

Physiological researches into the most important parts of the animal oeconomy : demonstrating, I. that the present opinion concerning the use of the lymphatic system is erroneous, and that it does not terminate in the thoracic duct : II. the discovery of the great importance and use of the lymph, of the lymphatic glands, and of the lymphatic system : III. from the discovery of the use of the lymphatic system it is demonstrated how poisons, &c.; may be either received or prevented from entering into the circulation by absorption : IV. the discovery of the use of the brain and its continuations, its connection with the nerves, and with the lymphatic system / by Benjamin Humpage.

Contributors

Humpage, Benjamin.
Francis A. Countway Library of Medicine

Publication/Creation

London : printed for H. Murray, No. 32, Fleet-Street ; Edinburgh : G. Mudie, 1794.

Persistent URL

<https://wellcomecollection.org/works/jvxpx3rp>


License and attribution

This material has been provided by This material has been provided by the Francis A. Countway Library of Medicine, through the Medical Heritage Library. The original may be consulted at the Francis A. Countway Library of Medicine, Harvard Medical School. where the originals may be consulted. This work has been identified as being free of known restrictions under copyright law, including all related and neighbouring rights and is being made available under the Creative Commons, Public Domain Mark.


You can copy, modify, distribute and perform the work, even for commercial purposes, without asking permission.

**wellcome
collection**


Wellcome Collection
183 Euston Road
London NW1 2BE UK
T +44 (0)20 7611 8722
E library@wellcomecollection.org
<https://wellcomecollection.org>



BOSTON MEDICAL LIBRARY
in the Francis A. Countway
Library of Medicine ~ *Boston*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2011 with funding from
Open Knowledge Commons and Harvard Medical School



PHYSIOLOGICAL
RESEARCHES

ANIMAL ECONOMY

BY WILLIAM DUNBAR

PHYSIOLOGICAL

RESEARCHES

BY

WILLIAM B. GIBSON

M.D.

ANIMAL ECONOMY

AND

<p>THE PHYSIOLOGY OF THE DIGESTIVE SYSTEM IN THE DOMESTIC ANIMALS</p>	<p>THE PHYSIOLOGY OF THE RESPIRATORY SYSTEM IN THE DOMESTIC ANIMALS</p>
--	--

NEW YORK

1874

WILEY

AND PUBLISHERS

*M. Pailou
from the author*

PHYSIOLOGICAL
RESEARCHES

INTO THE
MOST IMPORTANT PARTS

OF THE
ANIMAL ŒCONOMY.

DEMONSTRATING,

I. That the present Opinion concerning the *Use* of the LYMPHATIC SYSTEM is erroneous, and that it does *not* terminate in the THORACIC DUCT.

II. *The Discovery* of the great Importance and *Use* of the LYMPH, of the LYMPHATIC GLANDS, and of the LYMPHATIC SYSTEM.

III. From the Discovery of the Use of the LYMPHATIC SYSTEM it is demonstrated how POISONS, &c. may be either *received* or *prevented* from entering into the Circulation by ABSORPTION.


IV. *The Discovery* of the Use of the BRAIN and its Continuations, its Connection with the NERVES, and with the LYMPHATIC SYSTEM,

BY BENJAMIN HUMPAGE,

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR H. MURRAY, NO. 32, FLEET-STREET,
AND G. MUDIE, EDINBURGH.

1794.



PHYSIOLOGICAL
RESEARCHES
AND
EXPERIMENTAL

MOST IMPORTANT PARTS

ANIMAL ECONOMY

EMERSONIAN

<p>The first object of the present work is to describe the manner in which the various parts of the animal economy are connected together, and to show how they are affected by the various causes which act upon them. The second object is to describe the manner in which the various parts of the animal economy are affected by the various causes which act upon them.</p>	<p>I. That the present Opinion concerning the nature of the animal economy is erroneous, and that the true nature is as follows:—</p> <p>II. The nature of the animal economy is as follows:—</p> <p>III. The nature of the animal economy is as follows:—</p>
--	--

BY BENJAMIN MARTIN

LONDON

PRINTED BY J. JOHNSON, No. 25, ST. PATRICK'S SQUARE, AND G. ALLEN, BISHOPSGATE

1826

CONTENTS,

INTRODUCTION,

Shewing what are the present Opinions concerning the Lymphatic System; and demonstrating that they are not founded in Truth. - - - 1

CHAP. I.

Of the Lymphatic Glands, and Demonstration of their Use. - - - 66

CHAP. II.

Introduction of a new Lymphatic System. - - - 104

CHAP. III.

Of Absorption. - - - 120

CHAP. IV.

The Use of the Valves demonstrated. 158

CHAP.

iv CONTENTS.

CHAP. V.

*Of the Separation of the Lymph from
the Blood.* - - - 176

CHAP. VI.

*Of the Properties and Use of the
Lymph.* - - - 204


CHAP. VII.

*Of the Connection of the Brain with
the Nerves, and with the Lym-
phatic System.* - - - 236

CHAP. VIII.

Conclusion. - - - 274

INTRO.



INTRODUCTION,

SHEWING WHAT ARE THE

PRESENT OPINIONS

CONCERNING THE

LYMPHATIC SYSTEM;

AND

DEMONSTRATING THAT THEY ARE NOT FOUNDED
IN TRUTH.

THE Lymphatic System within the present century has engaged the particular attention of some of the most learned and ingenious physiologists: for on this will be found, ultimately, to depend our accurate knowledge of many alterations to which an animal may be subject, during health; as well as rightly to comprehend the nature and causes of diseases which take place in the animal œconomy, and point out the methods of cure. It will, therefore, appear evident, that the investigation of a

subject so important to mankind, cannot be unacceptable nor undeserving the most serious attention.

The lymphatic system, although it has been so much the object of discussion, that many very eminent anatomists have given a great part of their time and study to it, with a view to demonstrate its use, yet, as far as I have been enabled to consider the subject, appears to be the least satisfactorily explained of any part of anatomy; and the arguments and experiments brought in support of the present system, are by no means conclusive. This is a fact of which any man may be convinced, who will carefully examine what has been advanced on the subject by different authors; where so many contradictions and irreconcilable difficulties appear, that even those who advanced them acknowledge their inability of clearing them up.

But of the most material part of the system, namely, the lymphatic glands, which are placed in vast multitudes in most parts
of

of the body, it is acknowledged by *all* writers, that their use is not known, nor why they exist: and as these parts are admitted to be an appendage of, and connected with the lymphatic system, I do not hesitate to assert, that the real use of the lymphatic system itself cannot be *known*, whilst the use of these glands remain *confessedly unknown*; nor can any system be established, unless it can be shewn what are the uses of the lymphatic glands in the lymphatic system; as it cannot be supposed that such a great number of glands can be placed in almost all parts of the body, without answering some very important purpose.

Anatomy of late years has been so much cultivated, that many parts of the body, which those who have preceded us were unacquainted with, as well as their uses, have been demonstrated; and many of the established systems have been proved to be founded in error: but notwithstanding these great advantages, every reflecting man must be well convinced, that there still remains a great deal to learn; and as long as the uses

of certain parts of the animal œconomy are confessedly acknowledged not to be known, it is evident that anatomy is not so completely investigated, but that by experiments and observations, there may yet be great improvements made, and that it may be brought to a greater degree of perfection than it is at present.

It is not my intention to take notice of the different opinions which have been entertained on this subject, any farther than to illustrate the system which I intend to offer; as it would only be a loss of time to intrude that on the public, which it has already in its possession. The controversies that have arisen already on the subject, have, in a great measure, involved it in greater difficulties, and rendered it more obscure and inexplicable.

I do not, however, here intend to give offence to those gentlemen, who have taken pains to support the present opinion of the lymphatic system terminating in the thoracic duct, who, perhaps, may consider it
pre-

presumption to endeavour to controvert what appears to be so well received, and what, in their opinion, may be indisputable. They may, perhaps, treat with contempt, any innovation, or endeavour to overturn a doctrine, that is considered one of the greatest discoveries since the circulation, and what has been the labour of so many learned men to establish ; but however great their authority may be, it will not overturn facts, which are stubborn things : and although the inventions or opinions founded on authority, may meet with applause for a time, yet, if they are not confirmed by the test of truth and future experience, it is a duty which every man owes to society, to point out the error wherever he finds it, and to endeavour to shew how it may be corrected, in preference to all other considerations : upon this principle alone I am induced to submit the following sheets for the inspection of the public,

I am ready to acknowledge that the public has received much benefit and information from the inquiries of the gentlemen

who introduced the present system, and that they are justly entitled to the great esteem and applause which have been conferred on them; but still I cannot agree with them in many essential points: and where I have been under the necessity of differing from them, it has not been from a desire to dispute or censure what they have advanced, but from a conviction that it originated in error; which in a science, where the life of mankind is immediately concerned, is of too much consequence to be neglected.

I am conscious of the great difficulty there is in controverting an established system, and of the opposition that may be likely to result from such an attempt; but I shall only request, in my own defence, that the public will consider the following sheets, unbiaſſed, and divest themselves of all pre-conceived opinions or prejudices. It is not my intention to endeavour to influence the minds of men by mere assertions, without arguments and proofs to support what I advance; and unless these appear conclu-
sive,

five, I do not wish the public to give them more credit than what they may be found on examination to merit.

The present received opinion of the lymphatic system, with all its improvements, is, that it is a system of vessels, which arise from surfaces and cavities of every part of the body, and supposed to terminate in the thoracic duct, which is considered the common trunk of the system; that its use is for the absorption of fluids from all the cavities and surfaces of the body, and to convey them into the blood by means of the thoracic duct. Of the lymphatic glands, which form a very material part of this system, and is considered by all authors, as we have already observed, as an appendage of it, the use is not known.

Mr. Hewson, p. 20, of his Experimental Inquiries, &c. says, “ That the lymphatic
 “ system, besides the glands, is divided into
 “ three parts, viz. the lacteals, the lymphatic
 “ vessels, and the thoracic duct; that the
 “ lacteals belong to the intestinal tube,

“ whilst the lymphatic vessels belong to all
 “ the other parts of the body, and that the
 “ thoracic duct is the common trunk which
 “ receives both lacteals and lymphatics.

Mr. Cruikshanks, in his Introduction to the Anatomy of the Absorbing Vessels, p. 4, has quoted the following passage from the late Dr. Hunter's Introductory Lectures, viz. “ I think I have proved that the lymphatic vessels are the absorbing vessels all over the body; that they are the same as the lacteals; and that these all together, with the thoracic duct, constitute one great and general system, dispersed through the whole body, for absorption; that this system only does absorb, and not the veins; that it serves to take up, and convey whatever is to make, or to be mixed with the blood, from the skin, from the intestinal canal, and from all the internal cavities or surfaces whatever. This discovery gains credit daily, both at home and abroad, to such a degree, that I believe we may now say, it is almost universally adopted; and, if we
 “ mistake

“ mistake not, in a proper time, it will be
“ allowed to be the greatest discovery both
“ in physiology and in pathology, that
“ anatomy has suggested since the disco-
“ very of the circulation.”

But if we examine with attention what Dr. Hunter says in his Medical Commentaries, where he claims the honour of discovering the lymphatic vessels to originate from surfaces and cavities, terminating in the thoracic duct for absorption, we may observe him supporting his claim, by continually endeavouring to reconcile contradictions.

Professor Monro at first opposed this doctrine, and considered the lymphatics as continuations of arteries, which was then the prevailing opinion; but the arguments advanced in favour of the lymphatics being a system of absorbents, were so strong and conclusive, that he afterwards adopted and supported that opinion, and claimed the discovery, in opposition to Dr. Hunter; which gave rise to a controversy not necessary

cessary again to revive, as the public are already in possession of the arguments advanced in favour of each of the parties. Dr. Hunter, however, having collected letters from several gentlemen who attended and made notes of his lectures, he published them in his Commentaries, as so many proofs of his having taught, that the lymphatics were a system of absorbents for some time previous to the claim of Professor Monro; and that of course he had an exclusive right to the discovery. As these letters convey a clear idea of the prevailing opinions at that time, of the origin and use of the lymphatic vessels, it may be acceptable to such as have not entered particularly into the opinions concerning them, to consider the arguments and experiments on which the present system is founded,

One of these letters is from Mr. Symonds of Exeter, surgeon, who Dr. Hunter, p. 8 of his Commentaries, says, attended his first course of lectures in 1746, and the three following courses, and likewise assisted him in dissections, and writes to him
from

from Exeter, June 13th, 1759, thus: “ I
 “ shall endeavour from recollection and the
 “ assistance of notes taken at your lectures,
 “ to set forth the doctrine you taught, con-
 “ cerning the lymphatics, the two first
 “ years you read:—and then told us, you
 “ thought the lymphatics were absorbent
 “ vessels, and that valves are necessary
 “ where there is no propelling force.—
 “ When speaking of the testis, you told us,
 “ if we made a hole through the tunica
 “ albuginea, broke or bruised the tubuli,
 “ we might distend the *lymphatics*, by
 “ *blowing into its substance.*”

Dr. Hunter, in a note on this letter, re-
 marks, that by the same means he “ after-
 “ wards filled the lymphatics in a calf’s
 “ spleen by *extravasation.*” He then says,
 page 9, that Mr. Hamilton, professor of
 anatomy at Glasgow, who attended his lec-
 tures in 1748, and again in 1749, in a letter
 to him, dated Glasgow, Nov. 21, 1757,
 writes to him in these words, “ From what
 “ I heard in your lectures, and from some
 “ conversations with your brother, I learn-
 “ ed

“ ed the method of demonstrating the
 “ lymphatics by blowing into the excre-
 “ tory ducts of the glands and substance of
 “ the testis. I have by me a preparation
 “ which I had from your brother, where,
 “ from an *extravasation*, a number of
 “ vessels are filled, which appear to be
 “ lymphatics, and which I keep to de-
 “ monstrate them. Your demonstration of
 “ their similarity to the lacteals, I have
 “ adopted since I read here ; though always
 “ with the candour of owning you as the
 “ author of that, and a number of other
 “ things.”

Mr. Watson, a gentleman of distinguished
 abilities in his profession, lately deceased,
 and formerly a reader of anatomy, and sur-
 geon to the Middlesex hospital, writes to
 Dr. Hunter, the 27th of August, 1760, as
 follows :—“ You could not say what was
 “ their (*lymphatics*) precise beginning—
 “ you believed they were not continuations
 “ from arteries, but a particular system of
 “ vessels by themselves, the true abfor-
 “ bents. In some succeeding courses, you
 “ declared

“ declared you was fully convinced of this,
 “ *because you had never been able to inject*
 “ *them.* If continuations of the arteries,
 “ why not inject them, since we do inject
 “ the lymphatic arteries? You never could
 “ fill them by injecting the artery, till you
 “ had made an extravasation in the cellular
 “ membrane. You thought them the ab-
 “ sorbing vessels, because they have *valves*,
 “ as the *lacteals* have, which are known to
 “ be *absorbing vessels*. Why should they
 “ (lymphatics) have *valves* in the viscera,
 “ when the veins have none? which you
 “ answered by observing, that lacteals have
 “ no impetus from the arteries as the veins
 “ have; therefore they are furnished with
 “ valves.”

“ You told us—you had traced the lym-
 “ phatics from the testis of a dog into the
 “ thoracic duct. You informed us, the
 “ lymphatics might be demonstrated either
 “ by *blowing* into the *artery*, or by making
 “ a ligature on the emulgent vein in a
 “ living dog; for in each way they will
 “ be distended: but you observed at the
 “ same

“ same time, that these *experiments* were *no*
 “ *proofs of their being continued from ar-*
 “ *teries*, as the case might be the same as
 “ in injecting. You produced before us,
 “ a preparation of the testis from a horse,
 “ in which the artery was injected red,
 “ and the lymphatics dried hollow, dis-
 “ tended with air, which you told us had
 “ been thrown into them by inflating the
 “ cellular membrane.”

Dr. D'Urban, in a letter from Richmond,
 Nov. 12, 1757, says, “ I have been look-
 “ ing into the notes I made from your lec-
 “ tures in the beginning of the year 1749,
 “ and shall transcribe the paragraph just as
 “ it stands.

“ LYMPHATICS.] A preparation of the
 “ lymphatics of a horse's testis. I do not
 “ believe them continuations of the seri-
 “ ferous arteries, but absorbent vessels
 “ placed in every interstice of the body,
 “ which take up any fluid, thrown into
 “ the abdomen or any other cavity ; as is
 “ seen from daily experience. I have in-
 “ jected

“ jected the spleen, which is full of lym-
 “ phatics, with the most subtil injections,
 “ filled every branch of the artery or vein,
 “ when after tying the vein, and forcing
 “ the injection till the vessel burst, imme-
 “ diately on the *extravasation* the lymphatics
 “ became filled. This I have tried
 “ more than once with the same success.
 “ Hence I conclude they are not a continu-
 “ ation of, nor have any communication
 “ with, the arteries.”

Dr. Smith, reader of anatomy at Oxford,
 gives the following extract from notes taken
 at Dr. Hunter's autumn course of lectures,
 1750. “ If you *blow or throw water into*
 “ *an artery or vein* in the liver or spleen,
 “ &c. you can raise the *lymphatic veins* :
 “ hence also they were thought to be con-
 “ tinuations of the lymphatic arteries ; but
 “ I doubt it, for on injecting the spleen, I
 “ could not throw the injection into the
 “ lymphatics till the artery was ruptured,
 “ and then it got into the lymphatic vessels.
 “ Besides, why small valves in the lym-
 “ phatics, if veins, *when other veins of the*
 “ *abdomen*

“ *abdomen have none?* They seem to be
 “ absorbents of a fine fluid to be con-
 “ veyed into the *receptacle of the chyle, for*
 “ *dilution, &c.* We find the lacteals,
 “ which are allowed to be absorbents,
 “ have *valves*; and the reason is, that on
 “ the least motion, the progressive circula-
 “ tion may be accelerated. The lymphatic-
 “ tics, therefore, seem to begin from cells
 “ and surfaces.”

Dr. Hunter, page 13, relates, that Mr. Davenport, of Norfolk Street, attended his course of October, 1751, and several succeeding courses, and gives the following literal transcript of notes, which he took down in that first course:

“ Some assert that these lymphatic vessels
 “ also originally take rise from the ex-
 “ tremities of arteries; which they tell
 “ you, may be proved in the dead subject
 “ by tying the vein, and then inflating the
 “ artery, as they enter the spleen or kid-
 “ ney, for instance; or in the living, by
 “ making your ligature; either of which
 “ methods,

“ methods, say they, will make these ves-
 “ fels very conspicuous. But, *both these*
 “ *experiments are fallacious, and prove no-*
 “ *thing*; for this appearance never comes
 “ out in either, till the air or fluids have
 “ made themselves a passage, by destroy-
 “ ing other tender parts that naturally op-
 “ posed them.”*

Mr.

* Dr. Hunter, in a note on this letter, observes, that Professor Monro (page 20, 21) by way of introduction to his subject, tells us, the arguments by which former anatomists were persuaded that the lymphatics were continuations of arteries, (particularly air, water, and quicksilver passing readily from the arteries into them,) and then adds, “ Without therefore ac-
 “ counting in some other way for these experiments,
 “ and refuting the arguments drawn from them, to
 “ propose a contrary opinion as a remarkable disco-
 “ very, is certainly betraying a very weak and preci-
 “ pitate manner of hurrying to conclusions, contra-
 “ dicted by premises.” Dr. Hunter then says, that he accounted for these experiments in another way, viz. by *extravasation*, and that Dr. Monro did the same several years afterwards: he then adds, “ I will
 “ trust the reader for seeing the force of this, and now
 “ beg of him to read Dr. Monro, from page 50 to the
 “ end of the chapter: but lest he should not be able to
 “ give himself that entertainment readily, from not
 C “ havin

Mr. Davenport then says, that the following was an additional note, taken at a subsequent lecture, to the best of his recollection and belief, in the year following, viz. 1752.

“ As the veins called Bartholine’s lymphatics are too minute to be traced to

“ having Dr. Monro’s pamphlet immediately at hand,
 “ I will do him the pleasure of transcribing one paragraph, (from p. 53.) ‘ I have, however, clearly
 ‘ proved,’ says the Professor, ‘ that Dr. Hunter never
 ‘ had shewn any preparations, nor made experiments
 ‘ on the lymphatics, from which any conclusion relating to their origin could possibly be drawn; and
 ‘ that so far from *pretending* to explain or refute the
 ‘ experiments of Nuck, Cowper, Lister, &c. in
 ‘ proof of lymphatic arteries, he never so much as
 ‘ mentioned them. Consequently, Dr. Hunter’s pretensions to even the smallest share of what he is
 ‘ pleased to call, (which, by the bye, I never did) *the important discovery, that the valvular lymphatic vessels
 ‘ are a system of absorbent veins, are evidently founded
 ‘ on a declaration or conclusion contradicted by premises. And this conclusion, so far from meriting
 ‘ praise, can only be said not to deserve censure, on the supposition, that he was ignorant of what had
 ‘ been done on the subject.*”

“ their

“ their origin, anatomists are not agreed
 “ from whence they arise. The generality
 “ take them to be continuations of small
 “ arteries ; and their *proofs* are by no means
 “ *trivial*, if it be true, (as is asserted) that
 “ by making a ligature upon the vein pro-
 “ ceeding from any gland, you will see the
 “ *lymphatic vessels* of that *gland greatly*
 “ *distended*, as of the kidney for example.
 “ And they tell us too, that by driving
 “ air into an artery in the dead subject you
 “ inflate these veins ; and thus demonstrate
 “ the truth of this doctrine. Notwith-
 “ standing these specious arguments, how-
 “ ever, Mr. Hunter is clearly of opinion,
 “ that these veins are rather the absorbents
 “ arising from all the different cavities of
 “ the body, as the vesica urinaria, fellis,
 “ vesiculæ feminales, &c. &c. A strong
 “ presumptive argument, at least, in support
 “ of this notion, is the close analogy they
 “ in many circumstances bear to the lacteals ;
 “ for these rise like the lymphatics, have
 “ little else than the kneading motion in res-
 “ piration to promote the progress of the
 “ chyle, and are furnished with valves at

“ due distances, that the chyle may be al-
 “ ways sure of passing on to the recepta-
 “ culum chyli, and ductus thoracicus ;
 “ the same place of termination have all
 “ the lymphatics : in like manner are these
 “ provided with innumerable little valves ;
 “ their coats are thin and fine as the lac-
 “ teals ; they anastomoze frequently like
 “ them ; and, in short, seem in nothing
 “ to differ from each other, but in the na-
 “ ture of the fluids they convey, &c.”

Mr. Davis, of King-street, Covent Gar-
 den, surgeon, says that the following is a
 literal extract of the notes he made when he
 attended Dr. Hunter's course of lectures,
 January 1750-1 : “ speaking of the lym-
 “ phatics ; according to Mr. Hunter, their
 “ origin is more probably from all the ca-
 “ vities and interstices of the parts of the
 “ body. From the common method of
 “ raising them in the spleen and testes ;
 “ from analogy with the lacteals. Hence
 “ Mr. Hunter will have them to be the ab-
 “ sorbents.” He farther says, “ As this was
 “ the first course of anatomy that I had at-
 “ tended,

“ tended, I was not able to write my notes
“ so fully ; in the next course, (to wit, in
“ October 1751) I find that, upon the sub-
“ ject of lymphatics, I have been a little
“ more particular. My notes begin thus :
“ There are two kinds of lymphatic veins ;
“ the one kind, are those in which the
“ lymphatic arteries terminate, and whose
“ contents go with the blood, as those of
“ the other veins into the heart ; the other
“ kind are the lymphatics of Bartholine,
“ which are, &c. After the description
“ of them in my notes, comes this para-
“ graph : Mr. Hunter’s own private opi-
“ nion, that these lymphatics of Bartholine
“ are nothing but the true absorbents of the
“ body ; and that they have their origin
“ from all the cavities, surfaces, and inter-
“ stices, of the parts of the body : his rea-
“ sons are, 1. The common method of
“ raising in the spleen and testes with a
“ blow-pipe, which could never raise the
“ other lymphatics. 2. These lymphatics
“ of Bartholine have valves in the viscera ;
“ whereas, no other veins in the viscera
“ have valves. 3. These lymphatics are

“ never injected from the arteries. 4. The
 “ analogy they bear to the lacteals, which
 “ have also valves. 5. These vessels end,
 “ for the most part, (if not all of them) in
 “ the thoracic duct or route of the chyle ;
 “ whereas, the other lymphatics end in the
 “ blood vessels.”

Mr. Galhie, of Spital-square, in a letter to Dr. Hunter, writes thus :—“ As I attended your lectures so early as the year 1751, I shall, agreeable to your desire, transcribe from my notes, what you then declared as your opinion, with regard to the origin and use of the lymphatic veins. That they were not continuations of the arteries, as generally believed, but absorbing vessels ; beginning imperceptibly from the different cells and surfaces of the body, you was fully persuaded,
 “ 1. Because you could not inject them as other veins, by filling the arterial system.
 “ 2. In injecting, you sometimes observed, that they were suddenly filled, when the arteries burst and the injection was effused into the cellular membrane ; a circumstance, which you imagined sufficiently

“ ciently

* ciently explained, and refuted those ana-
 “ tomists who pretended to have filled the
 “ lymphatics directly from the arteries or
 “ veins. 3. As a farther confirmation of
 “ this doctrine, you mentioned the valvu-
 “ lar structure of the lymphatic vessels, not
 “ observable in the other veins of the vis-
 “ cera; the great likeness of the lymphatics
 “ to the lacteals, in their coats, valves,
 “ course, and terminations, and lastly, the
 “ absorption and progress of the venereal
 “ poison, and inoculated matter of the
 “ small-pox.”

Several other letters might be produced
 in support of the same system, but as it
 would only be a repetition of the same opi-
 nions, it is not conceived necessary.

The contradictions in the preceding let-
 ters are sufficiently apparent. We are told,
 that the lymphatics are *not* continuations of
 arteries, because, they assert, that they *can-*
not be injected from arteries: we are then
 informed, that the lymphatics *are* continu-
 ations of arteries, because they *can* be in-
 jected from arteries: we are then to believe

that air, water, or other injections, passing from the blood vessels into the lymphatics, are *no proof* of their being continuations of arteries, because the injections passed in consequence of *extravasation*. Now what is the use of injections, if they are not to be depended on, in cases that are evident to the *senses*, and that have been so often repeated? And may not the argument of *extravasation* be advanced, to controvert every experiment or discovery that has been made by injection? We may with equal propriety assert, that injections are extravasated that pass from the veins into the arteries; or, on the contrary, from the arteries into the veins, as to assert that water, thrown into the blood vessels, passing into the lymphatics, is the effect of *extravasation*.

It is very unsatisfactory to tell us, that the mere throwing water into the arteries could cause a rupture. I would submit to the reader's consideration, whether he can conceive, that the rupture of an artery could be produced by such a cause; for if this were true, an artery must be very ill adapted to
retain

retain the blood in living animals, it being continually propelled with considerable force, without either producing rupture or extravasation; which cannot therefore be admitted.

Dr. Hunter is likewise certainly in an error, when he asserts, that the veins of the viscera have *no valves*, for the reverse is the fact. The veins are abundantly supplied with valves, as may be seen by examining the veins from the spleen, pancreas, kidneys, renal, and prostate glands, as well as in most other parts of the viscera. The reason that Dr. Hunter denied valves to the veins of the viscera, is sufficiently obvious; he considered the valves as a criterion by which to distinguish absorbent vessels, and were absolutely necessary to them in order to perform their office of absorption; *and from not comprehending their use*, he has been led into errors, as well as misleading others. He conceived that, if he admitted the veins were supplied with valves, it would be brought as an argument against him, of their absorbing; and supply the supporters
of

of venal absorption, with an argument advanced by himself; namely, that vessels with valves are absorbent vessels, which he supposed were peculiar to them alone, and which is not the fact.

Since then it appears that he has not hesitated to remove any obstacles that have been in the way of his system, whether *right* or *wrong*, we may certainly have reasonable grounds to suspend our assent to what he advances with respect to *extravasation*, particularly as the arguments in its support are very far, in my opinion, from being either satisfactory or conclusive.

I can, however, by no means admit, that the experiments produced, do prove the lymphatics to be a system of vessels arising from surfaces and cavities, and that they terminate in the thoracic duct; and that there is no other channel or passage for absorbed fluids into the blood: for this is evidently the principal point which all the supporters of this system have been aiming at, and on which it must ultimately depend; and what
has

has been always considered as essentially necessary to establish, before their system could be received. How will they be able to reconcile the many objections and difficulties that evidently appear so very contradictory; several of which they themselves acknowledge to be such? I shall beg leave to mention a few of them from Mr. Cruikshanks, as he avails himself of all the arguments and experiments of others, as well as of his own, that can be brought in favour of this system.

