An account of the Ophidium barbatum Linnei / by P.M. Augustus Broussonet ; communicated by Sir Joseph Banks.

Contributors

Broussonet, Pierre Marie Auguste, 1761-1807. Banks, Joseph, 1743-1820. Royal College of Surgeons of England

Publication/Creation

[London] : [Royal Society of London], 1781.

Persistent URL

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/qrd32zd7

Provider

Royal College of Surgeons

License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by The Royal College of Surgeons of England. The original may be consulted at The Royal College of Surgeons of England. where the originals may be consulted. This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org An Account of the Ophidium barbatum LINNEI. By P. M. Augustus Broussionet, M. D.; communicated by Sir Joseph Banks, Bart. P. R. S.

Read at the Royal Society, July 5, 1781.

THIS fpecies of fifh feems not to have been unknown to the ancients, though probably they confounded it with the Conger, to which it bears fome refemblance. Perhaps the early Greek and Latin writers on natural hiftory have mentioned it under the name of *Tragus*, or *Callarias*; but for want of defcriptions, they left us much in the dark concerning it. PLINY indeed fpeaks of a fifh which appears to be of this fpecies: he calls it *Ophidion**, and as that is the name given to it by all the modern writers, we are obliged to accept his fynonymy without further inquiry.

The first author to whom we are indebted for a defcription and figure of the Ophidium is BELLONIUS; yet it appears, that he was not certain of the name of this fish, fince he calls it Gryllus, falso congrus, tragus, afelli species: nor was he lefs doubtful of the class to which he should refer it, and therefore placed it among the Afelli, or Gadi, though very different from the species of that family. RONDELETIUS, who wrote foon after BELLONIUS, has given us a better description, and a more accurate figure of this fish, which he calls Ophidion,

* Ophidion or Ophidium, though the beft is Ophidium, is not a good generic name, fince it is a diminutive from $0\varphi_{5}^{i}$.

with

with a reference to PLINY. In the figure of BELLONIUS the cirri are very ill reprefented, and the whole fifh appears without any fpots, whereas in the plate of RONDELETIUS it is covered with oblong fpots. This remarkable difference between the figures of thefe authors was fufficient to determine GESNERUS, and others who have written fince their time, and who are to be confidered rather as compilers than authors, to take the fifh defcribed by BELLONIUS to be a different fpecies from that of RONDELETIUS.

WILLOUGHEY, who is the first ichthyologist who has given us any good defeription of fish, treats largely of the Opbidium; and in his account deferibes the feales, which are, as we shall hereafter explain, oblong, distinct, and disposed without any regular order. This defeription was sufficient to afcertain, that the difference between the figures arose from RONDELETIUS having drawn the scales omitted by BELLONIUS: yet the authors who wrote immediately after WILLOUGHEY, and particularly RAY in his Synopsis, follow GESNERUS, in maintaining two different species of *cirrata Ophidia*, one with, the other without, spots.

ARTEDI did not take notice of the fpots; he defcribes the fifh in a genus to which he gives the name of Ophidion, and places that genus among the Malacopterygii. After him KLEI-NIUS once more took notice of the fpots; but at the fame time introduced another confusion concerning this fifh, arifing from RONDELETIUS having faid, that it has two cirri, while WILLOUGHBY afferts it has four; but it is eafy to reconcile thefe authors, for though the Ophidium has only two cirri, yet each of thefe being divided in two, they appear as four; fo that WILLOUGHBY might juftly fay, that it is quadri-cirratus. The fame author places the Ophidium in a genus which he calls Enchelyopus,

Enchelyopus, which is indeed not a good family, fince it comprehends the genera of Gymnotus, Anarrhichas, Cepola, Blennius, Cobitis, &c.

LINNE in his defcription of the Ophidium barbatum fays, that its whole body is covered with oblong fpots, without any regular direction. Dr. GOUAN, in his defcription of the genus of the Ophidium, does not mention the fcales; but gives the fpots as a generic character. The laft author who has mentioned thefe fpots, and given a defcription of this fifh, is Mr. BRUNNICHE in his Ichtbyologia Maffilienfis.

