On normal growth under systematized exercise in the gymnasium / by Henry G. Beyer.

Contributors

Beyer, Henry Gustav, 1850-1918. Royal College of Surgeons of England

Publication/Creation

[Washington?]: [Bureau of Medicine and Surgery], [between 1890 and 1899]

Persistent URL

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/exy3zz85

Provider

Royal College of Surgeons

License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by The Royal College of Surgeons of England. The original may be consulted at The Royal College of Surgeons of England. Where the originals may be consulted. This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org

Table of heights in millimeters.

A.

		Hei	ight.				Hei	ght.	
Number.	Age.	First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.	Gain.	Number.	Age.	First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.	Gain
	17.4	1,730	1,750	20	23	15. 11	1,770	1,806	3
	20.1	1.728	1,732	4	24	17.8	1,699	1,710	1
	17.1	1,625	1,660	35	25	18.1	1,840	1,845	
	19.10	1,688	1,690	2	26	19.9	1,780	1,800	1
	19.10	1,794	1,794	0	27	19	1,748	1,755	1
	15.4	1,674	1,695	21	28	17.5	1,691	1,710	
	17	1, 632	1, 644	12	29	19.8	1,789	1,789	
	17.10	1,786	1,800	14	30	17.1	1,700	1,706	
	17.8	1,681	1,692	11	31	18.3	1,780	1,790	-
	17.9	1,700	1,700	0	32	17.3	1,632	1,636	
	16	1,740	1,750	10	33	18	1,828	1,830	
	16, 5	1,698	1,712	14	34	19.10	1,760	1,760	
	17.6	1,671	1,720	49	35	16. 10	1,785	1,788	
	19.1	1,670	1,671	1	36	18.8	1,810	1,814	-
	17	1,765	1,780	15	37	17.9	1,690	1,700	
	17. 10	1,676	1,700	24	38	18.1	1,730	1,730	
	15. 11	1,662	1,690	28	39	17	1,636	1,643	
	16.6	1,758	1,765	7	40	18. 10	1,708	1,720	
	18.11	1,710	1,720	10	41	16.3	1,610	1,650	
	18. 2	1, 852	1,866	14	42	19.7	1,718	1,733	70-6
	18.9	1,700	1,700	0					
	15. 5	1,725	1,744	19	Averages	18	1,723	1,736	

B.

43	17	1,650	1,690	40	60	18.10	1,730	1,741	11
44	17.7	1,711	1,755	44	61	18. 2	1,699	1,709	10
15	17.11	1,646	1,650	4	62	20.5	1,710	1,710	0
16	19.10	1,740	1,740	-0	63	16.4	1,684	1,700	16
47	16.7	1,690	1,700	10	64	19.2	1,654	1,660	6
48	20.6	1,679	1,682	3	65	19.6	1,752	1,760	8
19	17.6	1,672	1,674	2	66	17.3	1,688	1,703	15
50	18.6	1,780	1,792	12	67	18.8	1,640	1,650	10
51	20	1,730	1,734	4	68	16.5	1,772	1,794	22
52	17.9	1,822	1,830	8	69	20	1,668	1,670	2
53	16.11	1,710	1,731	21	70	19.6	1,740	1,761	21
54	18.4	1,756	1,760	4	71	18.7	1,756	1,778	22
55	19.5	1,650	1,654	4	72	18.2	1, 663	1,675	12
56	17.6	1,701	1,710	9	73	18.3	1, 613	1,624	11
57	15.6	1,562	1,610	48	74	18.4	1,690	1,700	10
58	17.2	1,682	1,690	8					
59	16, 10	1,633	1,652	19	Averages	18	1.696	1,709	13

Weight.—The average weight of the 50 cadets of last year was 60 kilograms at the time of the first measurement and 63.3 kilograms at the time of the second measurement, the semiannual increase amounting to 3.3 kilos, or 7.26 pounds. The average weight of the 74 cadets this year was found to be 58.5 kilos at the beginning and 62.65 at the end of the six months. Although the increase this year was slightly larger than the increase noted last year, both the initial average and the final average are smaller than last year, just as was the case with the height.

Table of weights in kilos.

A.

