

To Rosalie Longmore from L.H. and H. Moorsam

Publication/Creation

1906-1911

Persistent URL

<https://wellcomecollection.org/works/jg9wpjgg>

License and attribution

You have permission to make copies of this work under a Creative Commons, Attribution, Non-commercial license.

Non-commercial use includes private study, academic research, teaching, and other activities that are not primarily intended for, or directed towards, commercial advantage or private monetary compensation. See the Legal Code for further information.

Image source should be attributed as specified in the full catalogue record. If no source is given the image should be attributed to Wellcome Collection.



Wellcome Collection
183 Euston Road
London NW1 2BE UK
T +44 (0)20 7611 8722
E library@wellcomecollection.org
<https://wellcomecollection.org>

L. 71/1

Newmarket Weston 9 Sept 06

My dear Mr. Lorne

Please find herewith the tiny note
with my love.

I return at last the typed letters
& Nova Scotian having which Lorne so
neatly paced, in it I have pencilled
a line showing what my map of 1902
calls the "Dominion and Atlantic Railway"
& which now seems to exist all round
the North & West sides of the Province, and
by a dotted line, which I have also
copied, this railway seemed under
construction along the South side from
near Shelburne to Halifax, there being
also an existing line apparently from
Halifax & Dartmouth to Windsor and
thus joining the North line. So far as
I can judge this railway has been made

either through or pretty near to the
Wilkins grant, marked 1000, 1500 + 572
^{also 2500,} and the part under construction seems
to pass through or near to Grants 572-200
5000 and 6. If this is so I should suppose
the "Crown Land Office" named in the letter to
Mr Hollings, should have record of the Railway
getting way leaves through the district, and
where these were granted one would think
some reference may have been made to
the representations of the reputed owner
of the land, though Govt might ^{take land for a railway.}
By my map the 2500 near Pictou seems
pretty well in the middle of the Coal district,
& though certain Minerals are reserved
to the ^{and the letter to} crown, Mr Hollings says, yet coal is
not mentioned, but whether the coal is
Wilkins property or not, the fact of working
coal should increase the value I think.

Failing any satisfaction from Mr How
I think your Harry or some one should
arrange a search in the Deeds Registry
in or for the Counties of Lunenburg, Shelburne
Annapolis, Hants & Pictou if Pictou is a
County. It seems doubtful if we have

any claim on the Grants to Martin
Isaac Wilkins - I had no idea of any
Grant except to our Grandfather or his
Father, nor did I know that our Great
Grandfather had a second son who was
called Martin & like our Uncle Martin
War Attorney Genl.

The whole affair seems difficult but
I think your ideas about it are right.
No time for more than love

from us ^{your} grateful Mother & Mr

This was what - I could't
find last night - -
You can return it to
Woolston when
done with

R. L.

Oct: 114

4/8/07

WALESBY RECTORY,
MARKET-RASEN.

My dear Mother
My projected visit
to Canada will be a very brief
one, for a specific purpose,
my object being rather to see
people than places, & my
itinerary will very probably
be confined to Quebec, Montreal,
Ottawa & Toronto, returning
by N. York, after a flying visit
to Washington. I doubt that
therefore (but I shall have
my opportunity of visiting
N. Scotia or making any
inquiries as to A. W. this
winter. I explained my

Newcastle - Boston 6 Aug 07

L. 71/2

I suspect now this is the reply to my letter to Perry in which I suggested of his travels enabled him to go to New York he might help the family interest by seeing about the Wilkins property, but it is "no go" literally

I don't quite understand Perry's advice but it reads as if he means that failing any arrangement between W. W. & Ed. Sturge, Perry thinks it worth while to authorize L. S. Solicitor at Halifax to incur the expense of preliminary investigation, & Perry supposes the Moorson branch might be to contribute up to a reasonable limit - This is "round about", but I conclude he would join in giving a reasonable share & I expect it will have to be tried on if How shuffles.

