Reply from the surgeons of the Liverpool Northern Hospital, to a pamphlet, published by J.P. Halton, one of the surgeons of the Liverpool Infirmary.

Contributors

Liverpool Northern Hospital. Banner, John Maurice, -1863. Stubbs, Henry, 1809-1878. Bainbrigge, William Henry, 1806-1884. Royal College of Surgeons of England

Publication/Creation

London : Samuel Highley, 1844.

Persistent URL

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/p57rxzfs

Provider

Royal College of Surgeons

License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by The Royal College of Surgeons of England. The original may be consulted at The Royal College of Surgeons of England. where the originals may be consulted. This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org

REPLY

FROM THE

SURGEONS

OF THE

LIVERPOOL NORTHERN HOSPITAL,

TO A

PAMPHLET,

PUBLISHED BY

J. P. HALTON,

ONE OF THE SURGEONS OF THE LIVERPOOL INFIRMARY.

" Justitiæ partes sunt non violare homines;

" Verecundiæ non offendere."

REVISED EDITION.


THE REAL PROPERTY OF

LONDON:

SAMUEL HIGHLEY, 32, FLEET STREET.

PRINTED BY J. RICHARDSON, JUN, 11, BACK CASTLE-STREET, LIVERPOOL.

MDCCCXLIV,





PAMPHLET,

VINDICATING

THE

MANAGEMENT

SURGICAL PRACTICE

AND THE

OF THE

Liberpool Borthern Hospital,

IS INSCRIBED TO

THE PATRON, THE PRESIDENT,

AND

TO THE COMMITTEE,

WITH EVERY FEELING OF RESPECT.


By their Obedient,

and Faithful Servants.

JOHN MAURICE BANNER, HENRY STUBBS, WILLIAM HENRY BAINBRIGGE,

HONORARY SURGEONS.

Fellows of the Royal College of Surgeons of England,



IN a pamphlet recently published by Mr. HALTON, an attempt has been made to prove, that the capital operations in Surgery, performed in the Infirmary, have been followed by a rate of mortality very much below what has occurred in other similar Institutions.

The objects of Mr. HALTON in this publication appear to be,

Firstly—To laud, the superior salubrity of the situation of the Infirmary, and its management.

Secondly—To prove, that the situation and management of the Northern Hospital, is a source of evil to the Public.

Thirdly—To shew, by the results of the operations of a good Surgeon, in a well ventilated and well managed Institution, that the general results, derived by Mr. PHILLIPS from the records of a number of Hospitals, in Europe and America, are either incorrectly stated, or, are attributable to the bad Surgery of their Medical officers, or, to an unhealthy site, and bad general management.

To effect these objects, he compares the results of his own operations during a period of twenty-two years, with a table published in the Medical Gazette, by Mr. PARKER, our House Surgeon, of the results of amputations during a period of seven years in the Northern Hospital; and with the results of 640 amputations performed in Hospitals in Europe, and America, collected by Mr. Phillips, and published in the same journal. When Mr. HALTON attacked the Surgical practice of the Northern Hospital, we think he ought to have consulted a pamphlet published by one of us, in the Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal, in which, the results of our operations in the severest forms are tabulated, and sufficient details given of the cases, to explain, what he calls our, "high rate of mortality." It is at least a satisfaction to know, that our mortality after amputations, is below the average result, recorded by Mr. PHILLIPS of his 640 cases, although we cannot boast of such apparent superiority, as that claimed by Mr. HALTON for his own practice.

Mr. HALTON has been encouraged, by the advice of a Medical friend, to sacrifice his *unwillingness* to appear in too *prominent a position*, for the advantage of the profession, "as it would probably induce other Hospital Surgeons "to follow the example; by which means we might ulti-"mately obtain the important general record, the want of "which is so much felt at this crisis, to correct the, what "I believe to be, erroneous assertions put forth to the dis-"paragement of operative Surgery."

Had Mr. HALTON been better acquainted with modern Medical literature, he might have known, that he is not the first who has applied the science of statistics, to correctly appreciate the risk of an operation in Surgery; and thus have learned to have made use of numbers intelligently and fairly. When the science of statistics was first systematically recommended by Louis, as a proper means of settling many of the discrepances in Medicine and Surgery, as to the relative value of

certain modes of treatment, objections were made to its adoption, by several very eminent practitioners, as leading with an appearance of exactness into great error. It was urged, that if a calculation be made upon observations incomplete, inexact, or collected with a biassed spirit, into what errors will it not lead? This is however no objection to the method, but to the abuse which might accrue, from ill intention, or ignorance. Does not Mr. HALTON lay himself open to one or both of these charges? His results are calculations from facts, the number, and nature of which he has kept back from a feeling of modesty, which prevents him placing himself in too prominent a position; but at the same time, permits him to claim for his own practice, a superiority over that, of other Surgeons, attached to the first Hospitals in Europe, and America. We can assure Mr. HALTON that there are many of these Hospitals, in as salubrious situations, under as careful management, kept as scrupulously clean, and as well ventilated as the Liverpool Infirmary. He cannot, therefore, under the flimsy veil of a complimentary reference of the pre-eminence of the Infirmary, to its excellent site, and the careful management of its Committee, conceal, that he would have it inferred that this extraordinary success is only referrible to his own extraordinary merit.

Mr. HALTON gives us the proportion of deaths to recoveries, after capital operations, performed by himself during a period of twenty-two years, and places the success shewn, to the credit of the Infirmary. During four years of this period, he had no connexion with the Infirmary; and if we understand aright his rather obscure explanation, he introduces the results of his own private practice; We find in Mr. HALTON's pamphlet, page 8, " with the "impression on my mind of the experience of my own "practice, that I resolved to refer to the records of the last "twenty-two years. The first period of four years and a "half, being occupied as Surgeon to the Dispensary, the "latter, of nearly eighteen years, being engaged as Surgeon "to the Liverpool Infirmary." And at page 28, " as my "chief field of operation has been the Infirmary, I shall class "my results in its name, though I believe it will suffer by it." These, and the operations performed in the Dispensary, have nothing to do with the site, and management of the Infirmary. He in another part, more distinctly attributes the success to his own merit. In page 9, he says, " the risk appears to me to lie in the manner in which it (the operation) is performed." In the following page, he suggests, that the high rates of mortality in other Hospitals, arise from the registry of badly performed operations, of which he gives two specimens as occurring in his own knowledge. We question the propriety and use of such allusions, and we feel ourselves called upon to state, for the information of those who do not understand them, that these mistakes did not occur in the Northern Hospital, nor in the practice of any of its Surgeons.

We regret with Mr. HALTON, that from the want of materials, he has been unable to give a record of the results of all the amputations, which have occurred in the Infirmary since he has been one of its Surgeons. Such a table fairly drawn out would be to the point. Can it be true that the only *record* of the Surgical practice of that Institution, is contained in a brief statement of operations during two years ? and that there is no other *record* of the Surgical practice of his colleagues ? Where is the return made to a Committee of the House of Commons, of the operations and accidents, during the four years immediately preceding the establishment of the Northern Hospital, quoted by Mr. HALTON in his pamphlet ?

If this report exist, and there be attached to it, the nature of the diseases and accidents, for which the operations were performed; a comparison of the several classes in it, with the similar classes in the full report published by one of us, in the Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal, might be fairly drawn, as the Infirmary at that time received all the accidents occurring in the town, the greater part of which were conveyed to the Northern Hospital, after its Mr. HALTON's pamphlet, though first establishment. published in the Medical Gazette, and consequently addressed to the profession, is yet in its new form, with its luminous dedication to the Committee, and its terminating appeal to the truly benevolent, evidently intended for non-professional readers, and upon these, its conclusions, apparently fair, will produce an erroneous impression. We have therefore great reason to complain of his omitting to mention, that there are some amputations attended with comparatively little risk, and others in which the chances of survival are small. The greater danger, being in general, from operations the results of accident, the lesser, in those consequent on Chronic disease.

