Return showing, as regards each port or other sanitary district in England and Wales (exclusive of any district wholly or partly in London), in respect of which, during the year ended the 25th day of March 1905, cases of small-pox have been reported to the Local Government Board, the number of such cases; and also, where the number reported has exceeded 100, the estimated amount of the expenditure incurred by the sanitary authority in consequence of the occurrence of such cases.

Contributors

Great Britain. Local Government Board. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Publication/Creation

London : Printed for His Majesty's Stationery Office, by Eyre and Spottiswoode, [1905]

Persistent URL

https://wellcomecollection.org/works/dxmpzqhj

Provider

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Library & Archives Service. The original may be consulted at London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Library & Archives Service. where the originals may be consulted. Conditions of use: it is possible this item is protected by copyright and/or related rights. You are free to use this item in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s).



Wellcome Collection 183 Euston Road London NW1 2BE UK T +44 (0)20 7611 8722 E library@wellcomecollection.org https://wellcomecollection.org

RETURN to an Order of the Honourable The House of Commons, dated 8 May 1905 ;- for,

RETURN "showing, as regards each PORT or other SANITARY DISTRICT in ENGLAND and WALES (exclusive of any district wholly or partly in LONDON), in respect of which, during the Year ended the 25th day of March 1905, cases of SMALL-POX have been reported to the LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD, the number of such cases; and also, where the number reported has exceeded 100, the estimated amount of the expenditure incurred by the SANITARY AUTHORITY in consequence of the occurrence of such cases."

(Sir John Tuke.)

1 0 JUN. 1929

Secretary.

S. B. PROVIS,

Ordered, by The House of Commons, to be Printed, 1 August 1905;

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE, BY EYRE AND SPOTTISWOODE, PRINTERS TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

And to be purchased, either directly or through any Bookseller, from WYMAN AND SONS, LTD., FETTER LANE, E.C., and 32, ABINGDON STREET, WESTMINSTER, S.W.; or OLIVER AND BOYD, EDINBURGH; or E. PONSONBY, 116, GRAFTON STREET, DUBLIN.

279.

Local Government Board,)

1 August 1905.

el

Under the regulations relating to the duties of Medical Officers of Health, those officers are required to give immediate information to the Local Government Board of any outbreak of dangerous epidemic disease; and, in addition, a special arrangement has been made at the request of the Board for a weekly return reporting all cases of small-pox. The regulations, however, do not expressly require that every single case of small-pox that may occur in a district shall be reported to the Board; and, as the following return is based upon the actual reports received, the number of cases mentioned opposite the name of any district does not necessarily represent the exact number of cases that occurred in the district during the year ended 25th March, 1905.

In connection with Part II. of the Return, it will be observed that the cases which occurred in the year in question formed part of a prevalence of small-pox commencing in some places before, and extending in some places beyond, the particular 12 months. The particulars of expenditure entered in column 3 on pages 6 and 7 of the Return have, in certain instances, been supplemented by some further information which was available, as to cost incurred before the beginning of the year in question. This information is given in the column headed "Remarks." RETURN showing, as regards each PORT or other SANITARY DISTRICT in ENGLAND and WALES (exclusive of any district wholly or partly in LONDON), in respect of which, during the Year ended the 25th day of March 1905, cases of SMALL-POX have been reported to the LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD, the number of such cases ; and also, where the number reported has exceeded 100, the estimated amount of the expenditure incurred by the SANITARY AUTHORITY in consequence of the occurrence of such cases.

