An essay on the bite of a mad dog, in which the claim to infallibility of the principal preservative remedies against the hydrophobia is examined / by John Berkenhout, M.D.

#### **Contributors**

Berkenhout, John, 1730?-1791. Smith, Richard, 1772-1843 Bristol Royal Infirmary. Library University of Bristol. Library

### **Publication/Creation**

London: Printed for R. Baldwin, in Pater-Noster Row, 1783.

### **Persistent URL**

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/wjgx8zku

#### **Provider**

Special Collections of the University of Bristol Library

### License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by University of Bristol Library. The original may be consulted at University of Bristol Library. where the originals may be consulted.

This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.

You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org

# E S S A Y

ON THE

## BITE OF A MAD DOG,

IN WHICH

THE CLAIM TO INFALLIBILITY OF THE PRINCIPAL PRESERVATIVE REMEDIES

AGAINST THE

## HYDROPHOBIA

IS EXAMINED.

By JOHN BERKENHOUT, M. D.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR R. BALDWIN, PATER-NOSTER-ROW.
M DCC LXXXIII.

[ Price One Shilling and Sixpence. ]

E S S O

HITWO

# BITE OF A MAD DOG,

BOLH W MI

DESCRIMENTS INFALLIBILITY OF THE

CAINST FER

# ETDEOPHOBIA

IS HXAMINED.

# JOHN BERKENHOUT, M. D.

AND OUR OF OUR SERVICES OF SER

IR GEORGE BAKER, Bart. F. R. S.

PHYSICIAN IN ORDINARY TO THE QUEEN,

THIS ESSAY

IS INSCRIBED,

BY

HIS MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT,

Winton,

THE AUTHOR.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2015

THAY HER I MELLIAND I SUM ON

THE AUTHOR.

https://archive.org/details/b21438596

AN

## E S S A Y

ONTHE

# Bite of a Mad Dog, &c.

which bears a better refemblance to the knight of La Mancha's attack of a wind-mill, than that of combating vulgar errors; of reasoning against received opinions. The most powerful and pointed arguments generally fall to the ground, when opposed by the impenetrable shield of common prejudice. Nevertheless, in the present age, when science is hourly making such rapid advances towards the discovery of truth; when knowledge is so much more universally diffused than in

former times, it seems not unreasonable to hope, that mankind may be persuaded to bestow a few moments unbiassed attention on a subject confessedly of the highest medical importance.

2. This subject hath indeed been amply and learnedly treated by ancient and modern physicians, in various countries and languages; in this kingdom particularly, by the celebrated Dr. Mead, and the no less famous Dr. James; the latter of whom published, in the year 1760, an entire volume on Canine Madness. Ancient authors were less diffuse, and were generally fatisfied with transcribing from each other. Most of these writers I have confidered with attention, and acknowledge myfelf much obliged to them for many important facts: I cannot, however, avoid observing, that their labours have a manifest tendency to confirm the fatal prejudice which I most devoutly wish to eradicate; I therefore think myself justified in adding a small pamphlet to the voluminous tracts already written on the difeafe commonly, but improperly, called the bydrophobia, or dread of water. I fay improperly,

improperly, because this aversion to water, or to drink of every kind, is only one symptom of the disease in question, and that not constantly nor exclusively; for there are many examples on record, sufficiently authenticated, of this symptom, this bydrophobia, in patients not bitten by a dog, or by any other animal.

3. I am even inclined to affert, that the bydrophobia is not generally a fymptom of the disease produced by the bite of a mad dog. It rarely happens that the patient has any aversion to water or other liquid, until by experience he finds an insuperable difficulty in fwallowing. He then dreads the approach of water, having already found that the attempt to swallow any liquid produces a violent and painful convulsion; so that the symptom in question is rather a real difficulty of fwallowing liquids, than a dread of water. As far as my own experience reaches, I can, with great truth, aver, that I have never yet met with a fingle patient, who expressed any aversion to the fight, found, or mention of water, until he had found, by experience, that drinking gave him pain.

B 2

4. Some

- 4. Some years ago, I was sent for to a young gentleman, who, about six weeks before, had been bit in the arm by one of his father's hounds. A few hours before his death, he stept into a tub of warm water without fear, sat down, and continued in it half an hour. I shall, in its proper place, relate his case circumstantially: I mention it at present only to prove, that the bydrophobia is improperly considered as a diagnostic symptom of this disease.
- 5. I am equally inclined to dispute the propriety of the denomination rabies canina, canine madness; for though persons thus unhappily afflicted are often violently agitated during a short time previous to their death, yet they generally retain their reason to the last moment: this disease, therefore, is improperly considered as a species of madness, if by madness we understand, a distraction, suspension, or perversion of the reasoning faculties.
- 6. Writers, fond of fearching into antiquity for what is not worth finding, have taken great pains to discover the most ancient authors that have written on canine madness.

madness. Hippocrates, most certainly, has not said a single word on the subject, whatever some of his wise commentators may have imagined. A learned list of ancient authors, who have written on the hydrophobia, may be collected from various modern writers; but we search in vain for useful information on this subject among the remains of high antiquity.

7. But if the disease we are about to investigate be neither a species of rabies, nor bydrophobia, what shall we call it !-It were idle to dispute about a mere name; but when a misnomer conveys a false idea, it is no longer a matter of indifference. The fatal disorder communicated to the human species by the bite of a mad dog is doubtless a spasm of the organs of deglutition and respiration; a species of angina convulfiva, vel suffocativa. The generic term Angina, is thus defined by the learned Boerhaave, in his 783d aphorism-Impedita valde, dolens admodum, vel et impedita et dolens simul, deglutitio atque respiratio, quæ contingit a causa morbosa agente in partes binis bis functionibus inservientes, supra pulmones et supra stomachum B 3 pofitas,

positas, Angina vocatur; and in aphorism 818, he says,—Si convulsionum causa quæcunque musculos pharingis laryngesve occupaverit, oritur subita suffocativa angina.

8. As I am here writing particularly to medical readers, it is unnecessary to translate the above quotation. Such readers, after comparing these definitions with the symptoms of the bydropbobia, as it is called, will, I believe, acknowledge their furprise, that Boerhaave should not rather have classed it as a species of angina than of mania. Later nofologists seem equally unfortunate in point of arrangement. In the fystem of the celebrated Sauvages, we find Hydrophobia in the class Vefaniae, and order Morofitates. His definition of the class is, error in imaginatione, appetitu, vel juditio; of the order, cupiditates aut aversiones depravatæ. Now, I appeal, not to fystematic writers, but to those who have attended patients suffering under this dreadful distemper; and I request them to recollect, whether erroneous imagination, appetite, or judgment, or depraved defires or averfions, were among the fymptoms

toms they observed? As to the patient's refusing to drink, it proceeds not from an imaginary aversion to water, but from a real, a painful convulsion in the organs of deglutition, excited by every effort to swallow liquids.

- 9. Linneus divides the disease in question into two distinct genera, viz. Rabies, and Hydrophobia: the first he defines in these words, Desiderium mordendi lacerandique innocuos; the second, thus, Averfatio potulentorum cum rigore et sardiasi, adding, sæpius præcedenti maritata. He places them in the class Mentales, and order Pathetici, where, from what I have said above, they must appear to have no business.
- 10. In Vogel's arrangement, under the class of Febres, and order continuæ, we find the Hydrophobia thus characterized, Febris cum aversatione liquidorum, singultu, convulsione, et delirio. The absurdity of making the hydrophobia a continued fever is so obvious, that it requires no comment.

- class of Diseases, neuroses, which he defines—Sensus et motus læsi, sine pyrexia et sine morbo locali. Spasmi constitute the third order of this class, and of this order, the last genus is hydrophobia, thus defined—Potionis cujuslibet, ut convulsionem pharyngis dolentem cientis, fastidium et horror; plerumque e morsu animalis rabidi. Of this genus he admits two species, viz. vulgaris, and spontanea, the first of which is the immediate object of our present consideration.
- 12. Dr. Cullen, with his usual sagacity, saw the error of former medical writers, who mistook this spasm in the organs of deglutition, for a species of madness.
- 13. But by what name foever we diftinguish this dreadful disease, previous to our enquiry concerning its symptoms in the human species, let us endeavour to ascertain those by which it may be known in the brute, where it originates.

Authors have amused themselves with learned disquisitions concerning the cause of of this rabies in dogs, wolves, foxes, &c. Some accuse worms within the cranium, or under the tongue. Dr. Cheyne ascribed it to a superabundance of animal salts, and Dr. James was of opinion that extream heat, want of water, and putrid animal food, are generally the cause of this madness in dogs, which he calls a fever.

Treat. on canine madness, p. 20

14. The usual symptoms which indicate approaching madness in a dog, are, first, an evident diminution of his natural keen appetite for food. He eats, indeed, and laps his milk or water, but with obvious indifference. His eyes have loft their usual lustre; he drops his ears and tail, shews no fign of hilarity at the approach of his mafter, and his whole afpect exhibits a picture of melancholy, perfectly intelligible to those who are accustomed to observe this animal with attention. In a day or two more, he refuses both meat and drink, shuns the society of other dogs. and is equally, after a short reconnoitre, avoided by them. He now quits his habitation, runs forward, evidently without having any thing in pursuit, snaps at every animal

animal that comes in his way, and within forty-eight hours dies convulsed.

- 15. Such are the fymptoms of this diftemper in the brute creation; and these symptoms are so constant and unequivocal, that all danger might easily be prevented, by the smallest degree of attention. In the first stage of the disorder, the dog has no propensity to bite, so that he may be seized and tied up without fear.
- castorius and other writers, a catalogue of symptoms more numerous, and somewhat different from those above enumerated; but as it was not the result of his own observation, his description of this, as of many other diseases, should be read, cum grano salis.