Having adduced the various opinions of the writers on the Ophidium, and endeavoured to reconcile their fentiments, we now proceed to give the defcription of this fifh, which is fo very remarkable for its fingularities. The genus of Ophidium has the following principal characters, viz. the body long; the fins of the back, tail, and anus, confounded in one; no fin on the under part of the body; and the eyes covered by the common fkin. There are befides many other characters which it is needlefs to obferve here, fince I intend not to defcribe all the fpecies of the genus, but only to mention them. The first species (which is the fpecies of which we are treating) is diffinguished by its cirri. The fecond differs from the former not only by the abfence of the cirri, but alfo by many other marks. ARTEDI, in his account of this fpecies, has adopted the fynonymy of SCHONE-VELDE, who defcribes a fifh under the name of Ophidion imberbe flavum; but this fifh, which is the Blennius gunnellus LINN. is certainly very different from the Ophidion imberbe, LINN. the rays of its dorfal fin being prickly; which circumftance perhaps induced LINNE to place the Ophidium among the Acanthopterygii in the first editions of his Systema, in which he followed the claffification of ARTEDI. Perhaps, for the

A 2

fame

fame reafon, that author has placed among the *Jugulares* in his *Fauna Suecica*, the fpecies of which we are now fpeaking. This fifth is alfo very different from the *Sea fnail* defcribed by WILLOUGHBY and PETIVER, which is the *Cyclopterus lipparis* LINN. though the fynonymy of PETIVER has been accepted by 'GRONOVIUS as being the *Ophidium imberbe**.

LINNE, in the tenth edition of his Systema, mentions a third species of Ophidium, which he calls Macrophthalmum; but afterwards discovered that this species belonged to the genus of Cepola. It is another species of this genus which otho FABRICIUS has lately described in his Fauna Grænlandica, under the denomination of Ophidium viride. There are two other fishes, of which GRONOVIUS made a new genus, affigning to it the name of Massacembelus, which comes near to the genus of Ophidium, though I am perfuaded it constitutes a different one by the prefence of the aculei on the back. I shall now proceed to give a description of that species of which I am particularly treating, which I have written in Latin for the fake of perfpicuity.

DESCRIPTIO OPHIDII BARBATI LINN.

B. 7. D. 124. P. 20. V. o. A. 115. C. ---.

CAPUT compressium, sub-acutum, nudum, cute communi laxe tectum.

Rictus amplus.

Mandibula fuperior duplicata, inferiore paulo longior.

* The fifth mentioned by Mr. PENNANT, in the British Zoology, tom. III. append. p. 346. and engraved in the tab. 93. tom. II. under the name of Ophidium imberbe LINN. is a species of Muræna.

Labia

- Labia cutacea, tenuia.
- Dentes in utriusque maxillæ margine, in aream angustam antice latiusculam dispositi, minuti, acuti, conferti, anteriores paululum majores.

Lingua fubobtufa, glabra.

- Palatum medio glabrum, antice areis tribus denticulorum exafperatum, quarum duæ laterales lineares, intermedia fubtriangularis.
- Fauces fuperne exafperatæ duabus areis longitudinalibus denticulorum.
- Narium foramina utrinque bina, ante oculos pofita, diftantia; foramine anteriore minore, posteriore nudo.
- Oculi fupremi, vicini, magni, cute communi tecti: iris argentea; pupilla lutefcens.
- Opercula branchiarum cute communi tecta, rotundata polyphylla, mollia.
- Membrana branchiostega, cute communi tecta, radiis fubæqualibus.
- Branchiæ quaternæ; tres interiores parte concava gerunt tubercula afpera, feparata, in duas feries difpofita; quarta cæteris longior, latere interno gerit tubercula afpera, externo radios uno latere læviter ferratos.
- Cirri duo ad apicem maxillæ inferioris, bipartiti; lacinia altera longiore.
- Tuberculum subacutum, seu apophysis offea tecta, recumbens, ante oculos in fronte posita.