I .- Districts in respect of which, during the year ended 25th March 1905, cases of Small-pox not exceeding 100 in number were reported to the Local Government Board, and the number of cases reported in each instance.

| erington | 1 70 3 4 3 1 95 2 | 1. Ilkeston - - Jarrow - - Keighley - - Kendal - - Kidderminster - - Kingston-upon-Hull - - Lancaster - - Lincoln - - Liverpool - - Loughborough - - Macclesfield - - | 29 5 1 83 3 20 |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| beravon | 13 1 70 3 4 3 1 95 2 | Jarrow | $32 \\ 29 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 83 \\ 3 \\ 20$ |
| beravon | 13 1 70 3 4 3 1 95 2 | Jarrow | $32 \\ 29 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 83 \\ 3 \\ 20$ |
| beravon | 13 1 70 3 4 3 1 95 2 | Keighley | 29 5 1 83 3 20 |
| erington | 13 1 70 3 4 3 1 95 2 | Kendal | $5 \\ 1 \\ 83 \\ 3 \\ 20$ |
| ndover | 1 70 3 4 3 1 95 2 | Kidderminster Kingston-upon-Hull Lancaster Lincoln Liverpool Loughborough Ludlow Macclesfield | 1 83 3 20 |
| icup inbury irrow-in-Furness atley rwick-upon-Tweed rkenhead | 70 3 4 3 1 95 2 | Kingston-upon-Hull | 83 3 20 |
| icup inbury irrow-in-Furness atley rwick-upon-Tweed rkenhead | 3 4 3 1 95 2 | Lancaster Lincoln Liverpool Loughborough Ludlow Macclesfield | 3 20 |
| icup inbury irrow-in-Furness atley rwick-upon-Tweed rkenhead | | Lancaster Lincoln Liverpool Loughborough Ludlow Macclesfield | 20 |
| nbury | 3 1 95 2 | Liverpool Loughborough Ludlow Macclesfield | |
| nbury | 1 95 2 | Ludlow | 18 |
| atley rwick-upon-Tweed rkenhead rmingham | 95 2 | Ludlow | |
| atley rwick-upon-Tweed rkenhead rmingham | 2 | Ludlow | |
| rwick-upon-Tweed | | Macclesfield | 2 |
| rkenhead | 17 | | 54 |
| rmingham | | Mansfield | |
| ackburn | | Middlesbrough - | 7 |
| | 4 | Middleton - | 11 |
| ackpool | | Middleton | 1 |
| | 13 | Morley | 21 |
| | 3 | Morley | 1 |
| | 32 | Mossley | 2 |
| | 34 | Nelson - | ĩ |
| ristol | 2 | Nowark . | 12 |
| arnley | 91 | Newcastle-under-Lyme | |
| arnley arslem | 12 | Newport (Mon.) | 2 |
| arton-upon-Trent - | | Northampton | 4 |
| arton-upon-trent | | Peterborough - | - |
| ary | 10 | Penteforougn | 4 |
| | 12 | | 4 3 |
| | 3 | Pudsey | |
| | | Dechdola (Yorks.) - | |
| | | Rochdale | 4 |
| ongleton | 2 | Rotherham | |
| | | St. Helens | 1 |
| | | Salford | 59 |
| arlington | _ | Scarborough - · | 2 |
| arwen | | Sheffield | 28 |
| evonport | | Southampton | 1 |
| oncaster | 14 | Southend-on-Sea | 1 |
| | 6 | | 4 |
| ukintield | 20 | Statford - | |
| | 5 | Stalybridge Stockton-on-Tees | 15 |
| ast Ham | 2 | Stockton-on-Tees | 3 |
| almouth | 1 | Stoke-upon-Trent | 1 |
| lossop | 10 | Sunderland | |
| ravesend | 10 | Swansea | |
| rimsby | 5 | Tamworth | 1 |
| anley | 7 | Thornaby-on-Tees | 2 |
| arrogate | 5 | Tynemouth | 65 |
| fartlepool | 1 | Wakefield | 52 |
| Iarwich | 2 | Wallsend | 47 |
| laslingden | 1 | Walsall | 1 |
| lertford | 2 | Warrington | 16 |
| leywood | 21 | West Ham | 14 |
| lornsey | 1 | West Hartlepool | 19 |
| luddersfield | 40 | Widnes | 2 |
| Lyde | 41 | Wigan | 2 |

