

Requisites for the treatment of the psycho-neuroses : psychopathological ignorance, and the misuse of psychotherapy by the novice / by Tom A. Williams.

Contributors

Williams, Tom A. 1870-
Royal College of Surgeons of England

Publication/Creation

[Philadelphia] : [publisher not identified], 1909.

Persistent URL

<https://wellcomecollection.org/works/pcb24z77>

Provider


Royal College of Surgeons

License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by The Royal College of Surgeons of England. The original may be consulted at The Royal College of Surgeons of England. where the originals may be consulted. Conditions of use: it is possible this item is protected by copyright and/or related rights. You are free to use this item in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s).



Wellcome Collection
183 Euston Road
London NW1 2BE UK
T +44 (0)20 7611 8722
E library@wellcomecollection.org
<https://wellcomecollection.org>



25

REQUISITES FOR THE TREATMENT OF THE PSYCHO-NEUROSES:
PSYCHOPATHOLOGICAL IGNORANCE, AND THE MISUSE OF
PSYCHOTHERAPY BY THE NOVICE.*

By TOM A. WILLIAMS, M.B., C.M. (EDIN.),
WASHINGTON, D. C.

WE hear much about the neuroticism of modern days, the popular belief being that neurasthenia,¹ as it is loosely called, hardly existed until the latter end of the 19th century. It is supposed that this state of matters is due to the fatigue to the nerves of the modern strenuous life.

As a matter of fact, confessions, memoirs,² and the pictures of the time show that neurotic states occurred in the Middle Ages even more widely than they do to-day. Again, the "vapours" so often alluded to in the literature of Queen Anne's time, would nowadays be called nervous prostration, and a "rest-cure" would be prescribed; but in that less enlightened age, they were appraised, empirically it is true, at their real value—mental vacuity, discontent or failure of adjustment to environment.

The last factor is shown by a close analysis to be the real cause of most cases of so-called nervous prostration³; and the indiscriminate administration of the rest-cure without a clear psycho-diagnosis will in the future be relegated to the limbo of such other medical superstitions as blood-letting and anti-pyretics.

Of course, adjustment fails when the nerve cells are poisoned, injured, receive insufficient oxygen or irregular supply of blood; but these are not psychic difficulties, and can be provided against by the internist and the pathological chemist. He succeeds in virtue of the precision with which he estimates the derangements in a body whose normal functions he has spent years in studying.

Similarly, the psychiatrist can succeed only by an understanding of normal mental reactions, and by a profound study of the data of morbid psychology. It must be recollected that the patients referred to him are those in whom empirical methods have failed. For example, they are "suggestioned" *ad nauseam*; one patient told me how thankful she was that I did not tell her she was better or minimize her mental suffering; for she hated the sight of a doctor; as each in turn made light of her state, and said she would soon be bet-

* Read by invitation at the Symposium on Psychotherapy before the Washington Therapeutic Association, April 10, 1909.

ter; whereas she became worse, and the confidence she had reposed in her first physician had become profound distrust at the end of three years, at which time I was called in.

Another gross empirical error is the injudicious appeal to the patient's will-power.⁴ The doctor who commits this solecism does not realize that the patient has by now exhausted his volitional power of response, previously highly stimulated by the complexities of social and professional environment. It is as if a lost traveller in a jungle which he does not know were directed to find his way back to the camp from which he had strayed. The real guide will show the way.

Such symposia as this are a sign that in psychotherapy blundering empiricism has had its day. We should laugh at the surgeon who tried to tie the lingual artery while ignorant of the anatomy of the sub-lingual triangle, or even to set a dislocation without understanding the structure of the joints; but the arrest of a morbid train of thought and the setting a mind at rest are much more delicate operations than those of the surgeon; and yet although the art requires finesse for its acquirement and years before the *tactus eruditus* is acquired, very few men hesitate to rush in where angels fear to tread—into the sacred precincts of the soul. A bull in a china shop would be less out of place.

Such assumptions of confidence where skill has not been acquired have in the field of gynecology called down just reproach from the masters of that art.⁵ In morbid pathology, the result has been, if not less disastrous to our patients, certainly much more so to ourselves, both in wealth and prestige. The Christian Science Church is a growing canker of contempt for science and its medical exponents; and its doctrine is inculcated to the plastic mind of childhood, to be there ineradicably fixed, even though enlightenment may come. The Emmanuel movement will become another source of malign influence; for it has now been publicly repudiated,⁶ even by the few neurologists who were weak enough to countenance its apparently ethical commencement.