Love &c. affly
M

I don't want this letter

inquiries as to subject
in some length to those
when she was with you
in town, after reading all
the papers she had written
a view of the whole history
of the matter. I am inclined
to think that le jeu en
vaudra pour la chandelle. It
seems to me should be
impossible to come to any
arrangement with Mr. Howe,
& Mr. Sharp (who offers
to do the work in several parts
(Europe) that is worth
while to authorize his
Scholarship at Holywell
in case the necessary expenses
of a preliminary investigation
I suppose the Museum Board
might offer to contribute up to a
reasonable limit
Ever affectionately
yours
M. Lawrence

Your envelope had broken open & was squashed up - I hope all papers reached me
as the contents are now returned. Martin Maynard says the present Hon's complaint of
H.M.

Newnham Weston ^{L 71/3}
20. Nov. 07

My dearest Ronie

I think your letter of 17th
Mr How is all right, but
suggest adding a couple
of sentences which I have
pencilled in your draft,
knowing if you don't think them
material let yours go as it
is - you will be right to
enter your postscript where
you have marked X.
See Mr W.H. says his deed
is on a file at "the Registrar's
Office" I should try & get the

address of the Registrar -
whether Halifax Shelbourne
or where? so as to see if any
original deeds concerning
the gift to our Great Grandfather
(or Great Grand G:) are also on
file, & perhaps Harry might
also be Mr Hows production
which saved the Chapel
builders. I return all for sent.

I expect Dablice must not
be considered to have been "muddled"
but it was probably my fault
in not giving Edith a clearly
written note of my suggestions.

I trust Susie is better &
passed through Birmingham
on Monday & Tuesday sleeping at

at the Sunn Hotel on Monday night
& Charles Wren came to see me & said
Susie seemed better - you too I
hope are better & am sorry to hear
of cold & influenza, I wish you could
take a trip to a warm climate
but I suppose you find it difficult.
Little Frank seemed to think it
would be wise.

Thank you for all you say about
C. Lige, it is a grief to lose
~~Charles~~ a friend, we have no
trustworthy details yet as to cause
of death. I think the prostate
gland was removed & then another
operation, but what I don't know.

He wrote so cheerfully of it a
few days or weeks before saying
he hoped it would make him

Much better than he had long
been & that he rather looked forward
to the rest, for I fear he ^{had} suffered much
inconvenience if not pain, but he was
always a brave sufferer. The one
great matter, he was a really good
man & will I trust have his reward.
He was my executor & Edith's trustee
so you see what a loss it is to us.
& I am sure the great regard was
mutual between all three.

Very many Charles, I can hardly
& an end & can understand
you must miss him, hoping you
may have better account of
Susie & with Edith's love & of
course ours & Julia's people with

Forgive delay I am so full of
writing & work I could not post
this tonight

Pewaukee, Wis.

L. 71/4

5 Nov 07

My dear Aunt Norie

I am very sorry & find from
Isabella's letter ^{of 11 Nov.} that I could
not have told her my views
about reply to Mr How which I
ought to have done - if I did not -
when I sent her your enclosures
of 16 Oct. Isabella reads and

I now return you draft letter of 16
to Mr How which I should think
would do I also read what Isabella
says as he suggested reply.

She seems to fear Mr How
may think from your proposed
reply that Mr How might resent

it as representing that if he had
been straightforward the difficulty
would have been less - I really am
so forgetful as to what has passed
between Mr How, L. Sturgis & you that
I am not confident in advising how
to reply to Mr How's letter the ^{part} details
of which I can't remember - I hope
it is not necessary to give all the
detail Sabella does & I think I
should simply thank him for
telling you what "the deed" was,
viz: as you say a "good deed" &
assure him we know nothing of
the unpleasantness to which we are
sorry he has, by apparent misunderstanding,
been subjected to - I certainly did
not know & suppose you did not -
& I should ask him for some
idea of the cruising cost as

that, if not very heavy, might be
arranged & I should tell him
we think some arrangement should
be made to share the cost also of
going into the details of any document
which rarely must exist in the Record
Office of the Province.

I fear any search for records in Wills
means employing a lawyer, but if
Harry is going to Nova Scotia we might
between us guarantee enough to
do that.