| | - |
|---|---|
| | |
| 4 | |
| - | |
| | |

| Names of Districts. | Nos. of Cases reported. | Names of Districts. | Ncs. of Case reported. |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 1 | 2. | inter strange 1 | 2. |
| and allow place | a you to | Grays Thurrock | ordin . |
| BOROUGHS-continued. | will service | Greetland | i i |
| Visbech | 1 | Hampton | 1 |
| Volverhampton | 12 | Handsworth (Staffs.) | 1 |
| Vorcester | 4 | Harrow-on-the-Hill - | 1 |
| Vorkington | 1 | Haworth | 1 |
| Vorthing | 3 | Hazel Grove and Bramhali | 18 |
| ork | 5 | | |
| | AL TRAILS | Contraction of the second se | 2 |
| URBAN DISTRICTS NOT BEING | 10000 | Heaton Norris Hebburn | 5 |
| Borougus. | | | 36 |
| | | Hebden Bridge | 13 |
| cton | 1 | Heckmondwike | 92 |
| Alfreton | 4 | Hendon | 2 |
| annfield Plain | 2 | Hipperholme | 3 |
| Ardsley | 14 | Holbeach | 1 |
| Ardsley, East and West | 2 | Holmfirth | 1 |
| therton | 6 | Herbury | 50 |
| Lylesbury | 1 | Horncastle | 1 |
| Bakewell | 2 | Houghton-le-Spring | 1 |
| Balby-with-Hexthorpe | 3 | Hucknall Torkard | 38 |
| Barking Town | 1 | Ilford | 4 |
| Barnard Castle | 1 | Ilfracombe | 3 |
| Barry | 1 | Ince-in-Makerfield | 1 |
| Bedlingtonshire | 10 | King's Norton and Northfield - | 3 |
| Benfieldside | 2 | Kingswood | 5 |
| Benwell and Fenham | 14 | Kirkby-in-Ashfield | 2 |
| Bilston | 2 | Knaresborough | 1 |
| Bingley | 1 | Knaresborough Knutsford Leatherhead | 2 |
| Birstall | 16 | Leatherhead | ī |
| Blaydon | 12 | Leek | 46 |
| Blyth | 1 | Leighton Buzzard | 10 |
| Bolsover | i | Leyton | |
| Solton-upon-Dearne | i | Linthwaite | 1 |
| Bourne | i | Littleborough | 1 |
| Bracebridge | 6 | Little Hulton | 1 |
| Bredbury and Romiley | 4 | Liversedge | 52 |
| | 1 | | |
| | 1 | Living Cutton - | 4 |
| Bridgend | | Luddenden Foot | 5 |
| Buglawton | 3 | Mansfield Woodhouse | 5 |
| Caerphilly | 15 | Margam | 1 |
| Cannock | 26 | Maryport | 2 |
| Carlton | 17 | Matlock | 2 |
| Castleford | 6 | Meltham | 16 |
| Chadderton | 68 | Melton Mowbray | 13 |
| Cheshunt | 4 | Methley | 1 |
| Clay Cross | 7 | Mexborough | 3 |
| Clayton | 4 | Mirfield | 71 |
| leckheaton | 27 | Moss Side | 3* |
| Consett | 5 | Mottram-in-Longdendale | 1 |
| Cuckfield | 6 | Mytholmroyd | 1 |
| udworth - · · | 2 | Newbold and Dunston | 1 |
| Darfield | 5 | New Mill | 3 |
| Darton | 1 | New Mills | 1 |
| Droylsden | 19 | Normanton | 3 |
| Earsdon | 15 | Northallerton | 1 |
| East Stonehouse | 10 | Northwich | 9 |
| Eastwood | 1 | Nuneaton and Chilvers Coton - | 47 |
| Edmonton | 2 | Otley | 1 |
| Elland | 3 | Pemberton | 2* |
| Emley | 1 | | 4 |
| Enfield | | "Cuccinobal j | 4 5 |
| | 2 | Ripley | |
| Erdington · · · | 1 | Rothwell (Yorks.) | 3 |
| Erith | 2 | Rowley Regis | 2 |
| Failsworth | 11 | Royston (Yorks.) | 8 |
| Farnworth | 2 | Rugby | 1 |
| Farsley | 2 | Ryton | 2 |
| Featherstone | 6 | Saddleworth | 5 |
| Fleetwood | 1 | Sale | 4 |
| Gainsborough | 14 | Sandal Magna | 10 |
| Gildersome | 1 | Selby | 1 |
| Golear | 5 | Shepton Mallet |] . |
| Gomercal | 4 | Shipley | 20 |
| Goole | 21 | Sleaford | 1 |
| Gorton | 11 | Slough | |