We can overcome these influences only by acting together, as is done in all successful organizations. The public requires and demands psychic treatment. They receive from the medical man, burdened with the complexities of his art, only indifference or an affectation of knowledge which they are quick to penetrate. I even know of a case where a medical man sent a patient to a mental-healer who advertises in the newspaper.

Now the remedy should be obvious enough. It is to provide facilities for instruction of medical men, first in psychology and psycho-pathology, and then in psychotherapeutics. To do this, wards and out-patient clinics must be provided in the hospitals, to which competent teachers must be appointed. In the meanwhile, the doctor who endeavors to bungle through the treatment of a psychoneurotic case, without understanding psychophysiology and pathology, and with only a rough empirical experience, is guilty of a crime to his profession. Such cases should be treated at least under the advice of a specialist,

until the physician has learned to do so himself by observation and study under expert direction.

It is impossible in ten minutes to even indicate the kind of problems which psychotherapy studies,⁷ all of them depending upon analytic diagnosis of mental make up, as well as of the physical factors which contribute to psychic insufficiency.

I may mention firstly the mythomaniac⁸ tendency, that is the impulse to take what appears the easier way of complete indifference with regard to truth. It is fundamentally a lack of foresight due to a deficiency of intelligence; but it has been acquired in early childhood, and has become an affective habit, which the intelligence is not powerful enough to overcome. Its prevention, and later the cure, depends upon the principle of "conditioning the reflexes," as shown in its most simple terms by Pawlow⁹ in dogs, when he changed at will the stimulus needed to provoke gastric or salivary secretions. The whole art of education is based upon this principle of associating pleasant feelings with useful activities, of which truth telling is certainly one of the greatest. The re-education of a bad habit is similar in principle, but more difficult of accomplishment, and is best illustrated in the arts of playing a musical instrument or of speaking and singing.

Time forbids to trace the stages between such simple measures and the full complexity of the intellectual readjustments which psychotherapy attempts.

The problem is comparatively easy compared with that where the emotions and feelings are concerned, as, for instance, in such cases of sexual perversion as the classic one of Krafft-Ebing,¹⁰ where the sexual act could be performed only when the patient's wife was dressed in a white apron, owing to the circumstance that it was with a maid so dressed that he had first had connection. Still more striking in this connection is the case recently reported by Stecherbak,¹¹ in which the only means of producing orgasm was the placing upon the knees the elegantly booted lower extremities of a fashionably dressed woman. (The sexual factor in the production of neuroses is most important, and it is time the reticence we display towards it cease, and be replaced by thorough discussion.)

But emotion may be conditioned too. Indeed, it is the affective accompaniments which give intellectual attitudes their dynamic power.

This is an important element in cases of traumatic neuroses. Here, the replacement of the morbid feeling tone by another cannot be direct, but must be accomplished through the replacement of the causative idea by another one. *Ex cathedra* affirmation or cold appeal to the intellect cannot change an attitude or mood of any standing. The method of doing this may be illustrated by the gastric neuroses,¹² where a false-fixed idea creates a feeling of disgust while food is being eaten, which, in turn, inhibits the digestive secretions. As I have pointed out elsewhere, this morbid conditioned reflex has usually its source in the unskilful suggestions of doctors¹³ who have not understood the rôle of the psyche in pathology, and who have gone on treating the symptoms by referring them to the stomach itself, thereby only

fortifying the patient's error; so that by the time he reaches the psychotherapist, he is inaccessible to conviction that the trouble is really in his head, as Déjérine¹⁴ puts it. Accordingly, he cannot be convinced by assertion or argument, as he has lost confidence in these; but is convinced by the stern logic of events, shown by his rapid gain in weight while isolated. It is then that the physician's dialectic finds its opportunity,¹⁵ and the patient's false idea is dispelled.

I have shown elsewhere¹⁶ that both of these conditions are forms of hysteria, in that they are susceptible of "production by suggestion and of removal by suggestion-persuasion."¹⁷

Some patients of the more intellectual grade are put on the road to recovery by the first interview, although the recovery from emaciation and the starvation habit which the stomach has acquired requires some time.