Forgive all this delay but I have
been overwhelmed with work &
which still has arrears

I was so busy that I believe I
got Edith to send you letters on
to Sabella & I may not have
told Edith all I ought to & thus
this mistake & delay. I am thankful

to say I have been officially relieved
of responsibility for those horrid
accounts & not one unkind word
said, but the anxiety & delay are
trying & I think your letter arrived
just time

Love & affection
Mr

I'm enclosing
this too, as it shows
you what you wrote
to me & may save
you trouble

271/5

"46" Shrewsbury St. O.T. Manchester.

Sept. 26th 1910.

My dear Rosie.

I have had a considerable amount of bother with this Co. Shelburne survey - as I couldn't get it to come right for some time - but day-light broke thro' the darkness eventually and I am now sending you the result - which I consider is very satisfactory - but at the same time proves our friend James McKay of Clyde River notoriously to be very much out in his calculations - and that his plan is far from being correct. But perhaps I may be wrong in this last assertion - and will withdraw it until you (and C. J. W.) shall have had time to put my tracings (2) which I am now sending you - over his survey; - They are both to the same scale - and if his is laid down properly to his dimensions, they should coincide i.e. the lines on mine should cover his - if they do not, (I hardly think they will) then you may conclude - that his are wrong - for I am perfectly certain - that mine are correct - as I have checked them in two or three different ways - -

I have proved that the line D J if produced will cut the Base N B. in the point A.: also, that the line J. K is parallel to N. A.: and that K L is also parallel to M. N. - I do not think they are so shown on his Survey - You must also call his attention particularly to the "note" on the S. E. Corner of my Tracing - And - if you have not already sent him a cheque. you should let him know. That it will not be sent until the omissions mentioned in the "note" are complied with -

As regards the Acreage: - The plot A B C D should contain 5,000 acres. but as a matter of fact contains only 4,495. Therefore 505. the difference, has to be found Elsewhere. : - The plot E F G H should contain 200 acres - but does contain 220: - If we deduct the Extra 20 from the 505. it leaves a balance of 485 wanting - These must be found on the plots enclosed within the lines J. K. L. M. N. A. J. - And should show Connection with the line J. A. - -

One other point and I have done. This is only a minor matter - My rough Copy, in pencil, of his plan - gives the following figures - Line D J bears S 25° W. for 94.25 chains. The Eastern Boundary of his plot (John Martin?) runs S. 26° W. for 74.00 chains - Is this so in his original or have I made

a mistake of one degree in my copy - ? -

If it were correctly plotted I am inclined to think that this Eastern boundary of John Martin's plot should be a part of and synonymous with my line J.A. and probably closed in on the Western side by the River (? What river) and the Lake Isabella... - As to the other plots of Joseph Martin and the "quite well wooded" - how these are to be connected up. I don't suppose ^{even} Mr. McKay knows - but he should show it - and should also give their acreage... -

I hope C.F.W. will be with you when you open this - as he will be able to follow my figures and arguments - and explain anything I may not have made quite clear.

Ann's cold is not much better yet, otherwise we are all well. -

Had a letter from Harry yesterday morning from Durham - the Avenue - Sherborne - all well - but says he has no late news of you - or from Walsby. - Must close for post.

One tracing is for you to keep and the other to send on to Clyde River -

Very much love from us all - and to you and all of + C.F.W. Ever y aff. Brother. L.H. Moorson

This copy is for you to keep for yourself. E.F.G.H.
14 Brown St. Manchester. Nov. 1st 1910. 3-11-10

The Isaac Wilkins Land in Cathlamet, Nova Scotia.

The papers in this matter with Mr. McKay's survey - having been referred back to me for further consideration, I have to confirm Mr. C. F. Wilkins' opinion as to the worthlessness of Mr. McKay's survey in a legal point of view - for the following reasons. - viz -

1/ It is not closed in: and it is therefore impossible to join up, as a whole, the several plots shown on his plan. -

2/ Mr. McKay does not quote the authority from whence he obtained his information respecting these lands. -

Is there any map of the County of Shelburne showing these particular lands? and if so does it say where granted? to whom? and what transactions have subsequently been recorded with reference to them?

He should give the dates and details of each, & all of such transactions. -

He must clearly define the boundaries enclosing each of the plots bearing the names of Joseph & John Martin respectively - and of the plot beyond the river, under the title of "quite well wooded" and give the dimensions and bearing of the lands that abut upon those granted to Isaac Wilkins. - Why is the name of the river not given? and why no arrows on the plan to show the direction of the flow?

