic children in the public elementary schools.

3. Defects of development have a causal connection with nutrition. In the primary schools the average percentage of low nutrition was 3.3—boys 3.7, girls 3.0—but among the children with cranial abnormalities the percentage rose to 36.0—boys 34.0, girls 40.0. In the pauper school there were fewer cases of low nutrition; but still, this condition was about ten times higher in pupils with cranial abnormalities. This law was well illustrated in Table I, Column B, the lowest nutrition being in examples of triple defects and defective palate, the conditions mostly associated with nerve signs and mental dulness.

4. Defects of development have a causal connection with mental dulness. This association was seen in highest degree in the case of triple defects, 51 per cent.; next in pupils in pauper school with cranial abnormalities, 48 per cent. In the elementary schools the badly made heads produced only 22 per cent. of mental dulness. This might in part be due to the fact that rickets was unequally distributed—a condition that might cause defect of cranium without being associated with causes of mental dulness. In elementary schools the 119 cases of cranial abnormalities showed 24.3 per cent. of rickets (29 cases); in the pauper school there was only 17.4 per cent. of rickets (8 cases).

NERVE SIGNS.	10	ercen	lic l Sch o. of tage	Elen ools Cas	ses.	ary	*4 1	Exce No	ptio	563— nal Cas e on rally	Sch es. To	ools.
	в.	%	G.	%	т.	%	в.	%	G.	%	т.	%
Nervous Hand	44	2.2	69	3.4	113	2.8	25	2.8	5	.88	30	2.1
Weak Hand	10	.52	22	1.1	32	.83	16	1.8	6	1.0	22	1.5
Finger Twitches	20	1.04	27	1.3	47	1.1	25	2.9	10	1.7	35	2.4
Orbicular Muscle of Eyelids Toneless	14	.75	11	.55	25	.63	4	.47	1	.1		
Frontals Overacting	41	2.1	2	.10	43	1.0	68	8.0	13	2.3	81	5.7
Lordosis	15	.77	41	2.0	56	1.4	18	2.1	5	.88	23	1.6

TABLE III.

* These four Exceptional Schools include the large Pauper School; two Certified Industrial Schools; and 50 dumb children.

These and like observations and statistics might serve to contrast the general character of the pauper school with the others. In the former the percentage of cranial defects was double that in elementary schools, and this was chiefly among boys, but their nutrition did not suffer nearly as much as in elementary schools. They presented nearly as large a percentage of nerve signs as in the primary schools, but they were nearly twice as common among boys as girls. The pauper child was less well developed, and the condition appeared worse among boys than girls.