		Wei	ght.				Wei	ght.	
No.	Age.	First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.	Gain.	No.	Age.	First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.	Gain
1	17.4	59	79	20	23	15.11	55	63	8
2	20.1	60	62	2	24	17.8	54	58	
3	17.1	50	55	5	25	18.1	64	69	5
4	19.10	58	60	2	26	19.9	67	74	5 7
5	19.10	58	57	-1	27	19	61	73	
6	15. 4	51	56	5	28	17.5	48	50	2
7	17	53	58	5	29	19.8	62	67	5
8	17.10	63	64	1	30	17.1	56	59	12 2 5 3 7
9	17.8	61	66	5	31	18.3	66	73	7
00	17.9	72	78	6	32	17.3	47	50	3
1	16	57	62	5	33	18	70	71	1
2	16.5	57	59	2	34	19. 10	72	74	2
3	17.6	48	54	6	35	16.10	64	66	2
4	19.1	53	56	3	36	18.8	58	60	2 2 2 3 3
5	17	58	62	4	37	17.9	69	72	3
6	17, 10	56	62	6	38	18.1	56	59	3
7	15.11	48	55	7	39	17	48	55	7
8	16.6	65	70	5	40	18.10	55	59	4
9	18.11	69	74	5	41	16.3	42	49	7
0	18.2	81	81	0	42	19.7	54	56	2
1	18.9	57	61	4					
2	15.5	59	66	7	Averages .	18	58.6	63. 2	4.

B.

							2		
43	17	49	55	6	60	18, 10	54	62	8
44	17.7	57	60	3	61	18.2	53	58	5
45	17, 11	57	62	5	62		56	59	3
46	19, 10	69	78	9	63	16, 4	53	56	3
47		67	71	4	64	19.2	63	63	0
48	20.7	66	70	4	65	19.6	58	61	3
49	17.6	60	61	1	66	17.3	53	52	-1
50	18.6	70	70	0	67		59	63	4
51	20	51	54	3	68	16.5	56	63	7
52	17.9	63	67	4 -	69	20	60	63	3
53	16, 11	56	58	2	70	19.6	63	70	7
54	18.4	73	73	0	71	18.7	68	72	4
55		48	54	6	72	18.2	65	66	1
56	17.6	66	68	2	73	18.3	58	66	8
57	15.6	52	58	6	74	18.4	47	54	7
58	17. 2	55	60	5					
59	16. 10	45	50	5	Averages .	18	58.4	62.1	3.7
		7				188	3 3 3 3		

Lung capacity.—The lung capacity of the 50 cadets of last year was 4.038 in the beginning and 4.192 at the end of the six months, the increase being 0.154 kilos. The average lung capacity of the 74 cadets of this year was 3.975 at the beginning, and at the end of six months it was found to be 4.255, the increase amounting to 0.280 kilos. This increase was considerably larger than that attained last year, for at the beginning the average lung capacity was much smaller this year than last year.

Table of lung capacities in kilos.

A.

		Lung ca	apacity.				· Lung ca	apacity.	
No.	Age.	First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.	Gain.	No.	Age.	First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.	Gain.
1	17.4	4, 558	5, 900	1.312	23	15, 11	3, 277	3, 768	. 49
2	20.1	4. 177	4.588	. 411	24	17.8	3, 605	3, 605	
3	17.1	2.949	3, 277	. 328	25	18.1	4.588	4. 752	. 16
4	19.10	4.588	4, 588	0	26	19.9	5, 408	6.063	. 65
5	19.10	4, 505	4.669	. 164	27	19	3.932	3.932	
6	15.4	4.096	4.341	. 245	28	17.5	3. 277	3, 441	. 16
7	17	3.768	3.849	.082	29	19.8	4. 260	5. 230	. 97
8	17.10	4.915	5.078	. 163	30	17.1	4.096	4. 260	. 16
9	17.8	4. 260	4, 588	. 328	31	18.3	4. 424	4, 424	
0	17.9	4. 096	4. 260	. 164	32	17.3	2.949	4.260	1. 31
1	16	3.605	4.096	. 491	33	18	3.441	4.424	. 98
2	16.5	2.866	3. 277	. 411	34	19.10	5. 408	5.572	. 10
3	17.6	3, 113	3. 686	. 572	35	16.10	4.424	5. 571	1.14
4	19.1	4.096	4. 260	. 164	36	18.8	3.768	4. 260	. 45
5	17	4. 260	4. 260	0	37	17.9	3.768	4, 588	. 82
6	17.10	3. 277	3. 849	. 572	38	18.1	4.096	4.588	. 49
7	15. 11	2. 621	3. 030	. 409	39	17	3,605	4.096	. 49
8	16.6	3.768	4.096	. 328	40	18.10	3. 277	3.605	. 32
9	18. 11	4. 424	4.752	. 328	41	16.3	2.866	3. 277	. 41
0	18.2	5. 900	6. 226	. 328	42	19.7	3.768	4.096	. 3
1	18.9	3. 768	3. 932	. 164					
2	15.5	3.441	4. 260	. 819	Averages.	18	3, 950	4.350	. 40

B.