To place in a table of results such cases as of equal value, is a deception. We should wish to have Mr. HALTON'S views of the relative risks of amputations in different subjects, under the varied circumstances of disease, age, sex, habits, and temperament; but we regret he is prevented by the limits he has prescribed to himself, from entering into the question at all. In commenting on the proportion of his deaths to recovery, he apologises for some taking place, where recovery, from the severity of the accident, could not be expected; but nowhere, does he mention the number or relative proportion of deaths to recoveries, after amputation for Chronic diseases and for accident. The present limited accommodation of the Northern Hospital, prevents Chronic cases of Surgical disease, from being admitted in anything like the proportion to accidents, that the Chronic diseases in the Infirmary, bear to the small number of accidents which are now admitted into that Institution. May we not infer that Mr. HALTON'S report, as he calls it, is, of operations in cases where accidents form the exception, and Chronic diseases the rule. In the Northern Hospital, Chronic Surgical disease is rather the exception, and accident the rule. We conceive we are justified in this supposition by the following consideration. In all cases of amputation, the risk from the operation, is proportioned to its proximity to the body. In Mr. HALTON's table, the deaths from amputations of the thigh, are one in eleven, whereas in the less dangerous operation of the leg, they are as high as one in six. This apparent anomaly may be easily explained. The most common amputation for Chronic disease, is that of the thigh, for white swelling of the knee joint. This operation is most frequently performed in young subjects, and is, or ought not to be performed, when complicated with visceral disease. A great number of these operations in an Hospital, would explain the success to which Mr. HALTON lays claim. In our table of amputations, performed in the Northern Hospital in the course of nine years and two months, we have nineteen amputations for disease of the thigh, and only one death. We have had during the last two years 15 amputations from both accident and disease, and one death. In the practice of one of us, there have been no deaths consequent upon the amputations performed by him. It would be however unfair, to ascribe this partial success to the superior Surgery, practised at our Hospital. We refer to our table in the appendix, for the severe nature of the injuries which have raised the mortality in amputations of the thigh, to one in 7 1-7th.*

In the very admirable paper published by Mr. PHIL-LIPS, in the Medical Gazette, he states, as the result of his researches, that he found "in certain Hospitals, "the mortality after amputation, has exceeded 53 per "cent.; in several it has not exceeded 12 per cent., and "in one of the number, out of twenty amputations, there "has been only one fatal result." Mr. HALTON objects to Mr. PHILLIPS not specifying the Hospitals in which such extremes occurred, in order, we presume, that each should receive its appropriate censure, or praise;

> * Amputations of the Thigh in Ten Years, 29. Cured. Died. Of these, for Accidents 10. 7 3 ,, for Disease 19. 18 1 29. 25 4 Four Deaths in 29; or, One in 7 1-7th

but we conceive, that in acting thus, Mr. PHILLIPS has exercised a wise discretion. He does not think that the great mortality in the one case, and the great success in the other, are necessarily indications of better or worse management; but, points out, in another passage, how extreme differences in the results, may depend upon differences in the nature of the accident or disease, and on differences in the general age, habits, &c., of the individuals operated upon in the several Institutions.

We will take the liberty of quoting a passage from Mr. PHILLIPS' paper, to which, if Mr. HALTON had paid attention, he might have found an easy solution of the extraordinary difference, if it exist, between the results of operations in the Infirmary, and in the Northern Hospital. "It "may be thought that there are many sources of fallacy in "investigations like the present, that certain Hospitals "are placed under peculiar circumstances, and that the " results furnished by them would not be a fair represen-"tation of the whole. It is true that there are many "Hospitals so situated either permanently, or in particular " periods, as to render it probable that the results of am-" putations would be very unfavourable. In certain ma-"nufacturing Towns, a large number of accidents are " produced by machinery. In such cases the injury may "not be limited to the limb, which it may be thought " prudent to amputate, and the death of the patient may " be a consequence of the accident, and not of the ampu-"tation. Other Hospitals may be peculiarly the recepta-" cles of Chronic disease. An improved system of treat-"ing such diseases may be employed there, and the "number of amputations may be small; but from that "very circumstance, from the operation being performed "as a really last resource, the result of such amputations "might be unfavourable." "I have therefore selected "such Hospitals as would produce something like an "antagonism in this respect, and would render the results "shewn, a fair representation of what actually occurs." (Vide Phillips' Medical Gazette, Vol. 22, Page 459.

We hope to be able to shew from a reference to the tables in the appendix, that the accidents for which in general, amputations have been performed in the Northern Hospital, place it in the first category; and without taking credit for any improved mode in the treatment of Chronic disease, we may attribute our inferior success if it exist, in the amputations of this nature, to circumstances in the cases, which place them in the category of operations performed as a really last resource. We think we may fairly infer that the Infirmary is now one of those Hospitals, which Mr. PHILLIPS would select, as producing an antagonism to the Northern Hospital.

A difference of opinion as to the propriety of operating at once after an accident, or of waiting until the symptoms occasioned by the shock, or, of collapse have subsided, may produce great difference in the proportions of deaths to recoveries after amputation, according as either one or other of these plans, is adopted exclusively. This is a dispute in which many eminent Surgeons have taken different sides and "adhuc sub judice lis est." It is a question, whether it be wiser to run the risk, of leaving the patient to die without operation, from the irritation arising from the extreme severity of the accident, or, to run the risk of adding to the depression of the nervous system, by inflicting in the operation an additional injury. This dispute is well illustrated by the difference of opinion expressed with regard to the treatment of Mr. HUSKISSON'S melancholy accident.

It is obvious that in an Hospital where one system, that of waiting, is exclusively adopted, there would be fewer deaths after amputations in such cases, because there would be no amputation performed until after the collapse had gone off, but it is doubtful whether there would be less mortality. We insist upon this distinction being attended to, because we find in Mr. HALTON'S report, fifty instances of compound fracture in which amputation was not performed, but in which incisions were made and pieces of bone removed, of which eight died. Now without pretending to say that Mr. HALTON did not exercise a proper discretion in not amputating in the cases where death took place; it will be seen from our precis of amputations, operation was performed in cases of equally severe complication, often with a fatal result, but at the same time sufficiently often with a favorable one, to justify the propriety of the proceeding. In table III. (appendix) we refer to cases 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 22, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 39, in which from the severity of the complications, and the presence of extreme collapse, no attempt was made to amputate, and the patients were left to the chance that collapse would subside, and the operation might be performed under more favorable circumstances. In one of these cases Erysipelas supervened,

upon an individual in whom there were four compound fractures. In two others, extensive emphysema, complicated compound fracture of the leg, and fracture of the ribs. All medical men understand the danger of this complication, which indicates more or less injury to the lungs. In table IV, cases 2 and 12, and in table V, cases 2, 8, 10, 11, and 34, are instances in which amputations were performed in the case of severe complications, profound collapse, and hœmorrhage, and were followed by death. In table IV, case 1, and in table 5, cases 9, 17, 18 and 35, are instances of amputations performed under similar circumstances of severe complication, with successful results. We refer to these tables in general, as confirmatory of our statement, that the accidents for which amputations have been performed were extremely severe; and in those cases in which death followed, the amputation was performed in the face of circumstances, in which had we not been anxious to afford the patient the smallest possible chance, we might have been justified in not operating at all, and thus have saved our credit, by diminishing the proportion of deaths in our amputations, without however in the least diminishing the mortality in the Hospital. In none of these fatal cases, can death be imputed to the bad situation, or defective management of the Hospital.

Since the establishment of the Hospital, there have been forty amputations for disease, of which five died; but of these five, two died some months after the stumps had healed, from causes having no connection with the operation, vide table VI, cases 4 and 26, one being pulmonary apoplexy, the other a sudden death, not explained, from inability to procure a post mortem examination. The exception of these two cases reduces the proportion of deaths in amputations for disease, to 1 in $13\frac{1}{3}$. Of these amputations, one successful case was at the shoulder joint, and another was a case, in which, in an extremely debilitated subject, both legs were removed, one five days after the other.

Two operations of excision of the elbow joint were successfully performed. This operation is attended with more risk to the patient from the extent of the injury inflicted, than many amputations of limbs. Two cases of unlimited fracture, one of the Femur, the other of the Tibia, were cut down upon, the ends of the bones sawed off, and union effected. One operation of extirpation of a great portion of the os calcis, for disease, was successfully performed.