Hist. nat. Lib. 29, c. 5. animalium, tells us, that there is a worm under the tongues of dogs, which if extracted when they are whelps prevents their running mad. This doubtless was a vulgar error of the times in which he wrote, and thus the universal prevalence of

## THE BITE OF A MAD DOG.

of this abfurd opinion down to the prefent age is accounted for. Dr. James very justly ridicules this idea of a worm under the tongue. - " I take it (fays the Doctor) to be a nerve; and this con-" tracting when recently taken away, the " ideots fancy it ftirs, and believe it a worm, to which it bears no manner of " refemblance."-He is certainly right in believing it not to be a worm; but he is mistaken in supposing it a nerve, for a reason which himself hath adduced, namely, its contraction; nerves are not elastic. He is also wrong in faying, it bears no refemblance to a worm. Morgagni probably was nearer the truth, in calling it a tendinous ligament. Be it what it may, it is certainly of use to the dog and its extirpation answers no falutary purpose.

On can. mad. p. 203.

De sed. & caus.morb. lib. 1. ess. viii. art. 35.

18. Let us now trace the progress of this fatal disease in those of the human species who have the misfortune to be bit by a mad dog or cat. The wound, on immediate inspection, discovers no signs of malignity. If it be so superficial as scarcely to have drawn blood it generally heals without the least inflammation, and

in that case there is little or no danger: on the second day it seems a mere scratch, and on the third it is hardly visible. But if, on the second morning, we observe an inflamed circle spreading from the wound, resembling that which surrounds the puncture when inoculation for the smallpox has taken effect, there is reason to believe that part of the poisonous saliva of the enraged animal is absorbed, and the consequent symptoms may be rationally expected.

Ormskirk, or some other equally infallible medicine, is hurried away to the sea, in which he is two or three times dipped and half drowned; the wound heals, and all his apprehensions vanish. Unhappily, this delusion also vanishes in the space of sive or six weeks, about which period he seels a \* pain in the part where he was bit, gradually extending over the whole limb. He now recollects his missfortune. The horrible stories he has heard rush upon his mind, and the hourly expectation of madness,

This symptom is said to have been first mentioned by Salius.

madness and death fill his whole foul. Under such apprehensions it is no wonder that he should discover symptoms of impatience, anxiety, and even of delirium. But these symptoms proceed from, and are always in proportion to, his apprehensions. His reasoning faculties continue unimpaired; his pulse becomes irregular and quick; but there is no preternatural heat, foul tongue, nor any other febrile symptom. He complains of a fullness and prickling in his throat, and fwallows his spittle with difficulty. So far from expreffing any aversion to water, he calls for drink; but in the attempt to swallow it he is convulfed, and, after two or three painful efforts, the approach, or even the fight of any liquid produces horror. He continues fome time longer to swallow folid food without much pain or difficulty. At last even that is impossible. now becomes fensible of an irrefistable inclination to struggle, and wishes to be held; he breathes quick and with great difficulty, and in a few hours after dies convulsed, as if he were strangled with a cord.

- 20. Such, and such only, are the proper diagnostic symptoms of this fatal disorder: they are amply sufficient to distinguish it from any other, and are therefore all that are necessary to be generally known; but as a disease so frequently mortal cannot be too well understood, I shall proceed to mention the symptoms above omitted, as I find them enumerated by the principal authors that have written on this subject.
- 21. Cælius Aurelianus, who collected his history of the bydrophobia from Eudemus, Soranus, and other writers with whom we are little acquainted, tells us that the hydrophobia is immediately preceded by extreme irritability, unufual motions of the body, disturbed sleep or absolute wakefulness, indigestion, stretchings, yawning, nausea, imaginary notions of bad weather, and no appetite for drink. To these symptoms, according to the fame author, succeed, when the bydrophobia begins, a defire to drink\*, with terror at the fight, found, or name of water. The patient is afraid even of fomen-

<sup>\*</sup> Appetentia bibendi, which Dr. James translates, a violent and insatiable thirst. - Treat. on Can, Madn. p. 55.

fomentations with oil; his pulse is dense (denfus) small and irregular: sometimes a small degree of fever, convulsive motions of the stomach, spasms in the precordia, numbness of the joints, and torpor of the intestinal canal; frequent inclination to make water; trembling and catchings of the limbs; voice hoarfe, refembling the barking of a dog; spiral posture of the body, like that of a dog lying on the ground; anxiety when any person enters the room, as if apprehensive that he should bring water; redness of the face and eyes; body emaciated, the superior parts pale and sweating; veretri frequens tensio cum seminis involuntario jactu, &c.

22. To the fymptoms above mentioned Aphor. Boerhaave adds the following-Lassitude, weight, and indolence in every muscle of the body; disturbed sleep, frequent startings, frightful dreams, convulsions; constant inquietude, depression, sighing, and love of solitude. If the patient be bled, the blood exhibits no morbid appearance. He now complains of a squeezing about his heart. He is terrified not only at the fight of any fluid, but even of

any pellucid or reflecting body. He vomits viscid bilious phlegm or poraceous bile; grows hot and feverish. To a gradual exacerbation of these symptoms are now accumulated a dry projected tongue, open soaming mouth, extreme thirst, an irresistable inclination to spit at, and bite those that are near him; cold sweats, complete rabies, and on the fourth day the patient dies.

23. Dr. Mead copies Boerhaave without any material alteration, except in faying that death relieves the patient in two days after the first symptom of *bydrophobia*.

Sauvages, in his Nofologia, adds no other symptom to those above related; but from Dr. James we learn the following very curious and material proof of the salutary instinct of dogs, namely, that they sly from persons actually insected by the bite of a mad animal.

24. Such readers as are not acquainted with medical authors, and particularly with the writings of the celebrated Leyden professor, will wonder why he should

should have exhibited so numerous a catalogue of fymptoms, if it be true, that fo few of them really occur in patients afflicted with this malady. But his wonder will cease, when he is told that Boerhaave, when he began the study of physic, found the science a mere chaos. He immediately conceived the idea of reducing it to method, and, after much reading, condensed and arranged his materials in the form of aphorisms, constituting a new system of physic, which aphorisms he used as the text of his academical lectures. All his fymptoms very feldom occur in the same patient: they were collected from a variety of authors, and are to be remembered by phyficians, rather as possible phenomena than as absolute diagnostics.

- 25. Having thus attended our unfortunate patient to the final period of his life, let us proceed to examine the morbid appearances in his body upon diffection.
- 26. Cappivacci, an Italian, was, I believe, the first anatomist who published C

an account of any diffection of this kind. His observations, together with those of Zwingerus, Brechtfeld, and other writers, were republished in the Sepulchretum, and thence collected by Boerhaave, and condensed into a single aphorism, which, for the sake of the English reader, I will translate.

Apb. 1140.

- -" The morbid phenomena discoverable in the body by dissection are generally
- " the organs of deglutition fomewhat in-
- " flamed, bilious gluten in the stomach,
- " the gall-bladder full of black bile, the
- " pericardium dry, the lungs incredibly
- " distended with blood, the heart full of
- " blood almost dry, the arteries full, the
- "veins empty, blood in the arteries ex-
- " tremely liquid, and scarce coagulating
- " in the air, all the muscles, viscera,
- " brain, and spinal marrow, dryer than

" ufual."

Eph.N.C. dec. 3. a 2. obs. 104.

27. Zwingerus, in a body which he dissected, found the membraneous interstices between the cartilaginous circles of the aspera arteria intensely red, and the stomach and intestines sprinkled with red spots.

28. Brechtfeld

28. Brechtfeld found the entire tract of the afophagus narrow, and in a state of Sepulc. constriction.

Anat.

29. M. Tauvry, a French anatomist, Hist. R. found the gula and aspera arteria in a state of inflammation.

Acad. an. 1699.

30. Morgagni tells us of an hydro- De. Sed. et phobic patient whose body, though diffected fixteen hours after death, in a cold feafon, was intolerably putrid. Black bile was found in the gall-bladder; the lungs were also black, and very offensive. The right auricle of the heart was much dilated, the left very narrow. The bloodvessels of the brain were all full; the brain was rather dry.

Cauf. Morb. ep. viii. art. 23.

31. The same author relates another Ib.art.25. history of a man who died about a month after being bit by a mad dog, and whose body, which was diffected about twentyfour hours after death, in the hottest feafon of the year, was much less offensive than the former. His neck had a livid appearance; the blood-veffels of the stomach were as full as if they had been C 2 injected;

injected; the stomach was distended with air, and contained besides a yellow and greenish water; part of the liver was livid, and the gall-bladder was full of brown bile; the diaphragm was a little inflamed; the lungs on the posterior part were tumid with blood, and black; the upper part of the assopbagus, aspera arteria, pharynx, and larynx seemed nearly gangrenous; in the head, the vessels of the meninges were distended with blood, and the internal substance of the brain was dotted with red points; the internal ventricles contained a reddish serum.

Ib.art.27.

32. In a third diffection the stomach was found to contain a viscid cineritious shuid, and the gall-bladder a small quantity of yellow bile; the illiac veins were violently distended with blood, and their corresponding arteries quite empty; lungs stuffed full with crassamentum, and in part almost in a gangrenous state; the heart contained a small quantity of blood resembling melted pitch; the organs of deglutition shewed no other signs of instammation than a slight redness at the top of the pharynx; but the membrane which invests

invests the epiglottis was crisp and shrivelled; all the veffels of the meninges were immoderably full of black blood; neither the cerebrum, cerebellum, spinal marrow, viscera of the thorax and abdomen, nor any of the muscles were more dry than they generally are; in the ventricles of the brain were about three ounces of yellowish ferum.