He says, page 44, in his Anatomy of the Absorbing vessels, that “ Watery fluids
 “ thrown into the arteries, veins, or excretory ducts of the glandular viscera, very
 “ commonly *get into the lymphatic vessels,*
 “ which then becoming visible, punctures
 “ may be made in the small branches, and
 “ the watery fluids be forced out or displaced by injecting quicksilver.” The mere throwing watery fluids into the blood vessels ought certainly not to fill the lymphatic vessels, if they originated from surfaces and cavities, and terminated in the
 thoracic

thoracic duct. If there be no natural passage, as is asserted, from the blood vessels into the lymphatics, the fluids evidently could not go into them. Again, he farther observes, p. 45, that “ one of the best methods I have found was, previously injecting the arteries and veins of the part, where I wished to see the lymphatics, and then throwing it into water, to macerate for some days: as soon as a certain degree of putrefaction takes place, air is let loose in the cellular membrane, from whence it gets into the orifices of the lymphatics, and uniformly fills their branches. In this way I first discovered them on the heart and in the uterus; punctures may be then made in the smaller branches, and the air may be forced out by an injection of quicksilver.” And he farther adds—“ I must here observe, however, that in employing this method, it will sometimes be necessary to inject, previous to the maceration, several sets of veins, and perhaps some other species of vessel also, before it can be fairly inferred that any new vessel filling

“ ling

“ ling. itself with air was a lymphatic. Were
“ one to set about discovering the lymphatic
“ tics of the liver in this way, he must inject
“ previously the hepatic artery, then the
“ vena portarum, then the venæ cavæ hepaticæ,
“ and other smaller veins entering the cava,
“ but not properly branches of the former.
“ When he has done this, he must also inject
“ the ductus hepaticus, and its branches, the
“ pori biliarii, before he could infer that any
“ new vessel filling itself with air, in consequence
“ of maceration of the viscus in water, was a
“ lymphatic. I must, however, observe, that on
“ the extremities this method cannot be employed,
“ as the valves prevent us from injecting the
“ veins, unless on some lucky occasion, where
“ they are now and then injected from the
“ arteries, as continued but reflected tubes,
“ and in the course of the circulating blood.”
“ He farther observes, that “ when a proper limb
“ has been procured, I make ligatures on the top
“ of the foot or back of the hand, and, by repeated
“ stroking of the toes or fingers, force the brown
“ fluid in the extreme “ branches

“ branches of the lymphatics into larger
“ branches: the ligatures prevent it from
“ being drove on, and the vessels gradually
“ become distended close by the ligature.”

He also observes, that the method of discovering the lymphatic vessels, in the legs and arms, is by puncturing a gland, and the tube filled with quicksilver, inserted into the opening, or the tube may be pushed at once into the substance of the gland, which will succeed equally well; or if a puncture had been previously made, the mercury then fills the lymphatics; and he even recommends the thoracic duct itself to be injected by this means.

The injecting the arteries and veins of the part where the lymphatics are to be discovered, does not corroborate the opinion of their originating from surfaces, and terminating in the thoracic duct, or that they are distinct or separate from the blood vessels, as is imagined.

I do not consider that the lymphatics were filled from air that is let loose into the cellular

ular membrane, but, on the contrary, that the cellular membrane is filled with air, by its passing from the putrid fluid in the blood vessels, through the lymphatic glands and vessels, and so into the cellular membrane. The fluid becomes brown, I should presume, from the blood being putrid, and mixed with the water that had been previously injected into the blood vessels; and that in pressing it into the lymphatics, it had passed from the blood vessels into them, and not from the cellular membrane.

But if the thoracic duct be the trunk of the absorbent system, why not inject that, and so fill the whole of the lymphatic system at once, as we do the blood vessels from their trunks? This certainly would be preferable to the injecting fluids into the blood vessels and glands. Perhaps it may be said, that injections will not pass through the minute glands, so as to fill the system; but this cannot be admitted as an objection, because, if we suppose that a fluid so thick, as the lymph is frequently found to be, can be perpetually absorbed and pass through
such

such a multitude of glands, from the extreme parts of the body, it would certainly appear reasonable to suppose, that so volatile a fluid as mercury is, if injected into the thoracic duct, would fill the whole of the lymphatic system.

Mr. Cruikshanks says, p. 49, “ In animals strangled, or dying of some violent death, the lymphatics about the spleen, and in the cavity of the abdomen in general, are almost always found turgid with blood, though I have never seen, on these occasions, any marks of extravasation of that fluid into the cellular membrane. In peritoneal inflammation, I have demonstrated the lacteals full of blood, though in this inflammation there is little or no swelling, of course no extravasation of blood into the cellular membrane. I have seen the absorbents of the lungs also loaded with blood, in the peripneumony or inflammation of their substance; and on all these occasions have been induced to believe, that the lymphatics arose from the internal surface

“ face

“ face of arteries and veins. This opinion
 “ is not without its difficulties ; for arteries
 “ and veins have been distended with in-
 “ jected fluids on many occasions, without
 “ the smallest drop of these fluids passing
 “ into the lymphatics ; and one of the ar-
 “ guments, by which the origin of the
 “ lymphatics from surfaces is supported, is,
 “ that they cannot be injected from arteries
 “ or veins. On the other hand, there is no
 “ anatomist, who has been at all conversant
 “ in injecting arteries and veins, but on
 “ some occasion or another must have
 “ found, that from these he had also in-
 “ jected the lymphatics.”

How very contradictory this appears: If
 the lymphatics originate from surfaces, how
 can they originate from the arteries? And
 if they originate from the blood vessels,
 how is it possible that they can originate
 from surfaces, as is here conjectured?

As the lymphatics of the spleen, as well
 as the lymphatics from the glands of the

D

other

other parts of the abdomen, are found turgid with blood from violence without any marks of extravasation, there is no doubt but it must come through the glands, from the blood vessels. Mr. Cruikshanks' idea of the lymphatics arising from the arteries is certainly a just one; for those effects which he mentions, could not happen unless they did so; but yet I do not agree with him when he says, that the arteries and veins have been distended with injected fluids, without the smallest drop passing into the lymphatics; and, besides, this evidently disagrees with what he says in another part of his book, which I shall notice presently. I know well the argument by which the lymphatics, arising from surfaces, is supported; namely, that they cannot be injected from arteries or veins; but I hope to make it appear, that this opinion is founded in error, and that, on the contrary, they can be injected from arteries or veins; and that this fact has been endeavoured to be controverted, solely with a view to make the lymphatics a system of vessels, terminating

nating in the thoracic duct, in opposition to the experiments that have been advanced by many of the first and most eminent physiologists, who have asserted, that they have injected the red veins from surfaces and cavities in many parts of the body: and one is particularly related by the celebrated Kaau Boerhaave; he injected water into the stomach and intestines of a dog, which being compressed, he saw the water run into the mesenteric veins in such quantities, as to wash out the red blood, so that the veins appeared white.

Now, in opposition to the experiments that have been made, to prove this direct passage into the blood vessels, many others have been made to prove that there is no passage, from surfaces or cavities, for absorbed fluids into the circulation, except by means of the thoracic duct. The late Mr. John Hunter made many experiments in support of this opinion; but it should be recollected, that he, perhaps, might be considered a little partial in these experiments, particularly as his brother, the late

Dr. William Hunter, claimed the honour of discovering the lymphatic system to originate from surfaces or cavities, and terminate in the thoracic duct; and therefore the *result* of his experiments *was to prove*, that there was no passage for fluids into the veins, except by the thoracic duct. He, however, admits, page 45, of the Medical Commentaries, published by his brother, that on “ June 22, 1759, we repeated most of
“ these experiments on another sheep, to
“ see if the effect would be the same; but
“ in this animal the viscera were diseased,
“ inflamed, and thickened in most parts,
“ so that the experiments were much less
“ successful, less satisfactory, and conclu-
“ sive.” (*That is, the injections passed into
the intestines from the blood vessels.*) He then observes, that “ after injecting milk
“ into the mesenteric artery for some time,
“ and allowing it to return by the vein, we
“ opened that part of the *intestine*, which
“ had been *previously emptied*, and found
“ in it a *watery fluid* of a *whitish cast*, as if
“ a few drops of milk had been mixed with
“ it.”

“ it.” And he farther observes, p. 46, of another experiment, that “ after the animal was *dead*, I blowed into a mesenteric vein, and the *air* found a *passage* into the *cavity* of the *gut*, though in making the experiment when the animal was alive, I could not force the milk by injection from the vein into the gut.”

Mr. Cruikshanks selects several of these experiments: they were made on the intestines and mesentery of several different animals, which were frequently repeated in various portions of the intestinal tube, in each animal, at the same time. After portions of the intestines had been emptied of their contents, ligatures were made on the trunks of the arteries, to prevent any fresh supply of blood; whilst punctures were made in the trunks of the veins to let out what blood they contained; milk and different coloured fluids were then injected into the intestines, which, after remaining there for some time, the result was, that it did not appear that any of the fluid had got into the veins; and in some of the experiments, a

considerable degree of pressure was used, even so as to burst the intestines, yet it did not appear that the smallest drop of the fluid had got into the veins. It was conjectured that the eye might be liable to be deceived, and that the smell might detect the fluid, if any passed into the veins: therefore, fluids were impregnated with musk, and injected into the intestines, prepared as before; but on examining the blood from the mesenteric veins, there was not the least smell of musk.

Mr. Cruikshanks however observes, in the last experiment he mentions on this head, p. 24, that “*after one of the animals was dead,* the mesenteric veins were in-*
flated”

* It is the general received opinion, that, when animals are dead, fluids injected into the veins, transude into the cellular membrane, &c. or fluids injected into the mesenteric veins, will transude into the intestines, and the same in other parts of the body; not through passages that were natural to the animal whilst living, but by such as are supposed to be the consequence of transudation in the dead one: but as these fluids pass
 into

“ *flated with air, which, though a very*
 “ *viscid fluid, found its way into the cavity*
 “ *of the intestines; though milk, injected*
 “ *through the same veins, while the animal*
 “ *was alive, could not be forced into the*
 “ *same cavity.*” He then says, that “ *these*
 “ *experiments appear to me perfectly con-*
 “ *clusive.*” Now, if we admit that these
 experiments do prove that there is no passage
 for fluids into the blood, except by means
 of the thoracic duct; for this is the grand
 point which they are intended to establish,
 what must we say to the following observa-
 tion that he makes, or how can we reconcile
 it? He says, p. 65, “ *Wherever a lymphatic*
 “ *vessel enters a vein, there is always a*
 “ *valve, and most commonly a pair, to pre-*
 “ *vent the blood from passing from the vein*
 “ *into the lymphatic vessel.*” And he farther
 adds, that “ *in the red veins we sometimes*
 “ *meet with three or four valves, instead of*

into the different parts of the body in dead animals, they
 will certainly go through the same channel in living
 ones; for I do not admit that transfusion either takes
 place in the living or dead body: but this subject will
 be particularly considered hereafter.

“ two, in one place ; *and frequently where*
“ *a lymphatic enters a red vein, there is but*
“ *one valve.*”

Now does not this acknowledge a direct passage from the lymphatic vessels into the blood vessels, independent of the thoracic duct ? It certainly admits that there is a direct passage into the blood vessels, and from all parts of the body ; for if a lymphatic enters a vein in one part, it must, from analogy, be the same throughout the animal. This being admitted, it immediately acknowledges the accuracy and justness of the experiments advanced in favour of this passage into the blood vessels ; and, at the same time, it completely overturns all the experiments that have been advanced to controvert it : and will it not be absolutely fair to infer, that it is impossible that the thoracic duct can be the trunk or termination of the lymphatic system ?

It will therefore appear, that the lymphatic system does not terminate in the thoracic duct, from what has been already observed,

observed, as well as from the following observations :

1. If we consider the nature of a gland, whose component parts are made of arteries convoluted, which is to secrete fluids from the blood; for example, the salivary glands, secrete saliva; the lachrymal glands, tears; the cutaneous glands, the perspirable matter; the liver, bile; the kidneys, urine; the testicles and ovaries, semen, &c. Now all these glands have excretory ducts to convey their fluids, from the blood to the various parts of the body, from whence, in a state of health, they are discharged, after they have performed their office; this is admitted by all anatomists to be the fact; why should we then reverse this general order, and assert that the lymphatic and lacteal vessels pass through such a multitude of glands as are dispersed throughout the body, in order at last to get to the thoracic duct, to convey fluids into the blood; when we know that all the glands, whose uses are at present known, is to convey fluids *from* and not *into* the blood; and that of course
there

there can be no substantial reason for asserting, that the lacteals and lymphatic vessels pass through these glands, for the purpose of conveying fluids into the blood by means of the thoracic duct.

2. Poisons, such as the venereal virus, the bite of mad or venomous animals, inoculation, the absorption of matter from an abscess, ulcer, issue, blister, and a variety of stimulating medicines, in many instances, produce dreadful effects, such as swellings, inflammation, and frequently suppuration of the neighbouring glands, from whence the matter was absorbed, and the parts are sometimes totally destroyed, if the matter is of a very malignant nature, which very often is the case: a blister applied to the back will often produce an inflammation of the bladder. These are facts which occur in almost every day's practice; now if we consider the thoracic duct as the trunk, or termination of the absorbing system, and that there is no passage for these absorbed poisons into the blood, except through the thoracic duct, it would be certainly

certainly fair to infer, that the thoracic duct itself should be subject to the same or similar diseases, to which the other parts of the system are liable, which must destroy the person so affected; but this does not take place; for a person may have all the symptoms of an absorption of poison, and even where the glands are in a state of suppuration, such for instance as a venereal buboe; and even the neighbouring glands will swell and inflame, yet there is no symptom or appearance of the thoracic duct being affected. Mr. Cruikshanks has been conscious of this fact, and he very candidly says, page 77, “ why the glands in the cavity of the abdomen do not inflame and suppurate on these occasions,” (meaning from the absorption of poisons, &c.) “ I do not know, but it is very fortunate they do not; for if they burst into the cavity, suppuration of the cavity would be the consequence, and the patient would almost certainly be cut off.” And speaking of the external iliac glands, page 123, part ii. says, “ Though the venereal
“ poison

“ poison must pass through these glands in
“ its way to the blood, I know of no in-
“ stance of their ever forming buboes; and
“ it is very fortunate they do not, for if
“ these, like the inguinal glands, suppu-
“ rated, they could not be opened by the
“ lancet, they must be left to themselves—
“ might burst; the pus might fall into the
“ cavity of the abdomen; might produce
“ peritoneal inflammation, and might pro-
“ bably destroy the patient. It is very
“ difficult to assign any reason for this, as
“ cancerous matter not only affects the first
“ glands it enters, but all the glands that
“ lie between the fore and the thoracic duct,
“ some have assigned as a reason for the
“ venereal virus not affecting the second
“ glands as well as the first; that the poi-
“ son was diluted by being mixed with the
“ lymph in the first gland. This is by no
“ means satisfactory, since even after it has
“ been mixed with the whole mass of blood,
“ it is capable of producing ulcers in the
“ throat, blotches on the whole surface of
“ the body, and caries in the bones.”

Now

Now it is impossible, or at least very improbable, that the absorbing system can terminate in the thoracic duct; for as Mr. Cruikshanks very justly observed, that when any poisons were absorbed, the glands might suppurate in the cavity of the abdomen and thorax, and an inflammation of the peritoneum, pleura, and mediastinum, and even suppuration of those parts might, and would in all probability be the consequence; so that whenever any poisons were absorbed, there would be inevitable danger, not only of those important parts being destroyed, but also of the thoracic duct itself, and all the glands that are connected with it, which must certainly destroy the patient.

The effects of cancerous, scrophulous, scorbutic, and venereal matter, are not confined to “the glands that lie between the fore and thoracic duct;” but extend frequently to parts that are situated above the thoracic duct, and every other part of the body. I have seen inflamed and frequently indurated glands on the top of the head;
and

and even the brain has frequently been found to be subject to all or most of the disorders to which the lymphatic glands of the other parts of the body are liable; particularly in those persons who have been subject to venereal, cancerous, and scrophulous disorders.

3. It frequently happens, that matter is carried from the head to the extremities; now, if we consider the absorbents as a system terminating in the thoracic duct, by what means can this matter be translated from the head to the extremities? it cannot be, according to the present system, by the lymphatics, because they are considered as arising from cavities and surfaces, and that they convey their fluids from the extremities upwards through the abdomen, thorax, and at last into the blood, through the thoracic duct; and of course, in a direction diametrically opposite to the translation of matter from the head to the extremities.

4. The thoracic duct cannot be the trunk of the absorbent system, from the smallness

of its size; it is indeed so small, that it would appear to be impossible to receive the fluids, which are supposed to be absorbed by such a multitude of vessels; one of which in many parts of the body is several times larger than the thoracic duct; now when we consider what a number of lymphatic vessels there are in the body, that are larger than the thoracic duct, it must be ill-adapted to answer the purpose that is at present assigned to it. One would conceive it impossible, or at least very improbable, to be capable to convey through so small a channel, such a quantity of fluid, as so many vessels must present to it.


Mr. Hewson, page 68, speaking of the lymphatics of birds, says, "The lymphatics of the neck generally consist of two pretty large branches on each side of the neck, accompanying the blood vessels. Those two branches join near the lower part of the neck; and the trunk is in general as small, if not smaller, than either of the branches." And again, page 96, speaking of the lymphatics of fish. "This system agrees with that of turtle,
" in

“ in having a very large receptaculum, and
 “ in having the net-work of large vessels
 “ near its termination in the sanguiferous
 “ system; and likewise in *having the ves-*
 “ *sel, which goes from the net-work into*
 “ *the vein, small in proportion to the size of*
 “ *that net-work:* so that the lymph must
 “ be lodged some time in those parts
 “ before it is poured into the mass of
 “ blood.”

If we admit that the trunk of the lym-
 phatic system is only a small branch, in
 proportion to that net-work which is situ-
 ated near it; how ill-adapted must the tho-
 racic duct be, for the purpose of conveying
 the absorbed fluid into the blood from that
 net-work, composed of such a multitude of
 vessels, one of which is much larger than
 the trunk or thoracic duct itself? and par-
 ticularly when we consider what a vast num-
 ber of these net-works there are distributed
 in all other parts of the body: so that when
 joined together, one would apprehend *that*
a small single branch from one of the net-
works could not possibly be the trunk or ter-
mination of a system, so generally extended
all over the body.

Mr.

Mr. Cruikshanks, page 77, says, " I
 " have injected fourteen vasa inferentia on
 " the thigh belonging to the same gland,
 " and have no doubt of there being four
 " times that number belonging to the gland
 " which were not injected. To all of
 " these, one vas efferens only corresponded,
 " which went out of the gland on the op-
 " posite side. The vas efferens goes out of
 " the gland in the same manner that a vas
 " inferens enters it, that is, by short, ex-
 " tremely minute, radiated beginnings.
 " The vasa efferentia are generally larger
 " in size than the vasa inferentia. I have
 " seen some of these as large as the thora-
 " cic duct itself." And page 87, he ob-
 " serves, that " one of the trunks of the lym-
 " phatics of the lungs, which I had in-
 " jected with quicksilver, was twice the size
 " of the duct, as it lay behind the root of
 " the lungs on the spine. Two of the
 " trunks of the absorbents in the lungs of
 " an ordinary sized turtle were each of
 " them as large in diameter as the cava su-
 " perior in the human subject, and ten



“ times the size of the thoracic duct they
“ belonged to, if the receptaculum chyli
“ be excepted.”

Now, as it is a fact well-known, that branches of the lymphatic system are found in most parts of the body many times larger than the thoracic duct itself; if we admit what Mr. Cruikshanks advances, that he injected fourteen of the vasa inferentia on the thigh belonging to the same gland, and that there is four times that number, (and it is very probable that there might be a much larger quantity, for they are very numerous,) belonging to the same gland uninjected; is it not very extraordinary that one vessel only, the vas efferens, should be supposed capable to contain all the fluid brought by so many vessels, one of which is as large as itself, and perhaps even larger than the thoracic duct? And then, that this vessel should convey its fluid to the next neighbouring gland, to pass through the same course, all through the abdomen and thorax?

This appears so very obscure and complex, that it would induce one to believe it impossible, particularly when we consider the minute texture of these glands, and the number of them so great, that the fluid would be so much impeded in its passage, that it could scarcely ever arrive at the thoracic duct; and even if it did, the fluid would not find a passage, from the smallness of the trunk of the thoracic duct, into the blood vessels, but must be subject to obstructions, if we suppose there be no other passage for fluids into the system.

5. The injecting of mercury, water, air, &c. from the blood vessels into the lymphatics, in most parts of the body, demonstrates that the lymphatics do not terminate in the thoracic duct. This has been done by most anatomists, and for which there is the greatest authority that can be offered; but as it is a controverted point, which I wish particularly to consider, I shall reserve what I have to say on the subject, until I come to speak of the lymphatic glands.

It will appear from the preceding observations—First, that the objections and irreconcilable difficulties are so evident, as to demonstrate that the thoracic duct cannot be considered as the termination of the lymphatic system.

Secondly, that it is a universal law, that all the glands, whose uses are known, are for the purpose of secreting fluids *from* and not *into* the blood: therefore, there can be no good reason assigned for asserting, that the lymphatic vessels pass through these glands to convey fluids into the blood, by means of the thoracic duct.

Thirdly, that poisons absorbed, which produce inflammation, and frequently suppuration in various parts of the body, do not produce such effects on the thoracic duct; therefore, it is certainly fair to conclude, that if it were the passage by which these poisons are to be conveyed into the blood, it would be liable to the same or similar effects from poisons as the other parts

parts of the system, which must destroy the person so affected.

Fourthly, that matter is carried from the head to the extremities, in a course diametrically opposite to the absorbed fluids.

Fifthly, that the thoracic duct is too small to be the termination of the lymphatic system, because we find in most parts of the body, small branches of the lymphatic vessels, many times larger than the thoracic duct itself, the supposed trunk of the system.

Sixthly, that injecting the lymphatics from the blood vessels in most parts of the body, and vice versa, farther demonstrates that the absorbing system cannot terminate in the thoracic duct.

It is not here admitted, that the lymphatic and lacteal vessels are the same. When these vessels were first discovered, they were judiciously considered as a system of vessels, differing from each other, and were dis-

tinguished in this manner; that the lacteals arose from the intestines, and conveyed the chyle, a white fluid resembling milk; whereas the lymphatic vessels were found in most parts of the body; but as they conveyed a clear transparent fluid, and not a white one, they were called *vasa lymphatica*, and by some, *ductus aquosi*; but the modern anatomists from blending them together, under the common name of absorbents, have thereby been the means of introducing errors, which would have in all probability been avoided, if they had been kept distinct and separate, as they were by the anatomists who first discovered them; and our anatomy, I believe, would have been more correct than it is at present.

After the discovery of the lacteals, by Asellius, an Italian anatomist, about the year 1622, on the mesentery of a living dog conveying chyle from the intestines, these vessels were soon discovered in the human body as well as in most other animals; they were supposed to terminate in the liver, until Pecquet, in the year 1651, opened a
living

living dog, from which he demonstrated that the lacteals terminated in the thoracic duct, which he proved to enter into the subclavian vein, to convey the chyle to the heart and circulation; but after the discovery of the lacteals, by Afellius, Mr. Cruikshanks observes, p. 1, that “similar
 “ vessels, however, were soon discovered
 “ in other parts of the body, both in men
 “ and quadrupeds; but as they contained
 “ a watery and not a white fluid, they
 “ were imagined to be different, and of
 “ course obtained a different name: they
 “ were termed generally, *vasa lymphatica.*”
 And in page 2, after advancing the arguments of the late Dr. Hunter, to prove the absorbent system to terminate in the thoracic duct, he adds, “thus a grand
 “ system for absorption in men and quadrupeds was formed, and the lacteals and
 “ lymphatics were blended together, under
 “ the common name of absorbents.” And Mr. Cruikshanks inforces this opinion, for he says in p. 119, part ii. “I have used
 “ the terms *lacteals* and *lymphatics*, instead of
 “ *absorbents*, in order to avoid all ambiguity

“ concerning the vessels meant ; but having
 “ there disproved absorption by red veins,
 “ I cannot now be misapprehended ; and
 “ shall, in future, employ the term *absor-*
 “ *bents* to signify *laeteals* and *lymphatics*,
 “ and shall write *glands of the absorbents*,
 “ instead of *lymphatic glands*.”

But before this doctrine can be admitted,
 the following arguments should be first
 considered :

1. There are many laeteals which go to
 the thoracic duct, without entering any
 glands ; this is admitted by many anatomi-
 mists. Dr. Keill, in his anatomy, p. 39,
 says, that “ there are many laeteals where
 “ there are no glands.” And Mr. Hew-
 son, p. 45, says, speaking of the lymphatic
 vessels of the abdomen, “ that besides the
 “ vessels which go into the glands, there
 “ are generally some which escape them.”

2. If the lymphatic and laeteals were
 the same, they should absorb the same fluid
 from the intestines ; now this they certainly
 do

do not; for in animals that are killed, after being fed, we frequently observe the lacteals carrying chyle from the intestines; and the lymphatics of the same part empty, or filled with a *clear, transparent fluid*, which is peculiar to *them*; the same as the *chyle* is to the *lacteals*. This has been observed by many writers. Now this being the fact, it is impossible to suppose that the lymphatics and lacteals, if they both arise from the intestines, were the same vessels, and that they both performed the office of absorption; that they should be filled with different coloured fluids, if they absorbed it from the same part of the intestines. It certainly would be fair, therefore, to infer, that the fluid in both systems of vessels cannot be the same; and this being admitted, it must follow that the vessels themselves must be different.

One of the principal arguments advanced in favour of the lacteals and lymphatics performing the same office, is, that as the lacteals arise from the intestines, and convey chyle to the thoracic duct, the lymphatics

do absorb lymph from cavities also, which are supposed to terminate in the thoracic duct; and particularly as the vessels themselves are similar. But this argument is far from being conclusive, because we might, with equal propriety, argue and say, that as the arteries and veins resemble each other, arteries must carry blood to the heart, as well as the veins, when the contrary is the fact; for we know that the arteries carry blood from the heart, and that the veins return it.

3. It frequently happens in scrophula, lues venerea, consumptions, &c. that the greatest part of the lymphatic glands in the abdomen are obstructed, indurated, schirrous, and sometimes are found to be in a state of suppuration. Now, if the lacteals passed through these glands, in their way to the thoracic duct, as authors assert, it would appear evident, that the chyle would be prevented from passing through these indurated and diseased glands. Mr. Cruikshanks, p. 44, says, “where
“ the glands of the mesentery have been
“ enlarged

“ enlarged from scrophula, I have observed
“ that the lacteals were then larger, and
“ easier to be discovered or injected. This
“ I consider as the consequence of obstruc-
“ tion in the glands, and of increased action
“ in the vessels, in order to overcome that
“ obstruction: though I do not remember
“ an instance of such obstruction in the me-
“ senteric glands, as made the chyle remain
“ in the vessels.”

When the lymphatic glands are diseased, the lymphatic vessels are generally so likewise, and frequently destroyed: perhaps this may be one reason why the lacteals appeared easier to be discovered; it cannot be from increased action in the lacteals to overcome the obstruction; as the lymphatic vessels, only pass from glands, and not the lacteals; for if they did, the chyle would certainly be obstructed, when the glands through which they were to pass were indurated. This is evidently the case in dropfies, where we find, on examining the bodies of those persons who have died of that disorder, that the lymphatic glands
are

are diseased; and we also find the *lymphatic vessels*, which are connected to those glands, turgid, and sometimes enormously distended with lymph or serum, sometimes both mixed together; but it cannot be said that the *laeteals* have ever been found turgid or so much enlarged as to be evidently the effect of diseased glands.

Mr. Cruikshanks says, p. 107, that
 “ I have already given my opinion respect-
 “ ing Ruyfch’s doctrine, that in old age he
 “ lived without his laeteals, and that old
 “ people in general did so. I think it im-
 “ possible; but Morgagni and Dr. Hunter
 “ himself inclined to that side, at least so
 “ far as respects the obliteration of the
 “ glands of the mesentery. The laeteals
 “ are never obliterated; there are no other
 “ roads by which the chyle can get into the
 “ blood, as Haller allows there may possibly
 “ be, and the red veins of the intestines do
 “ not absorb.” And again he says, that “ it is
 “ possible that children, and even grown per-
 “ sons, may sometimes have died of the tabes
 “ mesenterica, a disease in which the glands
 “ of

“ of the mesentery, belonging to the lac-
“ teals, are supposed to be totally obstructed
“ and impervious to the chyle. *In such*
“ *enlargements of the glands, if they ever*
“ *take place*, we should meet with the stagna-
“ tion of the chyle in the first set of lacteals ;
“ but I never saw such stagnation on any
“ occasion whatever. But as stagnation of
“ the lymph, from obstructed lymphatic
“ glands of other parts, is said to have
“ been seen, it may be possible that the
“ chyle, from the causes mentioned, may
“ have sometimes been prevented from
“ getting into the blood vessels.”

Now, if the lacteals really did pass through the mesenteric glands, in their way to the thoracic duct, the obstruction or destruction of those glands would be attended with the same effects to the lacteal vessels, which is not the fact. It is well known that the chyle is conveyed into the blood after the mesenteric glands are obstructed ; and it is admitted that, in people advanced in life, the mesenteric glands are obliterated, yet the chyle is conveyed to the blood.

Haller

Haller asserts, that he has seen the thoracic duct full of chyle in persons upwards of seventy years old, when the mesenteric glands are obliterated: and hence it must follow, that the lacteals cannot pass through the mesenteric glands in their way to the thoracic duct.

Some authors suppose, that it is necessary that the lacteals should pass through the mesenteric glands; that the chyle may be strained, and that the thinner or purer part only might be conveyed into the blood: but I apprehend this not to be the case; because, if these glands did strain the gross parts of the chyle, as has been imagined, the crude part, which remains in the glands, must be continually liable to obstruct them, which must be daily increased by the supply of fresh chyle to be strained; I cannot therefore admit, that these glands can perform such office, or that the chyle is changed or altered in the least, after it passes from the intestines until it get into the blood.

Mr. Cruikshanks, speaking of the chyle, says, p. 95, that “this fluid is absorbed
“ from

“ from the cavity of the small intestines,
“ by the radiated extremities of the lacteals;
“ is propelled through the lacteals by their
“ muscular coat for some little way; after
“ which, it is again deposited, by another
“ set of radiated extremities, into the cavi-
“ ties of glands, from whence it is again
“ absorbed by the radiated extremities of
“ other lacteals, and thus alternately, till
“ having been strained through a vast num-
“ ber of small tubes and cells, it is at last
“ thrown into the thoracic duct, and by it
“ is propelled into and mixed with the
“ blood in the veins.” And in p. 89, he
says, “ why the lymphatics and lacteals first
“ terminate in glands, I do not know.”