		Lung c	apacity.	+			Lung c	apacity.	1.5%
Number.	Age.	First examina- tion.	Second examina- tion.	Gain.	Number.	Age.	First examination.	Second examina- tion.	Gain.
3	17	3. 277	3, 605	0.328	60	18.10	4. 096	4. 260	0.16
4	17.7	3.768	3.932	. 164	61	18.2	4. 260	4.915	. 65
5	17.11	4. 424	4. 424	0	62	20.5	4. 260	4. 260	0
6	19.10	4. 260	4. 505	. 244	63	16.4	3.686	4.260	. 57
7	16.7	4.915	4. 833	081	64	19.2	4. 424	4. 424	0
8	20.7	3.768	3. 686	081	65	19.6	4.260	4.341	. 08
9	17.6	4.505	4. 505	0	66	17.3	5.408	6.063	. 65
0	18.6	5. 244	5. 489	. 244	67	18.8	3, 605	4.096	. 49
1	20	3. 113	3. 113	0	68	16.5	4. 260	4.752	. 49
2	17.9	4.588	4.752	. 164	69	20	3.932	4. 096	. 16
3	16.11	4.096	4.341	. 244	70	19.6	3.441	3.605	.16
4	18.4	4.096	4.341	. 244	71	18.7	4.013	4.177	. 16
5	19.5	2.785	3. 277	. 492	72	18.2	3.768	3. 277	49
6	17.6	4.588	4.505	082	73	18.3	3.768	3.768	0
7	15.6	2.785	3. 441	. 655	74	18.4	3. 113	3. 277	. 16
8	17.2	3.768	3.768	0	0 41 1	100 700	100	the state of the same	
9	16, 10	2, 785	2.866	. 082	Averages.	18	4	4. 160	. 16

Total strength.—On examining the two total-strength tables of last year we find that the 50 cadets on their entrance examination developed an average total strength of 385 kilos, and at their second examination, or six months later, this average total strength was $508\frac{1}{2}$ kilos, showing a gain of $123\frac{1}{2}$ kilos, which was the largest gain ever noted at the Academy. This year the 74 cadets developed at the first examination an average total strength of 422 kilos and at the second examination 528, showing a semiannual increase of 108 kilos.

6313 м s---8

Table of total strength, in kilos.

A.

		Total st	rength.				Total s	trength.	
Number.	Age.	First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.	Gain.	Number.	Age.	First measurement.	Second measure- ment.	Gain.
1	17.4	284	583	299	23	15. 11	299	488	. 189
2	20.1	480	584	104	24	17.8	377	584	201
3	17.1	370	491	121	25	18.1	447	581	13-
i	19.10	364	501	137	26	19.9	490	733	24
5	19.10	468	601	133	27	19	474	620	14
5	15.4	260	406	146	28	17.5	298	449	17
7	17	403	558	155	29	19.8	598	718	12
3	17.10	442	564	122	30	17.1	567	688	12
	17.8	423	565	142	31	18.3	404	547	14
0	17.9	514	629	115	32	17.3	356	485	12
1	16	457	622	165	33	18	543	667	12
2	16.5	367	544	177	34	19.10	476	579	100
3	17.6	324	449	125	35	16.10	410	542	133
4	19.1	302	405	103	36	18.8	315	415	10
5	17	346	447	101	37	17.9	499	614	11
3	17.10	418	552	134	38	18.1	434	684	25
7	15.11	279	390	111	39	17	313	462	14
3	16.6	482	663	181	40	18.10	305	503	19
	18.11	516	730	214	41	16.3	210	444	23
)	18.2	406	571	165	42	19.7	419	646	22
l	18.9	381	537	156					
2	15.5	364	534	170	Averages .	18	402	557	15

B.

43	17	384	451	67	60	18.10	316	410	94
44	17.7	436	508	72	61	18.2	501	523	22
45	17. 11	448	445	-3	62	20.5	456	500	44
46	19.10	525	560	35	63	16.4	414	430	16
47	16.7	510	525	15	64	19.2	523	514	- 9
48	20.7	501	445	-56	65	19.6	447	489	42
49	17.6	474	500	26	66	17.3	450	444	- 6
50	18.6	476	571	95	67	18.8	339	420	81
51	20	382	392	10	68	16.5	469	559	90
52	17.9	439	530	91	69	20	472	571	99
53	16.11	379	453	74	70	19.6	629	676	47
54	18.4	463	545	82	71	18.7	515	605	90
55	19.5	348	442	94	72	18. 2	605	567	-32
56	17.6	642	733	91	73	18.3	369	450	81
57	15.6	350	398	48	74	18.4	261	336	75
58	17.2	387	464	77					
59	16. 10	272	365	93	Averages.	18	443	500	57

The following "indices," derived and computed from the foregoing items, are of great importance. If calculated every year, their accumulation will form valuable material for further work intended to serve as guides for the members of examining boards. The number of those that have so far been calculated is yet too small to permit the working out of safe averages, but in a very few years this difficulty will be overcome, while their significance must become more and more apparent.