33. Morgagni, after comparing these 1b. art. observations with those of Mead, Plancus, Fabbri, Brogiani, &c. concludes, that the bodies after death differ more from each other than when living: "For (fays he) " whilst alive, they all drink with great " difficulty, and most of them not with-" out horror; but, upon diffection, we " find no one phenomenon common to " every subject. As to that dryness of " the parts, fo generally observed, it is " by no means universal; nor does it " feem to deserve much consideration, " because the violent agitations of the pa-" tient, and the confequent evacuations, " fensible and infensible; the frequent " exhibition of alexipharmics, and long C 3 " abstinence

- " abstinence from liquids, are sufficient " to account for it."
- 34. On the authority, therefore, of Morgagni, who was a man of most extensive anatomical reading and experience, we must conclude, that diffections have not hitherto discovered the part, or parts, of the human body particularly affected, or injured, and confequently no curative indication can thence be deduced. He is of opinion, nevertheless, that the seat of the diforder is in the nerves and brain. Cal. Au- Democritus and Gajus, two of the most iii. c. 14. ancient writers on this subject, were of the fame opinion; as were also the difciples of Asclepiades. Some of the ancients accused the diaphragm, some the stomach and intestines, and some the heart.

Apb. 1142.

rel. Lib.

35. Boerhaave, from the history and comparison of the hydrophobia with other diseases, was induced to believe, that it is first an affection of the nerves; that convulfions of the vifcera are thence produced; that the blood and humours thence become vitiated; but that the primary feat of the disorder is in the region of the stomach.

stomach. In this last part of his theory, he followed the opinion of Arthemidorus, Artorius, and the disciples of Asclepiades.

Cal. Aurel. Lib. iii. c. 14.

36. Dr. Mead was perfuaded, that the feat of the disease is in the nervous fluid, contaminated by the faliva of the dog acting as a ferment, and gradually affimilating the whole to its own degree of morbid acrimony.

Mechan. Acc. of Poisons. Effay, 3.

37. Dr. James ridicules these opinions, and roundly declares, that " he does not P. 37. " believe one fyllable of the modern " doctrine of nervous juices and nervous " distempers." Previous to the display of his own theory, in order to render it intelligible to readers unacquainted with anatomy, he translates, from Boerhaave's preface to his collection of authors on the venereal disease, a minute description of the cellular membrane; to which he adds two pages more, on the same subject, from Cheselden. The first of these begins thus-" This membrane is of a vascular " contexture," &c. This is certainly not true. If Dr. James had ever read fo common a book as Haller's Primæ Lineæ, he C 4 would

would not have quoted Boerhaave and Chefelden on this occasion. His theory, however, is briefly this—The poison contained in the faliva of the mad dog is communicated through the wound made by his teeth to the fat contained in the cellular membrane, which fat, by fermentation with the faliva, is affimilated into a poison; now this fat being mixt with the blood, and conveyed to the liver, by the vena portarum, the bile is contaminated, and necessarily produces all the symptoms observable in canine madness.

P. 77.

38. To render this theory more intelligible, the Doctor informs the ignorant reader, "that there is a perpetual inter-"course betwixt the blood vessels and the cellular membrane, and conse-"quently between the contents of both, the blood and fat."—It is somewhat singular, that this poisoned fat should, in its passage to the liver, mix with the blood without injuring that sluid, which throughout the entire progress of the disease is found to differ in nothing from that of a person in health. This obstacle was too obvious to escape the Doctor; but

but he tumbles over it very clumfily: he fupposes, notwithstanding appearances, that the blood must be ultimately vitiated by the bile; so that the blood first vitiates the bile, and the bile returns the compliment to the blood.

39. Thus, then, according to Dr. James, the liver is the primary feat and fountain of the hydrophobia, which vifcus, he tells us, " supplies the rest of the P. 82. " body with bile in immense quantities." In what physiological school the Doctor learnt that the rest of the body is supplied with bile in immense quantities is difficult to imagine. I know of no part of the body which is supplied with bile except the duodenum, where, mixing with the digested aliment issuing from the stomach, part of it is converted into chyle, and thereby bereft of all its bilious qualities, and the remainder carried through the intestinal canal, and finally ejected: so that no bile, quasi bile, is absorbed, and confequently no part of the body except the intestinal canal is supplied with this fluid:

40. But,

40. But, if it be true that the liver is the part of the body chiefly affected in the human species, we may, I think, fairly conclude, that this viscus is also the primary seat of the disorder in dogs. Now, it is very certain, that the liver of a mad dog, supposed to be an infallible remedy against canine madness, hath been frequently eaten without any bad effect.

41. There is yet another objection to Dr. James's hypothesis, which the anatomical reader will probably think of some weight.-Page 78 of his treatise, he tells us, that " the poisonous saliva ad-" hering to the tooth of a dog is im-" mediately communicated to the fat re-" fiding in one or more of the cells of the " cellular membrane;" that " a fcratch " is sufficient, if it only raise the cuticle, " without drawing a drop of blood;" " for (continues the Doctor) this fubtile " poison, as well as the venereal venom, " is capable of entering the cellular mem-" brane when applied to any part not " covered by the cuticula.

42. Is it possible that Dr. James, the author of a medical dictionary in three folio volumes, should not have known, that there is no external part of the human body which is not covered by the cuticle. That he was really ignorant of this fact is still more evident from the following passage in his 16th page-After relating a case from Van Swieten, who quotes it from Palmarius, of several children having caught the hydrophobia by kiffing their father, who had been bit by a mad dog, he fays-" In this cafe it is " very possible that some of the frothy " faliva might be conveyed to the lips or " the mouth of the children, which, not " being defended by the cuticle, might " communicate the contagion, as it hap-" pens with respect to the venereal poi-" fon."-Now, it is not only certain that the glans penis, the lips, and mouth, are covered by the cuticle, but that it is continued through the fauces, asophagus and intestinal canal.

43. But the Doctor affures us that, for the saliva of the dog to poison the fat, "a "scratch is sufficient, if it only raises the cuticle."

" cuticle." Surely, the Doctor had forgot, that the cellular membrane, with which he took fo much pains to make his readers acquainted, and in which the fat refides, adheres to the internal furface of the cutis vera, which therefore must neceffarily be perforated before the faliva can be brought into contact with the fat.

44. If Dr. James had been at all acquainted with the absorbent lymphatic vessels, whose extremities perforate the cuticle in every part of the furface of the body, he would not have deemed those parts only which he supposed destitute of this external shield susceptible of the canine poison. Though the medical world be much indebted to the minute enquiries of Doctors Monro and Hunter for a more perfect intelligence of the lymphatic fystem, yet the absorption of fluids through the pores of the skin hath been generally known, even prior to the days of Galen, who, as a proof of this Gal. deusu fact, says-Si sitiens balneum ineat, illi sitis puls. c. 5. sedabitur. Innumerable experiments have been made by later writers in order to establish this doctrine, particularly by Boyle,

Boyle, Bellini, and many others. In the Phil. Tr. Philosophical Transactions we read of men working in quickfilver mines, whose bodies had imbibed fo much of that metal, that they changed the colour of brass by rubbing it with their hands, or even by breathing upon it. We know that by handling turpentine the urine acquires a violet fmell; that tobacco will vomit, and aloes purge, when externally applied; but the fact most universally known is the constant effect of mercurial unction.

45. Hence it is evident that the cuticula, or epidermis, as it was called by the Greeks, is no defence against the canine, or any other poison, if the application be continued fufficiently to give time for its absorption: it is therefore necessary, when the faliva of a mad dog touches any part of the skin, to wipe it off immediately, and wash the spot. The examples, indeed, of persons thus infected without a wound are not numerous, because those on whose hand or face the faliva rests naturally wipe it off before it can be absorbed.

- 46. But, in defence of Dr. James it may be urged, that, whether the cuticle be pervious or not, or in whatfoever manner the canine poifon pass the cutis, it proves nothing against that part of his bypothesis which establishes the fat as the immediate receptacle of the poison, and its future vehicle to the liver.
- 47. In reply to this defence, it will be fufficient to observe, that the bibulous lymphatics, by which fluids on the surface of the body are absorbed, do not discharge their contents into the cellular texture, but, creeping along its membranes, communicate with larger veins which terminate in the receptaculum chyli, the thoracic dust, or jugular vein; so that the canine poison, or any other fluid, absorbed from the surface of the body, cannot remain in a state of extravasation with the fat in the cellular web, but must necessarily be thrown into the general mass of circulating sluids \*.

48. Dr.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;I shall avail myself (says Dr. James) of but one more argument to illustrate the probability of the canine venom being received and sollered in the membrane which contains the fat, or rather in the fat "itself."

48. Dr. James's theory, therefore, being contradicted by anatomical demonstration, necessarily falls to the ground. The hypothesis of Boerhaave, Mead, and others, who supposed the seat of the disease to be in the nervous sluid, is equally insupportable, because no such sluid circulates in the nerves, which are not tubes but solid sibres, whose extremities therefore are incapable of absorption.

49. If these theories be false, those who read for information will ask, where lies the truth? If such readers have sufficiently attended to the 47th paragraph they will easily conceive that the poisonous saliva of the dog is absorbed by the capillary lymphatic veins, whose ramifications expand to every part of the surface of the human body; those veins which imbibe the matter communicated by inoculation, the venereal virus, water, and infectious miasmata from the air.

50. But,

<sup>&</sup>quot;itself. And this I draw from the method of cure; for that very medicine which cures the venereal disease, whose residence is in the fat, is also found effectual in preventing and even curing canine madness."—Unfortunately for this argument the venereal disease does not reside in the fat.