CORPUS: Compreflum, verfus caudam attenuatum.

Linea lateralis fuprema, lævis, dorfo parallela, vitta argentea fubtus ornata.

Squamæ obovatæ, tectæ, umbonatæ, feparatæ.

Pinna

Pinna dorfalis longa * anali longior fed anguftior, cum caudali continuata, bafi fordide albefcens, margine nigra e punctis plurimis nigris: Radii fimplices, flexiles, molles.

Pinnæ pectorales obovatæ, pellucidæ, membrana punctis minutiflimis irrorata.

Pinna analis caudali unita, bafi albefcens, margine nigra, radiis fimplicibus.

Pinna caudalis nigra, apice obtufa.

Color capitis et corporis carneo-argenteus.

Lc. : A :: 85 : 35	Lc. : PI :: 85 : 15
Lc. : DI :: 85 : 27	Lc. : PF :: 85 : 22
Lc. : DFCFAF :: 85 : 87	Lc. : AI :: 85 : 37

R. 5²/₃. P. 2. D. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. A. 3. 4. 5. 6.

Before I proceed, I think it neceffary to explain the proportional measures which I employed in the foregoing defcription. ARTEDI confidered the measurement of fishes as neceffary to render the defcription of them perfect; and therefore in the fpecies which he defcribed moft completely, we find, he did not fail to exprefs, with the greateft accuracy, the diftances between the feveral parts. He has been followed in this by the beft authors who have written upon fifnes, as GRONOVIUS and PALLAS. The like practice has been followed by fome writers in defcribing animals of other claffes; and particularly by Mr. DAUBENTON, who has, with extraordinary exactness, expressed the meafurements of quadrupeds. The use of this meafurement, however, can only afcertain that fuch or fuch individual is larger or lefs than another defcribed by an author: for it is impoffible by fuch means to determine a fpecies, and therefore a fingle meafurement, taken from the head to the tail, or in

* Pinna mihi audit longa, cujus bafis longitudine excedit radium altiorem.

fhort

fhort from any one part to another, would anfwer the fame purpofe; but if you take the terms of the meafures, and compare them one with another, or all of them to a fingle one, a method may be deduced for determining the fpecies, and defcribing each with accuracy, and that is the method which I ufed.

There is no doubt that fifnes have a regular growth, and that all their parts have a proportional increase : it is therefore of no confequence whether the measure be taken from an old or a young fubject, it will be always of the fame use for determining the species. The term to which I refer all the reft, is taken from the diftance between the apex of the upper jaw, and the basis of the fin of the tail. The extremity of the upper jaw is the point from which I take the diftance to all the other parts. To determine with more accuracy the junction of the fin to the tail, I incline the fin fo as to form an angle with the tail; I take the diftances with a pair of compasses, to avoid the irregularities of the furface of the body, which are infinitely various. When I have taken the diftance with the compaffes, I make a reference to a rule, which is divided in English inches, each of them sub-divided in tenths. To exemplify my practice in a fifh of the fuppofed length of 40 lines from the upper jaw to the tail, and from the fame point to the anus of 20 lines, I fay, that the diftance from the head to the tail compared with the diftance from the head to the anus is in this species as 40 to 20, which I express in this manner: Lc. : A :: 40 : 20, which I thus reduce, Lc. : A :: 10 : 5. I then take the length from the upper jaw to the beginning of the dorfal * fin, and to its end : next from .

* I measure the dorfal and anal fins at their bafis, never in their margin.

the

the fame point to the bafis of the pectoral, to its extremity; and in the like manner for the ventral, anal, and tail fins; all which meafures I refer to the common term 40. Admitting then the dorfal fin is diftant from the upper jaw as ten, I write as before LC : DI :: 40 : 10, which I reduce, if I chufe fo, Lc. : DI :: 20 : 5.