Table I. contains an account of the results of the treatment of simple, simple comminuted, and compound fractures, from the opening of the Hospital, to the 31st Dec. 1843. From this table it appears, that there have been 907 simple, simple comminuted, and compound fractures, of which, 755 were simple and comminuted, and 152 were compound.

Of the 755 three died, being cases of fracture of pelvis; of the 152 compound fractures, six died, being of the lower extremities. Tables II. and III. contain the results of severe compound complicated fractures of the upper and lower extremities, which were not operated upon; of these there are 59 cases, of which 25 died -17

in the upper extremity, of which 3 died; and 42 in the lower extremity, of which 22 died. In these tables are contained the worst cases of surgical accidents, which have entered the Hospital, and we call attention to the severe nature of the complications in the fatal cases, as sufficiently explanatory of the results.

In Mr. HALTON's attempt to account for the very questionable "pre-eminence" of the practice of the Infirmary, over that of the Northern Hospital, he thinks it probable, that the healthy situation of the Infirmary, its cleanliness, and superior ventilation, may have contributed to that success. We find no fault with the glowing eulogium upon the situation, cleanliness, and ventilation of the Infirmary; but we maintain that Mr. HALTON'S description of the disadvantages of the present Northern Hospital, is exaggerated, and unfair. Although situated in a part of the town, were disease and wretchedness prevail to the greatest extent, it is yet by its situation, internal management, and ventilation, however imperfect, freed from all the evil influences which make Vauxhall ward so very unhealthy, and which have been so well described by Dr. DUNCAN. There is no building within one hundred yards of two faces of the Hospital, and the nearest warehouse is at least two hundred yards distant. Opposite to a greater part of the third side, in Leeds-street, is a large flag-yard, from which no mephitic exhalation can arise; between the Hospital and the Canal, are coal-yards, and from the Canal no danger need be apprehended, its waters being constantly renewed by fresh streams from the country. We claim for the Committee of the Northern Hos-

C

pital as great attention to cleanliness, and to its general management, as Mr. HALTON attributes to the Infirmary Committee: and although our ventilation is not perfect, from the circumstance that the building was not erected for its present purposes, but has been formed from two large and excellent dwelling-houses, yet very great improvements in the ventilation have been effected by the attention of its managers. Erysipelas, the scourge of many Hospitals, is comparatively rare, and there have been very few cases of Idiopathic Erysipelas for some years. The evil influences denounced by Mr. HALTON, ought to produce their effects upon all cases, Medical, and Surgical indiscriminately, yet, we are prepared to shew, from the comparative mortality of the two Hospitals, that the results are, if anything, in our favour. From an average of four years, we find the mortality, in the general cases admitted into the Northern Hospital to be as low as 61 per cent., whereas, in the Infirmary it is 7 per cent. The average mortality of 63 European Hospitals (German, French, Swiss, Belgian, Dutch, Italian, and English,) is $8\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. The nature of the cases may be roughly estimated, by the duration of the treatment. The longer average duration will indicate the prevalence of cases of Chronic disease. The average duration of the treatment during the last four years in the Northern Hospital, is 17 days.* The average duration in the Infirmary during the last two years, is 31 days; supposing the daily cost of each patient in each Institution to be the

* At page 39, a difference appears to the above calculation, in our average duration of treatment, for the year 1843. In consequence of 352 out-patients being excluded from the total of 1588, admitted during the year.

same, our patients cost the Hospital a little more than one-half of the cost of the Infirmary cases. The average duration of the treatment in three German, and ten Parisian Hospitals, is 281 days. From these remarks, we may infer that in the Northern Hospital, the average of the cases is of acute diseases, as the duration of the treatment is short, and that its situation and management are not very bad, as the comparative mortality is small. Although we think ourselves justified in making these inferences from the facts stated in favour of the situation, and management of the Northern Hospital, it is far removed from our belief, that the same mode of reasoning applied to other Hospitals, ought, with justice, to condemn either their situation or management. There are circumstances in the history of these Hospitals, with which we are not acquainted, such as the number of beds, the nature of the diseases which are admitted, or excluded, the average age, and condition of the patients, &c. &c.; which, if rightly appreciated, would probably place the Hospital making the worst return, upon the level of that making the most favourable. We will illustrate this by two cases; in the Catharine Hospital at Stuttgard, we have an average duration of treatment of 21 and 4-5th days, being indicative of the prevalence of acute disease, with an exceedingly low rate of mortality, being only 23 per cent. In the Hospital Neckar, in Paris, the average duration of treatment is from 33 to 34 days, and the mortality is as high as 13 per cent. The high character of the French Hospital management, prevents us from assuming the superiority in which the mere consideration of numbers, apart from other circumstances, would place us, and justifies us in supposing that our inferiority to the Stuttgard Hospital, may be equally explicable, by the consideration of all the circumstances. We believe that in the Hospital Neckar, Consumption forms a large proportion of the diseases treated; its duration is long, and its termination necessarily fatal. From the limited accommodation of our Hospital, such cases are as much as possible excluded, and when admitted, on the first favourable opportunity they are removed.

The claim of advantage, in the nearer vicinity of the residences of the Honorary Surgeons to the Hospital, is absurd. Mr. HALTON should remember, that the Hospital is for the benefit of the patients, and not for the convenience of the Surgeon. How is it possible, that a man can be the better, for being carried at least a mile farther from the place of accident, with a fractured limb, complicated with Hœmorrhage? At both Hospitals, he would receive immediate assistance from well educated resident Medical Assistants, Members of the Royal College of Surgeons, quite competent to meet any emergency; and, we are not aware of any case in either Medicine or Surgery, under such circumstances, in which the delay of half, or threequarters of an hour in the arrival of the Honorary Officer, is likely to produce any difference in the result.

We have a right to presume that the object of the subscribers to the Public Charities in Liverpool, is to afford the greatest possible amount of relief to the sick poor. It is the duty, as it is the province of the Medical Men attached to these Charities, to supply the infor-

mation which their experience affords, of the best methods by which this object may be attained; and it is our opinion that they will more advance the cause of Charity, by pointing out the defects in the management of their own Institution, than by dwelling on their merits. A flaming self-laudatory report satisfies the public with the good that is done, but is apt to turn away their attention from the greater good, which the same means might accomplish. We are far from satisfied that the Northern Hospital is as efficient as it might be. We wish a better ventilation, and that the wards were less crowded; and we wish more especially in the Medical department, that we had fewer cases of Chronic diseases, and more of the acute affections, for which such establishments are properly intended, and which we well know exist among the poor, and are treated at their dwellings, under the most unfavorable circumstances. It has long been the wish of the Committee, and Medical Board of our Hospital, to remedy the two first defects, and we have now an early prospect of being able to do so, by the erection of a building, adequate to the importance of the Institution to the public. The medical men of the Infirmary, are equally well aware with ourselves, that their wards are filled with cases of Chronic disease to the exclusion of the more acute forms. The substitution of the latter, for the former, would swell the rate of mortality in both Hospitals, but would nevertheless increase the utility of the Hospitals to the Public. It would be a means of proving to the more wealthy inhabitants, the dire extent of misery which prevails among their poorer fellow citizens, which calls for relief, and when heard has always been warmly responded to by the Inhabitants of Liverpool. The Machinery for effecting this purpose is at hand. The Medical Officers of the Dispensaries, and the Visitors of that most excellent Charity the District Provident Society, come, in the performance of their duties, into immediate contact with the objects of disease; if the former were to co-operate with the latter, in selecting the most fit objects for the Public Hospitals, and the Managers of the Hospitals place at the disposal of the Visitors the power of filling up their vacant bcds, the objects of Charity would be more effectually served, and the necessity of even more extended Hospital accommodation clearly demonstrated.

We have laid before the public, a statement of the Surgical practice of the Northern Hospital, and have compared the results with those of the Infirmary, and other Institutions, as far as we have access to them, with the object of defending ourselves and the Hospital, from a most unjustifiable attack. We have no wish, from anything we have brought forward in our own defence, that conclusions prejudicial to the Infirmary, or any other Medical Charity, should be drawn; and we hope it is clear from the grounds of our defence, that no such conclusions can be warranted. We are far from believing there is no room for improvement in any of our Public Institutions; the best way to arrive at the cause of any defects requiring remedy, is, by comparing the results of different managements and modes of treatment; we are anxious to cooperate with Mr. HALTON, his Colleagues, and the other Medical Functionaries, in exposing the defects in the 23

management of our own, and other Institutions, and we believe our success will be the greater, the more we carry on our investigation in the spirit of that Charity, which it ought to be our common object to promote.