- 50. But, if this be true, why is it necessary, in order to communicate the small pox by inoculation, that the cuticle should be raised?—I answer: It is not necessary; but that by this operation the communication of the disease is rendered more certain, because the matter being lodged under the cuticle, by retaining its moisture, continues longer in a sit state for absorption.
  - 51. If it should be further asked, why the canine poison, thus introduced, continues circulating in the body five or fix weeks before it produces that disease, of a fingle paroxism, called the hydrophobia? -I answer, that in this it differs from other inoculated diseases only in point of time, In all inoculations there is an intervention of fome days between the cause and effect; but why that of canine madness requires a longer time for affimilation and maturity must remain a mystery, until we are better acquainted with nature's modus operandi. Probably there are other contagious diseases whose malignant miasmata may be equally slow in their progress from admission to efficiency.

52. Some attempts have been made to discover the nature of this canine poison by the help of microscopes and chemical experiments; but to no purpose. Dr. Mead supposed it to consist of fiery saline particles. Dr. Heysham believes it to be Differt. an acid; but this is mere conjecture. All we know of the matter is, that it is a poison sui generis, which, being absorbed by the lymphatic veins, produces certain effects in the human body; no mechanical nor chemical theory, therefore, can affift us in the invention of a remedy against the bite of a mad dog. Analogy may possibly be of some use. Chance, the great inventor of medicines, hath not, I think, been successful in the present case. Let us, however, proceed to the most important, the therapeutic division of our essay.

Med. de rab. can. 1771.

53. Mithridates, king of Pontus, about two thousand years ago, is faid to have invented that farrago of more than forty ingredients called by his name. Historians tell us that, believing it to be an universal antidote, he took a dose of it every morning. In justice however to his D Pontic

Pontic majesty, I must not suppress what Samonicus writes on this subject. "When " Pompy (fays he) took the baggage of " Mithridates, he found, among his " papers, the prescript for compounding " the famous antidote against all poisons, " invented by that king: he was much " furprifed to find that it confifted only " of twenty leaves of rue, two walnuts, " two figs, and a little falt." To whose inventive genius we are indebted for the forty ingredients which were afterwards added I know not, unless to that of Damocrates, under whose name this celebrated antidote shines conspicuous even in the last edition of the London Pharтасороега.

54. Andromachus, a native of Crete, cotemporary with Galen, not satisfied with an antidote of forty-five ingredients, composed one of more than sixty, which he called  $\Gamma \alpha \lambda nvn$  (serenitas) from its sedative or anodyne effect. This impertinent jumble of stuff was afterwards called Theriaca, from the Greek word  $\Theta n\rho$ , fera, a wild beast, being an antidote against the invenomed bite of mad or poisonous animals.

animals. This notable hodge-podge is also to be found even in the last edition of the London Dispensatory; and, what is more extraordinary, there are, I am told, some physicians who continue to use these theriacas in their prescriptions: with what intention is best known to themselves.

55. If the inventors of theriacas had any ideas at all, they probably thought that, in fo great a number of ingredients, it was possible some one of them might hit the mark they aimed at, for the same reason that sportsmen prefer a number of fmall shot to a fingle bullet; but I am rather inclined to think them the invention of some arch apothecary's apprentice, who had a mind to try what fort of a medicine he could produce by jumbling together every drug in the shop. Be their origin however what it might, they are certainly the oldest antidotes upon record, and, from the proportion of opium they contain, might possibly alleviate the fpasmodic symptoms incidental to the bite of a viper, or of a mad dog.

D 2 56. Dioscerides

56. Dioscorides wrote a treatise on the Theriaca, in the fecond chapter of which he recommends, as a medicine that might be depended on for the bite of a mad dog, two spoonfuls of the ashes of the river crab, with half the quantity of gentian, to be taken in a large glass of wine. Galen prescribes the same medicine, with the addition of a fmall quantity of frankincense: the crabs he burnt alive in a copper dish, after the rising of the star Sirius, when the fun was in the constellation Leo, and on the eighteenth day of the moon. This invaluable secret he learnt from old Æserion the emperic, who, being Galen's master, must have lived cotemporary with Dioscorides, and might probably be the inventor of this infallible medicine, for so it was esteemed by these physicians.

De simpl. med.facul.

57. Dr. Mead is of opinion that this remedy is recommended by the ancients upon rational grounds, because it is a diuretic; "For (says he) "the surest reme"dies in all ages against this venom have
"been such as provoke a great discharge

been fuch as provoke a great discharge "Besteding on this circum-

" by urine." Reflecting on this circum-

stance, this Esculapius of his times, conceived the great idea of recommending to the world his infallible Pulvis Antylissis, which, at the doctor's request, was honoured with a place in the London Pharmacopoeia.

- that this powder of calcined river crabs, or crawfish (for such he supposes them to have been) would promote a great discharge of urine? He certainly thought that this calcination, like the incineration of vegetables, would produce an alkaline salt. If he had possessed the least degree of chemical knowledge, he would have known that the animal itself would burn to a mere earth, totally inert, and that its shell would be converted into quick lime, of which consequently, with a very small proportion of earth, this specific must consist.
- 59. Dr. James's chemical knowledge appears to have been not much inferior to that of Dr. Mead, whom he thinks totally wrong in translating the καρκίνους ποταμίους of Dioscorides, and Galen, River Crawfish. He is clearly of opinion, and D 2 takes

takes some pains to prove, that these

authors meant a species of river crab, and

not crawfish. Dr. James prudently obferves, that we ought to be very accurate in our quotations from ancient authors, " lest the medicine recommended be " mistaken for something that may not " poffess the same virtues." This is doubtless a wife observation; but any chemist's boy would have told him, that the virtues, whatever they may be, of a calcined crawfish, crab, or oister, are exactly the same; that all shells are calcarious earth, and confequently burn to quick lime, in no respect different from that produced by the calcination of chalk, lime-stone, or marble. But, that Dr. James, as well as Dr. Mead, supposed that this calcination of river crabs produced an alkaline falt is evident from the following paffage. Speaking of this powder, he fays -" I believe it may have some efficacy in " preventing the canine madness, though " none that can be depended upon. This " opinion is founded on its being an " highly alkaline fubstance; and all or " most of the pretended specifics for this " distemper are alkaline, and destroyers of " acids;

P. 215.

" acids; as the celebrated pancake made " of oister-shells, mentioned by Desfault, " Armenian Bole, Tin, and many other." These last words put the doctor's skill in chemistry quite out of doubt.

60. But, as we can hardly suppose that Dioscorides and Galen ventured to give their patients two spoonfuls of quick lime, it is probable that they did not calcine these crabs, or crawfish, sufficiently to convert the shells into lime, though long enough to diffipate the volatile parts of the animal. On this supposition this specific powder becomes a mere absorbent earth, a pulvis è chelis cancrorum, possessed of no diuretic virtue; yet Galen pro- De simpl. nounces it infallible.

L.3.c.34.

61. The next diuretic antidote of the ancients, " recommended (as Dr. Mead " thinks) upon rational grounds," is the Spongia Cynorrhodi, sponge of the dogrose, "Which (says the Doctor) is so " celebrated an antidote, that P. Boccone, " who has written a whole discourse upon " its virtues, tells us it is called in Sicily " Sanatodos, or All-heal." We also in this country have a common plant dignified

nified with the fame name, and equally infignificant in its medical capacity. These panaceas are generally good for nothing. But Dr. Mead ranks this gall of the briar among his animal diuretics, because Mr. Ray found it to be the nidus of a fly .-" Now (fays the Doctor) all infects " abound with a diuretic falt."-If the lie direct were admissible in polite converfation, I would fay, this is not true: But I marvel exceedingly that he did not obferve that this Sanatodos of the Sicilians is called in England a Dog-rose, because it produces a medicine for a mad-dog: also, that he forgot to inform his readers, that this celebrated antidote originated in the dream of an old woman, as he must have read in Pliny.

62. Dr. Mead, after specifying, from the ancients, other diuretics, which certainly are not diuretics, proceeds to the recommendation of his infallible Pulvis Antilyssus, which he declared never failed of success, though he had used it a thousand times. "I have often wished (adds the Doctor) that I knew so certain a remedy in any other disease."—Surely such

## THE BITE OF A MAD DOG.

fuch testimony, from so great a physician, must be more than sufficient to establish the infallibility of any medicine beyond all suspicion: and yet two greater men, Boerhaave and Van Swieten, are of opinion Aph. that it is good for nothing; and Dr. James affures us, that " it has been given, Pa. 227. " without fuccess, to a great number of " people and animals in many parts of " the kingdom."

63. This infallible Pulvis Antilyssus is prescribed by Dr. Mead in the following words-" Take of the herb called, in " Latin, Lichen cinereus terrestris, in " English, Ash-coloured ground-liver-" wort, cleaned, dried, and powdered, " half an ounce; of black pepper pow-" dered, two drachms. Mix these toge-" ther, and divide the powder into four " doses, one of which must be taken " every morning fasting for four morn-" ings fucceffively in a pint of cows " milk."—I have now before me a London Dispensatory with manuscript notes by my worthy friend Dr. Longfield. a physician deservedly distinguished for his found judgement, and extensive medical

dical knowledge. On the blank leaf opposite to the Pulvis Antilyssus, he wrote—
"This is Dr. Mead's famous powder: it
is most certain that it never cured one
person bit by a mad dog."