I take the measures in length only, and never in breadth or depth, because fuch measures, on many accounts, for instance, from the quantity of fpawn, from that of food in the ventricle, &c. would be uncertain ; befides there are terms enough afforded by the fituation of the anus and the fins. I pay no regard to the measure of the nostrils, of the eyes, &c. as thefe parts lie too near the extremity of the upper jaw to admit of a fufficient accuracy in their measure. All thefe diftances are written in the shortest manner possible; I therefore do not go into the inquiry what clafs of the Lin-NÆAN fyftem the fifh defcribed may belong to; or whether the anal fin is before or behind the region of the dorfal; whether the pectoral are extended beyond the ventral; or the tail is forked or not; and many other particulars which could not be expressed but by a very long description, tedious both to the writer and reader.

To make an application of the proportional meafurements, I fuppofe a fifh, of a fpecies defcribed, to be in length 40 lines, and from the upper jaw to the anus 10: I take a fpecimen which I fufpect to be of the fame fpecies, but which I wifh to determine with certainty: the length of it is 20 lines; then I fay 40 is to 10 as 20 is to another term, which I difcover by multiplying 20 by 10, and dividing the product by 40, which will produce 5, the number of the lines comprehended between the upper jaw and the anus, if the fifh be of the fpecies it

it is thought to be. I proceed in the like manner, in comparing the terms of the measures of the other parts; but the utility of these measures is very apparent in the distinguishing of the species of some genera which are so natural as that of *Cyprinus*, *Clupea*, and many others, of which the species could not be otherwise easily distinguished. I shall instance a species of *Perca* described in the *Commentarii Petropolitani* under the name of *Perca acerina*, by Mr. GUELDENSTAEDT, which could not have been distinguished from the *Perca cernua* but by the proportional measures; fince the *Cernua* has the body about three times longer than the head, whereas the *Acerina* has it but twice as long, though by the other characters they are almost indistinguishable.

To express the position of the fins briefly, and with all posfible accuracy, which, however, I think may be very well understood by the described measures, I take the distance from the upper jaw to the basis of the pectoral fin, and then see into how many equal parts the whole body may be divided, and to these parts I apply the name of regions; I measure them to the extremity of the middle of the fin of the tail, and I express the position of the fins as follow: D. 3.4. A. 3. V. 2. P. 2. the letters being the initials of the fins, and the numbers of the regions, the first being from the head to the pectoral fin *.

The fcales of the Ophidium, which have been figured by RONDELETIUS, but overlooked by many other writers, have

* I beg pardon for the digreffion; but I thought it would not be improper in this place to observe, that the utility of this method of measurement will appear not only in diffinguishing fishes, but also animals of other classes, and particularly snakes, which cannot be well determined otherwise. Besides, I do not know any author on subjects of natural history, who has adopted that method.

₿

been

been mentioned by WILLOUGHBY, but without any particular defcription. They are very different from those on the skin of the Ophidium imberbe, which are fhortly defcribed by GRONOvius. Their polition, as may be feen in the figure, is irre-They are difperfed over the whole body. Their form gular. is fometimes round, fometimes nearly oval. They are larger near the head, and in the lower part of the body ; but are hardly to be diffinguished near the tail. They adhere to the body by means of a particular transparent skin, which is in general very thin, but fomewhat thicker near the neck, and extended loofely over the whole head : this fkin is very eafily deftroyed. after which the scales falling, the body appears spotted (fig. 1.). These scales are of the same fort as those that LEEUWENHOEK has defcribed on the eel, like those I have feen on the Anarrhichas lupus, the Blennius viviparus, and many other fishes, which are commonly thought to be without fcales. When you look at them with the naked eye (fig. 2.) they appear as covered with very fmall grains; but viewed through a microfcope (fig. 2.) the middle of them appears more elevated than the margin; and from the center to the margin, close by each other, there are many lines or rays, formed by fmall fcales placed one upon another, like tiles upon a roof, the fuperior being always the nearer to the center. This fort of fcales, which may be called umbonata, are fastened to the body by very fmall veffels which are inferted in their middle; they are to be feen on the body only, not on the head nor the fins.