Table of weight-height index.

A.

	77	₩. <u>H</u> .		A			$\frac{1}{N}$	0.1	
Number.	Age.	First examina- tion.	Second examina- tion.	Gain.	Number.	Age.	First examina- tion.	Second examina- tion.	Gain.
1	17.4	0.341	0.400	0. 059	23	15.11	0.310	0.350	0.040
2	20.1	. 350	. 360	. 010	24	17.8	.320	. 339	. 019
3	17.1	. 308	. 331	. 023	25	18.1	.348	. 375	. 027
4	19.10	.345	.355	.010	26	19.9	. 380	. 411	. 031
5	19.10	. 324	. 320	004	27	19	. 350	. 417	. 067
6	15.04	. 305	. 331	. 026	28	17.5	. 285	. 300	. 015
7	17	. 321	. 354	. 033	29	19.8	. 348	.376	. 028
8	17.10	. 354	. 356	. 002	30	17.1	. 330	.348	. 018
9	17.8	. 363	. 390	.027	31	18.3	.371	. 408	. 02
10	17.9	. 424	. 460	. 036	32	17.3	. 288	.306	. 018
11	16	.348	. 354	. 006	33	18	. 385	.388	.00
12	16.05	. 338	. 345	.007	34	19.10	. 409	. 420	. 01
13	17.06	. 290	.314	. 024	35	16.10	. 360	.371	.01
14	19.1	. 317	. 335	.018	36	18.08	. 320	. 331	.01
15	17	.318	. 348	. 030	37	17.9	.408	. 423	. 01
16	17.10	. 335	. 365	. 030	38	18.1	. 324	. 341	. 01
17	15.11	. 289	. 325	. 036	39	17	. 294	. 340	. 04
18	16.6	. 371	. 397	. 016	40	18. 10	. 323	. 343	. 02
19	18. 11	. 403	. 430	. 027	41	16.3	. 261	. 296	. 03
20	18.2	. 440	. 435	005	42	19.7	. 315	. 323	. 00
21	18.9	. 335	. 353	.018					
22	15, 5	. 343	. 380	.037	Average.	18	. 340	. 363	. 023

в.

				-					
43	17	0. 297	0.325	. 028	60	18.10	0.347	0.356	0. 019
44	17.7	. 333	. 343	. 010	61	18.2	.313	. 341	. 028
45	17.11	. 360	. 375	. 015	62	20.5	. 327	. 345	. 018
46	19.10	. 356	. 450	. 094	63	16.4	. 315	. 330	. 015
47	16.7	. 400	. 418	. 018	64	19.2	. 390	. 380	010
48	20.7	. 395	. 417	. 022	65	19.6	. 331	. 347	. 016
49	17.6	. 360	. 365		66	17.3	. 315	. 309	006
50	18.6	. 393	. 393		67	18.8	. 359	. 382	. 023
51	20	. 295	. 312	.017	68	16.5	. 316	. 351	. 035
52	17.9	. 346	. 367	. 021	69	20	. 361	. 380	.019
53	16.11	. 327	. 335	008	70	19.6	. 362	. 400	. 038
54	18.4	. 417	. 415	.002	71	18.7	.388	. 400	. 012
55	19.5	. 291	. 328	. 037	72	18. 2	. 391	. 400	. 009
56	17.6	. 288	. 398	. 010	73	18.3	. 360	.408	. 048
57	15.6	. 333	. 360	. 027	74	18.4	. 281	.318	. 037
58	17. 2	. 327	. 355	. 028					
59	16. 10	. 275	. 300	. 025	Averages.	18	. 345	. 366	. 021
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100	W. 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	the contract of			-	116	The same of	

Table of vital indices $\frac{L.C.}{W.}$

A.