64. A moderate share of experience in the medical powers of plants is fufficient to determine à priori the virtue of this Lichen, which, together with the rest of its tribe, discovers no other sensible quality than a flight degree of astringency, and therefore can have no other effect than what may be expected from any other medicine, astringent in the same degree; unless we admit the doctrine of specifics, to which Dr. Mead would doubtless have objected, being himself a sectator of the mechanical Belini. - Insipidæ et inodoræ vim medicam vix exercent, is an aphorism of Linnæus. "This rule (fays Dr. Cullen) " feems to be without exception; and it " is on this account, and not on any " proper experience, that many plants " are expunged from the Materia Medica, " as having no tafte or odour which should " point out in them any active quali-"ties."-After fuch evidence, we may,

I think,

Ph. botan. Frag. meth. nat. Mat. Mid p. 161. I think, justly proceed to the condemnation of the infallible Pulvis Antilyssus.

65. But Dr. Mead, in order to convince the world that he had not adopted this medicine without proper scientific investigation, informs his readers, that he examined the Lichen cinereus terrestris by distillation, and that the result of his analyfis was, fome acid water, fome oil, and fome coal that contained fixt falt. A bunch of docks, of nettles, of thiftles, or of any other common weed, would have yielded the same. This fort of analysis can be of no use in discovering the medical virtues of plants. The receipt to make this celebrated Pulvis Antilyssus was first brought to England by Captain Dampier, who called the plant Jew's Ear (Tremella auricula of Linnæus) a kind of ash-coloured fungus, which is frequently found on the trunks of old trees. But it feems Sir Hans Sloane was of opinion that the Captain was mistaken; that he certainly meant the ash-coloured Liverwort, which, on the authority of so great a naturalist, was accordingly adopted. Is it not probable from this history, that, in rejecting the original

original Jew's Ear, Dr. Mead seized the ear of the wrong sow?

66. The next infallible medicine I shall examine is that which, about thirty years ago, was brought from Tonquin by Sir George Cobb, when he returned from the East Indies. It confists of native and factitious Cinnabar each twenty-four grains, with fixteen grains of Musk, powdered and mixt well together. This dose is to be taken in a glass of Arrack once only, and repeated after an interval of thirty days. The abfurdity of making any distinction between native and factitious Cinnabar, which are precisely the same thing, is too obvious to need a commentary: it proves, however, the ignorance of the Chinese old woman who probably invented the medicine, and of all those who in prescribing this powder have continued to observe the same ridiculous diftinction. But, in the composition of this specific, there is another absurdity of more importance. All the cinnabars, whether native, factitious, or of cinnabar of antimony, are mere powder of post; absolutely inert.

67. " Factitious

67. " Factitious cinnabar (says Dr. Pa. 155.

" James) is made of three parts of mercury to one of fulphur; and, as I re-

" member, a pound of good native cin-

" nabar yields near fourteen ounces of

" fluid mercury; and therefore it feems

" that the good effects of this medicine

" ought to be principally, if not entirely,

"ascribed to mercury."—Dr. James, having resolved to sport a preparation of mercury as the only specific for canine madness, was quite satisfied with this sort of reasoning: but we must come nearer to the point.

68. Native cinnabar is the ore of mercury; that is, mercury mineralized by fulphur, in the proportion of about fix, feven, or eight parts of the former to one of the latter.—Sulphur possesses the singular property, in combination with mercury or antimony, of rendering both these violent metallic substances inactive. It is particularly well known of antimony that it is more or less mild in proportion as the regulus is combined with more or less sulphur. This chemical fact Dr. James must have known, if he had understood

the process for making his own feverpowder. But mercury, before it can exert its stimulant power, must not only be completely extricated from the fulphur with which it formed cinnabar, but must afterwards be divided by trituration, or diffolved in a mineral acid. Now, the only means of decomposing cinnabar is by fire, in, what is called by chemists, the dry way. In the human body, therefore, it is impossible; but if we could suppose the mercury actually separated from the fulphur, not being dissolved by a mineral acid, or otherwise divided, it would still remain in a state of total inactivity: à priori, therefore, cinnabar may be pronounced inert.

69. But, lest the advocates for this Tonquin medicine should deem this fort of philosophical argument inconclusive, let us take the opinions of a few eminent chemists on the subject.—Dr. Cullen, in his Lectures on Materia Medica, speaking of mercurial preparations, says—" Crude "mercury, and the combination in cin"nabar and Æthiops mineralis, to which may be added Æthiops antimonialis, in "so

a. 451.

" fo far as it contains mercury, can only

" by accident, or indirectly, prove medi-

" cines."-" Triturated with fulphur, Pa. 444.

" mercury becomes an inert substance.

"This I could determine à priori, whe-

"ther it was in the form of Æthiops

" mineral, or native or factitious cinna-

" bar."—Dr. Lewis in his Dispensatory Pa. 331. tells us, that "cinnabar, like Æthiops, is inactive."-Cartheuser, having given a

large quantity to a dog, fays, that it produced no sensible effect, but that part of

it passed through the intestines unaltered, and that the remainder was found in the

ftomach and bowels .- Dr. Longfield,

whom I have before mentioned, wrote the

following note on the article cinnabaris factitia in the Pharm. Col. Reg. Med.

Londinensis-" It is a shame that the cin-

" nabars are not totally exploded: they

" have not the least title to the character

" of nervous medicines; they are both

" inert."-But it were unfair to conceal from the reader, that a famous German

chemist of the last century was of a different opinion. He confidered cinnabar

as an efficacious medicine, and ascribed its

virtue to its beautiful colour, with which

Archeus

Archeus is so charmed as to neglect the disease which he was employed in exiting; for it seems this Archeus, this creature of Van Helmont's distempered brain, is wonderfully delighted with the colour red.

70. In answer to all this reasoning à priori, I shall be told, that experience is the only test, and that the instances upon record, of the hydrophobia being cured or prevented by the Tonquin powder are innumerable. In reply to this answer-First, there are examples upon record of persons having died of the hydrophobia after taking it, particularly in two cases related in the London Medical Observations: Secondly, many other medicines, equally infallible and equally extolled, have defervedly funk into contempt: Thirdly, no attestation of facts will convince a rational being that an effect was produced without a sufficient cause: now, a substance which is demonstrably inert can produce no effect in an animal body, and therefore can cure no disease.

Page 195, and appendix, p. 3.

71. Cinnabar being thus, I think, fairly dispatched, if there be any anti-dotal

dotal power in the Tonquin medicine, it must be attributed to to the antispasmodic virtue of the musk: Dr. James ascribes it to its alkaline quality-" Musk (he tells " us) is an animal fubstance, and confe-" quently of an alkaline nature; and as " alkalies have in all ages been recommended in this case, it seems at least to " be not prejudicial."—This is damning musk with faint praise. But what did the Doctor mean by ascribing to it an alkaline quality because it is an animal fubstance? Had he forgot that animal matter wants the affiftance of fire to produce alkali? If every species of animal matter be an alkaline medicine, the parings of his own nails would have done as well. Musk, however, is doubtless a powerful antispasmodic, and for that reason may be of service in the hydrophobia.

72. This Tonquin powder is ordered to be taken in a glass of Arrack, doubtless with no other intention than to cover the taste of the musk. This vehicle, however, suggests an idea, which, though I may think it extravagant, I will communicate. Suppose, when the symptoms of

the fatal disease in question first appear, previous to the difficulty of swallowing liquids, the patient were to drink to intoxication of any strong liquor he may chuse. Who, without trying it, will pretend to circumscribe the antispasmodic effect of this remedy? It is certainly not contra-indicated by any febrile or manical symptoms. In a forlorn hope no attempt can be too extravagant. It will at least answer one good purpose: I mean that of relieving the patient from several hours of the most horrid anxiety that can possibly be conceived.

73. I now beg leave to call the reader's attention to the mercurial preparation fo strenuously recommended by Dr. James in his Treatise on Canine Madness. It is called Turpith mineral; it is a precipitate of mercury from its solution in the Vitriolic acid, by which it was not only dissolved but calcined. Whether it be a pure calx of mercury, without any adhesion of vitriolic salt, is a matter of dispute among chemists of the first reputation. Monsieur Baumè declares positively, que, ce precipité est absolument privé de toute acide, lorsqu'il a été

Vol. ii. p. 403.

a été lavé à plusieurs reprises dans de l'eau bouillante-Nevertheless, from the effect of the medicine, I presume he is mistaken. It is a very rough mercurial, fit only for dogs, to which animals Dr. James feems to have given it with success. As to its effect on the human species, he produces no more than one fingle case of a patient bit by a mad dog, and cured under his own immediate care by Turpith mineral. He relates, indeed, three or four other cases in support of his specific, on the authority of persons of his acquaintance; but hear-fay evidence in this case, as in courts of justice, is inadmissable.-Dr. Raymond, physician at Marseilles gave Med. Obs. feven boluses, containing each four grains of Turpith mineral, to a patient bit by a mad dog: the patient died.

append. p.

74. The Ormskirk medicine, which in many parts of this kingdom, particularly in the North, is deemed infallible, appears, from the report of Dr. Black and Med.Com. Dr. Heysham, to consist of, Powder of Vol. v. p. chalk, half an ounce; Armenian bole, three drachms; Allum, ten grains; Powder of elecampane root, one drachm; Oil of anise,

E 2

fix

fix drops.—Now, though the chemical enquiries of these gentlemen may not be admitted as proof positive, yet when the result of experiments made by two able chemists, at different times, is precisely the same; when a medicine composed of the supposed ingredients has the same colour, taste, and smell, we have the strongest presumptive evidence that our conjectures are well founded; especially, when there is no discoverable difference between the effect of the real and supposed composition.