How does Mr. McKay know that the line B.C. (see figures on my tracing) is the Eastern boundary of the land granted to Isaac Wilkins? and whence did he obtain his information regarding

regarding the boundaries of the plot enclosed within the letters on my plan. E.F.G.H.

The dimension of the North western boundary of the large plot in question, granted to Isaac Wilkins, is given as 94.25 chains, but the angle bearing of the line is plotted - I have taken it to be 26° W of S. but Mr. C. F. Wilkins does not agree with me & thinks it is intended to read 21° . - Mr. McKay has plotted it to a somewhat larger angle than 25° . Which is correct? He only can explain! Taking it as 25° if that line be produced it will intersect the base line B. A. N. very nearly in the point A. and in that event the whole line D.A. would close in the whole of the western boundary of this large plot. But even that would not. Even with the other plot. E.F.G.H. give the whole 5,000 acres. - So it behoves Mr. McKay to show where the balance of 485 acres is to be obtained. - It is my reasonable supposition that they must lie in the West & North Corner in the neighbourhood of the lake & river. They must be clearly defined somewhere. - Whence did Joseph & John Martin obtain their properties? When? and from whom? On what terms do they hold their plots? These details must be given, as there is nothing to show that they may not be portions of the Isaac Wilkins Estate.

The boundaries from L to N. and from M to S. - including the lake and river. must be laid down and clearly defined by measurements and angles on the survey. - Another important matter is that the names of the landowners of any properties abutting on these lands of Isaac Wilkins must be given with the lengths of their adjacent surfaces. -

The course of the poor road, where it abuts upon or traverses any

any portion of the lands in question ^{surveyed and laid down in the plan}
and also ^{the road also from it. to the plot E.P.C.H. should also}
^{be laid down ~~there~~ on the survey plan}

Are there any farms - farm buildings or houses on these lands? and if so why are they not shown?

At the point on Mr. McKay's plan corresponding to the letter C on my tracing there is a hieroglyphic denoting how the boundary at that angle is definable on the field. Mr. McKay must explain what it means. Whether a Stone or a Stake &c -

Lastly, a block plan should be given showing the neighbourhood for about 10 miles around, on a scale of say one inch to the mile. Surely there must be some such plan in the Office of the Surveyor General, or of the Lands Department. Which would give all the information sought for from an authoritative source.

It should show the roads approaching the Wilkins lands. The nearest towns - their distances from these lands. The names of any villages - farms. Rivers lakes. &c &c - and in fact, all the general surroundings in the same manner as they are shown here in England on our "six inch Ordnance Maps"!! -

Are there any persons such as "squatters" making any use of any of these Wilkins lands? and if so by what authority? - and to whom do they pay rent? if they pay any at all!! - -

Mr. McKay should reply clearly on all these points I have raised -
L. J. Morrison, M. Inst. C.E. &c.

Comments on Mr. McKay's plan. purporting to define two plots of land (one of 5,000 acres, and the other of 200 acres.) situated in Co. Shelburne in Nova Scotia - granted to Isaac Wilkins -

The papers in this matter, with Mr. McKay's survey, having been referred back to me. for further consideration. I have to conform Mr. C. F. Wilkins' opinion as to the worthlessness of Mr. McKay's survey, from a legal point of view, for the following reasons. viz. -

1. It is not closed in. and it is therefore impossible to join up as a whole the several plots shown on his plan.
2. Mr. McKay does not quote the authority from whence he obtained his information respecting these lands. -

Is there any map of the County, Shelburne showing these particular lands? and if so. does it say when granted? to whom? and what transactions have subsequently been recorded with reference to them? -

He should give the dates, and details, of each, and all of such transactions - He must clearly define the boundaries enclosing each of the plots bearing the names of Joseph & John Martin, respectively: and of the plot beyond the river, under the title of "quite well wooded". and give the dimensions and bearings of the lands that about 1790 were granted to Isaac Wilkins -

Why is the name of the river not given? and why no arrows on the plan to show the direction of the flow? How does Mr. McKay know that the line B.C. (see figures on my tracing) is the Eastern boundary of the land granted to Isaac Wilkins,? and whence did he obtain his information regarding the boundaries of the plot enclosed within the letters, on my plan; E.F.G.H.