Number.	Age.	Vital indices. L. C. W.		Gain. Loss.		Number.	Age.	L.	ndices. C. V.	Gain.	Loss.
		First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.					First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.		
1 2 3	17.4 20.1 17.1	0. 077 . 070 . 055	0.075 .074 .059	0.004	0,002	23 24	15.11 17.8 18.1	0.060 .066 .072	0.060 .064 .070		0. 002 . 002
4 5 6	19. 10 19. 10 15. 4	. 079 . 078 . 080	.076 .082 .080	.004	.003	26 27 28	19.9 19 17.5	. 081 . 064 . 068	. 082 . 054 . 069	. 001	.010
7 8 9	17 17. 10 17. 8 17. 9	. 071 . 078 . 070 . 057	. 066 . 079 . 072 . 055	.001	.005	30 31	19.8 17.1 18.3 17.3	. 070 . 073 . 067 . 063	. 078 . 072 . 061 . 085	.008	.001
11 12 13	16 16.5 17.6	. 063 . 050 . 065	. 064 . 056 . 070	.001 .006 .005		33 34 35	18 19, 10 16, 10	. 049 . 075 . 070	. 062 . 075 . 084	.013	
14 15 16	19.1 17 17.10 15.11	. 077 . 073 . 058 . 040	. 076 . 070 . 062 . 043	.004	.001	36 37 38	18. 8 17. 9 18. 1 17	. 065 . 055 . 073 . 075	. 071 . 064 . 078 . 071	.006	.004
18 19 20	16. 6 18. 11 18. 2 18. 9	. 058 . 064 . 073 . 066	. 059 . 064 . 077 . 064	.001		40 41 42	18. 10 16. 3 19. 7	. 060 . 068 . 070	. 061 . 067 . 072	.001	.001
22	15. 5	. 058	. 065	. 007		Averages	18	. 067	. 069	. 002	

43	17	0.067	0.065	0.002	60	18. 10	0.076	0.070	0. 006
44	17.7	. 066	. 066		61	18.2	. 080	. 084	0.004
45	17. 11	.078	. 071		62	20.5	.076	. 072	004
46	19.10	. 071	. 062	009	63	16.4	.070	. 076	. 006
47	16.7	. 063	. 070	0.007	64	19.2	.070	. 070	
48	20.7	. 057	. 053	004	65	19.6	.074	. 071	
49	17.6	. 075	. 074	001	66	17.3	. 102	. 117	.015
50	18.6	.075	. 078	.003	67	18.8	. 061	. 065	. 004
51	20	. 061	. 060	001	68	16.5	.076	. 070	006
52	17.9	. 073	. 071	002	69	20	. 066	. 065	001
53	16.11	. 073	. 074	. 001	70	19.6	. 055	. 051	004
54	18.4	. 056	. 060	. 004	71	18.7	.051	. 058	. 007
55	19.5	. 058	. 061	.003	72	18. 2	. 058	. 050	008
56	17.6	.070	.066	004	73	18.3	. 065	. 057	
57	15.6	. 053	. 060	.007	74	18. 4	.066	. 060	006
58	17.2	. 068	. 063	005	1		-		
59	16.10	. 062	. 057	005	Averages	18	.068	. 067	001

Table of power indices Po: = T. S. $\frac{L.C.}{W.}$

A.

		$Po := T. S. \frac{L. C.}{W.}$					Po:=T.			
Number.	Age.	First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.	Gain.	Number.	Age.	First measure- ment.	Second measure- ment.	Gain.	
1	17. 4 20. 1 17. 1 19. 10 19. 10 15. 4 17 17. 10 17. 8 17. 9 16 16. 5 17. 6 19. 1	21. 868 33. 600 20. 350 28. 756 36. 904 20. 800 28. 210 34. 476 29. 510 29. 298 28. 791 18. 350 21. 060 23. 254 25. 258	43, 715 43, 216 28, 969 38, 076 49, 282 32, 480 40, 176 44, 556 40, 680 34, 584 39, 808 30, 780 31, 430 31, 430 31, 290	21. 847 9. 616 8. 619 9. 320 12. 378 11. 680 11. 966 10. 080 11. 170 5. 286 11. 017 12. 114 10. 370 7. 526 6. 032	23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	15. 11 17. 8 18. 1 19. 9 19. 17. 5 19. 8 17. 1 18. 3 17. 3 18. 19. 10 16. 10 18. 8 17. 9	17, 740 24, 882 32, 184 39, 690 30, 336 20, 264 41, 860 41, 391 27, 068 22, 428 26, 607 35, 700 28, 700 20, 475 27, 445	29. 280 37. 376 40. 670 60. 106 33. 480 30. 981 56. 004 49. 536 33. 367 41. 225 41. 354 43. 425 45. 428 29. 465 39. 296	11, 340 12, 494 8, 486 20, 416 3, 144 10, 717 14, 144 8, 145 6, 299 18, 797 14, 747 7, 725 16, '28 8, 990 11, 851	
16	17. 10 15. 11 16. 6 18. 11	24. 244 11. 160 27. 956 34. 056	34, 224 16, 770 39, 117 46, 720	9. 980 5. 610 11. 161 12. 664	38	18.1 17 18.10 16.3	31, 582 23, 475 18, 300 14, 280	53, 352 32, 802 30, 683 29, 748	21. 770 9. 327 12. 383 15. 468	
20 21 22	18. 2 18. 9 15. 5	29, 638 25, 146 21, 170	43, 967 34, 368 34, 710	14. 329 9. 222 13. 540	Averages.	19.7	29, 330	38, 180	17. 182	