ERBATA-In page 16, line 13, read ununited for unlimited, and page 17, line 17, read where for were.

-




TABLE I.

Simple and Compound Fractures which have been treated in the NORTHERN HOSPITAL, from March 10th, 1834, to the 31st December, 1843, with the results.

UPPER EXTREMITY.

LOWER EXTREMITY.

	Simple and Comminuted Fractures.			Compound Fractures.		Simple and Comminuted Fractures.			Compound Fractures.				
Bone.	No.of Cases	Cur'd	Died.	No.of Cases	Cur'd	Died.		No.of Cases	Cur'd	Died.	No.of Cases	Cur'd	Died.
Clavicle, .	45	45	0	1	1	0	Femur	161	161	0	17	16	1
Scapula	10	10	0	0	0	0	Tibia	29	29	0	0	0	0
Humerus	64	64	0	14	14	0	Fibula	45	45	0	0	0	0
Radius	48	48	0	0	0	0	Tibia & } Fibula }	188	188	0	100	96	. 4
Ulna	21	21	0	0	0	0	Patella	13	13	0	0	Ō	0
Ulna & Radius . }	112	112	0	19	19	0	Tarsus	9	9	0	1	0	1
							Pelvis	10	8	3	0	0	0
		-		to make	-			-	L				
Total	300	300	0	84	34	0	Total	455	453	8	118	112	6

9 YEARS 10 MONTHS, NORTHERN HOSPITAL. 2 YEARS, INFIRMARY.

Simple Comminuted Fractures.	No. of Cases.	Deaths.	No. of Cases.	Deaths.
Upper Extremity	245	0	34	2 or 1 in 17
Lower Extremity	444	0	82	3 " 1 " 27 1
Bones of Trunk	66	3 pelvis	32	1 " 1 " 82
A STATUS				
1 2 4 1 1 1 1	755	8, or 1 in 251 2-5ths	148	6, or 1 in $24\frac{1}{3}$
Compound Fractures.				
Upper Extremity	84	0	5	1 or 1 in 5
Lower ditto	118	6 or 1 in 193	13	4 " 1 in 3 1
and a language	152	6 or 1 in $25\frac{1}{3}$	18	5 ,,1 in 33-5ths

From this TABLE, it appears, from a mere statement of numbers, without detail of circumstances, that the mortality in the Infirmary, in cases of Simple Fracture is between 10 and 11 to 1, as compared with that in the Northern Hospital. In compound Fractures as 8 to 1.

TABLE II.

Complicated Fractures of Upper Extremity.

SCAPULA, CLAVICLE, HUMERUS, RADIUS, AND ULNA.

1 Fracture of the Clavicle,—Neck of Scapula, third and fourth ribs,—extensive Emphysema 1 0 2 Compound comminuted Fracture of both Ossa Humeri, (explosion of cannon)		and the second	Cured.	Died:
2 Compound comminuted Fracture of both Ossa Humeri, (explosion of cannon)	1	Fracture of the Clavicle,-Neck of Scapula, third and		
(explosion of cannon)103Fracture of Humerus, and Ribs — extensive emphysema.014Compound comminuted Fracture of Humerus, with extensive contusion and laceration of Muscles105.6.7 Three cases of Dislocation of Elbow Joint, Radius, and Ulna forwards, with Fracture of inner Condyle808Compound Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive laceration of Muscles109Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive laceration of Muscles010Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna 		fourth ribs,-extensive Emphysema	1	0
3 Fracture of Humerus, and Ribs—extensive emphysema. 0 1 4 Compound comminuted Fracture of Humerus, with extensive contusion and laceration of Muscles 1 0 5.6.7 Three cases of Dislocation of Elbow Joint, Radius, and Ulna forwards, with Fracture of inner Condyle 8 0 8 Compound Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive laceration of Muscles 1 0 9 Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive laceration of Muscles 1 0 9 Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna of both Arms, considerable laceration of soft parts. Collapse 0 1 10 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint 0 1 11 Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 0 1 12 Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. Collapse 0 1 13 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella 1 0 14 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella 1 0 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Fracture of Radius 1 0 16 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, fracture of both Radii 1	2	Compound comminuted Fracture of both Ossa Humeri,		
4 Compound comminuted Fracture of Humerus, with extensive contusion and laceration of Muscles 1 0 5.6.7 Three cases of Dislocation of Elbow Joint, Radius, and Ulna forwards, with Fracture of inner Condyle 3 0 8 Compound Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive laceration of Muscles 1 0 9 Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna of both Arms, considerable laceration of soft parts. Collapse 0 1 10 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 11 Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 12 Compound Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 13 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse. 1 0 14 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Fracture of Radius 1 0 14 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Fracture of Radius 1 0 15 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, fracture of both Radii 1 0		(explosion of cannon)	1	0
tensive contusion and laceration of Muscles105.6.7 Three cases of Dislocation of Elbow Joint, Radius, and Ulna forwards, with Fracture of inner Condyle808 Compound Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive laceration of Muscles109 Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna of both Arms, considerable laceration of soft parts. Collapse0110 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint0110 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint1011 Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint1012 Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. Collapse0113 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse.1014 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius1015 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius1016 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac- ture of both Radii10	8	Fracture of Humerus, and Ribs-extensive emphysema.	0	1
5.8.7 Three cases of Dislocation of Elbow Joint, Radius, and 8 0 8 2 Compound Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive 1 1 1 0 1<	4	Compound comminuted Fracture of Humerus, with ex-		
Ulna forwards, with Fracture of inner Condyle 8 0 8 Compound Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive laceration of Museles 1 0 9 Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna of both Arms, considerable laceration of soft parts. Collapse 0 1 10 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 11 Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 12 Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. Collapse		tensive contusion and laceration of Muscles	1	0
8 Compound Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive laceration of Museles 1 0 9 Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna of both Arms, considerable laceration of soft parts. Collapse 0 1 10 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 11 Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 12 Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. Collapse 0 1 13 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse 1 0 14 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius 1 0 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of both Radii 1 0	5.6.	7 Three cases of Dislocation of Elbow Joint, Radius, and		
8 Compound Fracture of Radius and Ulna, extensive laceration of Muscles 1 0 9 Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna of both Arms, considerable laceration of soft parts. Collapse 0 1 10 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 11 Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 12 Compound Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 13 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse. 1 0 14 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius 1 0 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius 1 0 16 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac- ture of both Radii 1 0		Ulna forwards, with Fracture of inner Condyle	8	0
laceration of Muscles 1 0 9 Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna of both Arms, considerable laceration of soft parts. Collapse 0 1 10 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint 0 1 10 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 11 Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 12 Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. Collapse 0 1 13 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse. 1 0 14 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella 1 0 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Fracture of Radius 1 0 15 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, fracture of both Radii 1 0	8			
of both Arms, considerable laceration of soft parts. Collapse			1	0
of both Arms, considerable laceration of soft parts. Collapse	9	Compound Comminuted Fracture of Radius and Ulna		
Collapse0110Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint1011Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint1012Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. Collapse0113Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse.1014Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella.1015Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius.1016Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac- ture of both Radii10				
10 Comminuted Fracture of Radius, and Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 11 Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 12 Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. 0 1 13 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and 0 1 14 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture 0 0 14 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture 1 0 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Fracture of Radius. 1 0 16 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, fracture of both Radii 1 0			0	1
Wrist Joint1011Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint1012Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. Collapse0113Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse1014Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella.1015Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius1016Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac- ture of both Radii10	10			
11 Simple Fracture of Radius; Dislocation of Wrist Joint 1 0 12 Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. 0 1 13 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and 0 1 14 Compound Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse. 1 0 14 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture 1 0 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Fracture of Radius. 1 0 16 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, fracture of both Radii 1 0			1	0
12 Compound Fracture of the Arm, Concussion of Brain. Collapse 0 1 13 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse 1 0 14 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella	11		1	0
Collapse0113Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse.1014Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella.1015Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius .1016Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac- ture of both Radii10	- TEA			
13 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, severe laceration and Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse 1 0 14 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella 1 0 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius 1 0 16 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac- ture of both Radii 1 0	20		0	1
Fracture of Radius—from bite of a horse 1 0 14 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella 1 0 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius 1 0 16 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac- ture of both Radii 1 0	13			
14 Compound Fracture of Humerus, comminuted Fracture of Patella			1	0
of Patella 1 0 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Frac- ture of Radius 1 0 16 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac- ture of both Radii 1 0	14			
 15 Compound Dislocation of Elbow backward, with Fracture of Radius			1	0
ture of Radius 1 0 10 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac- ture of both Radii 1 0	15			
16 Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, fracture of both Radii 10 ture of both Radii	10		1	0
ture of both Radii 1 0	10	Compound Fracture of Ulna, dislocation of Elbow, frac-		
Total 16 cases, of which		ture of both Radii	1	0
		Total 16 cases, of which	13	3

26

TABLE III.