The dimension of the North Western boundary of the large plot in question, granted to Isaac Wilkins, is given as 94.25 chains, but the angle bearing of the line is blotted - I have taken it to be 25° W of S - but Mr. C. F. Wilkins does not agree with me & thinks it is intended to read 21° - Mr. McKay has plotted it to a somewhat larger angle than 25° - which is correct? He may Can Explain!

Taking it as 25° if that line be produced it will intersect the base line BAN. very nearly in the point - A. - and on that event. the whole line DA. would close in the whole of the Eastern boundary of this ^{large} plot. - but even that latter would not. - even with the other plot E.F.G.H. give the whole 5,000 acres -

So it behoves Mr. McKay to show where the balance of 485 acres is to be obtained. It is only reasonable to suppose that they must lie in the West.

West and South corner in the neighbourhood of the Lake and River.

They must be clearly defined somewhere.

Whence did Joseph and John Martin obtain their properties? When? and from whom? On what terms do they hold their plots? These details must be given, as there is nothing to show that they may not be portions of the Isaac Wilkins' Estate.

The boundaries from L to N and from M to D (see my plan) including the Lake and River must be laid down and clearly defined by measurements and angles on the Survey.

Another important matter is that the names of the Land-owners of any properties abutting on these lands of Isaac Wilkins must be given with the lengths of their Cotangent Surfaces.

The course of the road where it abuts upon or traverses any portion of the lands ^{surveyed and laid down on the plan} in question must be shown and ^{also} the road ^{also} from it to the plot EFGH. should also be surveyed and laid down on the plan.

Are there any farms - farm buildings - or houses - on these lands? and if so why are they not shown?

At the point on Mr. McKay's plan corresponding to the letter G on my tracing there is a hieroglyphic denoting from the boundary at that angle is definable on the ground. Mr. McKay must explain what it means whether a stone or a stake &c.

Lastly, a block plan should be given showing the neighbourhood for about 10 miles around, on a scale of say one inch to the mile - Surely there must be some such plan in the Office of the Surveyor General - or of the Lands Department which would give all the information sought for from an authoritative source.

It should show the roads approaching the Wilkins lands; the nearest towns, their distances from these lands; - the names of any villages, farms, rivers, lakes or ~~in~~ and in fact all the general surroundings, in the same manner as they are elsewhere in England, on our "Inch Ordnance Maps"!!!

Are there any persons such as Squatters making any use of any of these Wilkins' lands? and if so - by what authority? and to whom do they pay rent? if they pay any at all.!!!

Mr. McKay should reply clearly on all these points I have raised -

Lt. J. Moorhouse M. Inst. C.E. &c.

14 Brown St. Manchester

L. 71/8

46 Throstony St. O.J.
Manchester. Nov. 1st - 1910.

My dear Rosie.

I really can't imagine what you will have thought of me - for having taken such an uncondonable time in going through these Moon Scotian papers: - . but, owing to one or two slight lapses on my part - I have had to make two new tracings of my ~~plot~~ plan - which I made from my rough note I took of McKays tracing which he sent you - and I did not find my error out until I saw his tracing - on the bundle you sent me on the 5th ult. -

C.F.W. was quite right about McKays survey being quite useless as a survey in a legal light. - I have kept a copy of his notes on the case & return you his original. -

I do not agree with him as to the

bearing of the line of the North West
boundary of the 5,000 acre plot. - On
Dr. Kay's tracing the figure 25° is blundered.
and I think C.F.W. took it to be intended
for 21° instead of 25° . - But as the direction
of that line as drawn by Dr. Kay on his plan.
gives a larger angle even than 25° . though
it is not much larger. My inference is
that the blotted figure must be intended
for an angle at all events larger than 25° .
and therefore cannot be intended for 21° .

I prefer to keep mine as it is and to let
Dr. Kay explain the unknown quantity.