In traumatic neurosis my experience has been more favorable,¹⁸ one interview often sufficing. I attribute this, however, to the fact that these patients are in a better position than the gastric ones to realize the truth; for until the psychotherapeutic interview, they have heard only *ex parte* opinions or indiscriminate sympathy for an attitude which at heart they would be glad to be rid of. Without confidence given by a thorough knowledge of organic disease of the nervous system the neurologist's diagnosis and affirmation cannot be positive.¹⁹ When to this is added the muddled conceptions so prevalent about the traumatic neuroses, one cannot wonder at the reproaches heaped upon our profession as medico-legal experts.

From these types of what might be termed perverted reaction to environment, I trust that my hearers will gain at least a slight conception of the problems with which psychotherapy deals; and that, from a comprehension of these clearer-cut conditions, they may be in a better position to estimate the much commoner cases where one may be called upon to guide into productive and happy channels perversions of disposition, such as despondence, suspiciousness, facile emotionalism, religious sentimentalism, social ashamedness, weakness of character, and morbid fears, pains, besetments or any form of inadequacy to personal and social requirements.²⁰

2118 Wyoming Avenue.

REFERENCES.

- ¹ WILLIAMS: Importance of Distinguishing between the Psychoneuroses, Jour. Abnor. Psy., March-April, 1909.
- ² SURIN: Soeur Jeanne des Anges, revised by Gilles de la Tourette, Paris, 1889.
- AHUMADA: (Theresa de), Le Chateau Intérieur, French Trans., Paris.
- ³ WILLIAMS: The Diff. Diag. between Neurasthenia and Some Affections of the Nervous System, for which it is often Mistaken, Arch. of Diagnosis, Jan., 1909.
- ⁴ WILLIAMS: The Essentially Different Treatment Required in Affections often Mistaken for Neurasthenia, Tri-State Med. Assn., Feb., 1909; Charlotte Med. Jour., 1909.
- ⁵ Washington Med. Soc., 1908.
- ⁶ Letter Symposium in Boston Herald, 1909.
- ⁷ TAYLOR: The Relation of the Medical Profession to the Psychotherapeutic Movement, Boston Med. Jour., 1908.

- PUTNAM: Psychasthenia from the Viewpoint of Social Consciousness, Amer. Jour. Med. Sciences, Jan., 1908.
- LEVY, E. P.: L'Education Rationnelle de la Volonté, Paris, 1904.
- PAYOT: L'Education de la Volonté, Paris, 1904.
- MEIGE ET FEINDEHL: Les Tics et Leur Traitement, Paris, 1901; Forthcoming Symposium on Psychotherapy, Jour. Abn. Psy., 1909.
- ⁸ DUPRÉ: La Mythomanie, 1906.
- ⁹ HUXLEY Lecture, Brit. Med. Jour., 1906.
- ¹⁰ KRAFFT-EBING: "Psychopathia Sexualis," Trans. Chaddock.
- ¹¹ STCHERBAH: Arch. de Neurol., Vol. II, 1907.
- ¹² WILLIAMS: Jour. Abnor. Psy., Feb.-March, 1908; Old Dom. Jour., 1908; Am. Med. April, 1909.
- DÉJERINE: Les Fausse Gastropathes, La Presse Med., 1906.
- ¹³ WILLIAMS: Le Rôle du Medecin en créant ou en Maintenant par ses Suggestions Maladroites les Maladies produites par l'Imagination; Congrès des Neurologistes Français à Lille, 1906, Trans. Amer. Med., Aug., 1908.
- ¹⁴ IN CAMUS ET PAGNIEZ: Isolement et Psychothérapie, Paris, 1904.
- ¹⁵ WILLIAMS: Hints from Experience in Psychotherapy, Monthly Cyclo., July, 1908.
- ¹⁶ WILLIAMS: The Trend of the Clinicians Concept of Hysteria, Boston Med. Jour. April, 1909; see also International Clinics, Autumn, 1908, and Monthly Cyclo. and Med. Bul., April.
- ¹⁷ BABINSKI: "Ma Conception de l'Hysterie," Paris, 1906.
- ¹⁸ WILLIAMS: The Traumatic Neurosis and Babinski's Conception of Hysteria, Inter. Congres. for Industrial Accidents, Rome, May, 1909; also Monthly Cyclo., Nov., 1908.
- ¹⁹ DÉJERINE: In Camus et Pagniez, *loc. cit.*
- ²⁰ The most complete discussion of these is found in Raymond et Janet, "Les Obsessions et la Psychasthénie," Paris, 1903.