Some authors suppose it necessary for the lacteals to pass into these glands, for the purpose of mixing the lymph with the chyle to dilute it, but this is improbable; for in many instances the lymph is thicker than the chyle, which it, of course, could not render thinner; besides, if the lymph really did dilute the chyle, there would be no necessity for its being secreted in parts so
far

far distant from the lacteals, as is the case in many parts of the body.

From the preceding observations, I cannot admit that the lacteals do pass into glands, because, when the glands are *destroyed*, the lacteals, nevertheless, convey chyle; besides, it does not appear of what use or benefit it could be, supposing it to be the case: but, on the contrary, if any thing gross was to be strained from the chyle, they would be liable to be obstructed, and, of course, unfit to answer such a purpose.

It appears, then, that the first discoverers of the lacteals and lymphatics were right in considering them as separate and distinct systems; that there is no good reason for blending them together; that the *lymphatics* only come from *glands*, and *not* the *lacteals*; that the lymphatics are only connected with them in the same manner as the lymphatic system is in all other parts of the body; as, for instance, their connection with the arteries, veins, nerves, &c. and is only a part of that general system, dispersed throughout
the

the whole body, for the most important purposes: the *laeteals*, therefore, convey *chyle*—do *not* pass into *glands*; and the *lymphatics* and *lymphatic glands* are only connected with them, as a part of that general system dispersed through the whole body, as will afterwards more evidently appear.

CHAPTER I.

OF THE LYMPHATIC GLANDS, AND DEMONSTRATION OF THEIR USES.

IT is not my intention, in these observations, to notice the various hypotheses which have been given concerning this part of anatomy, any farther than may be necessary in this work, or to shew their connection and importance in the lymphatic system.

Thus far is certain, that the ancients knew nothing either in respect to the component parts, or the uses of the lymphatic glands, and only distinguished them from other parts of the body, by representing them as a particular kind of flesh, without paying any attention to their internal structure or use; but since the lymphatic system of late years has been so much a subject of discussion, many ingenious physiologists have endeavoured to investigate, and give to
the

the world a definition of the component parts and uses of the lymphatic glands; and although they have been, by their inquiries and experiments, enabled satisfactorily to explain what are the component parts of a lymphatic gland, yet they have not been so fortunate as to demonstrate their use; which is by far the most material point, as the whole of our knowledge of the uses of the lymphatic system must ultimately depend on these glands.

Dr. Hunter in his Medical Commentaries, page 34, says, “ I had made no
 “ satisfactory observations upon the lym-
 “ phatic glands for several years after I had
 “ read lectures, and therefore never took
 “ upon me to decide between Nuck and
 “ Ruyfch, whether they were cellular or
 “ only vascular. *All this, as well as the*
 “ *manner in which the lacteals and lymphatics*
 “ *pass through them, I professedly gave*
 “ *from authors, and not from my own obser-*
 “ *vations.* My brother found out, to the
 “ best of my recollection, in the year 1753
 “ or 1754, that he could fill these glands

“ uniformly, and the lymphatic vessels
 “ going from them, by pushing a pipe into
 “ their substance, as Dr. Nicholls had done
 “ in the *testis*. When examined in this
 “ way, they have exactly the appearance
 “ that Nuck describes. After I had seen
 “ this experiment repeated to my satisfac-
 “ tion, I mentioned it in my lectures, and
 “ then confirmed what Nuck had said from
 “ my own observation. Having found
 “ out so easy a method, my brother then
 “ intended *to have discovered or ascertained*
 “ *the structure, and, if possible, the use of*
 “ *the lymphatic glands; to have traced the*
 “ *lymphatic vessels all over the body, and to*
 “ *have given a complete description and*
 “ *figure of the whole absorbing system.*”

But as he has not published any experi-
 ments or discoveries, and there have been so
 many years elapsed since, it is reasonable to
 infer that he left the subject as he found it,
 at least as far as relates to the use of the
 lymphatic glands

Professor Monro in his anatomical Treatise
 on the Nerves, p. 3, says, that “ the uses for
 “ which these oval bodies are said to be de-
 “ signed are, in my opinion, no more than
 “ conjecture without any proof; and there-
 “ fore I shall not attempt to explain their
 “ office of acting, like so many hearts, in
 “ assisting to propel their fluids; nor to
 “ shew the necessity of such fluids being
 “ collected in large quantities, at particu-
 “ lar times, in these reservoirs, nor the
 “ preparation they undergo there, for fitting
 “ them to perform the offices assigned
 “ them; but shall acknowledge my igno-
 “ rance of the functions they perform.”

Mr. Hewson, p. 215, says, “ who-
 “ ever carefully views the lymphatic sys-
 “ tem, must be convinced, that as it explains
 “ and points out the cure of many diseases,
 “ it deserves the attention of the practiti-
 “ oners of the healing art; and as it is so
 “ generally diffused through the animal
 “ kingdom, it strongly claims the regard
 “ of those who wish to inquire philosophi-
 “ cally into the animal œconomy; espe-
 “ cially,

“ cially, as by the knowledge of this sys-
 “ tem we are now flattered with the hopes
 “ of ascertaining the use of the lymphatic
 “ glands, the thymus, and the spleen ;
 “ which discoveries are to be the subjects of
 “ the third part of their inquiries.” This
 he did not live to finish, but they were pub-
 lished after his death by Magnus Falconer,
 and in them he endeavours to prove, that the
 spleen and lymphatic glands form the red
 globules of the blood ; but this hypothesis
 was considered inconclusive, and, of course,
 given up.

Mr. Cruikshanks very candidly acknow-
 ledges, p. 68, part 1st. that “ these bodies
 “ are not only found on the mesentery, but
 “ in a great many other parts of the body.
 “ Their number is various in different bodies.
 “ As the lymphatics and lacteals, of which
 “ they form a part, were not known to the
 “ ancients, one is not surprized to find them
 “ assigning to these glands, the ridiculous
 “ office of supporting, like so many
 “ cushions, the larger blood vessels *at those*
 “ *places where they were dividing into*
 “ *smaller*

“ *smaller branches.* And, indeed, though
 “ we know something more of their nature
 “ and structure, and diseases, we know no
 “ more of their real use than the ancients.”
 And in p. 187, part 2d. “ it will appear
 “ strange that I have said nothing of the use
 “ of the absorbent glands. I avow absolute
 “ ignorance of this matter. There are none
 “ in turtle, in fish, and only two in the
 “ necks of some birds; why there are so
 “ many in men and quadrupeds, I do not
 “ know, nor why they exist at all. I think
 “ this confession much better than to at-
 “ tempt an idle hypothesis, which would
 “ convince no body, and of which, I my-
 “ self should hereafter be ashamed.”

I cannot admit that any animals are defi-
 cient in the lymphatic glands; they are not
 so apparent or distinct in some animals, as
 in men and quadrupeds; but when this is
 the case, they have glandular coats or mem-
 branes, which are composed entirely of mi-
 nute glands: thus, for instance, the palate,
 œsophagus, stomach, intestines, &c. of
 quadrupeds, fowls, and fishes, have glan-

dular substances; and this is found to extend to most other parts. Therefore, although the lymphatic glands in some animals are not so distinct as in men and quadrupeds, yet they are equally numerous, and perhaps more so, only in different shapes; and we frequently see glands unite and run one into another, form glandular membranes; and we sometimes find in the human body, glands united or joined together; when in others, the glands of the same part are found distinct and separate. This is very different in various animals; the human brain is composed of a multitude of minute glands, united or joined together; but, on the contrary, in many birds and fish, the glands, which form their brain, are distinct and separate; and the same is observable in many other parts of the body: therefore, whether the glands are distinct, or whether they run together, or are formed into membranes, it is very immaterial, as their offices will be performed either way; and this organization extends all through animated nature.

A lymphatic gland is composed of arteries convoluted: this is demonstrated by injecting mercury, and other injections, from the blood vessels into the lymphatic glands: and, on the contrary, the glands may be injected, by filling the lymphatic vessels, when the mercury will pass through the gland into the blood vessels. There is no fact in anatomy better known than this, and it appears so plain and simple an operation, that one would imagine there could be no dispute about the fact; yet it must be recollected, that if this experiment be admitted, it immediately overturns the doctrine of the lymphatic system terminating in the thoracic duct, which there has been so much pains taken to establish; because it proves a direct communication from the lymphatics into the blood vessels, from all parts of the body. Hence it will appear obvious, that to establish the present system of the lymphatics terminating in the thoracic duct, this experiment cannot be admitted: it was for this reason thought necessary to controvert and to deny, that the injections passed through *natural passages*, and to maintain that they

were the effect of *rupture* or *laceration*. Now until the doctrine of the lymphatic system terminating in the thoracic duct was proposed, these experiments were never controverted; it could not be denied, that the injections passed from the blood vessels into the lymphatics through the lymphatic glands; because it was an experiment so well established by the testimony of the most eminent anatomists, and even by those who opposed it; therefore, the only evasion they had, was to assert, that though the injections did pass from the blood vessels into the lymphatics and vice versa, yet that they did not pass through *natural passages*, but were the effect of *rupture, laceration, extravasation, or disease*. The late Dr. Hunter taught this doctrine, with a view to support what he advanced with respect to the lymphatic system terminating in the thoracic duct.

Mr. Hewson, a pupil of the late Dr. Hunter, in a note, p. 155, of the Lymphatic system, wherein he refers to Dr. Hunter's Medical Commentaries, page 5, says, that

that “ Dr. Hunter and Dr. Monro found
 “ in their experiments, that injections rea-
 “ dily burſt from the arteries into the lym-
 “ phatic veſſels by the intervention of the
 “ cellular membrane; theſe experiments
 “ they at *firſt* conſidered (as Dr. Mekel
 “ does his) as proofs of their having filled
 “ the natural paſſages; but more careful
 “ obſervations ſeem to have *now* convinced
 “ the former of theſe gentlemen, that ſuch
 “ concluſions are fallacious, and he *now*
 “ thinks that the injection may have burſt
 “ into the ſides of the lymphatic veſſel.

The reaſon why Dr. Hunter ſhould have changed his opinion is ſufficiently obvious: it appears from what has been already obſerved, that Dr. Hunter and Dr. Monro had a controverſy, about which of them were intitled to the diſcovery of the lymphatic ſyſtem terminating in the thoracic duct.

Mr. Cruikſhanks, in mentioning this ſubject, obſerves, p. 3, that “ Dr. Hun-
 “ ter

“ter enjoyed the honour of this discovery
 “for many years. At first, Professor
 “Monro was his only rival; the medical
 “world were not always certain to which
 “of these gentlemen the credit was due,
 “but there were no doubts that one of the
 “two was certainly intitled to it. Dr.
 “Hunter, in his Medical Commentaries,
 “supported his claim so well, that the
 “greater part of those who were intitled to
 “hold any opinion on the subject, were on
 “his side.” Now, before Dr. Hunter and
 Professor Monro claimed the honour of dis-
 covering the lymphatic system to terminate
 in the thoracic duct, they did not dispute
 the experiments which prove a direct com-
 munication from the blood vessels into the
 lymphatics, but on the contrary admitted
 them; as soon, however, as they found it
 made against that system they intended to
 introduce into the world, it then became
 necessary for them to change their opinions,
 as they could not admit an experiment that
 completely overturned the system they were
 so anxious to introduce.

Mr. Cruikshanks, p. 2, states from the late Dr. Hunter, that “ he farther observed, “ that when injections thrown into the arteries and veins, from rupture of the vessels, were *extravasated*, they got into the cellular membrane, and very commonly from thence into the lymphatic vessels; “ or if a tube loaded with quicksilver was pushed at random into the cellular substance of a gland, the testicle for example, the quicksilver would frequently pass into the absorbents of that part.”

Mr. Hewson, page 169, says, “ That the lymphatics were only veins, anatomists were confirmed from experiments made by injections; particularly the *blowing air into the arteries of the kidney, spleen, &c. and seeing it return by the lymphatics*; a fact that has since been proved to be owing to the air having burst from the arteries into the cellular membrane, and so having got into these vessels, and therefore by no means proving a direct communication between those arteries and the lymphatics.” For this

this opinion he quotes the authority of Professor Monro and Dr. Hunter; he then goes on to observe, that “ other injections
 “ likewise, such as *mercury, water, &c.*
 “ *having been thrown into the arteries, and*
 “ *afterwards having got into the lymphatics,*
 “ have been mentioned, as so many proofs
 “ of a direct communication: but greater
 “ experience with injections has convinced
 “ some of the more accurate amongst later
 “ anatomists, of there likewise being a fal-
 “ lacy in these experiments; or of the
 “ fluids having got from the arteries into
 “ the lymphatics not by passages, which
 “ were natural to the living body, but by
 “ such as were the effect of *laceration in*
 “ *the dead one.*”

Such are the arguments made use of to controvert an experiment that is so simple, that one would conceive it almost impossible there could be two opinions concerning it; particularly as it is so easy to demonstrate a *direct* communication, not from *rupture, extravasation, or disease,* but through *natural passages.*

If the injections had been really forced into the lymphatics from rupture or laceration, as these gentlemen think, it is certainly impossible, or at least very improbable, that the laceration or rupture should always be exactly in the same part, so as to let the injection from the blood vessels into the lymphatics, which they all agree was the fact. Now, the effects from rupture or laceration are not found to be constantly the same, and therefore the injections would have passed in various directions, and of course could not be expected to go always from the *arteries into the lymphatics*: this, I think, is a circumstance so strong against the opinion of the injections passing into the lymphatics, in consequence of rupture, extravasation, &c. as in my opinion to admit the very thing, which they endeavour to controvert.

With respect to the assertion, that
 “ greater experience with injections have
 “ convinced some of the more accurate,
 “ amongst the later anatomists, of there
 “ being a fallacy in their experiments,”
 &c.

&c. I believe it may be fairly maintained, that many of the most accurate anatomists; men who have been deservedly esteemed, and of the most distinguished abilities, who are intitled to every attention of the public; have asserted, that they have injected the lymphatics from the blood vessels, where little or no force was used; and that there was not the least appearance of *extravasation, rupture, or disease*; and of course that their injections passed through natural passages and not through ruptured ones; and indeed Mr. Hewson himself seems to be well-convinced of the importance of their authority; for he says, p. 137, “ This is “ a circumstance” (meaning the injections passing from the blood vessels into the lymphatics) “ which has occurred in the experiments of the most eminent anatomists, “ both in the past and of the present age; “ so that there is no fact in anatomy in “ favour of which more respectable authorities might be produced.”

Dr. Hales in his *Vegetable Statics*, has related a variety of experiments, which satisfactorily

factorily prove a direct natural passage from the blood vessels through the lymphatic glands into every part of the body; whilst on the contrary, the arguments that have been advanced to controvert them, by alleging that they were the effect of *extravasation*, or *laceration*, are by no means satisfactory; for most of his experiments were made by injections with a force no greater than that with which the blood circulates in the living body, and therefore it cannot reasonably be supposed that such force could be sufficient to produce either rupture or laceration; neither is it in the least probable, that the effects of these experiments were the consequence of disease, because many of them were made on living animals; and other experiments have clearly shewn that the most penetrating fluids, such as spirits of wine, turpentine, quicksilver, and air, injected into portions of the arteries or veins with considerable force, will not pass through their coats until they burst; and hence it cannot be infered that the arteries or veins have inorganized pores, that admit

G

fluids

fluids to transfuse through their coats, as the supporters of transfusion assert.

Dr. Hales says, in his *Vegetable Statics*, vol. ii. p. 116, that he fixed tubes into the carotid artery, and cut both the jugular veins of several dogs, and injected warm water into the artery, with a force equal to that of the circulating blood; and he observes, that “ If the warm water was continued thus flowing into the artery for half an hour, or two hours, all the parts of the body would, during that time, be continually swelling bigger and bigger, so that there would be an universal dropsy over the whole body; both the ascites and the anasarca. The salivial and other glands were greatly swelled, and the mouth and nose filled with mucose slimy matter, which flowed from those glands; the *ubera* were much distended by the filling of their fat vesicles, as were also all the fatty vesicles of the body. All the muscles were swelled and the interstices of their fibres filled with water; and some of them were by this means
“ washed

“ washed white. *All this was effected with*
 “ *a force of water no greater than that of*
 “ *the arterial blood in its natural state.*”

He then adds, “ from whence ’tis pro-
 “ bable that no vessels were broken to
 “ make way for this universal inundation ;
 “ but the penetrating water might readily
 “ pass through pores, and such fine secre-
 “ tory ducts, *as the more viscid part of the*
 “ *blood in its common course of circulation*
 “ *never enters ; but through which its more*
 “ *attenuated and diluted parts do in their*
 “ *proportion pass.* Thus, for instance, we
 “ see when the penetrating water flows
 “ freely into the secretory ducts of the sali-
 “ vary glands, it makes the saliva flow
 “ plentifully through the excretory ducts,
 “ which, in a natural state, being more gra-
 “ dually separated from the blood, flows
 “ also more leisurely through those ducts.”

I am clearly of opinion, that the water
 passing into the cellular membrane, &c. is
 not from transudation or forced passages ;
 but that the water passed from the blood
 vessels,

vessels, through the glandular viscera, into the same passages as the different fluids would do, that are separated from the blood, when the animal is living; and that the same effects would be produced in them, if it were possible to convey in the same time so much water as to distend and keep the blood vessels filled; but this is impossible, for as soon as the blood vessels, in living animals, are filled or distended to a certain degree with any fluid, the animal immediately dies.

Dr. Hales says, page 120, that “ the
 “ liver, from its own natural redness and
 “ degree of firmness, turned gradually less
 “ red, and sometimes whitish, but always
 “ swelled and was very hard; the water did
 “ not flow through its vessels into the vena
 “ cava. The gall bladder was constantly
 “ distended very full, so as to flow into the
 “ guts. The pancreas was full of water,
 “ as also the spleen, which was seldom
 “ much distended, but was sometimes
 “ washed so clear of blood, as to be very
 “ well injected with tinged liquors.”

We are well convinced that these glands have natural passages, and excretory ducts to convey their fluids, which are separated from the blood into the different parts of the body, and therefore cannot consider the water running through them, and filling their excretory ducts, as the consequence of laceration or transfusion; because we know that their natural fluids pass through the same channels when the animal is living; then, why should we assert, that fluids passing through the lymphatic glands from the blood vessels, was the consequence of rupture or extravasation? It certainly would be fair, from analogy, to consider fluids passing from the blood vessels through the lymphatic glands, as through their *natural* but not *unnatural channels*, and that it was not the effect of laceration or extravasation.

Dr. Hales says, p. 120, that he “ slit
 “ open four or six inches length of a gut,
 “ just opposite to the insertion of the me-
 “ senteric vessels into the gut; and having
 “ then so folded open the gut, as to have

“ the inward mucoſe coat outwards, I wiped
 “ it dry,” ſays he, “ with a ſponge, which
 “ was ſoon moiſtened in every part again, by
 “ the water which oozed through from the
 “ meſenteric arteries; and it was the ſame
 “ on frequent repetition, and alſo when I
 “ held this open part ſo as to make a ſmall
 “ cavity or baſin with it, it would then
 “ alſo be ſoon filled with water.”

Theſe experiments were frequently re-
 peated, and always with the ſame effects;
 that is, the water was always found to paſs
 readily from the blood veſſels through the
 meſenteric glands, and the glandular coats
 of the ſtomach and inteſtines, and even in
 ſuch quantities as to fill thoſe cavities with
 the injected fluid. He afterwards injected
 beer into the deſcending aorta, and found it
 went readily into the ſtomach and inteſtines:
 and in page 149, (after relating the method
 he took to inject an injection, compoſed of
 tallow, roſin, vermilion, and turpentine-
 varniſh, into the deſcending aorta,) he ſays,
 “ I was in hopes by this means to have
 “ fixed the coloured injected liquor, in the
 “ im-

“ immediate communications between the
 “ arteries and veins, but could not succeed
 “ so well as I expected to have done, not-
 “ withstanding the injection passed from
 “ the arteries into the veins of the stomach,
 “ guts, and urine bladder, but especially
 “ in the gall bladder; and carried with it
 “ sometimes a little vermilion, and some-
 “ times none.”

That the injection passed through the glands from the blood vessels and so into the lymphatics, I think there cannot be a doubt. When the extreme parts of the arteries or veins are injected with coloured fluids, it is extremely difficult, if not totally impossible, to distinguish them from the lymphatics. Dr. Hales says, in these experiments, that he always found some of the injection in the cavity of the bowels, though the injections were made with no greater force than that of the arterial blood, which one would imagine could not be force sufficient to rupture the vessels, or to cause the injections to be extravasated.

Where a branch of an artery convolutes, it forms a gland. In this part of the artery the vein terminates, as may be seen in many of the large glands, as in the spleen, pancreas, kidney, &c. This can be demonstrated indisputably, by injecting the artery in a part where it forms a gland; the injection will return by the veins, but not always, unless we pass a ligature round the lymphatics which come out of the gland; for, if the gland is of a loose texture, it will let the mercury through its substance into the lymphatic vessels: at other times, if the gland is of a firm texture; we can inject the vein from the artery, without filling the lymphatics; but if we press the vein close, so as to stop the mercury, and make a pressure on the fluid in the artery, the eye will frequently follow the different convolutions, until it terminates in the lymphatics.

The mesenteric glands are, in general, of a loose texture, as they let the injections pass readily into the intestines; and in diarrhoeas,

rhceas, the blood passes through these glands into the intestines, which does not arise from rupture or ulcer;* for on examining those parts in persons who have died of hæmorrhages, there has not appeared the least vestige of any disorder: and I have known men, who have had monthly discharges of blood from the intestines, similar to those from the uterus of women: in both, I should consider, arising from the same organization of the lymphatics and blood vessels.

Mr. Cruikshank, page 50, observes, that
 “ If lymphatics, it may be said, arise from
 “ arteries and veins, why do we not
 “ oftener inject them from these vessels in
 “ the dead body? To this I reply, the
 “ veins are certainly continued from the
 “ arteries, and yet we count it a lucky in-
 “ jection; if we fill the veins from the
 “ arteries, unless the fluid injected is a very
 “ subtile one, and such as does not quickly
 “ coagulate, oil of turpentine will often do

* Dr. Huxham on Fevers, vol. ii. p. 5.

“ it; but it transfuses through the coats of
 “ vessels, and we can never be certain of
 “ what has really taken place. Quick-
 “ silver often returns by the veins, and as
 “ it never transfuses, we are more certain
 “ what we have done, but the quicksilver
 “ will not always return by the veins.
 “ I have injected the arteries of the intes-
 “ tines to the utmost with quicksilver, and
 “ yet not a particle has returned by the
 “ veins. I have reversed this, and injected
 “ the veins to the utmost, and yet not a
 “ particle has returned by the arteries.
 “ Are we, therefore, to infer, that the ar-
 “ teries and veins are not connected, after
 “ so many proofs that they certainly are?”

As the quicksilver is considered as a fluid
 that does not transfuse, and that when injected
 it neither returns by the arteries or veins,
 which is a fact I know to be the case very
 often; I should apprehend that it went from
 the blood vessels through the mesenteric
 glands into the intestines. Mr. Cruikshanks
 does not mention whether he examined the
 intestine, but I am firmly persuaded, that
 if

if he had, he would have found the quicksilver there, because I have met with similar instances.

Dr. Mekel has particularly distinguished himself on this subject; having injected the glands and lymphatics from the blood vessels in many parts of the body, he does not admit that his injections passed through unnatural passages, or in consequence of rupture; but, on the contrary, asserts, there was not the least appearance of *rupture* or *extravasation*.

Now, to prove that there was rupture, it would appear to be necessary to use a degree of force to *cause* a rupture; and that the forcing the quicksilver might be sufficient to produce it: admitting this to have its full force, there are methods of proving a direct passage or communication, where no force is applied, and even from changes which take place in the body, in health, as well as when diseased; as for instance, the injecting of air, where little or no force is used,

used, and seeing it go directly into the lymphatic vessels.

Thus Mr. Cruikshanks, although he denies this direct communication, yet observes, p. 50, “ on the process of the peritoneum of the porpoise, through which the spermatic vessels run, I inflated the absorbents from the veins with the gentlest breath I could blow: but here it may be objected, that in this animal there may be some uncommon connection between red veins and absorbent vessels. I cannot say that there may not be such connection; but I strongly suspect there is not.”

Certainly there is not. This organization is the same throughout the animal œconomy; the mere throwing coloured fluids, water, &c. with no force into the blood vessels, will go into the lymphatics and cellular membrane; and in the *dead body*, we find that the blood passes through the same passage into the cellular membrane, through the lymphatic glands: these effects

effects cannot be attributed to arise from *forced passages*, because no force is used; therefore they prove a direct *natural passage* from the blood vessels into the lymphatics, independent of all other experiments; and the arguments of forcing the injection through ruptured passages cannot be used here. But as these appearances equally overturn the doctrine of the lymphatic system terminating in the thoracic duct, it was asserted, that they were the consequence of *transudation*, and no proof of the fluids passing through natural passages; but that they transuded through *inorganized* parts. This doctrine of transudation is supported by the highest authority, and is at present received by the first physiologists. I am sorry, however, to oppose such authority, as I cannot admit that transudation takes place, either in the *living* or *dead body*; but that every thing we observe in it, is carried on by means of *organized* and not *inorganized* parts; it will be therefore necessary particularly to examine, what are the arguments advanced, in favour of the doctrine of transudation.

Mr. Hewson, page 123, observes, from Dr. Hunter's Medical Commentaries, that the doctrine of transfusion is supported as follows: "1st, The ready transfusion
 " of watery and other injections after death.
 " 2dly, The transfusion of blood after
 " death, but not during life; for during
 " life, he" (Dr. Hunter) "supposes the
 " blood to be thickened by the coagulable
 " lymph; but when the lymph is jellied,
 " he concludes the blood is thereby made
 " thinner, and therefore more capable of
 " oozing through the inorganized inter-
 " stices, by which it could not pass before.
 " 3dly, The transfusion of bile, which
 " he thinks, takes place in the living body,
 " because on opening a dead one we see all
 " the neighbourhood of the gall-bladder
 " tinged with this fluid."

Mr. Cruikshanks asserts, that transfusion takes place in the dead body; but denies that it does in the living; he says, page 10, "In dead bodies the fluids cer-
 " tainly transfuse: the vessels in losing life
 " lose

“ lose the property of confining their fluids.
 “ Wherever the contained fluid is suffi-
 “ ciently coloured, we can easily demon-
 “ strate this: bile, for example, is either
 “ brown, yellow, or green, and blood of
 “ a dark red: the first transfuses through
 “ the gall-bladder, and tinges the transverse
 “ arch of the colon, the duodenum, and
 “ pylorus, in short, all the neighbouring
 “ parts, of the same colour with itself:
 “ the second also transfuses through the
 “ coats of veins, and makes the stomach,
 “ for example, which is naturally white in
 “ the living body, of a dark red. Thin fluids
 “ injected into the blood vessels, in the
 “ dead body, also transfuse: glue dissolved
 “ in water, and thrown into the coronary
 “ veins, transfuses into the cavity of the
 “ pericardium, and jellying, retains the
 “ shape of that sac; the same glue, injected
 “ into the veins of the pia mater, transfuses
 “ into the ventricles of the brain, and when
 “ cold and jellied, retains the figure of these
 “ cavities: but nothing of this kind takes
 “ place in the living body.”

First then I answer, that watery and other fluids, passing from the blood vessels into the various parts of the body, does not prove by any means that they passed through inorganized passages, and was the effect of transudation; but, on the contrary, I conceive that they passed through natural and organized parts. We might with as much propriety argue and say, that as a fluid is found frequently in the ventricles of the brain, and that we find bile and urine in the excretory ducts of the liver and kidneys; that they were the consequence of *transudation*: but we cannot consider them as so many proofs of those fluids transuding through inorganized parts, particularly as we know that there are organs appointed to perform these offices. If we destroy the liver or kidneys, there will be no bile or urine; so it is throughout the body: if we destroy any organ, the effects of it will, of course, cease. If fluids found in the various parts of the body, be admitted as a proof of their transuding, we may as well assert, that all fluids transude; for if transudation takes place in one part of the body,

if

it would be fair to infer, that it is the same in all parts; as we cannot suppose that nature ever intended to perform the same office by different means, the great regularity and uniformity there is in the human body will not admit of such an opinion, nor justify our asserting, that transfusion, through inorganized passages, ever takes place; but, on the contrary, every thing we observe in it, ought to induce us to consider it the effect of parts appointed to produce them.