I have written a lot of notes - in the shape
of questions on this plan - 3.11.10. and
these I am sending you in duplicate so that
you may keep the one on papers connected by
a piece of Stacup paper - left hand top corner -
the other copy on foolscap. to go on to Dr. Kay.

I send you also two copies of my calculated
tracings of the plot. - The one with the North
point in dotted black for you to keep.
the one in dotted red to send to Dr. Kay.

With these papers. I return of course
all the originals you sent me on the 5th.
You may send Dr. Kay's plan back to him
if you think it advisable. I have traced
a copy off it. and can make you another
copy from mine for you - if you wish to have
one - - It won't take long to do it for
you - if you think it advisable. - -

I don't think I need write any more
on this land's subject as I have sent you
enough to make poor Dr. Kay's hair stand
on end (if he has any?) - -

Only just send me a line to acknowledge
receipt of these papers. but in doing so will
you let me have that line before Wed. next week
& kindly tell me if you can. how we became
acquainted with the Dr. Thurn family -
I have an old Shipland friend (Humphreys)
going out from Liverpool on Thursday to Fiji. and
I believe Dr. Thurn is the Gov. there - H.F.L.
Sawbin on his way home from N.Z. and I want
to tell Humphreys if possible how our ancestors
became acquainted - - I have asked ditto from
Isabella - if she can tell me - hoping to get some
information from some source -

I have had a line from Ella - CHW -
about my memoirs - I will complete
about I guess as soon as possible - but
I am only now completing my dredging
of the Soton water - and must get that
done first - - - Jane has been very badly
with cold & neuralgia ever since we got
back - and that has kept me a good bit
at home - & therefore delayed. These within
lands - - I am glad to get rid of them -
and to get to something else which I
hope will be more profitable -

Must close for post - J. is better
but is looking far from well - I am
fit thank goodness - and C. all right -
Except for broken knees having had a
fall down stairs at school today - -

Will write you again soon -

Heaps of love from us all - -

Ever Yr. aff. Brother -

L. H. Moorson

J. S. L. reports. By P. very well - "His Honor
also is there and the whole house pretty
full. but she writes cheerfully - L.H.M.
she says H + Z are going to Sotand Bay!!!!

L 71/9

46. Shrewsbury St. O. Y.

Manchester - 6.6.11. -

My dear Rosie

Herewith I return you

Mr. McKays's survey (tracing on cloth)
of the (500 acre) lot at Gummings
Cove - granted to Isaac Wilkins -
so the tracing sayeth - and, I hope
correctly - -

I have kept a copy of the tracing
for myself - and will put it with the
others also : and am keeping copies
also of McKays letter of 22nd March 1911.
and of Louis de Moliter's Estimate
of the Pulp-wood - &c - on the 5000
acre lot - between the Jordan Table
Rivers - -

Tell you this in case you may need
further copies - of either plans - or CO₂ -

response - which I can let
you have at short notice - at any
time - - -

I see the Halifax & South Western
Railway runs right through this 500
acre lot at Gunning's Cove:-

It would be just as well to try & find
out - how much they (the Ry Co.) paid
for this land - and from whom they
purchased it! and when! - This
might be a clue to a further development
or - did they take possession under the
impression that it was a No-man's land
and therefore they did not need to make
any disbursement for it - Or, if any
payment was made at all - who collared
the money? - - -

I will calculate roughly from J.A.M.'s
tracing - the acreage of the land taken
by the Ry Co. & will let you know what
it amounts to. - And will also check

the dimensions of the 500 acre lot -
and give you a report upon the survey.

As C.W. & I did of the former lot:-
But, please don't delay doing what
you intend with the Crown Agents for I
have some other work just now I am very
anxious to get on with & will revert to
this matter later -

I see the big O. arrived safely on
Saturday afternoon - so far - good - -

All well here - and all unite in
best love to all -

Ever Yr aff Brother

L.H. Morrison

L. H. MOORSOM, M. INST. C. E.,
CIVIL ENGINEER,
SURVEYOR & VALUER, & C.

(2 pp)

L. 71/10

14, BROWN STREET,

MANCHESTER, June 13th 1911

Gunning Cove - N.S.