* The figures for Moss Side, Withington, and Pemberton are for a period from 26th March 1904 to 8th November 1904, inclusive; on the 9th November 1904 the first two districts were united to Manchester, and the hird to Wigan.

[5]

.

| Names of Districts. | Nos. of Cases reported. | Names of Districts. | Nos. of Case reported. |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. | 2. | 1. | 2. |
| and the second s | | Declaration | |
| URBAN DISTRICTS-continued. | | Easington East Elloe | - 1 |
| Smallthorne | 9 | Eton | - 5 |
| Soothill Nether | 84 | Foleshill | - 7 |
| Soothill Upper | 44 | Great Ouseburn | - 4 |
| South Bank in Normanby | 3 | Grimsby | 7 |
| South Crosland | 1 I | Halifax | - 9 |
| Sowerby | 1 | Hartlepool | - 1 |
| Sowerby Bridge | 6 | Hatfield | - 3 |
| SLennymoor | 6 | Hayfield | - 2 |
| Springhead | 2 | Hemsworth | - 10 |
| Stanley (Yorks.) | 23 | Hexham | - 9 |
| stretford | 2 | Holywell | - 1 |
| Swaffham | 2 | Howden | - 2 |
| Swinton and Pendlebury | 21 | Knaresborough | - 1 |
| Fipton | 3 | Lanchester | - 9 |
| Fottenham | 4 | Leek | - 1 |
| Fredegar | | Lichfield | - 2 |
| Funstall | | Long Crendon | - 2 |
| Urmston | 1 | Lutterworth | - 2 |
| Wallasey | | Melton Mowbray - | - 4 |
| Walthamstow | | Misterton | - 4 |
| Walton-le-Dale | 2 | Nantwich | - 1 |
| | 2 | Northwich - | - 10 |
| Weetslade | 1 | Nuneaton | - 4 |
| | | Ongar | - 17 - 3 |
| | 11 | Preston | - 3 |
| Whitby | | Repton | - 0 |
| Whitley, Upper | í | Rhayader | 1 |
| Whittington | 1 | Ripon | - 2 |
| Whitwood | | Rochford | - 2 |
| | 1 | Romford | - 3 |
| Willesden | | Rotherham | - 5 |
| | | Rugby | - 3 |
| Willington Quay | 1* | Runcorn | - 3 |
| | i | Sedgefield | - 3 |
| Wombwell | - | Selby | - 2 |
| Worksop | | Shardlow | - 11 |
| Woushenough | | Skirlaugh | - 3 |
| Worsley | i | Sleaford | - 1 |
| a server and the second server is the second of the | and the second second | Solihull | . 1 |
| D D | Winstings 1 | Southam | - 10 |
| RURAL DISTRICTS. | Magnet Hoy | South Shields | - 2 |
| Alnwick | 4 | South Westmorland - | - 2 |
| Amersham | | Staines | - 2 |
| Atcham | | Stockton | - 10 |
| Atherstone | | Stoke-upon-Trent | - 1 |
| Aylesbury | -0 | Swaffham | - 1 |
| Bakewell | | Tadeaster | - 2 |
| Barnsley | 6 | Thorne | - 1 |
| Barnsley | 19 | Todmorden | - 7 |
| Barton Regis | 10 | Upton-upon-Severn - | - 3 |
| Basford | 4 | Wakefield | - 23 |
| Belper | | Wellington (Salop) - | - 1 |
| Blackwell | | Welton | - 1 |
| Braintree | | Wem | - 1 |
| Brampton | | Wharfedale | - 5 |
| Branston | | Wincheomb | - 1 |
| Bury | | Witney | - 1 |
| Caistor | | Wortley | - 1 |
| | | | |
| Chapel-en-le-Frith | | PORT SANITARY DISTRICTS. | |
| Chelmsford | 1 | | |
| | | Cardiff | - 1 |
| Chester-le-Street | 42 | Dartmouth and Totnes - | - 1 |
| | | Hartlepool | - 1 |
| Cockermouth | 1 | Liverpool | - 6 |
| Conway and Glan Conway | 1 | Manchester Southampton | - 1 |
| Darlington Doncaster | 1 13 | Southampton | - 3 |
| | | Swansea | - 1 |
| | 1 | Weymouth | - 1 |
| Durham | 2 | | |