Secondly, That the blood passing from the blood vessels into the cellular membrane, &c. after death, is no proof of their transfusing into those parts; nor do I admit, that this is peculiar to the *dead body*; for the same or similar appearances will happen to the *living*, if we apply the same cause which produced it in the dead; neither do I conceive that the lymph thickens the blood during life, so as to prevent its passing through those passages which it does in the dead body, as Dr. Hunter imagined to be the case; nor can I admit, that when the lymph is coagulated, the blood is rendered

H thinner

thinner in the dead body, so as to let the blood into the cellular membrane, &c. through inorganized passages, which are supposed not to let the blood through in the living body, but *transude* in the dead one. The true cause of the blood passing into the cellular membrane, &c. in the dead body, is its being dissolved from putrefaction, which takes place in three or four days, more or less, according as the state of the atmosphere is hot or cold, and the nature of the complaint of which the person died; and this is by no means peculiar to the dead body, as these gentlemen think; for in putrid fevers there is no part of the body, whilst living, exempt from having the red blood discharged into it, according to the violence or malignity of the infection; thus, for instance, we frequently may observe in putrid fevers, blood discharged from the eyes, nose, * ears, mouth, a diarrhœa, bloody

* I know that a bleeding of the nose will sometimes happen from plethora, or from rupture of a small vessel; but that is quite a different discharge to that which is caused by putrefaction. Whenever these

bloody urine, bloody discharge from blisters, *petechæ*, or livid spots all over the body; and when the fever becomes of such a malignant nature, as to resemble the plague, it becomes general throughout the body; and bloody sweat is the consequence. Now I do not admit these appearances to arise either from rupture or transudation, but from the red globules of the blood, dissolved by putrefaction, so that they become as small as the globules of lymph or serum, and, of course, pass from the blood vessels, through the lymphatic glands, into the lymphatic system; which it could not do whilst the body remained in health.

hæmorrhages happen, we may be assured, that it is caused from a dissolution of the red globules of the blood, and is frequently attended with great danger; but as soon as we observe the saliva secreted on the tongue, or in the mouth, we may almost be assured of the patient's recovery, because it shews that the separations of lymph in the other parts of the body will be made, and the dissolution of the blood prevented; for as long as the lymphatic system is obstructed, every hour is of the utmost consequence until it be removed; there is no hopes of stopping the putrefaction of the blood until this is produced.

Thirdly, That the bile tinging the neighbouring parts in a dead body, is no proof of transfusion, because we may produce the same effects in the living one, by making use of the same cause that produced it in the dead, and in a much greater degree, so as to extend all over the body: but as I mean to speak particularly on this subject, under the head of absorption, I should wish to reserve what I have to offer, until I come to that part, when I shall endeavour to prove that this effect is not peculiar to the dead body, nor does it happen from transfusion, which I do not admit ever to take place, either in the living or dead body.

It will therefore appear, from what has already been advanced, that the arguments used to deny a direct passage from the blood vessels into the lymphatics, by alledging the means of rupture, laceration, disease, extravasation, or transfusion, do not by any means warrant such an assertion or inference; nor can the arguments be considered either satisfactory or conclusive; and that there is a *direct natural passage* from the blood vessels,

sels, through the lymphatic glands, cannot be fairly disputed or denied ; and such passage is nothing more than a convolution of the artery, the lymphatics being a continuation of the same vessel. But as this is a very critical point in physiology, and that it is of the utmost importance to have it clearly and indisputably determined, as the most important considerations will arise from its being established, I shall, therefore, only mention one more experiment of the many that might be produced, in favour of a direct communication from the blood vessels into the lymphatics, which I think will satisfactorily and incontrovertibly demonstrate this fact, supposing that nothing else could be offered in its favour, and particularly, as neither the argument of rupture, laceration, disease, or transfusion, can be used to controvert it. It is this :—If, after feeding an animal, we kill it, and pass immediately a ligature round the lymphatic vessels which come from a lymphatic gland ; the spleen, for example—we soon find the lymphatic vessels filled with lymph, which comes through the gland from the blood vessels :

that this is the fact cannot be disputed, and if we cut into the substance of the gland, we find the same kind of fluid in it as in the lymphatic vessels; which are convincing proofs of a direct passage from the blood vessels into the lymphatics: and that the lymph in the lymphatic vessels passed into them through this channel: we only know that fluids are separated from the blood, because we find them on the various surfaces, and in the cavities of excretory ducts, into which they had been separated from the blood; as for instance: as the liver secretes bile, and the kidney, urine, so we find these fluids in their excretory ducts; hence we infer, that they were separated from the blood by the glands into those cavities. Now as it may be observed that the same fluid is found in the lymphatic glands, in the vessels coming from those glands, and on the different surfaces, and in the cavities of the body, it is certainly fair to infer, that the lymphatic vessels are the excretory ducts of the lymphatic glands: the proofs are exactly the same, and equally conclusive: therefore, THE USE OF THE LYMPHATIC GLANDS

IS FOR THE SEPARATION OF THE LYMPH FROM THE BLOOD.

From what has been advanced in the preceding observations and experiments, it is therefore evident,

1. Not only that the lymphatic system does not terminate in the thoracic duct, as is the prevailing opinion, but also,

2. That the lymphatics and lacteals are distinct and separate systems of vessels.

3. That transfusion does not take place either in the living or dead body; but that every thing we observe in it, is the effect of organization,

4. That the lymphatic vessels are excretory ducts to the lymphatic glands.

5. That there is a *direct natural passage* from the blood vessels through the lymphatic glands into every part of the body.

C H A P. II.

THE INTRODUCTION AND DEMONSTRATION OF
THE NEW LYMPHATIC SYSTEM.

IT has been usual for anatomists to take the origin of the Lymphatic system from the different cavities and surfaces of the body : but I shall take mine from the heart, as its proper origin.

This system is extremely simple, it arises merely from an extension of the arteries : to illustrate what I mean, suppose, for example, we take the branch of an artery ; (and I wish it to be understood, to apply to the whole of the arterial system.) A branch of the aorta, for instance ; we cannot trace this artery to its termination, Dr. Keill, in his Anatomy, p. 310, says, that “ As the
“ arteries grow smaller and smaller, so these
“ coats grow thinner, and the coats of
“ the veins seem to be only a continua-
“ tion of the coats of the capillary arte-
“ ries.”

“ ries.” And Mr. Cruikshanks, p. 59, says, speaking of the coats of the blood vessels, that “ These coats become thinner
 “ the farther we go from the trunks, till
 “ at last it is no longer possible to separate
 “ them in the branches; and their ex-
 “ istence is only inferred from analogy.”

This being admitted, we can trace the artery until it becomes extremely small in many parts of the body, and its coats are thin in proportion, so that they frequently appear transparent when they are filled with red blood. Now, let us set out from the aorta again, and we may observe, that in tracing this artery, it gives out, in its passage, various anastomosing branches, from which we can trace them, running into and forming convolutions, or what we commonly call *lymphatic glands*: and this system is observed in great abundance throughout the body. Hence arises the impossibility of tracing them, as *arteries*, to their terminations; because, after they have formed convolutions or *glands*, they do not convey red blood, as it cannot be admitted *through* the *glands*, whilst the body is in health: but

after these glands are so formed by the artery, *the artery then divides anastomoses, and the same vessel immediately become a system of lymphatic vessels*; which, as they extend, become so extremely minute, as not to be possibly distinguished by the naked eye; and in this *state they unite*, and form the different parts of the body; such for instance as the periosteum, pleura, mediastinum, peritonæum, adipose membrane, cutis, &c. as well as several other parts of the body, which do not contain red blood, which is only confined in the arteries by the globules of blood being so large, as not, *in health*, to pass through these passages, which are formed for the lymph.

Now, I am induced to believe that all these parts of the body, just mentioned, are formed from the extension of the lymphatic vessels, from the following considerations: 1st. That although it appears to the naked eye that membranes, the skin, for instance, appear to be a crude or undigested mass; yet if we examine it with a microscope, it appears a beautiful and regular system of vessels;

sels; and the same appears from the examination of any other minute part of the body with a microscope. 2d. In many disorders we can evidently see, with the naked eye, that membranes are composed of vessels, such, for instance, as the tunica conjunctiva, or what is commonly called the white of the eye: for when we examine this membrane, when it is in a state of inflammation, *millions of vessels* appear to the naked eye filled with red blood, which has been forced into the lymphatic vessels, so as to make a very beautiful appearance, and do evidently demonstrate, that it is wholly composed of *minute vessels*. This may be equally observed in *all other membranous parts* that are in a state of *inflammation*, where the *globules* of the *blood* are *broken* and forced into the *lymphatic vessels*, which constitute these parts, which are all formed from the same system; so that these membranes are, consequently, organized parts, and may be considered as the *termination of the lymphatic system*.—

3d. When an inflammation happens to the pleura, mediastinum, cellular membrane,

• perito-

peritonæum, &c. if it does not terminate by resolution, but suppuration takes place, the consequence is, that the parts that are separated from the sound parts will leave the lymphatic vessels so much changed or altered from their original formation, as to cause an extravasation of their fluid, as we may observe in the pleurisy, peritoneal inflammation, puerperal fever, &c. the cause of these effects is evidently from the inflammation and suppuration of the lymphatic vessels which constitute and form these membranes, which must leave the vessels open, from which the diseased parts had been separated, and, consequently, an extravasation of lymph will follow, as is the case in these complaints. 4th. When any of the membranous parts are injured by the small pox, a wound, burn, or any other means, the skin which covers the parts that have been injured, is very different from that which existed before the accident, as appears by comparing the scars or marks, with the skin of the other parts of the body; there are no pores in it, and, of course, no perspiration can pass through its
sub-

substance ; and if it was a part where there was formerly hair, it will not grow again, as the lymphatic vessels, which formerly terminated and formed it, are destroyed : and although the lymphatic system extend to, and cover over a *wounded* part, yet it continues ever afterwards in a very different state from its original formation.

If there were any system of vessels which originated from the surfaces or cavities, as the lymphatic vessels were *supposed* to do, and that they were extremely numerous ; if this, I say, were really the case, it would follow, that no disorder that the body could be subject to, would colour the whole of the skin in the manner we frequently see it ; because, if any system of vessels did exist, independent of the lymphatics, they would take up some space, and could not tinge or colour the skin in those parts where such vessels are supposed to originate ; as we frequently observe in the small pox, eruptions, jaundice, bloody sweat, that no part of the body can be perceived, even so small as a pin's point, but what is tinged with the bile

or blood; and we can produce the same effects by feeding animals on fluids impregnated with various colours; so that even the very substance of the bones shall be deeply tinged. These are facts which prove how very generally the lymphatic system extends, even to the most minute parts; and that there is no part of the body but what receives the lymph by means of this system.

If we consider the component parts of the blood, we shall find it to be a compound fluid, whose globules are made of different sizes, so as to adapt them to pass through some passages, and be retained in others. The globules of lymph, serum, urine, milk, &c. are considerably smaller than the red globules of blood: this is confirmed by numberless microscopical experiments of the best authors, and indeed it does not appear more surprizing, that there should be so great a difference in the globules, than in the density of fluids; as, for instance, mercury is denser than water, water than air, air than light, &c. And hence, the great consequence of the *lymphatic*

phatic glands, as being the very organs by which the lymph passes to the respective parts, as it is the only passage from the blood vessels into the lymphatic system.

The globules of the blood, like all other animal substances, are destroyed by putrefaction, as may be seen by mixing some fresh globules of blood with putrid serum; they will, as soon as they begin to dissolve, have the appearance of a mulberry; and, if suffered to remain, they break and become entirely dissolved. All putrid and fermented fluids have no globules when fermentation or putrefaction takes place; the blood, lymph, urine, &c. are then blended together, and run into every part of the body, and therefore blood can only answer the purposes of our existence, so long as the fluids, of which it is composed, retain their globules.

There is a great analogy between the lymphatic system of animals, and the bark and leaves of trees, plants, fruits, &c. which seem to derive life from similar means to
that

that of animals: I should consider their barks, in fact, to be their lymphatic system, and of the same importance to them as the lymphatic system is to the animal œconomy: for, if we cut the bark of a tree, we cut off the connection, or means, by which fluids are conveyed to it for its nutrition; and although you give it as much water as you please, after the bark has been cut, the tree dies. Now, if we destroy the lymphatic glands, we cut off the means by which the lymph is to be conveyed to the body, and the animal will certainly die, although there may be no defect in the blood vessels, nor the blood deficient either in quantity or quality: for instance, suppose a person afflicted with a white swelling in the knee, a disorder which is produced by an obstruction of the lymphatic glands; we may observe the limb waste and daily diminish, until it becomes entirely destroyed; this does not arise from any obstruction in the blood vessels, because, if we feel the pulsation of the artery in the deceased and emaciated limb, and compare it with the pulse in the wrist, we shall find they beat alike.

alike. When the lymphatic glands become entirely obstructed, a mortification is the consequence, because the channel, by which the fluid is to be conveyed, is cut off in a similar manner to the bark of trees when cut; the fluid cannot be conveyed, and the parts deprived of it, die.

Consumptions are caused by a disease of the lymphatic glands, for we find them in a state of obstruction or suppuration, in persons who have died of this complaint. We may observe in these persons, that when the disorder first appears, the body wastes gradually; it goes on in this manner for some time, wasting more and more, so that it may very properly be said to be dying by inches; for, in proportion as the glands become more obstructed, or diseased, so does the disorder increase, and the body diminish; and, at last, when no more lymph can be admitted, the miserable patient frequently dies in a few hours. The blood of persons who have had consumptions, when in an advanced state, is always found to have a strong size; this is produced by the

lymphatic glands being obstructed, so that the lymph is confined in the blood vessels, which become violently distended, and cause such an oppression in the lungs, as to threaten suffocation, which can only be relieved by bleeding; I have frequently known this to be necessary three or four times a day, to keep the patient from being choaked, although this could only be considered as a temporary relief, by lessening the quantity of blood in the vessels, which was not at all altered by the bleeding; but it was always accompanied with a strong size and buff, the same as if no blood had been taken away.

The lues venerea, scrophula, spina ventosa, &c. in their advanced state, arise from a disease or obstruction of the lymphatic glands, in a similar manner to consumptions; they both are attended with a destruction of the lymphatic system. It is not, I believe, in the nature of things, or in the power of human invention, to cure these disorders when advanced, unless we possessed the power of replacing these organized parts,

parts, which are so essential to our existence; yet by an early application to diet, and a few medicines, these disorders may very frequently be cured; and when more advanced, may be greatly relieved.

From the lymphatic glands being hurt or injured, in animals that are young and growing, as in cases when they have their limbs of different sizes, we frequently see lame persons who have a leg, an arm, or other part, smaller, or out of proportion to the rest. This originally happens from some of the principal glands being injured or destroyed, by a blow, or otherwise; the consequence whereof is, that the limb which has all the glands, and is supplied with more lymph, will arrive at its full size or growth, whilst the other will be diminished, in proportion to the number of glands injured, and consequent privation of lymph: and hence arise the various defects or deformities we frequently meet with. I have seen a very remarkable instance of this sort in a young growing dog; the glands of the neck became very much swelled and indurated;

durated; the joints of the fore legs became also much enlarged, similar to what we see joints in scrophula: they made a crack- ing noise when the animal endeavoured to walk, and all the fore part of the dog wasted, and became considerably less than the hind parts, which grew proportionably much larger, and had the appearance of health. This was evidently the effect of more lymph being conveyed through glands in those parts that were unobstructed, and caused the increase; as on the contrary, the other parts were diminished, from the lymph being denied a passage through the diseased glands, which readily accounts for the increase or decrease of those particular parts.

It is a common practice for persons to stop the growth of puppies, by bathing them with spirits, which destroys some of the glands, and prevents the lymph increasing the size of the animal. It is observable, that persons who drink strong spirits, almost always die consumptive or dropical, from the lymphatic glands being obstructed or destroyed.

Hence farther appears the great importance and use of these glands.

There also seems to be a great analogy between the lymphatic glands and the roots of trees; if, for instance, we cut off half the root of a tree, it will diminish until it come to such a size as the root can supply with fluid sufficient for its nourishment; but, on the contrary, if we cut off half the tree, and leave the whole of the root, the root will throw out new shoots; or increase the old ones to such a size as to receive all the fluid which the root can supply; and therefore the root always corresponds with the size of the tree.

It is nearly the same with the lymphatic glands as with the roots of trees: if we destroy part of them, the parts of the body which are deprived of their lymph will waste, until they come to such a size as the remaining glands can supply with lymph: if the glands are numerous, and secrete more lymph, than is necessary for the waste that is constantly going off, the body in-

creases in proportion to the quantity of lymph that is superfluous. *This is very remarkable in all young and growing animals, and the reverse in old age.*

From the time of birth, the body increases considerably more in proportion than at any other period ever after. This is performed by the lymphatic system: the arteries are much larger—more numerous for the purpose of extension, and increasing the different parts; the vibrations of the heart are much quicker to force the fluids to the extremities of the lymphatic system; the lymphatic glands all over the body are larger in proportion, and more numerous than at any other period. When the body arrives at its proper growth, it remains a certain time in that state; it neither diminishes nor increases, as the whole of the lymph secreted is then no more than sufficient to repair the loss that is continually made; but as soon as the arterial system loses the power of forcing the lymph to the extremities, it then begins to waste. The heart, in old age, does not vibrate near so often, and becomes

comes weak and unable to force the lymph through the lymphatic glands to the extremities of the system; instead of the arteries being larger in proportion to the veins, as is the case at birth, the very contrary takes place; and they become small and waste; the veins, on the contrary, are large, dilated and varicose, lose their power of resisting the force of the heart and arteries, and the blood passes into the veins that are thus dilated, so that little or no lymph is pressed through the glands. In short, the whole of the lymphatic system is, in old age, the reverse of what it was at the time of birth; and when old age advances, the lymphatic glands become obliterated in many parts of the body, so as to cause the lymphatic system to cease; a total or *partial* mortification takes place, and converts bones, flesh, membranes, &c. into its original matter; and therefore life ceases in all parts, when the lymphatic system ceases to perform its functions.

C H A P. III.

OF ABSORPTION.

IT is a fact, well-known from the most early ages, that there is a power in an animal body of absorbing fluids; and modern observations have shewn, that this power of absorption even extends to solids; as for instance, quicksilver rubbed on the skin, in form of ointment, gets into the circulation; and calomel rubbed on any part of the body will produce a salivation. Now the great dispute is, by what means this power of absorption is performed. There have been two opinions. The ancients asserted, that absorption is performed by the red veins, and that their orifices open on surfaces and cavities of the body, to absorb. This doctrine was generally admitted by all old writers, and has been warmly supported by some of the most celebrated modern authors, who have added many arguments and experiments to those of the ancients,

to

to prove their doctrine true, and that absorption is performed by the red veins, as they asserted. The principal experiments which have been brought in favour of this doctrine, are,

1st. That Swammerdam made a ligature on the mesenteric veins, which stopped the circulation of the blood in those parts; and found it streaked with white chyle, which he supposed was absorbed from the intestines by the mesenteric veins.

2dly. Lieberkuhn asserts, that he saw the injection run from the orifices of the mesenteric veins into the intestines.

3dly. Dr. Hales injected a coarse injection into the blood vessels, with a force equal to that with which the blood circulates in a living body; and he found part of the injection in the intestines.

4thly. The celebrated Kauw Boerhaave's experiment has been frequently quoted as great authority. He injected water into the
stomach

stomach and intestines of a dog, which being compressed, he saw the water run into the mesenteric veins in such a quantity as to wash out the red blood, so that the veins appeared white.

5thly. Dr. Mekel filled red veins, by injecting the cavities of the vesiculæ feminales, bladder, &c. ; and, on the contrary, he injected these surfaces or cavities from the blood vessels ; and the same in several other parts of the body.

Such have been the principal experiments brought in favour of the red veins being absorbents, which have been considered by many very eminent physiologists to be perfectly satisfactory and conclusive. Even Baron Haller, in many parts of his physiology, supports this doctrine of absorption by the veins : yet it is far from being generally received ; for many equally eminent anatomists have been of a different opinion, and have denied that the red veins absorb ; but assert that the lymphatic vessels perform this important office. They have endeavoured

voured to controvert the arguments and experiments on which the doctrine of the red veins absorbing is established; and have adduced others, in support of the lymphatics being a system of absorbents, and not the veins. These are,

1st. That injections from the lymphatics into the blood vessels, and from the blood vessels into the lymphatics, did not go through natural passages, but were the effect of rupture, laceration, extravasation, transfusion, or disease, which of course could not be depended upon as proofs of their passing into the veins through natural passages.

2dly. That the absorption of poisons, such as the venereal, variolous, &c. inflame the lymphatic vessels, which may be evidently seen, as they appear then like so many red lines, when the veins are not affected; nor have they any appearance of inflammation.

3dly.

3dly. That the lymphatic vessels may be seen, as they are then inflamed, to convey the poison they absorb into the neighbouring glands, and that they sometimes inflame and suppurate, as in the venereal buboe; and we frequently observe the lymphatic vessels and glands inflamed or swelled, by the absorption of matter, from an abscess, ulcer, issue, or blister; in all of these instances the lymphatic vessels and glands are affected, and not the veins; and that it would be fair to infer, that if the red veins absorbed, they would be subject to swell and inflame, in the same manner as the lymphatic vessels*.

4thly. The lacteals are admitted to absorb chyle from the surface of the intestines, and to terminate in the thoracic duct; the

* As the veins do not inflame or suppurate from the absorption of poisons, it is certainly a conclusive argument, that they do not absorb, and this on the same principle, that the thoracic duct does not inflame or suppurate; for when the lymphatics and glands inflame and suppurate, it is equally conclusive, that the thoracic duct is not the termination of the absorbent system.

lymphatics,

lymphatics, as they are similar, and resemble the lacteals in their valves and in most other respects, therefore they are also considered the absorbents of every other surface or cavity of the body, and the only difference between them is in their name.

Such have been the principal arguments advanced to controvert the doctrine of the red veins absorbing.

That the lymphatic vessels do absorb, and not the veins, I have not the least doubt; for, before it can be established that the red veins do absorb, we must overturn the circulation of the blood, which is a doctrine now so universally received, as not to admit of a doubt, and what professional men in all countries throughout Europe have established.

This is a circumstance, that I am surpris'd the opposers of venal absorption did not avail themselves of, as it would indisputably have overturned the opinion of the red veins absorbing, so long as the circulation

circulation of the blood is received. Now the principle, upon which the circulation is established, is, that the vein terminates in the artery; and although this cannot be demonstrated in the most minute parts of the body, yet in many of the larger branches of the blood vessels, we can prove this anastomosing of the artery and vein by injections; therefore it is fair to infer, from analogy, that the artery and vein anastomoses throughout the body; and that this is the fact is certainly indisputable, because the circulation of the blood is established upon this very principle: besides, in some animals, and particularly in fish that are transparent, we can, by the assistance of the microscope, absolutely see the circulation of the blood in the artery returned by the vein; and this being admitted, it will follow, that *veins cannot terminate in surfaces or cavities with open mouths, as the supporters of venal absorption have asserted, but must terminate in the artery; and hence it follows, that the veins cannot absorb.*

The experiments that are advanced in favour of the red veins absorbing are, in themselves, unquestionably unanswerable; because they have been so often repeated by men of the first eminence, and admit of being so easily demonstrated. Whenever this is the case, there can be no doubt, nor can the fact be overturned. But these experiments can *by no means justify such a conclusion*. If we admit them to the fullest extent, they prove no more than what I have proved before; namely, *that there is a direct natural passage between the blood vessels and lymphatics*, and so far from proving the veins a system of absorbents, I shall on the contrary proceed to shew, *that these very experiments will make it appear evident that the lymphatics are a system of absorbents, and not the veins*.

The extension of the arterial system forming as I have represented, in the preceding observations, the membranes of all surfaces and cavities of the body whatever, opens a direct passage *to and from* the circulation,

culatation, through the *lymphatic glands and vessels*, by which means fluids are carried to all parts of the body *from* the blood; and all *absorbed fluids* by the same system are conveyed *into the blood*, and this immediately explains how injections, &c. applied to such surfaces, under particular circumstances, may be conveyed into the blood vessels, *to* and *from* every part of the body: there is not, therefore, the least reason to doubt the accuracy of the experiments brought in favour of venal absorption, as they *only prove this passage into the blood vessels*, and by no means prove the veins a system of absorbents, on the contrary; I shall now proceed to shew that they demonstrate the *lymphatics* to be a system of absorbents.

It appears, from what has already been advanced, that the lymphatic system is composed of vessels, *conveying the lymph through the lymphatic glands*, from the blood vessels to all parts of the body, for the important purpose of repairing the loss that is continually made; *but that is only*
one

one part of their office; for when this system has performed its office of conveying the lymph, and the lost parts are repaired, which is done by repletion; they afterwards become *mere empty tubes*, and when in such a state, they then become a *system of absorbents*: for it cannot be supposed, that fluids conveyed *from* the blood, and those that are carried *into* the blood, by absorption, diametrically opposite to each other, can be conveyed in contrary directions in the same vessel, and at the same time: but after they have conveyed the lymph to the various parts of the body, they then, from being empty tubes, become a proper system of absorbents, and will absorb whatever is applied to the surface of any part of the body; and according to the degree of *inanition* or *repletion* of the lymphatic vessels, so will the body be *more* or *less* susceptible of absorption of any fluid applied to the skin, or any other surface or cavity of the body: for I suppose the membranes of the internal parts, such as the pleura, peritoneum, cellular membrane, &c.

to possess the same power of absorption as the cutis.

Absorption in plants appears perfectly analogous to the power of absorption in animals, which is increased or diminished in both, by the *increase* or *decrease* of fluids in their vessels. Dr. Hales has clearly demonstrated the surprizing variation in the quantity of moisture imbibed and perspired by different plants, under different states of the atmosphere, and also has shewn that they will *not absorb* at certain times. I have seen the rain absorbed from the leaves of plants as fast as it fell on them, for some time; but as soon as the vessels are filled, the leaves no longer absorb, the water then runs off as fast as it fell on them; whence it is evident, that plants will absorb, from fluids applied either to the roots or leaves, as long as the *absorbent vessels are empty*. It is the same with animals; if we fill the blood vessels with fluids, it will perspire from all the different surfaces or membranes of the body, in like manner as from the barks and
leaves

leaves of plants ; and also in a similar manner, when the fluids of the animal are exhausted, it will absorb from every surface or cavity whatever ; as on the contrary, when the absorbent vessels are filled, *it will no longer absorb.*

The lymphatic vessels are frequently found empty ; it is usual for anatomists to feed the animals, on whom they intend to demonstrate the lymphatic vessels, previous to their being killed, and then they are found turgid with lymph ; but if this is neglected, and the animal has fasted for some time, they are sometimes invisible, or discovered with great difficulty. Yet it frequently happens, that in dying animals the contraction of the arteries is so great, as to separate the lymph from the blood which passes into the lymphatics ; hence this has given rise to the assertion of many celebrated anatomists, that the lacteals have been filled with a transparent fluid, instead of chyle, which they imagined was absorbed from the intestines : but nothing can be more erroneous than this opinion ; for it is very evident, that it is

pressed through the lymphatic glands into the lymphatic vessels, by the contraction of the heart and arteries, and is not absorbed from the intestines by the lacteals, as they imagine.

If it be admitted that the lymphatics have this power of absorption, *only* when they are *empty*, many very important circumstances will arise, as it *opens quite a new field, explaining* many things, which, according to the present system of things, appear *inexplicable*; and in which the *treatment* of every *disease* that the body can be subject to, is so materially concerned.

This system enables us, either by *repletion* or *inanimation*, to *fill* or *empty* the lymphatics whenever we please; and gives us the power of preventing all *infection* by *contact*; and, on the contrary, we have it in our power to put the body in such a state, as to be susceptible of absorbing whatever we wish, or may be considered necessary to be carried from the *skin*, or any other *surface* of the *body*, into the *circulation*.

That

That the body has the power of absorbing at *one time*, and *not at another*, is a fact well known, and repeatedly observed by professional men; and it is a circumstance that has always been considered as inexplicable: but the admission of this system will immediately explain these difficulties.

It sometimes happens, that two men will be connected with the same woman, who has a venereal disorder; and one man escapes receiving any infection, whilst the other will have all the symptoms of the most malignant gonorrhœa. This, in the course of my practice, I have often seen; and I believe it is a circumstance that has occurred to many other professional men; yet it has been considered as a phænomenon that cannot be accounted for. I have heard an attempt to explain it in the following manner, viz. "That the first man connected
" with the woman would absorb the whole
" of the infectious matter, and that, of
" course, the second man could not be sub-
" ject to receive the infection." Now this would be deserving of some credit, if it
K 3 were

were true, that the first man always received the disorder; but this is by no means the fact; for, on the contrary, it frequently happens, that the man who had the first connection escapes, whilst the other has all the symptoms of a very severe infection.

Several persons may be bit, at the same time, by mad and poisonous animals, such as a mad dog, a viper, rattlesnake, &c. yet some are not at all or scarcely affected by it, although others die in consequence of the accident. And, in the same manner, it frequently happens, that several persons will go into infectious air, or into a room where there is a putrid fever, yet some escape, whilst others receive the infection; and it sometimes happens, that from inoculation, the infection is not received, nor does it always produce the small-pox.

These are facts, generally and universally admitted; and the causes of such effects can be satisfactorily explained, by admitting the system which I propose: whilst, at the same time, these very effects furnish strong

argument in favour of the lymphatics *absorbing*, when they are *empty*, and not *at any other time*.

That the body does absorb, when fatigued or exhausted, there cannot be a doubt: it has been frequently observed by many medical writers, that the body is particularly susceptible of absorbing infection, when exhausted: indeed it is a common saying, that a person coming off a journey, fatigued or exhausted, is almost sure to receive infection, if he should go where there are putrid fevers or infectious air. Dr. Willis says, that persons have received the small-pox after very violent exercise. Dr. Huxham, in his *Essay on Fevers*, page 9, says, that it is dangerous to go abroad fasting, in the cold air of a morning, or on an empty stomach. And page 29, he says, that persons who use a warm moistening diet, and warm relaxing diluents, counteract the effects of cold air, and escape such disorders as are common to cold weather. And in page 22, he says, that no person, very much fatigued, or fast-

K 4

ing,

ing, should enter into contagious air, or visit sick of a malignant or pestilential fever. “ I have known,” says he, “ this
 “ fatal to many physicians ; for then they
 “ most readily receive the contagious efflu-
 “ via or infection.”