My dear Rosie -

I have taken out the areas of this plan of Mr. McKay's - and find the total acreage of the land enclosed within the red lines - is 583.85 acres.

The plot bounded by the black dotted lines, which is a piece of land - within the red lines and is therefore included in the above quoted figures (583.85). But has on it the names of Henry Doane + Alfred Doane - amounts to just 15 acres. - Whether they, the two persons, have come legitimately by their holding appears to me to bear some doubt, and it might be worth while to try & find out how they came by the land - and to whom, if to any body, they paid any consideration, and how much; or are they now paying any rental, and to whom, how much &c. - -

The approx^e. quantity of land taken by the Halifax and South Western Ry Co. is (about, I can get the length, but the width may be more or less, but I have taken it as 1 1/2 chains wide throughout) 13.65. Acres. They must have had some negotiations about it and their correspondence books should show with whom they were carried on, and the amount

L. H. MOORSOM, M. INST. C. E.
CIVIL ENGINEER,
SURVEYOR & VALUER, & C.

p. 2.

L71/10

14, BROWN STREET,

MANCHESTER, 13. 6. 11. 191.....

of amount of money paid for it, & to whom -

The only item remaining to be referred to - is the School H^o - and of that I should hardly think it worth while to take any notice as the area occupied by it - I put at (.30) or $\frac{1}{3}$ rd of an acre. -

You had better pin this letter on to your copy of the plan. - and then you will always have these areas attached to it. - Sometime - when I may again visit you I will try and put the acreage on to your plan on figures: - but this will well serve the purpose for them. -

As this refers to business I will confine it to business only & will write another line from home. with this -

Yr. aff. Brother

L. H. Moorsom

"

"Moucholm" - July ^{31st} 25. 1912. - Wed. - 71/11

My dear Roie.

Many thanks for yours (rec^d yesterday) with the "How" correspondence - re Isaac Wilkins interests in Nova Scotia. - - - Herewith I send you back all the letters - you sent me. viz -

From H. F. L. to Mr. How. from Woolston May 22. 1912.

" Mr. How. " H. F. L. " Amapolis June 6. "

" H. F. L. } " Mr. How " Woolston July 11th "
+ R. L }

And of each of these I send you one copy - on Indian (blue) note - - and have retained a copy of each for myself.

Mr. Tait's letter re Dick's departure by the "Nanaimo" - I also return. - - - I've him right if he doesn't get the things he left on J. Deuy's platform - It's a good thing for him that his head isn't loose - or he'd loose it.!!!!
I don't envy him - his voyage out to Gibraltar!!!!

Mr. How's letter of June 6 - is plausible En^d.!! But, under the circumstances - he had no right to assume that you were too busy - and he did not like to intrude upon you to tell you. that the Dep^y Surveyor had suggested to him (H.H) that he would locate the Wilkins lands whilst running his lines at Shelburne - Yarmouth & Amapolis - It so happens that that is exactly what we wanted - and if you had paid him a like sum to that you have paid McKay - you would have had a very much better return for it.!!!!

And you would have had also - a correct value of each of each of the plots - & also have known - what the Ry Co^y paid the Shelburne Treasurer for the gravel abstracted from the SW. plot at Cumings' Cove - & possibly also, a far

greater amount of information than that sent you by
McKay ^{the greater as directly} ~~is any more of~~. There is just a something attached
to this that savours of a lack of straightforwardness - -

It is too late now - - but knowing as he did that H. F. L.
had seen him & explained what you wanted it adds
materially to the doubts of his bona fides which prevailed
anterior to the writing of that letter. - - -

Oh! what weather, for the dog days, frost in the
Fens last night - here torrents of rain - and a strong
gale - sometimes SW. and sometimes - SE - just when
hot sunny calm weather is wanted for the harvest -

The grain was quite golden - when I came back last
week, & C. told me she noted the same all the way
back from Cornwall. - - All well here thank God.

but upset. whilst having the Dining Room prepared -

It is done - we hope to be straight again by Saturday -

We note your departure for westward ^{to} tomorrow week
the 8th - Let me know your address there in case of
need. - - Very much love from us all - to you & all -
including Julia. who we hope is bettering - -

Ever y. aff. Brother - L. H. Morrison