3 17	7	25.728	29, 315	3.587	60	18.10	24. 016	28.700	4.684
4 17	7.7	28.776	33, 528	4.752	61	18.2	40.080	43.932	3.852
5 17	. 11	34. 944	31.595	-3.349	62	20.5	34.656	36, 000	1.344
6 19	. 10	37. 275	34.720	-2.555	63	16.4	28, 980	32.680	3.700
7 16	3.7	32. 130	36, 750	4: 620	64	19.2	36, 610	35. 980	-0.630
8 20). 7	28.557	23, 585	-4.972	65	19.6	33.078	34.519	1.441
9 17	7.6	35.550	37.000	1.450	66	17.3	45.900	51.948	6.048
0 18	3. 6	35.500	44.538	9.038	67	18.8	20.679	27.300	6. 621
1 20)	27. 886	27.832	-0.054	68	16.5	35. 644	39. 130	3.486
2 17	. 9	35. 989	37.630	1.641	69	20	31. 152	37. 115	5. 963
3 16	6. 11	27.667	33. 522	5. 855	70	19.6	34.595	34.476	0.119
4 18	3.4	25. 928	32.700	6.772	71	18.7	26. 265	35.090	8. 825
5 19	0.5	20. 184	26.962	6.778	72	18.2	35.090	28.350	-6.740
6 17	. 6	44.940	48.378	3.438	73	18.3	23. 985	25.650	1.665
7 15	6.6	18, 550	23, 880	5, 330	74	18.4	23.826	20.160	3. 666
8 17	. 2	26.316	29. 232	2.916	All Lines and Marie				-
9 16	5. 10	16.864	20.805	3.941	Averages.	18	30. 542	33, 216	2.674

Table of strength weight indices $= \frac{T.S.}{W.}$

A.

Number. Ag		T.	S. V.					T. S. W.			
	Age.	First exami- nation.	Second exami- nation.	Gain.	Loss.	Number.	Age-	First exami- nation.	Second exami- nation.	Gain.	Loss.
1	17. 4 20. 1 17. 1 19. 10 19. 10 15. 4 17 17. 10	4. 83 8 7. 40 6. 30 8. 06 5. 10 7. 60	7. 38 9. 42 8. 92 8. 35 10. 54 7. 25 9. 62	2. 55 1. 42 1. 52 2. 05 2. 48 2. 15 2. 02		23	15. 11 17. 8 18. 1 19. 9 19 17. 5 19. 8 17. 1	5. 44 7 7, 10 7, 77 6. 21 9. 64 10. 13	7.75 10 8.42 10 8.80 9 10.71 11.66	2. 31 3 1. 42 2. 90 1. 03 2. 79 1. 07 1. 53	
9 10 11 12 13 14	17.8 17.9 16 16.5 17.6 19.1	7 7. 14 8. 02 6. 44 6. 75 5. 70	8. 56 8. 06 10. 03 9. 22 8. 31 7. 20	1. 56 0. 92 2. 01 2. 78 1. 56 1. 50		31	18.3 17.3 18 19.10 16.10 18.8	6. 12 7. 57 7. 76 6. 62 6. 40 5. 43	7. 50 9. 70 9. 40 7. 85 8. 21 6. 92	1.38 2.13 1.64 1.23 1.81 1.49	
15	17 17.10 15.11 16.6 18.11 18.2	6 7. 46 5. 81 7. 42 7. 47 5	7. 20 9 7. 08 9. 77 9. 86 7. 05	1. 20 1. 54 1. 27 2. 35 2. 39 2. 05		37 38 39 40 41	17. 9 18. 1 17 18. 10 16. 3 19. 7	7. 23 7. 74 6. 50 5. 55 5	8. 54 11. 60 8. 40 8. 53 9. 06 11. 53	1.31 3.86 1.90 2.98 4.06 3.53	
21 22	18.9 15.5	6. 68 6. 17	8. 80 8. 09	2. 12 1. 92		Averages	18	6.85	8, 86	2.01	