Dr. Hales, in his Vegetable Statics, says,
 “ that a strong young man, exhausted by
 “ labour and fasting, absorbed, in the space
 “ of one night, eighteen ounces.”

Mr. Cruikshanks, page 100, observes,
 “ that Professor Home found himself
 “ heavier in the morning, than he was just
 “ before he went to bed in the preceding
 “ evening, though he had been perspiring
 “ all night, and had received nothing, either
 “ by the mouth, or in any other sensible
 “ way. The Abbé Fontana also informed
 “ me, that walking home some hours in
 “ the open and damp air, immediately after
 “ the operation of a purgative, that on re-
 “ turning home and weighing himself
 “ again, he was some ounces heavier ; a cir-
 “ cumstance which cannot so easily be
 “ other-

“ otherwise accounted for, than by sup-
“ posing an inhalation from the atmo-
“ sphere. The celebrated De Haen, find-
“ ing that his dropfical patients filled equally
“ fast, whether they were permitted to
“ drink liquids or not, did not hesitate to
“ assert, that they *must* absorb from the at-
“ mosphere. That the surface of the skin
“ absorbs other fluids, which come in con-
“ tact with it, I have not the least doubt.
“ A patient of mine, with a stricture in the
“ œsophagus, received nothing, either solid
“ or liquid, into the stomach for two
“ months; he was exceeding thirsty, and
“ complained of making no water. I or-
“ dered him the warm bath for an hour,
“ evening and morning, for a month; his
“ thirst vanished, and he made water in the
“ same manner as when he used to drink
“ by the mouth; and the fluid descended
“ readily into the stomach.”

With respect to the above observation
of Mr. Cruikshanks, concerning Professor
Home, I should apprehend the Professor
was not fond of eating and drinking before
he

he went to bed ; if this was really the case, it is probable the lymphatic system might be empty, and would readily account for the increase ; for when the body is in a state of emptiness, there is not the least doubt but it will absorb and will be made heavier, in proportion to the state of the atmosphere as well as that of the body.

The increase of weight in the Abbé Fontana is readily accounted for, because a great part of the lymphatic system terminates in the intestines ; and the operation of a purgative would empty, perhaps, the greatest part of the lymphatic system : and as the Abbé mentions particularly that the atmosphere was damp, it readily explains in what manner the body became heavier.

The observation of De Haen is certainly a just one ; for it is immaterial, in many instances, whether the patients are permitted to drink or not ; for if it is denied by the *mouth*, you immediately put the *body into such a situation*, that it will get it from the *atmosphere* : and this is the circumstance that appears

appears to have puzzled De Haen to account for.

The last case mentioned by Mr. Cruikshanks is very curious, and tends to prove the great power there is in the body to *absorb* when *food is denied*; the longer a person has fasted, it appears that the power of *absorbing is the more increased*.

I have heard that persons at sea, who have been cast away, have been preserved and kept alive by merely dipping and washing their bodies in sea water, which was absorbed in such quantities, as to keep them alive for some time. Baron Haller, in his *Physiologia*,* says, that the semen is increased by eating and drinking, and is absorbed by fasting and carried into the blood; and in chaste people, who abstain from venereal pleasures, the semen must evidently be absorbed and carried into the blood; for if it were to remain after its being secreted and accumulated, it must, by alteration or putrefaction, be productive of the worst consequences.

* Elem. Physiol, lib. 27, sect. 3.

It is likewise certainly true, that all other fluids in the body, as well as the semen, will be absorbed and carried into the blood. I have found by experiments on myself, that by fasting for two or three days, and drinking very little fluid, the bile has been absorbed and carried into the blood. It first appears on the tunica conjunctiva, or white of the eye, and afterwards it tinges slightly the whole of the skin with a yellow tinge, and a bitter taste in the mouth, which I cured easily in a few hours, by drinking plentifully of tea, or any other weak liquid: and hence arises the cause of the bile tinging the neighbouring parts of the gall-bladder; which is supposed to transude in the dead body, but is by no means the case: nor is transfusion peculiar to the dead body, as those gentlemen think, who offer it as an argument in favour of transfusion. The true reason of the bile tinging those parts in the neighbourhood of the gall-bladder was the want of repletion to urge the fluids on through their natural passages; and therefore absorption must always be the consequence in *dead animals*. The longer the animal has been

been

been dead, the more the power of absorption is increased; the bile is absorbed and extended daily farther and farther from the gall-bladder, increasing until putrefaction commences. And the same or similar effects will be produced in the *living body* from *inanition*. I have particularly remarked in the small-pox, when the eruptions have appeared full and turgid, and the patient does not drink diluents, that the matter is sometimes inverted or absorbed, and carried into the blood; and, in this case, it generally destroys the patient, or infects the blood so much, as to leave dreadful distempers, such as scrophula, consumptions, &c. but by using repletion plentifully, it entirely prevents the pustules being inverted, or carried into the circulation. By the same means, I should conceive it possible to confine the effects of inoculation to the particular part which is inoculated, and prevent its being carried into the circulation: but then, a question will arise, namely, whether the patient will not be subject to receive the infection in the natural way afterwards? It is a curious question, and deserving of
future

future consideration. It is usual to keep patients with a venereal buboe, or a collection of matter from any other cause, on a sparing diet, the consequence is, that the matter will be re-absorbed and carried into the circulation; on the contrary, by eating and drinking, these effects are prevented.

Mr. Cruikshanks has furnished us with another case, to prove that fluids are absorbed at particular times: he observes, page 111, that “there is another fluid, which the lymphatics also, on particular occasions, take up and carry into the blood; I mean the urine. I am perfectly confident of this, from attending to what has happened to myself on a variety of occasions. I have had the strongest calls to make water, and felt that the bladder was full, but not having it in my power to quit the company, the symptoms, in some little time after, have gone off: in an hour or two after, on attempting to make water, I found that the bladder contained little or none. I have not a doubt of the urine being absorbed
“ and

“ and carried into the blood vessels. How
“ often the lymphatics may remove the
“ urine from the bladder, or why they do
“ not always absorb it, in suppressions of
“ urine, I do not know: an uncommon
“ distention of the bladder may compress
“ the orifices of the lymphatics, and make
“ absorption then impossible.” This is
common in large companies and hot rooms,
where the air is heated, and the perspiration
great, and where there is nothing drunk;
it is an observation that I have fre-
quently heard from others, as well as
remarked myself. In patients who have
had a suppression of urine, I have kept them
free from pain, by not permitting them to
drink liquids, by bleeding, and using ape-
rient physic; the stricture, by this means,
has soon been removed from the neck of the
bladder, and the urine passed through the
urethra the same as before the complaint;
and this even in cases where the stricture
and inflammation on the neck of the bladder
were so great, that all attempts to pass a
bougie or catheter proved ineffectual.

I have

I have met with several instances where air, injected into the bladder of persons in health, who have died of accidents, has passed from the bladder into the blood vessels through the same passages, as what I should conceive the urine to do in health when absorbed.

It is not impossible but that the air might have passed through the ureters and kidneys, and by that means, into the blood vessels; but I should conceive that not to be the case: I am rather disposed to suspect, that the air passed through the lymphatic vessels, which are inserted into the bladder, and by that channel was conveyed into the blood. I conceive the surface of the bladder to be formed in the same manner as the surface of the vesica fellis, vesiculæ feminales, and every other surface or cavity of the body; and that whatever fluids are contained in or on them, must be liable, at particular times, to be absorbed and re-conveyed into the blood; and this I consider to extend to every part of the body: and that when the body

is

is in a state to absorb in one part, it will absorb in every other part.

It may be objected, that the lymphatics do not invest the receptacles containing the different fluids, as bladders that have been dried will hold the most penetrating fluids, such as spirits of wine, turpentine, &c. without letting the fluid through; and that, therefore the lymphatics cannot open into their cavities. To this I answer, that there can be no apprehension of the fluids passing into the absorbents, because at the time these receptacles are filled with their fluids, the lymphatics are generally full also; so that the fluids would not, when the body is in this state, find any admittance into them, but would be forced through the natural passages intended for them; and it is upon this general principle of repletion that all fluids are conveyed through their respective channels, and so discharged from the body. The glands and their excretory ducts are always fixed and stationary, so that whatever difference arises, must be from the quantity

and quality of the contained fluid in the blood vessels. But if we omit the cause that urges these fluids on, they will all be re-conveyed into the blood from all parts of the body,

I admit that a dried bladder will hold the most penetrating fluids, but this is no proof that there were no lymphatic vessels inserted into it when it was in the body; for when the bladder is dried, it is materially changed; and may then contain the most penetrating or subtil fluids, which it might not do when in the animal. We know that the skin of all animals is full of pores, yet when dried, or made into leather, it will hold penetrating fluids, without letting any through although there must have been such pores in vast multitudes, when it invested the animal: and, besides, it is a general principle, as will hereafter appear, that no part of the body whatever, can exist, without its being invested with lymphatic vessels or membranes.

If

If medicines do not always produce the same effects, it is in consequence of the different state of the lymphatic vessels; that is, whether they are full or empty at the time the medicine is taken; for the medicine ought to be always the same; but, in fact, it scarcely ever operates exactly alike twice together. If we intend a medicine to operate merely as an emetic, or a purgative, and that this medicine should be confined to the stomach and intestines, we ought, previous to the taking such medicines, to fill the absorbent system by diluents, otherwise the medicine will be carried into the blood, as appears from the effects of purgatives, mercurials, &c. which affect the milk, and produce the same effects in the child as in the mother. Hence arise the great uncertainty and difference in the effects of the same medicines, administered when the state of the body is altered from inanition or repletion.

If we apply a large blister to the back, it frequently produces an inflammation of the

bladder, which will be prevented by giving plenty of diluents previous to its application; that is, the barley water, or whatever may be used, will keep the lymphatic vessels continually filled, so that none of the lymph or serum, impregnated with the cantharides, can enter the circulation.

The same or similar effects arise from a simple gonorrhœa, where, from the irritation of the infectious matter, inflammation is brought on in the urethra: this is cured in a few days, by blending a few medicines with diluents; but if neglected, the disorder goes on, and a confirmed lues very often the consequence.

Where the blood is infected or diseased, particularly in fevers of all kinds, our attempts to remove them will be fruitless in many instances, unless we apply liquids plentifully, so as to produce secretions from the blood, which should certainly be the first consideration; because, without this, the infected blood will be retained in the body, and continually circulated, until the
red

red globules become so much dissolved, as to form livid, violet-coloured, or black spots, and fill the lymphatic system in most parts of the body; and if a diarrhœa has not preceded them, they are generally followed by one, or hæmorrhages from the eyes, nose, mouth, uterus, &c. and at last a bloody sweat, and caries of the bones, if the miserable patient should live long enough, which is not often the case; notwithstanding of our giving the bark,* and as many cordials as you please.

* Geoffroy says, that the use of the Peruvian bark was first discovered by some Indians, who were seized with fevers, were extremely thirsty, and not being able to get any thing to drink, except some water in which had been steeped a tree, producing the Peruvian bark, that had been blown into it, they were soon cured of their fevers; and related it to others, who using the same means, were cured also: they afterwards made decoction of the bark, the effects of which they found to be the same. I am ready to admit the bark to possess all the virtues which it is asserted to have; yet I am inclined to believe that the Indians were greatly indebted to the water for their cure.

It, perhaps, may be objected here, that as the blood in putrid fevers is dissolved, thin liquids will increase the disorder by rendering the blood thinner; and that cordials and specifics will prevent these effects. I can only answer, that I can produce the first medical authorities for giving weak liquids, even in putrid fevers; and, from what I have seen, and been able to observe, I am well convinced that it is the only method we can place any great dependence on, in order radically to extirpate any infection from the blood.

As the blood in putrid fevers is rendered as thin as water, there can be no apprehension of diluents making it thinner; but if we apply it freely in the beginning of the fever, a plentiful discharge of urine and perspiration is produced, which will be kept up as long as we give diluents, and *prevent* the dissolution of the blood which is better than *a cure of it*.

All acrimony producing chronical disorders, such as the gout, rheumatism, &c. is
cured

cured or relieved by liquids; in proof of which the many persons who have been perfectly cured of inveterate complaints, by drinking plentifully of Bath, Buxton, or Tunbridge water, may be brought. I hope I have not expressed myself here, so as to be misunderstood. I do not mean to say, that medicines are useless, and that diluents will cure every disease; on the contrary, I know that there are many invaluable medicines, and that great good will arise from their being properly used. All that I mean, or intend to say, is, that whenever there is any infection in the blood, that the only means of radically extirpating it, is to combine our medicines with plenty of diluents; and, on the contrary, if we wish to prevent any poison from entering the blood, the same means must be used, which I am confident, in many instances, will be effectual.

I wish, before I close these observations, to speak a few words on the fever to which lying-in women are subject, the effects of it being so often fatal to them, is my only inducement to offer, what I firmly believe

will be essentially beneficial; and will, in many instances, effectually exempt them from the effects of such a cruel disorder, Mr. Cruikshanks has given, in a few words, the nature of the complaint; and I shall beg leave to quote it from him; he observes, page 110, that “ after a woman
“ has lain-in some days, she is sometimes
“ taken with shivering, and other symp-
“ toms of fever; her milk disappears, the
“ fever goes on, and she dies. On open-
“ ing the body, the cavity of the abdomen
“ has on such occasions been found full of
“ a whey-coloured fluid, mixed with la-
“ minæ of coagulated white matter. The
“ fever by many has in this case been
“ attributed to the absorption of milk from
“ the breast, and its being carried into the
“ blood vessels; believing the appearances
“ they saw in the abdomen to be from the
“ milk, they have given it the name of
“ *dépôt du lait*. I do not contend, that the
“ milk in this case is not absorbed; but,
“ I believe, that milk would do no mis-
“ chief in the blood vessels. The appear-
“ ances in the abdomen are peculiar to the
“ peri-

“ peritoneal inflammation, and would have
“ taken place, if the patient had been a
“ male instead of a female. The whey-
“ coloured fluid is the fluid of surfaces
“ increased in quantity, and mixed with
“ pus ; and the curdled matter is the coa-
“ gulable lymph very constantly found
“ on inflamed surfaces.

The symptoms evidently point out the cause of this disorder, and what I should conceive must appear certainly to arise from absorption. Can any thing be more expressive than the following words?—
“ After a woman has lain-in some days,
“ she is sometimes taken with shivering,
“ and other symptoms of fever ; *her*
“ *milk disappears, the fever goes on,*
“ *and she dies.*” It does not leave a doubt in my mind, but that the complaint is produced from absorption. The corrosive milk, combined with the fluids in the uterus, which also become changed from a mild to a malignant nature, being absorbed, is sufficient to cause the fever, and to destroy the peritoneum, (which I
have

have shewn is an extension of the lymphatic vessels,) and cause an extravasation of its fluids in the cavity of the abdomen, and produce all the other dreadful symptoms, which are peculiar to the complaint.

I am of Mr. Cruikshanks' opinion, that the absorption of milk would produce no mischief, whilst it continued *sweet*; but this it does but a very few hours; for after it has been confined for several days, it is no longer milk, but a virulent corrosive animal fluid; and soon demonstrates itself to be so; as we may very frequently see a *fine breast* corroded away, leaving behind a horrid ulcer, occasioned wholly by the milk, which was once so sweet a fluid, being corrupted. I am also of opinion, that males would be liable to be affected in the same manner if kept on a low diet, and putrid fluids applied to these surfaces, which would destroy the parts from which they were absorbed, and produce all the symptoms which we sometimes indiscriminately see in the peritoneal inflammation, &c. of both sexes.

After

After a woman has been delivered, the body soon becomes in a state to absorb, and more particularly so at this time than at any other; because we may recollect, that there has been a double circulation kept up for some time previous to the delivery, which must of course distend and fill the blood vessels, and when the child comes into the world, it takes away with it a portion of the mother's blood, besides what is lost by floodings. From which it must follow, that the blood vessels become relaxed, and contain a much less quantity of blood than what was contained in them, whilst the double circulation was carried on: and hence it will also follow, that from this relaxed and empty state of the blood vessels, they will be disposed in women, who are in this situation, to be particularly susceptible of receiving fluids by absorption.

To prevent this we must not undertake to do what is commonly called, starving the fever: this low diet I have known to produce it and prove fatal to many young women,

women, who have died with their first child from this cause. But, on the contrary, it will necessarily follow from what has been advanced, that every thing which tends to mild dilution immediately after delivery, is the only means we can depend on to promote secretions, and fill the breasts with milk, which will be conveyed through its proper channel; and this will also prevent the absorption of any unhealthy or corrupted fluids, and cure the patient.

Many other instances might be adduced as proofs of the body absorbing when fatigued or exhausted; and on the contrary, innumerable instances might be given, where the body will not receive infection at certain times; but I have already exceeded the limits which I at first intended, it is therefore hoped, that as this system readily explains and elucidates many important things that are otherwise involved in difficulties inexplicable by any other means, but perfectly intelligible by the admission of it, that what has been advanced will be

con-

considered satisfactory and conclusive; and that it will be just to infer, that the lymphatic system, when *turgid* or *filled* with lymph, will not absorb; as on the contrary, the same vessels when *empty* immediately form a system of absorbents; so that from *inanition* or *repletion*, we can either put the body in such a state as to *receive* or *prevent* infection by *absorption*.

CHAP. IV.

THE USE OF THE VALVES DEMONSTRATED.

THE valves are placed in many parts of the body ; in the intestinal tube, in the veins, and in the lymphatic and lacteal vessels, &c. They are formed from the internal membranes, or coats of the parts, from which they originate. They are generally of a femilunar or semicircular shape, whence authors have compared them to half moons, half thimbles, or the nails of the finger, &c. but their shape is sometimes liable to change. Their number is very uncertain, and the distances between them very unequal ; but they are commonly doubled or in pairs, and sometimes one, two, or three pair together. This is very remarkable in the veins that arise from any very large gland, as of the spleen, pancreas, kidney, &c.

Of the use of the valves, authors have often been silent; Harvey, the discoverer of the circulation, says, that their use is to stop the blood that is driven with too much violence; others have supposed, that as soon as the blood had passed from the arteries into the veins, the valves might take off the force or pressure of the blood from the arteries.

The generally received opinion at present of their use is, that after fluids have passed through tubes with valves, in one direction, they are prevented from returning; as the valves are supposed to shut up or close the channel through which they had previously passed, and of course prevent their retrogradation.

Mr. Hewson, page 17, remarks, that
“ The lymphatic system, in most animals,
“ but particularly in man and quadrupeds,
“ is full of valves. These valves have
“ been painted by the celebrated Nuck,
“ Ruysch, and others, and are much more
“ frequent than in the common veins, and
“ thence

“ thence these lymphatics have sometimes
“ been distinguished by the name of valvu-
“ lar lymphatic vessels. Those valves are
“ generally two in number, are of a semi-
“ lunar shape, and the one is sometimes
“ much larger than the other. In most
“ parts of the body these valves are so nu-
“ merous, that there are three or four pair
“ in an inch of space, but sometimes there
“ is no more than one pair. They are less
“ numerous in the thoracic duct than in
“ the branches of the system; thence it
“ might be supposed, that in proportion,
“ as we go from the trunk to the branches,
“ we should find them thicker set: but
“ this is not always true, for I have ob-
“ served them more numerous in the *lym-*
“ *phatic vessels of the thigh*, than on those
“ of the leg. When the vessels are dis-
“ tended with lymph, they appear larger
“ where the valves are, which sometimes
“ gives a lymphatic vessel an appearance of
“ being made of *a chain of vesicles*; as
“ such they are represented by some
“ authors.

Dr.

Dr. Keill, p. 47, of his Anatomy, says, that “ the lacteal veins have valves at several distances, which hinder the chyle from returning back into the intestines.” And he says in page 49, that “ the lympheducts are slender, pellucid tubes, whose cavities are contracted at small and unequal distances, by two opposite semilunar valves, which permit a thin and transparent liquor to pass through them towards the heart, but which shut like flood-gates upon its returning.” And he farther says, page 322, that “ In all the veins which are perpendicular to the horizon, excepting those of the uterus and of the porta, there are small membranes or valves; sometimes there is only one, and sometimes there are two, and sometimes three placed together, like so many half thimbles stuck to the sides of the veins, with their mouths towards the heart. In the motion of the blood towards the heart, they are pressed close to the sides of the vein; but if the blood should fall back, it must fill the valves; and they being distended stop up the

M

“ chan-

“ channel, so that no blood can repass
“ them.”

Mr. Cruikshanks says, page 63, that,
“ as soon as an absorbed fluid has got a
“ little way within a lacteal or lymphatic,
“ it is prevented from returning by valves.”
And he farther observes, in the next page,
that, “ Valves are an apparatus nature em-
“ ploys frequently for the purpose of pre-
“ venting the retrograde motion of fluids,
“ but no where so much as in the cavities
“ of the lacteals and lymphatics.” And a
few lines farther on, he observes, that “ A
“ valve is a semicircular membrane, or
“ rather of a parabolic shape, attached to
“ the inside of the lymphatic vessel by its
“ circular edge, having its straight edge
“ corresponding to the diameter, loose or
“ floating in the cavity : in consequence of
“ this contrivance, fluids passing in one
“ direction, make the valve lie close to the
“ side of the vessel, and leave the passage
“ free ; but attempting to pass in the opposite
“ direction, raise the valve from the side
“ of the vessel, and push its loose edge to-
“ ward

“ ward the centre of the cavity : but, as
 “ this would shut up little more than one
 “ half of the cavity, the valves are disposed
 “ in pairs, exactly opposite to each other,
 “ by which means the whole cavity is ac-
 “ curately closed. Their loose edges are
 “ constantly turned towards the thoracic
 “ duct or trunk of the system, so that fluids
 “ may pass towards it, but cannot escape
 “ from it into the branches.” And he also
 remarks, page 65, that “ it is not necessary
 “ to open the vessels, in order to discover
 “ the valves ; they are sufficiently marked
 “ on the outside, and give the vessels the
 “ appearance of being notched or jointed
 “ in different places.” And again—“ In
 “ the lymphatic vessels of quadrupeds this
 “ appearance is also very remarkable : nor
 “ is there the least reason for censuring
 “ Ruyfch and Nuck on this subject, as
 “ having in their engravings very much
 “ exaggerated it.”

The opinion of the valves preventing the
 retrograde motion of fluids is merely imagi-
 nary ; it is an assertion or hypothesis, in

support of which there has not been a single argument or experiment advanced. Several strong arguments, however, may be used to prove that the valves *do not* perform the office that is at present assigned to them; and other arguments may be adduced in favour of their being more extensively useful, and making a very essential part of the mechanism of the animal œconomy.

It cannot be admitted, that after the fluid has passed the valves, that its mere weight could produce or cause so great a pressure, or distention of the vessels, as to give them that unequal or dilated appearance they have when distended with their fluids; as the force causing so great a distention must be much greater than what could reasonably be supposed to arise from the *retrograde fluid in the tube.*

The valves are considered the principal criterion by which absorbent vessels are distinguished, and are supposed to be essentially necessary to them alone, for the purpose of conveying their fluids *upwards* into the
tho-

thoracic duct; but there are valves in tubes that are not absorbent vessels, and also in those whose fluids *descend*, as well as in those that *ascend*; as for instance, the lymphatics that are distributed on the dura and pia mater, the brain, &c. If we suppose them to terminate in the thoracic duct, the fluids must *descend* from all those parts that are situated above the termination of the duct, and of course the valves cannot be for the purpose of assisting the fluids to *ascend*; for if they did, the fluid could not possibly *descend* so as ever to get to the thoracic duct, (supposing it the termination of the absorbent system,) as the valves are supposed to close up the tube so as to prevent the retrogradation of the fluid.

Now, it is a principle in hydraulics, that the weight of all fluids is sufficient to make them descend; and, therefore, the valves, in all veins that return the blood from parts that are situated above the heart, would be useless; as the weight of the fluid is sufficient to make it descend without them. The valves cannot, therefore,

be considered as propelling absorbed fluids upwards, because vessels that are not absorbents, and those, whose fluids *descend*, are equally supplied with them: and hence it appears, that the use of the valves is not for the purpose of conveying absorbed fluids upwards, or preventing their retrograde motion.

As there are no arguments or experiments advanced in support of the hypothesis of the valves preventing the descent or retrograde motion of fluids, I shall proceed to shew that their real use is diametrically opposite to that of the prevailing opinion, and that their use is to resist an impelling force. In support of this I shall submit the following considerations.

I beg to refer the reader to the letters quoted in the introduction of this work, which shew what was Dr. Hunter's opinion of the use of the valves. We shall frequently find him asserting that valves are placed where there is no impetus, or propelling force; now the contrary is the fact;
for

for wherever there is a propelling force, there is constantly a counteracting one by the valves, *and from this cause arise the different motions or circulation of our fluids*: this is precisely analogous to muscular motion; for wherever there is a motion by one muscle, there is a resisting or counteracting one by its antagonist.

If we observe where the valves are placed, we shall constantly find an impelling force; for as there is an impelling force in the stomach, and in different parts of the intestinal tube, so there are valves placed to resist it. As the chyle is pressed* into the

* It is evident that the chyle cannot be conveyed into the circulation by the power of absorption alone; but is forced by the pressure of the stomach, intestines, and the repelling power of the valves, through the lacteals into the circulation. It has been found to be conveyed into the blood surprisingly quick; Mr. Cruikshanks says, in some of his experiments on dogs, that the chyle was carried through the lacteals, at the rate of 20 feet in a minute; and farther adds, that he has seen at other times, the chyle vanish with almost incredible velocity, which appear to be proofs that the chyle is not conveyed into the circulation by the power of absorption only, as many have imagined.

laëteals by the propelling force of the stomach and intestines, so there are valves in the laëteals to resist it; the blood is propelled from the ventricles of the heart, and there are likewise valves to counteract it; and after it is impelled into the arterial system, there are valves in the venal to resist the force. The lymph is propelled into the lymphatic system by the force of the heart and arteries, so the lymphatics have likewise their valves to resist it; and in like manner in any other part of the body, where there are valves, there is always an impelling force, and the use of the valves is to counteract it.

That the valves perform this office, there cannot be a doubt; their situation points out their use; for, when any fluid is to be urged on, or carried into different tubes; or separations are to be made from the blood, there valves are found constantly placed to stop them in that particular part, and direct them into their proper channels. Thus, for instance, the valves are very conspicuous in the veins that originate from the arteries, after they have formed glands, as
may

may be seen in the veins that come from the glands in the axilla, spleen, pancreas, renal, prostate, and inguinal glands; and likewise in the veins of many other parts of the body that arise from arteries after the gland is formed. Hence it appears, how very much Dr. Hunter and others were in an error, when they asserted, that veins in the viscera have no valves, which is not the case, nor can I by any means admit such an assertion to be true.

That the use of the valves are to counteract or resist an impelling force, is farther confirmed by injections; for they will, even in dead bodies, resist in one direction, but not in the other; and this resistance will be constantly found to be diametrically opposite to that of the impelling force; as for instance, the valves in the veins are for the purpose of resisting the force of the blood, propelled by the heart and arteries; and if we inject the veins from the arteries with a force no greater than that with which the blood circulates in living animals,

mals,* the valves will make so great a resistance, as to force part of the injection through the lymphatic vessels and glands into the cellular membrane, &c. Hence anatomists have imagined, that their injections have been extravasated into those parts: but that opinion is erroneous; for the injection only passed through the natural passage of the lymph, and not by rupture. On the contrary, if the arteries be injected from the veins, the valves will make no resistance, and readily let the injections pass into the arteries: nevertheless, if much force is used, some of the injection may pass into the cellular membrane, &c. through the glands.

* When we consider the great difference there is in the velocity of the circulating blood in the arteries, to that of the veins, which is, by Dr. Hales's experiments and observations, nearly as sixteen to one, it must appear evident, that the valves resist this force; otherwise there would not be so great a difference in the force or velocity of their fluids. It is probable that the veins would have a pulsation similar to that in the arteries, if the valves did not resist or counteract the force of the blood, from the artery into the veins.

The

The valves in the lacteals and lymphatics are for the same purpose as the valves of the other parts; and this is farther confirmed by the observations of anatomists, who continually remark, that they have injected the lymphatic vessels *contrary to the valves*, by either getting them to give way, or by rupturing them; that is, when they have been injected contrary to the impelling force.

There is also a similar organization in the vegetable creation; for plants are supplied with knots or valves; and this is very remarkable where there are new shoots or anastomosing branches, their use being to stop fluids from proceeding in one direction, and to let them pass into another; by which means the fluid is regularly distributed into every part; and it is remarkable, that where these knots or valves are placed, the plants are frequently larger by the fluids being longer retained in them. It is the same in animals; for as soon as the lymphatics pass out of a gland, there are numerous valves, which by their resistance

press

press the fluid into all the neighbouring parts, before any of the other more distant can be supplied; and hence we find the lymphatic glands seated and invested with a considerable quantity of fat.

Therefore, the use of the valves is to resist or counteract an impelling force, which is confirmed by their having that unequal or dilated appearance in those parts of the tubes in which they are seated: and hence arises the cause of varix and rupture of the veins, which is generally the consequence of old age, and is one of the principal causes of the decay of old people. The valves are of great importance to the organs of secretion; for as I have already observed, where the artery forms a gland, the valves are placed in that part of the vein which originates from the artery after the gland is so formed; and the purpose of this is to stop the fluids in that part; so that they may, by the propelling force with which they are conveyed, be urged through the glands, and be conveyed through the passages

passages that nature has made for them; and hence it must appear, that as the force which the valves resist must be considerable, these parts, like all others, must wear out. When this happens, the veins become much enlarged, dilated, and frequently ruptured; the consequence of which will be, that the veins will no longer have strength sufficient to resist the force of the arterial blood, which will pass into the dilated veins, and little secretion being made from the blood, the body is deprived of the lymph, which will cause it to waste and diminish in proportion to the deprivation of the lymph; which deprivation will depend upon the degree of resistance, that the dilated valves and veins can make to the arterial blood, so as to urge the lymph through the glands into the various parts of the body.