Complicated Fractures of Lower Extremity, including

PELVIS, FEMUR, TIBIA, AND FIBULA.

		Cured	. Died.
1	Fracture of Pelvis, extensive laceration of Perinæum	1	0
2	Compound fracture of Ilium, fractures of both Femurs.		
	Extreme collapse	0	1
3	Fracture of Pelvis, rupture of Urethra; lived 20 days, a		
	portion of descending ramus of the Pelvis found		
	perforating the Bladder	0	1
4	Compound Fracture of right Femur, simple fracture of		
	left, compound fracture of Humerus, simple frac-		
	ture of fore-arm	0	1
5	Compound Fracture of both Thighs, fracture of right		
	Tibia and Fibula. Died of Erysipelas	0	1
6	Compound Fracture of Femur, laceration of Femoral		
	Artery. Extreme collapse	0	1
7	Compound Fracture of Femur, extensive contusion of		-
	soft parts—caused by machinery. Collapse	0	1
8	Fracture of Femur, contusion of Abdomen (Peritonitis).	0	1
9	Compound Fracture of Femur, with Fracture of Skull,		-
0	and compression of Brain	0	1
10	Compound Fracture of Femur, with considerable lacera-		-
10	tion of Muscles	1	0
11	Compound Fracture Femur, Tibia, and Fibula	1	0
12	Fracture of Tibia and Fibula, Dislocation of Ankle Joint	1	0
	14.15.16.17.18.19.20 Eight cases of Fracture of Tibia and	1	0
10.	Fibula, with Dislocation of Ankle Joint	0	0
01		8	0
21	Oblique Fracture of Tibia into Knee-Joint, with Fracture		0
00	of Fibula	1	0
22	Compound Fracture of right Tibia and Fibula, simple		
	fracture of left Tibia and Fibula, dislocation of		
	Ankles, Fractures of Astragalus, laceration of Foot.	-	
00	Extreme collapse	0	1
23	Compound Dislocation of Ankle Joint, with Fracture of		-
	inner Malleolus	0	1
24	Compound Fracture of Tibia and Fibula, with severe	-	-
	laceration of Muscles	1	0
25	Compound Dislocation of Ankle Joint, with Fracture of	*	
	Tibia	1	0
	Carried forward	15	10

		Cured.	Died,
	Brought forward	15	10
26	Compound Dislocation of Ankle Joint, with fracture of		
	Tibia and Fibula	1	0
27	Compound Fracture of Tibia and Fibula, Fracture of		
	Ribs, extensive Emphysema	0	1
28	Fracture of Tibia and Fibula, extensive laceration, fol-		
~~	lowed by sloughing	1	0
29	Fracture of Tibia and Fibula, Dislocation of Wrist, con-		
~0	cussion of Brain	0	1
30	Compound Fracture of Tibia and Fibula, ditto of Radius		
00	and Ulna; laceration and contusion of Muscles;		
	collapse	0	1
31	Compound Fracture of Tibia and Fibula; laceration of		
01	Muscles. Gangrene.—Old Man	0	1
32	Fracture of Femur; Compound comminuted Fracture		
0.2	of Radius and Ulna of right Arm; simple Fracture		
	of left Fore-arm.—Age 78	0	1
33	Compound Fracture of Os Calcis, followed by Fever	0	1
34	Consussion of Brain	0	1
	and antensive Burn from		17. 15
35	the fire in Great Howard-street	0	1
0.0	a mut I Thule . Emotions of Elhow and		
36	Ribs; Emphysema		1
	Thestone of night Fornur simple Fracture		
37	of left; Injury of Head	0	1
	1 Didention of Ankley Fractured Tibia:		
38	Sloughing.—Age 71		1
	1 M. 1 of Which Rong followed in four days		
39	by Sloughing and Sores.—Age 76	. 0	1
	I Treature of Formur: Fracture and contu		
4	sion of Leg; severe Laceration of Hand	. 1	0
	a milia and Fibula : Concussion of Brain		
4	Wound of Knee	. 1	0
	Fracture of Tibia and Fibula; simpl	e	
4	2 Severe compound Fracture of Thom and Fracture of Tibia into Ankle Joint	. 1	0
1	1 M. L of Formury Fracture of Ramus Ischii.	. 1	L 0
4			22
	Giving 43 cases, of which 21 Recovered-22 Died.	2]	22
			and have an

Until more detailed information is given of the cases in the Statement of the Liverpool Infirmary in the tenth table, it is presumed, that the majority of the cases of complicated Fracture of the Lower Extremity in the above table, are parallel with them. Taking from the recoveries in the above table, 8 cases of Fracture of Tibia and Fibula, with Dislocation of Ankle Joint, we shall consider the cases as exactly parallel. We shall then have

Recoveries out of 35, in the Northern Hospital,
 1 Recovery out of 12, in the Infirmary.

TABLE IV.

Compound Fractures and Dislocations of Upper Extremity, followed by Amputation, from March 10th, 1834, to December 31, 1843. Cured. Died Compound Fracture of Humerus; laceration of Brachial Artery high up; amputated four hours afterwards, when slight reaction took place after great collapse. Age 54.....Arm 1 0 Compound comminuted Fracture of the Radius and Ulna 2 of both Arms, with extensive laceration of the Muscles, Nerves, &c., considerable contusion, and laceration of right Thigh, severe symptoms of collapse, which had somewhat abated when both Arms were amputated ; died upon the second day. 1' Age 55 Two Arms. 0 3 Compound Dislocation of the Elbow; admitted 20th, Traumatic Gangrene came on 25th, when Arm was amputated. The Brachial Artery was torn across Age 32 Arm. 1 0 Compound Fracture of the Arm ; Hand nearly torn off, 4 amputated same day.-Age 12Arm. 0 1 Compound comminuted Fracture of the Radius and 5 Ulna, with extensive contusion and laceration of the Muscles.-Age 14Arm. 1 0 6 Compound Fracture of the Carpal and Metacarpal bones, severe laceration of the Muscles and Tendons of Hand.-Age 24Fore-arm. 1 0 7 Compound Fracture of the Bones of the Wrist, and extensive laceration of the Muscles and Tendons. 1 0 Age 58 Fore-arm. 8 Hand torn off, with Fracture of Radius and Ulna; ampu-0 1 tated same day.-Age 27Fore-arm. 9 Compound Dislocation of the Elbow Joint, Gangrene affected Limb on third day; Artery found torn 1 0 across Arm. 8 1 Carried forward

Downald forward	Cured.	
Brought forward	8	1
0 Compound Dislocation of Wrist, with severe laceration		
of Flexor Tendons; Amputation same day.—Age 57		0
Fore-arm.	1	0
1 Compound Fracture of Bones of Carpus, extensive		
laceration of Hand; amputated same day. Age 19.		
Fore-arm	1	0
2 Severe laceration of Muscles, Nerves, and of the Arm,		
nearly as high as the Shoulder, (Arm drawn under		
a mill-stone, which passed entirely over it,) con-		
siderable hæmorrhage, very weak pulse, cold sur-		
face; Amputated at Shoulder Joint on the 12th		
April, on the 18th, Phlegmonous Erysipelas in the		
opposite Arm and Shoulder came on, and brought		
the case to a fatal termination on the 20th		
Age 65 Shoulder Joint	0	1
13 Incised wound of Wrist-wound of Radial Artery-		
lost a large quantity of blood before he reached		
the Hospital; both extremities of Arteries secured.		
In a fortnight after the accident, the Hand sloughed.		
On the separtion of the sloughs considerable		
hæmorrhage ensued. Gangrene extended as high		
as the Elbow. The Arm was amputated. Stump		
healed well. Abscess formed in pectoral muscle		
a month after amputation. At this time he expec-		
torated pus, was removed into the country and		
subsequently died Hectic Arm		1
The state of Tillion Theorematic Commons		
amputated 4th dayArm		0
The second secon		0
and a D Har into Taint Theorematic		
		0
Delirium Arn		0
17 Compound Fracture of Wrist		
18 Dislocation of the Femur into the Dorsum of Ilium		
Compound Dislocation of Wrist. Comminuted		
Dislocation of Radius and Ulna of same Arm, la		
ceration of soft parts. Arm amputated same day		
this man never recovered from collapse, age 43, Arn	a 0	1
Total amputations after compound Fractures of Upper Extrem	nity 14	4

3 deaths in 17, or 1 in 53.