75. As to the medical virtues of the ingredients above-mentioned, they are sufficiently known.—Chalk is a mere absorbent earth, without any other power than that of destroying the acid it may meet with in the primæ viæ, and, during the effervescence, of producing a little calcarious gas, commonly called fixt air.—Armenian bole, such as is generally sold by the druggists, is nothing more than a lump of pipe-clay, coloured with a little red ochre or rust of iron. \*—Allam is an astringent,

<sup>\*</sup> This English or Dutch Armenian bole is, in no respect, inferior to the true Oriental, or to any other bole, for medical purposes.

astringent, and nothing more.—Elecampane-root hath been generally ranked among the Alexipharmics; it is said to assist expectoration, and, in large doses, to act as a diuretic and cathartic: it is, however, in no estimation, and in so small a dose, mixt with the other ingredients, can produce no effect.—As to the six drops of oil of aniseed, I presume their essicacy, in the present case, will hardly be insisted on.

76. Possibly I may be told, that, though these several ingredients, separately taken, may posses no extraordinary medical powers, yet, like other compounds they may, when united, form a medicine of considerable virtue.—I acknowledge that a powerful medicine may be formed by a judicious combination of simple ingredients, prescribed on chemical principles; but I am very certain, that an ignorant jumble of chalk, clay, allum, and elecampane, will form nothing but an heterogeneous mass of dirt, that may do harm, but cannot possibly do good in any disease whatsoever.

E 3 77. But,

77. But, fay the advocates for the Ormskirk powder, what fignifies reasoning against facts? Are there not a thousand examples of the hydrophobia prevented by taking this medicine? Would the fagacious inhabitants of the northern counties have fuch faith in a mere ignis fatuus? -Far be, it from me, to doubt the fagacity of the northern counties: they are by no means fingular in mistaking shaddows for realities. All quack-medicines, and more than half the medicines used In regular practice, are ignes fatui. What are all the vaunted panaceas, theriacas, antidotes, and specifics, but ignes fatui, deceptions, chimeras?

78. The feveral specifics above considered have all successively had their day of infallibility, and have all been equally supported by experience, the true test of medical virtue: that is, a number of people bitten, or supposed to be bitten, by dogs supposed to be mad, have taken the Ormskirk, or any other infallible powder, and have escaped the hydrophobia. But in the London Medical Observations, &c. we have, on the authority

Vol. v. p. 195. of Dr. Fothergill, an incontestable proof that the Ormskirk medicine is not infallible: Mrs. Bellamy, who was bit by a mad cat, took it, and "conformed to" the directions given by the vender in every respect," yet died of the hydrophobia about four months after, as, I make no doubt, would all the patients that ever took the Ormskirk medicine, if they had been really infected by the canine poison.

79. This censure I do not confine to the Ormskirk, nor yet to any of the medicines above-mentioned: it comprehends every prophylactic remedy taken internally. Can any thing be more abfurd than to imagine, that a difease received into the body by inoculation; a poison absorbed by the lymphatic veins, and mixed with the general mass of circulating fluids, can be deftroyed by a medicine taken into the stomach? Is there any analogy in the history of physic to authorize fuch expectations? Will any powder, pill, or bolus, stop the progress of the small-pox by inoculation, or prevent it from taking effect? Was there ever a phy-E 4 fician

fician weak enough to attempt to prevent any other infectious disorder, after the poisonous liquid or miasmata were actually absorbed?

80. Having thus, I hope not unfairly, confidered the pretentions to infallibility of the specifics introduced and recommended by particular men, we are come at last to that sovereign remedy extolled by every writer on canine madness, ancient and modern, and used in all countries, in every age, from the days of Celfus to the present moment-I mean bathing in cold water. To this part of our subject I beg the reader's particular attention, because I am very certain that many lives have been loft by a foolish dependence on this broken reed. I have faid that all writers on canine madness, ancient and modern, have recommended cold-bathing; but I must except Dr. Falck, the author of The Seaman's Medical Instructor, and Dr. Fothergill, who wrote a paper in the London Medical Observations, purposely to prove the inefficacy of bathing in the sea.

Vol. v.

81. The physician last mentioned was of opinion, that the practice of bathing

in

in falt-water, as a preservative, originated in a mistake. Celsus directs the patient, actually labouring under the hydrophobia, to be thrown unexpectedly into a fish-pond: if he cannot swim, he is to be suffered to slounce and drink, raising him a little now and then, so as to keep him from drowning; but, if he can swim, he is to be frequently ducked, that in spite of himself he may be satiated with water: "Thus (says Celsus) his thirst and dread "of water will be cured at the same "time."

Celf. lib. v. c. 27.

82. Celsus was mistaken in supposing that he could force the patient to drink by holding him under water, or that, after being half drowned, he would look on water with less horrour, or swallow liquids with greater ease. The latter part of this discipline is daily practised, by the old women at the watering places, on the wretched creatures that are sent by old women, male and semale, from the inland country. This practice of drowning the patient in order to cure him of an aversion to water, absurd as it is, was probably taken from Celsus, who, though a sensible man,

man, possibly without experience or much reflexion, prescribed it on the authority of some Greek writer; but why the sea was substituted for a fish-pond, and how it came to pass that what Celsus ordered as a cure for the hydrophobia actually present, should be applied as a prophylactic, a preservative remedy, is difficult to conceive.

83. Who was the inventor of this immersion in falt water I cannot determine. I am inclined to think that it originated in Holland or Flanders, in the days of panaceas, charms, witches, and hobgoblins. That it was a common practice in the Netherlands in the fixteenth century appears from the following passage from Van Helmont; which, as his books are not in every library, and, I believe, were never done into English, I shall endeavour to translate literally.—" There is a fortress " on the fea-coast, about four leagues " from Ghent, called Sluce. There I " faw a ship passing by, and in it an old, " man, naked, bound, and weights " fastened to his feet. Under his arms " they had tied a rope, the other end of " which

" which was fixed to the yard-arm of the " veffel. I asked the meaning of this " fpectacle, and was answered by one of " the failors, that the old man had got " the bydrophobia, having, fome time ago, " been bit by a mad dog. Why, I " asked, is he brought to the sea? Whe-" ther they meant to drown him? 'No, no, " (faid the failor) he will foon be cured; " fuch is the bleffing of God upon the " fea, that it will instantly cure this kind " of madness.' At my request they took " me on board. We had scarce failed a " mile, when the failors, by pulling out " a plug in the bottom of the ship, let in " the water, till she funk almost to the " edge of the gunwale. This fea-water " they collected for the purpose of making " falt. The plug being now re-adapted, " two men hauled down the opposite " end of the yard, and thus hoisted the " old man into the air. They then let " him drop into the fea, and he remained " under water ad spatium Miserere. They " repeated this operation twice more, " keeping him each time under water ad " Spatium Salutationis Angelica."

Pfalm LI.

84. Some profane readers may possibly be inclined to comment ludicroufly on this spatium Salutationis Angelica; but, choosing rather to treat the matter ferioufly, I requested a friend to read over the Miserere, and found by my stop-watch, that he performed it in one minute and thirty-five feconds; and the Salutatio Angelica in ten feconds. Van Helmont is not fufficiently explicit in this important part of his narrative. Whether this was only a method of computing time, or whether these psalms were actually repeated during the immersion, does not appear. I am inclined to think they were: if fo, this capital omission in our old women on the fea coast sufficiently accounts for their fallibility. But to proceed with Van Helmont's story.

85. "They then laid him on his back across a barrel, and covered him with a watch-coat. I concluded he was dead; but the sailors ridiculed my apprehensions. Being now released from his bonds, he discharged all the water which he had inspired, and presently revived. He was a cooper from Ghent,

" and being cured of his hydrophobia,

" continued perfectly well."

86. If the poor cooper remained long in that position, supino dorso super vas teres, I marvel that he ever recovered. That the Dutch failors should believe that his lungs were full of water is no great wonder: Van Helmont should have known better. There are, indeed, writers of fome reputation, who tell us that they have found water in the lungs and stomach of drowned subjects; but, waving the improbability of the fact, later experiments prove incontestably that drowning animals rarely imbibe any water; certainly no quantity to do them any injury. Morgagni drowned guinea-pigs, hedge-hogs, Ep. xix. dormice, and other animals, and found art. 41. on diffection little or no water in the lungs or stomach of any of them. The opinion that drowned persons are full of water, erroneous as it certainly is, prevails fo univerfally among the ignorant, that I am perfuaded many have been prevented from recovering by holding them up by the heels, or laying them on the fide of a hill, with the head downwards.

87. These Dutch skippers told Van Helmont, that a falt herring applied immediately to the wound was an infallible cure for the bite of a mad dog, and that half drowning in falt water was only neceffary when this remedy had been neglected; so that we have neither the authority of Celsus, nor the practice of the Netherlands, to plead in favour of feabathing as a preservative. The case of this cooper, if we believe that he was really fo mad as to require being fettered, affords a fingular example of the hydrophobia actually cuted by immersion in falt water: it is indeed to fingular, that I can produce but one more on any tolerable authority. The inftances in which it has failed, as a prophylactic remedy and cure for the hydrophobia, are innumerable.

88. Morgagni, Epiftle viii. Art. 23, tells us, that an hydrophobiac patient was thrown into a cold bath, and held some time under water: he died the night after.

—In Art. 25, we read of another who died soon after he was taken out of the bath.

—Art. 26. After mentioning Van Helmont's cooper, and the case of a girl recorded

recorded in the history of the Academy of Ann. Sciences at Paris, Morgagni writes thus:

"Immersion in cold water must have

" fucceeded very differently with others;

" fince, befide Baccius, and him whom

" Parry pointed out, not only Salius has

" written that he, after feveral times

" experience, had found no advantage

" from this remedy, but also Cælius Aure-

" lianus expressly discommended it, as

" injurious. But Palmarius has admo-

" nished us, that it was certain, from the

" experience of many, that this method

" of treatment had been very unfuccefs-

" ful."