It is also remarkable, that as we advance in life the arteries become smaller, and the veins grow larger; as the latter become enlarged they are unable to make the resistance

assistance they formerly did to the arterial blood ; and hence the arteries become small and contracted. This is very conspicuous in old persons, where the veins have been much dilated, and the arteries thereby proportionally contracted.

By the valves, the lymph is urged into all the neighbouring anastomosing branches ; and by this means, if there be sufficient fluid in the blood vessels, the whole of the lymphatic system will be filled. The valves also prevent the lymph from being extravasated ; for if it were not for their resisting and pressing the fluid into the neighbouring parts, a rupture of any of the vessels even in the extremities, would probably empty the whole of the system ; but by means of the valves, every part is first supplied with lymph, before it can arrive at the extreme parts ; and if a lymphatic vessel were ruptured in any other part of the body, every part, by the assistance of the valves, would be supplied with lymph before it arrive at the rupture ; so that the lymph can
only

only be extravasated at this particular part, without depriving the neighbouring parts of their fluid. The farther importance and use of the valves will appear in the next Chapter.

C H A P. V.

OF THE SEPARATION OF THE LYMPH FROM
THE BLOOD.

THE lymph is a fluid found univerſally diſperſed throughout the whole body. When we open an animal that has been juſt killed, we find the membranes, ſuch, for inſtance, as the mediſtinum, pericardium, pleura, peritoneum, cellular membrane, as well as every other part of the body, moiſtened with it; and extending to the moſt minute parts of the body, as is clearly ſeen, when the lymph is tinged or coloured with blood, bile, &c. for it then appears more particularly ſo to extend, that no part, even ſo ſmall as a pin's point, can be ſeen free from the tinge throughout the animal.

There have been various opinions concerning the manner in which this fluid is ſecreted.

secreted. The celebrated Boerhaave supposed there existed in an animal body three series of arteries, carrying different fluids, viz. the sanguiferous, seriferous, and the lymphatic; and that there was a system of absorbent vessels connected to the veins, to convey the superfluous lymph back to the heart. Others have been of opinion, that the lymph exhales from exhalent arteries in the form of vapour; but the most generally received opinion is, that it transfuses through the arteries, which are supposed to have inorganized pores sufficiently close to retain the red globules, and to let the lymph transfuse. Albinus, Baron Haller, Dr. Hunter, and many other celebrated and eminent physiologists, have taught this doctrine of transfusion; but as I have proved in the preceding observations, that transfusion does not take place, either in the living or dead body, as these gentlemen have asserted; I shall here offer my opinion on the manner in which this important fluid is separated from the blood, and so generally distributed throughout the body.

Secretion is performed nearly in the same manner throughout the whole of the animal œconomy. If we attend to the secretion of chyle, it will explain to us in what manner lymph is secreted from the blood.

In order to produce chyle, it is absolutely necessary to eat and drink; these being the materials from which the chyle is made; and after the food is digested in the stomach, it is forced thence into the intestines. The chyle is then separated from the gross parts of the food, by the pressure of the stomach and intestines; and by the assistance of the valves, it is prevented from passing through the intestines, until all the chyle is pressed through the lacteals and thoracic duct into the blood. After it has got into the circulation,* the lymph is separated from the
blood,

* If we compare the organization which separates the *chyle*, with that which separates the *lymph*, we shall find each of these separations performed by similar organs, with this difference only, that their shape is liable to vary; but the principle on which they act in both is precisely the same: as for instance, if we compare
pare

blood, in a similar manner to that in which the chyle had been before separated from the stomach and intestines : as for instance, the heart urges on the blood into the arteries, in a similar manner to what the stomach does the chyle into the intestines. Now, before the blood can be returned from the arteries into the veins, the valves, as I have more fully observed in the preceding Chapter, are placed in this part of the vein, to stop the blood ; so that by the pressure and force of the heart and arteries, and the resistance made to it by the valves, the lymph is urged through the glands into the lymphatics ; and by this means every part of the body is filled by the continual repetition of the pressure of the heart and arteries, forcing the blood into the veins, in the same man-

pare the termination of the thoracic duct, vena cava, and heart, with that of the mouth, œsophagus, and stomach, we find them both contrived to answer exactly the same purpose of repletion ; and also if we further compare that of the blood vessels, valves, glands, and lymphatics, with the intestinal tube, its valves, and lacteals, they appear to be equally well adapted to answer the purpose of secretion.

ner as the chyle had been previously pressed from the stomach and intestines, and stopped by the valves until it had been pressed through the lacteals and thoracic duct into the circulation; and this process is repeated, until the lymph is separated from the blood; after which the blood vessels become relaxed, and return to the same state they were in before repletion.

This doctrine of the separation of the lymph being admitted, I shall be enabled very satisfactorily to explain several contradictions and difficulties that are to be observed in different authors.

Mr. Cruikshanks, for instance, page 95, says, “The lymph is the fluid supposed by
 “ the first discoverers of the lymphatics to
 “ be found only in them, and not in the
 “ lacteals, and which occasioned their
 “ forming these vessels into two classes.
 “ The truth is, the lacteals transmit chyle
 “ when there is any chyle in the intestines;
 “ and at all other times either transmit
 “ lymph, &c. or are empty, and do not
 “ transmit

“ transmit any fluid. The lymphatics
“ commonly carry the lymph into the
“ blood; but some of them may occasion-
“ ally also convey chyle, as I have demon-
“ strated in the lymphatics of the dia-
“ phragm. Neither the lymph then, nor
“ the chyle are uniform fluids, but liable
“ to considerable variation, and absorbed
“ only at particular times.” Here there
is an evident contradiction; for the above-
mentioned author says, that “ the lacteals
“ transmit chyle when there is any chyle
“ in the intestines.” And in page 102,
he says, “ If the end of an empty capillary
“ tube is immersed in a fluid, the fluid
“ must rise in it if it meets with no ob-
“ struction; *but the extremities of the*
“ *lacteals are often immersed in chyle*
“ *without taking up any of it, as I saw*
“ in the orifices of the lacteals on the
“ intestines; for some of the villi were
“ loaded with chyle, whilst others, almost
“ in contact with them, were entirely
“ empty.”

And Haller says, that it is no uncommon thing to see some of the lacteals filled on the mesentery, with a clear, pellucid liquor, whilst others are filled with white chyle. He says, “ Eodem etiam
“ tempore, in eodem animale, eodemque
“ mesenterio vasa lactea in aliis intestinis
“ alba, diaphana in aliis non raro videas.”
Elem. Physiolog. lib. xxv. sect. 1. And Dr. Hunter, in his Medical Commentaries, p. 42, where he relates the experiments that were performed by his brother on the intestines and mesentery of several different animals, says, that after having exposed the intestines of a living dog, “ we observed
“ the lacteals filled with a white liquor at
“ the upper part of the gut and mesentery;
“ but in those which came from the ileon
“ and colon, the liquor was transparent.”
And again, in another experiment, he says, p. 44, “ I opened the abdomen of a living
“ sheep, *which had eat nothing for some*
“ *days*, and upon exposing the intestines
“ and mesentery, we observed the lacteals
“ were visible, but contained only *a trans-*
“ *parent watery fluid.*” And he says farther,
ther,

ther, p. 45, that he “ filled another part of
“ the intestine with milk. All that we ob-
“ served after doing this was, that the lac-
“ teals became fuller, though not of a
“ white colour.”

All these difficulties have principally arisen from confounding the lymphatic and lacteal vessels together; but as I have before shewn, that they are distinct and separate vessels, I shall immediately proceed to reconcile these mistakes.

First, If we feed an animal, kill, and open him soon after, we find the lacteals filled with chyle, and not the lymphatics.*

Hence

* I do not mean to say, that the lymphatics will not convey some of the chyle through the glands of the intestines into the mesenteric veins; for I conceive that they do this in a similar manner to the absorbing power of the lymphatics, of other parts; particularly as there are instances of the blood in the mesenteric veins, having been found streaked with white chyle; and animals, who have had the thoracic duct destroyed, have lived longer than they could reasonably be expected to do, unless part of the chyle were carried into the blood

Hence it appears, why some vessels are filled with chyle, whilst others of the *same part* are *empty*.

Secondly, If the animal be not killed until the blood vessels have been sufficiently

by some other means, besides the duct; and there are other instances where the duct has been diseased, which has had all the appearance of long standing: and that fluids pass through the glands into the veins, is farther confirmed by the great quantities of thin liquids passing off by urine, in a very short time after they had been drunk; so that from the size of the duct it would appear to be impossible that so much fluid could pass through it in so short a time.

Haller says, “Maxima vero est potatio aquarum
 “ mineralium, quas intra per paucas horas vidi ad 200
 “ uncias exhauriri; alii viri etiam ultra eum numerum;
 “ aliqui intra horas duas 40 mensuras cerevisiæ bi-
 “ berunt. Eas mensuras, si feceris 30 unc. quod
 “ parum est, tamen sunt in hora uncia 150. Nunc
 “ ductus thoracicus non multum lineæ diametrum
 “ superat, et non chylum solum, sed omnem abdo-
 “ minis, et inferiorum artuum lympham revehit,
 “ quam ex comparata magnitudine vasorum lymphati-
 “ corum, quæ dixi, et lacteorum vasorum ultimæ
 “ classis, facile credas chylum copia superare.” Elem.
 Physiol. lib. xxiv. sect. ii.

distended

distended with chyle, so that the separation of the lymph from the blood may commence before the whole of the chyle is conveyed into the blood vessels: we shall see what Haller and others mention, viz. the lacteals conveying chyle from the intestines *into* the blood; and the lymphatics conveying lymph, which had been separated *from* the blood, through the lymphatic glands, and vessels, *and not, as is generally imagined, absorbed from the intestines.*

Thirdly, If the animal should not have been killed, until the whole of the chyle had been conveyed from the stomach and intestines into the blood vessels, we shall only then see the lymphatic vessels filled with lymph, which had been separated from the blood. Mr. Hewson has confirmed this; he says, page 10, “The lacteals begin
“ from the intestinal tube, and can readily
“ be seen in a dog or other quadruped that
“ is killed two or three hours after eating,
“ when they appear filled with white chyle.
“ The experiment succeeds best when the
“ dog is fed with milk; but they do not
“ always

“ always convey a white fluid, *for even in*
“ *a dog, if opened long after a meal, they*
“ *are found distended with a liquor that is*
“ *transparent and colourless like the lymph.*”

Fourthly, If the animal has not been fed for some time previous to its being killed, neither lacteals nor lymphatics will be filled with their fluids ; they will then be in a state of absorption only to receive their fluids, as long as they remain in that state ; but the lymphatics in dying animals, that are in pain, are frequently found filled with a clear fluid, which had been pressed into them by the contraction of the heart and arteries, and not absorbed from the intestines.

The lacteals are prevented from absorbing by repletion, as well as the lymphatics : this is observable in gluttons who overload their stomach, so that there is more chyle made than what the blood vessels can contain ; which being so distended, that they cannot receive any more, they are generally relieved by nature, which discharges from their stomach, what they have been so greedy
to

to put into it ; or else they are relieved by a diarrhoea from the intestines ; or, if a quantity of water be taken into the stomach, the lacteals will convey it into the blood, as long as the blood vessels can conveniently contain it ; but as soon as they are filled to a certain degree, part of the fluid is returned back again into the stomach, which is forced out of the mouth by vomiting ; and the lacteals will not receive any more, until the blood vessels are relaxed and emptied by separations from the blood.

If we attend to the state of the blood vessels, we shall be able to account for the chyle being conveyed quicker into them at one time than at another ; a circumstance which Mr. Cruikshanks has observed ; for he says, page 29, “ The chyle in the lac-
“ teals of the mesentery of dogs, in some
“ of my experiments, evidently run through
“ a space of four inches in a second, which
“ is twenty feet in a minute. I have at
“ other times seen the absorbed fluids vanish
“ with almost incredible velocity : so that
“ little can be concluded on, against the
“ possibi-

“ possibility of the fluids mentioned, being
 “ absorbed by the lacteals, or transmitted
 “ by the thoracic duct within the assigned
 “ periods.”

I should apprehend, that in animals that have been kept without food for some time, the chyle would be pressed very rapidly through the lacteals, because there would be no resistance from the relaxed state of the blood vessels by emptiness; and this motion of the chyle would be proportionally diminished, as the blood vessels became fuller, till at last the lacteals will not receive any more; and, if forced, a vomiting would be the consequence.

I cannot admit that the lymphatic vessels are filled with lymph, in the manner that is generally thought. Mr. Hewson, page 11, says, “ The course of the lymph, like that
 “ of the chyle, is from the extreme parts
 “ of the body towards the center, and the
 “ lymphatic vessels commonly lie close to
 “ the large blood vessels. If, therefore, a
 “ ligature be made round the large blood
 “ vessels

“ vessels of the extremities of a living ani-
 “ mal, or one just dead, that ligature, by
 “ embracing the lymphatics, will stop the
 “ course of the lymph, which, by distend-
 “ ing the vessels, will make them visible
 “ below the ligature.” And Mr. Cruik-
 shanks, page 60, says, “ The absorbents
 “ appear to me to have a similar power of
 “ action, and to be capable of absorbing
 “ for some time after the animal is dead.
 “ Malpighi had before said, that one would
 “ be tempted to believe that they absorbed
 “ after death, and I was determined to
 “ make the experiment: I tied up the
 “ trunks of the arteries and veins belonging
 “ to a portion of the great intestine in an
 “ ass, which had been dead a few minutes,
 “ (the intestines were still in the cavity of
 “ the abdomen, and the parts not cold.) I
 “ knew that the trunks of the absorbents
 “ must be inclosed in the ligature, though
 “ not one of them was then visible. Two
 “ hours after I returned, and found a num-
 “ ber of absorbents turgid with a trans-
 “ parent fluid. I opened one of the largest
 “ with a lancet, the fluid issued out in a
 “ stream,

“ stream, which it could not have done,
 “ unless the vessels had continued to absorb,
 “ and to propel their fluids with great force
 “ after the death of the system.”

The cause of the filling the lymphatics does not, as these gentlemen think, arise from a ligature inclosing the absorbents, so as to prevent the lymph getting into the thoracic duct.

The arteries, it is admitted, have a muscular power which remains a considerable time after death ; now if we inclose the arteries and veins in a ligature, the arteries, by their muscularity and contraction, which is much increased in animals in pain, or that are dying, will force the blood into the veins ; the consequence will be, that as the blood cannot pass the ligature on the vein, the lymph will be forced into the lymphatic vessels through the glands, which will become turgid with lymph, and sometimes with blood. This is farther confirmed, by observing the effects of any thing that can cause a pressure or tension of the blood vessels, which

which will produce an immediate separation of lymph from the blood; whether it be caused by passion, fear, anger, exercise, fits, &c. which always increase the secretions, as may be observed from the quantity of urine, perspiration, &c. In all these instances there is a palpitation of the heart, which propels the blood with greater violence into the arteries, than at other times; therefore, whether we cause a pressure or increased motion in the blood, either by repletion, passions, or ligatures, the effects are the same; liable only to different degrees, according to the violence which is used to produce them, and as soon as the cause is removed, the effects will cease. It is on this principle that the legs of pregnant women swell, which is caused by the pressure of the child, in the uterus, on the veins, which require an increased force from the arteries to propel the blood back into the heart; this effect at the same time causes an increased separation of lymph, and the legs to swell, which goes off as soon as the cause which produced it is removed.

Dr. Lower made a ligature on the cava inferior of a dog; it occasioned an ascites, that is, the arteries propelled their blood into the veins with such force, as to press the lymph through the lymphatic glands and vessels into the cavity of the abdomen. Mr. Hewson says, he repeated this experiment, but as the dog died half an hour after, there was only a small quantity of water in the abdomen. Dr. Lower relates another experiment: he tied up the jugular veins of a dog, and the dog's head became dropfical; this was caused in the same manner, by forcing the blood from the arteries into the veins; for when the principal trunk of the jugulars is tied, the force from the arteries must be great, and cause a considerable distention of the collateral branches of the veins, and must also frequently cause a preternatural separation of lymph.

Mr. Hewson thinks there must be some fallacy in these experiments, which he does not consider satisfactory, because he says,
 that

that he tied up the jugular veins, and even in one dog cut out both the external jugulars, and kept him a twelvemonth afterwards, without any appearance of an œdema having been produced; and also quotes Baron Van-Swieten, who tied up the jugular veins of a dog, which did not produce any symptoms of a dropfy. He then says, page 144, “ I should therefore suppose that
“ in Lower’s experiment, not only the
“ veins, but the lymphatic vessels which
“ lie near them, had been tied; in which
“ case, the lymphatics would burst and oc-
“ casion these symptoms” (meaning the dropfy in the head): “ but in my experi-
“ ments I took care to separate the vein
“ from the lymphatics.”

These experiments are far, in my opinion, from proving those of Lower’s unsatisfactory. The tying the jugular veins may not always produce a dropfy; for if the blood vessels should not be full at the time the ligature is made, (which is a circumstance I should consider very material in the experiment) the collateral branches, forming in-

O

numerable

numerable anastomoses, may be sufficiently large to return the blood without causing such a distention, as to fill the head with so much water as to kill the animal. On the other hand, if the animal had been previously fed, and the blood vessels distended, before the ligatures were made, it is probable that there might be so much lymph preternaturally forced through the lymphatic glands and vessels, as to cause a dropfy in the head. Mr. Hewson erroneously imagines, that his taking care to separate the lymphatics from the blood vessels, before he applied the ligature, was the cause of preventing the dropfy; the true reason was, that the blood vessels were in different states at different times, and the anastomosing branches more or less numerous.

Mr. Cruikshanks, p. 11, observes, “The
 “ sweat or the fluid found on the surface of the
 “ body, and which comes more immediately
 “ under our observation, is found in greater
 “ quantity at one time than another. This
 “ increase of quantity is evidently connected
 “ with

“ with greater force in the heart and arteries,
“ propelling the fluids, as we see after vio-
“ lent exercise. Now these facts correspond
“ better with the theory which supposes
“ organized orifices, or that the mouths of
“ the exhalent arteries terminate on sur-
“ faces; for, as the force is greater which
“ propels the fluids, these orifices must be
“ in proportion distended; and the fluid
“ being also propelled with greater velocity,
“ we can easily conceive how the secretion
“ should be increased. On the other hand,
“ that copious cold sweat which takes place
“ on fainting, appears to depend on the
“ greater relaxation of these exhalent ori-
“ fices permitting the fluids to escape: but
“ on the principle of transfudation, which
“ must suppose the fluids and filtre to be
“ almost always in the same state; these
“ phænomena are absolutely inexplicable.”

The cause of all natural separations from the blood is the pressure produced by repletion: now, as the motion of the blood is accelerated by a continuance of the impetus, which is an increase of the fluid con-

tained in the vessels, it will necessarily follow, that the more we fill them, the greater will be the pressure, and greater the separations made from the blood. That the pulse is quickened or diminished by repletion or inanition, is demonstrated to be a fact; for in animals that have been bled to death, the pulsation of the arteries have been generally found proportionally to diminish, as the blood vessels became more and more emptied; and when all the blood has been let out of the body, the pulse ceases to beat. If we inject a fluid into them, they will vibrate again, and quicken as we increase the fluid: this is also farther confirmed by attending to the state of the pulse before and after meals; the pulse before dinner is weak and languid, but as soon as the chyle begins to be conveyed into the blood vessels, the pulse quickens amazingly, the body grows warm, and the veins become turgid with blood. This increase of the blood produces such a distention, as to cause all the separations from the blood that are necessary for our existence; but after the purposes of nutrition are answered, the vessels
return

return to the same relaxed state as they were in previous to repletion. As to the causes of separations from the blood, otherwise than by repletion, these will be in general found to be the passions, such as, anger, revenge, fear, anxiety, fits, &c. as formerly mentioned. In all these situations there is a considerable agitation, anxiety, and a palpitation of the heart and arteries, so that the blood is quickened in a greater or a lesser degree; and there is also evidently a certain degree of pain: and all pain creates a contraction of the muscular parts of the body, as well as of the heart and arteries. Whatever we apply to a living part that gives pain, produces a contraction; and we may even observe this power of contraction in all dying animals: this is sufficient to empty the arteries and force the blood into the veins, and the lymph through the lymphatic glands into the lymphatic vessels, which are found turgid with lymph, and sometimes with blood, in strangled animals,

We frequently find the arteries nearly emptied of blood; and Haller says, that the

lacteals, even after the death of the animal, expel the chyle, so that the vessels become pellucid, which before were milky. This is certainly a mistake; for it is not chyle that fills the lacteal vessels from the intestines, but it is the arteries that, from their contraction, fill the lymphatic vessels with lymph. Therefore, whether the pressure on the blood is caused from a distention of the blood vessels by repletion, or whether the pressure is caused by a contraction of the heart and arteries, from passions, &c. on the fluid, I should consider very immaterial; as the separations, in both instances, are caused from pressure; one from repletion, and the other from contraction.

I am aware that the following difficulty may be urged as an objection to the lymph being separated from the blood, through the lymphatic glands, namely, that upon drinking a greater quantity of liquids than usual, instead of their being carried off by the kidneys, they would escape into the cellular membrane, and occasion an anasarca: to this I answer,

answer, that it is not an objection that can be considered as peculiarly applicable to the system which I propose; because, whether we consider the fluid found in the different parts of the body to transfuse through the arteries, in consequence of inorganized pores, or imagine it to be separated by exhalent arteries; or whether it passes through the lymphatic glands into the surfaces and cavities of the body; the same objection is equally applicable to each of these opinions, and it has always been considered as an inexplicable difficulty. I shall, however, offer the following reason why it is almost impossible that a dropsy can be produced, by drinking more at one time than what we do at another:—The blood vessels will only contain a certain quantity; if forced, they reject it by vomiting; but suppose we should not drink such a quantity of liquid as to force the stomach to reject it, yet we may, by continually keeping the blood vessels filled to a certain degree, cause a continual separation of the fluids; for we may observe, on these occasions, that the perspiration, urine, &c. is in propor-

tion to the quantity drank : now, if it were possible for us to continue drinking in this manner for any considerable time, there can be no doubt but an anasarca would be the consequence. Dr. Hales, in his *Hæmatics*, has satisfactorily demonstrated this to be the fact. But Nature has done every thing by weight and measure, and has limited us to a certain quantity, which it is not in our power to exceed; for as soon as we have drunk so much as to cause any great or preternatural secretion, the fluid separated in the ventricles of the brain cause such a compression on the cerebellum, as to take away all sensation for some time, until the cause be removed, and puts it out of our power to do ourselves any very essential injury; although, now and then, there have been instances of persons getting so extremely intoxicated, that it has been fatal to them. The power of sensation does not return until the superfluous fluids have been exhausted by perspiration from the skin, lungs, urine, &c. and leaves us in a state so weak and languid, as to take away any inclination of subjecting ourselves again to a similar situation.

Hence

Hence we cannot conveniently either eat or drink more than is sufficient to repair the loss that is continually made, unless we force our inclination; for Nature has taken care to point out to us, by the intimations of hunger and thirst, the proper times of repletion: this being accomplished, these sensations do not return until all that has been previously taken is carried off, and then repletion becomes again absolutely necessary for our existence. It is the same with most animals; if you always let them have as much as they will eat and drink, they, in general, will not take more than is necessary to satisfy such wants, for they only become ravenous from being deprived of it; although sometimes, indeed, their sensations are depraved from disease, and they may then, perhaps, take more than is necessary to repair the parts that are continually passing off from the lungs by perspiration, urine,* &c.

But

* Sanctorius, a celebrated Italian physician, whose statical experiments were conducted with great patience,

But as long as any lymph is separated from the blood into the surfaces and cavities of the body, it takes away the sensation of hunger and thirst. So long as this or any other fluid remains in the stomach, there is no sensation of hunger, for it is only produced by its being empty. Hunger is immediately removed by drinking any fluid; and hence arises another cause why the lymph separated from the blood, on and in the different surfaces and cavities of the

patience, preciseness, and accuracy, has demonstrated, what has been confirmed by modern observations and experiments, that the quantity of fluid exhaled from the skin and lungs, amounts to nearly 5-8ths of the food which we eat and drink; so that, if the quantity eat and drank amounts to eight pounds in one day, five pounds will be carried off by perspiration, and only three pounds by the other evacuations; but this is liable to very considerable variations, according to the temperature of the atmosphere; in hot weather, more goes off in perspiration; in cold, more by urine; so that by either the evacuation one way or the other, the quantities exhausted are nearly the same; for if it is denied a passage by one means, it finds it by another; and this may be confirmed very satisfactorily by attending to the evacuations from our bodies, under various changes of the atmosphere,

body,

body, cannot produce a dropfy; for, in health, as long as any lymph remains, we have no desire to eat or drink, as its being there deprives us of those sensations, which only return when this important fluid is exhausted. Nature has many resources to prevent her operations from being defeated; she has appointed intimations when we do right and wrong, and if we deviate from them, she compels us to attend to her dictates; for, if we eat more than is proper for us, she will discharge it from our stomachs, notwithstanding all our endeavours to the contrary. And again, if we drink too much, she will send us to sleep.

The separation of the lymph, then, is caused by the pressure produced from repletion, and the resistance of it by the valves; and an immediate separation from the blood may be caused by any *compression* or *contraction* of the blood vessels on the contained fluid; and the separation will be in proportion to the quantity and quality of the blood at that time in the vessels, and the force of the contraction.

C H A P. VI.

OF THE PROPERTIES AND USE OF THE LYMPH.

THERE have been formed strange and various conjectures concerning this fluid, and the little that has been known of its properties, is from modern experiments and observations. It has been distinguished by variety of names, such as *succus nutritius*, *nervous fluid*, *animal spirits*, *the soul*, *æther*, *water*, *coagulable lymph*, &c. neither are authors agreed concerning its colour, consistence, or properties; from which, perhaps, have arisen more strange conjectures and controversies, than from any other part of physiology.

Some have asserted, that it is of a yellowish, reddish, or purple colour; others, that it is transparent and colourless: it may have appeared in all these different colours; it may have been tinged with bile, which will give it

it a yellow cast; it may be mixed with blood that has been dissolved or putrid, so as to have a red or purple colour, which, I apprehend, was also the reason of some of the old writers asserting, that the nervous fluid was of a red or purple colour. But all these are preternatural appearances, from the lymph being impregnated with other fluids, and from disease; and are not to be observed when it is examined in a healthy state, for it then appears clear, pellucid, and colourless, which is its natural appearance; although it will, sometimes, if examined in animals that have been fed, previous to their being killed, be a little turbid, as if it had been mixed with a small quantity of milk. This, I apprehend, is caused by its being impregnated with a small quantity of the white chyle passing through the lymphatic glands with it: but this is an appearance that only happens now and then, and after the animal has been just fed; but at all other times it is clear and transparent.

There have been likewise many opinions concerning the consistence of it; some assert,

fert it to be thick; others, thin, or a mere water; others, that it is a strong jelly: but it is liable to have all these appearances, under different circumstances of health and diet. If an animal is fed on bread and water, the lymph will be proportionally thin and watery; and, on the contrary, by repletion with nutritious diet, it may be made as thick and clammy as glue, which is frequently the case in a pleurisy, where the lungs often adhere to the pleura: it, therefore, seldom remains in the same state or consistence, for it is liable to be made a mere water, or a strong jelly, whilst the animal is in health.

Mr. Hewson's experiments and observations on this subject are so just and apposite, that I shall quote a few pages from them:

He says, page 165, " We have always
" found the fluids contained in the different
" cavities of the body, and that contained
" in the lymphatics, exactly agreeing with
" one another in their transparency, in their
" consistence, &c. And in animals in
" health

“ health we likewise found, when the one
“ jellied on being exposed to the air, the other
“ did so too : and in the animal reduced by
“ low diet, where the properties of the one
“ were altered, those of the other were so
“ likewise, and exactly in the same manner.”

And in page 104, he says, “ If immediately
“ after killing an animal in health, a lym-
“ phatic vessel be tied up properly, and
“ then cut out of the body and opened, so
“ as to let out the lymph into a cup and
“ expose it to the air, it will jelly as the co-
“ agulable lymph of the blood does in the
“ same circumstances : this is an experiment
“ I have likewise made several times on
“ dogs, asses, and geese.” And in p. 107,
he observes, “ But what is a more curious
“ fact, in those cases where I have com-
“ pared the fluid contained in the abdomen
“ and pericardium, with that contained in
“ their lymphatic vessels, of animals in dif-
“ ferent states of health, I have always
“ found them to agree with one another, in
“ the degree of coherence of the jelly which
“ they formed ; for, when the animal was
“ in perfect health, the lymph from the
“ cavity

“ cavity of the pericardium, abdomen, and
“ pleura, formed a strong jelly; and that
“ in the lymphatics in the neck and extre-
“ mities was equally firm; when the ani-
“ mal was reduced, as in the dog fed eight
“ days on bread and water, or when the
“ goose was very young, then the jelly,
“ formed by the fluid collected in these
“ cavities, was weak; and that formed by
“ the lymph in the lymphatic vessels, was
“ likewise weak in the same proportion:
“ so that although these fluids vary in the
“ different circumstances of health, yet they
“ always agree with each other.”