TABLE V.

Amputations after Compound Fractures and Dislocations of Lower Extremity, from March 10th 1834 to December 31st, 1843.

		Cured.	Died
1	Fracture of Tibia into Knee Joint, Rupture of Popliteal		
	Artery, 8 hours after accident, age 30 Thigh	1	0
2	Fracture of Tibia into Knee Joint, Laceration of Popli-		
	teal Artery. Collapse. Amputated same day. Age		
	38Thigh	0	1
3	Compound Fracture of Femur. Dislocation of Knee.		
	Rupture of Popliteal Artery; amputated same day.		
	Age 15 Thigh	1	0
4	Compound Fracture of Femur, with extensive contusion		
	and laceration of the Muscles; amputated same		
	day.—Age 15 Thigh	1	0
5	Compound Fracture of Femur. Compound Fracture of		
	Tibia and Fibula. Severe contusion of soft parts,		
	amputated same dayAge 45 Thigh	1	0
6	Compound Fracture of Femur into Knee Joint, amputa-		
	ted same day.—Age 29 Thigh	1	0
7	Compound Fracture of the Tibia and Fibula. Lacera-		
	tion of Anterior Tibial Artery. An old Soldier of		
	dissipated habits. The Leg was amputated two		
	days after the accident. He died four months		
	afterwards from repeated collections of pus in		
	various parts of the body, age 56Leg	0	1
8	Compound Fracture of the Tibia and Dislocations of		
	Ankle Joint. He was not brought into Hospital		
	until two days after the injuiry. The inflammatory		
	symptoms were so severe that amputation could		
	not be performed until the 22nd day after accident,		
	age 61 Thigh	0	1
	Carried forward	5	3

31

		Cured.	
	Brought forward	5	8
9 An African.	Compound Fracture of the Tibia and		
Fibula, w	ith extensive laceration and contusion		
of the soft	parts. Amputated as soon as the symp-		
toms of c	ollapse were somewhat relieved. Stump		
healed w	vell. Abscesses afterwards formed on		
different	parts of the body. The cause of death		
unerent	ess in the Brain, age 32Leg	0	1
was Absc	ibia and Fibula; Dislocation of Ankle,		
10 Fracture of T	c Delirium presented itself on the fourth		
Traumati	en amputation was performed. He died		
day, whe	in amputation was performed. Irregular		
never hav	ving recovered from Delirium. Irregular		
life—dran	nk hard. Fibula found broken in two		
parts at it	ts lower third. At this part, the bone was		
so splinte	ered as to form projecting points of one and		
two inche	es penetrating Muscles and causing great	0	1
irritation,	, age 44 Thigh	0	+
11 Compound D	islocation of both Ankle Joints, extensive		
laceration	n and hæmorrhage. Collapse extreme;		
after wa	iting six hours right Leg amputated.		
Increase	d depression ; after 14 hours left Leg am-		
nutated :	died following day. Had fallen into a		
deen dry	well. Each os Calcis much Fractured.		
Runture	of Spleen ; large quantity of bloody Serum		
Liffuged	into Cavity of Chest, age 41 Two Legs	0	1
	Tibia and Fibula; wound of Anterior		
12 Fracture of	etery; amputated on third day after accident,		
Tibial Ai	tery; amputated on third day alter the	0	1
age 60 .	Leg		
13 Compound D	islocation of the Ankle Joint and Fracture		
of the	Tibia and Fibula; amputated same day;		
died two	o months after operation from excessive	0	1
suppura	tion, age 24Leg	0	-
14 Compound H	Fracture of Tibia and Fibula, laceration of	4	0
Muscles	wound of Tibial Artery, age 32 Leg	1	0
15 Simple Frac	ture of Tibia, with symptoms of internal		
Hæmor	rhage coming on in six hours after ad-		
mission	; amputated same evening above Knee,		-
are 57		1	0
age of		-	
	Carried forward	7	8

		Cured.	Died.
	Brought forward	7	8
16	A Pilot in excellent health and of good constitution,		
	Compound Fracture of Tibia and Fibula; extensive		
	contusion and laceration, caused by the breaking		
	of a strained rope which coiled round his Leg,		
	age 22Leg	1	0
17	Compound Fracture of Tibia and Fibula into the Ankle		
	Joint, with extensive hæmorrhage, caused by falling		
	of a large stone; amputated immediately during		
	the collapse-collapse very urgent, age 31Leg	1	0
18	Compound Fracture of Tibia and Fibula with extensive		
	Laceration; amputated as soon as he rallied a		
	little from the collapse occasioned. by the shock,		
	age 27Leg	1	0
19	Compound Fracture of the Tibia and Fibula, with ex-		
	tensive laceration of Muscles and Tendons of the		
	Foot; amputated same day, age 29Leg	1	0
20	Compound Fracture of the Tibia and Fibula, with		
-0			
	severe contusion and laceration of the soft parts;	1	0
10	amputated same day.—Age 28Leg	1	0
21	Fracture of Tibia and Fibula into the Ankle Joint, ex-		
	tensive suppuration; amputated 2nd week.—Age		0
	32Leg	1	0
22	Compound Fracture of Tibia into Ankle Joint-Lacera-		
	tion of the Anterior Tibial Artery-immediate	1201	
	amputation.—Age 13Leg	1	0
23	Compound Dislocation of Ankle Joint with Fracture of		
	Tibia, amputated same day.—Age 50Leg	1	0
24	Compound Fracture of the Tibia and Fibula, admitted		
	17th Sept. amputated 12th OctoberAge 52 Leg	1	0
25	Compound Fracture Cuboid Bone; wound of Foot		
	followed by Traumatic Gangrene, admitted 10th		
	June, amputated 29th August.—Age 63Leg	1	0
85	Compound Fracture of Bones of Foot, partial amputa-		
	tion.—Age 30	1	0
27	Fracture of Tibia and Fibula and contusion, admitted		
	Sth February, amputated 18th, in consequence of		
	Traumatic Gangrene.—Age 37Leg	1	0
28	Foot torn off by machinery; amputated same day.		
	Age 24Leg	1	0

33

Carried forward.... 29 8

Е

		Cured.	Died.
	Brought forward	29	8
29	Compound Fracture of Tibia and Fibula, accompanied		
~0	by severe laceration of the Muscles; amputation		
	same dayAge 29Leg	1	0
80	Compound Dislocation of Astragalus. Fracture of		
00	Fibrila : amputation same dayBad constitution-		
	hard drinker. Age 64 Leg	1	0
31	Compound Fracture of the Tibia and Fibula; extensive		
01	laceration of Muscles from machinery; amputation		
	some dayAge 38Leg	1	0
32	Compound Dislocation of Ankle Joint, Fracture of Tibla		
0~	and Fibula ; hæmorrhage ; amputated same day.	-	~
	Are 96Leg	1	0
33	Compound Fracture of Tibia and Fibula; laceration of		
00	Artery and Muscles; amputated same dayAge 42.	1	0
		1	0
34	Compound Fracture of the Tibia and Fibula, with severe		
	injury to soft parts of Arm; Hæmorrhage; both		
	extremities amputated on the same day; collapse.		1
	Henever rallied from the shock. Age 43. Arm & Leg.	. 0	
35	Compound Fracture of Femur; Traumatic Gangrene	1	0
	approading		
36	Compound comminuted Fracture of right Os Calcis	,	
	comminuted Fracture of left Leg; right Leg ampu		0
	tated below the KneeLeg	,	
37	Foot literally smashed Leg		
38	Compound Fracture ; Tibia and Fibula Le		
Т	otal amputations after compound Fractures and	29	9 9
	Dislocations of Lower Extremities	1	
D	itto Upper Extremities	-	

Total amputations after compound Fractures and Dislocations 43 13 Giving one death in four two-ninths in amputations of Lower Extremity four and a half Upper Extremity.