89. Boerhaave's directions for bathing Apt. the patient in the sea, or in a river, are very fingular .- " Immediately after in-" fection, with great preparation, ex-" citing fear, and after frequent threat-" nings, at last throw him into the water." -He then repeats the orders given by Celsus for half-drowning the patient: " for (he adds) the cure is effected by the " perturbation of the mind (spiritum tur-" bando) agitation of the animal spirits, " and not by the falt water, as we learn " from

"from the deplorable exit of the man "who, after being bitten by a mad dog, "was ship-wrecked and swam a long time in the sea, frequently covered by the waves, yet died of the hydropho- bia."—This being the case, threatning to bang the patient would answer the same purpose. Here, I think, sea-bathing seems to be fairly given up.

90. Dr. Mead, speaking of sea-bathing as a preservative, says, "I have known " many to have died raving mad who had " undergone this treatment."-" I will " not (fays Dr. James) pretend to de-Page 235. " termine how much more effectual bath-" ing in the fea may be than in cold " fresh water. It is certain that many " that have been almost drowned in the " fea have foon after died of the bydro-" phobia; and I knew a gentleman who " took thirty couple of fox-hounds to the " fea, and had them dipped with all " manner of caution; notwithstanding " which, he lost feveral hounds every "day he took out the pack."-In the Edinburgh Medical Essays, we read of a boy, bit by a mad dog, who was ten times dipt

dipt in the sea, yet died of the hydrophobia fome months after .- Dr. Fothergill, in his Additional Remarks on the treatment of per-Sons bit by mad animals, addressed to the editors of the Medical Observations, &c. fays: " I have heard of diverse instances, P. 290. " and I have no doubt but you are as well " fatisfied as myself, and perhaps from " your own observation, that this process " (fea-bathing) is by no means a prefer-" vative from the fatal consequences of " the bite of mad animals." - Default, a phyfician at Bourdeaux, in his treatife fur la Rage gives his opinion on this subject in the following words: "When I am " applied to by a person bit by a mad " animal, I order him to bathe in the fea, " though I have no dependance on this " remedy, fince the many proofs we have " had of its inefficacy in the course of " the present year." - Choisel, a Jesuit refiding at Fondicherry, in a pamphlet published at Paris in 1756, in which he relates many examples of canine madness cured by mercurial friction, fays: " Bathing " in the fea has hitherto been confidered " as an infallible preservative from this " disorder. My own experience proves " the

- the futility of that opinion. Not one of those who depended solely on this
- " remedy furvived the bite more than
- " thirty-three days."
- 91. I presume the reader is, by this time, fatisfied as to the efficacy of bathing. The reason why it continues, in the present age, to be used as a prophylactic remedy for the bite of a mad dog, is the same which may be affigned for a thousand other foolish customs—our fathers did so before us; and their reason was, that their fathers did so before them: but from what theory the inventor of this remedy deduced his prescription is not easily imagined. All medicines must have originated either in reasoning à priori, or from some fortuitous event. The first, I think, is, in this case, out of the question: I conclude, therefore, that some person bit by a dog, supposed to be mad, accidentally fell into a horse-pond. continued well; ergo, the hydrophobia was prevented by a ducking. The reputation of some other medicines in constant use for other diseases is not a whit better supported.

92. Aurelianus, in his chapter entitled Cap. 16. Quomodo curandi sunt hydrophobi, exhibits the various prescriptions of all the authors he had read upon this subject. Most of them deserve no notice. I shall select a few however which, doubtless, the reader will think too important to be neglected: -" Let the patient's chamber be to-" lerably warm and lightfome.-Let the " part that was bitten be covered with a " piece of clean warm flannel.-If it be " necessary to bleed him, let the blood " be received in the hand of the affistant, " left the noise of its falling into the " bason should affect the patient.-Talk " to him about washing and drinking, " and, if he hears this patiently, you may " then give him fomething to drink; if " not, you may let him fuck through the " spout of a tea-pot, covering his eyes " or darkening the room.-Let his nurses " be discrete and not \* loquacious .--" Let his head be shaved .- Let him be exercised in a hammock or a sedan.-" If he refuses to take any liquid in at " his mouth, force it into the other end " of him; but, take care that you do not " administer

<sup>\*</sup> Aurelianus did not mean female nurses.

"administer too great a quantity, lest it fquirt in your face. This perilous operation being happily accomplished, you are then to squeese his, or her, belly, so as to force the contents up- wards, and thereby quench the patient's thirst. Tullius Bassus (says our author) besides clysters gave his patients a pinch or two of snuff; whose friend Dr. Black gave white hellebore, of which some physicians order a cataplasm to the patient's backside."

Demen's idea, p. 287.

93. " Paracelfus (fays Van Helmont) " affirmed, that the hydrophobia might " be cured by acrid purgatives. His pro-" mises, however, are not justified by the event. Our good Catholics, therefore, " despairing of relief from the faculty, " repair to St. Hubert, at whose shrine, " by virtue of certain ceremonies, they " are cured; but, it is worthy of remark, " that if these ceremonies are not strictly " observed, the latent rabies immediately " breaks out, and they become irreco-" verably hydrophobic. There is a vest-" ment of St. Hubert's, which is pre-" ferved in a cheft, fecured by fix locks, " the

" the keys of which are kept by fix dif-" ferent Vergers. For these fourscore " years past they have been continually " cutting off pieces from this holy veft-" ment; nevertheless it remains, to this " day, perfectly entire. Now, it is im-" possible there should be any imposture " in the case; for they have never been " able to discover whether this miraculous " robe be of linen, woollen, or of filk, " confequently it cannot be annually re-" newed. They cut off a piece of the " robe, and incarnate a thread between the skin of the patient's forehead. "Hence another miracle; for a person thus cured becomes possessed of a power " to postpone the hydrophobia during " forty days in any of his acquaintance, " who, after being bitten, may not have " leifure immediately to visit St. Hubert: " on this condition, however, that if they " exceed the forty days ever fo little, " without applying for a prorogation of " the term, they go mad irrecoverably."

94. Those who are conversant with books on this subject, will ask, why I have taken no notice of the celebrated F 3 powder

powder invented by Palmerius?-I have two reasons: first, because it deserves no notice; fecondly, because it is never prefcribed in this kingdom, and therefore can do no mischief. I have omitted several other infallible medicines for the same reason. An idle display of medical erudition on so trite a subject were ridiculous. I fat down folely with a defign to convince the less informed part of the community, that their opinions concerning the prevention of canine madness, or hydrophobia, are fatally erroneous, inafmuch as that dependence precludes the application of more rational means. I determined to employ a few leifure hours on this subject, because Boerhaave, Mead, and other physicians of high reputation, have authorifed fuch irrational dependence.

95. But this is not the fole mischief of which the erroneous opinions of eminent men are productive. Dr. Mead, sully persuaded of the all-sufficiency of his Pulvis Antilyssus, endeavours most irrationally, to divert his readers from an attention to the wound. These are his words—"The ancient physicians, who are followed

followed in this by the moderns, advise, " where the place will admit of it, to " enlarge the wound by incifion; to ap-" ply a cupping-glass; to burn it with a " hot iron, and to keep a discharge from " the ulcer for many days. I cannot but " fay, that I think all this severity use-" less."-" It happens in most cases that P. 89. " the wound, being small, is healed up " before the patient feeks for help. For " this reason, and because it is of no great " consequence whether it be cured or " not, in the paper which I printed and " dispersed some years since, entitled, A " certain cure for the bite of a mad dog, " I took no notice of any outward appli-" cation."-I will, without hesitation, venture to pronounce this the most pernicious doctrine that ever was taught by any physician ancient or modern. It affords one of the most flagrant examples in the annals of medicine, of a fensible man and a scholar facrificing his reason, his common sense, to a preconceived hypothesis. He imagined that the poison contained in the faliva of the inraged animal contaminated a nervous fluid-which has no existence; and that this poison might F 4

might be carried off by a diuretic medicine—which is certainly neither diuretic nor any thing else. He advised cold bathing, because, on mechanical principles, he supposed that the external pressure of the water, by constringing the vascular system, would determine the segregated poison to the kidneys, and so assist the operation of his Pulvis Antilyssus.

96. Before I prescribe that which I conceive to be the only rational means of preventing the fatal effect of the bite of a mad dog, it is necessary that I should answer a very natural question .- If (fays the reader) the feveral specifics above condemned are really good for nothing, how comes it that so many persons bit by mad dogs are daily cured by the Ormskirk medicine, bathing in the fea, &c.?-If this were not what is called begging the question, I should be distressed for an answer; but the truth is, that all those who believe themselves cured by these futile preservative remedies were never infected; and consequently no harm would have happened, whether they had used them or not. Fortunately for mankind,

not one in fifty of the dogs supposed to be mad are really mad; and of those few that are so, their teeth are often wiped clean by the clothing of the person bit, and consequently no inoculation takes place. It happens also, as in all other infectious diseases, that the body is frequently not disposed to receive the infection. These, and these only, are the causes of all the transitory reputation which the various infallible medicines have from time to time acquired.