These observations are certainly of the utmost consequence, and deserve to be particularly attended to; for they prove, that the fluid found in the *lymphatics*, and that on the different *surfaces* and *cavities*, is the same throughout the body; and also shew how very liable the consistence of it is to be continually altered or changed, from a thin weak fluid up to the strongest jelly: also, that there may be intermediate degrees of consistence continually taking place in the
animal

animal œconomy, from the different quantities of our diet affording a greater or less quantity of this nutritious fluid, as it is found to be the same universally throughout the body. They also prove, that when the fluid taken from one part jellied, on being exposed to the air,* the same appearances were to be observed in the other parts; so that whatever alteration happened with respect to the different degrees of its consistence in one part, the same

* We are liable to take cold when going into the cold air out of warm rooms, and particularly after meals; the lymphatic system is then filled with lymph which has been separated from the blood; it coagulates from cold in the lymphatic vessels, which causes an obstruction in the lymphatic system; this is generally attended with a quick pulse and fever, which, by drinking weak liquids and a small quantity of antimony, will be effectually removed, but, if neglected, it may be attended with serious consequences; for every hour the lymphatic system remains obstructed, it becomes more and more dangerous; as the heat and fever increases, the lymph, like all animal fluids which are confined, is continually changing towards a state of putrefaction, and, if absorbed, may produce a putrid fever, and destroy the person.

took place in the other parts of the body likewise.

Mr. Cruikshanks says, that Mr. Hewson's experiments did not succeed with him. He observes, page 97, that " The arteries, or
 " perhaps certain other vessels arising from
 " them, and known commonly by the
 " name of exhalents, are constantly throw-
 " ing out a fluid into all cavities of the
 " body ; ' this fluid keeps the surfaces moist,
 " and makes motion easy, by allowing
 " them to slide easily upon one another.
 " This fluid, however, would accumulate
 " in such quantity, as to produce dropfy
 " of all those cavities, if the lymphatics
 " were not constantly absorbing it: now,
 " though this alone is a very important
 " function, nay, absolutely necessary to
 " the continuance of the animal machine,
 " I suspect that the lymph is a fluid more
 " extensively useful, and that this is not all
 " that is meant by making the lymphatics
 " take it up ; I suspect, that the fluid found
 " in the lymphatics is, in part, the coagu-
 " lable lymph of the blood; the fluid on
 " surfaces

“ surfaces never appeared to me to have that
“ property which the lymph has, of coagu-
“ lating from extravasation, or from rest,
“ in the dead body. Mr. Hewson’s expe-
“ riments, by which he believed that he
“ proved, that the fluid on surfaces, and
“ the fluid in the lymphatic vessels, were
“ the same, never succeeded with me. He
“ used to scrape, with a wet spoon, the
“ surface of the peritonæum or pleura, till
“ he had collected some considerable quan-
“ tity of fluid: on letting it stand, he found
“ that soon after it coagulated; and he con-
“ sidered this as strong a proof of the lym-
“ phatics absorbing from surfaces, as the
“ chyle’s being white and coagulating in
“ the intestines, and being of the same
“ colour, and having that property in
“ the lacteals, was a proof of their ab-
“ sorbing it from the intestines. This ex-
“ periment is liable to deception. In scrap-
“ ing these surfaces, vessels, containing a
“ coagulating fluid, may be torn, and it
“ may not be the fluid of surfaces which is
“ thus collected: besides, the liquor of the
“ pericardium and the ventricles of the
“ brain, and of the tunica vaginalis testis,

“ which are analogous fluids, never coagulated, in any of my experiments, under a less heat than that of 140 or 160 of Fahrenheit’s thermometer: besides, the quantity of lymph in the lymphatic vessels is greater than we could suppose it to be, were it only the fluid of surfaces. Whether, therefore, they absorb it from the cavities of the blood vessels, as from surfaces in common; or whether they arise also from the extremities of arteries, as Bartholine asserted, I do not know; I am rather disposed to think the lymphatic vasa vasorum may take coagulable lymph from the cavities of the blood vessel for some purpose yet unknown.” And in page 72, he considers the fluid found in the lymphatic glands different to the absorbed fluid: he says, “ This fluid in the microscope appears to have globular particles in it, similar to those which, by the same means, we discover in milk. It is most probable this fluid is there secreted by the arteries, and is totally different from the absorbed fluids, or those passing through the proper cells of the glands. Had it been found only in the
“ glands.

“ glands of the mesentery, we should have
 “ suspected it, both from its colour and
 “ containing globules, to be the chyle;
 “ but it is found in all glands, even in those
 “ which are at the greatest distance from
 “ the mesentery, and out of the road of the
 “ chyle. Mr. Hewson suspected, that
 “ these globules became afterwards the red
 “ particles of the blood. This he inferred,
 “ not only from the globules, but also from
 “ the circumstance of the fluid being in
 “ *greater quantity in young animals, where*
 “ *more blood was wanted; and its disap-*
 “ *pearing in old age, where less blood was*
 “ *wanted, where new parts were no longer*
 “ *forming, and the secretions every where*
 “ *diminished. The fact is, we do not know*
 “ *what is the use of this fluid.*”

After what has been advanced, it will not
 be difficult to reconcile these differences, par-
 ticularly as I have shewn, and even from
 what Mr. Hewson has himself observed, that
 the lymph is in a continual progressive state,
 and that its qualities and consistence are very
 different at one time, to what they are found

to be at another; and that it is almost impossible to find them exactly alike, even in the same animal, in different circumstances, unless we prepare the animal on purpose; and even then, although the lymph will always jelly, yet the strength of the jelly will be liable to vary, according to the nature, quantity, and quality of the food which the animal had been previously fed with. If Mr. Hewson had really, in scraping the surface of the pleura, or peritonæum, ruptured or torn any vessels, or their membranes, with the spoon, I should certainly consider it no objection; because it is more than probable that the fluid they contained would be the same kind of fluid that is found on their surfaces. Of this, I think there cannot be a doubt, as Mr. Hewson's experiments, he says, were many times repeated, and the result always the same, which is a sufficient proof, and appears to me to be perfectly satisfactory and conclusive.

Neither can there be a doubt, that the lymph will be longer coagulating at one time than another; and at some particular times,
when

when it is deprived of its nutritious particles, no part of it will coagulate when exposed to the air, or held over the fire, but will evaporate like water. Nevertheless, this alteration is no objection to its being considered as the same general fluid which extends all over the body.

I should consider the lymph, deprived of its coagulable matter, to be a mere water; and that the different degrees of consistency, which it undergoes in health, arise merely from the quantity and quality of the animal, and vegetable juices, &c. with which it is mixed or impregnated, and which form the jelly or coagulable matter: for as the water is impregnated, more or less, with nutritious particles, so will it form a stronger or weaker jelly. If we make a jelly, by boiling two calves feet in a gallon of water, for a certain time, and any given heat, it will jelly when exposed to the cold air, the same as the lymph found on the different surfaces and cavities of the body. And, if we put four or six feet

the same time, with the same degree of heat, the jelly will be proportionally altered from a weaker to a stronger consistence: and hence I should conceive the lymph in an animal to be liable to a similar alteration; so that from its being a mere water, it may, by the addition or decomposition of the nutritious particles, be made so thick or thin, as to produce a variety of disorders, which may continually happen by the alterations of this important fluid from one extreme to the other.

But while the lymph retains its mediocrity, or is of such a consistence as to be sufficiently impregnated with nutritious particles, and not so thick as to stagnate or to be obstructed in the lymphatic vessels, but will circulate freely throughout the body, I should consider this to be the most healthy medium by which the body can be preserved and nourished.

Since, then, the lymph is liable to such a variety of alterations and changes, whilst the animal is in health, it will not appear
sur-

surprising that it should be equally so when diseased, and should be the cause of many dreadful and fatal complaints, as it is the vehicle by which many disorders are received, as well as cured.

Mr. Hewson observes very judiciously, page 116, “ That the properties of the
“ lymph exhaled upon surfaces and into
“ cavities, differ so widely in different cir-
“ cumstances; and as we find that pus is
“ often met with in such cavities, without
“ ulceration, is it not probable that pus it-
“ self is merely that lymph, changed in
“ its properties by passing through inflamed
“ vessels? The cavities of the pleura, pe-
“ ricardium, &c. are sometimes observed
“ to contain considerable quantities of pus,
“ without the least mark of ulceration; in-
“ stances of which I have seen: in one
“ patient I found three pints of pure pus in
“ the pericardium without any ulcer, either
“ on the membrane or on the heart. In
“ another, the cavity of the pleura of the
“ right side was distended with a pus, that
“ smelt more like whey than a putrid fluid,
“ and

“ and the lungs were compressed into a
“ very small compass, but there were no
“ appearance of ulcer or erosion, either on
“ these organs, or on the pleura, but only
“ under the pus was a thin crust of coagu-
“ lable lymph. In such cases, it is manifest,
“ the pus must have been formed from the
“ fluids; and as the exhalent vessels at one
“ time appear to secrete a mere water, at
“ another coagulable lymph, and in a third
“ (when a little inflamed) they secrete that
“ lymph so viscid, and change its proper-
“ ties so much, as to make it to coagu-
“ late instantly on being secreted; so in
“ like manner they may sometimes, when
“ more inflamed, have the power of con-
“ verting the lymph into pus: and accord-
“ ing to the kind and degree of the inflam-
“ mation, the pus may vary from the
“ bland, viscid, and inodorous nature, to
“ that of the most thin and fœtid sanies
“ found in phagedenic and cancerous
“ ulcers.”

I am clearly of opinion, that pus is
formed entirely from the lymph; for as we
find

find that lymph partakes of the qualities of our food, when in health, it cannot be surprising that we find it undergoing different changes, when altered in itself; or impregnated with irritating, acrimonious, corrosive, malignant, putrid, or infectious matter. We have numberless instances that prove pus to be an alteration of the lymph; as, for instance, we see the clear, pellucid fluid, which moistens continually the eye when confined in the ductus adnasum, changing its transparency in a few days and become pus, which is the case in a fistula lachrymalis. In a mere obstruction of the nose from cold, we find the fluid is changed from a clear and transparent one to a turbid simple pus. In the small pox the pustules at the beginning of the complaint are filled with a clear transparent fluid, which is the lymph; in a few days it becomes turbid, and forms what is commonly called simple pus or matter. This is only the first change, and is merely the lymph altered: but if this matter be not discharged from the body, whilst it remains in this simple state, it in a few days more changes from a mild to a malign-

malignant, infectious, and corrosive matter, which smells, becomes of a putrid tendency, and corrodes, eats holes in the flesh, and if absorbed, frequently proves fatal.

In a blow on the head, where there may not be any external appearance of injury, the lymphatic vessels, from the dura mater of the inside of the skull, connected with the periostæum* on the outside, are ruptured

* When the lymphatic vessels are torn or separated the pericranium will also separate from the bone, and suppurate, because the part being deprived of the vessels which conveyed the nutritious lymph to it are destroyed, and that part dies; this is replaced by the extension of the lymphatic system and lymph of the neighbouring parts. Similar effects may be observed from the same cause in the periostæum, which covers the bones of any other part of the body. It is very immaterial whether the obstruction be caused from a blow, injuring or destroying the vessels themselves, or whether the lymph be obstructed by indurated or diseased glands, such as we often see in scrophulous and venereal disorders, which produce these indurations of the glands, and are termed nodes, tophi, &c. A destruction of the surrounding parts and caries of the bone is the consequence, which will extend as far as the lymph is obstructed.

from the accident, and discharge the lymph on the dura mater: the person so situated feels, perhaps, no inconvenience until the lymph changes and is absorbed, when a fever comes on, with dangerous symptoms of mischief, in the head, which increases, and death will, in all probability, be the consequence, unless the putrid matter (which a few days before was a mild and healthy fluid) is timely discharged, by an early application of the trepan, which is very often the only probable chance of saving life.

When the lymph is prevented from circulating through any part of the body, that part will die; and the putrefaction of the suppurated part, may contaminate and affect the other sound parts in a similar manner; particularly if the suppuration is discharged into any of the internal cavities. Thus it appears to be very immaterial, whether the obstruction of the lymph be caused by an inflammation, produced by the lymph becoming so thick as not to circulate through the different membranes, and other parts of the

the

the body; or whether the inflammation and obstruction be caused by a blow, or the absorption of malignant or putrid matter, &c. the effects are in general the same, and are often attended with great danger, as we see every day in the pleurisy, the peritoneal inflammation, blows on various parts of the body, as the head, kidneys, testicles, &c. We frequently find the cavities, which contain these parts, filled with lymph that has been extravasated and diseased, from the lymphatic vessels or membranes which invest these parts for their nutrition, being injured, and as soon as an inflammation takes place, let the cause be what it may, there is an end of the lymph circulating through these inflamed parts; for the heat that is immediately produced by inflammation, coagulates the lymph, so that it cannot circulate; and unless it can be dissolved by resolution, these obstructed parts will soon suppurate, and the matter which is formed from their corruption or suppuration, being confined and mixed with the extravasated and diseased lymph, may be absorbed and produce a suppuration of various parts of the body;

body ; as is frequently observed in the peritoneal inflammation, pleurisy, child-bed fever, &c.

It therefore appears, that the whole of the danger arising from inflammation is caused by the obstruction of the lymph, which becoming coagulated in the inflamed parts, the consequence will be, that the obstructed parts die from the want of this important fluid. Now to prevent the lymph from coagulating, it is evident that it must not be mixed with any thing that affords *coagulable matter*, as animal or vegetable food ; but that the lymph must be kept, if possible, a mere water ; so that by its thinness it may be able to pass through the inflamed and obstructed parts, without being subject to coagulation : and hence arises my idea of plentiful dilution in the first state of inflammation, or where there is any preternatural heat ; this being the greatest chance of preventing the lymph from coagulating, and removing the obstruction in the inflamed parts by resolution ; so that the lymph may be conveyed through the lymphatic vessels,
the

the same as it was previous to the inflammation, and prevent suppuration. And I am convinced that this opinion is well founded; for I have seen many inflammations resolved, by giving plentifully toast and water, roasted apples, &c. which has dispersed the inflammation in two or three days.

In all inflammations, and in all parts of the body, I should conceive this treatment judicious, as the intention is to remove the obstruction and open the lymphatic vessels by resolution, before suppuration commences. But as there is no general rule without exception, so the present comes under that predicament; for although I recommend dilution, in the first state of inflammation, in all parts of the body, if by this means, resolution should not have been accomplished, and the disease is in any of the internal parts, as in the head, thorax, or abdomen, and the inflammation is so far advanced as not to be dispersed, and suppuration commences, it cannot be expected that diluting liquids can prevent the mischief;

chief; but, on the contrary, it will be improper, because it will keep the ruptured lymphatic vessels continually discharging the fluid into their cavities, and from its being confined will corrupt and increase the danger. I should therefore imagine, it would be better to keep the patient on a low diet, and endeavour, by that means, to get the matter that is discharged into the cavities, absorbed as soon as possible *after suppuration*, whilst the matter is *simple pus*, and before it becomes of such an *acrimonious tendency as to inflame and suppurate the parts that absorb it*. This treatment I should conceive preferable when suppuration has taken place in any of the internal cavities, where the fluid, from being confined, becomes corrupt, and frequently destroys the person: but in all external inflammations and suppurations, where the matter can be discharged, diluting is certainly preferable, as it will keep the matter from entering the circulation.

There is yet another case which, I should conceive, will not admit of dilution; name-

Q

ly,

ly, injuries of the head, where the lymphatic vessels are ruptured. The giving quantities of liquids in this case would be not only improper, but injurious; because, by filling the lymphatics, the fluid would be continually discharged from the ruptured vessels into the cavity of the skull in such quantities, as to cause a compression of the cerebellum, which, in all probability, would be fatal; besides, the confinement of such a quantity of fluid, which will be continually changing to a state of putrefaction, and would inevitably destroy the patient. Therefore, in all injuries of the head, where the skull is not fractured, and the symptoms will not justify the application of the trepan, I should immediately put the patient on an extreme low diet, with a view to put the body in such a state, as to *absorb the lymph that has been discharged, before it is become acrimonious, and by keeping the lymphatic vessels empty, prevent any fresh accumulation of lymph*; and, by this means, the ruptured vessels will not be distended, and in a few days may close; the patient may
after-

afterwards eat and drink moderately, until he be perfectly recovered.

In all inflammations therefore, both internal and external, the first consideration must be, to endeavour to disperse it by weak diluents, before the lymphatic vessels are destroyed; and the same treatment is to be continued in all external parts, until they are properly suppurated to prevent absorption, and discharge the acrimonious matter. We may then omit the fluids, and in a good constitution the wound will heal in a few days; but whenever suppuration takes place in any cavities where the lymph cannot be discharged, we must endeavour to get it absorbed *before it becomes corrupted*, by keeping the lymphatic system continually empty.

Independent of the change which the lymph undergoes in the disorders which have been just enumerated, it is, moreover, liable to be rendered salt, sour, bitter, acrid, corrosive, &c. which will produce a variety of complaints, such as the jaundice, gout,

rheumatism, eruptions, scurvy, leprosy, scrophula, lues venerea, cancer, &c. what the particular change may be that the lymph undergoes to produce many of these disorders, I do not know; but it would certainly be of great benefit to society if some person would carefully analyze the discharge from these complaints, and, if possible, point out in what the acrimony consists; we might then be able to apply a radical cure, and not be spectators of some of these unfortunate diseases, without having it in our power to relieve the sufferer.

It is not, therefore, to be wondered at that there should have been such a variety of opinions concerning this important fluid, since it evidently appears to be continually changing, and that there is almost an endless variety to be observed in it in health, and equally so when diseased; so that at some time or other, it might have all those appearances that it has been represented to have, and might justify the various and different opinions that have been advanced concerning it; but it is, *nevertheless, equally*
evident

evident that the use of it has never been known or ascertained, as clearly appears by the writings of the most modern authors.

That the use of it is for the nutrition of the body there cannot, I think, be a doubt, after what has been advanced; and particularly as we find the lymphatic glands, which are the organs through which this fluid is to pass, are dispersed for that purpose in every part of the body, even until they become invisible, although they may be discovered by the microscope; and in many diseases they become conspicuous to the naked eye, from their being enlarged and indurated, where they could not be perceived in health; as may be observed in examining the pleura, peritonæum, &c. of persons who have died of consumptions, lues venerea, dropfy, &c. Besides, where there is any very important organ, whose office cannot be dispensed with, Nature has been particularly careful to place a gland for the separation of this important fluid for the nutrition of such organ; as, for instance, the renal glands are situated on the top of each of the kidneys and the epididymis,

mis, which is connected to the testicles, in a similar manner, as the renal glands are to the kidneys; that is, the lymphatic vessels expand and unite, form lymphatic membranes, and invest these organs,* for the purpose of conveying nutrition to them.

The prostate gland, in like manner, separates the lymph from the blood, and affords nutrition for the urethra and penis; for I have seen, that when this gland has been obstructed and diseased, a mortification of the penis has been the consequence. But I believe this gland to be yet more generally useful, and that it conveys lymph to the testicle and scrotum; for when this gland is diseased, inflammation, abscess, and sometimes a suppuration of the scrotum and testicle, is caused. Therefore, the destruction

* In a similar manner, fruits are invested with external and internal membranes, to convey nutritious juices to them, as we see in oranges, nuts, &c. When these membranes are bruised, and the fluids obstructed, they become putrid, the parts mortify, and the matter is equally infectious to them, as putrid matter is to an animal.

of the renal glands and epididymis, cause the destruction of the kidneys and testicles; because the passage for the lymph to them is denied; and although these parts may exist for a short time, by the lymph that they may receive from the adjoining glands, yet the destruction of the kidneys and testicles will soon be the consequence; as we always find, on examining those persons who have died of disorders of the kidneys or testicles, that the renal glands and epididymis have been always found diseased;* and an obstruction of the prostate gland, which so often happens in venereal complaints, and if not soon removed, is generally the forerunner of swellings, inflammation, and abscess, in the testicles and scrotum, as well as of strictures and ulcers in the neck of the bladder and urethra, and frequently, as I

* I believe there may be an exception to this, which is, that the formation of gravel or stones in the kidneys may sometimes cause them to be diseased, notwithstanding the lymph may be regularly supplied; but in most other disorders of the kidneys, the renal glands are found to be diseased.

have just observed, of a mortification of the penis.

Nature has been so bountiful to us as to place such a multitude of glands, that when some are injured or diseased, others may separate from the blood, lymph sufficient to supply their loss; we have proof of this every day, namely, that after diseased glands have been extirpated from particular parts, the neighbouring glands have supplied their loss; and we have many instances on record where the spleen, which is one of the largest lymphatic glands in the body, has been cut out, yet the animal will live; but in all these instances, the lost or obstructed glands are not so situated, that any important organ principally depends on them for the separation of lymph for their nutrition, but only perform the office of generally distributing the lymph in common, and in conjunction with the other glands; and their loss will not be of any great consequence, so long as the other glands separate lymph sufficient for nutrition.

I believe the spleen does not secrete lymph for any particular organ, but that it extends generally, and conveys lymph to most of the organs contained in the abdomen; and when it is taken out, the remainder of the glands in the abdomen, which are extremely numerous, may, by an increased separation of lymph, keep the animal in health; but when the glands of any part of the body, let it be wherever it may, become *totally obstructed, so that no lymph can be conveyed, a mortification will be the consequence, and from this cause alone will be produced, what we so often see, namely, that every part of the body, at particular times, will be subject to a partial or general mortification, even the bones not excepted*; and wherever this happens, the mortification will be more or less dangerous, according to the importance of the part to which the lymph cannot be conveyed. The mortification will extend until it meets with parts that are supplied with lymph, and it is then checked; but if the lymph is not secreted, or that the lymphatic system is generally obstructed, as is the case in the lues venerea, consumptions, and old age, when

when far advanced; the mortification extends all over the body, and the animal is destroyed.

It appears then from what has been advanced, that the animal œconomy, or any part of it, is only preserved in health or kept in existence, so long as the fluids circulate through the organized parts that are placed for that important purpose, and that whenever any of these parts are obstructed or diseased, the consequence produced will be more or less dangerous, according to the nature of the parts that are affected, and the cause of the obstruction, &c.

And whilst the machine remains free from disease, three things are absolutely necessary to preserve it in health, viz. 1st. That there must be healthy and nutritious chyle. 2d. That this chyle must be conveyed into the circulation, and circulate with the blood. 3d. That the lymph must be separated from the blood, and must be conveyed to the most minute parts of the body; because,

2

when

when this fluid is obstructed, the parts that are deprived of it, suppurate and die. *Therefore I infer, that the use of the lymph is for our nutrition, and, of course, it is the immediate cause of our existence.*

CHAP.

C H A P. VII.

THE CONNECTION OF THE BRAIN WITH THE
NERVES AND WITH THE LYMPHATIC SYSTEM.

THAT the brain is a gland has been generally believed by the first writers on anatomy. Hippocrates in particular, observes, that the brain is of a glandular structure; and this assertion has been found true by many authors, who have presented us with their observations and experiments on this subject.

Malpighi, whose experiments have always been considered, in general, as extremely accurate, says, that the brain is composed of an aggregate number of small or minute glands, united or joined together so as to form one glandular body: but this formation is very different in the brain of some birds and fish, where the glands are not united or joined together, as they
are

are in the human brain, but are distinct and separate, and are composed of the extremities of minute arteries.

Ruyfch asserts, that the brain is vascular, and denies that it is glandular. This has been warmly contested by the adherents of each party, who have supported the opinions and doctrines of their teachers with great warmth in their controversies; but, on examination, it will appear that they have each endeavoured to make distinctions where there are none, such as glandular and vascular, which mean precisely the same thing: glandular substances are demonstrated by a variety of experiments, to be bodies composed of vessels; which is all that is meant or understood by vascular substances.

That the brain is merely a gland cannot be doubted; for it resembles, in many respects, the lymphatic glands of the other parts of the body. It is composed of blood vessels; it has a fourth or a sixth part of the blood in the whole body continually sent to it, for which there could be no good reason given

given, unless there were to be a secretion made from it, in the same manner as from other glands. I should therefore consider the brain as a mere lymphatic gland, which is only connected with, and forms a part of the general system; so that a gland situated in any other part, is a brain to such part, and its office the same.

The ancients, and even many of the moderns, have asserted, that the brain was the seat of the soul, and supposed that all sensation originated in it: but this opinion is certainly founded in error; for modern experiments have very satisfactorily demonstrated, that those parts which have been supposed to possess the highest degree of sensibility, do, in fact, possess little or none; and the brain may certainly be considered to come under that predicament, for it possesses very little sensation; when I say very little, I should wish to be understood that the brain has no more sensibility than the lymphatic glands of other parts of the body, which is very little; because, we have many examples of this fact from various experi-

experiments, as also in venereal, scrophulous, and other swellings of the lymphatic glands, which are frequently attended with very little pain; and in this circumstance, the brain appears to be affected in a similar manner, and does not possess the power which it was supposed to do, of producing such exquisite sensibility.

We have instances, almost every day, where the brain from accidents has been considerably injured, and even parts of it suppurated, and yet the patient has not appeared to be in such pain as it would be reasonable to expect, if the brain really did possess a peculiar power of giving pain: neither have patients, during the cure of wounds of the brain, shewed symptoms that would induce one to believe that they suffered more, or even so much, as what they would have done from a wound of some other part. Heister relates several cases where shots have passed through the substance of the brain, and have not proved fatal; and even in one instance, he mentions a shot that entered under the right eye, and passed out upwards through

through the brain and cranium, and the person got well: similar accidents often happen, but do not prove fatal. Besides, in many young animals the brain is a mere fluid; and in other animals, the brain has been found wholly wanting, yet they nevertheless have had the power of sensation; which is a sufficient proof that sensation does not depend solely on the brain.

I cannot, therefore, admit that the brain is the organ of sensation; and I shall proceed to prove that all sensibility arises wholly from the cerebellum, and its continuation; and that the only real nerves, which convey sensation, arise entirely from it.

The cerebrum and cerebellum have, by most authors, been blended together; they have considered them as nearly the same bodies, and that all the nerves in the body originated from them. But this opinion is founded in error; for the cerebellum is materially different from the cerebrum: the cerebellum is situated in the hind part of the head, is much smaller than the
cere-

cerebrum; it is separated from it by the dura mater; it is evidently of a much firmer texture than the cerebrum, and has not those turnings, windings, or circumvolutions, which the cerebrum has; but has a number of distinct fibres running parallel to each other, and appears to be composed of mere simple fibres, resembling the nerves of the medulla spinalis. Besides, it does not receive blood vessels in the manner the cerebrum does; nor has it the least appearance of a gland: it possesses so much sensibility, that many authors consider a wound of it to be mortal.

Chefelden, in his Anatomy, page 224, says, that wounds of the cerebellum and medulla oblongata cause sudden death: there are also many instances of persons who have instantly expired, by a slight prick from a pin or other sharp instrument, that has accidentally wounded the medulla spinalis; and we see daily many persons instantly destroy animals, by giving them a blow on the hind part of the head, where the cerebellum is placed.

Dr. Ridley on the Brain, page 169, observes, that “ ’Tis true, that in several
 “ creatures there are some nerves very much
 “ depending on the cerebellum ; as are they
 “ which minister to the natural and vital
 “ functions, viz. the par vagum and inter-
 “ costal pairs ; and therefore Dr. Willis,
 “ who is in this, as in many other of
 “ his discoveries, very fortunate and
 “ highly commendable, made a very good
 “ guess when he brought these faculties into
 “ subjection to that part ; inasmuch, as by
 “ several others, as well as my own expe-
 “ rience, upon living bodies, we find, *that*
 “ *notwithstanding most part of the brain be*
 “ *pared off with a razor, yea, even after*
 “ *the medulla oblongata be divided betwixt*
 “ *the cerebrum and cerebellum, and taken*
 “ *wholly out of the cranium, the heart*
 “ *will beat, when, at the same time, if the*
 “ *cerebellum itself be but cut in pieces, though*
 “ *all the rest of the brain be kept entire, the*
 “ *creature expires presently.* Yea, I have
 “ seen respiration (which only in part de-
 “ pends on the cerebellum) *totally to cease*
 “ *upon only a sudden violent compression of*
 “ *that*

*“ that part by a blow, and, after its being
 “ wounded, the heart to cease beating im-
 “ mediately.”*

I consider these experiments as perfectly conclusive proofs, that sensation arises exclusively from the cerebellum and medulla spinalis, especially as Dr. Ridley relates, a few pages farther on, which I shall notice in its place, several instances of animals that have had no brain, had very little cerebellum, but have had the medulla spinalis enlarged, which prove it to be sufficient to convey sensation independent of the brain; and therefore it is certain that sensation does arise from the cerebellum and medulla spinalis: but it is very probable that the medulla spinalis alone, or being enlarged, might perform all the functions that are necessary for animal existence, provided that there were a sufficient number of lymphatic glands connected with it, to separate as much lymph as would preserve it in health, otherwise it could not perform its functions; and the same may be observed of all other parts; indeed, I think the follow-

ing cases will put this matter beyond all doubt.