* See note * on previous page.

[6]

II.—Districts, in respect of which, during the year ended 25th March 1905.* cases of Small-pox exceeding 100 in number were reported to the Local Government Board, the number of cases so reported, and the estimated amount of the expenditure incurred by the Sanitary Authorities in consequence of the occurrence of such cases.

| Names of | Districts. | Numbers of Cases reported.* | Estimated amount of Expenditure incurred.* | Remarks. |
|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | 1 | 2. | 3. | 4. |
| Borg | CGHS. | | £ | |
| Barnsley | | 132 | 1,902 | The outbreak was abating before 25th March |
| | | | | 1905. The sum of 1737. has been recovered by th |
| Bradford () | ťorks.) - | 152 | 1,433 | Council on account of the expenses incurred. The epidemic commenced on 28th April 1904 and ceased in June 1905. There was expendi ture (not included in this Return) after 25th |
| | | | | March 1905. No direct remuneration was paid in respect o increased work of staff, and no accurate esti- mate can be made of the increased cost o printing, stationery, and other incidentals. |
| Derby | • • | 170 | 1,604 | The particulars of expenditure are given in this case for the year ended 24th March 1905 There was also expenditure in connection with the outbreak before 25th March 1904, the amount expended between 1st January 1904 and 25th March 1904 being estimated a |
| Dewsbury | | *548 | *12,397 | 3677. The outbreak commenced on 18th November 1902, and ceased on the 4th March 1905 The total expenditure incurred during the period from 18th November 1902 to 31st March |
| Gateshead | | *306 | *3,023 | 1905 is stated to be approximately 13,978 <i>l</i> . The outbreak commenced on 12th April 1903 The expenditure incurred during the year ended 31st March 1904 amounted to 4,328 <i>l</i> . The sum of 54 <i>l</i> , has been recovered by the |
| Halifax - | • • | 102 | 521 | Council on account of the expenses incurred. No increased hospital accommodation was re- quired during the year in consequence of the outbreak. |
| Leeds | • • | 167 | 4,221 | The first cases occurred on 4th April 1904, and the outbreak continued until March 1905. |
| | | | | The Council had commenced the construction of a permanent hospital, but it was not ready for occupation before the outbreak. Con- sequently the cost of providing temporary accommodation was greater than it would observe the second se |
| Leicester | • • | 232 | 1,415 | otherwise have been. 358 cases of the disease occurred during the year ended 25th March 1904, and it is esti- |
| | | | | mated that the expenditure incurred in respect of these cases amounted to 2,000 <i>l</i> . No new buildings were required in conse- quence of the outbreak. The epidemic termi- |
| Manchester | | *106 | *2,927 | nated in August 1904. The expenditure on account of the disease during the years ended March 1903 and 1904 has been estimated at 9,6317. |
| | | | | The sum of 165 <i>l</i> , has been recovered by the Council on account of the expenses incurred during the year 1904-05. |
| Newcastle-u | ron-Tyne - | 377 | 4,822 | The epidemic commenced on 19th March 1903, and continued after March 1905. The expen- diture incurred previous to 26th March 1904 has been estimated at 7,079 <i>I</i> . The sum of 85 <i>I</i> , was recovered by the |
| | | - | min | Council from another local authority for the maintenance of patients and " contacts " during the year 1904–05. |