Ditto one do. Take away cases 7 and 9, Table V., and case 13, Table IV., the deaths which took place several months after operation from causes totally unconnected with the amputation, and we have

7 deaths in 38 or 1 in $5\frac{1}{2}$ in amputation of Lower Extremity,

do. in Upper Extremity. 3 deaths in 18 or 1 in 6

TABLE VI.

Cases of Amputation after Disease from March 10th 1834 to December 31st 1843.

		Cured.	Died.
1	Scrofulous Ankle Joint, with Abscess Leg.	1	0
2	Extensive Necrosis of Femur, Abscess in Knee Joint,		
		1	0
3	Diseased Bones of Knee Joint	1	G
4	Mortification of Feet, died some months afterwards,		
	suddenly. No post mortem examination, Two legs	0	1
5	Diseased Knee Thigh	0	1
6	Diseased Bones of Ankle Joint. Hectic Leg	1	0
7	Ulcer of Cartilage of Knee Joint ditto Thigh	1	0
8	Diffused Aneurism of Femoral Artery Thigh	1	0
9	Contraction of Leg after Phlegmonous Erysipelas and		
	SloughingThigh	1	0
10	Ulceration of Bones of Ankle. Hectic, Peritonitis,		
	supervened upon the fourth dayLeg	0	1
11	Ulceration of Cartilages, and disease of heads of bones		
	in Knee Joint. Hectic	1	0
12	Phlegmonous Erysipelas Leg, terminating in extensive		
	abscess in Knee Joint. Hectic extreme; Cartilage		
	of Joint absorbedThigh	1	0
13	Gangrene of FootLeg	1	0
14	Gangrene of Arm after Phlegmonous Erysipelas, for		
	which he was admitted. Amputation at Shoulder		
	JointShoulder Joint	1	0
15	Diseased Ankle Joint of 7 years standingLeg	1	0
16	Diseased Elbow Joint,-Age 60Arm	0	1
17	Diseased Knee Joint Thigh	1	0
18	Ditto dittoThigh	1	0
19	Ditto ditto Thigh	1	0
20	Caries of Tibia and diseased Knee	1	0
21	Diseased Ankle JointLeg	- 1	0
22	Diseased Ankle JointLeg	1	0
23	Diseased Knee Joint from burn Thigh	1	0
24	Contraction of Arm and AbscessArm	1	0
25	Osteo Sarcoma of Femur Thigh	1	0
			-

Carried forward.... 21 4

35

		Cured.	Died.
	. Brought forward	21	4
26	Mortification of Leg after ligature of Femoral		
	Artery for Popliteal Aneurism ; did well. Patient		
	died two months afterwards from Pulmonary		
	ApoplexyLeg	0	1
27	Diseased Elbow JointArm.	1	0
28	Gangrene of both Legs, two amputations, one following		
	the other in 5 days Two Legs	1	0
29	Diseased Bones of Fore-ArmArm	- 1	0
30	Gangrene of Arm, after injury of Elbow Arm	1	0
31	Gangrene of ArmArm	1	0
32	Caries of Tarsus. Hectic Leg	1	0
33	Diseased Knee Joint. Hectic Thigh	1	0
34	Caries of Patella and Abscess Thigh	1	0
35	Diffused Aneurism of Femoral Artery Thigh	1	0
36	Ulcerated Knee Joint. Extensive Abscess. Hectic.		
	Thigh	1	0
37	Diseased Ankle Joint, Caries, Hectic Leg.	1	0
38	Mortification of Feet, both amputated Two Legs		0
39	Diseased Elbow JointArm		.0
40	Thigh		0
-		-	
40		. 35	5
		1 1 1 1 1 1	

Giving an average of one death in eight; or if we omit from the deaths, the two cases, in which the death followed the Amputation several months, and had no connection with it, 3 deaths in 40 or 1 in $13\frac{1}{3}$.

TABLE VII.

Other Operations performed in Northern Hospital, not contained in preceding Tables since March 10th, 1834, to December 31st, 1843.

	Cases.	Cured.	Died.
Amputations of Breast	9	8	1
Hare Lip	3	3	0
Excision of Tumors	7	5	2
Testicles	3	2	1
Amputation Penis	2	2	0
Strangulated Hernia	12	6	6
Severe Contractions from Burns, removing cicatrix	3	3	0
Carried forward	39	29	10

Brought forward		Cured. 29	Died. 10
Lithotomy	4	4	0
Aneurism, including cases of External Iliac and Po-			
pliteal	4	4	0
Fistula in Ano	10	10	0
Extraction of loose Cartilages from Knee Joint	1	1	0
Tracheotomy	3	2	1
Paracentesis Thoracis	1	1	0
Operation for Ununited Fracture of Femur	1	1	0
Ditto ditto Tibia	1	1	0
Excision of Elbow Joint	2	2	0
Partial amputations of Hand and Foot		90	0
	156	145	11

TABLE VIII.

TABLE OF DEATHS.

Occurring in the Northern Hospital in the year 1843, with the duration of Treatment of each Case.

of Treasm	inte og				-	-	-	-
No. of Cases.			f Days aft	each j er Adi	nissio	survi n.	ved	
2 Fever	6	; 11	••	••		••	••	••
1 Phlebitis	18					••	••	••
2 Acute Rheumatism (Pericarditi	s) 28	28		• •	••	••	••	••
1 Disease of Brain (Melanosis)		Ł				••	••	••
2 Apoplexy		5 1				••	••	••
- Paralysis					••			••
1 Delirium Tremens		2				••	••	••
3 - Aneurism	1	1 6	; 1		••	••		••
2 Pneumonia		1 1				••		••
2 Acute Bronchitis		9 10)			••	••	••
6 Chronic Bronchitis		5 4	1	3	8	1	••	••
1 Hæmoptysis	1	0				••		••
3 Phthisis		5 8	5 6				••	• •
1 Cholera		1.					••	••
3 Dysentery		4 1	5 12					•••
1 Acute Peritonitis		8				••		••
2 Ascites and Anasarca		1 1	2		• •	• •		••
1 Bright's disease of Kidney		6.				••		••
1 Diabetes Mellitus		5.					••	••
1 Cancer Uteri (Peritonitis).		4 .			••	••		••
2 Scorbutus		4 3	0			••	• •	• •
1 Drowning		0.					••	••
1 Hydrophobia		1 .				••	••	••
1 Caries of Spine	8	36 .				• •	••	•••
1 Concussion of Brain. Pla	euro							
Pneumonia		18 .				••	••	••
1 Scalp wound, Ruptured Spleen						•••	• •	
8 Fractured Spine		1 4	1 2	2 1	19	32	2	1
1 Peritonitis in a case of Hernia	a :	26 .				••	••	•••
8 Burns and Scalds		1 1	19 7	7 1	2	1	10	8
2 Psoas Abcess		17	6			••	•••	•••
6 Fractured Skull		1	1]	1 1	1	3		•••
6 Compound complicated Fractu	ires	11 1	17]	1 23	1	60	••	••
1 Ruptured Liver		1 .					••	••
1 Totating		1 .			••	• •	• •	••
1 1 Eam ; Hornis,		2 .			•••	•••	•••	•••
		1 .			• •	••	••	•••
1 Intoxication								

78 Total.

Giving a total of 78 Deaths in 1236 Patients, admitted into the Northern Hospital, from January 1st, 1843, to January 1st, 1844, being one death in 15 6-7ths.