Dr. Ridley says, page 176, “ But now
“ to return where we left off; in some
“ creatures it is very plain that nature hath
“ extended this imperial residence of the
“ *soul beyond the cerebellum*, even as far as
“ the *spinalis medulla*, having not only put
“ this last motion, but that of pulsation too,
“ under the jurisdiction of that elongation
“ of the brain; as appears in the famous
“ experiment of the industrious Caldesi
“ upon the tortoise, which after the head
“ was cut off, lived and carried its shell
“ about the space of six months.” And
he farther relates the following curious cases,
which demonstrates, that the animal func-
tions may be performed without either cere-
brum or cerebellum, and that the medulla
spinalis alone will perform these offices:
he says, page 178, As a proof of animals
performing their functions without cere-
brum or cerebellum; “ of the first is an
“ instance of the learned Wepfer, in a child
“ living sixteen hours after it was born, and
“ dif-

“ discharging all the duties of nature that
 “ one of its age was capable of; and by
 “ the by (which all the patrons of a nutri-
 “ tious juice by the nerves may do well to
 “ take notice of) of a very strong and
 “ good habit of body, whose brain, after
 “ death, was found to be only an heap of
 “ watery bladders or hydatides, except a
 “ small part at the bottom of the skull,
 “ lying in a sinus, made in the wedge-like
 “ bone, where the pituitary gland is com-
 “ monly found, consisting only of three
 “ medullary bodies, two of which being
 “ each of the bigness of a kidney bean, and
 “ the third behind them of a pea only, from
 “ which indeed there did proceed some, but
 “ very inconsiderable nerves or nervous
 “ fibrils; but such as none can judge of a
 “ due proportion requisite to satisfy the
 “ exigencies of the common natural and
 “ vital functions.” He then observes, that
 “ The truth of which is still more plain, and
 “ without exception in another instance in
 “ the Miscell. Med. Physic. Gallic. of a
 “ child living five days after it was born,
 “ whose head had nothing but water con-

“ tained within the inclosures of the dura and
 “ pia mater, without the least footsteps of
 “ any medullary part at all. Parallel to
 “ which two last instances, I had communi-
 “ cated to me by that curious anatomist and
 “ learned person, Dr. Tyfon, in a child
 “ born alive with no more brain in the
 “ skull than what might lie in a filbert shell,
 “ *the medulla spinalis being much larger than*
 “ *ordinary*, as though part of the absent
 “ brain had been squeezed down thither.

“ Of the last, viz. where the natural
 “ conformation hath been depraved, there
 “ is extant an instance in two several places
 “ of the Miscell. Curios. in a fat ox, in
 “ which, whilst living, there were observed
 “ but very little signs of any such thing,
 “ *whose brain was nevertheless after death*
 “ *found wholly petrified.*”

He then very properly concludes from
 these curious cases, that “ From all these
 “ it is manifest, the sensitive faculty is able
 “ to answer its internal or external impres-
 “ sions, by one part as well as another;
 “ and

“ and that the medullary system of the *spinalis medulla* may become as *adequate a sensory*, in relation to theaforesaid functions, sometimes as either *cerebrum* or *cerebellum*.”

The Abbé Fontana has demonstrated, that certain animals will continue to live days, weeks, nay even months, after the brain has been taken out, or even when the head has been removed. This experiment has been frequently repeated on various animals, so that there can be no doubt of the fact; and although the animals were thus mutilated, they nevertheless continued to have the power of sensation, so that they appeared to feel, and judge, and perform the same motions that they previously did; which proves that the *medulla spinalis alone* is sufficient to perform all the animal functions, independent of the *cerebrum* or *cerebellum*; and we have many other examples of children that have been well formed, and have had the appearance of strong and healthy constitutions, who have not had any brain. *Monro*, on the Nervous System, says, that

“ In children delivered at the full time,
 “ plump and well formed in their trunk and
 “ limbs, I have observed the substance
 “ which supplied the place of the brain not
 “ more bulky than a small nut, and instead
 “ of containing a white medullary sub-
 “ stance, it was of a red colour, resembling
 “ a clot of blood, *and small chords occupy-*
 “ *ing the place of the optic nerves, were*
 “ *likewise of a red colour; yet the spinal*
 “ *marrow, and all the nerves from it, had*
 “ *the ordinary size and appearance.*

“ In a monstrous kitten with two bodies,
 “ and appearance of one head, I found the
 “ spinal marrow of one of the bodies con-
 “ nected with a brain and cerebellum of
 “ the common shape and size, *but the spi-*
 “ *nal marrow of the other body, though*
 “ *equally large, had only a small button of*
 “ *medullary substance at its upper end, with-*
 “ *out a suitable brain or cerebellum.*”

There are other instances similar to the
 above, which might be adduced as proofs
 of animals possessing all their faculties with-
 out

out having any brain. This shews how visionary is the doctrine of physiognomists, who pretend to measure a man's wisdom by the shape and size of his brain and skull; and shews how very erroneous it must be to consider the brain as the organ of sensation or seat of the soul; and that we cannot attribute to man the superior reasoning faculties which he possesses over all other animals, on a supposition or presumption of his brain being larger in proportion to theirs. If it were really true, that our reason depended on the size of our brain, we must possess the greatest degree of reason as soon as we come into the world; the brain, like all other lymphatic glands of the body, being considerably larger in proportion at the time of birth, than at any period ever after; and when old age advances on us, it diminishes in proportion to the lymphatic glands of the other parts of the body, so that the faculties only become impaired in the same proportion as all other parts; that is, the usual quantity of lymph, separated by the brain and other glands, is lessened by their wasting, or by obstruction,
and

and causes a diminution of the nerves, and, of course, our faculties.

I certainly conceive, that if other glands were connected to the nerves, instead of the brain, and that they were capable to separate as much lymph as the brain does, that our sensations would be equally perfect, and that it would be very immaterial whether there was, or was not, any brain; but in some animals that have large brains, to which a fourth or a sixth part of the blood in the body is continually carried, the separation from it must be so great, as not to be dispensed with.

It evidently appears, then, from what has been advanced, that sensation arises from the cerebellum and medulla spinalis; and that its continuations alone form the nerves, and not the cerebrum, which is only connected to them in the same general manner that the lymphatic vessels are with the various parts of the body for their nutrition, performing the same office as other lymphatic glands and vessels. I would, however,

ever, distinguish the brain, by considering it the *fountain head* of the *lymphatic system*.

Now, if it be admitted that the brain is a lymphatic gland, it must necessarily follow, *that the continuations of the brain are not nerves*, as all authors, both ancient and modern, even those of the present day, assert, and is now the general and universally received opinion. It will be certainly fair to infer from analogy, that as all other glands throughout the body have excretory ducts, so the continuations of the brain are not nerves but lymphatic vessels, appropriated to convey lymph from the brain, in a similar manner, to the lymphatic vessels originating from other glands; that those parts issuing from the brain are its excretory ducts, and that they unite themselves to the *real nerves* in a similar manner to what the lymphatic vessels do in all other parts of the body. Hence it will appear, that the nerves have been erroneously considered as formed by two substances very different and distinct from each other; namely, from the union or conjunction of the cerebrum and cerebellum,

lum, their continuations, and ganglions; and therefore it must follow, that these substances being so very different, their continuations, which are supposed to form the nerves, must be so likewise; and that this is the fact, cannot, I think, be doubted.

Galen (*de usu partium*) has made a distinction betwixt the continuations of the cerebrum and cerebellum; for he says, that the cerebrum is softer than the cerebellum, because it is the origin of the soft nerves, as the cerebellum is of the hard; and that the nerves which issue from the medulla spinalis, are harder than those which originate from the cerebrum; and hence many of the ancients have distinguished the nerves into two classes, viz. under the denomination of hard and soft nerves, and attributed different offices to them; as for instance, they considered the hard nerves, which arose from the cerebellum and medulla spinalis, as the organs by means of which all sensation is conveyed; and the soft nerves which originate from the brain, they considered as the organs of motion; and besides, if the continuation

uation of the brain were nerves, there could be no good reason assigned for the first and second pair of the spinal nerves being sent to the head for the continuations of the brain, if nerves could give sensation to all those parts.

From the great difference there is to be observed in the continuations of the cerebrum and cerebellum, and the different effects produced by injuries of these parts, authors have assigned peculiar offices to each, such as their attributing to the continuations of the cerebrum, the power of all *voluntary motions*, and to those of the cerebellum, *involuntary motions*.

Others again have asserted, that the nerves are composed of tubes conveying a fluid, by the means of which they performed sensation; and this opinion has been supported by some of the most eminent anatomists. Others, equally eminent and celebrated, have positively denied the very existence of such fluid, and assert the nerves to be firm solid chords, and perform motion and sensation by vibrations, and hence,

There

There is no question in physiology involved in such obscurity, and in so many difficulties, as to explain in what manner the *nerves do* perform the functions of *motion* and *sensation*; the dispute has hitherto been a constant source of hypothesis, without much satisfactory information.

The nerves certainly cannot perform their functions by vibrations or tremulous motions, as many have asserted; for if they did, they must be firm, solid chords, elastic, and fastened at their extremities to render them tense, for the purpose of conveying vibrations or tremulous motion. Now the nerves, on the contrary, are *soft* and *inelastic*, as appears when they are divided, for they neither shorten or contract; they are not fastened at their extremities, and have no tension, and therefore cannot convey motion or sensation by vibrations, or tremulous motions.

That the lymph is the medium, essentially necessary, by which the nerves perform their functions, appears evident in many instances;

stances; in animals that have been killed, the contraction of the muscles continues as long as the nerves are moist, and this contraction will diminish as the lymph is exhausted. In a common cold, producing an obstruction of the lymph, so that it cannot pass regularly through the obstructed glands into the nerves, hence *hoarseness, loss of speech, of hearing, and smelling*, may be the consequence; all of which will return as soon as the obstruction is removed; and this, if not soon accomplished, may be attended with serious consequences; such as the *irrecoverable loss of those faculties*. Thus there are examples of singers who have had powerful voices entirely destroyed from a common cold, or inflammation of the throat, which caused the nerves to suppurate, by an obstruction of the lymph. This is also clearly demonstrated to be the fact in consumptions, scrophula, lues venerea, and fevers, where the glands become obstructed, and the faculties depending on them lost.

Hence it appears, that the lymph is essentially and absolutely necessary for the *nutrition*

tion and preservation of the *nerves* in *health*; as they can only perform their *functions perfectly* whilst they remain so: yet, if this be admitted, I am apprehensive that we shall still be at a loss to shew in what consists the peculiar quality or essence, by which the *nerves, whilst in health*, are susceptible of such impressions, as we know they receive from the application of different substances to them; neither can we demonstrate how they perform motion and sensation.

It is a certain fact, that if part of a nerve be cut out of an animal just dead, and placed in the receiver of an air-pump, the lymph will exude from it, and will coagulate in a similar manner to the lymph found in other parts of the body; whence it is evident that the lymph issued from the lymphatics that were connected with the nerve: and if we cut a nerve transversely that has been distended with air and dried, there will appear a number of pores, and, what many authors have asserted to be, cavities to convey the nervous fluid. Injections from the blood vessels have passed through the lymphatic vessels,

vessels, and have appeared to pass into the substance of a nerve, which has induced authors to assert, that they had found the *cavities* of nerves which conveyed the fluid; but on a careful examination of the injected nerve with the microscope, the *lymphatics only* were injected, and not the substance of the nerves, which appeared like so many distinct and separate fibres or threads, without any visible appearance of cavities.

Professor Monro, as quoted in Cheselden's Anatomy, page 225, says, that

“ From the medullary part of the cerebrum,
 “ cerebellum, and medulla spinalis, a vast
 “ number of small medullary white fibres
 “ are sent out, which, at their first egress,
 “ seem easily to separate, but as they pass
 “ forward, are somewhat more, but still
 “ loosely connected, by the coat which
 “ they obtain from the pia mater, and at
 “ last piercing the dura, are straightly braced
 “ by [that membrane, which covers them
 “ in their progress, whence they become
 “ white firm strong chords, and are so well
 “ known by the name of nerves. To these
 “ coats an infinite number of vessels, both

“ arteries and veins, are distributed; so
 “ that after a nice lucky injection the
 “ whole chord is tinged with the colour of
 “ the injected liquor; but when the fibrils
 “ are examined, with the best microscope,
 “ they appear like so many small and dis-
 “ tinct threads running parallel, without
 “ any cavity observable in them; though
 “ some incautious observers, mistaking the
 “ cut orifices of the arterious and venous
 “ vessels, just mentioned, for nervous tubes,
 “ have affirmed their cavities to be visible.”

Now, if we admit that the brain is a
 lymphatic gland, and its continuations are
lymphatic vessels and not *nerves*, as they
 have been improperly termed, we shall be
 able to reconcile the opinions of those au-
 thors who have asserted, that the *nerves con-*
veyed a fluid, with the opinion of those who
deny it; the former, instead of injecting
 nerves as they imagined, (although justified
 in this opinion, inasmuch as all continua-
 tions of the brain were supposed to be
 nerves) *did, in fact, only inject the lymphatics*
issuing from the brain, and the injections
 did

did not pass into the substance of the nerves arising from the cerebellum and medulla spinalis, the only true nerves: and hence originated the error.

There are certain glands placed in several parts of the body, and commonly distinguished by the name of *ganglions*; they have been considered as giving egress to nerves, in a similar manner as the nerves were supposed to issue from the brain, and to extend the nerves, and convey sensation to the various parts to which they were connected. But this supposed office has been improperly attributed to them, because they are found to possess very little sensibility, and are distributed to many parts where there is not, nor is there any necessity there should be, any exquisite sensation conveyed to them.

Professor Monro, speaking of the conjunction of the nerves with the ganglions, (see Cheselden's Anatomy, page 227.) says, that "In some parts where there are such

“ seems much increased ; and these knotty
“ oval bodies, called by Fallopius corpora
“ olivaria, and generally now named gang-
“ lions, are formed. The coats of these
“ knots are stronger, thicker, and more
“ muscular than the whole nerves which
“ pass into them would seem to constitute,
“ whilst the nervous fibrils pass through
“ without any great alteration or change. I
“ do not think any author has yet made a
“ probable conjecture of the use or design
“ of these ganglions, whether they imagine
“ them corcula expellentia, reservoirs, or
“ elaboratories ; neither can I give an ac-
“ count of their use the least satisfactory to
“ myself.” And Cheselden, page 247 of
his Anatomy, says, that the nerves “ All
“ pass in as direct courses to the places they
“ serve, as is possible, never separating nor
“ joining with one another but at very acute
“ angles, unless where they unite in those
“ knots which are called ganglions, the use
“ of which I do not pretend to know ; they
“ make what appears to be a communica-
“ tion of most of the nerves on the same
“ side,

“ side, but never join nerves on opposite
“ sides.”

Now I should consider the office of these parts to be very important, and their use to be the same with that of the brain; their texture is similar; they are formed by convoluted arteries; they have excretory ducts, which have been considered nerves, and are united to the spinal nerves in the same manner as the brain is; as for instance, as soon as a new nerve issues out of the medulla spinalis, it immediately goes to these ganglions or glands; the vessels arising from these knots immediately embrace the nerves, which grow larger as soon as they are united; they then expand together to great minuteness, forming a beautiful net-work of vessels, which, as they become more and more minute, are lost to the eye.

The lymphatics from these ganglions penetrate and invest the nerves, in a similar manner to what the lymphatic vessels to all other parts of the body do, for their

nutrition, and their office is to convey lymph to the nerves, as they only form a part of that general and universal system, which is extended to every part of the body.

That ganglions are glands, and secrete lymph for the nutrition of the nerves to which they are connected, is farther confirmed in many diseases, such as scrophula, lues venerea, fevers, &c. for when these glands become indurated, inflamed, or suppurated, the nerve connected with them partakes of the evil, and must also be subject to inflame and suppurate: and hence, the cause of partial palsies, or loss of our senses; such as the *sight, smell, hearing, &c.* and hence, also, it evidently appears how vain it must be to expect a cure of a complaint, arising from such a misfortune, as it must, from the nature of things, be absolutely impossible, unless we possess the power of replacing the parts destroyed.

The old writers were right in considering the brain as the origin of motion, although they were entirely ignorant of the manner
of

of its operation; for it is a fact, that the nerves can only possess a power of motion and consequent sensation, so long as the brain and ganglions regularly convey lymph to them for their nutrition; because when they are deprived of this fluid, the nerves lose their power of conveying either *sense* or *motion*; and these effects may be either partial or universal, according to the degree or nature of the cause which produced them: as for instance, if we cut or tie a branch of a nerve which arises from the medulla spinalis, a convulsion and imperfect sensation will be the consequence. If we tie or cut the nerve at its trunk, so that no collateral branches escape the effect of the ligature, the part such nerve goes to, loses all sensation; *but yet the muscles retain their tonic quality, and are nourished. This, in general, is the effect produced by an injury of the spinal nerves when the body is in health.* But let us reverse this case, and suppose the nerves uninjured, and that we obstruct the lymph from passing into them, by injuring the brain or lymphatic glands, which, according to the nature of the obstruction, will produce the

same effect as a ligature. We shall then find, that as the partial obstruction of the lymph, to any branch of a nerve, produces convulsion or imperfect sensation; *so when the whole of the lymph is obstructed, the part not only loses its sensation, but, what is of more serious consequence, it suppurates and dies.* Hence paralytic complaints are produced by two very different causes; namely, either from an injury of the nerves themselves, or from an alteration or obstruction of the lymph, which may produce irritations, convulsions, inflammation, and suppuration, as is frequently seen in fevers, rheumatism, gout, &c. and these effects will be more or less dangerous, according to the degree of the obstruction and alteration that has taken place in the lymph, and according to the nature and importance of the office of the organs that are deprived of it; and this may be either confined to a particular part, or it may extend to every part of the body; as appears in many diseases, and particularly in those termed nervous, where all the faculties are impaired, in proportion to the mechanical cause which gave rise to the complaint.

If, for instance, the glands which separate lymph for the nutrition of the nerves of seeing, smelling, hearing, tasting, &c. become obstructed, or suppurate, either from consumptions, scrophula, lues venerea, blows, or fevers, or from any other cause, the consequence, as I have already observed, will be, that the nerves connected with these glands will also suppurate, and the patient will be deprived of such sense or faculty: and this is not peculiar to the nerves, for a deprivation of the lymph will cause a wasting of the parts, in proportion to such deprivation; and a total obstruction will cause a mortification; for every part of the body is liable to be affected in the same manner: and hence it appears, that a paralytic complaint caused by a defect in the lymph, in many instances, must be attended with greater danger than one caused by an injury of the nerves themselves, unless the nerves injured belong to some vital organ; as in injuries of the beginning of the medulla spinalis, which cause the lungs and heart to cease their motions, and must prove fatal: but with respect to the nerves that are not

connected with vital organs, and are such that the animal œconomy may go on without their assistance, an injury of them may not be attended with immediate danger; nay, even if the trunk of the nerve which gives motion to the part be injured, so that none of the collateral branches escape, the utmost consequence that can happen will be a loss of the use of the part, because the lymph in this case not being altered or impeded, the part, although deprived of sense and motion, is nevertheless nourished, and the muscles retain their tonic quality.

If the sciatic nerve of living frogs be cut, (see Monro's Observations on the Nervous System) and the animals preserved for upwards of a year afterwards; in some it will be found *united*; yet, nevertheless, in none of these animals will the limb recover its power of *sensation* or *motion*; but what is very remarkable, *the nerves that have been divided will be equally as large as those in the sound limb*: hence it appears, that although the functions of the nerves may be lost, yet, if the *lymph* be conveyed to them, they will increase

increase, and be nourished the same as if they had not been deprived of their functions by injuries.

Thus persons may have a convulsion or palsy in many parts of the body, by an injury of the nerves, which may continue through life; as we see often in persons who have a palsy of particular parts, such as in one arm, leg, or side, &c. and, in some cases, both sensation and motion may be entirely lost, and yet, if lymph be supplied, it may not prove fatal.

I have seen cases of the curvature of the spine, arising from an injury of the medulla spinalis, or of the particular glands connected with it, by a hurt or blow: and I have also seen this complaint caused by a weakly, strumous, or consumptive habit of body; but there may be observed very different symptoms, by which the cause of the complaint may be easily and readily distinguished; for the disease, in the first instance, frequently happens to strong healthy children, in whom there has not been, pre-
viou_s

vious to the appearance of the disorder, the least symptom of scrophula or consumption : and I have known persons in this situation for years, who eat and drank hearty, the body was nourished, and the muscles retained their tonic quality, although the urine and fæces were voided without the patient being sensible of it ; and all the parts below the injury had lost both sense and motion, so that if the flesh was pinched or pricked, there was not the least motion or sensation produced or felt.

But in the curvature of the spine that is caused by scrophulous or consumptive habit, the lymphatic glands in most parts of the body are diseased, so that the lymph is obstructed, and the flesh in this case becomes flabby, and incapable of being put into a tonic state, as in the preceding case ; and the whole of the body wastes and dies, in proportion as the disease advances ; white swellings and caries of the bones, in all other parts of the body, may be produced by the same cause,

It was the opinion of the ancients, that humours were discharged from the brain by the eyes, nose, mouth, ears, &c. and this opinion appears to be well founded; for I have seen persons who have repeatedly made the smoke of tobacco pass from the mouth in full streams, out of the eyes, nose, and ears, by the connection of the lymphatics; these persons were in perfect health, and had no defect in any of their faculties: and it is a certain fact, that there is not only a passage from the brain to any one part of an animal, but also to and from every part throughout the whole body. By means of such passages, many of the most fatal diseases are received, independent of the circulation; as for example, infectious or putrid air may pass through the *processus mamillares** into the ventricles of the brain; from

* *The processus mamillares* is considered the first pair of nerves issuing from the encephalon, and are supposed to possess *no cavities*; but this is erroneous; for fumes readily pass through them into the ventricles of the brain, and may be distributed to every part of the body, by the universal connection of the lymphatic system.

from thence into its excretory ducts, and may be carried all over the body by the *par vagum*, and hence arise the excruciating pains, rheumatism, lumbago, &c. of which persons, who have received infection in this manner, frequently complain, and which, in many instances, cause instantaneous death.

It also farther appears, that when the body is exhausted, and these vessels are empty, that putrid fluids may be absorbed from the uterus, intestines, and stomach, as well as from every other part; and conveyed to the cerebellum and cerebrum; and hence it also appears, why head-ach, and affection of our faculties or phrenites, are so commonly

Superfluous fluids also collected in them, may be discharged by the same passage through the nose. The processus mamillares of brutes are frequently found distended with lymph, and their cavities remarkably large, so as to be conspicuous to the eye; and hence, the cause of the acute sense of smelling that many animals possess; the passage being so large, as to permit a great quantity of air, impregnated with the effluvia, to pass to the nerves.

the consequence of putrid or infectious fluids being confined in any part of the body.

I am aware it may be urged, that the lymphatic vessels are thin and transparent, and that the continuations of the brain and ganglions are thick and opaque, and that, therefore, they cannot be considered as the same kind of vessels: to this I answer, that opacity arises merely from increase; for if we take a leaf of thin paper the light will pass through it, and it will be transparent; but every time we add an additional leaf it becomes more and more obscure, till at last it becomes perfectly opaque. It is the opinion of Sir Isaac Newton, that the capillamenta of nerves, when viewed singly, are pellucid: but when composed of many capillamenta, they appear white and opaque. This is evidently the fact in other parts of the body that are not nerves; as, for instance, the arteries are thick and opaque in their trunks, which arise from the heart; as they are traced towards the extremities, the same vessels become proportionally thin and transparent, and the cornea, or what is termed

termed by some, the tunica sclerotis, which is the membrane that contains the humours of the eyes, has its fore-part remarkably thin; clear, and transparent; but the hind or posterior part is thick, white, and opaque, although the same membrane, and therefore opacity arises merely from increase; and hence it appears, that the opacity of the continuations of the brain cannot be considered as an objection to their being lymphatic vessels, as it is caused entirely by a number being joined or united together: and this could not possibly be avoided, for if we were to suppose them distinct and separate vessels issuing from the brain, they might not be able to get out of the skull; and, besides, the smallness of the neck would not afford them a passage to the parts for which they were intended, without being continually subject to be injured; but from a number being joined together, they can more conveniently pass through the neck, without being interrupted by the œsophagus or asperia arteria.

From

From what has been advanced, I conclude that the cerebellum, and its continuation, the medulla spinalis, are the organs of all *sensation and motion*; and that the *brain is merely a lymphatic gland*, and its continuations *lymphatic vessels*, forming part of the lymphatic system.

T

CHAP.

C H A P. VIII.

CONCLUSION.

I HAVE endeavoured, in the preceding observations, to avoid as much as possible, professional terms, and to explain my meaning in as plain and familiar a manner, as the nature of the subject would admit; but in case it should appear that I have expressed myself in any part so as not to be clearly understood, I shall beg leave to take a short review of some few of the principal points that I should wish to impress on the reader's attention; these are:

That the lymphatic system does not arise from cavities and surfaces, nor does it terminate in the thoracic duct, as is the general, received opinion; but that it originates from the heart, and terminates in all surfaces and cavities, with which they themselves

felves are formed; by an extension of the lymphatic system.

That the lymphatics and lacteals are separate and distinct systems; the lacteals terminating in the thoracic duct, conveying chyle *into* the blood; whereas the lymphatics separate lymph *from* the blood, and distribute it to every part of the body.

That the lymphatic glands are for the separation of the lymph from the blood; that they are simply composed of arteries convoluted, which form the gland; that the same vessels, as soon as they come out of the gland, divide into innumerable anastomosing branches, and form a system of lymphatic vessels; and that there is, by means of this organization, a direct natural passage or communication *from and into the blood vessels in every part of the body.*

That transfusion does not take place either in the living or dead body, but that every thing we observe in it, is the operation and effect of parts appointed to produce them, and performed by organization alone.

That the blood is a compound fluid, peculiarly adapted to let certain parts of it pass through the glands; and the glands are so formed, as to prevent the red globules of blood, necessary to be confined in the blood vessels, from passing through them.

That absorption is not performed by the red veins but by the lymphatics, when from exercise, fatigue, or inanition, they become empty; and, in that state, they constitute a system of absorbents, conveying fluids into the blood from every part of the body.

That ligatures on the blood vessels are not the cause of the lymphatic vessels being filled with lymph, by obstructing its passage into the thoracic duct, but that the lymph passes through the lymphatic glands into the lymphatic vessels.

That as soon as the lacteals are filled with chyle, they appear white; for when there has been a quantity of chyle conveyed into the blood, sufficient to cause a separation of the lymph from the blood, the
lacteals

lacteals are to be seen conveying *white chyle*, and the *lymphatics* filled with *clear transparent lymph*; and when all the chyle is conveyed into the blood, then the lymphatics only are to be seen, and not the *lacteals*.

That the lymph, deprived of its nutritious particles, is a mere water; that it only derives its different degrees of consistence from its being impregnated with the quality and quantity of our food, whereby it is constantly liable to change; and equally so when diseased; from these circumstances, it may have all the appearances which different authors have attributed to it; that it is universally extended throughout the body, and that it is the immediate cause of nutrition,

That what is commonly called *simple pus*, is the *lymph altered*; and that the discharge from ulcers arising from various complaints, is the *lymph diseased*, so that it partakes of the nature of the disorder, and is *changed* from the *mildest, simple, inoffensive* fluid,

into the most *corrosive, malignant, or putrid* one, which destroys, in many instances, every thing it touches.

That the brain, and its continuations, are *not nerves*, as has always been the generally received opinion, but that it is merely a *lymphatic gland*; and its continuations not nerves, but lymphatic vessels. That it does not possess any greater degree of *sensation* than any other gland in the body; is not the seat of sensation, and only forms a part of the lymphatic system.

That *sensation* wholly resides in the *cerebellum* and *medulla spinalis*; and that *no nerves exist* but what originate from them: that the brain and its excretory ducts are only united to them, to supply lymph for their nutrition, in the same manner as other parts are supplied by their contiguous lymphatic glands and investing vessels throughout the animal œconomy.

Before I conclude these observations, I should wish to say, that as I have quoted passages

passages from the works of several Gentlemen, deservedly held in the highest estimation, some of which are so evidently contradictory, that they must appear very unsatisfactory to those who will consider the nature of the subject; my only view in pointing them out has been to shew by them, that the present opinion of this important system cannot be founded in truth; and several passages that I have noticed have been such, that even the authors of them have acknowledged, they contained difficulties impossible to explain, or to reconcile with their own systems; and these I have quoted to shew how easily these difficulties are removed, by the admission of the system which I now offer to the public.

These alone being the motives by which I have been influenced, I hope it will be considered as a proof, that no offence was intended to be given; as I have taken no other liberty with the works of others, than what any person is perfectly at liberty to take with these sheets.

I do not wish implicit confidence to be given to what has been advanced, any farther than it may carry conviction with it. As every candid inquirer into truth can only give his assent to what is well founded, and must reject that which is not so; the observations of philosophical and impartial inquirers into the subject, whether they tend to establish or discover any imperfection in the system I offer, will be always received with attention, when I find the improvement of it to be their object. On the very great importance of the subject, it is needless to make any observation; and as for myself I can say, that I have considered it with great attention, and am satisfactorily convinced, and do firmly believe, that the use of the lymphatic system is to perform both the office of *nutrition* and *absorption*, in the manner I have represented,


I have considered the subject in a variety of views; and every thing that I have been enabled to observe and consider, has tended to convince me, that this opinion is well founded; and what must be a recommendation to it,


it, is its great simplicity, which is a consideration deserving of particular attention; for what can be more simple, than that the chyle being pressed into the circulation; the lymphs should be conveyed by similar means through the lymphatic glands and vessels, into every part of the body for its nutrition; and also, that when this fluid is exhausted, the same vessels, when empty, will absorb fluids, and convey them through the same passages into the circulation, from every part of the body. This simplicity is congenial with what we know of the operations of nature; for when they appear to us to be involved in difficulties or obscurity, it is caused by our ignorance of that simplicity with which they were performed.

I have not the least doubt, that as soon as the lymphatic system is universally and incontrovertibly established, that a perfect knowledge of the whole of the animal œconomy will be obtained; and the cause, as well as the treatment, of the different diseases, be perfectly understood; which will

remove that uncertainty which at present prevails, and must ever be the consequence, until the perfect knowledge of the real importance and use of the Lymphatic System be obtained.

F I N I S.





COUNTWAY LIBRARY OF MEDICINE

QP
115
H88

1 MK 191

RARE BOOKS DEPARTMENT