97. Nevertheless, as the case is always doubtful-as possibly the dog may be actually mad, and the poifon really imbibed, nothing can be more imprudent than to depend on the chance of its being otherwise: we are, therefore, to act as if we were certain that the dog was a mad dog. The person bit must immediately apply his mouth to the wound, and continue to fuck it during ten minutes or a quarter of an hour, frequently spitting out, and washing his mouth after each time with water, warm or cold, no matter which: If the wound be in a part of his body which he cannot reach with his mouth.

mouth, possibly he may prevail on some rational friend to do him this kind office; especially when I assure him, positively affure him, that it may be done without the least danger. My own fon, then about eight years old, in returning from school, was bit by a dog in the thigh. My eldest daughter, being informed of the accident, without the least hesitation immediately fucked the wound. She had heard me fay it might be done with fafety. The dog was certainly not mad; but I relate the story in justice to her affectionate intrepidity, which, in a young girl, was fomewhat extraordinary.

98. Neither ancient nor modern writers, if I remember right, have advised fucking the wound received by the bite of Lib. III. a mad dog: yet Galen, in his book de Temperaments, fays, that the saliva of this animal is not equally dangerous when admitted into the stomach; therefore, it is probable that, in some part of his voluminous writings, he may have mentioned this experiment. But, in the bite of a venomous serpent, Celsus, and after him Dr. Mead, lays great stress on this prefervative

Lib, V. E. 27 -

P. 37.

fervative application. It is very extraordinary, that in one case the Doctor should deem it of so much, and in the other, of fo little importance. The first of these authors assures us, that the Psylli, a people who pretended to an hereditary and exclusive power of curing the bite of ferpents by fuction, owed their fuccess folely to their refolution; for, fays he, Venenum non gustu, sed in vulnere nocet; adding, "whoever, therefore, fucks the " wound after the example of these Psylli, will save his friend, and do himself no " injury."

99. Dr. Mead was informed by a fur- Mead, geon who lived in Virginia, that the Indians there cure the bite of the rattlefnake by first sucking the wound \*, and then swallowing a large quantity of a decoction of the rattle-fnake root, fo as to vomit plentifully. Now, that the decoction contributes nothing towards the cure, I presume will be readily admitted; fucking the wound, therefore, is the fole remedy, which was certainly dictated by

\* This is also a common practice in the Highlands of Scotland. See Pennant's Tour. append. p. 275.

Mead, p. 38.

the natural fagacity of this people. That this cure is effectual is confirmed by the case of a man in London, who, being bit by a rattle-snake brought from Virginia, fucked the wound, and recovered. "As " the poison (says Dr. Mead) of this snake " is more quick and deadly than any other " that we know, a remedy for this will " most certainly prove effectual against " that of fmaller vipers, and all other " creatures of this kind"-and why not of every other kind? Was not the analogy fufficiently obvious? If the poison of a ferpent may be fucked from a wound, is there any reason to imagine that the virus in the faliva of a dog may not? But then the infallible Pulvis Antilyssus would have been of no use. Seriously, I believe, that if this fimple operation were immediately and refolutely performed, no other remedy would be required. The best medicines are often the most simple, and those which are nearest at hand. We are too apt superciliously to overlook the simple dictates of nature and common sense, to the discredit of our profession, and the loss of our patients. Art, chemistry, compounds, and systems, are the hobby-horses of

of young physicians; and it is not till they have grown old in the profession, that they return to Nature and Hippocrates.

100. But, though I have great dependence on this simple preservative remedy, we cannot be provided with too many weapons, offensive and defensive, against so formidable an enemy. Those who want resolution to attack the foe personally, will be glad of a substitute. That substitute is a cupping glass, or any other veffel that will answer the same purpose. If no surgeon be present take a pretty large piece of paper; twist it gently, fo that it may easily be thrust into a narrow-mouthed jug; light the paper well, and, having put it into the veffel, fix it tight over the wound, and let it remain in that position till it may be easily taken off. Repeat this operation three or four times.

this subject have generally advised searing the wound with a hot iron; partly with a design to destroy the poison, but particularly with an intention to produce an ulcer.

ulcer. This, I think not only an unnecessary, but a pernicious act of cruelty. Let us suppose that a particle of the poison, sufficient to communicate the disease, is absorbed by a lymphatic vein, what will be the effect of the application of a red hot iron to the extremity of that vein, after such absorption? Will it not immediately shrink and shrivel? and will not the reduction of the poisonous fomes, by any external application, be thus effectually prevented?

102. The wound being now wiped dry with lint or tow, let two drachms of mercurial ointment be rubbed into it, and let the part be then covered by a bliftering plaster somewhat longer than the wound. As foon as a bladder is perceived to have risen under the plaister, raise the edge of it, and let out the lymph; and, in order to keep it running, let it be daily dreffed, during fourteen days or longer, with an ointment composed of equal parts of Emplastrum vesicatorium, and Unguentum cæruleum fortius, P. L. melted together in a very gentle heat. Let a drachm of mercurial ointment be rubbed into the forepart

part of the legs of the patient every other night, and on the nights intervening let him take a bolus, composed of three or four grains of Calomel, six grains of Camphore, and a drachm of Conserve of Roses. If any signs of salivation should appear, it must be checked by a day or two's suspension, and a dose of Glauber's Salt.

foundation I have differed from so many eminent writers in not advising immediate scarification?—I answer, because they advised scarification on a groundless supposition. They imagined that the canine virus was communicated to the blood; therefore they wisely ordered the blood thus contaminated to be drawn away; but I conceive the poisonous fomes to be absorbed by the lymphatic vessels, and, therefore, I prefer blistering the part.

of a dog really mad, has received the fatal poison, whose constitution is at that time disposed for such infection, and who has ignorantly depended on sea-bathing, or

on any specific taken internally, will, most certainly, in the space of a few weeks, perceive symptoms of the approaching catastrophy, called hydrophobia. In this stage of the disease I fear there is very little probability of recovery. I have, in paragraph 72, perhaps rather wantonly, advised intoxication; I am still of opinion that it is an experiment worth trying. It can certainly do no harm. I remember somewhere to have read of opium, in large doses, being successfully administered; but I do not find this practice confirmed by experience. Powerful anti-spasmodics are certainly indicated.

essay, I mentioned the case of a young gentleman, whom I attended in the last stage of this horrible disorder. He had been bitten by one of his father's hounds, six or seven weeks before. A day or two before I saw him he complained of a pain in the arm which had been bitten, gradually extending towards his shoulder. He had taken many doses of the expressed juice of Ribwort, which in that country was universally deemed a specific, and had bathed

bathed every day in the river. I faw him about ten in the morning. He complained of nothing but a pain in his arm, and fome little difficulty in fwallowing. I ordered a warm bath to be prepared, in which he fat half an hour with great composure. I rubbed a considerable quantity of mercurial ointment into each arm, and gave him a grain of crude opium every hour, till nine or ten o'clock at night, without the least effect. About eleven he became extremely restless, and died at twelve, retaining his senses to the last moment, without any symptoms of madness, or propensity to bite his attendants.

it may appear to some readers, was not written stans pede in uno; it was nearly sinished before the publication of Dr. Cullen's third volume of First Lines of the Practice of Physic. I saw, in that volume, with infinite satisfaction, my own opinion, concerning the cure of the disease in question, confirmed by that of my venerable preceptor, who concludes his chapter on canine madness with these words.—
"Whilst the state of our experience, with G" respect

" respect to several remedies now in use,

" is uncertain, I cannot venture to affert

" that any of these is absolutely inef-

" fectual; but I can give it as my opinion,

" that the efficacy of mercury given very

" largely, and perfifted in for a long,

" time, both as a means of preventing

" the disease, and of curing it when it

" has actually come on, is better vouched

" by experience than that of any other

" remedy now proposed, or commonly

" employed."

by persons who live in the country, at some distance from an apothecary, and consequently, in case of an accident, it may be many hours before any mercurial ointment can be procured. Such readers will necessarily ask, what then is to be done?—Whilst the person bit is sucking the wound, let a spoonful of lard, or tallow, or fat of any kind, be melted, and immediately, with the hand, rubbed into the part, continuing the operation until the fat be entirely absorbed. Let him then take his horse and ride leisurely to the nearest apothecary, who will proceed as above directed.

108. On the testimony of Desfault, and the Jesuit Choisel, particularly the latter, mercury appears to be a certain antidote for the poison of a mad dog. The first used mercury only in the ointment: Choisel, besides using the ointment, gave also a mercurial bolus. They both succeeded. From Dessault's practice we learn, that mercury externally applied is fufficient; but evidence is wanting to prove that mercury taken internally, without the external application of the ointment, will prevent the bydrophobia. May we not, therefore, hazard a conjecture, that the lard or fat of which the mercurial ointment is made is the real preservative? Is not this conjecture powerfully supported by the analogy between the canine poison and that of a viper, which is effectually destroyed by viper's fat, or oil of any kind, applied to the part? I mention this merely as a conjecture, future experiments may possibly discover it to be a fact: meanwhile, when mercurial ointment can be had, doubtless it ought to be preferred.

THE END.

a case On the tellimony of Dellink, and the Jeluis Chojel, particularly the latter, mercuryiappears to be a certain antidore for the poston of al med dogst, Thousand ofed mercury a only 'in the 'ointment: Choifel, befides using the ointment, gave alib atmercurial bolus. They both faceccled . From Deffaule's practice we icam, that mercury externally applied is fullettet) but ovidence is wanting to prove that moreury taken internally, without the external application of the cintinent, will prevent the bydrosbidge. May we not, therefore, hazard a conjecture, that the lard or fat of which the moreurist ointment is made is the real prefervative? Is not this conference powerfully Tupported by the analogy between the canine poilon and that of a viper, veltich is effectually defluoyed by viper's fat, or oil of any kind, applied to the part! I mention this merely as a conjecture; Suture experiments may possibly discover it to be a fict meanwhile, when mercurisi o'ntment can be had, doubtleft it ought to be preferred.

a wa a a map