 Of these 42 occurred in 507 Medical cases, or 1 in 12 1-14th

 36
 " 731 Surgical
 " 1 in 204.

The average mortality of 63 European Hospitals, is 1 in 11. The greatest mortality being in the Maria Hospital, at St. Petersburg, being nearly 1 in 4; the least in the Catherine Hospital, at Stuttgard, being 1 in 40. In the Liverpool Infirmary 1 in 13‡. If we add to the Patients in the Northern Hospital 352 Out-patients, the mortality is 1 in 22‡. The average duration of treatment for each Patient in 3 German and 10 Parisian Hospitals, was 28 days. The maximum being 66 days in the Venereal Hospital at Paris, the minimum 20 days, in the Catherine Hospital at Stuttgard. The average duration of the treatment for each Patient in the Northern Hospital is for 1843, $23\frac{1}{2}$ days, that of the Medical Patients being 19 3-5th days, that of the Surgical Patients 24 4-7th.* The average duration of the treatment of each Patient in the Liverpool Infirmary was 35 days, in the year 1841:

It will be seen by the above Table, that 25 Patients, out of 78, died on the day of admission, being such cases of disease or accident as are beyond the reach of either Medicine or Surgery, and 17 died within six days, the average duration being $3\frac{1}{2}$ days; consequently, 42 out of 78, of the deaths necessarily took place under circumstances of extreme gravity of disease.

99 Medical Patients were discharged from the Hospital within six days after admission, being either slight cases, incurable, or irregular.

The Out-patients being excluded from the general return in these calculations, they differ from some in the 18th page of the pamphlet.

* See note, page 19.

TABLE IX.

A SYNOPTICAL TABLE OF THE MEDICAL CASES

TREATED BY THE PHYSICIANS DRS. HANNAY AND CARSON,

During the Year, commencing 1st January, 1843, and terminating 1st January, 1844. with the results and average duration of the treatment of each form of disease.

with the results and a										
	a l		+ 1	Discharged Incurable.	-	Diamissed for Irregu- larity or at own request	1 1 2 3 4	. 1	.11	the star
DISPASE	olo	Cured	eve	No.	Dead,	Irre Jrre y or	Average length of Time in Hospital.	Marimum	Time	No of days that beds were occupied b each diseas
DISEASE.	No	Cureu	Reliev	Inc	Licau,	Dis	HHOH	1	- W	No of that b
	4		1							~ 00
	1000		2.0				-			1910
Typhus Fever	4		Sent to ward		1		24	6	1	9
Febricula, simple con- 1	15	15					125	29	1	172
tinued Fever J	1.000	Charles In					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1000		12943
Intermittent Fever	23	23	••		14	**	123	32	2	292 16
Phlebitis	1			**	-		16	••		10
Acute and Sub-acute }	88	88					131	36	2	1203
Rheumatism J	28	28					453		30	1280
Chronic Rheumatism Disease of Brain, Melanosis	1				1		24			24
Apoplexy	3		1		2		3	5	1	9
Paralysis	9	4	5				173	55	3	158
Hysteria	6	6					3	12	1	22
Epilepsy	4	4	••			••	82	30	1	35
Chorea	1	1	••				47			47
Delirium Tremens	7	19			2		7 4-7ths 24 3-5ths	17	1 2	53 472
Neuralgia	19	3					12	13	11	36
Sciatica	1			1.	I		1			1
Hydrophobia Caries of Spine	i			1	1		35			35
Intoxication	6	5			1		1-1 6th	2	1	7
Pneumonia	7	5		1	2		14 1-7th	27	1	101
Pleuritis	7	7					201	54	13	142
Quinsy	1	1		1			23			23
OedemaGlottidis Laryn- }	1	1		1			9			9
gotomy	1000									E was
.Acute and Sub-acute]	62	60			2		143	30	3	900
Bronchitis J Chronic Bronchitis	10	1	. 3		6	1	15	59	3	135
Hœmoptysis	1	1 1			1		101	20	1	21
Phthisis		1		24	3		19	85	1	532
Diseased Heart			5		2	1	20	48	6	140
Aneurisms	0				3					6
Epistaxis	1	1	1				10			10
Gastric Irritation		17			1	1	152	48	3	275 62
Hæmætemesis	2	2	**			1	31 30	33	29	30
Poisoning-opium					1		1			1
Cholera		1		1.			27			27
Icterus Dysentery		12		1	3		233	51	3	350
Vermes		1			1		10			10
Hæmorrhoids		2					23	41	5	46
Acute Peritonitis	1				1		8			8
Chronic do	. 1	1					30			30 32
Abdominal Tumor	. 1	1			1 2		32 6	10	3	18
Ascites and Anasarca	. 3		1 4	1	1 1	1	541	135	24	380
Bright's disease		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1::	1.	1 1				1
Gravel Gonorrhæa				1		1	133	28	5	110
Hæmaturia			1				81	10	6	25
Diabetes Mellitus	. 2		1		1		52	67	35	102
Ditto Insipidus :	. 1						11			11
Cancer Uteri (Peritonitis) 1				1		4			4
Uterine Hæmorrhage	. 1					1	18 04 9.7ths	55		171
Amenorrhoea	. 7						24 3-7ths 8	14	82	16
Leucorrhœa	3	2 Call 1			1::	1	144	24	4	44
Conjunctivitis						1	367	60	11	405
Iritis Syphilitica Scrofula	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		6			1	32 4-7ths		6	228
Scorbutus	the second second	1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			2	1 1	15 1-5th	45	3	152
Secondary Syphilis	Contraction of the local distance of the loc					1	201	57	11	513
Purpura Simplex	. 1	a state of the sta					15			15
Diseases of the Skin	. 19				1 .:		524-5ths	1000	5	1004
Immersion	. 4	3			1		10	0		10
	-	408	29	24	42	5	241			
Total	. 508	408	1 29	-	-		10000	1	1	
	-	-	-	-	-			100		

TABLE X.

A REPORT OF THE ACCIDENTS AND OPERATIONS

Occurring in the Liverpool Infirmary, from March, 1834, to March, 1836, being the only published record of the Surgical practice.

the only published record of the outgrout pro-			
	Ad- mitted	Cured.	Died.
Wounds of the flesh, more or less extensive in all parts of the body,		58	1
Bruises and Sprains	110	109	1
Gangrene, from frost bite	17	15	2
Burns and Scalds	57	34	23
Attempt at poisoning	2	2	-
recente en bouonag	245	218	27
	34	32	2)
Simple fractures of the upper extremities	82	79	3
lower ditto	32	31	1
Total number of simple fractures	148	142	
Fractures, complicated with injuries, more or less serious, of the			
structures surrounding, or in connection with, the bones, as well			
as of the bones themselves, of the upper extremities	5	4	1
-lower ditto	13	9	4
	18	13	5
Fractures, extending into the joints, and implicating, at the same			
time, the bones of both the extremities, and those of the chest	1		1
and of the skull; these accidents are always of a grave character.			
the crushing force having been enormous; indeed, almost all of		1000	
this class survived only a few hours after their admission	12	1	11)
This of The Langer banding simple and someoned forstore	1	1	
Injuries of Head, comprehending simple and compound fracture.	14		- 1
concussion and laceration of the scalp	47	38	9 }
Injuries of the spine—fracture 7, concussion 3	10	3	7
Dislocations (simple.)			
upper extremity	0.4	07	-
lower ditto including hip joint and sacrum	27	27	-
		3	1
Simple dislocations—total	31	30	1
Dislocations (compound.)			
upper extremity 4—lower extremity 5	9	S	1
Total number of casualties	520	453	67
		-	
OPERATIONS PERFORMED.			
Amputation of upper extremities, including one of the shoulder		1	
joint	20	19	1
Amputation of lower extremities-three individuals lost two legs each	23	21	2
	43	40	3
Tumours removed, including diseased mamme	10	8	2
Lithotomy-lateral operation	2	2	-
Operation for strangulated hernia	4	3	1
Reduced by the taxis (4)-operations of less importance, including	-		
tapping	21	19	3
	37	31	6
Total number of operations performed	80	71	
a stat dans a se		1	9