* In cases marked (*) in columns 2 and 3, it has not been practicable to obtain the amount of the expenditure as regards the year ended 25th March. In such cases the amount given is for the year ended 31st March 1903, and the number of cases reported is given for the year ended 1st April 1905, inclusive. [7]

| Names of Districts. | Numbers of Cases reported.* | Estimated amount of Expenditure incurred.* | Remarks. |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | |
| BOROUGHS-continued. | | | |
| Nottingham | 146 | £. 1,160 | The outbreak commenced in January 1903, and |
| | | | continued until after 25th March 1905. The expenditure incurred between January 1903 and 25th March 1904 amounted to |
| Oldham | 317 | 1,051 | 5,4447. The outbreak commenced on 30th August 1902, and continued, more or less, until after 25th March 1905. The expenditure incurred in consequence, from 30th August 1902 to 25th March 1904, has been estimated at 3,9107. The amounts given above and in column 3 do not include the cost of disinfection, &c., in small-pox cases, no separate account of which was kept. Nor do they include any proportion of the salary of the medical officer of health (who attends many of the cases in hospital), or of the regular sanitary or clerical staff. The |
| | | | sum of 1597, was recovered by the Council from the Board of Guardians and other local authorities, for maintenance and treatment of patients during the year 1904-05. |
| Ossett | 155 | 4,615 | The sum of 32 <i>l</i> , was recovered by the Council from another local authority for treatment of patients. The estimated total expenditure in- cludes 2,590 <i>l</i> , for purchase of a mansion and its adaptation for temporary maintenance of |
| | | | convalescent patients. It is now proposed to use this building for purposes of secondary education. |
| Preston | 131 | 847 | The outbreak commenced in April 1904, and continued until after 25th March 1905. The expenditure included in column 3 relates only to the year ended March 1905. |
| South Shields · · | *162 | *1,169 | The outbreak commenced in March 1902, and continued until after 31st March 1905. The total expenditure incurred during the years ended March 1903 and 1904 is given as 1,522 <i>l</i> . The sum of 20 <i>l</i> has been recovered by the Council on account of the expenses incurred during the year ended 31st March 1905. The Council state that "the greater part of the cost of maintaining the hospital (establishment charges, loan charges, &c.) is the same whether there are any cases or not the cost of nursing, food, and medicine (374 <i>l</i> .) is the only item which can be ear-marked as caused by the outbreak." |
| Stockport | 137 | 1,151 | The outbreak commenced apparently on 14th February 1904. The expenditure during the period from 14th February 1904 to 25th March 1904 amounted to 105/. |
| URBAN DISTRICTS NOT BEING BOROUGHS. | | 5 | |
| Felling | 169 | 2,246 | The outbreak commenced in May 1903, and continued more or less until after 25th March 1905. The expenditure incurred during the period from May 1903 to March 190- amounted to 1,444 <i>l</i> . |
| Ravensthorpe | 307 | 3,386 | |
| Thornhill | 121 | 1,104 | The sum of 441. has been recovered by the Council on account of the expenses incurred. |

* See note * on previous page.

279.

Ordered, by The House of Commons, to be Printed, 1 August 1905.

(Sir John Tuke.)

[Price 1d.]

RETURN showing, as regards each PORT or partly in Loxbox), in respect of which, during the Year ended the 25th day of March 1905, other SANITARY DISTRICT in ENGLAND and amount of the expenditure incurred by the SANITARY AUTHORITY in consequence of the the LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD, the number of such cases; and also, where the number occurrence of such cases. cases of SMALL-POX have been reported to reported has exceeded 100, the estimated WALES (exclusive of any district wholly or

SMALL-POX.