I fhall now proceed to the anatomy of this fifh, which certainly comprehends fome very remarkable circumftances, which, I believe, have not yet been obferved in any other fpecies. When we have drawn off the fkin there appears a thin membrane of a filver colour, which covers the mufcles. The mufcles

mufcles being removed, we find the peritoneum, which lines the abdominal cavity, and is adherent to the fwimming bladder by fome elongations. It is of a filver hue, with fome very fmall black points. The ventricle is not to be diffinguifhed from the inteftines by any other mark but by its fize : its form is oblong; it is extended almost to the anus, from whence the inteftinal duct has a retrograde courfe, and then defcends again, having a little dilatation near the anus. On the vertebræ next the anus on the outfide of the peritoneum is a kind of cavity of an oblong form, containing a reddifh vifcus, which I take to be the kidney.

The first vertebra from the head has nothing very remarkable in its ftructure. The fecond has on each fide an elongated and sharp apophysis, to the apex of which is annexed a small ligament. The third is very flat, and has on each fide a kind of triangular and sharp apophysis, to which adheres a ligament as to the fecond. The fourth is remarkable in having a fharp apophyfis on each fide, articulated with the body of the vertebra, and under each of them, is another articulated apophyfis, flattifh, thick, roundifh at its extremities, and forked at its bafis (fig. 5.). The fifth, which is ftrongly adherent to the former, has in its middle a bifid process. The fixth has in its middle a flattifh elevation, fharp on each fide. Between the extremity of the larger apophysis of the fourth vertebra, is a bone, or rather a hard cartilage, which bears the figure of a kidney (fig. 6.) its convexity being turned towards the body of the vertebra : its position is parallel to the bodies of the vertebræ; its motion is half circular; one of its parts, viz. the loweft, being in the cavity of the fwimming bladder, to which it adheres by a thin membrane, fo that no air can efcape at that part. It is covered by membranes, which adhere ftrongly to its middle, in this part are fastened the two ligaments

II

ments of the apophysis of the fecond and third vertebræ, of which we fpoke before, and which are of a great tenuity. In the fame point are fastened also two ligaments each of which belongs to an oblong muscle parallel to each other, and fixed to the bones of the lowest and posterior part of the head (fig. 4.).

All this apparatus is certainly fubfervient to the purpofe of fwimming, I fuppofe, by the cavity of the bladder being made larger or lefs by the motions of the cartilagineous bone; but it is very remarkable, that if thefe parts are neceffary to fome animal function, they fhould not be found in all the individuals; for I have feen two, of which the vertebræ were not different from the vertebræ of the other fpecies: which difference depends, perhaps, on the difference of fex. I am inclined to believe fo; but the generation in this fifh feems to be no lefs myfterious than that of the eel: I could never diffinguifh a male from a female in this fpecies. I do not know if the other fpecies of *Opbidium* have the fame ftructure; I could not perceive it in fome fpecimens of *Maflacembelus*. WILLOUGHBY mentions that fingular ftructure, but without any particular defcription.

This fifh commonly grows to the fize of eight or nine inches. It is to be found in all the Mediterranean Sea, and in great plenty in the Adriatic. It is taken by nets in Provence and Languedoc, together with many other finall fpecies, which are not efteemed, that is, what they call *Ravaillā*. It is often confounded with the *Cepola* by the fifhermen, though they have different names for each fpecies. In Languedoc the *Opbidium* is called *Donzellā*, and the *Cepola*, *flammā*. In Provence the former has the name of *Corrudgiaö*, and the latter that of *Rougeollā*. But the name of *Donzellā*, very common on all the coaft of the Mediterranean, is alfo applied to the *Cepola*, and the *Sparus julis* LINN.

13

LINN. which, however, is commonly called girellä. In fummer the ophidium is more common: its flefh is not of a good tafte, rather coarfe, as that of all the fpecies of fifhes, which having no ventral fins, are obliged to make great efforts in fwimming, and have confequently the muscles harder. The want of ventral fins induces me to believe, that it is not a migratory fpecies. It feeds upon fmall crabs and fifhes.