13	17	7.83	8. 20	0.37		60	18.10	5.85	6. 61	0.76	
14	17.7	7.65	8.47	. 82		61	18.2	9.45	9.02		0.43
15	17.11	7.86	7.19		0.67	62	20.5	8.14	8.47	.33	
16	19.10	7.60	7. 20		. 40	63	16.4	7.81	7.67		.14
17	16.7	7.61	7.40			64	19.2	8.30	8. 16		. 14
18	20.7	7.58	6.36		1.22	65	19.6	7.71	8. 01		. 30
19	17.6	8	8. 20	. 20		66	17.3	8.50	8.54		
50	18.6	6.80	8.16	1.36		67	18.8	5.74	6.66		
51	20	7.50	7.30		. 20	68	16.5	8.37	8.87		
52	17.9	7	7.90	.90		69	20	8	9.07	1.07	
53	16.11	6.77	7.81	1.04		70	19.6	10	9.66		. 34
54	18.4	6.30	7.46	1.16		71	18.7	7.57	8.40	. 83	
55	19.5	7.25	8.18	. 93		72	18.2	9.31	8.51		. 80
56	17.6	9.72	10.72	1.00		73	18.3	6.36	6.82		
7	15.6	6.73	6.86	. 15		74	18.4	5.55	6. 22	. 67	
58	17.2	7.04	7.73	. 69							
59	16, 10	6.04	7.32	1.28		Averages	18	7.56	7.94	.38	

Table of vital strength weight indices = Vi. $\frac{T. S.}{W.}$

A.

		Vi. T. S. W.						Vi. T. S. W.				
Number.	Age.	First meas- ure- ment.	Second meas- ure- ment.	Gain.	Loss.	Number.	Age.	First meas- ure- ment.	Second meas- ure- ment.	Gain.	Loss.	
1	17. 4 20. 1	0.3719 .5600	0.5535 .6970	0. 1816 . 1370		23 24	15. 11 17. 8	0.3264 .4620	0.4530 .6400	0. 1266 . 1780		
3	17. 1 19. 10	. 4070	. 5262	. 1192		25	18. 1 19. 9	. 5040	. 5894	. 0854		
5	19.10	. 6286	. 8642	. 2356		27	19	. 4972	. 4752		-0.022	
7	15. 4 17	. 4080	. 5800	. 1720		28	17. 5 19. 8	. 4222	. 6210	. 1988		
8	17.10	. 5460	.7110	. 1650		30	17.1	. 7395	. 8395	.1000		
9	17.8 17.9	. 4900	. 5963	. 1063		31	18.3 17.3	.4100	. 4375	. 0275		
11	16	. 5052	. 6419	. 1367		33	18	. 3802	. 5828	. 2026		
12	16. 5 17. 6	. 3220	.5185	. 1965		34	19. 10 16. 10	. 4965	. 5887	. 0922		
14	19.1	. 4389	. 5472	. 1083		36	18.8	. 3529	. 4913	.1384		
16	17 17, 10	. 4380	. 5040	. 0660		37	17.9 18.1	. 3976	. 5465	. 1489		
17	15. 11	. 2324	. 3044	. 0720		39	17	. 4873	. 5964	. 1091		
18	16. 6 18. 11	. 4199	. 5764	. 1565		41	18.10 16.3	. 3330	. 5203	. 1873		
20	18.2	. 3650	. 5420	.1778		42	19.7	. 5600	. 8301	. 2701		
21	18.9 15.5	. 4408	. 5632	. 1224		Averages	18	. 4565	. 6150	. 1585		

3	17	0.5246	0.5330	0.0084		60	18. 10	0.4680	0.5552	0.0872	
4	17.7	. 5049	. 5590	. 0541		61	18. 2	. 7560	. 7977	. 0410	
5	17.11	. 6130	. 5033		0.1097	62	20.5	. 6186	. 6098		0.008
6	19.10	. 5396	. 4644		. 0752	63	16.4	. 5467	. 5829	. 0362	
17	16.7	. 4794	. 5180	. 0386		64	19.2	. 5810	. 5712		. 009
18	20.7	. 4320	. 3370		. 0950	65	19.6	. 5703	. 5687		. 001
19	17.6	. 6000	. 6068	.0068		66	17.3	. 8670	. 9992	. 1322	
50	18.6	.5100	. 6364	. 1264		67	18.8	. 3507	. 4329	. 0828	
51	20	. 4575	. 4380		. 0195	68	16.5	7961	. 6209		. 175
52	17.9	. 5110	. 5530	. 0420		69	20	. 5280	. 5895	. 0615	
3	16.11	. 4924	. 5779	. 0855		70	19.6	. 5500	. 4926		. 057
54	18.4	. 3558	. 4476	. 0918		71	18.7	. 3861	. 4872	. 1011	
55	19.5	. 4205	. 4989	. 0784		72	18.2	. 5400	. 4255		. 114
6	17.6	. 6804	. 6975	. 0171		73	18.3	. 4134	. 3887		. 024
57	15.6	. 3567	. 4116	. 0549		74	18.4	. 3663	.3732	. 0069	
8	17.2	. 4787	. 4870	.0083		1					
59	16.10	. 3745	. 4174	. 0429		Average	18	. 5178	. 5370	.0192	

STRICTURE OF THE MALE URETHRA.

By M. H. CRAWFORD, Surgeon, U. S. Navy.

Stricture is that condition where there is an abnormal diminution of the caliber of the canal, induced by pathological changes in the mucous or submucous muscular walls of the arethra.

Strictures may be divided into inflammatory, true, or organic stricture, and false, or spasmodic stricture; and divided according to their form into linear, annular, indurated, irregular, or tortuous strictures. Some surgical authorities make another division according to the condition that exists; for instance, when the stricture bleeds easily by use of instruments or becomes inflamed it is called an irritable stricture. Then, again, they may be divided into small caliber, which will admit only of the smallest size sounds, less in circumference than 15 millimeters, and of a large caliber, or strictures that will take instruments from that size upward.

A spasmodic stricture is that condition where there is muscular spasm and the

normal caliber of the urethra is diminished.

It is a question which all surgical authorities have not fully agreed upon, whether spasm of the urethra takes place without the presence of some organic constriction of prior existence. Sir B. Brodie says: "That a spasmodic stricture may exist independently of any actual organic disease. At the same time it must be acknowledged that the existence of a purely spasmodic stricture is of rare occurrence."

John Hunter states: "There are often spasmodic contractions of these muscular fibers in different parts of the canal, shutting up the passage and obstructing the

course of the canal, and often not allowing a drop to pass.

I think it has been proved beyond a doubt that the whole urethra has contractile action, and with a sphincteric muscle which acts especially on one part of it may cause temporary constriction. I will briefly mention the chief causes of spasmodic stricture. Where an organic stricture already exists, this spasmodic constriction may be caused by extreme acidity and irritating effects of the urine. Turpentine, cantharides, spices have the same effects. Hemorrhoids, rectal fistulæ, and operations about the anus are frequently followed by retention from spasmodic contractions of the muscle. Strong mental emotions will interfere sometimes with micturition.

ETIOLOGY OF STRICTURE OF THE MALE URETHRA.

I believe that the majority of surgeons agree with Sir Astley Cooper, who says: "That in ninety-nine cases out of every hundred it was the result of an attack of gonorrhea."

Civiale says: "That gonorrhea might be placed in the first rank of the list of causes of stricture. He then asserts that this affection may never have been acute, but chronic at its commencement, and not necessarily following impure or even

indeed any sexual connection."

He enumerates other and less obvious causes, such as abuse of instruments employed in affections of the urethra, perineal section, and abuse of coitus and pro-

longed erections.

Sir Henry Thompson gives the analysis of 202 cases of the stricture of the urethra. Of this number 81 per cent was caused by gonorrheal inflammation, 14 per cent due to injuries of the perineum, 4 cases due to cicatrization of chancres, and 6 cases to congenital origin.

Dr. Otis gives the record of 85 cases of stricture, representing 147 distinct strict-

ures of the urethra:

Seventy-five, or 88.23 per cent, were due to gonorrhea; 5, or 5.88 per cent, were due to masturbation; 3, or 3.53 per cent, were due to lithiasis; 2, or 2.35 per cent, were due to traumatism.

My experience is where an attack of gonorrhea has existed for some time, it is more apt to cause stricture than a slight attack of but brief duration.

Any injuries to the perineum from a fall or a blow may cause stricture.

The use of strong injections, a sudden rupture of the walls of the urethra during an attack of chordee might result in causing a stricture, or rough and awkward use of sounds and catheters. The location of a stricture of the urethra varies extensively, but I think most authorities agree in finding it most frequently in the subpubic curvature, which occupies an inch of the urethra before and three-quarters of an inch posteriorly, which includes all of the membranous portion. The next place in frequency is about two inches and a half of the first portion of the urethra. The seat of the third most frequent location is about two inches and a half to three inches of the center of the spongy portion of the urethra, or within two inches and a half of the external meatus.







